Extracellular TGF-β downregulates the expression of Wnt transcription

factor TCF7L2/TCF4 in mesenchymal stromal cells and fibroblasts

Osvaldo Contreras[1,2,*], Hesham Soliman[2,3], Marine Theret[2], Fabio M. Rossi[2], Enrique

Brandan[1,*]

[1]Departamento de Biología Celular y Molecular and Center for Aging and Regeneration

(CARE-ChileUC), Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de

Chile, 8331150 Santiago, Chile. [2]Biomedical Research Centre, Department of Medical

Genetics and School of Biomedical Engineering, University of British Columbia, V6T 1Z3

Vancouver, BC, Canada. [3]Faculty of Pharmacy, Minia University, 61519 Minia, Egypt

*Authors for correspondence (oicontre@uc.cl; ebrandan@bio.puc.cl)

Authors' e-mails:

Osvaldo Contreras: oicontre@uc.cl

Hesham Soliman: hesham@brc.ubc.ca

Marine Theret: mtheret@brc.ubc.ca

Fabio M. Rossi: fabio@brc.ubc.ca

Enrique Brandan: ebrandan@bio.puc.cl

Keywords: Wnt signaling, Stem cells, Regeneration, Fibrosis, Fibroblasts, Myoblasts

Summary statement

TGF-β signaling suppresses the expression of the Wnt transcription factor TCF7L2 and

compromises TCF7L2-dependent function in fibroblasts.

Abbreviations

Cycloheximide, CHX

Connective tissue, CT

Duchenne muscular dystrophy, DMD

Extracellular matrix, ECM

Fibro-adipogenic progenitors, FAPs

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting, FACS

Histone deacetylases, HDACs

Mesenchymal stromal cells, MSCs

Muscle stem cells, MuSCs

Muscular dystrophy, MD

Platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha, PDGFRα

T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer, Tcf/Lef

Transcription factor, TF

Transforming growth factor type-beta, TGF-β

Trichostatin A, TSA

Tumor necrosis factor alpha, TNF-α

Ubiquitin-proteasome system, UPS

ABSTRACT

Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are multipotent progenitors essential for

organogenesis, tissue homeostasis, regeneration, and scar formation. Tissue injury

upregulates TGF-β signaling, which plays predominant roles in MSCs fate, extracellular

matrix (ECM) remodeling, and fibrogenesis. However, the molecular determinants of

MSCs differentiation and survival are still poorly understood. The canonical Wnt Tcf/Lef

transcription factors are important players in development and stem cell fate, but the

mechanisms by which injury-induced signaling cues regulate the expression of Wnt Tcf/Lef

remain poorly understood. Here, we studied the cell-specific gene expression of Tcf/Lef in

MSCs and, more specifically, investigated whether damage-induced TGF-β affects the

expression and function of TCF7L2 using different *in vitro* models of MSCs, including fibro-

adipogenic progenitors. We demonstrated that Tcf/Lef members are differentially

expressed in MSCs and that TGF-β signaling impairs TCF7L2 expression in these cells

but not in myoblasts. Also, we show that the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) regulates

TCF7L2 proteostasis and participates in TGF-β-mediated TCF7L2 protein downregulation.

Finally, we also show that TGF-β requires HDACs activity to repress the expression of

TCF7L2 in fibroblasts. Thus, our work establishes a novel molecular interplay between

TGF-β and Wnt signaling cascades in stromal cells and suggests that this mechanism

could be targeted in tissue fibrosis.

INTRODUCTION

The remarkable long-term capacity to grow and regenerate of adult skeletal muscle is

largely based on the presence of tissue-resident muscle stem cells (MuSCs) (formerly

named as satellite cells) (Lepper et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2011; Sambasivan et al.,

2011). However, another tissue-resident population of mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs)

is also an essential component for effective regeneration and maintenance of skeletal

muscle and its connective tissue (CT). MSCs regulate extracellular matrix (ECM) modeling

and remodeling, MuSCs biology, muscle regeneration and maintenance (Heredia et al.,

2013; Joe et al., 2010; Mathew et al., 2011; Uezumi et al., 2010; Wosczyna et al., 2019).

These stromal cells are also called fibro-adipogenic progenitors (FAPs) in mouse and

human skeletal muscle (Agley et al., 2013; Dulauroy et al., 2012; Uezumi et al., 2014a;

Vallecillo-García et al., 2017; Wosczyna and Rando, 2018). MSCs have the potential to

differentiate *in vivo* and *in vitro* into myofibroblasts, adipocytes, chondrogenic, and

osteogenic cells (Agley et al., 2013; Contreras et al., 2019c; Oishi et al., 2013; Uezumi et

al., 2014b; Uezumi et al., 2011; Wosczyna et al., 2012). These PDGFRα^+ MSCs are found

in most tissues, including bone marrow, heart, kidney, muscle, nerves, liver, lung, and skin

in which they play crucial roles (Carr et al., 2019; Lemos and Duffield, 2018; Lynch and

Watt, 2018; Rognoni et al., 2018). Despite their required normal activity during muscle

regeneration (Joe et al., 2010; Heredia et al., 2013; Mathew et al., 2011; Fiore et al., 2016;

Wosczyna et al., 2019), we and others have reported dysregulated behavior of these

precursor cells in models of acute and chronic muscle damage, muscular dystrophy (MD),

neurodegenerative diseases, and aging (Acuña et al., 2014; Contreras et al., 2016;

Contreras et al., 2019c; González et al., 2017; Kopinke et al., 2017; Madaro et al., 2018;

Mahmoudi et al., 2019; Lemos et al., 2015; Lukjanenko et al., 2019; Uezumi et al., 2014a).

A common outcome of the dysregulation of these cells is fibrosing disorders, which include

non-malignant fibroproliferative diseases with high morbidity and mortality (Lemos and

Duffield, 2018; Wynn and Ramalingam, 2012). Therefore, a better knowledge of MSCs

biology and the molecular and cellular mechanisms that govern their activity might

contribute to accelerating the development of much overdue therapeutics for the treatment

of several scar-forming pathologies.

Wnt signaling pathway has a key role in many aspects of developmental biology,

tissue homeostasis, stem cells fate, organogenesis, disease (especially cancer), and

tissue fibrogenesis. Secreted Wnt ligands, which are 19 secreted lipid-modified

glycoproteins, bind to the Frizzled receptors and its co-receptor LRP5/6 on the cell surface to initiate a signaling pathway that regulates the proteostasis of cytoplasmic β-catenin. In the absence of canonical Wnt ligands, β-catenin is a target for the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway-mediated degradation (Aberle et al., 1997). Once the canonical cascade starts, the accumulation of β-catenin leads to its translocation to the nucleus, where it recognizes and binds the T-cell factor (TCF) or Lymphoid enhancer (LEF) transcription factors (TFs) but also recruits transcriptional partners and chromatin remodeling complexes which in concert regulate the expression of Tcf/Lef target genes. Tcf/Lef TFs recognize Tcf/Lef-binding elements on its target genes to regulate the expression of thousands of genes (Cadigan and Waterman, 2012; Clevers, 2006; Schuijers et al., 2014). Therefore, the Tcf/Lef transcription factors (TFs) are the final effectors of the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade in metazoans (Nusse and Clevers, 2017; Schuijers et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2008). Mammalian cells express four Tcf/Lef protein-coding genes: Tcf1 (Tcf7), Lef1, Tcf7l1 (formerly named Tcf3), and Tcf7l2 (formerly named Tcf4) (Cadigan and Waterman, 2012; van de Wetering et al., 1991). They have critical roles regulating the body plan establishment, cell fate specification, proliferation, survival, and differentiation, which are predominant features in fast and constantly renewing tissues (Clevers, 2006; Korinek et al., 1998). Accumulating evidence indicates that pathologically activated canonical Wnt signaling plays a major role in the pathogenesis of fibrosis in multiple tissues, including skin, liver, lung, kidney and the heart (Chilosi et al., 2003; Colwell et al., 2006; Cosin-Roger et al., 2019; He et al., 2009; He et al., 2010; Henderson et al., 2010; Konigsho et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Surendran et al., 2002; Trensz et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2011). Furthermore, upregulated Wnt activity during aging accounts in part for the declining regenerative potential of muscle with age and increased fibrosis (Brack et al., 2007). For example, the conditional genetic loss of β-catenin in cardiac fibroblasts lineages (Tcf21^{+} or Periostin[+]) causes the reduction of interstitial fibrosis and attenuates heart hypertrophy induced by cardiac pressure overload (Xiang et al., 2017). Mechanistically, it has been demonstrated that Wnt/β-catenin pathway regulates the expression of several ECM genes in fibroblasts from different organs (Akhmetshina et al., 2012; Hamburg-Shields et al., 2015; Xiang et al., 2017). Altogether, these studies suggest that Wnt/β-catenin signaling in stromal cells is required for pathogenic extracellular matrix gene expression and collagen deposition during fibrogenesis.

Transforming growth factor type-beta (TGF-β) regulates the differentiation program
of a variety of cell types (for review see David and Massagué, 2018; Derynck and Budi,
2019), including MSCs. TGF-β regulates MSCs and/or fibroblast activation via enhancing
their proliferation and by up-regulating ECM genes in fibrotic diseases (Ceco and McNally,
2013; Smith and Barton, 2018; Wynn and Ramalingam, 2012). Three TGF-β isoforms -
TGF-β1, TGF-β2, and TGF-β3- are expressed in mammals (Massagué, 1998). These
isoforms and their mediated signaling pathways are exacerbated in Duchenne muscular
dystrophy (DMD) patients (Bernasconi et al., 1999; Smith and Barton, 2018) and skeletal
muscles of the *mdx* mice (Acuña et al., 2014; Gosselin et al., 2004; Lemos et al., 2015),
and during fibrogenesis in several organs (Kim et al., 2018). Thus, it is recognized that
dysregulated TGF-β activity is a driver for reduced muscle regeneration, impaired tissue
function and fibrosis (Mann et al., 2011; Pessina et al., 2015; Vidal et al., 2008).
Interestingly, TGF-β inhibition improves the pathophysiology of MD (Accornero et al.,
2014; Acuña et al., 2014; Ceco and McNally, 2013; Cohn et al., 2007; Danna et al., 2014).
Mechanistically, TGF-β signaling stimulates FAPs and MSCs proliferation, extracellular
matrix (ECM) production and myofibroblast differentiation (Contreras et al., 2019b; Hinz et
al., 2007; Lemos et al., 2015; Uezumi et al., 2011). Hence, understanding the role of TGF-
β signaling in MSCs may offer novel opportunities for the development of effective anti-
fibrotic strategies and to improve tissue function.

Growing evidence suggests that the Wnt signaling pathway, previously recognized
by its essential role in development, also has crucial functions in regeneration and repair
(reviewed in Burgy and Königshoff, 2018; Cisternas et al., 2014; Degirmenci et al., 2018;
Girardi and Le Grand, 2018; Nusse and Clevers, 2017; Oliva et al., 2018; Tan and Barker,
2018). During the last decade, emerging studies suggest a functional crosstalk between
Wnt cascade and TGF-β signaling in modulating MSCs activation and fate (Biressi et al.,
2014; Burgy and Königshoff, 2018; Cosin-Roger et al., 2019; Vallée et al., 2017; Hamburg-
Shields, et al., 2015). For example, the canonical Wnt3a ligand upregulates TGF-β
signaling via Smad2 in a β-catenin-dependent mechanism, and therefore, promotes the
differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts (Carthy et al., 2011). Transgenic mice
overexpressing canonical Wnt-10b demonstrated that activation of this pathway is
sufficient to induce fibrosis *in vivo* (Akhmetshina et al., 2012). TGF-β promotes the
secretion of Wnt proteins, via transforming growth factor beta-activated kinase 1 activation,
which in turn activates the canonical Wnt cascade (Blyszczuk et al., 2016). Therefore, this

TGF-β-dependent Wnt secretion induces myofibroblasts formation and myocardial fibrosis

progression (Blyszczuk et al., 2016). Elevated canonical Wnt signaling is found in

dystrophic *mdx* muscles and controls MuSCs fate via cross-talk with TGF-β2 signaling

(Biressi et al., 2014). Also, a recent study demonstrated that TGF-β induces the production

of the canonical ligand Wnt3a, which in turn increases TGF-β secretion, establishing an

amplification circuit between TGF-β and Wnt signaling pathways in cardiac fibroblasts

(Seo et al., 2019). Therefore, these studies demonstrate novel cross-talk between TGF-β

and the canonical Wnt pathway. Whether TCF7L2 expression and function could be

modulated by TGF-β is of interest to increase our incipient understanding in CT biology,

MSCs fate, and lately their participation in the development of fibrosis as a predominant

cell source of ECM.

In the present study, first, we investigated the cell-specific expression of the four

Tcf/Lef family members in several MSC *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models. Second, we studied

the effect of TGF-β signaling on Tcf7l2 expression and function in stromal cells. By

combining *in vitro* and *in silico* analyses we demonstrate; first, that Tcf7l2 is a novel valid

TGF-β-downregulated target gene in MSCs and fibroblasts, and second, that the ubiquitin-

proteasome system (UPS) participates, both, in TCF7L2 proteostasis and TGF-β-mediated

TCF7L2 protein downregulation. Finally, the effects of TGF-β signaling on the expression

of TCF7L2 were not observed in myogenic cells, suggesting that this crosstalk is

fibroblast/MSC specific.

RESULTS

Wnt Tcf/Lef transcription factors are differentially expressed in mesenchymal

stromal cells and fibroblasts

We first determined the relative expression of the Wnt T-cell factor/Lef members in the

mesenchymal stromal/stem cell line C3H/10T1/2 and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)

(Fig. 1A). *Tcf7l1* and *Tcf7l2* were the two most highly expressed members of this family,

while *Lef1* and *Tcf7* were almost not expressed by MSCs or MEFs (Fig. 1A). Then, we

evaluated the expression of these transcription factors in *ex vivo* FACS-isolated skeletal

muscle PDGFRα-H2BEGFP$^+$ FAPs (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1A). Our results using *ex vivo* stromal

cells further corroborated the observations described above (Fig. 1C). Thus, these results

suggest that among the Wnt Tcf/Lef members, *Tcf7l1* and *Tcf7l2* but not *Lef1* or *Tcf7*,

were highly expressed in MSCs and fibroblasts. We next evaluated TCF7L2 protein

expression in skeletal muscle tissue and MSCs. The protein products detected by western

blotting in most tissues and cells are ~78 and ~58 kDa in size (Jin, 2016; Tang et al., 2008;

Weise et al., 2010). We found that these TCF7L2 protein isoforms are present in limb

muscles and MSCs, representing the E and M/S isoforms (Fig. 1D, Fig. S1B) (Contreras et

al., 2016; Jin, 2016; Weise et al., 2010). We also found that the TCF7L2 TF is

predominantly expressed in the nucleus of tissue-resident interstitial cells in the

undamaged diaphragm muscle, isolated EGFP$^+$ FAPs from the PDGFR$\alpha^{H2BEGFP}$,

mdx;PDGFR$\alpha^{H2BEGFP}$ dystrophic mice, and MEFs (Fig. 1E, Fig. S1B-D). The tissue-specific

expression of the Tcf/Lef members and their relative expression in MSCs was further

corroborated by additional data obtained from the Murine Tabula Muris database (Fig.

S1F,G) (The Tabula Muris Consortium et al., 2018). Next, we evaluated the expression of

TCF7L2 protein in transiently-activated adult FAPs (Fig. 1F) and confirmed that it is

present three days after notexin injury (Fig. 1G). Stromal fibroblasts are also found in the

heart playing supportive roles in cardiac development and repair (Farbehi et al., 2019; Fu

et al., 2018; Furtado et al., 2016; Soliman et al., 2019 preprint; Tallquist and Molkentin,

2017). Hence, we evaluated the relative expression of the Tcf/Lef family members in heart

fibroblasts. Similar to what we found for skeletal muscle FAPs, *Tcf7l1* and *Tcf7l2* are the

two most highly expressed members of this family in cardiac fibroblasts whereas *Lef1* and

Tcf7 members have very low expression levels (Fig. 1H). Similar to muscle, both E and

M/S TCF7L2 protein isoforms were also found in cardiac tissue (Fig. 1I,J). Altogether,

these results establish that specific Tcf/Lef transcription factors, namely TCF7L2, are

highly expressed in MSCs and fibroblasts as well as total skeletal muscle and cardiac

tissue.

Dynamics of TCF7L2 expression in stromal fibroblasts during regeneration and repair

Others and we have demonstrated that sub-populations of stromal MSCs co-express both

TCF7L2 and the tyrosine kinase receptor PDGFRα in development and

adulthood (Contreras et al., 2016; Contreras et al., 2019a,b; Murphy et al., 2011; Vallecillo-

García et al., 2017). As a consequence of the stromal expansion caused by injury both

TCF7L2 and PDGFRα protein levels are increased in dystrophic tissue, denervated

muscle, and after chronic barium chloride-induced damage (Contreras et al., 2016).

Interestingly, the number of TCF7L2$^+$ cells in skeletal muscles of the *mdx* mice correlated

with the extension of damage, fibrosis, and TGF-β levels (Contreras et al., 2016). Thus,

more TCF7L2$^+$ MSCs are detected in the diaphragm than in the gastrocnemius, with fewer

cells in the tibialis anterior, when compared to wild type muscles (Contreras et al., 2016).

The described correlation between the degree of damage and TCF7L2 total protein levels

was also seen in muscular dystrophy (Contreras et al., 2016; Contreras et al., 2019a).

Additionally, TCF7L2$^+$ MSCs expansion in human regenerating muscle closely associates

with regenerating myofibers *in vivo* (Mackey et al., 2017). Thus, to study whether the

expression of TCF7L2 changes during regeneration and repair, we used two common

muscle injury models previously described (Uezumi et al., 2010; Kopinke et al., 2017).

First, we aimed to study whole tissue TCF7L2 protein levels during regeneration following

glycerol-induced acute skeletal muscle damage, a model that leads to transient stromal

expansion (Contreras et al., 2019c; Kopinke et al., 2017). As expected, glycerol acute

damage caused an increase of total TCF7L2 protein levels, which transiently peak at day 3

following glycerol intramuscular injection (Fig. 2A,B). PDGFRα protein levels varied with

similar kinetics of expression as TCF7L2 after acute injury (Fig. 2A,B). We also found that

the transcriptional partner of TCF7L2, β-Catenin, increased after acute damage (Fig.

1A,B). Myosin heavy chain expression peaked at day 7 of damage (Fig. 2A,B). As

mentioned above, we previously described that TCF7L2$^+$ stromal cells and the whole

tissue expression of TCF7L2 increases in the dystrophic diaphragm (Fig. 2C,D) (Contreras

et al., 2016). Intriguingly, we found a reduction in the expression levels of TCF7L2 in the

expanded population of TCF7L2$^+$ cells in the dystrophic diaphragm, where the proportion

of TCF7L2$^+$ cells expressing low levels of TCF7L2 was larger compared to undamaged

muscle. In the latter case, TCF7L2 expression was generally high (Fig. 2C). To further

explore our initial observation in Fig. 2C, we used confocal microscopy and z-stack

reconstructions of transverse muscle sections to analyze the cellular amount of TCF7L2 in

stromal cells in the dystrophic diaphragm (Fig. 2C,E, Fig S1H). TCF7L2^{high} cells were

distributed throughout the muscle interstitium as defined using laminin-α2, consistent with

previous studies of stromal distribution (Fig. 2c, Fig. S2H) (Contreras et al., 2016;

Contreras et al., 2019c; Mathew et al., 2011; Merrell et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2011). On

the other hand, TCF7L2$^{medium/low}$-expressing cells accumulated and their expansion

corresponded to increased phosphorylation of Smad3 -an index of activated TGF-β

signaling- in interstitial cells and muscle fibers, as well as in collagen type 1-enriched

areas (Fig. 2E-H, Fig. S2H). These results suggest that the magnitude of expansion of

TCF7L2$^{medium/low}$ cells depends on the extent of tissue inflammation, TGF-β levels, damage,

and fibrosis, and hence they were abundant in the inflammatory dystrophic model.

272   TGF-β signaling downregulates the expression of the WNT TCF7L2 transcription

273   factor in FAPs, MSCs and fibroblasts

Chronic upregulation of TGF-β is found in several models of organ damage, where it is

known to regulate tissue fibrosis severity (Kim et al., 2018; Pessina et al., 2015). Activated

extracellular TGF-β not only promotes MSCs survival and proliferation but also primes

these progenitor cells to become myofibroblasts (Contreras et al., 2019b, Contreras et al.,

2019c; Cho et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Lemos et al., 2015). TCF7L2$^+$ MSCs are closely

associated with CD68$^+$ macrophages (one of the major cell sources of TGF-β in DMD)

(Bentzinger et al., 2013; Contreras et al., 2016; Juban et al., 2018; Tidball and Villalta,

2010). Our previous results suggest that damage and inflammation negatively correlate

with TCF7L2 expression in MSCs. Hence, damage-associated signaling pathways might

regulate TCF7L2 expression and function endogenously during tissue repair. Therefore,

we aimed to investigate the role of TGF-β signaling on the expression of TCF7L2 in

fibroblast-related cell types and tissue-resident stromal cells. We first used the multipotent

mesenchymal progenitor cell line C3H/10T1/2 as an *in vitro* model of MSCs, because

these cells have been extensively used to study mesenchymal biology (Braun et al., 1989;

Contreras et al., 2019c; Reznikoff et al., 1973; Riquelme-Guzman, et al., 2018; Singh et

al., 2003). TGF-β1 diminished TCF7L2 protein expression in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs in a

concentration-dependent manner, with effects already noticeable at the physiologically

relevant concentration of 0.5 ng/ml (Fig. 3A,B, Fig. S2A,B). We confirmed that C3H/10T1/2

cells respond to TGF-β1, in a concentration dependent-manner, by increasing the
expression of ECM-related proteins (fibronectin, β1-Integrin, CCN2/CTGF) and αSMA
(myofibroblasts marker), as well as reducing PDGFRα expression (Fig. 3A,B) (Contreras
et al., 2019c). Moreover, TGF-β1-mediated reduction of TCF7L2 expression began at 8 h
after stimulation, reaching a maximum at 24 and 48 h at which point the expression of
TCF7L2 was diminished by 75-80 per cent (Fig. 3C,D). Similar effects were also seen in
the embryonic fibroblast NIH-3T3 cell line (Fig. 3E,F, Fig. S2A). Taken together, these
results suggest that TGF-β downregulates TCF7L2 protein expression in a concentration-
and time-dependent manner in MSCs and fibroblasts. Then, we used *ex vivo* FAPs
isolated from undamaged limb muscles. Consistently with the above-mentioned results, we
found that TGF-β1 reduced the expression of TCF7L2 by ~50% in FAPs (Fig. 3G,H). As
expected, TGF-β increased the expression of the ECM protein fibronectin (Fig. 3G)
(Uezumi et al., 2010; Contreras et al., 2019c). Taken together, our results indicate that,
along with inducing fibroblasts activation, TGF-β also inhibits the expression of Tcf7l2 in
skeletal muscle FAPs, and in two different mesenchymal cell lines, C3H/10T1/2 and NIH-
3T3 fibroblasts.

Not only the TCF7L2 protein levels were decreased in response to TGF-β, but also
the relative levels of *Tcf7l2* mRNA diminished 2 h, 8 h and 24 h after TGF-β1 stimulation
(Fig. 3I). *Tcf7l2* gene expression was also sensitive to the inhibition of mRNA synthesis
with actinomycin D treatment, which suggests active *Tcf7l2* gene transcription and
TCF7L2 translation in MSCs (Fig. 3J, Fig. S2C-E). The expression of PDGFRα was also
very sensitive to the inhibition of mRNA synthesis with actinomycin D in MSCs (Fig. 3J,
Fig. S2C). In addition to TGF-β1, TGF-β2 and TGF-β3 are also mammalian extracellular
TGF-β isoforms with biological activity in fibroblasts (David and Massagué, 2018; Derynck
and Budi, 2019; Kim et al., 2018). Hence, we evaluated whether these cytokines impair
TCF7L2 expression in MSCs. Similar to TGF-β1, both TGF-β2 and TGF-β3 strongly
reduced TCF7L2 protein levels in MSCs (Fig. S2F,G). Thus, the three TGF-β cytokines
decrease TCF7L2 expression in mesenchymal stromal cells. Next, to investigate whether
the function of the Wnt-effector TCF7L2 was also altered by TGF-β, we determined, by
quantitative PCR, the gene expression level of several validated TCF7L2 target genes in
response to TGF-β. The expression of *Sox9*, *Axin2*, and *Tcf7l1* was repressed, while
Nfatc1, *Lef1*, and *Tcf7* expression was increased in response to TGF-β1 (Fig. S2H). We

did not find changes in *β-Catenin* or *Ccnd1* mRNA levels (Fig. S2H). Altogether, these

data suggest that TGF-β signaling reduces the expression of TCF7L2, and therefore,

alters its TF function in mesenchymal stromal cells, such as FAPs, MSCs, and fibroblasts.

Since TGF-β-induced myofibroblast differentiation of MPCs correlates with reduced

TCF7L2 expression and impaired function, we investigated the impact that differentiation

in vitro towards other lineages such as adipocytes and osteocytes might have on Tcf7l2

gene expression. We used the expression of *Adipoq* and *Runx2* as markers of effective

adipogenic and osteogenic commitment of MEFs (Fig. S3). Adipogenic differentiation did

not alter *Tcf7l2* mRNA expression (Chen et al., 2018), while increased *Tcf7l2* mRNA levels

were found after osteogenic differentiation (Fig. S3). Interestingly, the gene expression of

Tcf7l1, *Lef1* and *Tcf7* also varied in response to adipogenic or osteogenic differentiation

(Fig. S3). These data suggest that different molecular mechanisms are involved in the

regulation of *Tcf7l2* gene expression during differentiation to different cell lineages.

337 Extracellular TGF-β reduces the expression of TCF7L2 in a TGF-β receptor type-I-
338 dependent manner

Having detected a drop in the total levels of TCF7L2 TF, we next determined the extent of

TCF7L2 protein decrease in the nuclei of TGF-β-treated cells. A subcellular fractionation

method (see Materials and Methods section for details) allowed us to determine that

TCF7L2 TF was relatively abundant in the nucleus, although TCF7L2 protein was also

detected in MSC cytoplasm (Fig. S4A,B). TGF-β stimulation downregulated the expression

of TCF7L2 in both the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions (Fig. 4A, Fig. S4A,B). Next, we

further studied the association between TGF-β-mediated fibroblast activation and reduced

TCF7L2 levels at the cellular level. Using confocal microscopy, we observed that TCF7L2

nuclear expression was diminished in response to TGF-β and that TCF7L2 repression

correlated with TGF-β-induced myofibroblast phenotype in FAPs and NIH-3T3 fibroblasts

(Fig. 4B,C, Fig. S4C). In addition, TGF-β signaling did not alter TCF7L2 protein subcellular

distribution or nuclei-cytoplasm shuttle (Fig. 4D,E). Overall, these data indicate that TGF-β,

in addition to inducing the differentiation of MSCs and fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, also

represses the expression of the Wnt-effector TCF7L2. Then, to investigate the role of

TGF-β receptors in the regulation of TCF7L2 by TGF-β, we used the TGFBR1 specific

small-molecule inhibitor SB525334 (Callahan et al., 2002). TGF-βs ligand binding to the

type II receptor TGFBR2 leads to recruitment and phosphorylation of the type I receptor

ALK-5 (TGFBR1). The treatment with the TGFBR1 inhibitor completely abolished the
downregulation of TCF7L2 expression by TGF-β1 in wild-type FAPs and C3H/10T1/2
MSCs without affecting the total levels of the TCF7L2-binding partner β-catenin (Fig.
4F,G). These data suggest that TGF-β-mediated TCF7L2 downregulation requires the
activation of TGF-β receptors signaling cascade.

TGF-β requires HDACs to repress TCF7L2 gene expression

The TGF-β family acts via Smad and non-Smad signaling pathways to regulate several
cellular responses (Derynck and Budi, 2019; Zhang, 2017). To investigate the role of
Smad and non-Smad cascades in the regulation of TCF7L2 by TGF-β, we used specific
small-molecule inhibitors of Smad3, p38 MAPK, JNK, and ERK1/2 (Fig. 5A). None of these
inhibitors were able to abolish the effect of TGF-β1 on the expression of TCF7L2 (Fig.
5A,B). Although we recently found that p38 MAPK participates in TGF-β-mediated
downregulation of PDGFRα expression (Contreras et al., 2019c), we did not detect any
significant effect of the p38 MAPK inhibitor SB203580 co-treatment on TGF-β-induced
TCF7L2 downregulation (Fig. 5A,B, Fig. S5A). Interestingly, we found that SIS3 (a Smad3
inhibitor) co-treatment augmented the inhibitory effect of TGF-β on the expression of
TCF7L2 (Fig. 5A,B, Fig. S5B). Overall, these results suggest that another, not yet
identified Smad-independent pathway may participate in TGF-β-mediated downregulation
of TCF7L2 expression.

It has been suggested that TCF7L2 might be a non-histone target of histone
deacetylases (HDACs). This was based on the observation that treatment with trichostatin
A (TSA), a commonly used histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDI), reduced the protein
expression of TCF7L2 in the human colon cancer cell type HCT116 cells by 50% (Götze et
al., 2014). Since HDAC regulate transcription of many genes (Bolden et al., 2006; Greet et
al., 2015; Seto and Yoshida, 2014), we first evaluated whether TSA affects TCF7L2
protein levels in MSCs. Indeed, TSA treatment reduced TCF7L2 levels, although to a
lesser extent when compared to TGF-β (Fig. 5C,D). Based on recent findings that
demonstrated that TGFβ-mediated fibroblast activation requires HDAC-mediated
transcriptional repression (Jones et al., 2019), we examined whether inhibiting HDACs
with TSA may modify TGF-β-mediated reduction of TCF7L2 expression. we examined
whether inhibiting HDACs with TSA may alter TCF7L2 expression in response to TGF-β
signaling. Therefore, the cells were treated with TGF-β1 and/or TSA for 8 h and TCF7L2

protein levels were then evaluated by western blot. Interestingly, whereas TGF-β1
decreased TCF7L2 expression, TSA partially blocked TGF-β-mediated repression of
TCF7L2 expression (Fig. 5E,F). RNA sequencing analysis from recent work on lung
fibroblasts helped us to corroborate our results (Fig. 5G) (Jones et al., 2019). The pan
HDAC inhibitor, pracinostat, attenuates TGF-β-induced repression of Tcf7l2 gene
expression (Fig. 5G). Taken together, these data suggest that HDACs participate in TGF-
β-mediated regulation of TCF7L2 expression levels.
395

TGF-β reduces TCF7L2 protein levels by stimulating protein degradation via the
ubiquitin-proteasome system
To gain knowledge of the mechanisms involved in TGF-β-induced TCF7L2 repression, we
performed a time-course analysis with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide. Thus,
we determined that TCF7L2 protein half-life is quite short, being approximately 3.5 h in
both proliferating C3H/10T1/2 MSCs and FAPs ($T_{1/2}$=3.5 h) (Fig. 6A-C). Then, to study the
molecular mechanism governing TGF-β-mediated TCF7L2 downregulation we evaluated
the human TCF7L2 interactome using BioGRID datasets (Stark et al., 2006). Interestingly,
several protein-quality-control-related proteins were interacting partners of TCF7L2,
among them: RNF4, RNF43, RNF138, NLK, UHRF2, UBE2I, UBE2L6, USP4, UBR5, and
XIAP (Fig. 6D). Since these TCF7L2 interacting proteins belong to or are related to the
ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), we performed *in silico* analyses and identified several
top-ranked potential ubiquitination sites along the TCF7L2 protein sequence (Fig. 6E).
Next, we used MG132, a potent proteasome inhibitor that reduces the degradation of
ubiquitin-conjugated proteins (Nalepa et al., 2006), to evaluate the participation of the UPS
in the downregulation of TCFL72 by TGF-β. Mechanistically, MG132 completely blocked
TGF-β-mediated downregulation of TCF7L2 protein after 9 h of co-treatment in MSCs and
FAPs (Fig. 6F,G, Fig. S6A,B). MG132 also increased TCF7L2 basal protein levels when
used alone, which suggests that an intrinsic ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation
mechanism controls the TCF7L2 steady-state levels (Fig. 6F,G, Fig. S6). Remarkably, a
more resolving SDS-PAGE gel (12%) allowed us to identify an unknown higher molecular
weight form (~65-70 kDa) of TCF7L2 not present at steady-state, but sensitive to
proteasome-mediated proteolysis inhibition by MG132 (Fig. S6B). Finally, because our
BioGRID analysis suggests the interaction of TCF7L2 with deubiquitinating enzymes -that
remove conjugated ubiquitin from target proteins- we evaluated the amount of TCF7L2

protein in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs treated with the ubiquitin-specific protease 7 (USP7) small-
molecule inhibitor HBX 41108 (HBX) in proliferating conditions (Colland et al., 2009; Yuan
et al., 2018). The treatment with HBX diminished TCF7L2 protein levels in a time-
dependent manner (Fig. 6H). We also found that HBX-mediated TCF7L2 degradation was
sensitive to the inhibition of protein synthesis (Fig. 6H). Taken together, these results
suggest the participation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in the regulation of TCF7L2
steady-state proteostasis and TGF-β-mediated repression of TCF7L2 protein expression.
428
TCF7L2 expression and subcellular localization is not affected by TGF-β in C2C12
myoblasts
Myoblasts are known to respond to TGF-β signaling (Droguett et al., 2006; Massagué et
al., 1986; Riquelme et al., 2001; Schabort et al., 2009). For example, TGF-β1 induces a
pro-fibrotic-like phenotype of myoblasts by inducing the expression of ECM-related
proteins (Riquelme-Guzman et al., 2018). Therefore, we investigated whether TCF7L2
downregulation by TGF-β signaling was stromal cell-type-specific or whether it also
occurred in myoblasts. C2C12 myoblasts express very low levels of TCF7L2 transcription
factor and β-catenin when compared to C3H/10T1/2 MSCs (Fig. 7A,B, Fig. S7A,B). Similar
to what we found in stromal cells, TCF7L2 protein was expressed predominantly in
myoblasts' nuclei (Fig. S7A). However, TGF-β1 stimulation did not alter the expression of
TCF7L2, both at the protein and mRNA levels, in C2C12 myoblasts at the different
concentrations used for 24 or 48 h (Fig. 7C-E, Fig. S7C,D). However, myoblasts did
respond to TGF-β by increasing the expression of the two ECM components fibronectin
and the matricellular protein CCN2/CTGF (Fig. 7C,E, Fig. S7C), as previously reported
(Riquelme-Guzmán et al., 2018; Vial et al., 2008). Finally, we did not find changes in
TCF7L2 subcellular distribution in myoblasts after TGF-β treatment (Fig. 7F,G, Fig. S7E).
Thus, although TGF-β induces myoblasts activation it does not change the expression of
the TCF7L2 transcription factor, which is relatively low in these cells when compared to
stromal cells and fibroblasts. Overall, these results suggest that TGF-β-mediated
mechanisms of TCF7L2 repression may differ between stromal cells and myogenic cells.

DISCUSSION

The study of the heterogeneity of tissue-resident mesenchymal stromal populations emerges as an attractive field to underpin regeneration versus degenerative fibrosis (Mahmoudi et al., 2019; Lemos and Duffield, 2018; Lynch and Watt, 2018). Central to this idea is that different MSC populations and their lineage may have intrinsic properties that favor either permanent scar formation or regeneration via scar regression (Driskell et al., 2013; Malecova et al., 2018; Plikus et al., 2017; Rinkevich et al., 2015; Rognoni et al., 2018; Soliman et al., 2019 preprint; Furtado et al., 2016). Thus, investigating different sub-populations of fibroblasts, with particular niches and genetic programs, is important to understand how these cells and their progeny influence wound healing and tissue repair.

Here, we first reported differential gene expression of the four Tcf/Lef members in MSCs from skeletal muscle and cardiac tissue, MSCs, and MEFs. Second, using a combination of several methodologies and analyses, we established that TCF7L2 is a novel TGF-β target gene. Therefore, the gene and protein expression of TCF7L2 is strongly reduced by TGF-β signaling (Fig. 8). Conversely, the expression of several Wnt-dependent TCF7L2 target genes was impaired in response to TGF-β. Third, we showed via TCF7L2 BioGRID-based interaction network, *in silico* prediction of ubiquitination sites, and proteasome inhibition that TGF-β regulates TCF7L2 protein stability via the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Fig. 8). Mechanistically, we also described that HDACs inhibitors counteracted TGF-β-mediated repression of TCF7L2 expression in MSCs and fibroblasts (Fig. 8). Finally, we observed that TGF-β-driven TCF7L2 downregulation is MSCs specific, as this effect did not occur in TGF-β-stimulated C2C12 myoblasts. Taken together, our results suggest that the canonical Wnt pathway might participate through TCF7L2 in MSCs fate determination and the development of fibrosis, and therefore, this transcription factor emerges as a potential new target for the treatment of progressive degenerative diseases where chronic damage and fibrosis are common factors.

Like other high-mobility group box-containing proteins, Tcf/Lef act as activators or repressors of gene transcription mostly depending on their binding cofactors, activators or repressor and in a tissue- and cell-specific form (Frietze et al., 2012; Jin, 2016; Lien and Fuchs, 2014; Tang et al., 2008; Weise et al., 2010). Transcription factor 7-like 2 emerges as an interesting Tcf/Lef member to study because TCF7L2[+] MSCs are increased in fibrotic muscles from the *mdx* mice as well as in DMD patients (Contreras et al., 2016;

Pessina et al., 2015), and also in damaged muscles of the symptomatic amyotrophic

lateral sclerosis (ALS) transgenic mice hSOD[G93A] (Gonzalez et al., 2017). Interstitial

TCF7L2[+] fibroblasts also expand upon acute injury with Barium chloride in skeletal muscle

(Murphy et al., 2011). TCF7L2[+]-stromal cells peak at day 5 following injury and then return

to their basal levels once the damage is resolved (Murphy et al., 2011). In addition,

TCF7L2 is a transcriptional regulator in several cancers (Clevers, 2006; Cosin-Roger,

2019; Jin, 2016; Ravindranath et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2008; van de Wetering et al.,

2002), and represents the gene more closely associated with risk of diabetes type 2 to

date (Grant et al., 2006; Jin, 2016). Nevertheless, most of the knowledge concerning

canonical Wnt signaling comes from β-Catenin translocation to the nucleus or

dysregulated activity of upstream β-Catenin-stability regulators, but the precise

contribution of Tcf/Lef to fibrogenesis has not been addressed yet.

Even though TCF7L2 was initially described as a marker for CT fibroblasts (Kardon

et al., 2003; Mathew et al., 2011; Merrell et al., 2015), increasing evidence suggests that

CT fibroblasts shared many cell properties with FAPs (Contreras et al., 2019b; Malecova

et al., 2018; Wosczyna and Rando, 2018). A recent report demonstrates that TCF7L2

protein but not mRNA levels are increased during adipocyte differentiation, thereby

TCF7L2 plays a regulatory role in fibroblast-adipocyte fate decisions *in vitro* and *in vivo*

(Chen et al., 2018). In agreement with Chen and Cols. (2018), and contrary to what we

found for TGF-β-mediated myofibroblast differentiation where *Tcf7l2* gene expression was

repressed, we did not find *Tcf7l2* gene expression changes after adipogenic differentiation

but increased *Tcf7l2* mRNA levels after osteogenic differentiation. The results presented

above suggest that different MSC sub-populations (expressing different levels of TCF7L2)

participate in the regenerative process after damage (Malecova et al., 2018). However, the

precise role of TCF7L2 in fibroblast fate and decisions still needs to be addressed.

It is important to mention that our work may have some experimental limitations.

For example, we have not explored the role of different Tcf/Lef members on the fate of

MSCs yet. Here, we also reported that Tcf7l1 (Tcf3) is a Tcf/Lef gene with high expression

in MSCs and fibroblasts. The regulation of the expression of Tcf7l1 seems to resemble

that of Tcf7l2 but its role in FAPs and/or fibroblast biology still need to be further

addressed. Interestingly, Tcf7 and Lef1 were expressed at very low levels in resting

conditions, but they were strongly upregulated by TGF-β. This might be explained as a

compensatory regulatory mechanism of the Tcf/Lef family or as an independent response
to TGF-β stimulation. However, this question needs to be addressed. On the other hand,
Tcf7 and Lef1 are target genes of Tcf7l2 (Frietze et al., 2012; Lien et al., 2014), which may
explain why these two members are upregulated when TCF7L2 is downregulated by TGF-
β. Therefore, future studies need to address the questions raised above.

During the last two decades, the UPS has emerged as an essential component in
cell and molecular biology via regulation of the cellular proteostasis in homeostasis and
disease (Nalepa et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2018). Therefore, we showed in this study the
participation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system and deubiquitinase enzyme USP7 in the
regulation of TCF7L2 steady-state levels and stability in response to TGF-β. Since
deubiquitinases are upstream of the proteasome, their studies have drawn interest as drug
targets (Yuan et al., 2018). We described that TCF7L2 protein half-life was about 3.5 h,
and although, we did not address the ubiquitination status of TCF7L2, to our knowledge
this is the first study suggesting potential cross-modulation between TGF-β and Wnt
through deubiquitinating enzymes and the ubiquitin-proteasome system. TCF7L1 half-life
was reported to be longer than 12 h, where the DNA-binding of TCF7L1 regulates its
protein stability, and therefore, Wnt signaling (Shy et al., 2013). Since TGF-β signaling is a
known chromatin-modifying factor (Massagué, 2012), an intriguing possibility is that DNA-
binding of TCF7L2 regulates its stability and that TGF-β-induced chromatin remodeling
might negatively affect TCF7L2 stability. Post-translational modifications of TCF7L2 occur
at the phosphorylation level (Ishitani et al., 2003), acetylation (Elfert et al., 2013), and
SUMOylation (Yamamoto et al., 2003). Although recent reports demonstrated the
ubiquitination of Tcf/Lef (Han et al., 2017; Song et al., 2018, Yamada et al., 2006), the
importance and extent of ubiquitination and ubiquitin-mediated regulatory mechanisms for
Tcf/Lef TF activity are still elusive. Moreover, the complexity of different molecular events
maintaining TCF7L2 protein or mRNA levels in proliferating FAPs and/or MSCs remains
unclear. One limitation of this study is that we did not investigate the role of TCF7L2 in
TGF-β-mediated extracellular matrix remodeling and myofibroblast differentiation. As
TCF7L2 TF is known for regulating the expression of thousands of genes in a cell-type-
specific manner (Frietze et al., 2012; Schuijers et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2008; Weise et al.,
2010), we propose that TGF-β-induced TCF7L2 TF downregulation should have a massive
and profound impact on stromal cells gene expression and molecular program. Here, we
established that TGF-β impairs TCF7L2 expression and function in mesenchymal

progenitors but not in myoblasts. These results suggest that myoblasts could lack a
TCF7L2 regulatory program in response to TGF-β signaling that is present in fibroblasts.

Canonical Wnt signaling activation through Wnt-1 upregulates collagen ECM
deposition and promotes fibroblast differentiation into myofibroblast (Akhmetshina et al.,
2012). Similarly, TGF-β activates canonical Wnt cascade by inducing β-Catenin nuclear
accumulation and increasing Tcf/Lef-responsive elements activity in reporter assays, and
therefore, TGF-β mediates the reduction of the expression of the Wnt inhibitor DKK-1
(Akhmetshina et al., 2012). Also, pharmacological inhibition of Wnt/β-catenin signaling by
a small molecule, ICG-001, reduced the proliferation and TGF-β1-induced myofibroblast
actions of lung resident MSCs *in vitro* and exerted protective organ effects via attenuation
of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis *in vivo* (Cao et al., 2018). These seminal studies and
others suggest that canonical Wnt cascade is required for TGF-β-mediated fibrosis, and
vice versa (Cosin-Roger et al., 2019; Działo et al., 2018; Piersma et al., 2015). Based on
our results we suggest that TGF-β signaling plays a regulatory role in TCF7L2 expression
and function that, in mesenchymal cells, could be acting as a negative profibrotic loop.
Hence, we hypothesize that extracellular TGF-β release in the stromal space after injury
primes progenitor cells to differentiate toward TCF7L2 non-expressing myofibroblasts,
which are then refractory to the self-renewal Wnt signals. Central to this idea is the
significance of restraining an exacerbated stromal response to control scar formation.
Thus, Wnt/TCF7L2 and TGF-β signaling could interact in a cell-specific and complex
arrangement during regeneration and repair. Wnt cascade through Tcf/Lef TFs might
crosstalk with TGF-β signaling during the progression of the pathology, by regulating the
survival of MSCs, their cell fate determination, and ECM gene expression. However,
further studies are needed to fully address this question and to completely understand the
role of each Tcf/Lef TF during scar-formation.

A complex array of different signals regulates FAP activation and persistence in the
tissue milieu. TNF-α promotes FAP apoptosis, while TGF-β induces both FAP expansion
and survival following damage (Lemos et al., 2015; Uezumi et al., 2011). Conversely,
TGF-β mediates the differentiation of FAPs into myofibroblasts at the expense of
adipogenic differentiation (Contreras et al., 2019c; Uezumi et al., 2014a; Uezumi et al.,
2011). To date, the role of TNF-α signaling, or other relevant signaling pathways that
control muscle regeneration, in Tcf7l2 expression has not previously been reported.

Dynamic regulation of stromal and/or fibroblast markers following damage has been also
identified in resident stromal cardiac fibroblasts (Farbehi et al., 2019; Kanisicak et al.,
2016; Tallquist and Molkentin, 2017) and skeletal muscle (Contreras et al., 2019c;
Malecova et al., 2018; Petrilli et al., 2017 preprint; Soliman et al., 2019 preprint). We have
recently reported that the *in vivo* and *in vitro* expression of PDGFRα is strongly
downregulated by damage-associated TGF-β signaling in skeletal muscle and heart
mesenchymal stromal cells (Contreras et al., 2019c). In summary, the work of others and
our results suggest that stromal stem cell and/or progenitor markers are often
downregulated by TGF-β and probably after a complex array of niche signals during
regeneration or disease, as cells change phenotypically towards an activated ECM-
producing cell or myofibroblast. These downregulated stromal and/or fibroblast markers
include Sca-1, PDGFRα, and Tcf21 (Asli et al., 2018 preprint; Contreras et al., 2019c; Fu
et al., 2018; Kanisicak et al., 2016; Petrilli et al., 2017 preprint; Soliman et al., 2019
preprint). With our work, we added Tcf7l2 to the growing list. The *in vivo* cause-effect of
this cell-type-specific marker downregulation and the physiological extent of this
phenomenon needs to be further investigated in a cell- and tissue-specific manner.
599

In the last two decades, our understanding of the cellular and molecular
determinants of fibrosis has advanced immensely (Ceco and McNally, 2013; Kim et al.,
2018; Piersma et al., 2015; Smith and Barton, 2018). Nevertheless, the lack of successful
antifibrotic therapy to date prompts us to continue the search for new potential candidates
to fight against non-malignant proliferative disorders. Compelling evidence from this work
raises the notion that TCF7L2 should be explored as a therapeutic target in fibrotic
diseases, as Wnt β/Catenin pathway emerges as a novel and attractive cascade to target
for improving organ function during tissue fibrosis (Xiang et al., 2017). Although our
knowledge about mesenchymal stromal progenitor cell biology has exploded in the past
decade, we still lack information about the origin, self-renewal, gene regulation,
epigenetics, aging, and senescence of these remarkable cells. The identification of
signaling pathways and molecular programs that regulate stromal cell activity to promote
muscle regeneration without degenerative scar-tissue should offer great therapeutic
benefits for myopathies, fibrosis-related disorders, and aging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and study approval

Housing, husbandry and experimental protocols were conducted in strict accordance and

with the formal approval of the Animal Ethics Committee of the Pontificia Universidad

Católica de Chile (Doctoral ID protocol: 160512005) and following institutional and national

guidelines at the University of British Columbia, Canada. Mice were housed in standard

cages under 12-h light-dark cycles and fed *ad libitum* with a standard chow diet. Five-

month-old C57BL/10ScScJ male mice (hereafter referred to as wild type, WT; stock

#000476) and dystrophic C57BL/10ScSn-Dmdmdx/J mice (stock #001801) male mice

(both from Jackson Laboratories) were used in experiments for Fig. 1E, Fig. 2, and Fig.

S2H. *Pdgfra$^{tm11(EGFP)Sor}$* mice (hereafter referred to as PDGFRα^{H2BEGFP} mice) were

purchased from Jackson Laboratories (stock #007669 B6.129S4-Pdgfra$^{tm11(EGFP)Sor}$/J;

Hamilton et al., 2003). For FAP detection in *mdx* muscles, we crossed male

C57Bl/10ScSn-*mdx* mice with hemizygous female B6.129S4-Pdgfra$^{tm11(EGFP)Sor}$/J mice. We

used the F1 male *mdx*;PDGFRα^{H2BEGFP} offspring (5- to 6-month-old), and the comparisons

were performed among siblings. All surgeries were performed after the mice had been

anesthetized with 2.5–3% of isoflurane gas in pure oxygen. The mice were euthanized

with cervical dislocation at the ages indicated in each figure, and the tissues were

immediately processed, either by direct freezing in liquid nitrogen for protein and RNA

extraction or in 2-methyl butane cooled with liquid nitrogen for histological analysis as

described below.

Muscle acute injury

For acute glycerol injury, the tibialis anterior (TA) muscle of 2- to 3-monthold

PDGFRα^{H2BEGFP} mice was injected with 50 µl 50% v/v glycerol. Tissue collection was

performed as indicated in the corresponding figures after glycerol injections. Notexin

muscle damage was induced by intramuscular injection of 0.15 µg notexin snake venom

(Latoxan) into the TA muscle (Contreras et al., 2019c; Joe et al., 2010; Lemos et al.,

2015). Non-injected muscles from the contralateral limb were used as a control. Muscles

were isolated and collected for analysis at the indicated time points in the corresponding

figures.

Tissue preparation, flow cytometry and FACS

Tissue preparation for skeletal muscle and heart FAPs was performed mainly as described
before (Contreras et al., 2019c; Judson et al., 2017; Soliman et al., 2019 preprint). One-
step digestion of tissue for FAPs was performed mainly as described before with some
modifications (Judson et al., 2017; Lemos et al., 2015). All the steps were performed on
ice unless otherwise specified. Briefly, skeletal muscle from both hindlimbs (limb FAPs),
and diaphragm (diaphragm FAPs) was carefully dissected, washed with 1×PBS, cut into
small pieces with scissors until homogeneous. Collagenase D (Roche Biochemicals) 1.5
U/ml and Dispase II (Roche Biochemicals) 2.4 U/ml, in 2.5 mM CaCl2, was added to every
two hindlimbs in a total volume of 3 ml per mouse, and the preparation was placed at 37°C
for 45 min with rotation. Preparations were passed through a 70 μm, and then 40 μm cell
strainer (Becton Dickenson), and washed with FACS buffer (PBS, 2% FBS, 2 mM EDTA
pH 7.9). Resulting single cells were collected by centrifugation at 1000 g for 5–10 min. Cell
preparations were incubated with primary antibodies for 20–30 min at 4°C in FACS buffer
at ∼3×107 cells/ml.We used the following monoclonal primary antibodies: anti-CD31
(clones MEC13.3, Cat. no. 553372, Becton Dickenson; clone 390, Cat. no. CL8930F-3,
1:500, Cedarlane Laboratories), anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, Cat. no. 557659, 1:400, Becton
Dickenson), anti-CD45.1 (1:400; clone A20, Cat. no. 553775, 1:400, Becton Dickenson),
anti-CD45.2 (clone 104, Cat. no. 11-0454-85, eBiosciences), anti-Sca-1 (1:2000–1:5000;
clone D7, Cat. no. 25-5981-82, Invitrogen) and anti-α7 integrin (1:11500; Clone R2F2, Cat.
no. 67-0010-05, AbLab). For all antibodies, we performed fluorescence minus one control
by staining with appropriate isotype control antibodies (rat IgG2a kappa, PE-Cyanine7,
clone eBR2a, Cat. no. 25-4321-82, eBioscience. 1:400; mouse anti-IgG2a k, FITC, clone
G155-178, BD, Cat No: 553456; rat IgG2b kappa, APC, clone eB149/10H5, Cat. no. 17-
4031-82 – all from eBioscience). To assess viability, cells were stained with propidium
iodide (1 μg ml–1) and Hoechst 33342 (2.5 μg ml–1) and resuspended at ∼1×106 cells
ml–1 immediately before sorting or analysis. The analysis was performed on a LSRII
(Becton Dickenson) flow cytometer equipped with three lasers. Data were collected using
FacsDIVA software. Cell sorting was performed on a FACS Vantage SE (Becton
Dickenson), BD Influx flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson), or FACS Aria (Becton
Dickenson), all equipped with three lasers, using a 100-μm nozzle at 18 psi to minimize
the effects of pressure on the cells. Sorting gates were strictly defined based on isotype
control (fluorescence minus one) stains. All flow cytometry data were analyzed using
FlowJo 10.5.3v.
680

Reagents

The TGFBR1 inhibitor SB525334 (used at 5 µM; S8822, Sigma-Aldrich), p38 MAPK SB203580 inhibitor (used at 20 µM; 5633, Cell Signaling Technology), PI3K/AKT inhibitor LY294002 (used at 10 µM; 440202, Merck-Calbiochem), the inhibitor of MEK1/2/ERK1/2 kinases UO126 (used at 10 µM; 9903, Cell Signaling Technology), Smad3 inhibitor SIS3 (used at 6 µM; 1009104-85-1, Merck-Calbiochem), the inhibitor of JNK activity SB600125 (used at 20 µM; Cell Signaling Technology), trichostatin A (TSA) (used at 10 µM; T8552, Sigma-Aldrich), and the inhibitor of USP7 activity HBX 41108 (used at 10uM; 4285; Tocris) were all diluted in DMSO. DMSO alone was used as a control. Cycloheximide (C104450, Sigma-Aldrich) was diluted in ethanol and used at 30µg/ml final concentration. All the inhibitors used were added at the same time and co-incubated with TGF-β1. Other reagents, unless otherwise is indicated, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture and nuclei cytoplasmic fractionation

The murine mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) cell line C3H/10T1/2, Clone 8, and the embryonic fibroblast cell line NIH-3T3 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and grown at 37°C in 5% CO_2 in growth medium (GM): high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone) and supplemented with antibiotics (Gutiérrez et al., 2015). The murine C2C12 myoblast cell line (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), VA, USA) were cultured at 37 °C in 8% CO_2 in growth medium (GM); DMEM high glucose (Invitrogen, CA, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, UT, USA) and supplemented with antibiotics. Cells were treated with recombinant hTGF-β1 (#580702, Biolegend, USA), recombinant hTGF-β2 (#583301, Biolegend, USA), recombinant hTGF-β3 (#501123524, eBioscience, CA, USA) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 2% (v/v) FBS and penicillin/streptomycin in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at concentration and time indicated in the corresponding figure legend. Adipogenic or osteogenic differentiation of MEFs was induced for 28 days with MesenCult™ Adipogenic Differentiation Kit (Mouse) (STEMCELL Technologies, Canada) and MesenCult™ Osteogenic Stimulatory Kit (Mouse), respectively. Our cell cultures were periodically tested to ensure no mycoplasma contamination using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Cell fractionation was performed mainly according the principles of rapid, efficient and practical (REAP) method for subcellular fractionation with a few modifications (Suzuki et al., 2010). Briefly, the initially PBS-scraped and pelleted cells were resuspended, by pipetting

up/down and vortexing for 5 sec, using 900 μL of "cytoplasmic buffer": 10mM Tris pH 7.5,

3mM $MgCl_2$, 100mM $NaCl_2$, 1mM EGTA, 0.25% (v/v) Nonidet P-40. Next, 300 μL of the

initial lysate was removed and kept as "whole cell lysate". The remaining (~600 μL)

material was centrifuged for 10 sec (two times) in 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tubes and 300

μL of the supernatant was removed and kept as the "cytosolic fraction". After the remaining

supernatant was removed, the pellet (~20 μL) was resuspended with 180 μL of 1 ×

Laemmli sample buffer and designated as "nuclear fraction". 100 μL of 4 x Laemmli

sample buffer was added to both the whole cell lysate and the cytosolic fractions. Finally,

samples were sonicated and 30 μL, 30 μL and 15 μL of whole cell lysate, cytoplasmic and

nuclear fractions, respectively, were loaded and electrophoresed using sodium dodecyl

sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (see below).

FAP cell culture

FAPs were FACS sorted from either wild-type or PDGFRα[H2BEGFP/+] mice and grown in high-

glucose DMEM (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate, and 2.5

ng/ml bFGF (Invitrogen) at a density of 15,000 cell/cm^2 in a 48-well plate or 24-well plate.

Cells were isolated from undamaged muscles. For the TGF-β1 treatment experiment, after

72 h to 96 h in culture and 70–80% confluence, FAPs were stimulated with 5 ng/ml TGF-

β1 (Contreras et al., 2019b). Cells were then collected for further analyses.

Protein extraction and western blot analysis

Protein extracts from cells were obtained using RIPA 1x lysis buffer (9806, Cell Signaling,

MA, USA) plus protease/phosphatase inhibitors (#P8340/#P0044, Sigma-Aldrich, USA).

The cells were sonicated for 10 s and centrifuged at 9,000 *g*. Proteins were quantified with

the Micro BCA assay kit, following the manufacturer's instructions (Pierce, IL, USA).

Extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis in 9-10% (or 12% in Fig. S6B)

polyacrylamide gels, transferred to PDVF membranes (Millipore, CA, USA), and probed

with primary antibodies: goat anti-PDGFRα (1:1000; AF1062, R&D Systems), rabbit anti-

TCF4/TCF7L2 (C48H11) (1:1000; 2569, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-c-Jun (60A8) (1:1000;

9165, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-β-Catenin (1:2000; 9562, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-

histone 3 H3 (1:1000; 9715, Cell signaling), mouse anti-alpha smooth muscle actin

(αSMA) (1:2000; A5228, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), goat anti-CCN2/CTGF

(1;500; Cat. no. sc-14939, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-Integrin β1 (M-106)

(1:1000; sc-8978, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-fibronectin (1:2000; F3648,

Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-Myosin Skeletal Fast (1:1000; M4276, Sigma-Aldrich), rabbit

anti-myogenin (1:500; sc-576, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-GAPDH (1:5000; MAB374,

Millipore), mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:5000; T5168, Sigma-Aldrich), Then, primary antibodies

were detected with a secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase: mouse

anti-goat IgG (1:5000; 31400), goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000; 31460) and goat anti-mouse

IgG (1:5000; 31430), all from Pierce. All immunoreactions were visualized by enhanced

chemiluminescence Super Signal West Dura (34075, Pierce) or Super Signal West Femto

(34096, Pierce) by a ChemiDoc-It HR 410 imaging system (UVP). Western blot

densitometry quantification was done using Fiji

software (ImageJ version 2.0.0-rc/69/1.52n). Briefly, minimum brightness thresholds were

increased to remove background signal. Remaining bands were bracketed, plot profiles

generated, and area under histograms auto traced. Protein levels were normalized with

the levels of the loading control. Ponceau S Red Staining Solution (0.1% (w/v) Ponceau S

in 5% (v/v) acetic acid) was used.

Indirect immunofluorescence and microscopy

Cells immunofluorescence was performed as previously described (Contreras et al.,

2018). For tissue section immunofluorescence, flash-frozen muscles were sectioned at 7

μm, fixed for 15 min in 4 % paraformaldehyde, and washed in phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS). Cells and tissue sections were blocked for 30–60 min in 1 % bovine serum albumin

(BSA) plus 1 % fish gelatin in PBS, incubated overnight at 4 °C in primary antibody: rat

anti-Laminin-α2 (L0663, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-alpha smooth muscle actin (αSMA)

(1:250) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Then, washed in PBS, incubated for 1 h or at

room temperature with a secondary antibody and washed in PBS. Hoechst 33342 stain (2

mg/ml) and wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (#W11262,

Invitrogen, CA, USA) were added for 10 min in PBS before the slides were mounted,

according to the supplier's instructions. Slides were then washed in PBS and mounted with

fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO, USA). To stain F-actin Alexa Fluor 568 Phalloidin

was added to the cells according to provider's instructions for 10 minutes (#A12380,

Thermo-Fisher, MA, USA). Cells were imaged on a Nikon Eclipse C2 Si Confocal Spectral

Microscope or Nikon Eclipse Ti Confocal Microscope using Nikon NIS-Elements AR

software 4.00.00 (build 764) LO, 64 bit. Confocal images were acquired at the Unidad de

Microscopía Avanzada (UMA), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, using a Nikon

Eclipse C2 Si confocal spectral microscope. Plan-Apochromat objectives were used

(Nikon, VC 20× DIC N2 NA 0.75, 40× OIL DIC H NA 1.0, and, VC 60× OIL DIC NA 1.4).

Cytospin confocal microscopy was performed using a Nikon eclipse Ti Confocal

Microscope with a C2 laser unit. Confocal microscopy images shown in Fig. 1G, Fig. 2C

and Fig. S1G were composed using maximum-intensity projection z-stack reconstructions

(0.3 μm each stack) of 7-μm-thick transversal sections or cultured cells. Then, we

automatically analyzed the intensity of fluorescence (amount) of TCF7L2 in TCF7L2$^+$ cells

using the analyze particles plugging, and manually counted the cells using the cell counter

plugging from Fiji software (ImageJ version 2.0.0-rc/69/1.52n, NIH). Counts of 4–8

randomly chosen fields and mild and severe damage fields were averaged from four

independent experiments.

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and quantitative real-time polymerase chain

reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA from cultured cells was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA integrity was corroborated as described before

(Contreras et al., 2018). Two microgram RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using

random primers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, CA, USA). RT-qPCR was

performed in triplicate with the Eco Real-Time PCR System (Illumina, CA, USA), using

primer sets for (Supp Table 1): *Tcf7*, *Lef1*, *Tcf7l1 (Tcf3)*, *Tcf7l2 (Tcf4)*, *Ccn2/Ctgf*,

Fibronectin (Fn), Periostin, *(Postn)*, *Integrin aV (IntαV)*, *Collagen 6a1 (Col6a1)*, *Collagen*

6a3 (Col6a3), *Integrin b1 (Intβ1)*, *Adiponectin (Adipoq), c/EBP*, *Pparg.*, and the

housekeeping gene *18s* (used as a reference gene). The ΔΔCt method was used for

quantification, and mRNA levels were expressed relative to the mean level of the control

condition in each case. We analyzed and validated each RT-qPCR expected gene product

using a 2% agarose gel. Digital droplet PCR was performed as previously described

(Soliman et al., 2019 preprint). Gene expression analysis was performed using Taqman

Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems), on a 7900HT Real Time PCR system

(Applied Biosystems). Sequence information for the primers contained in the Taqman

assays is provided here: Taqman probes (Thermo Fisher Scientific): Tcf7l2 mouse

(Mm00501505_m1), Tcf7l1 mouse (Mm01188711_m1), Lef1 mouse (Mm00550265_m1),

Tcf7 mouse (Mm00493445_m1), Runx2 mouse (Hs01047973_m1), Adipoq

(Hs00605917_m1), and the housekeeping gene *Hprt* mouse (Mm03024075_m1). Data

were acquired and analyzed using SDS 2.0 and SDS RQ Manager software (Applied

Biosystems).

Computational BioGRID database, Ubiquitination, and Tabula Muris open source database

The image in Fig. 6D was generated with BioGRID based on human TCF7L2 interactome (Stark et al., 2006). The data from figures and tables in the BioGRID webpage (https://thebiogrid.org/) can be searched and sorted. For post-translational modification detection and delineation of ubiquitination at a site-specific level we used UbiSite webpage (http://csb.cse.yzu.edu.tw/UbiSite/) (Akimov et al., 2018). Murine Tabula Muris open database was used to generate the figures shown in Fig. S1E,F (The Tabula Muris Consortium et al., 2018). Data were extracted and analyzed from total tissues, diaphragm, and limb muscles.

Statistical analysis

Mean ± s.e.m. values, as well as the number of experiments performed, are indicated in each figure. All datasets were analyzed for normal distribution using the D'agostino normality test. Statistical significance of the differences between the means was evaluated using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by post-hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison test and the significance level set at $P<0.05$. A two-tailed Student's t-test was performed when two conditions were compared. Differences were considered significant with $P<0.05$. Data were collected in Microsoft Excel, and statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8 software for macOS (GraphPad).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Unidad de Microscopía Avanzada (UMA) of Pontificia Universidad

Católica de Chile for its support in image acquisition. We acknowledge the services

provided by the UC CINBIOT Animal Facility funded by the PIA CONICYT* ECM-07

Program for Associative Research, of the Chilean National Council for Science and

Technology. We also acknowledge the animal unit staff and genotyping core facility at the

Biomedical Research Centre (UBC), especially Mr Taka Murakami, Mrs Krista Ranta and

Mr Wei Yuan. We thank Mr Andy Johnson and Mr Justin Wong of UBC Flow Cytometry.

We also acknowledge Martin Arostegui from Michael Underhill's Lab (Biomedical

Research Centre, UBC) for helping with MEFs culture. We acknowledge the Hugo Olguín

Lab (Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile) for kindly providing USP7 small-molecule

inhibitor HBX 41108. For administrative assistance, we thank Ms Vanessa Morales and Ms

Vittoria Canale. We also acknowledge Mr. Eduardo Ramirez and Ms Darling Vera for their

technical support.

Funding

Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT) grant 1190144 and

Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT) grant

AFB170005 to E.B.; CONICYT Beca de Doctorado Nacional Folio 21140378 to O.C.; and

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) grant FDN-159908 to F.M.R. supported

this work. The funding agencies had no role in the design of the study, data collection,

analysis, the decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: O.C., E.B.; Methodology: O.C., H.S., M.T.; Software: O.C.; Validation:

O.C., H.S., M.T., F.M.R., E.B.; Formal analysis: O.C., H.S.; Investigation: O.C., H.S., M.T.;

Resources: O.C., F.M.R., E.B.; Data curation: O.C.; Writing - original draft: O.C.; Writing -

review & editing: O.C., H.S., M.T., F.M.R., E.B.; Visualization: O.C., H.S., M.T., F.M.R.,

E.B.; Supervision: O.C., F.M.R., E.B.; Project administration: O.C., E.B.; Funding

acquisition: O.C., E.B., F.M.R.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

References

Aberle, H., Bauer, A., Stappert, J., Kispert, A., & Kemler, R. (1997). β-catenin is a target for the ubiquitin–
proteasome pathway. *The EMBO Journal*, 16(13), 3797–3804. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.13.3797
879

880   Accornero, F., O. Kanisicak, A. Tjondrokoesoemo, A.C. Attia, E.M. McNally, and J.D. Molkentin. 2014.
881   Myofiber-specific inhibition of TGFbeta signaling protects skeletal muscle from injury and dystrophic disease
882   in mice. *Hum Mol Genet*. 23:6903-6915.
883

884   Acuña, M.J., P. Pessina, H. Olguin, D. Cabrera, C.P. Vio, M. Bader, P. Munoz-Canoves, R.A. Santos, C.
885   Cabello-Verrugio, and E. Brandan. 2014. Restoration of muscle strength in dystrophic muscle by
886   angiotensin-1-7 through inhibition of TGF-beta signalling. *Hum Mol Genet*. 23:1237-1249.
887

888   Agley, C. C., Rowlerson, A. M., Velloso, C. P., Lazarus, N. R., & Harridge, S. D. R. (2013). Human skeletal
889   muscle fibroblasts, but not myogenic cells, readily undergo adipogenic differentiation. *Journal of Cell*
890   *Science*, 126(24), 5610 LP – 5625. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.132563
891

892   Akimov, V., Barrio-Hernandez, I., Hansen, S. V. F., Hallenborg, P., Pedersen, A.-K., Bekker-Jensen, D. B.,
893   … Blagoev, B. (2018). UbiSite approach for comprehensive mapping of lysine and N-terminal ubiquitination
894   sites. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 25(7), 631–640. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-018-0084-y
895

896   Asli, N. S., Xaymardan, M., Patrick, R., Farbehi, N., Cornwell, J., Forte, E., … Harvey, R. P. (2019).
897   PDGFRα signaling in cardiac fibroblasts modulates quiescence, metabolism and self-renewal, and
898   promotes anatomical and functional repair. *BioRxiv*, 225979. https://doi.org/10.1101/225979
899

900   Burgy, O., & Königshoff, M. (2018). The WNT signaling pathways in wound healing and fibrosis. *Matrix biol*,
901   68–69, 67–80. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2018.03.017
902

903   Bernasconi, P., C. Di Blasi, M. Mora, L. Morandi, S. Galbiati, P. Confalonieri, F. Cornelio, and R.
904   Mantegazza. 1999. Transforming growth factor-beta1 and fibrosis in congenital muscular dystrophies.
905   *Neuromuscul Disord*. 9:28-33.
906

907   Biressi, S., Miyabara, E. H., Gopinath, S. D., Carlig, P. M. M., & Rando, T. A. (2014). A Wnt-TGF2 axis
908   induces a fibrogenic program in muscle stem cells from dystrophic mice. *Science Translational Medicine*.
909   https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3008411
910

Bolden, J. E., Peart, M. J., & Johnstone, R. W. (2006). Anticancer activities of histone deacetylase
inhibitors. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 5(9), 769–784. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2133

Brack, A. S., Conboy, M. J., Roy, S., Lee, M., Kuo, C. J., Keller, C., & Rando, T. A. (2007). Increased Wnt
signaling during aging alters muscle stem cell fate and increases fibrosis. *Science*.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144090

Braun, T., G. Buschhausen-Denker, E. Bober, E. Tannich, and H.H. Arnold. 1989. A novel human muscle
factor related to but distinct from MyoD1 induces myogenic conversion in 10T1/2 fibroblasts. *EMBO J*.
8:701-709.

Burgy, O., & Königshoff, M. (2018). The WNT signaling pathways in wound healing and fibrosis. *Matrix biol*,
68–69, 67–80. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2018.03.017
Callahan, J.F., J.L. Burgess, J.A. Fornwald, L.M. Gaster, J.D. Harling, F.P. Harrington, J. Heer, C. Kwon, R.
Lehr, A. Mathur, B.A. Olson, J. Weinstock, and N.J. Laping. 2002. Identification of novel inhibitors of the
transforming growth factor beta1 (TGF-beta1) type 1 receptor (ALK5). *J Med Chem*. 45:999-1001.

Cao, H., Wang, C., Chen, X., Hou, J., Xiang, Z., Shen, Y., & Han, X. (2018). Inhibition of Wnt/β-catenin
signaling suppresses myofibroblast differentiation of lung resident mesenchymal stem cells and pulmonary
fibrosis. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 13644. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28968-9

Carr, M. J., Toma, J. S., Johnston, A. P. W., Steadman, P. E., Yuzwa, S. A., Mahmud, N., … Miller, F. D.
(2019). Mesenchymal Precursor Cells in Adult Nerves Contribute to Mammalian Tissue Repair and
Regeneration. *Cell Stem Cell*, 24(2), 240-256.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.10.024

Ceco, E., and E.M. McNally. 2013. Modifying muscular dystrophy through transforming growth factor-beta.
FEBS J. 280:4198-4209.

Chen, X., Ayala, I., Shannon, C., Fourcaudot, M., Acharya, N. K., Jenkinson, C. P., … Norton, L. (2018).
The Diabetes Gene and Wnt Pathway Effector TCF7L2 Regulates Adipocyte Development and Function.
Diabetes, 67(4), 554 LP – 568. https://doi.org/10.2337/db17-0318

Chilosi, M. et al. Aberrant Wnt/beta-catenin pathway activation in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am. J.*
Pathol. 162, 1495–1502 (2003).

Cho, N., Razipour, S. E., & McCain, M. L. (2018). TGF-β1 dominates extracellular matrix rigidity for inducing
differentiation of human cardiac fibroblasts to myofibroblasts. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 243(7),
601–612. https://doi.org/10.1177/1535370218761628

Cisternas, P., Henriquez, J. P., Brandan, E., & Inestrosa, N. C. (2014). Wnt Signaling in Skeletal Muscle
Dynamics: Myogenesis, Neuromuscular Synapse and Fibrosis. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 49(1), 574–589.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-013-8540-5
953
954   Clevers, H., 2006. Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling in Development and Disease. *Cell* 127: 3, 469-480.
955
956   Cohn, R.D., C. van Erp, J.P. Habashi, A.A. Soleimani, E.C. Klein, M.T. Lisi, M. Gamradt, C.M. ap Rhys,
957   T.M.
958
959   Colland, F., Formstecher, E., Jacq, X., Reverdy, C., Planquette, C., Conrath, S., … Daviet, L. (2009). Small-
960   molecule inhibitor of USP7/HAUSP ubiquitin protease stabilizes and activates p53 in cells. *Molecular*
961   *Cancer Therapeutics*, 8(8), 2286 LP – 2295. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0097
962
963   Holm, B.L. Loeys, F. Ramirez, D.P. Judge, C.W. Ward, and H.C. Dietz. 2007. Angiotensin II type 1 receptor
964   blockade attenuates TGF-beta-induced failure of muscle regeneration in multiple myopathic states. *Nat*
965   *Med*. 13:204-210.
966
967   Colwell, A. S., Krummel, T. M., Longaker, M. T. & Lorenz, H. P. Wnt-4 expression is increased in fibroblasts
968   after TGF-beta1 stimulation and during fetal and postnatal wound repair. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg*. 117, 2297–
969   2301 (2006).
970
971   Contreras, O., D.L. Rebolledo, J.E. Oyarzun, H.C. Olguin, and E. Brandan. 2016. Connective tissue cells
972   expressing fibro/adipogenic progenitor markers increase under chronic damage: relevance in fibroblast-
973   myofibroblast differentiation and skeletal muscle fibrosis. *Cell Tissue Res*. 364:647-660.
974
975   Contreras, O., M. Villarreal, and E. Brandan. 2018. Nilotinib impairs skeletal myogenesis by increasing
976   myoblast proliferation. *Skelet Muscle* **8**, 5. doi:10.1186/s13395-018-0150-5
977
978   Contreras O, Rebolledo D, Oyarzún J and Brandan E. Fibroblasts (Tcf4) and mesenchymal progenitors
979   (PDGFRα) correspond to the same cell type and are increased in skeletal muscle dystrophy, denervation
980   and chronic damage [version 1; not peer reviewed]. F1000Research 2019a, 8:299 (poster)
981   (https://doi.org/10.7490/f1000research.1116478.1)
982
983   Contreras, O., Rossi, F. M., & Brandan, E. (2019b). Adherent muscle connective tissue fibroblasts are
984   phenotypically and biochemically equivalent to stromal fibro/adipogenic progenitors. *Matrix Biology Plus*.
985   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbplus.2019.04.003
986

987 Contreras, O., Cruz-Soca, M., Theret, M., Soliman, H., Tung, L. W., Groppa, E., Rossi, F. M. and Brandan,
988 E. (2019c). Cross-talk between TGF-β and PDGFRα signaling pathways regulates the fate of stromal fibro–
989 adipogenic progenitors. *Journal of Cell Science.* 132, 232157. https://doi.org/10.1242/JCS.232157
990

991 Cosin-Roger, J., Ortiz-Masià, M. D., & Barrachina, M. D. (2019). Macrophages as an Emerging Source of
992 Wnt Ligands: Relevance in Mucosal Integrity. *Frontiers in Immunology.* Retrieved from
993 https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02297
994

995 Danna, N.R., B.G. Beutel, K.A. Campbell, and J.A. Bosco, 3rd. 2014. Therapeutic approaches to skeletal
996 muscle repair and healing. *Sports Health.* 6:348-355.
997

998 David, C. J. and Massagué, J. (2018). Contextual determinants of TGFβ action in development, immunity
999 and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**, 419-435. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0007-0
1000

1001 Degirmenci, B., Hausmann, G., Valenta, T., & Basler, K. (2018). Chapter One - Wnt Ligands as a Part of the
1002 Stem Cell Niche in the Intestine and the Liver. In J. Larraín & G. B. T.-P. in M. B. and T. S. Olivares (Eds.),
1003 WNT Signaling in Health and Disease (Vol. 153, pp. 1–19). Academic Press.
1004 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.11.011
1005

1006 Derynck, R., & Budi, E. H. (2019). Specificity, versatility, and control of TGF-b family signaling. *Science
1007 Signaling.* https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aav5183
1008

1009 Driskell, R. R., Lichtenberger, B. M., Hoste, E., Kretzschmar, K., Simons, B. D., Charalambous, M., Ferron,
1010 S. R., Herault, Y., Pavlovic, G., Ferguson-Smith, A. C. et al. (2013). Distinct fibroblast lineages determine
1011 dermal architecture in skin development and repair. *Nature* 504, 277-281. doi:10.1038/nature12783
1012

1013 Droguett, R., Cabello-Verrugio, C., Riquelme, C., & Brandan, E. (2006). Extracellular proteoglycans modify
1014 TGF-β bio-availability attenuating its signaling during skeletal muscle differentiation. *Matrix biol.*
1015 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2006.04.004
1016

1017 Dulauroy S, Di Carlo SE, Langa F, Eberl G, Peduto L. Lineage tracing and genetic ablation of ADAM12(+)
1018 perivascular cells identify a major source of profibrotic cells during acute tissue injury. *Nature medicine.*
1019 2012;18(8):1262–70. pmid:22842476
1020

1021 Działo, E., Tkacz, K., & Błyszczuk, P. (2018). Crosstalk between the TGF-β and WNT signalling pathways
1022 during cardiac fibrogenesis. Acta Biochimica Polonica. https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2018_2635
1023

1024 Elfert, S., Weise, A., Bruser, K., Biniossek, M. L., Jägle, S., Senghaas, N., & Hecht, A. (2013). Acetylation of
1025 Human TCF4 (TCF7L2) Proteins Attenuates Inhibition by the HBP1 Repressor and Induces a
1026 Conformational   Change   in   the   TCF4::DNA   Complex.   *PLoS   ONE.*
1027 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061867

1028

1029 Farbehi, N., Patrick, R., Dorison, A., Xaymardan, M., Janbandhu, V., Wystub-Lis, K., Ho, J. W. K., Nordon,
1030 R. E. and Harvey, R. P. (2019). Single-cell expression profiling reveals dynamic flux of cardiac stromal,
1031 vascular and immune cells in health and injury. *eLife* **8**, e43882. doi:10.7554/eLife.43882

1032

1033 Fiore, D., R.N. Judson, M. Low, S. Lee, E. Zhang, C. Hopkins, P. Xu, A. Lenzi, F.M. Rossi, and D.R. Lemos.
1034 2016. Pharmacological blockage of fibro/adipogenic progenitor expansion and suppression of regenerative
1035 fibrogenesis is associated with impaired skeletal muscle regeneration. *Stem Cell Res.* 17:161-169.

1036

1037 Frietze, S., Wang, R., Yao, L., Tak, Y., Ye, Z., Gaddis, M., Witt, H., Farnham, P., and Jin, V., 2012. Cell
1038 type-specific binding patterns reveal that TCF7L2 can be tethered to the genome by association with
1039 GATA3. *Genome Biology* 13, R52.

1040

1041 Fu, X., Khalil, H., Kanisicak, O., Boyer, J. G., Vagnozzi, R. J., Maliken, B. D., … Molkentin, J. D. (2018).
1042 Specialized fibroblast differentiated states underlie scar formation in the infarcted mouse heart. *The Journal*
1043 *of Clinical Investigation*, 128(5), 2127–2143. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI98215

1044

1045 Furtado, M. B., Nim, H. T., Boyd, S. E. and Rosenthal, N. A. (2016). View from the heart: cardiac fibroblasts
1046 in development, scarring and regeneration. *Development* 143, 387-397. doi:10.1242/dev.120576

1047

1048 Girardi, F., & Le Grand, F. (2018). Chapter Five - Wnt Signaling in Skeletal Muscle Development and
1049 Regeneration. In J. Larraín & G. B. T.-P. in M. B. and T. S. Olivares (Eds.), WNT Signaling in Health and
1050 Disease   (Vol.   153,   pp.   157–179).   Academic   Press.
1051 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.11.026

1052

1053 Gonzalez, D., O. Contreras, D.L. Rebolledo, J.P. Espinoza, B. van Zundert, and E. Brandan. 2017. ALS
1054 skeletal muscle shows enhanced TGF-beta signaling, fibrosis and induction of fibro/adipogenic progenitor
1055 markers. *PLoS One.* 12: e0177649.

1056

1057   Gosselin, L. E., Williams, J. E., Deering, M., Brazeau, D., Koury, S., & Martinez, D. A. (2004). Localization
1058   and early time course of TGF-β1 mRNA expression in dystrophic muscle. Muscle and Nerve.
1059   https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.20150

1060

1061   Götze, S., Coersmeyer, M., Müller, O., & Sievers, S. (2014). Histone deacetylase inhibitors induce
1062   attenuation of Wnt signaling and TCF7L2 depletion in colorectal carcinoma cells. *International Journal of*
1063   *Oncology*, 45, 1715-1723. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2014.2550

1064

1065   Grant, S. F. A., Thorleifsson, G., Reynisdottir, I., Benediktsson, R., Manolescu, A., Sainz, J., … Stefansson,
1066   K. (2006). Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene confers risk of type 2 diabetes. *Nature*
1067   *Genetics*, 38, 320–323. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1732

1068

1069   Greer, C. B., Tanaka, Y., Kim, Y. J., Xie, P., Zhang, M. Q., Park, I.-H., & Kim, T. H. (2015). Histone
1070   Deacetylases Positively Regulate Transcription through the Elongation Machinery. *Cell Reports*, 13(7),
1071   1444–1455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.10.013

1072

1073   Gutierrez, J., C.A. Droppelmann, O. Contreras, C. Takahashi, and E. Brandan. 2015. RECK-Mediated
1074   beta1-Integrin Regulation by TGF-beta1 Is Critical for Wound Contraction in Mice. *PLoS One*. 10:
1075   e0135005.

1076

1077   Hamburg-Shields, E., DiNuoscio, G. J., Mullin, N. K., Lafyatis, R., & Atit, R. P. (2015). Sustained β-catenin
1078   activity in dermal fibroblasts promotes fibrosis by up-regulating expression of extracellular matrix protein-
1079   coding genes. The Journal of Pathology, 235(5), 686–697. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4481

1080

1081   Hamilton, T.G., R.A. Klinghoffer, P.D. Corrin, and P. Soriano. 2003. Evolutionary divergence of platelet-
1082   derived growth factor alpha receptor signaling mechanisms. *Mol Cell Biol*. 23: 4013-4025.

1083

1084   He, W., Zhang, L., Ni, A., Zhang, Z., Mirotsou, M., Mao, L., … Dzau, V. J. (2010). Exogenously
1085   administered secreted frizzled related protein 2 (Sfrp2) reduces fibrosis and improves cardiac function in a
1086   rat model of myocardial infarction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(49), 21110 LP –
1087   21115. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004708107

1088

1089 He, W., Dai, C., Li, Y., Zeng, G., Monga, S. P., & Liu, Y. (2009). Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Promotes Renal
1090 Interstitial Fibrosis. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology,* 20(4), 765 LP – 776.
1091 https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2008060566

1092

1093 Henderson, W. R., Chi, E. Y., Ye, X., Nguyen, C., Tien, Y., Zhou, B., … Kahn, M. (2010). Inhibition of
1094 Wnt/β-catenin/CREB binding protein (CBP) signaling reverses pulmonary fibrosis. *Proceedings of the*
1095 *National Academy of Sciences*, 107(32), 14309 LP – 14314. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001520107

1096

1097 Heredia, J.E., L. Mukundan, F.M. Chen, A.A. Mueller, R.C. Deo, R.M. Locksley, T.A. Rando, and A.
1098 Chawla. 2013. Type 2 innate signals stimulate fibro/adipogenic progenitors to facilitate muscle regeneration.
1099 *Cell.* 153:376-388.

1100

1101 Hinz, B., S.H. Phan, V.J. Thannickal, A. Galli, M.L. Bochaton-Piallat, and G. Gabbiani. 2007. The
1102 myofibroblast: one function, multiple origins. *Am J Pathol.* 170:1807-1816.

1103

1104 Ishitani, T., Ninomiya-Tsuji, J., & Matsumoto, K. (2003). Regulation of Lymphoid Enhancer Factor 1/T-Cell
1105 Factor by Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase-Related Nemo-Like Kinase-Dependent Phosphorylation in
1106 Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 23(4), 1379 LP-1389.
1107 https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.23.4.1379-1389.2003

1108

1109 Jin, T. (2016). Current Understanding on Role of the Wnt Signaling Pathway Effector TCF7L2 in Glucose
1110 Homeostasis. *Endocrine Reviews*, 37(3), 254–277. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2015-1146

1111

1112 Joe, A.W., L. Yi, A. Natarajan, F. Le Grand, L. So, J. Wang, M.A. Rudnicki, and F.M. Rossi. 2010. Muscle
1113 injury activates resident fibro/adipogenic progenitors that facilitate myogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol.* 12:153-163.

1114

1115 Jones, D. L., Haak, A. J., Caporarello, N., Choi, K. M., Ye, Z., Yan, H., … Tschumperlin, D. J. (2019). TGFβ-
1116 induced fibroblast activation requires persistent and targeted HDAC-mediated gene repression. *Journal of*
1117 *Cell Science*, 132(20), jcs233486. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.233486

1118

1119 Judson, R. N., Low, M., Eisner, C., & Rossi, F. M. (2017). Isolation, Culture, and Differentiation of
1120 Fibro/Adipogenic Progenitors (FAPs) from Skeletal Muscle. In Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.).
1121 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7283-8_7

1122

1123 Kanisicak, O., Khalil, H., Ivey, M. J., Karch, J., Maliken, B. D., Correll, R. N., Brody, M. J., J. Lin, S.-C.,
1124 Aronow, B. J., Tallquist, M. D. et al. (2016). Genetic lineage tracing defines myofibroblast origin and function
1125 in the injured heart. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12260. doi:10.1038/ncomms12260

1126

1127 Kardon, G., Harfe, B. D., & Tabin, C. J. (2003). A Tcf4-Positive Mesodermal Population Provides a
1128 Prepattern for Vertebrate Limb Muscle Patterning. *Developmental Cell*, 5(6), 937–944.
1129 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00360-5

1130

1131 Kim, K.K., D. Sheppard, and H.A. Chapman. 2018. TGF-beta1 Signaling and Tissue Fibrosis. *Cold Spring*
1132 *Harb Perspect Biol.* 10.

1133

1134 Konigsho, M. et al. Functional Wnt signaling is increased in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *PLoS One.* 3,
1135 e2142 (2008).

1136

1137 Kopinke, D., E.C. Roberson, and J.F. Reiter. 2017. Ciliary Hedgehog Signaling Restricts Injury-Induced
1138 Adipogenesis. *Cell.* 170:340-351 e312.

1139

1140 Korinek V, Barker N, Moerer P & van Donselaar E., 1998. Depletion of epithelial stem-cell compartments in
1141 the small intestine of mice lacking Tcf-4. *Nature genetics.* 18, 379-383.

1142

1143 Korinek, V, Barker, N., Morin, P.J., van Wichen, D., de Weger, R., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and
1144 Clevers, H., 1997. Constitutive Transcriptional Activation by a beta-catenin-Tcf complex in APC -/- Colon
1145 Carcinoma. *Science*, 275: 1784-1787

1146

1147 Lemos, D.R., F. Babaeijandaghi, M. Low, C.K. Chang, S.T. Lee, D. Fiore, R.H. Zhang, A. Natarajan, S.A.
1148 Nedospasov, and F.M. Rossi. 2015. Nilotinib reduces muscle fibrosis in chronic muscle injury by promoting
1149 TNF-mediated apoptosis of fibro/adipogenic progenitors. *Nat Med.* 21:786-794.

1150

1151 Lemos, D. R. and Duffield, J. S. (2018). Tissue-resident mesenchymal stromal cells: Implications for tissue-
1152 specific antifibrotic therapies. *Science Translational Medicine.* doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aan5174

1153

1154 Lepper, C., T.A. Partridge, and C.M. Fan. 2011. An absolute requirement for Pax7-positive satellite cells in
1155 acute injury-induced skeletal muscle regeneration. *Development.* 138:3639-3646.

1156

1157 Lien WH, Fuchs E., 2014. Wnt some lose some: transcriptional governance of stem cells by Wnt/beta-
1158 catenin signaling. *Genes Dev.* 28:1517–1532.

1159

1160 Lien, W. H., Polak, L., Lin, M., Lay, K., Zheng, D., & Fuchs, E. (2014). In vivo transcriptional governance of
1161 hair follicle stem cells by canonical Wnt regulators. *Nature Cell Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2903

1162

1163 Liu, L. et al. Wnt pathway in pulmonary fibrosis in the bleomycin mouse model. *J. Environ. Pathol. Toxicol.*
1164 Oncol. 28, 99–108 (2009).

1165

1166 Lukjanenko, L., Karaz, S., Stuelsatz, P., Rudnicki, M. A., Bentzinger, C. F., Feige, J. N., … Michaud, J.
1167 (2019). Aging Disrupts Muscle Stem Cell Function by Impairing Matricellular WISP1 Secretion from Fibro-
1168 Adipogenic Progenitors Stem Cell, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.12.014

1169

1170 Lynch, M. D. and Watt, F. M. (2018). Fibroblast heterogeneity: implications for human disease. *J. Clin.*
1171 *Investig.* 128, 26-35. doi:10.1172/JCI93555

1172

1173 Mackey, A.L., M. Magnan, B. Chazaud, and M. Kjaer. 2017. Human skeletal muscle fibroblasts stimulate in
1174 vitro myogenesis and in vivo muscle regeneration. *J Physiol.* 595:5115-5127.

1175

1176 Malecova, B., S. Gatto, U. Etxaniz, M. Passafaro, A. Cortez, C. Nicoletti, L. Giordani, A. Torcinaro, M. De
1177 Bardi, S. Bicciato, F. De Santa, L. Madaro, and P.L. Puri. 2018. Dynamics of cellular states of fibro-
1178 adipogenic progenitors during myogenesis and muscular dystrophy. *Nat Commun.* 9:3670.

1179

1180 Mahmoudi, S., Mancini, E., Xu, L., Moore, A., Jahanbani, F., Hebestreit, K., … Brunet, A. (2019).
1181 Heterogeneity in old fibroblasts is linked to variability in reprogramming and wound healing. *Nature*,
1182 574(7779), 553–558. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1658-5

1183

1184 Mann, C.J., E. Perdiguero, Y. Kharraz, S. Aguilar, P. Pessina, A.L. Serrano, and P. Munoz-Canoves. 2011.
1185 Aberrant repair and fibrosis development in skeletal muscle. *Skelet Muscle*. 1:21.

1186

1187 Masur, S., & Dewal, H. (1996). Myofibroblasts differentiate from fibroblasts when plated at low density.
1188 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
1189 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.9.4219

1190

1191 Massagué, J., Cheifetz, S., Endo, T., & Nadal-Ginard, B. (1986). Type beta transforming growth factor is an

1192 inhibitor of myogenic differentiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 83(21), 8206 LP-

1193 8210. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.83.21.8206

1194

1195 Massagué, J. (1998). TGF-beta signal transduction. *Annu Rev Biochem*.

1196 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.753

1197

1198 Massagué, J. (2012). TGFβ signalling in context. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*.

1199 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3434

1200

1201 Mathew, S.J., J.M. Hansen, A.J. Merrell, M.M. Murphy, J.A. Lawson, D.A. Hutcheson, M.S. Hansen, M.

1202 Angus-Hill, and G. Kardon. 2011. Connective tissue fibroblasts and Tcf4 regulate myogenesis.

1203 *Development*. 138: 371-384.

1204

1205 McBeath, R., Pirone, D. M., Nelson, C. M., Bhadriraju, K., & Chen, C. S. (2004). Cell shape, cytoskeletal

1206 tension, and RhoA regulate stem cell lineage commitment. Developmental *Cell*.

1207 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(04)00075-9

1208

1209 Merrell, A. J., Ellis, B. J., Fox, Z. D., Lawson, J. A., Weiss, J. A., & Kardon, G. (2015). Muscle connective

1210 tissue controls development of the diaphragm and is a source of congenital diaphragmatic hernias. *Nature*

1211 *Genetics*. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3250

1212

1213 Murphy, M.M., J.A. Lawson, S.J. Mathew, D.A. Hutcheson, and G. Kardon. 2011. Satellite cells, connective

1214 tissue fibroblasts and their interactions are crucial for muscle regeneration. *Development*. 138:3625-3637.

1215

1216 Nalepa, G., Rolfe, M., & Harper, J. W. (2006). Drug discovery in the ubiquitin-proteasome system. *Nature*

1217 *Reviews Drug Discovery*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2056

1218

1219 Nusse, R., & Clevers, H. (2017). Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling, Disease, and Emerging Therapeutic Modalities.

1220 *Cell.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.016

1221

1222 Oishi, T., Uezumi, A., Kanaji, A., Yamamoto, N., Yamaguchi, A., Yamada, H., et al. (2013). Osteogenic

1223 differentiation capacity of human skeletal muscle-derived progenitor cells. *PLoS ONE* 8:e56641. doi:

1224 10.1371/journal.pone.0056641

1225

1226 Oliva, C. A., Montecinos-Oliva, C., & Inestrosa, N. C. (2018). Chapter Three - Wnt Signaling in the Central

1227 Nervous System: New Insights in Health and Disease. In J. Larraín & G. B. T.-P. in M. B. and T. S. Olivares

1228 (Eds.), WNT Signaling in Health and Disease (Vol. 153, pp. 81–130). Academic Press.
1229 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.11.018

1230

1231 Pessina, P., Y. Kharraz, M. Jardi, S. Fukada, A.L. Serrano, E. Perdiguero, and P. Munoz-Canoves. 2015.
1232 Fibrogenic Cell Plasticity Blunts Tissue Regeneration and Aggravates Muscular Dystrophy. *Stem Cell*
1233 *Reports*. 4:1046-1060.

1234

1235 Petrilli, L. L., Spada, F., Fuoco, C., Micarelli, E., Reggio, A., Rosina, M., … Cesareni, G. (2017). Single-cell
1236 quantitative analysis of skeletal muscle cell population dynamics during regeneration and ageing. *BioRxiv*,
1237 222158. https://doi.org/10.1101/222158

1238

1239 Piersma, B., Bank, R. A., & Boersema, M. (2015). Signaling in Fibrosis: TGF-β, WNT, and YAP/TAZ
1240 Converge. *Frontiers in Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2015.00059

1241

1242 Plikus, M. V., Guerrero-Juarez, C. F., Ito, M., Li, Y. R., Dedhia, P. H., Zheng, Y., Shao, M., Gay, D. L.,
1243 Ramos, R., Hsi, T.-C. et al. (2017). Regeneration of fat cells from myofibroblasts during wound healing.
1244 *Science* 355, 748-752. doi:10.1126/science.aai8792

1245

1246 Ravindranath A, O'Connell A, Johnston PG, El-Tanani MK., 2008. The role of LEF/ TCF factors in
1247 neoplastic transformation. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 8, 38-50.

1248

1249 Reznikoff, C.A., J.S. Bertram, D.W. Brankow, and C. Heidelberger. 1973. Quantitative and qualitative
1250 studies of chemical transformation of cloned C3H mouse embryo cells sensitive to post-confluence
1251 inhibition of cell division. *Cancer Res.* 33:3239-3249.

1252

1253 Rinkevich, Y.,Walmsley, G. G., Hu, M. S., Maan, Z. N., Newman, A. M., Drukker, M., Januszyk, M.,
1254 Krampitz, G. W., Gurtner, G. C., Lorenz, H. P. et al. (2015). Identification and isolation of a dermal lineage
1255 with intrinsic fibrogenic potential. *Science* 348, aaa2151. doi:10.1126/science.aaa2151

1256

1257 Riquelme, C., Larraín, J., Schönherr, E., Henriquez, J. P., Kresse, H., & Brandan, E. (2001). Antisense
1258 Inhibition of Decorin Expression in Myoblasts Decreases Cell Responsiveness to Transforming Growth
1259 Factor α and Accelerates Skeletal Muscle Differentiation. Journal of Biological Chemistry.
1260 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M004602200

1261

Riquelme-Guzmán, C., O. Contreras, and E. Brandan. 2018. Expression of CTGF/CCN2 in response to
LPA is stimulated by fibrotic extracellular matrix via the integrin/FAK axis. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*.
314:C415-C427.

Rognoni, E., Pisco, A. O., Hiratsuka, T., Sipilä, K. H., Belmonte, J. M., Mobasseri, S. A., Philippeos, C.,

Dilão, R. and Watt, F. M. (2018). Fibroblast state switching orchestrates dermal maturation and wound

healing. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 14, e8174. doi:10.15252/msb.20178174

Sambasivan, R., R. Yao, A. Kissenpfennig, L. Van Wittenberghe, A. Paldi, B. Gayraud-Morel, H. Guenou, B.

Malissen, S. Tajbakhsh, and A. Galy. 2011. Pax7-expressing satellite cells are indispensable for adult

skeletal muscle regeneration. *Development.* 138:3647-3656.

Schabort, E. J., van der Merwe, M., Loos, B., Moore, F. P., & Niesler, C. U. (2009). TGF-β's delay skeletal

muscle progenitor cell differentiation in an isoform-independent manner. *Experimental Cell Research*, 315(3),

373–384. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.10.037

Schuijers, J., Mokry, M., Hatzis, P., Cuppen, E., and Clevers, H., 2014. Wnt-induced transcriptional

activation is exclusively mediated by TCF/LEF. *EMBO J*, 33, 146–156.

1276

1277   Seo, H.-H., Lee, S., Lee, C. Y., Lee, J., Shin, S., Song, B.-W., … Hwang, K.-C. (2019). Multipoint targeting

1278   of TGF-β/Wnt transactivation circuit with microRNA 384-5p for cardiac fibrosis. *Cell Death & Differentiation*,

1279   26(6), 1107–1123. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-018-0187-3

1280

1281   Seto, E., & Yoshida, M. (2014). Erasers of Histone Acetylation: The Histone Deacetylase Enzymes. *Cold

1282   Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 6(4). https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a018713

1283

1284   Shy, B. R., Wu, C. I., Khramtsova, G. F., Zhang, J. Y., Olopade, O. I., Goss, K. H., & Merrill, B. J. (2013).

1285   Regulation of Tcf7l1 DNA Binding and Protein Stability as Principal Mechanisms of Wnt/β-Catenin

1286   Signaling. *Cell Reports.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06.001

1287

1288   Singh, R., J.N. Artaza, W.E. Taylor, N.F. Gonzalez-Cadavid, and S. Bhasin. 2003. Androgens stimulate

1289   myogenic differentiation and inhibit adipogenesis in C3H/10T1/2 pluripotent cells through an androgen

1290   receptor-mediated pathway. *Endocrinology.* 144:5081-5088.

1291

1292   Smith, L. R. and Barton, E. R. (2018). Regulation of fibrosis in muscular dystrophy. *Matrix Biol.* 68-69, 602-

1293   615. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2018.01.014

1294

1295   Song, Y., Lee, S., Kim, J.R., Jho, E-H. (2018). Pja2 Inhibits Wnt/β-catenin Signaling by Reducing the Level

1296   of TCF/LEF1. *International Journal of Stem Cells*, 11(2), 242–247. https://doi.org/10.15283/ijsc18032

1297

1298   Soliman, H., Paylor, B., Scott, W., Lemos, D., Chang, C., Arostegui, M., … Rossi, F. (2019). Pathogenic

1299   potential of Hic1 expressing cardiac stromal progenitors. *BioRxiv*, 544403. https://doi.org/10.1101/544403

1300

1301 Stark, C. (2006). BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research*.
1302 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj109

1303

1304 Surendran, K., McCaul, S. P. & Simon, T. C. A role for Wnt-4 in renal fibrosis. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal.*
1305 *Physiol.* 282, F431–F441 (2002).

1306

1307 Suzuki, K., Bose, P., Leong-Quong, R. Y., Fujita, D. J., & Riabowol, K. (2010). REAP: A two minute cell
1308 fractionation method. *BMC Research Notes*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-3-294

1309

1310 Tang, W., Dodge, M., Gundapaneni, D., Michnoff, C., Roth, M., & Lum, L. (2008). A genome-wide RNAi screen for
1311 Wnt/β-catenin pathway components identifies unexpected roles for TCF transcription factors in cancer. *Proceedings*
1312 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(28), 9697 LP – 9702. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804709105

1313

1314 Tan, S. H., & Barker, N. (2018). Chapter Two - Wnt Signaling in Adult Epithelial Stem Cells and Cancer. In
1315 J. Larraín & G. B. T.-P. in M. B. and T. S. Olivares (Eds.), WNT Signaling in Health and Disease (Vol. 153,
1316 pp. 21–79). *Academic Press*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.11.017

1317

1318 Tallquist, M. D., & Molkentin, J. D. (2017). Redefining the identity of cardiac fibroblasts. *Nature Reviews*
1319 *Cardiology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2017.57

1320

1321 The Tabula Muris Consortium, Overall coordination, Logistical coordination, Organ collection and
1322 processing; Library preparation and sequencing, Computational data analysis, Cell type annotation, Writing
1323 group, Supplemental text writing group and Principal investigators. (2018). Single-cell transcriptomics of 20
1324 mouse organs creates a Tabula Muris. *Nature* **562**, 367-372. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0590-4

1325

1326 Trensz, F., Haroun, S., Cloutier, A., Richter, M. V. & Grenier, G. A muscle resident cell population promotes
1327 fibrosis in hindlimb skeletal muscles of mdx mice through the Wnt canonical pathway. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell*
1328 *Physiol.* 299, C939–C947 (2010).

1329

1330 Uezumi, A., S. Fukada, N. Yamamoto, M. Ikemoto-Uezumi, M. Nakatani, M. Morita, A. Yamaguchi, H.
1331 Yamada, I. Nishino, Y. Hamada, and K. Tsuchida. 2014a. Identification and characterization of
1332 PDGFRalpha+ mesenchymal progenitors in human skeletal muscle. *Cell Death Dis.* 5: e1186.

Uezumi, A., M. Ikemoto-Uezumi, and K. Tsuchida. 2014b. Roles of nonmyogenic mesenchymal progenitors in pathogenesis and regeneration of skeletal muscle. *Front Physiol.* 5:68.

Uezumi, A., S. Fukada, N. Yamamoto, S. Takeda, and K. Tsuchida. 2010. Mesenchymal progenitors distinct from satellite cells contribute to ectopic fat cell formation in skeletal muscle. *Nat Cell Biol.* 12:143-152.

Uezumi, A., T. Ito, D. Morikawa, N. Shimizu, T. Yoneda, M. Segawa, M. Yamaguchi, R. Ogawa, M.M. Matev, Y. Miyagoe-Suzuki, S. Takeda, K. Tsujikawa, K. Tsuchida, H. Yamamoto, and S. Fukada. 2011. Fibrosis and adipogenesis originate from a common mesenchymal progenitor in skeletal muscle. *Journal of Cell Science.* 124:3654-3664.

Vallecillo-García, P., M. Orgeur, S. Vom Hofe-Schneider, J. Stumm, V. Kappert, D.M. Ibrahim, S.T. Borno, S. Hayashi, F. Relaix, K. Hildebrandt, G. Sengle, M. Koch, B. Timmermann, G. Marazzi, D.A. Sassoon, D. Duprez, and S. Stricker. 2017. Odd skipped-related 1 identifies a population of embryonic fibro-adipogenic progenitors regulating myogenesis during limb development. *Nat Commun.* 8:1218.

van de Wetering, M., Oosterwegel, M., Dooijes, D., and Clevers, H.C., 1991. Identification and cloning of TCF-1, a T cell-specific transcription factor containing a sequence-specific HMG box. *EMBO J.*, 10, 123-132

van de Wetering, M., Sancho, E., Verweij, C., de Lau, W., Oving, I., Hurlstone, A., Van der Horn, K., Batlle, E., Coudreuse, D., Haramis, A-P., Tjon-Pon-Fong, M., Moerer, P., Van den Born, M., Soete, G., Pals, S., Eilers, M., Medema, R., Clevers, H., 2002. The beta-catenin/TCF4 complex imposes a crypt progenitor phenotype on colorectal cancer cells. *Cell*, 111, 241-250

Vallée, A., Lecarpentier, Y., Guillevin, R., & Vallée, J.-N. (2017). Interactions between TGF-β, canonical WNT/β-catenin pathway and PPARγ in radiation-induced fibrosis. *Oncotarget*; Vol 8, No 52. Retrieved from http://legacy.oncotarget.com/index.php?journal=oncotarget&

Vidal, B., Serrano, A. L., Tjwa, M., Suelves, M., Ardite, E., De Mori, R., … Muñoz-Cánoves, P. (2008). Fibrinogen drives dystrophic muscle fibrosis via a TGFβ/alternative macrophage activation pathway. *Genes and Development*. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.465908

Wei, J. et al. Canonical Wnt signaling induces skin fibrosis and subcutaneous lipoatrophy: a novel mouse model for scleroderma? *Arthritis Rheum.* 63, 1707–1717 (2011).

1368 Weise, A., Bruser, K., Elfert, S., Wallmen, B., Wittel, Y., Wohrle, S. and Hecht, A. (2010) Alternative splicing
1369 of Tcf7l2 transcripts generates protein variants with differential promoter-binding and transcriptional
1370 activation properties at Wnt/beta-catenin targets. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38, 1964–1981.

1371

1372 Wosczyna, M.N., A.A. Biswas, C.A. Cogswell, and D.J. Goldhamer. 2012. Multipotent progenitors resident
1373 in the skeletal muscle interstitium exhibit robust BMP-dependent osteogenic activity and mediate
1374 heterotopic ossification. *J Bone Miner Res.* 27:1004-1017.

1375

1376 Wosczyna, M.N., and T.A. Rando. 2018. A Muscle Stem Cell Support Group: Coordinated Cellular
1377 Responses in Muscle Regeneration. *Dev Cell.* 46:135-143.

1378

1379 Wosczyna, M. N., Konishi, C. T., Perez Carbajal, E. E.,Wang, T. T.,Walsh, R. A., Gan, Q.,Wagner, M.W.
1380 and Rando, T. A. (2019). Mesenchymal stromal cells are required for regeneration and homeostatic
1381 maintenance of skeletal muscle. *Cell Rep.* 27, 2029-2035.e5. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.074

1382

1383 Wynn, T. A., & Ramalingam, T. R. (2012). Mechanisms of fibrosis: Therapeutic translation for fibrotic
1384 disease. *Nature Medicine.* https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2807

1385

1386 Xiang, F. L., Fang, M., & Yutzey, K. E. (2017). Loss of β-catenin in resident cardiac fibroblasts attenuates
1387 fibrosis induced by pressure overload in mice. *Nature Communications.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-
1388 00840-w

1389

1390 Yamada, M., Ohnishi, J., Ohkawara, B., Iemura, S., Satoh, K., Hyodo-Miura, J., … Shibuya, H. (2006).
1391 NARF, an Nemo-like Kinase (NLK)-associated Ring Finger Protein Regulates the Ubiquitylation and
1392 Degradation of T Cell Factor/Lymphoid Enhancer Factor (TCF/LEF). *Journal of Biological Chemistry* ,
1393 281(30), 20749–20760. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M602089200

1394

1395 Yamamoto, H., Ihara, M., Matsuura, Y., & Kikuchi, A. (2003). Sumoylation is involved in β-catenin-
1396 dependent activation of Tcf-4. *EMBO Journal.* https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg204

1397

1398 Yuan, T., Yan, F., Ying, M., Cao, J., He, Q., Zhu, H., & Yang, B. (2018). Inhibition of Ubiquitin-Specific
1399 Proteases as a Novel Anticancer Therapeutic Strategy. *Frontiers in Pharmacology.*
1400 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.01080. Retrieved from
1401 https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fphar.2018.01080

Fig. 1. Differential expression of Tcf/Lef transcription factors in mesenchymal stromal cells and fibroblasts.

(A) *Tcf7*, *Tcf7l1*, *Tcf7l2*, and *Lef1* mRNA expression levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR in C3H/10T1/2 mesenchymal progenitors and MEFs at growing conditions. ***$P<0.001$; *$P<0.05$; n.s., not significant by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=4. (B) Skeletal muscle FAPs were FACS-isolated from the PDGFRα^{H2BEGFP} reporter mice. WGA staining labels ECM. (C) *Tcf7*, *Tcf7l1*, *Tcf7l2*, and *Lef1* mRNA expression levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR in EGFP⁺ FAPs at growing conditions. ***$P<0.001$; *$P<0.05$; n.s., not significant by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=3. (D) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 protein levels in gastrocnemius skeletal muscle. GAPDH was used as the loading control. (E) Confocal image of TCF7L2 immunofluorescence, showing its nuclei-specific subcellular localization in stromal cells. Laminin-α2 (LN-α2) (*red*) and nuclei (Hoechst in *blue*) were also stained. Scale bar: 10 μm. (F) Strategy used to isolate transit amplifying EGFP⁺ FAPs at day 3 post NTX TA injury. (G) Z-stack confocal image from cytospined EGFP⁺ FAPs showing TCF7L2 nuclear expression in PDGFRα-EGFP⁺ stromal cells. (H) *Tcf7*, *Tcf7l1*, *Tcf7l2*, and *Lef1* mRNA expression levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR in cardiac fibroblasts at growing conditions. ***$P<0.001$; n.s., not significant by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=3. (I) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 protein levels in whole heart tissue. GAPDH was used as the loading control. (J) Immunofluorescence of TCF7L2 (*magenta*) in isolated heart PDGFRα-EGFP⁺ stromal cells in growing conditions.

Fig. 2. Dynamics of TCF7L2 expression in the stromal compartment during regeneration and repair.

(A) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, B-Catenin, PDGFRα, MyHC fast, Myogenin, and GAPDH in TA skeletal musce mesenchymal progenitor cells after damage with glycerol at different time points (0, 3, 7 and 14 day). Ponceau red was used as the loading control. (B) Quantification of TCF7L2, β-Catenin, PDGFRα, MyHC fast, and Myogenin protein expression. *n*=3. (C) Z-stack confocal images showing the localization of TCF7L2+ cells in diaphragm muscle sections of adult wild-type and from the dystrophic *mdx* mice. Scale bars: 50 µm and 10 µm. (D) Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of TCF7L2 in stromal TCF7L2+ cells. ***P<0.001; by two-tailed Student's t-test. *n*=4. (F) Representative confocal image showing the localization of phosphorylated Smad3+ cells in diaphragm muscle sections of adult *mdx* mice. Scale bar: 50 µm. (G) Quantification of the percentage of p-Smad3+ cells. *n*=4. (H) Representative confocal image showing the increase of ECM collagen type 1 immunostaining in diaphragm of *mdx* when compared to wild-type mice.

Fig. 3. TGF-β signaling downregulates the expression of TCF7L2 in FAPs and mesenchymal progenitor cells.

(A) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, fibronectin, β1-Integrin, CCN2/CTGF, and αSMA expression levels in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs after treatment with different concentrations of TGF-β1 for 24 h. Tubulin was used as the loading control. (B) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. **$P<0.005$; *$P<0.05$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; $n=4$. (C,E) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, fibronectin, CCN2/CTGF, and αSMA expression levels in (C) C3H/10T1/2 mesenchymal progenitor cells and (E) NIH-3T3 fibroblasts after treatment with TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml) at different time points (0, 2, 8, 24, 48 h). Tubulin was used as the loading control. (D,F) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. ****$P<0.0001$; ***$P<0.001$; *$P<0.05$; n.s., not significant by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; $n=4$. (G) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and fibronectin expression levels after treatment with 5 ng/ml TGF-β1 (24 h) in PDGFRα-EGFP+ FAPs. Tubulin was used as the loading control. (H) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. **$P<0.005$ by two-tailed Student's t-test; $n=3$. (I) *Tcf7l2* mRNA expression levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR in C3H/10T1/2 mesenchymal progenitors after 2, 8 and 24 h of treatment with TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml). ****$P<0.0001$; **$P<0.005$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; $n=3$. (J) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and PDGFRα expression levels after treatment with actinomycin D at different time points (0, 2, 3, 4, 7 h). GAPDH was used as the loading control.

Fig. 4. Extracellular TGF-β reduces the expression of TCF7L2 through TGFβR1.

(A) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, β1-Integrin, tubulin, GAPDH, and c-Jun expression levels in control and TGF-β1-treated C3H/10T1/2 cells. H: Whole cell lysate; C: Cytoplasmic lysate; N: Nuclei lysate. (B) Z-stack confocal images showing localization of TCF7L2 (*green*) and αSMA (*red*) in control and TGF-β1-treated (36 h) C3H/10T1/2 MSCs. Scale bars: 50 μm. (C) Quantification of the TCF7L2 fluorescence intensity in NIH-3T3 and FAPs. (a.u.: arbitrary units). Each dot represents a single cell quantified were a ROI area was previously defined. ***P<0.001; by two-tailed Student's t-test. *n*=3. (D) Z-stack confocal images showing localization of TCF7L2 (*green*) and αSMA (*red*) in control and TGF-β1-treated (24 h) C3H/10T1/2 MSCs. Scale bars: 50 μm. (E) Label-distribution graph showing the fluorescence intensity of TCF7L2 and Hoechst along the cell axis as shown in (D) with the *white* line. Distance is shown in pixels. Dotted lines show the nucleus-cytoplasm boundary. (a.u.: arbitrary units). (F) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and β1-Integrin expression levels in wild-type FAPs co-treated for 24 h with TGFBR1 inhibitor SB525334 (5 μM) and TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml). Tubulin was used as the loading control. (G) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, β-Catenin, β1-Integrin, and CCN2/CTGF expression levels in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs co-treated for 24 h or 48 h with TGFBR1 inhibitor SB525334 (5 μM) and TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml). Tubulin was used as the loading control.

Fig. 5. Histone deacetylases participate in TGF-β-mediated repression of TCF7L2 expression.

(A) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, β1-Integrin, and CCN2/CTGF protein levels after TGF-β1 and SB525334, SIS3, SB203580, SP600125, and UO126 pharmacological co-treatments. Tubulin was used as the loading control. (B) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. ****$P<0.0001$; ***$P<0.001$; **$P<0.005$; *$P<0.05$; by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=5. (C) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and PDGFRα protein levels after TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml) and Trichostatin A (TSA) (10 μM) treatments (8 h). Tubulin was used as the loading control. (D) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. ***$P<0.001$; **$P<0.005$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=3. (E) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and PDGFRα protein levels after TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml) and Trichostatin A (TSA) (10 μM) co-treatments (8 h). Tubulin was used as the loading control. (F) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein expression. ****$P<0.0001$; **$P<0.005$; *$P<0.05$ by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; n=3. (G) Heat map showing expression changes of *Tcf7l2* that are significantly repressed by TGFβ and reversed by pracinostat. Known pro-fibrotic mediators that are increased significantly by TGFβ and reversed by pracinostat are also shown. Each row is normalized to itself. Each column, per treatment condition, represents an individual IPF lung fibroblast donor (n=3).

Fig. 6. TGF-β signaling impairs TCF7L2 protein stability via the ubiquitin proteasome system in mesenchymal stromal cells.

(A) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 protein levels after treatment with cycloheximide (CHX) (30 μg/ml) at different time points (0, 3, 6, 9, 12 h) in C3H/10T1/2 mesenchymal progenitor cells. Ponceau was used as the loading control. (B) Quantification of three independent experiments showing TCF7L2 protein levels (as a percentage [%]) after CHX treatment. (C) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 protein levels after treatment with cycloheximide (CHX) (30 μg/ml) at different time points (0, 3, 6, 9 h) in wild-type skeletal muscle FAPs. (D) (A) BioGRID interactome analysis of the human TCF7L2 protein. Black circles mark the protein-protein interaction between TCF7L2 and RNF4, RNF43, RNF138, NLK, UHRF2, UBE2I, UBE2L6, USP4, UBR5, and XIAP. (E) *In silico* prediction of TCF7L2 ubiquitination sites, showing the aminoacidic sequence of human TCF7L2 protein variant 1. Potential TCF7L2-ubiquitinated lysine residues were ranked and are shown in *blue*. http://www.ubpred.org; http://bdmpub.biocuckoo.org/. (F) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2 and CCN2/CTGF protein levels in TGF-β1 (1 ng/ml) and MG132 (15 μM) treatments in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs for 9 h. Tubulin was used as the loading control. (G) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein levels. ***P<0.001; **P<0.005; *P<0.05 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test; *n*=6. (H) Representative western blot analysis from three independent experiments that evaluate total levels of TCF7L2 following USP7 small-molecule inhibitor HBX 41108 (10μM) and CHX (30 μg/ml) treatments at different time points (0, 4, 6, 8 h) in C3H/10T1/2 MSCs. Tubulin was used as the loading control.

Fig. 7. The expression of TCF7L2 remains unchanged in response to TGF-β in myoblasts.

(A) Representative western blot analysis from three independent experiments, evaluating TCF7L2 and β-Catenin protein levels in proliferating C3H/10T1/2 MSCs and C2C12 myoblasts. GAPDH was used as the loading control. (B) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein levels. **$P<0.005$ by two-tailed Student's t-test. n=3. (C) Representative western blot analysis showing TCF7L2, fibronectin, and CCN2/CTGF protein levels after treatment with different concentrations of TGF-β1 for 24 h. (D) Quantification of TCF7L2 protein levels. n.s, not significant by two-tailed Student's t-test. n=3. (E) *Tcf7l2* and *CCN2/CTGF* mRNA expression levels were analyzed by quantitative PCR in C2C12 myoblasts after 8 h of treatment with TGF-β1 (5 ng/ml). ***$P<0.001$; n.s, not significant by two-tailed Student's t-test. n=3. (F) Representative Z-stack confocal images showing localization of TCF7L2 (*green*) and F-actin (*red*) in control and TGF-β1-treated (24 h) C2C12 myoblasts. Scale bar: 50 μm. (G) Quantification of the TCF7L2 fluorescence intensity in C2C12 myoblasts. Each dot represents a single cell quantified were a ROI area was previously defined. n.s; not significant by two-tailed Student's t-test. n=3. (a.u.: arbitrary units).

Fig. 8. Model of TGF-β regulation of fibroblast cell fate transition and the Wnt TCF7L2 gene expression regulatory network.

MSCs and fibroblasts express high levels of TCF7L2 in resting state, but low levels when differentiated and/or post-activation through extracellular TGF-β. *Tcf7l2* gene expression is active in unstimulated MSCs, and therefore, it continuously produces TCF7L2 protein. TCF7L2 transcription factor mainly localizes to the cell nucleus where recognized its target genes to activate or repress their gene expression depending on the cell context and transcriptional partners. The ubiquitin-proteasome system constantly regulates TCF7L2 proteostasis. Following tissue damage, TGF-β ligands released from macrophages (paracrine) and FAPs (autocrine) bind to TGF-β receptors (TGFBR1, TGFBR2 and TGFBR3) and activate TGFBR-dependent signaling cascades downregulating TCF7L2 expression and impairing TCF7L2-dependent gene expression. Mechanistically, inhibition of the 26S proteasome activity with MG132 blocks TGF-β-mediated TCF7L2 protein degradation. Also, HDACs inhibitors attenuated TGF-β-mediated TCF7L2 expression repression.