Role of the transcriptional regulator SP140 in resistance to bacterial infections via
repression of type I interferons

Daisy X. Ji[1*], Kristen C. Witt[1*], Dmitri I. Kotov[1,2], Shally R. Margolis[1], Alexander Louie[1],
Victoria Chevée[1], Katherine J. Chen[1,2], Moritz Gaidt[1,2], Harmandeep S. Dhaliwal[3], Angus Y.
Lee[3], Stephen Nishimura[4], Dario S. Zamboni[5], Igor Kramnik[6], Daniel A. Portnoy[1,7,8], K. Heran
Darwin[9], Russell E. Vance[1,2,3**]

[1] Division of Immunology and Pathogenesis, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology,
University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

[2] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

[3] Cancer Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

[4] Department of Pathology, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143 USA

[5] Department of Cell Biology, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão
Preto, São Paulo, Brazil.

[6] The National Emerging Infectious Diseases Laboratory, Department of Medicine (Pulmonary
Center), and Department of Microbiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA
02118 USA.

[7] Division of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Structural Biology, Department of Molecular and
Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

[8] Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
USA

[9] Department of Microbiology, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York,
NY, USA

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

** e-mail: rvance@berkeley.edu

Impact Statement: Repression of type I interferons by SP140 is essential for resistance to
Legionella pneumophila and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

Abstract

Type I interferons (IFNs) are essential for anti-viral immunity, but often impair protective

immune responses during bacterial infections. An important question is how type I IFNs are

strongly induced during viral infections, and yet are appropriately restrained during bacterial

infections. The *Super susceptibility to tuberculosis 1* (*Sst1*) locus in mice confers resistance to

diverse bacterial infections. Here we provide evidence that *Sp140* is a gene encoded within the

Sst1 locus that represses type I IFN transcription during bacterial infections. We generated

Sp140$^{-/-}$ mice and find they are susceptible to infection by *Legionella pneumophila* and

Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Susceptibility of *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice to bacterial infection was rescued

by crosses to mice lacking the type I IFN receptor (*Ifnar*$^{-/-}$). Our results implicate *Sp140* as an

important negative regulator of type I IFNs that is essential for resistance to bacterial infections.

Introduction

Type I interferons (IFNs) comprise a group of cytokines, including interferon-β and multiple interferon-α isoforms, that are essential for immune defense against most viruses (Stetson & Medzhitov, 2006). Type I IFNs signal through a cell surface receptor, the interferon alpha and beta receptor (IFNAR), to induce an 'anti-viral state' that is characterized by the transcriptional induction of hundreds of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) (Schneider et al., 2014). Many ISGs encode proteins with direct anti-viral activities. Type I IFNs also promote anti-viral responses by cytotoxic T cells and Natural Killer cells. Accordingly, $Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice are highly susceptible to most viral infections.

Many ISGs are also induced by IFN-γ (also called type II IFN). However, type I and type II IFNs appear to be specialized for the control of different classes of pathogens (Crisler & Lenz, 2018). Whereas type I IFNs are predominantly anti-viral, the ISGs induced by IFN-γ appears to be especially important for the control of diverse intracellular pathogens, including parasites and bacteria. In contrast, type I IFNs play complex roles during bacterial infections (Boxx & Cheng, 2016; Donovan et al., 2017; McNab et al., 2015; Moreira-Teixeira et al., 2018). Some ISGs induced by type I IFN, most notably certain guanylate binding proteins (GBPs), have anti-bacterial activities (Pilla-Moffett et al., 2016). At the same time, other proteins induced by type I IFNs, including interleukin-10 (IL-10) and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA), impair anti-bacterial immunity (Boxx & Cheng, 2016; Ji et al., 2019; Mayer-Barber et al., 2014). As a result, the net effect of type I IFN is often to increase susceptibility to bacterial infections. For example, $Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice exhibit enhanced resistance to $Listeria\ monocytogenes$ (Auerbuch et al., 2004; Carrero et al., 2004; O'Connell et al., 2004) and $Mycobacterium\ tuberculosis$ (Donovan et al., 2017; Dorhoi et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2019; Mayer-Barber et al., 2014; Moreira-Teixeira et al., 2018). Multiple mechanisms appear to explain resistance of $Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice to $L.\ monocytogenes$, including a negative effect of type I IFNs on protective IFN-γ signaling (Rayamajhi et al., 2010). Likewise, diverse mechanisms underlie the negative effects of type I IFNs during $M.$ $tuberculosis$ infection, including alterations of eicosanoid production (Mayer-Barber et al., 2014) and the induction of IL-1Ra (Ji et al., 2019), both of which impair protective IL-1 responses.

As an experimental model for dissecting the mechanisms by which inappropriate type I IFN responses are restrained during bacterial infections, we have compared mice harboring different haplotypes of the $Super\ susceptibility\ to\ tuberculosis\ 1$ ($Sst1$) locus (Pan et al., 2005; Pichugin et al., 2009). The $Sst1$ locus encompasses about 10M base pairs of mouse chromosome 1, a region that contains approximately 50 genes. Mice harboring the susceptible (S) haplotype of $Sst1$, derived from the C3H/HeBFeJ mouse strain, succumb relatively rapidly to $M.\ tuberculosis$ infection as compared to isogenic mice harboring the resistant (R) $Sst1$ haplotype (derived from

C57BL/6 mice). Likewise, *Sst1^S* mice also exhibit enhanced susceptibility to *Listeria*

monocytogenes (Boyartchuk et al., 2004; Pan et al., 2005) and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (He et al.,

2013). The susceptibility of *Sst1^S* mice to *M. tuberculosis* was reversed by crossing to *Ifnar^{−/−}*

mice (He et al., 2013; Ji et al., 2019), thereby demonstrating the causative role of type I IFNs in

driving the susceptibility phenotype. Although multiple type I IFN-induced genes are likely

responsible for the detrimental effects of type I IFNs during bacterial infections, heterozygous

deficiency of a single type I IFN-induced gene, *Il1rn* (encoding IL-1 receptor antagonist), was

sufficient to almost entirely reverse the susceptibility of *Sst1^S* mice to *M. tuberculosis* (Ji et al.,

2019).

The *Sst1^R* haplotype is dominant over the *Sst1^S* haplotype, suggesting that *Sst1^R* likely

encodes a protective factor that is absent from *Sst1^S* mice (Pan et al., 2005; Pichugin et al., 2009).

By comparing gene expression in *Sst1^R* versus *Sst1^S* mice, *Sp110* (also known as *Ipr1*) was

discovered as an *Sst1*-encoded gene that is transcribed selectively in *Sst1^R* mice (Pan et al.,

2005)*.* Transgenic expression of *Sp110* in *Sst1^S* mice partially restored resistance to *M.*

tuberculosis and *L. monocytogenes* (Pan et al., 2005). However, the causative role of *Sp110* in

conferring resistance to bacterial infections was not confirmed by the generation of *Sp110*-

deficient B6 mice. Null mutations of human *SP110* are associated with VODI (hepatic veno-

occlusive disease with immunodeficiency syndrome, OMIM 235550), but not mycobacterial

diseases (Roscioli et al., 2006). Some studies have found polymorphisms in *SP110* to be

associated with susceptibility to TB, though not consistently so across different ethnic groups

(Chang et al., 2018; Fox et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2012; Png et al., 2012; Thye et al., 2006; Tosh et

al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2017).

In humans and mice, SP110 is a part of the Speckled Protein (SP) family of nuclear

proteins, consisting of SP100, SP110 and SP140 (and SP140L in humans only) (Fraschilla &

Jeffrey, 2020). The SP family members also exhibit a high degree of similarity to AIRE, a

transcriptional regulator that promotes tolerance to self-antigens by inducing their expression in

thymic epithelial cells (Anderson & Su, 2016; Fraschilla & Jeffrey, 2020; Perniola & Musco,

2014). All members of the SP-AIRE family in both mice and humans have an N-terminal SP100

domain that appears to function as a homotypic protein-protein interaction domain (Fraschilla &

Jeffrey, 2020; Huoh et al., 2020). The SP100 domain is closely related to the Caspase Activation

and Recruitment Domain (CARD), though SP family members are not believed to activate

caspases. SP-AIRE proteins also contain a DNA-binding SAND domain (Bottomley et al.,

2001). Certain SP isoforms, including all human full-length SP family members and mouse

SP140, also include a plant homeobox domain (PHD) and a bromodomain (BRD) (Fraschilla &

Jeffrey, 2020). The genes encoding SP family proteins are linked in a small cluster in both mouse

and human genomes and are inducible by IFN-γ in a variety of cell lines. The mouse

Sp100/110/140 gene cluster is adjacent to a highly repetitive 'homogenously staining region'

(HSR) of chromosome 1 that remains poorly assembled in the most recent genome assembly due

to the presence of as many as 40 near-identical repeats of *Sp110*-like sequences (Pan et al., 2005;

Weichenhan et al., 2001). Most of these repeated *Sp110*-like sequences in the HSR appear to be

either incomplete copies of *Sp110* or pseudogenes that are not believed to be translated, but their

presence has nevertheless complicated genetic targeting and analysis of the SP gene family.

With the advent of CRISPR–Cas9-based methods (Wang et al., 2013), we were able to

generate $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice on the B6 background. Surprisingly, we found that $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice do not

phenocopy the susceptibility of $Sst1^S$ mice to *M. tuberculosis* infection *in vivo*. Upon analysis of

additional candidate genes in the *Sst1* locus, we found that B6.$Sst1^S$ mice also lack expression of

Sp140. To test whether loss of *Sp140* might account for the susceptibility of $Sst1^S$ mice to

bacterial infections, we generated $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice. We found these mice are as susceptible as

B6.$Sst1^S$ mice to the intracellular bacterial pathogens *M. tuberculosis* and *Legionella*

pneumophila. Similar to B6.$Sst1^S$ mice, $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice exhibit an exacerbated type I IFN

response after bacterial infection, and the susceptibility of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice is rescued by crosses to

$Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice. Our results suggest that loss of *Sp140* explains the susceptibility to bacterial

infections associated with the $Sst1^S$ haplotype. These data further suggest that SP140 is a novel

negative regulator of type I IFN responses that is essential for protection against intracellular

bacterial infections.

Results

***Sp110*$^{-/-}$ mice are not susceptible to *M. tuberculosis*.** Loss of *Sp110* expression was proposed to

account for the susceptibility of mice carrying the $Sst1^S$ haplotype to bacterial infections (Pan et

al., 2005). We first confirmed that bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) from B6.$Sst1^S$

mice lack expression of *Sp110* protein (Figure 1A). To determine whether loss of *Sp110* confers

susceptibility to bacterial infections, we used CRISPR–Cas9 to target exon 3 of *Sp110* to

generate $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice on the C57BL/6 (B6) background (Figure 1– figure supplement 1). We

generated three independent $Sp110^{-/-}$ lines, denoted as lines 61, 65 and 71 (Figure 1A, Figure 1–

figure supplement 1). All three lines lacked expression of *Sp110*, as verified using three different

antibodies (Figure 1A). $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice are viable and are born at normal Mendelian ratios and

litter sizes. When aerosol infected with a low-dose of *M. tuberculosis*, $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice did not

phenocopy the susceptibility observed in B6.$Sst1^S$ mice (Figure 1B-D). At day 25 post-infection,

$Sp110^{-/-}$ lungs resembled those of wild-type B6 mice (Figure 1B), and harbored fewer bacteria

than the lungs of B6.*Sst1^S* mice, similar to both the B6 and *Sp110$^{+/-}$* littermates (Figure 1C).

Likewise, the survival of infected *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mice was indistinguishable from B6 mice, and mice

of both genotypes survived considerably longer than the B6.*Sst1^S* mice (Figure 1D). Thus,

despite the absence of *Sp110* from *Sst1^S* mice, our results indicate that the loss of *Sp110* is not

sufficient to replicate the susceptibility to *M. tuberculosis* associated with the *Sst1^S* locus.

_Sp140$^{-/-}$_ mice are susceptible to bacterial infections. Given that *Sp110* deficiency did not

phenocopy the susceptibility of *Sst1^S* mice, we asked whether any other genes found within the

Sst1 locus differ in expression between B6 and B6.*Sst1^S* BMMs. We noted that a homolog of

Sp110 called *Sp140* was also reduced in expression in B6.*Sst1^S* cells compared to B6 cells

(Figure 2A). Immunoblot confirmed that IFN-γ treated BMMs from B6.*Sst1^S* mice do not

produce SP140 protein (Figure 2B). We therefore used CRISPR–Cas9 to generate two

independent lines of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice on a pure B6 background (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1A-

C). Our analysis focused primarily on line 1, which we found lacked expression of SP140

protein (Figure 2B) but retains the production of SP110 protein (Figure 2 – figure supplement

1D). Like *Sp110$^{-/-}$* and *Sst1^S* mice, *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice are viable, fertile and born at the expected

Mendelian ratios. When infected with *M. tuberculosis*, however, *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice exhibited high

bacterial burdens in their lungs, similar to B6.*Sst1^S* mice and significantly greater than B6,

Sp110$^{-/-}$ or *Sp140$^{+/-}$* littermate mice at day 28 post-infection (Figure 2C, Figure 2 – figure

supplement 1E).

We performed hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of lung sections from B6, *Sp140$^{-/-}$*,

Sp110$^{-/-}$, and B6.*Sst1^S* mice 25 days after *M. tuberculosis* infection, and qualitatively assessed

macrophage, lymphoid, and granulocyte infiltration as well as the extent of necrosis (Figure 2D,

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2). We found that *Sp140$^{-/-}$* and B6.*Sst1^S* lungs showed moderately

increased granulocyte infiltration by 25 days post infection, with apparently more severe

infiltration in *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice than in B6.*Sst1^S* mice, though this difference is not statistically

significant. We could also discern some areas of necrosis in the *Sp140$^{-/-}$* lungs, although our

samples were taken at an early timepoint that precedes the formation of hypoxic lesions observed

in B6.*Sst1^S* lungs upon *M. tuberculosis* infection (Harper et al., 2012). The increased

susceptibility of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice was accompanied by significant weight loss and shortened

survival upon infection with *M. tuberculosis*, again phenocopying the B6.*Sst1^S* mice (Figure 2E-

F). Both of the independent lines of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice were similarly susceptible to *M. tuberculosis*

(Figure 2 – figure supplement 1E). We also found that both B6.*Sst1^S* and *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice were

more susceptible to the intracellular Gram-negative bacterium *Legionella pneumophila*, as

compared to the B6 and *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mice (Figure 2G).

An important caveat to the use of CRIPSR–Cas9 to generate *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice is the

presence of an unknown number of nearly identical *Sp140*-like genes in the *Sst1* locus and non-
localized chromosome 1 genome contigs (that presumably map to the adjacent HSR that remains
unassembled by the mouse genome project). It is possible that the guide RNA we used to disrupt
exon 3 of *Sp140* also disrupted these uncharacterized *Sp140*-like genes, though it is not clear if
these uncharacterized *Sp140*-like genes give rise to functional proteins. Nevertheless, to identify
potential mutated off-target genes in our *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice, we amplified exons 2/3 of *Sp140* and
any potential paralogs from genomic DNA and from cDNA derived from *M. tuberculosis*-
infected lungs, and subjected the amplicons to deep sequencing (Figure 2 – figure supplement 3).
Although we found evidence for several edited *Sp140*-like exons in our *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice, only one
of these edited off-target genes was found to be detectably expressed from analysis of RNA-seq
data from *M. tuberculosis*-infected lungs, and this off-target appeared to be edited in only one of
our founder lines (Figure 2 – figure supplement 3B). Thus, mutation of *Sp140* itself is the most
parsimonious explanation for susceptibility of our *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice, a conclusion further supported
by complementation of the mutation in BMMs (see below, Figure 2 – figure supplement 4).
Collectively our results strongly suggest that the lack of expression of *Sp140* in B6.*Sst1*^{S} mice
explains the broad susceptibility of these mice to bacterial infections.

Enhanced type I IFN responses in *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and B6.*Sst1*^{S} mice. We and others previously
reported that TNFα induces higher levels of type I IFN-induced genes in *Sst1*^{S} BMMs as
compared to B6 BMMs (Bhattacharya et al., 2021; Ji et al., 2019). We also observed higher
levels of *Ifnb* transcripts in the lungs of B6.*Sst1*^{S} mice infected with *M. tuberculosis*, as
compared to infected B6 mice (Ji et al., 2019). Similar to B6.*Sst1*^{S} BMMs, *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ BMMs also
exhibited elevated expression of *Ifnb* and interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) when stimulated
with TNFα (Figure 2 – figure supplement 4A). Importantly, we were also able to complement
the enhanced IFN phenotype of *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ BMMs by transducing *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ BMMs with a
retrovirus expressing a *Sp140* cDNA driven by a minimal CMV promoter (Figure 2 – figure
supplement 4B). Repression of *Ifnb* by overexpression of *Sp140* in *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ BMMs was
selective, as *Sp140* overexpression did not repress the transcription of *Tnfa* induced by TNFα
(Figure 2 – figure supplement 4B).
In addition to enhanced type I IFN responses to TNFα, we also observed that both
B6.*Sst1*^{S} and *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ BMMs show increased cell death *in vitro* upon stimulation with polyI:C
compared to B6 BMMs, as measured by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release (Figure 3 – figure
supplement 1). This result is analogous to previous findings that B6.*Sst1*^{S} BMMs die upon
sustained TNF stimulation (Brownhill et al., 2020). The enhanced polyI:C-induced LDH release
in both *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and B6.*Sst1*^{S} BMMs was blunted upon genetic deletion of *Ifnar* (Figure 3 –

figure supplement 1), consistent with type I IFNs playing an important role in the cell death
phenotype.
When infected with *M. tuberculosis*, the lungs of $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ mice also
exhibited higher levels of *Ifnb* transcript as compared to B6, $Sp110^{-/-}$ and $Sp140^{+/-}$ littermate
mice (Figure 3A). The lungs of $Sp140^{-/-}$ exhibited moderately increased levels of *Ifnb* transcript
compared to B6.$Sst1^S$ during *M. tuberculosis* infection, which could be a result of partial low
expression of *Sp140* in B6.$Sst1^S$ mice, or possibly microbiota differences between the strains.
Since we do not observe significant differences in weight, survival, or CFU between $Sp140^{-/-}$
and B6.$Sst1^S$ mice upon *M. tuberculosis* infection, there is no evidence that modest differences in
type I IFN responses are of functional significance. We also found that during *L. pneumophila*
infection, $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice expressed more *Ifnb* in their lungs, as compared to B6 mice (Figure 3B).
Importantly, elevated *Ifnb* was evident at 48 hours post-infection when there is no difference in
bacterial burdens between the genotypes, and at 96 hours post-infection, when $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice
have greater bacterial burdens (Figure 3B).

Infected $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ lungs show similar gene expression patterns. We used RNA
sequencing to analyze the global gene expression patterns in *M. tuberculosis*-infected lungs of
B6, $Sp110^{-/-}$, $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ mice at day 28 post-infection (Figure 4). Principal
component analysis revealed that while there is spread among individual samples, the expression
pattern of $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ lungs segregates from the expression pattern in B6 and $Sp110^{-/-}$
lungs along the PC1 axis (77% of variance) (Figure 4A). Notably, the $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ only
separated along the PC2 axis, which accounts for only 9% of the variance in our RNA-seq data.
Euclidean distance analysis revealed a similar pattern, with B6.$Sst1^S$ and $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice
clustering together, and away from B6 and $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 4B). At the time point
analyzed (28 dpi), both $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.$Sst1^S$ mice exhibit higher bacterial burdens than B6 and
$Sp110^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 2C). Thus, the similarity of the gene expression profile of B6.$Sst1^S$ and
$Sp140^{-/-}$ lungs may merely reflect increased inflammation in these lungs. Alternatively, the
increased bacterial burdens may be due to a similarly enhanced type I IFN response in these
mice, which leads to secondary bacterial outgrowth and inflammation. Therefore, we specifically
compared the change in expression of two subsets of genes: (1) hallmark inflammatory response
pathway (Figure 4C) and (2) type I interferon response genes (Figure 4D). This analysis revealed
that B6.$Sst1^S$ and $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice not only show a similarly increased inflammatory gene
signature, as expected, but in addition showed a similarly increased type I IFN gene signature.
We validated the elevated expression of the interferon stimulated gene *Il1rn* in both B6.$Sst1^S$ and
$Sp140^{-/-}$ mice during *M. tuberculosis* infection by RT-qPCR (Figure 4 – figure supplement 1).
The expression of *Sp110* and the SP family member *Sp100* in $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice during *M*

tuberculosis infection was unimpaired compared to B6, and the expression level of *Sp100* was

unchanged between $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.*Sst1^S* mice (Figure 4 – figure supplement 2). We also did

not observe major changes in expression (>2-fold change) of *Sp100* or *Sp140* in $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice

during *M tuberculosis* infection (Figure 4 – figure supplement 2). Overall, the expression of

additional SP family members in $Sp140^{-/-}$ and $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice is intact, which suggests that the

targeting of these genes had specific rather than unanticipated epistatic effects. Therefore,

deficiency in *Sp140* is likely the primary driver of susceptibility in $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice, while the

resistance of $Sp110^{-/-}$ mice likely derives from normal expression of *Sp140* rather than aberrant

changes in the expression of other SP family members.

Only 269 genes were significantly differentially expressed (adjusted p-value <0.05)

between $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.*Sst1^S* samples, whereas 1520 genes were significantly differentially

expressed between $Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6. Within the 269 genes differentially expressed between

$Sp140^{-/-}$ and B6.*Sst1^S*, 62 were immunoglobulin genes and 62 were annotated as pseudogenes

and most differences are only of modest significance (Figure 4E). The differentially expressed

genes are not linked to the *Sst1^S* locus, but could derive from the partial low expression of *Sp140*

and the loss of *Sp110* in B6.*Sst1^S*, as compared to the complete loss of functional SP140 protein

and retention of SP110 in $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice. Alternatively, the minor differences in gene expression

between B6.*Sst1^S* and B6.$Sp140^{-/-}$ mice could arise from additional genetic background or

microbiota differences between B6.*Sst1^S* and $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice. Interestingly, the gene most

significantly differentially expressed between B6.*Sst1^S* and $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice (i.e., with the smallest

adjusted *p*-value) was *Sp110* (Figure 4E). This result is expected, given that *Sp110* is not

expressed in B6.*Sst1^S* but is retained in our $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1D).

Together, these results show that while they are not identical, the transcriptomes of $Sp140^{-/-}$ and

B6.*Sst1^S* mice greatly overlap during *M. tuberculosis* infection, and importantly, both strains

exhibit a similar type I IFN signature. Given the susceptibility of B6.*Sst1^S* mice is due to

overproduction of type I IFN (Ji et al., 2019), we hypothesized that type I IFNs might also

mediate the susceptibility of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice.

Susceptibility of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice to bacterial infections is dependent on type I IFN signaling.

To determine whether type I IFNs exacerbate *M. tuberculosis* infection of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice, *M.*

tuberculosis-infected $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice were treated with a blocking antibody against IFNAR1.

Compared to mice that only received isotype control antibody, $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice that received the

anti-IFNAR1 antibody had reduced bacterial burdens in their lungs (Figure 5 – figure

supplement 1). We also generated $Sp140^{-/-}Ifnar^{-/-}$ double-deficient mice and infected them with

M. tuberculosis (Figure 5A-B). Loss of *Ifnar* protected $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice from weight loss (Figure

5A) and reduced bacterial burdens at day 25 post-infection, similar to those seen in B6 mice

(Figure 5B). Furthermore, $Sp140^{-/-}Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice were partially protected from *L. pneumophila*
infection, to a similar degree as B6.$Sst1^S$$Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 5C-D). These results show that
similar to B6.$Sst1^S$ mice, type I IFN signaling is responsible for the susceptibility of $Sp140^{-/-}$
mice to *M. tuberculosis*, and partially responsible for the susceptibility of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice to *L.*
pneumophila.

Discussion

Humans and other vertebrates encounter diverse classes of pathogens, including viruses, bacteria,
fungi and parasites. In response, vertebrate immune systems have evolved stereotypical
responses appropriate for distinct pathogen types. For example, type I IFN-driven immunity is
generally critical for defense against viruses (Schneider et al., 2014; Stetson & Medzhitov,
2006), whereas type II IFN (IFN-γ)-driven immunity mediates resistance to intracellular
pathogens (Crisler & Lenz, 2018). Additionally, IL-1 is important for inducing neutrophil and
other responses against extracellular pathogens (Mantovani et al., 2019), and IL-4/-13 (Type 2
immunity) orchestrates responses to helminths and other parasites (Locksley, 1994). Thus, an
important question is how the immune system generates responses that are appropriate for
resistance to a specific pathogen while repressing inappropriate responses. The alternative
strategy of making all types of responses to all pathogens appears not to be employed, possibly
because it would be too energetically costly, or incur too much inflammatory damage to the host.
Although there is still much to be learned, it appears that negative feedback is essential to
enforce choices between possible types of immune responses. For example, IL-4 and IFN-γ have
long been appreciated to act as reciprocal negative antagonists of each other (Locksley, 1994). In
addition, anti-viral type I IFNs negatively regulate IFN-γ and IL-1-driven anti-bacterial
responses via diverse mechanisms (Donovan et al., 2017; Moreira-Teixeira et al., 2018).
Although negative regulation of IFN-γ/IL-1 by type I IFN is likely beneficial to limit
immunopathology during viral infections, $Sst1^S$ mice provide an example of how excessive or
inappropriate negative regulation by type I IFN can also be detrimental during bacterial
infections (He et al., 2013; Ji et al., 2019). In this study, we therefore sought to understand the
molecular basis by which wild-type ($Sst1^R$) mice are able to restrain type I IFNs appropriately
during bacterial infections.

Although the *Sst1* locus was first described in 2005 (Pan et al., 2005), further genetic
analysis of the locus has been hindered by its extreme repetitiveness and the concomitant
difficulty in generating specific loss-of-function mutations in *Sst1*-linked genes. In particular, the
loss of *Sp110 (Ipr1)* has long been proposed to explain the susceptibility of *Sst1* mice to bacterial

infections. However, while we could confirm the loss of *Sp110* expression in *Sst1^S* mice, specific

Sp110$^{-/-}$ mice were never generated and thus its essential role in host defense has been unclear.

The advent of CRIPSR/Cas9-based methods of genome engineering allowed us to generate

Sp110$^{-/-}$ mice. Unexpectedly, we found *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mice were fully resistant to *M. tuberculosis*

infection, and we thus conclude that lack of *Sp110* is not sufficient to explain the *Sst1^S*

phenotype. An important caveat of genetic studies of the *Sst1* locus is that generating specific

gene knockouts is still nearly impossible in this genetic region, even with CRISPR–Cas9. Indeed,

the guide sequence used to target exon 3 of *Sp110* also targets an unknown number of

pseudogene copies of *Sp110*-like genes located within the unassembled adjacent 'homogenously

staining region' of mouse chromosome 1. Thus, we expect that additional off-target mutations

are likely present in our *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mutant mice. However, given that the *Sp110* pseudogenes are

not known to be expressed, we consider it unlikely that collateral mutations would affect our

conclusions. Moreover, any off-target mutations should differ among the three founder mice we

analyzed and are thus unlikely to explain the consistent resistant phenotype we observed in all

three founders. Additionally, we did not observe major changes in gene expression for other SP

family members (*Sp100, Sp140*) in *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mice during *M. tuberculosis* infection (Figure 4 –

figure supplement 2). Lastly, since we were able to establish that all the founders at a minimum

lack SP110 protein, additional mutations would not affect our conclusion that *Sp110* is not

essential for resistance to *M. tuberculosis*.

Given that loss of *Sp110* was not sufficient to explain the susceptibility of *Sst1^S* mice to

bacterial infections, we considered other explanations. We found that *Sst1^S* mice also lack

expression of *Sp140*, an *Sst1*-linked homolog of *Sp110*. Our data suggest that deletion of *Sp140*

is sufficient to recapitulate the full *Sst1^S* phenotype including broad susceptibility to multiple

bacterial infections including *M. tuberculosis* and *L. pneumophila*. From analysis of RNA-seq

data generated from *M tuberculosis*-infected lungs, we found that the transcriptomes of *Sp140$^{-/-}$*

and B6.*Sst1^S* greatly overlap and display an elevated ISG signature. The elevated production of

Ifnb mRNA and *Il1rn* mRNA seen by RNAseq was validated by RT-qPCR. Enhanced *Ifnb*

production and *Ifnar*-dependent cell death was also observed during *in vitro* experiments with

BMMs. A causative role for type I IFNs in the phenotype of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* and *Sst1^S* mice was seen in

the reduced susceptibility of *Sp140$^{-/-}$Ifnar$^{-/-}$* and B6.*Sst1^{S}Ifnar$^{-/-}$* mice to bacterial infection.

Overall, we therefore conclude that loss of *Sp140* likely explains the *Sst1*-linked hyper type I

IFN-driven susceptibility to bacterial infections. It remains possible that the additional loss of

Sp110 in *Sst1^S* mice further exacerbates the *Sst1^S* susceptibility phenotype as compared to

Sp140$^{-/-}$ mice. However, in our studies, we did not observe a consistent difference in

susceptibility between *Sst1^S* (i.e., *Sp110$^{-/-}$Sp140$^{-/-}$*) mice as compared to our *Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice.

Another important caveat to our study is that it remains possible that our $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice

carry additional mutations that contribute to, or even fully explain, their observed phenotype.

This concern is somewhat ameliorated by our analysis of two independent $Sp140^{-/-}$ founders,

both of which exhibited susceptibility to *M. tuberculosis* (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1E). We

confirmed there is normal SP110 protein levels in bone marrow macrophages from $Sp140^{-/-}$

mice (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1D), and normal levels of *Sp110* and *Sp100* mRNA in the

lungs of *M. tuberculosis*-infected $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 4 – figure supplement 2). Thus,

collateral loss of SP100 or SP110 is unlikely to explain the phenotype of our $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice. To

address the possibility of mutations in unannotated SP-like genes, we used deep amplicon

sequencing of genomic DNA and cDNA from $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice. We confirmed that both founder

lines harbored distinct off-target mutations. Most of the identified off-target mutations are in

previously unidentified sequences that likely originate from *Sp140* paralogs within the unmapped

HSR. Most HSR-linked paralogs are believed to be pseudogenes, and indeed, the off-target

mutated genes appear to be expressed at a far lower level than *Sp140* in lungs during *M.*

tuberculosis infection. In one of our $Sp140^{-/-}$ lines, we identified an off-target mutated *Sp140-*

like paralog that was expressed at detectable levels in the lungs of *M. tuberculosis*-infected mice.

This paralog was 100% identical to *Sp140* in the sequenced region and was only distinguished

from *Sp140* itself because it lacked the deletion that was introduced into the edited *Sp140* gene.

Importantly, this previously undescribed *Sp140*-like expressed sequence was not mutated in our

second $Sp140^{-/-}$ line and is thus unlikely to explain resistance to *M. tuberculosis* infection. As an

alternative approach to confirm the phenotype of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice is due to loss of *Sp140*, we

overexpressed *Sp140* in $Sp140^{-/-}$ BMMs. Crucially, we found *Sp140* complements aberrant

elevated *Ifnb* transcription exhibited by $Sp140^{-/-}$ BMMs upon TNFα stimulation. Lastly, *Sp110*

and *Sp140* are the only two *Sst1*-linked genes that we were able to find to be differentially

expressed between B6 and B6.$Sst1^{S}$ mice, and as discussed above, our genetic studies suggest

little role for the loss of *Sp110*. Thus, while it is formally possible that an edited *Sp140* homolog

that was not identified by our amplicon sequencing contributes to the susceptibility to bacterial

infection and elevated type I IFN in $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice, the most parsimonious explanation of our

data is that deficiency in *Sp140* accounts for the $Sst1^{S}$ phenotype. We expect that future

mechanistic studies will be critical to further confirm this conclusion.

Because *Sp140* is inducible by IFN-γ, our results suggest the existence of a novel

feedback loop by which IFN-γ acts to repress the transcription of type I IFNs via SP140. This

feedback loop appears to be essential for host defense against diverse bacterial pathogens. A

major question that remains is how SP140 acts to repress the type I IFN response. SP140

contains DNA/chromatin-binding domains, such as SAND, PHD and Bromodomains, which

suggests the hypothesis that SP140 functions as a direct transcriptional repressor of type I IFN

genes. However, much more indirect mechanisms are also possible. Recent studies suggest that

hyper type I IFN responses in TNF-stimulated B6.$Sst1^S$ BMMs derive from aberrant oxidative

stress that activates the kinase JNK and ultimately results in a non-resolving stress response that

promotes necrosis (Bhattacharya et al., 2021; Brownhill et al., 2020). Interestingly, mouse SP140

localizes to nuclear structures called PML bodies. PML bodies are implicated in a variety of cell

processes such as apoptosis, cell cycle, DNA damage response, senescence, and cell-intrinsic

antiviral responses (Scherer & Stamminger, 2016). Whether or not the repressive effects of

SP140 on type I IFN expression occur via the activity of PML bodies is an important outstanding

question. Another major question is whether or how the repression of type I IFNs by SP140 is

specific for bacterial infections and, if not, whether the presence of SP140 impairs anti-viral

immunity. Lastly, polymorphisms in human SP140 are associated with chronic lymphocytic

leukemia (CLL), Crohn's disease and multiple sclerosis (MS) (Franke et al., 2010; Jostins et al.,

2012; Karaky et al., 2018; Matesanz et al., 2015; Slager et al., 2013). Studies using siRNA and

shRNA-mediated knockdown have also implicated SP140 in the repression of lineage-

inappropriate genes in macrophages (Mehta et al., 2017). Our generation of $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice is

therefore important to permit future studies into these alternative roles of SP140.

Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
gene (*Mus musculus*)	*Sp110*	GenBank	Gene ID: 109032	
gene (*Mus musculus*)	*Sp140*	GenBank	Gene ID: 434484	
strain, strain background (*M. tuberculosis,* Erdman)	*M. tuberculosis*	Sarah Stanley, University of California, Berkeley	Erdman	

strain, strain background (*Legionella pneumophila* , JR32 ΔflaA)	*L. pneumophila*	Dario Zamboni, University of São Paulo, Brazil	JR32	
genetic reagent (*Mus musculus*)	$Sp110^{-/-}$	This paper		(C57BL/6J background)
genetic reagent (*Mus musculus*)	$Sp140^{-/-}$	This paper		(C57BL/6J background)
genetic reagent (*Mus musculus*)	B6.129S2-*Ifnar1^{tm1Agt}*/Mmjax	Jackson Laboratory	RRID:MMRRC_ 032045-JAX	
genetic reagent (*Mus musculus*)	B6J.C3-*Sst*^{C3HeB/FeJ}Krmn	Igor Kramnik, Boston University		
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	GP-2 293	UC Berkeley Cell culture Facility	RRID:CVCL_W I48	
antibody	Rabbit anti-mouse SP110 (serum)	Covance, this paper		WB: 1:1000
antibody	Rabbit anti-mouse SP140 (serum)	Covance, this paper		WB: 1:1000
antibody	Mouse anti-mouse SP110 (hybridoma)	Igor Kramnik, Boston University		WB: 1:1000
antibody	Mouse anti-human IFNGR-α chain	Leinco Technologies, Inc	Cat #: GIR208	Mouse injection: 500 μg

	(isotype control)			
antibody	Mouse anti-mouse IFNAR1	Leinco Technologies, Inc	Cat #: MAR1-5A3	Mouse injection: 500 µg
recombinant DNA reagent	SINV-mincmvSp140-pgkAmetrine (plasmid)	This paper		Derived from pTMGP vector (Addgene plasmid # 32716, RRID:Addgene_ 32716)
recombinant DNA reagent	SINV-Gal4-mincmv-mNeonGreen-pgkAmetrine (plasmid)	This paper		Derived from pTMGP vector (Addgene plasmid # 32716, RRID:Addgene_ 32716)
recombinant DNA reagent	pMD2.G	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_ 12259 plasmid #32716	
peptide, recombinant protein	Recombinant murine TNFalpha	R&D Systems	Cat #: 410-TRNC-010	BMM stimulation: 10 ng/mL
peptide, recombinant protein	Recombinant murine interferon gamma	Biolegend	Cat #: 575304	BMM stimulation: 5 - 10 ng/mL
peptide, recombinant protein	Retronectin	Takara	T100	
sequence-based reagent	Sp110 fwd	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp110)*	CTCTCCGCTC GGTGACTAC

sequence-based reagent	Sp110 rev	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp110*)	CTGCACATGT GACAAGGATC TC
sequence-based reagent	Sp140-1 fwd	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp140*)	ACGAATAGCA AGCAGGAAT GCT
sequence-based reagent	Sp140-1 rev	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp140*)	GGTTCCGGCT GAGCACTTAT
sequence-based reagent	Sp140-2 fwd	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp140*)	TGAGGACAG AACTCAGGGA G
sequence-based reagent	Sp140-2 rev	This paper	Genotyping primers (*Sp140*)	ACACGCCTTT AATCCCAGCA TTT
sequence-based reagent	*Ifnb* sense	This paper	RT-qPCR primers (*Ifnb*)	GTCCTCAACT GCTCTCCACT
sequence-based reagent	*Ifnb* antisense	This paper	RT-qPCR primers (*Ifnb*)	CCTGCAACCA CCACTCATTC
commercial assay or kit	E.Z.N.A. Total RNA Kit I	Omega Biotek	Cat #: R6834-02	
chemical compound, drug	polyI:C	Invivogen	Cat #: tlrl-picw	BMM stimulation: 100 μg/mL

Mice. All mice were specific pathogen-free, maintained under a 12-hr light-dark cycle (7AM to

7PM), and given a standard chow diet (Harlan irradiated laboratory animal diet) *ad libitum*. All

mice were sex and age-matched at 6-10 weeks old at the beginning of infections. C57BL/6J (B6)

and B6.129S2-*Ifnar1*^{tm1Agt}/Mmjax (*Ifnar*^{−/−}) were originally purchased from Jackson

Laboratories and subsequently bred at UC Berkeley. B6J.C3-*Sst* ^{C3HeB/FeJ}Krmn mice (referred to

as B6.*Sst1*^S throughout) were from the colony of I. Kramnik at Boston University and then

transferred to UC Berkeley. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting was performed by pronuclear injection of

Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA into fertilized zygotes from colony-born C57BL/6J mice, essentially as

described previously (Wang et al., 2013). Founder mice were genotyped as described below, and

founders carrying *Sp140* mutations were bred one generation to C57BL/6J to separate modified

Sp140 haplotypes. Homozygous lines were generated by interbreeding heterozygotes carrying

matched *Sp140* haplotypes. *Sp140*^{−/−}*Ifnar*^{−/−} were generated by crossing the *Sp140*^{−/−} and *Ifnar*^{−/−}

mice in-house. All animals used in experiments were bred in-house unless otherwise noted in the

figure legends. All animal experiments complied with the regulatory standards of, and were

approved by, the University of California Berkeley Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee.

Genotyping of *Sp110* alleles. Exon 3 and the surrounding intronic regions were amplified by

PCR using the following primers (all 5' to 3'): Sp110 fwd, CTCTCCGCTCGGTGACTAC, and

rev, CTGCACATGTGACAAGGATCTC. The primer combinations were designed to

distinguish *Sp110* from other *Sp110*-like genes. Primers were used at 200 nM in each 20 μl

reaction with 1x Dreamtaq Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cleaned PCR

products were diluted at 1:10 and sequenced using Sanger sequencing (Elim Biopharm).

Genotyping of *Sp140* alleles. Exon 3 and the surrounding intronic regions were amplified by

bracket PCR using the following primers (all 5' to 3'): Sp140-1 fwd,

ACGAATAGCAAGCAGGAATGCT, and rev, GGTTCCGGCTGAGCACTTAT. The PCR

products are diluted at 1:10 and 2 μl were used as template for the second PCR using the

following primers: Sp140-2 fwd, TGAGGACAGAACTCAGGGAG, and rev,

ACACGCCTTTAATCCCAGCATTT. The primer combinations were designed to distinguish

Sp140 from other *Sp140*-like genes. Primers were used at 200 nM in each 20 μl reaction with 1x

Dreamtaq Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cleaned PCR products were

diluted at 1:10 and sequenced using Sanger sequencing (Elim Biopharm). PCRs were performed

as described above for *Sp110* and sequenced using Sanger sequencing (Elim Biopharm).

Mycobacterium tuberculosis **infections.** *M. tuberculosis* strain Erdman (gift of S.A. Stanley)

was used for all infections. Frozen stocks of this wild-type strain were made from a single

culture and used for all experiments. Cultures for infection were grown in Middlebrook 7H9

liquid medium supplemented with 10% albumin-dextrose-saline, 0.4% glycerol and 0.05%

Tween-80 for five days at 37°C. Mice were aerosol infected using an inhalation exposure system

(Glas-Col, Terre Haute, IN). A total of 9 ml of diluted culture was loaded into the nebulizer

calibrated to deliver ~20 to 50 bacteria per mouse as confirmed by measurement of colony

forming units (CFUs) in the lungs 1 day following infection. Mice were sacrificed at various

days post-infection (as described in figure legends) to measure CFUs and RNA levels. All but

one lung lobe was homogenized in PBS plus 0.05% Tween-80, and serial dilutions were plated

on 7H11 plates supplemented with 10% oleic acid, albumin, dextrose, catalase (OADC) and

0.5% glycerol. CFUs were counted 21 days after plating. The remaining lobe was used for

histology or for RNA extraction. For histology, the sample was fixed in 10% formalin for at least

48 hours then stored in 70% ethanol. Samples were sent to Histowiz Inc for embedding in wax,

sectioning and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. For histologic grading, slides were scanned

at 20X magnification and evaluated by a trained pathologist (S.N.) for the extent of macrophage,

lymphoid and granulocytic infiltration. The extent of infiltration was graded on a 0-4 scale with 0

being the least and 4 being the greatest. The extent of necrosis was similarly estimated. For

survival experiments, mice were monitored for weight loss and were euthanized when they

reached a humane endpoint as determined by the University of California Berkeley Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee.

***Legionella pneumophila* infections.** Infections were performed using *L. pneumophila* strain

JR32 Δ*flaA* (from the lab of D.S. Zamboni) as previously described (Goncalves et al., 2019;

Mascarenhas et al., 2015). Briefly, frozen cultures were streaked out on to BCYE plates to obtain

single colonies. A single colony was chosen and streaked on to a new BCYE plate to obtain a

1cm by 1cm square bacterial lawn, and incubated for 2 days at 37°C. The patch was solubilized

in autoclaved MilliQ water and the optical density was measured at 600 nm. Culture was diluted

to 2.5 x10^6 bacteria/ml in sterile PBS. The mice were first anesthetized with ketamine and

xylazine (90 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg, respectively) by intraperitoneal injection then infected

intranasally with 40 μL with PBS containing a final dilution of 1×10^5 bacteria per mouse. For

enumerating of CFU, the lungs were harvested and homogenized in 5 mL of autoclaved MilliQ

water for 30 seconds, using a tissue homogenizer. Lung homogenates were diluted in autoclaved

MilliQ water and plated on BCYE agar plates. CFU was enumerated after plates were incubated

for 4 days at 37°C.

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) and TNF-treatment. Bone marrow was

harvested from mouse femurs and tibias, and cells were differentiated by culture on non-tissue

culture-treated plates in RPMI supplemented with supernatant from 3T3-MCSF cells (gift of B.

Beutler), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, CAT#16140071, LOT#1447825), 2mM

glutamine, 100 U/ml streptomycin and 100 μg/ml penicillin in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5%

CO_2). BMMs were harvested six days after plating and frozen in 95% FBS and 5% DMSO. For

in vitro experiments, BMMs were thawed into media as described above for 4 hours in a

humidified 37°C incubator. Adherent cells were washed with PBS, counted and replated at

1.2×10^6 - 1.5×10^6 cells/well in a TC-treated 6-well plate. Cells were treated with 10 ng/ml

recombinant mouse TNFα (410-TRNC-010, R&D Systems) diluted in the media as described

above.

Quantitative/conventional RT-PCR. Total RNA from BMMs was extracted using E.Z.N.A.

Total RNA Kit I (Omega Bio-tek) according to manufacturer specifications. Total RNA from

infected tissues was extracted by homogenizing in TRIzol reagent (Life technologies) then

mixing thoroughly with chloroform, both done under BSL3 conditions. Samples were then

removed from the BSL3 facility and transferred to fresh tubes under BSL2 conditions. Aqueous

phase was separated by centrifugation and RNA was further purified using the E.Z.N.A. Total

RNA Kit I (Omega Bio-tek). Equal amounts of RNA from each sample were treated with DNase

(RQ1, Promega) and cDNA was made using Superscript III (Invitrogen). Complementary cDNA

reactions were primed with poly(dT) for the measurement of mature transcripts. For experiments

with multiple time points, macrophage samples were frozen in the RLT buffer (Qiagen) and

infected tissue samples in RNA*later*™ solution (Invitrogen) and processed to RNA at the same

time. Quantitative PCR was performed using QuantiStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied

Biosystems) with Power Sybr Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to

manufacturer specifications. Transcript levels were normalized to housekeeping genes *Rps17*,

Actb and *Oaz1* unless otherwise specified. The following primers were used in this study. *Rps17*

sense: CGCCATTATCCC CAGCAAG; *Rps17* antisense: TGTCGGGATCCACCTCAATG;

Oaz1 sense: GTG GTG GCC TCT ACA TCG AG; *Oaz1* antisense: AGC AGA TGA AAA CGT

GGT CAG; *Actb* sense: CGC AGC CAC TGT CGA GTC; *Actb* antisense: CCT TCT GAC CCA

TTC CCA CC; *Ifnb* sense: GTCCTCAACTGCTCTCCACT; *Ifnb* antisense:

CCTGCAACCACCACTCATTC; *Gbp4* sense: TGAGTACCTGGAGAATGCCCT; *Gbp4*

antisense: TGGCCGAATTGGATGCTTGG; *Ifit3* sense: AGCCCACACCCAGCTTTT; *Ifit3*

antisense: CAGAGATTCCCGGTTGACCT. *Tnfa* sense: TCTTCTCATTCCTGCTTGTGG;

Tnfa antisense: GGTCTGGGCCATAGAACTGA. Conventional RT-PCR shown in Figure 2A

used the following primers. Sense: GTCCCTTGGAGTCTGTGTAGG; antisense:

CATCCTGGGGCTCTTGTCTTG.

Immunoblot. Samples were lysed in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) to

obtain total protein lysate and were clarified by spinning at $\sim 16{,}000 \times g$ for 30 min at 4°C.

Clarified lysates were analyzed with Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

according to manufacturer specification and diluted to the same concentration and denatured

with SDS-loading buffer. Samples were separated on NuPAGE Bis–Tris 4% to 12% gradient

gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol. Gels were transferred

onto ImmobilonFL PVDF membranes at 35 V for 90 min and blocked with Odyssey blocking
buffer (Li-Cor). Proteins were detected on a Li-Cor Odyssey Blot Imager using the following
primary and secondary antibodies. Rabbit anti-SP110 or SP140 serums were produced by
Covance and used at 1:1000 dilution. Hybridoma cells expressing monoclonal anti-SP110
antibody were from the lab of I. Kramnik. Antibodies were produced in-house as previously
described (Ji et al., 2019) and used at 100 ng/ml. Alexa Fluor 680-conjugated secondary
antibodies (Invitrogen) were used at 0.4 mg/ml.

RNA sequencing and analysis. Total RNA was isolated as described above. Illumina-
compatible libraries were generated by the University of California, Berkeley, QB3 Vincent J.
Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory. PolyA selection was performed to deplete rRNA.
Libraries were constructed using Kapa Biosystem library preparation kits. The libraries were
multiplexed and sequenced using one flow cell on Novaseq 6000 (Illumina) as 50bp paired-end
reads. Base calling was performed using bcl2fastq2 v2.20. The sequences were aligned to mm10
genome using Kallisto v.0.46.0 using standard parameters (Pimentel et al., 2017) and analyzed
using Deseq2 (Love et al., 2014) and DEVis packages (Price et al., 2019). For Deseq2 and
DEVis analysis, all raw counts were incremented by 1 to avoid excluding genes due to division
by 0 in the normalization process, except for data shown in Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. Fold
changes were calculated with the apeglm shrinkage estimator (Zhu et al., 2019).

Antibody-mediated neutralization. Mice were given anti-IFNAR1 antibody or isotype control
once every 2 days, starting 7 days post-infection. All treatments were delivered by
intraperitoneal injection. Mouse anti-mouse IFNAR1 (MAR1-5A3) and isotype control (GIR208,
mouse anti-human IFNGR-α chain) were purchased from Leinco Technologies Inc. For
injections antibody stocks were diluted in sterile PBS and each mouse received 500 μg per
injection.

Amplicon sequencing and analysis. Amplicons comprising the 5'intron of exon 3 of *Sp140* and
the end of exon 3 were amplified from crude DNA from ear clips of B6 and *Sp140*$^{−/−}$1 mice
(sense: TCATATAACCCATAAATCCATCATGACA; antisense:
CCATTTAGGAAGAAGTGTTTTAGAGTCT) with PrimeStar PCR components (Takara,
R010b) for 18 cycles according to manufacturer specifications, then diluted 50-fold and
barcoded for an additional 18 cycles with Illumina-compatible sequencing adaptors. Amplicons
of *Sp140* exon 3 (sense: AATATCAAGAAACATGTAAGAACCTGGT; antisense:
CCATTTAGGAAGAAGTGTTTTAGAGTCT) and exon 2-3 (sense:
GCAGAAGTTTCAGGAATATCAAGAAACATGTAAG; antisense:
ACTTCTTCTGTACATTGCTGAGGATGT) were amplified from cDNA generated from lungs
of B6, both lines of *Sp140*$^{−/−}$ mice infected with *M. tuberculosis* for 25 cycles with PrimeStar

before barcoding. Libraries were generated by the University of California, Berkeley, QB3

Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory, and were multiplexed and sequenced on an

Illumina Miseq platform with v2 chemistry and 300 bp single-end reads for DNA amplicons, and

Illumina Miseq Nano platform with v3 chemistry for 300bp single end reads for cDNA

amplicons. Reads were aligned with Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA-MEM) with default

parameters (Li, 2013; Li & Durbin, 2009) to chromosome 1 and non-localized genome contigs of

the *Mus musculus* genome (assembly mm10) as well as the *Sp140* gene and transcript

X1(XM_030255396.1), converted to BAM files with samtools (Li, 2009), and visualized in IGV

2.8. Subsets of reads were extracted from alignment files using the Seqkit toolkit (Shen et al.,

2016).

Retroviral transduction of BMMs. Self-inactivating pTMGP vector (SINV) with either a

minimal CMV promoter driving *Sp140* or a minimal CMV promoter and 4 Gal4 binding sites

driving mNeonGreen, and the reporter mAmetrine driven by a PGK promoter, were cloned using

Infusion (638910, Takara). pTGMP was from the lab of Scott Lowe (Addgene plasmid # 32716).

Virus was harvested from GP-2 cells transfected with SINV vectors and VSV-G (pMD2.G,

Addgene plasmid #12259) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 30% FBS and 2mM

glutamine, 100 U/ml streptomycin and 100 μg/ml penicillin (adapted from protocols described in

(Schmidt et al., 2015)). Harvested virus was concentrated 100-fold by ultracentrifugation in

RPMI before storage at -80 °C. Virus was thawed and titrated on bone marrow to optimize

transduction efficiency. Bone marrow was harvested as described above and the entirety of the

bone marrow was plated in a non-TC 15 cm plate. The next day, bone marrow was harvested and

transduced with SINV virus on plates coated with 10 μg/cm^2 Retronectin (T100, Takara) for 1.5-

2 hrs at 650×*g* and 37°C. After 2 days of additional culture, media was replenished, then

transduced bone marrow was cultured for 3 additional days before sorting. Sorted transduced

macrophages were stimulated with 5 ng/mL recombinant murine IFN-γ (575304, Biolegend) 12-

14 hours before stimulation with 10 ng/mL recombinant TNFα as described above for 4 hours

(FBS used in these experiments was from Omega, LOT 721017, CAT# FB-12). RNA isolation

and RT-qPCR were performed as described above. No mycoplasma contamination was detected

by PCR in GP-2 cells used for these experiments (sense: CACCATCTGTCACTCTGTTAACC;

antisense: GGAGCAAACAGGATTAGATACCC), and GP-2 cells were authenticated by short

tandem repeat DNA profiling by the UC Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility.

Quantification of cell death upon polyI:C treatment. Day 6-7 primary BMMs were derived

from fresh or frozen bone marrow as described above. BMMs were plated at 50,000-90,000 cells

per well in 96 well non-TC treated plates, and stimulated for 16-24 hours with 100 μg/mL

polyI:C (tlrl-picw, Invivogen). LDH assays were performed on supernatants after stimulation as

previously described (Decker, 1988). Similar results were obtained for BMMs cultured with FBS
from Omega and Gibco (LOT 721017, CAT# FB-12, and LOT# 1447825, CAT#16140071
respectively).

Statistical analysis. All data were analyzed with Mann-Whitney test unless otherwise noted.
Tests were run using GraphPad Prism 5. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$. All error bars
are s.e. Figures show exact p values for $p > 0.0005$.

Data accession. RNA-seq data is available at GEO, accession number GSE166114. Amplicon
sequencing data is available at the SRA, BioProject accession number PRJNA698382.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Stanley and Cox laboratories for support with *M.
tuberculosis* experiments, L. Flores, P. Dietzen and R. Chavez for technical assistance, and
members of the Vance, Barton, Cox, Stanley, and Portnoy labs for advice and discussions.

Author contributions: Conceptualization–D.X.J., K.H.D., A.Y.L., R.E.V., K.C.W., I.K.,
D.A.P.; Formal analysis–D.X.J., K.C.W; Investigation– D.X.J., KC.W., D.I.K., S.R.M., A.L.,
V.C., K.C., M.G., H.D., A.Y.L., S.N., D.S.Z.; Validation– K.C.W., D.I.K., S.N.,V.C.;
Methodology–D.X.J., K.C.W., D.I.K., S.R.M., A.L., V.C., M.G., H.D., S.N., K.J.C., A.Y.L.,
D.S.Z., K.H.D.; Resources–I.K., D.A.P., R.E.V.; Data curation–D.X.J., K.C.W.; Writing –
original draft preparation–D.X.J., R.E.V., K.C.W; Writing – review & editing–D.X.J., K.C.W.,
D.I.K., S.R.M., K.H.D., R.E.V., D.S.Z., I.K.; Supervision–D.A.P., K.H.D., A.Y.L., D.S.Z.,
R.E.V.; Funding acquisition–D.A.P., K.H.D., R.E.V. Project administration–D.A.P., R.E.V.

Funding: R.E.V. is supported by an Investigator Award from the Howard Hughes Medical
Institute. This work was also supported by NIH grants R37AI075039 and R01AI155634
(R.E.V.), P01AI066302 (R.E.V. and D.A.P.). R.E.V. and K.H.D. were Burroughs Wellcome
Fund Investigators in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease.

Competing Interests: R.E.V. consults for Ventus Therapeutics.

Ethics Statement: Animal studies were approved by the UC Berkeley Animal Care and Use
Committee.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. *Sp110*$^{-/-}$ mice are not susceptible to *M. tuberculosis* infections. (A) BMMs were
treated with 10 U/ml of IFNγ for 24 hours and cells were lysed with RIPA buffer. Five μg of
total protein was loaded on each lane, and immunoblot was performed with respective antibodies
as shown. Molecular weight standards are shown on the left of each blot in kDa. Individual
membranes were imaged separately. Three independent lines of *Sp110*$^{-/-}$ mice were analyzed
(denoted lines 61, 65, and 71). **(B-D)**, Lungs of mice infected with *M. tuberculosis* were stained
with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histology **(B)**, measured for CFU at 25 days post-
infection (Mann-Whitney test) **(C)** or, monitored for survival **(D)**. All except B6 mice were bred
in-house, and combined results from the three independent *Sp110*$^{-/-}$ lines are shown.
Representative of 2 experiments **(B, D)**; combined results of 3 infections **(C)**. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, p
≤ 0.01; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 2. *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice are susceptible to bacterial pathogens. (A) RT-PCR of cDNA from
BMMs of the indicated genotypes. Red arrow indicates band corresponding to a portion of
Sp140, verified by sequencing. **(B)** Immunoblot of lysates from *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and WT BMMs treated
with 10 U/ml of recombinant mouse IFNγ for 24 hours. Equal amounts of protein were loaded
for immunoblot with anti-SP140 antibody. **(C-F)** Mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and
measured for **(C)** lung CFU at 28 days post-infection, **(E)** body weight over time, and **(F)**
survival. Statistics in **(E)** shows comparison to B6 at day 28, and data are from 10 B6, 11
B6.*Sst1*^{S}, 11 *Sp110*$^{-/-}$, 14 *Sp140*$^{-/-}$, and 6 *Sp140*$^{+/-}$ mice. **(D)** H&E staining of lungs at 25 days
post-infection with *M. tuberculosis*. Full histology images are provided in Figure 2 – figure
supplement 2. **(G)** Mice were infected with *L. pneumophila* and lung CFUs were determined at
96 hours post-infection. All mice were bred in-house, *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and *Sp140*$^{+/-}$ were littermates (**C-**
F). **C, E**, and **G** are combined results of two independent infections. **A-D** shows representative
analysis of one *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ line (line 1), whereas **F-G** includes a mixture of both lines 1 and 2.
Results of infection of both lines with *M. tuberculosis* is shown in Figure 2 – figure supplement
1E. **(C, E, F, G)** Mann-Whitney test. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 3. *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice have elevated *Ifnb* transcripts during bacterial infection. (A) Mice
were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and at 28 days post-infection lungs were processed for total
RNA, which was used for RT-qPCR. Combined results of 2 independent experiments. **(B)** Mice
were infected with *L. pneumophila* and RT-qPCR (top panel) and CFU enumeration (bottom
panel) was performed on lungs collected at indicated times. Combined results of 2 independent
infections. All mice were bred in-house, *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and *Sp140*$^{+/-}$ were littermates. **(A-B)** Mann-
Whitney test. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 4. Global gene expression analysis of *Sp110$^{-/-}$, Sp140$^{-/-}$* and B6.*Sst1^S* lungs after *M. tuberculosis* infection. (**A**) PCA or (**B**) Euclidean distance analysis of all the samples. (**C-D**) heatmaps of gene expression in log$_2$-fold change from *M.* tuberculosis-infected B6. Genes shown are those significantly different between *Sp140$^{-/-}$* and B6: (**C**) GSEA Hallmark inflammatory response; and (**D**) GO type I IFN response genes. (**E**) Volcano plot comparing *Sp140$^{-/-}$* to B6.*Sst1^S* expression. Dots in red are 2-fold differentially expressed with adjusted *p*-value ≤ 0.05.

Figure 5. Susceptibility of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* to *M. tuberculosis* and *L. pneumophila* is dependent on type I IFN signaling. (**A-B**) mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and measured for (**A**) body weight, and (**B**) bacterial burdens at day 25. Statistics in **A** show comparison to B6; data are from 9 B6, 13 *Sp140$^{-/-}$*, and *Sp140$^{-/-}$ Ifnar$^{-/-}$* mice. Combined results of 2 experiments. (**C-D**) bacteria burden in *L. pneumophila*-infected mice at 96 hours. Combined results of 2 experiments. All mice were bred in-house (**A-B, D**); all but B6 were bred in-house (**C**). Mann-Whitney test (**A-D**). *, *p* ≤ 0.05; **, *p* ≤ 0.01; ***, *p* ≤ 0.005.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. CRISPR–Cas9 targeting strategy for *Sp110$^{-/-}$* mice. (**A**) Mouse *Sp110* gene. Guide RNA sequence for CRISPR–Cas9 targeting and protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) are indicated. (**B-D**) *Sp110* locus in wildtype (WT) and three independent lines. Homozygotes of 2 lines identified by sequencing (**B-C**), and heterozygote of the 3rd line by PCR products separated on an agarose gel (**D**). Arrow indicates the mutant band.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. CRISPR–Cas9 targeting strategy for *Sp140$^{-/-}$* and validation of founders. (**A**) Mouse *Sp140* gene. Guide RNA sequence for CRISPR–Cas9 targeting and protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) are indicated. (**B-C**) *Sp140* locus in wildtype (WT) and 2 independent founders of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* validated by sequencing. (**D**) Immunoblot for SP110 using BMMs from mice of the indicated genotypes. Intervening lanes have been removed for clarity (indicated by line in the image). (**E**) *M. tuberculosis*-infected mice were harvested for CFU at 25 days post-infection. Empty and filled triangles indicate the two independent lines of *Sp140$^{-/-}$* used in this infection. All mice were bred in-house and *Sp140$^{+/-}$* were littermates with *Sp140$^{-/-}$* line 2. Mann-Whitney test, *, *p* ≤ 0.05; **, *p* ≤ 0.01; ***, *p* ≤ 0.005.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Histology of lungs from B6, B6.*Sst1^S, Sp110$^{-/-}$, Sp140$^{-/-}$* mice after infection with *M. tuberculosis.* H&E staining of entire lung sections from mice of indicated genotypes at 25 days post-infection with *M. tuberculosis.* Black squares denote sections shown in Figure 2C. Each image represents a lung section from a different mouse. Borders in background color have been added around each image. Scale bar applies to all images. Samples were evaluated and scored (0-4, least to most) for macrophage (histocyte), lymphoid, granulocyte infiltration, and extent of necrosis.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Characterization of off-target genes mutated in $Sp140^{-/-}$
mice. (A) Schematic of amplicon sequencing strategy for $Sp140$ and $Sp140$ homologs. (B)
Summary of edited $Sp140$ homologs from amplicon sequencing and RNA-seq analysis. SNPs are
denoted based on the $Sp140$ X1 transcript. Expression level was roughly estimated from read
counts. Three B6 and 2 $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice from each founder line were used as biological replicates
for $Sp140$ exon 2/3 amplicon sequencing from cDNA, 2 mice per genotype were used for $Sp140$
exon 3 amplicon sequencing from cDNA, and 1 mouse per genotype was used for $Sp140$ exon 3
amplicon sequencing from DNA.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 4. Complementation of hyper type I IFN responses in $Sp140^{-}$
$^{/-}$ BMMs. (A) BMMs were left untreated or treated with TNF-α for 24 hours. Total RNA was
used for RT-qPCR. Averages of technical duplicates for one biological replicate are shown. Data
is representative of two independent experiments. **(B)** RT-qPCR of $Sp140^{-/-}$ BMMs transduced
with either control SINV-minCMV-GAL4-mNeonGreen (SINV-mNeonGreen) or SINV-
minCMV-Sp140 (SINV-Sp140), primed with 5 ng/mL IFN-γ for 14 hours and treated with 10
ng/mL TNF-α for 4 hours. *, $p \leq 0.05$ calculated with an unpaired t-test with Welch's
correction. Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. BMMs from B6.$Sst1^S$ and $Sp140^{-/-}$ mice show increased cell
death upon stimulation with polyI:C, which is dependent upon IFNAR signaling. LDH
release from primary BMMs after 16-24 hour stimulation with 100 μg/mL polyI:C for **(A)** B6,
$Sp140^{-/-}$, B6.$Sst1^S$, and B6.$Sst1^S Ifnar^{-/-}$ mice. Results are technical duplicates, and representative
of three independent experiments for B6 (3 mice), B6.$Sst1^S$ (2 mice), and $Sp140^{-/-}$ (2 mice)
samples and two independent experiments for B6.$Sst1^S Ifnar^{-/-}$ sample (1 mouse). **(B)** LDH
release after polyI:C stimulation for primary BMMs from B6, $Sp140^{-/-}$, and $Sp140^{-/-} Ifnar^{-/-}$
mice. Results represent technical triplicates and are representative of two independent
experiments for two mice per genotype. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$ as calculated
with an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. B6.$Sst1^S$ and $Sp140^{-/-}$ lungs exhibit elevated transcript
levels of the interferon stimulated gene $Il1rn$ during $M. tuberculosis$ infection. RT-qPCR for
$Il1rn$ (encodes IL-1Ra) extracted from lungs at 28 days post-infection with $M. tuberculosis$.
Combined results of two independent experiments. Mann-Whitney test, *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq$
0.01; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. Expression of SP family members in $Sp140^{-/-}$ and $Sp110^{-/-}$
mouse lungs during $M. tuberculosis$ infection. Log$_2$-fold change and adjusted p-value for SP

family members (*Sp100, Sp110, Sp140)* from RNA-seq of *M. tuberculosis*-infected lungs from

Sp110^{−/−} and *Sp140*^{−/−} mice, compared to B6 and B6.*Sst1*[S].

Figure 5 − figure supplement 1. Antibody blockade of IFNAR1 reduces bacterial burden in

***Sp140*^{−/−} mice during *M. tuberculosis* infection.** Mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and

treated with either IFNAR1-blocking antibody or isotype control starting 7 days post-infection.

At 25 days post-infection lungs were harvested to enumerate CFU. Results of one experiment.

All mice were bred in-house. Mann-Whitney test, *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

References

Anderson, M. S., & Su, M. A. (2016). AIRE expands: new roles in immune tolerance and beyond. *Nat Rev Immunol*, *16*(4), 247-258. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.9

Auerbuch, V., Brockstedt, D. G., Meyer-Morse, N., O'Riordan, M., & Portnoy, D. A. (2004). Mice lacking the type I interferon receptor are resistant to Listeria monocytogenes. *J Exp Med*, *200*(4), 527-533. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20040976

Bhattacharya, B., Xiao, S., Chatterjee, S., Urbanowski, M., Ordonez, A., Ihms, E. A., Agrahari, G., Lun, S., Berland, R., Pichugin, A., Gao, Y., Connor, J., Ivanov, A. R., Yan, B. S., Kobzik, L., Koo, B. B., Jain, S., Bishai, W., & Kramnik, I. (2021). The integrated stress response mediates necrosis in murine Mycobacterium tuberculosis granulomas. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, *131*(3). https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI130319

Bottomley, M. J., Collard, M. W., Huggenvik, J. I., Liu, Z., Gibson, T. J., & Sattler, M. (2001). The SAND domain structure defines a novel DNA-binding fold in transcriptional regulation. *Nat Struct Biol*, *8*(7), 626-633. https://doi.org/10.1038/89675

Boxx, G. M., & Cheng, G. (2016). The Roles of Type I Interferon in Bacterial Infection. *Cell Host Microbe*, *19*(6), 760-769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.016

Boyartchuk, V., Rojas, M., Yan, B. S., Jobe, O., Hurt, N., Dorfman, D. M., Higgins, D. E., Dietrich, W. F., & Kramnik, I. (2004). The host resistance locus sst1 controls innate immunity to Listeria monocytogenes infection in immunodeficient mice. *J Immunol*, *173*(8), 5112-5120. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.8.5112

Brownhill, E., Yabaji, S. M., Zhernovkov, V., Rukhlenko, O. S., Seidel, K., Bhattacharya, B., Chatterjee, S., Chen, H. A., Crossland, N., Bishai, W., Kholodenko, B. N., Gimelbrant, A., Kobzik, L., & Kramnik, I. (2020). Maladaptive oxidative stress cascade drives type I interferon hyperactivity in TNF activated macrophages promoting necrosis in murine tuberculosis granulomas. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2012.2014.422743. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.14.422743

Carrero, J. A., Calderon, B., & Unanue, E. R. (2004). Type I interferon sensitizes lymphocytes to apoptosis and reduces resistance to Listeria infection. *J Exp Med*, *200*(4), 535-540. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20040769

Chang, S. Y., Chen, M. L., Lee, M. R., Liang, Y. C., Lu, T. P., Wang, J. Y., & Yan, B. S. (2018). SP110 Polymorphisms Are Genetic Markers for Vulnerability to Latent and Active Tuberculosis Infection in Taiwan. *Dis Markers*, *2018*, 4687380. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4687380

Crisler, W. J., & Lenz, L. L. (2018). Crosstalk between type I and II interferons in regulation of myeloid cell responses during bacterial infection. *Curr Opin Immunol*, *54*, 35-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.05.014

Decker, T., & Lohmann-Matthes, M. L. (1988). A quick and simple method for the quantitation of lactate dehydrogenase release in measurements of cellular cytotoxicity and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) activity. *Journal of immunological methods*, *115*(1), 61-69.

Donovan, M. L., Schultz, T. E., Duke, T. J., & Blumenthal, A. (2017). Type I Interferons in the Pathogenesis of Tuberculosis: Molecular Drivers and Immunological Consequences. *Front Immunol*, *8*, 1633. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01633

Dorhoi, A., Yeremeev, V., Nouailles, G., Weiner, J., 3rd, Jorg, S., Heinemann, E., Oberbeck-Muller, D., Knaul, J. K., Vogelzang, A., Reece, S. T., Hahnke, K., Mollenkopf, H. J., Brinkmann, V., & Kaufmann, S. H. (2014). Type I IFN signaling triggers immunopathology in tuberculosis-susceptible mice by modulating lung phagocyte dynamics. *Eur J Immunol*, *44*(8), 2380-2393. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201344219

Fox, G. J., Sy, D. N., Nhung, N. V., Yu, B., Ellis, M. K., Van Hung, N., Cuong, N. K., Thi Lien, L., Marks, G. B., Saunders, B. M., & Britton, W. J. (2014). Polymorphisms of SP110 are associated with both pulmonary and extra-pulmonary tuberculosis among the Vietnamese. *PLoS One*, *9*(7), e99496. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099496

Franke, A., McGovern, D. P., Barrett, J. C., Wang, K., Radford-Smith, G. L., Ahmad, T., Lees, C. W., Balschun, T., Lee, J., Roberts, R., Anderson, C. A., Bis, J. C., Bumpstead, S., Ellinghaus, D., Festen, E. M., Georges, M., Green, T., Haritunians, T., Jostins, L., Latiano, A., Mathew, C. G., Montgomery, G. W., Prescott, N. J., Raychaudhuri, S., Rotter, J. I., Schumm, P., Sharma, Y., Simms, L. A., Taylor, K. D., Whiteman, D., Wijmenga, C., Baldassano, R. N., Barclay, M., Bayless, T. M., Brand, S., Buning, C., Cohen, A., Colombel, J. F., Cottone, M., Stronati, L., Denson, T., De Vos, M., D'Inca, R., Dubinsky, M., Edwards, C., Florin, T., Franchimont, D., Gearry, R., Glas, J., Van Gossum, A., Guthery, S. L., Halfvarson, J., Verspaget, H. W., Hugot, J. P., Karban, A., Laukens, D., Lawrance, I., Lemann, M., Levine, A., Libioulle, C., Louis, E., Mowat, C., Newman, W., Panes, J., Phillips, A., Proctor, D. D., Regueiro, M., Russell, R., Rutgeerts, P., Sanderson, J., Sans, M., Seibold, F., Steinhart, A. H., Stokkers, P. C., Torkvist, L., Kullak-Ublick, G., Wilson, D., Walters, T., Targan, S. R., Brant, S. R., Rioux, J. D., D'Amato, M., Weersma, R. K., Kugathasan, S., Griffiths, A. M., Mansfield, J. C., Vermeire, S., Duerr, R. H., Silverberg, M. S., Satsangi, J., Schreiber, S., Cho, J. H., Annese, V., Hakonarson, H., Daly, M. J., & Parkes, M. (2010). Genome-wide meta-analysis increases to 71 the number of confirmed Crohn's disease susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet*, *42*(12), 1118-1125. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.717

Fraschilla, I., & Jeffrey, K. L. (2020). Special Issue: Molecular Mechanisms of Immunity The Speckled Protein (SP) Family: Immunity's Chromatin Readers. *Trends in Immunology*, *41*, 572-585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2020.04.007

Goncalves, A. V., Margolis, S. R., Quirino, G. F. S., Mascarenhas, D. P. A., Rauch, I., Nichols, R. D., Ansaldo, E., Fontana, M. F., Vance, R. E., & Zamboni, D. S. (2019). Gasdermin-D and Caspase-7 are the key Caspase-1/8 substrates downstream of the NAIP5/NLRC4 inflammasome required for restriction of Legionella pneumophila. *PLoS Pathog*, *15*(6), e1007886. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007886

Harper, J., Skerry, C., Davis, S. L., Tasneen, R., Weir, M., Kramnik, I., Bishai, W. R., Pomper, M. G., Nuermberger, E. L., & Jain, S. K. (2012). Mouse model of necrotic tuberculosis granulomas develops hypoxic lesions. *J Infect Dis*, *205*(4), 595-602. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir786

He, X., Berland, R., Mekasha, S., Christensen, T. G., Alroy, J., Kramnik, I., & Ingalls, R. R. (2013). The sst1 resistance locus regulates evasion of type I interferon signaling by Chlamydia pneumoniae as a disease tolerance mechanism. *PLoS Pathog*, *9*(8), e1003569. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003569

Huoh, Y. S., Wu, B., Park, S., Yang, D., Bansal, K., Greenwald, E., Wong, W. P., Mathis, D., & Hur, S. (2020). Dual functions of Aire CARD multimerization in the transcriptional regulation of T cell tolerance. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15448-w

Ji, D. X., Yamashiro, L. H., Chen, K. J., Mukaida, N., Kramnik, I., Darwin, K. H., & Vance, R. E. (2019). Type I interferon-driven susceptibility to Mycobacterium tuberculosis is mediated by IL-1Ra. *Nat Microbiol*, *4*(12), 2128-2135. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0578-3

Jostins, L., Ripke, S., Weersma, R. K., Duerr, R. H., McGovern, D. P., Hui, K. Y., Lee, J. C., Schumm, L. P., Sharma, Y., Anderson, C. A., Essers, J., Mitrovic, M., Ning, K., Cleynen, I.,

Theatre, E., Spain, S. L., Raychaudhuri, S., Goyette, P., Wei, Z., Abraham, C., Achkar, J. P., Ahmad, T., Amininejad, L., Ananthakrishnan, A. N., Andersen, V., Andrews, J. M., Baidoo, L., Balschun, T., Bampton, P. A., Bitton, A., Boucher, G., Brand, S., Buning, C., Cohain, A., Cichon, S., D'Amato, M., De Jong, D., Devaney, K. L., Dubinsky, M., Edwards, C., Ellinghaus, D., Ferguson, L. R., Franchimont, D., Fransen, K., Gearry, R., Georges, M., Gieger, C., Glas, J., Haritunians, T., Hart, A., Hawkey, C., Hedl, M., Hu, X., Karlsen, T. H., Kupcinskas, L., Kugathasan, S., Latiano, A., Laukens, D., Lawrance, I. C., Lees, C. W., Louis, E., Mahy, G., Mansfield, J., Morgan, A. R., Mowat, C., Newman, W., Palmieri, O., Ponsioen, C. Y., Potocnik, U., Prescott, N. J., Regueiro, M., Rotter, J. I., Russell, R. K., Sanderson, J. D., Sans, M., Satsangi, J., Schreiber, S., Simms, L. A., Sventoraityte, J., Targan, S. R., Taylor, K. D., Tremelling, M., Verspaget, H. W., De Vos, M., Wijmenga, C., Wilson, D. C., Winkelmann, J., Xavier, R. J., Zeissig, S., Zhang, B., Zhang, C. K., Zhao, H., International, I. B. D. G. C., Silverberg, M. S., Annese, V., Hakonarson, H., Brant, S. R., Radford-Smith, G., Mathew, C. G., Rioux, J. D., Schadt, E. E., Daly, M. J., Franke, A., Parkes, M., Vermeire, S., Barrett, J. C., & Cho, J. H. (2012). Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature*, *491*(7422), 119-124. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11582

Karaky, M., Fedetz, M., Potenciano, V., Andres-Leon, E., Codina, A. E., Barrionuevo, C., Alcina, A., & Matesanz, F. (2018). SP140 regulates the expression of immune-related genes associated with multiple sclerosis and other autoimmune diseases by NF-kappaB inhibition. *Hum Mol Genet*, *27*(23), 4012-4023. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddy284

Lei, X., Zhu, H., Zha, L., & Wang, Y. (2012). SP110 gene polymorphisms and tuberculosis susceptibility: a systematic review and meta-analysis based on 10 624 subjects. *Infect Genet Evol*, *12*(7), 1473-1480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2012.05.011

Li, H. (2013). *Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754-1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *25*(16), 2078–2079. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Locksley, R. M. (1994). Th2 cells: help for helminths. *J Exp Med*, *179*(5), 1405-1407. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.179.5.1405

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*, *15*(12), 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Mantovani, A., Dinarello, C. A., Molgora, M., & Garlanda, C. (2019). Interleukin-1 and Related Cytokines in the Regulation of Inflammation and Immunity. *Immunity*, *50*(4), 778-795. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.012

Mascarenhas, D. P., Pereira, M. S., Manin, G. Z., Hori, J. I., & Zamboni, D. S. (2015). Interleukin 1 receptor-driven neutrophil recruitment accounts to MyD88-dependent pulmonary clearance of legionella pneumophila infection in vivo. *J Infect Dis*, *211*(2), 322-330. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu430

Matesanz, F., Potenciano, V., Fedetz, M., Ramos-Mozo, P., Abad-Grau Mdel, M., Karaky, M., Barrionuevo, C., Izquierdo, G., Ruiz-Pena, J. L., Garcia-Sanchez, M. I., Lucas, M., Fernandez, O., Leyva, L., Otaegui, D., Munoz-Culla, M., Olascoaga, J., Vandenbroeck, K., Alloza, I.,

Astobiza, I., Antiguedad, A., Villar, L. M., Alvarez-Cermeno, J. C., Malhotra, S., Comabella,
M., Montalban, X., Saiz, A., Blanco, Y., Arroyo, R., Varade, J., Urcelay, E., & Alcina, A.
(2015). A functional variant that affects exon-skipping and protein expression of SP140 as
genetic mechanism predisposing to multiple sclerosis. *Hum Mol Genet*, *24*(19), 5619-5627.
https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv256
Mayer-Barber, K. D., Andrade, B. B., Oland, S. D., Amaral, E. P., Barber, D. L., Gonzales, J.,
Derrick, S. C., Shi, R., Kumar, N. P., Wei, W., Yuan, X., Zhang, G., Cai, Y., Babu, S.,
Catalfamo, M., Salazar, A. M., Via, L. E., Barry, C. E., 3rd, & Sher, A. (2014). Host-directed
therapy of tuberculosis based on interleukin-1 and type I interferon crosstalk. *Nature*,
511(7507), 99-103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13489
McNab, F., Mayer-Barber, K., Sher, A., Wack, A., & O'Garra, A. (2015). Type I interferons in
infectious disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*, *15*(2), 87-103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3787
Mehta, S., Cronkite, D. A., Basavappa, M., Saunders, T. L., Adiliaghdam, F., Amatullah, H.,
Morrison, S. A., Pagan, J. D., Anthony, R. M., Tonnerre, P., Lauer, G. M., Lee, J. C.,
Digumarthi, S., Pantano, L., Ho Sui, S. J., Ji, F., Sadreyev, R., Zhou, C., Mullen, A. C., Kumar,
V., Li, Y., Wijmenga, C., Xavier, R. J., Means, T. K., & Jeffrey, K. L. (2017). Maintenance of
macrophage transcriptional programs and intestinal homeostasis by epigenetic reader SP140.
Sci Immunol, *2*(9). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aag3160
Moreira-Teixeira, L., Mayer-Barber, K., Sher, A., & O'Garra, A. (2018). Type I interferons in
tuberculosis: Foe and occasionally friend. *J Exp Med*, *215*(5), 1273-1285.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180325
O'Connell, R. M., Saha, S. K., Vaidya, S. A., Bruhn, K. W., Miranda, G. A., Zarnegar, B., Perry,
A. K., Nguyen, B. O., Lane, T. F., Taniguchi, T., Miller, J. F., & Cheng, G. (2004). Type I
interferon production enhances susceptibility to Listeria monocytogenes infection. *J Exp Med*,
200(4), 437-445. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20040712
Pan, H., Yan, B. S., Rojas, M., Shebzukhov, Y. V., Zhou, H., Kobzik, L., Higgins, D. E., Daly,
M. J., Bloom, B. R., & Kramnik, I. (2005). Ipr1 gene mediates innate immunity to tuberculosis.
Nature, *434*(7034), 767-772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03419
Perniola, R., & Musco, G. (2014). The biophysical and biochemical properties of the
autoimmune regulator (AIRE) protein. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, *1842*(2), 326-337.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.11.020
Pichugin, A. V., Yan, B. S., Sloutsky, A., Kobzik, L., & Kramnik, I. (2009). Dominant role of
the sst1 locus in pathogenesis of necrotizing lung granulomas during chronic tuberculosis
infection and reactivation in genetically resistant hosts. *Am J Pathol*, *174*(6), 2190-2201.
https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2009.081075
Pilla-Moffett, D., Barber, M. F., Taylor, G. A., & Coers, J. (2016). Interferon-Inducible GTPases
in Host Resistance, Inflammation and Disease. *J Mol Biol*, *428*(17), 3495-3513.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.04.032
Pimentel, H., Bray, N. L., Puente, S., Melsted, P., & Pachter, L. (2017). Differential analysis of
RNA-seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. *Nat Methods*, *14*(7), 687-690.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4324
Png, E., Alisjahbana, B., Sahiratmadja, E., Marzuki, S., Nelwan, R., Adnan, I., van de Vosse, E.,
Hibberd, M., van Crevel, R., Ottenhoff, T. H., & Seielstad, M. (2012). Polymorphisms in
SP110 are not associated with pulmonary tuberculosis in Indonesians. *Infect Genet Evol*, *12*(6),
1319-1323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2012.04.006

Price, A., Caciula, A., Guo, C., Lee, B., Morrison, J., Rasmussen, A., Lipkin, W. I., & Jain, K.
(2019). DEvis: an R package for aggregation and visualization of differential expression data.
BMC Bioinformatics, *20*(1), 110. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-2702-z
Rayamajhi, M., Humann, J., Penheiter, K., Andreasen, K., & Lenz, L. L. (2010). Induction of
IFN-alphabeta enables Listeria monocytogenes to suppress macrophage activation by IFN-
gamma. *J Exp Med*, *207*(2), 327-337. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20091746
Roscioli, T., Cliffe, S. T., Bloch, D. B., Bell, C. G., Mullan, G., Taylor, P. J., Sarris, M., Wang,
J., Donald, J. A., Kirk, E. P., Ziegler, J. B., Salzer, U., McDonald, G. B., Wong, M., Lindeman,
R., & Buckley, M. F. (2006). Mutations in the gene encoding the PML nuclear body protein
Sp110 are associated with immunodeficiency and hepatic veno-occlusive disease. *Nat Genet*,
38(6), 620-622. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1780
Scherer, M., & Stamminger, T. (2016). Emerging Role of PML Nuclear Bodies in Innate
Immune Signaling. *J Virol*, *90*(13), 5850-5854. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01979-15
Schmidt, T., Schmid-Burgk, J. L., & Hornung, V. (2015). Synthesis of an arrayed sgRNA library
targeting the human genome OPEN. *Nature Publishing Group*, *5*, 14987-14987.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14987
Schneider, W. M., Chevillotte, M. D., & Rice, C. M. (2014). Interferon-stimulated genes: a
complex web of host defenses. *Annu Rev Immunol*, *32*, 513-545.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120231
Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y., & Hu, F. (2016). SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for
FASTA/Q File Manipulation. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(10), e0163962-e0163962.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163962
Slager, S. L., Caporaso, N. E., de Sanjose, S., & Goldin, L. R. (2013). Genetic susceptibility to
chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Semin Hematol*, *50*(4), 296-302.
https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminhematol.2013.09.007
Stetson, D. B., & Medzhitov, R. (2006). Type I interferons in host defense. *Immunity*, *25*(3),
373-381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2006.08.007
Thye, T., Browne, E. N., Chinbuah, M. A., Gyapong, J., Osei, I., Owusu-Dabo, E., Niemann, S.,
Rusch-Gerdes, S., Horstmann, R. D., & Meyer, C. G. (2006). No associations of human
pulmonary tuberculosis with Sp110 variants. *J Med Genet*, *43*(7), e32.
https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2005.037960
Tosh, K., Campbell, S. J., Fielding, K., Sillah, J., Bah, B., Gustafson, P., Manneh, K., Lisse, I.,
Sirugo, G., Bennett, S., Aaby, P., McAdam, K., Bah-Sow, O., Lienhardt, C., Kramnik, I., &
Hill, A. V. S. (2006). Variants in the SP110 gene are associated with genetic susceptibility to
tuberculosis in West Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *103*(27), 10364-10368.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603340103
Wang, H., Yang, H., Shivalila, C. S., Dawlaty, M. M., Cheng, A. W., Zhang, F., & Jaenisch, R.
(2013). One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-
mediated genome engineering. *Cell*, *153*(4), 910-918.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.025
Weichenhan, D., Kunze, B., Winking, H., van Geel, M., Osoegawa, K., de Jong, P. J., & Traut,
W. (2001). Source and component genes of a 6-200 Mb gene cluster in the house mouse.
Mamm Genome, *12*(8), 590-594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-001-3015-9
Zhang, S., Wang, X. B., Han, Y. D., Wang, C., Zhou, Y., & Zheng, F. (2017). Certain
Polymorphisms in SP110 Gene Confer Susceptibility to Tuberculosis: A Comprehensive

Review and Updated Meta-Analysis. *Yonsei Med J*, *58*(1), 165-173.
https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2017.58.1.165
Zhu, A., Ibrahim, J. G., & Love, M. I. (2019). Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count
data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(12), 2084-2092.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty895
959

Figure 1. *Sp110⁻/⁻* mice are not susceptible to *M. tuberculosis* infections. (**A**) BMMs were treated with 10 U/ml of IFNγ for 24 hours and cells were lysed with RIPA buffer. Five µg of total protein was loaded on each lane, and immunoblot was performed with respective antibodies as shown. Molecular weight standards are shown on the left of each blot in kDa. Individual membranes were imaged separately. Three independent lines of *Sp110⁻/⁻* mice were analyzed (denoted lines 61, 65, and 71). (**B-D**), Lungs of mice infected with *M. tuberculosis* were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histology (**B**), measured for CFU at 25 days post-infection (Mann-Whitney test) (**C**) or, monitored for survival (**D**). All except B6 mice were bred in-house, and combined results from the three independent *Sp110⁻/⁻* lines are shown. Representative of 2 experiments (**B, D**); combined results of 3 infections (**C**). *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 2. *Sp140⁻/⁻* mice are susceptible to bacterial pathogens. (**A**) RT-PCR of cDNA from BMMs of the indicated genotypes. Red arrow indicates band corresponding to a portion of Sp140, verified by sequencing. (**B**) Immunoblot of lysates from *Sp140⁻/⁻* and WT BMMs treated with 10 U/ml of recombinant mouse IFNγ for 24 hours. Equal amounts of protein were loaded for immunoblot with anti-SP140 antibody. (**C-F**) Mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and measured for (**C**) lung CFU at 28 days post-infection, (**E**) body weight over time, and (**F**) survival. Statistics in (**E**) shows comparison to B6 at day 28, and data are from 10 B6, 11 B6.*Sst1ˢ*, 11 *Sp110⁻/⁻*, 14 *Sp140⁻/⁻*, and 6 *Sp140⁺/⁻* mice. (**D**) H&E staining of lungs at 25 days post-infection with *M. tuberculosis*. Full histology images are provided in Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. (**G**) Mice were infected with *L. pneumophila* and lung CFUs were determined at 96 hours post-infection. All mice were bred in-house, *Sp140⁻/⁻* and *Sp140⁺/⁻* were littermates (**C-F**). **C**, **E**, and **G** are combined results of two independent infections. **A-D** shows representative analysis of one *Sp140⁻/⁻* line (line 1), whereas **F-G** includes a mixture of both lines 1 and 2. Results of infection of both lines with *M. tuberculosis* is shown in Figure 2 – figure supplement 1E. (**C**, **E**, **F**, **G**) Mann-Whitney test. *, *p* ≤ 0.05; **, *p* ≤ 0.01; ***, *p* ≤ 0.005.

Figure 3. *Sp140^{–/–}* mice have elevated *Ifnb* transcripts during bacterial infection. (**A**) Mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and at 28 days post-infection lungs were processed for total RNA, which was used for RT-qPCR. Combined results of 2 independent experiments. (**B**) Mice were infected with *L. pneumophila* and RT-qPCR (top panel) and CFU enumeration (bottom panel) was performed on lungs collected at indicated times. Combined results of 2 independent infections. All mice were bred in-house, *Sp140^{–/–}* and *Sp140^{+/–}* were littermates. (**A**-**B**) Mann-Whitney test. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 4. Global gene expression analysis of *Sp110⁻ᐟ⁻*, *Sp140⁻ᐟ⁻* and B6.*Sst1ˢ* lungs after *M. tuberculosis* infection. (**A**) PCA or (**B**) Euclidean distance analysis of all the samples. (**C-D**) Heatmaps of gene expression in log₂-fold change from *M. tuberculosis*-infected B6. Genes shown are those significantly different between *Sp140⁻ᐟ⁻* and B6: (**C**) GSEA Hallmark inflammatory response; and (**D**) GO type I IFN response genes. (**E**) Volcano plot comparing *Sp140⁻ᐟ⁻* to B6.*Sst1ˢ* expression. Dots in red are 2-fold differentially expressed with adjusted *p*-value ≤ 0.05.

Figure 5. Susceptibility of *Sp140*⁻/⁻ to *M. tuberculosis* and *L. pneumophila* is dependent on type I IFN signaling. (**A**-**B**) mice were infected with *M. tuberculosis* and measured for (**A**) body weight, and (**B**) bacterial burdens at day 25. Statistics in **A** show comparison to B6; data are from 9 B6, 13 *Sp140*⁻/⁻, and *Sp140*⁻/⁻ *Ifnar*⁻/⁻ mice. Combined results of 2 experiments. (**C**-**D**) bacteria burden in *L. pneumophila*-infected mice at 96 hours. Combined results of 2 experiments. All mice were bred in-house (**A**-**B**, **D**); all but B6 were bred in-house (**C**). Mann-Whitney test (**A**-**D**). *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. CRISPR–Cas9 targeting strategy for *Sp110*^{−/−} mice. (**A**) Mouse *Sp110* gene. Guide RNA sequence for CRISPR–Cas9 targeting and protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) are indicated. (**B-D**) *Sp110* locus in wildtype (WT) and three independent lines. Homozygotes of 2 lines identified by sequencing (**B-C**), and heterozygote of the 3rd line by PCR products separated on an agarose gel (**D**). Arrow indicates the mutant band.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. CRISPR–Cas9 targeting strategy for *Sp140*⁻/⁻ and validation of founders. (**A**) Mouse *Sp140* gene. Guide RNA sequence for CRISPR–Cas9 targeting and protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM) are indicated. (**B**-**C**) *Sp140* locus in wildtype (WT) and 2 independent founders of *Sp140*⁻/⁻ validated by sequencing. (**D**) Immunoblot for SP110 using BMMs from mice of the indicated genotypes. Intervening lanes have been removed for clarity (indicated by line in the image). (**E**) *M. tuberculosis*-infected mice were harvested for CFU at 25 days post-infection. Empty and filled triangles indicate the two independent lines of *Sp140*⁻/⁻ used in this infection. All mice were bred in-house and *Sp140*⁺/⁻ were littermates with *Sp140*⁻/⁻ line 2. Mann-Whitney test, *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Histology of lungs from B6, B6.*Sst1ˢ*, *Sp110⁻/⁻*, *Sp140⁻/⁻* mice after infection with *M. tuberculosis*. H&E staining of entire lung sections from mice of indicated genotypes at 25 days post-infection with *M. tuberculosis*. Black squares denote sections shown in Figure 2C. Each image represents a lung section from a different mouse. Borders in background color have been added around each image. Scale bar applies to all images. Samples were evaluated and scored (0-4, least to most) for macrophage (histocyte), lymphoid, granulocyte infiltration, and extent of necrosis.

B

Summary of edited *Sp140* homologs in *Sp140*⁻/⁻ mice

Name	Dataset	Distinguishing SNPs from *Sp140* mRNA	Edited in *Sp140*⁻/⁻1?	Edited in *Sp140*⁻/⁻2?	Estimated level of expression in TB-infected lungs
LOC100041057	*Sp140* exon 3 amplicons, DNA (*Sp140*⁻/⁻1 and B6)	G at 1483, T at 1513	Yes	Unknown (not expressed)	None
Sp140 homolog 1	*Sp140* exon 3 amplicons, DNA (*Sp140*⁻/⁻1 and B6)	T at 1482, G at 1483	Yes	Unknown (not expressed)	None
Sp140 homolog 2	*Sp140* exon 2, 3 amplicons, cDNA; RNA-seq of TB-infected lungs	None	Yes	No	Expressed
Sp140 homolog 3	*Sp140* exon 3 amplicons, cDNA	T at 1462	Yes	Yes	Very low (not detectable by RNA-seq)
Sp140 homolog 4	*Sp140* exon 3 amplicons, cDNA	T at 1500	Yes	Yes	Very low (not detectable by RNA-seq)

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Characterization of off-target genes mutated in *Sp140*⁻/⁻ mice. (A) Schematic of amplicon sequencing strategy for *Sp140* and *Sp140* homologs. (**B**) Summary of edited *Sp140* homologs from amplicon sequencing and RNA-seq analysis. SNPs are denoted based on the *Sp140* X1 transcript. Expression level was roughly estimated from read counts. Three B6 and 2 *Sp140*⁻/⁻ mice from each founder line were used as biological replicates for *Sp140* exon 2/3 amplicon sequencing from cDNA, 2 mice per genotype were used for *Sp140* exon 3 amplicon sequencing from cDNA, and 1 mouse per genotype was used for *Sp140* exon 3 amplicon sequencing from DNA.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 4. Complementation of hyper type I IFN responses in *Sp140⁻/⁻* BMMs.
(**A**) BMMs were left untreated or treated with TNF-α for 24 hours. Total RNA was used for RT-qPCR. Averages of technical duplicates for one biological replicate are shown. Data is representative of two independent experiments. (**B**) RT-qPCR of *Sp140⁻/⁻* BMMs transduced with either control SINV-minC-MV-GAL4-mNeonGreen (SINV-mNeonGreen) or SINV-minCMV-Sp140 (SINV-Sp140), primed with 5 ng/mL IFN-γ for 14 hours and treated with 10 ng/mL TNF-α for 4 hours. *, $p \leq 0.05$ calculated with an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. BMMs from B6.*Sst1*^{S} and *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice show increased cell death upon stimulation with polyI:C, which is dependent upon IFNAR signaling. LDH release from primary BMMs after 16-24 hour stimulation with 100 µg/mL polyI:C for (**A**) B6, B6.*Sst1*^{S}, *Sp140*$^{-/-}$, B6.*Sst1*^{S}*Ifnar*$^{-/-}$ mice. Results are technical duplicates and representative of three independent experiments for B6 (3 mice), B6.*Sst1*^{S} (2 mice), and *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ (2 mice) samples, and two independent experiments for B6.*Sst1*^{S}*Ifnar*$^{-/-}$ sample (1 mouse). (**B**) LDH release after polyI:C stimulation for primary BMMs from B6, *Sp140*$^{-/-}$, and *Sp140*$^{-/-}$*Ifnar*$^{-/-}$ mice. Results represent technical triplicates and are representative of two independent experiments for two mice per genotype. *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$ as calculated with an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. B6.*Sst1^S* and *Sp140^−/−* lungs exhibit elevated transcript levels of the interferon stimulated gene Il1rn during *M. tuberculosis* infection. RT-qPCR for *Il1rn* (encodes Il1ra) for RNA extracted from lungs at 28 days post-infection with *M. tuberculosis*. Combined results of two independent experiments. Mann-Whitney test, *, $p \le 0.05$; **, $p \le 0.01$; ***, $p \le 0.005$.

Gene	Sp110^{-/-} vs. B6		Sp140^{-/-} vs. B6		Sp110^{-/-} vs. B6.Sst1^s		Sp140^{-/-} vs. B6.Sst1^s	
	Log2-fold change	Adjusted p-value	Log2-fold change	Adjusted p-value	Log2-fold change	Adjusted p-value	Log2-fold change	Adjusted p-value
Sp100	-0.1578	0.2936	0.3825	0.0088	-0.3877	0.0578	0.1123	0.5792
Sp110	-0.7750	1.4E-12	0.3382	0.0025	3.2647	1.00E-52	4.4200	4.26E-97
Sp140	-0.4293	0.0343	-0.4480	0.0303	1.7591	1.49E-14	1.7976	3.64E-14

Figure 4 – figure supplement 2. Expression of SP family members in *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ and *Sp110*$^{-/-}$ mouse lungs during *M. tuberculosis* infection. Log$_2$-fold change and adjusted p-value for SP family members (*Sp100, Sp110, Sp140*) from RNA-seq of *M. tuberculosis*-infected lungs from *Sp110*$^{-/-}$ and *Sp140*$^{-/-}$ mice, compared to B6 and B6.*Sst1*^{s}.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. Antibody blockade of IFNAR1 reduces bacterial burden in _Sp140_[−/−] mice during _M. tuberculosis_ infection. Mice were infected with _M. tuberculosis_ and treated with either IFNAR1-blocking antibody or isotype control starting 7 days post-infection. At 25 days post-infection lungs were harvested to enumerate CFU. Results of one experiment. All mice were bred in-house. Mann-Whitney test, *, $p \leq 0.05$; **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.005$.