Dissecting the roles of GRK2 and GRK3 in μ-opioid receptor internalization and β-arrestin2

recruitment using CRISPR/Cas9-edited HEK293 cells

Authors:

Thor C. Møller[1,3*], Mie F. Pedersen[1,3], Jeffrey R. van Senten[1], Sofie D. Seiersen[1], Jesper M.

Mathiesen[1], Michel Bouvier[2], Hans Bräuner-Osborne[1*].

[1]*Department of Drug Design and Pharmacology, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen,*

Denmark.

[2]*Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, Institute for Research in Immunology and*

Cancer, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada

[3]These authors contributed equally to this work

*Co-corresponding authors: thor.moller@sund.ku.dk; hbo@sund.ku.dk

Abstract

Most G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) recruit β-arrestins and internalize upon agonist

stimulation. For the μ-opioid receptor (μ-OR), this process has been linked to development of

opioid tolerance. GPCR kinases (GRKs), particularly GRK2 and GRK3, have been shown to be

important for μ-OR recruitment of β-arrestin and internalization. However, the contribution of

GRK2 and GRK3 to β-arrestin recruitment and receptor internalization, remain to be determined in

their complete absence. Using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing we established HEK293 cells

with knockout of GRK2, GRK3 or both to dissect their individual contributions in β-arrestin2

recruitment and μ-OR internalization upon stimulation with four different agonists. We showed

that GRK2/3 removal reduced agonist-induced μ-OR internalization and β-arrestin2 recruitment

substantially and we found GRK2 to be more important for these processes than GRK3.

Furthermore, we observed a sustained and GRK2/3 independent component of β-arrestin2

recruitment to the plasma membrane upon μ-OR activation. Rescue expression experiments

restored GRK2/3 functions. Inhibition of GRK2/3 using the small molecule inhibitor CMPD101

showed a high similarity between the genetic and pharmacological approaches, cross-validating

the specificity of both. However, off-target effects were observed at high CMPD101

concentrations. These GRK2/3 KO cell lines should prove useful for a wide range of studies on

GPCR function.

Introduction:

The family of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) constitute important drug targets, through

which ~30% of all clinically approved medicines mediate their action[1]. Regulation of GPCR

signaling following receptor activation is a complex process that typically involves recruitment of

kinases that phosphorylate the receptor to increase the receptor affinity for β-arrestins and

mediate receptor internalization[2]. The μ-opioid receptor (μ-OR) belongs to the family of

rhodopsin-like (family A) GPCRs and mediates the analgesic effects of opioid drugs, but also

related side-effects and addictive properties[3,4]. The regulation of μ-OR desensitization and

trafficking has been suggested to be linked to the development of tolerance after chronic use of

opioid drugs[5] and it is therefore important to understand the underlying molecular mechanisms.

Several studies indicate that receptor phosphorylation by GPCR kinases (GRKs) is important for

the desensitization of μ-OR signaling and initiation of internalization[6–12]. Out of the seven isotypes

in the GRK family, four (GRK2, GRK3, GRK5 and GRK6) have been speculated to regulate μ-OR *in*

vivo due to their overlapping expression patterns[13]. Knockout (KO) models have confirmed the

importance of the individual GRKs. For instance, it has been demonstrated that fentanyl and

morphine-induced tolerance are decreased in GRK3 KO mice[14], morphine reward and dependence

are lost in mice depleted of GRK5, but not GRK3, and morphine-induced locomotor activity is

increased in mice lacking GRK6 compared to wild type littermates[15,16]. Altogether, these studies

suggest that phosphorylation of μ-OR by specific GRK subtypes differentially impacts the

physiological outcome upon stimulation with opioids. The role of GRK2 has not been addressed in

KO systems due to lethal effects of removing GRK2 in mouse embryos[17]. Instead, the role of GRK2

has been studied using e.g. perfusion of a GRK2-inhibitory peptide or overexpression of a GRK2

dominant negative mutant in rat neurons, both demonstrating a role of GRK2 in μ-OR

desensitization[18,19].

Further insights into the mechanisms behind the role of GRKs in μ-OR pharmacology have

been obtained from *in vitro* studies. An early study demonstrated that phosphorylation of the μ-

OR could be increased by GRK2 overexpression, which led to increased β-arrestin recruitment and

μ-OR internalization[20]. The involvement of μ-OR phosphorylation in these processes was

confirmed by later studies[10,21–24]. In addition to GRK2, *in vitro* studies have shown that GRK3/5/6

have direct roles in μ-OR phosphorylation and/or internalization[16,23,25–27]. Moreover, studies have

also utilized phospho-site specific antibodies to demonstrate that the μ-OR is differentially

phosphorylated by distinct GRK isotypes depending on the agonist used. For instance, stimulation

with the full agonist D-Ala(2)-mephe(4)-gly-ol(5))enkephalin (DAMGO) leads to phosphorylation of

T370, S375, T376 and T379 in mouse μ-OR whereas stimulation with morphine – a full agonist for

G protein signaling, but a partial agonist for β-arrestin recruitment and μ-OR internalization[25] –

only leads to phosphorylation of S375[23,26]. In the same studies, the relative contribution of

GRK2/3/5/6 to μ-OR phosphorylation was also dependent on the agonist used.

The tools to study the involvement of GRKs in cell systems, have for now been restricted

mainly to short-interfering RNA techniques[10,23,26], the usage of dominant negative mutants of

GRK2[10,20,28] and utilization of Takeda compound 101 (CMPD101), a reported GRK2/3 selective

inhibitor[10,29–31]. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have investigated the role of GRKs in μ-

OR internalization in cell systems with complete KO of specific GRKs, which would prevent

incomplete knockdown of expression, residual kinase activity or unwanted overexpression effects.

Here, we report construction of individual and double KO of GRK2 and GRK3 cell lines in the

human embryonic kidney 293A (HEK293A) background, which we employ to investigate the

agonist-induced μ-OR internalization and β-arrestin2 recruitment in response to four different

agonists. We find that KO of GRK2 and GRK3 reduces agonist-induced μ-OR internalization and β-

arrestin2 recruitment. Additionally, we used a combination of β-arrestin2 recruitment assays that

either report β-arrestin2 proximity to μ-OR or to the cell membrane to identify a sustained and

GRK2/3 independent β-arrestin2 recruitment to the cell membrane. Furthermore, we present a

side-by-side comparison of the effects obtained with CMPD101 to the responses obtained in

GRK2/3 double KO cells. We find highly similar results with the two approaches when 10 µM of

CMPD101 is used; higher CMPD101 concentrations lead to non-GRK2/3-mediated effects. The cell

lines provide full KO of two important regulators of GPCR function and we expect them to be

useful tools for future studies of GPCR function.

Results

Validation of GRK2 and/or GRK3 genome-edited HEK293A cells

Construction of individual and combined GRK2 and GRK3 KO HEK293A cells (ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and

ΔGRK2/3) were performed using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats

(CRISPR)/CRISPR associated protein 9 (Cas9) technology. Clones containing modification of all

alleles were identified with insertions or deletions in the *ADRBK1* locus (GRK2) and/or the *ADRBK2*

locus (GRK3) leading to frameshifts as shown by sequencing and indel detection by amplicon

analysis (IDAA)[32]. Furthermore, we confirmed the absence of full-length GRK2 protein expression

in the ΔGRK2 and ΔGRK2/3 cells and of full-length GRK3 protein expression in the ΔGRK3 and

ΔGRK2/3 cells using GRK2- and GRK3-selective antibodies (**Fig. 1**). No alteration of GRK2

expression in the ΔGRK3 clone or GRK3 expression in the ΔGRK2 clone compared to parental cells

could be detected (**Fig. 1**). Similarly, the expression of GRK5 and GRK6 was comparable in the

parental cells and the three ΔGRK cell lines (**Supplementary Fig. S2**).

GRK2 and GRK3 contributes to µ-OR internalization

We used a real-time internalization assay to determine the influence of GRK2 and GRK3 on µ-OR

internalization. This assay is based on time-resolved Förster resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET)

between a long lifetime donor (Lumi4-Tb) covalently linked to an amino-terminal SNAP-tag on cell

surface μ-OR and a cell impermeant acceptor (fluorescein) in the extracellular buffer[33,34].

Internalization separates the donor and acceptor molecules thus preventing energy transfer and

increasing the ratio of donor over acceptor emissions (internalization ratio). We compared the

ability of four μ-OR agonists to stimulate internalization: DAMGO, loperamide, fentanyl and

morphine. All four agonists induced internalization in a concentration-dependent manner and

reached a plateau between 45 and 90 min after agonist addition in the parental HEK293A cells as

well as in the ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S3**).

Internalization was clearly reduced in the ΔGRK cells stimulated with DAMGO, loperamide and

fentanyl. A similar tendency was observed for morphine, but the effect was less clear compared to

the three other agonists due to lower overall internalization levels upon morphine stimulation. A

cell line where β-arrestin1 and -2 have been deleted in the same cellular background as the ΔGRK

cell lines has previously been described[35]. None of the agonists were able to induce internalization

in the $\Delta\beta$-arrestin1/2 cell line (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S3**). We used the area under the curve

from the 90 min real-time internalization ratio traces to plot concentration-response curves and

determine the maximum response (E_{max}) and potency (EC_{50}) for the four agonists in each of the

cell lines where internalization could be detected (**Fig. 2, Table 1**). DAMGO stimulation led to a

higher E_{max} in the parental cells than stimulation with fentanyl and loperamide, which was larger

than the E_{max} upon morphine stimulation (**Fig. 2e**). For DAMGO, fentanyl and loperamide, we

found a decrease in E_{max} compared to the parental cells of 48-62% and 22-23% in the ΔGRK2 and

the ΔGRK3 cell lines, respectively. The E_{max} was further reduced, but not completely abolished in

the ΔGRK2/3 cell line and corresponded approximately to the sum of the reductions in the

individual ΔGRK2 and ΔGRK3 cell lines. The responses to morphine stimulation were too small for

in-depth quantitative analysis. Importantly, the μ-OR surface expression in the ΔGRK2 and/or -3

cell lines was similar or slightly higher than in the parental cells (**Fig. 2g**). More DNA was required

for transfection of the ΔGRK3 (30 ng/ml) and the ΔGRK2/3 (20 ng/ml) cell lines compared to the

parental and the ΔGRK2 (15 ng/ml) cell lines to achieve this. To determine whether the reduced

internalization was due to off-target effects of the single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) we overexpressed

the deleted GRKs in the corresponding cell lines. GRK2 or -3 overexpression restored the

internalization ratio to the levels of the parental cells, thus showing that the internalization

machinery is fully functional in the ΔGRK cell lines (**Supplementary Fig. S4**).

GRK2 and GRK3 deletion reduces β-arrestin2 recruitment

To further investigate the mechanism linking GRK2/3 and μ-OR internalization we measured the

recruitment of β-arrestin2 to μ-OR in the ΔGRK2 and/or -3 cells using a bioluminescence

resonance energy transfer 1 (BRET[1]) assay. In this assay, BRET between *Renilla reniformis*

luciferase II (RlucII)-tagged β-arrestin2 and μ-OR tagged with EYFP at the C-terminus is measured

in real-time. DAMGO, fentanyl and loperamide stimulation induced a peak in β-arrestin2

recruitment at 6 min for DAMGO and at 6-12 min for fentanyl and loperamide followed by a

gradual decrease in recruitment in the parental and ΔGRK3 cells (**Fig. 3**). The responses were

strongly decreased in the ΔGRK2 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines and in most cases too small to discern the

kinetic profiles, except in the ΔGRK2 cells with DAMGO stimulation where a similar time-course

was observed. The response for morphine was too low to detect a peak in the β-arrestin2

recruitment. Since the kinetic profile was similar for all conditions where the response was

sufficiently large, we used the 6 min peak response to generate concentration-response curves for

determination of the maximum responses (E$_{max}$) and EC$_{50}$ values for the β-arrestin2 recruitment

(**Supplementary Fig. S5, Supplementary Table S1**). In the parental HEK293A cells, DAMGO

stimulation led to the highest maximum response (E_{max}), followed by loperamide, fentanyl and

morphine stimulation. The E_{max} in the ΔGRK3 cells was similar to the parental cells, but reduced to

19-25% of the E_{max} in the parental cells in the ΔGRK2 cells stimulated with DAMGO and

loperamide. The β-arrestin2 recruitment in the ΔGRK2 cells stimulated with fentanyl and

morphine and in the ΔGRK2/3 cells stimulated with all ligands was too low for robust curve fitting

and in most cases no increase was seen even at the highest ligand concentrations. In the absence

of agonist stimulation, the β-arrestin2 recruitment was similar in all four cell lines (**Supplementary**

Fig. S5).

We further investigated the β-arrestin2 recruitment to μ-OR using an enhanced bystander

BRET (ebBRET) assay[36]. Here, BRET between β-arrestin2-RlucII and a membrane anchored *R.*

reniformis GFP (rGFP) increases as β-arrestin2 is recruited to the membrane. Importantly, the C-

terminus of the receptor is not modified for this assay and the amount of μ-OR DNA used for

transfection could be reduced by around 20-fold compared to the μ-OR-EYFP assay described

above while maintaining a good assay window. In agreement with the μ-OR-EYFP assay, β-

arrestin2 recruitment was similar in the parental and the ΔGRK3 cells and clearly decreased in the

ΔGRK2 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines after 6 min stimulation with DAMGO, fentanyl and loperamide (**Fig.**

4). The maximum responses (E_{max}) in the ΔGRK2 and ΔGRK2/3 cells were 51-59% and 38-42%,

respectively, of the E_{max} in the parental cells (**Table 2**). These values are comparable to the

corresponding E_{max} values in the internalization assay, but higher than the μ-OR-EYFP β-arrestin2

recruitment assay. Increasing the amount of μ-OR DNA used for transfection in the ebBRET assay

to an amount comparable to the μ-OR-EYFP assay did not reduce the responses in the ΔGRK2 and

ΔGRK2/3 cell lines (**Supplementary Fig. S6**). Rescue expression of either GRK2 or GRK3 in the three

ΔGRK cell lines increased β-arrestin2 recruitment to the level of the parental cells with

overexpression of the same GRK for all four ligands (**Supplementary Fig. S7**).

Sustained and GRK2/3 independent recruitment of β-arrestin2 to the plasma membrane

We have previously observed that a considerable amount of β-arrestin2 recruitment can be

detected in the ebBRET assay after 60 min of μ-OR stimulation[25]. To determine if there is a kinetic

component to the effect of GRK2 and/or -3 knockout we measured the β-arrestin2 recruitment in

the ebBRET assay after 60 min stimulation in the genome-edited cell lines. There was a substantial

increase in β-arrestin2 recruitment at high agonist concentrations (**Supplementary Fig. S8**),

although the recruitment in the parental cells was slightly lower after 60 min than after 6 min

stimulation (**Fig. 5a,b**). The E_{max} values in the parental cells followed the same distribution as

observed after 6 min stimulation (**Supplementary Fig. S8**) and the EC_{50} values were similar to the

values obtained after 6 min stimulation (**Supplementary Table S2**). However, β-arrestin2

recruitment was unchanged in the ΔGRK2 and/or -3 cell lines relative to the parental cells, with

the exception of the ΔGRK3 cell line where DAMGO stimulation led to a 40% increased

recruitment. In contrast, the agonist-induced β-arrestin2 recruitment to μ-OR-EYFP was almost

reduced to buffer levels after 60 min stimulation (**Fig. 5c,d**). To get further insights into the

mechanism behind this difference we used a third β-arrestin2 recruitment assay where

recruitment of GFP^2-β-arrestin2 (BRET acceptor) to μ-OR-RlucII (BRET donor) is measured by

$BRET^2$. The results of this assay were overall in good agreement with the μ-OR-EYFP assay (**Fig.**

5e,f). Recruitment of GFP^2-β-arrestin1 to μ-OR-RlucII yielded results similar to recruitment of

GFP^2-β-arrestin2 (**Supplementary Fig. S9**).

Finally, we looked at the kinetic profile of the effect of GRK deletion on μ-OR internalization.

We initially analyzed the μ-OR internalization by taking the area under the curve of the 90 min

time curves, which could mask kinetic effects. However, concentration-response curves composed

from the μ-OR internalization responses after 6, 12, 30 and 60 min stimulation were highly similar

for all curves displaying sufficient signal-to-noise (**Supplementary Fig. S10**), thus indicating that

the effect of GRK2/3 knockout on μ-OR internalization is constant over time.

Similar effects of genetic deletion and pharmacological inhibition of GRK2/3

The small molecule inhibitor CMPD101 has been shown to selectively inhibit GRK2/3 over GRK1

and GRK5 *in vitro*[30]. We compared the internalization and β-arrestin2 recruitment results obtained

in the ΔGRK2/3 cells with that obtained using the kinase inhibitor. We used the ebBRET assay with

6 min stimulation to measure the β-arrestin2 recruitment. At CMPD101 concentrations ≤ 10 μM,

we observed no effect on receptor internalization in absence of agonist (basal internalization) (**Fig.**

6a) or basal β-arrestin2 recruitment (**Fig. 6e**) in the parental HEK293A and ΔGRK2/3 cells. With

DAMGO stimulation in the parental cells, we found a concentration dependent decrease in μ-OR

internalization (**Fig. 6b**) and β-arrestin2 recruitment (**Fig. 6f**) that plateaued at levels similar to the

ΔGRK2/3 cells with IC_{50} values of 1.8 μM ($pIC_{50} = 5.79 \pm 0.12$) for internalization and 0.95 μM

($pIC_{50} = 6.03 \pm 0.02$) for β-arrestin2 recruitment at CMPD101 concentrations ≤ 10 μM. Thus, in this

concentration range CMPD101 specifically inhibits GRK2/3 and reaches inhibition comparable to

the ΔGRK2/3 cell line at 10 μM.

CMPD101 concentrations ≥ 30 μM decreased basal and DAMGO-stimulated μ-OR

internalization in both parental and ΔGRK2/3 cells (**Fig. 6a,b**). In contrast, basal and DAMGO

induced β-arrestin2 recruitment were constant over the range of CMPD101 concentrations tested

(up to 100 μM) in parental and ΔGRK2/3 cells (**Fig. 6e,f**). μ-OR surface expression was similar in

the parental and ΔGRK2/3 cells in the internalization and β-arrestin2 recruitment experiments

(**Fig. 6c,g**). Due to the long-lifetime donor fluorophore with several emission peaks and the

homogenous format, the internalization assay is sensitive to compounds that absorb light in most

of the visual spectrum. However, the donor signal in absence of acceptor was unaffected by

CMPD101 up to concentrations of 100 μM (**Fig. 6d**), demonstrating that the observed effects at

high concentrations were not due to optical interference with the internalization assay. We

conclude that CMPD101 at concentrations ≥ 30 μM has a non-GRK2/3 regulatory effect on basal

and agonist-stimulated μ-OR internalization.

Discussion

Compelling evidence on the importance of GRKs in μ-OR pharmacology has increased over the

years. Yet, most of these studies has relied on methods either overexpressing dominant negative

forms of the protein of interest or silencing with siRNAs, possibly leading to undesired effects of

overexpression or incomplete removal of the target protein[37]. Genome editing is a promising

alternative to these approaches to investigate the role of GRKs as potential modulators of GPCR

function. In this study we have generated genome-edited HEK293 cells and used them to dissect

the role of GRK2 and GRK3 in μ-OR internalization and β-arrestin2 recruitment.

Previous studies have demonstrated that GRK2 and GRK3 affect the phosphorylation state of

the [375]STANT[379] motif in mouse μ-OR[22,23,26], and this region has been directly linked to the

regulation of μ-OR internalization by mutational studies[23,24]. Here, we demonstrate that our

ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines are excellent novel tools to dissect the role of these GRK

subtypes in DAMGO, fentanyl and loperamide-induced μ-OR internalization. Double KO of GRK2/3

had an additive effect on μ-OR internalization with a significant reduction for DAMGO-, fentanyl-

and loperamide-induced μ-OR internalization (**Fig. 2**, **Table 1**). No statistically significant difference

could be reached for morphine-induced μ-OR internalization, however this agonist induced low μ-

OR internalization and thereby the effects could be masked by the small assay window. Our study

also shows that there are additional factors controlling μ-OR internalization, since deletion of

GRK2/3 did not fully inhibit μ-OR internalization. There is limited evidence suggesting that GRK5 or

GRK6 could be responsible for this: μ-OR internalization is sensitive to GRK5 and GRK6

overexpression[16,25] and GRK5 has been shown to be important in HEK293 cells and mouse brain

for morphine-induced phosphorylation of Ser375[26], which is a critical residue in initiating further

C-terminal μ-OR phosphorylation[23]. In contrast, DAMGO-induced phosphorylation of the C-

terminal region of μ-OR was shown to be unaffected by knockdown of GRK5 and GRK6[23,26] and

fentanyl-induced Ser375 phosphorylation was unchanged in mice lacking GRK5[15], so the roles of

GRK5 and GRK6 might depend on the GRK expression levels in an individual cell. Several other

kinases and enzymes have been proposed to regulate μ-OR internalization, including

phospholipase D2 (PLD2) and protein kinase C (PKC)[5], but more studies are required to determine

their role relative to the GRKs. Our successful generation of the ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and ΔGRK2/3 cell

lines demonstrate the utility in this approach and calls for generation of additional cell lines

deficient of other kinases to dissect other contributors to μ-OR internalization in the future.

We observed a discrepancy between the two assays where β-arrestin2 recruitment is

measured using an EYFP or RlucII tag on the C-terminal end of the receptor and the ebBRET assay

where β-arrestin2 recruitment is measured using a BRET acceptor tethered to the membrane (**Fig.**

5). The two main differences between the assays is the presence or absence of a C-terminal tag on

μ-OR and the measurement of β-arrestin2 proximity to μ-OR or the cell membrane. Although C-

terminal tagging of μ-OR has previously been shown not to affect the μ-OR signaling in HEK293

cells or the behavior of knock-in mice[27] and we obtained similar results with two different tags, it

cannot be ruled out that the tags could interfere with the GRK and/or β-arrestin interaction with

μ-OR. Another potential explanation for the observed sustained β-arrestin recruitment to the cell

membrane is that there is a GRK2/3 independent component in addition to the GRK2/3 dependent

β-arrestin recruitment. This GRK2/3 independent component is only transiently associated with μ-

OR and remains associated with the cell membrane after dissociation from μ-OR. Such a

mechanism has previously been shown for several receptors, including μ-OR[38–40], and has been

suggested to result from an interaction where arrestin only engages the core of the receptor 7TM

bundle[38,40–42]. This interaction model would be consistent with our observation that the β-arrestin

population that remains associated with the cell membrane interacts with μ-OR independently of

GRK2/3. Our results would suggest that the combination of direct and bystander β-arrestin

recruitment assays that measure receptor and cell membrane proximity at different time points

could be used to discriminate transient and stable β-arrestin interactions.

Although using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to generate cell lines lacking specific proteins has

several advantages over conventional methods for interrogating protein function, it has also been

criticized for potentially selecting clones that have compensated for the lack of the deleted

protein[43]. We addressed this concern by reintroduction of the deleted proteins (**Supplementary**

Figs. S4, **S7**) and by pharmacological inhibition with CMPD101 (**Fig. 6**). Transient expression of

GRK2 or GRK3 showed that μ-OR in the genome-edited cells was still sensitive to regulation by

GRK2 and GRK3. Comparison with pharmacological inhibition is an alternative way to characterize

genome-edited cells if a specific and potent inhibitor exists. We compared the μ-OR internalization

and β-arrestin2 recruitment in the ΔGRK2/3 cells with the effect of the inhibitor CMPD101 on the

parental cells and found highly similar results. Collectively, these results demonstrate that there

are no significant compensatory mechanisms in the ΔGRK2/3 cells of relevance to the μ-OR

functions studied here and confirms the utility of CMPD101 as a GRK2/3 selective pharmacological

tool in concentrations up to 10 μM. Our ΔGRK2/3 cells also proved to be a powerful tool to study

specificity of GRK inhibitors as we could demonstrate off-target effects of CMPD101 at

concentrations ≥ 30 μM (**Fig. 6a,b**), which is a concentration range commonly used to inhibit

GRK2/3[10,31], thus cautioning the use of such high concentrations in future studies.

In conclusion, we have generated novel ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines in the highly

utilized HEK293A cell background. These cell lines complement the range of G protein and β-

arrestin cell lines generated by Dr. Asuka Inoue[44] and thus expand this highly efficient tool box to

study intracellular proteins involved in GPCR function and signaling. Here, we demonstrate the

utility of the cell lines to study μ-OR-mediated β-arrestin2 recruitment to the cell membrane and

μ-OR internalization, but we envision that the cell lines could be used for a range of other studies

and thus welcome all requests to obtain the ΔGRK2, ΔGRK3 and ΔGRK2/3 cell lines for future

studies.

Methods

Materials

Dulbeccos's modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Cat# 61965-026), fetal bovine serum (FBS), Opti-

MEM, Penicillin-Streptomycin, Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS, Cat# 14190-144),

Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS, Cat# 14175-053), restriction endonucleases, TOPO TA cloning

kit for sequencing including TOP10 *E. coli.*, FastAP alkaline phosphatase, Pierce BCA Protein Assay

Kit, dithiothreitol, PageRuler Plus Prestained Protein Ladder (10 to 250 kDa), Pierce 10x Tris-

Glycine SDS Buffer, NuPAGE LDS Sample buffer (4x), SuperSignal West Pico PLUS and ELISA Femto

chemiluminescent substrates, and Pluronic F-68 non-ionic surfactant were purchased from

Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). QuickExtract DNA Extraction Solution was

purchased from Lucigen Corporation (WI, USA) and TEMPase Hot Start DNA Polymerase from

Ampliqon (Odense, Denmark). Anti-GRK2 antibody (Cat# MAB43391, RRID:AB_2818985) and anti-

GRK5 antibody (Cat# AF4539, RRID:AB_2248068) were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis,

MN, USA). Anti-GRK3 antibody (Cat# 80362, RRID:AB_2799951), anti-GRK6 antibody (Cat# 5878,

RRID:AB_11179210), and anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP)

(Cat# 7074, RRID:AB_2099233) were acquired from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA).

Anti-GAPDH (Cat# NB600-502, RRID:AB_10077682) antibody was bought from Novus Biologicals

(Centennial, CO, USA). Anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated to HRP (Cat# P0447,

RRID:AB_2617137) and anti-goat IgG antibody conjugated to HRP (Cat# P0449, RRID:AB_2617143)

were obtained from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Mini-PROTEAN TDX Precast

Protein Gels and Trans-Blot Turbo RTA Mini PVDF Transfer Kit were purchased from Bio-Rad

Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA). T4 PNK and T4 ligase were purchased from New England Biolabs

(Ipswich, MA, USA). Plasmid-Safe ATP-Dependent DNase was purchased from Epicentre

Technologies Corp. (Madison, WI, USA). Primers and sgRNA sequences were purchased from TAG

Copenhagen (Copenhagen, Denmark). FuGene6 Transfection Reagent was purchased from

Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Polyethylenimine (PEI) was purchased from Polysciences Inc.

(Warrington, PA, USA). Coelenterazine 400a and coelenterazine h were purchased from Cayman

Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Tag-lite SNAP Lumi4-Tb was purchased from Cisbio

(Codolet, France). DAMGO was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom). Anti-FLAG

M2 antibody (Cat# F3165, RRID:AB_259529), morphine sulfate, fentanyl citrate, and loperamide

hydrochloride, RIPA buffer, cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail, Cell Dissociation Solution,

protease inhibitor cocktail, Trizma base, skim milk powder, and Tween 20 were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). CMPD101 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK).

Plasmids

The following plasmids were described previously: pcDNA5/FRT/TO-FLAG-SNAP-μ-OR[25],

pcDNA3.1(+)-β-arrestin1 and pcDNA3.1(+)-β-arrestin2[45], pcDNA3.1/Zeo-β-arrestin1-RlucII[46],

pcDNA3.1/Zeo-β-arrestin2-RlucII[47], pcDNA3.1(+)-GFP^2-β-arrestin2[48] and pcDNA3.1(+)-rGFP-

CAAX[36]. pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) was a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid # 48138;

http://n2t.net/addgene:48138; RRID:Addgene_48138) and was described previously[49]. The

pcDNA3.1-μ-OR-EYFP plasmid was a gift from the ARPEGE platform (Institut de Génomique

Fonctionnelle, Montpellier, France). pcDNA3.1/Hygro(+)-SP-FLAG-μ-OR-RlucII was constructed by

PCR amplification of human μ-OR1 tagged with a signal peptide (SP) (MKTIIALSYIFCLVFA) and a

variant of the FLAG tag epitope (MDYKDDDDA) from pLVxi2P-SP-FLAG-hμ-OR[27] and then

subcloning it into the pcDNA3.1/Hygro(+)GFP10-RlucII vector[50] using Gibson assembly (New

England Biolabs) after digestion with NheI + AgeI.

The pcDNA3.1(+)-GRK2 and pcDNA3.1(+)-GRK3 constructs were kind gifts from Novo Nordisk A/S

(Maaloev, Denmark). All μ-OR, GRK and β-arrestin sequences are the human sequences.

Cell lines and culturing

The parental HEK293A and $\Delta\beta$-arrestin1/2 cell lines were kind gifts from Dr. Asuka Inoue[35]. All cell

lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U/ml Penicillin-Streptomycin at

37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator.

Design and cloning of sgRNAs

sgRNA sequences were identified using the WTSI Genome Editing (WGE) tool by screening exonic

regions of the genes *ADRBK1* (RefSeq: NC_000011.10) or *ADRBK2* (RefSeq: NC_000022.11)

encoding GRK2 and GRK3, respectively. sgRNAs with low predicted off target effects and binding

sites upstream of regions encoding catalytic sites in the GRK proteins were chosen, resulting in the

sgRNA sequence 5'-CTTCGACTCATACATCATGA-3' binding in exon 4 within the *ADRBK1* gene and

the sgRNA sequence 5'-ATTATTGGACGAGGAGGATT-3' binding in exon 8 within the *ADRBK2* gene.

Overhangs for cloning into a BsbI restriction site were placed in the ends of the sgRNAs. sgRNAs

were prepared for cloning by incubating 100 μM of reverse complementary strands with T4 PNK in

a thermocycler at 37 °C for 30 minutes followed by a 5-minute incubation at 95 °C and ramping

down to 25 °C with 5 °C/min. The double stranded sgRNAs were ligated into BsbI digested

pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP by incubating with T4 DNA ligase for 1 hour at 22 °C. To remove excess non-

ligated DNA, the samples were treated with Plasmid-Safe ATP-Dependent DNase for 30 minutes at

37 °C.

Generation and validation of CRISPR-Cas9 KO cell lines

HEK293A cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^5 cells/well in a 6-well plate and incubated at 37

°C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. Twenty-four hours later cells were transfected with 500

ng/well pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP encoding the sgRNAs using FuGene6 as the transfection reagent and

the cells were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. Forty-eight hours later,

the cells were harvested by trypsination and sorted based on their GFP expression with

fluorescence assisted cell sorting (FACS) using a MoFlo Astrios Cell Sorter (Beckman Coulter, Brea,

CA, USA). Cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates to isolate single clones and were incubated at

37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator until ~70% confluent.

IDAA

Single clones were harvested from 96-well plates by trypsination and DNA was extracted using

QuickExtract followed by cell lysis (20 min at 65 °C, 10 min at 98 °C) as described previously[32]. A

tri-primer PCR described previously[51] was performed using TEMPase Hot Start DNA Polymerase.

To amplify the *ADRBK1* locus, the following primers and concentrations were used: 0.05 µM

forward primer (5'-AGCTGACCGGCAGCAAAATTGCCAGGCCCTTGGTGGAATTCTATG-3'), 0.5 µM

reverse primer (5'-GGACATGCTCAGTGGCACTCTTC-3') and 0.5 µM FAM forward primer (5'-6-FAM-

AGCTGACCGGCAGCAAAATTG-3'). For amplification of the *ADRBK2* locus following primers and

concentrations were used: 0.05 µM forward primer (5'-

AGCTGACCGGCAGCAAAATTGCCTGGGGCATCTCATCCTTCAGC-3'), 0.5 µM reverse primer (5'-

CGCCCGGCCTACAGCTTATTTC-3') and 0.5 µM FAM forward primer (5'-6-FAM-

AGCTGACCGGCAGCAAAATTG-3'). A touchdown thermocycling program was used with

denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min followed by 15 cycles with an annealing temperature of 72 °C

ramping down to 58 °C with 1 °C/cycle. Subsequent 24 cycles with 58 °C as annealing temperature

was performed ending with elongation at 72 °C for 20 min. For both annealing cycles,

denaturation and elongation was performed at 95 °C and 72 °C for 30 s, respectively. IDAA on the

resulting PCR products was executed by COBO Technologies Aps (Copenhagen, Denmark).

Genome sequencing

DNA from the genome-edited cell lines was extracted as described above for IDAA. The *ADRBK1* or

ADRBK2 regions targeted by the sgRNA were PCR amplified with the forward primers 5'-

CCAGGCCCTTGGTGGAATTCTATG-3' (*ADRBK1*) and 5'-CCTGGGGCATCTCATCCTTCAGC-3' (*ADRBK2*)

and the same reverse primers as used for IDAA. PCR products were cloned into the pCR4-TOPO TA

vectors using the TOPO TA cloning kit and used to transform TOP10 bacteria. DNA from 5-10 single

clones was sequenced for each genome-edited cell line to determine the modifications for all

alleles.

Western blot

Genome-edited HEK293A cells were incubated in 15-cm culture dishes at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 in a

humidified incubator until ~90% confluency and harvested with ice cold Cell Dissociation Solution.

Cells were centrifuged in a tabletop centrifuge at 4 °C for 5 min at 500 x *g*. Whole cell lysates were

prepared from pellets by resuspending in RIPA buffer containing cOmplete protease inhibitor

cocktail. Cells were pulse sonicated for 30 s and incubated with end-over-end rotation at 4 °C for

60 min. Lysates were centrifuged in a tabletop centrifuge at 4 °C for 10 min at 15,000 x *g*. The

supernatant was transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube and the protein concentrations were

determined using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The

absorbance of the samples was measured at 562 nm on an EnSpire Multimode Plate Reader

(PerkinElmer), and the values were converted to protein concentrations by interpolation from a

bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard curve. Western blot samples were prepared with 50 µg

protein in NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer supplemented with 100 µM dithiothreitol (DTT) and heated

for 30 s at 50 °C. Subsequently they were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and

electrophoresed using 4-20% polyacrylamide gels for 40 min at 200 V. Proteins were transferred

onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane followed by one hour blocking with 5% skim

milk in Tris buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBS-T; 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween

20). The PVDF membrane was incubated over night with anti-GRK2 (0.1 μg/ml in TBS-T with 5%

skim milk), anti-GRK3 (1:2000 in TBS-T with 5% skim milk), anti-GRK5 (0.5 μg/ml in TBS-T with 5%

skim milk), anti-GRK6 (1:1000 in TBS-T with 5% skim milk) or anti-GAPDH (1:5000 in TBS-T with 1%

BSA) at 4 °C. The membranes were washed three times with TBS-T and incubated with secondary

antibodies for one hour at room temperature with gentle agitation followed by three washes with

TBS-T. Blots were developed with HRP substrate and imaged with a FluorChem HD2 system

(ProteinSimple, San Jose, CA, USA) or iBright FL1500 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA,

USA).

β-arrestin2 recruitment

Parental HEK293A cells or genome-edited cells were transfected with PEI for β-arrestin2

recruitment experiments as previously described.[36] 500,000 cells/ml were transfected with the

following combinations of plasmids: (1) ebBRET: 20 ng/ml β-arrestin2-RlucII (BRET donor), 500

ng/ml rGFP-CAAX (BRET acceptor), pcDNA5/FRT/TO-FLAG-SNAP-μ-OR and pcDNA3.1(+), (2) BRET[1]:

20 ng/ml β-arrestin2-RlucII (BRET donor), 500 ng/ml μ-OR-EYFP (BRET acceptor) and pcDNA3.1(+),

and (3) BRET[2]: μ-OR-RlucII (BRET donor), 20 ng/ml GFP[2]-β-arrestin1 or -2 (BRET acceptor) and

pcDNA3.1(+). The amounts of pcDNA5/FRT/TO-FLAG-SNAP-μ-OR and μ-OR-RlucII were adjusted

for each cell line to equalize the expression: 15 ng/ml for parental HEK293A and ΔGRK2, 10 ng/ml

(μ-OR-RlucII) or 20 ng/ml (pcDNA5/FRT/TO-FLAG-SNAP-μ-OR) for ΔGRK2/3 and 30 ng/ml for

ΔGRK3. For rescue experiments, 20 ng/ml GRK2 or GRK3 was added in (1). The total DNA amount

in all transfections was adjusted to 1 μg/ml with pcDNA3.1(+). 32,000 cells mixed with DNA and

PEI were added to each well in poly-D-lysine-coated white, opaque CulturPlate-96 96-well plates

(PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were washed and

incubated in assay buffer (HBSS supplemented with 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 20 mM HEPES and

0.01% Pluronic F-68, pH 7.4) for 30 min at 37 °C before addition of agonists. For experiments with

CMPD101, cells were incubated for an additional 30 min in presence of CMPD101 before agonist

addition. Cells were incubated with agonists at 37 °C. For ebBRET/$BRET^2$ experiments,

coelenterazine 400a was added to a final concentration of 2.5 μM and 2 min later BRET was

measured on an EnVision 2104 Multilabel Reader (PerkinElmer) using 410/80 nm (donor) and

515/30 nm (acceptor) emission filters. $BRET^2$ ratios were calculated as the ratio of acceptor and

donor emission (515 nm/410 nm). For concentration-response curves the buffer response of the

parental cells was subtracted. For $BRET^1$ experiments, coelenterazine h was added to a final

concentration of 5 μM for 3 min before measuring BRET on an EnVision 2104 Multilabel Reader

using 470/24 nm (donor) and 535/30 nm (acceptor) emission filters. $BRET^1$ ratios were calculated

as the ratio of acceptor and donor emission (535 nm/470 nm). For concentration-response curves

the buffer response of the same cell line was subtracted.

ELISA

Receptor surface expression in β-arrestin2 recruitment experiments was determined using

enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as previously described[52] with anti-FLAG as primary

antibody in a 1:1000 dilution.

TR-FRET real-time internalization

Parental HEK293A cells or genome-edited cells were transfected with PEI for real-time

internalization experiments with different amounts of pcDNA5/FRT/TO-FLAG-SNAP-μ-OR

depending on the cell line (same amounts for each cell line as in β-arrestin2 recruitment

experiments) to equalize expression and the total DNA amount was adjusted to 1 μg/ml with

pcDNA3.1(+). For rescue experiments, cells were co-transfected with 30 ng/ml GRK2, GRK3, -β-

arrestin1, or -β-arrestin2. 14,000 cells mixed with DNA and PEI were added to each well in a poly-

D-lysine-coated white, opaque 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria). Forty-

eight hours after transfection, cells were labeled with 10 μl Tag-lite Lumi4-Tb for 60 min at 37 °C in

Opti-MEM. After labeling, cells were washed twice with assay buffer (HBSS supplemented with 1

mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 20 mM HEPES and 0.01% Pluronic F-68, pH 7.4) and incubated for 5 min

with 10 μl of 100 μM fluorescein-O'-acetic acid. The donor signal was measured before removing

the second wash from the plate and used as a measure of the μ-OR surface expression. For

experiments with CMPD101, the compound was added together with fluorescein-O'-acetic acid

and the incubation was extended to 30 min. 10 μl agonist was then added and internalization was

read immediately after for 90 min in 6 min intervals on an EnVision 2104 Multilabel Reader using a

340/60 nm excitation filter and emission was recorded through 520/8 nm (acceptor) and 615/8.5

nm (donor) emission filters. Internalization ratios were calculated as the ratio of donor over

acceptor emission (615 nm/520 nm) for real-time internalization curves. For concentration-

response curves the area under the real-time internalization curves was calculated and the buffer

response subtracted. The IC_{50} of CMPD101 inhibition of internalization was determined by fitting

the concentration-response curve obtained by subtracting the DAMGO – buffer response in

ΔGRK2/3 cells from the DAMGO – buffer response in parental cells.

Data analysis and statistics

Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM of $n \geq 3$ independent experiments. Results were analyzed

using Prism 7 and 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Differences were determined with

regular or repeated measures one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test or paired

t-test on non-normalized data; $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. In Fig. 1b where data was

compared to a reference value without variance (100 ± 0), observations were compared to the

reference value using a one sample t-test and the cutoff for what was considered a significant

difference was adjusted to $P < 0.05/n$ (n represents the number of compared observations) to

account for multiple comparisons.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on

reasonable request.

References:

1. Hauser, A. S., Attwood, M. M., Rask-Andersen, M., Schiöth, H. B. & Gloriam, D. E. Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **16**, 829–842 (2017).

2. Hanyaloglu, A. C. & Zastrow, M. von. Regulation of GPCRs by endocytic membrane trafficking and its potential implications. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **48**, 537–568 (2008).

3. Dahan, A. *et al.* Anesthetic potency and influence of morphine and sevoflurane on respiration in mu-opioid receptor knockout mice. *Anesthesiology* **94**, 824–832 (2001).

4.   Charbogne, P., Kieffer, B. L. & Befort, K. 15 years of genetic approaches in vivo for addiction

research: Opioid receptor and peptide gene knockout in mouse models of drug abuse.

Neuropharmacology **76**, 204–217 (2014).

5.   Williams, J. T. *et al.* Regulation of µ-opioid receptors: Desensitization, phosphorylation,

internalization, and tolerance. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **65**, 223–254 (2013).

6.   Wang, Z., Arden, J. & Sadee, W. Basal phosphorylation of µ opioid receptor is agonist

modulated and Ca2+-dependent. *FEBS Lett.* **387**, 53–57 (1996).

7.   Zhang, L. *et al.* Differential µ opiate receptor phosphorylation and desensitization induced

by agonists and phorbol esters. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**, 11449–11454 (1996).

8.   Yu, Y. *et al.* µ opioid receptor phosphorylation, desensitization, and ligand efficacy. *J. Biol.*

Chem. **272**, 28869–28874 (1997).

9.   Deng, H. B. *et al.* Role for the C-terminus in agonist-induced µ opioid receptor

phosphorylation and desensitization. *Biochemistry* **39**, 5492–5499 (2000).

10. Miess, E. *et al.* Multisite phosphorylation is required for sustained interaction with GRKs

and arrestins during rapid µ-opioid receptor desensitization. *Sci. Signal.* **11**, eaas9609

(2018).

11. Birdsong, W. T., Arttamangkul, S., Bunzow, J. R. & Williams, J. T. Agonist binding and

desensitization of the µ-opioid receptor is modulated by phosphorylation of the C-terminal

tail domain. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **88**, 816–824 (2015).

12. Yousuf, A. *et al.* Role of phosphorylation sites in desensitization of µ-opioid receptor. *Mol.*

Pharmacol. **88**, 825–835 (2015).

13. Raehal, K. M., Schmid, C. L., Groer, C. E. & Bohn, L. M. Functional selectivity at the µ-opioid

receptor: implications for understanding opioid analgesia and tolerance. *Pharmacol. Rev.*

63, 1001–1019 (2011).

14. Terman, G. W. *et al.* G-protein receptor kinase 3 (GRK3) influences opioid analgesic tolerance but not opioid withdrawal. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **141**, 55–64 (2004).

15. Glück, L. *et al.* Loss of morphine reward and dependence in mice lacking G protein-coupled receptor kinase 5. *Biol. Psychiatry* **76**, 767–774 (2014).

16. Raehal, K. M. *et al.* Morphine-induced physiological and behavioral responses in mice lacking G protein-coupled receptor kinase 6. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **104**, 187–196 (2009).

17. Jaber, M. *et al.* Essential role of beta-adrenergic receptor kinase 1 in cardiac development and function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**, 12974–12949 (1996).

18. Li, A. H. & Wang, H.-L. G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 mediates μ-opioid receptor desensitization in GABAergic neurons of the nucleus raphe magnus. *J. Neurochem.* **77**, 435–444 (2001).

19. Bailey, C. *et al.* Involvement of PKCα and G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 in agonist-selective desensitization of μ-opioid receptors in mature brain neurons. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **158**, 157–164 (2009).

20. Zhang, J. *et al.* Role for G protein-coupled receptor kinase in agonist-specific regulation of mu-opioid receptor responsiveness. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**, 7157–7162 (1998).

21. McPherson, J. *et al.* μ-opioid receptors: correlation of agonist efficacy for signalling with ability to activate internalization. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **78**, 756–766 (2010).

22. Schulz, S. *et al.* Morphine induces terminal μ-opioid receptor desensitization by sustained phosphorylation of serine-375. *EMBO J.* **23**, 3282–3289 (2004).

23. Just, S. *et al.* Differentiation of opioid drug effects by hierarchical multi-site phosphorylation. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **83**, 633–639 (2013).

24.   Lau, E. K. *et al.* Quantitative encoding of the effect of a partial agonist on individual opioid

receptors by multisite phosphorylation and threshold detection. *Sci. Signal.* **4**, ra52–ra52

(2011).

25.   Pedersen, M. F. *et al.* Biased agonism of clinically approved μ-opioid receptor agonists and

TRV130 is not controlled by binding and signaling kinetics. *Neuropharmacology* **166**, 107718

(2020).

26.   Doll, C. *et al.* Deciphering μ-opioid receptor phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in

HEK293 cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **167**, 1259–1270 (2012).

27.   Ehrlich, A. T. *et al.* Biased signaling of the mu opioid receptor revealed in native neurons.

iScience **14**, 47–57 (2019).

28.   Celver, J., Xu, M., Jin, W., Lowe, J. & Chavkin, C. Distinct domains of the μ-opioid receptor

control uncoupling and internalization. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **65**, 528–537 (2004).

29.   Ikeda, S., Manami, K. & Fujiwara, S. Cardiotonic agent comprising grk inhibitor. (2007).

30.   Thal, D. M., Yeow, R. Y., Schoenau, C., Huber, J. & Tesmer, J. J. G. Molecular mechanism of

selectivity among G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 inhibitors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **80**, 294–

303 (2011).

31.   Lowe, J. D. *et al.* Role of G protein-coupled receptor kinases 2 and 3 in μ-opioid receptor

desensitization and internalization. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **88**, 347–356 (2015).

32.   Lonowski, L. A. *et al.* Genome editing using FACS enrichment of nuclease-expressing cells

and indel detection by amplicon analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **12**, 581–603 (2017).

33.   Roed, S. N. *et al.* Real-time trafficking and signaling of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor.

Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. **382**, 938–949 (2014).

34.   Levoye, A. *et al.* A Broad G Protein-Coupled Receptor Internalization Assay that Combines

SNAP-Tag Labeling, Diffusion-Enhanced Resonance Energy Transfer, and a Highly Emissive

Terbium Cryptate. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne).* **6**, 167 (2015).

35. O'Hayre, M. *et al.* Genetic evidence that β-arrestins are dispensable for the initiation of β2-

adrenergic receptor signaling to ERK. *Sci. Signal.* **10**, eaal3395 (2017).

36. Namkung, Y. *et al.* Monitoring G protein-coupled receptor and β-arrestin trafficking in live

cells using enhanced bystander BRET. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 1–12 (2016).

37. Boutros, M. & Ahringer, J. The art and design of genetic screens: RNA interference. *Nat.*

Rev. Genet. **9**, 554–566 (2008).

38. Jung, S.-R., Kushmerick, C., Seo, J. B., Koh, D.-S. & Hille, B. Muscarinic receptor regulates

extracellular signal regulated kinase by two modes of arrestin binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

114, E5579–E5588 (2017).

39. Eichel, K., Jullié, D. & von Zastrow, M. β-Arrestin drives MAP kinase signalling from clathrin-

coated structures after GPCR dissociation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **18**, 303–310 (2016).

40. Eichel, K. *et al.* Catalytic activation of β-arrestin by GPCRs. *Nature* **557**, 381–386 (2018).

41. Jala, V. R., Shao, W.-H. & Haribabu, B. Phosphorylation-independent beta-arrestin

translocation and internalization of leukotriene B4 receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 4880–4887

(2005).

42. Latorraca, N. R. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of GPCR-mediated arrestin activation. *Nature*

557, 452–456 (2018).

43. Luttrell, L. M. *et al.* Manifold roles of β-arrestins in GPCR signaling elucidated with siRNA

and CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci. Signal.* **11**, eaat7650 (2018).

44. Milligan, G. & Inoue, A. Genome editing provides new insights into receptor-controlled

signalling pathways. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **39**, 481–493 (2018).

45. Gabe, M. B. N. *et al.* Human GIP(3-30)NH 2 inhibits G protein-dependent as well as G

protein-independent signaling and is selective for the GIP receptor with high-affinity binding

to primate but not rodent GIP receptors. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **150**, 97–107 (2018).

46. Zimmerman, B. *et al.* Differential β-arrestin-dependent conformational signaling and

cellular responses revealed by angiotensin analogs. *Sci. Signal.* **5**, (2012).

47. Quoyer, J. *et al.* Pepducin targeting the C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 acts as a biased

agonist favoring activation of the inhibitory G protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, E5088–

E5097 (2013).

48. Vrecl, M., Jorgensen, R., Pogačnik, A. & Heding, A. Development of a BRET2 screening assay

using β-arrestin 2 mutants. *J. Biomol. Screen.* **9**, 322–333 (2004).

49. Ran, F. A. *et al.* Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 2281–

2308 (2013).

50. Leduc, M. *et al.* Functional selectivity of natural and synthetic prostaglandin EP4 receptor

ligands. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **331**, 297–307 (2009).

51. Yang, Z. *et al.* Fast and sensitive detection of indels induced by precise gene targeting.

Nucleic Acids Res. **43**, e59–e59 (2015).

52. Nørskov-Lauritsen, L., Jørgensen, S. & Bräuner-Osborne, H. N-glycosylation and disulfide

bonding affects GPRC6A receptor expression, function, and dimerization. *FEBS Lett.* **589**,

588–597 (2015).

Author contributions:

T.C.M., M.F.P., J.M.M., M.B. and H.B.-O. participated in research design. T.C.M., M.F.P., J.R,v.S.

and S.D.S. conducted experiments. T.C.M., M.F.P., J.R.v.S and S.D.S. performed data analysis.

T.C.M., M.F.P., S.D.S. and H.B.-O. wrote or contributed to drafting the manuscript. All authors

edited and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr. Asuka Inoue for the HEK293 parental and $\Delta\beta$-arrestin1/2 cell lines and for fruitful

discussions and Jens Peter Stenvang for technical assistance with cell sorting. We also thank Eric

Paul Bennett for input related to indel detection by amplicon analysis. H.B.-O. acknowledges

financial support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark | Medical Sciences (4183-

00131A), the Lundbeck Foundation, the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF17OC0027004), the

Carlsberg Foundation (CF17-0132) and the Toyota Foundation (9310-F). M.F.P. thank the Oticon

Foundation (16-0668) and the Knud Højgaards Foundation (16-02-0142) for financial support.

T.C.M. acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon2020 research and innovation

programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 797497. M.B. acknowledge

the financial support from a Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR) Foundation grant (FDN-

148431). M.B. also holds a Canada Research Chair in Signal Transduction and Molecular

Pharmacology.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at …

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. E_{max} (% of parental HEK293A (WT) cells) and pEC_{50} values for μ-OR internalization obtained from fitting concentration-response curves calculated by taking the area under the curve of 90 min internalization time curves to a four-parameter model of agonism. No fit could be obtained for experiments in cells where β-arrestin1 and -2 had been deleted. E_{max} and pEC_{50} values are the mean of 3-5 independent experiments. E_{max} and pEC_{50} in knockout cells are compared to the parental cells by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. $^{*}P$ = 0.01-0.05, $^{**}P$ = 0.001-0.01, $^{***}P$ < 0.001.

	WT				ΔGRK2				ΔGRK3				ΔGRK2/3			
	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM
DAMGO	100	5	6.44	0.03	52***	5	6.27	0.06	77*	6	6.43	0.04	31***	9	6.14**	0.15
Fentanyl	100	5	6.94	0.01	38***	5	6.75***	0.04	78*	5	6.81***	0.02	18***	6	7.03*	0.01
Loperamide	100	8	6.96	0.04	47***	7	6.74	0.10	78	8	6.95	0.07	18***	17	6.91	0.08
Morphine	100	15	5.65	0.12	84	37	4.65	0.89	71	14	5.84	0.05	38	12	6.02	0.13

Table 2. E_{max} (% of parental HEK293A (WT) cells) and pEC_{50} values for β-arrestin2-RlucII recruitment to the plasma membrane measured by ebBRET after 6 min of μ-OR stimulation. The values are obtained from fitting concentration-response curves to a four-parameter model of agonism. E_{max} and pEC_{50} values are the mean of 3-4 independent experiments. E_{max} and pEC_{50} in knockout cells are compared to the parental cells by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. $^{*}P$ = 0.01-0.05, $^{**}P$ = 0.001-0.01.

	WT				ΔGRK2				ΔGRK3				ΔGRK2/3			
	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM	E_{max}	SEM	pEC_{50}	SEM
DAMGO	100	8	6.56	0.05	59*	9	6.41	0.15	107	10	6.4	0.05	38**	8	6.56	0.09
Fentanyl	100	9	6.99	0.1	57*	7	6.78	0.16	107	10	6.93	0.06	38**	13	7.32	0.12
Loperamide	100	14	6.95	0.11	51	16	6.76	0.28	102	12	6.77	0.02	42*	13	6.65	0.36
Morphine	100	13	5.78	0.35	69	46	n.d.		97	23	n.d.		74	27	n.d.	

Figure 1

Figure 1. Validation of CRISPR/Cas9 knock-out cell lines. (**a**) Western blot analysis of full-length

GRK2 or GRK3 expression in parental or genome-edited cell lines with deletion of GRK2, GRK3 or

GRK2/3. The anti-GRK2 and anti-GRK3 antibodies target a site C-terminal to the site that is

genetically modified. GAPDH expression is detected to ensure equal loading. Full-length blots are

presented in Supplementary Figure 1. (**b**) Quantification of anti-GRK2 and anti-GRK3 western blots

after normalization to the GAPDH signal. Mean ± SEM of 4-8 independent experiments. Expression

in each of the KO cell lines was compared to the parental cells by one sample t-test using a

reference value of 100 and the cutoff for what was considered a significant difference was

adjusted to $P < 0.05/n$ (n corresponds to the number of observations) to correct for multiple

comparisons. ***$P < 0.001/n$.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Agonist-induced internalization of μ-OR in genetically modified cell lines. Internalization

of μ-OR in response to a range of concentrations of (**a**) DAMGO, (**b**), fentanyl (**c**) loperamide, or (**d**)

morphine in parental HEK293A (WT) cells or HEK293A cell lines with deletion of GRK2 (ΔGRK2),

GRK3 (ΔGRK3), GRK2 and -3 (ΔGRK2/3) or β-arrestin1 and -2 (Δβ-Arr1/2). Data represent the

mean ± SEM of the area under the curve of 90 min real-time internalization experiments after

subtraction of the buffer response in parental cells from 3-5 independent experiments carried out

in duplicate. Error bars not shown lie within the dimension of the symbol. (**e**) E_{max} of agonist

responses in parental cells. Statistical comparison by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test. (**f**) Basal μ-OR internalization determined by taking the area under the curve of the buffer responses. (**g**) μ-OR cell surface expression determined by measuring donor signals in absence of acceptor. (**e-g**) Data represent the mean of individual experiments (circles) as well as the mean $\pm$ SEM (columns) from 4-5 (**e**), 3-4 (**f**) or 6-9 (**g**) independent experiments with 2 (**e**), 4-8 (**f**) or 32 (**g**) replicates per experiment. (**f-g**) Values in knockout cells were compared to the parental cells by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. *P = 0.01-0.05, **P = 0.001-0.01, ***P < 0.001. a.u., arbitrary units.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Time course of β-arrestin2 recruitment to μ-OR in GRK knockout cell lines. Recruitment of β-arrestin2-RlucII to μ-OR-EYFP in response to stimulation of μ-OR by a range of concentrations of DAMGO, fentanyl, loperamide or morphine in parental (WT) cells (**a-d**), ΔGRK2 cells (**e-h**), ΔGRK3 cells (**i-l**) or ΔGRK2/3 cells (**m-p**). Data represent mean ± SEM of the BRET[1] ratio after buffer subtraction from 3 independent experiments carried out in duplicate. Error bars not shown lie within the dimension of the symbol.

Figure 4

Figure 4. β-arrestin2 recruitment of μ-OR in genetically modified cell lines. Recruitment of β-arrestin2-RlucII to the cell membrane monitored by ebBRET in response to 6 min stimulation of μ-OR by a range of concentrations of (**a**) DAMGO, (**b**) fentanyl, (**c**) loperamide, or (**d**) morphine in parental HEK293A (WT) cells or HEK293A cell lines with deletion of GRK2 (ΔGRK2), GRK3 (ΔGRK3), or GRK2 and -3 (ΔGRK2/3). Data represent the mean ± SEM of the $BRET^2$ ratio after subtraction of the buffer response in parental cells from 3-4 independent experiments carried out in duplicate. Error bars not shown lie within the dimension of the symbol. (**e**) E_{max} of agonist responses in parental cells. Statistical comparison by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. **P = 0.001-0.01, ***P < 0.001. (**f**) Basal β-arrestin2 recruitment determined as the buffer

response. (**g**) μ-OR surface expression measured by ELISA and normalized to expression in parental cells. (**e-g**) Data represent the mean of individual experiments (circles) and the mean $\pm$ SEM (columns) from 3 (**e-f**) or 3-4 (**g**) independent experiments with 2 (**e**), 6-8 (**f**) or 3 (**g**) replicates per experiment. (**f-g**) Values in knockout cells were compared to the parental cells by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test and no significant differences were found ($P > 0.05$).

Figure 5

Figure 5. Time dependence of β-arrestin2 recruitment of μ-OR in ΔGRK cell lines. Recruitment of β-arrestin2 after 6 min (**a**, **c**, **e**) or 60 min (**b**, **d**, **f**) stimulation by saturating concentrations of DAMGO (37.5 μM), fentanyl [3.3 μM (**a-b**) or 10 μM (**c-f**)], loperamide [3.3 μM (**a-b**) or 10 μM (**c-f**)] or morphine (37.5 μM) measured by three different assays: ebBRET (**a-b**), recruitment of β-arrestin2-RlucII to μ-OR-EYFP (**c-d**), or recruitment of GFP^2-β-arrestin2 to μ-OR-RlucII. Data represent the mean of individual experiments (circles) as well as the mean ± SEM (columns) after buffer subtraction and normalization to the maximum response in parental (WT) cells stimulated with DAMGO for 6 min from 3-4 independent experiments performed in duplicate. BRET ratios in knockout cells were compared with parental cells by repeated measures one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, except for ebBRET assay with 60 min loperamide stimulation,

which was compared by mixed-effects analysis with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. *P = 0.01-0.05, **P = 0.001-0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Figure 6

Figure 6. GRK2/3 inhibition and off-target effects by CMPD101. Effect of a range of CMPD101

concentrations on (**a**) basal (vehicle treated) or (**b**) 100 μM DAMGO induced μ-OR internalization

and (**e**) basal (vehicle treated) or (**f**) 37.5 μM DAMGO stimulated β-arrestin2 recruitment in

parental HEK293A (WT) cells or HEK293A cell lines with deletion of GRK2 and -3 (ΔGRK2/3). β-

arrestin2 recruitment was measured by ebBRET after 6 min stimulation of μ-OR. Data represent

the mean ± SEM after buffer (vehicle treated) or vehicle (DAMGO treated) subtraction from 3

independent experiments carried out in duplicate. μ-OR surface expression was determined from

donor signals in absence of acceptor for internalization experiments (**c**) and by ELISA for β-

arrestin2 recruitment experiments (**g**). Data points represent the mean of individual experiments

and bars represent the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments with 32 (internalization)

or 3 (ELISA) replicates per experiment. In (**g**) data were normalized to expression in parental cells.

(**d**) Effect of a range of CMPD101 concentrations on donor signals in absence of acceptor. An

unaffected donor signal indicates that the compound does not interfere with the assay. Data

represent the mean ± SEM from 3 independent experiments carried out in duplicate. Effect of

different CMPD101 concentrations on ΔGRK2/3 cells in (**a**), (**b**), (**d**), (**e**) and (**f**) was compared to

buffer by one-way repeated measures ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test before

normalization. Expression in parental and ΔGRK2/3 cells in (**c**) and (**g**) was compared by ratio

paired t-test before normalization. ***P < 0.001. a.u., arbitrary units.