A new plant-specific syntaxin-6 protein may define an intracytoplasmic route

for begomoviruses

Bianca Castro Gouveia-Mageste[1&], Laura Gonçalves Costa Martins[1&], Maximiller Dal-Bianco[1,2],

João Paulo Batista Machado[1,3], José Cleydson Ferreira da Silva[1], Anésia Aparecida dos Santos[1,4],

Joseph R Ecker[5] and Elizabeth Pacheco Batista Fontes[1,2*]

[1]National Institute of Science and Technology in Plant-Pest Interactions, Bioagro, Universidade

Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil

[2]Departament of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa,

Minas Gerais 36570-000, Brazil

[3]Agronomy Institute, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Campus Florestal, Florestal, Minas Gerais,

Brazil

[4]Departament of General Biology, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil

[5]Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Plant Biology Laboratory, The Salk Institute of Biological

Studies, La Jolla, United States of America

*Corresponding author

Email: bbfontes@ufv.br

[&]These authors contributed equally to this work

Running title: NISP in begomovirus intracellular transport

Abstract

Due to limited free diffusion in the cytoplasm, viruses must use active transport mechanisms to move intracellularly. Nevertheless, how the plant ssDNA begomovirus hijacks the host intracytoplasmic transport machinery to move from the nucleus to the plasmodesma remains enigmatic. Here, we identified nuclear shuttle protein (NSP)-interacting proteins by probing a protein microarray and demonstrated that viral NSP, a facilitator of the nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of viral (v)DNA, interacts with a new endosomal vesicle-localized plant-specific syntaxin-6 protein, designated NISP *in planta*. We also showed that begomovirus infection requires the NISP-NSP interaction; NISP displays a pro-viral function, but not the syntaxin-6 paralog AT2G18860 that failed to interact with NSP. Consistent with these findings, *nisp-1* mutant plants were less susceptible to begomovirus infection, a phenotype reversed by NISP complementation, whereas overexpressing lines accumulated higher levels of viral DNA than wild-type. Furthermore, NISP interacts with NIG, another pro-viral factor that accessorizes the NSP-vDNA nucleocytoplasmic translocation. Additionally, the NISP-NIG interaction is enhanced by NSP. We also showed that NISP associates with vDNA and might assemble a NISP-NIG-NSP-vDNA- complex. NISP may function as a docking site for recruiting NIG and NSP into trafficking vesicles, providing a mechanism for the intracytoplasmic translocation of the NSP-vDNA complex towards the cell periphery.

Author Summary

As viruses must use an active and directed intracellular movement, they hijack the intracellular host transport system for their benefit. Therefore, the identification of interactions between host

proteins and begomovirus movement proteins should target the intracellular transport machinery.

This work focused on the identification of these protein-protein interactions; it addressed the

molecular bases for the intracellular transport of begomoviruses. We used a protein microarray to

identify cellular partners for the movement protein (MP) and the viral nuclear shuttle protein

(NSP), which is a facilitator of the nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of viral (v)DNA. We identified

relevant protein-protein interaction (PPI) hubs connecting host and viral proteins. We revealed a

novel NSP-interacting protein, which functions in the intracytoplasmic transport of proteins and

DNA from begomoviruses and was designated NSP-interacting syntaxin domain-containing

protein (NISP). Our data suggest an intracellular route connecting the release of newly-synthesized

begomoviral DNA in the cytosol with the cell surface. Resolving viral DNA-host protein

complexes led to the identification of a novel class of components of the cell machinery and a

representative member, NISP, that functions as a susceptibility gene against begomoviruses. As

geminiviruses pose a severe threat to agriculture and food security, this recessive gene can now be

exploited as a target for engineering resistance by gene editing in crops.

Introduction

As obligate intracellular parasites, viruses must enter the host cells and hijack the cell machinery to complete their life cycle. Independent on the molecular mechanisms for virus entry into cells, which differ entirely between animal and plant viruses, once in the cytoplasm, both plant and animal viral genomes move intracellularly to specific cellular sub-compartments for replication, transcription or encapsidation [1,2]. Due to the limitation of free diffusion in the cytoplasm, viruses have invoked the endogenous host movement mechanisms for an active and directed intracytoplasmic translocation. In fact, almost all viruses are capable of subverting the microtubule transport system to some extent to replicate and spread. Plant viruses must also use an active mechanism to move the viral genome from the replication site to the plasmodesmata, which are intercellular cytoplasmic bridges between plant cells [2,3]. To promote this typical cell-to-cell movement, plant viruses have evolved movement proteins that increase the size exclusion limit of the plasmodesmata and actively promote the viral nucleic acid translocation into uninfected, adjacent cells [4]. In the case of viruses that replicate in the nuclei of infected cells, they have to be directed to the nuclear periphery, followed by nuclear entry. Likewise, the newly-synthesized viral proteins and viral genomes must translocate throughout the cytoplasm during exit. In animal cells, the retroviruses and DNA viruses deploy the microtubule motor system and microtubule cytoskeleton to move viral proteins and genomes into the nucleus and back to the plasma membrane [1, 5]. Geminiviruses are typical examples of plant DNA viruses that replicate in the nuclei of infected cells, but the underlying mechanisms for the intracytoplasmic movement of viral proteins and viral DNA (vDNA) are far less understood.

Geminiviruses are circular single-stranded (ss) DNA viruses, which replicate via double-

stranded (ds) DNA intermediates in the nucleus of infected cells, infect a broad spectrum of

economically important crops and cause significant yield losses worldwide [6]. The *Geminiviridae*

family includes nine genera, but approximately 75% of the identified species belong to the genus

Begomovirus, which are whitefly-transmitted, infect dicotyledonous species, and display a genome

configuration that can be either monopartite or bipartite [7,8]. The genomic components of the

bipartite begomoviruses are designated DNA-A and DNA-B. The DNA-A encodes proteins

required for viral replication (AC1 or Rep, REn or AC3), transactivation of viral genes (AC2 or

TRaP), encapsidation of viral genome (AV1 or CP) and suppression of host defenses (AC4 and

TrAP). DNA-B encodes the nuclear shuttle protein (NSP or BV1) and the movement protein (MP

or BC1) involved in intracellular and cell-to-cell movement of vDNA.

Although the role of MP-NSP complex formation in mediating intracellular and

intercellular transport of begomoviruses is widely accepted, the molecular mechanisms underlying

the action of these viral proteins at individual stages of the intracellular and intercellular movement

are still debated. Some studies indicated that NSP from *Bean dwarf mosaic virus* (BDMV) and

Abutilon mosaic virus (AbMV) complexes with ss-vDNA and ds-vDNA presumably in the nuclei

of infected cells, whereas NSP from *Squash leaf curl virus* (SLCV) binds only ss-vDNA *in vitro*

[9, 10, 11]. NSP also interacts with MP in the cytoplasm [12], which promotes the directionality

of virus translocation to the cell surface [12, 13]. The mechanism for vDNA exit from the nucleus

remains unsolved. It has been conceptually accepted that NSP facilitates the nuclear exit of newly-

replicated vDNA via nuclear pores [10, 14-17]. However, the interactions with the host nuclear

transport machinery have not been documented. A piece of this puzzle was obtained with the

identification of an NSP-interacting GTPase (NIG), which interacts with NSP at the cytosolic side

of the nuclear pore complex and facilitates the release of NSP-vDNA complex from the nuclear

envelope into the cytosol [12, 18] . Consistent with this transport function, the cytosolic NIG (i)

accumulates around the nuclear envelope, (ii) promotes the translocation of NSP-vDNA from the

nucleus to the cytoplasm, (iii) functions as a pro-viral factor during begomovirus infection and (iv)

shares structural features and transport properties with the human Rev-Interacting Protein (hRIP),

which has been shown to be involved in the release of HIV-1 RNAs from the nuclear periphery to

the cytoplasm [12, 18, 19]. Histone H3 has been shown to bind NSP and MP from BDMV as an

additional component of the vDNA-protein complex that traffics intracellularly and intercellularly

[20]. A nuclear acetyltransferase (AtNSI) also interacts with the *Cabbage leaf curl virus*

(CaLCuV) NSP and may regulate the nuclear export of ss-vDNA-NSP via acetylation of CP and

histones [21, 22]. Other NSP-interacting partners include the receptor-like kinases (RLKs) NSP-

interacting kinase (NIK1) and NSP-associated kinase (NsAK), which are not involved in

intracellular movement of vDNA but rather are implicated in antiviral immunity against

begomoviruses [23-26].

For the cell-to-cell transport of vDNA, two distinct mechanisms, which basically differ in

the nature of the vDNA-protein complex translocated into adjacent cells, have been suggested [11,

27]. In the first mechanism, designated "relay race" model, NSP facilitates the translocation of

viral DNA from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and then is replaced by MP, which promotes the cell-

to-cell movement of vDNA via plasmodesmata [10, 14]. The second mechanism represented by

the "couple-skating" model preconizes that MP facilitates the vDNA-NSP nucleocytoplasmic

trafficking and promotes the translocation of vDNA-NSP complex into the adjacent cells via

endoplasmic-reticulum-derived tubules induced by the viral infection [11-13, 18, 28-32]. More

recently, an alternative route for intracellular translocation and cell-to-cell spread of vDNA via

chloroplasts and stromules has been proposed, which was based on experimental data of AbMV

MP interactions (33,34). AbMV infection has been shown to induce the formation of a stromule

network interconnecting different chloroplasts, chloroplasts with nuclei, and expanding to the cell

periphery presumably at plasmodesmata [34]. This stromule network is stabilized by the plastid

chaperone cpHSC70, which has also been shown to interact with MP [33]. The interaction between

MP and cpHSC70 may bridge viral nucleoprotein complexes with stromules. Independent on the

cell-to-cell transport models, the underlying mechanisms of the intracytoplasmic retrograde and

anterograde movement of vDNA complexes remain to be determined. CaLCuV and SLCV MPs

have been shown to interact with synaptotagmin A (SYTA), which is located in the endosomes of

plant cells and is required for the cell-to-cell trafficking of different MPs [35]. These findings

raised the hypothesis that distinct viral MPs promote an intracytoplasmic transport of vDNA-

complexes to plasmodesmata via an endocytic recycling pathway. Likewise, the AbMV MP has

been shown to interfere with microtubule assembly and stability when co-expressed in plant tissues

with a viral inducer of cell progression, which may suggest a microtubule-associated transit of MP

or begomoviruses to the plasmodesmata [36]. However, the host components of the vDNA

complex competent for intracytoplasmic translocation have not been identified, and the underlying

mechanism for intracytoplasmic trafficking of vDNA remains to be elucidated.

In this investigation, we used a protein microarray assay to identify MP- and NSP-host

protein-protein interactions (PPIs). From the NSP-MP-host PPI network map, we selected an

intracellular transport-associated protein, designated NSP-interacting syntaxin domain-containing

protein (NISP), as an NSP specific target, to gain further insight into the intracytoplasmic transport

of begomoviruses. We showed that NISP, which was located in motile vesicle-like structures,

many of which were targeted to the endosomes, interacted with NSP *in vivo* and displayed a pro-

viral function. Furthermore, NISP also interacted with NIG, and the complex formed was enhanced

by the presence of viral NSP. In addition, vDNA was recruited into the NISP complex. Our data

indicate that NISP may be part of the vDNA complex that traffics intracellularly via endosomes.

Results

Identification of Arabidopsis proteins interacting with in vitro transcribed and translated

MP and NSP

To search for the begomovirus movement proteins-Arabidopsis protein-protein

interactions (PPIs), we used a nucleic acid programmable protein array (NAPPA)-based approach

[37], in which we interrogated 4600 *in vitro* synthesized ORFs from Arabidopsis for their capacity

to be targeted by MP and/or NSP from CaLCuV. The *in situ* synthesized NAPPA protein

microarray has previously generated a transcription factor (TF)-NAPPA dataset, which has been

validated by pull-down assays and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays in

tobacco leaves using a subset of newly identified interactions [37]. NSP and MP were *in vitro*

transcribed and translated to probe this protein microarray (S1a and S1b Fig). A total of 40 proteins

were identified as associated with MP, and 40 interacted with NSP. The majority (35 proteins of

40) were found to interact with both proteins, which was not surprising because MP itself interacts

with NSP and may be part of common multiprotein complexes as both participate in viral DNA

movement (S2 Fig). We found five new NSP-specific protein interactions (dark orange) and five

MP-specific protein interactions (light yellow), including the stomatal cytokinesis defective 2

(SCD2) protein (AT3G48860) and a peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase family protein

(AT1G73655) belonging to the same protein family as Pin4, which have been shown previously

to interact with MP (S1 Table) [36]. As previously identified NSP-interacting proteins were absent

in the protein array, the identification of known MP-specific interacting proteins contributed to

validate our current data.

The protein-protein interactions between the viral proteins and the Arabidopsis proteins

were integrated into the protein-protein interactome experimentally determined for *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (BioGRID database and the Arabidopsis interactome database), using Cytoscape

software. This procedure identified the PPI network containing MP, NSP and all, directly and

indirectly, interacting host proteins (Fig 1). AT1G68185, an ubiquitin-like protein, which formed

a large hub (hub 20, degree 148), may represent a convergent targeting node among begomovirus

viral proteins as it was found to be a direct target of NSP and MP independently (S1 Table).

Furthermore, the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (UPS) is targeted by many viruses to maintain

suitable levels of viral proteins and to induce, inhibit or modify ubiquitin (Ub)-related host proteins

[38]. Other MP-interacting proteins, including FKBP-like peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase

family protein (this work), chloroplast heat shock protein 70-1 [33] and synaptotagmin A [35],

which have been shown to assist the MP movement function, are connected to the AT1G68185

hub (hub 20), further supporting the notion that this hub may be biologically significant during

begomovirus infection.

To gain further insights into the cellular processes affected by NSP or MP, we performed

functional enrichment analyses of their direct and indirect interactors. Significantly enriched GO

terms (with p-value < 0.05) were identified in all three categories, Biological Process, Molecular

Function, or Cellular Component ontology (S2 Table). Some over-represented GO terms in this

set of viral protein-interacting host proteins are consistent with NSP and MP function or

localization. Consistent with their function as viral movement proteins, a subset of host interactors

was significantly over-represented under the transport activity term and protein binding term in

Molecular Function (S2 Table). Under the cellular component ontology, we observed an over-

representation of proteins under membrane-bounded organelle term, intracellular vesicle term, and

SNARE complex term, which may suggest an intracytoplasmic route for begomovirus proteins

and vDNA trafficking (S2 Table). Accordingly, two NSP-interacting proteins, AT5G23550 and

AT4G30240, form convergent hubs (17 and 19) enriched for membrane-bound proteins and

transport activity function (Fig 1, S1 Table). The NSP-interacting protein AT5G23550 (hub 17)

is annotated as a Got1/Sft2-like vesicle transport protein, which may be located to a late-Golgi

compartment and may be involved in the fusion of retrograde transport vesicles derived from an

endocytic compartment with the Golgi complex [39]. Likewise, AT4G30240 (hub 19) is a soluble

N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE)-like protein harboring a

syntaxin-6 domain typical of proteins found in the endosomal transport vesicles [40].

Under the biological process ontology, the response to auxin term represented a

significantly enriched GO term, which has not been previously associated with begomovirus

infection (S2 Table). The NSP-MP-host PPI network uncovered two relevant hubs enriched for

auxin response-related proteins, which are represented by an NSP-MP general interaction-derived

hub (Fig 1, hub 9) and an NSP-specific host interaction-derived hub (hub 11). Remarkably, these

two viral protein hubs are interconnected to each other via interactions with proteins (in gray),

which are also over-represented under the auxin response term, forming a large auxin affected hub

target by begomovirus (S1 Table). This hub may represent an undocumented biological process

affected by begomovirus infection. The resulting PPI network map may provide a framework for

future studies in detailing the begomovirus complex life cycle. One such example is described

here, as following.

A SNARE-like protein designated NSP-Interacting Syntaxin domain-containing Protein

(NISP), but not its paralog, interacts with NSP via the syntaxin domain

The NSP-MP-Host PPI network uncovered enriched GO terms linked to intracellular vesicle and membrane-bound proteins, which may be associated with a mechanism for intracytoplasmic translocation of viral proteins and vDNA. To pursue further with this possible connection and to validate further the microarray data, we selected a new specific NSP-interacting protein, the SNARE-like protein AT4G30240, which harbors a syntaxin-6 domain at the N-terminus and a transmembrane segment at the C-terminus, for further analyses (Fig 2a). SNARE-complexes drive the fusion of membrane-bound vesicles with target membranes required for intracellular transport via the endosome system. We used the syntaxin domain superfamily as the prototype for the identification of homologs in the genomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Oryza sativa*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Homo sapiens,* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We then selected the most related proteins to construct phylogenetic trees using Bayesian inference method (S3a Fig). AT4G30240 was clustered in pair with AT2G18860 and formed a clade of syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins, which also included a third Arabidopsis paralog AT1G27700. This AT4G30240-derived clade (in red), also designated Syntaxin-6-like subgroup, clustered only plant proteins, carrying an N-terminal syntaxin-6-like domain and a C-terminal transmembrane segment. AT4G30240 and AT218860 may be a result of genome duplication, as these syntaxin-6-like proteins clustered in pair even in phylogenetic analyses that include proteins from other organisms, share a high degree of sequence conservation and display similar intron/genomic DNA configuration (https://www.arabidopsis.org/).

The remaining syntaxin-6-like proteins clustered together in a distinct clade that included

proteins from human, rice and the Arabidopsis AT1G28490, also named SYP61, a characterized

trans-Golgi network (TGN)/endosome localized syntaxin [41-43]. This SYP61 second clade (in

yellow) was designated target (t)-syntaxin-6/SNARE-like subgroup, as it included structurally

similar proteins carrying the N-terminal syntaxin-6 domain and also a tSNARE coiled-coil

homology domain at the C-terminus.

Due to the lack of a coiled-coil tSNARE domain at C-terminus, the syntaxin-6-like

subgroup may represent a new, functionally uncharacterized, plant-specific class of the syntaxin-

like family of the t-SNARE superfamily. This hypothesis was further confirmed in a phylogenetic

analysis of syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana, Oriza sativa*,

Glycine max, *Zea mays*, *Zostera marina*, *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus*, *Bos Taurus*, *Equus*

caballus, *Danio rerio*, and *Drosophila melanogaster* using the full-length sequence of

AT4G30240 as prototype (S3b Fig). This phylogenetic analysis further confirmed that the

syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins form two distinct clades with high internal stability

(100%). The AT4G30240-derived clade, syntaxin-6-like clade, includes only plant syntaxin-6-like

proteins, and the tSNARE/syntaxin clade includes proteins from all species tested, except yeast.

To complement the protein microarray data, we used the yeast two-hybrid to examine

further the NSP-AT4G30240 interaction. We also included the paralog AT2G18860 in our assays,

which was not present in the protein microarray. The second most closely related paralog,

AT1G28490, was present in the protein microarray but was not found to interact with NSP. Co-

expression of the full-length AT4G30240 (NISP, NSP-Interacting Syntaxin domain-containing

Protein) ORF fused to the activation domain (AD), and NSP fused to the binding domain (BD) of

GAL4 promoted histidine prototrophy (Fig 2b). The HIS3 marker gene was not activated in yeast

cells cotransformed with the controls. In contrast, AT2G18860 failed to interact with NSP in yeast,

as neither AD-AT2G18860 nor BD-AT2G18860 promoted his prototrophy when cotransformed

with the respective cognate BD-NSP or AD-NSP (Fig 3c).

To map the NSP-interacting domain on NISP, we generated NISP deletion fragments and

assay for protein interactions by two-hybrid assay. The N-terminal NISP fragment extending up

to amino acid position 107 and containing an intact syntaxin-6 domain (NISP$^{1-107}$, Fig 2a) was

sufficient to bind NSP in yeast (Fig 2d). The interaction of the truncated NISP$^{1-107}$ with NSP was

specific because the HIS marker gene was not activated in yeast cells co-transformed with AD- or

BD-truncated NISP$^{1-107}$ and the empty vectors (Fig 2d). In contrast, the NISP truncated versions,

comprising the NISP internal region, delimited by positions 105-200 (NISP$^{105-200}$; Fig 2e) or the

C-terminal portion (NISP$^{200-300}$; Fig 2f), were not capable of recognizing NSP, confirming that

NISP binds NSP via its syntaxin-6 domain. Although the syntaxin-6 domains of NISP and

AT2G18860 are conserved (78.57% identity), NSP interacts specifically with NISP in yeast (Fig

2b). Sequence alignment revealed an 8-amino acid deletion at the syntaxin-6 domain of

AT2G18860 as the most striking feature that may account for the differences in protein binding

specificity (S4 Fig).

Although NISP lacks a typical coiled-coil tSNARE domain, it harbors a syntaxin-6 domain

at the N-terminus and a predicted transmembrane segment at the C-terminus, which suggests an

endomembrane-bound localization (Fig 2a). Mammalian syntaxin-6 domain proteins are localized

in the trans-Golgi network (TGN) membranes and endosomal vesicles [44]. To examine the

subcellular localization of NISP, we transiently expressed AT4G30240 fused to a green fluorescent

protein (GFP) under the control of the native and constitutive promoters, in *Nicotiana benthamiana*

leaves and analyzed the localization of the fluorescent signal by confocal microscopy. NISP-GFP

was predominantly localized in motile spherical structure, resembling vesicles (Fig 3a, 3b; S1

Video). We also examined the localization of the paralog AT2G18860 fused to GFP, which

concentrated predominantly in membrane-bound vesicles and plasma membrane (S5 Fig).

To examine more precisely the NISP localization, we performed co-localization assays,

using SYTA-mCherry as an endosome-associated marker [35], the plasma membrane-associated

molecular marker FLS2-mCherry [45], ER-, TGN- and tonoplast-associated molecular markers

constructed by Nelson et al. [46]. These co-localization studies showed that NISP-GFP did not co-

localize with ER-mCherry- (Fig 3c, asterisks indicate the field of the insets) and tonoplast (vac-

mCherry)- (Fig 3e) associated molecular markers. Likewise, NISP-containing vesicles did not co-

localize with the plasma membrane FLS2-mCherry (Fig 3f). In contrast, we observed a small

population of NISP-GFP-containing vesicles that co-localized with the TGN-associated molecular

marker (Fig 3d) and a large fraction of NISP-GFP-containing vesicles precisely co-localizing with

the endosomal protein SYTA-mCherry (Fig 3g). Because many of the NISP-labeled vesicles were

associated with the plasma membrane, we examined whether the NISP-associated vesicle

membrane was derived from the plasma membrane by staining NISP-GFP-expressing cells with

FM4-64, a marker for plasma membrane internalization that labels endosomes [47]. In addition

to labeling plasma membrane, dye-labeled vesicles were observed that also showed discrete

fluorescence with NISP-GFP (Fig 3H). Collectively, these results indicate that NISP localizes in

TGN/early endosome vesicles as it co-localized with Golgi-associated markers and endosomal

SYTA. Furthermore, the membranes of the NISP-associated vesicles were labeled by FM4-64, a

marker for vesicle components of the plant endocytic pathway.

NISP interacts with NSP in intracellular vesicles

We next examined whether NISP interacts with NSP *in vivo* using the bimolecular

fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay. The formation of NISP/NSP complexes occurred in

vesicles of tobacco epidermal cells independent of the orientation of the NISP fusions (N-terminus

or C-terminus of YFP; Fig 4a), and the reconstituted fluorescent signal was much higher than that

of the background (control panels with combinations of the protein fusions with empty vectors).

The reconstituted fluorescent signal was co-labeled by FM4-64, indicating that the complex was

formed in endosomal vesicles derived from the plasma membrane (Fig 4b, see arrows). We further

demonstrated that NISP and NSP interacted *in vivo* using co-immunoprecipitation assays. Both

NISP-GFP and NSP-6HA, were co-immunoprecipitated from co-infiltrated extracts using anti-

GFP antibody, demonstrating a specific association of NISP-GFP with NSP-6HA fusions (Fig 4c).

Switching the protein tags did not alter the results because NISP-6HA also associated with NSP-

GFP in co-infiltrated extracts (Fig 4d). The Co-IP results also demonstrated that GFP alone did

not interact with either NSP-6HA or NISP-6HA, demonstrating the specificity of the interactions

between the viral protein and NISP.

330   NISP, but not its paralog AT2G18860, displays a pro-viral function

The biological relevance of the NSP-NISP interactions in begomovirus infection was

examined using several different approaches. We first analyzed the expression of NISP from a

released microarray data, which showed that NISP was induced by begomovirus infection. This

result was further confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR, which also showed that AT2G18860, the

NISP paralog that failed to interact with NSP, was not induced by begomovirus infection (S6 Fig).

We then identified a T-DNA insertion mutant in the NISP gene (*nisp-1*) and the AT2G18860 gene

(*at2g18860-1*). The accumulation of *NISP* and AT2G18860 transcripts in the respective T-DNA

insertion mutant was much lower than in Col-0, confirming that *nisp-1* and *at2g18860-1* were loss-

of-function mutant lines (Fig 5a, 5b). These mutant lines were complemented by expression of

NISP-GFP and AT2G18860-GFP in the own knockout background in three independently

transformed lines (Fig 5a, 5b, *nisp-1*/NISP lines, and *at2g18860-1/*AT2G18860 lines). We also

prepared transgenic lines overexpressing *NISP* and AT2G18860, under the control of a constitutive

promoter. The accumulation of NISP and AT2G18860 at transcript (Fig 5a, 5c) and protein (Fig

5b, 5d) levels was higher than in Col-0. The knockout and overexpressing lines were

phenotypically indistinguishable from Col-0 (S7 Fig).

We next examined whether *NISP* and AT2G18860 participate in begomovirus infection.

The knockout lines (*nisp-1* and *at2g18860-1),* complemented lines (*nisp-1/NISP* and *at2g18860-*

1/AT2G18860), NISP-overexpressing lines and Col-O were inoculated with infectious clones of

CaLCuV and the course of infection was monitored by visualization of symptom development and

PCR diagnosis. The accumulation of viral DNA was quantified by qPCR (Fig 5).

The *nisp-1* knockout line displayed attenuated symptoms (Fig 5e), delayed course of

infection (Fig 5f), and lower viral DNA as compared to Col-0 (Fig 5g). Expression of NISP-GFP

in *nisp-1* restored the wild type susceptibility to begomovirus infection in all three independently

transformed lines (*nisp-1/NISP*), confirming that the attenuated susceptibility displayed by the

nisp-1 line was indeed due to loss of *NISP* function. In contrast, *NISP* overexpression conferred

enhanced susceptibility to begomovirus infection; the progress of infection was accelerated (Fig

5f), and viral DNA load was significantly higher in the *NISP*-overexpressing lines than in Col-0

and *nisp-1* lines (Fig 5g). We predicted that if NISP-NSP binding were the bases for the NISP

pro-viral function, the closest paralog of NISP, AT2G18860, which harbors the syntaxin-6-like

domain but does not interact with NSP, would not affect begomovirus infection. Accordingly, the

at2g18860-1 knockout line, *at2g18860-1/AT2G18860* complemented lines, and an *AT2G18860-*

overexpressing line displayed similar symptoms, course of infection and viral DNA load as Col-

0, indicating that AT2G18860 does not interfere with begomovirus infection (Fig 5e, 5f, 5g). These

results further substantiated the notion that the formation of NSP-NISP complex may be the

determinant for the NISP pro-viral function rather than the presence of a syntaxin-6 like domain

per se.

NISP also interacts with NIG and complex formation is enhanced by viral NSP

There is a gap in our knowledge of how the vDNA-NSP complex, which binds to NIG in

the cytosolic side of the nuclear pores, traffics to the cell periphery. From the PPI network map,

we hypothesized that NIG could serve as a bridge to attach vDNA to the intracellular transport

system of plant cells. The integration of our data with the Arabidopsis interactome uncovered a

possible interaction between NIG and NISP, which was also shown here to bind specifically to

viral NSP. The interaction between NIG and NISP was first demonstrated by two-hybrid assay

(S8 Fig 8a). The co-expression of the NISP ORF fused to BD and NIG ORF fused to AD from

GAL4 promoted histidine prototrophy in medium supplemented with 2.5 mM 3AT, whereas co-

expression with empty vectors did not.

To examine whether NIG and NISP interact *in vivo*, we used BiFC and co-

immunoprecipitation assays. NIG has been shown to be unevenly distributed in the cytosol,

concentrating around the nuclear envelope (S8b and S8c Fig) [25, 48]. The BiFC data

demonstrated that nYFP-NIG is relocated to the vesicle-like compartments via interaction with

cYFP-NISP (and vice-versa), as the reconstituted fluorescent signal, which concentrated in

membrane-derived vesicles and was barely detected in the controls (Fig 6a), was co-stained by

FM4-64 (Fig 6b). The inclusion of NSP-6HA in the BiFC assay increased the NISP-NIG complex

formation, as judged by the enhanced intensity of the reconstituted fluorescent signal, showing the

fluorescent vesicles associated with the plasma membrane (S9 Fig). To provide further evidence

of the NSP-mediated enhancement of NIG-NISP interaction, we used a quantitative co-

immunoprecipitation assay (Fig 6, S8 Fig). We first confirmed that NIG interacts with NISP *in*

vivo (Fig 6c, S8d). The Co-IP results indicated that NISP-GFP, but not GFP alone, interacted with

NIG-HA. Likewise, NIG-GFP, but not GFP alone, interacted with NISP-HA. NSP promoted a

stronger association of NISP-GFP with NIG-6HA, as judged by the amount of co-

immunoprecipitated NIG by anti-GFP in the presence of co-expressed GST-NSP (Fig 6d, 6e).

Likewise, GST-NSP enhanced NISP-6HA-NIG-GFP complex formation (S8e and S8f Fig). These

results indicate that NSP/NIG and NISP might form a multiprotein complex assembled in

intracytoplasmic transporting vesicles. Syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins have been shown

to participate in the endosome-plasma membrane route of protein cargos.

NISP complexes viral DNA in vivo

The current model of vDNA intracellular translocation informs that NSP binds to nascent

viral DNA in the nucleus, facilitates its translocation to the cytosol via nuclear pores and moves

vDNA intracytoplasmically to the cell periphery, where MP mediates the intercellular movement

of vDNA-NSP or vDNA-MP via plasmodesmata. Because NSP also binds to NISP in vesicle-like

structures, we asked whether the vDNA would be recruited into a NISP multi-protein complex

using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay (Fig 7). The untransformed line (Col-0) and

NISP-GFP-overexpressing (AtNISP-1, AtNISP-3, and AtNISP-3, Fig 7a) lines were infected with

CaLCuV infectious clone and the ChIP assay was performed using an anti-GFP antibody. We

confirmed first that the inoculated plants were infected (IN) by performing PCR from input DNA

using CaLCuV-specific primers, which amplify a 770-bp fragment from CaLCuV DNA-B (Fig 7b

and S10b Fig, primers F1/R1) and a 389-bp fragment from DNA-A (Fig 7e and S10a Fig, primers

F1/R1). This viral DNA-B and DNA-A fragments were also amplified from ChIP-DNA of infected

(IN) AtNISP-overexpressing lines but not of infected (IN) or uninfected (UN) Col-0, which lacks

GFP-tagged NISP (Fig 7a, 7e). Using two sets of DNA-B-specific primers (F2/R2 and F3/R3,

S10b Fig), ChIP-qPCR further demonstrated significant enrichment of DNA-B-specific fragments

in infected AtNISP-GFP-overexpressing samples, which had been precipitated with anti-GFP

serum, but not in pulled down samples from infected Col-0 lines (Fig 7c and 7d). Likewise, the

use of F2/R2 set of DNA-A primers (S10a Fig 10a) also resulted in significant enrichment of the

DNA-A-specific fragment in ChIPed DNA from infected AtNISP-GFP-overexpressing samples

but not from infected Col-0 (Fig 7f). Collectively, our results indicate that NISP is a functional

component of the vDNA-complex that traffics along the cytoplasm to the cell periphery for the

MP-mediated cell-to-cell movement of vDNA into adjacent cells.

Discussion

Viruses are obligate intracellular parasites and, once in the host cell cytoplasm, they must

move intracellularly to and from the replication site to the plasma membrane for spreading. The

cytoplasmic limitations on free diffusion have forced viruses to evolve efficient mechanisms to

manipulate the host transport system for their benefit. Understanding how viruses hijack the host

intracellular transport system using a limited repertoire of proteins provides an opportunity to

uncover the molecular bases of the host transport machinery. Bipartite begomoviruses, plant

ssDNA viruses, use two movement proteins, MP and NSP, to move intracellularly from the site of

replication in the nucleus to the cell surface [17]. However, the host components of the vDNA

complex competent for intracytoplasmic translocation have not been identified, and the underlying

mechanism for intracytoplasmic trafficking of vDNA remains to be elucidated. Here, we used a

previously fabricated, *in sit*u synthesized protein microarray containing 4600 Arabidopsis ORFs

[37] to identify NSP- and MP-Arabidopsis protein-protein interactions (PPIs). Consistent with the

movement function of the viral proteins, the identified NSP-MP-PPI network uncovered direct and

indirect interactions, over-represented under transport activity, protein binding, membrane-bound

organelles, intracellular vesicle, and SNARE complex ontology terms, which may define an

intracellular route for vDNA trafficking. Precedents in the literature have implicated a

microtubule-associated intracellular movement of viral proteins and vDNA in addition to an

endocytic recycling pathway for the MP-mediated cell-to-cell movement of vDNA protein

complexes (35; 36]. Accordingly, transport function-related proteins, including kinesin motor

protein, plant syntaxin 121, Rab GTPase, Ras, Got1/Sft2-like vesicle transport protein, target

SNARE coiled-coil domain protein, syntaxin-6 plant proteins, prenylated Rab acceptor, Heat

shock protein 70 (Hsp 70), nuclear pore anchor, synaptotagmin A, were over-represented in the

MP-NSP- PPI network. These studies thus provided a critical framework for future investigations

to elucidate the molecular mechanisms for intracytoplasmic transport of begomoviruses.

The NSP-interacting syntaxin domain-containing protein (NISP) is identified as a new

functional cellular partner of begomovirus NSP

In the present investigation, we characterized an NSP-specifically interacting syntaxin-6

domain-containing protein, designated NISP and provided several lines of evidence indicating that

NISP might be involved in the intracytoplasmic movement of NSP-vDNA. First, co-localization

studies using organelle-associated molecular markers, and the FM4-64 marker demonstrated that

NISP is associated with TGN/early endosomal vesicles. Second, we confirmed that NISP

interacted with NSP *in planta* and showed that the complex formation occurred in vesicle-like

structures, which resemble the NISP-associated TGN/endosomal vesicles, the typical subcellular

localization of syntaxin-6-like proteins from Arabidopsis and humans [49]. Third, we showed that

NISP exhibits a pro-viral function, and begomovirus infection required NISP-NSP interaction. The

mutant *nisp-1* was resistant to begomovirus as the knockout lines displayed attenuated symptoms,

a delayed course of infection, and accumulated much lower viral DNA as compared to Col-0. In

contrast, the overexpressing lines were hypersensitive to begomovirus. We took advantage of a

highly conserved NISP paralog, AT2G18860, to show that NISP-NSP interaction was the

molecular basis for the NISP pro-viral function. AT2G18860, which shares with NISP a 78,6%

identical syntaxin-6 domain, did not interact with NSP and thus did not interfere with begomovirus

infection. Consistent with these data, NISP, but not AT2G18860, is induced by begomovirus

infection. Fourth, NISP also interacted with NIG, which facilitates the release of the NSP-DNA

complex from the nuclear pores to the cytosol [12,18], and the presence of NSP enhanced the

NISP-NIG complex formation, indicating that NSP, which interacts with NIG [12] and NISP (Fig

4), may be recruited by the complex. We used ChIP assay to demonstrate that NISP was also

associated with vDNA in infected cells, which may be assembled into a NISP-NIG-NSP

multiprotein complex. Finally, the NISP interactions relocated the dispersed cytosolic NIG and

viral NSP to trafficking vesicle resembling the NISP-containing spherical structure associated with

TGN/endosome. The NISP-mediated translocation of NSP-vDNA to endosomal vesicles favors

the association of the viral complex with MP, which is brought to the endosome via interaction

with the endosome-associated SYTA for the MP-mediated cell-to-cell movement of vDNA [35].

NISP belongs to a new, previously unidentified plant-specific subfamily of syntaxin-6-like

proteins

The phylogenetic reconstruction of syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins from different

eukaryotic organisms divided this family into two subclades, one containing proteins from all

tested organisms, including SYP61 from Arabidopsis, and a new, previously unidentified plant-

specific subclade, which includes NISP and AT2G18860 paralogs clustered in pair. SYP61 has

been shown to form functional SNARE complexes involved in the vacuolar-TGN recycling

pathway and in exocytotic trafficking to the plasma membrane [43,50]. The process of membrane

fusion is the final step of vesicle transport, which is generally driven by a specific pairing of 1 v-

SNAREs with the 3 or 3 cognate t-SNARE molecules into a trans-SNARE complex [49, 51]. The

proteins from the SYP61 subclade contain the typical structural configuration of t-SNARES,

including the N-terminal syntaxin-6 domain, followed by a C-terminal t-SNARE coiled-coil

homology domain and a transmembrane segment at the C-terminus. The proteins from the NISP

subclade harbor the N-terminal syntaxin-6 domain and the transmembrane segment but lack a C-

terminal SNARE domain, which may impair the participation of these new syntaxin-6-like proteins

in the assembly of a functional trans-SNARE complex for membrane fusion. Nevertheless, we

have demonstrated that a representative of this new syntaxin-6 subclade, NISP, is functionally

expressed in plant cells. In addition to being induced by begomovirus infection, NISP functions as

a susceptibility factor to begomoviruses, forms complexes with viral and host proteins and is

located in TGN/endosome-associated vesicles. We also mapped the NSP-binding domain on NISP

to the syntaxin-6 domain. Therefore, we propose that NISP may function as an intracellular vesicle

docking site to which the cargo proteins are specifically recruited through interactions with the

syntaxin-6-like domain.

We also showed that members of the new syntaxin-6 subclade might diverge functionally

concerning protein-protein binding specificity. The NISP paralog, AT218860, which shares with

NISP a conserved syntaxin-6 domain (78.6% identity), fails to interact with viral NSP and hence

does not affect begomovirus infection. Therefore, specificity of protein interactions may rely on

marginal features of the syntaxin-6 domain. A striking difference between NISP and AT2G18860

is an eight-amino acid deletion toward the N-terminus of the syntaxin-6 domain, which may

account for the NISP specificity to NSP (S4 Fig). Complementary studies are necessary to

precisely define the determinants of a functional syntaxin-6 domain for NSP interaction, which

will be useful for engineering resistance to begomoviruses.

NISP may participate in the intracytoplasmic transport of NSP-vDNA

Based on the current data and others previously reported data, we propose a model for the

NISP integration into an intracytoplasmic transport route used for the begomovirus anterograde

movement. Begomoviruses replicate in the nuclei of infected cells, where NSP interacts with

newly-synthesized vDNA and facilitates the translocation of vDNA to the cytoplasm via nuclear

pores [13, 32]). This nuclear exportation process may be mediated by an exportin-like protein yet

to be identified. At the cytosolic side of the nuclear envelope, NIG associates with the NSP-vDNA

complex to accessorize the release of NSP-vDNA from the nuclear pores into the cytosol [12,18].

The pro-viral function of NIG is associated with its cytosolic localization because the WWP1-

mediated recruitment of NIG into nuclear bodies impairs begomovirus infection [48]. At the

cytosol, we showed here that NISP may recruit the NIG-NSP-vDNA complex to TGN/endosome-

associated transport vesicles. The nature of the NISP-associated vesicle was identified using cell

biology molecular markers and FM4-64, which demonstrated that NISP is predominantly localized

at TGN and in the early endosome (Fig 3), which was consistent with the localization of syntaxin-6

proteins in most eukaryotic cells [40]. As MP has been demonstrated to associate with the

endosomal SYTA, a possible NISP sequestration of NSP-DNA into TGN/endosome-associated

vesicles may provide the means for the predicted interactions between endosomally localized MP-

SYTA and NSP-DNA, which would favor the MP-mediated intercellular transport of vDNA via

an endocytic recycling pathway [35]. In summary, our data support a model in which direct

interactions between the host factor NISP and NIG/NSP modulate vDNA intracellular trafficking

to endosomes where MP, recruited by the endosomal SYTA, opportunistically interacts with NSP-

vDNA to mediate the cell-to-cell movement of vDNA via plasmodesmata.

Material and Methods

Phylogenetic analysis

The amino acid full-length sequences of plant proteins were retrieved from TAIR

(http://arabidopsis.org/), Phytozome v12 database (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/) and amino acid

full-length sequences of other species from the Ensemble database

(https://www.ensembl.org/index.html). The syntaxin domain superfamily was predicted using the

software HMMER v3.2.1 (http://hmmer.org/) using Pfam v.30 database (https://pfam.xfam.org/).

The syntaxin domain-containing protein sequences were selected to perform the phylogenetic

reconstruction. The amino acid sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm [51].

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using Bayesian Inference with MrBayes v3.2.2 [52] and mix

model of amino acid substitution (Wag). The analyses were performed running 10.000.000

generations and excluding the first 2.500.000 generations as burn-in and visualized with Figtree

software (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Plant NISP homologous proteins were

identified using the BLAST algorithm implemented in the Ensemble and Phytozome databases.

The amino acid sequences of the NISP homologs were selected for phylogenetic reconstruction of

N-terminal Syntaxin-6 family using the same tools and criteria applied for the superfamily syntaxin.

However, the amino acid substitution model Jones was used for the N-terminal Syntaxin-6

phylogenetic tree.

PPI network analysis

NSP- and MP-interacting proteins from Arabidopsis were used as a query term to identify

their respective interactions described in the BAR database (Bio-Analytic Resource for Plant

Biology, http://bar.utoronto.ca/interactions/). The Biogrid and IntAct databases were selected for

searching. The protein-protein interactions (PPI) were retrieved and imported into the Cytoscape

software (https://cytoscape.org/) to visualize the topology of the PPI network and to calculate the

network centrality metrics for each protein. The measured network centralities were betweenness,

closeness, eccentricity, and degree. Briefly, the betweenness centrality in the PPI network of the

graph G = (V,E) was calculated by observing the number of times a node v (protein) contributes

as a link along the shorter paths among all nodes. The betweenness centrality of this node can be

analyzed as:

$$\sum_{s,t \in V} \frac{\sigma(s,t|v)}{\sigma(s,t)}$$

566   where V is the total set of nodes, $\sigma(s,t)$ is the number of shorter paths of (s,t), and $\sigma(s,t|v)$ is the

567   number of paths crossing v [53]. The betweenness centrality can indicate the relevance of a protein

568   as functionally capable of keeping together interacting proteins. Closeness centrality (of a node v

569   is the sum of the shortest path distances from w to all other nodes and calculated as described by

570   Freeman [54]:

572
$$\frac{1}{\sum_{w \in V} dist(v, w)}$$

574   A high closeness value can be determined by the fact that all nodes are usually close to node v.

575   The eccentricity centrality of a node v is the maximum distance from v to all other nodes in graph

576   G and can be analyzed as described by Hage and Harary [55]:

578
$$\frac{1}{max\{dist(v, w) : w \in V\}}$$

In addition, the degree centrality of node v was calculated by $\sum_{i=1}^{K} d_i$, where d represents each

adjacent node and K, the total number of adjacent nodes. Nodes with a high degree can be

designated hubs and hold together different nodes with a lower degree.

GO analysis of the PPI network

The gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the proteins that compose the PPI network

was performed using the Bioconductor GOstats package [56]. GSEA analysis computes

hypergeometric test p values for over- or underrepresentation for each GO term, and the annotation

of genes is based on the Bioconductor annotation package org.At.tair.

Plasmid constructions

All recombinant plasmids were generated by the GATEWAY cloning system (Invitrogen,

http://www.invitrogen.com/). The primers used for gene amplification are listed in S3 Table. The

coding regions of *NISP* (AT4G30240) and AT2G18860, with or without a translational stop codon,

were amplified from leaf cDNA of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia (Col-0) and inserted

into the entry vectors pDONR201 and pDONR207. The resulting clones were designated

At4g30240-St-pDONR201 (pUFV2134), At4g30240-Ns-pDONR201 (pUFV2135), At2g18860-

St-pDONR201 (pUFV3193), At2g18860-Ns-pDONR201 (pUFV3194), At4g30240-St-

pDONR207 (pUFV2236), At4g30240-Ns-pDONR207 (pUFV2237), At2g18860-St- pDONR207

(pUFV3195), At2g18860-Ns-pDONR207 (pUFV3196). Then, the DNA inserts were transferred

by recombination from the entry vector to different yeast and plant expression vectors.

For the yeast two-hybrid assay, the *NISP* and AT2G18860 coding regions were transferred

from the respective entry vectors (At4g30240-St-pDONR201 and At2g18860-St-pDONR201) to

the yeast expression vectors pDEST32 and pDEST22, generated the clones At4g30240-St-

pDEST32 (pUFV2141), At4g30240-St-pDEST22 (pUFV2142), At2g18860-St-pDEST32

(pUFV3197) and At2g18860-St-pDEST22 (pUFV3198), fused to binding domain (BD)

(pDEST32) or activation domain (AD) (pDEST22) of GAL4. The *NSP*- and *NIG*-derived clones

used in the yeast two-hybrid assay have been previously described and designated NSP-St-

pDEST32, NSP-Cl-pDEST22 (Fontes *et al*., 2004), and NIG-pDEST22 (pUFV2547; Calil *et al*.,

2018).

For the subcellular localization experiments, the *NISP* and AT2G18860 coding region were

fused to GFP and expressed in planta under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter in the vector

pK7FWG2. For the co-immunoprecipitation assay, in addition to the GFP fusion, *NISP* ORF was

also fused to hemagglutinin (HA) tag, using the binary destination vector pK7m34GWfor three

fragment recombination. The resulting DNA constructions were At4g30240-pK7FWG2

(pUFV2576), At2g18860-pK7FWG2 (pUFV3199) and 2x35S::At4g30240-Ns-6HA-pH7m34GW

(pUFV2586).

For the subcellular co-localization assays, the ER-associated molecular marker ER-

mCherry (CD-959), the TGN-associated molecular marker G-mCherry (CD-967) and the

tonoplast-associated molecular marker vac-mCherry (CD-975) were obtained from the

Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. The plasma membrane-associated molecular marker,

FLS2-mCherry was obtained by amplifying the *FLS2* ORF from Arabidopsis cDNA with the

primers AtFLS2-Fwd and AtFLS2-NS-Rvs (S3 Table), inserting the amplified fragment by

recombination into pDON207 and transferring it by triple recombination to the destination vector

pH7m34GW along with FLS2-Ns-pDON207, pDONR-P4-P1R+2x35S, and pDONR-P2R-

P3+mCherry, resulting in the clone FLS2-mCherry (pUFV3034). Likewise, the SYTA ORF was

amplified from leaf cDNA with the primers AtSYTA-Fwd and AtSYTA-NS-Rvs (S3 Table),

cloned into the entry vector pDONR-207 and transferred via triple recombination to the destination

vector pH7m34GW along with SYTA-NspDON207, pDONR-P4-P1R+2x35S, and pDONR-P2R-

P3+mCherry, generating SYTA-mCherry (pUFV3296).

The *NISP* coding region was also fused to GFP and expressed in plants under the control

of its endogenous promoter. For this, about 2000 bp of 5' flanking sequence of At4g30240 was

PCR-amplified using the primers described in S3 Table and cloned into pDONR-P4-P1R, yielding

proAt4g30240-pDONR-P4-P1R (pUFV2436). Then, the 5' flanking sequence was transferred by

triple recombination to the destination vector pK7Fm34GW along with At4g30240-Ns-pDON201,

proAt4g30240-pDONR-P4-P1R,   and   eGFP-pDONR-P2R-P3,   resulting   in   the   clone

At4g30240::At4g30240-GFP (pUFV2849). The *NSP-* and *NIG*-derived clones used for co-

immunoprecipitation assays have been previously described and designated as 2x35S-NSP-Cl-

6HA-pH7m34GW   (pUFV2424),   NSP-Cl-pK7FWG2(pUFV2536),   2x35S-NIG-Ns-6HA-

pH7m34GW (pUFV1946) and NIG- pK7FWG2 (pUFV1177) [48].

The SPYNE-GW and SPYCE-GW vectors, which contain the N-terminal (nYFP) and C-

terminal (cYFP) of YFP, respectively, were used for the BiFC experiments. At4g30240-Ns-

pDONR207 was transferred to SPYNE-GW and SPYCE-GW, yielding At4g30240-Ns-SPYNE

(pUFV2238) and At4g30240-Ns-SPYCE (pUFV2239). The *NSP-* and *NIG*-derived clones used in

the BiFC assays have been previously described and designated as NSP-Cl-Ns-SPYNE

(pUFV1654), NSP-Cl-Ns-SPYCE (pUFV1655), NIG-Ns-SPYNE (pUFV1646), and NIG-Ns-

SPYCE (pUFV1647) [48].

NISP truncated versions were obtained from At4g30240-St-pDONR201 by PCR using

primers listed in S3 Table. The generated fragments were cloned into the entry vector pDONR201

and designated as At4g30240(1-107)-St-pDONR201 (pUFV2806), At4g30240(105-200)-St-

pDONR201 (pUFV2807) and At4g30240(200-300)-St-pDONR201 (pUFV2808). The respective

DNA inserts were then transferred to the yeast expression vectors, yielding At4g30240(1-107)-St-

pDEST32 (pUFV2822), At4g30240(1-107)-St-pDEST22 (pUFV2819), At4g30240(105-200)-St-

pDEST32 (pUFV2823), At4g30240(105-200)-St-pDEST22 (pUFV2820), At4g30240(200-200)-

St-pDEST32 (pUFV2824) and At4g30240(200-300)-St-pDEST22 (pUFV2821).

Detecting MP- and NSP-host protein interactions on high-density halo tag-NAPPAs

The identification of NSP and MP partners was carried out through a previously fabricated

high-density HaloTag nucleic acid programmable protein array (HaloTag-NAPPA), as described

by Yazaki *et al*. [37]. The protein microarray, which consisted of a set of *in situ* synthesized

proteins from 4600 *Arabidopsis thaliana* ORFs, has been designated AtNAPPA01. 3×HA-fused

CaLCuV MP and NSP proteins were independently expressed using the TNT system (Promega)

according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The *in vitro* synthesized HA-fused MP, and

HA-fused NSP were used to probe individually AtNAPPA01. The identification of MP- and NSP-

interacting proteins with the NAPPA protein-protein interaction method was from a single

experiment using duplicated spots on one glass slide. Interactions were considered positive only

when both replicated spots displayed normalized signal intensity above background. Experiments,

data scanning, quantification, and data processing were carried out as described by Yazaki *et al*.,

2016.

Plant growth conditions and transformation

Arabidopsis thaliana, ecotype Columbia (Col-0), was used as the wild type. Homozygous

seeds from the T-DNA insertional mutants *nisp-1* (Salk_117751) and *at2g18860-1* (Salk_099699)

were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. Arabidopsis seeds were surface-

sterilized and cold treated at 4°C for 72 h in the dark and then exposed to white light. Seedlings

were grown at 22°C on plates containing Murashige-Skoog (MS) medium for 2 weeks and then

transferred to soil. Plants were grown in a growth chamber at 22 ºC under short-day conditions

(12h light/12h dark). Transgenic lines were obtained via *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation

using the floral dip method [57]. Transformed plants with the indicated DNA constructions were

selected in MS medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic (kanamycin, pK7FWG2).

Selected transformants were confirmed by PCR, transferred to soil, and grown in a growth

chamber at 22 ºC under long-day conditions (16h light/8h dark) to generate seeds. For segregation

analysis, seeds were germinated on MS medium containing 50 µgmL^{-1} kanamycin. Homozygous

T1 lines for the T-DNA loci were selected by determining the frequency of their kanamycin-

resistant T2 seeds after self-pollination. Accumulation of NISP-GFP and AT2g18860-GFP

transcript and protein levels were monitored in each generation by qRT-PCR using gene-specific

primers (S3 Table) and immunoblotting using anti-GFP antibody. From the independently

transformed lines (8-15 lines of each construct and genotype transformed), we selected three

independently transformed lines which appeared to have an integrated T-DNA locus on a single

chromosome, since 75% of their T1 segregating seedlings were resistant to kanamycin (S4 Table).

The selected lines are presented in S4 Table. The nomenclature *nisp-1*/NISP-1-3 indicates that the

genotype *nisp-1* was transformed with At4g30240-pK7FWG2, harboring the *NISP* ORF fused to

the N-terminus of GFP under the control of the 35S promoter to generate NISP-complementing

lines. The designations AtNISP-1, AtNISP-2 and AtNISP-3 indicate three independently

transformed lines, which were generated by transformation of Col-0 with At4g30240-pK7FWG2

to obtain NISP-GFP-overexpressing lines. The same nomenclature was applied for the

transformation of *at2g18860-1* mutant and Col-0 with At2g18860-pK7FWG2, containing the

At2g18860 ORF fused to the N-terminus of GFP under the control of 35S promoter (S4 Table).

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR analysis

Primers were designed using the Primer Express 3.0 (Life Technologies). Total RNA was

extracted from Arabidopsis leaves using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and quantified

with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Total RNA (2 μg) was treated with RNase-free DNase I and

reverse transcribed using oligo (dT) (0,5 μM) and 1U of M-MLV (Thermo). Real-time RT-PCR

was carried out using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies), DNA template, and

primers in 10 μL of reaction and an ABI7500 real-time PCR system (Life Technologies). The

expression of each gene was normalized to the expression of *Actin* and quantified using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$

method. The primers used to detect specific transcripts with real-time RT-PCR are listed in S3

Table.

Yeast two-hybrid assay

Competent cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, strain AH109 (MATa, trp1-901, leu2-3,

112, ura3-52, his3-200, gal4Δ, gal80Δ, LYS2::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-HIS3, GAL2UAS-

GAL2TATA-ADE2, URA3::MEL1UAS-MEL1 TATA-lacZ), were cotransformed with the yeast

expression vectors pDEST22 (Gal4 AD) and pDEST32 (Gal4 BD), carrying the coding region of

the tested proteins, along with 100 μg of salmon sperm carrier DNA (ssDNA), using the lithium

acetate/polyethylene glycol (PEG) method (Gietz and Woods, 2002). For the selection of double

transformants, the cells were plated on medium deficient in leucine and tryptophan (SD, Synthetic

Dropout, -Leu, -Trp) and cultured for 4 days at 28°C. Interactions were monitored by the ability

of the reporter strain to grow on media lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine but supplemented

with 2.5 mM 3-AT (3-Amino-1,2,4-triazole; Sigma) for 4 days at 28°C.

Co-immunoprecipitation assay

The *in vivo* interactions between NISP and NSP or NIG were monitored by co-

immunoprecipitation using the μMACS™ Epitope Tag Protein Isolation (MACS/Miltenyil Biotec)

kit, according to manufacturer's recommendations. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens (strain GV310)*-

mediated transient expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves was carried out as described (Carvalho et

al. 2008b). Total protein extracts were obtained from infiltrated leaves expressing the recombinant

proteins and incubated for 2 h with anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) magnetic beads (MACS

/ Miltenyi Biotec) at 4 ºC under rotation. After incubation, the extracts were applied to a MACS

column for the indicated protein tag, washed five times before elution with a pre-heated buffer at

95 ºC. The immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-

polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) 10% (p/v), immunoblotted with the monoclonal

antibodies anti-human influenza hemagglutinin (anti-HA) epitope tag, amino acids 98-106

(Miltenyi Biotec, 130-091-972) or anti-GFP (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-091-833), and detected using

Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) according to the

recommendations of the manufacturer.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay

Different combinations of *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 expressing the indicated proteins

fused to N-YFP/C-YFP were co-infiltrated into the abaxial surface of *N. benthamiana* leaves at

OD_{600nm} of 1:1 proportion. Three days after infiltration, fluorescence was examined in epidermal

cells using a Zeiss inverted 510 META laser scanning microscope equipped with an argon laser

and a helium laser as excitation sources. YFP was excited at 514 nm using the argon laser, and

YFP emission was detected using a 560–615-nm filter. The images were processed using LSM

Image Browser 4 (ZEISS) software.

Subcellular localization

The subcellular localization of proteins was examined in epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana*

leaves transiently expressing GFP fusions. Briefly, *N. benthamiana* leaves were agro-infiltrated

with *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 carrying proAt4g30240-pDONR-P4-P1R (pUFV2436),

At4g30240-pK7FWG2 (pUFV2576) or At2g18860-pK7FWG2 (pUFV3199) (Method S1) and

fluorescence of the GFP fusions were visualized in epidermal cells 3 days after infiltration by

confocal microscopy using a Zeiss inverted 510 META laser scanning microscope equipped with

an argon laser and a helium laser as excitation sources. GFP was excited by the argon/helium-neon

laser system in 488 nm wavelength, and emission was collected in 500–530 nm band-pass filter.

Images were captured and processed with LSM Image Browser 4 (Carl-Zeiss) software.

For co-localization assays, NISP-GFP was co-expressed with ER-, Golgi-, tonoplast-,

plasma membrane- and endosome-associated molecular markers fused to mCherry (see in plasmid

constructions) and fluorescence was examined by confocal microscopy, using a Zeiss inverted

LSM510 META laser scanning microscope, on whose system, the default scanning mode is

sequential scanning (multitrack mode). For imaging GFP, the 488 nm excitation line and the 500

to 530 nm band pass filter were used. Excitation of mCherry was at 540 nm and emission of 608-

680 nm. For the FM4-64 staining, 48 h after agro-infiltration with the DNA construct expressing

NISP-GFP, the leaves were infiltrated with a solution of 50 µM FM4-64 (Molecular Probes,

Eugene, OR) and examined by confocal microscopy 1h post-infiltration.

Infectivity assay

The generation of transgenic lines overexpressing NISP (AtNISp) and At2g18860

(AT2G18860), knockout lines (*nisp-1* and *at2g18860-1*), NISP complementing lines are described

in Method S1. Transgenic lines and Columbia at the seven-leaf stage were inoculated with

plasmids containing partial tandem repeats of CaLCuV DNA-A and DNA-B by biolistic delivery

using a microprojectile bombardment model PDS-1000/He accelerator at 900 psi. (24, 26, 58). The

inoculated plants were transferred to a growth chamber and monitored for symptoms development

and progress of infection [23, 59]. Total DNA was extracted from systemically infected leaves

[60], and viral DNA accumulation was detected by PCR using universal DNA-B specific primers

PBL1v2040 and PCRC1 [61] (S3 Table). In each experiment, 15 plants of each line were

inoculated with 2 µg of tandemly repeated DNA-A plus DNA-B per plant. The course of infection

was examined using data from three independent experiments.

Quantification of viral DNA in infected plants

The accumulation of viral DNA in infected plants was quantified by qPCR [26]. The

reactions were prepared in 10 µL using SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies), 100 ng of

total DNA from systemically infected leaves, and CaLCuV-DNA-B-specific primers (S3 Table),

and analyzed on a real-time PCR system. The genomic copies of CaLCuV were normalized against

an internal control (18S rRNA) to account for template input variation between tubes. For viral

DNA quantification, standard curves were prepared using serial dilutions of DNA-B of CaLCuV

(100 to 10^6 copies of viral genome per reaction).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

The *in vivo* interaction between NISP and viral DNA was evaluated by ChIP. NISP-

overexpressing transgenic lines (AtNIS) and Columbia (Col-0) at the six-leaf stage were

inoculated by biolistic delivery with CaLCuV DNA-A and DNA-B infectious clones. Tissue

harvest, isolation of nuclei, and chromatin breaks from 45 days-old infected plants were conducted

according to Alves et al. [62] and Yamaguchi et al. [63]. The chromatin immunoprecipitation was

carried out with 1 µl of polyclonal anti-GFP serum (Life Technologies A11122; 3x diluted).

ChIP-qPCR

NSP primers (S3 Table) were initially used to amplify viral DNA-B from the

immunoprecipitated DNA and input DNA to ensure DNA-B-specific amplification in samples.

Likewise, DNA-A-specific primers were used to examine initial amplification of the DNA-A

component. Each reaction contained 1 µL of immunoprecipitated DNA or 20-fold diluted input

DNA, 0,2 mM CaLCuV-DNA-B-specific primers (S3 Table), and 5 µL of SYBR Green PCR

Master Mix (Life Technologies) in 10 µL total volume. The thermal cycling conditions of the

reactions consisted of an initial step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 15 s

and 58°C for 45 s. The cycle threshold (Ct) values from Col-0 and AtNISP were normalized with

the Ct values of the respective inputs, and the results were expressed as a percentage of the input.

Author Contribution

B.C.G.M performed most of the experiments; L.G.C.M. performed the co-localization

experiments and protoplast protein localization assays; M.D.-B. performed protein microarray

assay; B.C.G.M. and J.P.B.M. performed two hybrid assays; J.C.F.S. performed *in silico* and

statistical analyses; B.C.G.M. and A.A.S. performed BiFC assays; E.P.B.F. and J.R.E. conceived

experiments; B.C.G.M. and E.P.B.F. designed all experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the

manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the microscopy facility at Universidade Federal de Viçosa.

Funding

We are grateful to the Brazilian funding agencies CAPES, CNPq, FAPEMIG, and the National

Institute of Science and Technology in Plant-Pest interactions for supporting this study.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

1. Dodding MP, Way M. Coupling viruses to dynein and kinesin-1. EMBO J. 2011;30: 3527-3539.

2. Schoelz JE, Harries PA, Nelson RS. Intracellular transport of plant viruses: finding the door out

of the cell. Mol Plant. 2011;4: 813-831.

3. Harries PA, Schoelz JE, Nelson RS. Intracellular Transport of Viruses and Their Components:

Utilizing the Cytoskeleton and Membrane Highways. Mol Plant-Microbe Interac. 2010;23: 1381-

1393.

4. Wolf S, Deom CM, Beachy RN, Lucas WJ. Movement protein of tobacco mosaic virus modifies

plasmodesmatal size exclusion limit. Science. 1989;246: 377-379.

5. Arriagada G. Retroviruses and microtubule-associated motor proteins. Cell Microbiol. 2017;19:

e12759.

6. Rojas MR, Macedo MA, Maliano MR, Soto-Aguilar M, Souza JO, Briddon RW, et al. World

Management of Geminiviruses. Ann Rev Phytopathol. 2018;56: 637-677.

7. Hanley-Bowdoin L, Bejarano ER, Robertson D, Mansoor S. Geminiviruses: masters at

redirecting and reprogramming plant processes. Nat Ver Microbiol. 2013;11: 777-788.

8. Silva JCF, Carvalho TFM, Basso MF, Deguchi M, Pereira WA, Sobrinho RR, et al. Geminivirus

data warehouse: a database enriched with machine learning approaches. BMC Bioinformatics.

2017;18: 240.

9. Pascal E, Sanderfoot AA, Ward BM, Medville R, Turgeon R, Lazarowitz SG. The geminivirus

BR1 movement protein binds single-stranded DNA and localizes to the cell nucleus. Plant Cell.

1994;6: 995-1006.

10. Rojas MR, Noueiry AO, Lucas WJ, Gilbertson RL. Bean dwarf mosaic geminivirus movement

proteins recognize DNA in a form- and size-specific manner. Cell. 1998;95: 105-113.

11. Hehnle S, Wege C, Jeske H. Interaction of DNA with the movement proteins of geminiviruses

revisited. J Virol. 2004;78: 7698-7706.

12. Carvalho CM, Fontenelle MR, Florentino, LH, Santos AA, Zerbini FM, Fontes EPB. A novel

nucleocytoplasmic traffic GTPase identified as a functional target of the bipartite geminivirus

nuclear shuttle protein. Plant J. 2008a;5: 869-880.

13. Sanderfoot AA, Lazarowitz SG. Cooperation in viral movement: The geminivirus BL1

movement protein interacts with BR1 and redirects it from the nucleus to the cell periphery. Plant

Cell. 1995;7: 1185-1194.

14. Noueiry AO, Lucas WJ, Gilbertson RL. Two proteins of a plant DNA virus coordinate nuclear

and plasmodesmatal transport. Cell. 1994;76: 925-932.

15. Lazarowitz SG, Beachy RN. Viral movement proteins as probes for intracellular and

intercellular trafficking in plants. Plant Cell. 1999;11: 535-548.

16. Ward BM, Lazarowitz SG. Nuclear export in plants: use of geminivirus movement proteins

for a cell-based export assay. Plant Cell. 1999;11: 1267-1276.

17. Gafni Y, Epel BL. The role of host and viral proteins in intra and inter-cellular trafficking of

geminiviruses. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol. 2002;60: 231-241.

18. Carvalho CM, Machado JP, Zerbini FM, Fontes EP. NSP-interacting GTPase: a cytosolic

protein as cofactor for nuclear shuttle proteins. Plant Signal Behav. 2008b;3: 752-754.

19. Sanchez-Velar N, Udofia EB, Yu Z, Zapp ML. hRIP, a cellular cofactor for Rev function,

promotes release of HIV RNAs from the perinuclear region. Genes Dev. 2004;18:23-34.

20. Zhou Y, Rojas MR, Park M-R, Seo YS, Lucas WJ, Gilbertson RL. Histone H3 interacts and

colocalizes with the nuclear shuttle protein and the movement protein of a geminivirus. J Virol.

2011;85: 11821-11832.

21. McGarry RC, Barron YD, Carvalho MF, Hill JE, Gold D, Cheung E, et al. A novel Arabidopsis

acetyltransferase interacts with the geminivirus movement protein NSP. Plant Cell. 2003;15: 1605-

1618.

22. Carvalho MF, Lazarowitz SG. Interaction of the movement protein NSP and the Arabidopsis

acetyltransferase AtNSI is necessary infection and pathogenicity. J Virol. 2004;78: 11161-11171.

23. Florentino LH, Santos AA, Fontenelle MR, Pinheiro GL, Zerbini FM, Baracat-Pereira MC, et

al. A PERK-Like receptor kinase interacts with the geminivirus nuclear shuttle protein and

potentiates viral infection. J Virol. 2006;80: 6648-6656.

24. Fontes EPB, Santos AA, Luz DF, Waclawovsky AJ, Chory J. The geminivirus nuclear shuttle

protein is a virulence factor that suppresses transmembrane receptor kinase activity**. Genes Dev.

2004;18: 2545-2556.

25. Carvalho CM, Santos AA, Pires SR, Rocha CS, Saraiva DI, Machado JPB, et al. Regulated

nuclear trafficking of rpL10A mediated by NIK1 represents a defense strategy of plant cells against

virus. PLoS Pathog. 2008c;4**: e1000247.

26. Zorzatto C, Machado JPB, Lopes KVG, Nascimento KJT, Pereira WA, Brustolini OJB, et al.

NIK1-mediated translation suppression functions as a plant antiviral immunity mechanism.

Nature. 2015;520: 679-682.

27. Sanderfoot AA, Ingham DJ, Lazarowitz SG. A viral movement protein as a nuclear shuttle.

The geminivirus BR1 movement protein contains domains essential for interaction with BL1 and

nuclear localization. Plant Physiol. 2006;110: 23-33.

28. Sanderfoot AA., Lazarowitz SG. Getting it together in plant virus movement: cooperative

interactions between bipartite geminivirus movement proteins. Trends Cell Biol. 1996;6: 353-358.

29. Ward BM, Medville E, Lazarowitz SG, Turgeon R. The geminivirus BL1 movement protein

is associated with endoplasmic reticu- lum-derived tubules in developing phloem cells. J Virol.

1997;71: 3726-3733.

30. Zhang SC, Wege C, Jeske H. Movement proteins (BC1 and BV1) of Abutilon mosaic

geminivirus are cotransported in and between cells of sink but not of source leaves as detected by

green fluorescent protein tagging. Virology. 2001;290: 249-260.

31. Aberle HJ, Rütz ML, Karayavuz M, Frischmuth S, Wege C, Hülser D, et al. Localizing BC1

movement proteins of Abutilon mosaic geminivirus in yeasts by subcellular fractionation and

freeze-fracture immunolabelling. Arch Virol. 2002;147:103-107.

32. Frischmuth S, Wege C, Hülser D, Jeske H. The movement protein BC1 promotes redirection

of the nuclear shuttle protein BV1 of Abutilon mosaic geminivirus to the plasma membrane in

fission yeast. Protoplasma. 2007;230:117-123.

33. Krenz B, Windeisen V, Wege C, Jeske H, Kleinow T. A plastid-targeted heat shock cognate

70 kDa protein interacts with the Abutilon mosaic virus movement protein. Virology. 2010;401:6-

17.

34. Krenz B, Jeske H, Kleinow T. The induction of stromule formation by a plant DNA-virus in

epidermal leaf tissues suggests a novel intra- and intercellular macromolecular trafficking route.

Front Plant Sci. 2012;3: 291.

35. Lewis JD, Lazarowitz SG. *Arabidopsis* synaptotagmin SYTA regulates endocytosis and virus

movement protein cell-to-cell transport. Proc Natl Acad Sci, USA. 2010;107: 2491-2496.

36. Krapp S, Schuy C, Greiner E, Stephan I, Alberter B, Funk C, et al. Begomoviral movement

protein effects in human and plant cells: towards new potential interaction partners. Viruses.

2017;9: 334.

37. Yazaki J, Galli M, Kim AY, Nito K, Aleman F, Chang KN, et al. Mapping transcription factor

interactome networks using HaloTag protein arrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci, USA. 2016;113: e4238-

47

38. Alcaide-Loridan C, Jupin I. Ubiquitin and plant viruses, let's play together! Plant Physiol.

2012;160: 72-82.

39. Conchon S, Cao X, Barlowe C, Pelham HR. Got1p and Sft2p: membrane proteins involved in

traffic to the Golgi complex. EMBO J. 1999;18: 3934-3946.

40. Jung JJ, Inamdar SM, Tiwari A, Choudhury A. Regulation of intracellular membrane

trafficking and cell dynamics by syntaxin-6. Biosci Rep. 2012;32: 383-391.

41. Drakakaki G, van de Ven W, Pan S, Miao Y, Wang J, Keinath NF, et al. Isolation and proteomic

analysis of the SYP61 compartment reveal its role in exocytic trafficking in Arabidopsis. Cell Res.

2012;22: 413-424.

42. Zhourar J, Rojo E, Bassham DC. AtVPS45 Is a Positive Regulator of the SYP41/SYP61/VTI12

SNARE Complex Involved in Trafficking of Vacuolar Cargo. Plant Physiol. 2009;149: 1668-

1678.

43. Hachez C, Laloux T, Reinhardt H, Cavez D, Degand H, Grefen C, et al. Arabidopsis SNAREs

SYP61 and SYP121 coordinate the trafficking of plasma membrane aquaporin PIP2;7 to modulate

the cell membrane water permeability. Plant Cell. 2014;26: 3132-3147.

44. Reverter M, Rentero C, Garcia-Melero A, Hoque M, Vilà de Muga S, Alvarez-Guaita, A et al.

Cholesterol regulates Syntaxin 6 trafficking at trans-Golgi network endosomal boundaries. Cell

Rep. 2014;7: 883-97

45. Robatzek S, Chinchilla D, Boller T. Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition

receptor FLS2 in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 2006;20: 537-542.

46. Nelson BK, Cai X, Nebenfuhr A. A multicolored set of in vivo organelle markers for co-

localization studies in Arabidopsis and other plants. Plant J. 2007;51: 1126-1136.

47. Haupt S, Cowan GH, Ziegler A, Roberts AG, Oparka KL, Torrance L. Two Plant–Viral

Movement Proteins Traffic in the Endocytic Recycling Pathway. Plant Cell. 2005;17: 164-181.

48. Calil IP, Quadros IPS, Araújo TC, Duarte CEM, Gouveia-Mageste BC, Silva JCF, et al. A

WW Domain-Containing Protein Forms Immune Nuclear Bodies against Begomoviruses. Mol

Plant. 2018;11: 1449-1465.

49. Wang T, Li L, Hong W. SNARE proteins in membrane trafficking. Traffic. 2017;18:767-775.

50. Zhourar, J., Rojo, E., and Bassham, D.C. (2009). AtVPS45 Is a Positive Regulator of the

SYP41/SYP61/VTI12 SNARE Complex Involved in Trafficking of Vacuolar Cargo. Plant

Physiol. 149: 1668-1678.

51. Jahn R, Scheller RH. SNAREs—Engines for membrane fusion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006;**7**:

631-643.

51. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(5): 1792-1797.

52. Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed

models. Bioinformatics. 2003;19: 1572-1574.

53. Brandes U. A faster algorithm for betweenness centrality. J Mathem Sociol. 2001;25: 163-177.

54. Freeman LC. Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. Social Networks. 1978;1:

215-239.

55. Hage P, Harary F. Eccentricity and centrality in networks. Social Networks. 1995;17: 57-63.

56. Falcon S, Gentleman R. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association.

Bioinformatics. 2007;23: 257–258.

57. Zhang X, Henriques R, Lin SS, Niu QW, Chua NH. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation

of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral-dip method. Nat Protoc. 2006;1: 641-646.

58. Santos AA, Carvalho CM, Florentino LH, Ramos JJO, Fontes EPB. Conserved threonine

residues within the A-loop of the receptor NIK differentially regulate the kinase function required

for antiviral signaling. PLoS ONE. 2009;4: e5781.

59. Rocha CS, Santos AA, Machado JPB, Fontes EPB. The ribosomal protein L10/QM-like protein

is a component of the NIK-mediated antiviral signaling. Virology. 2008;380: 165–169.

60. Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB. 1983. A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol

Biol Rep. 1983;1: 19-23.

61. Rojas MR, Gilbertson RL, Russel DR, Maxwell DP. Use of degenerate primers in the

polymerase chain reaction to detect whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses. Plant Dis. 1993;77: 340-

347.

62. Alves MS, Reis PAB, Dadalto SP, Faria JAQA, Fontes EPB, Fietto LG. A Novel Transcription

Factor, ERD15 (Early Responsive to Dehydration 15), Connects Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress

with an Osmotic Stress-induced Cell Death Signal. J Biol Chem. 2011;286: 20020-20030.

63. Yamaguchi N, Winter CM, Wu MF, Kwon CS, William DA, Wagner D. Protocols: chromatin

immunoprecipitation from Arabidopsis tissues. Arabidopsis Book. 2014;12: e0170.

 Figure Legends

Fig 1. MP-NSP-Arabidopsis protein-protein interactions (PPI) network integrated into Arabidopsis interactome.

The network displays a firework topology, which was assembled by the Cytoscape software. The viral proteins, MP and NSP, are represented in red. The NSP-specifically interacting proteins are in dark orange and connecting proteins from Arabidopsis in light orange. The MP-specifically interacting proteins are indicated in light yellow to which interacting dark yellow proteins converge. Arabidopsis proteins that interact with both MP and NSP are shown in green, forming hubs of convergent blue proteins. Gray proteins represent connecting points between two functionally similar hubs.

Fig 2. NISP, but not AT2G18860, interacts with NSP via the Syntaxin-6 domain.

(A) Schematic representation of NISP and AT2G18860 sequence alignment. The syntaxin-6 domain is indicated in green and the transmembrane segment, in red. The identity of sequence and positions of amino acid residues are indicated. Sequence alignment was performed with CLUSTAL OMEGA. **(B)** NISP and NSP interact in yeast. NISP and NSP fused to the activation domain (AD), and binding domain (BD) of Gal4 and also in combination with empty vectors were expressed in yeast. The interactions between the tested proteins were monitored by histidine prototrophy in medium supplemented with 3-AT for 4 days at 28°C. **(C)** AT2G18860 failed to interact with NSP in yeast. AT2G18860 and NSP fused to both Gal4AD and BD were expressed in yeast, and possible interactions were assayed in all combinations. Co-expressed NSP-BD and NISP-AD were used as a positive control. **(D-F)**. Mapping the NSP-binding domain on NISP.

1005 Yeast cells expressing the indicated truncated version of NISP and NSP fusions were plated on

1006 selective medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine and supplemented with 2.5 mM 3-AT

1007 and grown for 4 days at 28°C. The truncated versions of NISP are delimited by the indicated

1008 position of the amino acid residues in the full-length protein. Protein interaction was only observed

1009 by co-expressing AD-NISP[1-107] and BD-NSP.

1010

Fig 3. Subcellular localization of NISP.

(A) The NISP-GFP distribution in intracellular vesicles. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated

with *A. tumefaciens* carrying a DNA construct expressing NISP-GFP under the control of 35S

promoter. Confocal images from three independent experiments were taken 36 hours post-

infiltration. Approximately 200 cells were examined. Scale bars, 10 μm and 20 μm. **(B)** Confocal

images of NISP-GFP transiently expressed under the control of the endogenous promoter. Scale

bars, 10 μm and 20 μm. **(C)** Co-expression of the ER-associated ER-mCherry molecular marker

and NISP-GFP in *N. benthamiana* leaves. *N. benthamiana* leaf cells expressing the indicated

fusion proteins were examined by confocal microscopy 2 days after infiltration. The asterisks

indicate the local of the amplified insets, and the arrows indicate the merged pattern of GFP-

associated vesicles in C, D, E, F, G, H. The confocal images are representative samples from three

independent experiments. Scale bars, 10 μm. **(D)** Co-expression of the Golgi-associated G-

mCherry molecular marker and NISP-GFP in *N. benthamiana* leaves 2 days after agro-infiltration.

Scale bars, 20 μm. **(E)** Co-expression of the tonoplast-associated vac-mCherry molecular marker

and NISP-GFP in *N. benthamiana* leaves 2 days after agro-infiltration. Scale bars, 20 μm. **(F)** Co-

expression of the plasma membrane-associated FLS2-mCherry and NISP-GFP in *N. benthamiana*

leaves 2 days after agro-infiltration. Scale bars, 20 μm. **(G)** Co-expression of endosome-associated

SYTA-mCherry and NISP-GFP in *N. benthamiana* leaves 2 days after agro-infiltration. Scale bars,

10 μm. **(H)** Co-labeling of vesicles with NISP-GFP and FM4-64 in epidermal cells of *N.*

benthamiana. Images were taken after 2 days post infiltration of *Agrobacterium* carrying a NISP-

GFP expression vector and 1 h after infiltration of FM4-64. Scale bars, 20 μm.

1032

Fig 4. NISP interacts with NSP *in vivo.*

(A) NISP interacts with NSP in vesicle-like compartments, as judged by BiFC. *N. benthamiana*

leaf cells expressing NISP and NSP fused to the C-terminus (cYFP) or N-terminus (nYFP) of YFP,

or in combination with the empty vectors, were observed by confocal microscopy 3 days after

infiltration. Scale bars, 10 μm. The experiment was repeated at least four times with identical

results. **(B)** NISP-NSP complex-containing vesicles are stained by FM4-64. *N. benthamiana* leaves

were agroinfiltrated with nYFP-NISP- and cYFP-NSP-expressing DNA constructs. Images were

taken 2 days post agroinfiltration and 30 min after infiltration of FM4-64. Arrows indicate

examples of vesicle-associated reconstituted fluorescent signals that were co-stained by FM4-64.

Scale bars, 10 μm. The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. **(C)** NISP interacts

with NSP *in planta*. Whole cell protein extracts from N. benthamiana leaves expressing transiently

NISP-GFP and NSP-6HA were used for co-immunoprecipitation assays using an anti-GFP serum.

Input and IP show the levels of the expressed proteins NSP-6HA, NISP-GFP, and GFP. Anti-HA

antibody was used to detect NSP-6HA from the immunoprecipitated complex. GFP was used as a

negative control. Molecular mass markers are given on the left in kilodaltons. The experiment was

repeated twice. **(D)** *In vivo* interaction of NISP with NSP. Co-immunoprecipitation was performed

as described in B, except that the tags of the transiently expressed proteins were switched to NSP-

GFP and NISP-6HA. The experiment was repeated twice.

1051

Fig 5. NISP displays a pro-begomoviral function.

(A) Transcript accumulation of NISP in transgenic lines. NISP transcript levels in three

independently complemented transgenic lines (*nisp-1*/NISP-1-3), three independently transformed

NISP-overexpressing lines (AtNISP1-3), Col-0 and *nisp-1* were determined by qRT-PCR. Gene

expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method, and actin was used as an endogenous control.

Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals based on replicated samples (n=3) from three

independent experiments. **(B)** NISP-GFP protein accumulation in transgenic lines. Whole cell

protein extracts from the complemented transgenic lines (*nisp-1*/NISP), *NISP*-overexpressing lines

(AtNISP), Col-0 and *nisp-1* were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using an anti-GFP

serum. **(C)** AT2G18860 transcript accumulation in transgenic lines. The transcript levels of

AT2G18860 in complemented transgenic lines (*at2g18860-1*/AT2G18860-1-3), AT2G18860-

overexpressing lines (AT2G18860-1-3), Col-0 and *at2g18860-1* were quantified by qRT-PCR and

normalized to the actin as an endogenous control. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals

based on replicated samples (n=3) from three independent experiments. **(D)** AT2G18860-GFP

protein accumulation in transgenic lines. Total protein extracts from complemented transgenic

lines (*at2g18860-1*/AT2G18860), AT2G18860-overexpressing lines (AT2G18860), Col-0 and

at2g18860-1 were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using anti-GFP antibody. **(E)**

CaLCuV infection-associated symptoms in Arabidopsis genotypes at 21 days post-inoculation

(dpi). The figure shows representative samples of mock-inoculated and CaLCuV -infected plants.

The genotypes are indicated in the figure and are the same as specified in A-D. **(F)** The onset of

infection is delayed in *nisp-1* knockout line. Ecotype Col-0, *nisp-1*, *at2g18860-1*, *nisp-1*/NISP

complemented lines and AtNISP-overexpressing lines (as in a-d) were infected with CaLCuV

DNA, and the course of infection was monitored as the percent of systemically infected plants at

different dpi. **(G)** Absolute quantification of CaLCuV genomic units in infected lines at 21 dpi.

The genotypes are the same as specified in a-d. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals based

on replicated samples from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significative

differences among the groups (Duncan test, p <0,05, n= 3).

1079

Fig 6. NISP interacts with NIG *in vivo* and NSP enhances NISP-NIG complex

formation.

(A) BIFC assay showing the interaction between NISP and NIG in vesicles of *N. benthamiana* leaf

cells. *N. benthamiana* leaves transiently expressing NISP and NIG fused to the C-terminus (cYFP)

or N-terminus (nYFP) of YFP were examined by confocal microscopy 3 days after infiltration.

Scale bars, 10 μm and 20 μm. The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. **(B)**

NISP-NIG complex-containing vesicles are stained by FM4-64. *N. benthamiana* leaves were

agroinfiltrated with nYFP-NIG- and cYFP-NISP-expressing DNA constructs. Images were taken

2 days post infiltration and 1 h after infiltration of FM4-64. Arrows indicate examples of vesicle-

associated reconstituted fluorescent signals that were co-stained by FM4-64 and the asterisk, the

region of the amplified inset. Scale bars, 10 μm. The experiment was repeated three times with

identical results. **(C)** In vivo interaction of NISP and NIG. Total protein extracts from *N.*

benthamiana expressing NISP-GFP and NIG-6HA were used for co-immunoprecipitation assays

using anti-GFP. Input and IP show the levels of the expressed proteins NISP-GFP and NIG-6HA.

Anti-HA was used to detect NIG-6HA from the immunoprecipitated complex. GFP was used as

an unrelated protein. The experiment was repeated twice with identical results. **(D)** NISP-NIG

complex formation in the presence of viral NSP. The Co-IP assay was performed as described in

A, except that co-expressed NSP-GST was included in the assay. NSP-GST was detected by

immunoprecipitating it from infiltrated leaves and immunoblotting with anti-GST. The experiment

was repeated twice with identical results. **(E)** Viral NSP enhances the interaction between NISP

and NIG. NIG levels in the immunoprecipitated complex in the presence and absence of viral NSP

were quantified using the Band Analysis tools of the ImageLab software (Bio-Rad). The signal

values were normalized using the IP NISP-GFP band. A.U. denotes arbitrary units.

1103

Fig 7. NISP complexes with vDNA *in vivo.*

(A) NISP-GFP accumulation in overexpressing lines. Whole cell protein extracts from the NISP-

GFP-overexpressing lines, as indicated in the figure, and Col-0 were separated by SDS-PAGE and

immunoblotted using an anti-GFP serum. **(B)** NISP binds to viral DNA-B *in vivo*. NISP-GFP-

overexpressing lines (AtNISP-1, AtNISP-2, and AtNISP-3), and Col-0 were inoculated with

infectious CaLCuV clones prior to the ChIP assay, which was performed with leaf samples of the

indicated genotypes using anti-GFP. The infected plants were confirmed by PCR of input DNA

from inoculated plants using CaLCuV DNA-B-specific primers, which amplifies a 770-bp

fragment (F1/R1; S10b Fig). IN denotes infected leaves and UN, uninfected leaves. **(C)** ChIP-

qPCR assay of leaves from AtNISP-GFP transgenic lines and Col-0. The samples were

immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP antibody and ChIPed DNA was quantified by qPCR using

the DNA-B-specific primers F3/R3 (S10b Fig). Data were normalized relative to the input of each

sample and are expressed as the percentage of input. (D) ChIP-qPCR assay using a different set of

DNA-B-specific primers (F2/R2) and samples from the indicated genotypes. The ChIP-qPCR was

performed as described in C. **(E)** NISP binds to viral DNA-A *in vivo*. NISP-GFP-overexpressing

lines (AtNISP-1, AtNISP-2 and AtNISP-3), and Col-0 were inoculated with infectious CaLCuV

clones prior to the ChIP assay, which was performed with leaf samples of the indicated genotypes

using anti-GFP. The infected plants were confirmed by PCR of input DNA from inoculated plants

using CaLCuV DNA-A-specific primers, which amplifies a 389-bp fragment (F1/R1; S10a Fig).

IN denotes infected leaves and UN, uninfected leaves. **(F)** ChIP-qPCR assay using a set of DNA-

A-specific primers (F2/R2, S10a Fig) and samples from the indicated genotypes. The ChIP-qPCR

was performed as described in C. The above experiments were repeated three times with similar

results.

1127

1128 **Supporting information**

1129 **S1 Table-** Protein-protein interactions between the viral proteins and the

1130 Arabidopsis proteins**.**

1131

1132 **S2 Table-** Enriched GO terms in three categories, Biological Process, Molecular

1133 Function, or Cellular Component ontology

1134

1135 **S3 Table.** List of primers used for cloning, PCR, RT-qPCR and qPCR

1136

1137 **S4 Table.** Expression of kanamycin resistance in the T1 generation of transgenic

1138 Arabidopsis plants.

1139

S1 Video/Movie -Vesicle-associated localization of NISP

S1 Fig. Identification of MP- and NSP-interacting proteins.

(A) *In vitro* synthesized 3xHA-NSP and 3xHA-MP. In vitro translated HA-NSP and HA-MP were

electrophoresed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using an anti-HA antibody. **(B)** Protein

interaction between *in situ* synthesized Arabidopsis hallo-proteins and 3xHA-MP or 3xHA-NSP.

The AtNAPPA02 high-density array containing 4600 Arabidopsis ORFS spotted in duplicates was

probed with 3xHA-MP or 3xHA-NSP, and candidate interactors were detected with an anti-HA

antibody. The bright spots are signals from candidate interactors.

S2 Fig. A network of NSP- and MP-directly interacting Arabidopsis proteins.

The network was assembled by the Cytoscape software. The viral proteins are indicated in red,

MP-specifically interacting proteins in yellow, NSP-specifically interacting proteins in orange and

proteins that associate with both MP and NSP are indicated in green.

1152

S3 Fig. *In silico* analyses of NISP.

(A) Phylogenetic tree of syntaxin superfamily domain-containing proteins from the species

indicated. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using Bayesian inference performed with

MrBayes v3.2.2 and the mixed amino acid substitution model Wag. The syntaxin-6 domain-

containing proteins from Arabidopsis are indicated in blue, and NISP (AT4G30240) was clustered

with plant-specific syntaxin-6 proteins forming the red clade. **(B)** Phylogenetic tree of N-terminal

Syntaxin-6 domain-containing proteins. NISP (AT4G30240) clusters together with plant-specific

N-terminal syntaxin-6 proteins, which do not harbor a typical tSnare domain at the C-terminus.

The syntaxin-6 domain proteins from Arabidopsis are in blue. The phylogenetic tree was

constructed using Bayesian inference performed with MrBayes v3.2.2 and the mixed amino acid

substitution model Jones.

1164

S4 Fig. Sequence alignment of NSP and AT2G18860

1166 The N-terminal syntaxin-6 domain is indicated in red and the transmembrane segment in black.

1167 The sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTAL OMEGA. Identical amino acids are

1168 indicated with asterisks, highly conserved residues with (:) and lower conservation with (.).

1169

S5 Fig. Subcellular localization of AT2G18860

1171 AT2G18860-GFP distribution in plasma membrane-associated vesicles. *N. benthamiana* leaves

1172 were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* carrying a DNA construct expressing AT2G18860-GFP under

1173 the control of 35S promoter. Confocal images were taken 36 h post-infiltration. Approximately

1174 200 cells were examined. Scale bars, 10 μm.

1175

S6 Fig. *NISP*, **but not AT2G18860, expression is induced by CaLCuV**

1177 NISP and AT2G18860 transcript levels were quantified by qRT-PCR in uninfected Col-0 leaves

1178 (Col-0), tungsten-inoculated Col-0 leaves (Col-0 T), and systemically infected Col-0 leaves with

1179 CaLCuV (Col-0 IN). Gene expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method, and actin was used

1180 as an endogenous control. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals based on replicated

1181 samples (n = 3) from three independent experiments.

1182

S7 Fig. The transgenic lines are visibly indistinguishable from the wild-type plants.

Columbia (Col-0) ecotype of *Arabidopsis thaliana* was used as the wild-type control for phenotype

comparison, and the Col-0 ecotype was used for generating almost all the transgenic plants except

for the complementation test in *nik1-1* and *at2g18860-1* mutants. The genotypes are the same as

in Fig. 5. Plants were grown in a growth chamber at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h light/8

h dark). **(A)** Developmental phenotypes associated with inactivation of NISP gene and

overexpression of NISP-GFP in the R3 generation of transgenic lines at 45 days after germination.

(B) Developmental phenotypes associated with inactivation of NISP gene and overexpression of

NISP-GFP in the R3 generation of transgenic lines at 60 days after germination. **(C)**

Developmental phenotypes associated with inactivation of AT2G18860 gene and overexpression

of AT2G18860-GFP in the R2 generation of transgenic lines at 45 days after germination. **(D)**

Developmental phenotypes associated with inactivation of AT2G18860 gene and overexpression

of AT2G18860-GFP in the R2 generation of transgenic lines at 60 days after germination

1196

S8 Fig 8. NSP enhances NISP-NIG complex formation.

(A) NISP interacts with NIG in yeast. AD-NIG and BD-NISP fusions were expressed in yeast and

interactions between the recombinant proteins were monitored by His prototrophy in selective

medium (-Leu,-Trp,-His) and supplemented with 2.5 mM 3-AT. The co-expression of PRNIG

fused to BD and CSN5A fused to AD was used as a positive control. **(B)** Cytosolic and perinuclear

distribution of NIG-GFP. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with A. tumefaciens carrying

NIG-GFP constructs with expression driven by the 35S constitutive promoter. NIG-GFP was

imaged by confocal microscopy 36 h after infiltration. **(C)** Cytosolic localization of NIG in

transgenic lines. Confocal fluorescence image of Arabidopsis root cells stably transformed with

35S:NIG-GFP. **(D)** NISP interacts with NIG *in planta*. Total protein extracts from *N. benthamiana*

expressing NISP-6HA and NIG-GFP were used for co-immunoprecipitation assays using anti-

GFP. Input and IP show the levels of the expressed proteins NISP-6HA and NIG-GFP. Anti-HA

was used to detect NISP-6HA from the immunoprecipitated complex. GFP was used as an

unrelated protein. The experiment was repeated three times. **(E)** NISP-NIG complex formation in

the presence of viral NSP. The Co-IP assay was performed as described in A, except that co-

expressed NSP-GST was included in the assay. NSP-GST was detected by immunoprecipitating

it from transfected leaves and immunoblotting with anti-GST. The experiment was repeated three

times. **(F)** The interaction of NISP and NIG is increased by the presence of viral NSP. NIG-GFP

levels in the immunoprecipitated complex in the presence and absence of viral NSP were

quantified using the Band Analysis tools of the ImageLab software (Bio-Rad). The signal values

were normalized using the IP NIG-GFP band. A.U. denotes arbitrary unit.

1218

S9 Fig. NISP interacts with NIG *in vivo* and NSP enhances NISP-NIG complex formation.

(A) BIFC assay showing the interaction between NISP and NIG in vesicles of *N. benthamiana* leaf

cells. *N. benthamiana* leaves transiently expressing NISP and NIG fused to the C-terminus (cYFP)

or N-terminus (nYFP) of YFP, were examined by confocal microscopy 3 days after infiltration.

Scale bars, 10 μm and 20 μm. **(B)** Co-expression of NSP-6HA strengthens interaction between

NIG and NISP. The BiFC assay was performed by agro-infiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves with

nYFP-NIG, cYFP-NISP and vice-versus along with the expression of NSP-6HA. The reconstituted

fluorescence signal was observed by confocal microscopy, 3 days after agroinfiltration. The figure

displays representative samples from three independent biological repeats. Scale bars, 10 μm. **(C)**

Confocal fluorescent image of NISP and NIG fused to the C-terminus (cYFP) or N-terminus

(nYFP) of YFP in combination with empty vectors, as indicated in the figure.

S10 Fig. Schematic representation of CaLCuV DNA-A, DNA-B and ChIP-DNA primers.

(A) Schematic representation of DNA-A. The number 1 corresponds to the 5' end of the nick site

(TAATATT/AC) within the conserved nonanucleotide sequence located in the intergenic region.

The ORFs are indicated with arrows. The positions of the primers used for PCR (F1/R1), and qRT-

PCR (F2/R2) are indicated. **(B)** Schematic representation of DNA-B. The numbering scheme is

the same as in a. The ORFs are indicated with arrows. The positions of the primers used for PCR

(F1/R1), and qRT-PCR (F2/R2 and F3/R3) are indicated.

1237

1238

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7