Maternally derived sex steroid hormones impact sex ratios of loggerhead sea turtles Authors: Emma C. Lockley¹, Thomas Reischig², Christophe Eizaguirre¹ ¹Queen Mary University of London, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Mile End Road, London E14NS, United Kingdom. ²Turtle Foundation, An der Eiche 7a, 50678 Cologne, Germany. Corresponding Author: Emma Lockley, Queen Mary University of London, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Mile End Road, London E14NS, United Kingdom. e.lockley@qmul.ac.uk Keywords: Temperature-dependent sex determination, Maternal effects, Loggerhead sea turtle, Hormone transfer, Conservation, Climate Change

<u>Abstract</u>

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

An optimal sex ratio is arguably one of the most important demographic traits of Rising global temperatures threaten temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) species with extreme sex ratio bias and ultimately extinction. Because sex steroid hormones can impact sex determination in TSD reptiles, variation in their maternal transfer within the egg yolk may form a buffer mechanism against raising temperatures. We tested this hypothesis by quantifying the effect of maternal oestradiol (E₂) and testosterone (T) on offspring sex in a threatened TSD population of loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta). Circulating levels of E2 and T in nesting females, in egg yolks at oviposition and in neonates were measured. Immediately after oviposition, nests were relocated into an in situ experimental hatchery where temperatures were controlled by standardising the incubation depth. We used affinity propagation clustering on hormone profiles guided by incubation duration, to sex individuals from these nests in a non-lethal manner, offering a novel conservation tool for this endangered species. Despite standardised temperatures, we found a large level of variation in the sex ratio of clutches, which correlated in a non-linear manner with maternal investment of the E2:T ratio in egg yolks. Males were produced at equal levels of E2 and T and females were produced on either side of this optimum. Modelling sex ratios for the coming century, we show that maternally-derived hormones form a trans-generational mechanism of TSD plasticity that adjusts offspring sex ratios in endangered sea turtles.

43 Introduction 44 Fifty years after the discovery of environmental sex determination, our understanding 45 of its evolutionary significance, underlying mechanisms and ecological 46 consequences in the light of environmental change remains incomplete 1-5. Most 47 reptile and some fish species undergo temperature-dependent sex determination 48 (TSD), in which gonad differentiation is determined by temperature at a critical period 49 of embryogenesis^{6,7}. Some species produce males at moderate temperatures and 50 females at hot and cold extremes (e.g. the American alligator Alligator 51 mississippiensis⁸, Type II TSD), but, more commonly, TSD species produce an 52 increasing proportion of a specific sex across a range of incubation temperatures 53 (Type Ia: Males at low temperatures, e.g. the painted turtle Chrysemys picta⁹; Type 54 lb: Females at low temperatures, e.g. the tuatara Sphenodon punctatus¹⁰). In all 55 cases, both sexes are produced across a transitional range of temperatures (TRT), 56 centered on a pivotal temperature at which both sexes develop in equal proportions. 57 Because of TSD, rising global temperatures present the potential for extreme sex 58 ratio biases, with implications for population dynamics⁴ and increased risks of 59 extinction. 60 The adaptive value of TSD is still debated, but fitness advantages under sex-specific 61 thermal environments are predicted by the widely favoured Charnov-Bull theory of 62 differential fitness^{11,12}. This has been demonstrated in eggs of the Jacky dragon 63 (Amphibolurus muricatus) that were experimentally treated with an aromatase 64 inhibitor, constraining embryos to develop as males at female producing 65 temperatures. These males showed lower lifetime reproductive success than 66 controls³. While demonstrating the adaptive value of TSD, the use of an aromatase inhibitor to manipulate sex in this study also highlights the role of sex steroid 67 hormones on the TSD mechanism^{13–15}. 68 69 Exogenous application of oestradiol (E2) is known to feminise TSD embryos 70 incubated at male-producing temperatures 16-19. In addition, the application of 71 testosterone (T), the precursor androgen of E2, also feminises embryos via the synthesis of E2 from T by the aromatase enzyme 17,20, and indeed the use of 72 aromatase inhibitors can force male development^{21,22}. Both temperature and 73 74 exogenous treatment with E2 activate the same molecular pathways, altering the 75 transcription of the chromatin modifier gene Kdm6b, and conferring sensitivity to a 76 sex-determining gene, *Dmrt1*². 77

In model TSD species that exhibit Type 1a TSD, the slider (*Trachemys scripta*) and the painted turtle, maternal transfer of sex steroid hormones into eggs varies seasonally 13,23 . Elevated concentrations of yolk E_2 and greater E_2 :T ratios increase

78

80 the likelihood of feminisation at a given temperature, effectively reducing the pivotal temperature of a clutch^{13,23}. Should these patterns be found in non-model species, 81 82 variation in maternal hormone transfer to eggs may be a universal mechanism to (i) 83 change the threshold at which temperature affects an individual's sex, (ii) modify the 84 sex ratio of the clutch, and (iii) buffer against the negative effects of rapid global 85 temperature increase. 86 There is a particular need to understand the impacts of climate change on the 87 demographics of threatened species. As a consequence of rising temperatures, 88 extreme feminisation of sea turtle populations has been forecast by the end of the century^{4,24-26}. Some studies already suggest effects are already visible in adult 89 90 populations²⁵. Yet, predictions mostly assume a fixed population-wide pivotal 91 temperature with no account for physiological mechanisms that may increase 92 variation in this trait and therefore on sex ratio. Understanding possible mechanisms 93 has been constrained in sea turtles in particular by the lack of non-lethal methods to 94 sex neonates. This issue is especially important for endangered populations, where 95 sacrificing individuals is not possible. 96 Here, focusing on loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) nesting in the Cabo Verde 97 archipelago, we standardised the thermal environment of clutches in an experimental 98 field hatchery, exposed to natural conditions. Should temperature be the sole driver 99 of sex determination, under standardised thermal conditions we would expect to 100 observe similar sex ratios among clutches. Alternatively, any inter-clutch variation 101 would likely arise from intrinsic characteristics of the eggs, such as maternally 102 derived hormones. To test this alternative hypothesis, we quantified E2 and T 103 concentrations in the plasma of nesting females, their egg yolks and neonates. We 104 developed a non-lethal method using circulatory hormone profiles of neonates, and 105 determined the clutches' sex ratios. Inter-clutch variation in sex ratio was then linked 106 to yolk hormone concentrations. Finally, we illustrate how maternal hormone transfer 107 impacts sex ratio in the face of IPCC climate change predictions, by reparameterising a previously used mathematical model⁴ to forecast the future 108 109 population dynamics of this endangered nesting aggregation.

Results

110111

112

113

114

115

116

This study focused on loggerhead turtles nesting on the island of Boavista in the Cape Verde archipelago. First, using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA – Enzo LifeSciences), we quantified concentrations of the sex steroid hormones E_2 and T in both the blood plasma of 26 nesting females and up to two of their eggs directly after oviposition. Clutch sizes were recorded at this time. High levels of

117 individual variation were observed in adult plasma hormone levels (SI appendix, 118 Table S1), with a mean T concentration of 1148.48 ± 148.63 (SE) pg/ml, a mean E₂ 119 concentration of 235.79 \pm 22.71 (SE) pg/ml, and a mean E₂:T ratio of 0.32 \pm 0.05 120 (SE). Linear models (LM) showed positive correlations between E2 and T in both the female plasma (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A, $F_{1,16}$ = 4.608, p = 0.048) and their egg yolks 121 122 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B, $F_{1,23} = 7.338$, p = 0.013). In reptiles, maternally derived 123 hormones are constant across all eggs of a given clutch²⁷, which we confirmed with a 124 subset of clutches where two egg yolks were analysed (Paired t-tests: T: df = 11, t = 125 0.224, p = 0.827; E_2 : df = 10, t = -0.885, p = 0.397; E_2 :T: df = 9, t = -1.173, p = 126 0.271). 127 There was a significant non-linear correlation between T concentrations in adult 128 plasma and egg yolks (SI appendix, Fig. S2A: LM: F_{1,14} = 5.263, p = 0.038), where 129 concentrations of yolk T were lowest in eggs originating from females with 130 intermediate levels of plasma T, but did not correlate with clutch size (SI appendix, 131 Fig. S2B: $F_{1,14} = 0.032$, p = 0.862). In contrast, adult female plasma E_2 132 concentrations were not correlated with E2 in the egg yolk (SI appendix, Fig. S2C: 133 LM: $F_{1,21} = 0.908$, p = 0.351), but as clutch size increased, yolk E_2 concentrations 134 significantly decreased (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D: LM: $F_{1,21} = 4.945$, p = 0.037). The 135 maternal E2:T ratio showed a non-linear relationship with the E2:T ratio in the egg 136 yolk (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E: $F_{1,14} = 6.493$, p = 0.023), and was not correlated with 137 clutch size (SI Appendix, Fig. S2F: $F_{1.14} = 1.682$, p = 0.215). 138 Immediately after oviposition, the clutches of these 26 females and two others (n = 139 28) were relocated into an *in-situ* experimental hatchery that was protected from 140 predation, yet exposed to natural sand and weather conditions. We buried clutches 141 at a depth of 55 cm to standardise the thermal incubation environment. We 142 confirmed the standardised thermal environment using data loggers placed at the 143 centre of the clutch (mean thermosensitive period temperature = 30.02 ± 0.05 (SE) 144 °C, SI Appendix, Fig. S3). The small amount of temperature variation observed was 145 explained by differences in clutch size ($F_{1,26} = 4.418$, p = 0.045), resulting from 146 increased metabolic heat produced from more developing embryos in larger 147 clutches²⁸. Assuming the pivotal temperature of this population to be 29 °C, as has 148 previously been used for this population⁴, this incubation temperature would produce 149 12.89 ± 0.01 (SE) % male offspring if temperature was the sole determinant of sex 150 ratio (Fig. 1A). Incubation duration, the time between oviposition and neonate emergence, is also often used as a proxy to predict offspring sex ratios (e.g. $r^2 = 0.73$ 151 in nests in Brazil²⁹) and was recorded for each clutch²⁹. Using the established logistic 152 153 relationship between incubation duration and sex ratio observed in loggerhead turtles

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

from Kyparissia, Greece (Fig. 1B, the closest location where the relationship between incubation duration and offspring sex ratio has been quantified), the predicted sex ratio of our study clutches would be 47.5 ± 6 (SE) % males³⁰. This suggests that levels of sex ratio variation are far greater than those we would expect from temperature alone. While the incubation duration represents a reasonable proxy for estimating the sex ratio of sea turtle offspring, currently the only accurate method to resolve individual sex requires sacrificing neonates and histological examination - a limiting factor for endangered populations^{29,31}. However, we developed a new method to ascertain individual sex without the need to sacrifice animals. After taking 100 – 150 µl of blood from 365 offspring from 28 clutches after emergence (mean offspring per clutch = 13 ± 4 (SE)), we measured plasma hormone concentrations using ELISA. Hatchling hormone levels varied among individuals (SI Appendix, Table S1) and among clutches, with the average E_2 :T ratio of clutches ranging from 1.06 \pm 0.13 (SE) to 3.56 ± 0.68 (SE). We used affinity propagation clustering (APC) on hatchling E2:T ratios guided by incubation duration to identify clusters of individuals with a similar hormonal phenotype. APC iteratively considers the similarity of a data point to its neighbours. Importantly, it does not require the number of possible clusters to be defined a priori, as is necessary for other clustering approaches such as k-means³². We identified three APC clusters (Fig. 2A). Two of these originate from clutches with short incubation durations, the classic trait of female neonates, and were distinguished by differences in their mean E₂:T ratio (SI Appendix, Fig. S4, Cluster 1: mean = 4.45 ± 0.26 (SE), Cluster 2: mean = 1.72 ± 0.05 (SE), t-test: df = 44.08, t = 10.273, p < 0.001). The third group is formed by individuals from clutches with longer incubation durations (t-test: df = 299.3, t = -32.933, p < 0.001) and a low E_2 :T ratio (SI Appendix, Fig. S4, mean = 1.52 ± 0.06 (SE)), the characteristics of male sea turtle neonates. Several positive theoretical controls were used to confirm this method since neonate sacrificing is not possible. First, linear mixed effect models (LMM) using clutch ID as a random factor revealed significant differences in hormone levels between the two sexes, that were directly comparable to previous studies in which individuals' sex was confirmed through histology^{33,34}. As expected, T levels were higher in males (Fig. 2Bi, LMM: $F_{1.60} = 10.673$, p = 0.002, mean = 63.63 ± 2.89 (SE) pg/ml) than in females (mean = 52.54 ± 2.34 (SE) pg/ml), and conversely E₂ levels were higher in females (Fig. 2Bii, LMM: $F_{1.57} = 7.521$, p = 0.008, mean = 92.94 ± 3.06 (SE) pg/ml) than in males (mean = 81.66 ± 3.16 (SE) pg/ml), as was the overall E₂:T ratio (Fig. 2Biii, LMM: $F_{1.48} = 28.652$, p < 0.001, females: mean = 2.22 ± 0.09 (SE); males:

191 mean = 1.52 \pm 0.06 (SE)). LMMs did not detect any difference in weight (F_{1, 348} = 192 0.024, p = 0.878) or size ($F_{1.218} = 0.766$, p = 0.382) between the sexes, as would be 193 expected under these conditions by the Charnov-Bull theory¹¹. Second, by combining 194 individual offspring sex into an estimate of clutch sex ratio, and comparing this to the 195 incubation duration, we found the specific logistic regression curve that characterises 196 incubation durations in Type Ia TSD species (Fig. 2C). The pivotal duration was fitted 197 to a value of 57.25 days (95% Cls: 57.09, 57.43), with a transitional range of 198 incubation durations of 2.15 days (95% Cls: 1.52, 2.77). 199 Importantly, if individual sex were incorrectly assigned, this distinctive pattern of TSD 200 species would not be seen. With this method we determined that clutch sex ratios 201 were on average 40.49 ± 8.98 (SE) % male (Fig. 1C). This suggests 26.1% more 202 males and far more variation in clutch sex ratio than would be expected based on 203 incubation temperatures alone. Our sex ratio estimate is slightly below (7.1%) that 204 estimated from parameters based on incubation durations in Kyparissia, suggesting 205 population differences in development rate exist, likely as a result of different 206 average pivotal temperatures among rookeries. 207 After establishing that the inter-clutch variation in sex ratio (and also in incubation 208 duration, see SI Appendix) was too great to be produced by temperature alone, we 209 tested whether metabolic heat and/or maternal hormone transfer in the yolk predicted 210 incubation duration and the estimated sex ratio. Yolk T correlated negatively with 211 both incubation duration (LM, $F_{1,22} = 10.624$, p = 0.003) and the proportion of males 212 produced within a clutch (Fig. 3A, Binomial generalised linear mixed effect models 213 (GLMM), $x^2 = 4.371$, df = 1, p = 0.037), but metabolic heat had no detectable effect 214 (incubation duration model: $F_{1,22} = 2.436$, p = 0.133, sex ratio model: $x^2 = 2.111$, df = 215 1, p = 0.146). There was no relationship between yolk E_2 and incubation duration or 216 clutch sex ratio (Fig. 3B, incubation duration: $F_{1,23} = 3.169$, p = 0.088, sex ratio: $x^2 =$ 217 0.183, df = 1, p = 0.669), yet the yolk E_2 :T ratio showed a non-linear relationship with 218 both incubation duration (Fig. 3C, $F_{1,21} = 12.882$, p = 0.002) and sex ratio independently of temperature ($x^2 = 7.064$, df = 2, p = 0.029). A maximum incubation 219 220 duration of 57.2 days was observed at an equal hormone ratio (E2:T of 1.05, y = -221 $7.8x^2 + 16.3x + 48.7$) with the highest levels of male offspring developing at this 222 point. 223 Male offspring production was highest when maternal investment of E2 and T to the 224 yolk was equal. Asking whether the production of either sex is more costly in terms of 225 total hormone investment, we compared the total hormone concentration (E₂ + T) 226 with the overall E2:T ratio. This relationship was again non-linear, with total hormone 227 investment being highest when the E_2 :T ratio was unequal (LM: $F_{2,22} = 4.951$, p =

0.017), suggesting that producing females requires more maternal investment than 229 males. The total hormone investment also showed a non-linear relationship with 230 clutch size ($log(E_2 + T)$: $F_{2,22} = 4.306$, p = 0.026), with an initial increase in investment 231 across clutch sizes between 65 and 75 eggs, after which investment declined with 232 increasing clutch size. 233 Finally, to illustrate how maternal hormone transfer could impact population 234 dynamics, we re-parameterised a mathematical model of neonate sex ratio for the 235 Cape Verde population⁴ based on IPCC climate emission prediction SRES2, from the 236 Fourth Assessment Report released in 2007. We made the simple assumption that 237 the effect of maternally derived hormones on sex ratio is constant across a thermal 238 gradient and applied the 26.1% observed difference in male offspring production for 239 the coming century (Fig. 4). With a mechanism of this possible strength, the 240 population is unlikely to reach the levels of extreme feminisation previously 241 forecasted – instead of female production reaching over 97% in 2100, it is likely to 242 instead reach approximately 71%. As it remains to be determined how maternal 243 hormone transfer interacts with different incubation temperatures, this model can 244 only illustrates the potential importance of trans-generational hormone transfer for 245 population dynamics.

Discussion

246 247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

228

Given the many considerable historical climate shifts experienced by TSD species, they are likely to have evolved mechanisms to avoid unviable biases in offspring sex ratio⁵. By experimentally standardising the thermal environment of loggerhead sea turtle nests in-situ, we investigated whether maternally derived hormones correlate with offspring sex independently of temperature. First, we developed a non-lethal method to determine neonate sex upon nest emergence, using affinity propagation clustering, which considers individual circulatory sex steroid hormones in relation to their incubation duration. We found a non-linear relationship between the clutch sex ratios and the ratio of maternally derived E2:T within the egg yolk under standardised thermal conditions. Low concentrations of equal investment in both hormones within the yolk maximise the production of male offspring, while increasing the concentration of either E2 or T, along with overall hormone investment, feminises the clutches. By re-parameterising an existing model that predicts sex ratio biases in response to climate change, we demonstrated that this trans-generational mechanism could prevent extreme feminisation loggerhead turtles in Cabo Verde. To date, an inability to determine neonate sex non-lethally has constrained the study of TSD mechanisms in endangered sea turtles. A clustering approach that identifies

266

267

268269

270

271

272

273

274275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

individuals with similar phenotypes (here hormone profiles) that match control traits of male and female offspring (incubation duration) overcame this problem. Using E2:T thresholds to define neonate sex has been verified with histological analysis in loggerhead³⁴ and green³³ turtles, but as E₂:T levels vary considerably between clutches, it is difficult to delineate thresholds a priori. Using an APC method guided by incubation duration to group hormone profiles, a common proxy for sex ratio, we avoid the need to define thresholds and, importantly, the need to sacrifice individuals²⁹. There is strong evidence for the reliability of this method, as (i) circulating E2:T ratios of male and female offspring identified in this study and (ii) pivotal duration, both match those reported in other studies^{30,33-36}, and (iii) the relationship between sex ratio and incubation duration fits the known logistic regression curve observed in Type Ia TSD species. We anticipate that this non-lethal approach will prove an invaluable tool for both research on TSD in sea turtle species and also for wider conservation. Despite the standardised thermal environment of clutches within this experiment, high levels of variation in incubation duration and sex ratio were observed, which correlated with maternally derived hormones within the egg yolk. The relationship between the yolk E₂:T ratio and clutch sex ratio was best described by a quadratic curve, centred on an equal concentration of both hormones and ranging from 0.37 to 1.73. When maternal investment of E₂ and T was equal, incubation durations were long, and males were produced. If hormone investment was biased in either direction, sex ratios became increasingly feminised. The effects of elevated levels of both E₂ and T on sex ratio in this study are consistent with experimental manipulation of these hormones in other species^{2,17,19}. Interestingly, in all other reptiles for which data is available, the E2:T ratios that are transferred to the yolk consistently remain below or above the ratio of one³⁷. Thus, our study is the only example of both hormones influencing the feminisation process of reptiles under natural conditions. Total hormone concentrations within the yolk were lowest at an equal E2:T ratio. If this ratio departed from one in either direction, total concentrations of yolk hormones increased. As E2 and T positively correlate within the egg, if investment in either hormone is elevated, there is an associated increase in the other. The outcome is that greater investment is required to skew E2:T ratios in a manner that favours the production of female offspring. When E2:T ratios are skewed, and total hormone concentrations are high, feminisation is easily achieved through either the presence of E₂ directly, or by the synthesis of E₂ from its precursor, T, by the aromatase enzyme. When E2 and T are in equilibrium, low concentrations of E2 are not sufficient

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

to feminise the clutch. However, product-feedback inhibition likely prevents further E₂ being synthesised from T, and consequently male offspring are produced. There is no doubt that temperature is the primary determinant of sex in TSD species, yet there is growing evidence that E2 and, indirectly, T affect the same developmental pathways^{2,19}. Accordingly, a clutch specific threshold exists for feminisation that is the product of both temperature and maternal hormone transfer. A shift towards an equal E2:T ratio and lower maternal investment will increase the pivotal temperature away from the feminisation threshold, and consequently warmer temperatures would be required to feminise a clutch. This aligns with sex ratios observed here, which contained 26.1% more males than expected from a pivotal temperature of 29 °C. However, this mechanism will be constrained by physiological limits of maternal hormone investment. Two maternal traits show a relationship with levels of hormone transfer to the clutch. Firstly, T concentrations within the yolk correlated non-linearly with those in maternal plasma. Disentangling the cause of such a relationship is complex as it is likely to result from multiple physiological cascades³⁸. However, this relationship does allow us to link T investment to maternal state. Should maternal T vary in response to environmental cues, as in the spined toad (Bufo spinosus), it may allow nesting females to plastically match the individual development threshold of feminisation to the ambient temperature, and maintain more constant sex ratios across a nesting season³⁹. Similarly, differences in the maternally-transferred E₂:T ratio in the egg yolk of a population of painted turtles resulted in a seasonal shift of the pivotal temperature¹³. Secondly, total E₂ investment within eggs decreased as clutch size increased, and total hormone concentrations were low in large clutches. Thus, in large clutches with more metabolic heat production, the developmental threshold of feminisation is increased - minimizing sex bias. We infer from these results that there are two distinct mechanisms that can affect the ratio of E₂:T within the yolk, which explains how elevated investment in either hormone can lead to feminisation. There is considerable variation in circulating T and E2 levels between sea turtle populations and species (SI Appendix, Table S1), which may suggest an element of local adaptation in response to environmental conditions, and a heritable component of baseline physiological levels⁴⁰. Overall, our work highlights a previously under-considered physiological mechanism for individual variation in the TSD process within sea turtle species. There is a need for management plans that use temperature-based models to predict future sex ratios to account for maternal hormonal influence, as this will have considerable implications for population dynamics.

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370371

372

373

374

Methods Sample Collection We studied nesting loggerhead sea turtles on the island of Boavista, part of the Cabo Verde archipelago in the eastern Atlantic. The sampling site (15°58'18.6"N, 22°48'06.2"W) is a 400 m stretch of coastline on the southern tip of this island. Twenty-eight nesting females were sampled between 17 July and 1 August 2017. Immediately after oviposition, females were individually marked with PIT (AVID) and metal (Inconel) tags⁴¹. Blood samples of 1-4 ml in volume were collected from the dorsal cervical sinus of 26 females using a 40 mm, 21-gauge needle and 5 ml syringe, and stored within lithium heparin containers. Finally, curved carapace length (CCL) and width (CCW) were measured (\pm 0.1 cm). The clutches of these turtles (containing 83 ± 3 (SE) eggs) were relocated to an experimental hatchery protected from predation, situated on the nesting beach. At this point, up to two eggs from the 28 clutches were removed from each clutch for yolk hormone analysis, and the rest of the clutch was buried at a depth of 55 cm. By using a standard depth, temperature was controlled for, while maintaining an otherwise natural environment. A TinyTag[™] temperature logger was placed at the centre of each clutch, programmed to take a reading every 15 minutes throughout the incubation period (accuracy ± 0.2 °C). As anticipated, the uniform depth standardised the incubation temperature of the nests to 30.05 ± 0.05 (SE) °C during the middle third of incubation, the period where embryo sex is established. This variation in temperature is extremely conserved, and is representative of the thermal variation produced within treatments under controlled laboratory incubations^{42,43}. Upon emergence, twenty hatchlings were randomly selected for blood sampling (100 - 150 µl) from the dorsal cervical sinus, using a 26-gauge needle and 1 ml syringe⁴⁴. Samples were stored within lithium heparin coated tubes. Notch-to-notch straight carapace length (SCL) and, width (SCW) were measured using digital callipers (± 0.01 mm), and weight was measured with a digital scale (± 0.1 g)). The blood samples of both the adults and offspring were refrigerated for up to 48 h before being centrifuged to extract plasma. Egg yolks were separated from the albumen, and all samples were stored at -20 °C until extraction. Hormone extraction Commercially available Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kits for both E₂ (Catalogue # ADI-900-174, ENZO Life Sciences) and T (Catalogue # ADI-900-065) were used to measure steroid levels in all samples. Details for hormone

376

377

378

379

380 381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

extraction protocols are given in SI methods. Not all blood samples had sufficient volume for hormone extraction. Consequently, we extracted E₂ from 24 adults and 388 hatchling blood samples, and T from 19 adult and 367 hatchling blood samples. This provided us with E₂:T ratios for 18 adult females, and 365 hatchlings. E₂, and T were successfully extracted from the yolks of 26 out of the 28 sampled clutches. Statistical Analyses All analyses were conducted with R 3.3.3, using the R packages *lme4* and *lmerTest* for fitting linear mixed models (LMMs) and generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs). A paired t-test was used to compare intra-clutch E₂ and T levels between two eggs in a subset of clutches (n = 13), to test whether that there was variation in hormone investment between eggs in a clutch. As there was no difference between eggs from the same clutch, for subsequent analyses the average hormone was used where possible, while a single egg was used for the remainder of the clutches. Correlations between E₂ and T in female plasma and yolks, the effect of clutch size on temperature, and the effects of metabolic heat and maternally derived hormones on incubation duration were tested using general linear models (LM). A non-linear relationship between the E2:T ratio on incubation duration was fitted using a quadratic curve. Similarly, when considering the relationship between E2:T and total hormone investment, we also fit a quadratic model. LMs were also used to estimate the correlation of clutch size and plasma hormone concentrations with yolk hormone concentrations. We used Algorithm Propagation Clustering (APC) to identify individual sex, using the R package apcluster³². Cluster assignment was made based on the plasma E₂:T ratio of hatchlings, guided by their incubation duration. Determining neonate sea turtle sex using the E₂:T ratio has previously been extremely accurate (96% and 96.7% respectively) for artificially incubated eggs of loggerhead and green sea turtles^{33,34} that were ultimately sacrificed for verification. Since variation likely exists among rookeries, those thresholds however cannot be blindly applied to new populations. T-tests were used to compare hormone levels of putative male and female hatchlings. A response curve of these estimated sex ratios to incubation duration was produced using the logistic equation function of the R package embryogrowth to further verify the accuracy of our non-lethal sexing method. After identifying the sex of individuals, LMMs were used to compare individual size

GLMMs to determine whether individual hatchling sex was predicted by maternal hormone investment or temperature. For all LMM and GLMM analyses, clutch was

and weight between the sexes and the APC clusters. Finally, we used binomial

included as a random factor to account for individual variation. Model selection was based on AIC criteria, using a likelihood ratio tests to select for the best models. P-values of the selected models were obtained by with the *car* R package, and models were verified for over-dispersion.

Thermal estimates of sex ratio were calculated using the equation first presented by Girondot in 1999, under an assumed pivotal temperature of 29 °C⁴⁵. Estimates of sex ratio based on incubation duration were made based on data from a study on a neighbouring loggerhead sea turtle population that nests in Kyparissia, Greece, and was confirmed with histology³⁰. To generate an illustrative model that compared the results of our study with future predictions based on temperature alone, we extracted data from a previously published study predicting sex ratios until 2100 based on temperature alone. We then compared our observed mean clutch sex ratio to that expected from a pivotal temperature of 29 °C, and added the difference, along with

Acknowledgements

412

413

414

415

416

417418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426 427

437438

428 We thank volunteers and staff from Turtle Foundation for facilitating this research.

95% confidence intervals, to the original prediction.

- 429 Particular thanks go to S. Cameron for considerable help during data collection. E.L.
- 430 was supported by a grant from the National Environment Research Council (grant
- 431 NE/L002485/1). This study was supported by a National Geographic grant (NGS-
- 432 59158R-19) as well as Queen Mary University of London Funds allocated to CE. We
- 433 also acknowledge the support from "The Future Ocean' Excellence Initiative by the
- 434 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) that granted a 'Capacity building grant' to
- 435 C.E. The authors would like to thank the Eizaguirre lab as well as Dr. Jose M. Duran
- 436 and Dr. Gail Schoefield for their feedback on previous versions of the manuscript.

References

- 1. Charnier, M. Action of temperature on the sex ratio in the Agama agama
- 440 (Agamidae, Lacertilia) embryo. C R Seances Soc Biol Fil. 160, 620–622
- 441 (1966).
- 442 2. Ge, C. et al. The histone demethylase KDM6B regulates temperature-
- dependent sex determination in a turtle species. Science (80-.). **360**, 645–648
- 444 (2018).
- Warner, D. A. & Shine, R. The adaptive significance of temperature-dependent
- sex determination in a reptile. *Nature* **451**, 2006–2009 (2008).

- 447 4. Laloë, J.-O. et al. Climate change induced extinction does not seem imminent
- at an important sea turtle rookery. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **4**, 1–7 (2014).
- 449 5. Mitchell, N. J. & Janzen, F. J. Temperature-Dependent sex determination and
- 450 contemporary climate change. Sex. Dev. 4, 129–140 (2010).
- 451 6. Bull, J. J. Sex Determination in Reptiles. Q. Rev. Biol. 55, 3–21 (1980).
- 7. Deeming, D. C. et al. Environmental Regulation of Sex Determination in
- Reptiles (and Discussion). *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **322**, 19–39
- 454 (1988).
- 455 8. Ferguson, M. W. J. & Joanen, T. Temperature-dependent sex determination in
- 456 Alligator mississippiensis. *J. Zool.* **200**, 143–177 (1983).
- 9. Bull, J. J. & Vogt, R. C. Temperature-Dependent Sex Determination in Turtles.
- 458 Science (80-.). **206**, 1186–1188 (1979).
- 459 10. Cree, A., Thompson, M. B. & Daugherty, C. H. Tuatara sex determination.
- 460 Nature **375**, 543 (1995).
- 461 11. Charnov, E. . & Bull, J. . When is sex environmentally determined? *Nature*
- **266**, 828–830 (1977).
- 463 12. Shine, R. Why is sex determined by nest temperature in many reptiles?
- 464 Trends Ecol. Evol. 14, 186–189 (1999).
- Honor Handler 13. Bowden, R. M., Ewert, M. A. & Nelson, C. E. Environmental sex determination
- in a reptile varies seasonally and with yolk hormones. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol.
- 467 Sci. **267**, 1745–1749 (2000).
- 468 14. Elf, P. K. Yolk steroid hormones and sex determination in reptiles with TSD.
- 469 Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. **132**, 349–355 (2003).
- 470 15. Bowden, R. M. & Paitz, R. T. Temperature fluctuations and maternal
- estrogens as critical factors for understanding temperature-dependent sex
- 472 determination in nature STUDIES ARE THE FOUNDATION OF TSD
- 473 BETWEEN INCUBATION TEMPERATURES. J. Exp. Biol. part A Ecol. Integr.
- 474 *Physiol.* 1–8 (2018). doi:10.1002/jez.2183
- 475 16. Crews, D., Bull, J. J. & Wibbels, T. Estrogen and sex reversal in turtles: A

- dose-dependent phenomenon. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 81, 357–364 (1991).
- 477 17. Crews, D., Wibbels, T. & Gutzke, W. H. N. Action of sex steroid hormones on
- 478 temperature-induced sex determination in the snapping turtle (Chelydra
- 479 serpentina). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 76, 159–166 (1989).
- 480 18. Merchant-Larios, H., Ruiz-Ramirez, S., Moreno-Mendoza, N. & Marmolejo-
- Valencia, a. Correlation among thermosensitive period, estradiol response,
- and gonad differentiation in the sea turtle Lepidochelys olivacea. Gen. Comp.
- 483 *Endocrinol.* **107**, 373–385 (1997).
- 484 19. Wibbels, T., Bull, J. J. & Crews, D. Synergism between temperature and
- estradiol: a common pathway in turtle sex determination? J. Exp. Zool. 260,
- 486 130–134 (1991).
- 487 20. Wibbels, T. & Crews, D. Specificity of steroid hormone-induced sex
- determination in a turtle. *J. Endocrinol.* **133**, 121–129 (1992).
- 489 21. Rhen, T. & Lang, J. W. Phenotypic Plasticity for Growth in the Common
- Snapping Turtle: Effects of Incubation Temperature, Clutch, and Their
- 491 Interaction. Am. Nat. **146**, 726–747 (1995).
- 492 22. Wibbels, T. & Crews, D. Putative aromatase inhibitor induces male sex
- determination in a female unisexual lizard and in a turtle with temperature-
- dependent sex determination. J. Endocrinol. 141, 295–299 (1994).
- 495 23. Carter, A. W., Bowden, R. M. & Paitz, R. T. Seasonal shifts in sex ratios are
- 496 mediated by maternal effects and fluctuating incubation temperatures. *Funct.*
- 497 *Ecol.* **31,** 876–884 (2017).
- 498 24. Hawkes, L., Broderick, A., Godfrey, M. & Godley, B. Climate change and
- 499 marine turtles. *Endanger. Species Res.* **7**, 137–154 (2009).
- 500 25. Jensen, M. P. et al. Environmental warming and feminization of one of the
- largest sea turtle populations in the world. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 154–159.e4 (2017).
- 502 26. Tanner, C., Marco, A., Martins, S., Abella-Perez, E. & Hawkes, L. Highly
- feminised sex ratio estimations for the world's third-largest nesting aggregation
- of the loggerhead sea turtle. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **621**, 209–219 (2019).
- 505 27. Janzen, J., Wilson, M. E., Tucker, J. K. & Ford, S. P. Endogenous Yolk Steroid

- Hormones in Turtles with Different Sex-Determining Mechanisms. *Gen. Comp.*
- 507 Endocrinol. 111, 306–317 (1998).
- 508 28. DeGregorio, B. A. & Williard, A. S. Incubation Temperatures and Metabolic
- Heating of Relocated and In Situ Loggerhead Sea Turtle (Caretta caretta)
- 510 Nests at a Northern Rookery. Chelonian Conserv. Biol. 10, 54–61 (2011).
- 511 29. Mrosovsky, N., Baptistotte, C. & Godfrey, M. H. Validation of incubation
- duration as an index of the sex ratio of hatchling sea turtles. Can. J. Zool. 77,
- 513 831–835 (1999).
- 30. Mrosovsky, N., Kamel, S., Rees, A. F. & Margaritoulis, D. Pivotal temperature
- for loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) from Kyparissia Bay, Greece. Can. J.
- 516 Zool. **80**, 2118–2124 (2002).
- 517 31. Ceriani, S. A. & Wyneken, J. Comparative morphology and sex identification of
- the reproductive system in formalin-preserved sea turtle specimens. *Zoology*
- **111**, 179–187 (2008).
- 520 32. Frey, B. J. & Dueck, D. Clustering by passing messages between data points.
- 521 Science (80-.). **315**, 972–976 (2007).
- 522 33. Xia, Z.-R., Li, P.-P., Gu, H.-X., Fong, J. J. & Zhao, E.-M. Evaluating
- Noninvasive Methods of Sex Identification in Green Sea Turtle (Chelonia
- 524 mydas) Hatchlings. *Chelonian Conserv. Biol.* **10**, 117–123 (2011).
- 525 34. Gross, T. S., Crain, D. a, Bjorndal, K. a, Bolten, a B. & Carthy, R. R.
- 526 Identification of sex in hatchling loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) by
- 527 analysis of steroid concentrations in chorioallantoic/amniotic fluid. General and
- 528 comparative endocrinology **99**, 204–210 (1995).
- 529 35. Kaska, Y. et al. Sex ratio estimations of loggerhead sea turtle hatchlings by
- 530 histological examination and nest temperatures at Fethiye beach, Turkey.
- 531 *Naturwissenschaften* **93**, 338–343 (2006).
- 36. Marcovaldi, M., Godfrey, M. H. & Mrosovsky, N. Estimating sex ratios of
- loggerhead turtles in Brazil from pivotal incubation durations. Can. J. Zool. 75,
- 534 755–770 (1997).
- 535 37. Radder, R. S. Maternally derived egg yolk steroid hormones and sex

536 determination: review of a paradox in reptiles. J. Biosci. 32, 1213–1220 537 (2007).538 38. Groothuis, T. G. G. & Schwabl, H. Hormone-mediated maternal effects in 539 birds: mechanisms matter but what do we know of them? Philos. Trans. R. 540 Soc. B Biol. Sci. 363, 1647–1661 (2008). 541 39. Brischoux, F., Lourdais, O., Boissinot, A. & Angelier, F. Influence of 542 temperature, size and confinement on testosterone and corticosterone levels 543 in breeding male spined toads (Bufo spinosus). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 269, 544 75-80 (2018). 545 40. Tschirren, B., Sendecka, J., Groothuis, T. G. G., Gustafsson, L. & Doligez, B. 546 Heritable Variation in Maternal Yolk Hormone Transfer in a Wild Bird 547 Population. Am. Nat. 174, 557-564 (2009). 548 41. Stiebens, V. A. et al. Living on the edge : how philopatry maintains adaptive 549 potential. Proc. R. Soc. 280, 1-9 (2013). 550 Mrosovsky, N., Bass, A., Corliss, L. A., Richardson, J. I. & Richardson, T. H. 42. 551 Pivotal and beach temperatures for hawksbill turtles nesting in Antiqua. Can. 552 *J. Zool.* **70**, 1920–1925 (1992). 553 43. Mrosovsky, N., Kamel, S. J., Díez, C. E. & van Dam, R. P. Methods of 554 estimating natural sex ratios of sea turtles from incubation temperatures and 555 laboratory data. Endanger. Species Res. 8, 147–155 (2009). 556 44. Wibbels, T., Hanson, J., Balazs, G., Hillis-Starr, Z.-M. & Phillips, B. Blood 557 Sampling Techniques for Hatchling Cheloniid Sea Turtles. Herpetol. Rev. 29, 558 218-220 (1998). 559 45. Girondot, M. Statistical description of temperature-dependent sex 560 determination using maximum likelihood. Evol. Ecol. Res. 1, 479–486 (1999). 561 46. Schwabl, H. Yolk is a source of maternal testosterone for developing birds. 562 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90, 5 (1993). 563 47. Monsinjon, J. et al. Embryonic Growth Rate Thermal Reaction Norm of 564 Mediterranean Caretta caretta Embryos from Two Different Thermal Habitats,

Turkey and Libya. Chelonian Conserv. Biol. 16, 172–179 (2017).

566 48. Al-Habsi, A. A. et al. Plasma hormone levels in the green turtles Chelonia 567 mydas during peak period of nesting at Ras Al-Hadd-Oman. J. Endocrinol. 568 **191,** 9–14 (2006). 569 49. Rostal, D. C. et al. Changes in gonadal and adrenal steroid levels in the 570 leatherback sea turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) during the nesting cycle. Gen. 571 Comp. Endocrinol. 122, 139–147 (2001). 572 50. Rostal, D. C., Paladino, F. V., Patterson, R. M. & Spotila, J. R. Reproductive 573 Physiology of Nesting Leatherback Turtles (Dermochelys coriacea) at Las 574 Baulas National Park, Costa Rica. Chelonian Conserv. Biol. 2, 230–236 575 (1996).576 Dobbs, K. A., Miller, J. D., Owens, D. W. & Landry Jr., A. M. Serum 51. 577 testosterone and estradiol 17B levels during the peak period in a year-round 578 hawksbill turtle, eretmochelys imbricata L. nesting population. Pacific Conserv. 579 Biol. 13, 151-157 (2007). 580 52. Myre, B. L., Guertin, J., Selcer, K. & Valverde, R. A. Ovarian Dynamics in 581 Free-Ranging Loggerhead Sea Turtles (Caretta caretta). Copeia 104, 921-582 929 (2016). 583 53. Kobayashi, S. et al. The effects of nest incubation temperature on embryos 584 and hatchlings of the loggerhead sea turtle: Implications of sex difference for 585 survival rates during early life stages. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 486, 274-281 586 (2017).587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597

Figures




Fig. 1: Sex ratios of study clutches A) as would be expected with a pivotal temperature of 29 °C B) based on the relationship between incubation duration and clutch sex ratios in Kyparassia (Greece)³⁰ and C) as experimentally determined by hormone profiles and machine learning algorithm of individual offspring.




Fig. 2: Individual sex as calculated by affinity propagation clustering (APC). A) APC identifies three different clusters based on individual E_2 :T ratio and clutch incubation duration. These clusters equate to female (red and black) and male (blue) offspring; B) Significant differences in the concentrations of T ($F_{1,60}$ = 10.673, p = 0.002), E_2 ($F_{1,57}$ = 7.521, p = 0.008) and the E_2 :T ratio ($F_{1,48}$ = 28.652, p < 0.001) between male and female offspring (mean, 95% confidence intervals and raw data are shown); C) Frequency of male offspring estimated by APC in relation to incubation duration. The pivotal duration was estimated at 57.25 days.




Fig. 3: Relationship between maternally derived A) T ($F_{1,22}$ = 10.624, p = 0.003), B) E_2 ($F_{1,23}$ = 3.169, p = 0.088) and C) E_2 :T ratio ($F_{1,21}$ = 12.882, p = 0.002) and concentrations within egg yolks and incubation duration. Size of data points relates to the sex ratio as determined by APC.




Fig. 4: The population sex ratio of Cape Verde over the next century if it was determined by temperature alone ⁴ (black) and incorporating the effect of hormones observed here on the sex determining mechanism (red) along with the 95% confidence intervals.