1

The dynamic surface proteomes of allergenic fungal conidia

Matthew G. Blango[1], Annica Pschibul[1,2], Flora Rivieccio[1], Thomas Krüger[1], Muhammad Rafiq[1,2], Lei-Jie Jia[1],

Tingting Zheng[3], Marie Goldmann[1,2], Vera Voltersen[1], Jun Li[4,5], Gianni Panagiotou[3], Olaf Kniemeyer[1,2]*, Axel A.

Brakhage[1,2]*

[1] Department of Molecular and Applied Microbiology, Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and

Infection Biology—Hans Knöll Institute (Leibniz-HKI), Jena, Germany.

[2] Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Institute of Microbiology, Friedrich Schiller University,

Jena, Germany.

[3] Department of Systems Biology and Bioinformatics, Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection

Biology—Hans Knöll Institute (Leibniz-HKI), Jena, Germany.

[4] Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life

Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong S.A.R., China

[5] School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong S.A.R., China

Running Title: Surface proteome of fungal conidia

Key Words: *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Penicillium rubens*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, proteome,

germling, hyphae, LC-MS/MS, secreted proteins

*Correspondence:

E-mail olaf.kniemeyer@leibniz-hki.de; Tel. +49 (0)3641-532 1071

E-mail axel.brakhage@leibniz-hki.de; Tel. +49 (0)3641-532 1001.

Abstract

Fungal spores and hyphal fragments are major contributors to the allergic response in the human lung. In this

study, we used a trypsin-shaving-proteomics approach to identify the surface-exposed and secreted/shed

proteins of *Aspergillus fumigatus* conidia. We investigated the surface proteome under different conditions,

including temperature variation and germination. We found that the surface proteome of resting *A. fumigatus*

conidia is not static, but instead unexpectedly dynamic, as evidenced by drastically different surface proteomes

under different growth conditions. Knockouts of two abundant *A. fumigatus* surface proteins, ScwA and CweA,

were found to function only in fine-tuning the cell wall stress response, implying that the conidial surface is also

very robust. We then compared the surface proteome of *A. fumigatus* to other allergy-inducing molds, including

Alternaria alternata, *Penicillium rubens,* and *Cladosporium herbarum,* and performed comparative proteomics

on resting and swollen conidia, as well as secreted proteins from germinating conidia. We detected 125 protein

ortholog groups, including 80 with putative catalytic activity, in the extracellular region of all four molds, and 42

nonorthologous proteins produced solely by *A. fumigatus*. Ultimately, this study highlights the dynamic nature

of the conidial surface and provides numerous targets for future diagnostics and immunotherapy.

Introduction

120,000 fungal species have been described to date; however, only a few hundred of these fungi are able to

cause illness in humans [1]. These diseases range from mild allergic reactions to life-threatening systemic

infection. In terms of allergy, more than 80 mold genera have been associated with hypersensitive responses in

allergic patients [2]. Within this group, species of the genera *Alternaria* and *Cladosporium* represent the most

important outdoor allergens, while species of *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* are reported to be the most common

indoor airborne fungi [3]. *A. fumigatus* is associated with different forms of allergic diseases, including allergic

bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA; [4]), a persistent colonization of the bronchi known to frequently occur

in patients with asthma and cystic fibrosis. In addition, *A. fumigatus* is the most common species within the

mold fungi to cause invasive infections in the lungs of immunocompromised patients, which is designated

invasive aspergillosis.

The *A. fumigatus* infectious lifecycle starts with the inhalation of conidia, 2-3 μm asexual spores,

produced by mature conidiophores [5]. After deposition in the lungs, conidia undergo germination, whereby

they initially swell, extend germ tubes, and finally form hyphae [6]. The small size and ubiquitous nature of these

fungal spores requires that the human innate immune system must continuously defend against inhaled conidia.

Epithelial cells are the likely source of first contact in the mammalian lung, but recruitment of alveolar

macrophages and neutrophils quickly follows [7]. Recognition by macrophages typically results in phagocytosis

of conidia, while recruitment of neutrophils provides an additional level of antifungal defense [8]. In

immunocompromised patients, specifically those with neutropenia, these host defenses are impaired and result

in unregulated fungal growth with dangerous clinical manifestations [9]. Far less is known about the adaptive

immune response to *A. fumigatus*, but it has recently been demonstrated that tolerance to inhaled conidia is

mainly mediated by antigen-specific FOXP3+ regulatory T cells [10].

In addition to *Aspergilli*, species of *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, and *Penicillium* are among the most

commonly inhaled ascomycete molds capable of causing allergic diseases in humans [2, 11]. Organisms in the

genus *Penicillium* are genetically closely related to the *Aspergilli* and exhibit similar lifecycles; however,

Penicillium species rarely cause infectious disease, but rather asthma and allergic rhinitis in adults and children

[12]. *Alternaria* and *Cladosporium* species are a major source of disease in plants, but they also represent

important environmental allergens associated with asthma and asthma exacerbations [3]. Due to their

abundance in nature, it is not surprising that conidia and/or hyphal fragments containing immune-activating

allergenic proteins from these fungi are thought to be a major source of fungal-induced allergy [13]. Many

allergens have been identified from each organism, with *A. fumigatus* containing >40 known allergen proteins

[2, 14], and *A. alternata*, *C. herbarum*, and *P. chrysogenum* (*rubens*) ranging from 6-17 protein antigens. Despite

this knowledge of allergens, our understanding of the complex interplay between fungus and host remains

limited. The protein, lipid, and carbohydrate composition of a conidium has a direct impact on its recognition by

the immune system and the following response of either tolerance or inflammation [5].

The conidium of *A. fumigatus* is the best studied mold spore to date [15]. Previous studies have

elucidated the cell wall and surface proteome of *A. fumigatus* conidia to understand the molecules that likely

interact with the host [16-18]. Throughout germination the surface of the fungi changes dramatically, in terms of

both the cell wall proteome and carbohydrate organization [19, 20]. One major protein on the surface of conidia

is the RodA hydrophobin, which encases resting conidia in a hydrophobic coat to minimize interactions with

potential hazards. This hydrophobic rodlet layer is composed of a regular arrangement of the RodA protein

interwoven amongst a layer of dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN)-melanin pigment. Upon encountering favorable

growth conditions, resting conidia begin germination; a process that is highlighted by disassembly of the rodlet

layer and degradation of the DHN-melanin polymer [21-23]. Despite this key function, RodA is not required for

virulence in mammalian infection models [22]. Aside from RodA, the functions of few other *A. fumigatus*

surface-exposed proteins are known. In many cases, these proteins seem to play important, but enigmatic roles

in fungal biology, as is the case with the recently described CcpA protein, which serves as a conidial protein

important for virulence in a mouse infection model [16]. CcpA appears to serve a structural role that normally

masks other antigenic proteins in the conidial cell wall. Despite our increasing understanding of the biology of

fungal conidia, our ability to rapidly detect, diagnose, and treat fungal pathogens remains limited [24]. As the

burden of fungal pathogens in the clinic increases, improvements in each of these realms are desperately

needed. [25]

In this study we further elucidated the surface-exposed proteome and secreted/shed proteins of allergy-

inducing fungal conidia to reveal new fungal surface antigens as potential diagnostic targets. In addition,

similarities and differences between the mold species were discovered, as well as the influence of

environmental factors like temperature on the composition of the conidial surface proteome. We also focused

on the biology of two particularly abundant, previously uncharacterized cell wall proteins of *A. fumigatus*,

Afu4g09600 and Afu4g09310, which are denoted here as "Cell Wall Enriched A" (CweA) and "Small Cell Wall

Peptide A" (ScwA), respectively.

Materials and Methods

Fungal strains and cultivation. All strains used in this study are listed in **Table S1**. Cultivation of *A. alternata*

(Fries) Keissler (ATCC 66981), *P. rubens* WIS 54-1255 (ATCC 28089; formerly *P. chrysogenum WIS 54-1255*), and

A. fumigatus CEA10 was performed on *Aspergillus* minimal medium (AMM; 70 mM $NaNO_3$, 11.2 mM KH_2PO_4, 7

mM KCl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, and 1 µl/ml trace element solution (pH 6.5)) agar plates with 1% (w/v) glucose at room

temperature for the comparative analysis. The trace element solution was composed of 1 g $FeSO_4 \bullet 7 H_2O$, 8.8 g

$ZnSO_4 \bullet 7 H_2O$, 0.4 g $CuSO_4 \bullet 5 H_2O$, 0.15 g $MnSO_4 \bullet H_2O$, 0.1 g $NaB_4O_7 \bullet 10 H_2O$, 0.05 g $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24} \bullet 4 H_2O$,

and ultra-filtrated water to 1000 ml [26, 27]. *C. herbarum* Link: Fries (ATCC MYA-4682) was cultivated on malt

agar plates for 7 days at room temperature for the comparative analysis as AMM was not a permissible growth

condition. All conidia were harvested in sterile, ultra-filtrated water. ~$1x10^9$ resting conidia were used

immediately after collection for trypsin shaving. For germination, >$1x10^9$ *A. fumigatus* resting conidia grown for

7 days at 37°C were germinated shaking in RPMI 1640 (Lonza) supplemented with glucose to a final

concentration of 2% (w/v) at 37°C to produce swollen conidia (5 h), germlings (8 h), and hyphae (14 h).

Extraction of surface proteins by trypsin shaving. Surface proteins were extracted as described previously [16].

Briefly, resting conidia, swollen conidia, germlings, and/or hyphae of *A. fumigatus*, *A. alternata*, *C. herbarum*,

and *P. rubens* were each washed twice with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate and collected by centrifugation

(1,800 × *g* for 10 min at room temperature). Samples were resuspended in 800 µl of 25 mM ammonium

bicarbonate and treated with 5 µg trypsin (Serva) for 5 min at 37°C with gentle agitation. Samples were then

immediately passed through a 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filter (Sartorius) and collected in a microcentrifuge tube,

followed by washing of the syringe with 200 µl of 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate. 9 µl of 89% (v/v) formic acid

was added to stop the tryptic digestion. The samples were dried using a SpeedVac Concentrator (Thermo-

Fisher), resuspended in 25 µl of 2% (v/v) ACN and 0.05% (v/v) TFA, and centrifuged for 15 min through a 10 kDa

cutoff microfuge column (VWR Scientific). Conidia were collected after trypsin treatment and tested for lysis

using a brief (15 min) staining with 40 µM propidium iodide followed by imaging with a Zeiss Axio Imager.M2

microscope (Zeiss). In some instances, the 10 kDa cutoff centrifugation step was replaced with centrifugation

through a 0.22-µm-pore-size Spin X cellulose acetate spin filter (Corning Costar).

Purification of secreted proteins from swollen conidia. For the identification of secreted proteins, ~$2x10^9$

resting conidia were germinated as described above for 5 h, before collection of the supernatant by filtration

through a 0.22 µm filter and addition of TFA to a final concentration of 0.1% (v/v). Protein was purified by SPE

with a Chromabond SPE-C4 cartridge (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). Briefly, columns were washed with 4 mL

methanol and reconstituted with 4 mL 0.1% (v/v) TFA. Sample supernatants were aspirated through columns,

washed with 2 mL 5% (v/v) MeOH / 0.1% (v/v) TFA, and eluted in 4 mL 0.1% (v/v) TFA 80/10 ACN/H_2O (v/v).

Samples were then dried by SpeedVac, resolubilized in 100 µL denaturation buffer (50 mM triethylammonium

bicarbonate (TEAB) in 50/50 trifluoroethanol (TFE)/H_2O (v/v)), sonicated for 15 min, and homogenized by Vortex

mixing. Following 10 min at 90°C, samples were collected by centrifugation and cooled on ice. Protein content

was measured with a Merck Millipore Direct Detect spectrometer. The samples were then reduced by adding

2 µL of reduction buffer (500 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine in 100 mM TEAB) to 100 µL of sample (<1

µg/µL) for 1 h at 55°C. 2 µL of fresh alkylation buffer (625 mM iodoacetamide) was added to each sample and

incubated for 30 min at room temperature, in the dark. Following evaporation by SpeedVac, the samples were

resolubilized in 95 µL of 100 mM TEAB and sonicated for 10 min. The samples were treated with 2 µg of MS-

approved trypsin (Serva) for 18 h at 37°C. The reaction was stopped with 10 µL of 10% (v/v) formic acid and

again evaporated using the SpeedVac. Peptides were resolubilized in 25 µL 0.05% (v/v) TFA in 2/98 ACN/H$_2$O

(v/v) and sonicated for 15 min in a water bath before transfer to a 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off filter. After

15 min of centrifugation at 14,000 × g (20°C), the samples were transferred to HPLC vials and stored at -20°C.

LC-MS/MS analysis. LC-MS/MS analysis of trypsin-shaved surface peptides (method #1 and #2) and tryptic

peptides of secreted proteins (method #3) was performed on an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano instrument coupled

to either a QExactive Plus (M#1 and M#3) or an HF (M#2) mass spectrometer (both Thermo Fisher Scientific) as

described previously [16]. Tryptic peptides were trapped for 4 min on an Acclaim Pep Map 100 column (2 cm ×

75 µm, 3 µm) at a flow-rate of 5 µL/min. The peptides were then separated on an Acclaim Pep Map column (50

cm × 75 µm, 2 µm) using a binary gradient (A: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in H2O; B: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in 90:10

(v/v) ACN/H2O).: M#1: 0-4 min at 4% B, 10 min at 7% B, 40 min at 10% B, 60 min at 15% B, 80 min at 25% B, 90

min at 35% B, 110 min at 50% B, 115 min at 60% B, 120-125 min at 96% B, 125.1-150 min at 4 % B. M#2: 0-4 min

at 4% B, 5 min at 8% B, 20 min at 12% B, 30 min at 18% B, 40 min at 25% B, 50 min at 35% B, 57 min at 50% B,

62-65 min at 96% B, 65.1-90 min at 4% B. M#3: 0-5 min at 4% B, 30 min at 7% B, 60 min at 10% B, 100 min at

15% B, 140 min at 25% B, 180 min at 45% B, 200 min at 65% B, 210-215 min at 96% B, 215.1-240 min at 4% B.

Positively charged ions were generated by a Nanospray Flex Ion Source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a

stainless steel emitter with 2.2 kV spray voltage. Ions were measured in Full MS / dd MS2 (Top10) mode, *i.e.*

precursors were scanned at m/z 300-1500 (R: 70,000 FWHM (M#1/#3)/: 60,000 FWHM (M#2); AGC target:

1·106e6; max. IT: 120 ms (M#1/#3) / 100 ms (M#2)). Fragment ions generated in the HCD cell at 30% normalized

collision energy using N2 were scanned (R: 17,500 FWHM (M#1/#3) / 15,000 FWHM (M#2); AGC target: 2e5;

max. IT: 120 ms (M#1/#3) / 100 ms (M#2)) in a data-dependent manner (dynamic exclusion: 30 s).

Database search and data analysis of trypsin-shaved surface peptides. The MS/MS data were searched against

the *A. fumigatus* Af293 genome of the *Aspergillus* Genome Database (AspGD); Uniprot Proteome ID

UP000000724 for *P. rubens*; Uniprot Proteome ID UP000077248 for *A. alternata*; and the Joint Genome Institute

MycoCosm annotation against the proteome of the related organism *C. fulvum* (described in [28, 29]) for *C.*

herbarum, using Proteome Discoverer 1.4 and 2.2 and the algorithms of Mascot 2.4.1, Sequest HT, and MS

Amanda as described previously [16]. Briefly, we allowed for two missed cleavages for tryptic peptides, a

precursor mass tolerance set to 10 ppm, and a fragment mass tolerance set to 0.02 Da. The dynamic

modification was set as oxidation of Met. For secretome analysis (method #3) the static modification was set to

carbamidomethylation of Cys. In all cases, at least 2 peptides per protein and a strict target false discovery (FDR)

rate of < 1% were required for positive protein hits.

Data availability

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the

PRIDE partner repository [30] with the dataset identifier PXD017067.

Genetic manipulation of *A. fumigatus*. All oligonucleotides utilized in this study are listed in **Table S2.** The

genetic deletions of *cweA* (Afu4g09600) and *scwA* (Afu4g09310) were generated using a 3-fragment PCR

method, as previously described [31]. ScwA, a small protein, was recently reannotated in *A. fumigatus* CEA10 as

indicated in **Figure S1A** (V. Valiante, personal communication). For the knockout constructs, flanking regions of

~1 kb were amplified for each gene using *A. fumigatus* CEA17 genomic DNA as template and the appropriate

primer pairs (p1/p2 and p3/p4; **Figure S1B, C**). The pyrithiamine resistance marker (*ptrA*) was amplified from the

pSK275 plasmid using primers ptrA-F/R. Using Phusion polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and equimolar amounts

of the three fragments as template, primers p1 and p4 directed amplification of the entire construct (**Figure**

S1D). Knockouts of *A. fumigatus* were generated by homologous recombination following the transformation of

protoplasts [32]. Knockouts were verified by PCR and Southern blot according to standard procedures as

described below (**Figure S1E, F**).

Complemented strains were produced by knocking in the gene of interest to the endogenous locus of

the corresponding knockout strain (**Figure S1G-J**). Constructs were produced by fusion PCR using primers listed

in **Table S2**. Briefly, to complement strains CEA17Δ*scwA and* CEA17Δ*cweA*, plasmids *pUC19_scwA*c and

pUC19_cweAc were produced and transformed into protoplasts as previously described [32]. Both plasmids

were generated using a Gibson cloning and assembly kit (BioLabs) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For *pUC19_scwAc*, the DNA fragments were generated as follows: the *scwA* gene and its 3' flanking region were

PCR amplified using primers scwA_F/scwA_hph_R and scwA_hph_F/scwA_R, respectively. The hygromycin

resistance cassette (*hph*) was amplified from the *pJWx41* plasmid with primers hph_scwA_F/hph_scwA_R, and

the plasmid *pUC19* backbone was amplified by PCR using primers pUC19_F/pUC19_R. The construct from

transformation was amplified using primer pair scwA_F and scwA_R. For *pUC19_cweA*c, the *cweA* gene and its 3'

flanking region were PCR amplified using primers cweA_F/cweA_hph_R and cweA_hph_F/cweA_R, respectively.

The hygromycin resistance cassette (*hph*) was amplified from *pJWx41* plasmid with primers

hph_cweA_F/hph_cweA_R, and the plasmid *pUC19* backbone was amplified by PCR using primers

pUC19_F/pUC19_R. Primer pair cweA_F/cweA_R was used for amplification of the construct for transformation.

Southern blot analysis. Southern blots to validate genetic deletion were carried out according to standard

protocols [33]. All sequence information was obtained from the Ensembl Fungi Database [34]. Genomic DNA of

A. fumigatus was isolated using the MasterPure Yeast DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre). Genomic DNA of *A.*

fumigatus was digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs). DNA fragments were

separated on an agarose gel followed by blotting onto Hybond N+ nylon membranes (GE Healthcare Bio-

Sciences). DNA probes using primers listed in **Table S2** were labeled with digoxigenin (DIG), hybridized in DIG

Easy Hyb, and detected using CDP-Star ready-to-use kit (Roche Applied Science), according to the

manufacturers' recommendations. Chemiluminescence signals were detected by a Fusion-FX CCD camera

(Vilbert Lourmat).

Growth Assays of *A. fumigatus* knockouts. Wild-type and knockout conidia were collected in water from AMM

agar plates after 5 days of growth. Conidia were counted using a CASY® Cell Counter (Omni Life Science), diluted

in droplet solution (0.9% (v/v) NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Agar, 0.01% (v/v) Tween 20) and added to plates in 5 μL drops

containing 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, or 10^5 conidia. Growth was monitored over time at 37°C and images were collected

before overgrowth of the plate. Cell stress was induced using caffeine, caspofungin, calcofluor white, congo red,

and SDS at the designated concentrations. Germination assays were performed as above by microscopically

determining the ratio of spores undergoing germination (germ-tube formation) at each time point.

Bioinformatic analyses. Phylogenetic analyses were preformed using sequences gathered from FungiDB [35] for

each ortholog of *A. fumigatus* CweA and ScwA. Additional protein-protein BLAST (blastp) searches were

performed to confirm the collection of all orthologs. Alignments and phylogenetic trees were then produced

using the DNAStar MegAlign software package (DNASTAR Inc., USA) using a ClustalW alignment.

The InParanoid algorithm was used to identify ortholog clusters for pairwise species comparison [36].

The default algorithm parameters were used: a score cut-off of 40 bits, an overlap cut-off of 50%, and a segment

cut-off of 25%. The proteins with an ortholog score <0.95 contained in the ortholog clusters were excluded from

the analysis. "One to many" and "many to many" orthologous relationships were included in the ortholog

clusters. An ortholog group among 3 or 4 species was found only if the ortholog group was identified for all the

pairings. Furthermore, the InParanoid-defined ortholog clusters with at least one expressed protein were also

identified.

Results and Discussion

The *A. fumigatus* surface proteome is dynamic across germination.

In this study, we sought to expand the repertoire of *A. fumigatus* conidial surface and secreted proteins that potentially trigger allergy or promote infection and compare the proteins exposed on the surface of *A. fumigatus* conidia with other important allergenic molds. Initially, we utilized a previously-described trypsin-shaving proteomics approach [16] to identify surface-exposed proteins throughout germination of the filamentous fungus, *A. fumigatus* strain CEA10 (**Figure 1A**). Samples were collected from resting (0 h) conidia grown at 37°C on AMM agar plates, and swollen conidia (5 h), germlings (8 h), and hyphae (14 h) germinated at 37°C in RPMI liquid media. We identified 177 unique proteins across all germination conditions. 75/177 proteins had a predicted signal peptide cleavage site using the SignalP program [37], 15/177 contained at least one predicted transmembrane helix (TMHMM), and 13/177 contained putative GPI anchors using PredGPI (**Table S3**; [38]). When compared to previous trypsin-shaving data from the literature, 43/55 proteins from CEA10 were found on the surface of *A. fumigatus* strain D141 resting conidia under comparable growth conditions, whereas in swollen conidia, 98/139 CEA10 proteins were on the surface of D141 swollen conidia (**Figure 1B-C**; [16]). These results suggest that the surface proteome of different *A. fumigatus* strains exhibit high similarities under standard growth conditions. The surface proteins identified have a diverse array of cellular localizations and activities using Gene Ontology Enrichment (fungidb.org). These include localization to the cell wall (72/177; GO:0005618), cytoplasm (71/177; GO: 0005737), and external encapsulating structure (72/177; GO:0030312) with activities related to catalytic activity (89/177; GO:0003824), binding (78/177; GO:0005488), oxidoreductase activity (22/177; GO:0016491), and nucleic acid binding activity (16/177; GO:0003676), among others.

Over the course of germination, 55 proteins were identified on resting conidia (see **Table 1** for Top 20), 140 on swollen conidia, 56 on germlings, and 109 on hyphae. In direct comparisons between samples, 34 proteins were found in all four stages of the germination time course, including the conidial hydrophobin, RodA (**Figure 2**). Somewhat surprisingly, RodA exhibited the highest levels of normalized abundance throughout

germination; ranging from 16.8% to 7.7% of the normalized peptide spectrum matches (PSMs) per length. As

confirmed by light microscopy (data not shown), the majority of conidia underwent germination in our assays;

however, a small fraction of conidia failed to germinate, which likely contributed to the abundance of RodA at

later time points.

In addition to RodA, the other most prevalent proteins on the surface of resting conidia, as determined

using normalized spectral abundance factor (NSAF; [39, 40]), were the protein of unknown function, ConJ,

Afu6g14470, and Afu2g05635; the serine protease, DppV; and the previously described surface protein CcpA.

Con-J shows high similarity to the conidiation-specific, light-induced protein 10 of *Neurospora crassa* and its

transcripts are upregulated during early conidial development and murine infection with *A. fumigatus* [18].

Afu6g14470 and Afu2g05635 remain relatively unstudied, but each contains a signal peptide cleavage site

according to SignalP [37] and Afu6g14470 was previously detected by 2D-gel electrophoresis on the conidial

surface of *A. fumigatus* [17]. The protein DppV is a secreted dipeptidyl-peptidase and nonclassical serine

protease [41], which is known to be targeted by the T cell response in mice surviving *A. fumigatus* infection [42].

The surface of swollen conidia exposed more proteins (140 unique proteins identified) than what was found on

the surface of the other time points, which is consistent with previous studies comparing resting and swollen

conidia [16]. It is possible that reorganization of the conidial surface during germination results in the temporary

availability of cell wall proteins to trypsin cleavage in some circumstances. The surface proteome of germlings

was less complex, with no proteins unique to only germlings, suggesting that perhaps there is a restriction to the

surface proteome in germlings. In hyphae, we again see slightly higher numbers of surface-exposed proteins,

with 30 proteins found that were not identified in the other samples (**Figure 2**).

Knockout of abundant conidial surface proteins does not alter surface proteome.

We were interested in further studying several of the more highly abundant surface proteins from *A.*

fumigatus. The putative GPI-anchored cell wall protein CweA (Afu4g09600, 980 amino acids) and the protein

ScwA (Afu4g09310, 93 amino acids) were both predicted to encode a signal peptide for entry into the secretory

pathway (SignalP), but otherwise lacked recognizable conserved domains or characterized orthologs. In addition

to being highly abundant, CweA and ScwA were observed on the surface throughout germination by trypsin

shaving (**Table S3**) and were previously found on the conidial surface in other studies [16, 43]. Interestingly,

cweA contains multiple coding tandem repeats, and its transcript was shown to be up-regulated upon

membrane stress [44].

Since ScwA is such a small protein, we first confirmed the annotation of the genetic loci in the CEA10

genome by multi-sequence alignment to related organisms after a resequencing of CEA10 *scwA* (**Figure S1A**).

ScwA appears to exclusively belong to a small subset of the *Aspergillus* genus (**Figure S2A**), while CweA is widely

found in both the *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera (**Figure S2B**), with an ortholog expressed in *P. rubens*.

Genetic deletions of *cweA* (Afu4g09600) and *scwA* (Afu4g09310) were produced in *A. fumigatus* CEA17 by

homologous recombination following transformation of protoplasts (**Figure S1B-F).** Both knockouts grew

normally on AMM and malt agar plates (**Figure S3A**). The genetic deletion of *cweA* provided a growth advantage

relative to wild type on Congo Red agar plates, a known cell wall stressor, whereas Δ*scwA* exhibited only a minor

growth inhibition (**Figure 3A**). This finding is consistent with data from the literature showing that *cweA* was

downregulated in response to Congo Red stress [44]. Under calcofluor white stress, the Δ*scwA* strain exhibited a

slight advantage, whereas Δ*cweA* exhibited a more robust growth advantage, all of which could be

complemented (**Figure 3A**). Challenge with other cell wall and membrane stressors, including caffeine,

caspofungin, and SDS revealed no obvious defects by droplet assay (**Figure S3B**). Taken together, these results

suggest that the abundant surface proteins ScwA and CweA are important for fine tuning the conidial response

to stress.

Our previous characterization of the surface protein CcpA revealed an altered conidial surface proteome

after growth on AMM agar plates [16]. In this case, it was postulated that CcpA functions to mask other cell wall

proteins that are normally hidden in the conidial cell wall. To explore if other cell wall protein knockouts resulted

in a similar alteration to the surface proteome, we performed trypsin-shaving on the Δ*cweA* and Δ*scwA*

knockout strains. In both cases, we saw very little change to the exposed surface proteome, suggesting that the

function of CcpA in masking surface-exposed proteins is not a common feature of all abundant surface proteins,

but rather a specific feature of CcpA (**Table S4**; **Figure 3B**). Ultimately, these results suggest that the cell wall is

quite robust and adaptable, as even the removal of abundant cell surface proteins like CweA and ScwA yield few

major phenotypes. Further study will be required to fully understand the redundancy of conidial surface

proteins in cell wall maintenance and stress response.

Growth temperature dictates the surface proteome of *A. fumigatus* conidia.

As described above, the surface proteome undergoes dynamic changes during the germination process.

Previous studies showed that environmental conditions during conidiation affect the stress tolerance of *A.*

fumigatus conidia [45]. We thus wanted to understand if and how the temperature during conidiation influences

the surface proteome of *A. fumigatus* resting conidia. We performed trypsin-shaving proteomics on resting

conidia grown for 7 days at room temperature, 37°C, or 42°C and for 14 days at room temperature or 37°C.

Surprisingly, conidia produced at room temperature and 42°C had more surface-exposed proteins than spores

grown at 37°C after 7 days of growth (**Figure 4A**, **Table S5**). After 14 days of incubation, conidia grown at room

temperature and at 37°C both exhibited far fewer proteins on the surface, 19 and 29, respectively (**Figure 4B,**

Table S5). These results suggest that the surface proteome of conidia is potentially restricted or degraded over

developmental time. In corroboration with this idea of a surface proteome restriction is previous work showing

that the whole conidial proteome has a lower complexity at 15 days compared to conidia after 3 or 30 days of

growth [46]. It remains unclear how changes to the intracellular proteome might influence the surface proteome

during conidial development.

Interestingly, the ScwA protein appears to be only on the surface of conidia grown at 37°C, and not

room temperature, suggesting a possible role in temperature adaptation. However, we see no obvious growth

difference for the knockout strain at 37°C or room temperature. Five proteins were identified on resting conidia

grown at all tested time points and temperatures (**Table 2**), including one previously uncharacterized protein,

Afu8g01980. Overall, these results have obvious implications for the study of *A. fumigatus* pathogenesis and

reaffirm that the growth temperature of conidia can have a drastic effect on surface protein expression [45].

One partial explanation for the observed temperature-dependent effects is the difference in growth rate of *A.*

fumigatus on agar plates at room temperature and 37°C, where sporulation requires several additional days at

room temperature. At 14 days of incubation the conidia are mature under both conditions, and at this time

point we observe a similar number of proteins on the surface of conidia (**Figure 4B**). Despite a comparable

number of surface proteins, 19 for spores grown at room temperature and 29 for spores cultivated at 37°C, the

composition of the surface proteome is not the same at 14 days (10 shared proteins), suggesting some

temperature-dependent regulation of surface-exposed proteins.

Comparative proteomics uncovers proteins on the surface/shed from allergenic fungal conidia.

To refine our understanding of the surface-exposed cell wall proteins that might contribute to the

initiation of allergic inflammation in the lung, we leveraged the power of comparative proteomics. To this end,

the surface-exposed proteomes of 7-day-old resting conidia of *A. fumigatus* (CEA10) and three other prevalent

allergy-inducing fungi, *A. alternata* (ATCC 66981), *C. herbarum* (ATCC MYA-4682), and *P. rubens* (ATCC 28089)

grown at room temperature were assessed by trypsin-shaving proteomics. We first confirmed that the short

trypsin treatment yielded minimal cell lysis in all cases for resting conidia by staining with the cell-impermeable

dye propidium iodide (**Figure S4**). *A. alternata* and *C. herbarum* conidial populations contained a noticeable

fraction of dead cells, which were permeable to propidium iodide in both the presence and absence of trypsin

(**Figure S4**). Therefore, we believe that these datasets provide more information about trypsin-accessible

proteins (and likely immune-accessible proteins), and less information about the exact surface composition of *A.*

alternata and *C. herbarum*. Unfortunately, an annotated genome of *C. herbarum* is not available, so we relied on

the mapping of peptides to the annotated *C. fulvum* genome for these analyses, which potentially results in the

omission of some *C. herbarum*-specific surface proteins.

LC-MS/MS analysis revealed 252 surface-exposed proteins on resting conidia of *A. fumigatus*, 473 on *A.*

alternata, 240 on *C. herbarum*, and 254 on *P. rubens* (**Figure 5A**; **Table S6-S9**). On swollen conidia, we observed

49 surface-exposed proteins on *A. fumigatus*, 1,007 on *A. alternata*, 121 on *C. herbarum*, and 672 on *P. rubens*.

A. fumigatus conidia harvested from cultures grown at room temperature again exhibited more proteins on the

surface than conidia obtained from cultures grown at 37°C (**Figure 5B**). These results are also consistent with

previous studies that link fungal growth variability to cultivation temperature [45], and again highlight the

importance of selecting appropriate and consistent growth conditions. Interestingly, we observed conidia from

mycelia grown at room temperature or 37°C for 7 days responded differently to germination in RPMI, such that

swollen conidia exhibited vastly different surface proteomes after 5 hours at 37°C (**Figure 5C**). In particular,

swollen conidia grown initially at room temperature responded by restricting their surface proteomes, whereas

conidia grown initially at 37°C expanded their surface proteomes. The mechanism of this phenotype remains

unknown, but provides an interesting view of the dynamics of the surface proteome.

In addition to surface-exposed proteins, we were also interested in proteins secreted from swollen

conidia that may serve as immune modulators. Our previous proteomics analyses revealed an abundance of

proteins available for trypsin cleavage on swollen conidia. To test the hypothesis that a subset of these newly

surface-exposed proteins might also be secreted or shed from conidia, we performed solid-phase extraction of

spent cell culture supernatant after 5 h of germination. Using this method we were able to identify 371

A. fumigatus proteins secreted/shed after 5 h of incubation, 1,676 from *A. alternata*, 280 from *C. herbarum*, and

709 from *P. rubens* (**Figure 5A**, **Table S6-S9**). These findings highlight that many proteins exposed on the surface

of germinating *A. fumigatus* conidia are also secreted/shed into the local environment, where they may serve as

potential immune modulators to activate or inhibit host responses. In fact, 13 allergens were identified in *A.*

fumigatus, including the known *A. fumigatus* allergens, Asp f 1, Asp f 9, and Asp f 12. Of these 13 allergens, 9

were found only secreted/shed during swelling, two specific to resting conidia (Asp f 12, Asp f 23), and one from

all three conditions (Asp f 1). Three known allergens were identified in *A. alternata*, with two on the surface of

resting and swollen conidia as well as secreted/shed from swelling conidia (Alt a 6, Alt a 7), and one found only

secreted/shed from swelling conidia (Alt a 1). Four allergens were identified in analyses of *P. rubens*:

Pc20g10360 (Beta-hexosaminidase), Pc21g16950 (transaldolase), and Pc21g16970 (vacuolar serine proteinase)

were found on resting conidia, swollen conidia, and secreted/shed from swelling conidia; and the protein of

unknown function Pc12g10650 was found only secreted/shed from swelling conidia.

Ortholog analysis reveals a conserved surface proteome among allergenic fungi

Next, we used the InParanoid software package to assign ortholog groups between the different fungi in

our comparative proteomics datasets [36, 47]. The aim was to identify proteins that were conserved across all

four organisms as well as to define proteins that were specific to one organism. We were able to identify 125

orthologous surface/secreted protein groups detected in all four organisms (**Table 3**, **Table S10**). In *A. fumigatus*,

these orthologs corresponded mostly to proteins in the cytosol (91/125; GO:0005737), but a small subset was

found in the extracellular region (37/125; GO:0005576) by Gene Ontology Enrichment (fungidb.org). The large

number of proteins from the cytosol is indicative of the prevalent contamination of the cellular surface with

proteins from the intracellular space by each of the four tested fungi. Many represent ribosomal proteins,

chaperones, and metabolic enzymes, some of which have been shown to exhibit antigenic activity [48]. It is

possible that some of these proteins have moonlighting functions in the extracellular environment, as has been

observed in other systems [5]. For example, Asp F22 (enolase) was recently shown to bind human plasma

complement proteins to damage epithelial cell layers [49]. Interestingly, 42 *A. fumigatus* proteins were detected

in this experiment that had no ortholog in the related allergy-inducing species, including the Asp f 1 allergen.

102 proteins were specific to the extracellular space of *P. rubens*, 206 proteins unique to *A. alternata*, and 9 to

C. herbarum (**Table S10**). Of note, we did observe the *P. rubens* orthologs of *A. fumigatus* CweA and CcpA on *P.*

rubens conidia, perhaps suggesting a conserved function for these proteins in *P. rubens*.

Rapid detection and diagnosis of fungi, specifically fungal pathogens, remains a major hurdle in

managing allergic responses and invasive fungal disease. The ortholog analysis presented here provides

numerous candidate proteins likely accessible to both the immune system and potential diagnostic assays. Our

comparative proteomics approach and ortholog comparison also revealed that much overlap exists between *A.*

fumigatus and other allergy-inducing fungi, further complicating the development of future diagnostics and

highlighting the issue of cross-reactivity between organisms. The dynamic nature of the surface proteome of

fungi adds an additional complexity to this challenging problem. Despite these hurdles, we hope that the

datasets assembled here will serve as a resource in guiding future endeavors into the biology of the conidial

surface and selection of diagnostic targets, particularly in regard to antibody-based detection and chimeric

antigen receptor T cell-based therapeutics, which are proving to be more versatile in combatting infectious

diseases [50].

Conclusions

The surface of fungal conidia is a dynamic meshwork of macromolecules, including both glycans and proteins. In

this study, we identified the surface-exposed proteome of resting conidia from several allergenic fungal

pathogens and searched for orthologs between these organisms. We find that the surfaces of germinating fungi

are quite variable, which creates unique challenges for the identification of these organisms during allergy and

infection and further confirms that these fungi have sophisticated ways of managing diverse environments. In

fact, we show that temperature has a great effect on the surface proteome of *A. fumigatus*, a factor which must

be considered in the design of future experiments. We were able to significantly add to our collective knowledge

of the surface proteome of allergenic fungi in the early stages of germination and now have a resource that will

aid in the design of future diagnostics and therapeutics.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Laura Broschat for excellent technical assistance and Antje Häder for her assistance in

creating the Δ*cweA* knockout strain. We would also like to thank Vito Valiante for providing an updated gene

annotation for *scwA* and Volker Schwartze for thoughtful discussions throughout this project. We thank Amelia

Barber for critical reading of this manuscript. MGB was funded by the EXASENS project 13N13861. This work was

supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)-funded Collaborative Research Center/Transregio

124 FungiNet (projects A1, B5 and Z2) and the DFG-funded ANR project AfuInf. The authors declare no conflicts

of interest.

Tables

Table 1. Top 20 *A. fumigatus* proteins identified on resting conidia ranked by normalized spectral abundance

factor.

Accession Number	Protein Name	NSAF	Description
Afu5g09580	RodA	0.126	Conidial hydrophobin
Afu6g03210	ConJ	0.093	Uncharacterized protein
Afu2g09030	DppV	0.055	Secreted dipeptidyl-peptidase
Afu6g14470	Afu6g14470	0.045	Uncharacterized protein
Afu2g05635	Afu2g05635	0.041	Uncharacterized protein
Afu1g13670	CcpA	0.040	Conidial cell wall protein
Afu4g09310	Afu4g09310	0.037	Uncharacterized protein
Afu4g09280	Afu4g09280	0.033	Uncharacterized protein
Afu5g14210	Grg1	0.033	Glucose-repressible gene
Afu1g14560	MsdS	0.030	Putative 1,2-alpha-mannosidase
Afu8g01980	Afu8g01980	0.027	Uncharacterized protein
Afu5g03540	Afu5g03540	0.024	Putative flavin-linked sulfhydryl oxidase activity
Afu2g17530	Abr2	0.023	Laccase
Afu8g05020	NagA	0.022	Putative secreted N-acetylhexosaminidase
Afu3g07160	Afu3g07160	0.020	Putative family 18 chitinase
Afu2g00680	Afu2g00680	0.020	Uncharacterized protein
Afu1g13550	Afu1g13550	0.019	Uncharacterized protein
Afu4g09600	Afu4g09600	0.018	Putative glycophosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored cell wall protein
Afu4g01290	Csn	0.016	Glycosyl hydrolase family 75 chitosanase
Afu5g09240	Sod1	0.016	Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase

Table 2. *A. fumigatus* proteins identified on resting conidia at all tested time points and temperatures.

Accession Number	Protein Name	Description
Afu5g09580	RodA	Conidial hydrophobin
Afu1g07440	Hsp70	Molecular chaperone
Afu8g01980	Afu8g01980	Uncharacterized protein
Afu2g17530	Abr2	Laccase
Afu1g05630	Afu1g05630	40S ribosomal protein S3, putative

Table 3. Ortholog analysis on surface/secreted proteins of allergy-inducing fungi grown at room temperature.

(See also Table S10 in the supplements)

Organism A	Organism B	Organism C	Organism D	Total Ortholog Groups from detected proteins	Ortholog Groups w/ orthologs detected in all organisms
A. fumigatus	*P. rubens*	*C. herbarum*	*A. alternata*	1617	125
A. fumigatus	*P. rubens*	*C. herbarum*	-	1050	134
A. fumigatus	*P. rubens*	-	*A. alternata*	1773	289
A. fumigatus	-	*C. herbarum*	*A. alternata*	1589	146
-	*P. rubens*	*C. herbarum*	*A. alternata*	1657	258
A. fumigatus	*P. rubens*	-	-	1146	351
A. fumigatus	-	*C. herbarum*	-	664	156
A. fumigatus	-	-	*A. alternata*	1737	377
-	*P. rubens*	-	*A. alternata*	1835	726
-	*P. rubens*	*C. herbarum*	-	992	276
-	-	*C. herbarum*	*A. alternata*	1739	359

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Trypsin-shaving proteomics of allergy-inducing fungi. A) Model of trypsin-shaving proteomics approach

to identifying surface-exposed peptides. Scaled Venn diagrams produced using the Biovenn software [51] show

intersection of proteins identified by trypsin shaving of B) resting and C) swollen conidia from *A. fumigatus* strain

CEA10 with D141 (D141 data described in [16]).

Figure 2. Trypsin shaving proteomics elucidates surface proteome of germinating conidia. 4-way comparison
indicating the intersection of surface-exposed proteins from resting and swollen conidia, germlings, and hyphae.
Plot produced using the R package UpSet.

Figure 3. Phenotypic analysis of conidial surface proteins. A) Droplet assays for growth of wild-type, Δ*cweA*, and
Δ*scwA* knockout strains grown on 35 μg/mL Congo red or 50 μg/mL calcofluor white. B) Scaled Venn diagram
indicating intersection of surface proteins identified by trypsin shaving of wild-type, Δ*cweA*, and Δ*scwA* knockout
strains.

Figure 4. Temperature influences the *A. fumigatus* surface proteome. A) Scaled Venn diagrams show the
intersection of proteins identified by trypsin shaving on the surface of resting conidia after A) 7 days or B) 14
days at 37°C or room temperature.

Figure 5. Comparative analysis reveals conserved surface proteins. A) Scaled Venn diagrams show the
intersection of surface-exposed proteins of resting conidia, swollen conidia (5 h), and extracellular proteins (5 h)
from *A. fumigatus*, *P. rubens*, *A. alternata*, and *C. herbarum*. B) Scaled Venn diagrams indicate difference in
surface proteome between resting conidia isolated from mycelia grown at room temperature or 37°C. C) Scaled
Venn diagrams indicate difference in surface proteome between swollen conidia isolated from mycelia grown at
room temperature or 37°C.

References

1.   Hawksworth DL, Lucking R. Fungal Diversity Revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 Million Species. Microbiol Spectr.
2017;5(4). Epub 2017/07/29. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0052-2016. PubMed PMID: 28752818.
2.   Simon-Nobbe B, Denk U, Poll V, Rid R, Breitenbach M. The spectrum of fungal allergy. Int Arch Allergy
Immunol. 2008;145(1):58-86. Epub 2007/08/22. doi: 10.1159/000107578. PubMed PMID: 17709917.

3.   Fukutomi Y, Taniguchi M. Sensitization to fungal allergens: Resolved and unresolved issues. Allergol Int.
2015;64(4):321-31. Epub 2015/10/05. doi: 10.1016/j.alit.2015.05.007. PubMed PMID: 26433528.
4.   Kousha M, Tadi R, Soubani AO. Pulmonary aspergillosis: a clinical review. Eur Respir Rev.
2011;20(121):156-74. doi: 10.1183/09059180.00001011. PubMed PMID: 21881144.
5.   Blango MG, Kniemeyer O, Brakhage AA. Conidial surface proteins at the interface of fungal infections.
PLoS Pathog. 2019;15(9):e1007939. Epub 2019/09/13. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007939. PubMed PMID:
31513678.
6.   Dagenais TR, Keller NP. Pathogenesis of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in Invasive Aspergillosis. Clin Microbiol
Rev. 2009;22(3):447-65. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00055-08. PubMed PMID: 19597008; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2708386.
7.   Pollmacher J, Timme S, Schuster S, Brakhage AA, Zipfel PF, Figge MT. Deciphering the Counterplay of
Aspergillus fumigatus Infection and Host Inflammation by Evolutionary Games on Graphs. Sci Rep.
2016;6:27807. doi: 10.1038/srep27807. PubMed PMID: 27291424; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4904243.
8.   Mircescu MM, Lipuma L, van Rooijen N, Pamer EG, Hohl TM. Essential role for neutrophils but not
alveolar macrophages at early time points following *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection. J Infect Dis.
2009;200(4):647-56.   doi: 10.1086/600380.   PubMed   PMID: 19591573;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:
PMCPMC2745295.
9.   Denning DW. Invasive aspergillosis. Clin Infect Dis. 1998;26(4):781-803; quiz 4-5. PubMed PMID:
9564455.
10.   Bacher P, Heinrich F, Stervbo U, Nienen M, Vahldieck M, Iwert C, et al. Regulatory T Cell Specificity
Directs Tolerance versus Allergy against Aeroantigens in Humans. Cell. 2016;167(4):1067-78 e16. Epub
2016/10/25. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.050. PubMed PMID: 27773482.
11.   de Ana SG, Torres-Rodriguez JM, Ramirez EA, Garcia SM, Belmonte-Soler J. Seasonal distribution of
Alternaria, *Aspergillus*, *Cladosporium* and *Penicillium* species isolated in homes of fungal allergic patients. J
Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2006;16(6):357-63. PubMed PMID: 17153883.
12.   Caillaud D, Leynaert B, Keirsbulck M, Nadif R, mould Awg. Indoor mould exposure, asthma and rhinitis:
findings   from   systematic   reviews   and   recent   longitudinal   studies.   Eur   Respir   Rev.   2018;27(148).   Epub
2018/05/18. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0137-2017. PubMed PMID: 29769295.
13.   Bozek A, Pyrkosz K. Immunotherapy of mold allergy: A review. Hum Vaccin Immunother.
2017;13(10):2397-401.   doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1314404.   PubMed   PMID: 28481693;   PubMed   Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5647975.
14.   Bowyer P, Denning DW. Genomic analysis of allergen genes in *Aspergillus* spp: the relevance of
genomics to everyday research. Med Mycol. 2007;45(1):17-26. doi: 10.1080/13693780600972907. PubMed
PMID: 17325940.
15.   Baltussen TJH, Zoll J, Verweij PE, Melchers WJG. Molecular Mechanisms of Conidial Germination in
Aspergillus spp. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2020;84(1). Epub 2019/12/06. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00049-19. PubMed
PMID: 31801804.
16.   Voltersen V, Blango MG, Herrmann S, Schmidt F, Heinekamp T, Strassburger M, et al. Proteome Analysis
Reveals the Conidial Surface Protein CcpA Essential for Virulence of the Pathogenic Fungus *Aspergillus*
fumigatus. MBio. 2018;9(5). doi: 10.1128/mBio.01557-18. PubMed PMID: 30279286.
17.   Asif AR, Oellerich M, Amstrong VW, Riemenschneider B, Monod M, Reichard U. Proteome of conidial
surface associated proteins of Aspergillus fumigatus reflecting potential vaccine candidates and allergens. J
Proteome Res. 2006;5(4):954-62. Epub 2006/04/11. doi: 10.1021/pr0504586. PubMed PMID: 16602703.
18.   Suh MJ, Fedorova ND, Cagas SE, Hastings S, Fleischmann RD, Peterson SN, et al. Development stage-
specific proteomic profiling uncovers small, lineage specific proteins most abundant in the *Aspergillus fumigatus*
conidial proteome. Proteome Sci. 2012;10(1):30. doi: 10.1186/1477-5956-10-30. PubMed PMID: 22545825;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3424117.

19.   Cagas SE, Jain MR, Li H, Perlin DS. The proteomic signature of *Aspergillus fumigatus* during early
development. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2011;10(11):M111 010108. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M111.010108. PubMed PMID:
21825280; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3226407.

20.   Erwig LP, Gow NA. Interactions of fungal pathogens with phagocytes. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(3):163-
76. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2015.21. PubMed PMID: 26853116.

21.   Paris S, Debeaupuis JP, Crameri R, Carey M, Charles F, Prevost MC, et al. Conidial hydrophobins of
Aspergillus fumigatus. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69(3):1581-8. PubMed PMID: 12620846; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC150101.

22.   Thau N, Monod M, Crestani B, Rolland C, Tronchin G, Latge JP, et al. rodletless mutants of *Aspergillus*
fumigatus. Infect Immun. 1994;62(10):4380-8. PubMed PMID: 7927699; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC303120.

23.   Dague E, Alsteens D, Latge JP, Dufrene YF. High-resolution cell surface dynamics of germinating
Aspergillus fumigatus conidia. Biophys J. 2008;94(2):656-60. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.107.116491. PubMed PMID:
17890393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2157220.

24.   Kozel TR, Wickes B. Fungal diagnostics. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2014;4(4):a019299. Epub
2014/04/03. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a019299. PubMed PMID: 24692193; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3968782.

25.   Rhodes J. Rapid Worldwide Emergence of Pathogenic Fungi. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;26(1):12-4. Epub
2019/07/12. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2019.06.009. PubMed PMID: 31295419.

26.   Brakhage AA, Van den Brulle J. Use of reporter genes to identify recessive trans-acting mutations
specifically involved in the regulation of *Aspergillus nidulans* penicillin biosynthesis genes. J Bacteriol.
1995;177(10):2781-8. PubMed PMID: 7677843; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC176949.

27.   Maerker C, Rohde M, Brakhage AA, Brock M. Methylcitrate synthase from *Aspergillus fumigatus*.
Propionyl-CoA affects polyketide synthesis, growth and morphology of conidia. FEBS J. 2005;272(14):3615-30.
doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04784.x. PubMed PMID: 16008561.

28.   de Wit PJ, van der Burgt A, Okmen B, Stergiopoulos I, Abd-Elsalam KA, Aerts AL, et al. The genomes of
the fungal plant pathogens *Cladosporium fulvum* and *Dothistroma septosporum* reveal adaptation to different
hosts and lifestyles but also signatures of common ancestry. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(11):e1003088. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1003088. PubMed PMID: 23209441; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3510045.

29.   Ohm RA, Feau N, Henrissat B, Schoch CL, Horwitz BA, Barry KW, et al. Diverse lifestyles and strategies of
plant pathogenesis encoded in the genomes of eighteen *Dothideomycetes* fungi. PLoS Pathog.
2012;8(12):e1003037. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003037. PubMed PMID: 23236275; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3516569.

30.   Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et al. The PRIDE
database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019;47(D1):D442-D50. Epub 2018/11/06. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1106. PubMed PMID: 30395289; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC6323896.

31.   Szewczyk E, Nayak T, Oakley CE, Edgerton H, Xiong Y, Taheri-Talesh N, et al. Fusion PCR and gene
targeting in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Nat Protoc. 2006;1(6):3111-20. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.405. PubMed PMID:
17406574.

32.   Weidner G, d'Enfert C, Koch A, Mol PC, Brakhage AA. Development of a homologous transformation
system for the human pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus* based on the *pyrG* gene encoding orotidine 5'-
monophosphate decarboxylase. Curr Genet. 1998;33(5):378-85. PubMed PMID: 9618589.

33.   Sambrook J, Russell DW. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press;
2001.

34.   Howe KL, Contreras-Moreira B, De Silva N, Maslen G, Akanni W, Allen J, et al. Ensembl Genomes 2020-
enabling non-vertebrate genomic research. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019. Epub 2019/10/11. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz890.
PubMed PMID: 31598706.

35. Basenko EY, Pulman JA, Shanmugasundram A, Harb OS, Crouch K, Starns D, et al. FungiDB: An Integrated Bioinformatic Resource for Fungi and Oomycetes. J Fungi (Basel). 2018;4(1). Epub 2018/08/29. doi: 10.3390/jof4010039. PubMed PMID: 30152809; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5872342.

36. O'Brien KP, Remm M, Sonnhammer EL. Inparanoid: a comprehensive database of eukaryotic orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33(Database issue):D476-80. Epub 2004/12/21. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki107. PubMed PMID: 15608241; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC540061.

37. Nielsen H, Engelbrecht J, Brunak S, von Heijne G. Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Eng. 1997;10(1):1-6. PubMed PMID: 9051728.

38. Pierleoni A, Martelli PL, Casadio R. PredGPI: a GPI-anchor predictor. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9:392. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-392. PubMed PMID: 18811934; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2571997.

39. Zybailov B, Mosley AL, Sardiu ME, Coleman MK, Florens L, Washburn MP. Statistical analysis of membrane proteome expression changes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Proteome Res. 2006;5(9):2339-47. doi: 10.1021/pr060161n. PubMed PMID: 16944946.

40. Florens L, Carozza MJ, Swanson SK, Fournier M, Coleman MK, Workman JL, et al. Analyzing chromatin remodeling complexes using shotgun proteomics and normalized spectral abundance factors. Methods. 2006;40(4):303-11. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2006.07.028. PubMed PMID: 17101441; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1815300.

41. Beauvais A, Monod M, Wyniger J, Debeaupuis JP, Grouzmann E, Brakch N, et al. Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV secreted by *Aspergillus fumigatus*, a fungus pathogenic to humans. Infect Immun. 1997;65(8):3042-7. PubMed PMID: 9234752; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC175429.

42. Beauvais A, Monod M, Debeaupuis JP, Diaquin M, Kobayashi H, Latge JP. Biochemical and antigenic characterization of a new dipeptidyl-peptidase isolated from *Aspergillus fumigatus*. J Biol Chem. 1997;272(10):6238-44. PubMed PMID: 9045640.

43. Levdansky E, Kashi O, Sharon H, Shadkchan Y, Osherov N. The *Aspergillus fumigatus* cspA gene encoding a repeat-rich cell wall protein is important for normal conidial cell wall architecture and interaction with host cells. Eukaryot Cell. 2010;9(9):1403-15. doi: 10.1128/EC.00126-10. PubMed PMID: 20656913; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2937338.

44. Levdansky E, Romano J, Shadkchan Y, Sharon H, Verstrepen KJ, Fink GR, et al. Coding tandem repeats generate diversity in Aspergillus fumigatus genes. Eukaryot Cell. 2007;6(8):1380-91. Epub 2007/06/15. doi: 10.1128/EC.00229-06. PubMed PMID: 17557878; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1951137.

45. Hagiwara D, Sakai K, Suzuki S, Umemura M, Nogawa T, Kato N, et al. Temperature during conidiation affects stress tolerance, pigmentation, and trypacidin accumulation in the conidia of the airborne pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. PLoS One. 2017;12(5):e0177050. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177050. PubMed PMID: 28486558; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5423626.

46. Anjo SI, Figueiredo F, Fernandes R, Manadas B, Oliveira M. A proteomic and ultrastructural characterization of Aspergillus fumigatus' conidia adaptation at different culture ages. J Proteomics. 2017;161:47-56. Epub 2017/04/04. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2017.03.021. PubMed PMID: 28365406.

47. Remm M, Storm CE, Sonnhammer EL. Automatic clustering of orthologs and in-paralogs from pairwise species comparisons. J Mol Biol. 2001;314(5):1041-52. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.5197. PubMed PMID: 11743721.

48. Teutschbein J, Simon S, Lother J, Springer J, Hortschansky P, Morton CO, et al. Proteomic Profiling of Serological Responses to Aspergillus fumigatus Antigens in Patients with Invasive Aspergillosis. J Proteome Res. 2016;15(5):1580-91. Epub 2016/03/15. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00042. PubMed PMID: 26974881.

49. Dasari P, Koleci N, Shopova IA, Wartenberg D, Beyersdorf N, Dietrich S, et al. Enolase From Aspergillus fumigatus Is a Moonlighting Protein That Binds the Human Plasma Complement Proteins Factor H, FHL-1, C4BP, and Plasminogen. Front Immunol. 2019;10:2573. Epub 2019/12/12. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02573. PubMed PMID: 31824478; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6883375.

50.   Parida SK, Poiret T, Zhenjiang L, Meng Q, Heyckendorf J, Lange C, et al. T-Cell Therapy: Options for
Infectious Diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61Suppl 3:S217-24. Epub 2015/09/27. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ615. PubMed
PMID: 26409284; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4583575.
51.   Hulsen T, de Vlieg J, Alkema W. BioVenn - a web application for the comparison and visualization of
biological lists using area-proportional Venn diagrams. BMC Genomics. 2008;9:488. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-
488. PubMed PMID: 18925949; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2584113.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Figure S1. Production of Δ*cweA* and Δ*scwA* knockouts in *A. fumigatus*. A) Reannotation of *scwA* (Afu4g09310)

from *A. fumigatus* CEA10. For production of genetic deletions of B) *cweA* and C) *scwA* flanking DNA sequences

were amplified using the appropriate primers p1-p4. D) The flanking DNA sequences were then combined with

the *ptrA* resistance marker by Phusion polymerase and primers p1/4 to produce a construct with gene flanking

regions surrounding the resistance marker. Genetic deletions of E) *cweA* and F) *scwA* were then confirmed by

Southern blot analysis. Complemented strains for G) *cweA* and H) *scwA* were produced by introducing a

construct containing a 5' flanking sequence (F1), the gene with both 5' and 3' UTRs, a hygromycin resistance

cassette (*hph*), and a 3' flanking sequence (F2) into the original locus of the corresponding knockout strain *via*

homologous recombination. Southern blots were performed to confirm complementation of I) *cweA* and J)

scwA.

Figure S2. Phylogenetic analysis of *A. fumigatus* cell surface proteins. A) Phylogenetic tree of ScwA sequences

produced using the DNAStar MegAlign software with a ClustalW alignment of all sequences deemed significant

by NCBI Blastp suite. B) Phylogenetic tree of CweA sequences produced using the DNAStar MegAlign software

with a ClustalW alignment of all sequences deemed significant by NCBI Blastp suite.

Figure S3. Growth characterization of the Δ*cweA* and Δ*scwA* knockouts. A) Growth on AMM and malt agar plates

for 48 h. B) Strains were serially diluted and spotted onto AMM agar with the addition of the indicated stressors

and grown for 72 h. Experiments are representative data from three separate experiments.

Figure S4. Trypsin does not induce major changes in *A. fumigatus* conidial permeability. Images show

representative fungal conidia stained for 15 min with the cell-impermeable dye propidium iodide (red) following

treatment with 1% (w/v) SDS (left) or 5 µg/mL trypsin for 5 min at 37°C (right).

Supplemental Tables

Table S1. Fungal strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype/Phenotype	Source
CEA10	*A. fumigatus* wild type	Fungal Genetics Stock Center (A1163)
CEA17	*A. fumigatus akuB^KU80* deletion in CEA10	Da Silva Ferreira et al., 2006
CEA17ΔcweA	Derived from CEA17; *Afu4g09600*::*ptrA*; PtrA^R, Δ*Afu4g09600*	This study
CEA17ΔcweA + CweA	Δ*cweA* complemented strain	This study
CEA17ΔscwA	Derived from CEA17; *Afu4g09310*::*ptrA*; PtrA^R, Δ*Afu4g09310*	This study
CEA17ΔscwA + ScwA	Δ*scwA* complemented strain	This study
ATCC 66981	*A. alternata* wild type	American Type Culture Collection
ATCC 28089	*P. rubens* wild type	American Type Culture Collection
ATCC MYA-4682	*C. herbarum* wild type	American Type Culture Collection

Table S2. Oligonucleotides and plasmids used in this study.

Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'—3')	Target
Gene Deletion		
cweA-1	TAACAGAACCTGCCACGACC	*cweA*
cweA-2	GGCCTGAGTGGCCATCGAATTCTTCCTCCGACCAGACCCTTC	*cweA*
cweA-3	GAGGCCATCTAGGCCATCAAGCGCTGTCATTCGTGCCGTTGA	*cweA*
cweA-4	GCAAGCGCAGCAAGATAAGG	*cweA*
scwA-1	GCCCACATGCCTCTAGACTC	*scwA*
scwA-2	GGCCTGAGTGGCCATCGAATTCCGATCAGCACTCTGGTACTG	*scwA*
scwA-3	GAGGCCATCTAGGCCATCAAGCGGAGATGGCTGGATATAGTC	*scwA*
scwA-4	GCGAGAACCAGAGCGCAAAC	*scwA*
prtA-1	GAATTCGATGGCCACTCAGGCC	*ptrA*
prtA-2	GCTTGATGGCCTAGATGGCCTC	*ptrA*
Complementation		
scwA_F	TATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCAAGCAAGACCAGAACCTGAAATC	*scwA*
scwA_hph_R	GCTCCCCAGGGCTTCTAGTGGATATCTG	*scwA*

scwA_hph_F	GCAAAGGAATATGAAGGGCTTTCCCGAAAG	*scwA*
scwA_R	CATCGTCACCAACCACACGTCGCGCGTTTCGGTGATGAC	*scwA*
hph_scwA_F	GGGCTTCTAGTGGATATCTGCAGAATTCGC	*hph*
hph_scwA_R	GTCCGAGGGCAAAGGAATATGAAGGGCT	*hph*
pUC19_F	TCGCGCGTTTCGGTGATG	*pUC19*
pUC19_R	GTATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTC	*pUC19*
cweA_F	TATCACGAGGCCCTTTCGTCCTAACAGAACCTGCCACG	*cweA*
cweA_hph_R	CTAGCTTACGAAGATCAAGAATTTCGCCAGTGTG	*cweA*
cweA_hph_F	CAAAGGAATAGTCAGCCATGTGTGGTCTC	*cweA*
cweA_R	CATGAGTTTATTAGGGAGCATGAGTCGCGCGTTTCGGTGATGAC	*cweA*
hph_cweA_F	TCAAGAATTTCGCCAGTGTGATGGATATC	*hph*
hph_cweA_R	GTCCGAGGGCAAAGGAATAGTCAGCCATG	*hph*
Plasmids	***Source***	
pUC19	New England Biolabs	
pUC19_scwAc	Complementation plasmid produced in this study	
pUC19_cweAc	Complementation plasmid produced in this study	

Table S3. Surface proteome of germinating *A. fumigatus* conidia (Excel File).

Table S4. Surface proteome of Δ*scwA* and Δ*cweA* deletion strains (Excel File).

Table S5. Temperature-dependent surface proteome of *A. fumigatus* conidia (Excel File).

Table S6. Surface proteome and secretome of resting and swollen *A. fumigatus* conidia (Excel File).

Table S7. Surface proteome and secretome of resting and swollen *P. rubens* conidia (Excel File).

Table S8. Surface proteome and secretome of resting and swollen *A. alternata* conidia (Excel File).

Table S9. Surface proteome and secretome of resting and swollen *C. herbarum* conidia (Excel File).

Table S10. Ortholog analysis performed using InParanoid software package (Excel File).

Figure 1

Figure 3

A

7 day

RT
37°C
42°C

B

14 day

Figure 4

A

A. fumigatus

191 20 20 21 328
6 2

A. alternata

14
70 38
351
321 1022
265

P. rubens

28
86 14
126
322 431
138

C. herbarum

79
53
42 66
7 6 155

Resting Surface   Swollen Surface   Extracellular Proteins

B

Resting

231 21 34

RT 37°C

C

Swollen

27 22 118

RT 37°C

Figure 5

ScwA Predicted Protein Sequence

MQFSTILSLLAVAGMTMAAPSVARRQSNHNGLAGVNVENNKIPVNVDVPIKGNNVANGVAND
VLKDGVDVEHVADAVNAGVLGNANQVAPQGF

Figure S1

Figure S2

	SDS	**Trypsin**
A. fumigatus		
P. rubens		
A. alternata		
C. herbarum		

FIgure S4