Title: Description of a widespread bacterial secretion system with chemically diverse substrates

Running title: The Type Eleven Secretion System

Byline: Alex S. Grossman[a]¶, Terra J. Mauer[b]* ¶, Katrina T. Forest[b], and Heidi Goodrich-Blair[a,b]#

Affiliations:

[a]University of Tennessee-Knoxville, Department of Microbiology, Knoxville, TN

[b]University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Bacteriology, Madison, WI

#Address correspondence to Heidi Goodrich-Blair, hgblair@utk.edu

*Present affiliation: Terra Mauer, Washtenaw Community College, Ann Arbor, MI

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract:

     In host-associated bacteria, surface and secreted proteins mediate acquisition of nutrients, interactions with host cells, and specificity of tissue-localization. In Gram-negative bacteria, the mechanism by which many proteins cross or become tethered to the outer membrane remains unclear. The domain of unknown function (DUF)560 occurs in outer membrane proteins throughout Proteobacteria and has been implicated in host-bacteria interactions and lipoprotein surface exposure. We used sequence similarity networking to reveal three subfamilies of DUF560 homologs. One subfamily includes those DUF560 proteins experimentally characterized to date: NilB, a host-range determinant of the nematode-mutualist *Xenorhabdus nematophila*, and the surface lipoprotein assembly modulators Slam1 and Slam2, which facilitate surface exposure of lipoproteins in *Neisseria meningitidis* (1, 2). We show that DUF560 proteins from a second subfamily facilitate secretion of soluble, non-lipidated proteins across the outer membrane. Using *in silico* analysis, we demonstrate that DUF560 gene complement correlates with bacterial environment at a macro level and host association at a species level. The DUF560 protein superfamily represents a newly characterized Gram-negative secretion system capable of lipoprotein surface exposure and soluble protein secretion with conserved roles in facilitating symbiosis. In light of these data, we propose that it be titled the type eleven secretion system (TXISS).

Importance:

     The microbial constituents of a host associated microbiome are decided by a complex interplay of microbial colonization factors, host surface conditions, and host immunological responses. Filling such niches requires bacteria to encode an arsenal of surface and secreted proteins to effectively interact with the host and co-occurring microbes. Bioinformatic predictions of the localization and function of putative bacterial colonization factors are essential

for assessing the potential of bacteria to engage in pathogenic, mutualistic, or commensal

activities. This study uses publicly available genome sequence data, alongside experimental

results from representative gene products from *Xenorhabdus nematophila*, to demonstrate a role

for DUF560 family proteins in the secretion of bacterial effectors of host interactions. Our

research delineates a broadly distributed family of proteins and enables more accurate

predictions of the localization of colonization factors throughout Proteobacteria.

Introduction:

All plants and animals exist in association with bacterial symbionts that can contribute to

nutrition, protection, development, and reproduction. These symbionts express surface and

secreted proteins that facilitate host interactions through a variety of functions, including

acquisition of nutrients (e.g. TonB-dependent transporters in Gram-negative host-associated

bacteria (3, 4)), interaction with host cells (e.g. *Acinetobacter baumanii* OmpA binding host

desmoplakin (5)), and specificity in host-range and tissue-localization (e.g. *Vibrio fischeri* pilin

variability mediating host specificity (6)).

Recently, a mechanism of lipoprotein surface tethering was identified in the human

pathogen *Neisseria meningitidis* and termed the Slam (Surface lipoprotein assembly modulator)

machinery (1, 2). Slam proteins containing the β-barrel domain DUF560 are required for surface

presentation of certain lipoproteins, including those that capture metal-carrying compounds used

by hosts to sequester nutrients from bacteria (1). Two *N. meningitidis* Slam proteins have been

characterized, each with distinct lipoprotein substrates. Slam activity also has been demonstrated

for DUF560 representatives from pathogens *Pasteurella multocida, Moraxella catarrhalis,* and

Haemophilus influenzae (1, 2). However, most Slam homologs have no bioinformatically

predicted substrate to date, and one study has found that *N. meningitidis* Slam1 can surface

expose unlipidated fHbp variants (7). Thus, the full functional potential of DUF560 proteins is

not yet known.

The DUF560 homolog NilB is a host-association and species-specificity factor in the

nematode symbiont *Xenorhabdus nematophila*, a proteobacterium in the family *Morganellaceae*

(8-10). A screen for *X. nematophila* mutants defective in colonizing *Steinernema carpocapsae*

intestines revealed the Nematode intestinal localization locus (Nil)(10, 11). The Nil locus

contains the genes *nilB* and *nilC*, each of which is independently necessary for colonization of

nematodes. Biochemical and bioinformatic analyses have established that NilC is an outer-

membrane-associated lipoprotein and NilB is an outer-membrane β–barrel protein in the

DUF560 protein family with a ~140 amino acid periplasmic N-terminal domain that contains

tetratricopeptide repeats (11-14).

To begin to understand the range of functions of DUF560 proteins, we assessed their

ecological distribution, genomic context, and relatedness. We experimentally examined the *X.*

nematophila DUF560 homolog HrpB, which is not predicted to interact with a lipoprotein

substrate. Finally, to better understand the potential role of DUF560 proteins in host-symbiont

interactions we analyzed DUF560 distribution among symbiotic *Xenorhabdus*. Our data

demonstrate that the activities of the DUF560 family extend beyond lipoprotein surface

presentation and constitute a new type XI bacterial secretion system (TXISS) which, like the

type II secretion system, is capable of acting on either membrane anchored or soluble proteins

(15).

Results:

TXISS cluster according to environment

Using homology to NilB or Slam proteins, previous work identified a wide distribution of

DUF560 proteins within mucosal associated bacteria (2, 10, 11). To quantifiably delineate

subfamilies within the TXISS we generated a sequence similarity network (SSN) using the

Enzyme Function Initiative toolset (EFI) (16-18) and annotated it to highlight environmental

source or taxonomic grouping of the isolates containing DUF560 homologs (Fig 1; Supplemental

Table 1). In this network analysis, protein sequences with a high identity (40% or greater) were

Figure 1. Sequence similarity network of all DUF560 proteins. SSN of DUF560 homologs generated by EFI-EST as accessed on 4.24.19

(16-18). Edges were drawn using an alignment score of 38, and any sequences which shared ≥ 40% identity were placed in a single node to

allow the separation of clusters. Each node represents a group of highly similar sequences, with edge darkness demonstrating similarity, and

the distance between nodes being determined via the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm to optimize edge lengths (53). Each node was color

coded to show the isolates' environmental origin(s) (A) and taxonomic class (B).

gathered into data points called nodes and connected together with edges based on sequence

similarity. Using all homologs in Interpro 73 and Uniprot 2019-02, we identified 10 major

clusters of TXISS proteins. Cluster 1 was chosen for in-depth analysis since it contained the

majority of nodes in the network (62.4%) and could be visually divided into three subclusters

(1A, 1B, and 1C) using force directed node placement (Fig. 2). The remaining clusters displayed

a preponderance of water and soil associated organisms and contained no characterized proteins.

Consistent with our previous observations (11), the majority of cluster 1 nodes (75%) comprise

sequences from various animal-associated isolates, while another 20% contain sequences from

marine, freshwater, soil, or built-environment isolates.

The division of nodes among the three subclusters more strongly reflected environmental

origin than bacterial taxonomy (Fig. 2A). Subcluster 1A almost exclusively comprises animal-

associated bacteria and contains all previously characterized TXISS, which separate according to

predicted substrate when analyzed with higher stringency (Supplemental Fig. 1). Subclusters 1B

and 1C have no previously characterized representatives. Subcluster 1B contains a mixture of

sequences from host-associated and free-living bacteria, while subcluster 1C contains sequences

largely from environmentally isolated *Sphingomonadaceae*. Subclusters 1A and 1B do not

correlate well with taxonomy of the isolates (Fig. 2B). For example, cluster 1 contains 81 nodes

with *Moraxellaceae* sequences. Of these, 79% are predominantly animal-associated and 12.3%

are predominantly environmental isolates. The animal-associated isolates are enriched in

subcluster 1A and environmental-isolates are enriched in subcluster 1B. These data demonstrate

a correlation with lifestyle (e.g. free-living vs host associated) as opposed to taxonomy and

suggest that subclusters indicate divergent molecular functions.

Figure 2. Cluster 1 of the TXISS sequence similarity network (SSN) forms subclusters according to environment of isolation and signal sequence of predicted cargo. All nodes from cluster 1 of the TXISS SSN were positioned using the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm. The resulting graph was annotated according to sequences A) environmental origin(s) or B) taxonomic class of the isolates, or C) whether the node homolog(s) co-occur with a TbpBBD-domain encoding gene. Nodes containing experimentally characterized proteins are highlighted using colored circles as indicated at the bottom of the figure.

TXISS cluster according to substrate

The Slam acronym was defined on the basis that DUF560 homologs from *N. meningitidis*

facilitate surface exposure of lipoproteins, such as TbpB, LbpB, HpuA, and fHbp which are

frequently encoded nearby (1, 2). The lipid tail is not essential for Slam-dependent surface

exposure of a target (1, 7, 19). This result prompted us to consider whether co-occurrence with

lipoproteins is a constant throughout cluster 1. We used the EFI Genome-Neighborhood Tool

(16, 18, 20) to assay the genomic context of each subcluster. This analysis corroborated previous

work demonstrating genomic association of DUF560 proteins with TonB, TonB-dependent

receptors and proteins that have a Pfam TbpB_B_D domain, which will be referred to hereafter

as TbpBBD (2, 21).

119  Given the prevalence of TbpBBD domains in the genome neighborhoods of DUF560

120 genes, and their known occurrence in lipoproteins surface exposed by Slams we examined their

121 gene structures to detect potential patterns. Using a combination of genome-neighborhood-

122 network data (18), Rapid ORF Description & Evaluation Online (RODEO) data (22), and

123 manual annotation we analyzed all TbpBBD domain proteins co-inherited with sequences

124 present in cluster 1 (Supplemental Table 2). The majority of TbpBBD-bearing proteins

125 associated with subcluster 1A are predicted to be lipidated and have two TbpBBD domains

126 similar to TbpB in *N. meningitidis* (2), therefore they will be referred to as TbpBBD$_{lip}$. In

127 contrast, the TbpBBD-bearing proteins associated with subcluster 1B are almost exclusively

Figure 3. Examples of TbpBBD$_{sol}$ and TbpBBD$_{lip}$ domain architectures. The schematic diagram shows features found in select TbpBBD-domain containing proteins predicted to be exported by TXISS mechanisms. TXISS-1B associated proteins from *X. nematophila, P. luminescens, P. rettgeri, P. mirabilis,* and *H. haemolyticus* have signal-peptidase 1 (SPI) signal sequences, lack annotated "handle" domains, and have a single TbpBBD domain. TXISS-1A associated proteins from *M. catarrhalis, H. influenzae, and N. meningitidis* have signal-peptidase 2 (SPII) type signal sequences, N-lobe and C-lobe handle domains (TbpB_A and TbpB_C, respectively), and two TbpBBD domains. Predicted signal peptidase sites and domains are color-coded.

128 predicted to be soluble proteins and only have a single TbpBBD domain similar to hemophilin in

129 *Haemophilus haemolyticus*, therefore they will be referred to as TbpBBD$_{sol}$ (Fig. 2C; Fig. 3).

130  Biochemical and structural evidence support the conclusion that hemophilin is a soluble

131 secreted protein that binds free heme and facilitates heme uptake into the cell (23). Three-

132 dimensional homology modeling (Phyre[2]) was used to visualize potential structural similarities

between hemophilin and several TbpBBD$_{sol}$ proteins (24, 25) (Supplemental Fig. 2). In light of

sequence and structural level similarities we hypothesized that subcluster 1B proteins transport

TbpBBD$_{sol}$ proteins across the outer membrane via a mechanism analogous to subcluster

1A proteins transporting TbpBBD$_{lip}$ substrates. Our prediction separates the TXISS into two

subfamilies, TXISS-1A which has members that flip TbpBBD$_{lip}$ substrates across the outer

membrane and TXISS-1B which we predict has members that secrete TbpBBD$_{sol}$ substrates into

the extracellular milieu.

TXISS-1B activity reconstructed *in vivo*

To test our prediction that the TXISS-1B can secrete TbpBBD$_{sol}$ substrates, we

investigated the heme receptor protein (Hrp) locus of *X. nematophila.* This locus, which is

conserved across the *Xenorhabdus* genus, consists of genes predicted to encode TonB, a TonB-

dependent heme receptor named HrpA (XNC1_0073), the TXISS-1B homolog HrpB

(XNC1_0074), and its predicted TbpBBD$_{sol}$ substrate HrpC (XNC1_0075) (11), a homolog of

the heme-binding protein hemophilin (55% similar 39% identical) (23). Specifically, we sought

to test whether the TXISS-1B homolog HrpB mediates secretion of the putative heme-binding

protein HrpC. Using the expression vector pETDuet-1, HrpC-FLAG was expressed with and

without HrpB-FLAG and whole cell and supernatant fractions were separated and analyzed by

immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies (26). We found that in the presence of HrpB-FLAG

the levels of HrpC-FLAG detected in the supernatant increased 9.9-fold at 1-h post-induction and

17.0-fold at 2.5-h post-induction (Fig. 4A). Whole cell lysates demonstrated equivalent

expression of HrpC-FLAG in both treatments (Fig. 4B). Coomassie staining of supernatants

show that expression of HrpB-FLAG did not induce cell lysis or non-specific protein secretion

(Fig. 4C, Supplemental Fig. 3). Consistent with the fact that in the absence of Slam1, several

strains of *E. coli* surface expose a fraction of the substrate fHbp (27, 28), some HrpC-FLAG

reached the supernatant in the absence of HrpB-FLAG.

The Hrp locus is conserved in many proteobacteria including *Proteus mirabilis*,

Providencia rettgeri, Acinetobacter baumannii, N. gonorrhoeae, and *H. haemolyticus.* For

example, the TbpBBD$_{sol}$ protein present in *N. gonorrhoeae* (NGO0554) is flanked by a gene

predicted to encode a TonB-dependent receptor (NGO0553) and a gene predicted to encode a

DUF560 family protein (NGO0555). Consistent with the predicted role of these TbpBBD$_{sol}$

proteins in metal homeostasis, NGO0554 is repressed by iron, upregulated in response to

oxidative stress, and contributes to resistance to peroxide challenge (29-31). These TXISS-

dependent HrpC homologs likely contribute to metal homeostasis in a variety of human

microbiome constituents by acting as hemophores akin to hemophilin and HasA (23, 32, 33).

Figure 4. HrpB increases secretion of HrpC. A) Representative immunoblots of 2.5 h post-induction whole cell lysates and supernatant samples. HrpC-FLAG and HrpB-FLAG were distinguished by molecular weights (~27 and ~51 kDa, respectively). B) Fluorescence intensity of HrpC levels was not significantly impacted by HrpB in cell lysates (left graph, unpaired t-test) but was in supernatants (right graph, Sidak's multiple comparisons test). C) Representative Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel of supernatant samples indicating HrpB causes neither cell lysis nor nonspecific protein export. A distinct band (in orange) was used as a loading control to normalize supernatant samples. The increase in supernatant HrpC is faintly visible at ~27 kilodaltons in the last three supernatant lanes. Relicates labeled 1-3.

Host environment drives TXISS class

Having established that DUF560 homologs represent a bona fide secretion system, we

next used the *Xenorhabdus* system to expand on our observation that the presence and type of

TXISS corresponds to bacterial environmental niche. *Xenorhabdus* are species-specific obligate

mutualists of *Steinernema* nematodes, and NilB is a known host range determinant. Therefore,

we considered host species as an environmental niche and bioinformatically examined whether

the complement of DUF560 genes in a microbe corresponds with host phylogeny (34-38). All 46

Xenorhabdus genomes on the Magnifying Genome (MaGe) platform (39) encode between one

and three TXISS, with five distinct homologs represented across the genus (one TXISS-1A and

four TXISS-1B) (40). Each unique combination of TXISS homologs was assigned one of six

classes (A-F) as depicted in Supplemental Data Fig. 4 and Supplemental Table 3. To visualize

Figure 5: Cladograms of *Xenorhabdus* and *Steinernema* color coded according to *Xenorhabdus* DUF560 Class. Co-phylogeny of nematode species and their colonizing bacteria A) across the *Steinernema* genus or B) with a focus on specific clades. Numbers on branches indicate bootstrap support values. Bootstrap values below 60% were contracted. Lines connecting the phylogenies indicate mutualist pairs. Roman numerals highlight the 5 Steinernema clades described by Spridinov et al. 2004. Colored overlays indicate the DUF560 class of a given bacterium or a given nematode's symbiont. Class A (light green); class B (light blue); class C (dark green); class D (red); class E (orange); class F (purple).

correlations between TXISS class and host identity, we constructed Maximum Likelihood and

Bayesian phylogenetic trees for *Xenorhabdus* and *Steinernema*. *Xenorhabdus* trees were

generated with whole genome data while *Steinernema* trees used five loci as available

(Supplemental Fig. 5; Supplemental Table 4). Aligning the Maximum likelihood phylogenies

reveals that the TXISS complement of a *Xenorhabdus* species is more predictive of nematode

host than phylogenetic position (Fig. 5).

This alignment of the phylogenetic placement of a given *Steinernema* host with the

TXISS complement of the symbiont provides insights into the nematode internal environment

experienced by the symbiont. For example, most class B/C *Xenorhabdus* with two HrpB

paralogs at the Hrp locus are symbionts of nematodes within the phylogenetic Clade IV,

suggesting that these nematodes present a distinctive environment in which an additional Hrp

locus is beneficial. Class D *Xenorhabdus* with an HrpB paralog encoded adjacent to genes

predicted to encode a cobalt ABC transporter, are solely symbionts of Clade V nematodes. *X.*

innexi and *X. stockiae* have seemingly diverged from this lineage through acquisition of a *nilB*

homolog and switching to hosts within Clade II. Similarly, *X. nematophila* independently gained

nilB and switched into a Clade II host (Fig. 5B). These acquisitions, alongside the varied

genomic contexts of *nilB*/*nilC* pairs, are consistent with previous suggestions that the Nil locus

was horizontally acquired among *Xenorhabdus* (14).

The TXISS NilB and the lipoprotein NilC are necessary for *X. nematophila* to colonize

the Clade II nematode *S. carpocapsae* (10, 14). However, *X. nematophila* also colonizes two

nematodes that are phylogenetically separate from Clade II, *S. anatoliense* and *S. websteri* (Fig.

5). Our hypothesis that TXISS are involved in host-environment adaptations leads to the

prediction that *X. nematophila* will require the Nil locus to colonize these nematodes. To test this

hypothesis, bacteria-free *S. anatoliense*, *S. websteri*, and *S. carpocapsae* eggs (41) were exposed

to an *X. nematophila* ATCC19061 *Δnil* mutant and a Nil complemented strain (11). Consistent

Figure 6. The Nil locus is necessary for colonization of *S. anatoliense*, *S. carpocapsae*, and *S. websteri* infective juveniles. Bacteria free *S. carpocapsae*, *S. anatoliense*, and *S. websteri* were exposed to GFP expressing *X. nematophila* lacking or bearing the Nil locus. The resulting progeny infective juveniles (IJs) were monitored for colonization either by A) microscopy or by B) plating lysates for average CFU/IJ. C) The average CFU per colonized IJ combines both of these values to show bacterial load per organism. Treatments were analyzed via one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc test.

with our prediction, the *Δnil* mutant was deficient in infective juvenile colonization in all three

nematode species (Fig. 6), demonstrating that *nil* genes are necessary for infective juvenile

colonization of *S. anatoliense* and *S. websteri* and supporting our hypothesis that TXISS promote

adaptation to host environments.

Discussion:

Bacteria that live inside animal hosts face many challenges as a result of their

environment. Gram-negative bacteria that occupy host environments produce diverse factors that

manipulate host cell and tissue physiology for their own benefit. Delivery of these effectors to

their site of action requires the crossing of two bacterial membranes and possibly additional host

cell membranes depending on the effector. Bacteria have evolved specialized secretion systems

for delivery of effectors that facilitate the host-associated lifestyle. For instance, the type II

secretion system can secrete hydrolyzing enzymes essential for commensals to grow, but it can

also secrete clinically important toxins such as Cholera toxin and Diphtheria toxin (42, 43).

Other secretion systems such as type III and type VI are specialized for the delivery of effectors

across both bacterial and host cell membranes. Knowledge of cargo protein identities and sorting

processes facilitates predictions from genomic information of bacterial secretome composition,

regulation, and localization. Despite the diverse secretion systems now recognized, there are

more to be identified based on the fact that some proteins predicted to be secreted lack known

secretion pathways (44). The type X secretory pathway was described in 2020 and shed light on

long standing mysteries surrounding the dependence on a muramidase for secretion of Typhoid

toxin across the cell wall (45). Secretion pathways are the tools with which bacteria interact with

their world, and as such each one discovered provides a slightly more complete picture of the

toolbox.

The DUF560 (domain of unknown function) family presence in animal-associated

bacteria was first recognized when it was noted that the host-colonization factor NilB has

homologs in *N. meningitidis, M. catarrhalis, P. multocida,* and *H. influenzae* (10). This

observation was strengthened by subsequent demonstration that Slam1 from human pathogenic

bacteria facilitates the surface presentation of host-metal acquisition proteins (1, 2, 10). Enabled

by the availability of extensive genomic data from many environments and ever-improving

bioinformatic visualization tools, we have presented data demonstrating that the type XI

secretion system is a broadly distributed molecular vehicle for moving proteins across the Gram-

negative outer membrane. While DUF560 proteins were originally thought to represent a

mechanism for lipoprotein surface exposure (1), recent studies have expanded that functional

range to include peripheral membrane proteins (7) and now soluble secreted proteins,

establishing the function of DUF560 OM proteins in secretion of varied substrates. We predict

that further study will uncover a great diversity of cargo for the distinct classes of TXISS

revealed through our network analysis. Here we focused on one single cluster of a DUF560

sequence similarity network and highlighted two subfamilies of TXISS (TXISS-1A and 1B). The

remaining 9 clusters likely represent diverse subfamilies responsible for transporting as-yet-

unknown cargo. The lipoprotein cargo proteins for which structural data are known revealed a

common C-terminal 8-stranded β-barrel motif (2). Our discovery that HrpC is a cargo protein for

TXISS-1B HrpB strengthens the concept that this barrel is an important characteristic of TXISS

cargo; HrpC is a homolog of the *H. haemolyticus* hemophilin, the structure of which likewise

adopts a C-terminal 8-stranded β-barrel (23) (Supplemental Fig. 2). These data support the

concept that TXISS cargo have bifunctional structures in which the N-terminus is the host

effector domain while the C-terminus directs the protein for secretion. This framework will

facilitate identification of as-yet-unknown TXISS cargo among the genes that co-occur with

TXISS OMPs. Further, our analyses suggest that sequence similarity network clusters have

predictive power for other characteristics of TXISS cargo, including whether they are surface-

attached or secreted. The network-enabled classification presented here will facilitate the

investigation of both TXISS OMPs and their cargo in diverse bacteria.

Our work enables categorization of TXISS within *Neisseria* species that are found in

many animal hosts, including the human pathogens *N. meningitidis* and *N. gonorrhoeae* (46).

Neisseria strains can encode up to 6 TXISS paralogs. *N. meningitidis* MC58 has two functionally

characterized TXISS: Slam1 and Slam2. Our network analysis indicates *N. meningitidis* encodes

a third SPAM, NMB1466/ NP_274965, that also falls within Cluster 1A. *N. gonorrhoeae* TXISS

are represented in more nodes than *N. meningitidis*, notably occupying 19.3% of nodes in

subcluster 1B (Fig. 2). Taken together, these data indicate that *Neisseria* may be using TXISS to

secrete both lipoproteins and soluble products.

There is a broadly conserved role for the TXISS in host-microbe interactions. Using the

Steinernema-Xenorhabdus symbiosis we have demonstrated that the composition of TXISS in a

bacterial symbiont genome correlates with host organism. During the evolutionary history of

Xenorhabdus the gain or loss of TXISS loci correlated with host switching events (Fig. 5). Given

the conservation of the TXISS Hrp locus, amongst all *Xenorhabdus* and throughout human

microbiome constituents, it will be important in the future to examine the regulation of Hrp

TXISS-dependent secretion and the roles of Hrp machinery in binding and acquiring host metals

in a mucosal environment.

Finally, the whole family network first generated from the PF04575 (DUF560) dataset

included cluster 5, comprising 14 nodes of *Klebsiella* homologs and 2 nodes with *Klebsiella* and

Escherichia homologs. However, based on its limited number of nodes and predicted topology

differences relative the rest of the network (16-stranded vs. 14-stranded barrel), cluster 5 was

filtered out prior to our in-depth analysis. Cluster 5 homologs are annotated as PgaA/HmsH

OMPs responsible for the synthase-dependent secretion of the exopolysaccharide poly-beta-1,6

N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (PNAG), which is necessary for biofilm formation (47, 48). The

structure of the C-terminus of *E. coli* PgaA has been solved and forms a 16-stranded porin

through which PNAG is exported (49). Although PgaA homologs exist in many bacteria,

including *X. nematophila*, the only PgaA homologs represented in any cluster of the original

network output were those from *Klebsiella* spp., further strengthening exclusion of cluster 5 from

the TXISS network presented here (48). However, despite the topological and substrate

(polysaccharide vs. protein) differences, the PF04575 assignment of cluster 5 members hints that

there could be evolutionary or structural parallels between the PNAG exopolysaccharide (EPS)

synthase-dependent secretion system and TXISS. PgaA is a component of one of several

machineries responsible for export of EPS polymers including PNAG, colonic acid, alginate,

cellulose, Psl, and Pel that comprise biofilm matrices of Gram-negative bacteria (47). In each

case, like the TXISS system described here, the beta-barrel porin either has or associates with

another protein that has TPR domains (47). The TPR region of *E. coli* PgaA is necessary for

PNAG secretion and biofilm formation (49) and the TPR region of PelB modulates the activity

of the polymer-modifying enzyme PelA, with impacts the chemistry of the resulting polymer

(50). TXISS TPR domains may similarly modulate the activities of other proteins that influence

secretion of the TXISS protein substrates. Indeed, *X. nematophila* expressing versions of the

NilB TPR domain with small deletions display colonization defects that are ameliorated by

deletion of the entire N-terminal periplasmic domain (11). It will be interesting for future studies

to examine the commonalities between the TXISS and EPS synthase-dependent secretion

systems and if there is any coordination in secretion of their respective substrates and/or host-

associated phenotypes including aggregation and biofilm formation.

Materials and Methods

DUF560 sequence similarity network analysis

Enzyme Function Initiative's Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST) was used to collect all

predicted DUF560-domain-containing protein sequences from Interpro 73 and Uniprot 2019-02

(accessed 4.24.19) and BLAST for similarity (16, 17, 20). Representative networks collapsed

nodes which shared ≥ 40% identity. On an EFI-EST network, edges are drawn according to a

database-independent value called alignment score. A greater alignment score requirement

means draws fewer edges. For separation of DUF560-domain-containing proteins an alignment

score of 38 was chosen (Fig. 1). For subcluster 1A 89 was chosen and for subcluster 1B 100 was

chosen (Supplemental Fig. 1). The EFI-EST Color SSN tool was used to assign cluster numbers.

Networks were visualized and interpreted using Cytoscape v3.7.1 (51) and Gephi v0.9.2 (52).

Nodes were arranged with the Fruchterman-Reingold force-directed layout algorithm (53).

The contents of each cluster were compared to Pfam DUF560 (PF04575) to ensure that

clusters were legitimate DUF560 proteins (21). Any clusters for which fewer than 18% of

sequences were present in Pfam, or which included fewer than 20 sequences were excluded from

downstream analysis. This filtering removed cluster 5, which was composed mostly of *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae PgaA. This generated a sequence similarity network that contains 10 clusters, 1222

nodes, and 52190 edges with 1589 TaxIDs represented (Fig. 1). Using NCBI Taxonomy

Browser, each node was examined and manually curated for the isolates' environmental origin(s)

among the following categories: water, soil, plant, mammal, animal, invertebrate, nematode,

built (environments such as sewage, bioreactors, etc.), multiple environments, and unclassified

(Supplemental Table 1). If no citation was available, the isolation source was searched for in the

biosamples or bioprojects records. If neither resource was available, strain source was searched

for through other resources (NCBI linkout, Google search). A node was assigned an environment

if the majority of strains within the node fell into that environment. If a node had no majority

environment, the category "multiple environments" was assigned. Any node with animal

associated microbes that did qualify as mammal, insect, or nematode associated was designated

as generic "animal associated". For fine scale interpretation, analysis focused on cluster 1 and its

subclusters (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 1)

Three different techniques were used to determine if DUF560 proteins present within our

network were genomically associated with TbpB_B_D-domain-containing proteins, hereafter

TbpBBD-domain-containing proteins. First, using EFI-GNN, genome-neighborhood-networks

were generated for subcluster 1A (Alignment Score 89; 20 ORFs around), subcluster 1B

(Alignment Score 38; 10 ORFs around), and subcluster 1C (Alignment Score 38; 10 ORFs

around) resulting in 352 DUF560-TbpBBD pairs (17). Next, the RODEO web tool was used to

analyze co-occurrence using profile Hidden Markov Models to assign domains to local ORFs

resulting in 712 DUF560-TbpBBD pairs (22). 7 additional DUF560-TbpBBD pairs in

Xenorhabdus were manually annotated. All three datasets were combined for a total of 851 non-

redundant protein pairs (Supplemental Table 2). SignalP-5 (54, 55) was used to predict the signal

peptides of all TbpBBD-domain-containing proteins. These predictions were used to annotate

each node (Fig. 2C). Any node that was associated with both signal peptide bearing proteins and

those with no predicted signal peptide were annotated according to the signal peptide bearing

proteins.

DUF560 genome neighborhood analysis

Subclusters 1A-C were separated and analyzed in EFI-EST with an alignment score of 38

as described above. Each network was then analyzed through EFI-GNN and visualized in

Cytoscape v3.7.1 (51) (Alignment Score 38; 10 ORFs up and downstream).

Phyre^2 analysis

TbpBBD$_{sol}$ protein sequences from *Xenorhabdus nematophila* (HrpC), *Providencia*

rettgeri (PROVETT_08181/PROVETT_05852), and *Proteus mirabilis* (WP_134940027.1) were

collected and the first 22 amino acids were trimmed to remove the signal sequences. These

sequences were entered into the Phyre^2 Protein Homology/analogy Recognition Engine v.2.0 to

predict potential 3-dimensional structures (25). The top predicted structural model output for all

three proteins, hemophilin (6OM5), was used to generate structural models (Supplemental Fig.

2). Subsequent PDB files were visualized with Protean 3D v15. (Protean 3D®. Version 15.0.

DNASTAR. Madison, WI).

Co-expression of HrpB and HrpC

Primers and strains in Supplemental Table 5. Gene synthesis was performed by

Genscript® to synthesize the gene encoding HrpB25_26insDYKDDDDK (henceforth HrpB-

FLAG). Genscript® subsequently cloned HrpB-FLAG into multiple cloning site 2 (MCS2) of

pETDuet-1. The genomic region containing HrpC was amplified from the *X. nematophila* ATCC

19061 (HGB800) genome using primers #11-12, digested with restriction enzymes SacI and SalI,

and ligated into the multicloning site of pUC19. Site directed mutagenesis was used to add a C-

terminal FLAG-tag onto HrpC using primers #13-14. The gene encoding

HrpC1_2insV246_247insDYKDDDDK (henceforth HrpC-FLAG) was amplified from pUC19

using the primers #15-16. The gene product was ligated into multiple cloning site 1 (MCS1) of

both pETDuet-1 and pETDuet-1/HrpB-FLAG, resulting in the expression plasmids pETDuet-

1/HrpC-FLAG and pETDuet-1/HrpC-FLAG/HrpB-FLAG. Correct insertion of all genes was

confirmed using primers #17-20 and Sanger sequencing at the University of Tennessee

Genomics Core.

Expression plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* B21DE3 via electroporation

(HGB2402 and HGB2459). All strains were grown solely in defined medium with 150 ug/ml

ampicillin as previously described (56). Bacteria producing each expression plasmid were

subcultured into 100 ml of broth at an initial OD600 of 0.028. Cultures were grown for 18 hours

at 37°C to reach late logarithmic growth and then induced with 500 μM IPTG. One hour after

induction a 700 µl sub-sample of each culture was taken and filter sterilized for subsequent

protein precipitation. At 2.5 hours the whole cells were separated from the remaining supernatant

and lysed open via a bead beater. Remaining supernatants were filter sterilized. Whole cell

lysates were equalized via Bradford assay. For 1 and 2.5 hour supernatant samples 700 µl of

supernatant was precipitated via 10% Trichloroacetic acid incubation (57).

Samples were run on 1% SDS-PAGE gels. For lysates, wells were loaded with 9.5ug of

protein. For supernatants, wells were loaded with the precipitate of 350µl of media. Western

blots were probed with a rat derived α-FLAG tag primary antibody and an α-IgG secondary

antibody that fluoresces at 680 nm. Intensities for FLAG reactive bands were recorded. A

distinct band from the Coomassie stained gel was used as a loading control to normalize

intensities of supernatant samples prior to analysis (Fig. 4, Supplemental Fig. 3). For whole cell

samples the data were analyzed with an unpaired t-test. For supernatant samples a two-way

ANOVA was performed alongside Sidak's multiple comparisons test.

Phylogenetic tree generation

Phylogenetic analysis was performed as described previously (58). Briefly, select

Xenorhabdus and *Photorhabdus* species were analyzed using MicroScope MaGe's Gene

Phyloprofile tool (34, 39) to identify homologous protein sets which were conserved across all

assayed genomes. Putative paralogs were excluded from downstream analysis to ensure homolog

relatedness, resulting in 665 homologous sets (Supplemental Table 5). Homolog sets were

retrieved via locus tag indexing using BioPython (59), individually aligned using Muscle

v3.8.31(60), concatenated using Sequence Matrix v1.8 (61), and trimmed of nucleotide gaps

using TrimAL v1.3 (62). JmodelTest v2.1.10 (63) was used to choose the GTR+γ substitution

model for maximum likelihood and Bayesian analysis.

For nematode phylogenetic analysis select *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* species were

analyzed. The Internal Transcribed Spacer, 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, Cytochrome Oxidase I, and

12S rRNA loci were collected from Genbank's as available and used as homologous sets

(Supplemental Table 4). Nematode species which had fewer than 3 of the 5 loci sequenced were

excluded from downstream analysis. Homologous sets were individually aligned, concatenated,

and trimmed using the same methods as the *Xenorhabdus* sequences. JmodelTest v2.1.10 (63)

was used to choose the GTR+γ+I substitution model.

Maximum likelihood analyses were performed via RAxML v8.2.10 (64) using rapid

bootstrapping and 1000 replicates, and were visualized via Dendroscope v3.6.2 (65). Nodes with

less than 60% bootstrap support were collapsed. Bayesian analyses were performed via MrBayes

v3.2.6 with BEAGLE (66-68) on the Cipres Science Gateway platform (69). 500,000 MCMC

replicates were performed for the bacterial tree, 4,000,000 were performed for the nematode tree.

25% of replicates were discarded as burn-in, and posterior probabilities were sampled every 500

replicates. Two runs were performed with 3 heated and one cold chain. The final standard

deviation of split frequencies was 0.000000 for the bacterial tree, and 0.002557 for the nematode

tree. Bayesian trees were visualized with FigTree v1.4.4 (70). Bayesian and maximum likelihood

methods generated phylogenies with consistent topologies (Fig. 5; Supplemental Fig. 5).

WT vs. *Δnil* colonization of *S. anatoliense*, *S. carpocapsae*, and *S. websteri*

Strains are described in Supplemental Table 5. Bacteria free eggs of *S. anatoliense*, *S.*

carpocapsae, and *S. websteri* were generated (41) and exposed to a Nil locus mutant

(HGB1495), and a Nil locus complemented strain (HGB1496) grown on lipid agar plates for 2

days at 25°C (11). Lipid agar plates were placed into White traps 1 week after plating eggs to

collect infective juvenile (IJ) nematodes. Nematode colonization was visualized using

fluorescence microscopy on the Keyence BZX-700 to observe GFP expressing bacteria in the

receptacle. This was done for each species in biological triplicate and technical duplicate. To

determine the number of CFU per IJ, nematodes were surface sterilized, and ground for 2 min

using a Fisher brand motorized tissue grinder (CAT# 12-1413-61) to homogenize the nematodes

and release colonizing bacteria. Serial dilutions in PBS were performed and plated on LB agar,

which were incubated at 30°C for 1 day before enumerating CFUs (Fig. 6). To calculate the CFU

per colonized IJ, the percent colonized nematodes was divided by the CFU/IJ for each biological

replicate. The data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's

test to compare the mean of each treatment.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (IOS-

1353674) to HGB and KTF and the University of Tennessee-Knoxville to HGB. TJM was

supported by an NIH National Research Service Award T32-GM07215.

Author Contributions:

TJM, ASG, and HGB wrote the text of this article and composed the figures.

Bioinformatic analysis was performed by ASG and TJM. Phylogenetic analysis was performed

by ASG. Cloning and experiments were performed by ASG and TJM. KTF provided sustained

intellectual contributions to the research design and edits to the text and figures.

Additional Information:

The authors have no competing interests that might be perceived to influence the results

and/or discussion reported in this paper. A previous version of this article was published on

BioRχiv (https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.20.912956). Correspondence and requests for materials

should be addressed to H. Goodrich-Blair at hgblair@utk.edu

References:

1.	Hooda Y, Lai CC, Judd A, Buckwalter CM, Shin HE, Gray-Owen SD, Moraes TF. 2016. Slam is an outer membrane protein that is required for the surface display of lipidated virulence factors in Neisseria. Nat Microbiol 1:16009.

2.	Hooda Y, Lai CCL, Moraes TF. 2017. Identification of a Large Family of Slam-Dependent Surface Lipoproteins in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:207.

3.	Chu BC, Garcia-Herrero A, Johanson TH, Krewulak KD, Lau CK, Peacock RS, Slavinskaya Z, Vogel HJ. 2010. Siderophore uptake in bacteria and the battle for iron with the host; a bird's eye view. Biometals 23:601-11.

4.	Noinaj N, Guillier M, Barnard TJ, Buchanan SK. 2010. TonB-dependent transporters: regulation, structure, and function. Annual Review of Microbiology 64:43-60.

5.	Schweppe DK, Harding C, Chavez JD, Wu X, Ramage E, Singh PK, Manoil C, Bruce JE. 2015. Host-Microbe Protein Interactions during Bacterial Infection. Chem Biol 22:1521-1530.

6.	Chavez-Dozal AA, Gorman C, Lostroh CP, Nishiguchi MK. 2014. Gene-swapping mediates host specificity among symbiotic bacteria in a beneficial symbiosis. PLoS One 9:e101691.

7.	da Silva RAG, Karlyshev AV, Oldfield NJ, Wooldridge KG, Bayliss CD, Ryan A, Griffin R. 2019. Variant Signal Peptides of Vaccine Antigen, FHbp, Impair Processing Affecting Surface Localization and Antibody-Mediated Killing in Most Meningococcal Isolates. Front Microbiol 10:2847.

8.	Akhurst RJ. 1983. Neoplectana species: specificity of association with bacteria of the genus Xenorhabdus. Exp Parasitol 55:258-263.

9.	Chaston JM, Suen G, Tucker SL, Andersen AW, Bhasin A, Bode E, Bode HB, Brachmann AO, Cowles CE, Cowles KN, Darby C, de Leon L, Drace K, Du Z, Givaudan A,

Herbert Tran EE, Jewell KA, Knack JJ, Krasomil-Osterfeld KC, Kukor R, Lanois A, Latreille P, Leimgruber NK, Lipke CM, Liu R, Lu X, Martens EC, Marri PR, Medigue C, Menard ML, Miller NM, Morales-Soto N, Norton S, Ogier JC, Orchard SS, Park D, Park Y, Qurollo BA, Sugar DR, Richards GR, Rouy Z, Slominski B, Slominski K, Snyder H, Tjaden BC, van der Hoeven R, Welch RD, Wheeler C, Xiang B, Barbazuk B, et al. 2011. The entomopathogenic bacterial endosymbionts Xenorhabdus and Photorhabdus: convergent lifestyles from divergent genomes. PLoS One 6:e27909.

10.   Heungens K, Cowles CE, Goodrich-Blair H. 2002. Identification of Xenorhabdus nematophila genes required for mutualistic colonization of Steinernema carpocapsae nematodes. Mol Microbiol 45:1337-53.

11.   Bhasin A, Chaston JM, Goodrich-Blair H. 2012. Mutational analyses reveal overall topology and functional regions of NilB, a bacterial outer membrane protein required for host association in a model of animal-microbe mutualism. J Bacteriol 194:1763-76.

12.   Blatch GL, Lassle M. 1999. The tetratricopeptide repeat: a structural motif mediating protein-protein interactions. Bioessays 21:932-9.

13.   Cowles CE, Goodrich-Blair H. 2004. Characterization of a lipoprotein, NilC, required by Xenorhabdus nematophila for mutualism with its nematode host. Mol Microbiol 54:464-77.

14.   Cowles CE, Goodrich-Blair H. 2008. The *Xenorhabdus nematophila nilABC* genes confer the ability of *Xenorhabdus* spp. to colonize *Steinernema carpocapsae* nematodes. J Bacteriol 190:4121-8.

15.   d'Enfert C, Chapon C, Pugsley AP. 1987. Export and secretion of the lipoprotein pullulanase by Klebsiella pneumoniae. Mol Microbiol 1:107-16.

16.   Gerlt JA, Bouvier, J.T., Davidson, D.B., Imker, H.J., Sadkhin, B., Slater, D.R., Whalen, K.L. 2015. Enzyme Function Initiative-Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST): A web tool for generating protein sequence similarity networks. Biochemica et Biophysica Acta 1854:1019-1037.

17.   Zallot R, Oberg, N.O., Gerlt, J.A. 2018. 'Democratized'genomicenzymologywebtoolsforfunctionalassignment. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 47:77-85.

18.   Zallot R, Oberg N, Gerlt JA. 2019. The EFI Web Resource for Genomic Enzymology Tools: Leveraging Protein, Genome, and Metagenome Databases to Discover Novel Enzymes and Metabolic Pathways. Biochemistry 58:4169-4182.

19.   Ostberg KL, DeRocco, A.J., Mistry, S.D., Dickinson, M.K., Cornelissen, C.N. 2013. Conserved Regions of Gonococcal TbpB Are Critical for Surface Exposure and Transferrin Iron Utilization. Infection and Immunity 81:3442-3450.

20.   Gerlt JA. 2017. Genomic Enzymology: Web Tools for Leveraging Protein Family
Sequence−Function Space and Genome Context to Discover Novel Functions. Biochemistry
56:4293-4308.

21.   El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M,
Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, Sonnhammer ELL, Hirsh L, Paladin L, Piovesan D,
Tosatto SCE, Finn RD. 2019. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids
Research 47:D427–D432.

22.   Choe K, Mitchell Laboratory. 2018. RODEO (Rapid ORF Description & Evaluation
Online) is an algorithm to help biosynthetic gene cluster (BGC) analysis, with an emphasis on
ribosomal natural product (RiPP) discovery., p http://ripp.rodeo/advanced.html, University of
Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

23.   Latham RD, Torrado M, Atto B, Walshe JL, Wilson R, Guss JM, Mackay JP, Tristram S,
Gell DA. 2020. A heme-binding protein produced by Haemophilus haemolyticus inhibits non-
typeable Haemophilus influenzae. Mol Microbiol 113:381-398.

24.   Kelley LA, Sternberg MJ. 2009. Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study
using the Phyre server. Nat Protoc 4:363-71.

25.   Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal
for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845-58.

26.   Einhauer A, Jungbauer A. 2001. The FLAG peptide, a versatile fusion tag for the
purification of recombinant proteins. J Biochem Biophys Methods 49:455-65.

27.   Fantappie L, Irene C, De Santis M, Armini A, Gagliardi A, Tomasi M, Parri M, Cafardi
V, Bonomi S, Ganfini L, Zerbini F, Zanella I, Carnemolla C, Bini L, Grandi A, Grandi G. 2017.
Some Gram-negative lipoproteins keep their surface topology when transplanted from one
species to another and deliver foreign polypeptides to the bacterial surface. Mol Cell Proteomics
16:1348-1364.

28.   Konar M, Rossi R, Walter H, Pajon R, Beernink PT. 2015. A mutant library approach to
identify improved meningococcal factor H binding protein vaccine antigens. PLoS One
10:e0128185.

29.   Jackson LA, Ducey TF, Day MW, Zaitshik JB, Orvis J, Dyer DW. 2010. Transcriptional
and functional analysis of the Neisseria gonorrhoeae Fur regulon. J Bacteriol 192:77-85.

30.   Quillin SJ, Hockenberry AJ, Jewett MC, Seiferta HS. 2018. Neisseria gonorrhoeae
exposed to sublethal levels of hydrogen peroxide mounts a complex transcriptional tesponse.
mSystems 3:e00156-18.

31.   Stohl EA, Criss AK, Seifert HS. 2005. The transcriptome response of Neisseria
gonorrhoeae to hydrogen peroxide reveals genes with previously uncharacterized roles in
oxidative damage protection. Mol Microbiol 58:520-532.

32.   Dent AT, Mouriño S, Huang W, Wilks A. 2018. Post-transcriptional regulation of the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa heme assimilation system (Has) fine-tunes extracellular heme sensing.
J Biol Chem 294:2771-2785.

33.   Yukl ET, Jepkorir G, Alontaga AY, Pautsch L, Rodriguez JC, Rivera M, Moënne-Loccoz
P. 2010. Kinetic and spectroscopic studies of hemin acquisition in the hemophore HasAp from
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Biochemistry 49:6646-6654.

34.   Chaston JM, Murfin KE, Heath-Heckman EA, Goodrich-Blair H. 2013. Previously
unrecognized stages of species-specific colonization in the mutualism between *Xenorhabdus*
bacteria and *Steinernema* nematodes. Cellular Microbiology 15:1545-1559.

35.   Kampfer P, Tobias NJ, Ke LP, Bode HB, Glaeser SP. 2017. Xenorhabdus
thuongxuanensis sp. nov. and Xenorhabdus eapokensis sp. nov., isolated from Steinernema
species. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 67:1107-1114.

36.   Lee MM, Stock SP. 2010. A multigene approach for assessing evolutionary relationships
of *Xenorhabdus* spp. (gamma-Proteobacteria), the bacterial symbionts of entomopathogenic
Steinernema nematodes. J Invertebr Pathol 104:67-74.

37.   Snyder HA, Stock SP, Kim SK, Flores-Lara Y, Forst S. 2007. New insights into the
colonization and release process of *Xenorhabdus nematophila* and the morphology and
ultrastructure of the bacterial receptacle of its nematode host, *Steinernema carpocapsae*. Applied
and Environmental Microbiology 73:5338-5346.

38.   Spiridonov SE, Reid AP, Podrucka K, Subbotin SA, Moens M. 2004. Phylogenetic
relationships within the genus *Steinernema* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) as inferred from analyses of
sequences of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA and morphological features. Nematology
6:547-566.

39.   Vallenet D, Calteau A, Cruveiller S, Gachet M, Lajus A, Josso A, Mercier J, Renaux A,
Rollin J, Rouy Z, Roche D, Scarpelli C, Medigue C. 2017. MicroScope in 2017: an expanding
and evolving integrated resource for community expertise of microbial genomes. Nucleic Acids
Research 45:D517–D528.

40.   McGinnis S, Madden TL. 2004. BLAST: at the core of a powerful and diverse set of
sequence analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 32:W20-5.

41.   Murfin KE, Chaston J, Goodrich-Blair H. 2012. Visualizing bacteria in nematodes using
fluorescence microscopy. J Vis Exp 68:e4298.

42.   Costa TR, Felisberto-Rodrigues C, Meir A, Prevost MS, Redzej A, Trokter M, Waksman
G. 2015. Secretion systems in Gram-negative bacteria: structural and mechanistic insights. Nat
Rev Microbiol 13:343-59.

43.   Zielke RA, Simmons RS, Park BR, Nonogaki M, Emerson S, Sikora AE. 2014. The type
II secretion pathway in Vibrio cholerae is characterized by growth phase-dependent expression
of exoprotein genes and is positively regulated by sigmaE. Infect Immun 82:2788-801.

44.   Bendtsen JD, Kiemer L, Fausboll A, Brunak S. 2005. Non-classical protein secretion in
bacteria. BMC Microbiol 5:58.

45.   Palmer T, Finney AJ, Saha CK, Atkinson GC, Sargent F. 2020. A holin/peptidoglycan
hydrolase-dependent protein secretion system. Mol Microbiol doi:10.1111/mmi.14599.

46.   Cohen J, Powderly W, Opal S. 2017. Preface to the Fourth Edition, Infectious Diseases,
4th edition. Elsevier.

47.   Low KE, Howell PL. 2018. Gram-negative synthase-dependent exopolysaccharide
biosynthetic machines. Curr Opin Struct Biol 53:32-44.

48.   Whitney JC, Howell PL. 2013. Synthase-dependent exopolysaccharide secretion in
Gram-negative bacteria. Trends Microbiol 21:63-72.

49.   Wang Y, Andole Pannuri A, Ni D, Zhou H, Cao X, Lu X, Romeo T, Huang Y. 2016.
Structural Basis for Translocation of a Biofilm-supporting Exopolysaccharide across the
Bacterial Outer Membrane. J Biol Chem 291:10046-57.

50.   Marmont LS, Whitfield GB, Rich JD, Yip P, Giesbrecht LB, Stremick CA, Whitney JC,
Parsek MR, Harrison JJ, Howell PL. 2017. PelA and PelB proteins form a modification and
secretion complex essential for Pel polysaccharide-dependent biofilm formation in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. J Biol Chem 292:19411-19422.

51.   Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski
B, Ideker T. 2003. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
interaction networks. Genome Res 13:2498-504.

52.   Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M. 2009. Gephi : An Open Source Software for
Exploring and Manipulating Networks. International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social
Media.

53.   Fruchterman TMJ, Reingold EM. 1991. Graph Drawing by Force-directed Placement.
Software - Practice and Experience 21:1129-1164.

54.   Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sonderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S,
von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep
neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 37:420-423.

55.   Nielsen H, Engelbrecht J, Brunak S, von Heijne G. 1997. Identification of prokaryotic
and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Engineering 10:1-6.

56.   Orchard SS, Goodrich-Blair H. 2004. Identification and functional characterization of a
Xenorhabdus nematophila oligopeptide permease. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:5621-7.

57.   Koontz L. 2014. TCA Precipitation, p 3-10. *In* Lorsch J (ed), Laboratory Methods in
Enzymology: Protein Part C, vol 536. Academic Press Elsevier.

58.   Murfin KE, Lee MM, Klassen JL, McDonald BR, Larget B, Forst S, Stock SP, Currie CR, Goodrich-Blair H. 2015. *Xenorhabdus bovienii* strain diversity impacts coevolution and symbiotic maintenance with *Steinernema* spp. nematode hosts. MBio 6:e00076.

59.   Cock PJ, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A, Friedberg I, Hamelryck T, Kauff F, Wilczynski B, de Hoon MJ. 2009. Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25:1422-3.

60.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792-7.

61.   Vaidya G, Lohman, D. J., Meier, R. 2010. SequenceMatrix: Concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics 27:171-180.

62.   Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez, J. M., Gabaldón, T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25:1972-1973.

63.   Darriba D, Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., Posada, D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and highperformance computing. Nat Methods 9:772.

64.   Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312-1313.

65.   Huson DH, Scornavacca, C. 2012. Dendroscope 3: An Interactive Tool for Rooted Phylogenetic Trees and Networks. Syst Biol 61:1061-1067.

66.   Altekar G, Dwarkadas, S., Huelsenbeck, J. P., Ronquist, F. 2004. Parallel Metropolis coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo for Bayesian phylogenetic inference Bioinformatics 20:407-415.

67.   Ayres DL, Darling, A., Zwickl, D. J., Beerli, P., Holder, M. T., Lewis, P. O., Huelsenbeck, J. O., Ronquist, F., Swofford, D. L., Cummings, M. P., Rambaut, A., Suchard, M. A. 2011. BEAGLE: An Application Programming Interface and High-Performance Computing Library for Statistical Phylogenetics. Syst Biol 61:170-173.

68.   Ronquist F, Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Hohna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A., Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. Syst Biol 61:539-542.

69.   Miller MA, Pfeiffer, W., Schwartz, T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees, p 1-8. *In* (ed), Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE),

70.   Rambaut A. 2018. FigTree v1.4.4 p http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Figure 1. Increased stringency separates subcluster 1A and 1B into

functional groups. A series of stringent EFI-EST sequence similarity networks highlights detail

in cluster 1 of the DUF560 homologs. Edge darkness demonstrates similarity. Node positioning

was optimized using the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm (53). Dotted lines indicate

hypothetical functional clusters based on previous molecular data. Circled nodes indicate

proteins which have been molecularly characterized. Subclusters 1A and 1B were analyzed

separately to allow fine tuning of alignment score (89 and 100 respectively). Networks were

color coded to display either taxonomic categories or co-inheritance with TbpBBD-domain

containing proteins.

Supplemental Figure 2. Phyre^2 models of select TbpBBD$_{sol}$ proteins. TbpBBD$_{sol}$ proteins,

lacking the signal sequence (-SS), from *X. nematophila* (HrpC), *P. rettgeri* (PROVRETT_08181

and 05852), and *P. mirabilis* (WP_134940027.1) were queried through the Phyre^2 Protein

Homology/analogy Recognition Engine v. 2.0

(http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index) (25). The top predicted structural

model output for each is shown alongside the solved crystal structure of hemophilin from *H.*

haemolyticus (protein data bank file 6OM5) which the algorithm selected as the template for all

queries (23).

Supplemental Figure 3. Supernatant samples have distinct protein profile. Coomassie

stained SDS-PAGE gels showing side by side comparison of protein banding in whole cell

lysates and supernatant samples of *E. coli* expressing the indicated proteins. Units on the left side

are in kilodaltons. Expression of HrpB does not cause cell lysis or nonspecific protein export, if

it did the banding pattern of the supernatant would look more like that of the lysates. The

increase in supernatant HrpC is faintly visible at ~27 kilodaltons in the last three supernatant

sample lanes. All experiments were repeated in biological triplicate as labeled above the lanes.

Supplemental Figure 4. Representative genomes of *Xenorhabdus* DUF560 Classes A-F.

Schematic diagrams of *Xenorhabdus* TXISS loci representing each of the six classes defined in

the text. One species from each of the classes was selected for presentation. Box arrows

represent open reading frames (ORFs), which are color coded according to predicted annotated

function as indicated by the legend. The DUF560 homolog is shown in red and the predicted

TXISS cargo is shown in orange. Large ORFs were not presented in their entirety and the length

of the gap is indicated above the break line shown within such ORFs.

Supplemental Figure 5. Bayesian posterior probability phylogenies. A) Phylogram of select

Xenorhabdus bacteria, based on concatenations of 665 conserved core genes. Numbers indicate

posterior probability values. Distances indicate substitutions per base pair. B) Bayesian

phylogeny of select entomopathogenic nematodes, based on concatenations of the ITS, 18S

rRNA, 28S rRNA, COI, and 12S rRNA loci. Two members of the sister taxon *Photorhabdus*

were chosen as an outgroup. Loci are recorded in Supplemental table 4.

Supplemental Table 1. DUF560 sequence similarity network clusters 1-4 and 6-11 node

table, with subcluster, environmental annotations, and sources (when possible)

Associated file: TableS1_Clusters1-4,6-11WithEnvTax.xlsx

Supplemental Table 2. DUF560 TbpBBD protein Co-occurrence

Associated file: TableS2_DUF560TbpBBDCo-occurrence.xlsx

Supplemental Table 3. *Xenorhabdus* DUF560 classes

Associated file: TableS3_XenorhabdusDUF560Classes.xlsx

Supplemental Table 4. Loci tags used in phylogenetic analysis of *Xenorhabdus* and

***Steinernema* species**

Associated file: TableS4_CombinedLociTable

Supplemental Table 5. Primer and strain list

Associated file: TableS5_PrimersAndStrains.xlsx