Title: *Megafauna decline have reduced pathogen dispersal*

which may have increased emergent infectious diseases

Authors: Christopher E. Doughty*[1], Tomos Prys-Jones[1] , Søren Faurby[2,3], Andrew Abraham[1],

Crystal Hepp[1], Victor Leshyk[4], Viacheslav Y. Fofanov[1], Nathan C. Nieto[4], Jens-Christian

Svenning[5,6], Mauro Galetti[7]

Affiliations:

[1]School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber Systems, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff,

AZ. 86011, USA

[2]Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 461, SE

405 30, Göteborg, Sweden

[3]Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Box 461, SE 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

[4]Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ. 86011, USA

[5]Section for Ecoinformatics & Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Ny
Munkegade 114, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

[6]Center for Biodiversity Dynamics in a Changing World (BIOCHANGE), Aarhus University, Ny
Munkegade 114, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

[7]Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP),

13506-900, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil

Keyword – Emergent infectious diseases, Extinctions, Megafauna

Abstract - The Late Quaternary extinctions of megafauna (defined as animal species >44.5 kg) reduced the dispersal of seeds and nutrients, and likely also microbes and parasites. Here we use body-mass based scaling and range maps for extinct and extant mammal species to show that these extinctions led to an almost seven-fold reduction in the movement of gut-transported microbes, such as *Escherichia coli* (3.3 km^2/day to 0.5 km^2/day). Similarly, the extinctions led to a seven-fold reduction in the mean home ranges of vector-borne pathogens (7.8 km^2 to 1.1 km^2). We created an individual-based model where maximum aggregated microbial mutations increased 4-fold after 20,000 years following an order of magnitude decrease in home range. We hypothesize that pathogen speciation and hence endemism increased with isolation, as global dispersal distances decreased through a mechanism similar to the theory of island biogeography. To investigate if such an effect could be found, we analysed where 145 zoonotic diseases have emerged in human populations and found significant improvement (increasing variance explained by 8%) when we included quantitative estimates of reduced dispersal of ectoparasites and fecal pathogens. These results suggest that megafauna extinctions reduced pathogen dispersal, which increased the development of zoonotic pathogens moving into human populations.

Introduction –

      Since the Late Pleistocene and early Holocene, the loss of the planet's largest mammals has affected trophic structure, seed dispersal, biogeochemistry and nutrient dispersal globally (Galetti et al., 2018) (Malhi et al., 2016) (Doughty et al., 2016). Large animals play unique roles in dispersal processes because their long gut lengths, daily movements, and home ranges enable them to carry seeds, spores and nutrients long distances across landscapes. Obligate ectoparasites (such as ticks, fleas, lice) and microbes residing in the gut or other tissues rely on animal hosts for transport. Following the megafauna extinctions, the mean dispersal distance of both would have been reduced. Could such changes in pathogen dispersal distances have had broader ecosystem consequences? Here, we ask whether changes in microbe dispersal distances as a consequence of the megafauna extinctions impacted the emergence of zoonotic infectious diseases.

      Understanding the predictors of zoonotic emergent infectious disease (EIDs) will enable better prediction and management of future disease outbreaks. A study by Jones et al (2008) aggregated 335 EID origin events between 1940 and 2004, and found that 60% are zoonoses, with over 70% of these having a wildlife origin (Jones et al., 2008). Many infectious diseases emerged through humanity's close association with agriculture and domestic animals (Dobson & Carper, 1996) (Wolfe, Dunavan, & Diamond, 2007) . A closer proximity with animals and higher human population densities increased the establishment and spread of EIDs. Diseases existed in hunter-gatherers but were subject to differing evolutionary pressures that allowed them to persist in low population densities (versus high population densities of agrarian societies). A fast-acting, highly virulent disease would quickly kill off the sparse hunter-gather population before the disease had a chance to spread, thus also killing off the disease.

The rise of agriculture and settling of peoples into close-knit communities clearly impacted disease emergence, but could the Pleistocene and early Holocene megafauna extinctions (Sandom et al 2014) also have shaped infectious disease? There is strong debate about whether there is a positive biodiversity disease relationship especially related to human pathogens. Biodiversity loss tends to increase disease occurrence because the lost species are initially replaced with more abundant generalists that invest more in growth and less in adaptive immunity(Keesing et al., 2010), making them better hosts for pathogens. However, others have found that increases in biodiversity over time were not correlated with improved human health (Wood et al., 2017). Here, our research focuses on how EIDs might have been affected by the loss of dispersal, which has been decreasing through large animal population declines, extinctions, and more recently through human restrictions including fences and roads (Doughty et al., 2016) (Tucker et al., 2018) . We hypothesize that as global dispersal distances decreased following the megafauna extinctions, pathogen speciation may have increased with isolation in a mechanism similar to the theory of island biogeography(Reperant, 2010) (Heaney, 2000) . This reduced movement may also impact EID formation by increasing the immune-naivety of host species because they will no longer regularly interact with as many pathogens.

In this paper, we first quantify the global change in pathogen dispersal through faeces (dispersed through the gut) and obligate ectoparasites (e.g. ticks) before and after the Late Pleistocene/early Holocene megafauna extinctions. Next, we create an individual-based model to mechanistically show how reduced dispersal could impact aggregated microbial genetic change over time. Finally, we test whether the global decrease in pathogen dispersal impacted EID formation. If the loss of dispersal is important to EID formation, then the regions of greatest dispersal loss will be statistically correlated to EID formation. Previous papers have statistically

84   correlated EID outbreaks with human population density, mammal biodiversity, rainfall and found

85   statistical patterns strong enough to make predictions about potential future outbreaks (Jones et al.,

86   2008) . We then add changes to dispersal patterns over time to see if the prediction of EIDs is

87   improved.

Materials and Methods

I.   Impact of megafauna extinctions on microbial and blood parasite movement

We estimated current global ecto-parasite and fecal pathogen dispersal patterns using the IUCN

mammal species range maps for all extant species (removing all bats because mass scaling of

dispersal for bats is inaccurate) (N=5,487). To create maps of dispersal patters for a world without

the Pleistocene megafauna extinctions, we added species range maps (N=274) of the now extinct

megafauna (within 130,000 years) created in Faurby & Svenning (2015a) to the current IUCN

based dispersal maps.   These ranges estimate the natural range as the area that a given species

would occupy under the present climate, without anthropogenic interference. In cases of evident

anthropogenic range reductions for extant mammals, like the Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*),

the current ranges encompass only the IUCN defined ranges but the world without extinctions

includes the ranges on these extant animals prior to anthropogenic range reductions.   The

taxonomy of recent species followed IUCN while the taxonomy of extinct species (which were

included if there are dates records less than 130,000 years old) followed Faurby & Svenning

(2015b). For each living and extinct animal species, we use body mass estimates (Faurby &

Svenning, 2016), with the few species lacking data assigned masses based on the mass of their

relatives. We used the following mass based (M: average body mass per species (kg)) scaling

equations (recalculated from primary data in Figure S1) to estimate home range (Kelt and Van

Vuren, 2001), day range (Carbone et al 2005), and gut retention time (Demment and Van Soest,

1985, Demment 1983):

Equation 1 - Home Range

HR $(km^2) = 0.04*M^{1.09}$

This dataset, originally compiled by Kelt and Van Vuren (2001) (N=113 mammalian herbivores),

used the convex hull approach to calculate home range and found the mass-based scaling to be

highly size dependent (with mass scaling exponent of >1)

Equation 2 –Mean home range for all mammals per pixel (MHR) or ectoparasite dispersal

MHR $(km^2) = \Sigma\ HR_i / n$

(i: per pixel species number; n: = number of mammal species per pixel)

We define the mean ectoparasite dispersal per pixel as the average distance a pathogen could travel

across all mammals present in the pixel and assuming an equal change of colonizing any mammal

species.

Next, we estimate fecal pathogen diffusivity with the following equations. We start with day range

(daily distance travelled) originally from Carbone et al 2005 (N=171 mammalian herbivores) but

recalculated from primary data in Figure S1.

Equation 3 - Day Range (DR)

DR $(km/day) = 0.45*M^{0.37}$

Next, to estimate the minimum time a generalist microbe might stay in the body of a mammalian

herbivore, we use passage time:

Equation 4 - Passage time (PT) from (Demment and Van Soest, 1985, Demment 1983)

PT (days) = $0.589 * D * M^{0.28}$

Where D is digestibility, which we set to 0.5 as a parsimonious assumption because the actual

value is unknown for many extant and extinct animals.

Distance between consumption and defecation or straight line fecal transmission distance is simply

multiplying equation 3 by equation 4:

Equation 5 – Straight-line fecal transmission distance (FTD)

FTD (km) = DR * PT

However, animals rarely move in a straight line, and without any additional information, we can

assume a random walk pattern with a probability density function governed by a random walk as:

Equation 6 – Random walk transmission (RWT) per species

RWT (km^2/day) = $(FTD)^2/(2*PT)$

Here we define the mean fecal diffusivity as the mean range in any pixel a generalist microbe could

travel during its lifetime assuming an equal chance of colonizing any mammal species.

Equation 7 – Mean Fecal Diffusivity (FD)

FD (km^2/day) = $\sum RWT_i / n$

(i: per pixel species number; n: = number of mammal species per pixel)

This equation represents the average distance a fecal pathogen would travel in an ecosystem if it

had an equal chance of being picked up by any nearby species walking in a random walk.

II.   EID modelling

We then tested whether these changes in pathogen dispersal distance could help explain the

location of 145 new zoonotic diseases that emerged over the past 64 years (Jones et al., 2008).

Jones et al 2008 searched the literature to find biological, temporal and spatial data on 335 human

EID 'events' between 1940 and 2004 of which 145 were defined as zoonotic. We also divide our

analysis into vector driven (Table S3), non-vector driven (Table S4) and all diseases (Table 2). To

control for spatial reporting bias, they estimated the mean annual per country publication rate of

the Journal of Infectious Disease (JID). In their paper, they used predictor variables of log(JID),

log(human population density), human population growth rates, mean monthly rainfall, mammal

biodiversity, and latitude. We repeat this study but add our six data layers shown in Figure 1 of

animal function, as well as other variables such as rainfall seasonality, total biodiversity (species

richness including the now extinct megafauna), biomass weighted species richness and the change

in biomass weighted species richness. In total, we tested 16 variables against the EID outbreaks

(explained in Table S1). In addition to the 145 known EID outbreaks, we randomly generated ~five

times more random points (>600 points) to compare them (all results in the paper are the average

of three separate runs where the control points vary randomly) (see Figure S2 as an example

distribution).

We then used the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) multiple regression models to predict

the EID events. We used Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) for model inter-comparison,

corrected for small sample size. Whenever spatial data are used there is a risk of autocorrelation

because points closer to each other will have more similar signals than points far from each

other. We therefore used Simultaneous Auto-Regressive (SAR^{err}) models (Table 2) to account

for spatial autocorrelation (Dormann et al., 2007) using the R library 'spdep' (Bivand, Hauke, &

Kossowski, 2013). SAR-err reduces the sample size by assuming that all outbreaks within the

same neighbourhood are the same. We examined possible neighbourhood sizes to determine

how effective each was at removing residual autocorrelation from model predictions. We defined

neighbourhoods by distance to the sample site. We tried distances from 5 km to 300 km and

found that AIC was minimized at 200 km (Figure S3 – the average of 16 simulations). Following

this reduction of our dataset, our correlogram (Figure S4) indicates vastly reduced spatial

autocorrelation. We estimated the overall SAR model performance by calculating the square of

the correlation between the predicted (only the predictor and not the spatial parts) and the raw

values. We will refer to this as pseudo-R^2 in the paper even though we are aware that several

different estimates of model fit are frequently referred to as pseudo-R^2. We also did a VIF

analysis using the R package usdm (Naimi et al., 2014) to control for multicollinearity and all

VIFs of the predictor variables are below 1.5 showing little multicollinearity.

III.   Individual based model

To establish whether the loss of terrestrial megafauna increased microbe heterogeneity, we

used Matlab (Mathworks) to create an individual based model (IBM) with two randomly

distributed animal species carrying a generalist microbe. We varied our model assumptions

(parentheses below) in sensitivity studies (Tables S7). The IBM consisted of a 500x500 cell grid

(300x300 and 1000x1000 – in our sensitivity study, we tried big and small grids) with species A

in 10% (5 and 20%) of randomly selected cells and species B also in 10% (5 and 20%) of cells.

10% (5 and 20%) of animals contained the generalist microbe. We then created a 9 by 9 grid

around each of species A. This was considered the home range of the species and the group of

animals would interact with all other groups of animals within that home range. We assumed the

home range of species B to be one grid cell. We make a simple assumption that mutations in this

generalist microbe increase linearly with time until two animals interact, at which point the

microbe was assumed to have been shared and the accumulated difference between host

microbiomes is reset to zero. Later, we reduced the home range of species A from a 9x9 to a 3x3

grid, mimicking the decline in dispersal following the extinctions. We then, at each time step,

identified the microbe with the highest accumulated mutation rate within the 500 by 500 grid for

the megafauna world (9 by 9 simulation) and the post extinction world (3 by 3 simulations) (Figure

2). In order to parameterize the model with real world values, we assigned a single time step an

arbitrary value of a single year (see justification in supplementary methods). The model was run

for 20,000 years, putting the range reduction of species A at around 10,000 years ago, an

approximate date for a large part of the Late Pleistocene extinctions.

Results

Without the megafauna extinctions, we estimate that the mean global home range of a generalist ectoparasite (Equation 2 – the average home range of all (non-bat) mammal species in its ecosystem) averages ~8 km^2 (Table 1). In parts of Eurasia and southern South America, that had a particularly high pre-extinction diversity of large-mammals, the mean home range exceeded 25 km^2 (Figure 1). Following the extinctions, the mean global home range of a generalist blood parasite has been reduced to 1.1 km^2 or 14% of the previous global average (Table 1). The decreases were particularly large in South America, where mean home range decreased over two orders of magnitude in the south of the continent since all 26 local mammal species >1,000 kg went extinct (Sandom et al 2014).

The mean fecal diffusivity (Equation 7) is the minimum distance (assuming microbes are excreted in the first defecation) a generalist gut pathogen could travel between consumption and defecation and is highly size dependent. Without megafauna extinctions, this area is greater than 3.3 km^2/day and up to 10 km^2 /day in parts of Eurasia and southern South America (Figure 1). Outside of abiotic dispersal by wind or water, this is a potentially important way for microbes to move across an ecosystem. Following the megafauna extinctions, the mean distance travelled by microbes globally through biotic means decreased to 0.5 km^2 or ~15% of the non-extinction value. The largest declines in distance travelled are in the Americas and Eurasia.

We estimated the approximate increase in time for fecal pathogens and obligate ectoparasites to travel the same distance by dividing maps without extinctions by current maps (180x360) of mean ectoparasite and fecal dispersal (Figure 1). For instance, in southern South America, it would take 70 times as long for ectoparasites and 50 times as long for fecal microbes

to travel the same distance without versus with megafauna (Figure 1c and f). This contrasts to parts

of Africa, where there is little change.

To better understand the possible impacts of lost dispersal on microbes, we used an

individual based model (IBM) where initially, a large animal with a large home range periodically

shared microbes with a small animal with a small home range. We make a simple assumption that

mutations in this generalist microbe increase linearly with time until two animals interact, at which

point the microbe was assumed to have been shared and mutation rate is reset to zero. Upon

replacing an animal with a large home range (81 pixels) with a smaller home range (9 pixels), after

20,000 years of simulation time (Figure 2 and SI Appendix, Table S7), the maximum aggregate

mutations increased fourfold in the 3 by 3 (compared to the 9 by 9), but did not saturate for >10,000

years illustrating why it is important to understand EID drivers over long timescales. Although

vastly oversimplified, our model demonstrates that long periods of time (~10,000 years) might be

necessary for genetic changes to build up following the loss of dispersal. Most of the global

dispersal capacity (70-76% - Table 1) was lost at this timescale (~10,000 years; and most of the

loss before this time point was concentrated in Australia) near the end of the last ice age ~10-15

kybp following extinctions of megafauna from N. and S. America and Siberia.

How might we theoretically predict reductions in microbe and ectoparasite dispersal to

impact pathogen formation? We would first predict that an extinct animal like a mammoth would

have a large home range (red line Figure 3a) because home range is highly size-dependent (Wolf

et al., 2013) and isotope data suggest this is true (Hoppe et al., 1999). Such a large animal would

also host many ectoparasite species because parasite species richness is also size dependent (Esser

et al., 2016). Paleodata shows the extinct megafauna did indeed host parasites (McConnell &

Zavanda, 2013) . In our qualitative example for South America (Figure 3), the *Stegomastodon*

home range overlaps with species of extant mammals, vectors and likely microbes/pathogens.

Home range overlap between the species would ensure periodic interactions with pathogens so all

would develop some immune protection. In Figure 3b, the Stegomastodon goes extinct and the

smaller species no longer regularly interacts with other pathogens, becoming immune-naïve and

more susceptible to generalist pathogens when they eventually interact. This isolation would allow

variation to accumulate in the microbes, leading to possible evolutionary divergence (represented

by several colors of the pathogens in Figure 3b). Figure 3c shows late Holocene interactions with

humans and their immuno-limited (inbred animals are more susceptible to pathogens) domestic

ungulates (Smallbone, van Oosterhout, & Cable, 2016), adding another important variable (human

population density) necessary for EID occurrence(Jones et al., 2008, Table 2) . The arrival of

domestic animals is also key because there is an evolutionary trough that needs to be surpassed for

a pathogen to colonize a new host and evidence suggests this may be lower in domestic animals

(Smallbone et al., 2016) .

Our IBM predicts, given sufficient time, aggregated mutations of generalist microbes

would greatly increase over space (Figure 2) following an order of magnitude decrease in mean

home range and we hypothesize that this impacted EID formation (Figure 3). Since this

hypothesized event occurred in the past, it is difficult to empirically test. However, databases exist

with the locations of EIDs and previous work has correlated these locations with environmental

and biodiversity variables (Allen et al., 2017) (Jones et al., 2008). We therefore hypothesize that

if decreased dispersal is related to zoonotic emergent disease, then adding a dispersal variable

should improve the prediction of EIDs. More broadly, we hypothesize that information from the

ecological past is relevant to predictions of future potential outbreaks.

The prediction of the spatial distribution of 145 zoonotic EID outbreaks was significantly

improved by including the global loss of microbial dispersal following the extinctions (adding the

change in fecal diffusivity (ΔFD) improved pseudo r^2 by 8% (from 0.185 (null model) to 0.201

(model *FD*) while reducing AIC by ~3% - Table 2). We started with 16 variables described in

Table S1, including our six maps from Figure 1, but the model that best predicted EIDs included

ΔFD plus reporting bias (estimated as log (Journal of Infectious Disease articles (*JID*)); richer

countries with more scientists will find more EIDs), human population density and rainfall. Both

change in ecotoparisite dispersal (ΔMHR – *Equation 2*) and change in fecal diffusivity *(ΔFD-*

Equation 7) were highly significant but had collinearity issues (VIF>10) and we chose to include

just *ΔFD* versus ΔMHR because it reduced AIC by a greater amount (Table 2 – model HR). Jones

et al. 2008 found current species richness to be a significant explanatory variable, and we also

found this on its own (Table 2). However, adding $SR_{current}$ to our best model increases AIC (Table

2 – model SR) and we did not include it in our final model. We tested whether transmission mode

(vector-borne versus non-vector-borne transmission) impacted our results and found adding either

ΔFD and ΔMHR improved model performance (reduced AIC) in both models predicting vector-

borne and non-vector-borne EIDs, (SI Appendix, Table S3 and S4). In a sensitivity study (SI

Appendix, Table S5 and S6) we tested the resilience of our results and found that our model results

remained significant under a wide range of scenarios. For instance, moving the EID location

randomly by one pixel to estimate the great uncertainty in knowing the exact EID emergence

coordinates, did not greatly change our results.

We then create a new EID prediction map based on model FD (Table 2 and Figure 4 top)

accounting for sampling bias by removing the log(*JID*) parameter. There are many similarities of

this map to the original Jones et al. (2008) map with large hotspots in regions of large human

population densities. However, our map shows a more pronounced peak in southern South

America and the north-eastern North America due to the impact of the ΔFD variable. We also

estimate how the extinctions impacted EID occurrence (Figure 4 bottom) by subtracting ΔFD

from our best EID prediction map (Figure 4 top) since if the extinctions had not happened, there

would be no change in *FD* and removing this variable creates an EID prediction map with no

extinctions. Without extinctions, global predicted EIDs are reduced by 24-42% (under low

(0.0019) and high (0.0033) ΔFD scenarios since the slope of $\Delta FD = 0.0026 \pm 0.0007$, Table 2 –

model FD). If we conservatively estimate that our model only captures about a fifth of the EID

variance (total model r^2 is highly dependent on the number of control points chosen though), then

the extinctions increased EID occurrence by 5-8% (or 7-12 of the 145 total EIDs). We see the

most profound differences in southern South America, eastern USA and central Eurasia where

there were the most drastic decreases in body size. Using ΔMHR (Table 2 – model HR) in place

of ΔFD gives similar results and global EIDs are reduced by 20-38% (under low (0.0012) and

high (0.0022) ΔMHR scenarios since the slope $= 0.0017+0.0005$, Table 2 – model HR).

Discussion

The Late Pleistocene megafauna extinctions reduced dispersal of generalist fecal microbes and ectoparasites to ~15% of dispersal prior to the extinctions (Table 1). In certain regions such as southern South America, pathogens need >70 times as long to interact over the same distance today compared to a non-extinction world (Figure 1). A simple mechanistic model showed an order of magnitude reduction in dispersal could increase maximum mutations 4-fold (2-4 fold) (Figure 2 and SI Appendix, Table S7). We hypothesize that this pathogen speciation could have impacted EID formation through a mechanism similar to the theory of island biogeography (Reperant, 2010)(Heaney, 2000) where pathogen speciation and hence endemism increase with isolation as global dispersal distances decrease (Figure 3). In addition, this increased time in pathogen flow may impact EID formation by increasing the immune-naivety of host species because they will no longer regularly interact with as many pathogens. Our theory is supported because the change in fecal diffusivity significantly improves the prediction of EID formation (Table 2 and Figure 4).

The correlation of decreased past dispersal with EID formation suggests that a fraction (8%) of the variance of EID formation can be explained by an event 10-15000 years ago. In our IBM (Figure 2), total unique mutations saturated at ~2,000 only after 10,000 model years suggesting such a timeframe is reasonable for microbial evolution (supplementary methods). However, here we are suggesting that the loss of dispersal is correlated with modern disease emergence (we suggest they are also related to older diseases, such as the origin of smallpox, but we do not know the specific time or location of the emergence of these diseases into a human population). Could something that happened >10,000 ybp affect diseases since 1940? Our statistical model indicates that high human population densities are necessary for EID formation

(Table 2). However, high human and domestic animal densities had not arrived until the late

Holocene in much of the world and closer to the timeframe of the EID database. Therefore, the

early Holocene may have been a time where reduced dispersal enabled microbial speciation but

these new strains of microbes did not cause EID until other elements necessary, such as high

human and domestic animal population densities, were also present.

Part of the temporal discrepancy may also be due to pathogens first jumping to

domesticated animals. Many domestic animals are artiodactyls similar to many of the extinct

megafauna, and ungulates are the dominant hosts of zoonotic diseases (Han, Kramer, & Drake,

2016) , making the evolutionary jump for pathogens from the extinct megafauna to domestic

animals easier (the phylogenetic hypothesis). Domestic animals have taken over some of the

functional roles of the now extinct megafauna, including their biomass (Barnosky, 2008) , and it

is conceivable that they also eventually hosted their pathogens, which later spread to people

through close contact. Inbreeding of domestic animals may have reduced their natural immune

defences towards parasites and pathogens (Smallbone et al., 2016). Domestic animals could also

act as amplifier hosts (e.g. horses and Hendra virus in Australia in the 90s). Parasites that once

attacked the now extinct megafauna, may have preferred domestic animals with limited defences

to wild animals with evolved defence mechanisms. For instance, the common vampire bat

(Desmodus rotundus) that carries several blood transmitted diseases (including rabies), feeds on

domestic animals and humans, especially where populations of large animals are depleted

(Bobrowiec , 2015) , and wild fauna, for example, brocket deer *(Mazama* spp*.)* have evolved

vigorous avoidance behavior towards vampire bats (Galetti, et al., 2016). Further empirical

support of this theory could come from correlating *domestic* animal EIDs to loss of past

dispersal.

Are our correlations between animal extinctions and EID formation due to ecological

fallacy, where correlated data are related yet not mechanistic? For example, the areas that lost the

most animal species may have also have had significant environmental changes that actually led

to the EID formation. However, megafauna are keystone animals and ecosystem engineers and

besides reducing pathogen dispersal, the loss of the megafauna ecosystem engineers would lead

to drastic continental changes in ecosystem structure (Doughty et al 2016). It has been shown

that recent losses of African megafauna have increased rodent-borne disease, partially because

changed landscape structure following the removal of ecosystem engineers as species like

elephants create better habitat for rodent and pathogen populations (Young et al 2014). Another

study found total tick abundance (not species richness) increased in East Africa by 170% when

herbivores >1000 kg were excluded, and by 360% with all large wildlife excluded (Titcomb *et al*

2017). Accordingly, such changes may impact EID formation.

The number of parasite species colonizing mammals scales with body size (Esser et al.,

2016) and mass scaling relationships suggest that the largest extinct megafauna species would have

hosted a wide diversity of tick species(Esser et al., 2016)(Galetti et al 2018). It is uncertain whether

ticks would have gone extinct following the megafauna extinctions, or switched hosts (e.g., there

are 63 endangered tick species associated with threatened mammals (Mihalca, Gherman, & Cozma,

2011)). This is potentially the major source of uncertainty in our hypothesis – whether past

pathogens went extinct following the loss of their host or evolved to new hosts. In the future, this

could potentially be tested through metagenomics to understand the range of pathogens present in

deposits of extinct mammal dung.

If our theory finds further empirical support, then could we manage our ecosystems in the

future to reduce infectious disease outbreaks? Would increasing dispersal capacity (either with

more large animals or improved dispersal corridors) reduce EID occurrence? If increased species

immune-naiveté drove increased EID occurrence, then increasing the dispersal capacity of our

ecosystems would help through, for example, the conversion of fenced pasture monocultures to

free-range pastoralism (Poschlod & Bonn 1998). However, if the extinctions influenced pathogen

evolution, this evolution has already occurred and increasing dispersal may not help (although it

could reverse the trend).

Biodiversity has been shown to provide many ecosystem services, including disease

regulation (Cunningham, Daszak, & Wood, 2017) . Here, we suggest that past animal size and

dispersal capacity should also be considered in understanding disease emergence. Large animals

are (and always have been) most vulnerable to anthropogenic extinction pressure (Dirzo et al.,

2014) , and our research suggests an important step in disease regulation would be to stop current

large-animal extirpations.

Acknowledgements -

References

Allen, T., Murray, K. A., Zambrana-Torrelio, C., Morse, S. S., Rondinini, C., Di Marco, M., . . .

Daszak, P. (2017). Global hotspots and correlates of emerging zoonotic diseases. *Nature*

Communications, 8(1), 1124. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00923-8

Andrew P. Dobson, & E. Robin Carper. (1996). Infectious diseases and human population

history. *BioScience, 46*(2), 115-126. doi:10.2307/1312814

Barnosky, A. D. (2008). Colloquium paper: Megafauna biomass tradeoff as a driver of

quaternary and future extinctions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

United States of America, 105 Suppl 1, 11543-11548. doi:10.1073/pnas.0801918105 [doi]

Bivand, R., Hauke, J., & Kossowski, T. (2013). **Computing the jacobian in gaussian spatial**

autoregressive models: An illustrated comparison of available methods. *Geographical*

Analysis, 45(2), 150–179. doi:10.1111/gean.12008

BOBROWIEC P. E. D. (2015). Prey preference of the common vampire bat (desmodus rotundus,

chiroptera) using molecular analysis. . *Journal of Mammalogy, 96*(857), 54-63.

Carbone C, Cowlishaw G, Isaac NJB, Rowcliffe JM (2005) How far do animals go?
Determinants of day range in mammals. Am Nat 165: 290–297.

Cunningham, A. A., Daszak, P., & Wood, J. L. N. (2017). One health, emerging infectious

diseases and wildlife: Two decades of progress? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

Society of London.Series B, Biological Sciences, 372(1725), 10.1098/rstb.2016.0167.

doi:20160167 [pii]

Dirzo, R., Young, H. S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N. J., & Collen, B. (2014). Defaunation

in the anthropocene. *Science (New York, N.Y.), 345*(6195), 401-406.

doi:10.1126/science.1251817 [doi]

Dormann, C. F., McPherson, J. M., Araújo, M. J., & et al. (2007). **Methods to account for**

spatial autocorrelation in the analysis of species distributional data: A review.

Ecography, 30(5) doi:10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.05171.x

Doughty, C. E., Roman, J., Faurby, S., Wolf, A., Haque, A., Bakker, E. S., . . . Svenning, J.

(2016). Global nutrient transport in a world of giants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 113*(4), 868.

Retrieved from http://www.pnas.org/content/113/4/868.abstract

Doughty CE, Faurby S & Svenning JC (2016) The impact of the megafauna extinctions on

savanna woody cover in south america. *Ecography* 38

Esser, H. J., Foley, J. E., Bongers, F., Herre, E. A., Miller, M. J., Prins, H. H., & Jansen, P. A.

(2016). Host body size and the diversity of tick assemblages on neotropical vertebrates.

International Journal for Parasitology.Parasites and Wildlife, 5(3), 295-304.

doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.10.001 [doi]

Esser, H. J., Foley, J. E., Bongers, F., Herre, E. A., Miller, M. J., Prins, H. H. T., & Jansen, P. A.

(2016). Host body size and the diversity of tick assemblages on neotropical vertebrates.

International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 5(3), 295-304.

doi://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.10.001

Faurby, S., & Svenning, J. C. (2015a). Historic and prehistoric human-driven extinctions have

reshaped global mammal diversity patterns. *Divers. Distrib, 21*(10) doi:10.1111/ddi.12369

Faurby, S., & Svenning, J. C. (2015b). A species-level phylogeny of all extant and late

quaternary extinct mammals using a novel heuristic-hierarchical bayesian approach.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 84, 14-26. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2014.11.001 [doi]

Faurby, S., & Svenning, J. C. (2016). Resurrection of the island rule: Human-driven extinctions

have obscured a basic evolutionary pattern. *The American Naturalist, 187*(6), 812-820.

doi:10.1086/686268 [doi]

Galetti, M., Pedrosa, F., Keuroghlian, A., & Sazima, I. (2016). Liquid lunch– vampire bats feed

on invasive feral pigs and other ungulates. . *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 14*,

505.

Galetti, M., Moleón, M., Jordano, P., Pires, M. M., Guimarães, P. R., Pape, T., . . . Svenning, J.

(2018). Ecological and evolutionary legacy of megafauna extinctions. *Biological Reviews,*

93(2), 845-862. doi:10.1111/brv.12374

Han, B. A., Kramer, A. M., & Drake, J. M. (2016). Global patterns of zoonotic disease in

mammals. *Trends in Parasitology, 32*(7), 565-577. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2016.04.007 [doi]

Heaney, L. R. (2000). Dynamic disequilibrium: A long-term, large-scale perspective on the

equilibrium model of island biogeography. *Global Ecology and Biogeography, 9*, 59-74.

Hoppe, K. A., Koch, P. L., Carlson, R. W., & Webb, S. D. (1999). **Tracking mammoths and**

mastodons: Reconstruction of migratory behavior using strontium isotope ratios.

Geology, 27(5), 439-442.

Jones, K. E., Patel, N. G., Levy, M. A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J. L., & Daszak, P.

(2008). Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature, 451*(7181), 990-993.

doi:10.1038/nature06536 [doi]

Keesing, F., Belden, L. K., Daszak, P., Dobson, A., Harvell, C. D., Holt, R. D., . . . Ostfeld, R. S.

(2010). Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of infectious diseases.

Nature, 468(7324), 647-652. doi:10.1038/nature09575 [doi]

Kelt, D.A. and Van Vuren, D.H., (2001) The Ecology and Macroecology of Mammalian Home

Range Area vol. 157, no. 6 the american naturalist

Malhi, Y., Doughty, C. E., Galetti, M., Smith, F. A., Svenning, J. C., & Terborgh, J. W. (2016).

Megafauna and ecosystem function from the pleistocene to the anthropocene. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113(4), 838-846.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1502540113 [doi]

McConnell, S. M., & Zavanda, M. S. (2013). **The occurrence of an abdominal fauna in an**

articulated tapir (*tapirus polkensis***) from the late miocene gray fossil site, northeastern**

tennessee. *Integrative Zoology, 8* doi:10.1111/j.1749-4877.2012.00320.x

Mihalca, A. D., Gherman, C. M., & Cozma, V. (2011). Coendangered hard-ticks: Threatened or

threatening? *Parasites & Vectors, 4*, 71. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-4-71 [doi]

Naimi, B., Hamm, N. A. S., Groen, T. A., Skidmore, A. K., & Toxopeus, A. G. (2014). Where is

positional uncertainty a problem for species distribution modelling? *Ecography, 37*(2), 191-

203.

Poschlod P & Bonn S (1998) Changing dispersal processes in the central European landscape

since the last ice age: An explanation for the actual decrease of plant species richness in

different habitats?. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 47: 27-44

Reperant, L. A. (2010). Applying the theory of island biogeography to emerging pathogens:

Toward predicting the sources of future emerging zoonotic and vector-borne diseases.

Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases (Larchmont, N.Y.), 10(2), 105-110.

doi:10.1089/vbz.2008.0208 [doi]

Sandom, C., Faurby, S., Sandel, B., & Svenning, J. C. (2014). Global late quaternary megafauna

extinctions linked to humans, not climate change. *Proceedings.Biological Sciences,*

281(1787), 10.1098/rspb.2013.3254. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.3254 [doi]

Smallbone, W., van Oosterhout, C., & Cable, J. (2016). The effects of inbreeding on disease

susceptibility: Gyrodactylus turnbulli infection of guppies, poecilia reticulata. *Experimental*

Parasitology, 167, 32-37. doi:10.1016/j.exppara.2016.04.018 [doi]

Titcomb G*, et al* (2017) Interacting effects of wildlife loss and climate on ticks and tick-borne

disease . *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284(1862)

Tucker, M. A., Böhning-Gaese, K., Fagan, W. F., Fryxell, J. M., Van Moorter, B., Alberts, S. C.,

. . . Mueller, T. (2018). Moving in the anthropocene: Global reductions in terrestrial

mammalian movements. *Science, 359*(6374), 466.

Wolf, A., Doughty, C. E., & Malhi, Y. (2013). Lateral diffusion of nutrients by mammalian

herbivores in terrestrial ecosystems. *PloS One, 8*(8), e71352.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071352 [doi]

Wolfe, N. D., Dunavan, C. P., & Diamond, J. (2007). Origins of major human infectious

diseases. *Nature, 447*, 279. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05775

Wood, C. L., McInturff, A., Young, H. S., Kim, D., & Lafferty, K. D. (2017). Human infectious
disease burdens decrease with urbanization but not with biodiversity. *Philos Trans R Soc*
Lond B Biol Sci, 372(1722)

Young HS, *et al* (2014) Declines in large wildlife increase landscape-level prevalence of rodent-

borne disease in Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111(19): 7036-7041.

518
519

Table 1 – The number of animal species that went extinct between the Late Pleistocene and early Holocene, their mean weight, average home range (km^2) and fecal transmission (km^2/day) for each continent and the globe calculated for modern species and for modern plus extinct species. Also shown is the change (past/current) between these periods calculated for each pixel at the global scale. % dispersal lost is the lost continental dispersal divided by total lost global dispersal (weighted by area and excluding Antarctica). Bold numbers represent dispersal lost ~10-15 kybp and the range for Eurasia represents North to South differences and uncertainty (33-50%) in Eurasian extinctions and not bold represents other parts of the world.

	North America	South America	Australia	Eurasia	Africa	Global averages
# species extinct	65	64	45	9	13	39.2
Mean weight of extinct animals (kg)	846	1,156	188	2,430	970	1118
Modern + extinct mean home range (km^2)	7.1	10.7	1.2	7.3	10.3	7.8
Modern mean home range (km^2)	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5	4.3	1.1
Past/ current	16.2	31.5	5.5	13.6	2.3	7
Modern + extinct fecal transmission (km^2/day)	3	4.5	0.5	3.1	4.4	3.3
Modern fecal transmission (km^2/day)	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.8	0.5
Past/ current	13.6	25.3	4.7	11.8	2.3	6.6
% dispersal lost, bold = ~10-15 kybp	**27**	**30**	2	**13-19**/ 19-25	3	**70-76** /23-30

Table 2 – A SAR^{err} analysis to predict the presence of 145 EIDs compared with ~600 randomly generated points using 16 variables described in Table S1. Using AIC, r^2 and VIF we show the four best models with the predictors of *JID* -journal of infectious disease articles, human population density, $SR_{current}$ current species richness, *Δ MHR* – change in mean home range (Figure 1c and eq 2), *ΔFD* – change in fecal diffusivity (Figure 1f and eq 7), and Rain – average rainfall. In column one, we show the variables of interest, in column two, we show the individual model coefficient, r^2 and significance using the Bonferroni correction to determine significance or *0.05/16= 0.003125 = * (*=P<0.003125, **= P<06e-4, ***= P<06e-5*). For the rest of the models, we use standard significance (**=P<0.05*).

Variable	Individual	Model null - pseudo r^2 = 0.185, AIC=460	**Model FD - pseudo r^2 = 0.201, AIC=448**	Model HR - pseudo r^2 = 0.197, AIC=452	Model SR - pseudo r^2 = 0.201, AIC=450
(Intercept)		-0.08 ± 0.026 ***	-0.12 ± 0.027 ***	-0.11 ± 0.027 ***	-0.11 ± 0.05
Log(*JID*)	r^2 = 0.046 , 0.065 ***	0.072 ± 0.01 ***	0.064 ± 0.01 ***	0.065 ± 0.01 ***	0.063 ± 0.01 ***
Pop density	r^2 = 0.12 , 0.14 ***	0.13 ± 0.014 ***	0.13 ± 0.014 ***	0.13 ± 0.014 ***	0.13 ± 0.014 ***
ΔFD (eq7)	r^2 = 0.042 , 0.004 ***		0.0026 ± 0.0007 ***		0.0026 ± 0.0007 ***
ΔMHR (eq2)	r^2 = 0.035 , 0.003 ***			0.0017 ± 0.0005 ***	
$SR_{current}$	r^2 = 0.012 , 0.001 *				8e-6 ± 5e-4
Rain	r^2 = 0.021 , 0.0009 **	0.0004 ± 0.0002 *	0.0004 ± 0.0002 *	0.0004 ± 0.0002 *	0.0004 ± 0.003

Figures

Figure 1 – Maps of (left) mean ectoparasite dispersal (km^2) (from equation 2) and (right) fecal diffusivity (km^2/day) (from equation 7) for the world prior the megafauna extinctions (top), with current animals (middle), and prior divided by current (bottom).

Figure 2 - The maximum aggregated genetic changes per grid (00 by 500) per time step for three
simulations averaged for the 9 by 9 scenario (blue – megafauna world represented by the
Stegomastodon), and the 3 by 3 scenario (red – current world represented by the llama).   A
sensitivity study for our parameters is show in Figure S7.

Figure 3 – (A) Hypothetical example of a South American late Pleistocene animal assemblage

and their home ranges. The animals host tick-borne and fecal pathogens with homogenous colors

because the large home range of the megafauna keeps them interacting. Numbers indicates the

mean global dispersal distance (Table 1) for ectoparasites and fecal pathogens. (B) Hypothetical

early to middle Holocene animal assemblage without the extinct megafauna, thus removing the

regular interaction between tick and fecal pathogens increasing immuno-naivety for all species.

Colors of tick-borne and fecal pathogens begin to diverge representing a hypothetical speciation

because without the now extinct megafauna there is less interaction between pathogens. (C)

Hypothetical late Holocene animal assemblage with humans and their domestic animals picking

up the now diverged (many colored) pathogens which could cause EIDs in people and domestic

animals. This panel has no numbers because we did not calculate Anthropocene pathogen

dispersal estimates.

Current EID map

low   Relative risk of an EID event   high

Impact of extinctions

low   Possible increased risk due to extinctions   high

Figure 4 –(top) A map of EID likely occurrence based on coefficients from Table 2 – model FD, but removing reporting bias (by excluding the variable log(JID)). (bottom) The current EID occurrence map (top) minus a map produced where the megafauna never went extinct (the variable Δ FD is zero). Therefore, this is a map showing where EID occurrence has increased in probability

563   due to the megafauna extinctions. In other words, it shows "cost of extinctions" for humanity in

564   terms of increased EID likelihood.