The Arabidopsis "retrovirome" and its regulation by epigenetically activated small RNA

Seung Cho Lee[1,3], Evan Ernst[1,3], Benjamin Berube[2], Filipe Borges[1], Andrea Schorn[2], Jean-Sebastien Parent[1], Paul Ledon[2], Robert A. Martienssen[1,2*]

[1] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA

[2] Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Rd, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA

[3] These authors contributed equally

[*] Correspondence: martiens@cshl.edu

Running title: The Arabidopsis retrovirome and its regulation

Abstract

In Arabidopsis, LTR-retrotransposons are activated by mutations in the chromatin remodeler DECREASE in DNA METHYLATION 1 (DDM1), giving rise to 21-22nt epigenetically activated siRNAs (easiRNAs). We purified virus-like-particles (VLPs) from *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* mutants in which genomic RNA is reverse transcribed into complementary DNA. Next generation short-read and long-read sequencing of VLP DNA (VLP DNA-seq) revealed a comprehensive catalog of active LTR-retrotransposons without the need for mapping transposition, and independent of genomic copy number. Linear replication intermediates of *ATCOPIA93*/*EVADE* revealed multiple central polypurine tracts (cPPT), a feature shared with HIV where cPPT promote nuclear localization. For *ATCOPIA52*, cPPT intermediates were not observed, but abundant circular DNA indicated transposon "suicide" by auto-integration within the VLP. easiRNA targeted *ATCOPIA93*/*EVADE* genomic RNA, polysome association of *GYPSY* (*ATHILA*) subgenomic RNA, and transcription via histone H3 lysine-9 dimethylation. VLP DNA-seq provides a comprehensive landscape of LTR-retrotransposons, and their control at transcriptional, post-transcriptional and reverse transcriptional levels.

Introduction

Long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons are a major component of the large genomes of most animal and plant species (Huang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). However, the relative activity of any individual element can only be assessed using transposition assays, or by comparing insertion sites among individuals within a population. The mouse genome, for example, contains more than one million endogenous retroviruses, of which only a handful are autonomous elements. We have developed an alternative strategy for retrotransposon discovery, using next generation sequencing of replication intermediates from viral-like particles (VLP). By sequencing intermediates from different genetic backgrounds, insights can be gained into mechanisms of genetic and epigenetic regulation.

VLPs have been isolated in yeast and Drosophila (Bachmann et al., 2004; Eichinger and Boeke, 1988; Kenna et al., 1998) as well as in plants (Bachmair et al., 2004; Jaaskelainen et al., 1999). Ty1/Copia elements in plants have a single open reading frame that encodes both the GAG protein, which is the capsid protein responsible for VLP formation, and the reverse transcriptase, RNase H, and integrase polyprotein (POL) which are co-assembled with their genomic RNA (gRNA) into VLPs (Finnegan, 2012; Pachulska-Wieczorek et al., 2016; Peterson-Burch and Voytas, 2002; Sabot and Schulman, 2006). Ty3/gypsy elements also have a single GAG-POL ORF, although the POL proteins are in a different order. In yeast, Ty1 uses a frameshift between GAG and POL to enhance translation of GAG. In both Drosophila and plants, the Ty1/copia GAG protein is translated from an abundant, alternatively spliced subgenomic RNA (Chang et al., 2013; Yoshioka et al., 1990). In Arabidopsis Ty1/copia elements, the subgenomic *GAG* RNA is more efficiently translated than unspliced GAG-POL transcripts, and blocking splicing leads to significant reduction of GAG protein translation (Oberlin et al., 2017). After VLP formation in the cytoplasm, LTR retrotransposons proliferate through tRNA-primed reverse transcription of gRNA, followed by nuclear import of cDNA and integration into new loci (Schorn and Martienssen, 2018) (Supplemental Fig. S1). In yeast and Arabidopsis, tRNA-iMet initiates reverse transcription of the LTR from the primer binding site (PBS) to the 5' end of the R region making minus-strand strong-stop DNA (Griffiths et al., 2018; Mules et al., 1998; Schorn and Martienssen, 2018). RNase H degrades the template RNA upstream of the PBS, and minus-strand strong-stop DNA is transferred to the 3' LTR to prime minus strand cDNA synthesis toward the PBS (Supplemental Fig. S1). During the extension of minus-strand cDNA synthesis, the template RNA is degraded except for an RNase H-resistant polypurine tract (PPT) near the 3' LTR (Wilhelm et al., 2001). This PPT RNA fragment primes plus-strand strong-stop DNA synthesis up to U5 and the PBS sequence from the translocated minus strand (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Then, the plus-strand cDNA is transferred to the 5' end to prime full length double-stranded DNA. Additional central PPT (cPPT) can also initiate plus-strand synthesis which is displaced by the 3' end of plus-strand DNA causing DNA flaps to form during Ty1 replication (Garfinkel et al.,

2006). cPPT and DNA flaps have been found in the highly active lentivirus HIV-1 where they

play roles in nuclear import and in preventing mutagenesis by APOBEC (Hu et al., 2010;

VandenDriessche et al., 2002; Wurtzer et al., 2006; Zennou et al., 2000).

Inhibition of retrotransposons by small RNA has been reported in metazoans and plants, as well

as in fission yeast, and occurs at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. In Drosophila,

piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA) trigger transcriptional silencing of transposons in the germline

(Czech et al., 2018) resembling fission yeast in this respect (Volpe et al., 2002). By contrast,

Ago2 and *Dcr2* lie in the post-transcriptional pathway, and mutations result in increased somatic

retrotransposition (Xie et al., 2013). In mammalian embryos, 3' tRNA fragments (3'-tRF) control

transposition of LTR retrotransposons both post-transcriptionally and by direct inhibition of

reverse transcription (Schorn et al., 2017). In Arabidopsis, transcriptional activation of some LTR

retrotransposons by stress, or by loss of histone methylation, also requires loss of 24nt small RNA

and RDR2/RNA polymerase IV (Ito et al., 2011; Mirouze et al., 2009). By contrast, in *ddm1*

mutants and wild-type pollen, most transposons are transcriptionally activated and 24nt siRNA

are partly replaced by 21-22nt easiRNA (Slotkin et al., 2009). In *ddm1* mutants, easiRNA are

generated by RDR6 (Creasey et al., 2014; Nuthikattu et al., 2013) from the non-functional

ATHILA2 and *ATHILA6* Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons but also from the functional, TY1/copia

element *EVADE*, and are triggered by diverse miRNA. In wild-type, retroelements generate

easiRNA only in pollen, where they are targeted at the PBS by miR845, and biogenesis occurs via

a non-canonical pathway (Borges et al., 2018).

In order to develop a comprehensive catalog of functional retrotransposons in Arabidopsis, we

performed VLP DNA sequencing from *ddm1* mutants, as well as genome-wide polysomal RNA

(translatome) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing. VLP sequencing recovered

all known active retrotransposons in Arabidopsis, without the need for genome sequencing of

transposition events. Replication intermediates revealed profound differences between elements

with multiple cPPT and high integration rates, and elements with no cPPT which preferentially

integrated into themselves ("suicidal" auto-integration within the VLP). We examined the roles of

easiRNA in retrotransposon control by investigating *ddm1rdr6* double mutants (Creasey et al.,

2014; Lippman et al., 2004; Vongs et al., 1993). We found that some retrotransposons are

regulated post-transcriptionally by RNA interference, while others are regulated at the

transcriptional level by histone H3 lysine-9 methylation guided by small RNA. We conclude that

easiRNA inhibits retrotransposition at multiple levels in the replication cycle and identify features

of active retrotransposons that promote activity and escape from silencing.

Results

Characterization of functional LTR retrotransposons by VLP DNA sequencing

Functional LTR retrotransposons form VLPs assembled from GAG proteins (Sabot and

Schulman, 2006) (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Reverse transcription occurs inside the VLPs, and

cDNA products are subsequently imported into the nucleus bound to the integrase protein. After

integration into new genomic loci these insertions transcribe additional gRNA. We purified VLPs

after treatment with DNase I (Methods), and sequenced cDNA products from wild-type, *ddm1,*

and *ddm1rdr6* using both short read (Illumina) and long read (Oxford Nanopore Technologies)

sequencing platforms (Supplemental Fig. S2). *EVADE* is one of two full length elements of the

ATCOPIA93 family in *A. thaliana* Col-0, and when it is transcriptionally activated, it is the most

successful retroelement by far in terms of copy number increases, although transposition of

ATGP3, *ATCOPIA13*, *ATCOPIA21, ATCOPIA31*, *ATCOPIA51*, *ATCOPIA63*, *ATGP2N*, and

ATRE1 have also been detected under non-stressed conditions (Ito et al., 2011; Quadrana et al.,

2019; Tsukahara et al., 2009). Full length VLP DNA from all of these elements was dramatically

enriched in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* mutants consistent with active reverse transcription (Fig. 1;

Supplemental Figs. S2,S3; Supplemental Table S1). VLP DNA from some *ATCOPIA* families

were more enriched in *ddm1* than *ddm1rdr6*, likely due to transcriptional down-regulation in

ddm1rdr6 (Creasey et al., 2014). VLP DNA from *ATHILA* families were enriched in *ddm1rdr6*,

but comprised small fragments, mostly from the LTR likely reflecting abortive retrotransposition

intermediates from these non-functional elements (Supplemental Fig. S3A) (Marco and Marin,

2008). By contrast, long read coverage of *EVADE* and other active *COPIA* elements spanned the

entire element, and was increased in *ddm1rdr6* (Fig. 1B; Supplemental S2B; Supplemental Table

S1). Furthermore, linear extrachromosomal DNA (ecDNA) was dramatically increased in

ddm1rdr6 by Southern blot (Fig. 2A).

Figure 1. VLP DNA-seq data of LTR retrotransposons in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*. (A) Differential

analysis of paired-end sequencing of VLP DNA using Illumina short read platform. The statistical

significance of three comparisons of wild-type (WT), *ddm1*, and *ddm1rdr6* is shown with |log$_2$ (fold

change)| ≥ 2 and FDR threshold at 5%. Each point corresponds to an annotated transposable element.

Multiple *ATHILA* families were combined and labeled as '*ATHILA*'. (B) Coverage of short and long read

VLP DNA-seq at representative LTR retrotransposon loci (*EVADE*, AT5TE20395; *ATGP3*, AT1TE45315;

ATCOPIA51, AT1TE36035; *ATCOPIA52*, AT3TE76225) were plotted for *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*. Mean read

counts per million mapped reads and 95% confidence intervals of biological replicates are shown for WT

(yellow, n=3), *ddm1* (blue, n=2), and *ddm1rdr6* (orange, n=3) short read libraries. VLP DNA replicate

samples were pooled for each genotype and sequenced in aggregate by Oxford Nanopore long read

sequencing. In the LTR retrotransposon annotation, abbreviations for conserved protein domains within the

GAG-POL ORF are indicated as GAG, AP (amino peptidase), INT (integrase), RT (reverse transcriptase),

and RH (RNase H). Blue and red lines indicate primer binding sites (PBS) and polypurine tracts (PPT). 21-

22nt small RNA (sRNA) data were obtained from a previous study (Creasey et al., 2014). Target positions

of miRNAs are indicated as arrows (see Supplemental Table S4 for details). Central PPT (cPPT) positions

are indicated as dashed lines. Elevated coverage at the edges of strong-stop intermediate and flap DNA is

shown as asterisks above *ddm1* short read data.

cDNA can exist in both linear and circular forms, and circular forms were previously reported for

EVADE and other members of the *ATCOPIA93* family (Lanciano et al., 2017; Reinders et al.,

2013). We observed outward-facing paired-end read alignments from Illumina VLP-seq samples

mapping to *ATCOPIA51*, *ATCOPIA52*, *EVADE*, and *ATGP3*, consistent with junction-crossing

reads from circular templates (Fig. 2B). Outward-facing pairs appeared in the *ddm1* and

ddm1rdr6 samples, but not in WT, and were present in very low numbers after read de-

duplication for most of the elements. Exceptionally, non-concordant read pairs were highly

abundant in *ATCOPIA52*. Circular ecDNA formation was confirmed by inverse PCR whose

products corresponded to one-LTR in size (Fig. 2C,D), and *ATCOPIA52* was by far the most

abundant. Double stranded one-LTR circular products are thought to be generated by integrase-

mediated autointegration in VLP, or as gapped intermediates in cDNA synthesis (Garfinkel et al.,

2006; Munir et al., 2013; Sloan and Wainberg, 2011). In contrast, two-LTR (tandem) circular

DNA with junction nucleotides is formed in the nucleus by non-homologous end joining and

enhanced when integrase is non-functional (Garfinkel et al., 2006; Sloan and Wainberg, 2011).

The inverse PCR products of *ATCOPIA52* were one-LTR in size, suggesting the circular DNA

was either a gapped double stranded circular intermediate, or else a double stranded product of

autointegration into same strands or opposite strands (Supplemental Fig. S1), which result in

deletion circles, or inversion circles, respectively (Garfinkel et al., 2006; Munir et al., 2013; Sloan

and Wainberg, 2011). Both inversion and deletion circles were detected in large numbers based

on outward facing reverse-forward and forward-forward paired end reads, respectively, indicating

auto-integration was the major source of these circles (Fig. 2B).

Figure 2. Extrachromosomal DNA of LTR retrotransposons in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*. (A) Southern

blotting using an *EVADE* probe was performed with undigested genomic DNA of F1 and F2 plants from

the same parental lines. Integrated DNA copies (IC) and extrachromosomal DNA copies (EC) are indicated.

Ethidium Bromide (EtBr) staining was used for loading control. (B) Discordant short read alignments from

ATCOPIA52 (AT3TE76225) and *EVADE* in *ddm1*. Read pair orientations (forward or reverse for the first

and second mate): RR and FF reads align in the same direction to the reference, indicating inversions, while

RF reads face outward, indicating circular templates. LTR regions are indicated as blue bars. (C) Inverse

PCR with genomic DNA to detect circular extrachromosomal DNA from *ATCOPIA51*, *ATCOPIA52*,

EVADE, and *ATGP3* in *ddm1* plants. (D) Inverse PCR with VLP DNA and reverse-forward (RF) outward

reading primers for *ATCOPIA52* and *EVADE*. (C-D) PCR primers are listed in Supplemental Table S6.

In yeast, auto-integration occurs near the central PPT (cPPT) taking advantage of a DNA flap structure (Garfinkel et al., 2006). There was no strong indication of a DNA flap based on polypurine sequences and read alignment in *ATCOPIA52*. We mapped individual long reads to investigate the integration sites (Fig. 3; Supplemental Figs. S1C, S3B). Deletion circles are predicted to have either the 5' or the 3' LTR, as well as a deleted portion of the full length cDNA, up to the integration site, while inversion circles have an inverted portion separating the two LTR (Garfinkel et al., 2006). Strikingly, many of the *ATCOPIA52* ONT reads fell into these categories, comprising either the 5' or the 3' LTR contiguous with a truncated or inverted portion of the retrotransposon (Supplemental Fig. S1C). These structural variants indicated the presence of circularly permuted reads, which were presumably arbitrarily sheared during library preparation. Among all the *COPIA* and *GYPSY* elements examined, only *ATCOPIA52* gave rise to large numbers of these structural variants. The inversions spanned diverse regions of the element, consistent with inversion circles. The deleted portions terminated at inferred autointegration sites, which were distributed throughout the length of the element, consistent with the lack of a cPPT flap in *ATCOPIA52*. One possibility is that nuclear import of cDNA is not efficient for *ATCOPIA52,* leading to elevated autointegration inside the VLP. This could be due to mutations in nuclear localization (Kenna et al., 1998), or else to reduced translation of the integrase gene (see below), although read distributions were comparable for *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*, so easiRNA likely did not play a major role.

In sharp contrast, in *EVADE* we observed discontinuous regions of read alignments flanked by multiple cPPT, defined as 15-19 nt polypurine sequences (Figs. 1B,3; Supplemental Fig. S3B). These regions represent active replication intermediates, generated by both minus strand and plus strand strong stop DNA, as well as extension products that terminate at cPPT and DNA flaps. The numbers of these intermediates, as well as their abundance, were significantly elevated in long-read sequencing data from *ddm1rdr6* double mutants (Figs. 1B,3; Supplemental Fig. S3B). *ATGP3* also had elevated levels of strong stop intermediates, but few if any cPPT and no circular

reads.

Figure 3. Alignments of Oxford Nanopore long reads from *ddm1* VLP DNA. The central polypurine
tract (cPPT), PBS, and PPT positions are indicated as dashed lines relative to full and LTR annotation of
ATCOPIA52 (AT3TE76225), *EVADE* (AT5TE20395), and *ATGP3* (AT1TE45315). Gaps in individual
reads are indicated with black horizontal lines, and sequence mismatches are shown as colored dots in the
read alignments. Pileups of linear intermediates are observed for *EVADE*, while a continuous distribution
of fragment lengths is observed in *ATCOPIA52*.

21-22nt easiRNA control retrotransposition

In a previous study, DCL2/4 were shown to promote transcription of *EVADE* transgenes driven

by an ectopic promoter, while RDR6 had no effect, which was interpreted as evidence that

easiRNA might promote transposition in wild-type cells (Mari-Ordonez et al., 2013). We tested

whether easiRNA contribute to *EVADE* control in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* mutants. Both *ddm1* and

ddm1rdr6 contained higher copy numbers of *ATCOPIA93* than wild-type implying high rates of

EVADE transposition, while copy numbers of *ATGP3* and *ATCOPIA52* remained constant. Using

quantitative PCR, we detected an increase from 2 copies of *EVADE* in wild-type to 12 copies in

ddm1 to 40 copies in *ddm1rdr6* F2 siblings (Fig. 4A). Similar increases were observed in F2 and

F3 progeny from backcross *rdr6* progeny that inherited active *EVADE* elements epigenetically

(Fig. 4C) (Mari-Ordonez et al., 2013). We detected parallel increases in gRNA levels reflecting

these increases in copy number (Fig. 4B,D). Consistent with gRNA levels, extrachromosomal

EVADE copies were also more abundant in *ddm1rdr6* than in *ddm1* (Fig. 2A). RNase H cleavage

products just upstream of the PBS, which are a hallmark of active transposition (Schorn et al.,

2017), were readily detected for *EVADE* in both *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* (Supplemental Fig. S4A,B).

We conclude that easiRNA actually inhibit *EVADE* retrotransposition, in *ddm1* mutants.

Figure 4. DNA and RNA levels of LTR retrotransposons in *ddm1* and *rdr6* mutants. (A) DNA copy
numbers of *ATCOPIA93*, *ATGP3*, and *ATCOPIA52* in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* were normalized with a single
copy gene (AT5G13440). (B) RT-qPCR data of *EVADE* elements using POL primers. Y-axis indicates
relative levels of *EVADE* genomic RNA to wild-type (WT) after normalization to *ACT2*. (C-D) *EVADE*
DNA copy number and genomic RNA levels were analyzed in F2 and F3 progenies of F1 plants carrying
active *EVADE* epigenetically inherited from parental *rdr6*/+ (Epi) crossed with WT pollen. Error bars
indicate standard deviations (n=3).

In backcrosses to wild-type (WT) plants, *EVADE* activity is inherited epigenetically but copy

number increases are thought to be limited by a switch from 21nt to 24nt siRNA, accompanied by

re-methylation and silencing (Mari-Ordonez et al., 2013; Mirouze et al., 2009; Reinders et al.,

2013). Interestingly, active *EVADE* elements can be re-silenced through the female gametophyte,

but not through the male gametophyte (Reinders et al., 2013) where easiRNA normally

accumulate (Borges et al., 2018; Slotkin et al., 2009). We sequenced small RNA from wild type

and *ddm1* flower buds and pollen, and found that 21-22nt easiRNA from *ATCOPIA93/EVADE*

were abundant in *ddm1* inflorescence tissues, but absent from pollen (Fig. 5). In contrast,

ATHILA2 and *ATHILA6A* easiRNA were present in wild type pollen (Slotkin et al., 2009), while

ATCOPIA31 21-22nt easiRNA were strongly upregulated in *ddm1* pollen. Thus the absence of

EVADE easiRNA in pollen must be due to transcriptional repression independent of DDM1, and

likely accounts for the lack of paternal re-silencing (Reinders et al., 2013).

Figure 5. Small RNA profiles of representative LTR retrotransposons. 21, 22, and 24nt small RNA

levels in inflorescence tissues and pollen of wild-type (WT) and *ddm1*. Reads per million (RPM) was

calculated from entire elements including LTR and coding sequences.

Post-transcriptional suppression by easiRNA

Since easiRNA in *ddm1* mutants depend on AGO1 (Nuthikattu et al., 2013), and AGO1 represses

translation of target mRNA (Li et al., 2013), we tested whether easiRNA can affect translation

efficiency of transposon transcripts. Translating ribosome affinity immunopurification (TRAP)

RNAseq has been utilized to estimate polysomal occupancy and translation efficiency in plants

(Juntawong et al., 2014). Furthermore, microsome-polysomal fractionation has revealed that

microRNA-dependent translational control takes place on the endoplasmic reticulum (Li et al.,

2013). We generated TRAP lines of *35S:FLAG-RPL18* in *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6* mutant

backgrounds, and performed total RNAseq, total-polysomal RNAseq, and microsome-polysomal

RNAseq. The polysomal RNA occupancy (Polysomal RNA / Total RNA) was obtained for 3903

transposable elements defined as open reading frames from TAIR10 annotation (see Methods).

As for the comparison between *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*, we could detect the effect of the *rdr6*

mutation in microsome-polysomal RNAseq data for known targets of RDR6, such as *ARF4*

(Marin et al., 2010), and for a handful of transposons (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S5;

Supplemental Tables S2,S3). Among 31 up-regulated transposons in *ddm1rdr6* relative to *ddm1*,

26 elements belonged to *ATHILA* LTR retrotransposon families (Supplemental Table S3), which

are a major source of RDR6-dependent easiRNA. Although *ATHILA* elements in *A. thaliana*

cannot transpose, a subgenomic mRNA encoding ORF2 (the "env" gene) is spliced from the full

length mRNA (Havecker et al., 2004; Wright and Voytas, 2002), and was enriched on polysomes

(Supplemental Fig. S5; Supplemental Table S3). This subgenomic RNA is targeted extensively

by miRNA which trigger easiRNA production (Creasey et al., 2014). Interestingly, the other 3

elements were *ATENSPM3*, *LINE1_6* and *VANDAL3*, all of which have been identified as active

elements in *ddm1* mutants, or in population level studies of transposon variants (Stuart et al.,

2016). These non-LTR and DNA transposons are also targets of miRNA and generate RDR6-

dependent easiRNA (Creasey et al., 2014). *EVADE* easiRNA are generated from the GAG

subgenomic RNA (Mari-Ordonez et al., 2013), but polysomal occupancy was not increased in

ddm1rdr6 (Fig. 6B). GAG subgenomic mRNA from *ATCOPIA52* was highly enriched in

polysomes, consistent with previous studies (Oberlin et al., 2017), whereas the relative abundance

of *EVADE* POL transcripts on polysomes indicates higher translation rates of integrase and

reverse transcriptase (Oberlin et al., 2017). Unlike for *ATHILA*, polysome association of *COPIA*

transcripts were unaffected by RDR6.

Figure 6. Translatome profiles of *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*. (A) Differential analysis of polysomal RNAseq

data between *ddm1* and *ddm1rdr6*. Polysomal RNAseq values were normalized by total RNA seq values to

reflect polysomal enrichment (Methods). Red dots indicate significantly regulated genes or transposable

elements (TE) by cut-off values of |log$_2$ (fold change)| > 0.5 and p-values < 0.01 which include *ARF4* as an

internal control. Significantly regulated *ATHILA* family elements are labeled with blue dots. (B) Total RNA

and microsome-polysomal RNA (M poly) levels are shown for *EVADE* (*ATCOPIA93*; AT5TE20395) and

ATCOPIA52 (AT3TE76225). Mean read counts per million mapped reads and 95% confidence intervals of

three biological replicates are shown for *ddm1* (blue) and *ddm1rdr6* (orange). Conserved protein domains,

PBS and PPT, small RNA profiles and miRNA target sites are indicated as in Fig. 1.

easiRNA require miRNA triggers that target these transcripts (Creasey et al., 2014), and

ATCOPIA52 LTRs were targeted by a single miRNA in the R region of the LTR. Consistent with

this miRNA acting as a trigger, easiRNA accumulated along the length of the mRNA between the

LTRs (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Table S4). In the case of *EVADE*, 4 miRNA were predicted to

target the gRNA somewhere along its length. Remarkably, miR2938 was predicted to target the

start codon of the GAG gene immediately 5' of the easiRNA cluster, while miR5648-5p targets

the 3' end of the easiRNA cluster (Supplemental Fig. S4C,D; Supplemental Table S4). *EVADE*

easiRNAs were down-regulated in *ddm1dcl1* as compared to *ddm1* (Supplemental Fig. S4E)

suggesting that miRNA were involved (Creasey et al., 2014). miR2938 and miR5648-5p

expression were reported in pollen and root cells (Breakfield et al., 2012; Grant-Downton et al.,

2009). We did not detect miRNA-mediated cleavage by PARE-seq (Creasey et al., 2014) or by

RACE-PCR, but secondary siRNA, such as easiRNA, do not require cleavage so long as miRNA

recognition recruits RdRP (Axtell et al., 2006; de Felippes et al., 2017). Consistent with induction

without cleavage, *EVADE* easiRNA were not phased (Arribas-Hernandez et al., 2016). miR5663

was detected in inflorescence tissues (Supplemental Fig. S4F), and targets the *EVADE* intron near

the splice acceptor site (Supplemental Fig. S4C). Interestingly, the level of unspliced RNA was

increased in *ddm1dcl1* mutants (Supplemental Fig. S4G), indicating that miR5663 might target

unspliced gRNA and promote the accumulation of spliced GAG RNA, but further experiments

would be required to demonstrate this requirement. Negative regulation of *P*-element splicing by

piRNA has been reported in Drosophila (Teixeira et al. 2017). *ATCOPIA21* and *ATCOPIA51* had

no strongly predicted miRNA targets, and easiRNA were barely detected in somatic tissues (Fig.

1B; Supplemental Fig. S3A) (Oberlin et al., 2017) accounting for lack of regulation by *RDR6*. In

contrast, significant levels were detected in pollen (Fig. 5) (Borges et al., 2018), where most

gypsy and copia class retrotransposons are targeted by miR845, a pollen specific miRNA that

targets the primer binding site (Borges et al., 2018).

Transcriptional repression by easiRNA

Both 21/22nt easiRNA and especially 24nt siRNA can direct RNA directed DNA methylation in

plants, via AGO6 and AGO4, respectively (Borges and Martienssen, 2015). However, genome

wide bisulphite sequencing revealed few if any differences in DNA methylation between *ddm1*

and *ddm1rdr6*, as *ddm1* mutants already had very low levels of DNA methylation (Creasey et al.,

2014). In many organisms, histone modification can also be guided by small RNA, especially

histone H3 lysine-9 dimethylation (Fagegaltier et al., 2009; Gu et al., 2012; Martienssen and

Moazed, 2015; Volpe et al., 2002). We therefore performed H3K9me2 ChIP sequencing in *ddm1*

and *ddm1rdr6*, and compared this to wild type. We found that *ATHILA* elements, which matched

by far the most abundant easiRNA, had ectopic H3K9me2 in *ddm1* mutants, which was absent in

ddm1rdr6 mutants (Fig. 7; Supplemental Fig. S6). Furthermore, the levels of small RNA

correlated extremely well with the levels of H3K9me2 found at individual *ATHILA* elements (Fig.

7; Supplemental Fig. S6). Interestingly, *COPIA* elements actually gained H3K9me2 in the

absence of easiRNA (Supplemental Fig. S7), along with previously reported increases in 24nt

siRNA and reduced transcript levels (Creasey et al., 2014). We therefore conclude that in the

absence of DDM1, 21/22nt easiRNA and 24nt siRNA can guide H3K9me2 at *GYPSY* and *COPIA*

elements respectively.

Figure 7. *ATHILA* **family elements gain RDR6-dependent H3K9me2 in** *ddm1***.** H3K9me2 signal at transposable elements from multiple *ATHILA* families was analyzed in wild-type (WT), *ddm1*, and *ddm1rdr6* genotypes and correlated with previously published small RNA data (Creasey et al., 2014). RDR6-dependent gains in H3K9me2 co-localize with increased 21-22nt siRNAs in *ddm1*. Plots depict transposable elements annotations scaled to 5kb, as well as 2kb upstream and downstream of each feature. H3K9me2 ChIP data was normalized by H3, and small RNA data was normalized by counts per million.

Discussion

Next generation sequencing of VLP DNA detected all known functional LTR retrotransposons in Arabidopsis, as well as some non-functional ones. Full length VLP DNA from *ATCOPIA* and *ATGP* families (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S3A) corresponded to relatively young and low-copy elements known to transpose. Ancient *ATHILA* elements did not make full length VLP DNA confirming these gypsy retrotransposons are non-functional (Havecker et al., 2004; Marco and Marin, 2008), but short products matching the LTR appeared to correspond to aborted strong stop replication intermediates (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Interestingly, similar LTR fragments from *ATHILA2* comprise an important family of dispersed centromeric satellite repeats known as 106B (May et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 1996), and retrotransposition might account for their origin. Thus functional and non-functional retrotransposons could be readily distinguished even though non-functional *ATHILA* elements are present in copy numbers 3 to 4 orders of magnitude higher than active *ATCOPIA* and *ATGP* elements. As for *ATCOPIA52*, non-productive one-LTR circular DNA, corresponding to autointegration "suicide" products, markedly accumulated in the VLP at levels far higher than productive retrotransposons such as *EVADE*. In contrast, two-LTR circular products of *ATCOPIA52* were very rare, whereas small amounts of *EVADE* two-LTR products were present as previously described (Reinders et al., 2013), presumably due to recombination of non-integrated copies by host DNA repair enzymes in the nucleus. Both short read and long read sequencing revealed that these auto-integration products in *ATCOPIA52* VLP led to non-functional deletion and inversion circles, accounting for lack of transposition.

Our study shows that RDR6-dependent easiRNA inhibit retrotransposition at multiple levels: via

post-transcriptional silencing of genomic RNA, by translational suppression of subgenomic RNA,

and by controlling transcription via histone modification. *ATHILA* elements are no longer

functional, but they are the primary source of easiRNA which arise by miRNA targeting of a

spliced subgenomic RNA encoding the "ENV" protein (Creasey et al., 2014). These easiRNA

inhibit polysome association of this subgenomic RNA, and also inhibit transcript levels by

guiding histone H3K9me2. This transcriptional silencing occurred in the absence of DNA

methylation in *ddm1* mutants. In plants, RNAi dependent histone modification is thought to

depend entirely on RNA dependent DNA methylation, found in asymmetric CHH contexts. As

CHH methylation stays more or less the same in *ddm1*, while H3K9me2 is increased (Fig. 7), this

might indicate the existence of a novel pathway for RNA guided histone methylation, resembling

that found in Drosophila, *C.elegans* and fission yeast, which lack DNA methylation. Further

investigation will be required to establish if such a pathway exists.

In contrast to *ATHILA*, linear extrachromosomal copies of *EVADE* accumulated in *ddm1* and

were further enriched by mutations in *RDR6*. Like *ATHILA*, *EVADE* is targeted by 3 or 4 miRNA

that likely trigger easiRNA from the subgenomic GAG gene transcript, which is found associated

with polysomes (Oberlin et al., 2017). However, association of the GAG mRNA with polysomes

was unaffected in *ddm1rdr6* mutants. Instead, levels of gRNA increased 3-fold, suggesting that

EVADE easiRNA act postranscriptionally to target gRNA directly. *ATCOPIA52* easiRNA arose

from full-length gRNA between the two LTR. Polysomal association of full length *EVADE* GAG-

POL is far more abundant than *ATCOPIA52* GAG-POL, although both were unchanged in the

absence of *RDR6* (Fig. 6). As the INT protein is translated from this transcript, this could

contribute to lack of nuclear integration of *ATCOPIA52* relative to *EVADE*. Thus, while easiRNA

have a significant impact on *COPIA* gRNA accumulation, and so inhibit increases in copy

number, they have only limited impact on translation. 22nt tRNA-derived small RNA fragments

(3'CCA-tRFs) were recently shown to inhibit endogenous retroviruses (ERV) in mammalian cells

by targeting the PBS by RNA interference (Schorn et al., 2017), and it is possible that *EVADE*

easiRNA may have a similar function in plants.

In conclusion, next generation long-read and short-read sequencing of VLP DNA has revealed

features that distinguish functional and non-functional replication intermediates, and provides a

powerful tool for identifying active transposons from complex genomes, and for investigating

molecular signatures of LTR retrotransposons. One such feature is the central PPT (cPPT), which

is present in *EVADE* but absent in *ATCOPIA52*. cPPT are hallmarks of the most active

retrotransposons including Ty1 in yeast, as well as HIV and other lentiviruses, where cPPT are

thought to be important for nuclear import of cDNA (VandenDriessche et al., 2002; Zennou et al.,

2000). Our work shows that these features may play a significant role in the activity of *EVADE*,

the most active retrotransposon in Arabidopsis, and that their absence may account for the lack of

nuclear integration of *ATCOPIA52*, and high levels of "suicide" by autointegration. By

comparing VLP sequencing, transcriptome sequencing and translatome sequencing we have been

able to establish the multiple levels at which easiRNA regulate the Arabidopsis retrovirome. Our

methods are widely applicable to other plant and animal models and to human cells, especially

those with genomes that contain very large numbers of non-functional LTR retrotransposons.

Acknowledgements

We thank Vincent Colot, Leandro Quadrano, Tetsuji Kakutani and all members of the

Martienssen laboratory for discussions. Research in the Martienssen laboratory is supported by

the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01 GM067014, The National Science

Foundation Plant Genome Research Program, and by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. The

authors acknowledge assistance from the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Shared Resources,

which are funded in part by the Cancer Center Support Grant (5PP30CA045508).

Author Contributions

SCL, EE and RM designed the study; SCL and EE performed the experiments; SCL, EE, BB, and

AS analyzed the data and its significance; SCL, EE and RM wrote the manuscript.

Disclosure declaration

The authors declare no competing interest.

Methods

Plant materials

All genotypes in this study are Col-0 background including wild-type, *dcl1-11*, *ddm1-2*, and *rdr6-*

15. Genotyping primers are listed in Supplemental Table S6. Homozygous plants of *ddm1-2* and

ddm1-2 rdr6-15 were generated from heterozygous *ddm1-2* backcrossed five times with Col-0

(*ddm1-2* BC5), and their 2nd generation was used for VLP DNA-seq experiments. For polysomal

RNAseq experiments, inbred *ddm1-2* was independently crossed to *35S:FLAG-RPL18* and to

rdr6-15 35S:FLAG-RPL18. The F3 plants were used for polysomal RNA purification.

gDNA extraction and DNA analyses

Whole inflorescence stems of 4 week-old Arabidopsis plants were frozen and ground in liquid

nitrogen. Total gDNA was isolated using Nucleon PhytoPure kit (GE healthcare). *EVADE* DNA

copy number was quantified using qPCR with *EVADE* qPCR primers and single copy gene

primers as reference (the primers are listed in Supplemental Table S6). Southern blotting was

performed using *EVADE* Probe B as described (Mirouze et al., 2009).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP was performed with two biological replicates of 10-d-old seedlings using H3K9me2 (Abcam; ab1220) and H3 (Abcam; ab1791) antibodies, following a previously described protocol (Ingouff et al., 2017). Sequencing libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs) with size selection for ~200 bp insert DNA. The ChIP-seq libraries were sequenced using Illumina NextSeq High Output SR 76 with 76-cycle single reads. Two biological replicates were prepared and sequenced for each genotype of interest. Prior to alignment, adapter trimming was performed using Trimmomatic (Bolger, et al., 2014) and read quality was assessed with FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). Reads were aligned to the TAIR10 reference genome using BWA-MEM (https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997) with default parameters. Only primary alignments were retained, and optical and PCR duplicates were removed using Samtools (Li et al., 2009). Peak calling was performed using MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) broad peak calling with a q-value cutoff of 0.05 and normalization by signal per million reads. Peaks that were differentially regulated across genotypes were identified using MAnorm (Shao et al., 2012) and confirmed between biological replicates. Annotation of these differentially regulated peaks was performed using a combination of BEDOPS (Neph et al., 2012) tools and custom scripts. Deeptools (Ramirez et al., 2014) was used to visualize the data.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from the same tissues used for gDNA extraction with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Plus (Zymo Research). DNase I was treated on column. cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript VILO Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qPCR was performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix. Primers are listed in Supplemental Table S6.

Polysomal RNA-seq

Total polysome was isolated using ribosome immunopurification as described previously (Mustroph et al., 2009; Mustroph et al., 2013). Briefly, inflorescence tissues of *FLAG-RPL18* lines were ground in liquid nitrogen and transferred to polysome extraction buffer (PEB). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and filtering with miracloth. The supernatant was taken and transferred to pre-washed EZview anti-FLAG agarose beads (Sigma) for 2 h at 4 °C. The agarose beads containing polysomes were washed once with PEB and three times with washing buffer. Polysomes were eluted using 3X FLAG peptide (Sigma) and used for RNA extraction with Direct-zol RNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research) including DNase I treatment. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) in the samples was depleted by Ribo-Zero Magnetic Kit (Plant Leaf) (Epicentre). Then, rRNA free samples were used for RNA-seq library preparation using ScriptSeq v2 RNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (EPicentre). Microsome-polysomal RNA was obtained using a previously described method with some modifications (Li et al., 2013). Briefly, 2 g frozen tissues were suspended to 7 ml microsome extraction buffer (MEB). After removing cell debris by filtration with micracloth and centrifugation at 10,000g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant was transferred on the top of 1.7M/0.6M sucrose cushions and applied to ultracentrifugation using swing rotor at 140,000g for 1 h at 4°C. The microsome fraction of the 1.7M/0.6M layer interface was harvested and diluted 10 times by MEB and centrifuged at 140,000g for 0.5 h at 4 °C to obtain microsome pellet. The pellet was re-suspended with 8 ml PEB and used for ribosome immunopurification and RNA-seq library preparation as described above. The PE 101 sequencing data was obtained using Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. The paired-end reads were mapped to Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome using Tophat and the polysome occupancy (Polysomal RNA / Total RNA) was calculated using systemPipeR package (Backman and Girke, 2016) with raw count data obtained by Cuffnorm.

VLP DNA-seq

Virus-like-particles were purified using modified method reported previously (Bachmair et al., 2004). 4 g of 4 week-old whole inflorescence stems were ground with 10 ml of ice-cold VLP extraction buffer and 10 ml of sea sand on ice. 10 ml of the extraction buffer and Triton X-100 were added and mixed. The slurry was transferred to a 50 ml tube and centrifuged for 5 min at 180g and 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully transferred onto 5 ml of prechilled 15% sucrose, 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2 and ultracentrifuged for 1.5 h at 109,000g and 4 °C using fixed angle rotor. The pellet was washed with the 15% sucrose buffer and resuspended with 4 ml particle suspension buffer to obtain VLP fractions. To remove non-VLP DNA, 0.5 ml of the VLP sample was treated with 5 µl of 1 mg/ml DNase I at 37°C for 10 min. 20 µl of 0.25 M EDTA, 50 µl of 10% SDS, 25 µl of 10 mg/ml proteinase were added and incubated at 65°C for 10 min. VLP DNA was purified by 0.5 ml equilibrated (pH 8.0) phenol:chloroform:IAA (25:24:1) mixture three times and with 0.5 ml chloroform:IAA (24:1) once. The last aqueous fraction was transferred into a new 1.5ml tube and used for 100% ethanol precipitation with 40 µl 3M sodium acetate, pH 7.0. The DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended with 100 µl TE buffer. 1 µl of RNase A (10 mg/ml) was added to the VLP DNA sample and incubated 10 min. The treated DNA sample was purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator (Zymo Research). The DNA was sheared to 650 bp using Covaris S220 and subsequently used for DNA-seq library preparation with NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs). The paired-end sequencing datasets with 101 nt read length (PE101) were obtained by Illumina HiSeq 2000. Adapters were trimmed from raw reads with Skewer (Jiang et al., 2014) in paired-end mode and read pairs with both mates longer than 25 nt were retained. Reads were aligned to the TAIR10 genome with STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) in two-pass mode to improve spliced alignment at unannotated introns. Intact bacteria co-purified with VLP, as indicated by large numbers of reads mapping to bacterial genomes (up to 95% in WT), and these were discarded. Reads mapping equally well to multiple locations were randomly assigned, and chimeric/split read alignments were output separately from concordant alignments. Optical and PCR duplicates were removed

from the alignments with picard-tools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Counts of reads

mapping to the TAIR10 transposon annotations were computed with featurecounts (Liao et al.,

2014). Pairwise differential expression at TAIR10 transposon loci was tested across three wild-

type, two *ddm1*, and three *ddm1rdr6* replicates using quasi-likelihood F-tests in edgeR (Robinson

et al., 2010), controlling FDR at 5% and a $\log_2$(fold-change) threshold of 2.

Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) long-read libraries were prepared as follows: 10 ng per

genotype of purified VLP DNA extract was pooled from the replicate samples and initially

amplified following the conditions in the "1D Low-input genomic DNA with PCR" (SQK-

LSK108) protocol with reagents. End-repair, dA-tailing and PCR adapter ligation were performed,

followed by 16 cycles of PCR amplification. PCR products were purified and concentrated with

Ampure XP beads (Agencourt), and 300 ng of eluate per sample was carried through to library

preparation following the "1D Genomic DNA by Ligation" protocol with SKQ-LSK109 reagents.

Libraries were loaded onto r9.4 (FLO-MIN106) flow cells and sequenced on a GridION X5.

Basecalling was performed offline with Guppy v2.3.1 using the default r9.4.1 model. Using

porechop (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop), ONT sequencing adapters were trimmed from 5'

ends, 3' ends, and the middle of raw reads. Reads with middle adapters were split. Remaining

reads longer than 100 bp were aligned to the TAIR10 reference with minimap2 (Li, 2018) for

coverage and read alignment plots. Structural variants were called on NGMLR (Sedlazeck et al.,

2018) alignments using Sniffles (Sedlazeck et al., 2018) with default parameters, except

minimum read support was reduced to 3.

5' RACE PCR

5' RACE PCR was performed using FirstChoice RLM-RACE Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

without the treatments of calf intestine alkaline phosphatase and tobacco acid pyrophosphatase. A

548   gene-specific primer was used for cDNA synthesis after adaptor ligation (Supplemental Table S6).

549   1st and 2nd nested PCR was performed with the primers are listed.

Small RNA-seq data

Small RNA-seq libraries from inflorescence and pollen for comparisons of 21, 22, and 24nt small

RNA between wild-type and *ddm1* were prepared as previously described (Borges et al., 2018).

Wild-type pollen sample was previously deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

database (GSM2829912). Briefly, small RNAs were purified by running total RNA from pollen

and inflorescence tissues on acrylamide gels (15% polyacrylamide, 7 M urea) with size-selection

of 18-to- 30-nt regions. Small RNAs were extracted from the gel bands using Trizol LS (Life

Technologies) and Direct-zol columns (Zymo Research). Libraries were prepared with the

TruSeq small RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina) and sequenced in Illumina MiSeq platform.

Data analysis was done as previously reported (Borges et al., 2018). 21-22nt small RNA datasets

from inflorescence (Creasey et al., 2014) were obtained from NCBI GEO accession GSE52951.

After adapter trimming with Skewer, reads were quality filtered with fastp (Chen et al., 2018) and

aligned to the TAIR10 genome with ShortStack (Axtell, 2013) with default parameters except "--

bowtie_m 1000 --ranmax 50".

LTR Retrotransposon Annotation

567   GenomeTools was used to structurally annotate retrotransposons across the TAIR10 genome.

568   First, LTRharvest (Ellinghaus et al., 2008) was run to detect LTR sequences with at least 85%

569   similarity separated by 1-15 kbp flanked by target site duplications and the TGCA motif. Then,

570   LTRdigest (Steinbiss et al., 2009) was run to annotate internal transposon features including the

571   PBS, PPT, and GAG and POL protein homology.

Genome Browser Figures

Genome-wide read coverage for VLP DNA, small RNA, total and polysomal RNA libraries was calculated with bamCoverage from deepTools (Ramirez et al., 2014) and normalized to reads per nucleotide per million mapped reads and plotted across the genome with Gviz (Hahne and Ivanek, 2016) or IGV (Thorvaldsdottir et al., 2013).

Data access

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available at NCBI (GEO study: GSE128932).

References

Arribas-Hernandez L, Marchais A, Poulsen C, Haase B, Hauptmann J, Benes V, Meister G, Brodersen P. 2016. The Slicer Activity of ARGONAUTE1 Is Required Specifically for the Phasing, Not Production, of Trans-Acting Short Interfering RNAs in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **28:** 1563-1580.

Axtell MJ. 2013. ShortStack: comprehensive annotation and quantification of small RNA genes. *RNA* **19:** 740-751.

Axtell MJ, Jan C, Rajagopalan R, Bartel DP. 2006. A two-hit trigger for siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell* **127:** 565-577.

Bachmair A, Garber K, Takeda S, Sugimoto K, Kakutani T, Hirochika H. 2004. Biochemical analysis of long terminal repeat retrotransposons. *Methods Mol Biol* **260:** 73-82.

Bachmann AS, Corpuz G, Hareld WP, Wang G, Coller BA. 2004. A simple method for the rapid

purification of copia virus-like particles from Drosophila Schneider 2 cells. *J Virol Methods* **115:**

159-165.

Backman T, Girke T. 2016. systemPipeR: NGS workflow and report generation environment.

BMC Bioinformatics **17:** 388.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114-2120.

Borges F, Martienssen RA. 2015. The expanding world of small RNAs in plants. *Nat Rev Mol*

Cell Biol **16:** 727-741.

Borges F, Parent JS, van Ex F, Wolff P, Martinez G, Kohler C, Martienssen RA. 2018.

Transposon-derived small RNAs triggered by miR845 mediate genome dosage response in

Arabidopsis. *Nat Genet* **50:** 186-192.

Breakfield NW, Corcoran DL, Petricka JJ, Shen J, Sae-Seaw J, Rubio-Somoza I, Weigel D, Ohler

U, Benfey PN. 2012. High-resolution experimental and computational profiling of tissue-specific

known and novel miRNAs in Arabidopsis. *Genome Res* **22:** 163-176.

Chang W, Jaaskelainen M, Li SP, Schulman AH. 2013. BARE retrotransposons are translated and

replicated via distinct RNA pools. *PLoS One* **8:** e72270.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics **34:** i884-i890.

Creasey KM, Zhai J, Borges F, Van Ex F, Regulski M, Meyers BC, Martienssen RA. 2014.

miRNAs trigger widespread epigenetically activated siRNAs from transposons in Arabidopsis.

Nature **508:** 411-415.

Czech B, Munafo M, Ciabrelli F, Eastwood EL, Fabry MH, Kneuss E, Hannon GJ. 2018. piRNA-

Guided Genome Defense: From Biogenesis to Silencing. *Annu Rev Genet* **52:** 131-157.

Dai X, Zhuang Z, Zhao PX. 2018. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server (2017

release). *Nucleic Acids Res* **46:** W49-W54.

de Felippes FF, Marchais A, Sarazin A, Oberlin S, Voinnet O. 2017. A single miR390 targeting

event is sufficient for triggering TAS3-tasiRNA biogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45:**

5539-5554.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras

TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29:** 15-21.

Eichinger DJ, Boeke JD. 1988. The DNA intermediate in yeast Ty1 element transposition

copurifies with virus-like particles: cell-free Ty1 transposition. *Cell* **54:** 955-966.

Ellinghaus D, Kurtz S, Willhoeft U. 2008. LTRharvest, an efficient and flexible software for de

novo detection of LTR retrotransposons. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9:** 18.

Fagegaltier D, Bouge AL, Berry B, Poisot E, Sismeiro O, Coppee JY, Theodore L, Voinnet O,

Antoniewski C. 2009. The endogenous siRNA pathway is involved in heterochromatin formation

in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106:** 21258-21263.

Finnegan DJ. 2012. Retrotransposons. *Curr Biol* **22:** R432-437.

Garfinkel DJ, Stefanisko KM, Nyswaner KM, Moore SP, Oh J, Hughes SH. 2006.

Retrotransposon suicide: formation of Ty1 circles and autointegration via a central DNA flap. *J*

Virol **80:** 11920-11934.

Grant-Downton R, Le Trionnaire G, Schmid R, Rodriguez-Enriquez J, Hafidh S, Mehdi S, Twell

D, Dickinson H. 2009. MicroRNA and tasiRNA diversity in mature pollen of *Arabidopsis*

thaliana. *BMC Genomics* **10:** 643.

Griffiths J, Catoni M, Iwasaki M, Paszkowski J. 2018. Sequence-Independent Identification of

Active LTR Retrotransposons in Arabidopsis. *Mol Plant* **11:** 508-511.

Gu SG, Pak J, Guang S, Maniar JM, Kennedy S, Fire A. 2012. Amplification of siRNA in

Caenorhabditis elegans generates a transgenerational sequence-targeted histone H3 lysine 9

methylation footprint. *Nat Genet* **44:** 157-164.

Hahne F, Ivanek R. 2016. Visualizing Genomic Data Using Gviz and Bioconductor. *Methods Mol*

Biol **1418:** 335-351.

Havecker ER, Gao X, Voytas DF. 2004. The diversity of LTR retrotransposons. *Genome Biol* **5:**

225.

Hu C, Saenz DT, Fadel HJ, Walker W, Peretz M, Poeschla EM. 2010. The HIV-1 central

polypurine tract functions as a second line of defense against APOBEC3G/F. *J Virol* **84:** 11981-

11993.

Huang CR, Burns KH, Boeke JD. 2012. Active transposition in genomes. *Annu Rev Genet* **46:**

651-675.

Ingouff M, Selles B, Michaud C, Vu TM, Berger F, Schorn AJ, Autran D, Van Durme M,

Nowack MK, Martienssen RA, et al. 2017. Live-cell analysis of DNA methylation during sexual

reproduction in Arabidopsis reveals context and sex-specific dynamics controlled by

noncanonical RdDM. *Genes Dev* **31:** 72-83.

Ito H, Gaubert H, Bucher E, Mirouze M, Vaillant I, Paszkowski, J. 2011. An siRNA pathway

prevents transgenerational retrotransposition in plants subjected to stress. *Nature* **472:** 115-119.

Jaaskelainen M, Mykkanen AH, Arna T, Vicient CM, Suoniemi A, Kalendar R, Savilahti H,

Schulman AH. 1999. Retrotransposon BARE-1: expression of encoded proteins and formation of

virus-like particles in barley cells. *Plant J* **20:** 413-422.

Jiang H, Lei R, Ding SW, Zhu S. 2014. Skewer: a fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-

generation sequencing paired-end reads. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15:** 182.

Juntawong P, Girke T, Bazin J, Bailey-Serres J. 2014. Translational dynamics revealed by

genome-wide profiling of ribosome footprints in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **111:** E203-212.

Kenna MA, Brachmann CB, Devine SE, Boeke JD. 1998. Invading the yeast nucleus: a nuclear

localization signal at the C terminus of Ty1 integrase is required for transposition in vivo. *Mol*

Cell Biol **18:** 1115-1124.

Lanciano S, Carpentier MC, Llauro C, Jobet E, Robakowska-Hyzorek D, Lasserre E, Ghesquiere

A, Panaud O, Mirouze M. 2017. Sequencing the extrachromosomal circular mobilome reveals

retrotransposon activity in plants. *PLoS Genet* **13:** e1006630.

Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34:** 3094-

3100.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,

Genome Project Data Processing S. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.

Bioinformatics **25:** 2078-2079.

Li S, Liu L, Zhuang X, Yu Y, Liu X, Cui X, Ji L, Pan Z, Cao X, Mo B, et al. 2013. MicroRNAs

inhibit the translation of target mRNAs on the endoplasmic reticulum in Arabidopsis. *Cell* **153:**

562-574.

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30:** 923-930.

Lippman Z, Gendrel AV, Black M, Vaughn MW, Dedhia N, McCombie WR, Lavine K, Mittal V,

May B, Kasschau KD, et al. 2004. Role of transposable elements in heterochromatin and

epigenetic control. *Nature* **430:** 471-476.

Marco A, Marin I. 2008. How Athila retrotransposons survive in the Arabidopsis genome. *BMC*

Genomics **9:** 219.

Mari-Ordonez A, Marchais A, Etcheverry M, Martin A, Colot V, Voinnet O. 2013.

Reconstructing de novo silencing of an active plant retrotransposon. *Nat Genet* **45:** 1029-1039.

Marin E, Jouannet V, Herz A, Lokerse AS, Weijers D, Vaucheret H, Nussaume L, Crespi MD,

Maizel A. 2010. miR390, Arabidopsis TAS3 tasiRNAs, and their AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR

targets define an autoregulatory network quantitatively regulating lateral root growth. *Plant Cell*

22: 1104-1117.

Martienssen R, Moazed, D. 2015. RNAi and heterochromatin assembly. *Cold Spring Harb*

Perspect Biol **7:** a019323.

May BP, Lippman ZB, Fang Y, Spector DL, Martienssen RA. 2005. Differential regulation of

strand-specific transcripts from Arabidopsis centromeric satellite repeats. *PLoS Genet* **1:** e79.

Mirouze M, Reinders J, Bucher E, Nishimura T, Schneeberger K, Ossowski S, Cao J, Weigel D,

Paszkowski J, Mathieu O. 2009. Selective epigenetic control of retrotransposition in Arabidopsis.

Nature **461:** 427-430.

Mules EH, Uzun O, Gabriel A. 1998. In vivo Ty1 reverse transcription can generate replication

intermediates with untidy ends. *J Virol* **72:** 6490-6503.

Munir S, Thierry S, Subra F, Deprez E, Delelis O. 2013. Quantitative analysis of the time-course

of viral DNA forms during the HIV-1 life cycle. *Retrovirology* **10:** 87.

Mustroph A, Juntawong P, Bailey-Serres J. 2009. Isolation of plant polysomal mRNA by

differential centrifugation and ribosome immunopurification methods. *Methods Mol Biol* **553:**

109-126.

Mustroph A, Zanetti ME, Girke T, Bailey-Serres J. 2013. Isolation and analysis of mRNAs from

specific cell types of plants by ribosome immunopurification. *Methods Mol Biol* **959:** 277-302.

Neph S, Kuehn MS, Reynolds AP, Haugen E, Thurman RE, Johnson AK, Rynes E, Maurano MT,

Vierstra J, Thomas S, et al. 2012. BEDOPS: high-performance genomic feature operations.

Bioinformatics **28:** 1919-1920.

Nuthikattu S, McCue AD, Panda K, Fultz D, DeFraia C, Thomas EN, Slotkin RK. 2013. The

initiation of epigenetic silencing of active transposable elements is triggered by RDR6 and 21-22

nucleotide small interfering RNAs. *Plant Physiol* **162:** 116-131.

Oberlin S, Sarazin A, Chevalier C, Voinnet O, Mari-Ordonez A. 2017. A genome-wide

transcriptome and translatome analysis of Arabidopsis transposons identifies a unique and

conserved genome expression strategy for Ty1/Copia retroelements. *Genome Res* **27:** 1549-1562.

Pachulska-Wieczorek K, Le Grice SF, Purzycka KJ. 2016. Determinants of Genomic RNA

Encapsidation in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposons Ty1 and

Ty3. *Viruses* **8:** 193.

Peterson-Burch BD, Voytas DF. 2002. Genes of the Pseudoviridae (Ty1/copia retrotransposons).

Mol Biol Evol **19:** 1832-1845.

Quadrana L, Etcheverry M, Gilly A, Caillieux E, Madoui MA, Guy J, Bortolini Silveira A,

Engelen S, Baillet V, Wincker P, et al. 2019. Transposition favors the generation of large effect

mutations that may facilitate rapid adaption. *Nat Commun* **10:** 3421.

Ramirez F, Dundar F, Diehl S, Gruning BA, Manke T. 2014. deepTools: a flexible platform for

exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42:** W187-191.

Reinders J, Mirouze M, Nicolet J, Paszkowski J. 2013. Parent-of-origin control of

transgenerational retrotransposon proliferation in Arabidopsis. *EMBO Rep* **14:** 823-828.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential

expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26:** 139-140.

Sabot F, Schulman AH. 2006. Parasitism and the retrotransposon life cycle in plants: a

hitchhiker's guide to the genome. *Heredity* (Edinb) **97:** 381-388.

Schorn AJ, Gutbrod MJ, LeBlanc C, Martienssen R. 2017. LTR-Retrotransposon Control by

tRNA-Derived Small RNAs. *Cell* **170:** 61-71 e11.

Schorn AJ, Martienssen R. 2018. Tie-Break: Host and Retrotransposons Play tRNA. *Trends Cell*

Biol **28:** 793-806.

Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, Smolka M, Fang H, Nattestad M, von Haeseler A, Schatz MC.

2018. Accurate detection of complex structural variations using single-molecule sequencing. *Nat*

Methods **15:** 461-468.

Shao Z, Zhang Y, Yuan GC, Orkin SH, Waxman DJ. 2012. MAnorm: a robust model for

quantitative comparison of ChIP-Seq data sets. *Genome Biol* **13:** R16.

Sloan RD, Wainberg MA. 2011. The role of unintegrated DNA in HIV infection. *Retrovirology*

8: 52.

Slotkin RK, Vaughn M, Borges F, Tanurdzic M, Becker JD, Feijo JA, Martienssen RA. 2009.

Epigenetic reprogramming and small RNA silencing of transposable elements in pollen. *Cell* **136:**

461-472.

Steinbiss S, Willhoeft U, Gremme G, Kurtz S. 2009. Fine-grained annotation and classification of

de novo predicted LTR retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37:** 7002-7013.

Stuart T, Eichten SR, Cahn J, Karpievitch YV, Borevitz JO, Lister R. 2016. Population scale

mapping of transposable element diversity reveals links to gene regulation and epigenomic

variation. *Elife* **5:** e20777.

Teixeira FK, Okuniewska M, Malone CD, Coux R-X, Rio DC, Lehmann R. 2017. piRNA-

mediated regulation of transposon alternative splicing in soma and germline. *Nature* **552:** 268-

272.

Thompson HL, Schmidt R, Dean C. 1996. Identification and Distribution of Seven Classes of

Middle-Repetitive DNA in the *Arabidopsis Thaliana* Genome, *Nucleic Acids Res* **24:** 3017-3022.

Thorvaldsdottir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. 2013. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-

performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief Bioinform* **14:** 178-192.

Tsukahara S, Kobayashi A, Kawabe A, Mathieu O, Miura A, Kakutani T. 2009. Bursts of

retrotransposition reproduced in Arabidopsis. *Nature* **461:** 423-426.

VandenDriessche T, Thorrez L, Naldini L, Follenzi A, Moons L, Berneman Z, Collen D, Chuah

MK. 2002. Lentiviral vectors containing the human immunodeficiency virus type-1 central

polypurine tract can efficiently transduce nondividing hepatocytes and antigen-presenting cells in

vivo. *Blood* **100:** 813-822.

Volpe TA, Kidner C, Hall IM, Teng G, Grewal SI, Martienssen RA. 2002. Regulation of

heterochromatic silencing and histone H3 lysine-9 methylation by RNAi. *Science* **297:** 1833-1837.

Vongs A, Kakutani T, Martienssen RA, Richards EJ. 1993. *Arabidopsis thaliana* DNA

methylation mutants. *Science* **260:** 1926-1928.

Wang W, Haberer G, Gundlach H, Glasser C, Nussbaumer T, Luo MC, Lomsadze A,

Borodovsky M, Kerstetter RA, Shanklin J*,* et al. 2014. The *Spirodela polyrhiza* genome reveals

insights into its neotenous reduction fast growth and aquatic lifestyle. *Nat Commun* **5:** 3311.

Wilhelm M, Uzun O, Mules EH, Gabriel A, Wilhelm FX. 2001. Polypurine tract formation by

Ty1 RNase H. *J Biol Chem* **276:** 47695-47701.

Williams L, Carles CC, Osmont KS, Fletcher JC. 2005. A database analysis method identifies an

endogenous trans-acting short-interfering RNA that targets the Arabidopsis ARF2, ARF3, and

ARF4 genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **102:** 9703-9708.

Wright DA, Voytas DF. 2002. Athila4 of Arabidopsis and Calypso of soybean define a lineage of

endogenous plant retroviruses. *Genome Res* **12:** 122-131.

Wurtzer S, Goubard A, Mammano F, Saragosti S, Lecossier D, Hance AJ, Clavel F. 2006.

Functional central polypurine tract provides downstream protection of the human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 genome from editing by APOBEC3G and APOBEC3B. *J Virol*

80: 3679-3683.

Xie W, Donohue RC, Birchler JA. 2013. Quantitatively increased somatic transposition of

transposable elements in Drosophila strains compromised for RNAi. *PLoS One* **8:** e72163.

Yoshioka K, Honma H, Zushi M, Kondo S, Togashi S, Miyake T, Shiba T. 1990. Virus-like

particle formation of Drosophila copia through autocatalytic processing. *EMBO J* **9:** 535-541.

Zennou V, Petit C, Guetard D, Nerhbass U, Montagnier L, Charneau P. 2000. HIV-1 genome

nuclear import is mediated by a central DNA flap. *Cell* **101:** 173-185.

Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM,

Brown M, Li W*,* et al*.* 2008. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol* **9:** R137.