The γ-herpesviral TATA box binding protein directly interacts with RNA polymerase II to

direct late gene transcription

Short title: KSHV ORF24 directly interacts with the C-terminal domain of host RNA

polymerase II

Angelica F. Castañeda[1#], Allison L. Didychuk[1#], Robert K. Louder[2,3*], Chloe O. McCollum[4], Zoe

H. Davis[5], Eva Nogales[2,4,6,7], Britt A. Glaunsinger[1,4,6,7]

[1]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[2]Molecular Biophysics and Integrative Bio-Imaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National

Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

[3]Biophysics Graduate Group, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[4]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[5]Division of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, School of Public Health, University of California,

Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[6]California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3), University of California, Berkeley, CA

94720, USA.

[7]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Britt Glaunsinger (glaunsinger@berkeley.edu)

#These authors contributed equally to this work

*Present address: Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

ABSTRACT

β- and γ-herpesviruses are important human pathogens, and include the oncogenic viruses Kaposi's sarcoma-associated virus (KSHV) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), which is a significant cause of congenital disease. Near the end of their replication cycle, these viruses transcribe their late genes in a manner distinct from host transcription. Late gene transcription requires six virally-encoded proteins, one of which is a functional mimic of host TATA-box-binding protein (TBP). We found that this protein, encoded by ORF24 in KSHV, makes a direct protein-protein contact with the C-terminal domain of host RNA polymerase II (Pol II). This interaction is mediated by the N-terminal domain (NTD) of ORF24 through a conserved motif that is shared in its β- and γ-herpesvirus homologs. Thus, β- and γ-herpesviruses employ an unprecedented strategy in eukaryotic transcription, wherein promoter recognition and polymerase recruitment are facilitated by a single transcriptional activator with functionally distinct domains.

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic transcription begins with the formation of a pre-initiation complex (PIC) at the core promoter. The assembly pathway for the canonical PIC starts with binding of TFIID to the core promoter and deployment of TATA-box-binding protein (TBP) onto the TATA box or pseudo-TATA box region upstream of the transcription start site (TSS), followed by recruitment of the other general transcription factors (GTFs). In this process, the GTFs position the 12-subunit RNA polymerase II (Pol II) at the core promoter (Thomas and Chiang, 2006). The largest Pol II subunit, Rpb1, has a low-complexity carboxyl terminal domain (CTD) that in humans is composed of 52 heptapeptide repeats with a consensus sequence of YSPTSPS. The CTD is a regulatory hub responsible for coordinating signals throughout the different stages of transcription and RNA processing (Harlen and Churchman, 2017). The phosphorylation state of the CTD controls progression through different states of transcription, as well as interactions

with other cellular machinery (Buratowski, 2009, Harlen and Churchman, 2017). Pol II with a

hypophosphorylated CTD is recruited into the PIC (Lu et al., 1991), and phosphorylation signals

release from the PIC into an elongating complex.

DNA viruses hijack the host transcriptional machinery to direct their own gene

expression. Given that the mechanisms governing transcription from viral promoters are often

similar to those at host promoters, viruses have been invaluable models for understanding

transcription complex assembly and regulation (Bauer et al., 2018, Chi and Carey, 1996, Haigh

et al., 1990). A conserved feature of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses is the temporal

cascade of gene expression that begins with the expression of two classes of early genes,

followed by viral DNA replication, and ending with the expression of late genes. In the β- and γ-

herpesviruses, immediate early and early genes are transcribed in a manner similar to host

genes. In contrast, the mechanism underlying the regulation of late gene transcription remains

poorly understood, yet is known to be distinct from host and early viral gene transcription.

Late gene transcription in the β- and γ-herpesviruses is divergent from that of the α-

herpesviruses and has a number of unique features. First, β/γ late gene transcription is

regulated in part by a core promoter sequence 12-15 base pairs in length that has a TATT motif

followed by a RVNYS motif in lieu of the canonical TATA box found in early viral promoters and

in some cellular promoters (Nandakumar and Glaunsinger, 2019, Serio et al., 1998, Tang et al.,

2004, Wong-Ho et al., 2014). Additionally, late gene expression requires at least six viral

proteins, called viral transcriptional activators (vTAs), which form a complex at late gene

promoters (Aubry et al., 2014, Castaneda and Glaunsinger, 2019, Davis et al., 2016, Didychuk

et al., 2019, Pan et al., 2018, Gruffat et al., 2012). Little is known about the functional role these

vTAs play in late gene transcription.

The best studied protein in the vTA complex is a virally-encoded TBP mimic (vTBP),

encoded by ORF24 in the γ-herpesvirus Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV).

ORF24 is predicted to have a TBP-like domain in the central portion of the protein, which was

identified through an *in silico* protein fold threading analysis performed with BcRF1, the homolog

of ORF24 from Epstein-Barr virus (Wyrwicz and Rychlewski, 2007). Indeed, ORF24 replaces

TBP at late gene promoters during infection, and a virus with mutations in the predicted DNA-

binding residues in the TBP-like domain of ORF24 is unable to transcribe late genes (Davis et

al., 2015). Thus, β- and γ-herpesviruses encode their own vTBP, which promotes efficient

transcription from a distinct set of late gene promoters.

While both cellular TBP and ORF24 (vTBP) bind DNA, a unique feature of vTBP is that it

additionally interacts with Pol II (Aubry et al., 2014, Davis et al., 2015). In host transcription,

recruitment of Pol II to promoters is mediated by TFIIB instead of a direct protein-protein

interaction between TBP and Pol II (Chen and Hahn, 2003, Tsai and Sigler, 2000). In contrast,

prokaryotic transcription is dependent on sigma factors that facilitate both promoter selection

and polymerase recruitment (Feklistov et al., 2014). We were therefore intrigued by the

possibility that vTBP is a unique bifunctional eukaryotic transcriptional activator and sought to

understand the basis of its ability to recruit Pol II.

Here, we demonstrate that ORF24 makes a direct protein-protein contact with the CTD

of Pol II. Using recombinantly expressed proteins, we identified a minimal N-terminal domain

(ORF24-NTD) of ORF24 sufficient for interaction with Pol II. Using negative stain electron

microscopy and pulldown assays, we determined that ORF24-NTD directly interacts with the Pol

II CTD, and demonstrate that at least four heptapeptide CTD repeats are required for this

interaction. We also demonstrate that interaction with Pol II is conserved across the ORF24 β-

and γ-herpesvirus homologs, and that the primary function of the N-terminal domain is the

recruitment of Pol II. These findings suggest that vTBP is a fundamentally unique protein when

compared to other eukaryotic Pol II-interacting proteins, as it both directly interacts with Pol II

and binds promotor DNA to coordinate late gene expression.

RESULTS

The N-terminal domain of ORF24 is sufficient for interaction with Pol II

We previously revealed that KSHV ORF24 co-immunoprecipitates with Pol II in cells, in

a manner dependent on three conserved leucine residues (L73-75) in the N-terminus of ORF24

(Davis et al., 2015). While this interaction requires no other viral proteins, whether ORF24 binds

Pol II directly or indirectly via bridging cellular factors was unknown. Additionally, it had not been

determined whether Pol II binding occurred through a domain that was fully separable from the

regions required for binding the ORF34 vTA (which links ORF24 to the rest of the late gene

transcription complex (Davis et al., 2016)) and binding to promoter DNA. To address these

unknowns, we first constructed a series of N-terminal ORF24 fragments to identify a minimal

region of the protein that retained the Pol II interaction (**Figure 1A**). Plasmids encoding full-

length or truncated FLAG-tagged ORF24 were transfected into HEK293T cells and

immunoprecipitated using FLAG antibodies to determine which ORF24 segments retained

Figure 1. The N-terminal domain of ORF24 (ORF24-NTD) binds Pol II and can be recombinantly purified.
(A) Schematic of constructs used to identify a minimal N-terminal domain of ORF24 showing the predicted boundaries for the N-terminal domain, the TBP-like domain, and the C-terminal domain, including residues known to be required for Pol II binding (amino acids 73-75) and interaction with ORF34 (amino acid 328).
(B) HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with full-length or truncated FLAG-tagged ORF24 and co-immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG beads followed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies to detect ORF24 and Pol II.

binding to endogenous Pol II. The smallest fragment of ORF24 that retained the Pol II

interaction consisted of amino acids (a.a.) 1-201, which we termed the ORF24 N-terminal

domain (ORF24-NTD) (**Figure 1B**). Notably, the remainder of the protein (a.a. 202-752), which

includes the vTBP domain and a region known to interact with the vTA ORF34 (Davis et al.,

2016), failed to co-immunoprecipitate Pol II. Thus, ORF24 contains an NTD that is both

necessary and sufficient for Pol II binding, which is separable from the other two known

functions of the protein.

Negative stain electron microscopy of PICs with GST-ORF24-NTD suggests an

interaction with the Pol II stalk

We next sought to determine how ORF24-NTD interacts with Pol II within a minimal PIC.

To generate purified ORF24-NTD for this purpose, we appended it to an N-terminal glutathione-

S-transferase (GST) tag, and achieved robust expression of the protein in *E. coli*, similar to that

of GST alone (**Figure 2A**). We confirmed that GST-tagged ORF24-NTD retained the ability to

interact with Pol II using a GST pulldown with GST-ORF24-NTD and whole cell lysate from

HEK293T cells, suggesting that recombinantly expressed GST-ORF24-NTD is well-folded

(**Figure 2B**).

To assemble a minimal PIC that includes ORF24-NTD, we used a streptavidin-

immobilized DNA scaffold based on the super core promoter element (He et al., 2013), which

contains the TATA, BRE, and INR core promoter elements (**Figure 2C**). Human TBP, TFIIA,

TFIIB, and TFIIF were purified from *E. coli*, and Pol II was immunopurified from HeLa cell

extracts (Revyakin et al., 2012). We expected that TBP binding to the TATA motif would initiate

formation of the PIC with binding of TFIIA and TFIIB, followed by Pol II and TFIIF recruitment,

and then binding of GST-ORF24-NTD. Therefore, any additional density beyond that seen in the

well-characterized minimal PIC (DNA/TBP/TFIIA/TFIIB/Pol II/TFIIF) (He et al., 2013) could be

attributed to ORF24-NTD.

Figure 2. ORF24-NTD binds Pol II in minimal PICs.
(A) Colloidal Coomassie gel demonstrating that GST and GST-ORF24-NTD can be recombinantly expressed in *E. coli* and purified by glutathione sepharose purification.
(B) GST or GST-ORF24-NTD was incubated in HEK293T whole cell lysate, then subjected to affinity purification using glutathione magnetic beads followed by western blotting.
(C) Sequential reconstitution strategy for a minimal PIC containing GST-ORF24-NTD.
(D) Representative reference-free two-dimensional class averages of negatively stained minimal PICs (TBP/TFIIA/TFIIB/TFIIF/Pol II/DNA) assembled in the presence of GST-ORF24-NTD. Three classes on the left show different views of the minimal PIC alone, with the class average in the upper-left annotated with the main features of a minimal PIC particle. The nine class averages on the right show diffuse density in various positions around the Pol II stalk attributed to bound GST-ORF24-NTD (green arrows).
(E) Representative three-dimensional class averages of negatively stained minimal PICs assembled in the presence of GST-ORF24-NTD. Classes 1 and 2 exhibit two major areas occupied by bound GST-ORF24-NTD proximal to the Pol II stalk, while class 5 does not exhibit any such density near the Pol II stalk. Solid surfaces are colored by subunit, while a lower intensity iso-surface is shown in transparency to reveal the weaker density attributed to bound GST-ORF24-NTD (green arrows).
(F) Difference mapping of the densities attributed to bound GST-ORF24-NTD. Shown on the left are two-dimensional projections of class 1 (top) and 2 (bottom) from (E), and on the right are the difference maps, called "Class 1 Δ" and "Class 2 Δ", calculated by subtracting Class 5 from each of the respective classes.
(G) Three-dimensional difference maps corresponding to the extra density attributed to bound GST-ORF24-NTD, mapped onto the structure of the minimal PIC (PDB 5IYA).
(H) Zoomed in view of (G) with the structure of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Rpb1 (PDB 3H0G) superposed onto the human structure to show the location of the beginning portion of the Rpb1 CTD within the Pol II stalk. Note that only the very N-terminal portion of the Rpb1 CTD is visible in this structure, with >450 amino acids following this sequence in the CTD of human Rpb1.

The minimal PIC was assembled by sequentially incubating the DNA scaffold with TBP,

TFIIA and TFIIB, Pol II and TFIIF, and GST-ORF24-NTD, followed by immobilization on

streptavidin-coated beads, and finally washing and elution from the beads (**Figure 2C**). We

performed single particle negative stain EM of this assembled complex containing GST-ORF24-

NTD, and searched for particles that displayed extra density beyond the well-defined minimal

PIC structure. A total of 79,381 PIC particle images were analyzed by two-dimensional and

three-dimensional classification, and approximately 25% showed clear extra density in the

resulting class averages (**Figure 2D** and **Supplemental Figure 1**). Two of the three-

dimensional classes (called Class 1 and 2) exhibit extra density on opposing faces of the Pol II

stalk (**Figure 2D**), while a third class (Class 5) is comprised of the majority of particles without

extra density near the Pol II stalk. Thus, Class 5 was used to subtract the density corresponding

to the minimal PIC from Classes 1 and 2, resulting in difference maps that clearly show the

region occupied by bound GST-ORF24-NTD (**Figure 2F**).

159   By superimposing the three-dimensional difference maps representing the extra density

attributed to GST-ORF24-NTD onto the cryo-EM structure of the human minimal PIC (**Figure**

2G) (He et al., 2016), it became evident that the ORF24-NTD is flexibly bound to a region of Pol

II near the Rpb4/7 stalk module. An inherently flexible domain of Pol II is the CTD of the largest

subunit, Rpb1, which contains a linker followed by 52 heptapeptide repeats (Harlen and

Churchman, 2017) that is not visualized by EM due to its disordered structure. Docking of the

crystal structure of Rpb1 from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (PDB 3H0G) (Spahr et al., 2009),

in which the structure of the CTD linker region is partially resolved and can be seen to extend

along the surface of the stalk module, further indicates that the ORF24-NTD may be interacting

with the flexible CTD of Pol II (**Figure 2H**).

ORF24-NTD binds the CTD repeats of Rpb1

171   Based on the EM results, we hypothesized that ORF24 could be interacting with either

Rpb4/7, the Rpb1 linker, or the Rpb1 CTD heptapeptide repeats. We therefore assessed

whether recombinantly purified versions of each of these factors bound purified ORF24-NTD.

We first performed GST-pulldown assays with GST-tagged Rpb1 CTD or Rpb1 linker and

maltose-binding protein (MBP)-tagged ORF24-NTD. As a control, we also purified an MBP-

tagged mutant version of ORF24-NTD, in which the three conserved leucines at positions L73-

75 were mutated to alanines (ORF24-NTD-3L_A). This mutation renders the protein unable to

interact with Pol II in cells (Davis et al., 2015). WT ORF24-NTD bound to the Rpb1 CTD

repeats, but not to the Rpb1 linker region (**Figure 3A**). This interaction was specific and

mimicked the results in mammalian cell lysate, as no binding to either CTD fusion was observed

with ORF24-NTD-3L_A (**Figure 3A**). We also performed Strep pulldown assays using ORF24-

NTD-Strep and the heterodimeric GST-Rpb4/Rpb7-His complex. Again, ORF24-NTD interacted

with GST-CTD, but not with GST-Rpb4/Rpb7-His (**Figure 3B**). Thus, recombinant ORF24-NTD

directly interacts with recombinant Pol II CTD repeats *in vitro*, and enrichment using tags on

either the Pol II CTD or on ORF24 allows for the isolation of the other. The lack of interaction

with the CTD linker domain or the Rpb4/7 stalk suggests that the CTD repeats are likely to be

Figure 3. ORF24-NTD directly interacts with four heptapeptide repeats of the Pol II CTD.
(A) Recombinantly purified GST-CTD repeats or the GST-CTD linker were incubated with either purified MBP-ORF24-NTD or MBP-ORF24-NTD-3L_A protein, then subjected to a glutathione pulldown. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot.
(B) Recombinantly purified GST-CTD repeats or GST-Rpb4/His-Rpb7 heterodimer were incubated with recombinantly purified Strep-tagged ORF24-NTD, then subjected to a StrepTactinXT pulldown. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot.
(C) Recombinantly purified full-length GST-CTD repeats (52 repeats) shorter GST-CTD constructs (10, 4, or 2 repeats), or GST itself were incubated with recombinantly purified Strep-tagged ORF24-NTD, then subjected to a StrepTactinXT pulldown. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and stained with colloidal Coomassie.

the primary point of Pol II contact with ORF24.

The CTD is a key regulatory component of Pol II, responsible for coordinating signals

through interactions with multiple transcriptional modulators (Harlen and Churchman, 2017).

CTD binding proteins engage with the heptapeptide repeats in a variety of ways, from

recognition of a few residues of a repeat (in the case of kinases that promote phosphorylation at

conserved serines, threonines, or tyrosines within a given repeat), to multiple consecutive

repeats (in the case of Mediator (Robinson et al., 2012)), to recognition of the intrinsically

disordered entire domain (in the case of newly-appreciated phase-separated interactions (Lu et

al., 2018, Boehning et al., 2018)). To determine which of these types of interactions occur

between the CTD and ORF24, we performed Strep pulldown assays with purified ORF24-NTD-

Strep and recombinant GST-CTD constructs containing either 2, 4, 10, or 52 (full length)

heptapeptide repeats. The recombinant GST-CTD used in these assays was unphosphorylated,

as our previous results demonstrated that ORF24 co-immunoprecipitates only

hypophosphorylated Pol II from mammalian cells (Davis et al., 2015). Notably, ORF24

interacted with 4x, 10x, and 52xCTD, but failed to interact with either 2xCTD repeats or with

control GST (**Figure 3C**). Therefore, similar to the Mediator complex (Robinson et al., 2012),

ORF24 likely engages four tandem repeats to interact with the Pol II CTD.

Conserved leucine residues are essential for interaction with Pol II

Given the importance of the ORF24-Pol II interaction for late gene transcription, we

sought to more precisely define which ORF24 residues were required for Pol II binding in cells.

We first evaluated whether all three of the leucine residues previously studied (3L_A; (Davis et

al., 2015)) contribute equally to the interaction. Each of the leucine residues was mutated to

alanine individually and in pairs to generate all possible combinations of leucine to alanine

mutations. The individual leucine mutants had distinct phenotypes: L75A almost completely

disrupted the ORF24-Pol II interaction, whereas the L74A and L73A mutations caused

moderate or mild binding defects, respectively (**Supplemental Figure 2A**). Combinations of any

two leucine mutations completely abolished the interaction between ORF24 and Pol II

(**Supplemental Figure 2A**). These results suggest that the leucine residues have an additive

effect on the Pol II interaction and do not contribute to the interaction equally. Mutation of other

residues within the RLLLG motif (ORF24 R72A, which is conserved) and close to the RLLLG

motif (ORF24 R68A, which is not conserved) similarly ablated the ORF24-Pol II interaction

(**Supplemental Figure 2B, 3**). Thus, although the RLLLG motif is necessary for Pol II

recruitment, additional contacts elsewhere in the domain are required. These additional contacts

are not perfectly conserved between the β- and γ-herpesviruses and are suggestive of plasticity

in the mechanism of Pol II binding.

Identification of a minimal Pol II-interaction domain in the β- and γ-herpesviruses

β- and γ-herpesviral homologs of ORF24 from murine gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV68;

mu24), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV; BcRF1), and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV; UL87) also

interact with Pol II in cells (Aubry et al., 2014, Davis et al., 2015, Nobre et al., 2019). The RLLLG

motif is well-conserved in all β- and γ-herpesvirus homologs, despite overall poor sequence

identity (**Supplemental Figure 3**), suggesting that the NTD of ORF24 homologs may also be

responsible for Pol II recruitment. To test if the three conserved leucine residues are involved in

the homolog-Pol II interactions, we generated triple leucine mutants (3L_A) in UL87, mu24, and

BcRF1. Similarly to ORF24, mutation of the RLLLG motif ablated their interactions with Pol II

(**Figure 4**), suggesting that vTBPs interact with Pol II through their respective N-terminal

domains in a manner dependent upon this highly conserved patch of residues, although other

contacts are likely required (**Supplemental Figure 2**).

Figure 4. The RLLLG motif in ORF24 homologs from other β- and γ-herpesviruses is required for interaction with Pol II.
Full-length WT or 3L_A mutants, which mutates the conserved RLLLG motif, of Strep-tagged ORF24 or homologs from MHV68 (mu24), EBV (BcRF1), and HCMV (UL87) were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells then coimmunoprecipitated with StrepTactinXT beads followed by Western blotting.

We next sought to determine if the NTD of homologs of ORF24 was sufficient for Pol II

interaction, with the goal of identifying whether the β- and γ-herpesviruses share a common

domain for polymerase recruitment. Based on the boundary of the ORF24-NTD identified in

Figure 1 and sequence alignments (**Supplemental Figure 3**), we designed constructs for mu24,

BcRF1, and UL87 that encompassed either an analogous NTD, or versions of all the homologs

wherein the domain is truncated by ten a.a. or extended by 25 a.a. (**Figure 5A**). Based on

isoelectric point (pI) calculations (Kozlowski, 2016), the full-length proteins are predicted to be

electropositive, but the N-terminal protein-protein interaction domains are predicted to be

electronegative (**Figure 5B**). The BcRF1 NTD appears to be an outlier in terms of predicted pI,

which may change its physical properties when taken out of context of the full-length protein.

As expected, Strep-tagged ORF24 a.a. 1-201 (ORF24-NTD) or a.a. 1-226 both

interacted with Pol II in Strep affinity purifications from whole cell lysate (**Figure 5C**). Notably,

reducing the ORF24-NTD by even ten a.a. eliminated its ability to interact with Pol II, suggesting

that ORF24-NTD (a.a. 1-201) is, or is nearly, the minimal domain for the Pol II interaction

(**Figure 5C**). The mu24 and UL87 proteins showed a similar pattern, in which the predicted

domain equivalent to ORF24-NTD was sufficient for Pol II interaction, but further truncation by

10 a.a. eliminated the interaction (**Figure 5D, F**). In contrast, all truncations of BcRF1 failed to

interact with Pol II (**Figure 5E**).

The minimal Pol II interaction domain identified here varies greatly in length (191 a.a. in

mu24 vs. 248 a.a. in UL87) and in sequence, as no residues are conserved in both β- and γ-

herpesviruses other than the RLLLG motif (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Despite this significant

variation, ORF24 and its homologs have converged on a mechanism for Pol II recruitment that

is primarily mediated by specific N-terminal domains.

Figure 5. The N-terminal domain of ORF24 homologs from other β- and γ-herpesviruses is sufficient for interaction with Pol II.

(A) Schematic of truncated constructs. The minimal domain of each homolog, corresponding to ORF24 1-201, was predicted based on a sequence alignment (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Additional constructs included ones in which the minimal domain was extended by 25 amino acids or shortened by 10 amino acids.

(B) Predicted isoelectric point for the constructs described in (A).

(C-F) Full-length or truncated Strep-tagged constructs of ORF24 (C) or homologs from MHV68 (mu24; D), EBV (BcRF1; E), and HCMV (UL87; F) were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells then coimmunoprecipitated with StrepTactinXT beads followed by Western blotting.

Chimeric ORF24 retains its ability to interact with Pol II and ORF34

We next wondered if the Pol II recruitment function of the N-terminal domain behaves

independently of the other ORF24 functions in vTA and DNA binding. To test this, we generated

chimeras of ORF24 wherein the ORF24-NTD (a.a. 1-201) was replaced by the minimal NTD of

its homologs (**Figure 6A**). These chimeras retain the region of ORF24 that we previously

identified as important for the ORF24-ORF34 interaction (Davis et al., 2016) as well as the

ORF24 vTBP domain (Wyrwicz and Rychlewski, 2007) and C-terminal domain. We noted that

the full-length homologs of ORF24 do not interact with KSHV ORF34, despite conservation of

an arginine (ORF24 R328) essential for the ORF24-ORF34 interaction (Davis et al., 2016)

(**Figure 6B**). However, each of the NTD chimeras interacted with both Pol II and KSHV ORF34,

suggesting that the minimal NTD is sufficiently well-folded when fused to ORF24 a.a. 202-752

(**Figure 6C**). Interestingly, although the minimal domain of BcRF1 alone failed to interact with

Pol II by co-IP (**Figure 5E**), it is capable of interaction with Pol II when fused to ORF24 (**Figure**

6C), suggesting that the isolated fragment may have properties (i.e. isoelectric point- see

Figure 5B) not compatible with Pol II interaction *in vitro*.

Finally, we assessed the ability of the NTD chimeras to functionally complement ORF24

using an established transfection-based late gene transcription assay (Castaneda and

Glaunsinger, 2019, Davis et al., 2016, Didychuk et al., 2019). The six vTAs (ORFs 18, 30, 31,

34, 66 and either WT ORF24, its homologs, or chimeras) were co-transfected into HEK293T

cells, along with a firefly luciferase reporter controlled by the K8.1 late gene promoter or, as a

control, the early ORF57 promoter. A Renilla luciferase reporter was also included to control for

transfection efficiency. Consistent with previous observations (Aubry et al., 2014), none of the

full-length homologs could functionally complement ORF24 to activate the K8.1 promoter

(**Figure 6D**). However, the mu24-ORF24 chimera promoted transcription to levels ~40% that of

wild-type ORF24. Interestingly, neither the BcRF1-ORF24 or UL87-ORF24 chimeras were

functional for late gene transcription, despite the fact that they could interact with both ORF34

and Pol II **(Figure 6C)**. This may suggest that the N-terminal domain has additional functions or

interactions beyond polymerase recruitment that mu24 is able to maintain due to sequence

similarity, or it may simply be that the mu24 fusion (but not the BcRF1 or UL87 fusions) is folded

or oriented in a manner similar enough to ORF24 to be functional. Thus, although the NTD of all

vTBPs interacts with Pol II, other contacts or functions may be necessary to successfully

promote late gene transcription.

Figure 6. Homolog chimeras of ORF24, but not homologs of ORF24, can interact with ORF34 and transactivate late gene promoters.

(A) Schematic of construct design for ORF24 chimeras. The ORF24-NTD (a.a. 1-201) was replaced with the experimentally identified minimal domain of mu24, BcRF1, and UL87. These chimeric constructs retain the N-terminal ORF24-ORF34 interaction region, the ORF24 vTBP domain, and ORF24 C-terminal tail.

(B) Full-length Strep-tagged homologs of ORF24 were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells along with FLAG-tagged ORF34, then coimmunoprecipitated with StrepTactinXT beads followed by Western blotting.

(C) Full-length Strep-tagged chimeras of ORF24 were transiently transfected into HEK293T cells along with FLAG-tagged ORF34, then coimmunoprecipitated with StrepTactinXT beads followed by Western blotting.

(D) HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with a pGL4.16 firefly luciferase plasmid driven by either the ORF57 (early gene) or K8.1 (late gene) promoter. Plasmids encoding either ORF24, its homologs, or the chimeras, along with the five remaining KSHV vTAs (ORFs 18, 30, 31, 34, and 66) and a pRL-TK renilla luciferase plasmid (as a transfection control) were also transfected. After 24 h, cell lysates were harvested and luciferase activity was measured. Fold activation was calculated by normalizing to the firefly/renilla signal in the absence of vTAs.

DISCUSSION

Late gene transcription in the β- and γ-herpesviruses depends on a set of viral transcriptional activators, including a virally-encoded mimic of host TBP. Here, we demonstrate that the N-terminal domain (NTD) of the vTBP from KSHV, ORF24, recruits Pol II through a direct protein-protein interaction with four heptapeptide repeats of the Pol II C-terminal domain (CTD). Conserved residues in the ORF24-NTD are required for this interaction, suggesting that vTBPs in β- and γ-herpesviruses have converged upon a shared strategy for recruitment of Pol II to late gene promoters. Domain swapping experiments between homologs suggest that while the primary function of the NTD of vTBP in β- and γ-herpesviruses is to recruit Pol II to the late gene promoter, the domain may mediate other interactions or functions. A chimeric vTBP in which the minimal Pol II-interacting domain from ORF24 is replaced with that of mu24 is able to recruit Pol II and maintain interactions with other KSHV vTAs in order to form the vPIC and facilitate transcription. This is the first example of functional interchangeability of any subcomponent of the vTA complex. However, the role of vTBP in late gene transcription extends beyond polymerase recruitment, as vTBP also recruits the remainder of the vTAs to the promoter through protein-protein interactions while also directly binding the late gene promoter DNA. Our work conclusively demonstrates that vTBP is unique among eukaryotic transcriptional activators in its ability to simultaneously bind promoter DNA and Pol II, and that this strategy is conserved across the β- and γ-herpesviruses.

Eukaryotic PIC assembly is nucleated by the deployment of TBP onto the promoter DNA by TFIID (Patel et al., 2018) and is followed by recruitment of other GTFs and Pol II. Unlike the direct interaction that occurs between the ORF24 vTBP and Pol II, the interaction between cellular TBP and Pol II is bridged through GTFs. The β- and γ-herpesviruses have thus adopted a solution reminiscent of bacterial sigma factors, wherein vTBP binds promoter DNA while also recruiting the polymerase directly to the promoter (Decker and Hinton, 2013). This raises the question of whether vTBP-mediated Pol II recruitment alters the requirement or roles for other

GTFs that contact Pol II. Of particular interest is the role or presence of TFIIB, which both

interacts with TBP and is necessary for Pol II recruitment early in PIC formation, functions that

may not be necessary in the vPIC given the interactions mediated by vTBP (Chen and Hahn,

2003, Liu et al., 2010). The role or presence of other GTFs in vPIC assembly, including TFIIE

and TFIIH, which are important for promoter opening and phosphorylation of the Pol II CTD, are

also unknown (Nogales et al., 2017). An intriguing possibility is that the other vTAs fulfill some

subset of GTF-like functions during formation of the late gene vPIC. In this regard, we have

recently demonstrated that the KSHV vTAs ORF30 and ORF66 are essential for stable binding

of ORF24 to the K8.1 late gene promoter (Didychuk et al., 2019). Determining which of the

canonical GTFs are engaged in the vPIC will be key to understanding how this unique hybrid

virus-host complex activates late gene transcription.

Our work reveals that ORF24 directly binds hypophosphorylated CTD repeats,

consistent with a role facilitating viral PIC formation on late gene promoters. CTD-interacting

proteins exhibit exceptional diversity in their strategy for recognition of the CTD and in their

preference for phosphorylation (Jeronimo et al., 2013). Relatively few proteins interact with

hypophosphorylated CTD; these proteins (TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIF, and Mediator) are all involved in

PIC formation, as phosphorylation of the CTD results in release from the PIC into elongating

complexes (Eick and Geyer, 2013). The best characterized hypophosphorylated CTD

interacting protein is the Mediator coactivator complex (Soutourina, 2018). Mediator is a multi-

subunit complex, and its interactions with the Pol II CTD are extensive, involving numerous

Mediator subunits (Harper and Taatjes, 2018, Plaschka et al., 2015, Robinson et al., 2012,

Robinson et al., 2016). A crystal structure of the Mediator head module with the CTD revealed

coordination of nearly four CTD repeats (Robinson et al., 2012). Given the requirement for

ORF24-NTD to bind at least four CTD repeats, we are intrigued by the possibility that vTBP

functionally or structurally mimics the Mediator head module. One striking difference is the small

size of the ORF24-NTD domain that nevertheless efficiently binds the CTD. Mediator is thought

to transmit signals from transcription factors bound at regulatory elements to the basal

transcriptional machinery (Allen and Taatjes, 2015). Late gene promoters have exceedingly

minimal promoters and lack identified enhancer elements (Nandakumar and Glaunsinger,

2019); thus, it is unclear if a Mediator-like function is required for transcription, or if recruitment

of Pol II to the promoter is sufficient for transcription. The other vTAs may play a role in bridging

currently unidentified enhancers or other elements, and may communicate this information to

Pol II through the ORF24-ORF34 interaction.

In summary, ORF24 is a viral transcriptional activator that replaces TBP at late gene

promoters and directly recruits Pol II to transcribe viral late genes. That ORF24 binds both the

unphosphorylated CTD of Pol II and promoter DNA makes it unique among known eukaryotic

CTD-interacting proteins. Since these two functions of ORF24 are genetically separable, they

can be characterized independently of one another. Future work to gain atomic-level insight into

how ORF24-NTD coordinates the CTD repeats will advance our understanding of its remarkable

role in the regulation of late gene transcription in the β- and γ-herpesviruses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids

All primer sequences are listed in **Table 1**. All plasmids used in this study have been

deposited to Addgene. The following ORF24 fragments: residues 1-201 (ORF24-NTD)

(Addgene #138420), residues 1-271 (Addgene #138421), and residues 1-133 (Addgene

#138422) were PCR amplified from pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG (Davis et al., 2015) (Addgene

#138423) with primers to introduce BamHI and NotI sites and cloned into pcDNA4/TO-3xFLAG

(C-terminal tag) using T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs). pcDNA4/TO-ORF24 202-752-

3xFLAG (Addgene #138424) was generated by inverse site-directed mutagenesis with Phusion

DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) using pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG as a PCR

template. PCR products from inverse PCR were DpnI treated, then ligated using T4 PNK and

T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs).

To generate the plasmid for GST-ORF24-NTD expression (Addgene #138464), ORF24-

NTD (residues 1-201) was PCR amplified from pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG with primers to

introduce BamHI and NotI sites and cloned into pGEX4T1 using T4 DNA ligase. pGEX4T1

encodes an N-terminal GST tag followed by a thrombin cleavage site. To generate the plasmid

for MBP-ORF24-NTD WT (Addgene #138465) and 3L_A (Addgene #138466) expression,

ORF24-NTD was PCR amplified from pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG WT (Addgene #138423) or

3L_A (Addgene #138425) (Davis et al., 2015) with primers to introduce SacI and BamHI sites

and cloned into pMAL-c2X using T4 DNA ligase. pMAL-c2X encodes an N-terminal MBP tag

and the plasmids were cloned to express ORF24-NTD with a noncleavable MBP tag. ORF24-

NTD was PCR amplified from pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG WT and cloned into the KpnI and

EcoRI sites of plasmid p6H-SUMO3 using InFusion. A C-terminal Strep tag on ORF24-NTD was

added by inverse PCR to generate p6H-SUMO3-ORF24-NTD-Strep (Addgene #138467).

To make the plasmid for GST-Rpb1-linker expression (Addgene #138468), the linker

region of Rpb1 (a.a. 1460-1585) was PCR amplified from HEK293T cDNA with primers to

introduce BamHI and NotI sites and cloned into pGEX4T1 using T4 DNA ligase. Rpb4 was

PCR-amplified from HEK293T cDNA with primers to introduce a BamHI site and cloned into

pGEX4T1 using InFusion. The N-terminal BamHI site was regenerated to keep Rpb4 in the

same reading frame as the GST fusion tag. Rpb7 was PCR amplified from HEK293T cDNA with

primers to introduce a Shine-Delgarno sequence and a C-terminal 6x-His tag and cloned into

the EcoRI and NotI sites of pGEX4T1-Rpb4 using T4 DNA ligase to generate pGEX4T1-Rpb4/7

(Addgene #138484).

The 2x and 4x CTD repeat inserts were ordered as a pair of oligonucleotides from IDT,

and the primers were annealed by cooling from 90°C to room temperature in a water bath. The

10x CTD repeat insert was ordered as a synthesized gene block from IDT (**Table 2**). All CTD

inserts were cloned into the BamHI and NotI sites of pQLink-GST using InFusion cloning

(Addgene #138470-138472) (Clontech). pQLink-GST encodes an N-terminal GST tag followed

by a TEV protease cleavage site.

The following mutations were introduced into pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-3xFLAG using two

primer site-directed mutagenesis with KAPA HiFi polymerase (Roche): L73A (Addgene

#138426), L74A (Addgene #138427), L75A (Addgene #138428), L73A_L75A (Addgene

#138429), L74A_L75A (Addgene #138430), R68A (Addgene #138431), and R72A (Addgene

#138432). The L73A_L74A mutation (Addgene #138433) was introduced using InFusion

(Clontech) site-directed mutagenesis.

Full-length ORF24 with a C-terminal Strep tag (pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-2xStrep) (Addgene

plasmid #129742) was previously described (Castaneda and Glaunsinger, 2019). Full-length

ORF24 with the 3L_A mutation was subcloned from pcDNA4/TO-ORF24 3L_A-CFLAG

(Addgene #138425) into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep (C-terminal tag)

using InFusion cloning (Addgene #138440). Full-length UL87 was PCR amplified from HCMV

Towne BAC DNA with primers to introduced EcoRI and XhoI sites and cloned into pcDNA4/TO-

2xStrep (C-terminal tag) using T4 DNA ligase (Addgene #138434). Full-length mu24 was PCR

amplified from MHV68-infected 3T3 cell cDNA with primers to introduce BamHI and NotI sites

and cloned into pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep (C-terminal tag) using T4 DNA ligase (Addgene #138435).

Full-length BcRF1 was PCR amplified from pcDNA4/TO-BcRF1-3xFLAG (Davis et al., 2015)

and cloned into the BamHI and XhoI sites of pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep (C-terminal tag) using

InFusion cloning (Addgene #138436). Mutations of the RLLLG motif in UL87, mu24, and BcRF1

(3L_A mutations) (Addgene #138437-138439) were generated using inverse PCR site-directed

mutagenesis.

The minimal domains, minimal domain - 10 a.a., and minimal domain + 25 a.a. for

ORF24, mu24, BcRF1, and UL87 were PCR amplified from these plasmids and cloned into

BamHI/XhoI-cut pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep (C-terminal tag) using InFusion cloning (Addgene

#138441-138452). Chimeras of the minimal domain (NTD) ORF24 homologs with ORF24 202-

752 were generated using two-insert InFusion cloning (Addgene #138453-138455) into

BamHI/XhoI-cut pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep (C-terminal tag).

Plasmid K8.1 Pr pGL4.16 (Addgene plasmid #120377) contains the minimal K8.1

promoter and ORF57 Pr pGL4.16 (Addgene plasmid #120378) contains a minimal ORF57 early

gene promoter and have been described previously (Castaneda and Glaunsinger, 2019).

Plasmids pcDNA4/TO-ORF18-2xStrep (Addgene plasmid #120372), pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-

2xStrep (Addgene plasmid #129742), pcDNA4/TO-ORF30-2xStrep (Addgene plasmid

#129743), pcDNA4/TO-ORF31-2xStrep (Addgene plasmid #129744), pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep-

ORF34 (Addgene plasmid #120376) have been previously described (Castaneda and

Glaunsinger, 2019). Plasmid pRL-TK (Promega) was kindly provided by Dr. Russell Vance.

Tissue Culture and Transfections

HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS (Seradigm). For DNA transfections, HEK293T cells were plated and transfected after 24

hours at 70% confluency with PolyJet (SignaGen).

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

Cell lysates were prepared 24 hours after transfection by washing and pelleting cells in

cold PBS, then resuspending the pellets in IP lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, and protease inhibitor (Roche)] and rotating for 30 minutes at

4°C. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 10 min, then 1-2 mg (as indicated)

of lysate was incubated with pre-washed M2 α-FLAG magnetic beads (Sigma) or MagStrep

"type3" XT beads (IBA) overnight. Beads were washed 3x for 5 min each with IP wash buffer

(50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% NP-40) and eluted by boiling in 2x

Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad).

Lysates and elutions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot in

TBST (Tris-buffered saline, 0.2% Tween 20) using the following primary antibodies: rabbit α-

FLAG (Sigma, 1:2500); Strep-HRP (Millipore, 1:2500); rabbit α-Pol II clone N20 (Santa Cruz,

1:2500); mouse α-GST clone 8-326 (Pierce, 1:2000); mouse α-MBP (NEB, 1:10000); mouse α-

Pol II CTD clone 8WG16 (Abcam, 1:1000), rabbit α-Vinculin (Abcam, 1:1000), mouse anti-

GAPDH (1:1,000; Abcam). Following incubation with primary antibodies, the membranes were

washed with TBST and incubated with the appropriate secondary antibody. The secondary

antibodies used were the following: goat α-mouse-HRP (Southern Biotech, 1:5000) and goat α-

rabbit-HRP (Southern Biotech, 1:5000).

Protein Expression and Purification

GST-ORF24-NTD, GST-CTD linker, and GST. Proteins were expressed in Rosetta 2 cells

(EMD Millipore) grown in LB at 37°C and induced at an OD_{600} of 0.7 with 0.5 mM IPTG for 16

hours at 18°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6500 x *g* for 10 minutes. The cell

pellets were either frozen or immediately resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4,

200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors (Roche)] and lysed by sonication.

The insoluble fraction was removed by centrifugation at 21,000 x *g* for 30 minutes. GST-OR24-

NTD, GST-CTD linker, and GST were purified on Glutathione Sepharose (GE Healthcare) by

batch purification. The proteins were eluted in wash buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) containing 10 mM reduced glutathione and dialyzed into storage

buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol].

GST-xCTD repeats. GST-2xCTD repeats, GST-4xCTD repeats, and GST-10xCTD repeats

were expressed in BL21 Star (DE3) cells grown in Overnight Express Instant TB Medium (EMD

Millipore) at 37°C and induced at an OD_{600} of 1.0 by decreasing the temperature to 18°C and

growing for an additional 16 hours. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6500 x g for 10

minutes. The cell pellets were either frozen or immediately resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM

HEPES, pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, protease inhibitors (Roche)] and lysed

by sonication. The insoluble fraction was removed by centrifugation at 50,000 x g for 30

minutes. The proteins were purified as described above and eluted in wash buffer (50 mM

HEPES, pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 5% glycerol) containing 10 mM reduced glutathione.

MBP-ORF24-NTD. The protein was expressed in Rosetta 2 cells grown in LB at 37°C and

induced at an OD_{600} of 0.7 with 0.5 mM IPTG for 16 hours at 18°C. The cells were harvested by

centrifugation at 6500 x g for 10 minutes. The cell pellets were either frozen or immediately

resuspended in lysis buffer [50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT,

protease inhibitors (Roche)] and lysed by sonication. The insoluble fraction was removed by

centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 30 minutes. MBP-ORF24-NTD was purified by gravity column

chromatography with Amylose Resin (New England Biolabs). The protein was eluted in wash

buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) containing 10 mM

maltose and dialyzed into storage buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1mM DTT, 10%

glycerol).

ORF24-NTD-Strep. The protein was expressed in NiCo21 (DE3) cells (New England Biolabs)

grown in Overnight Express Instant TB Medium (EMD Millipore) at 37°C and induced at an

OD_{600} of 1.0 by decreasing the temperature to 18°C and growing for an additional 16 hours. The

cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6,500 x g for 10 minutes. The cell pellets were either

frozen or immediately resuspended in lysis buffer [100 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl,

0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, protease inhibitors (Roche)]

and lysed by sonication. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 50,000 x g for 30 minutes.

The clarified lysate was filtered through a 0.45 µm PES filter (Foxx Life Sciences). The protein

was purified on an equilibrated HisTrap (GE Healthcare) and step-eluted in wash buffer (100

mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP) containing

500 mM imidazole. The fractions containing 6xHis-SUMO-ORF24-NTD-Strep were pooled. The

SUMO tag was cleaved overnight at 4°C with 1 mg of SenP2 protease. Following cleavage of

the SUMO tag, ORF24-NTD-Strep was purified on an equilibrated StrepTrap (GE Healthcare)

and step-eluted in wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol, 1 mM

TCEP) containing 2.5 mM desthiobiotin (IBA). The Strep elution fractions containing ORF24-

NTD-Strep were pooled and sized on a Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) size exclusion

chromatography (SEC) column in SEC buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM

TCEP, 5% glycerol). The fractions containing ORF24-NTD-Strep were pooled and concentrated

on a 10K Amicon Ultra-15 concentrator (EMD Millipore). Protein aliquots were flash frozen and

stored at -70°C.

Pulldown Assays

GST pulldowns

To test the interaction between GST-ORF24-NTD and Pol II from mammalian cells, 10

µg GST-ORF24-NTD or 10 µg GST was added to 20 µl of washed Glutathione Magnetic

Agarose beads (Pierce) along with 250 µg of 293T whole cell lysate. IP wash buffer (50 mM Tris

pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA) was added to a final volume of 300 µl. The

samples were rotated at 4°C for 1 hour. Following the pulldown, the samples were washed with

IP wash buffer three times for 5 minutes each time. After the last wash, the protein was eluted

by boiling in 2x Laemmli sample buffer (BioRad). The pulldown to test the interaction between

GST-CTD repeats or GST-CTD linker and MBP-ORF24-NTD or MBP-ORF24-NTD 3L_A were

performed as described above. The elutions were resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by western

blot.

Strep pulldowns

To test the interaction between ORF24-NTD and Rpb4/7 or xCTD repeats, 5 µg of

ORF24-NTD-Strep was added to 10 µl of washed MagStrep "type 3" XT Beads (IBA) along with

10 µg of GST-Rpb4/Rpb7-6xHis or GST-xCTD repeats. SEC buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100

mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 5% glycerol) including 0.05% NP-40 and 5 mM DTT was added to a

final volume of 300 µl. The pulldowns were rotated at 4°C for 1 hour followed by three 5 minute

washes. The protein was eluted by boiling in 2x Laemmli sample buffer. Elutions were resolved

by SDS-PAGE on a Stain-free gel (BioRad) followed by western blot.

Late Gene Reporter Assay

HEK293T cells (1 x 10^6 cells) were plated in 6-well plates and after 24 h each well was

transfected with 900 ng of DNA containing 125 ng each of pcDNA4/TO-ORF18-2xStrep, wild-

type pcDNA4/TO-ORF24-2xStrep or a homolog of ORF24, pcDNA4/TO-ORF30-2xStrep,

pcDNA4/TO-ORF31-2xStrep, pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep-ORF34, pcDNA4/TO-ORF66-2xStrep (or as

a control, 750 ng of empty pcDNA4/TO-2xStrep plasmid in lieu of vTA plasmids), with either

K8.1 Pr pGL4.16 or ORF57 Pr pGL4.16, along with 25 ng of pRL-TK as an internal transfection

control. After 24 h, cells were rinsed twice with PBS, lysed by rocking for 15 min at room

temperature in 500 µL of Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega), and clarified by centrifugation at

21,000 x g for 2 min. 20 µL of the clarified lysate was added in triplicate to a white chimney well

microplate (Greiner Bio-One) to measure luminescence on a Tecan M1000 microplate reader

using a Dual Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega). The firefly luminescence was normalized to the

internal Renilla luciferase control for each transfection. All samples were normalized to the

corresponding control containing empty plasmid.

Negative Stain Electron Microscopy

PIC assembly and purification. TBP, TFIIA, and TFIIB were recombinantly expressed and

purified from *E. coli*. Pol II was immunopurified from HeLa cell nuclear extracts following

previously published protocols (Knuesel et al., 2009, Revyakin et al., 2012). The DNA construct

was previously described (He et al., 2013) and is a SCP (Juven-Gershon et al., 2006)

containing a BREu element upstream of the TATA box (Tsai and Sigler, 2000) and a EcoRI

restriction enzyme site downstream of the INR element for purification purposes. A biotin tag

was coupled to the 5' end of the template strand (Integrated DNA Technologies). The duplex

DNA was generated by annealing the single-stranded template strand DNA with equimolar

amounts of non-template strand DNA at a final concentration of 50 µM in water. The annealing

reaction was carried out by incubating at 98°C for 2 minutes followed by cooling to room

temperature at a rate of 1°C per second.

PICs were assembled in assembly buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 0.2 mM EDTA, 10%

glycerol, 6 mM $MgCl_2$, 80 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% NP-40). DNA was used as a scaffold and

purified TBP, TFIIA, TFIIB, Pol II, TFIIF, and GST-ORF24-NTD were sequentially added into the

assembly buffer. Following assembly of the PICs, the reaction was incubated at 28°C for 15

minutes using a 1:10 dilution of magnetic streptavidin T1 beads (Invitrogen), which had been

previously equilibrated in assembly buffer. The beads were washed three times with wash buffer

(10 mM HEPES, 3% trehalose, 8 mM $MgCl_2$, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.025% NP-40). The

complex was eluted by incubation at 28°C for 1 hour in digestion buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9,

3% trehalose, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.01% NP-40, 1 unit $µL^{-1}$ EcoRI-HF (New

England Biolabs)). After elution, purified PIC was crosslinked on ice in 0.05% glutaraldehyde for

5 minutes then immediately used for EM sample preparation.

Electron microscopy. Negative stain samples of PIC were prepared on a 400 mesh copper

grid containing a continuous carbon supporting layer. The grid was plasma-cleaned for 10

seconds using a Solarus plasma cleaner (Gatan). An aliquot (3.5 µL) of the purified sample was

placed onto the grid and allowed to absorb for 5 minutes at 100% humidity. The grid was then

placed sample-side-down on five successive 75 µL drops of 2% (w/v) uranyl formate solution for

10 seconds on each drop followed by blotting to dryness. Data collection was performed on a

Tecnai F20 TWIN transmission electron microscope operating at 120 keV at a nominal

magnification of 80,000X (1.5 Å/pixel). The data were collected on a 4k X 4k CCD (Gatan) using

low-dose procedures (20 e$^-$ Å^{-2} total dose per exposure), using Leginon software to

automatically focus and collect exposure images.

Image processing. Data pre-processing was performed using the Appion processing

environment (Lander et al., 2009). Particles were automatically selected from the micrographs

using a difference of Gaussians (DoG) particle picker (Voss et al., 2009). The contrast transfer

function (CTF) of each micrograph was estimated using both ACE2 and CTFFind (Mallick et al.,

2005, Mindell and Grigorieff, 2003). Boxed particle images were extracted using a box size of

256 X 256 pixels from images whose ACE2 confidence value was greater than 0.8, phases

were flipped, and images were normalized using the XMIPP to remove pixels which were above

or below 4.5σ of the mean value (Sorzano et al., 2004). The particle stack was binned by a

factor of two and two-dimensional classification was conducted using iterative multireference

alignment analysis (MSA-MRA) within the IMAGIC software (van Heel et al., 1996).

Three-dimensional reconstruction. Particles belonging to bad two-dimensional classes were

thrown out, resulting in a stack of 79381 single particle images that were used for three-

dimensional analysis. Three-dimensional classification was performed within RELION (Scheres,

2012), using the cryo-EM structural of a minimal PIC (EMD-2305, (He et al., 2013)), low-pass

filtered to 50 Å resolution, as an initial reference, and sorted into 6 classes. The resolution of the

reconstructions containing GST-ORF24-NTD were estimated to be ~20 Å.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Jie Fang for purification of human GTFs and Pol II, and Patricia Grob and

Abhiram Chintangal for microscope and computational support, respectively. We are thankful to

all members of the Glaunsinger lab, especially Divya Nandakumar, for helpful discussions and

suggestions. This research was supported by NIH R01AI122528 to B.G. and R01GM63072 and

R35GM127018 to E.N. A.C. was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate

Research Fellowship (DGE 1752814) and a UC Berkeley Chancellor's Fellowship. A.L.D. is The

Rhee Family Fellow of the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (DRG-2349-18). B.G.

and E.N. are investigators of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None

634 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

79381 total particles

Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	Class 5	Class 6
6763 particles	12788 particles	12042 particles	3595 particles	36825 particles	7509 particles
8.52%	16.11%	15.17%	4.35%	46.39%	9.46%

19552 total particles (~25%)
with ORF24-related density

639
640

Supplemental Figure 1. (associated with Figure 2)
Two-dimensional projections of the three-dimensional classes resulting from sorting the single
particle EM images of negatively stained minimal PICs (TBP/TFIIA/TFIIB/TFIIF/Pol II/DNA)
assembled in the presence of GST-ORF24-NTD. The number of particles assigned to each
class and the percent of total particles are indicated. Classes 1, 2, and 5 were used for the
difference mapping shown in Figure 2.

Supplemental Figure 2.

HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with full-length wild-type or mutant FLAG-tagged ORF24 and co-immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG beads followed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies to detect ORF24 and Pol II.
(A) Mutations in the triple leucine motif are additive in their effect in disrupting the interaction between ORF24 and Pol II.
(B) Mutations outside of the triple leucine motif similarly disrupt the interaction between ORF24 and Pol II.

Supplemental Figure 3. (associated with Figure 5)
Multiple sequence alignment of homologs of ORF24 from other β- and γ-herpesviruses. The
conserved triple leucine motif is highlighted in red. The location of truncations for the constructs
used in Figure 5 are highlighted in yellow, teal, and purple.

710 **Table 1. List of oligonucleotides used in this study**

#	Name	Sequence 5'-3'	Figure	Construct
1	ORF24 1-133_pCDNA_F	GCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-133-CFLAG
2	ORF24 1-133_pCDNA_R	TCGAGCGGCCGCCCACAATCATCGGTAAGTTCCCATGATC	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-133-CFLAG
3	ORF24 1-201_pCDNA_F	GCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-201-CFLAG
4	ORF24 1-201_pCDNA_R	TCGAGCGGCCGCCCCTCCAGGAGTGCAAAATAATTTTGATAGATTG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-201-CFLAG
5	ORF24 1-271_pCDNA_F	GCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-271-CFLAG
6	ORF24 1-271_pCDNA_R	TCGAGCGGCCGCTTCTTGACGTCCTGGTGCTTACTCT	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-271-CFLAG
7	ORF24 202-752_pCDNA_F	ATGAGCCTGAAGCATCTCTCG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 202-752-CFLAG
8	ORF24 202-752_pCDNA_R	GGATCCGAGCTCGGTACCAAG	1	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 202-752-CFLAG
9	ORF24 1-201_pGEX_F	GCGTGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGG	1, 2	pGEX4T1-ORF24-NTD
10	ORF24 1-201_pGEX_R	CGATGCGGCCGCTTACTCCAGGAGTGCAAAATAATTTTGATAGATTGTG	1, 2	pGEX4T1-ORF24-NTD
11	ORF24 1-201_pMAL_F	ATTCGAGCTCAATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGG	3	pMAL-c2x-ORF24-NTD, WT and ΔLLL
12	ORF24 1-201_pMAL_R	TAGAGGATCCTTACTCCAGGAGTGCAAAATAATTTTGATAGATTGT	3	pMAL-c2x-ORF24-NTD, WT and ΔLLL
13	Rpb1_Linker aa 1460-1585_F	GCGTGGATCCCTGGGCCAGCTGGCTC	3	pGEX4T1-Rpb1-linker
14	Rpb1_Linker aa 1460-1585_R	CGATGCGGCCGCTGGTGAAGGGATGTAGGGGCT	3	pGEX4T1-Rpb1-linker
15	Rpb4 pGEX4T1_F	GGTTCCGCGTGGATCCATGGCGGCGGGTGG	3	pGEX4T1-hsRpb4
16	Rpb4 pGEX4T1_R	GGAATTCCGGGGATCTTAATACTGAAAGCTGCGCT	3	pGEX4T1-hsRpb4
17	Rpb7 pGEX4T1_F	CCCCGGAATTCaggaggTAATTAAATatgttctaccatatctccctagagcac	3	pGEx4T1-hsRpb4/7
18	Rpb7 pGEX4T1_R	CGATGCGGCCGCttagtgatggtggtgatggtggcttacaagccccaagtaatcgt	3	pGEX4T1-hsRpb4/7
19	ORF24_2-201_p6H-SUMO3_F	cagcagacgggaggggGCAGCGCTCGAGGGC	3	p6H-SUMO3-ORF24-NTD-Strep
20	ORF24_2-201_p6H-SUMO3_R	gacggagctcgaattTTATTTTTCAAACTGCGGATGGCTCCACTCCAGGAGTGCAA AAATAATTTTGATAGATTG	3	p6H-SUMO3-ORF24-NTD-Strep
21	2xCTD_repeat_pQLink_F	TTATTTTCAGGGATCCTATTCTCCGACCTCTCCATCATACAGCCCTACCTC CCCGTCCTAGGCGGCCGCCTAGGACCC	3	pQLink-GST-2xCTD
22	2xCTD_repeat_pQLink_R	GGGTCCTAGGCGGCCGCCTAGGACGGGGAGGTAGGGCTGTATGATGGA GAGGTCGGAGAATAGGATCCCTGAAAATAA	3	pQLink-GST-2xCTD
23	4xCTD_repeat_pQLink_F	TTATTTTCAGGGATCCTATAGCCCCACGAGCCCTTCTTACTCTCCGACCTC ACCATCCTATTCGCCTACTAGCCCGAGTTACAGTCCCACATCTCCGTCCT AGGCGGCCGCCTAGGACCC	3	pQLink-GST-4xCTD
24	4xCTD_repeat_pQLink_R	GGGTCCTAGGCGGCCGCCTAGGACGGAGATGTGGGACTGTAACTCGGG CTAGTAGGCGAATAGGATGGTGAGGTCGGAGAGTAAGAAGGGCTCGTGG GGCTATAGGATCCCTGAAAATAA	3	pQLink-GST-4xCTD
25	ORF24 (L73A)_F	CCCCAGGAGTGCTCTGCGTATCGCTCTGCAG	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A-CFLAG
26	ORF24 (L73A)_R	CTGCAGAGCGATACGCAGAGCACTCCTGGGG	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A-CFLAG
27	ORF24 (L74A)_F	GCGTTCCCCCAGGGCTAGTCTGCGTATCGC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L74A-CFLAG
28	ORF24 (L74A)_R	GCGATACGCAGACTAGCCCTGGGGGAACGC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L74A-CFLAG
29	ORF24 (L75A)_F	GGAGGCGTTCCCCCGCGAGTAGTCTGCGTA	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L75A-CFLAG
30	ORF24 (L75A)_R	TACGCAGACTACTCGCGGGGGAACGCCTCC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L75A-CFLAG
31	ORF24 (L73A_L75A)_F	GGCGTTCCCCCGCGAGTGCTCTGCGTATCGCTCTGCAGGC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A/L75A-CFLAG
32	ORF24 (L73A_L75A)_R	GCCTGCAGAGCGATACGCAGAGCACTCGCGGGGGAACGCC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A/L75A-CFLAG
33	ORF24 (L74A_L75A)_F	GGAGGCGTTCCCCCGCGGCTAGTCTGCGTATCGCTCTGC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L74A/L75A-CFLAG
34	ORF24 (L74A_L75A)_R	GCAGAGCGATACGCAGACTAGCCGCGGGGGAACGCCTCC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L74A/L75A-CFLAG
35	ORF24 (L73A_L74A)_F	GCAGAGCAGCCCTGGGGGAACGCCTCCACC	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A/L74A-CFLAG
36	ORF24 (L73A_L74A)_R	CCAGGGCTGCTCTGCGTATCGCTCTGCAGG	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 L73A/L74A-CFLAG
37	ORF24 (R68A)_F	gtagtctgcgtatcgctgcgcaggcgaaaaaggtgg	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 R68A-CFLAG
38	ORF24 (R68A)_R	ccacctttttcgcctgcgcagcgatacgcagactac	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 R68A-CFLAG
39	ORF24 (R72A)_F	ccccccaggagtagtgcgcgtatcgctctgc	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 R72A-CFLAG
40	ORF24 (R72A)_R	gcagagcgatacgcgcactacctgggggg	S2	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 R72A-CFLAG
41	ORF24_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGGC	4	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 3L_A-CSTREP
42	ORF24_R	caccgcctccctcgaggacCAGCGGACG	4	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 3L_A-CSTREP
43	BcRF1_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACACAAGGTAAGAGGGAGATGG	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-CSTREP
44	BcRF1_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCACTTGAGCATCACGGCAGTGG	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-CSTREP
45	BcRF1 (3L_A)_F	GCCGCGGCAggcgttatccgaataaatgactgccaggag	4	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 3L_A-CSTREP
46	BcRF1 (3L_A)_R	acgacgcatagcccgacacgcgtaaaaggtg	4	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 3L_A-CSTREP
47	mu24_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACAATATTCTTACCAGTATTCTGTGATTTGC	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-CSTREP
48	mu24_R	CTCCCTCGAGCGGCCGCCCGGAGTCTGGTTGGCAAGG	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-CSTREP
49	mu24 (3L_A)_F	GCCGCGGCAggcataagactgtacccaacctgcaatactg	4	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 3L_A-CSTREP
50	mu24 (3L_A)_R	tcttcttaaagctctacataaacagaatgt	4	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 3L_A-CSTREP
51	UL87_F	AAAAGAATTCATGGCCGGCGCTGC	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-CSTREP
52	UL87_R	AAAACTCGAGTCGTGATGCAAACCGCAC	4, 5, 6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-CSTREP
53	UL87 (3L_A)_F	GCCGCGGCAGGACCCGTGGCCGTACCCTGTTTTTGCGAC	4	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 3L_A-CSTREP

54	UL87 (3L_A)_R	ACGACCCAGTACCAGCTTGACACGCTCGGA	4	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 3L_A-CSTREP
55	ORF24_1-191_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-191-CSTREP
56	ORF24_1-191_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGTGTGGGTTGTAGACTATGGGGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-191-CSTREP
57	ORF24_1-201_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-201-CSTREP
58	ORF24_1-201_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCTCCAGGAGTGCAAAATAATTTTG	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-201-CSTREP
59	ORF24_1-226_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCAGCGCTCGAGGGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-226-CSTREP
60	ORF24_1-226_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGGTTAAACTTTAAAAAATGTAGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-ORF24 1-226-CSTREP
61	BcRF1_1-168_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACACAAGGTAAGAGGGAGATGG	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-168-CSTREP
62	BcRF1_1-168_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGGGTCCCTAGGAAGCGACGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-168-CSTREP
63	BcRF1_1-178_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACACAAGGTAAGAGGGAGATGG	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-178-CSTREP
64	BcRF1_1-178_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGAGCCAGCATCTTTGCAGAGTTTTGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-178-CSTREP
65	BcRF1_1-203_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACACAAGGTAAGAGGGAGATGG	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-203-CSTREP
66	BcRF1_1-203_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGGAAGTGGAACTTGAGTCTGGCC	5	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1 1-203-CSTREP
67	mu24_1-181_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACAATATTCTTACCAGTATTC	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-181-CSTREP
68	mu24_1-181_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGAGCCTGGCCCAGGG	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-181-CSTREP
69	mu24_1-191_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACAATATTCTTACCAGTATTC	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-191-CSTREP
70	mu24_1-191_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCTCAGTAAGCTTCAAGTAATTTTTT	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-191-CSTREP
71	mu24_1-216_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACAATATTCTTACCAGTATTC	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-216-CSTREP
72	mu24_1-216_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGATTGAATTTGATATATTCCAAATGA	5	pCDNA4.TO-mu24 1-216-CSTREP
73	UL87_1-238_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCCGGCGCTGCGCCG	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-238-CSTREP
74	UL87_1-238_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGGCGCGGCGCCGCGGCCTCG	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-238-CSTREP
75	UL87_1-248_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCCGGCGCTGCGCCG	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-248-CSTREP
76	UL87_1-248_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGTCCAAAGAGCAGCTTGTAGTGAACC	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-248-CSTREP
77	UL87_1-273_pCDNA_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCCGGCGCTGCGCCG	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-273-CSTREP
78	UL87_1-273_pCDNA_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGAAGCTTTTGCAGCTCCAGC	5	pCDNA4.TO-UL87 1-273-CSTREP
79	BcRF1-ORF24_1_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACACAAGGTAAGAGGGAGATGG	6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-ORF24-CSTREP
80	BcRF1-ORF24_1_R	TTCAGGCTagccagcatctttgc	6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-ORF24-CSTREP
81	BcRF1-ORF24_2_F	gctggctAGCCTGAAGCATC	6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-ORF24-CSTREP
82	BcRF1-ORF24_2_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCAGCGGACGGACGCAACG	6	pCDNA4.TO-BcRF1-ORF24-CSTREP
83	mu24-ORF24_1_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGACAATATTCTTACCAGTATTC	6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-ORF24-CSTREP
84	mu24-ORF24_1_R	TTCAGGCTctcagtaagcttcaagtaattttt	6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-ORF24-CSTREP
85	mu24-ORF24_2_F	tactgagAGCCTGAAGCATCTCT	6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-ORF24-CSTREP
86	mu24-ORF24_2_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCAGCGGACGGACGCAACG	6	pCDNA4.TO-mu24-ORF24-CSTREP
87	UL87-ORF24_1_F	TACCGAGCTCGGATCCATGGCCGGCGCTGCGCCG	6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-ORF24-CSTREP
88	UL87-ORF24_1_R	TTCAGGCTtccaaagagcagct	6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-ORF24-CSTREP
89	UL87-ORF24_2_F	AGCCTGAAGCATCTCTCGTTTTCAAT	6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-ORF24-CSTREP
90	UL87-ORF24_2_R	CACCGCCTCCCTCGAGCAGCGGACGGACGCAACG	6	pCDNA4.TO-UL87-ORF24-CSTREP

739 **Table 2. Nucleotide sequence of synthetic gene blocks used in this study.**

Geneblock Name	Sequence 5'-3'	Figure	Construct
10xCTD_repeat_pQLink_insert	TTATTTTCAGGGATCCTATAGCCCGACGTCGCCGAGTTATTCACCTACGTCCCC ATCATACTCCCCCACGAGCCCTAGTTATTCGCCAACTTCCCCGAGCTATTCCCC AACATCACCCAGCTATAGTCCCACTTCACCCTCCTATTCACCTACGAGTCCATCT TATTCTCCAACCAGTCCTTCGTACTCACCCACGTCCCCATCGTATTCTCCTACTT CCCCTAGCTAGGCGGCCGCCTAGGACCC	3	pQLink-GST-10xCTD

REFERENCES

ALLEN, B. L. & TAATJES, D. J. 2015. The Mediator complex: a central integrator of transcription. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 16**,** 155-66.

AUBRY, V., MURE, F., MARIAME, B., DESCHAMPS, T., WYRWICZ, L. S., MANET, E. & GRUFFAT, H. 2014. Epstein-Barr virus late gene transcription depends on the assembly of a virus-specific preinitiation complex. *J Virol,* 88**,** 12825-38.

BAUER, D. L. V., TELLIER, M., MARTINEZ-ALONSO, M., NOJIMA, T., PROUDFOOT, N. J., MURPHY, S. & FODOR, E. 2018. Influenza Virus Mounts a Two-Pronged Attack on Host RNA Polymerase II Transcription. *Cell Rep,* 23**,** 2119-2129 e3.

BOEHNING, M., DUGAST-DARZACQ, C., RANKOVIC, M., HANSEN, A. S., YU, T., MARIE-NELLY, H., MCSWIGGEN, D. T., KOKIC, G., DAILEY, G. M., CRAMER, P., DARZACQ, X. & ZWECKSTETTER, M. 2018. RNA polymerase II clustering through carboxy-terminal domain phase separation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol,* 25**,** 833-840.

BURATOWSKI, S. 2009. Progression through the RNA polymerase II CTD cycle. *Mol Cell,* 36**,** 541-6.

CASTANEDA, A. F. & GLAUNSINGER, B. A. 2019. The Interaction between ORF18 and ORF30 Is Required for Late Gene Expression in Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus. *J Virol,* 93.

CHEN, H. T. & HAHN, S. 2003. Binding of TFIIB to RNA polymerase II: Mapping the binding site for the TFIIB zinc ribbon domain within the preinitiation complex. *Mol Cell,* 12**,** 437-47.

CHI, T. & CAREY, M. 1996. Assembly of the isomerized TFIIA--TFIID--TATA ternary complex is necessary and sufficient for gene activation. *Genes Dev,* 10**,** 2540-50.

DAVIS, Z. H., HESSER, C. R., PARK, J. & GLAUNSINGER, B. A. 2016. Interaction between ORF24 and ORF34 in the Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Late Gene Transcription Factor Complex Is Essential for Viral Late Gene Expression. *J Virol,* 90**,** 599-604.

DAVIS, Z. H., VERSCHUEREN, E., JANG, G. M., KLEFFMAN, K., JOHNSON, J. R., PARK, J., VON DOLLEN, J., MAHER, M. C., JOHNSON, T., NEWTON, W., JAGER, S., SHALES, M., HORNER, J., HERNANDEZ, R. D., KROGAN, N. J. & GLAUNSINGER, B. A. 2015. Global mapping of herpesvirus-host protein complexes reveals a transcription strategy for late genes. *Mol Cell,* 57**,** 349-60.

DECKER, K. B. & HINTON, D. M. 2013. Transcription regulation at the core: similarities among bacterial, archaeal, and eukaryotic RNA polymerases. *Annu Rev Microbiol,* 67**,** 113-39.

DIDYCHUK, A. L., CASTANEDA, A. F., KUSHNIR, L. O., HUANG, C. J. & GLAUNSINGER, B. A. 2019. Conserved CxnC motifs in Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus ORF66 are required for viral late gene expression and are essential for its interaction with ORF34. *J Virol*.

EICK, D. & GEYER, M. 2013. The RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) code. *Chem Rev,* 113**,** 8456-90.

FEKLISTOV, A., SHARON, B. D., DARST, S. A. & GROSS, C. A. 2014. Bacterial sigma factors: a historical, structural, and genomic perspective. *Annu Rev Microbiol,* 68**,** 357-76.

GRUFFAT, H., KADJOUF, F., MARIAME, B. & MANET, E. 2012. The Epstein-Barr virus BcRF1
gene product is a TBP-like protein with an essential role in late gene expression. *J Virol,*
86**,** 6023-32.
HAIGH, A., GREAVES, R. & O'HARE, P. 1990. Interference with the assembly of a virus-host
transcription complex by peptide competition. *Nature,* 344**,** 257-9.
HARLEN, K. M. & CHURCHMAN, L. S. 2017. The code and beyond: transcription regulation by
the RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 18**,** 263-273.
HARPER, T. M. & TAATJES, D. J. 2018. The complex structure and function of Mediator. *J Biol*
Chem, 293**,** 13778-13785.
HE, Y., FANG, J., TAATJES, D. J. & NOGALES, E. 2013. Structural visualization of key steps in
human transcription initiation. *Nature,* 495**,** 481-6.
HE, Y., YAN, C., FANG, J., INOUYE, C., TJIAN, R., IVANOV, I. & NOGALES, E. 2016. Near-
atomic resolution visualization of human transcription promoter opening. *Nature,* 533**,**
359-65.
JERONIMO, C., BATAILLE, A. R. & ROBERT, F. 2013. The writers, readers, and functions of
the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain code. *Chem Rev,* 113**,** 8491-522.
JUVEN-GERSHON, T., CHENG, S. & KADONAGA, J. T. 2006. Rational design of a super core
promoter that enhances gene expression. *Nat Methods,* 3**,** 917-22.
KNUESEL, M. T., MEYER, K. D., BERNECKY, C. & TAATJES, D. J. 2009. The human CDK8
subcomplex is a molecular switch that controls Mediator coactivator function. *Genes*
Dev, 23**,** 439-51.
KOZLOWSKI, L. P. 2016. IPC - Isoelectric Point Calculator. *Biol Direct,* 11**,** 55.
LANDER, G. C., STAGG, S. M., VOSS, N. R., CHENG, A., FELLMANN, D., PULOKAS, J.,
YOSHIOKA, C., IRVING, C., MULDER, A., LAU, P. W., LYUMKIS, D., POTTER, C. S. &
CARRAGHER, B. 2009. Appion: an integrated, database-driven pipeline to facilitate EM
image processing. *J Struct Biol,* 166**,** 95-102.
LIU, X., BUSHNELL, D. A., WANG, D., CALERO, G. & KORNBERG, R. D. 2010. Structure of
an RNA polymerase II-TFIIB complex and the transcription initiation mechanism.
Science, 327**,** 206-9.
LU, H., FLORES, O., WEINMANN, R. & REINBERG, D. 1991. The nonphosphorylated form of
RNA polymerase II preferentially associates with the preinitiation complex. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A, 88**,** 10004-8.
LU, H., YU, D., HANSEN, A. S., GANGULY, S., LIU, R., HECKERT, A., DARZACQ, X. & ZHOU,
Q. 2018. Phase-separation mechanism for C-terminal hyperphosphorylation of RNA
polymerase II. *Nature,* 558**,** 318-323.
MALLICK, S. P., CARRAGHER, B., POTTER, C. S. & KRIEGMAN, D. J. 2005. ACE: automated
CTF estimation. *Ultramicroscopy,* 104**,** 8-29.
MINDELL, J. A. & GRIGORIEFF, N. 2003. Accurate determination of local defocus and
specimen tilt in electron microscopy. *J Struct Biol,* 142**,** 334-47.
NANDAKUMAR, D. & GLAUNSINGER, B. 2019. An integrative approach identifies direct
targets of the late viral transcription complex and an expanded promoter recognition
motif in Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. *PLoS Pathog,* 15**,** e1007774.
NOBRE, L. V., NIGHTINGALE, K., RAVENHILL, B. J., ANTROBUS, R., SODAY, L., NICHOLS,
J., DAVIES, J. A., SEIRAFIAN, S., WANG, E. C., DAVISON, A. J., WILKINSON, G. W.,
STANTON, R. J., HUTTLIN, E. L. & WEEKES, M. P. 2019. Human cytomegalovirus
interactome analysis identifies degradation hubs, domain associations and viral protein
functions. *Elife,* 8.
NOGALES, E., LOUDER, R. K. & HE, Y. 2017. Structural Insights into the Eukaryotic
Transcription Initiation Machinery. *Annu Rev Biophys,* 46**,** 59-83.

PAN, D., HAN, T., TANG, S., XU, W., BAO, Q., SUN, Y., XUAN, B. & QIAN, Z. 2018. Murine
Cytomegalovirus Protein pM91 Interacts with pM79 and Is Critical for Viral Late Gene
Expression. *J Virol,* 92.
PATEL, A. B., LOUDER, R. K., GREBER, B. J., GRUNBERG, S., LUO, J., FANG, J., LIU, Y.,
RANISH, J., HAHN, S. & NOGALES, E. 2018. Structure of human TFIID and mechanism
of TBP loading onto promoter DNA. *Science,* 362.
PLASCHKA, C., LARIVIERE, L., WENZECK, L., SEIZL, M., HEMANN, M., TEGUNOV, D.,
PETROTCHENKO, E. V., BORCHERS, C. H., BAUMEISTER, W., HERZOG, F., VILLA,
E. & CRAMER, P. 2015. Architecture of the RNA polymerase II-Mediator core initiation
complex. *Nature,* 518**,** 376-80.
REVYAKIN, A., ZHANG, Z., COLEMAN, R. A., LI, Y., INOUYE, C., LUCAS, J. K., PARK, S. R.,
CHU, S. & TJIAN, R. 2012. Transcription initiation by human RNA polymerase II
visualized at single-molecule resolution. *Genes Dev,* 26**,** 1691-702.
ROBINSON, P. J., BUSHNELL, D. A., TRNKA, M. J., BURLINGAME, A. L. & KORNBERG, R.
D. 2012. Structure of the mediator head module bound to the carboxy-terminal domain
of RNA polymerase II. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 109**,** 17931-5.
ROBINSON, P. J., TRNKA, M. J., BUSHNELL, D. A., DAVIS, R. E., MATTEI, P. J.,
BURLINGAME, A. L. & KORNBERG, R. D. 2016. Structure of a Complete Mediator-RNA
Polymerase II Pre-Initiation Complex. *Cell,* 166**,** 1411-1422 e16.
SCHERES, S. H. 2012. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
determination. *J Struct Biol,* 180**,** 519-30.
SERIO, T. R., CAHILL, N., PROUT, M. E. & MILLER, G. 1998. A functionally distinct TATA box
required for late progression through the Epstein-Barr virus life cycle. *J Virol,* 72**,** 8338-
43.
SORZANO, C. O., MARABINI, R., VELAZQUEZ-MURIEL, J., BILBAO-CASTRO, J. R.,
SCHERES, S. H., CARAZO, J. M. & PASCUAL-MONTANO, A. 2004. XMIPP: a new
generation of an open-source image processing package for electron microscopy. *J
Struct Biol,* 148**,** 194-204.
SOUTOURINA, J. 2018. Transcription regulation by the Mediator complex. *Nat Rev Mol Cell
Biol,* 19**,** 262-274.
SPAHR, H., CALERO, G., BUSHNELL, D. A. & KORNBERG, R. D. 2009. Schizosacharomyces
pombe RNA polymerase II at 3.6-A resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 106**,** 9185-90.
TANG, S., YAMANEGI, K. & ZHENG, Z. M. 2004. Requirement of a 12-base-pair TATT-
containing sequence and viral lytic DNA replication in activation of the Kaposi's sarcoma-
associated herpesvirus K8.1 late promoter. *J Virol,* 78**,** 2609-14.
THOMAS, M. C. & CHIANG, C. M. 2006. The general transcription machinery and general
cofactors. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol,* 41**,** 105-78.
TSAI, F. T. & SIGLER, P. B. 2000. Structural basis of preinitiation complex assembly on human
pol II promoters. *EMBO J,* 19**,** 25-36.
VAN HEEL, M., HARAUZ, G., ORLOVA, E. V., SCHMIDT, R. & SCHATZ, M. 1996. A new
generation of the IMAGIC image processing system. *J Struct Biol,* 116**,** 17-24.
VOSS, N. R., YOSHIOKA, C. K., RADERMACHER, M., POTTER, C. S. & CARRAGHER, B.
2009. DoG Picker and TiltPicker: software tools to facilitate particle selection in single
particle electron microscopy. *J Struct Biol,* 166**,** 205-13.
WONG-HO, E., WU, T. T., DAVIS, Z. H., ZHANG, B., HUANG, J., GONG, H., DENG, H., LIU,
F., GLAUNSINGER, B. & SUN, R. 2014. Unconventional sequence requirement for viral
late gene core promoters of murine gammaherpesvirus 68. *J Virol,* 88**,** 3411-22.
WYRWICZ, L. S. & RYCHLEWSKI, L. 2007. Identification of Herpes TATT-binding protein.
Antiviral Res, 75**,** 167-72.
916