1

**The histone variant macroH2A1.1 regulates gene expression by**

**direct association with their transcription start site.**

Ludmila Recoules[1], Alexandre Heurteau[1], Flavien Raynal[1], Fatima Moutahir[1],

Fabienne Bejjani[3], Isabelle Jariel-Encontre[3], Olivier Cuvier[1], Anne-Claire Lavigne[1*]

and Kerstin Bystricky[1,2*].

[1] Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire Eucaryote (LBME), Centre de Biologie

Intégrative (CBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, F-31062 Toulouse, France.

[2] Institut Universitaire de France (IUF).

[3] Institut de Génétique Moléculaire de Montpellier, CNRS, UMR5535, Equipe

Labellisée Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, F-34293, France.

*Corresponding authors.

E-mails : kerstin.bystricky@ibcg.biotoul.fr ; anne-claire.lavigne@ibcg.biotoul.fr

## Abstract

The histone variant macroH2A1 (mH2A1) is involved in cellular growth, differentiation and reprogramming, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are a matter of debate. Different roles of mH2A1 in gene expression may relate to functional differences of its two splicing isoforms, mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2. Here, we map for the first time genome-wide localization of endogenous mH2A1.1 and link the distribution of mH2A1.1 to control of gene expression in human breast cancer cells. In addition to localization shared with mH2A1.2 to facultative heterochromatin, mH2A1.1 specifically associates with regulatory elements required for gene activation, super-enhancers and promoters of highly expressed genes. Depending on the recruitment profile of mH2A1.1 to these elements, selective depletion of mH2A1.1 up- or downregulates its target genes. mH2A1.1 represses transcription when its binding is spread over the entire gene and promoter, and activates transcription when its binding is strictly confined to the transcription start site (TSS). Notably, RNA Polymerase II was frequently in pause at mH2A1.1-activated genes. Functionally, mH2A1.1-dependent regulation of a subset of paused genes impedes mammary tumor cell migration. Molecular mechanisms of mH2A1.1 function at the TSS uncovered by our study define an intriguing new mode of transcription regulation in cancer cells.

## Author Summary

Control of gene expression driving cellular functions from differentiation to epistasis and causing, when dysfunctional, uncountable diseases, relies on modifications of chromatin structure. One key element enabling chromatin plasticity is

the replacement of canonical histones by histone variants. Among histone variants macroH2A1 (mH2A) is an extraordinary H2A variant possessing a large non-histone domain placed outside of the nucleosome. Two splicing isoforms, mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2, are produced, but these are rarely studied separately because they only differ in a 30 amino acid region and are difficult to distinguish experimentally, which likely explains contradictory functions reported in the literature. Here, we take advantage of a mH2A1.1 specific antibody to generate the first genome-wide chromatin-associated map of this histone variant in the invasive breast cancer cells line MDA-MB231. We confirm that mH2A1.1, like mH2A1.2, is enriched at facultative heterochromatin in agreement with its reported role as a repressor. However, we discovered that unlike its splicing isoform, mH2A1.1 specifically binds to super-enhancers and the transcription start site of highly transcribed genes. mH2A1.1 is necessary for regulating transcription of these genes. At the cellular level, we demonstrate that mH2A1.1 inhibits migration capacity of highly metastatic breast cancer cells. Our study characterizes for the first time binding profiles of mH2A1.1 that are linked to regulation of gene expression, thereby providing a new molecular mechanisms which govern the plasticity of human tumor cells.

## Introduction

Compaction of DNA into chromatin modulates DNA accessibility, thereby regulating key molecular processes such as transcription [1,2]. Histone post-translational modifications, chromatin-remodeling enzymes, DNA-binding factors and architectural proteins fine-tune genome organization and dynamics [1,2]. In addition, histone variants replace canonical histones in a locus-specific manner, which endows

chromatin with additional properties required to regulate DNA accessibility and
functions [3].

Among the histone variants, macroH2A1 (mH2A1) is a vertebrate-specific [4,5]
histone H2A variant composed of an N-terminal H2A-like domain (64 % identical to
H2A) and a C-terminal 25 kDa 'macro' domain. These two domains are joined by an
unstructured 41 amino acid long linker that positions the macro domain outside of the
nucleosome [6]. Expression of the highly conserved *H2AFY* gene produces two
splicing isoforms, mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2, whose sequences differ in a 30 amino-
acid region within the macro domain [6].

mH2A1 was originally found to be enriched on the transcriptionally silent X
chromosome [7]. mH2A1 is also present at autosomes, forming large domains in
association with histone marks associated with heterochromatin, such as H3K27me3
and H3K9me3 [8–10]. *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that nucleosomal mH2A1
interferes with binding of the transcription factor NFkB, and inhibits nucleosome
sliding by the remodeling complex SWI/SNF and initiation of RNA polymerase II (Pol
II) transcription [11,12]. Therefore, mH2A1 is believed to play a role in transcriptional
repression. However, in a few cases the presence of mH2A1 has been seen to
correlate with active transcription of a subset of genes involved in a variety of
processes such as cell differentiation, lipid metabolism and cell-cell signaling [8,13–
17]. Thus, the roles of mH2A1 in regulating gene expression are seemingly
contradictory. Studying mH2A1 isoforms separately may help to better understand
their roles.

The two mH2A1 splice variants exhibit tissue- and cell-specific expression
patterns[18]. In normal cells, the mH2A1.2 isoform appears ubiquitously expressed
[19–21]. Mainly incorporated into heterochromatin (X-inactive chromosome and

autosomic heterochromatin) [7–9,22], this splicing isoform is generally associated

with gene repression. However, a few recent studies discovered that mH2A1.2 was

required for gene expression. Indeed, in mouse muscle cells [13], mH2A1.2 binds

muscle-specific enhancers necessary for the activation of the myogenic regulator

network. In human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) [23], binding of mH2A1.2 to gene

promoters seems to control gene expression both positively and negatively.

However, the binding profile of mH2A1.2 in hESC was not conserved in mesoderm-

derived human fibroblasts [23].

In contrast to mH2A1.2, mH2A1.1 is only expressed in differentiated cells with

low proliferation rates [19–21]. In tumors, expression of the mH2A1.1 isoform is

frequently reduced, as compared to normal tissues, suggesting that this isoform is a

tumor suppressor [20,21,24]. In highly metastatic cancers such as triple-negative

breast cancers however, expression levels of mH2A1.1 are increased and correlate

with poor prognosis [24].

Only mH2A1.1 can bind $NAD^+$ metabolites through its macro domain [25] and

interact with the DNA-damage repair and chromatin remodeling factor PARP1 (Poly-

(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase 1) [14,26–28]. Interaction between mH2A1.1 and PARP1

seems to be key for the capacity of mH2A1.1 to regulate DNA damage responses

[29,30], mitochondrial respiration [28] and gene transcription [14,27,31]. In particular,

it was proposed that mH2A1.1  and PARP1 recruit CBP (CREB-binding protein) to

mediate acetylation of H2BK12/K120 and to regulate, in part, mH2A1-target gene

expression in IMR90 cells, without really demonstrated the specific action of

mH2A1.1 with respect to mH2A1.2 [14]. In other hand, recruitment of mH2A1.1 to

repressed signal-inducible genes is required for their stress response [31,32].

Despite this knowledge about functions of mH2A1.1, neither its chromatin

association, independently of the one of mH2A1.2, nor its specific mechanisms of

action during gene activation, was clearly analyzed.

To gain a better understanding of the roles of mH2A1.1 in regulating gene

expression, we determined 1) the genomic localization of mH2A1.1 and 2) its effect

on gene expression. To that end, we generated a ChIP-grade mH2A1.1-specific

antibody. Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) of

mH2A1.1, of total mH2A1 and of a variety of histone marks, shows that both mH2A1

isoforms bind to heterochromatin and to enhancers. Surprisingly, only the mH2A1.1

isoform binds TSSs (Transcription Start Site) of active genes. Interestingly, we show

that mH2A1.1-activated genes are mostly Pol II paused genes. Frequently, these

genes are negatively implied in cell migration in mammary tumor cells. We

demonstrate that mH2A1.1 impedes cell migration whereas mH2A1.2 promotes it.

Our work describes a novel gene activation pathway in cancer cells dependent on

the selective recruitment of mH2A1.1 to the TSS of paused genes.

**Results**

**mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2 preferentially associate with facultative**

**heterochromatin.** We determined the genomic localization of mH2A1.1 in the

claudin-low breast cancer cell line MDA-MB231 which expresses this histone variant

at a high level compared to other types of breast cancer cell lines (S1A, S1B Fig)

[24]. To that end, we developed a ChIP-grade polyclonal rabbit antibody that

exclusively recognizes mH2A1.1 (Ab αmH2A1.1) (S1C-S1F Fig) By ChIP-seq, we

determined the distribution of mH2A1.1 and of total mH2A1 using Ab αmH2A1.1 and

a commercially available ChIP-grade antibody (Ab37264 (Ab αmH2A1)) (S1, S2

Tables and S1G-S1I Fig), respectively.

We identified 29,112 peaks for mH2A1.1 (Ab αmH2A1.1) and 22,789 peaks for

total mH2A1 (Ab αmH2A1), covering combined 13.6 % of the genome. Genome-

wide, ChIP-seq results obtained with these two antibodies were highly similar with a

significant co-occurrence of peaks (Fisher exact test (FET): p-value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ and

Odd ratio = 27.40) and a Pearson coefficient correlation (PCC) of 0.92 (S2A Fig).

Analysis of peaks detected with Ab αmH2A1 and Ab αmH2A1.1 shows that

regions occupied by mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2 do not overlap completely (Fig 1A)

suggesting that some regions are preferentially bound by either one of the variants.

Indeed, a significant number of sites (18,838) is preferentially recognized by Ab

αmH2A1.1 and not by Ab αmH2A1 (Fig 1A). Of note, Ab αmH2A1 has lower efficacy

than Ab αmH2A1.1 in detecting mH2A1.1 and may, when reducing detection

thresholds, recognize a fraction of these sites (S1B, S1G, S1H Fig). Genomic regions

common to both antibodies correspond to one or more peaks exclusively recognized

as mH2A1.1 or both isoforms in unknown relative proportions. For further analysis,

we defined three types of genomic regions: regions incorporating preferentially only

mH2A1.1 (mH2A1.1 only), regions incorporating preferentially only mH2A1.2

(mH2A1.2 only) and regions incorporating either mH2A1.1 or both isoforms

(mH2A1s) (Fig 1A).

These ChIP-seq results raise the possibility that preferential genomic

localization of the mH2A1.1 variant relate to its function. To test this possibility, we

first characterized the distribution of mH2A1.1 ChIP-seq peaks with respect to

selected genomic features (Fig 1B; see Materials and Methods). Nearly one third of

mH2A1.1 peaks were associated with distal intergenic regions and another third of

mH2A1.1 peaks with promoters (TSS+/-1kb) (Fig 1B).

Next, we analyzed mH2A1.1 genomic localization with respect to the

chromatin environment of the identified regions. We first integrated ENCODE ChIP-

seq of heterochromatin histone marks (H3K9me3 and H3K27me3) [33]. We found

that genome-wide, mH2A1.1 ChIP-seq peaks were significantly enriched with the

H3K27me3 repressive histone mark, in a manner comparable to the one observed

using Ab αmH2A1 (Figs 1C and S2A). We confirmed the enrichment of mH2A1.1 on

heterochromatin domains in independent ChIP-qPCR experiments on WT and

mH2A1.1-deficient cells using two different siRNAs directed against mH2A1.1

isoform (S3A-S3E and S4 Figs). Remarkably, even if the related PCCs were low

between H3K9me3 and mH2A1 isoforms (S2A Fig), in particular for mH2A1.1, we

detected that both mH2A1 isoforms overlapped significantly with H3K9me3 (Fig 1C).

At heterochromatin domains (i.e. marked by H3K27me3 and/or H3K9me3), both

mH2A1 ChIP-seq peaks were highly similar with a PCC of 0.94 (S2B Fig).

Surprisingly, 80% of H3K27me3 overlapped with H3K9me3 (Fig 1C), although often

presented as non-overlapping heterochromatin histone marks [34]. However, within

peaks common to H3K27me3 and H3K9me3, the contribution of each mark was

inversely proportional (Figs 1D and S5A). In addition, a high H3K27me3 to H3K9me3

ratio was more associated with genes whereas low H3K27me3 to H3K9me3 ratio

was more associated with gene-poor genomic regions (Fig 1D and S5B). Hence, in

this cell line, levels of relative enrichment between H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 could

be used to distinguish "facultative-like" heterochromatin (with high levels of

H3K27me3 and low levels of H3K9me3), from "constitutive-like" heterochromatin

(with low levels of H3K27me3 and high levels of H3K9me3). In this context, we

observed that the genomic distribution of both mH2A1 isoforms positively correlates

with regions rich in H3K27me3 and poor in H3K9me3 levels, hence corresponding to

"facultative-like" heterochromatin (Fig 1E).

**mH2A1.1 binds to super-enhancers.** Because a large number of mH2A1.1 sites

are associated with promoter regions (Fig 1B), we performed ChIP-seq experiments

for a panoply of histone marks usually associated with active transcription

(H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K36me3) *(Bejjani et al, in preparation).* We

found that mH2A1.1 correlated positively with H3K4me1 and H3K27ac, two

chromatin modifications which characterize active enhancer regions [35] (Figs 2A

and S2A). We found that more than 40% of mH2A1.1 and total mH2A1 overlapped

significantly with "putative" enhancers outside of TSSs (Fig 2B; see Materials and

Methods). 17% of "putative" enhancers were bound by mH2A1 isoforms. Among

them, some were common to mH2A1.1 and total mH2A1 (48%) but many were only

bound by mH2A1.1 (33%) or by mH2A1.2 (19%). At "putative" enhancers, both

mH2A1 ChIP-seq results were still similar with a PCC of 0.80 (S2B Fig). Interestingly,

mH2A1-bound regions frequently formed large domains comprising a group of

enhancers marked with H3K27ac (Fig 2C), which could correspond to super-

enhancers (SE) [36,37]. Using the ROSE package to detect SEs marked by the

H3K27ac signal [36,37], we identified "putative" SEs in MDA-MB231 cells (Materials

and Methods). We show that 85% of these SEs were bound by at least one mH2A1

isoform, 19% of them were associated with mH2A1.1 compared to only 3% with

mH2A1.2 only (Fig 2D). The enrichment of mH2A1.1 at super-enhancers was

confirmed in independent ChIP-qPCR experiments in WT and mH2A1.1-deficient

cells using two different siRNAs directed against the mH2A1.1 isoform (S3A-S3E and

S4 Figs).

**mH2A1.1 binds to the transcription start site of active genes.** A striking genomic

feature identified for mH2A1.1 was its binding to TSSs (+/- 1kb) (hereafter referred to

as "promoter regions") (Fig 1B) confirmed by independent ChIP-qPCR experiments

in WT and mH2A1.1-deficient cells by two different siRNAs directed against

mH2A1.1 isoform (S3A-S3E and S4 Figs). Therefore, we characterized the

association of mH2A1 isoforms at these genomic sites in more detail. Our ChIP-seq

data showed that mH2A1.1 was more frequently associated with promoter regions

than mH2A1 (41% vs 35%, respectively) (Fig 3A). Interestingly, among promoter

regions bound by mH2A1 isoforms, 45% of them were occupied by mH2A1.1 only,

whereas 14% were occupied by mH2A1.2 only (Fig 3A). We thus wondered whether

the chromatin environment of promoter regions correlates with specific binding of

either isoform. At promoter regions, we found that mH2A1.1 positively correlated with

active marks (H3K4me3 and Pol II) whereas mH2A1 positively correlated with

repressive histone marks (H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) (S6A Fig). Interestingly, this

difference in localization identified by the two antibodies was maintained when we

considered the two genomic populations specific to each antibody, mH2A1.1 only

and mH2A1.2 only (S6B, S6C Fig). These results strongly suggest that mH2A1.2

preferentially binds to promoters in a closed chromatin state whereas mH2A1.1 is

mainly recruited to promoters in an open chromatin state. In agreement, at promoter

regions, both mH2A1 ChIP-seq experiments differed from one another with a PCC of

0.41 (in comparison to a PCC of 0.92 genome-wide) (S2A, S2B Fig). To assess

whether mH2A1.1 binding at the TSS correlates with transcription levels, we

generated RNA-seq data from MDA-MB231 cells. We then stratified genes into four equal categories according to their expression levels (from silent to highly expressed genes). We determined the distribution of both mH2A1 isoforms at the TSS (+/- 2 kb) and over the gene body (region from the TSS to the Transcription End Site (TES)) for those four groups of genes (see Materials and Methods). We found that the amount of mH2A1.1 bound to TSSs increased with expression levels while relative amounts recruited onto gene bodies decreased (Figs 3B, 3C and S7A-S7D). On the contrary, mH2A1 bound uniformly to TSSs and over the gene body of silent genes and its presence was restricted to the proximal promoter regions (around 1kb upstream TSSs) and downstream of TESs of expressed genes (Figs 3B, 3C and S7A-S7D). In agreement, mH2A1.1 peaks were mainly present at the TSS +/- 1kb of highly transcribed genes (Fig 3D), while mH2A1 peaks were present on the TSSs +/- 1kb of silent genes (Fig 3D). Similar observations were seen for active and repressive histone marks (S7E Fig). Here again, this difference in localization identified by the two antibodies was even stronger when we considered the two genomic populations specific to each antibody, mH2A1.1 only and mH2A1.2 only (Fig 3D). Notably, we observed that mH2A1.1 binding at TSSs was distributed uniformly around TSSs, peaking at maximum Pol II binding (Fig 3E). In agreement, at TSSs, 70% of mH2A1.1 peaks (two third of them being mH2A1.1 only) overlapped significantly with Pol II (Fig 3F), with a PCC of 0.48 (in comparison to a PCC of 0.07 genome-wide) (S2A and S7F Figs). Coherent with these results, mH2A1.2 only peaks were hardly detectable (3%) at Pol II TSS-bound sites (Fig 3F), and at TSSs, both mH2A1 ChIP-seq are anti-correlated with a PCC of -0.07 (S2B Fig). These results highlight highly specific association of the mH2A1.1 variant with the TSSs of transcribed genes.

**mH2A1.1 regulates gene expression.** Binding of mH2A1.1 to TSSs of transcribed genes prompted us to test whether mH2A1.1 was required for modulating gene expression. We generated RNA-seq data from MDA-MB231 cells in which mH2A1.1 protein expression was reduced by around 90 % by siRNA without significantly affecting expression of the mH2A1.2 isoform (S3A-S3C Fig). 533 genes (56.3%) were down-regulated (mH2A1.1-activated genes) and 412 genes (43.7%) were up-regulated (mH2A1.1-repressed genes) in mH2A1.1 knock-down (mH2A1.1 KD) conditions compared to WT conditions (Fig 4A, S8A and S3 Table). Altered gene expression was confirmed by RT-qPCR on a subset of genes using two different siRNAs directed against the mH2A1.1 isoform (S3 Fig). We found that all mH2A1.1 regulated genes, activated- as well as repressed- genes, were active or even highly expressed genes in WT conditions (S8B and S8C Fig). Strikingly, we revealed that mH2A1.1 and mH2A1 binding differed between mH2A1.1-activated and repressed genes (Figs 4B-4D and S9A). Indeed, mH2A1.1 was mainly bound upstream of the TSS and within the gene body of mH2A1.1-repressed genes. At mH2A1.1-activated genes, however, mH2A1.1 exclusively associated with the TSSs and upstream (up to 1kb) (Figs 4B-4D and S9A). mH2A1 was bound upstream and downstream of the TSS of mH2A1.1-repressed genes, whereas its level was at its lowest at the TSS. In contrast, its relative enrichment was very low at mH2A1.1-activated genes, with its highest levels upstream TSS (up to 1 kb) (Figs 4B-4D and S9A). Overall, these results suggest that mH2A1.1 promotes transcription when its binding is restricted to TSSs and represses transcription when its binding is spread over genes.

**mH2A1.1 regulates expression of paused genes.** We noted that Pol II was bound to the TSSs of mH2A1.1-activated genes but was barely detected on the

corresponding gene bodies (Figs 4B-4D and S9A). This pattern of Pol II distribution

raised the possibility that mH2A1.1-activated genes may be in pause. To confirm this,

we calculated the Pol II pausing index (PI) for transcribed genes using Pol II ChIP-

seq data as described in [38] (see Materials and Methods). To see if the binding of

mH2A1.1 at TSSs was related to the level of Pol II pausing, we first plotted the

mH2A1.1 ChIP-seq signal around the TSS +/- 10 kb for each gene ranked by their PI

(Fig 5A). We observed that confinement of mH2A1.1 to the TSS and its absence

from the gene body is a characteristic of genes with a high PI. Genes where

mH2A1.1 was spread along the entire gene body were characterized by low PIs (Fig

5A). In agreement, we found that mH2A1.1 peaks, as well as Pol II ones, were

significantly sharper at the TSS (TSS +/- 1kb) of genes with a high PI as opposed to

genes with a low PI (Figs 5B, 5C and S9B). Moreover, 40% of genes bound by

mH2A1.1 only at the TSS have a PI > 2 and 31% of paused genes (defined as genes

with PI>2, n=6,821) have mH2A1.1 only peaks at their TSS (Fig 5D). Furthermore,

the correlation between mH2A1.1 binding and activation of paused genes appeared

to be functionally relevant because the majority of mH2A1.1-activated genes (68%)

have a pausing index greater than 2 and their PI are significantly higher than that of

any other gene category tested (Fig 5E and 5F). In contrast, the majority of

mH2A1.1-repressed genes (69%) was characterized by a PI < 2. These results

suggest that mH2A1.1 spreading over the gene body represses genes whose

expression is independent of Pol II pausing, whereas mH2A1.1 restricted to the TSS

activates genes whose expression is dependent of Pol II pausing. Altogether, our

results provide the first evidence of a functional link between the binding of mH2A1.1

at TSSs and Pol II pausing at promoter proximal regions. We propose that the

association of mH2A1.1 with the TSSs of a subset of paused genes enhances their

transcription.

**mH2A1.1 inhibits cell migration in MDA-MB231 cells.** We found that genes de-

regulated by the loss of mH2A1.1 were involved in four main processes: cell cycle,

DNA repair, cytoskeleton organization and cell adhesion (S10A, S10B Fig and S4

Table). The two first processes were expected based on earlier studies [21,29,30,39].

However, the relationship between mH2A1.1 and expression of genes involved in

cytoskeleton organization or cell adhesion was undocumented. Using a standard

wide-field microscope, we observed that cells became more elongated after

transfecting two different siRNA against mH2A1.1 (Fig 6A and 6B). We used a siRNA

against mH2A1.2 (S3A-S3C Fig) to test whether this effect was specific of mH2A1.1.

Interestingly, we observed that mH2A1.2 KD cells became rounder compared to

control cells (Fig 6A-6B). Importantly, we noticed that numerous mH2A1.1 KD de-

regulated genes modulate cell migration (examples of anti-migratory genes:

ARRDC3 [40], SOCS4 [41], HACE1 [42] and FBXL4 [43] - and of pro-migratory

genes: EIF6 [44], MT1E [45], JUND [46] and DAPK3 [47]). Thus, we investigated the

effect of mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2 depletion on the migratory capacity of MDA-MB231

cells using a Boyden Chamber assay (see Materials and Methods). Upon depletion

of mH2A1.1, the migratory capacity of MDA-MB231 cells was significantly increased

compared to control cells. In contrast, depleting the mH2A1.2 isoform led to a

decrease in cell migration (Fig 6C and 6D). Strikingly, mH2A1.1-activated genes

involved in cytoskeleton organization and cell adhesion were also amongst genes

with a high Pol II pausing index (Fig 6E; see Materials and Methods). Taken together,

these results suggest that mH2A1.1 inhibits cell migration by in part enhancing the

expression of paused genes involved in cytoskeleton organization and cell adhesion.

**Discussion**

In this study, we present the first map of the histone variant mH2A1.1 genomic

distribution over the entire human genome in breast cancer cells. Integration of this

map with chromatin features confirms that mH2A1.1, together with its splice variant

mH2A1.2, localizes to "facultative-like" heterochromatin domains and enhancers.

Importantly, for the first time in cancer cells, we identify a direct link between

mH2A1.1 recruitment of transcribed genes and their transcriptional regulation. The

impact of this recruitment on the transcriptional rate is functionally dichotomous, with

positive or negative effects. This bivalence correlates with a differential distribution of

mH2A1.1 around the TSS as well as to the level of dependency of the transcribed

gene to the Pol II pausing.

The results of our mH2A1 ChIP-seq analysis are overall consistent with

previously published reports identifying this histone variant as a widespread

chromatin feature on autosomes, covering large domains, with a preferential

recruitment to H3K27me3-decorated "facultative-like" heterochromatin (Fig 1C)

[8,9,14,48]. Our mH2A1.1 ChIP-seq analysis allows us to show that even if mH2A1.1

distribution is mainly reminiscent of that of mH2A1.2, each variant has also specific

heterochromatin localizations. Genome mapping of mH2A1.2 is not yet possible due

to the poor specificity or lack of precipitation efficacy of commercial antibodies.

We further demonstrate that mH2A1.1-bound chromatin co-localizes

significantly with the H3K9me3 histone mark (Fig 1C). A fraction of these sites are

devoid of H3K27me3 and could correspond to the recently identified macroH2A

localization at constitutive heterochromatin[8]. However, the vast majority of mH2A1.1-

bound H3K9me3-decorated chromatin contained also tri-methylated H3K27 (Fig 1C).

This difference may be a feature of the MDA-MB231 cell line, a high migratory

capacity cancer cell line in which there is an abnormal expansion of frequently

overlapping H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 marks along the genome [49,50].

Moreover, we noted that even though mH2A1.1 associates with

heterochromatin domains, its partial loss alone is not sufficient to reactivate silenced

genes present in these domains (S8 Fig). Similarly, even if mH2A1 binding was

shown to overlap with H3K27me3 modified chromatin in primary human cells, no

enrichment of H3K27me3 at mH2A1-regulated genes was observed [14].

Furthermore, mH2A1.2-occupied and repressed target genes are not reactivated

upon mH2A1.2 knock-down [13]. These observations suggest that enrichment of

both mH2A1 isoforms in heterochromatin domains may serve as a lock to conserve

heterochromatin stability and architecture [9].

In parallel, we show that the depletion of mH2A1.1 alone is sufficient to alter

the expression of many genes present in chromatin domains devoid of H3K27me3

(Figs 4A and S8C). Previous work identified a role for mH2A1.1 in the transcriptional

rate of genes encompassed in H2B acetylated regions but this mechanism was

described as specific of primary cells and absent from cancer cells [14]. Here, we

demonstrate that the effect of mH2A1.1 depletion correlates with the distribution of

mH2A1.1 along transcribed genes. Indeed, mH2A1.1 binding is restricted to the

TSSs of mH2A1.1-activated genes while mH2A1.1 binds both upstream and on the

gene body of mH2A1.1-repressed genes (Fig 4B). Our findings reinforce the notion

that mH2A1.1 has a dual role in gene regulation and this seems to depend on its

distribution on mH2A1.1-regulated genes [14]. Molecular mechanisms determining

mH2A1.1 differential localization and the consequent effects on gene regulation

should now to be determined. Perhaps, mH2A1.1 post translational modifications

favor binding to the TSS of active genes. Indeed, mH2A1-S137 phosphorylation

excluded mH2A1 from the inactive X chromosome [51]. Specific protein partners

could also be involved in the recruitment of mH2A1.1 to the TSSs of transcribed

genes, for instance PARP1. Indeed, association of mH2A1.1 and PARP1 was shown

to be involved in gene transcription, DNA repair and global cellular metabolism

[14,18,29].

The vast majority of mH2A1.1 narrow peaks at TSSs was only recognized by

our home-made antibody Ab αmH2A1.1 (Fig 3). We think that this is certainly due to

the high affinity of Ab αmH2A1.1 towards mH2A1.1 compared to Ab αmH2A1 (S1F-

S1H Fig). The quantity of mH2A1.1-restricted to the TSSs is significantly smaller than

in the large domains, such as heterochromatin and SEs (Figs 1D,2C and 3C).

Therefore, Ab αmH2A1 is certainly less efficient in immunoprecipitating mH2A1.1 in

this context. Having a high affinity antibody led us to investigate new localizations

and functions of the mH2A1.1 isoform. Now, it will be interesting to have a high

affinity antibody against mH2A1.2. Indeed, through native-ChIP-seq experiments

using a mH2A1.2 custom-made specific antibody, Dimitris Thanos and his

collaborators recently showed that mH2A1.2 binds to the TSSs of transcribed genes

in human embryonic stem cells [23]. Thus, adapted sequencing techniques, isoform-

specific antibodies and appropriate extractions of mH2A1 chromatin sub-complexes

will enable studying mH2A1 splicing isoforms in different chromatin- and cell-

dependend contexts.

Interestingly, we remarked that mH2A1.1 TSS-binding is distributed in a

uniform manner around the TSS placing the maximum binding to the nucleosome

free region (NRF) (Fig 3E). Its localization around the TSSs was also observed for

mH2A1.2 using Native ChIP-seq [23], eliminating the hypothesis of a technical bias.

The long- and unstructured- linker domain places the macro domain of mH2A1

outside nucleosomes [6]. Because antibodies against mH2A1 frequently recognize

the linker or the macro domain (as Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab αmH2A1, respectively), we

hypothesize that mH2A1.1 is incorporated at the two adjacent nucleosomes of the

TSSs but their macro domain could be joined at the NFR, which could explain the

higher signal at the NFR compared to the two adjacent nucleosomes. Future analysis

will be necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

At the cellular level, we observed that the silencing of mH2A1.1 promotes cell

migration, whereas mH2A1.2 silencing increases migration in the MDA-MB231

breast cancer cell line. mH2A1 has been shown to be involved in cell migration in

mouse [52,53] and human [52–55] models. The opposite roles of both splice variants

on the migratory capacities that we see are in agreement with observations in

previous studies using gastric cancer cells [55] and MDA-MB231 cells [52]. At the

molecular level, the selective incorporation of mH2A1.2 along the SOD3 gene

(playing a part in cell migration) has been shown to be directly linked to gene

repression in mouse metastatic cells [52]. Here, we show that the migratory

capacities of human metastatic breast cancer cells are directly regulated by selective

recruitment of mH2A1.1. Among mH2A1.1-activated genes were genes responsible

for inhibiting cell migration. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the negative effect of

mH2A1.1 on cell migration is due to mH2A1.1-dependent activation of genes

inhibiting migration. Interestingly, even if mH2A1.2 is very similar to mH2A1.1 in

terms of amino-acid sequence, it has an opposite function to mH2A1.1 in the

regulation of cell migration. Possibly the NAD+ metabolite binding pocket of mH2A1.1

plays a role in directing function. Here, we decipher in part the molecular mechanism

by which mH2A1.1 could inhibit cell migration. Taken together, our data reveals

antagonistic cellular functions of both mH2A1 isoforms and highlight the need to

distinguish these isoforms when studying the role of mH2A1.

We demonstrate that mH2A1.1 favors expression of paused genes (70% of

mH2A1.1-activated genes) (Fig 5E). It will be now interesting to dissect the molecular

mechanisms that allow mH2A1.1 to promote transcription of paused genes. Perhaps,

mH2A1.1 binding to the TSS could stimulate the recruitment of P-TEFb (Positive

Transcription Elongation Factor) and consequently allow Pol II release [38]. PARP1,

well known partner of mH2A1.1, is also involved in Pol II pausing release by

mediating ADP-ribosylation of NELF (Negative elongation factor) [56] and could be

recruited by mH2A1.1. This hypothesis could also explain why we observed

mH2A1.1 at the TSS of highly transcribed genes (Fig 3B and 3D). The presence of

mH2A1.1 at SEs could also induce Pol II release (Fig 2C and 2D). SEs are involved

in cellular identity through the regulation of key genes involved in cellular identity

[36,57]. Furthermore, SEs are known to play an important part in many diseases,

including several cancers[51–53] in which they drive expression of oncogenes [37,58].

To note, several clinical trials already utilize SE blockers (bromodomain and extra-

terminal motif (BET) inhibitor and CDK7i) [59]. Among them, BRD4, one of the BET

protein family members, was targeted. BRD4 binds acetylated histones at TSSs and

SEs, brings them together, and mediates transcriptional activation and elongation by

RNA Pol II [37,58]. Interestingly, we observed that 74% of SEs are bound by

mH2A1.1 and BRD4 in MDA-MB231 cells (*data not shown*). Could the presence of

mH2A1.1 at SEs and TSSs be involved in the recruitment of BRD4 to enable

elongation of RNA Pol II on paused mH2A1.1-activated genes ?

Overall, we demonstrate for the first time that mH2A1.1 activates transcription

of paused genes by its selective recruitment to their TSSs. It remains to demonstrate

whether mH2A1.1 is directly involved in the recruitment of factors acting on Pol II

pausing events and/or on the establishment of 3D-chromatin structures (e.g

chromatin looping mechanisms), facilitating Pol II pausing release.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture.** MDA-MB231, HEK-293T and MCF7 cell lines were purchased from

ATCC, and were maintained and amplified in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's (DMEM)

for HEK-93T and MDA-MB231 cells, and in DMEM-F12 for MCF7 cells,

supplemented with gentamycin (50 µg/ml) (Gibco), fetal bovine serum (10%, Gibco)

and sodium pyruvate (100 mM, Sigma). Cells were maintained in a humidified

incubator at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$. Cells lines were regularly tested for mycoplasma

infection (MycoAlert, Lonza). In Montpellier, MDA-MB231 cells were cultured in

DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and penicillin/streptomycin (100

µg/ml each) and regularly tested for mycoplasma infection.

**Transfection of siRNAs and plasmids.** At 30-50% cell confluence, transfection of siRNA (11nM) was performed using INTERFERin (Polyplus-Ozyme) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells in control condition were transfected with INTERFERin without any siRNA Transfection of plasmid (1µg) was done with FuGene HD (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. siRNA and plasmid sequences are available in S5 Table. Two and three days post plasmid and siRNA transfection respectively, cells were recovered for experiments.

**Western blotting.** Cells were lysed and subjected to western blot analysis as previously described [60]. Briefly, proteins extracts were separated in 10% polyacrylamide (1:125 bisacrylamide:acrylamide) SDS gels, transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) and blocked with PBS-Tween 0.4% - Milk 5% for 1h at RT with rotation. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight (O/N) at 4°C in PBS-Tween 0.4% - Milk 5% with rotation (or 1h30 at RT). Primary antibodies are described in the S1 Table Membranes were next incubated with secondary antibody in PBS-Tween 0.4% - Milk 5% 1h at RT with rotation and the signal was detected using chemiluminescence. Secondary antibodies are described in the S1 Table. Signal quantifications were carried out with Image Lab software (Bio-Rad).

**RNA extraction, reverse transcription and quantitative real-PCR (qRT-PCR).** Total RNA was isolated using the RNAeasy midi kit (Qiagen). Purified RNA was reversed transcribed to cDNA using Maxima H Minus first Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Promega). The sequences of the primers used are available in S6 Table. RT-PCR was performed using iTAq Universal SYBR Green (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's instructions. At least two independent experiments were performed

for each condition. The relative expression levels of mRNA were normalized to

RPLP0 mRNA expression and evaluated according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [61].

**Fluorescence microscopy.**   Two or three days post-transfection, cells were fixed

with 4 % paraformaldehyde for 15 min for MDA-MB231 cells and 10 min for HEK-

293T at RT. Cells permeabilization was carried out using 0.1 % Triton X-100 in PBS

for 10 min at RT. Cells were then blocked with 5 % BSA-0.15% Tween in PBS for 1h

at RT. Next, cells were incubated with primary antibody O/N at 4°C. Cells were then

incubated with Alexa conjugated secondary antibody for 1h at RT. Actin was labelled

using cytoPainter Phalloidin iFluor diluted 1:1000 with secondary antibody according

to the manufacturer's protocol (Abcam; see S1 Table). Antibodies references and

dilutions are provided in S1 Table. The coverslips were finally incubated with Hoechst

(Invitrogen, 33342) for 30 min and then mounting with mounting media (Vectashield).

Images were acquired with Zeiss LSM 710 big confocal microscope using an x63 PL

APO oil DIC On 1.4 objective for all experiments. Images were taken in Z-stacks with

a voxel size of 300 nm. A Z-stack or Standard deviation intensity projections of Z-

stacks are shown.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation and library preparation.** Cells were cross-linked

in DMEM containing 1.2 % of paraformaldehyde at RT for 10 min with rotation.

Cross-link was stopped by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125M

for 5 min. Cell were harvested and lysed in cell lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4,

15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCL, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.2% NP-40, 5% sucrose).

After 10 min in ice, cell lysis was amplified with a 2mL dounce (Kimble Chase) to

enhance the nuclei separation from cytoplasm. Cell lysis buffer containing lysed cells

was deposit up to a pillow buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCL,

1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.2% NP-40, 10% sucrose). Nuclei were then pelleted

by centrifugation and wash with washing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCL). Nuclei were then resuspended in sonication buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5 % Sodium deoxycholate, Protease Inhibitor (Roche)). Chromatin was sheared using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) (30 cycles, 30 sec ON/ 30 sec OFF) in order to obtain chromatin fragments with an average size of 300-500 bp. Quality and size of chromatin fragments was monitored by ethidium-bromide stained agarose gel electrophoresis after DNA purification. Then, 100 µg of DNA was incubated with antibody O/N at 4°C on a rotation wheel. Antibodies are described in the S1 Table. 3 mg of protein A magnetic dynabeads (Sigma) were added for 3h at 4°C on a rotation wheel. Immunoprecipitates were then exposed to serial washes for 5 min each on a rotation wheel at 4°C in the following buffers (two times/buffer) : WB$_I$ :2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris pH 8.1, 1 % Triton 100X, 150 mM NaCl, WB$_{II}$ : 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris pH 8.1, 1 % Triton X100, 500 mM NaCl, WB$_{III}$ : 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris pH 8.1, 250 mM LiCl, 1 % Sodium deoxycholate, 1 % NP-40 and WB$_{IV}$: 1 M EDTA, 10 mM Tris pH 8.1. Chromatin was eluted from the magnetic beads with DNA isolation buffer (2% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO$_3$) for 1h at 65°C under agitation. Extracts were reverse-crosslinked with SDS O/N at 65°C. RNAs were degraded with RNase A and proteins were finally degraded with proteinase K. Same procedure was performed for input (10 µg of DNA). DNA was finally extracted with a phenol-chloroform extraction. Quantity and quality of DNA was tested with a nanodrop (NanoDrop2000, Thermo). Samples were sequenced with the GeT core facility, Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr). Sequencing was done HiSeq3000-HWI-J00115 according to the manufacturer's protocol. Same procedure was done for ChIPqPCR, expected that 20 µg of DNA was used with 1µg of antibody). The sequences of the primers used are available in

Supplementary Table 7. For western blot analysis, extracts (Input (10% IP), No immunoprecipitated (NoIP) fraction and IP fraction were processed as ChIP extract but not incubated with the proteinase K and RNAase A. Extracts were then subjected to western blot analysis as previously described in the western blot paragraph. To compare different extracts, we loaded 2 % of Input, 0.5 % of Input, 0.5 % of NoIP fraction and 20% of IP fraction. Percentages are relative to the DNA quantity used for ChIP (100 µg).

ChIP-seq of H3K27ac, H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K36me3 and Pol II were done essentially as previously described [62]. Briefly, after cell fixation with 1 % of paraformaldehyde at RT for 5 min, cells were incubated in cell lysis buffer (PIPES 5 mM, KCL 85 mM, NP40 0.5%, Na Butyrate 10 mM, protease inhibitors) for 10 min on ice. After mild centrifugation, nuclei were lysed in Nuclei Lysis Buffer (Tris-HCL 50 mM pH 7.5, SDS 0.125%, EDTA 10 mM, Na Butyrate 10 mM, protease inhibitors) at 4°C for 2h and, then, sonicated for 10 cycles at 4°C using BioruptorPico device from Diagenode. For immunoprecipitation of H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac, 150 µl of chromatin (equivalent to $4.10^6$ cells) and 4.5 µg of the corresponding antibodies were used. For Pol II, 850 µl of chromatin (equivalent to $22.10^6$ cells) and 20 µg of the corresponding antibody were used. Two independent replicates for each ChIP were sequenced by the MGX genomic platform (Montpellier) using the Hi-seq2500 Illumina sequencer.

**Strand-specific total RNA library preparation.** Total RNA was isolated using the RNAeasy midi kit (Qiagen). RNA-seq quality and quantity control were performed using a Nanodrop (NanoDrop2000, Thermo) and BioAnalyser. Library preparation and sequencing was done by GeT core facility, Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr) with the kit TruSeq Stranded total RNA according to

manufacturer's institutions. Sequencing was done HiSeq3000-HWI-J00115 according

to the manufacturer's protocol.

**ChIP-seq data processing.** The quality of the reads was estimated with FastQC

(Illumina, 1.0.0). Published ChIP-seq data of H3K9me3 (GSM2258862), H3K27me3

(GSM2258850) and corresponding input (GSM2258864) in MDA-MB231 cells were

downloaded    from    GEODATASETS    (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/,    GEO

accession number : GSE85158) [33],  and reanalyzed as subsequently described.

Published ChIP-seq data of BRD4 in MDA-MB231 cells were downloaded

(GSM2862187) and corresponding input (GSM2862178) from GEODATASETS

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GEO accession number : GSE107176) [63], and

reanalyzed as subsequently described. Sequenced reads were aligned to the human

genome  Assembly  GRCh38  using  STAR  (2.5.1)  algorithm  with  defaults

parameters[64]. Details are supplied in Supplementary Table 2. Low quality reads

were  then  filtered  out  using  Samtools  (Samtools,  options  –q  10  -view)  [65].

Conversion  of  BAM  files  to  bigWig  files  was  performed  with  Bamcompare  tool

(DeepTools  utilities  v3.1.3)  [66].  Corresponding  ChIP-seq  data  generated  from

genomic DNA (Input) were used as control for every bigWig files normalization

(options:  --normalizeUsing  RPKM  --operation  subtract  --binSize  50  bp  --

smoothLength 150 bp). Peaks were determined with the enrichR function of NormR

package [67] (v3.8, Options: fdr = 5e-2, binsize = 500 bp for H3K27ac, H3K4me1,

H3K4me3, BRD4 and binsize =3000 bp for H3K36me3, PolII, H3K9me3, H3K27me3,

Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab αmH2A1). Peaks of Ab αmH2A1.1 (and Ab αmH2A1) spaced

less than 3000 bp on the linear genome were merged. All downstream analyses were

mainly performed with R studio. ChIP-seq signal and peaks positions visualization

were obtained with IGV [68,69]. Boxplots were done with ggplot2 [70]. Distributions of

mH2A1 isoforms and H3K27me3/H3K9me3 common peaks identified at specific genomic features were calculated using ChIPseeker package with default parameters (Figs 1B and S5B) [71]. Statistical analyses are presented in Statistics and Reproducibility paragraph.

**Identification of "putative" enhancers and super-enhancers.** All putative enhancers correspond to the union of H3K4me1 and H3K27ac outside TSS (+/- 2 kb) to avoid TSS bias (Fig 2B) [72]. TSS annotation is based on TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg38.knownGene release. Super-enhancers were determined with ROSE utility tools based on H3K27ac signal (options : stitching_distance = 12.5 kb and TSS_exclusion_zone_size : 2500 bp) (Fig 2C and 2D) [36,37].

**Pol II pausing index calculation.** Pausing index (PI) was defined as previously[73], which is the ratio of Pol II (total) density in the promoter-proximal region ([-30;300] bp centered on TSS) to the total Pol II density in the transcribed regions (TSS + 300 bp to TES). Pausing index was only calculated for actively expressed genes (n=10,198, see RNA-seq analysis). Paused genes were defined as genes that have a PI upper to 2 (n=6,821).

**Venn diagrams.** Intersection of peaks were determined with the function findOverlaps() from GenomicRanges package. To note that for two ChIP-seq peaks intersections, only number of overlaps is contabilised and not the number of each peaks contained per overlap. This particularity explained why number of peaks changes between venn diagrams for a same ChIP-seq (i.e Fig 1A Ab αmH2A1.1 (n=29,112) and Fig 1C αmH2A1.1 (n=19,867)). The area-proportional Venn diagrams were drawn based on images generated by Vennerable package. For Venn diagrams in Fig 3, 5 and S6, intersections were performed at TSS (1-bp or +/- 1kb centered on

TSS). Enrichment tests associated to Venn diagrams are explained in Statistics and Reproducibility paragraph.

**Correlation heatmaps.** Correlation heatmaps were done with multiBigwigSummary (options: -bins for whole genome (S2A Fig) or -BED-file for Heterochromatin, enhancer, TSS +/-1kp; TSS 1-bp, Figs S2B, S6A and S7B ) and plotCorrelation (option: -spearman correlation heatmap) from DeepTools utilities (3.1.3) [66].

**Metagenes profils.** Metagene analysis profiles were performed with R Seqplot package using bigWig files (function getPlotSetArray and plotAverage) [74]. Heatmaps profiles of Pol II, Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab αmH2A1 around TSS +/- 10 kb were ranked by mH2A1.1 KD de-regulated genes (mH2A1.1-activated and repressed genes, not ranked by the deregulation level) and done with Seqplot package (function getPlotSetArray and plotHeatmap) (Fig 4B). Heatmaps profiles of Pol II, Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab αmH2A1 around TSS +/- 10 kb were ranked by pausing index and carried out with Seqplot package (function getPlotSetArray and plotHeatmap)(Fig 5A).

**RNA-seq analysis.** The quality of the reads was estimated with FastQC (Illumina, 1.0.0). The reads were mapped to the human reference genome GRCh38 using the default parameters of STAR (2.5.1) [64]. Details are supplied in S1 Table . Low quality reads and duplicates were then filtered out using SAMtools (Samtools, options -q 10 –view ; -rmdup)[65]. Unstranded normalized Bedgraph files in Read Per Millions (RPM) were obtained with STAR using Output Wiggle parameters (options : --outWigTypebedgraph; --outWigStrandUnstranded; --outWigNorm RPM) [64]. Gene counts were performed with htseq-count utilities with default parameters (0.8.0) [74]. FPKM for all genes were calculated with the formula : $FPKM = (RC_g \times 10^6) / (RC_p \times L)$ where $RC_g$ corresponds to the number of reads mapped to the gene, $RC_p$ to the

number of reads mapped to all protein-coding genes and L, the Length of the gene in

base pairs. Differential expression analysis was performed with DESeq2 package

[75] with cutoff |FC| > 1.5 and padj < 0.1. Corresponding volcano plot was done with

EnhancedVolcano package [76] (Fig 4A). The mH2A1.1 KD de-regulated genes are

listed in S3 Table.

**GO analysis.** GO analysis was performed with LIMMA package (--function goana)

(3.8)[77] and corresponding GO terms are supplied in the S4 Table. Selection of

genes related to their functions was done with biomaRt package (function getBM())

[78,79]. We took genes related to: cytoskeleton (GO:0005856), cell adhesion

(GO:0007155), Cilium (GO:0005929) and cell junction (GO:0030054) (n=6,821).

**Transwell migration assay.** Transwell migration assays were performed using

Transwell plates with 0.8 µm pore polycarbonate membranes (CormingTranswell,

Sigma). Three days post siRNA transfection, MDA-MB231 cells ($10^5$) were seeded in

the upper chamber without FBS and allowed to invade to the reverse side of the

chamber under chemoattractant condition with 10% FBS medium in the lower

chamber. Following incubation for 16h at 37°C, the cells were fixed with 3.7%

formaldehyde for 2 min at RT. Cells permeabilization was carried out with methanol

incubation for 20 min at RT. Cells were then stained with Giesma for 15 min at RT.

Same final total cell number between conditions was always checked by wide field

microscope to avoid proliferation bias during number of migratory cell comparison.

Not migrated cells were finally removed from the upper chamber by using a cotton

swab. Migrated cells adhering to the underside of the chamber were photographed

using a light microscope at x200 magnification (Invitrogen EVOS Digital Color

Fluorescence Microscope). Cell counting was done with ImageJ in ten different fields

per condition[80]. Three independent experiments were performed for each condition.

**Statistics and reproducibility.** All western blot, RTqPCR and Boyden Chamber assay experiments were repeated at least twice as independent biological replicates and results are presented as mean +/- sd. All statistical analyses were done with R. For Western blot, RTqPCR and Boyden Chamber, Wilcoxon tests were used to compare mean values between conditions. p-values were considered as significant when * ≤ 0.05 and highly significant when ** ≤ 0.01; *** ≤ 0.001; **** ≤0.0001. All enrichment tests were performed on a base set made up of genomic bins. Each genomic bin is defined by merging ranges of ChIP-seq data (reduce function GenomicRanges R package)  ChIP-seq list : Ab αmH2A1.1, Ab αmH2A1, Pol II, H3K4me3, H3K4me1, H3K36me3, H3K27ac, H3K27me3, H3K9me3, "putative" enhancers and "putative" Super-enhancers, on whole genome or TSS +/- 1kb and TSS 1-bp.

**Data availability.** ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data have been deposited to GEO under accession number GSE140022. Additional data are available upon reasonable request.

# Acknowledgements

We thank M. Buschbeck from JCLR Institute at Barcelona for kindly providing Flag-mH2A1.1 and Flag-mH2A1.2 expression plasmids. ChIP-seq data against mH2A1.1 and mH2A1 isoforms as well as RNA-seq data were performed in collaboration with the GeT core facility, Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr), and were supported by France Génomique National infrastructure, funded as part of "Investissement

714 d'avenir" program managed by Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (contract ANR-

715 10-INBS-09) and by the Fondation Recherche Medical (DEQ43940 to O.C team

716 including A.H). We thank Marc Piechaszyk for critical reading of the manuscript. We

717 acknowledge support from the light imaging Toulouse CBI platform. The work was

718 generously funded by the Institut National du Cancer (INCA PL-BIO-16-269) to KB.

## Author contributions

L.R, A-C.L and K.B conceived this study. F.M validated custom Ab αmH2A1.1

antibody specificity against mH2A1.1. L.R performed ChIP-seq against mH2A1

isoforms and RNA-seq. I.E-J. and F.B performed ChIP-seq against active histone

marks. L.R, A.H and F.R realized bioinformatic analysis of all ChIP-seq and RNA-seq

data. Statistical analyses were done by A.H and L.R. L.R performed all other

experimental data. L.R, A-C.L and K.B designed experiments and interpreted results.

L.R, A-C.L and K.B wrote the manuscript with input from all other authors.

## Competing interests

731 The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

1. Venkatesh S, Workman JL. Histone exchange, chromatin structure and the

regulation of transcription. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2015 Mar 4 [cited

2019 Nov 6];16(3):178–89. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25650798

2. Luger K, Dechassa ML, Tremethick DJ. New insights into nucleosome and

chromatin structure: an ordered state or a disordered affair? Nat Rev Mol Cell

Biol [Internet]. 2012 Jul 22 [cited 2019 Jul 23];13(7):436–47. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22722606

3.   Buschbeck M, Hake SB. Variants of core histones and their roles in cell fate

decisions, development and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2017 Feb

1 [cited 2017 May 10];18(5):299–314. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28144029

4.   Pehrson JR, Fuji RN. Evolutionary conservation of histone macroH2A subtypes

and domains. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 1998 Jun 15 [cited 2019 Jul

23];26(12):2837–42. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/26.12.2837

5.   Rivera-Casas C, Gonzalez-Romero R, Cheema MS, Ausió J, Eirín-López JM.

The characterization of macroH2A beyond vertebrates supports an ancestral

origin and conserved role for histone variants in chromatin. Epigenetics

[Internet]. 2016 Jun 2 [cited 2019 Jul 23];11(6):415–25. Available from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15592294.2016.1172161

6.   Gamble MJ, Kraus WL. Multiple facets of the unique histone variant

macroH2A: From genomics to cell biology. Cell Cycle. 2010;9(13):2568–74.

7.   Costanzi C, Pehrson JR. Histone macroH2A1 is concentrated in the inactive X

chromosome of female mammals. Nature. 1998;628(1997):1997–9.

8.   Gamble MJ, Frizzell KM, Yang C, Krishnakumar R, Kraus WL. The histone

variant macroH2A1 marks repressed autosomal chromatin, but protects a

subset of its target genes from silencing. Genes Dev [Internet]. 2010 Jan 1

[cited 2019 Jul 23];24(1):21–32. Available from:

http://genesdev.cshlp.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1876110

9. Douet J, Corujo D, Malinverni R, Renauld J, Sansoni V, Posavec Marjanović

M, et al. MacroH2A histone variants maintain nuclear organization and

heterochromatin architecture. J Cell Sci. 2017;130(9):1570–82.

10. Sun Z, Filipescu D, Andrade J, Gaspar-Maia A, Ueberheide B, Bernstein E.

Transcription-associated histone pruning demarcates macroH2A chromatin

domains. Nat Struct Mol Biol [Internet]. 2018 Oct 5 [cited 2019 Jul

23];25(10):958–70. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41594-018-

0134-5

11. Doyen C-M, An W, Angelov D, Bondarenko V, Mietton F, Studitsky VM, et al.

Mechanism of polymerase II transcription repression by the histone variant

macroH2A. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2006 Feb 1 [cited 2019 Jul 23];26(3):1156–

64. Available from: http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.26.3.1156-

1164.2006

12. Angelov D, Molla A, Perche P-Y, Hans F, Côté J, Khochbin S, et al. The

histone variant macroH2A interferes with transcription factor binding and

SWI/SNF nucleosome remodeling. Mol Cell [Internet]. 2003 Apr [cited 2019 Jul

23];11(4):1033–41. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12718888

13. Dell'Orso S, Wang AH, Shih H-Y, Saso K, Berghella L, Gutierrez-Cruz G, et al.

The Histone Variant MacroH2A1.2 Is Necessary for the Activation of Muscle

Enhancers and Recruitment of the Transcription Factor Pbx1. Cell Rep

[Internet]. 2016 Feb 9 [cited 2019 Jul 23];14(5):1156–68. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211124716000036

14. Chen H, Ruiz PD, Novikov L, Casill AD, Park JW, Gamble MJ. MacroH2A1.1

and PARP-1 cooperate to regulate transcription by promoting CBP-mediated

H2B acetylation. Nat Struct Mol Biol [Internet]. 2014 Oct 12 [cited 2016 Nov

19];21(11):981–9. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nsmb.2903

15.  Podrini C, Koffas A, Chokshi S, Vinciguerra M, Lelliott CJ, White JK, et al.

MacroH2A1 isoforms are associated with epigenetic markers for activation of

lipogenic genes in fat-induced steatosis. FASEB J [Internet]. 2015 May [cited

2019 Jul 23];29(5):1676–87. Available from:

http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.14-262717

16.  Wan D, Liu C, Sun Y, Wang W, Huang K, Zheng L. MacroH2A1.1 cooperates

with EZH2 to promote adipogenesis by regulating Wnt signaling. J Mol Cell Biol

[Internet]. 2017 Aug 1 [cited 2019 Jul 23];9(4):325–37. Available from:

http://academic.oup.com/jmcb/article/9/4/325/4067698/MacroH2A11-

cooperates-with-EZH2-to-promote

17.  Changolkar LN, Singh G, Cui K, Berletch JB, Zhao K, Disteche CM, et al.

Genome-wide distribution of macroH2A1 histone variants in mouse liver

chromatin. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2010 Dec 1 [cited 2019 Jul 23];30(23):5473–

83. Available from: http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.00518-10

18.  Posavec Marjanović M, Hurtado-Bagès S, Lassi M, Valero V, Malinverni R,

Delage H, et al. MacroH2A1.1 regulates mitochondrial respiration by limiting

nuclear NAD+ consumption. Nat Struct Mol Biol [Internet]. 2017 Nov 9 [cited

2019 Aug 7];24(11):902–10. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/nsmb.3481

19.  Sporn JC, Kustatscher G, Hothorn T, Collado M, Serrano M, Muley T, et al.

Histone macroH2A isoforms predict the risk of lung cancer recurrence.

Oncogene [Internet]. 2009 Sep 3 [cited 2019 Jul 23];28(38):3423–8. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19648962

20.    Cantariño N, Douet J, Buschbeck M. MacroH2A – An epigenetic regulator of

cancer. Cancer Lett [Internet]. 2013 Aug [cited 2019 Jul 23];336(2):247–52.

Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304383513002504

21.    Sporn JC, Jung B. Differential regulation and predictive potential of MacroH2A1

isoforms in colon cancer. Am J Pathol [Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2019 Jul

23];180(6):2516–26. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22542848

22.    Mermoud JE, Costanzi C, Pehrson JR, Brockdorff N. Histone MacroH2A1 . 2

Relocates to the Inactive X Chromosome after Initiation and Propagation of X-

Inactivation. J Cell Biol. 1999;147(7):1399–408.

23.    Pliatska M, Kapasa M, Kokkalis A, Polyzos A, Thanos D. The Histone Variant

MacroH2A Blocks Cellular Reprogramming by Inhibiting Mesenchymal-to-

Epithelial Transition. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2018 Feb 15 [cited 2019 Jul

23];38(10):e00669-17. Available from:

http://mcb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/MCB.00669-17

24.    Lavigne A-C, Castells M, Mermet J, Kocanova S, Dalvai M, Bystricky K.

Increased macroH2A1.1 expression correlates with poor survival of triple-

negative breast cancer patients. Haibe-Kains B, editor. PLoS One [Internet].

2014 Jun 9 [cited 2019 Jul 23];9(6):e98930. Available from:

https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098930

25.    Kustatscher G, Hothorn M, Pugieux C, Scheffzek K, Ladurner AG. Splicing

regulates NAD metabolite binding to histone macroH2A. Nat Struct Mol Biol

[Internet]. 2005 Jul 19 [cited 2019 Jul 23];12(7):624–5. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15965484

26.    Ray Chaudhuri A, Nussenzweig A. The multifaceted roles of PARP1 in DNA

840           repair and chromatin remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2017 Oct 5

841           [cited 2019 Jul 23];18(10):610–21. Available from:

842           http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28676700

843    27.    Ouararhni K, Hadj-Slimane R, Ait-Si-Ali S, Robin P, Mietton F, Harel-Bellan A,

844           et al. The histone variant mH2A1.1 interferes with transcription by down-

845           regulating PARP-1 enzymatic activity. Genes Dev [Internet]. 2006 Dec 1 [cited

846           2019 Jul 23];20(23):3324–36. Available from:

847           http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.396106

848    28.    Marjanović MP, Hurtado-bagès S, Lassi M, Valero V, Malinverni R, Delage H,

849           et al. MacroH2A1 . 1 regulates mitochondrial respiration by limiting nuclear

850           NAD + consumption. 2018;24(11):902–10.

851    29.    Xu C, Xu Y, Gursoy-Yuzugullu O, Price BD. The histone variant macroH2A1.1

852           is recruited to DSBs through a mechanism involving PARP1. FEBS Lett

853           [Internet]. 2012 Nov 2 [cited 2019 Jul 23];586(21):3920–5. Available from:

854           http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/j.febslet.2012.09.030

855    30.    Kim J, Oberdoerffer P, Khurana S. The histone variant macroH2A1 is a

856           splicing-modulated caretaker of genome integrity and tumor growth. Mol Cell

857           Oncol [Internet]. 2018 Mar 7 [cited 2019 Jul 23];5(3):e1441629. Available from:

858           https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23723556.2018.1441629

859    31.    Chen H, Ruiz PD, McKimpson WM, Novikov L, Kitsis RN, Gamble MJ.

860           MacroH2A1 and ATM Play Opposing Roles in Paracrine Senescence and the

861           Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype. Mol Cell [Internet]. 2015 Sep

862           [cited 2019 Dec 11];59(5):719–31. Available from:

863           https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1097276515005699

32.    Ouararhni K, Hadj-Slimane R, Ait-Si-Ali S, Robin P, Mietton F, Harel-Bellan A,

et al. The histone variant mH2A1.1 interferes with transcription by down-

regulating PARP-1 enzymatic activity. Genes Dev [Internet]. 2006 Dec 1 [cited

2019 Dec 11];20(23):3324–36. Available from:

http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.396106

33.    Franco HL, Nagari A, Malladi VS, Li W, Xi Y, Richardson D, et al. Enhancer

transcription reveals subtype-specific gene expression programs controlling

breast cancer pathogenesis. Genome Res [Internet]. 2018 Feb [cited 2019 Jul

23];28(2):159–70. Available from:

http://genome.cshlp.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/gr.226019.117

34.    Allshire RC, Madhani HD. Ten principles of heterochromatin formation and

function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2018 Apr 13 [cited 2019 Jul

26];19(4):229–44. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nrm.2017.119

35.    Creyghton MP, Cheng AW, Welstead GG, Kooistra T, Carey BW, Steine EJ, et

al. Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts

developmental state. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2010 Dec 14 [cited 2019 Jul

23];107(50):21931–6. Available from:

http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1016071107

36.    Whyte WA, Orlando DA, Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lin CY, Kagey MH, et al.

Master Transcription Factors and Mediator Establish Super-Enhancers at Key

Cell Identity Genes. Cell [Internet]. 2013 Apr [cited 2019 Jul 23];153(2):307–19.

Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867413003929

37.    Lovén J, Hoke HA, Lin CY, Lau A, Orlando DA, Vakoc CR, et al. Selective

inhibition of tumor oncogenes by disruption of super-enhancers. Cell [Internet].

2013 Apr 11 [cited 2019 Jul 23];153(2):320–34. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867413003930

38.   Adelman K, Lis JT. Promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II:

emerging roles in metazoans. Nat Rev Genet [Internet]. 2012 Oct 18 [cited

2019 Jul 23];13(10):720–31. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22986266

39.   Novikov L, Park JW, Chen H, Klerman H, Jalloh AS, Gamble MJ. QKI-

mediated alternative splicing of the histone variant MacroH2A1 regulates

cancer cell proliferation. Mol Cell Biol [Internet]. 2011 Oct 15 [cited 2019 Jul

23];31(20):4244–55. Available from:

http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.05244-11

40.   Draheim KM, Chen H-B, Tao Q, Moore N, Roche M, Lyle S. ARRDC3

suppresses breast cancer progression by negatively regulating integrin beta4.

Oncogene [Internet]. 2010 Sep 9 [cited 2019 Jul 23];29(36):5032–47. Available

from: http://www.nature.com/articles/onc2010250

41.   Mei Z, Chen S, Chen C, Xiao B, Li F, Wang Y, et al. Interleukin-23 Facilitates

Thyroid Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion by Inhibiting SOCS4 Expression

via MicroRNA-25. Zhang Z, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015 Oct 5 [cited 2019

Jul 23];10(10):e0139456. Available from:

https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139456

42.   Castillo-Lluva S, Tan C-T, Daugaard M, Sorensen PHB, Malliri A. The tumour

suppressor HACE1 controls cell migration by regulating Rac1 degradation.

Oncogene [Internet]. 2013 Mar 28 [cited 2019 Jul 23];32(13):1735–42.

Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/onc2012189

43.   Stankiewicz E, Mao X, Mangham DC, Xu L, Yeste-Velasco M, Fisher G, et al.

Identification of FBXL4 as a Metastasis Associated Gene in Prostate Cancer.

Sci Rep [Internet]. 2017 Dec 11 [cited 2019 Jul 23];7(1):5124. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-05209-z

44.    Pinzaglia M, Montaldo C, Polinari D, Simone M, La Teana A, Tripodi M, et al.

EIF6 over-expression increases the motility and invasiveness of cancer cells by

modulating the expression of a critical subset of membrane-bound proteins.

BMC Cancer [Internet]. 2015 Mar 15 [cited 2019 Jul 23];15(1):131. Available

from: http://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12885-015-1106-3

45.    Ryu H-H, Jung S, Jung T-Y, Moon K-S, Kim I-Y, Jeong Y-I, et al. Role of

metallothionein 1E in the migration and invasion of human glioma cell lines. Int

J Oncol [Internet]. 2012 Oct [cited 2019 Jul 23];41(4):1305–13. Available from:

https://www.spandidos-publications.com/10.3892/ijo.2012.1570

46.    Selvaraj N, Budka JA, Ferris MW, Plotnik JP, Hollenhorst PC. Extracellular

signal-regulated kinase signaling regulates the opposing roles of JUN family

transcription factors at ETS/AP-1 sites and in cell migration. Mol Cell Biol

[Internet]. 2015 Jan 1 [cited 2019 Jul 23];35(1):88–100. Available from:

http://mcb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/MCB.00982-14

47.    Kake S, Usui T, Ohama T, Yamawaki H, Sato K. Death-associated protein

kinase 3 controls the tumor progression of A549 cells through ERK MAPK/c-

Myc signaling. Oncol Rep [Internet]. 2017 Feb [cited 2019 Jul 23];37(2):1100–

6. Available from: https://www.spandidos-

publications.com/10.3892/or.2017.5359

48.    Lavigne MD, Vatsellas G, Polyzos A, Mantouvalou E, Sianidis G, Maraziotis I,

et al. Composite macroH2A/NRF-1 Nucleosomes Suppress Noise and

Generate Robustness in Gene Expression. Cell Rep [Internet]. 2015 May [cited

2019 Jul 23];11(7):1090–101. Available from:

https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S221112471500409X

49.    Segal T, Salmon-Divon M, Gerlitz G. The Heterochromatin Landscape in

Migrating Cells and the Importance of H3K27me3 for Associated

Transcriptome Alterations. Cells [Internet]. 2018 Nov 9 [cited 2019 Jul

23];7(11):205. Available from: http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/7/11/205

50.    Yokoyama Y, Hieda M, Nishioka Y, Matsumoto A, Higashi S, Kimura H, et al.

Cancer-associated upregulation of histone H3 lysine 9 trimethylation promotes

cell motility in vitro and drives tumor formation in vivo. Cancer Sci [Internet].

2013 Jul [cited 2019 Aug 7];104(7):889–95. Available from:

http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/cas.12166

51.    Bernstein E, Muratore-Schroeder TL, Diaz RL, Chow JC, Changolkar LN,

Shabanowitz J, et al. A phosphorylated subpopulation of the histone variant

macroH2A1 is excluded from the inactive X chromosome and enriched during

mitosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2008 Feb 5 [cited 2019 Jul

23];105(5):1533–8. Available from:

http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0711632105

52.    Dardenne E, Pierredon S, Driouch K, Gratadou L, Lacroix-Triki M, Espinoza

MP, et al. Splicing switch of an epigenetic regulator by RNA helicases

promotes tumor-cell invasiveness. Nat Struct Mol Biol [Internet]. 2012 Nov 30

[cited 2019 Jul 23];19(11):1139–46. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/articles/nsmb.2390

53.    Kapoor A, Goldberg MS, Cumberland LK, Ratnakumar K, Segura MF, Emanuel

PO, et al. The histone variant macroH2A suppresses melanoma progression

through regulation of CDK8. 2011;468(7327):1105–9.

54.    Borghesan M, Fusilli C, Rappa F, Panebianco C, Rizzo G, Oben JA, et al. DNA

Hypomethylation and Histone Variant macroH2A1 Synergistically Attenuate Chemotherapy-Induced Senescence to Promote Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression. 2017;76(3):594–606.

55.   Li F, Yi P, Pi J, Li L, Hui J, Wang F, et al. QKI5-mediated alternative splicing of the histone variant macroH2A1 regulates gastric carcinogenesis. Oncotarget [Internet]. 2016 May 31 [cited 2019 Jul 23];7(22):32821–34. Available from: http://www.oncotarget.com/fulltext/8739

56.   Gibson BA, Zhang Y, Jiang H, Hussey KM, Shrimp JH, Lin H, et al. Chemical genetic discovery of PARP targets reveals a role for PARP-1 in transcription elongation. Science (80- ) [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2019 Aug 7];353(6294):45–50. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27256882

57.   Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lee TI, Lau A, Saint-André V, Sigova AA, et al. Super-Enhancers in the Control of Cell Identity and Disease. Cell [Internet]. 2013 Nov 7 [cited 2019 Aug 6];155(4):934–47. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24119843

58.   Donati B, Lorenzini E, Ciarrocchi A. BRD4 and Cancer: going beyond transcriptional regulation. Mol Cancer [Internet]. 2018 Dec 22 [cited 2019 Aug 6];17(1):164. Available from: https://molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12943-018-0915-9

59.   He Y, Long W, Liu Q. Targeting Super-Enhancers as a Therapeutic Strategy for Cancer Treatment. Front Pharmacol [Internet]. 2019 Apr 11 [cited 2019 Aug 6];10:361. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31105558

60.   Yang P-C, Liu Z-Q, Mahmood T. Western blot: Technique, theory and trouble shooting. N Am J Med Sci [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2019 Jul 23];6(3):160.

Available from: http://www.najms.org/text.asp?2014/6/3/160/128482

61.   Rao X, Huang X, Zhou Z, Lin X. An improvement of the 2ˆ(-delta delta CT)

method for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction data analysis.

Biostat Bioinforma Biomath [Internet]. 2013 Aug [cited 2019 Jul 23];3(3):71–85.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25558171

62.   Tolza C, Bejjani F, Evanno E, Mahfoud S, Moquet-Torcy G, Gostan T, et al.

AP-1 Signaling by Fra-1 Directly Regulates HMGA1 Oncogene Transcription in

Triple-Negative Breast Cancers. Mol Cancer Res [Internet]. 2019 Oct [cited

2019 Oct 29];17(10):1999–2014. Available from:

http://mcr.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-19-0036

63.   Chan HL, Beckedorff F, Zhang Y, Garcia-Huidobro J, Jiang H, Colaprico A, et

al. Polycomb complexes associate with enhancers and promote oncogenic

transcriptional programs in cancer through multiple mechanisms. Nat Commun

[Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019 Aug 7];9(1):3377. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30139998

64.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR:

ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2013 Jan 1 [cited

2019 Jul 23];29(1):15–21. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

65.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The

Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2009

Aug 15 [cited 2019 Jul 23];25(16):2078–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19505943

66.   Ram F, Ryan DP, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, Heyne S, et al.

deepTools2 : a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.

2016;44(April):160–5.

67.  Helmuth J CH. normr: Normalization and difference calling in ChIP-seq data . R

Packag version 180, https//github.com/your-highness/normR. 2018;2018.

68.  James T. Robinson, Helga Thorvaldsdóttir, Wendy Winckler, Mitchell Guttman,

Eric S. Lander, Gad Getz JPM. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol.

2000;29(220):23–4.

69.  Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. Integrative Genomics Viewer

(IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief

Bioinform [Internet]. 2013 Mar 1 [cited 2019 Jul 23];14(2):178–92. Available

from: https://academic.oup.com/bib/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bib/bbs017

70.  H. Wickham. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag

New York [Internet]. 2016;174(1):245–6. Available from:

http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2010.00676_9.x

71.  Yu G, Wang L-G, He Q-Y. ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP

peak annotation, comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2015

Jul 15 [cited 2019 Jul 23];31(14):2382–3. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv145

72.  Blinka S, Reimer MH, Pulakanti K, Pinello L, Yuan G-C, Rao S. Identification of

Transcribed Enhancers by Genome-Wide Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

Sequencing. Methods Mol Biol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019 Jul 23];1468:91–

109. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27662872

73.  Zhang X, Chiang H-C, Wang Y, Zhang C, Smith S, Zhao X, et al. Attenuation of

RNA polymerase II pausing mitigates BRCA1-associated R-loop accumulation

and tumorigenesis. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2017 Aug 26 [cited 2019 Jul

23];8(1):15908. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28649985

74.   Stempor P, Ahringer J. SeqPlots - Interactive software for exploratory data

analyses, pattern discovery and visualization in genomics. Wellcome Open

Res [Internet]. 2016;1(0):14. Available from:

https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/1-14/v1

75.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2014 Dec 5

[cited 2019 Jul 23];15(12):550. Available from:

http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

76.   K B. EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced

colouring and labeling . https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano

[Internet]. 2018;R package:2018. Available from:

https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano.

77.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers

differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.

Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7):e47.

78.   Durinck S, Moreau Y, Kasprzyk A, Davis S, De Moor B, Brazma A, et al.

BioMart and Bioconductor: a powerful link between biological databases and

microarray data analysis. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2005 Aug 15 [cited 2019 Jul

23];21(16):3439–40. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti525

79.   Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. Mapping identifiers for the

integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat

Protoc [Internet]. 2009 Aug 23 [cited 2019 Jul 23];4(8):1184–91. Available

from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2009.97

80.    Caroline A Schneider WSR& KWE. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. INMATEH - Agric Eng [Internet]. 2012;9(7):671–5. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

1069

## Figure legends

1071

**Fig 1.    Histone mH2A1 isoforms are incorporated to "facultative-like" heterochromatin.** (A) Overlap of "mH2A1.1" (Ab αmH2A1.1) peaks with "mH2A1" (Ab αmH2A1). Peaks detected exclusively by Ab αmH2A1.1 are called "mH2A1.1 only", peaks detected exclusively by Ab αmH2A1 are called "mH2A1.2 only" and peaks detected by both correspond to mH2A1.1 only or mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2 in unknown proportions, called "mH2A1s". (B) Proportions of mH2A1 isoforms peaks at different genomic features (Methods). Arrows highlights differences between the two ChIP-seq. Promoters are defined around TSS. (C) Overlap of heterochromatin histone marks (H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) with mH2A1.1 peaks (left) and mH2A1 peaks (right). Percentages of overlaps are also given. Results of fisher exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (Methods). (D) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating occupancy of mH2A1 isoforms with heterochromatin histone marks (H3K27me3 and H3K9me3). At the top, region with high level of H3K27me3 and at the bottom, region with high level of H3K9me3. Peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in parallel. The black arrows show the direction of transcription. (E) Heatmap showing enrichment of mH2A1 isoforms sites (see Fig 1A) with H3K27me3 ; from left to right,  5 equal size categories as a function of differences in

H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 signals. Stars indicate significance, red and blue highlights positive and negative correlations, respectively.

**Fig 2. The mH2A1.1 isoform is enriched at super-enhancers.** (A) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating occupancy of mH2A1 isoforms with H3K27ac and H3K4me1 histone marks on genomic regions excluding TSS. (B) Overlap of "putative" enhancers (Methods) with mH2A1 isoforms. (C) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating occupancy of mH2A1 isoforms (Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab αmH2A1) at "putative" super-enhancers (SEs). SEs were defined using the ROSE package (Methods). (D) Overlap of SEs with mH2A1 isoforms. On genome browser views, peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in parallel. The black arrows show the direction of transcription. On venn diagrams, percentages of overlaps are given. Results of fisher exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and Methods).

**Fig 3. mH2A1.1 is recruited to the transcription start site of active genes.** (A) Overlap of mH2A1 isoforms with TSSs (+/- 1kb). (B) Metagene plot of average (+/- s.d) of mH2A1 isoforms enrichment at TSSs (+/- 2 kb) categorized by gene expression levels (Methods). Colors denote gene classes as indicated. (C) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating the binding of mH2A1.1 to the TSS of a transcribed gene in an open chromatin state. Peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in parallel. The black arrows show the direction of transcription. (D) Heatmap showing peak enrichment of mH2A1 isoforms sites (see Fig 1A) at TSSs (+/- 1kb), divided into 5 equal size categories as a function of expression level (Methods). Stars indicate significance. Red and blue highlights positive and negative

1114  correlations, respectively.(E) Metagene plot of average (+/- s.d) of mH2A1.1,

1115  H3K27ac and PolII enrichment at TSS (+/- 2kb). (F) Overlap of mH2A1 isoforms with

1116  Pol II at TSSs. On venn diagrams, percentages of overlaps are given. Results of

1117  fisher exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and Methods).

1118

**Fig 4. mH2A1.1 actives gene expression when it binds only on their TSSs.** (A)

Volcano plot showing fold change of gene expression in mH2A1.1 KD compared to

WT MDA-MB231 cells. Red dots represent significantly de-regulated genes with a

foldchange > 1.5 and padj < 0.1. Total mH2A1.1 regulated-genes are shown. (B)

Heatmap showing mH2A1 isoforms and Pol II relative enrichment around the TSS

(+/- 10 kb) of mH2A1.1 KD de-regulated genes (see Fig 4A). Colour intensity reflects

level of ChIP-seq enrichment. (C) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles

illustrating mH2A1.1 localization and genomic environment of a mH2A1.1 repressed-

gene. (D)  As in (C) but for a mH2A1.1 activated- gene. On genome browser views,

peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in parallel. The black arrows show

the direction of transcription.

1130

**Fig 5. mH2A1.1 binds a subset of TSSs of paused genes and promotes their**

**transcription.** (A) Heatmap showing Pol II and mH2A1 isoforms enrichment around

the TSS (+/- 10 kb) of transcribed genes (n=10,198) ranked by their pausing index

(Methods). Colour intensity reflects level of ChIP-seq enrichment. (B) Heatmap

showing correlation between mH2A1.1 peak width at TSSs (+/-1 kb) and pausing

index. Genes were divided in 3 equal size categories according to their pausing

index. mH2A1.1 peaks were divided in 5 categories according to their width. Stars

indicate significance. Red and blue highlights positive and negative correlations,

respectively. (C) Genome browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating mH2A1.1

localization on a paused gene. Peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in

parallel. The black arrows show the direction of transcription. (D) Overlap of mH2A1

isoforms sites (mH2A1.1 only and mH2A1.2 only, see Fig 1A) with paused genes

(Pausing index , PI > 2, n=6,821) at their TSSs. (E) Overlap of mH2A1.1 regulated-

genes with paused genes (PI > 2, n=6,821). (F) Boxplot showing pausing index of

five different groups of genes, as indicated. "****" = p-value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$. NS, not

significant. On venn diagrams, percentages of overlaps are given. Results of fisher

exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and Methods).

1148

**Fig 6. mH2A1.1 inhibits cell migration by in part favouring expression of**

**paused genes involved in cytoskeleton and cell adhesion in MDA-MB231 cells.**

(A) Representative DIC microscopy images of WT, mH2A1.1 KD (two different

siRNA) and mH2A1.2 KD MDA-MB231 cells. Scale bar = 100 µM. (B)

Immunofluorescence of Actin (up), Tubulin-α (middle) and Vimentin (down) in WT,

mH2A1.1 KD and mH2A1.2 KD MDA-MB231 cells. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst.

Scale bar = 20 µM. (C) Boyden chamber assay representatives images of WT,

mH2A1.1 KD and mH2A1.2 KD MDA-MB231 cells. Only migrated cells are labelled in

purple. Scale bar = 150 µM. (D) Quantification of Boyden chamber assay presented

in (C). Error bar represents s.d from n=3 independent experiments as illustrated in

(C). "*" = p-value (p) < 0.05, **, p < 0.01. (E) Overlap of paused genes (n= 6,821)

with mH2A1.1 regulated genes related to cytoskeleton and cell adhesion (see

Materials and Methods). On venn diagrams, percentages of overlaps are given.

Results of fisher exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and

Methods).

1164

**S1 Fig.The antibody Ab αmH2A1.1 recognizes unequivocally only the isoform mH2A1.1.** (A) RTqPCR on MDA-MB231 and MCF7 cells showing their relative mH2A1 isoforms mRNA expression level. Relative expressions are normalized by RPLP0 mRNA. (B) Western blot on whole cell extracts of MDA-MB231 and MCF7 cells showing the better affinity of Ab αmH2A1.1 to recognize mH2A1.1 compared to Ab#37264 (Ab αmH2A1). Ab#61427 is specific to mH2A1.2. GAPDH is used as a loading control. (C) Western blot showing specific recognition of mH2A1.1 isoform by Ab αmH2A1.1 antibody. For that, HEK-293T cells were transfected with plasmids coding for Flag-mH2A1.1 (Flag1.1) or Flag-mH2A1.2 (Flag1.2) fusion overexpressed-protein. Western blot was then done with Ab αmH2A1.1, Ab#Flag and Ab#E215 (that preferentially recognizes mH2A1.2) antibodies on whole cell extracts. GAPDH is used as a loading control. (D) Immunofluorescence in HEK-293T cells showing specific recognition of mH2A1.1 isoform by Ab αmH2A1.1. (E)  Western blot on ChIP extracts from HEK-293T cells overexpressing Flag1.1 or Flag1.2 showing that Ab αmH2A1.1 immunoprecipites only mH2A1.1 isoform (Methods). Different extracts were loaded : Input fraction (Input), Non immunoprecipitated fraction (NoIP) and immunoprecipitated fraction (IP). Percentages represent percentages loaded on western blot compared to quantity used for ChIP. (F) Western blot showing that Ab αmH2A1.1 is also working in ChIP in MDA-MB231 cells on the endogenous protein. (G) As in (C), but for Ab αmH2A1 (Ab#37264) antibody showing that its antibody recognizes both isoforms but it less affine for Flag1.1 than Ab αmH2A1.1. (H) As in (G), but in immunofluorescence. (I), As in (E), but for Ab αmH2A1 (Ab#37264) antibody.

**S2 Fig.** (A) Whole genome spearman correlation heatmap of ChIP-seq data. Pearson coefficient correlations (PCC, r) are given. Red and blue colours denote high correlation (r close to 1) and anti-correlation (r close to -1), respectively. (B) Table showing PCCs obtained between mH2A1.1 and mH2A1 at different genomic region, as presented.

**S3 Fig. Specific partial depletion of mH2A1 isoforms in MDA-MB231 cells.** (A) RTqPCR showing specific partial depletion of mH2A1 isoforms mRNA by specific siRNA three days post-transfection (see Materials and Methods). (B) Western blot showing specific depletion of mH2A1 isoforms protein by specific siRNA three days post-transfection. H3 is used as a loading control. (C) Immunofluorescence showing specific partial depletion of mH2A1 isoforms by specific siRNA three days post-transfection. DNA is labelled with Hoechst. Scale bar = 10 µm. (D) As in (A) but with a second siRNA against mH2A1.1. (E) As in (B) but with a second siRNA against mH2A1.1. GAPDH is used as a loading control. (F) RTqPCR analysis of a subset of RNAseq-defined mH2A1.1 regulated-genes. Genes are divided in three groups, as indicated. On RTqPCR, mRNA expressions are relatives to WT condition and normalized by RPLP0 mRNA. Error bars represent s.d from independent experiments (n>=2). "*" : $p < 0.05$, "***" : $p < 0.001$, NS, not significant. On western blot, mH2A1.1 and mH2A1.2 proteins are detected with Ab αmH2A1.1 and Ab#61427, respectively. Quantifications are showed, normalized by protein loading control.

**S4 Fig.** (A) mH2A1 and mH2A1.1 binding at genomic regions selected based on ChIP-sequencing. Localisation of primers used for ChIP-qPCR are shown in red. (B) Occupancy of mH2A1 isoforms (left part : Ab αmH2A1.1 ; right part : Ab αmH2A1) analysed by ChIP-qPCR in control cells (WT) and cells partially deficient for

mH2A1.1 using two different siRNA (mH2A1.1 KD #1 and mH2A1.1 KD #2). Error

bars represent +s.d from independent experiments (n>=2).

**S5 Fig. Levels of H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 are anti-correlated on common**

**H3K27me/H3K9me3 peaks.** (A) Boxplots showing H3K27me3 and H3K9me3

enrichment levels on H3K27me3/H3K9me3 common peaks. Peaks were divided into

5 equal size categories according to the level of H3K27me3, as mentioned. (B)

Histogram showing proportions of heterochromatin genomic localization, as

mentioned. Peaks were divided into 5 equal size categories according to ratio

between H3K27me3 and H3K9me3, as mentioned.

**S6 Fig. The mH2A1.1 isoform binds to TSSs in open chromatin state whereas**

**mH2A1.2 binds to TSSs in closed chromatin state.** (A) TSS (+/- 1kb) centred

spearman correlation heatmap of ChIP-seq data. Pearson coefficient correlations

(PCC, r) are given. Red and blue colours denote high correlation (r close to 1) and

anti-correlation (r close to -1), respectively. (B) Overlap of mH2A1 isoforms sites

(mH2A1.1 only and mH2A1.2 only, see Fig. 1a) with H3K4me3 and Pol II at TSS (+/-

1 kb). (C) As in (B), but with H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 histone marks. Results of

fisher exact tests are shown (p-value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and Methods).

**S7 Fig. The mH2A1.1 isoform binds the TSSs of transcribed genes.** (A) Boxplots

of mH2A1 isoforms levels and Pol II at TSS (+/- 1kb) categorized by gene expression

levels (see Materials and Methods). Colors denote gene classes, as indicated. "****"

p-value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ (see Materials and Methods). (B) Metagene plot of average (+/-

s.d) of mH2A1 isoforms enrichment from TSS to TES (+/- 2 kb) categorized by gene

expression levels (Methods). Colors denote gene classes, as indicated. (C) Genome

browser view of ChIP-seq profiles illustrating occupancy of mH2A1 isoforms on a not

expressed gene. (D) As in (C) but for a highly expressed gene. (E) Heatmap showing

peak enrichment of Pol II and histone marks at TSS (+/- 1kb) divided into 5 equal

size categories as a function of expression level (Methods). Stars indicate

significance. Red highlights positive and blue indicates negative correlations. (F)

TSS-centred spearman correlation heatmap of ChIP-seq data. Pearson coefficient

correlations (PCC, r) are given. Red and blue colours denote high correlation (r close

to 1) and anti-correlation (r close to -1), respectively. On genome browser views,

peaks and unstranded RNA-seq signal are shown in parallel. The black arrows show

the direction of transcription.

1246

**S8 Fig. The mH2A1.1 isoform regulates expression of active genes.** (A) Boxplot

comparing gene expression of three groups of genes (as indicated) between WT and

mH2A1.1 KD cells. (B)  Pie chart showing proportion of mH2A1.1 regulated-genes

categorized by gene expression levels in WT cells (see Materials and Methods).

Colors denote gene classes, as indicated. Results of fisher exact tests are shown (p-

value (p) and Odd ratio) (see Materials and Methods). (C) Boxplot showing mH2A1

isoforms occupancy levels, Pol II and histone marks at TSS on mH2A1.1 KD

regulated genes and not affected genes, as indicated. On boxplots, p-value are

shown, "****" = p-value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ; NS, not significant (see Materials and Methods).

1256

**S9 Fig.  The recruitment of mH2A1.1 differs between mH2A1.1-activated and**

**repressed genes.** (A) Metagene plot of average (+/- sd) of mH2A1 isoforms levels

and Pol II from TSS to TES (+/- 2 kb) on mH2A1.1 regulated-genes. (B) Heatmap

showing correlation between Pol II peak width at TSS (+/-1 kb) and pausing index.

Genes were divided in 3 equal size categories according to their pausing index. Pol II

peaks were divided in 5 categories according to their width. Stars indicate

significance. Red and blue highlights positive and negative correlations, respectively.

1264

**S10 Fig. The isoform mH2A1.1 modulates expression of genes involved in cell**

**cycle, DNA repair and cell shape.** (A) List of gene ontology (GO) terms for

mH2A1.1 activated-genes. The most significantly regulated ontologies were

determined by adjusted p-value and are shown in three different classes, Biological

Process (upper graph), Molecular function (middle graph) and Cellular Component

(lower graph). A full list of enriched GO terms is provided in S4 Table. (B) As in (A)

but for mH2A1.1 repressed-genes.

1272

1273 **Supporting information legends**

1274

S1 Table. List of antibodies used for western blot, immunofluorescence, and ChIP.

S2 Table. Summary of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq.

S3 Table. List of mH2A1.1-regulated genes.

S4 Table. List of GO terms related to mH2A1.1-regulated genes.

S5 Table. Sequences used for mH2A1.1 specific antibody production and siRNAs.

S6 Table. List of primers used for RTqPCR.

S7 Table. List of ChIPqPCR primers used for ChIPqPCR.

1282

**Fig 1**

**A**

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 27.40

**B**

**C**

**D**

**E**

# Fig 2

## A

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
H3K27ac [0-50]
H3K4me1 [0-50]
RNAseq WT [0-5]

GTSF1L

chr20:43,721,098-43,855,055
133 kb

## B

mH2A1.1
**43%**

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 5.95

13,583

5,096 | 2,996

7,403

9,491

mH2A1
**45%**

74,999

2,852

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 6.01

Putative Enhancers
(H3K4me1 and/or H3K27ac)
**17%**

## C

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
H3K27ac [0-50]
H3K4me1 [0-50]
Super-Enhancer
RNAseq WT [0-5]

GSTM5    GSTM3    EPS8L3

chr1:109,694,964-109,859,939
164 kb

## D

mH2A1.1

18,347

9,588

152     140    24

12,267

mH2A1

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 47.52

Putative
Super-enhancers
**85 %**

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio :30.64

# Fig 3

**Fig 4**

**A**

mH2A1.1-activated genes

mH2A1.1-repressed genes

n=533

n=412

Significantly deregulated gene

- $\log_{10}$ pvalue

padj > 0.1

$\log_2$ Fold Change

**B**

mH2A1.1    mH2A1    Pol II

mH2A1.1-repressed genes

mH2A1.1-activated genes

-10 kb  TSS  +10 kb

**C**  · mH2A1.1-repressed genes

mH2A1.1 [0-50]
mH2A1 [0-50]
Pol II [0-50]
H3K27ac [0-50]
H3K4me3 [0-50]
H3K27me3 [0-5]
H3K9me3 [0-5]
RNAseq WT [0-5]
RNAseq KD [0-5]

FAM46B

chr1:27,002,869-27,015,043
12 kb

**D**  · mH2A1.1-activated genes

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
Pol II [0-50]
H3K27ac [0-50]
H3K4me3 [0-50]
H3K27me3 [0-5]
H3K9me3 [0-5]
RNAseq WT [0-2]
RNAseq KD [0-2]

RBL1

chr20:36,994,536-37,107,626
112 kb

# Fig 5

**A**

Pausing index

Pol II       mH2A1.1       mH2A1

-2

-10 kb   TSS   10 kb    -10 kb   TSS   10 kb    -10 kb   TSS   10 kb

**B**

Pausing index

Width of mH2A1.1 peaks at TSS (+/- 1kbp)

| *** 0.81 | 0.04 | *** -0.69 |
| ** 0.29 | 0.14 | -0.15 |
| -0.16 | * 0.25 | * 0.26 |
| *** -0.56 | ** 0.32 | *** 0.6 |
| *** -0.61 | 0.15 | *** 0.97 |

LFC   0.5   0   -0.5

**C**

Pausing index high: 2.69

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
Pol II [0-50]
H3K27ac [0-50]
H3K4me3 [0-50]
H3K27me3 [0-5]
H3K9me3 [0-5]
RNAseq WT [0-2]
RNAseq KD [0-2]

STK38L    Chr1 :63,352,368-63,447,705
95 kb

**D**

Paused genes (PI > 2)

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 2.03

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 0.43

3,135   2,111   4,493   217   1,239

mH2A1.1 only at TSS

mH2A1.2 only at TSS

**E**

Paused genes (PI > 2)

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 5.59

p = 0.13
Odd ratio : 1.18

172   361   6,330   130   282

mH2A1.1-activated genes
**68%**

mH2A1.1-repressed genes
**31%**

**F**

Pausing index

1   2   3   4   5

Not affected genes

1- mH2A1.1- activated genes

2- mH2A1.1-repressed genes

3- Expressed genes

4- Middle expressed genes

5- Highly expressed genes

**Fig 6**

# S1_Fig

**S2_Fig**

**A**

| Genomic regions | PCC between mH2A1.1 and mH2A1 |
|---|---|
| Heterochromatin | 0.94 |
| Enhancer | 0.80 |
| TSS +/- 1kb | 0.41 |
| TSS | -0.07 |

**B**

**S3_Fig**

# S4_Fig

## A

## B

Ab αmH2A1.1

Ab αmH2A1

**S5_Fig**

**A**

1 : Very high level of H3K27me3

2 : High level of H3K27me3

3 : Middle level of H3K27me3

4 : Low level of H3K27me3

5 : Very low level of H3K27me3

**B**

1 : Very high ratio of H3K27me3/H3K9me3

2 : High ratio of H3K27me3/H3K9me3

3 : Middle ratio of H3K27me3/H3K9me3

4 : Low ratio of H3K27me3/H3K9me3

5 : Very low ratio of H3K27me3/H3K9me3

# S6_Fig

## A

mH2A1.1 with active marks

mH2A1 with repressive histones marks

## B

**mH2A1.1 only at TSS (+/- 1 kb)**

**mH2A1.2 only at TSS (+/- 1 kb)**

Pol II at TSS (+/- 1 kb)

H3K4me3 at TSS (+/- 1kb)

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 4.72 with Pol II
Odd ratio : 3.99 with H3K4me3

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 0.57 with Pol II
Odd ratio : 0.61 with H3K4me3

## C

**mH2A1.1 only at TSS (+/- 1 kb)**

**mH2A1.2 only at TSS (+/- 1 kb)**

H3K9me3 at TSS (+/- 1 kb)

H3K27me3 at TSS (+/- 1kb)

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 0.41 with H3K9me3
Odd ratio : 0.38 with H3K27me3

p < 2.2x10⁻¹⁶
Odd ratio : 2.27 with H3K9me3
Odd ratio : 1.85 with H3K27me3

# S7_Fig

## A

Relative enrichment at TSS

mH2A1.1    mH2A1    Pol II

**** **** ****

■ Not expressed   ■ Lowly expressed   ■ Middly expressed   ■ Highly expressed

## B

mH2A1.1

Relative enrichment

-2 kb    TSS    TES    + 2 kb

mH2A1

Relative enrichment

-2 kb    TSS    TES    + 2 kb

■ Not expressed   ■ Lowly expressed   ■ Middly expressed   ■ Highly expressed

## C

■ Not expressed gene

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
Pol II [0-100]
H3K27ac [0-100]
H3K4me3 [0-100]
H3K27me3 [0-10]
H3K9me3 [0-10]
RNAseq WT [0-5]

GABBR2

Chr9 : 98,218,168-98,774,217
589 kb

## D

■ Highly expressed gene

mH2A1.1 [0-100]
mH2A1 [0-100]
Pol II [0-100]
H3K27ac [0-100]
H3K4me3 [0-100]
H3K27me3 [0-10]
H3K9me3 [0-10]
RNAseq WT [0-5]

MAPK1IP1L

Chr14 : 55,047,190-55,073,552
26 kb

## E

Level of gene expression

At TSS (+/-1kb)

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Pol II | ***1.07 | ***1.26 | ***0.57 | **-0.14 | ***-1.09 |
| H3K4me3 | ***1.2 | ***1.01 | ***0.22 | ***-0.55 | ***-1.9 |
| H3K27ac | ***1.22 | ***1.04 | ***0.22 | ***-0.6 | ***-1.83 |
| H3K36me3 | ***1.56 | ***0.97 | 0.01 | ***-0.86 | ***-1.11 |
| H3K27me3 | ***-1.65 | ***-0.4 | ***0.72 | ***1.17 | ***1.02 |
| H3K9me3 | ***-1.58 | ***-0.59 | ***0.58 | ***1.11 | ***1.07 |

LOR    1    0    -1

## F

| | mH2A1 | H3K27me3 | H3K9me3 | mH2A1.1 | Pol II | H3K4me3 | H3K27ac | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| H3K27ac | -0.39 | -0.33 | -0.36 | 0.34 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 1.00 | mH2A1.1 with active marks |
| H3K4me3 | -0.40 | -0.27 | -0.32 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 0.77 | |
| Pol II | -0.56 | -0.46 | -0.45 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.67 | |
| mH2A1.1 | -0.07 | -0.26 | -0.33 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.34 | |
| H3K9me3 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 1.00 | -0.33 | -0.45 | -0.32 | -0.36 | mH2A1 with repressive histone marks |
| H3K27me3 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 0.66 | -0.26 | -0.46 | -0.27 | -0.33 | |
| mH2A1 | 1.00 | 0.39 | 0.36 | -0.07 | -0.56 | -0.40 | -0.39 | |

-1.00  -0.75  -0.50  -0.25  0.00  0.25  0.50  0.75  1.00

**A**

DR    mH2A1.1-activated genes

UR    mH2A1.1-repressed genes

NA    Non affected genes

**B**

☐ Not expressed    ☐ Lowly expressed    ☐ Middly expressed    ☐ Highly expressed

**C**

■ mH2A1.1
■ mH2A1
■ PolII
■ H3K27me3
■ H3K9me3
■ H3K27ac
■ H3K4me3

A: mH2A1.1-activated genes

R: mH2A1.1-repressed genes

N: Non affected genes

**S9_Fig**

**A**

mH2A1.1-activated genes    mH2A1.1-repressed genes

mH2A1.1
mH2A1
Pol II

**B**

Pausing index

Width of Pol II peaks at TSS (+/- 1kb)

LOR

**S10_Fig**

**A**

**mH2A1.1-activated genes**

**B**

**mH2A1.1-repressed genes**