Title: Global change adaptation reduces plasticity and limits future resilience

Authors: Reid S. Brennan[†1]*, James A. deMayo[†2], Hans G. Dam[2], Michael Finiguerra[3], Hannes

Baumann[2], Melissa H. Pespeni[1]*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Biology, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA

[2]Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT, USA

[3]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT, USA

*Correspondence to: Reid.Brennan@uvm.edu; mpespeni@uvm.edu

[†]Authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: Species may persist through the unprecedented changes in global conditions using

genetic adaptation or physiological plasticity. However, few empirical studies have revealed the

relationship between adaptation and plasticity or addressed the long-standing debate on whether

plasticity impedes or facilitates adaptive evolution. Here, we used experimental evolution and

reciprocal transplantation of the marine coastal copepod, *Acartia tonsa*, to present-day and future

greenhouse conditions (high temperature, high CO_2). Despite the presence of plasticity in

ambient conditions, twenty generations of selection resulted in highly parallel genetic adaptation

to greenhouse conditions where genes related to stress response, actin regulation, developmental

processes, and energy production diverged between conditions. Genetic adaptation, however,

reduced fecundity and population growth when greenhouse animals were returned to ambient

conditions or reared in low food conditions. Concurrently, adaptation reduced gene expression

plasticity by 12.7 fold. Despite the loss of plasticity, across three successive transplant

generations, greenhouse-adapted animals were able to match the ambient-adaptive transcriptional

profile through genetic adaptation, which in turn eroded nucleotide diversity in greenhouse-adaptive genes. These results demonstrate the power of experimental evolution from natural populations to reveal the mechanisms, timescales of responses, consequences, and reversibility of complex, physiological adaptation. While plasticity facilitated initial survival in global change conditions, it eroded after 20 generations as populations genetically adapted, limiting resilience to new stressors and previously benign environments.

Main Text: Though global conditions are changing at a geologically unprecedented rate and the frequency of extreme events is increasing [1], species can use genetic adaptation or physiological plasticity to persist [2–4]. Genetic adaptation, defined as heritable genetic change that improves population fitness in an environment [5], enables resilience by shifting population phenotypes to tolerate different conditions. Selection for adaptive phenotypes can shift population genetic variation over short time scales, as few as one to four generations [6–9]. Conversely, plasticity allows a single genotype to generate different phenotypes in response to the environment within a generation [10]. Considering rapid changes in environmental conditions, adaptive plasticity enables organisms to maintain fitness across environments [11] through underlying phenotypic, physiological, or gene expression changes [12]. However, the interaction and relative contributions of genetic adaptation and plasticity to population persistence in rapid environmental change is not well understood [13–16]. Plasticity may inhibit adaptation by shielding genetic variation from natural selection, but more recent work has indicated that plasticity can precede and facilitate adaptation to novel environments [17–20]. Thus, while adaptation and plasticity are not always antagonistic, there is limited empirical support and the consequence of this process on the resilience of populations is unclear [21].

Some species may be more equipped to survive environmental changes using either or both

genetic adaptation or plasticity [2]. Organisms that live in dynamic environments, have

distributions that span a wide range of environmental conditions, and are highly dispersing are

predicted to have the greatest capacity to respond to rapid environmental change [22,23]. These

characteristics support the maintenance of both standing genetic variation, which enables

adaptation, and physiological plasticity [24,25]. Many marine species live in environments that vary

across time and space and have life histories that promote dispersal [26,27]. This is true of copepods,

the most abundant marine metazoan [28] which have been shown to have plasticity and genetic

variation for adaptation to global change conditions [29–31]. Despite their ecological importance,

there is limited empirical evidence of adaptive capacity to global change conditions over longer

timescales in natural populations of copepods, or any metazoan [32,33], and the relationship

between plasticity and genetic adaptation is not well understood in these systems[34].

Experimental evolution is a particularly powerful approach to understand resilience as organisms

can be exposed to specific selective pressures over multiple generations [35]. From this, one can

identify both the adaptive potential and the mechanisms of adaptation of a population, directly

providing insight into how a population may cope with changing climates [36]. Here, we use 20

generations of experimental evolution to greenhouse (high CO_2, temperature) and present-day

ambient conditions followed by three generations of reciprocal transplantation. Experimental

evolution and reciprocal transplantation allow us to mimic both chronic environmental changes

and extreme events, respectively, to understand the interplay of rapid adaptation and plasticity

and to explore associated costs of adaptation, particularly those relating to reductions of genetic

diversity and plasticity.

We experimentally evolved the globally distributed, foundational copepod species, *Acartia*

tonsa, to ambient and global change conditions. As a dominant prey item for forage fish, *A. tonsa*

serves as a critical link in the marine food web, supporting economically important fisheries [37]

and as major phytoplankton grazers, copepods substantially contribute to the storage of

atmospheric CO_2 and thus mediate marine biogeochemical cycles [38]. Replicate cultures (4

replicates per condition, ~4,000 individuals per replicate) of *A. tonsa* were subjected to 20

generations of selection in ambient (AM: 400 ppm pCO_2 and 18ºC) and combined high $CO_2 \times$

temperature (greenhouse, GH: 2000 ppm pCO_2 and 22ºC) conditions followed by three

generations of reciprocal transplantation of both lines to opposite conditions (Fig. 1). These

treatments were chosen as they represent present-day and a worst case, yet realistic scenario [39,40];

in the coming century, global mean ocean surface temperatures will increase by 2-4 ºC[41] and

oceanic CO_2 concentrations will potentially reach 2000 ppm by the year 2300 [42]. Reciprocal

transplant represents rapid responses to environmental change and extreme events. We denote

evolved lines with their abbreviation and the treatment condition during transplant as a subscript

(i.e., AM_{GH} = ambient line transplanted to greenhouse conditions). Fecundity and survival were

measured immediately following transplant for all cultures. Because costs may only be evident in

the face of additional stressors, we also measured fecundity and survival under limited food

availability, which is a potential consequence of climate change for marine environments[43]. RNA

was collected from pools of 20 adults from each of four replicate cultures at the first, second, and

third generation after transplant for both experimentally evolved and transplanted lines (Fig. 1).

We estimated allele frequencies at 322,595 variant sites with at least 50× coverage across all

samples and quantified transcript abundance for an average 24,112 genes. We test for evidence

of adaptation, identify underlying mechanisms and potential costs associated with adaptation at

the organismal, physiological, and genetic levels of organization, and use reciprocal transplants

to test how plasticity and genetic adaptation interact during short- and longer-term responses to

global change conditions.

Results and discussion

Organismal, transcriptomic, and genomic evidence indicate that selection shaped the phenotypes

and genotypes in the replicate lines after 20 generations in greenhouse conditions (Figs. 2 & 3).

Whole organism performance showed that fecundity was equally high for both lines in their

home environments but declined for GH lines after transplant to AM conditions (48% reduction

in egg production relative to GH_{GH}; $P < 0.02$; Fig. 2A). In contrast, transplanted AM_{GH}

demonstrated sufficient plasticity to alter their physiology to maintain similarly high egg

production as the non-transplanted lines (Fig. 2A). Considering that AM individuals represent

the non-selected, control populations, the reduced fecundity of GH populations transplanted to

previously benign AM conditions represents a loss of ancestral plasticity.

In contrast to egg production, survivorship from *nauplii* to reproductive maturity was 60% lower

for greenhouse compared to ambient lineages regardless of the environment or food regime ($P <$

0.001; Fig. 2B). However, fitness (the net reproductive rates), estimated by using fecundity,

development rates, reproductive timing, and survival [44], were stable for food replete GH_{GH} and

AM lines in both conditions (Fig. 2C). The high fitness for AM lines across environments again

demonstrates sufficient plasticity to alter underlying physiology. In contrast, GH_{AM} transplant

populations exhibited decreased net reproductive rates relative to GH_{GH} animals ($P = 0.01$, Fig.

2C), indicating adaptation to GH conditions. In addition, population growth depended on

condition (marginally significant line x treatment interaction, $P = 0.056$ Fig. 2C), suggesting a

loss of the ability in GH lines to maintain high fitness across environments and a loss of

physiological plasticity to tolerate the different conditions. The steady-state growth rate when

food is non-limiting of GH_{GH} populations despite decreased survival relative to AM lines (Fig.

2B) was due to compensation via higher egg production relative to GH_{AM} populations (Fig. 2A),

faster development, and earlier reproductive timing in GH conditions. Taken together, the

constant population growth in GH conditions and the reduction in egg production following

transplant to AM conditions suggest that there was strong directional selection in greenhouse

conditions that resulted in adaptation and the maintenance of high egg production that came at

the cost of maintaining plasticity to tolerate previously benign, ambient conditions.

We also explored the ability of lines to tolerate an additional stressor, low food availability, to

determine resilience to tolerate environmental fluctuations. GH animals performed poorly under

low food availability regardless of environment (Fig. 2). Under low food, relative to AM lines,

GH lines experienced a 67% reduction in egg production rates ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2A), 70%

reduction in survival ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2B), and a 40% reduction in fitness ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2C).

Thus, selection in greenhouse conditions may result in animals less resilient to further nutritional

limitations [45], which is likely driven by increased energetic costs leading to increased stress [46].

To understand the genetic and physiological mechanisms driving the observed decreases in

plasticity and performance in GH animals, we tested for changes in allele frequencies (322,595

loci) and gene expression (24,927 genes) among AM and GH lines in their home environments.

We found consistent, directional divergence in allele frequencies between replicate GH and AM

lines, indicating that selection resulted in adaptation to GH conditions (Fig. 3 A, B, S1, S2).

17,720 loci (5.5% of all loci with >50X coverage) showed consistent allele frequency

divergence, suggesting that adaptation to global change condition is highly polygenic (GH$_{GH}$

versus AM$_{AM}$; Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test FDR corrected significance threshold: 5.17e-08)

[47–49]. 1,876 genes (7% of genes surveyed) showed differential expression between control and

experimentally evolved replicate lines in their home environment (GH$_{GH}$ versus AM$_{AM}$; $P <$

0.05). Of potential candidate genes driving adaptation (Fig. S2), one gene, NADH-ubiquinone

oxidoreductase 49 kDa subunit (NDUFS2), was an extreme outlier for divergence in allele

frequencies, 77%, which is 72% greater than the global average (5%; Fig. S3). NDUFS2 is a

nuclear-encoded, core subunit of Complex 1 of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC)

that has been shown to be inhibited by heat stress [50,51]. The three substitutions identified here

were all non-synonymous, including a non-polar Isoleucine to polar Asparagine, in linkage

disequilibrium (Fig. S2), and in a single alpha helix of the ETC subunit. This alternative

NDUFS2 haplotype targeted by selection could improve energy production under heat stress for

global change-adapted copepods, a hypothesis worth future functional investigation.

We found substantial overlap in the functional classes of genes that had evolved allelic and

expression differences; shared categories included response and detection of stress and stimuli,

developmental processes, actin organization and regulation, and proteolytic processes (Fig. 3C,

D; Tables S1, S2). These functions likely relate to increased survival in warmer and more acidic

conditions. For example, proteolysis is integral to the stress response [52] while actin modifications

have been repeatedly identified as targets of pH adaptation and resilience [9,53] and are linked to

cytoskeleton maintenance that is responsive to low pH stress in copepods [54]. Despite shared

functions, there was little overlap in the specific genes that evolved allelic and adaptive

expression differences (16%; Fisher's exact test; $P > 0.05$), suggesting that adaptive divergence

in protein function and gene expression targeted different, but functionally related genes.

Similarly, we found no correlation between the degree of expression and allelic responses (Fig.

S4; $R^2 = 0.002$), suggesting no impact of potential allele-biased expression on estimates of allele

frequencies from pools of sequenced RNA. However, we found strong allele frequency

divergence in regulators of expression, predominantly in genes relating to RNA processing (Fig.

3C) and also ribosomal S6 kinase, a gene involved in the regulation of numerous transcription

factors and translation (Fig. S2) [55]. Taken together, these results suggest that regulators of gene

expression were under selection and responsible for the adaptive changes in gene expression.

We next quantified gene expression plasticity to understand if rapid adaptation has resulted in a

loss of physiological plasticity. We identify plastic changes in gene expression for GH and AM

lines by comparing expression in the home environment after 20 generations to short-term gene

expression responses after transplantation (GH_{GH} vs. GH_{AM}; AM_{AM} vs. AM_{GH}; Fig. 4A). At the

first generation of transplant, AM_{GH} was plastic in expression for 4,719 genes (Padj< 0.05; 20%

of genes), a 12.7 fold greater response than GH_{AM} (372 genes; 1.6 % of genes). However, by the

second and third generations' transplant, this difference diminished due to the relative increase of

GH gene expression changes (F2: 4.5%; F3: 4.1%) and decrease of AM changes (F2: 1.7%; F3:

6.3%). While expression changes at F1 were likely due to plasticity, differential gene expression

at F2 and F3 could be due to plasticity or evolution, a question we address below.

In accord with the lower overall plasticity for GH animals at F1, AM animals showed a

significantly greater match to the adaptive expression profile than GH (Discriminant analysis of

principal components (DAPC); $P = 0.03$; Fig. 4B). Discriminant function space was generated

from AM_{AM} and GH_{GH}, representing the adaptive expression differences after 20 generations in

each environment. Transplanted lines were fit to this discriminant function space to determine if

and how expression changed to match the adaptive expression profile where the adaptive

plasticity is summarized by the length of each line in Fig. 4. Despite lower plasticity in adaptive

gene expression for GH animals at F1, AM and GH lines demonstrated similar shifts at F2 and

F3 where lines converge on the adaptive expression profile by the F3 generation (Fig. 4B).

Alternative methods based on DeSeq2 differential expression recapitulate these adaptive

expression shifts (Fig. S5). This increase in adaptive gene expression across generations

indicates that copepods, even after 20 generations of experimental evolution in ambient or

greenhouse conditions and despite differences in initial levels of plasticity, were able to match

adaptive gene expression profiles increasingly with each successive generation.

We used a similar DAPC approach to test if allele frequencies changed to match adaptive allele

frequencies following transplant and to explore whether gene expression changes at F2 and F3

were due to plasticity or evolution (Fig. 4C). Allele frequencies of non-transplanted lines were

used to generate discriminate function space and the shifts of transplanted lines indicated the

degree of adaptive evolution following transplant. This quantified the degree to which

transplanted lines evolved using the same alleles that adaptively evolved over the initial 20

generations. At generations F1 and F2, allele frequency changes were similar between AM and

GH lines (Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) generalized linear mixed model; $P_{MCMC} > 0.05$;

Fig. 4C). However, by F3 GH animals showed significantly greater adaptive evolution than AM

($P_{MCMC} = 0.02$). These findings were again mirrored by an independent analysis based on

Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel tests (Fig. S5). Together with the gene expression analysis, these

results indicate that AM_{GH} animals were able to match the greenhouse adaptive transcriptional

profiles without changes in allele frequencies but rather through physiological and/or

transgenerational plasticity. Conversely, the GH_{AM} animals had lost the plasticity necessary to

transcriptionally respond and matched the adaptive transcriptional profile through evolution, i.e.,

selection drove changes in allele frequencies across the three generations of transplant.

Rapid adaptation can result in a selective bottleneck which could drive a loss of genetic diversity

during long-term selection or short-term transplant. To test this hypothesis, we quantified

nucleotide diversity (π) for each treatment following 20 generations of selection and after

transplant. Remarkably, we found no significant loss of genetic diversity after long-term

adaptation to greenhouse conditions (GH_{GH}, Fig. 5A, $P_{Tukey} > 0.05$). Similarly, ambient lines,

both transplanted and not, maintained high levels of genetic diversity ($P_{Tukey} > 0.05$). However,

when transplanted back to ambient conditions, GH_{AM} showed a significant drop in diversity by

F3 ($P_{Tukey} < 0.05$; Fig. 5A), indicating a global loss of genetic diversity. This suggests that the

successive shifts in allele frequency after transplant of GH to AM (GH_{AM}; Fig. 4C) resulted in a

loss of genetic diversity (Fig. 5A). To test if this loss of genetic diversity in transplant from GH

to AM was concentrated in specific regions of the genome, we compared changes in nucleotide

diversity in regions identified as adaptively diverged between greenhouse and ambient lines (i.e.,

regions containing 17,720 consistently divergent loci) versus all other loci ("non-adaptive"). For

GH_{AM} lines, the loss of nucleotide diversity was concentrated in genomic regions containing

adaptive loci (Fig. 5B; Wilcoxon test $P = 0.005$), while there was no loss of diversity in AM_{GH}

lines as a control contrast (Fig. 5B; $P = 0.11$). In addition, the loss of nucleotide diversity for

GH_{AM} lines was concentrated in genes with functions related to sequestration of actin monomers,

cytokinesis, and response to stress (Fig. 5C; Table S3), similar to those underlying adaptive

genetic divergence between AM_{AM} and GH_{GH} lines (Table S1). The lack of nucleotide diversity

loss for AM_{GH} lines and the absence of functional enrichment indicates that selection did not act

on these transplanted lines; this is consistent with the sustained ability for a plastic response.

These results also suggest that the loss of genetic diversity for GH_{AM} was due to selection

following their loss of physiological plasticity after long-term adaptation to greenhouse

conditions.

Conclusion

Whether plasticity promotes or constrains adaptation has been a long-standing debate [13], and

only a few recent studies have provided empirical evidence that plasticity can promote

adaptation [17–20]. We reveal a complex interaction between plasticity and adaptation in response to

global change conditions. In the short-term, three generations, plasticity buffered populations

from environmental change allowing AM lines to tolerate greenhouse conditions through

plasticity. Over the longer-term, 20 generations, plasticity to acclimate was lost as adaptation to

greenhouse conditions proceeded, indicating costs to maintain plasticity [56]. Our results provide

evidence that plasticity does not constrain genetic adaptation but, over time, genetic adaptation

erodes plasticity.

This interplay between plasticity and adaptation has important implications for our

understanding of mechanisms of species persistence to global change conditions. We

demonstrate that copepods have the capacity to adapt over 20 generations, approximately one

year, using the standing genetic variation that exists in natural populations. However, reciprocal

transplant and food challenges revealed significant costs of this adaptation; populations lost

physiological plasticity, the ability to tolerate food limitation, and ultimately adaptive genetic

variation for greenhouse conditions. Given the increasing frequency of extreme environmental

conditions, rapid adaptation of *A. tonsa* to a temporary extreme may result in a population that is

maladapted when conditions return to the previous state. Thus, while plasticity may enable

persistence initially, adaptation can drive a loss of plasticity that can lead to reduced resiliency

when environmental conditions fluctuate further. These results demonstrate the utility of

experimental evolution in understanding complex adaptation in natural systems and to reveal the

mechanisms, time-scales of responses, consequences, and reversibility of this process. As we

begin to incorporate adaptive potential and plasticity into species persistence models [57–60], these

results caution that, even for species predicted to be resilient to rapid global change, there may be

costs to adaptive evolution.

Methods

Experimental set-up

Copepods (n=1,000) were collected in June of 2016 from Esker Point Beach in Groton, CT, USA

(decimal degrees: 41.320725, -72.001643) and raised for at least three generations prior to the

start of transgenerational adaptation to limit maternal effects [61]. Parental individuals were

acclimated to one of two experimental conditions: 1) AM – Ambient temperature (18 ˚C),

Ambient CO_2 (~400 ppmv CO_2, pH ~8.2) or 2) GH – High temperature (22 ˚C), high CO_2

(~2000 ppmv CO_2, pH ~7.5). Cultures were fed every 48-72 hours at food-replete concentrations

of carbon (>800 µg C/L) split equally between three species of prey phytoplankton. Prey

phytoplankton included *Tetraselmis* spp., *Rhodomonas* spp., and *Thalassiosira weissflogii*,

which is a common diet combination used for rearing copepods[62]. About 400 females and 200

males were used to seed each treatment, yielding an estimated 15,000 eggs and newly hatched

nauplii for the initial F0 generation. Four replicate cultures per treatment were maintained in

individual 3L culture containers with two treatments per environment (eight cultures per

environment). Each environment was housed in one of two identical incubators. Elevated CO_2

levels were achieved with two-gas proportioners (Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA)

combining air with 100% bone dry CO_2 that was delivered continuously to the bottom of each

replicate culture. Actual measurements of experimental CO_2 were determined based on

measurements of salinity, temperature, pH, and total alkalinity using CO_2Sys. Total alkalinity

was measured before and after the course of the experiment. Seawater was filtered to 30 µm and

measured immediately using an endpoint titration as described previously [63]. Target pH values

were monitored daily using a handheld pH probe (Orion Ross Ultra pH/ATC Triode with Orion

Star A121 pH Portable Meter (Thermo FisherScientific®, Waltham, MA, USA). Continuous

bubbling maintained higher than necessary dissolved oxygen levels (>8 mg/L). To assess

functional life-history traits, smaller volume experiments were housed in the same temperature-

controlled incubators in custom plexiglass enclosures with the atmosphere continuously flooded

with CO_2 infused air at the appropriate concentration, which allows for passive diffusion of CO_2

into the experiments. Copepods were raised in the respective two experimental treatments for 20

generations before the reciprocal transplant.

Reciprocal transplant

At the 21st generation, we performed a reciprocal transplant between copepods from AM and

GH. Each of the four replicates from each treatment was split to yield four additional replicates

for each of two new transplant treatments: AM_{GH} and GH_{AM} (as well as sham transfers: AM_{AM}

and GH_{GH}). Copepods were raised for three subsequent generations and were fed every 48-72

hours as described above. This design lead to 48 total cultures: 2 treatments × 2 transplant/non-

transplant × 4 replicates × 3 generations.

Life-history traits

Day-specific survivorship was measured every 48-72 hours, with food media replaced on

monitoring days. Food media was provided at the appropriate food concentration (>800 μg C/L

for food-replete and 250 μg C/L for food-limited) divided in equal carbon proportions between

the three afore-mentioned prey species in 0.2 μm filtered seawater collected from Long Island

Sound. Food media was acclimated to the appropriate temperature and CO_2 concentration prior

to replacement. Survivorship was assessed among twelve 250-mL beakers per treatment (3 food

concentrations × 4 replicates = 12 beakers per treatment) containing 25 individual N1 *nauplii*

and monitored until sexual maturity (adulthood) Log rank analysis of survivorship was assessed

using the survival [64,65] and survminer [66] packages in R.

Egg production rate (EPR) and hatching frequency (HF) were assessed with 36 individual mate

pairs of newly matured adults per treatment (12 pairs per food concentration × 3 food

concentrations = 36 pairs per treatment) divided evenly between four replicates within a

treatment. Adults were incubated in 25 mL petri dishes (FisherScientific, Waltham, MA, USA)

over three days in the same temperature-controlled incubators and plexiglass enclosures

described above. After the initial three-day incubation, adults were assessed for survival and

removed to avoid cannibalization of eggs. Eggs were allowed to hatch over a subsequent three-

day incubation. Food media was prepared as described for survivorship and replaced daily during

egg laying to ensure accurate food concentrations were near saturation and not reduced due to

daily grazing [67]. Lids of petri dishes were left off-center to allow for full contact with the

atmosphere and diffusion of CO_2. Plates with dead males were still evaluated for EPR, but not

HF. Plates with dead females were not evaluated. After the hatching period, plates were

preserved with non-acid Lugol's solution and quantified. Per capita EPR was calculated as

$(E_u+E_h)/t$ where E_u represents unhatched eggs, E_h represents hatched eggs (*nauplii*), and t

represents egg laying time. Hatching frequency was calculated as $E_h/(E_u+E_h)$.

The population net reproductive rate, λ, was calculated as the dominant eigenvalue of an

assembled projected age-structured Leslie Matrix constructed from survivorship and fecundity

data [44]. Briefly, day-specific probabilities of survivorship are calculated from day-specific

survivorship as $P_x = \frac{l_x}{l_{x-1}}$ where l_x represents the individuals on day x and l_{x-1} represents the

number of individuals on day *x-1*. Probabilities of survivorship on day 1 are assumed to be 100%

or a proportion of 1. Per capita EPR and HF are calculated as described above, with fecundity

rates equaling the product of EPR and HF. Because only females produce offspring, total

fecundity rates must be scaled to the sex ratio (ratio of females:males) observed in survivorship

experiments. To account for differences in individual development time for each treatment,

fecundity rates are assigned to all days after the first matured adult is observed. We assume that

survivorship in each beaker is equally as likely to experience the fecundity values observed in

EPR experiments. Therefore, each mate-pair fecundity rate was paired with each survivorship

beaker to construct a matrix. This yields a maximum of 48 matrices per treatment per food

concentration (4 beakers × 12 mate pairs).

We constructed linear mixed models with line, environment, and food concentration as fixed

effects with all interactions, and culture replicate as a random effect. Two post-hoc tests were

conducted. First, to quantify differences in plasticity between the lines, we evaluated genotype ×

environment interactions on life-history traits separately for each food concentration. Second,

post-hoc t-test comparisons were used to conduct pairwise comparisons of the interactions for

each life history trait.

Genomics

RNA from pools of 20 individuals was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,

CA, USA) and purified with Qiagen RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA).

RNAseq libraries were prepared by Novogene (Sacramento, CA, USA) and sequenced with 150

bp paired end reads on an Illumina NovaSeq 600, generating 1.29 billion reads. Raw reads were

trimmed for quality and adapter contamination using Trimmomatic V0.36[68] where leading and

trailing quality was set at 2, sliding window length was 4 with a quality of 2, and minimum

length was 31.

To quantify genetic variation, SuperTranscripts were generated from the reference transcriptome

[69] using the Trinity_gene_splice_modeler.py command in the Trinity pipeline [70].

SuperTranscripts act as a reference by collapsing isoforms into a single transcript, which enables

variant detection without a reference genome [71]. Trimmed reads were aligned to the

SuperTranscripts using bwa mem [72]. After filtering, we identify 322,595 variant sites among all

replicates.

Variants were called using VarScan v2.3 [73] (2 alternate reads to call a variant, p-value of 0.1,

minimum coverage of 30x, minimum variant allele frequency of 0.01). Following this, variants

were stringently filtered to include no missing data, only sites where at least 4 samples were

called as variable and each with a minor allele frequency of at least 0.025 (minimum of 1

heterozygous individual), any sites with depth greater than 3x the median (median=132x), and

sites with a minimum coverage of 50x per sample (2 reads/diploid individual). This reduced

called variants from an initial 5,547,802 to 322,595.

Gene expression was quantified at the gene level as recommended [74] using Salmon v0.10.2 [75]

and transcript abundances were converted to gene-level counts using the tximport package in R

[76]. The reference transcriptome was indexed using quasi mapping with a k-mer length of 31 and

transcripts were quantified while correcting for sequence specific bias and GC bias. We were

interested in assessing expression patterns between treatments with each generation and how

these patterns changed through time and, therefore, analyzed each generation separately. Genes

with low expression (fewer than 10 counts in more than 90% of the samples) were removed from

the dataset. This left 23 324, 24 882, and 24 132 genes for F1, F2, and F3, respectively. Counts

were normalized and log transformed using the rlog command in DESeq2 [77].

Gene expression plasticity

DESeq2 was used to identify the number of plastic genes for each line defined as the genes

changing expression between environments within a line (GH_{GH} vs. GH_{AM}; AM_{AM} vs. AM_{GH}).

For each generation, we required at least 10 counts in 90% of samples. Differentially expressed

genes were identified using the model ~Line + Treatment + Line:Treatment and any contrasts

were considered significant when adjusted p-values were < 0.05.

Discriminant analysis of principal components

Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) was used to quantify the degree to which

gene expression and allele frequencies converged on the adaptive state within each environment.

Here, we assume that each line has reached the adaptive optimum after 20 generations. Using the

filtered, normalized, and transformed expression data from DeSeq2, shifts in gene expression in

transplanted lines across generations were quantified using DAPC in the adegenet package in R

[78]. Discriminant functions for each generation were first generated using the non-transplanted

lines to identify genes consistently differentially expressed. Transplanted lines were fit to this

discriminant function space and used MCMCglmm models in R [79] to model the effect of line

origin and transplant on movement in discriminant function space. 2500 posterior estimates were

generated and the difference in the transplant effect for each line was quantified by calculating

the absolute difference between these estimates. This can be viewed as the difference between

the lengths of the lines representing average shifts in Fig. 4. These differences were used to

generate a 95% credible interval and the proportion of positive or negative values were

considered a p-value for the difference in magnitude of the effect of transplant on each line in

discriminant function space.

DAPC was also used to identify divergence in allele frequency between the AM and GH lines.

The same approach as for gene expression (above) was taken where we generated the DAPC

with lines in their home environment and then fit transplanted lines to discriminant function

space.

Adaptive allele frequency and gene expression divergence

To identify loci consistently shifting in response to selection, Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel (CMH)

tests were used to identify specific SNPs that were consistently diverged between the AM and

GH lines and represent the most likely targets of adaptation. This approach looks for consistent

changes in allele frequencies across all replicates and is a common and powerful technique in

experimental evolution [35,80]. We calculated CMH p-values for AM_{AM} versus GH_{GH} lines (n = 4,

each) for each of the three sampled generations. Significance thresholds ($P < 5.17e-08$) were

defined with Bonferroni corrections using the total number of SNPs (322,595) multiplied by

three, representing the total number of tests conducted. The SNPs that were identified in all three

generations were considered adaptive (Fig. S6). This approach assumes that AM and GH lines

have reached their phenotypic optimum and any additional evolution in the F2 or F3 generations

is a product of drift, an assumption that is reasonable given the preceding 20 generations of

selection.

DESeq2 was used to identify the specific genes that were adaptive differentially expressed

between non-transplanted AM and GH lines at each generation. Using the same geneset and

model from DeSeq2, above, we identified differentially expressed genes between AM and GH in

their home environment with an adjusted significance threshold of p-values < 0.05.

Quantifying genetic diversity

We estimate genetic diversity (π) for each replicate using Popoolation [81] with 100 bp non-

overlapping sliding windows. To quantify if π was differentially lost in any treatment, median π

values were compared using an Anova with a Tukey post-hoc test. We next tested if regions

containing adaptively divergent loci between non-transplanted GH and AM lines lost π at a

different rate than regions containing only neutral variants. The change in π was calculated for

each replicate and the change in π for GH_{AM} and AM_{GH} were compared using Wilcoxon Rank

Sum tests with bonferroni corrections. Gene ontology enrichment was performed with GO

Mann-Whitney U [82], which requires no significance threshold, but takes the change in π for each

group and asks if any functional category falls towards the tails of this distribution (in our case,

one-sided to identify disproportionately low values). We use this same approach for gene

expression and allele frequencies divergence between lines in their home environments. For

allele frequencies, the minimum p-value was chosen for each gene.

Finally, we tested for overlap between the allelic and gene expression results to determine if the

genes in each were significantly correlated. We correlated the relationship between the -log10 of

the CMH p-values for the allele frequencies and the differential gene expression p-values

between GH_{GH} and AM_{AM}. This analysis showed the two sets were distinct and the variation

explained by each was less than 1% at each generation, indicating that there was minimal bias in

estimating allele frequencies due to differentially expressed genes.

References:

1. Rahmstorf, S. & Coumou, D. Increase of extreme events in a warming world. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **108**, 17905–17909 (2011).

2. Somero, G. N. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and

genetic adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers'. *J. Exp. Biol.* (2010).

3. Hoffmann, A. A. & Sgrò, C. M. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature* **470**,

479–485 (2011).

4. Chevin, L.-M., Lande, R. & Mace, G. M. Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a

changing environment: towards a predictive theory. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000357 (2010).

5. Kawecki, T. J. & Ebert, D. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecol. Lett.* **7**, 1225–1241

(2004).

6. Campbell-Staton, S. C. *et al.* Winter storms drive rapid phenotypic, regulatory, and genomic

shifts in the green anole lizard. *Science* **357**, 495–498 (2017).

7. Barrett, R. D. H. *et al.* Linking a mutation to survival in wild mice. *Science* **363**, 499–504

(2019).

8.   Therkildsen, N. O. *et al.* Contrasting genomic shifts underlie parallel phenotypic evolution

in response to fishing. *Science* **365**, 487–490 (2019).

9.   Brennan, R. S., Garrett, A. D., Huber, K. E., Hargarten, H. & Pespeni, M. H. Rare genetic

variation and balanced polymorphisms are important for survival in global change

conditions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **286**, 20190943 (2019).

10.   Stearns, S. C. The evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity. *Bioscience* (1989).

11.   Thompson, J. D. Phenotypic plasticity as a component of evolutionary change. *Trends Ecol.*

Evol. **6**, 246–249 (1991).

12.   Kelly Morgan. Adaptation to climate change through genetic accommodation and

assimilation of plastic phenotypes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20180176

(2019).

13.   Fox Rebecca J., Donelson Jennifer M., Schunter Celia, Ravasi Timothy & Gaitán-Espitia

Juan D. Beyond buying time: the role of plasticity in phenotypic adaptation to rapid

environmental change. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20180174 (2019).

14.   Hendry, A. P. Key Questions on the Role of Phenotypic Plasticity in Eco-Evolutionary

Dynamics. *J. Hered.* **107**, 25–41 (2016).

15.   Chevin, L. M., Collins, S. & Lefèvre, F. Phenotypic plasticity and evolutionary

demographic responses to climate change: taking theory out to the field. *Funct. Ecol.*

(2013).

16.   Calosi, P., De Wit, P., Thor, P. & Dupont, S. Will life find a way? Evolution of marine

species under global change. *Evol. Appl.* **9**, 1035–1042 (2016).

17.   Bock, D. G., Kantar, M. B., Caseys, C., Matthey-Doret, R. & Rieseberg, L. H. Evolution of

invasiveness by genetic accommodation. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 991–999 (2018).

18. Scoville, A. G. & Pfrender, M. E. Phenotypic plasticity facilitates recurrent rapid adaptation

to introduced predators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 4260–4263 (2010).

19. Corl, A. *et al.* The Genetic Basis of Adaptation following Plastic Changes in Coloration in a

Novel Environment. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 2970–2977.e7 (2018).

20. Schaum C. Elisa & Collins Sinéad. Plasticity predicts evolution in a marine alga.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **281**, 20141486 (2014).

21. Perry, B. W., Schield, D. R. & Castoe, T. A. Evolution: Plasticity versus Selection, or

Plasticity and Selection? *Current biology: CB* vol. 28 R1104–R1106 (2018).

22. Via, S. & Lande, R. Genotype-Environment Interaction and the Evolution of Phenotypic

Plasticity. *Evolution* **39**, 505–522 (1985).

23. Chevin, L.-M. & Lande, R. When do adaptive plasticity and genetic evolution prevent

extinction of a density-regulated population? *Evolution* **64**, 1143–1150 (2010).

24. Ghalambor, C. K., McKAY, J. K., Carroll, S. P. & Reznick, D. N. Adaptive versus non-

adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new

environments. *Funct. Ecol.* **21**, 394–407 (2007).

25. Williams, S. E., Shoo, L. P., Isaac, J. L., Hoffmann, A. A. & Langham, G. Towards an

integrated framework for assessing the vulnerability of species to climate change. *PLoS*

Biol. **6**, 2621–2626 (2008).

26. Helmuth, B. *et al.* Long-term, high frequency in situ measurements of intertidal mussel bed

temperatures using biomimetic sensors. *Sci Data* **3**, 160087 (2016).

27. Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Hernandez-Ayon, J. M., Ianson, D. & Hales, B. Evidence for

upwelling of corrosive 'acidified' water onto the continental shelf. *Science* **320**, 1490–1492

(2008).

28. Humes, A. G. How many copepods? in *Ecology and Morphology of Copepods* 1–7

(Springer Netherlands, 1994).

29. Langer, J. A. F. *et al.* Acclimation and adaptation of the coastal calanoid copepod Acartia

tonsa to ocean acidification: a long-term laboratory investigation. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*

619, 35–51 (2019).

30. Kelly, M. W., Pankey, M. S., DeBiasse, M. B. & Plachetzki, D. C. Adaptation to heat stress

reduces phenotypic and transcriptional plasticity in a marine copepod. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**, 398–

406 (2017).

31. Dam, H. G. Evolutionary adaptation of marine zooplankton to global change. *Ann. Rev.*

Mar. Sci. **5**, 349–370 (2013).

32. Sunday, J. M. *et al.* Evolution in an acidifying ocean. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**, 117–125

(2014).

33. Reusch, T. B. H. & Boyd, P. W. Experimental evolution meets marine phytoplankton.

Evolution **67**, 1849–1859 (2013).

34. Merilä, J. & Hendry, A. P. Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity: the

problem and the evidence. *Evol. Appl.* **7**, 1–14 (2014).

35. Schlötterer, C., Kofler, R., Versace, E., Tobler, R. & Franssen, S. U. Combining

experimental evolution with next-generation sequencing: a powerful tool to study

adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Heredity* **114**, 431–440 (2015).

36. Munday, P. L., Warner, R. R., Monro, K., Pandolfi, J. M. & Marshall, D. J. Predicting

evolutionary responses to climate change in the sea. *Ecol. Lett.* **16**, 1488–1500 (2013).

37. Mauchline, J. *The Biology of Calanoid Copepods*. (Academic Press, 1998).

38. Steinberg, D. K. & Landry, M. R. Zooplankton and the Ocean Carbon Cycle. *Ann. Rev.*

Mar. Sci. **9**, 413–444 (2017).

39.   Gobler, C. J. & Baumann, H. Hypoxia and acidification in ocean ecosystems: coupled

dynamics and effects on marine life. *Biol. Lett.* **12**, (2016).

40.   Rice, E., Dam, H. G. & Stewart, G. Impact of Climate Change on Estuarine Zooplankton:

Surface Water Warming in Long Island Sound Is Associated with Changes in Copepod Size

and Community Structure. *Estuaries Coasts* **38**, 13–23 (2015).

41.   IPCC. *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working*

Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

Change. vol. 1454 (2014).

42.   Caldeira, K. & Wickett, M. E. Oceanography: anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. *Nature*

425, 365 (2003).

43.   Behrenfeld, M. J. *et al.* Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature*

444, 752–755 (2006).

44.   Caswell, H. Matrix population models. *Encyclopedia of Environmetrics* **3**, (2006).

45.   Pedersen, S. A. *et al.* Multigenerational exposure to ocean acidification during food

limitation reveals consequences for copepod scope for growth and vital rates. *Environ. Sci.*

Technol. **48**, 12275–12284 (2014).

46.   Mayor, D. J., Sommer, U., Cook, K. B. & Viant, M. R. The metabolic response of marine

copepods to environmental warming and ocean acidification in the absence of food. *Sci.*

Rep. **5**, 13690 (2015).

47.   Healy, T. M., Brennan, R. S., Whitehead, A. & Schulte, P. M. Tolerance traits related to

climate change resilience are independent and polygenic. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* (2018)

doi:10.1111/gcb.14386.

48. Rose, N. H., Bay, R. A., Morikawa, M. K. & Palumbi, S. R. Polygenic evolution drives

species divergence and climate adaptation in corals. *Evolution* **72**, 82–94 (2018).

49. Fuller, Z. L. *et al.* Population genetics of the coral Acropora millepora: Toward genomic

prediction of bleaching. *Science* **369**, (2020).

50. Belhadj Slimen, I. *et al.* Reactive oxygen species, heat stress and oxidative-induced

mitochondrial damage. A review. *Int. J. Hyperthermia* **30**, 513–523 (2014).

51. Downs, C. A. & Heckathorn, S. A. The mitochondrial small heat-shock protein protects

NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase of the electron transport chain during heat stress in

plants. *FEBS Lett.* **430**, 246–250 (1998).

52. Mathew, A. N. U. & Morimoto, R. I. Role of the heat-shock response in the life and death

of proteins. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **851**, 99–111 (1998).

53. Evans, T. G., Pespeni, M. H., Hofmann, G. E., Palumbi, S. R. & Sanford, E. Transcriptomic

responses to seawater acidification among sea urchin populations inhabiting a natural pH

mosaic. *Mol. Ecol.* **26**, 2257–2275 (2017).

54. Bailey, A. *et al.* Regulation of gene expression is associated with tolerance of the Arctic

copepod *Calanus glacialis* to CO_2-acidified sea water. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 7145–7160 (2017).

55. Anjum, R. & Blenis, J. The RSK family of kinases: emerging roles in cellular signalling.

Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **9**, 747–758 (2008).

56. Murren, C. J. *et al.* Constraints on the evolution of phenotypic plasticity: limits and costs of

phenotype and plasticity. *Heredity* **115**, 293–301 (2015).

57. Bay, R. A. *et al.* Genomic signals of selection predict climate-driven population declines in

a migratory bird. *Science* **359**, 83–86 (2018).

58. Bay, R. A. *et al.* Predicting responses to contemporary environmental change using

evolutionary response architectures. *Am. Nat.* **189**, 463–473 (2017).

59. Bush, A. *et al.* Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution modelling

reduces projected vulnerability to climate change. *Ecol. Lett.* **19**, 1468–1478 (2016).

60. Valladares, F. *et al.* The effects of phenotypic plasticity and local adaptation on forecasts of

species range shifts under climate change. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 1351–1364 (2014).

61. Vehmaa, A., Brutemark, A. & Engström-Öst, J. Maternal effects may act as an adaptation

mechanism for copepods facing pH and temperature changes. *PLoS One* **7**, e48538 (2012).

62. Feinberg, L. R. & Dam, H. G. Effects of diet on dimensions, density and sinking rates of

fecal pellets of the copepod Acartia tonsa. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **175**, 87–96 (1998).

63. Murray, C. S. & Baumann, H. You better repeat it: complex $CO_2 \times$ temperature effects in

Atlantic silverside offspring revealed by serial experimentation. *Diversity* **10**, 69 (2018).

64. Therneau, T. M. & Grambsch, P. M. *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*.

(Springer, 2013).

65. Therneau, T. A Package for Survival Analysis in S. version 2.38. (2015).

66. Kassambara, A., Kosinski, M. & Biecek, P. survminer: Drawing Survival Curves using

ggplot2. **1**, (2017).

67. Houde, S. E. L. & Roman, M. R. Effects of food quality on the functional ingestion

response of the copepod Acartia tonsa. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **40**, 69–77 (1987).

68. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

69. Jørgensen, T. S. *et al.* The genome and mRNA transcriptome of the cosmopolitan calanoid

copepod Acartia tonsa Dana improve the understanding of copepod genome size evolution.

Genome Biol. Evol. (2019) doi:10.1093/gbe/evz067.

70. Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the

Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494–1512 (2013).

71. Davidson, N. M., Hawkins, A. D. K. & Oshlack, A. SuperTranscripts: a data driven

reference for analysis and visualisation of transcriptomes. *Genome Biol.* **18**, 148 (2017).

72. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997 (2013).

73. Koboldt, D. C. *et al.* VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in

cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res.* **22**, 568–576 (2012).

74. Soneson, C., Love, M. I. & Robinson, M. D. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-

level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Res.* **4**, (2016).

75. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and

bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).

76. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2019).

77. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

78. Jombart, T. & Ahmed, I. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP

data. *Bioinformatics* (2011) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521.

79. Hadfield, J. D. MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models:

The MCMCglmm R Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* vol. 33 1–22 (2010).

80. Orozco-terWengel, P. *et al.* Adaptation of *Drosophila* to a novel laboratory environment

reveals temporally heterogeneous trajectories of selected alleles. *Mol. Ecol.* **21**, 4931–4941

(2012).

81. Kofler, R. *et al.* PoPoolation: a toolbox for population genetic analysis of next generation

sequencing data from pooled individuals. *PLoS One* **6**, e15925 (2011).

82.   Wright, R. M., Aglyamova, G. V., Meyer, E. & Matz, M. V. Gene expression associated

with white syndromes in a reef building coral, Acropora hyacinthus. *BMC Genomics* **16**,

371 (2015).

Acknowledgments: We thank Lydia Norton and Gihong Park for culture maintenance and

experimental help. RSB appreciates the sponsorship from Maile Neel as a Visiting Research

Scientist in the Department of Plant Science & Landscape Architecture at the University of

Maryland College Park. **Funding:** This work was funded by the National Science Foundation

grants to M.H.P. (OCE 1559075) and H.G.D, H.B. and M.F. (OCE 1559180); **Author**

contributions: Conceptualization and experimental design: all authors; Experimental execution:

JAD; Data collection and analysis: RSB and JAD; Writing-original draft: RSB and MHP;

Writing-review and editing: all authors; **Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing

interests; **Data and materials availability:** Raw sequence data is available at NCBI BioProject

PRJNA555881. Life history data are available as a supplemental file and allele frequency and

gene expression table is available on Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10301690).

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to RSB (reid.brennan@uvm.edu)

or MHP (mpespeni@uvm.edu). Code to reproduce all analyses can be found at:

https://github.com/PespeniLab/tonsa_reciprocal_transplant

Extended data:

Figures S1-S8

Tables S1-S7

Figures:

Figure 1: Schematic of the experimental design. Blue lines are ambient (AM) pH and

temperature that represent current conditions (pCO_2: 400 ppm; temperature: 18ºC). Red lines are

simulated future greenhouse (GH) conditions (pCO_2: 2000 ppm; 22ºC). Adults *Acartia tonsa*

were collected from the wild and reared in the lab for three generations. 600 laboratory-

acclimated adults seeded each of four replicates at AM and GH conditions where they were

reared for 20 generations. At generation 20, each replicate was split into two, where one half was

transplanted into the same conditions as the previous 20 generations (AM_AM, GH_GH) and the

other was reciprocally transplanted to the opposite condition (AM_GH, GH_AM). These transplanted

lines were reared for 3 additional generations and sampled for genomics and life-history traits at

each generation.

Figure 2. Responses to selection after 20 generations of selection for ambient (AM) and

greenhouse (GH) conditions. For all panels, shape indicates selection line, color indicates

environmental condition at that generation, and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. A)

Egg production under ad-libitum and lowered food. B) Survivorship under ad-libitum and

lowered food. C) Lambda (net reproductive rate) calculated from combined fecundity,

development rate, and survivorship data. Capital letters in A and C represent statistical similar

groups.

Figure 3. Allele frequency and gene expression divergence after 20 generations of selection.

Principle component analysis of (A) genome-wide variation in allele frequencies and (B) gene

expression at the F1 generation. (C, D) Gene ontology enrichment results from GO Mann-

Whitney U based on p-values from Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel tests (allele frequencies, C) and

DeSeq2 (gene expression, D). Gene categories are collapsed for visualization purposes with the

number of categories indicated in parentheses. See tables S1-2 and figs. S7-8 for full results.

Figure 4. Plastic responses to reciprocal transplant. (A) Gene expression plasticity for AM and

GH transplanted lines as defined by differentially expressed genes within a line following

transplant (GH$_{GH}$ vs. GH$_{AM}$; AM$_{AM}$ vs. AM$_{GH}$). Genome-wide variation in (A) gene expression

and (B) allele frequencies across generations. The x-axis shows the discriminant function space

that maximizes differences between lines in their home environments. Shape indicates selection

line, color indicates treatment condition. The arrows above the points show the mean change for

each line after transplant where significantly different shifts are represented by black lines and

asterisks. Gene expression profiles match the environment to a greater extent for AM as

compared to GH lines at F1 (P$_{MCMC}$ = 0.03) but lines show similar movement at F2 and F3

(P$_{MCMC}$ > 0.05). Conversely, allele frequencies change to a greater degree in the GH line than the

AM line by generation F3, indicative of adaptive evolution (P$_{MCMC}$ = 0.02).

Figure 5. Costs of adaptation. (A) Median nucleotide diversity (π) for all treatments and

generations following transplant. (B) Mean change in π from F1 to F3 after transplant for

adaptive and non-adaptive SNPs. Letters above each point (for A and B) show significance;

points sharing letters are not significantly different. (C) Gene ontology enrichment for the loss of

genetic diversity of GH$_{AM}$ at transplant F3; AM$_{GH}$ showed no enrichment.

Supplemental Information

Additional analyses were run to validate the results observed in Fig. 4, where gene expression converged on the adaptive profile across generations, but allele frequencies shifted only in GH$_{AM}$. We considered expression patterns in the home environment as the adaptive transcriptomic profile for that environment, achieved either through plastic or evolutionary mechanisms (x-axes of Fig. S5A). These adaptive transcriptional profiles were compared to the change in gene expression of a line in transplanted versus long-term selected conditions (y-axes of Fig. S5A). This comparison of long- versus short-term responses across three successive generations allowed us to test if copepods transplanted to the opposite environment can match expression patterns to the long-term, adapted profile. A correlation between long-term, adapted expression (x-axis) and transplant expression change (y-axis) indicates the degree to which transplanted lines can match their expression to the optimal profile for the environmental condition, where a positive correlation represents adaptive changes in expression [1]. After one generation in transplant conditions, gene expression was positively correlated with the adaptive expression for both lines, AM transplanted to GH and GH transplanted to AM (Fig. S5A top panel; $\rho_{AM} = 0.57$, $\rho_{GH} = 0.64$, $P < 0.001$) and this correlation increased each successive generation. Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) showed the same convergence on the adaptive transcriptomic profile (Fig. S5). In accord, the proportion of positively correlated, adaptively expressed genes (dark points; Fig.S5A) increased across generations for both ambient and greenhouse lines ($P < 0.05$; Proportions: F1$_{AM}$ = 0.85, F2$_{AM}$ = 0.87, F3$_{AM}$ = 0.90, F1$_{GH}$ = 0.66, F2$_{GH}$ = 0.94, F3$_{GH}$ = 0.95) with the greatest response in greenhouse relative to ambient lines, 29% versus 5% increase in the proportion adaptive. arriving at 90-95% adaptive gene expression profiles.

We assessed the degree of adaptive evolution for each transplanted line across the generations by quantifying the change in allele frequencies of adaptive loci in transplanted lines. We consider the adaptive difference in allele frequencies as the difference between mean frequencies of AM and GH lines (for the significant loci identified with the CMH test across all generations) and assess the convergence of transplanted lines on this adaptive difference (i.e., do AM in GH frequencies converge on the GH allele frequency?). Spearman's correlation was used to

determine the extent to which these frequencies were correlated. We compared the mean

difference in allele frequency between GH_{GH} and AM_{AM} for 17,720 loci that had evolved

consistent divergence in allele frequency (x-axes of Fig. S5B) to the mean difference in allele

frequency in response to transplant conditions (GH_{am} AM_{GH}; GH_{AM} AM_{GH}; y-axes of Fig. S5B).

GH_{AM} copepods evolved to match allele frequencies of the ambient adaptive alleles with

increasing changes in frequencies across each successive generation (Fig. S5B). In contrast,

AM_{GH} lines did not undergo the same rapid adaptation but maintained allele frequencies (Fig.

S5B), even though their transcriptional profiles shifted to match greenhouse adaptive expression

(Fig. 3A). Likewise, the proportion of adaptive alleles (dark points) increased across transplant

generations for the greenhouse lines, but not the ambient lines (Fig. 3B; proportions test: $P <$

0.001; Proportions: $F1_{AM} = 0.68$, $F2_{AM} = 0.64$, $F3_{AM} = 0.65$; $F1_{GH} = 0.68$, $F2_{GH} = 0.75$, $F3_{GH} =$

0.76).

We realize that criticism has been leveraged against past work that has used similar analyses as

in Fig. S5 where the x and y axes share a denominator are not independent [2,3]. This should lead

to a positive correlation between the variables, as we observe here. Despite this, there is no

reason to expect a consistent change in this correlation across generations as we observe for both

the gene expression and allele frequency analysis. Therefore, while some proportion of the

positive correlations we observe is due to statistical bias, the increase indicates that lines are

shifting gene expression and allele frequency (in AM only) to match their transplanted

environment, in agreement with the DAPC in the main text (Fig. 4).

We also acknowledge the presence of a degree of pseudoreplication in the design where each

environmental condition is housed in a single incubator [4]. That is, some degree of the responses

observed here may be due to specific incubator effects rather than experimental conditions.

However, there has been criticism of the idea that shared spatial arrangements between

treatments, such as a room or an incubator, necessarily result in statistical dependencies [5,6]. In

our case, both CO_2 and temperature were held constant throughout the experiment, continuously

monitored, and verified by independent measures (Table S7). We also know that 22 °C is 4

degrees beyond the optimum of 18 °C and results in significant mortality [7]. Finally, the

transcriptomic and genomic effects we observe are consistent with both high temperature and

low pH stress. Therefore, while we cannot completely rule out incubator specific effects, it is

likely that the majority of the responses observed are due to the experimental treatments.

References

1. Li, L. *et al.* Divergence and plasticity shape adaptive potential of the Pacific oyster. *Nature*

Ecology & Evolution 1 (2018).

2. Mallard, F., Jakšić, A. M. & Schlötterer, C. Contesting the evidence for non-adaptive

plasticity. *Nature* **555**, E21–E22 (2018).

3. Ho, W.-C. & Zhang, J. Genetic Gene Expression Changes during Environmental

Adaptations Tend to Reverse Plastic Changes Even after the Correction for Statistical

Nonindependence. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 604–612 (2019).

4. Hurlbert, S. H. Pseudoreplication and the Design of Ecological Field Experiments. *Ecol.*

Monogr. **54**, 187–211 (1984).

5. Schank, J. C. & Koehnle, T. J. Pseudoreplication is a pseudoproblem. *J. Comp. Psychol.*

123, 421–433 (2009).

6. Oksanen, L. Logic of experiments in ecology: is pseudoreplication a pseudoissue? *Oikos* **94**,

27–38 (2001).

7. Sasaki, M. C. & Dam, H. G. Integrating patterns of thermal tolerance and phenotypic

plasticity with population genetics to improve understanding of vulnerability to warming in

a widespread copepod. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **25**, 4147–4164 (2019).

Table S1: Gene ontology enrichment results for gene expression.

Table S2: Gene ontology enrichment results allele frequency data

Table S3: Gene ontology enrichment for the change in genetic diversity following transplant of

GH to AM conditions.

Table S4: Summary table of differential gene expression. AAAA = ambient line in ambient

conditions; HHHH = greenhouse in greenhouse conditions; HHAA = ambient in greenhouse

conditions; AAHH = greenhouse in ambient conditions. DAPC results are the contribution score

for each gene for the DAPC loading. P-values and fold change were calculated with DESeq2.

Table S5: Raw survivorship data from figure 2.

Table S6: Raw fecundity data from figure 2.

Table S7: Environmental variables. Each temperature and CO_2 level used in this experiment
was evaluated to ensure that environmental conditions were accurate. SD = standard deviation, N
= number of observations, SE = standard error of the mean.

Treatment	Variable	Target value	Mean measured value	SD	N	SE
Ambient	Temperature	18	18.04	0.361	101	0.036
Greenhouse	Temperature	22	21.93	0.339	101	0.034
Ambient	pH	8.2	8.23	0.059	101	0.006
Greenhouse	pH	7.5	7.57	0.070	101	0.007
Ambient	pCO_2	400	387.9	49.85	9	16.62
Greenhouse	pCO_2	2000	2356.2	119.78	9	39.93

Supplemental figures:

Figure S1: Principal component analysis of genome-wide genetic variation (panels A-C) and gene expression patterns (panels D-F) during three generations of reciprocal transplant following 20 generations of selection for ambient (AM) and greenhouse (GH) conditions. Shape indicates selection line and color indicates environmental condition at that generation. Both gene expression and genetic variation clustered by line, rather than condition at F1. By F3, a line effect was evident for both lines' gene expression.

Figure S2: Candidate genes underlying adaptation to GH environments. Points represent the average absolute change in allele frequency between AM_{AM} and GH_{GH} for F1, F2, and F3. Orange points are non-synonymous polymorphisms in the top 10% of allele frequency change distribution. Error bars show standard error between replicates. Note that y-axes are different between plots.

Figure S3: Change in allele frequencies between AM_{AM} and GH_{GH} for all three generations. The blue dashed line indicates the mean frequency change across all loci and the arrow show the extreme frequency change of NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 49 kDa subunit (NDUFS2) at each generation.

Figure S4: Relationship between gene expression and allele frequency divergence. X-axis is the

-log10 of p-values from the CMH between AM and GH in their home conditions. Y-axis

corresponds to the -log10 of p-values of expression differences between AM and GH in home

conditions. For all generations, the variance explained is low, indicating that gene expression and

allele frequency divergence is largely distinct.

Figure S5: Convergence on evolved differences following transplant. For all plots, the dashed

black line is a 1:1 relationship, the solid red line is the observed relationship between the x and y

axes. The x-axis is the evolved and adaptive differences between the lines in their home

environment. The y-axis compares each line in its transplanted environment to its home

environment. Plots illustrate whether transplanted lines converge on the adaptive pattern for a

given treatment condition. Dark points show the same pattern as the adaptive difference, light

points are in the opposite direction. Pearson's correlation (ρ) is presented for each plot at each

generation; generations organized F1 to F3, top to bottom. (A) Evolved changes in gene

expression between GH and AM in their home environments (GH_{GH} and AM_{AM}; x-axis) versus

the transplant changes in expression (GH_{AM} and AM_{GH}; y-axis) for the same genes. (B) Evolved

differences in allele frequency between GH and AM in their home environment (x-axis) versus

the change in allele frequency after transplant (y-axis) for the same genes.

Figure S6: Significant loci ($P < 5.17e\text{-}08$) from CMH tests for each generation between GH_{GH}

and AM_{AM}. Loci significant in all three generations were considered targets of selection and

adaptive.

Figure S7: Gene ontology enrichment for allele frequency divergence between AM and GH

after 20 generations of selection. This tree represents the full enrichment set in figure 3C.

110/116 proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process
184/197 proteolysis
244/265 catabolic process
71/75 protein catabolic process
103/111 organonitrogen compound catabolic process
453/476 macromolecule modification
18/19 developmental maturation
13/14 neuron remodeling
159/174 cellular developmental process
54/58 cellular process involved in reproduction in multicellular organism
9/9 sequestering of actin monomers
21/22 cytokinesis
14/16 meiosis I cell cycle process
16/17 regulation of response to wounding
15/17 germ−line stem cell population maintenance
21/23 stem cell population maintenance
16/17 somatic stem cell population maintenance
15/17 morphogenesis of embryonic epithelium
23/24 intracellular mRNA localization involved in pattern specification process
14/16 actin polymerization or depolymerization
16/17 actomyosin structure organization
22/23 maintenance of location
16/17 maintenance of protein location
261/282 regulation of biological quality
18/19 RNA localization
20/21 spermatogenesis
33/35 multicellular organismal reproductive process
53/58 regulation of protein complex assembly
27/29 regulation of protein polymerization
46/47 regulation of anatomical structure size
201/211 oxoacid metabolic process
103/108 cellular amino acid metabolic process
335/361 small molecule metabolic process
66/68 monocarboxylic acid metabolic process
167/181 ncRNA metabolic process
81/85 tRNA metabolic process
234/254 RNA processing
78/82 RNA splicing, via transesterification reactions
125/134 mRNA processing
572/621 nucleic acid metabolic process
151/167 mRNA metabolic process

p < 0.05
p < 0.05
p < 0.05

Figure S8: Gene ontology enrichment for gene expression divergence between AM and GH

after 20 generations of selection. This tree represents the full enrichment set in figure 3D.

154