Title: TOR coordinates nucleotide availability with ribosome biogenesis in plants

Short Title: TOR coordinates plant nucleotide metabolism

Michael Busche[1,2], M. Regina Scarpin[1,2], Robert Hnasko[3], Jacob O. Brunkard[1,2]*

[1]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA
94720

[2]Plant Gene Expression Center, USDA Agricultural Research Service, Albany, CA
94710

[3]Produce Safety and Microbiology Research Unit, Western Regional Research Center,
Pacific West Area, USDA Agricultural Research Service

*to whom correspondence should be addressed: brunkard@berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT

TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) is a conserved eukaryotic Ser/Thr protein

kinase that coordinates growth and metabolism with nutrient availability. We conducted

a medium-throughput functional genetic screen to discover essential genes that

promote TOR activity in plants, and identified a critical regulatory enzyme, cytosolic

phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP) synthetase (PRS4). PRS4 synthesizes

cytosolic PRPP, a key upstream metabolite in nucleotide synthesis and salvage

pathways. We found that *prs4* knockouts are embryo-lethal in *A. thaliana*, and that

silencing *PRS4* expression in *N. benthamiana* causes pleiotropic developmental

phenotypes, including dwarfism, aberrant leaf shape, and delayed flowering.

Transcriptomic analysis revealed that ribosome biogenesis is among the most strongly

repressed processes in *prs4* knockdowns. Building on these results, we discovered that

TOR activity is inhibited by chemical or genetic disruption of nucleotide biosynthesis, but

that this effect can be reversed by supplying plants with physiological levels of

nucleotides. Finally, we show that TOR transcriptionally promotes nucleotide

biosynthesis to support the demands of ribosomal RNA synthesis. We propose that

TOR coordinates ribosome biogenesis with nucleotide availability in plants to maintain

metabolic homeostasis and support growth.

Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is an essential element for plant life, but is not highly available in agricultural soils, and is the primary limiting factor for crop yield on more than 30% of global arable land (Vance et al., 2003; López-Arredondo et al., 2014). Crop fertilizers include high levels of phosphate (P_i) to drive plant growth and increase crop yields, but crops only utilize ~20-30% of P_i applied to soils, a significant waste of resources that is also environmentally harmful (López-Arredondo et al., 2014; Correll, 1998). Moreover, P_i mineral deposits are finite, with P_i production from mining predicted to begin declining within fifteen years (Cordell and White, 2011), followed by global depletion of P_i mineral deposits within as little as one century. Current models suggest that P_i fertilizer use will exceed safe planetary boundaries by 2050 without significant technological advances to reduce the need for P_i fertilizers (Springmann et al., 2018). Therefore, a major agronomic goal is to maximize P_i uptake and utilization, including by breeding and gene editing to rewire P_i sensing, signaling, and allocation networks in crop species.

Approximately 50% of the organic phosphorus (P_o) in leaves is incorporated in ribosomal RNA (rRNA) (Veneklaas et al., 2012), with the remaining P_o divided among other RNAs, DNA, phospholipids, and P-esters (phosphorylated metabolites, such as glucose-6-phosphate and free nucleotides, as well as phosphorylated proteins) (Figure 1). Since the majority of P_o is invested in ribosomes, some species in the *Proteaceae* family have adapted to P_i-poor soils by synthesizing relatively few ribosomes, with as little as 40-fold less ribosomes per leaf fresh weight when compared to *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Sulpice et al., 2014). While reducing the number of ribosomes prevents P_i deprivation stress in these plants, the consequential decrease in the rate of protein synthesis dramatically slows plant growth (Sulpice et al., 2014). Balancing the trade-off between P_i demand and growth rates by genetically optimizing ribosome abundance in different tissues and developmental stages in crop species is a promising strategy to reduce agricultural reliance on P_i fertilizers (Veneklaas et al., 2012). Achieving this goal will require deep understanding of the regulation of ribosome biogenesis and the P_i- and P_o-sensing mechanisms in plants.

TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) is a conserved eukaryotic kinase that broadly
coordinates metabolism with nutrient availability (Chantranupong et al., 2015; Shi et al.,
2018), and is a crucial regulator of ribosome abundance (Hannan et al., 2003; Delarue
et al., 2018). When nutrients are available, TOR is active and promotes anabolism and
growth; when nutrients are scarce, TOR is inactive and cells become quiescent, using
catabolic pathways for sustenance (Saxton and Sabatini, 2017). Mutations in the TOR
signaling network, especially mutations that increase TOR activity, can cause or
contribute to a wide range of cancers (Grabiner et al., 2014; Saxton and Sabatini,
2017). One consequence of elevated TOR activity in mutated human cell lines is
increased ribosome biogenesis, because TOR strongly promotes the expression of
ribosomal protein genes, rRNA, and other genes that contribute to ribosome assembly
(Pelletier et al., 2017) . As a result, cells with high TOR activity have high nucleotide
demands, and are hypersensitive to chemotherapeutic nucleotide biosynthesis inhibitors
(Valvezan et al., 2017; Vander Heiden and DeBerardinis, 2017). To meet these
nucleotide demands, TOR promotes pyrimidine and purine biosynthesis (Ben-Sahra et
al., 2013; Robitaille et al., 2013; Ben-Sahra et al., 2016), effectively reorganizing cellular
metabolism to support higher levels of rRNA synthesis. Recent studies have further
demonstrated that TOR activity is coordinated with nucleotide availability in human cells
(Emmanuel et al., 2017; Hoxhaj et al., 2017). This coordination is proposed to be
mediated by GTP levels: under purine-limiting conditions, GTP levels decrease,
disabling the small GTPase Rheb that promote TOR activity in humans (Emmanuel et
al., 2017; Hoxhaj et al., 2017). Thus, TOR senses nucleotide availability to serve as a
metabolic checkpoint that maintains homeostasis by coupling nucleotide demand
(primarily rRNA synthesis) with nucleotide biosynthesis.

Nucleotide metabolism and signaling are well-studied in humans, because
nucleotide homeostasis is often dysregulated in human diseases (Aird and Zhang,
2015), but little is known about how plants sense and respond to nucleotides. P_i
deprivation can cause a five-fold reduction in free nucleotide levels in plants, making
nucleotides a potentially limiting metabolite in soils with poor P_i availability (Theodorou
and Plaxton, 1993). In *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, a photosynthetic algal species, P_i
starvation reduces TOR activity and genetic manipulation of P_i metabolism disrupts TOR

signaling, demonstrating that TOR can contribute to the maintenance of P_i homeostasis

(Cuoso *et al.*, 2020). A key intermediate in the incorporation of P_i into nucleotides is

phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP). PRPP is synthesized by phosphoribosyl

pyrophosphate synthetase (PRS, sometimes called ribose-phosphate

diphosphokinase), which transfers the diphosphoryl group from ATP to ribose-5-

phosphate (the end product of the pentose phosphate pathway) in a Mg^{2+}-dependent

reaction. PRPP then acts as a donor of phospho-ribose groups for nucleotide and

amino acid synthesis, among other biochemical pathways. PRS has emerged as a key

regulatory enzyme in human cellular nutrient signaling pathways; for example, PRS-

mediated synthesis of PRPP is the rate-limiting step that drives the protein and

nucleotide synthesis necessary for tumorigenesis downstream of the Myc oncogene

(Cunningham et al., 2014).

Plant genomes encode several PRS enzymes, with at least one localized to the

plastid and another localized to the cytosol (Figure 1B). Plastids have spatially co-opted

many of the PRPP-dependent metabolic pathways in plants, including *de novo* purine,

histidine, and tryptophan biosynthesis, the pyrimidine salvage pathway, as well as

various metabolic pathways downstream of these molecules, including cytokinin

biosynthesis. Cytosolic PRPP is therefore primarily required to regenerate nucleotides

via the purine salvage pathway and for *de novo* pyrimidine biosynthesis (Lerbs et al.,

1980; Witz et al., 2012). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, four genes encode organellar PRS

enzymes (*PRS1, PRS2, PRS3,* and *PRS5*) and one gene encodes the cytosolic PRS

enzyme (*PRS4*) (Ito et al., 2011). Here, we show that *PRS4* is an essential gene in

plants that is required to maintain TOR activity. Furthermore, taking a functional

genetics approach in *N. benthamiana* and a chemical genetic approach in *A. thaliana*,

we specifically demonstrate that nucleotides promote TOR activity, and that TOR

transcriptionally promotes nucleotide biosynthesis. We conclude that TOR coordinates

nucleotide availability with ribosome biogenesis to maintain P homeostasis in plants.

Results

PRS4 **drives TOR activity and plant development**

In an effort to identify genes that regulate TOR activity, we conducted a medium-

throughput functional genetic screen using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) to

silence *N. benthamiana* genes that we predicted to be essential for embryogenesis in *A.*

thaliana. To measure TOR activity after gene silencing, we extracted leaf proteins to

prepare Western blots and probed these blots with α-AtS6K-p449, which specifically

detects a phosphopeptide on plant S6 KINASE (S6K) that is directly phosphorylated by

the TOR kinase complex (Xiong and Sheen, 2012). We found that silencing *PRS4*

strongly reduces S6K-pT449 levels compared to mock treatment (Figure 2A). We then

probed total S6K protein levels with α-S6K, confirming that total S6K levels do not

decrease in TRV::*NbPRS4*, and therefore demonstrating that TOR activity is severely

reduced in TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown leaves (Figure 2A).

Knocking down *PRS4* expression with TRV::*NbPRS4* caused a range of dramatic

developmental phenotypes (Figure 2B), including delayed flowering, misshapen leaves,

dwarfism, and even lethality: 14% of TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown plants died shortly after

PRS4 silencing was induced (*n* = 50), whereas none of the mock-treated plants died.

Surviving TRV::*NbPRS4* leaves were misshapen and as small as 5% the size of leaves

in mock-treated shoots (Figure 2B). Epidermal cells were significantly smaller in

TRV::*NbPRS4* plants (71% the size of cells in mock-treated leaves, $n ≥ 108$, $p < 10^{-3}$,

Figure 2C), but the difference in cell size was not enough to explain the severe

reduction in leaf size (Figure 2B), suggesting that *PRS4* is required to promote cell

expansion and cell division. In TRV::*NbPRS4* plants, developmental timing of leaf

initiation and flowering was severely disrupted. Leaf initiation was delayed or

completely arrested three weeks after infiltrating VIGS vectors in TRV::*NbPRS4* plants

(Figure 2B). TRV::*NbPRS4* plants flowered two or more weeks later than mock-treated

plants, and many TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns did not flower at all during our six-week

observation period. Despite these clear defects in shoot development, we did not

detect any striking morphological phenotype in histological sections of TRV::*NbPRS4*

knockdown vegetative shoot apical meristems (Figure 2D). Taken together, these

results show that *PRS4* is required for diverse developmental processes, including leaf
initiation, expansion, and morphology; the transition from vegetative to reproductive
growth; and both cell expansion and cell division.

***PRS4* is essential for plant embryogenesis**

As part of a recent high-throughput effort to generate higher order mutations in *A.*
thaliana, *prs4*/+ was crossed to *prs3/+*, but no offspring in the F_2 generation of this cross
were homozygous for *prs4*, hinting that *PRS4* is essential for *A. thaliana* development
(Bolle et al., 2013). To test this hypothesis, we obtained a putative T-DNA insertion line
in the *PRS4* gene (*At2g42910*), GabiKat-780B11 (Figure 3). Preliminary sequencing
suggested that GabiKat-780B11 carries a T-DNA insertion in the third intron of the
PRS4 gene, and is therefore predicted to be a null allele. No other publicly available T-
DNA insertion lines are predicted to abolish *PRS4* expression. We confirmed the
location of the GabiKat-780B11 T-DNA by PCR (Figure 3A), and named this allele *prs4-*
1. We isolated several independent *prs4-1*/+ heterozygous plants, allowed the plants to
self-fertilize, collected seed, and genotyped the F_1 generation. We identified only *prs4-*
1/+ heterozygous or +/+ plants in a 2:1 ratio, indicating that *prs4-1/prs4-1* is lethal.

To confirm that *prs4-1* is lethal and determine when *prs4-1* mutants arrest, we
next examined seeds in the siliques of several *prs4-1*/+ plants from separate families.
77% of seeds in these siliques were green with viable embryos, whereas 23% of these
seeds were collapsed and brown (Figure 3B). After histochemically clearing the seeds,
we observed normal embryo morphology in the green seeds, but could not observe a
multicellular embryo in the shrunken seeds (Figure 3C). The green seeds segregated
2:1 for *prs4-1/+* : +/+, as predicted for an embryo-lethal allele. We marked the position
of putative *prs4-1/prs4-1* aborted seeds, and noticed that the expected number of seeds
near the apex of the silique were aborted (26.7%, n = 180, χ^2 = 0.3, p = 0.61), but less
than 20% of seeds near the base of the silique were aborted (18.9%, n = 180, χ^2 = 3.6,
p = 0.058), suggesting a slight pollen transmission defect that could explain why only
23% of *prs4-1*/+ offspring are homozygous for the null *prs4-1* allele (Meinke, 1985).
Finally, we generated stable transgenic lines by transforming *prs4-1*/+ flowers with T-
DNAs carrying either *35S$_{PRO}$:PRS4-GFP* or *35S$_{PRO}$:YFP-PRS4* (Figure 3D), both of

which rescued the lethal phenotype of *prs4-1/prs4-1* seeds. Therefore, we concluded
that *PRS4* is required for the earliest stages of *A. thaliana* sporophyte development.

In wild-type *A. thaliana*, *PRS4* transcriptional expression is strongest in
metabolically active cells, especially the shoot apical meristem and germinating seeds
(Supplemental Figure 1). *PRS4* transcriptional expression is notably lower in quiescent
plants, such as seeds entering dormancy and mature pollen grains (Supplemental
Figure 1). The expression patterns of genes that encode the organelle-targeted PRS
enzymes, *PRS2*, *PRS3*, and *PRS5*, are largely similar to *PRS4*, although *PRS2* is
expressed in seeds entering dormancy, and both *PRS2* and *PRS3* are expressed in
mature pollen grains (Supplemental Figure 1). *PRS1* is consistently expressed at very
low levels during development, in contrast to the other *PRS* genes (Supplemental
Figure 1). These expression patterns indicate that PRS enzymes are strongly
expressed in both the cytosol and the organelles in all metabolically active
developmental stages to support PRPP synthesis.

PRS4 promotes ribosome biogenesis

To identify disrupted genetic pathways in TRV::*NbPRS4* plants, we took a global
transcriptomic approach (Figure 4). Nine RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced from
rRNA-depleted RNA extracted from replicated pools of *N. benthamiana* shoot apices
(defined as all tissue above the second-youngest leaf, including the shoot meristem,
some stem, and the youngest two visible leaves; Supplemental Figure 2). Three
libraries each were prepared from mock-silenced plants, TRV::*NbPRS4* plants with
"moderate" visible phenotypes (Figure 2B, middle panel), or TRV::*NbPRS4* plants with
"severe" visible phenotypes (Figure 2B, bottom panel). RNA-Seq confirmed that *PRS4*
expression is effectively silenced in the TRV::*NbPRS4* plants, but not in mock-infected
plants, as expected. 35,877 annotated *N. benthamiana* genes were detected in our
transcriptomes, of which 4,986 were significantly differentially-expressed genes (DEGs)
between TRV::*NbPRS4* and mock-silenced plants (3,213 induced, 1,773 repressed, $p_{adj.}$
< 0.05) (Figure 4A, Supplemental Data Sets 1 and 2). This large number of DEGs
demonstrates the dramatic effects of disrupting PRS4 activity on cellular homeostasis.
We detected relatively few DEGs between TRV::*NbPRS4* plants with "moderate" or

"severe" visible phenotypes (489 genes, $p_{adj} < 0.05$) (Figure 4A, Supplemental Data Set

3), suggesting that the morphological variation among TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown plants

does not strongly correlate with differences in gene expression. Principal components

analysis of the nine transcriptomes also showed that the mock-silenced transcriptomes

grouped separately from the TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown transcriptomes, but that the

"moderate" and "severe" groups were not so readily distinguished (Figure 4A).

N. benthamiana is an emerging model system whose genome has not been

extensively annotated, so to develop tools to rigorously analyze the TRV::*NbPRS4*

RNA-Seq data, we submitted the entire *N. benthamiana* predicted proteome (genome

version 1.0.1) to Mercator4 for functional annotation using the MapMan gene ontology

(Lohse et al., 2014). This approach conservatively assigned functions to 23,001

annotated genes in *N. benthamiana* (Supplemental Data Set 3), of which 14,502 were

detected in our RNA-Seq analysis and 2,662 were DEGs (Supplemental Data Set 1).

We then used MapMan to identify enriched functional categories in our transcriptomes,

with stringent parameters, using only significant DEGs and the Benjamini-Hochberg-

Yekutieli procedure to correct for the false discovery rate. 48 categories were

significantly affected in TRV::*NbPRS4* versus mock ($p_{adj} < 0.05$) (Figure 4B,

Supplemental Data Set 5), but no categories were significantly affected in the

comparison between the visually "severe" and "mild" phenotype pools of TRV::*NbPRS4*

plants ($p_{adj} < 0.05$), again supporting the hypothesis that the morphological variation we

observed was not due to a consistent difference in the plants' transcriptional programs.

In the TRV::*NbPRS4* transcriptome, one of the most significant effects was

downregulation of genes involved in ribosome biogenesis (Figure 4D, Supplemental

Data Sets 1, 5). To illustrate, the expression of genes encoding 51 of the 81 plant

cytosolic ribosomal proteins were significantly lower in TRV::*NbPRS4* than in mock

controls (Figure 4D, Supplemental Data Set 4). TOR has conserved roles in promoting

expression of ribosomal protein genes (Cardenas et al., 1999; Hannan et al., 2003;

Marion et al., 2004; Xiong et al., 2013a), so their repression likely reflects the

suppression of TOR activity in TRV::*NbPRS4* plants. To determine the consequences

of ribosome biogenesis transcript downregulation in TRV::*NbPRS4* on overall ribosome

abundance, we assayed total rRNA in TRV::*NbPRS4* versus mock plants, and found

that rRNA levels were approximately 2-fold lower per fresh weight in TRV::*NbPRS4*

knockdown shoots than in mock-treated plants ($n \geq 3$, $p < 0.05$, Figure 4D). Since

ribosomes can account for ~60% of nucleotides and ~25% of proteins in a plant cell, a

two-fold reduction in ribosome abundance represents a dramatic shift in cellular

physiology. Thus, a major effect of knocking down *PRS4* expression is disruption of

ribosome biogenesis, likely a consequence of TOR inactivation (Figure 4E).

Various other biological processes were also significantly affected in the

TRV::*NbPRS4* transcriptomes (Figure 4B). Genes involved in cell cycle progression

were broadly repressed, consonant with our observation that both cell size and cell

number are significantly lower in TRV::*NbPRS4* leaves. Genes involved in solute

transport, nutrient uptake, and stress responses, including genes that encode heat

shock proteins and glutathione S-transferases, are among the functional categories that

were significantly induced in TRV::*NbPRS4*. The expression of various transcription

factor (TF) families were also affected in TRV::*NbPRS4*, with a few strong patterns: TFs

typically involved in developmental patterning and differentiation, such as the C2C2 Zn

finger, MADS box, GRF, LOB, and homeobox TFs, were generally down-regulated in

TRV::*NbPRS4*, whereas TFs typically involved in stress responses, such as ERF, NAC,

bZIP, and WRKY TFs, were generally up-regulated in TRV::*NbPRS4* (Figure 4C).

Thus, from a global perspective, the TRV::*NbPRS4* transcriptome demonstrates that

loss of cytosolic PRPP severely disrupts cellular homeostasis, reprogramming the

transcriptome to repress anabolic growth and developmental patterning and to induce a

host of stress responses.

Purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis drive TOR activity

PRS4-synthesized cytosolic PRPP contributes primarily to two pathways in

plants cells: cytosolic PRPP is condensed with orotate by cytosolic UMP synthase

(UMPSase), yielding orotidine 5′-monophosphate (OMP), a precursor in *de novo*

pyrimidine biosynthesis; and adenine or hypoxanthine/guanine

phosphoribosyltransferases convert adenine and guanine to AMP and GMP,

respectively, using cytosolic PRPP in the purine salvage pathway. Since the

TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown drastically reduced TOR activity, we hypothesized that one

or both of these pathways—*de novo* pyrimidine synthesis and/or purine salvage—are

required to maintain TOR activity in plant cells.

To directly test this hypothesis, we expanded our genetic screen to silence genes

that encode nucleotide biosynthesis enzymes in *N. benthamiana*. Specifically, we

designed VIGS triggers to target critical genes in the *de novo* purine biosynthesis

pathway (*PHOSPHORIBOSYLGLYCINAMIDE FORMYLTRANSFERASE*, or *GART*;

ADENYLOSUCCINATE LYASE, or *ASL*; and *PHOSPHORIBOSYLAMINOIMIDAZOLE*

CARBOXAMIDE FORMYLTRASFERASE / INOSINE MONOSPHOSPHATE

CYCLOHYDROLASE, or *ATIC*) or in the *de novo* pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway

(*DIHYDROOROTASE*, or *DHOase*; *DIHYDROOROTATE DEHYDROGENASE*, or

DHODH; and *URIDINE MONOPHOSPHATE SYNTHASE*, or *UMPSase*). We selected

these enzymes because they do not directly consume ATP or amino acids, and thus are

specifically disrupting only nucleotide biosynthesis (and not incidentally impacting other

primary metabolic pathways). Across experiments, silencing expression of enzymes in

de novo pyrimidine or purine biosynthesis disrupted plant development and physiology,

causing delayed growth, reduced leaf size, and striking chlorosis, among other

phenotypes (Figure 5A). Strikingly, TOR activity was severely reduced after silencing

genes involved in either *de novo* purine or pyrimidine biosynthesis, confirming our

hypothesis that nucleotide biosynthesis is required to maintain TOR activity in plants

(Figure 5B).

Chemically inhibiting nucleotide biosynthesis inactivates TOR

We next tested whether the hypothesis that nucleotide biosynthesis drives TOR

activity is conserved across plant species by investigating the effects of inhibiting

nucleotide biosynthesis in *A. thaliana*. For this chemical genetic approach, we treated

A. thaliana seedlings with inhibitors that specifically impact one or both of the PRS4-

dependent nucleotide biosynthesis pathways, and then assayed for changes in TOR

activity indicated by altered phosphorylation of S6K-T449. We screened four

compounds that target processes that require cytosolic PRPP (Figure 6A). 5-

fluorouracil (5FU) and 5-fluoroorotic acid (5FOA) limit only *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis

by inhibiting UMPSase and thymidylate synthetase activity, respectively (Figure 6A). 6-

mercaptopurine (6MP) specifically limits the purine salvage pathway by inhibiting PRPP

transfer to purines by hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferases (Figure 6A).

Methotrexate (MTX) limits both purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis by inhibiting

dihydrofolate reductase, which is required for folate synthesis, a necessary nucleotide

precursor (Figure 6A). To assay the effects of these inhibitors, seedlings were grown in

½ MS media for three days, then transferred to fresh ½ MS media supplemented with

15 mM glucose and 10 µM of one of the nucleotide biosynthesis inhibitors, or a mock

treatment as a negative control. Seedlings were collected 24 h after treatment and

proteins were extracted and analyzed by Western blot (Figure 6C). Under these

conditions, MTX had the strongest effects. *De novo* pyrimidine synthesis inhibitors 5FU

and 5FOA had less potent effects. 6MP, an inhibitor of the purine salvage pathway, had

no noticeable effect on TOR activity, as reflected by S6K-pT449 levels. Some seedlings

were left in media for 7 days after treatment to observe the inhibitors' long-term effects

on growth (Figure 6B). As in the western blot, MTX had the most severe effect on shoot

and root growth (Figure 6B).

To demonstrate specificity and further investigate the effects of the nucleotide

biosynthesis inhibitors that were most effective at reducing TOR activity (Figure 6C), we

performed this assay again with a range of concentrations (0.1 µM, 1.0 µM, 5.0 µM, 10

µM, or 20 µM) of MTX and 5FOA. Consistent with our previous result, we found that

MTX had the strongest effect, partially inhibiting growth and lowering S6K-pT449 levels

even at a very low concentration of 0.1 µM. Treatment with 5.0 µM or more MTX

caused nearly complete growth arrest, chlorosis, and an even greater decrease in S6K-

pTT49 levels (Figure 6D and 6E). Low concentrations of 5FOA slightly reduced growth

and had some impact on S6K-pT449 levels, whereas higher concentrations (5.0 µM to

20 µM) strongly inhibited growth and reduced S6K-pT449 levels (Figure 6F and 6G).

Thus, we concluded that complete inhibition of *de novo* nucleotide synthesis by MTX

was most effective at inhibiting TOR, inhibition of *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis was

sufficient to lower TOR activity, and the purine salvage pathway was not needed to

maintain TOR activity under these growth conditions. Given the potent impact of MTX

on TOR activity, we focused further experiments on MTX treatments.

Resupplying nucleotides restores TOR activity

First, we conducted a time course to determine how quickly MTX treatment

impacts TOR activity. For these experiments, we used 0.1 µM MTX, which was an

approximately minimal concentration to inhibit TOR activity 24 h after treatment. 2 h

after MTX treatment, S6K-pT449 levels were not yet lower (Figure 7A). S6K-pT449

levels rapidly decreased over the next four hours, while total S6K levels remained stable

(Figure 7A). Therefore, under our experimental conditions, MTX begins to lower TOR

activity 3 to 4 h after treatment, ultimately abolishing S6K-pT449 levels by 24 h after

treatment. This is consonant with past experiments using comparable experimental

conditions, which showed that MTX requires at least ~2-6 hours to begin to affect plant

metabolism (Loizeau et al., 2008). To test the hypothesis that MTX inhibition of TOR

activity is due to nucleotide depletion, we supplemented MTX-treated seedlings with 0.5

mM, 1.0 mM or 2.0 mM nucleotides: inosine monophosphates (IMPs), uracil

monophosphates (UMPs), or a mixture of all nucleotide monophosphates (NMPs). We

chose these concentrations because 1.0 – 2.0 mM nucleotides are a physiologically-

relevant concentration (Chen et al., 2000). Neither IMPs nor UMPs were able to restore

TOR activity after 3.5 h, in agreement with our results above suggesting that both purine

and pyrimidine synthesis are required to maintain TOR activity in plants (Figure 7B and

C). A mixture of all NMPs, however, was sufficient to significantly increase S6K-pT449

levels 3.5 h after treatment (Figure 7D). In summary, these experiments demonstrate

that nucleotides are sufficient to activate TOR in MTX-treated seedlings. Therefore, we

concluded that MTX inhibits TOR specifically by limiting nucleotide biosynthesis.

Moreover, in agreement with our hypothesis that TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns reduce

TOR activity due to limited nucleotide biosynthesis, these results directly demonstrate

that TOR is sensitive to nucleotide availability in plants.

TOR promotes expression of *de novo* nucleotide biosynthesis genes

Among its many metabolic roles, TOR is known to promote nucleotide

biosynthesis in animals and fungi through transcriptional and post-translational control

(Ben-Sahra et al., 2013; Robitaille et al., 2013; Ben-Sahra et al., 2016). We therefore

hypothesized that TOR could also transcriptionally promote nucleotide biosynthesis in

plants. To test this hypothesis, we mined publicly available transcriptomic datasets,

focusing on changes in expression of genes that encode nucleotide metabolic enzymes.

We found that genes involved in nucleotide biosynthesis are enriched among the genes

upregulated by the glucose-TOR transcriptional program ($p < 0.01$), as previously noted

(Xiong et al., 2013a), and among the genes repressed when plants are treated with the

TOR inhibitor AZD-8055 ($p < 0.05$) (Dong et al., 2015). Indeed, transcripts encoding

enzymes of nearly every step of purine biosynthesis downstream from PRS-mediated

PRPP synthesis are induced when TOR is activated and repressed when TOR is

inactivated (Figure 8A). Several pyrimidine biosynthesis enzyme genes are also

transcriptionally induced by TOR activation and transcriptionally repressed by TOR

inactivation (Figure 8A). Moreover, genes encoding purine and pyrimidine salvage

pathway enzymes and genes that promote DNA synthesis are also transcriptionally

promoted by TOR (Supplemental Data Set 6). Alongside promoting nucleotide

biosynthesis, TOR transcriptionally controls nucleotide catabolism: genes involved in P_i

salvage, nucleotide degradation, and purine importers are all induced when TOR is

inactivated, whereas genes involved in P_i salvage are repressed when TOR is

activated. For instance, several NUDIX hydrolases (*NUDT8*, *NUDT13*, and *NUDT15*)

are repressed ~2-3.5-fold when TOR is activated by glucose (Supplemental Data Set 6).

In concert, these data demonstrate that TOR activation broadly reprograms the plant

transcriptome to promote nucleotide biosynthesis and repress nucleotide catabolism.

We next reanalyzed the transcriptome of MTX-treated *A. thaliana* cells (Loizeau

et al., 2008) to test how nucleotide deprivation impacts the *A. thaliana* transcriptional

program. Using stringent cutoffs, our analysis revealed 16, 1,748, and 2,485 genes that

are differentially expressed 2 h, 6 h, and 24 h after treatment with MTX, respectively,

compared to mock-treated cells (Supplemental Data Set 6). The remarkably low

number of DEGs detected 2 h after MTX treatment is in keeping with our conclusion

above that MTX treatment has effectively no impact on TOR activity 2 h after treatment.

Therefore, we focused our analysis on the other two timepoints, 6 h and 24 h after MTX

treatment. The biological functions of the DEGs 6 h and 24 h after MTX treatment are

largely similar. Broadly, MTX treatment represses expression of genes involved in

ribosome biogenesis, mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXHPOS), and

photosynthesis, while inducing expression of E3 ubiquitin ligases that promote protein

degradation and amino acid recycling, WRKY and AP2/EREBP transcription factors

involved in stress responses, and various starvation response genes, e.g., the *DARK-*

INDUCIBLE (*DIN*) genes *DIN2*, *DIN6*, and *DIN10* (Supplemental Data Sets 6 and 7).

As with the TRV::*NbPRS4* transcriptome, many of these gene categories are conserved

targets of TOR, such as ribosomal protein genes and mitochondrial OXPHOS

components, which are consistently induced by TOR activity throughout eukaryotic

lineages. Indeed, we found that the set of genes regulated by 24 h treatment with AZD-

8055, a highly specific ATP-competitive TOR kinase inhibitor, significantly overlapped

with the set of genes regulated by 24 h treatment with MTX (553 genes, $p < 10^{-69}$; for

comparison, the maximum expected overlapping genes is 292, $p = 0.05$), and that these

overlapping genes are almost all co-regulated (503 genes, or 91%, are either induced or

repressed in both MTX- and AZD-8055 transcriptomes) (Figure 8C, Supplemental Data

Set 6). The striking similarity between the transcriptional profiles of MTX- and AZD-

8055-treated *A. thaliana* demonstrates that many of the effects of MTX-mediated

nucleotide depletion can be reproduced by TOR inhibition, indicating that nucleotide

sensing by TOR is a crucial signaling axis to maintain metabolic homeostasis (Figure

8D).

Discussion

Functional genetics to probe TOR signaling networks

TOR is an essential regulatory kinase across all lineages of eukaryotes (Chantranupong et al., 2015). In *A. thaliana*, null *tor* alleles cause embryo arrest at early stages of embryogenesis (Menand et al., 2002). Chemically inhibiting TOR kinase activity or genetically silencing *TOR* expression causes plant growth arrest at later stages of development (Ahn et al., 2011; Montané and Menand, 2013; Xiong et al., 2013b). Therefore, we hypothesized that loss of genes required for activity would likely also be embryo-lethal. To circumvent conducting an arduous forward genetic screen of embryo-lethal mutants (Kim et al., 2002), we took a "fast reverse genetics" approach (Baulcombe, 1999), silencing predicted embryo-lethal genes in *N. benthamiana* during vegetative development with virus-induced gene silencing. With this approach, we discovered that plant TOR activity is sensitive to nucleotide availability in plants, consonant with the recent discovery that mammalian TOR also senses nucleotide availability (Hoxhaj et al., 2017; Emmanuel et al., 2017).

Silencing embryo-lethal genes with VIGS does not always cause severe developmental phenotypes (Stonebloom et al., 2009; Burch-Smith and Zambryski, 2010; Burch-Smith et al., 2011), but silencing the cytosolic phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase, *PRS4*, dramatically disrupted plant growth and development. It should be noted that TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown phenotypes are more severe than TRV::*NbTOR* knockdown phenotypes (Ahn et al., 2011), suggesting that loss of cytosolic PRPP does not exclusively arrest growth via inhibition of the TOR signaling pathway. Similarly, homozygous *prs4-1* knockout embryos arrest at the very earliest stages of embryogenesis, whereas homozygous *tor* knockout embryos arrest at the globular stage. We speculate that the more severe phenotypes of TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns and knockouts reflect its absolute requirement for nucleotide biosynthesis to support DNA synthesis during cell division.

TOR coordinates nucleotide metabolism with ribosome biogenesis

445  In plant leaves, 40-60% of P_o is incorporated in ribosomal RNA (rRNA). rRNA

446  expression and stability are directly controlled by TOR, which promotes expression of

447  ribosomal protein genes, rRNA, and other genes involved in ribosome biogenesis (Ren

448  et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014). In mutant human cell lines with high TOR activity,

449  overproduction of rRNA disrupts nucleotide homeostasis, making nucleotides less

450  available for other biological processes (Valvezan et al., 2017). As a consequence,

451  these cell lines are hypersensitive to nucleotide synthesis inhibitors, which rapidly

452  deplete nucleotide availability for DNA replication, ultimately triggering DNA damage

453  responses and cell death (Valvezan et al., 2017). Here, we have shown that TOR

454  similarly coordinates nucleotide supply and demand in plant cells. TOR promotes

455  transcription of genes involved in nucleotide biosynthesis and ribosome biogenesis. If

456  nucleotide levels decrease due to another nutritional limitation or physiological stress,

457  i.e., despite the transcriptional promotion of nucleotide biosynthesis by TOR, TOR

458  activity decreases, reducing the demand for nucleotides by ribosome biogenesis.

459  Based on our findings, and by analogy to the human nucleotide-TOR signaling pathway

460  (Valvezan and Manning, 2019), we propose that TOR acts as a molecular rheostat to

461  maintain nucleotide homeostasis in plant cells (Figure 6D).

462  The mechanism of nucleotide sensing by TOR in plants remains unresolved by

463  this study. In human cells, nucleotide depletion is currently proposed to act through the

464  TSC-Rheb signaling axis to inhibit TOR activity (Emmanuel et al., 2017; Hoxhaj et al.,

465  2017). Rheb is a small GTPase that activates TOR at the lysosomal surface, and Rheb

466  engages TOR when growth factor signals suppress its GTPase-activating protein

467  complex, TSC. In nucleotide-depleted cells, Rheb GTP-loading decreases, and its

468  localization, stability, and activity are compromised (Emmanuel et al., 2017; Hoxhaj et

469  al., 2017). Neither Rheb nor TSC are deeply conserved in eukaryotic lineages, so

470  plants must have evolved a distinct mechanism for sensing nucleotide availability.

471  Identifying the nucleotide sensor will be aided by ongoing efforts to elucidate the plant

472  TOR signaling network (Wu et al., 2019).

473  **TOR and P_o sensing for sustainable agriculture**

The TOR signaling network is a promising candidate for improving agricultural
crops. Current agricultural systems are unsustainable, but biotechnological
enhancement of crops can make significant contributions to sustainability efforts
(Springmann et al., 2018). To date, most studies of nutrient sensing in plants have
focused on pathways that detect inorganic nutrients, such as nitrate, ammonium, and
phosphate (Chiou and Lin, 2011; Xu et al., 2012). In natural settings, however, plants
consume both inorganic and organic nutrients, such as dissolved P_o and amino acids
(Näsholm et al., 1998). Moreover, once nutrients are absorbed by plants, many species
rapidly convert inorganic nitrogen and phosphate to organic forms for transport and
metabolism. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of organic nutrient sensing in
plants, including the TOR signaling network, should accelerate efforts to develop
sustainable agricultural crop varieties.

Here, we showed that TOR is sensitive to nucleotide availability, a major fraction
of the P_o available for anabolism (Figure 1A, 8D). Moreover, TOR activity strongly
promotes synthesis of nucleotides and ribosomal nucleic acids to support the high
ribosome abundance required for protein translation in metabolically-active cells.
Accordingly, genetically or chemically dampening TOR activity could reduce
phosphorus demands, by drastically decreasing the rate of nucleotide and nucleic acid
synthesis. Alternatively, for plants grown with excess phosphorus supply, stimulating
TOR activity could increase growth rates and crop yields by increasing phosphorus use
efficiency and allocation of phosphorus to bioactive, productive macromolecules
(nucleotides and rRNA). Therefore, rewiring the TOR signaling network in crop species
could theoretically contribute to enhancing crop yields and reducing reliance on
fertilizers.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Plants were grown under standard conditions with 16 h day / 8 h night at ~120 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} of photosynthetically active radiation and at 22°C-24°C unless otherwise stated. The inbred Col-0 ecotype was used as wild-type for all *A. thaliana* seedling experiments. GabiKat-780B11, which had been previously described (Bolle et al., 2013), was obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resources Center. The reference inbred Nb-1 ecotype, obtained from the Boyce Thompson Institute, was used for all *N. benthamiana* experiments. *prs4-1* was genotyped by extracting DNA from Col-0 plants by grinding snap-frozen leaf tissue in DNA extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-Cl at pH 5.7, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS), precipitating DNA in isopropanol, washing DNA with 70% ethanol, and resuspending purified DNA in ddH$_2$O. PCRs were used to assay for wild-type or T-DNA insertion alleles in 20 µL reactions using GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) using manufacturer's instructions and 30 cycles as follows: 95°C for 30 seconds, 57°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 60 seconds. Genotyping primers used were: 5′-CAA GGA TTG TCT CTA ATA TCC CCA-3′ (left genomic primer, LP), 5′-CTT TGG GAA GAC ACC ATG AGT TAC-3′ (right genomic primer, RP), and 5′-ATA ATA ACG CTG CGG ACA TCT ACA TTT T-3′ (T-DNA border primer, LB).

Molecular cloning

Virus-induced gene silencing vectors were prepared as previously described (Brunkard et al., 2015). Briefly, RNA was isolated from Nb-1 shoots with the Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) with on-column DNase I digestion (New England Biolabs). cDNA was synthesized from RNA using random hexamers and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Fisher Scientific). The *NbPRS4* silencing trigger was amplified with Phusion DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) using oligonucleotides 5′-GCA TCT AGA ATG GAG AAT GGT GCG C-3′ and 5′-GAT CTC GAG TTC AAC AGT GGG ATA CC-3′ as primers. The amplified *NbPRS4* PCR product was gel purified (Monarch DNA Gel Extraction Kit, New England Biolabs), digested with XbaI and XhoI (New England Biolabs), and ligated with XbaI- and XhoI-digested pYL156 (Liu et al., 2002)

using T4 DNA ligase (Promega). Ligations were transformed into house-made

chemically competent *E .coli* DH10B. The silencing triggers for all other nucleotide

biosynthesis genes were amplified with Phusion DNA polymerase (New England

Biolabs) using the oligonucleotides listed in Supplemental Data Set 8. The amplified

products were gel purified (Monarch DNA Gel Extraction Kit, New England Biolabs) and

incubated with 100 ng XbaI- and XhoI-digested pYL156 in a molar ratio of 1 vector : 2

insert. Reactions were incubated with T4 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) in

NEBuffer 2.1 (New England Biolabs) for 2.5 minutes at room temperature, placed on ice

for 10 minutes, and then transformed into house-made chemically competent *E .coli*

DH10B. Plasmids were then miniprepped with Bioneer kits, Sanger sequenced to

confirm insert sequences, and transformed into *Agrobacterium* GV3101.

Manufacturer's protocols were followed throughout.

To clone *AtPRS4* for complementation experiments, cDNA was synthesized from

RNA isolated from Col-0 rosette leaves, using the techniques described above. *PRS4*

was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides 5′-cacc ATG TCT GAG AAC GCA GCC A-

3′ and 5′-AAT CTG CAG AGC ATC AGC AAT-3′ and ligated with topoisomerase into a

Gateway entry vector (pENTR/D-TOPO, ThermoFisher). This vector was then digested

with EcoRV (New England Biolabs) and recombined with pEarleyGate expression

vectors pEarleyGate103 and pEarleyGate104 (Earley et al., 2006) using LR Clonase II

(ThermoFisher). After the sequence of these vectors was confirmed, each was

transformed into *Agrobacterium* GV3101 for subsequent transformation of plants.

TOR activity assays

A. thaliana seedlings or *N. benthamiana* leaves were snap-frozen in liquid

nitrogen. Protein was then extracted from the plant tissue in 100 mM MOPS (pH 7.6),

100 mM NaCl, 5% SDS, 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerin, 2 mM PMSF, and 1x

PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich). S6K-pT449 was detected by

Western blot using a phosphospecific antibody (ab207399, AbCam) and an HRP-

conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (Jackson Immuno Research, no.

111-035-003). S6K levels were detected by Western blot using a custom monoclonal

antibody described below. Total protein was visualized after transfer using Ponceau S

red staining. Western blot images were scanned, converted to grayscale, and adjusted

for contrast and brightness using ImageJ.

To generate monoclonal antibodies that detect total S6K protein levels, peptides

were synthesized that corresponded to amino acids 439 through 459 surrounding the

TOR substrate T449 in AtS6K1 (At3g08730.1) with either threonine or

phosphothreonine at T449 (DPKANPFTNFTYVRPPPSFLH or

DPKANPFTNFpTYVRPPPSFLH) and conjugated to either BSA or KLH (GenScript).

BSA-conjugated phosphopeptide was used to immunize mice. Sera were screened

with enzyme-linked immunosorbet assays (ELISAs) for reactivity to KLH-conjugated

peptide and/or phosphopeptide. Subsequent screening of hybridomas with ELISAs

using KLH-conjugated peptide or phosphopeptide and Western blots against S6K

protein identified a monoclonal antibody that reliably detects total S6K protein levels,

regardless of phosphorylation status of T449. Throughout, we refer to this monoclonal

antibody as αS6K. In one preliminary experiment (Fig. 6C), we used a commercially-

available polyclonal antibody that detects total S6K levels (sc-230, Santa Cruz

Biotechnology); we refer to this antibody as pAb-αS6K.

Virus-induced gene silencing

VIGS was performed as previously described (Brunkard et al., 2015). Briefly,

Agrobacterium cultures carrying either pYL156 containing the silencing trigger or

pYL192 were grown overnight in LB with antibiotics at 28°C. Cultures were spun down

at 700 x *g* for 10 minutes, washed, and resuspended to OD_{600nm} = 1.0 in 10 mM $MgCl_2$,

10 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic (MES) acid (pH = 5.7, adjusted with KOH), and

200 μM acetosyringone from a recently-prepared 100mM stock solution frozen in

DMSO. Agrobacteria were left to induce virulence at room temperature for 2-4 h with

gentle shaking before pressure infiltration into 3-week old *N. benthamiana* leaves by

needleless syringe. Plants were returned to standard growth conditions and monitored.

Tissue collection and phenotypic observation were performed 2 weeks post-infiltration.

TRV::*NbPDS* was used as a positive control to track viral infection and VIGS efficiency,

and TRV::*GUS* (pYC1) was used as a mock treatment negative control (Stonebloom et

al., 2009). Each gene was silenced in at least 6 plants per experiment. All VIGS

experiments were performed at least 3 times.

Phenotypic analysis of TRV::*NbPRS4* plants

All phenotypic analyses and photography of TRV::*NbPRS4* plants were

performed 2 weeks post-agroinfiltration. Shoot apices were collected for histology and

SEM analysis. The 4th youngest leaf (i.e., the 4th leaf counting down from the apex of

each plant) was used for quantifying epidermal cell size. Forceps were used to peel off

sections of epidermis from the adaxial side of the leaf, which was then observed under

a compound light microscope with a 40x objective. Cells were outlined manually in

ImageJ to determine area in square micrometers. Measurements were performed on

GUS ("mock") and "moderate" TRV::*NbPRS4* individuals, as tissue from "severe" plants

was too fragile to process. Plants unused in other experiments were allowed to grow

indefinitely so leaf initiation rate, leaf morphology, and flowering time could be observed.

To quantify rRNA levels, total RNA was extracted using the Sigma Spectrum™ Plant

Total RNA kit and analyzed using the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Chip with the Agilent

Bioanalyzer 2100.

For histology, meristems were harvested from *N. benthamiana* two weeks after

inoculation with *Agrobacterium* cultures carrying either pYL156::NbPRS4 or

pYL156::GUS (pYC1). Meristems were vacuum infiltrated with infiltration solution (50%

ethanol, 5.0% acetic acid, 3.7% formaldehyde) at 15 Hg for 15 minutes. Tissue was

dehydrated through a stepwise ethanol dehydration series (15%, 20%, 50%, 75%, 95%)

with 15-minute incubations at each step and stored overnight in 95% ethanol + 0.1%

Eosin solution. Samples were washed for 20 minutes with the following solutions: 100%

ethanol (twice), 75% ethanol + 25% Histoclear, 50% ethanol + 50% Histoclear, 25%

ethanol + 75% Histoclear, 100% Histoclear (twice). Tissue was stored overnight in 1:1

Histoclear : melted paraplast solution. The next morning, Histoclear : paraplast solution

was removed and replaced with melted paraplast. Samples were incubated at 56°C for

8-10 hours. Paraplast was replaced every 8-10 hours six additional times. Samples

were poured into plastic weighboats, allowed to harden, sectioned, and mounted onto

slides. Slides were rehydrated through an ethanol series (100%, 100%, 95%, 85%,

70%, 50%, 30%, 15%), stained in 0.1% toluidine blue, and then partially dehydrated

through an ethanol series (15%, 30%, 50%, 70%) to destain slightly. Slides were

hydrated again (70%, 50%, 30%, 15%) and rinsed in distilled water. Mounted tissue was

treated with ImmunoHistoMount and covered with a cover slip. Meristems were

visualized with a compound microscope.

Phenotypic analysis of *prs4-1* mutants

prs4-1 / + heterozygous *A. thaliana* mutants were allowed to self-fertilize for

analysis of homozygous *prs4-1 / prs4-1* progeny in siliques. The location of

homozygous *prs4-1 / prs4-1* progeny along siliques was marked following standard

protocols (seedgenes.org). For positional analysis, the number of aborted seeds in the

first ten seeds from the silique base (i.e., seeds #1 through #10) and in the second ten

seeds from the silique base (i.e., seeds #11 through #20) was counted in nine

independent siliques at comparable developmental stages from several independent

prs4-1 / + parents. Seed abortion was not observed in these positions in wild-type

siblings grown under the same conditions. Chi-square tests were used to compare the

frequency of aborted seeds in these positions to the expected frequency (25%) of

aborted seeds. To visualize embryos in *prs4-1 / +* progeny, *A. thaliana* seeds were

removed from young siliques and placed on one drop of Hoyer's solution on a

microscope side. Embryos were visualized with a compound microscope after one hour

of clearing in Hoyer's solution.

RNA-Seq and transcriptome analysis

Tissue for RNA-Seq was collected from TRV::*NbPRS4* or mock-treated plants 2

weeks post-agroinfiltration with TRV binary vectors. Plant apices, including the youngest

2-3 leaves, were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. 9 mock-treated

individuals, 9 TRV::*NbPRS4* individuals that showed "severe" phenotypic abnormalities,

and 9 "moderate" TRV::*NbPRS4* individuals were collected. RNA was extracted using

Sigma Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA kit. 3 pools of RNA from 3 individuals were

prepared for the mock, severe, and moderate collections. RNA pools were made by

combining 333.3 ng of RNA from each individual. Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA

kit with Ribo-Zero Plant was used to prepare libraries for RNA sequencing. Libraries

were sequenced at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Laboratory (QB3) using the

Illumina Hi-Seq 4000. Reads were aligned with HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015) and counted

with HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). Differential transcript abundance was determined

with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

The methotrexate-treated transcriptome was previously described (Loizeau et al.,

2008); briefly, cells were treated with 100 µM methotrexate or mock-treated for 2 h, 6 h,

or 24 h, RNA was extracted, and cRNA was hybridized with the CATMA array to detect

changes in transcript levels. Only transcripts that were detected at significantly different

levels in both treatment replicates ($p < 0.05$) were considered significant DEGs here.

PRS expression profiles during plant development were obtained from the Bio-

Analytic Resource for Plant Biology (bar.utoronto.ca) with expression data and an

electronic Fluorescent Pictograph (eFP) developed for AtGenExpress (Schmid et al.,

2005).

Transcriptomes were further analyzed with MapMan software (Thimm et al.,

2004). The *N. benthamiana* genome was annotated for gene function using Mercator4

(Lohse et al., 2014). Significantly affected gene categories were determined by

MapMan using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test with the Benjamini-Hochberg-Yekutieli

procedure to correct for the false discovery rate. All MapMan annotations and statistical

analyses are available for review in supplemental data. The lists of differentially

expressed genes from AZD-8055-treated and MTX-treated samples were compared

using a hypergeometric test for significant overlap using R software (phyper function).

For comparative analysis, only those genes that could be detected in both the MTX and

AZD-8055 treatment transcriptomes (i.e., the ~22,000 genes with complementary

probes in the CATMA microarray) were considered.

Seedling chemical treatment assays

35S_{PRO}:S6K1-HA Col-0 seeds (Xiong and Sheen, 2012) were sterilized with a

50% bleach and 0.1% tween-20 solution and stratified in the dark at 4ºC for 2 days in

ddH$_2$O. Each well of a standard 6-well culture plate was filled with 1 mL of ½ MS, pH

5.7liquid media. ~20 *35S_{PRO}:S6K1-HA* Col-0 seeds were placed in each well under

aseptic conditions, and the plate was sealed with micropore tape. Plates were grown at

23ºC with a light intensity of 70 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} photosynthetically active radiation and a 12

h day / 12 h night cycle for 3 days. The media were then replaced with ½ MS

supplemented with 15 mM D-glucose, pH 5.7, and any other treatments as described in

the text, and returned to growth chambers. All treatments, transfers, and seedling

collections were performed at subjective dawn unless otherwise noted for consistency.

Photographed seedlings were left in treatment media for 7 days to observe

developmental differences.

Methotrexate (Gainger), 6-mercaptopurine (Fisher Scientific), 5-fluorouracil

(Fisher Scientific), 5-fluoroorotic acid (Fisher Scientific), adenosine-5′-monophosphate

disodium (Fisher Scientific), cytidine-5′-monophosphate disodium (Chem-Impex),

guanosine-5′-monophosphate disodium (Chem-Impex), inosine-5'-monophosphate

(TGI), and uracil 5′-monophosphate trisodium (Chem-Impex) were prepared fresh in

concentrated stock solutions that were then diluted in ½ MS media (Caisson Labs), pH

= 5.7 with KOH, for application to seedlings.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by NIH DP5-OD-023072 to J.O.B. M.B. is supported by an

NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. This work used the Vincent J. Coates Genomics

Sequencing Laboratory at UC Berkeley, supported by the NIH-S10-OD018174

Instrumentation Grant. We thank De Wood and Tina Williams at USDA ARS for

microscopy support, Prof. Jen Sheen for sharing *35S_{PRO}:S6K-HA A. thaliana* seeds,

Anjuli Matharu for experimental assistance, and Sam Leiboff for assistance with RNA-

Seq analysis.

Author Contributions

M.B., M.R.S., R.H., and J.O.B. designed the research, performed experiments, and

analyzed data. M.B. and J.O.B. wrote the manuscript.

References

Ahn, C.S., Han, J.-A., Lee, H.-S., Lee, S., and Pai, H.-S. (2011). The PP2A regulatory subunit Tap46, a component of the TOR signaling pathway, modulates growth and metabolism in plants. Plant Cell.

Aird, K.M. and Zhang, R. (2015). Nucleotide metabolism, oncogene-induced senescence and cancer. Cancer Lett.

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics **31**: 166–169.

Baulcombe, D.C. (1999). Fast forward genetics based on virus-induced gene silencing. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.

Ben-Sahra, I., Howell, J.J., Asara, J.M., and Manning, B.D. (2013). Stimulation of de novo pyrimidine synthesis by growth signaling through mTOR and S6K1. Science (80-.).

Ben-Sahra, I., Hoxhaj, G., Ricoult, S.J.H., Asara, J.M., and Manning, B.D. (2016). mTORC1 induces purine synthesis through control of the mitochondrial tetrahydrofolate cycle. Science (80-.).

Bolle, C., Huep, G., Kleinbölting, N., Haberer, G., Mayer, K., Leister, D., and Weisshaar, B. (2013). GABI-DUPLO: A collection of double mutants to overcome genetic redundancy in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J.

Brunkard, J.O., Burch-Smith, T.M., Runkel, A.M., and Zambryski, P.C. (2015). Investigating plasmodesmata genetics with Virus-Induced Gene Silencing and an Agrobacterium-mediated GFP movement assay. In, pp. 185-198 SRC-GoogleScholar FG-0.

Burch-Smith, T.M., Brunkard, J.O., Choi, Y.G., and Zambryski, P.C. (2011). PNAS Plus: Organelle-nucleus cross-talk regulates plant intercellular communication via plasmodesmata. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **108**: E1451–E1460.

Burch-Smith, T.M. and Zambryski, P.C. (2010). Loss of increased size exclusion limit

(ise)1 or ise2 increases the formation of secondary plasmodesmata. Curr. Biol. **20**:
989–993.

Cardenas, M.E., Cutler, N.S., Lorenz, M.C., Di Como, C.J., and Heitman, J. (1999).
The TOR signaling cascade regulates gene expression in response to nutrients.
Genes Dev. **13**: 3271–3279.

Chantranupong, L., Wolfson, R.L., and Sabatini, D.M. (2015). Nutrient-sensing
mechanisms across evolution. Cell **161**: 67–83.

Chen, D.L., Delatorre, C.A., Bakker, A., and Abel, S. (2000). Conditional identification
of phosphate-starvation-response mutants in Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta.

Chiou, T.-J. and Lin, S.-I. (2011). Signaling Network in Sensing Phosphate Availability
in Plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.

Cordell, D. and White, S. (2011). Peak phosphorus: Clarifying the key issues of a
vigorous debate about long-term phosphorus security. Sustainability.

Correll, D.L. (1998). The Role of Phosphorus in the Eutrophication of Receiving
Waters: A Review. J. Environ. Qual.

**Couso, I. Pérez-Pérez, ME. Ford, MM. Martínez-Force, E. Hicks, LM Umen, JG.
Crespo, JL. (**2020). Phosphorus Availablity Regulates TORC1 Signaling via LST8
in Chlamydomonas. Plant Cell.

Cunningham, J.T., Moreno, M. V., Lodi, A., Ronen, S.M., and Ruggero, D. (2014).
Protein and nucleotide biosynthesis are coupled by a single rate-limiting enzyme,
PRPS2, to drive cancer. Cell.

Delarue, M. et al. (2018). mTORC1 Controls Phase Separation and the Biophysical
Properties of the Cytoplasm by Tuning Crowding. Cell.

Dong, P., Xiong, F., Que, Y., Wang, K., Yu, L., Li, Z., and Ren, M. (2015). Expression
profiling and functional analysis reveals that TOR is a key player in regulating
photosynthesis and phytohormone signaling pathways in Arabidopsis. Front. Plant
Sci. **6**: 677.

Earley, K.W., Haag, J.R., Pontes, O., Opper, K., Juehne, T., Song, K., and Pikaard,
C.S. (2006). Gateway-compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and
proteomics. Plant J.

Emmanuel, N., Ragunathan, S., Shan, Q., Wang, F., Giannakou, A., Huser, N., Jin,
G., Myers, J., Abraham, R.T., and Unsal-Kacmaz, K. (2017). Purine Nucleotide
Availability Regulates mTORC1 Activity through the Rheb GTPase. Cell Rep.

Grabiner, B.C., Nardi, V., Birsoy, K., Possemato, R., Shen, K., Sinha, S., Jordan,
A., Beck, A.H., and Sabatini, D.M. (2014). A diverse array of cancer-associated
MTOR mutations are hyperactivating and can predict rapamycin sensitivity. Cancer
Discov.

Hannan, K.M., Brandenburger, Y., Jenkins, A., Sharkey, K., Cavanaugh, A.,
Rothblum, L., Moss, T., Poortinga, G., McArthur, G.A., Pearson, R.B., and
Hannan, R.D. (2003). mTOR-Dependent Regulation of Ribosomal Gene
Transcription Requires S6K1 and Is Mediated by Phosphorylation of the Carboxy-
Terminal Activation Domain of the Nucleolar Transcription Factor UBF . Mol. Cell.
Biol.

Vander Heiden, M.G. and DeBerardinis, R.J. (2017). Understanding the Intersections
between Metabolism and Cancer Biology. Cell.

Hoxhaj, G., Hughes-Hallett, J., Timson, R.C., Ilagan, E., Yuan, M., Asara, J.M., Ben-
Sahra, I., and Manning, B.D. (2017). The mTORC1 Signaling Network Senses
Changes in Cellular Purine Nucleotide Levels. Cell Rep.

Ito, J., Batth, T.S., Petzold, C.J., Redding-Johanson, A.M., Mukhopadhyay, A.,
Verboom, R., Meyer, E.H., Millar, A.H., and Heazlewood, J.L. (2011). Analysis of
the Arabidopsis cytosolic proteome highlights subcellular partitioning of central
plant metabolism. J. Proteome Res.

Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with
low memory requirements. Nat. Methods.

Kim, I., Hempel, F.D., Sha, K., Pfluger, J., and Zambryski, P.C. (2002). Identification

of a developmental transition in plasmodesmatal function during embryogenesis in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Development **129**: 1261–1272.

Kim, Y.K., Kim, S., Shin, Y.J., Hur, Y.S., Kim, W.Y., Lee, M.S., Cheon, C.I., and
Verma, D.P.S. (2014). Ribosomal protein s6, a target of rapamycin, is involved in
the regulation of rRNA genes by possible epigenetic changes in arabidopsis. J.
Biol. Chem.

Lerbs, S., Wollgiehn, R., and Neumann, D. (1980). Effect of 5-Fluorouracil on the
synthesis of chloroplast and cytoplasmic ribosomal RNA in leaves of Nicotiana
rustica. Biochem. und Physiol. der Pflanz. **175**: 516–528.

Liu, Y., Schiff, M., Marathe, R., and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. (2002). Tobacco Rar1,
EDS1 and NPR1/NIM1 like genes are required for N-mediated resistance to
tobacco mosaic virus. Plant J. **30**: 415–429.

Lohse, M., Nagel, A., Herter, T., May, P., Schroda, M., Zrenner, R., Tohge, T.,
Fernie, A.R., Stitt, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Mercator: A fast and simple web
server for genome scale functional annotation of plant sequence data. Plant, Cell
Environ.

Loizeau, K., De Brouwer, V., Gambonnet, B., Yu, A., Renou, J.-P., Van Der
Straeten, D., Lambert, W.E., Rebeille, F., and Ravanel, S. (2008). A Genome-
Wide and Metabolic Analysis Determined the Adaptive Response of Arabidopsis
Cells to Folate Depletion Induced by Methotrexate. PLANT Physiol.

López-Arredondo, D.L., Leyva-González, M.A., González-Morales, S.I., López-
Bucio, J., and Herrera-Estrella, L. (2014). Phosphate Nutrition: Improving Low-
Phosphate Tolerance in Crops. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change
and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol.

Marion, R.M., Regev, A., Segal, E., Barash, Y., Koller, D., Friedman, N., and
O'Shea, E.K. (2004). Sfp1 is a stress- and nutrient-sensitive regulator of ribosomal
protein gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **101**: 14315–14322.

Meinke, D.W. (1985). Embryo-lethal mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana: analysis of
mutants with a wide range of lethal phases. Theor. Appl. Genet.

Menand, B., Desnos, T., Nussaume, L., Berger, F., Bouchez, D., Meyer, C., and
Robaglia, C. (2002). Expression and disruption of the Arabidopsis TOR (target of
rapamycin) gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **99**: 6422–6427.

Montané, M.H. and Menand, B. (2013). ATP-competitive mTOR kinase inhibitors delay
plant growth by triggering early differentiation of meristematic cells but no
developmental patterning change. J. Exp. Bot. **64**: 4361–4374.

Näsholm, T., Ekblad, A., Nordin, A., Giesler, R., Högberg, M., and Högberg, P.
(1998). Boreal forest plants take up organic nitrogen. Nature.

Pelletier, J., Thomas, G., and Volarevi, S. (2017). Ribosome biogenesis in cancer:
New players and therapeutic avenues. Nat. Rev. Cancer.

Ren, M., Qiu, S., Venglat, P., Xiang, D., Feng, L., Selvaraj, G., and Datla, R. (2011).
Target of rapamycin regulates development and ribosomal RNA expression
through kinase domain in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.

Robitaille, A.M., Christen, S., Shimobayashi, M., Cornu, M., Fava, L.L., Moes, S.,
Prescianotto-Baschong, C., Sauer, U., Jenoe, P., and Hall, M.N. (2013).
Quantitative phosphoproteomics reveal mTORC1 activates de novo pyrimidine
synthesis. Science (80-.).

Saxton, R.A. and Sabatini, D.M. (2017). mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and
Disease. Cell.

Schmid, M., Davison, T.S., Henz, S.R., Pape, U.J., Demar, M., Vingron, M.,
Schölkopf, B., Weigel, D., and Lohmann, J.U. (2005). A gene expression map of
Arabidopsis thaliana development. Nat. Genet. **37**: 501–506.

Shi, L., Wu, Y., and Sheen, J. (2018). TOR signaling in plants: conservation and
innovation. Development.

Springmann, M. et al. (2018). Options for keeping the food system within

environmental limits. Nature.

Stonebloom, S., Burch-Smith, T., Kim, I., Meinke, D., Mindrinos, M., and
Zambryski, P. (2009). Loss of the plant DEAD-box protein ISE1 leads to defective
mitochondria and increased cell-to-cell transport via plasmodesmata. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **106**: 17229–17234.

Sulpice, R. et al. (2014). Low levels of ribosomal RNA partly account for the very high
photosynthetic phosphorus-use efficiency of Proteaceae species. Plant, Cell
Environ.

Theodorou, M.E. and Plaxton, W.C. (1993). Metabolic Adaptations of Plant
Respiration to Nutritional Phosphate Deprivation. Plant Physiol.

Thimm, O., Bläsing, O., Gibon, Y., Nagel, A., Meyer, S., Krüger, P., Selbig, J.,
Müller, L. a., Rhee, S.Y., and Stitt, M. (2004). MAPMAN: A user-driven tool to
display genomics data sets onto diagrams of metabolic pathways and other
biological processes. Plant J. **37**: 914–939.

Valvezan, A.J. et al. (2017). mTORC1 Couples Nucleotide Synthesis to Nucleotide
Demand Resulting in a Targetable Metabolic Vulnerability. Cancer Cell.

Valvezan, A.J. and Manning, B.D. (2019). Molecular logic of mTORC1 signalling as a
metabolic rheostat. Nat. Metab. **1**: 321–333.

Vance, C.P., Uhde-Stone, C., and Allan, D.L. (2003). Phosphorus acquisition and use:
Critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. New Phytol.

Veneklaas, E.J., Lambers, H., Bragg, J., Finnegan, P.M., Lovelock, C.E., Plaxton,
W.C., Price, C.A., Scheible, W.R., Shane, M.W., White, P.J., and Raven, J.A.
(2012). Opportunities for improving phosphorus-use efficiency in crop plants. New
Phytol.

Witz, S., Jung, B., Fürst, S., and Möhlmann, T. (2012). De Novo Pyrimidine
Nucleotide Synthesis Mainly Occurs outside of Plastids, but a Previously
Undiscovered Nucleobase Importer Provides Substrates for the Essential Salvage
Pathway in Arabidopsis . Plant Cell.

Wu, Y., Shi, L., Li, L., Fu, L., Liu, Y., Xiong, Y., and Sheen, J. (2019). Integration of
nutrient, energy, light and hormone signalling via TOR in plants. J. Exp. Bot.

Xiong, Y., McCormack, M., Li, L., Hall, Q., Xiang, C., and Sheen, J. (2013a).
Glucose-TOR signalling reprograms the transcriptome and activates meristems.
Nature **496**: 181–186.

Xiong, Y., McCormack, M., Li, L., Hall, Q., Xiang, C., and Sheen, J. (2013b).
Glucose-TOR signalling reprograms the transcriptome and activates meristems.
Nature **496**: 181–186.

Xiong, Y. and Sheen, J. (2012). Rapamycin and glucose-target of rapamycin (TOR)
protein signaling in plants. J. Biol. Chem. **287**: 2836–2842.

Xu, G., Fan, X., and Miller, A.J. (2012). Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency.
Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **63**: 153–82.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Ribosomes are major sinks for organic phosphorus in plant cells. **(A)** As much
as 50% of organic phosphorus (P_O) is allocated to ribosomal RNA in plant cells. The
remaining P_o is allocated to nucleic acids (transfer RNAs (~2%), messenger RNAs
(~1%), and DNA (~7%)), phospholipids (~30%), and phosphoesters (~20%), including
phosphorylated proteins and metabolites, e.g., free nucleotide triphosphates. **(B)** Plant
genomes encode several phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP) synthetases (PRSs)
that localize to either the cytosol (left) or organelles (right). In *A. thaliana*, there are five
PRS genes: *PRS4* encodes the only cytosolic PRS, and *PRS1, PRS2, PRS3,* and
PRS5 encode organelle-targeted PRSs. In the cytosol, PRPP is primarily metabolized
via the purine salvage and *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis pathways. Conversely, in the
organelles, PRPP is metabolized in the pyrimidine salvage and *de novo* purine
synthesis pathways.

Figure 2. Cytosolic PRS (PRS4) drives plant development and TOR activity in *N.
benthamiana*. (A) Silencing *PRS4* drastically reduces TOR activity. S6K-pT449 levels
reflect TOR activity, because S6K-T449 is a direct substrate of TOR (Xiong and Sheen,
2012). S6K-pT449 and total S6K levels were assayed by Western blots in knockdown
TRV::*NbPRS4* plants or mock controls (representative images shown here).
Quantification of band densities confirmed that S6K-pT449 levels decrease ~5-fold in
TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns, but total S6K levels are not affected in TRV::*NbPRS4*. **(B)**
PRS4 is required for shoot development. There are fewer leaves in TRV::*NbPRS4*
knockdowns, and the leaves are misshapen and small. We observed individual-to-
individual variation in phenotypic severity after silencing *PRS4* by VIGS; a
representative of the "moderate" TRV::*NbPRS4* phenotype and of the "severe"
TRV::*NbPRS4* phenotype are shown. Outlines of leaf shapes are shown, including all
leaves with silenced *PRS4* expression (i.e., only leaves above the primary infected leaf),
with the oldest leaf on the left and the youngest leaf on the right. **(C)** Silencing *PRS4*
impairs cell expansion and cell division. Epidermal pavement cell shape was not
dramatically altered in TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns, but epidermal pavement cell size
was significantly lower. This difference in cell size is insufficient to account for the
decrease in total leaf area shown in panel B; therefore, there are also fewer epidermal
pavement cells in TRV::*NbPRS4*. **(D)** We did not observe clear effects of silencing
PRS4 on vegetative shoot apical meristem morphology.

Figure 3. Cytosolic PRS4 is required for embryogenesis. (A) *PRS4* is encoded by
At2g42910. We isolated an insertional allele, GK-780B11, that we named *prs4-1*. **(B)**
Representative silique of a self-fertilized *prs4-1* / + heterozygous parent. 23% of seeds
are *prs4-1 / prs4-1* homozygotes; these seeds are shrunken and brown. **(C)**
Homozygous *prs4-1* seeds have no readily visible embryo after clearing. A sibling WT
seed from the same silique with a clearly visible early torpedo-shaped embryo is shown
for comparison. **(D)** *35S_{PRO}:YFP-PRS4* expressed in *A. thaliana* localizes to the
cytoplasm.

Figure 4. Silencing *PRS4* reprograms the transcriptome to repress ribosome biogenesis. (A) Scatterplots of gene expression changes in *N. benthamiana* after VIGS. 4,986 genes were significantly differentially expressed between TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns with severe phenotypes and mock plants (top panel), but only 489 genes were significantly differentially expressed between TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns with severe versus moderate phenotypes (middle panel). Principal component analysis demonstrates that the mock-treated transcriptomes are readily distinguished from the TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns, but that the TRV::*NbPRS4* transcriptomes from plants with severe or moderate phenotypes are not grouped separately. **(B)** MapMan functional analysis of DEGs in TRV::*NbPRS4* revealed 48 significantly-affected categories ($p_{adj.}$ < 0.05). Categories with primarily repressed gene expression are indicated in blue; categories with primarily induced gene expression are indicated in magenta; categories with genes that are both induced and repressed (e.g., transcription factors) are shown in grey. **(C)** Transcription factor mRNA levels are significantly different in TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns. Select transcription factor families typically involved in plant development (C2C2, Cys$_2$Cys$_2$ Zn-finger family; MADS, MCM1/AGAMOUS/DEFICIENS/SRF box family; GRF, GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR family; LOB, LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES family; and the homeobox family) or plant stress responses (ERF, ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR family, excluding AP2 orthologues; bZIP, basic Leucine Zipper Domain family; HSF, Heat Shock Factor; NAC, NAM/ATAF1/CUC2 family; and the WRKY family). **(D)** mRNAs encoding 51 subunits of the plant cytosolic ribosome were down-regulated in TRV::*NbPRS4* (shown in this model of a ribosome in blue); mRNAs encoding 2 subunits were up-regulated (magenta). There was ~50% less total rRNA in TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdowns (*p < 0.05). **(E)** Transcriptomic analysis demonstrates that PRS4 is required to promote expression of genes that contribute to ribosome biogenesis (purple, right) and cell cycle progression, and to repress induction of starvation and oxidative stress response genes, including solute transporters and antioxidant glutathione S-transferases (rainbow, left).

Figure 5. Silencing key genes in nucleotide biosynthesis inhibits TOR activity. (A) Nucleotide biosynthesis is necessary for normal shoot development and physiology. Silencing genes downstream of *PRS4* in nucleotide biosynthesis in *N. benthamiana* reduced leaf number and size, disrupted leaf shape, and caused chlorosis, similar to the phenotypes observed in TRV::*NbPRS4* plants. Each gene was silenced in at least six plants per experiment, and the entire experiment was replicated three times; representative individuals of each silenced gene are shown. **(B)** Silencing nucleotide biosynthesis genes lowers TOR activity. S6K-pT449 levels are strongly reduced in silenced plants compared to mock-infected controls, and the S6K-pT449/S6K ratios are consistently lower.

Figure 6. Chemically inhibiting nucleotide biosynthesis suppresses TOR activity. (A) PRPP synthesized by cytosolic PRS4 is primarily used for purine salvage and *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis. 6MP specifically inhibits purine salvage, 5FOA and 5FU specifically inhibit *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis, and MTX broadly inhibits nucleotide biosynthesis. **(B)** Seedlings were grown to quiescence, and then treated with either 15

mM glucose, which activates TOR and promotes root elongation (Xiong et al., 2013a),
or 15 mM glucose and 10 µM MTX, 6MP, 5FU, or 5FOA, which limit nucleotide
biosynthesis. Seedling growth was most drastically impaired in the presence of MTX,
which inhibits all nucleotide biosynthesis. Treatment with 5FOA strongly impacted
seedling growth as well, while 5FU and 6MP had less dramatic effects **(C)** Seedlings
were treated with 10 µM of each inhibitor shown in panel A for 24 h. TOR activity was
assayed using Western blots against S6K-pT449 and total S6K, with Ponceau staining
shown as a loading control. MTX, 5FU, and 5FOA all reduced TOR activity. 6MP had
no reproducible effect. This experiment was repeated three times; representative
results are shown here. **(D)** Seedlings were grown as described in panel 6B and
treated with either 0.1 µM, 1 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM, or 20 µM MTX. A photograph was taken
7 days after treatment. Growth was inhibited by all concentrations of MTX tested. **(E)**
TOR activity was assayed using Western blots against S6K-pT449 and total S6K, with
Ponceau staining shown as a loading control. 24-hour MTX treatment is effective at
inhibiting TOR activity at a concentration as low as 0.1 µM, and1.0 µM MTX treatment
effectively inactivates TOR entirely. **(F)** Seedlings were grown as described in panel 6B
and treated with either 0.1 µM, 1 µM, 5 µM, 10 µM, or 20 µM 5FOA. A photograph was
taken 7 days after treatment. 1 µM 5FOA was sufficient to noticeably delay seedling
growth, and higher concentrations had more strong effects on inhibiting development.
(G) TOR activity was assayed using Western blots against S6K-pT449 and total S6K,
with Ponceau staining shown as a loading control. A 24-hour treatment with 5FOA has
some effect at 0.1 µM, and strongly lowers TOR activity at concentrations ≥ 1.0 µM.

Figure 7. Nucleotide supply rescues TOR activity in MTX-treated seedlings. (A)
Seedlings were treated with 0.1 µM MTX and collected over a time course, as indicated.
TOR activity decrease 3 h after MTX treatment. This experiment was repeated three
times; representative results are shown here. **(B,C,D)** Seedlings were pre-treated with
0.1 µM MTX for 3.5 h, and then supplied either inosine 5'-monophosphate (IMP) **(B)**,
uracil 5'-monophosphate (UMP) **(C)**, or a mixture of nucleotide monophosphates
(NMPs) **(D)** at physiologically-relevant concentrations (0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 mM). NMPs
restored S6K-pT449 levels and S6K-pT449/S6K ratios in MTX-treated seedlings,
suggesting that the effects of MTX on TOR activity are due to nucleotide depletion.
Neither IMP nor UMP was sufficient to restore TOR activity, indicating that all
nucleotides (purines and pyrimidines) are required to stimulate TOR in MTX-treated
seedlings. This experiment was repeated five times; representative results are shown
here.

**Figure 8. TOR coordinates nucleotide metabolism with ribosome biogenesis in
plants. (A,B)** Enzymes for each step of *de novo* pyrimidine (left) and *de novo* purine
(right) biosynthetic pathways are shown. Fold-change in mRNA levels of these
enzymes in (**A**) the glucose-TOR transcriptome (Xiong et al., 2013a) or (**B**) TOR-
inhibited AZD8055 transcriptome (Dong et al., 2015) are indicated by color, as in panel
C (induced genes are shown in magenta, repressed genes are shown in blue, grey
indicates no detected difference in mRNA levels). **(C)** The set of significant DEGs after
24 h treatment with TOR inhibitor AZD8055 or nucleotide biosynthesis inhibitor MTX
significantly overlap ($p < 10^{-69}$), and 91% of overlapping DEGs are co-regulated. For
details, see Supplemental Data Set 6. **(D)** Metabolites activate TOR to drive ribosome

biogenesis. TOR integrates multiple metabolic signaling pathways in plants by sensing
glucose, nucleotide, and (speculatively) amino acid levels. When other nutrients are
available (e.g., upon glucose activation of TOR), TOR also drives nucleotide
biosynthesis, which both reinforces TOR activity and metabolically supports rRNA
synthesis to contribute to ribosome biogenesis.

Supplemental Figure 1. *PRS4* is expressed throughout plant development. *PRS4*
expression shown in the *A. thaliana* eFP browser (top panel); magenta indicates high
relative transcript abundance, white indicates low relative transcript abundance. *PRS4*
is most strongly expressed in metabolically active developmental stages, such as
imbibed (germinating) seeds and shoot apices. Expression patterns of all *PRS* genes,
except for *PRS1*, are shown in a bar graph (bottom panel). *PRS4* is consistently
expressed at higher levels that the other *PRS* genes, except for during late seed
development and in mature pollen.

Supplemental Figure 2. (A) RNA was extracted from shoot apices of *N. benthamiana*
plants as shown. **(B)** Schematic of seedling treatment assays. Briefly, after
stratification, seeds were germinated at subjective dawn in ½ MS and allowed to grow
for three days (to quiescence). Seedling media was then replaced with ½ MS and 15
mM D-glucose, and left for 24 h to activate TOR and initiate growth. Then, seedlings
were treated with 0.1 µM MTX or other nucleotide biosynthesis inhibitors, and collected
as described in the text. Alternatively, after 24 h treatment with MTX, plants were re-
supplied with nucleotide monophosphates,, or mock treatments, and collected for
analysis.

1035

Supplemental Data Set 1. Significant DEGs in TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown *N.*
benthamiana.

Supplemental Data Set 2. Complete transcriptome of TRV::*NbPRS4* knockdown *N.*
benthamiana.

Supplemental Data Set 3. Significant DEGs in moderate versus severe TRV::*NbPRS4*
knockdown *N. benthamiana*.

Supplemental Data Set 4. Mercator4 annotation of the Nb-1 v1.0.1 transcriptome.

Supplemental Data Set 5. MapMan functional analysis of the TRV::*NbPRS4*
transcriptome.

Supplemental Data Set 6. Transcriptomes of *A. thaliana* treated with MTX, AZD8055,
or glucose-TOR treatments.

Supplemental Data Set 7. MapMan functional analysis of the MTX transcriptome.

Supplemental Data Set 8. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

A Typical P$_o$ allocation in plants

tRNA
mRNA
DNA
P-lipids
P-esters
rRNA

B

cytosol

PRS4

P — PRPP — P P

purine salvage   *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis

organelles

PRS1   PRS2
PRS3   PRS5

P — PRPP — P P

pyrimidine salvage   *de novo* purine synthesis

A

S6K-pT449/S6K   1.0   0.20

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

Mock   TRV::NbPRS4

S6K-pT449 / mock

S6K / mock

B

Mock

"moderate" TRV::NbPRS4

"severe" TRV::NbPRS4

10 cm

C

Mock   TRV::NbPRS4

100µm   100µm

Cell Area (1,000 μm²)

***

Mock   TRV::NbPRS4

n = 148   n = 108

D

Mock   TRV::NbPRS4

100 μm   100 μm

A GK-780B11
prs4-1

PRS4
At2g42910.1

B

prs4-1/+ silique

prs4-1

C

prs4-1   WT   100 µm

D

35S_PRO:YFP-PRS4   50 µm

A

6MP, MTX ⊣ purine salvage ← PRS4 → PRPP → *de novo* pyrimidine synthesis ⊢ 5FU, 5FOA, MTX

P — PRPP — P P

B Mock MTX 6MP 5FU 5FOA

5 mm

C

Inhibitor	Mock	MTX	6MP	5FU	5FOA
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.29	0.99	0.49	0.46

αS6K-pT449

pAb-αS6K

Ponceau

D

	Mock	MTX (µM)				
		0.1	1.0	5.0	10	20

5 mm

E

MTX (µM)	Mock	0.1	1	5	10	20
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.53	0.12	0.10	0.24	0.14

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

F

	Mock	5FOA (µM)				
		0.1	1.0	5.0	10	20

5 mm

G

5FOA (µM)	Mock	0.1	1	5	10	20
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.71	0.42	0.09	0.24	0.08

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

A

0.1 µM MTX	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Time	**24h**	**1h**	**2h**	**3h**	**4h**	**6h**	**24h**
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	1.16	0.81	0.67	0.75	0.37	0.15

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

B

Treatments			+ IMP (mM)		
		-	**0.5**	**1**	**2**
0.1 µM MTX	-	+	+	+	+
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.38	0.29	0.19	0.11

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

C

Treatments			+ UMP (mM)		
		-	**0.5**	**1**	**2**
0.1 µM MTX	-	+	+	+	+
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.31	0.28	0.33	0.12

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

D

Treatments			+ NMPs (mM)		
		-	**0.5**	**1**	**2**
0.1 µM MTX	-	+	+	+	+
S6K-pT449/S6K	1.0	0.58	1.19	1.35	1.14

αS6K-pT449

αS6K

Ponceau

A

Glucose

De novo purine synthesis

R5P, PP$_i$

PRS

TOR

nucleotide synthesis gene transcription **induced**

PRPP

GPA

GARs

GARt

FGAM

AIRs

AIRcarb

SAICAR

ASL

AICAR

ATIC

De novo pyrimidine synthesis

UMPS

+PRPP

OMP

UMP

DHODH

DHO

ATCase

CPS

Gln, CO$_2$

IMP

B

AZD8055

R5P, PP$_i$

PRS

De novo purine synthesis

TOR

nucleotide synthesis gene transcription **repressed**

PRPP

GPA

GARs

GARt

FGAM

AIRs

AIRcarb

SAICAR

ASL

AICAR

ATIC

De novo pyrimidine synthesis

UMPS

+PRPP

OMP

UMP

DHODH

DHO

ATCase

CPS

Gln, CO$_2$

IMP

C

91% co-regulated

AZD8055   MTX

1,813 DEGs   553 DEGs   1,932 DEGs

AZD8055   MTX

-5 FC   +5 FC

553 DEGs
**$p < 10^{-69}$

292 DEGs

D

Glucose

Amino Acids

TOR

Nucleotide biosynthesis

Ribosome biogenesis

Nucleotides