Species boundaries and molecular markers for the classification of 16SrI phytoplasmas inferred by genome analysis

Shu-Ting Cho[1], Hung-Jui Kung[1], Weijie Huang[2], Saskia A. Hogenhout[2], Chih-Horng Kuo[1*]

[1]Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan
[2]Department of Crop Genetics, John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, NR4 7UH, UK

* Correspondence:
Chih-Horng Kuo; chk@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Keywords: plant pathogen, comparative genomics, average nucleotide diversity (ANI), taxonomy, multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA), effector.

Abstract

Phytoplasmas are plant-pathogenic bacteria that impact agriculture worldwide. The commonly adopted classification system for phytoplasmas is based on the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of their 16S rRNA genes. With the increased availability of phytoplasma genome sequences, the classification system can now be refined. This work examined 11 strains in the 16SrI group within the genus 'Candidatus Phytoplasma' and investigated the possible species boundaries. We confirmed that the RFLP classification method is problematic due to intragenomic variation of the 16S rRNA genes and uneven weighing of different nucleotide positions. Importantly, our results based on the molecular phylogeny, differentiations in chromosomal segments and gene content, and divergence in homologous sequences, all supported that these strains may be classified into multiple operational taxonomic units (OTUs) equivalent to species. Strains assigned to the same OTU share >97% genome-wide average nucleotide identity (ANI) and >78% of their protein-coding genes. In comparison, strains assigned to different OTUs share <94% ANI and <75% of their genes. Reduction in homologous recombination between OTUs is one possible explanation for the discontinuity in genome similarities, and these findings supported the proposal that 95% ANI could serve as a cutoff for distinguishing species in bacteria. Additionally, critical examination of these results and the raw sequencing reads led to the identification of one genome that was presumably mis-assembled by combining two sequencing libraries built from phytoplasmas belonging to different OTUs. This finding provided a cautionary tale for working on uncultivated bacteria. Based on the new understanding of phytoplasma divergence and the current genome availability, we developed five molecular markers that could be used for multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA). By selecting markers that are short yet highly informative, and are distributed evenly across the chromosome, these markers provided a cost-effective system that is robust against recombination. Finally, examination of the effector gene distribution further confirmed the rapid gains and losses of these genes, as well as the involvement of potential mobile units (PMUs) in their molecular evolution. Future improvements on the taxon sampling of phytoplasma genomes will allow further expansions of similar analysis, and thus contribute to phytoplasma taxonomy and diagnostics.

Introduction

Phytoplasmas are a group of insect-transmitted plant-pathogenic bacteria that reduce yields of diverse crops worldwide (Lee et al., 2000; Hogenhout et al., 2008; Bertaccini and Lee, 2018). These obligate parasites are related to the animal-pathogenic mycoplasmas (Chen et al., 2012), and both groups of these wall-less bacteria were assigned to the class Mollicutes under the phylum Tenericutes (Brown, 2010). However, unlike mycoplasmas and several other Mollicutes lineages, pure culture of phytoplasmas outside of their hosts has not been achieved yet. Due to this reason, all phytoplasmas were assigned to a '*Candidatus*' ('*Ca.*') genus (The IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team, 2004).

For the purposes of pathogen detection or research on their basic biology, a reliable system for strain identification and classification is critical. For example, it is important to understand if the diseases affecting different plants, transmitted by different vectors, and/or occurring in different locations are caused by the same or different phytoplasma strains. In addition, to infer the evolutionary history and biodiversity of phytoplasmas, defining species or other equivalent biological units are of fundamental importance. The commonly used system for phytoplasma classification was first established in the 1990s (Lee et al., 1993; Lee et al., 1998). This system utilizes a defined set of 17 restriction enzymes to perform restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis on a 1.25-kb PCR product derived from their 16S rRNA gene (Gundersen and Lee, 1996). Under this system, a set of reference strains were chosen, and a new strain could be assigned to the same 16S rRNA gene RFLP (16Sr) group and subgroup as a reference when the similarity coefficient is higher than 0.85 and 0.97, respectively (Zhao et al., 2009; Zhao and Davis, 2016). With the development of an easy-to-use web-based tool *i*PhyClassifier (Zhao et al., 2009), this system has been well-adopted by the phytoplasma research community.

However, despite the popularity, this 16Sr classification system has several shortcomings. To begin with, the RFLP approach considers only those nucleotides located within the restriction sites, rather than the entire sequence. Additionally, the similarity coefficients of the RFLP patterns do not provide information about phylogenetic relationships. Furthermore, intragenomic sequence variations of 16S rRNA genes may cause problems in classification. Most importantly, although 16S rRNA gene is considered as a universal marker for bacterial classification, the use of a single locus is inherently unreliable. Rather, adoption of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) is strongly recommended (Glaeser and Kämpfer, 2015). Unfortunately, selection of suitable markers for MLSA is not straightforward. Due to the highly variable evolutionary rates among different bacteria and among different genes (Kuo and Ochman, 2009), the markers that work well for one group do not necessary work well for others. Although extensive efforts have been devoted to the development of MLSA markers for phytoplasmas (Martini et al., 2019), genome-level assessment for the relative performance of these markers is lacking. Moreover, while the use of genome analysis to replace MLSA provides a potential solution and is gaining popularity (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Konstantinidis et al., 2017; Jain et al., 2018; Parks et al., 2018), genome analysis is still challenging for uncultured bacteria such as phytoplasmas. For these reasons, development and evaluation of MLSA markers are still important.

To develop and evaluate MLSA markers, the availability of genome sequences provides a comprehensive guide for PCR primer design. For example, based on the complete genome sequence of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris' OY-M (Oshima et al, 2004), 18 PCR primer sets were designed to cover different regions of the chromosome (Kakizawa and Kamagata, 2014).

Moreover, by developing a multiplex-PCR method for these primer sets and establishing the
amplification patterns in a collection of reference strains, this previous study provided a fast and
cost-effective method for strain identification (Kakizawa and Kamagata, 2014). As the number
of available genome sequences increases, the genome-enabled MLSA maker development could
be further improved in two critical aspects. First, the genome-scale molecular phylogeny
provides a reliable reference for evaluating the performance of each candidate markers, such that
the best-performing ones could be selected. Second, with the comprehensive genomic
information of all reference strains, the chromosomal location of each candidate markers and the
exact primer sequences could be evaluated using bioinformatic methods. In this regard,
phytoplasmas belonging to the 16SrI group, also often known as the aster yellows (AY) group or
'*Ca.* P. asteris' (i.e., a provisional species defined as encompassing all known subgroups within
the 16SrI group) (Lee et al., 2004), represent the best system to test the concept of genome-
assisted MLSA marker development. Among the >30 16Sr groups that have been described
(Zhao and Davis, 2016), only eight have genome sequences available (Cho et al., 2019b).
Moreover, the genome sequencing efforts have been highly focused on the 16SrI group (Table
1), which has a worldwide geographical distribution, wide range of plant hosts, and great impact
on agriculture (Lee et al., 2004). Finally, this group is also the one that received most research
attention on the molecular mechanisms of plant-microbe interactions; most of the phytoplasma
effectors that have been characterized were initially studied in 16SrI phytoplasmas (Bai et al.,
2009; Hoshi et al., 2009; MacLean et al., 2011; Wang et al. 2018b; Huang and Hogenhout,
2019). Previous studies of this group identified extensive levels of genome divergence and
suggested that '*Ca.* P. asteris' may be classified into multiple operational taxonomic units
(OTUs) equivalent to species (Firrao et al., 2013; Cho et al., 2019a). In this work, we expanded
the sampling of available genome sequences to perform in-depth analysis of genome
comparisons. Specifically, we aimed to provide quantitative guidelines for defining putative
species boundaries, which could better inform phytoplasma taxonomy. Additionally, we aimed to
develop MLSA markers that could facilitate future genetic characterization of the phytoplasmas
belonging to the 16SrI or AY group.
123
Materials and Methods
Data Sets and Analysis Methods
The 11 genome sequences included in this study are listed in Table 1. These data sets
represent all phytoplasma genomes available from GenBank (Benson et al., 2018) as of January
2020 and are recognized as belonging to the 16SrI group, AY group, or '*Ca.* P. asteris' (Lee et
al., 2004). Two other '*Ca.* Phytoplasma' species have been described as being affiliated with the
16SrI group, including '*Ca.* P. japonicum' (Sawayanagi et al., 1999) and '*Ca.* P. lycopersici'
(Arocha et al., 2007), but were not included in this study due to the lack of genome sequence
availability. Notably, '*Ca.* P. japonicum' was first reported as a member of the 16SrI-D subgroup
(Sawayanagi et al., 1999) and later listed under the 16SrI group in the current taxonomy (The
IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team, 2004). However, the *i*PhyClassifier (Zhao et
al., 2009) analysis of its 16S rRNA gene sequence (GenBank accession AB010425) assigned it
to the 16SrXII-D subgroup, suggesting that its classification remained to be investigated.
To confirm the 16Sr group and subgroup assignments, we extracted the 16S rRNA gene
sequences from the genomes and analyzed those sequences using the *i*PhyClassifier (Zhao et al.,
2009). Most phytoplasma genomes contain two copies of 16S rRNA genes and each copy was
processed individually. The strain OY-V lacks any 16S rRNA gene in the current assembly and

therefore was excluded from this analysis. The strain NJAY has four partial sequences for its 16S
rRNA genes and all of these four sequences were examined.
All bioinformatic tools for processing these data sets were used with the default settings
unless stated otherwise. For correlation tests, the cor.test function implemented in R v3.4.4 (R
Core Team, 2019) was used to examine the Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient.
For strain TW1 (GenBank genome accession GCA_003181115.1), we downloaded the
raw sequencing results from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) to examine the
phytoplasma sequences present in the sequencing libraries. The raw reads were mapped to two
other reference genomes (i.e., AYWB and OY-M; see Table 1) to detect the presence of 16SrI-A
and 16SrI-B type phytoplasmas, respectively. For the Oxford Nanopore MinION reads (SRA
accession SRR7548026), the mapping was performed using Minimap2 v2.15 (Li, 2018). For the
Illumina MiSeq paired-end reads (SRA accession SRR7548027), the mapping was performed
using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment (BWA) tool v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin, 2009). The mapping
results were programmatically checked using SAMtools v1.9 (Li et al., 2009) to identify the
sequence variations.
156
<u>Genome Comparisons</u>
For whole-genome comparison, FastANI v1.1 (Jain et al., 2018) was used to calculate the
proportion of genomic segments mapped and the average nucleotide identity (ANI) of those
segments in each genome-pair. For each pair, reciprocal comparisons between the two genomes
were conducted (i.e., X as the query against Y as the reference and Y as the query against X as
the reference). To calculate the proportion of genomic segments mapped, the numbers of
segments mapped from those two reciprocal comparisons were combined as the numerator, and
the total numbers of segments from those two genomes were combined as the denominator. The
reciprocal ANI values were averaged. For example, in the AYWB-NJAY comparison, 183 out of
the 239 segments of AYWB could be mapped to NJAY, and all 187 segments of NJAY could be
mapped to AYWB. Based on these results, these two strains share $(183 + 187) / (239 + 187) =$
$370 / 426 = 86.9\%$ of their genomic segments. The ANI values were 99.5% and 99.8% in the two
reciprocal comparisons, and an average ANI of 99.7% was reported.
For gene-centric investigation, the homologous gene clusters among all genomes were
identified using OrthoMCL v1.3 (Li et al., 2003) based on the procedures described in our
previous studies (Lo et al., 2013; Chung et al., 2013). Briefly, all coding sequences (CDS),
including those annotated as pseudogenes, were included in the all-against-all similarity searches
using BLASTN v2.6.0 (Camacho et al., 2009) with an e-value cutoff of $1e^{-15}$. The BLASTN
results were used as the input for OrthoMCL, which normalized the within- and between-genome
comparisons and identified homologs according to the principle of reciprocal best hits. Similar to
the procedures for whole-genome comparisons, the proportion of homologs shared and the
sequence identity of shared homologs were considered as two separate metrics of genome
similarities. The proportion of homologs shared was calculated based on averaging (i.e., the
number of homologs shared was used as the numerator, and the average number of homologs
present in those two genomes was used as the denominator). The sequence identities of coding
regions were calculated based on multiple sequence alignment of those single-copy genes present
in all of the genomes compared. The homologs were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and
the sequence identities were calculated using the DNADIST program in PHYLIP v3.697
(Felsenstein, 1989); as expected, reciprocal comparisons all produced the same result for
sequence identities.

187   In addition to the pairwise comparisons, the gene content of all genomes was compared
188 using a procedure based on principal coordinates analysis (Lo et al., 2018). For this procedure,
189 the homologous gene clustering result was converted into a matrix of 11 genomes by 1,233 gene
190 clusters; the value in each cell indicates the gene copy number. This matrix was converted into a
191 Jaccard distance matrix among genomes using the VEGAN package in R, then processed using
192 the PCOA function in the APE package (Popescu et al., 2012).
193   To compare the genes for putative secreted proteins among these phytoplasmas, we
194 examined all coding sequences using a procedure described in a previous study (Cho et al.,
195 2019b). Briefly, SignalP v5.0 (Armenteros et al., 2019) was used to check the presence of signal
196 peptide based on the Gram-positive bacteria model. The program TMHMM v2.0 (Krogh et al.,
197 2001) was used to verify that these putative secreted proteins have no transmembrane domain.
198 For those four experimentally characterized effectors (i.e., SAP05, SAP11/SWP1,
199 SAP54/PHYL1, and TENGU), all putative homologs (i.e., those belong to the same homologous
200 gene cluster as defined by OrthoMCL or have a BLASTN e-value of lower than $1e^{-100}$) were
201 manually added to the list of regardless of the SignalP prediction results.
202   The genome alignment of representative strains with high quality assemblies were
203 performed using genoPlotR v0.8.9 (Guy et al., 2010). The syntenic regions were identified using
204 BLASTN v2.6.0 (Camacho et al., 2009), the cutoff values for sequence similarity were set to e-
205 value = $1e^{-15}$ and alignment length = 2,000 bp.
206
207 <u>Molecular Phylogenetics</u>
208   For phylogenetic inference, MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004) was used to generate
209 multiple sequence alignments and PhyML v3.3 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) was used to infer
210 maximum likelihood phylogenies. The visualization was performed using JalView v2.11
211 (Waterhouse et al., 2009) and FigTree v1.4.4. In each inference, PHYLIP v3.697 (Felsenstein,
212 1989) was used to generate 1,000 replicates for bootstrap analysis.
213
214 <u>Development of Molecular Markers</u>
215   To identify molecular markers that may be suitable for classification, those multiple
216 sequence alignments of single-copy genes shared by all genomes were examined manually.
217 Genes were ranked by the number of variable sites that could be used for distinguishing different
218 OTUs. Subsequently, the list was prioritized based on the criterion of having multiple markers
219 located in distinct regions of those phytoplasma chromosomes. The PCR primers (Table 2) were
220 designed manually with the aim of producing ~700-800 bp products to cover those variable
221 regions, such that each product could be sequenced in one single Sanger sequencing reaction.
222   To validate the primer design, a DNA sample derived from a periwinkle infected by the
223 phytoplasma strain DY2014 (Cho et al., 2019b) was used as the template for PCR tests. All kits
224 were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR were performed using the
225 KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche, USA). The cycling conditions were: (1) an initial
226 activation step at 95°C for 3 min; (2) 35 cycles of 98°C for 20 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for
227 60 sec; and (3) a final extension step of 72°C for 5 min. The annealing temperature was lowered
228 to 53°C for the newly designed primers for *secY* (Table 2), while the other four primer sets used
229 55°C. Agarose gel electrophoresis was conducted to confirm that all PCR tests produced one
230 single band of expected size. For further confirmation, the PCR products were purified using the
231 QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany), then sequenced using the BigDye Terminator
232 v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit on an Applied Biosystems 3730XL DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher

233   Scientific, USA). The sequencing results were compared to the published genome sequence for
234   final confirmation.
235   The procedure for calculating the substitution rates was based on that described in our
previous studies (Kuo and Ochman, 2009; Kuo et al., 2009). Briefly, the homologous protein
sequences were aligned by using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004). The protein alignments were
converted into codon-based nucleotide alignment using PAL2NAL v14 (Suyama et al., 2006),
which were then processed using the YN00 method (Yang and Nielsen, 2000) implemented in
PAML v4.9h (Yang, 2007). To identify the homologs of selected marker genes in other more
divergent phytoplasmas, the full gene sequences were used as queries to run BLASTN searches
against available genomes. After the homolog identification, multiple sequence alignments were
performed using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and the newly designed primers (Table 2) were
examined manually to check if these primers could work on other phytoplasmas.
245
246   **Results and Discussion**
247   Genome Characteristics
248   Among the 11 phytoplasma genomes included in this study, four have the complete
chromosomal sequence available while the other seven are draft assemblies with varying levels
of completeness (Table 1 and Figure 1). For those incomplete genomes, the true genome sizes
are difficult to determine. Nonetheless, the largest (i.e., OY-M; 853 kb) and the smallest (i.e.,
MBSP-M3; 576 kb) genomes in this data set are both completed assemblies, confirming the high
level of genome size variation among these closely related strains. The total number of CDS is
strongly correlated with the genome size (r = 0.92, p = 2.7e-5). However, the number and
proportion of pseudogenes varied widely among these genomes. For example, the strains AYWB
and NJAY have a genome-wide ANI of 99.7% (Figure 2A), yet the proportion of pseudogenes
are 17% and 41% (Table 1), respectively. In another case, DY2014 and OY-V have a genome-
wide ANI of 99.4%, yet the proportion of pseudogenes are 8% and 25%, respectively. Although
these differences may reflect true biological processes, such as elevated mutation accumulations
that have occurred in the evolutionary history of some strains, it is also possible that these
differences may be explained by artifacts. Regarding the sequencing methods, AYWB was based
on Sanger sequencing (Bai et al., 2006) and DY2014 was based on Illumina (Cho et al., 2019b),
while NJAY was based on one single Roche/454 library (Sparks et al., 2018) and OY-V was
based on one Illumina paired-end library and one Roche/454 GS FLX mate-pair library
(Kakizawa et al., 2014). Due to the low GC-content of these genomes (i.e., ~27-28%), it is
plausible that sequencing errors in homopolymeric regions, particularly those errors originated
from the 454 sequencing technology, may have contributed to the high numbers of pseudogenes
with frameshift mutations in NJAY and OY-V. Moreover, assemblies that are more fragmented
may also have more partial genes located at the ends of contigs. Due to these concerns, all
pseudogenes were included in the gene-centric investigation of this study.
271
272   Classification of the 16SrI Phytoplasmas Analyzed
273   The 16SrI subgroup assignments of these strains were mostly consistent with those
274   reported in literature but there were a few surprises (Figure 1A). First, WBD was reported as a
275   16SrI-B strain (Chen et al., 2014). However, our examination of the sequence record deposited in
276   GenBank revealed that the two copies of 16S rRNA genes in this genome differ by 4-bp, and
277   *i*PhyClassifier (Zhao et al., 2009) assigned these two sequences to 16SrI-R and 16SrI-S,
278   respectively. Results from molecular phylogeny also support that these two sequences form a

clade that is distinct from other 16SrI-B sequences. Second, DY2014 has two 16S rRNA genes
that differ by 1-bp. While one was assigned to 16SrI-B, the other was identified as being a new
subgroup with a RFLP pattern most similar to 16SrI-B. Finally, TW1 has two sequences that
differ by 12-bp, with one assigned to 16SrI-A and the other assigned to 16SrI-B (Town et al.,
2018).

These results highlighted several issues of this RFLP-based classification system for
phytoplasmas. First, the intra-genomic variation of 16S rRNA genes may result in a strain being
assigned to different subgroups depending on which homolog was examined. This issue has been
reported for other phytoplasmas (Liefting et al., 1996) and a three-letter subgroup designation
system has been proposed (Wei et al., 2008). For example, a paulownia witches'-broom (PaWB)
phytoplasma was first classified as a 16SrI-D strain (Lee et al., 1998) but later found to harbor a
16SrI-B type sequence as well. To accommodate these findings, the subgroup status of this
PaWB was redesignated as 16SrI-(B/D)D, with the first two letters in parentheses denoting the
types of those two 16S rRNA genes, and the third letter denoting its 16Sr subgroup assignment
(Wei et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2009). Although this three-letter system provides comprehensive
information, it may be unnecessarily complex and confusing. Moreover, it is unclear which one
of the two types should be chosen for the formal subgroup assignment. In the case of PaWB, it
was assigned to 16SrI-D based on precedence. However, in the case of WBD (i.e., originally
described as a 16SrI-B strain), it is unclear if it should be redesignated as 16SrI-(R/S)R or 16SrI-
(R/S)S. Second, this RFLP-based system considers only the sequence variations in restriction
sites. By ignoring the sequence variations in other positions, this approach could alleviate the
problem of intra-genomic variations to a certain degree (e.g., the two sequences in AYWB differ
by 2-bp, yet both were assigned to 16SrI-A). However, this approach wasted much of the
information contained in the full sequence and the uneven weighing of nucleotide positions
could introduce strong biases. The strain DY2014 represents one extreme example to illustrate
such biases, with a single-bp difference that is sufficient to result in different subgroup
assignments between the two 16S rRNA genes. Finally, a classification system that depends
solely on the 16S rRNA genes while ignoring other regions of the genome does not provide
sufficient resolution.

Regardless of the group/subgroup assignments of these 16S rRNA genes, all these
sequences share >99% identity (Supplementary Table S1), which is above the general
recommended thresholds for defining species. These thresholds include: (1) 97% identity that is
commonly used for defining OTUs corresponding to species in microbiota surveys (Schloss and
Handelsman, 2005), (2) 97.5% identity recommended for 'Ca. Phytoplasma' species (The
IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team, 2004), and (3) 98.6% identity recommended
for uncultivated bacteria (Konstantinidis et al., 2017). In other words, these strains may be
considered as all belonging to 'Ca. P. asteris' (Lee et al., 2004) based on their 16S rRNA gene
sequences. However, delineating species-level OTUs based on one single gene may be
problematic; more in-depth investigation of their genomic divergence is necessary.

Genomic Divergence among 16SrI Phytoplasmas and Putative Species Boundaries
To further investigate the divergence of these phytoplasmas, we utilized two approaches
to quantify their genomic similarities. In the first approach, the whole genome sequences were
used to calculate the proportion of genomic segments shared, as well as the ANI values of these
segments. A previous study that examined >90,000 prokaryotic genomes found that the ANI
values exhibit a bimodal distribution, and the within-species comparisons almost always have

>95% ANI (Jain et al., 2018). We found that based on this criterion, the 11 strains examined
could be separated into three clusters that roughly correspond to their 16SrI subgroup
assignments (Figure 2A). The two 16SrI-A strains, AYWB and NJAY, share 86.9% of their
genomes and these shared regions are nearly identical (i.e., ANI = 99.7%). Because the NJAY
assembly is highly fragmented and ~10% smaller compared to the complete genome of AYWB,
the low proportion of genomic segments shared may be explained by missing data in the NJAY
assembly. The strain WBD shares only ~93% ANI with all other 16SrI phytoplasmas compared
and represents a distinct lineage. All of the remaining strains, including those assigned to 16SrI-
B and TW1, share >97% ANI and could considered as a third cluster. This clustering result is
consistent with the maximum likelihood phylogeny inferred using 303 single-copy genes shared
by all strains (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table S2).
In the second approach, we considered only the putative coding regions (i.e., intact CDS
and putative pseudogenes) of these genomes for calculating genomic similarities (Figure 2B).
Compared to the genome-wide ANI approach, this gene-centric method is more laborious and
could potentially be affected by annotation quality. Nevertheless, this approach has a higher
confidence in inferring the homology prior to calculating sequence identities and provides a
complementary method to the ANI approach. Although the exact genome similarities values
differ slightly, the patterns found by using these two approaches are consistent.
Based on these results regarding genomic similarities and molecular phylogeny, it
appeared that these 16SrI phytoplasmas could be classified into three species-level OTUs. These
findings are consistent with a previous study that the conventional 97% identity threshold for
delineating species in bacteria based on 16S rRNA gene is too low (Edgar, 2018). Similar to a
previous study that examined diverse prokaryotes (Jain et al., 2018), the sequence identity values
among these phytoplasmas also exhibited a bimodal distribution (Figure 3). Excluding the
comparisons involving TW1, the within-OTU comparisons all have >97% genome-wide ANI,
while between-OTU comparisons all have <94% ANI (Figures 2A and 3A). For sequence
identities that were calculated based on only the genic regions, in which the homology could be
inferred more confidently, a similar separation was found (i.e., within-OTU: >98.9%, between-
OTU: <96%; see Figures 2B and 3B). These results suggest that those strains assigned to the
same species-level OTU may have on-going homologous recombination that maintained high
sequence similarities in their shared genomic regions. In contrast, certain genetic barriers exist to
lower homologous recombination between different OTUs. In other words, these results provide
further support to the hypothesis that the biological species concept, which is based on the
barriers to homologous gene exchange, could be applied to bacteria as well (Bobay and Ochman,
2017). Alternatively, other hypotheses that may explain the discontinuity in genome similarities
include ecological sweeps or stochastic neutral processes (Jain et al., 2018), and the exact
biological mechanisms remained to be investigated.
In addition to sequence identity, another important measurement for genetic similarity is
the proportion of genomic regions shared. For this second measurement, a wide range was
observed for both within- and between-OTU comparisons (Figures 2 and 3). The wide spread
was mostly explained by the inclusion of two highly fragmented draft genomes (i.e., OY-V and
CYP; see Table 1), which resulted in underestimates that are artifacts stemming from missing
data. However, because the non-redundant chromosomal regions that are more conserved have a
higher probability of being included in a draft assembly, the inclusion of draft genomes may also
result in overestimates of the genomic regions shared. Due to these uncertainties, we restricted
the comparisons to those four strains with the complete genome sequences available (i.e.,

AYWB, OY-M, MBSP-M3, and De Villa). For this reduced data set with high confidence,
strains assigned to the same species-level OTU share ~78-93% of their chromosomal segments
and ~79-89% of their protein-coding genes, whereas strains assigned to different OTUs share
~74-78% of their chromosomal segments and ~70-75% of their protein-coding genes.
375   For an alternative approach of comparing the gene content divergence among these
phytoplasmas, we conducted a principal coordinates analysis that considered gene copy numbers
in addition to the patterns of gene presence or absence (Figure 4). With the exception of TW1,
the results were consistent with the molecular phylogeny (Figure 1B) and pairwise genome
similarities (Figures 2 and 3). The first coordinate explained ~40% of the variance and showed
clear separation for the three species-level OTUs. The second coordinate explained ~23% of the
variance and showed that those 16SrI-B strains could be separated into three subgroups.
382   Taken together, these results supported the existence of multiple species-level OTUs
within the previously described 'Ca. P. asteris' (Lee et al., 2004) and provided quantitative
guidelines for inferring the putative species boundaries based on overall genome similarities.
Based on these findings, the critical questions to ask are the genotypic, phenotypic, and
ecological differentiations among these OTUs. Unfortunately, given the limited number of
strains with genome sequence available, it is not possible to obtain meaningful answers yet. For
example, other 16SrI-A strains were known to infect different dicots or monocots, and were
found in North America and Europe (Lee et al., 2004). However, no genomic information is
available for these strains with different hosts and/or geographic distributions. It is important to
characterize these strains and validate their OTU assignment before meaningful comparisons
could be made between different OTUs. Similarly, more strains that belong to the same OTU
with WBD must be sampled and characterized before the biological characteristics of this OTU
could be described appropriately. These improvements in the understanding of genotypic and
phenotypic differentiations among phytoplasmas are critical in informing future taxonomy
revisions.
397
398 <u>The Special Case of the TW1 Genome</u>
399   Based on the aforementioned framework for phytoplasma classification, the strain TW1
represents a strange and difficult case. The high level of intra-genomic variation between its two
copies of 16S rRNA genes has been noted in the initial characterization (Town et al., 2018). In
our whole-genome analysis, this strain shares a higher proportion of its chromosomal segments
and protein-coding genes with those 16SrI-A strains, yet has higher sequence identities with
those 16SrI-B strains (Figures 2 and 3). Because of these properties, this strain was clustered
with those 16SrI-A strains based on gene content (Figure 4), while having closer phylogenetic
relationships with those 16SrI-B strains (Figure 1B).
407   In the absence of an intuitive hypothesis that could explain the evolutionary processes
leading to these conflicting findings, we examined the possibilities that these findings were
results of artifacts. This TW1 genome was co-assembled using one long-read library (based on
Oxford Nanopore MinION) and one short-read library (based on Illumina MiSeq) (Town et al.,
2018). When the raw reads from these two sequencing libraries were examined separately, we
found that the long-read library likely contains a 16SrI-A type phytoplasma. When the raw reads
were mapped to the AYWB chromosome, all regions were covered and 3,725 sequence
polymorphisms were found; when mapped to OY-M, 52,649 bp had no coverage and 31,401
sequence polymorphisms were found. In contrast, the short-read library likely contains a 16SrI-B
type phytoplasma. When the raw reads were mapped to OY-M, 103,922 bp had no coverage and

9,489 sequence polymorphisms were found; when mapped to AYWB, 110,458 had no coverage
and 29,323 sequence polymorphisms were found.
Based on these findings, a possible explanation is that during the assembly process, the
long reads produced several 16SrI-A type scaffolds, which resulted in the high similarities of the
TW1 genome content to 16SrI-A as observed (Figures 2-4). Subsequently, during the polishing
stage, the exact sequences of these scaffolds were modified based on the short-read library, thus
explaining the high sequence similarity of this TW1 genome to those 16SrI-B strains. In other
words, in its current form, this TW1 genome assembly is likely an artifact that was incorrectly
assembled by combining two sequencing libraries with phytoplasmas belonging to different
OTUs, rather than a true representative of an existing phytoplasma strain. Due to the concern that
the inclusion of this genome may have introduced biases, we repeated all phylogenetic inferences
and excluded the TW1 sequences prior to multiple sequence alignment. The resulting tree
topologies were consistent in terms of OTU assignments; the minor differences were related to
the placement of CYP (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1).
431
Development of Molecular Markers for Multilocus Sequence Analysis
To develop molecular markers that may be useful for the MLSA of these phytoplasmas
and their relatives, we examined the multiple sequence alignments of those 303 single-copy
genes shared by all of the genomes analyzed. The selection criteria were based on: (1) the
number of informative sites that may be used to distinguish the three species-level OTUs
identified, (2) the possibility of designing PCR primers for a product in the size range of ~700-
800 bp and covers a large number of informative sites, and (3) the chromosomal locations. The
first two criteria were aimed to make the Sanger sequencing of these markers as cost-effective as
possible, while the third criterion was aimed to make the MLSA robust against recombination.
Based on these criteria, we selected five markers to supplement the 16S rRNA gene.
These five makers all have high densities of informative sites, particularly when compared to
those markers that have been developed previously (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S1). The
substitute rates of these marker genes are relatively high but do not deviate strongly when
compared to other shared single-copy genes (Supplementary Figure S2).
Based on the functional annotation, two of these selected markers (i.e., DegV family
protein and TIGR00282 family metallophosphoesterase) are not generally considered as house-
keeping genes. These results reflected our philosophy of marker selection (i.e., prioritize the
candidates with the highest resolving power for the target taxa regardless of other irrelevant
attributes). Similar to our criteria and results, a pioneer study on genome-enable MLSA marker
design in phytoplasma selected several genes that were annotated as hypothetical proteins
(Kakizawa and Kamagata, 2014). In terms of phylogenetic distribution, we found that four of
these five selected genes are present as single-copy genes in other phytoplasmas, including 'Ca.
P. australiense' of the 16SrXII group (Tran-Nguyen et al., 2008), 'Ca. P. aurantifolia' of the
16SrII group (Chung et al., 2013), 'Ca. P. pruni' of the 16SrIII group (Lee et al., 2015), 'Ca. P.
ziziphi' of the 16SrV group (Wang et al., 2018a), 'Ca. P. oryzae' of the 16SrXI group (GenBank
accession NZ_JHUK00000000), and 'Ca. P. mali' of the 16SrX group (Kube et al., 2008). The
only exception is degV, which is absent in two of the draft genomes (i.e., 'Ca. P. aurantifolia'
and 'Ca. P. oryzae'; may be located in the unassembled regions) and has two tandem copies in
the complete genome sequence of 'Ca. P. mali'. Nevertheless, the sequence divergence levels are
too high for all these genes, such that the primers designed in this study (Table 2) may not work
for these more divergent phytoplasmas outside of the 16SrI group. These results are consistent

with our expectations that different MLSA markers are necessary for different phylogenetic
depths.
In terms of chromosomal locations, these markers are well separated in all genomes
examined despite the extensive genome rearrangements observed (Figure 5). Although the extent
of recombination in phytoplasmas is not well understood, recent studies on *Xylella fastidiosa*
(i.e., another insect-transmitted plant pathogen that is restricted to vascular tissues) revealed that
recombination affected ~0.5-15% of the genome, depending on the exact subspecies (Potnis et
al., 2019; Vanhove et al., 2019). The recombined fragments could have sizes up to 31 kb, with an
average of 1 kb. Based on these estimates, it is unlikely that one single recombination event
would affect more than one of these five markers. Thus, the results of MLSA should be robust
against the interference of recombination.
When we used these five newly developed markers for molecular phylogenetic inference,
the concatenated alignment (together with the 16S rRNA gene, which is expected to be
sequenced in the initial characterization of newly collected strains) produced a phylogeny
(Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure 1C) that is comparable to the result from genome-scale
analysis (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure 1B). This result demonstrated the usefulness of
these markers for future genotyping work. With better sampling of phytoplasma strains and more
accurate classification of those strains using these markers, informed decision could be made to
select representatives for genome sequencing efforts, which could contribute to the study of
phytoplasma genetic diversity and evolutionary history, as well as improve the taxonomy.
Moreover, as the sampling focus changes in the future (e.g., a higher resolution is desired for one
of the OTUs), the list of conserved single-copy genes and the substitution rates of those genes
(Supplementary Table S2) could provide a guide for developing more suitable MLSA markers.
486
<u>Phylogenetic Distribution of Phytoplasma Effector Genes</u>
With the well-resolved phylogeny (Figure 1B) as a framework, we investigated the
distribution of putative effector genes among these phytoplasmas. The results indicated a high
level of variation in the gene counts (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table S3). One clade (i.e.,
MBSP-M3, De Villa, and LD1) have only ~10-14 genes that encode putative secreted proteins.
These numbers are much lower than the ~36-45 found in their sister clade (i.e., OY-M, OY-V,
and DY2014). The low numbers are not artifacts of incomplete genome sequences. In fact, the
two strains with the lowest numbers (i.e., MBSP-M3 and De Villa) both have the complete
chromosomal sequences available. These findings suggest that there may have been lineage-
specific reduction or expansion in effector gene counts, although the underlying ecological
factors are unclear.
For those 16SrI phytoplasma effectors that have been characterized experimentally,
namely SAP05 (Gamboa et al., 2019; Huang and Hogenhout, 2019), SAP11/SWP1 (Bai et al.,
2009; Sugio et al., 2011a; Lu et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2018; Wang et al. 2018b; Wang et al.
2018c), SAP54/PHYL1 (MacLean et al., 2011; Maejima et al., 2014; Orlovskis and Hogenhout,
2016), and TENGU (Hoshi et al., 2009; Sugawara et al., 2013; Minato et al., 2014), only
TENGU is conserved among all strains. Although some cases of gene absence may be artifacts
of incomplete genome assemblies, the strain De Villa (which has the complete chromosomal
sequence available) lacks all other three effector genes, indicating that none of those three
effectors is essential.
The variable pattern of effector gene presence and absence may be linked to the
molecular evolution of potential mobile units (PMU) in these phytoplasma genomes (Bai et al.,

2006). These mobile genetic elements were known to facilitate horizontal gene transfers between
either closely or distantly related strains and may be gained or lost rapidly (Chung et al., 2013;
Ku et al., 2013; Orlovskis et al., 2017; Cho et al., 2019b; Seruga Music et al., 2019). When we
examined the chromosomal locations of those four effector genes, TENGU is not associated with
PMUs, while the other three are. Finally, as noted in our previous study (Cho et al., 2019b),
DY2014 and OY-V both have two copies of SAP11 homologs. One copy is located within a
16SrI-B type PMU, suggesting vertical inheritance, while the other copy is located within a
16SrI-A type PMU, suggesting horizontal acquisition. These observations provided further
support to the importance of PMUs in phytoplasma effector evolution.
518
Conclusion
By quantifying the genetic divergence among a group of closely-related phytoplasmas,
this work demonstrated a discontinuity in their similarities of genomic content and homologous
sequences. The results suggested that these phytoplasmas could be classified into multiple
distinct taxonomic units equivalent to species in other bacteria (Jain et al., 2018). Importantly,
the widely used classification system for phytoplasmas that is based on the sequencing and RFLP
analysis of their 16S rRNA genes does not provide sufficient resolution or accuracy. Rather, it is
important to incorporate genomic information in the future revisions of phytoplasma taxonomy.
A previous proposal of using 95% ANI as a cutoff to delineate bacterial species (Jain et al.,
2018) appears to work well and warrants further consideration to be adopted. In recognition of
the difficulties involved in genomic studies of uncultivated bacteria, this work also demonstrated
the feasibility of using genome analysis to develop cost-effective MLSA markers, which will be
useful for practical purposes. For future directions, it is critical to improve the taxon sampling of
phytoplasma genomes strategically, such that similar approaches may be expand to genus-wide
analysis to facilitate the studies of these important plant pathogens. Moreover, continuing effort
in establishing axenic culture is critical for the study of these important plant pathogens. In the
absence of axenic culture, it is important that the reference strains, particularly those with
provisional species status, are made available to the scientific community, preferably in the form
of a centralized micropropagation collection as suggested by the international phytoplasma
working team (The IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team, 2004). If live culture
within plant or insect hosts is not possible, then the DNA samples should be made available. For
genome sequencing projects, the raw sequencing results should be made publicly available
together with the assembled genome sequences at the time of publication, such that the
assemblies could be validated independently. The TW1 genome (Town et al., 2018) provided a
good example to illustrate the importance of this point. Although the publication of an erroneous
genome sequence is unfortunate, the raw reads made available by those authors allowed us to
investigate and identify the issues; such openness is appreciated and important for science to
move forward.
547

Acknowledgments
We thank Ms. Mei-Jane Fang in the Genomic Technology Core Facility (Institute of
Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica) for providing Sanger sequencing service. This
manuscript was posted as a preprint at bioRxiv (https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.31.928135).
Comments received during the peer review process greatly improved this work. This manuscript
is not meant to be a comprehensive review of all the available literature on phytoplasma

classification; as such we apologize if we did not cite all publications on this topic in the
manuscript.
556

Funding
The funding was provided by Academia Sinica and the Ministry of Science and
Technology of Taiwan (MOST 106-2311-B-001-028-MY3) to C-HK. SAH and WH were
supported by the Human Frontier Science Program grant RGP0024/2105 with additional support
from Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/P012574/1 and the
John Innes Foundation. The funders have no role in study design, data collection and
interpretation, or the decision to submit this work for publication.
564

565 **Author Contribution**
566   S-TC, H-JK, and C-HK performed the experiments and analyzed the data. WH and SAH
567 provided intellectual input. S-TC prepared the figures and supplementary materials. S-TC and C-
568 HK wrote the manuscript. SAH edited the manuscript. C-HK designed the experiments, acquired
569 the funding, and supervised the project.
570

571 **Conflict of Interest Statement**
572   The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
573 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
574

References

Armenteros, J. J. A., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther, O., Brunak, S., et al. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nat Biotechnol* 37, 420–423. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z.

Arocha, Y., Antesana, O., Montellano, E., Franco, P., Plata, G., and Jones, P. (2007). '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma lycopersici', a phytoplasma associated with 'hoja de perejil' disease in Bolivia. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 57, 1704–1710. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64851-0.

Bai, X., Zhang, J., Ewing, A., Miller, S. A., Jancso Radek, A., Shevchenko, D. V., et al. (2006). Living with genome instability: the adaptation of phytoplasmas to diverse environments of their insect and plant hosts. *J Bacteriol* 188, 3682–3696. doi:10.1128/JB.188.10.3682-3696.2006.

Bai, X., Correa, V. R., Toruño, T. Y., Ammar, E.-D., Kamoun, S., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2009). AY-WB phytoplasma secretes a protein that targets plant cell nuclei. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 22, 18–30. doi:10.1094/MPMI-22-1-0018.

Benson, D. A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Ostell, J., Pruitt, K. D., et al. (2018). GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46, D41–D47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1094.

Bertaccini, A., and Lee, I.-M. (2018). "Phytoplasmas: An Update," in *Phytoplasmas: Plant Pathogenic Bacteria - I: Characterisation and Epidemiology of Phytoplasma - Associated Diseases*, eds. G. P. Rao, A. Bertaccini, N. Fiore, and L. W. Liefting (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 1–29. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-0119-3_1.

Bobay, L.-M., and Ochman, H. (2017). Biological species are universal across life's domains. *Genome Biol Evol* 9, 491–501. doi:10.1093/gbe/evx026.

Brown, D. R. (2010). "Phylum XVI. Tenericutes Murray 1984a, 356VP (Effective publication: Murray 1984b, 33.)," in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, eds. N. R. Krieg, J. T. Staley, D. R. Brown, B. P. Hedlund, B. J. Paster, N. L. Ward, et al. (Springer New York), 567–723. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-68572-4_5.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., et al. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

Chang, S. H., Tan, C. M., Wu, C.-T., Lin, T.-H., Jiang, S.-Y., Liu, R.-C., et al. (2018). Alterations of plant architecture and phase transition by the phytoplasma virulence factor SAP11. *J Exp Bot* 69, 5389–5401. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery318.

Chen, L.-L., Chung, W.-C., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2012). Comparative analysis of gene content evolution in phytoplasmas and mycoplasmas. *PLOS ONE* 7, e34407. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034407.

Chen, W., Li, Y., Wang, Q., Wang, N., and Wu, Y. (2014). Comparative genome analysis of wheat blue dwarf phytoplasma, an obligate pathogen that causes wheat blue dwarf disease in China. *PLOS ONE* 9, e96436. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096436.

Cho, S.-T., Kung, H.-J., and Kuo, C.-H. (2019a). Genomic similarities among 16SrI phytoplasmas and implications on species boundaries. *Phytopathogenic Mollicutes* 9, 73. doi:10.5958/2249-4677.2019.00037.9.

Cho, S.-T., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2019b). Genomic characterization of the periwinkle leaf yellowing (PLY) phytoplasmas in Taiwan. *Front Microbiol* 10, 2194. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.02194.

Chung, W.-C., Chen, L.-L., Lo, W.-S., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2013). Comparative analysis
of the peanut witches'-broom phytoplasma genome reveals horizontal transfer of potential
mobile units and effectors. *PLOS ONE* 8, e62770. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062770.
Coetzee, B., Douglas-Smit, N., Maree, H. J., Burger, J. T., Krüger, K., and Pietersen, G. (2019).
Draft genome sequence of a "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris"-related strain (aster yellows,
subgroup 16SrI-B) from South Africa. *Microbiol Resour Announc* 8, e00148-19.
doi:10.1128/MRA.00148-19.
Dumonceaux, T. J., Green, M., Hammond, C., Perez, E., and Olivier, C. (2014). Molecular
diagnostic tools for detection and differentiation of phytoplasmas based on chaperonin-60
reveal differences in host plant infection patterns. *PLOS ONE* 9, e116039.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116039.
Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucl Acids Res* 32, 1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.
Edgar, R. C. (2018). Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs.
Bioinformatics 34, 2371–2375. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty113.
Felsenstein, J. (1989). PHYLIP - phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). *Cladistics* 5, 164–
166.
Firrao, G., Martini, M., Ermacora, P., Loi, N., Torelli, E., Foissac, X., et al. (2013). Genome
wide sequence analysis grants unbiased definition of species boundaries in "*Candidatus*
Phytoplasma." *Syst Appl Microbiol* 36, 539–548. doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2013.07.003.
Gamboa, C., Cui, W., Quiroga, N., Fernández, C., Fiore, N., and Zamorano, A. (2019).
Identification of 16SrIII-J phytoplasma effectors using a viral vector. *Phytopathogenic
Mollicutes* 9, 229. doi:10.5958/2249-4677.2019.00115.4.
Glaeser, S. P., and Kämpfer, P. (2015). Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) in prokaryotic
taxonomy. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 38, 237–245. doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2015.03.007.
Gundersen, D. E., and Lee, I.-M. (1996). Ultrasensitive detection of phytoplasmas by nested-
PCR assays using two universal primer pairs. *Phytopathol Mediterr* 35, 144–151.
Guindon, S., and Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst Biol* 52, 696–704.
doi:10.1080/10635150390235520.
Guy, L., Roat Kultima, J., and Andersson, S. G. E. (2010). genoPlotR: comparative gene and
genome visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2334–2335.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq413.
Hogenhout, S. A., Oshima, K., Ammar, E.-D., Kakizawa, S., Kingdom, H. N., and Namba, S.
(2008). Phytoplasmas: bacteria that manipulate plants and insects. *Mol Plant Pathol* 9, 403–
423. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00472.x.
Hoshi, A., Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Ishii, Y., Ozeki, J., Hashimoto, M., et al. (2009). A unique
virulence factor for proliferation and dwarfism in plants identified from a phytopathogenic
bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106, 6416–6421. doi:10.1073/pnas.0813038106.
Huang, W., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2019). Phytoplasma effectors have converged onto degrading
plant transcription factors with fundamental roles in plant development and defense to
insects. in *IS-MPMI XVIII Congress Abstracts of Poster Presentations* (Glasgow, UK: IS-
MPMI), 158. doi:https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-32-10-S1.1.
Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T., and Aluru, S. (2018). High
throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat
Commun* 9, 5114. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9.

Kakizawa, S., and Kamagata, Y. (2014). A multiplex-PCR method for strain identification and detailed phylogenetic analysis of AY-group phytoplasmas. *Plant Dis* 98, 299–305. doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-13-0216-RE.

Kakizawa, S., Makino, A., Ishii, Y., Tamaki, H., and Kamagata, Y. (2014). Draft genome sequence of "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris" strain OY-V, an unculturable plant-pathogenic bacterium. *Genome Announc* 2, e00944-14. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00944-14.

Konstantinidis, K. T., Rosselló-Móra, R., and Amann, R. (2017). Uncultivated microbes in need of their own taxonomy. *ISME J* 11, 2399–2406. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.113.

Konstantinidis, K. T., and Tiedje, J. M. (2005). Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102, 2567–2572. doi:10.1073/pnas.0409727102.

Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* 305, 567–580. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.

Ku, C., Lo, W.-S., and Kuo, C.-H. (2013). Horizontal transfer of potential mobile units in phytoplasmas. *Mob Genet Elements* 3, e26145. doi:10.4161/mge.26145.

Kube, M., Schneider, B., Kuhl, H., Dandekar, T., Heitmann, K., Migdoll, A., et al. (2008). The linear chromosome of the plant-pathogenic mycoplasma "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma mali." *BMC Genomics* 9, 306. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-306.

Kuo, C.-H., Moran, N. A., and Ochman, H. (2009). The consequences of genetic drift for bacterial genome complexity. *Genome Res* 19, 1450–1454. doi:10.1101/gr.091785.109.

Kuo, C.-H., and Ochman, H. (2009). Inferring clocks when lacking rocks: the variable rates of molecular evolution in bacteria. *Biol Direct* 4, 35. doi:10.1186/1745-6150-4-35.

Lee, I.-M., Davis, R. E., and Gundersen-Rindal, D. E. (2000). Phytoplasma: phytopathogenic mollicutes. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 54, 221–255. doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.54.1.221.

Lee, I.-M., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., Davis, R. E., and Bartoszyk, I. M. (1998). Revised classification scheme of phytoplasmas based on RFLP analyses of 16S rRNA and ribosomal protein gene sequences. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 48, 1153–1169. doi:10.1099/00207713-48-4-1153.

Lee, I.-M., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., Davis, R. E., Bottner, K. D., Marcone, C., and Seemüller, E. (2004). '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris', a novel phytoplasma taxon associated with aster yellows and related diseases. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 54, 1037–1048. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02843-0.

Lee, I.-M., Hammond, R., Davis, R., and Gundersen, D. (1993). Universal amplification and analysis of pathogen 16S rDNA for classification and identification of mycoplasmalike organisms. *Phytopathology* 83, 834–842. doi:10.1094/phyto-83-834.

Lee, I.-M., Shao, J., Bottner-Parker, K. D., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., Zhao, Y., and Davis, R. E. (2015). Draft genome sequence of "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma pruni" strain CX, a plant-pathogenic bacterium. *Genome Announc* 3, e01117-15. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01117-15.

Lee, I.-M., Zhao, Y., and Bottner, K. D. (2006). SecY gene sequence analysis for finer differentiation of diverse strains in the aster yellows phytoplasma group. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 20, 87–91. doi:10.1016/j.mcp.2005.10.001.

Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34, 3094–3100. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J., and Roos, D. S. (2003). OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res* 13, 2178–2189. doi:10.1101/gr.1224503.

Liefting, L. W., Andersen, M. T., Beever, R. E., Gardner, R. C., and Forster, R. L. (1996). Sequence heterogeneity in the two 16S rRNA genes of *Phormium* yellow leaf phytoplasma. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 62, 3133–3139.

Lim, P. O., and Sears, B. B. (1992). Evolutionary relationships of a plant-pathogenic mycoplasmalike organism and *Acholeplasma laidlawii* deduced from two ribosomal protein gene sequences. *J Bacteriol* 174, 2606–2611. doi:10.1128/jb.174.8.2606-2611.1992.

Lo, W.-S., Chen, L.-L., Chung, W.-C., Gasparich, G. E., and Kuo, C.-H. (2013). Comparative genome analysis of *Spiroplasma melliferum* IPMB4A, a honeybee-associated bacterium. *BMC Genomics* 14, 22. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-22.

Lo, W.-S., Gasparich, G. E., and Kuo, C.-H. (2018). Convergent evolution among ruminant-pathogenic *Mycoplasma* involved extensive gene content changes. *Genome Biol Evol* 10, 2130–2139. doi:10.1093/gbe/evy172.

Lu, Y.-T., Li, M.-Y., Cheng, K.-T., Tan, C. M., Su, L.-W., Lin, W.-Y., et al. (2014). Transgenic plants that express the phytoplasma effector SAP11 show altered phosphate starvation and defense responses. *Plant Physiol* 164, 1456–1469. doi:10.1104/pp.113.229740.

MacLean, A. M., Sugio, A., Makarova, O. V., Findlay, K. C., Grieve, V. M., Tóth, R., et al. (2011). Phytoplasma effector SAP54 induces indeterminate leaf-like flower development in Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Physiol* 157, 831–841. doi:10.1104/pp.111.181586.

Maejima, K., Iwai, R., Himeno, M., Komatsu, K., Kitazawa, Y., Fujita, N., et al. (2014). Recognition of floral homeotic MADS domain transcription factors by a phytoplasmal effector, phyllogen, induces phyllody. *Plant J* 78, 541–554. doi:10.1111/tpj.12495.

Martini, M., Lee, I.-M., Bottner, K. D., Zhao, Y., Botti, S., Bertaccini, A., et al. (2007). Ribosomal protein gene-based phylogeny for finer differentiation and classification of phytoplasmas. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 57, 2037–2051. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.65013-0.

Martini, M., Quaglino, F., and Bertaccini, A. (2019). "Multilocus genetic characterization of phytoplasmas," in *Phytoplasmas: Plant Pathogenic Bacteria - III: Genomics, Host Pathogen Interactions and Diagnosis*, eds. A. Bertaccini, K. Oshima, M. Kube, and G. P. Rao (Singapore: Springer), 161–200. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-9632-8_9.

Minato, N., Himeno, M., Hoshi, A., Maejima, K., Komatsu, K., Takebayashi, Y., et al. (2014). The phytoplasmal virulence factor TENGU causes plant sterility by downregulating of the jasmonic acid and auxin pathways. *Sci Rep* 4, 7399. doi:10.1038/srep07399.

Mitrović, J., Kakizawa, S., Duduk, B., Oshima, K., Namba, S., and Bertaccini, A. (2011). The *groEL* gene as an additional marker for finer differentiation of 'Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris'-related strains. *Annals of Applied Biology* 159, 41–48. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2011.00472.x.

Orlovskis, Z., Canale, M. C., Haryono, M., Lopes, J. R. S., Kuo, C.-H., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2017). A few sequence polymorphisms among isolates of maize bushy stunt phytoplasma associate with organ proliferation symptoms of infected maize plants. *Ann Bot* 119, 869–884. doi:10.1093/aob/mcw213.

Orlovskis, Z., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2016). A bacterial parasite effector mediates insect vector
attraction in host plants independently of developmental changes. *Front Plant Sci* 7, 885.
doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00885.
Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Nishigawa, H., Jung, H.-Y., Wei, W., Suzuki, S., et al. (2004).
Reductive evolution suggested from the complete genome sequence of a plant-pathogenic
phytoplasma. *Nat Genet* 36, 27–29. doi:10.1038/ng1277.
Pacifico, D., Galetto, L., Rashidi, M., Abbà, S., Palmano, S., Firrao, G., et al. (2015). Decreasing
global transcript levels over time suggest that phytoplasma cells enter stationary phase
during plant and insect colonization. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81, 2591–2602.
doi:10.1128/AEM.03096-14.
Parks, D. H., Chuvochina, M., Waite, D. W., Rinke, C., Skarshewski, A., Chaumeil, P.-A., et al.
(2018). A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises
the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol*. doi:10.1038/nbt.4229.
Potnis, N., Kandel, P. P., Merfa, M. V., Retchless, A. C., Parker, J. K., Stenger, D. C., et al.
(2019). Patterns of inter- and intrasubspecific homologous recombination inform eco-
evolutionary dynamics of *Xylella fastidiosa*. *ISME J*, 1. doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0423-y.
Popescu, A.-A., Huber, K. T., and Paradis, E. (2012). ape 3.0: New tools for distance-based
phylogenetics and evolutionary analysis in R. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1536–1537.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts184.
R Core Team (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria:
R Foundation for Statistical Computing Available at: https://www.r-project.org/ [Accessed
August 1, 2019].
Sawayanagi, T., Horikoshi, N., Kanehira, T., Shinohara, M., Bertaccini, A., Cousin, M.-T., et al.
(1999). '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma japonicum', a new phytoplasma taxon associated with
Japanese *Hydrangea* phyllody. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 49, 1275–1285.
doi:10.1099/00207713-49-3-1275.
Schloss, P. D., and Handelsman, J. (2005). Introducing DOTUR, a computer program for
defining operational taxonomic units and estimating species richness. *Appl Environ
Microbiol* 71, 1501–1506. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.3.1501-1506.2005.
Schneider, B., and Gibb, K. S. (1997). Sequence and RFLP analysis of the elongation factor Tu
gene used in differentiation and classification of phytoplasmas. *Microbiology,* 143, 3381–
3389. doi:10.1099/00221287-143-10-3381.
Seruga Music, M., Samarzija, I., Hogenhout, S. A., Haryono, M., Cho, S.-T., and Kuo, C.-H.
(2019). The genome of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma solani' strain SA-1 is highly dynamic and
prone to adopting foreign sequences. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 42, 117–127.
doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2018.10.008.
Sparks, M. E., Bottner-Parker, K. D., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., and Lee, I.-M. (2018). Draft
genome sequence of the New Jersey aster yellows strain of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma
asteris.' *PLOS ONE* 13, e0192379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0192379.
Sugawara, K., Honma, Y., Komatsu, K., Himeno, M., Oshima, K., and Namba, S. (2013). The
alteration of plant morphology by small peptides released from the proteolytic processing of
the bacterial peptide TENGU. *Plant Physiol* 162, 2005–2014. doi:10.1104/pp.113.218586.
Sugio, A., Kingdom, H. N., MacLean, A. M., Grieve, V. M., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2011a).
Phytoplasma protein effector SAP11 enhances insect vector reproduction by manipulating
plant development and defense hormone biosynthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108,
E1254–E1263. doi:10.1073/pnas.1105664108.

Sugio, A., MacLean, A. M., Kingdom, H. N., Grieve, V. M., Manimekalai, R., and Hogenhout,
S. A. (2011b). Diverse targets of phytoplasma effectors: from plant development to defense
against insects. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 49, 175–195. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-
095323.
Suyama, M., Torrents, D., and Bork, P. (2006). PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein
sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucl Acids Res* 34, W609-
612. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl315.
The IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team - Phytoplasma taxonomy group (2004).
"*Candidatus* Phytoplasma", a taxon for the wall-less, non-helical prokaryotes that colonize
plant phloem and insects. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 54, 1243–1255. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02854-
0.
Tomkins, M., Kliot, A., Marée, A. F., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2018). A multi-layered mechanistic
modelling approach to understand how effector genes extend beyond phytoplasma to
modulate plant hosts, insect vectors and the environment. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 44, 39–48.
doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2018.02.002.
Town, J. R., Wist, T., Perez-Lopez, E., Olivier, C. Y., and Dumonceaux, T. J. (2018). Genome
sequence of a plant-pathogenic bacterium, "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris" strain TW1.
Microbiol Resour Announc 7, e01109-18. doi:10.1128/MRA.01109-18.
Tran-Nguyen, L. T. T., Kube, M., Schneider, B., Reinhardt, R., and Gibb, K. S. (2008).
Comparative genome analysis of "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma australiense" (subgroup tuf-
Australia I; rp-A) and "*Ca*. Phytoplasma asteris" strains OY-M and AY-WB. *J Bacteriol*
190, 3979–3991. doi:10.1128/JB.01301-07.
Vanhove, M., Retchless, A. C., Sicard, A., Rieux, A., Coletta-Filho, H. D., Fuente, L. D. L., et al.
(2019). Genomic diversity and recombination among *Xylella fastidiosa* subspecies. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol 85, e02972-18. doi:10.1128/AEM.02972-18.
Wang, J., Song, L., Jiao, Q., Yang, S., Gao, R., Lu, X., et al. (2018a). Comparative genome
analysis of jujube witches'-broom phytoplasma, an obligate pathogen that causes jujube
witches'-broom disease. *BMC Genomics* 19, 689. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5075-1.
Wang, N., Li, Y., Chen, W., Yang, H. Z., Zhang, P. H., and Wu, Y. F. (2018b). Identification of
wheat blue dwarf phytoplasma effectors targeting plant proliferation and defence responses.
Plant Pathol 67, 603–609. doi:10.1111/ppa.12786.
Wang, N., Yang, H., Yin, Z., Liu, W., Sun, L., and Wu, Y. (2018c). Phytoplasma effector SWP1
induces witches' broom symptom by destabilizing the TCP transcription factor
BRANCHED1. *Mol Plant Pathol* 19, 2623–2634. doi:10.1111/mpp.12733.
Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009).
Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench.
Bioinformatics 25, 1189–1191. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033.
Wei, W., Lee, I.-M., Davis, R. E., Suo, X., and Zhao, Y. (2008). Automated RFLP pattern
comparison and similarity coefficient calculation for rapid delineation of new and distinct
phytoplasma 16Sr subgroup lineages. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 58, 2368–2377.
doi:10.1099/ijs.0.65868-0.
Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol* 24,
1586–1591. doi:10.1093/molbev/msm088.
Yang, Z., and Nielsen, R. (2000). Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates
under realistic evolutionary models. *Mol Biol Evol* 17, 32–43.

Zhao, Y., and Davis, R. E. (2016). Criteria for phytoplasma 16Sr group/subgroup delineation and
the need of a platform for proper registration of new groups and subgroups. *Int J Syst Evol*
Microbiol 66, 2121–2123. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.000999.
Zhao, Y., Wei, W., Lee, I.-M., Shao, J., Suo, X., and Davis, R. E. (2009). Construction of an
interactive online phytoplasma classification tool, *i*PhyClassifier, and its application in
analysis of the peach X-disease phytoplasma group (16SrIII). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 59,
2582–2593. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.010249-0.
Zhu, Y., He, Y., Zheng, Z., Chen, J., Wang, Z., and Zhou, G. (2017). Draft genome sequence of
rice orange leaf phytoplasma from Guangdong, China. *Genome Announc.* 5, e00430-17.
doi:10.1128/genomeA.00430-17.
856
857

 Figure Legends
Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenies. The numbers on the internal branches indicate the
level of bootstrap support based on 1,000 resampling; only values >=60% are shown. (A) 16S
rRNA genes. The alignment contains 1,541 aligned nucleotide sites. The strain name, locus tag
of the 16S rRNA gene (in parentheses), and the 16SrI subgroup classification (in square
brackets) are labeled. A question mark '?' in the subgroup classification indicates that the
sequence is classified as a new subgroup, the existing subgroup with the highest similarity is
provided. (B) Single-copy coding genes shared by all strains. The concatenated alignment
contains 303 genes and 291,990 aligned nucleotide sites. (C) 16S rRNA gene and the five
markers developed in this study; see Table 2 for detailed information. The concatenated
alignment contains 4,995 aligned nucleotide sites.
869
Figure 2. Pairwise genome similarities. The strains with the complete genome sequences
available are highlighted in bold. (A) Similarity scores based on whole genome comparisons.
Above diagonal: average nucleotide identity (%); diagonal: genome size (kb); below diagonal:
genomic segments mapped (%). (B) Similarity scores based on genic regions. Above diagonal:
genic sequence identity (%); diagonal: number of homologous gene clusters; below diagonal:
homologs shared (%).
876
Figure 3. Correlations between metrics of genome similarities. (A) Similarity scores based on
whole genome comparisons. (B) Similarity scores based on genic regions. Filled symbols
indicate the pairwise comparisons involving two complete genomes, empty symbols indicate the
pairwise comparisons involving one or two draft genomes. The strain TW1 is a special case; its
similarity scores in comparison with 16SrI-A and 16SrI-B strains are indicated by red and blue,
respectively.
883
884 Figure 4. Principal coordinates analysis of gene content. Each genome is represented by one dot
885 (color-coded according to the 16Sr subgroup assignment). The distance between dots
886 corresponds to their differences in gene content. The % variance explained by each axis is
887 provided in parentheses. The strains with the complete genome sequences available are
888 highlighted in bold.
889
890 Figure 5. Genomic locations of marker genes. Nucleotide sequence identity of the conserved
891 regions between genomes are illustrated (red: match on the same strand; blue: match on the
892 opposite strand).
893
Figure 6. Phylogenetic distribution of putative effector genes. The cladogram that illustrates the
phylogenetic relationships among these strains is based on Figure 1B (i.e., maximum likelihood
phylogeny based on 303 shared single-copy genes). The number in parentheses after the strain
name indicates the number of genes that encode putative secreted proteins in each genome
(including putative pseudogenes). The strains with the complete genome sequences available are
highlighted in bold. On the right-hand side, the table lists the locus tags of homologs for those
four phytoplasma effectors that have been characterized. Those locus tags with an asterisk (*)
were annotated as putative pseudogenes.
902
903

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figure S1. Maximum likelihood phylogenies. Based on Figure 1, the TW1 sequences were excluded prior to multiple sequence alignment. The numbers on the internal branches indicate the level of bootstrap support based on 1,000 resampling; only values >=60% are shown. (A) 16S rRNA genes. The strain name, locus tag of the 16S rRNA gene (in parentheses), and the 16SrI subgroup classification (in square brackets) are labeled. A question mark '?' in the subgroup classification indicates that the sequence is classified as a new subgroup, the existing subgroup with the highest similarity is provided. (B) The 303 single-copy coding genes shared by all strains. (C) 16S rRNA gene and the five markers developed in this study; see Table 2 for detailed information.

Supplementary Figure S2. Multiple sequence alignments of selected marker genes. The primer sites are highlighted in orange. Shades of blue colors in the alignment indicate the levels of sequence conservation.

Supplementary Figure S3. Distribution of substitution rates among those single-copy genes shared by the 11 phytoplasma genomes analyzed. The synonymous substitution rate (Ks) and non-synonymous substitution rate (Ka) were calculated based on the homologs found in AYWB and MBSP-M3. Among those 303 single-copy genes, nine were annotated as pseudogenes and were omitted from the substitution rate calculation.

Supplementary Table S1. Pairwise nucleotide sequence identity of the molecular markers used in the phylogenetic inference. Numbers in the diagonal cells indicate the length of each unaligned sequence.

Supplementary Table S2. List of the 303 single-copy genes shared by all of the 11 phytoplasma genomes analyzed in this study. The gene identity, genomic location, and functional annotation of these genes are provided based on the information from AYWB. The substitution rate estimates are based on the comparison between AYWB and MBSP-M3.

Supplementary Table S3. List of the putative effector genes. Each gene is uniquely identified by its locus_tag. The "Cluster_id" field provides the assignment of homologous gene cluster; genes sharing the same Cluster_id are considered as homologs. Note that SAP11/SWP1 homologs are separated into two clusters (i.e., 503 and 598) due to high levels of sequence divergence. Additionally, one SAP05 homolog is in a separate cluster (i.e., 1117) due to truncation. The gene names and product description are based on existing GenBank annotation; additional information (e.g., homology information with known effector or pseudogene status) are provided in the "Note" field.

Table 1. List of the genome sequences analyzed.

Strain	Accession	Sequencing platform and depth	Assembly	Genome Size (bp)	CDS-intact	CDS-pseudo	Location	Host	Reference
AYWB	GCF_000012225	Sanger; not available	Complete	723,970	557	116	USA	Lettuce	Bai et al., 2006
NJAY	GCA_002554195	Roche/454; 200x	75 contigs	652,092	371	262	USA	Lettuce	Sparks et al., 2018
WBD	GCF_000495255	Illumina; 5,000x	6 contigs	611,462	471	76	China	Wheat	Chen et al., 2014
OY-M	GCF_000009845	Sanger; not available	Complete	853,092	728	144	Japan	Onion	Oshima et al., 2004
OY-V	GCF_000744065	Illumina and Roche/454; 300x	170 contigs	739,592	651	217	Japan	Onion	Kakizawa et al., 2014
DY2014	GCA_005093185	Illumina; 124x	8 contigs	824,596	775	63	Taiwan	Periwinkle	Cho et al., 2019b
MBSP-M3	GCF_001712875	Illumina; 42x	Complete	576,118	498	34	Brazil	Maize	Orlovskis et al., 2017
De Villa	GCF_004214875	Illumina; 50x	Complete	603,949	512	21	South Africa	Grapevine	Coetzee et al., 2019
LD1	GCF_001866375	Illumina; 200x	8 contigs	599,264	536	29	China	Rice	Zhu et al., 2017
CYP	GCF_000803325	Illumina; 47x	252 contigs	659,699	546	154	Italy	Daisy	Pacifico et al., 2015
TW1	GCA_003181115	Illumina and ONT; 146x	5 contigs	743,598	457	248	Canada	Canola	Town et al., 2018

Table 2. List of molecular markers for phytoplasma classification.

Marker	Primers (5'-3')	Amplicon Size (bp)	Variable Sites	Informative Sites	References
16S rRNA	[R16F2n] GAAACGACTGCTAAGACTGG [R16R2] TGACGGGCGGTGTGTACAAACCCCG	1,246	15	6	Gundersen and Lee, 1996
Ribosomal proteins (*rpl22* and *rps3*)	[rpF1] GGACATAAGTTAGGTGAATTT [rpR1] ACGATATTTAGTTCTTTTTGG	1,240	70	25	Lim and Sears, 1992
Ribosomal proteins (*rpl22* and *rps3*)	[rpF1C] ATGGTDGGDCAYAARTTAGG [rp(I)R1A] GTTCTTTTTGGCATTAACAT	1,236	70	25	Martini et al., 2007
Preprotein translocase SecY (*secY*)	[AYsecYF1] CAGCCATTTTAGCAGTTGGTGG [AYsecYR1] CAGAAGCTTGAGTGCCTTTACC	1,358	105	62	Lee et al., 2006
Chaperonin 60 (*groEL*/*cpn60*)	[H279p] GATIIIGCAGGIGATGGAACMACIAC [H280p] TGRTTITCICCAAAACCAGGIGCATT	605	21	12	Dumonceaux et al., 2014
Chaperonin 60 (*groEL*/*cpn60*)	[AYgroelF] GGCAAAGAAGCAAGAAAAG [AYgroelR] TTTAAGGGTTGTAAAGTTG	1,396	59	34	Mitrović et al., 2011
Elongation factor Tu (*tuf*)	[fTufu] CCTGAAGAAAGAGAACGTGG [rTufu] CGGAAATAGAATTGAGGACG	842	39	23	Schneider and Gibb, 1997
Replication initiation protein DnaD (*dnaD*)	[F] CACAAGAAAAATTAGAAGCTC [R] ATAAGTTAAAAGCACATTGAC	767	77	60	This study
DegV family protein (*degV*)	[F] GTAGTTGATTCTACTTGCGG [R] ACTACAGGAGAAATAGCACC	785	57	36	This study
TIGR00282 family metallophosphoesterase	[F] AGATATTTACGGAAACCCAG [R] AGGTTTAAGAGTGACAAGTAAA	708	47	30	This study
Preprotein translocase SecY (*secY*)	[F] AATTGTTGTTTCGATGAGCC [R] TTGGCAGTAGCTTTGATGCG	711	64	36	This study
RluA family pseudouridine synthase (*rluA*)	[F] AAAGAGTTTCTTATTTCTGCCAG [R] GACCTAAAGGAGTAATATGGTG	778	80	54	This study

(A)

	AYWB	NJAY	WBD	OY-M	OY-V	DY2014	MBSP-M3	De Villa	LD1	CYP	TW1
AYWB	724	99.7	93.3	92.5	92.1	92.6	92.6	92.9	93.1	93.0	95.7
NJAY	86.9	652	93.5	92.6	92.0	92.6	92.7	92.8	92.8	93.1	95.1
WBD	82.3	81.2	611	93.3	92.7	93.1	93.2	93.3	93.5	93.4	93.3
OY-M	74.2	70.5	75.5	853	98.3	98.8	97.6	98.1	98.3	98.9	97.0
OY-V	67.4	71.3	70.3	68.4	740	99.4	96.9	97.2	97.4	97.4	95.8
DY2014	75.5	72.8	78.7	84.4	75.0	825	97.4	97.9	98.0	98.2	96.3
MBSP-M3	78.0	79.7	84.0	78.2	72.8	79.3	576	98.9	98.5	98.0	96.9
De Villa	75.7	77.1	82.9	78.4	71.1	79.9	92.9	604	98.8	98.5	97.2
LD1	75.8	79.8	85.1	78.5	71.4	80.5	92.3	95.2	599	98.4	97.4
CYP	64.8	70.3	73.7	63.3	66.2	64.0	77.5	76.1	75.9	660	98.5
TW1	96.5	84.1	80.6	71.5	68.7	76.5	76.0	74.7	74.8	63.3	744

Above diagonal: Average Nucleotide Identity (%) 95 99
Diagonal: Genome Size (kb)
Below diagonal: Genomic Segments Mapped (%) 70 80

(B)

	AYWB	NJAY	WBD	OY-M	OY-V	DY2014	MBSP-M3	De Villa	LD1	CYP	TW1
AYWB	570	100.0	95.5	94.3	94.3	94.3	94.2	94.2	94.2	94.4	94.9
NJAY	92.6	551	95.6	94.4	94.3	94.4	94.2	94.3	94.3	94.4	94.9
WBD	77.9	77.8	524	94.9	94.8	94.8	94.7	94.8	94.7	94.9	95.3
OY-M	70.2	70.0	74.2	660	99.5	99.5	99.0	99.1	99.1	99.6	99.2
OY-V	68.2	67.1	70.4	84.9	718	100.0	98.9	98.9	98.9	99.2	98.8
DY2014	70.3	70.1	72.9	86.1	87.6	688	98.9	98.9	98.9	99.2	98.9
MBSP-M3	74.6	75.6	78.6	74.2	76.4	505	99.0	99.1	99.1	98.8
De Villa	71.6	72.1	77.5	78.9	72.9	76.2	88.8	506	99.4	99.2	98.9
LD1	71.1	71.4	76.8	80.1	75.5	77.6	88.6	90.7	533	99.2	98.8
CYP	71.4	70.2	75.6	81.1	76.0	76.3	78.2	79.1	79.5	635	99.6
TW1	86.6	83.9	76.8	71.4	69.6	70.9	73.6	71.2	71.4	73.0	598

Above diagonal: Genic Sequence Identity (%) 98 99.9
Diagonal: Number of Homologous Gene Clusters
Below diagonal: Homologs Shared (%) 70 80

	SAP05	SAP11/SWP1	SAP54/PHYL1	TENGU
AYWB (33)	AYWB_RS00160	AYWB_RS01770	AYWB_RS01055	AYWB_RS00785
NJAY (23)	BBA70_00185	BBA70_01750	BBA70_01070*	BBA70_00770
WBD (17)	N747_RS0100715	N747_RS0100020	N747_RS03525*	N747_RS0101805
OY-M (37)	PAM_RS03110	PAM_RS03115	PAM_RS00330	PAM_RS02860
OY-V (36)	OYV_RS02545	OYV_RS02510* OYV_RS02550	OYV_RS03895	OYV_RS02965*
DY2014 (45)	PLY_1120	PLY_1110 PLY_3690	PLY_0730	PLY_4530
MBSP-M3 (13)		MBSPM3_RS00550		MBSPM3_RS01195
De Villa (10)				EXT02_RS01135
LD1 (14)				BHE82_RS02390
CYP (21)	OL66_RS02400 OL66_RS02415		OL66_RS03105	OL66_RS00470
TW1 (19)	DF280_02690	DF280_00985*	DF280_01620	DF280_01810