Consequences of single-locus and tightly linked genomic architectures for evolutionary responses to environmental change

Rebekah A. Oomen[1,2]*
rebekahoomen@gmail.com

Anna Kuparinen[3]
anna.k.kuparinen@jyu.fi

Jeffrey A. Hutchings[2,4,5]
jeff.hutchings@dal.ca

[1] Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
[2] Centre for Coastal Research, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway
[3] Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
[4] Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
[5] Institute of Marine Research, Flødevigen Marine Research Station, His, Norway

*Corresponding author

Running title: Genomic architecture shapes evolutionary responses

Abstract

Genetic and genomic architectures of traits under selection are key factors influencing evolutionary responses. Yet, knowledge of their impacts has been limited by a widespread assumption that most traits are controlled by unlinked polygenic architectures. Recent advances in genome sequencing and eco-evolutionary modelling are unlocking the potential for integrating genomic information into predictions of population responses to environmental change. Using eco-genetic simulations, we demonstrate that hypothetical single-locus control of a life history trait produces highly variable and unpredictable harvesting-induced evolution relative to the classically applied multi-locus model. Single-locus control of complex traits is thought to be uncommon, yet blocks of linked genes, such as those associated with some types of structural genomic variation, have emerged as taxonomically widespread phenomena. Inheritance of linked architectures resembles that of single loci, thus enabling single-locus-like modeling of polygenic adaptation. Yet, the number of loci, their effect sizes, and the degree of linkage among them all occur along a continuum. We review how linked architectures are often associated, directly or indirectly, with traits expected to be under selection from anthropogenic stressors and are likely to play a large role in adaptation to environmental disturbance. We suggest using single-locus models to explore evolutionary extremes and uncertainties when the trait architecture is unknown, refining parameters as genomic information becomes available, and explicitly incorporating linkage among loci when possible. We discuss some challenges involved in modelling the evolutionary dynamics of linked genomic architectures and implementing such knowledge in the conservation and management of natural populations.

Keywords: climate change, evolutionary simulation, genetic architecture, linkage disequilibrium, recombination rate, structural genomic variation

Eco-evolutionary responses hinge on genetic and genomic architecture

Predicting the responses of populations and species to anthropogenic disturbance is a major challenge, and one that requires urgent attention given the current climate and biodiversity crises (IPCC 2018; IPBES 2019). Advances in second- (high throughput) and third- (long read) generation sequencing have produced a wealth of sequence and structural genomic data on non-model organisms. These data have great potential to inform eco-evolutionary models of the responses of natural populations to a variety of selection pressures (Hoffmann *et al.* 2015; Bay *et al.* 2017a; Coulson *et al.* 2017). Such models can inform current management strategies and facilitate human societal adaptation to future environmental conditions and associated ecosystem structures.

Key parameters influencing evolutionary responses are the genetic and genomic architectures underlying adaptive traits. Genetic architecture broadly refers to how a trait is controlled by genes and interactions among alleles (e.g., number and effect sizes of contributing loci, dominance, epistasis, pleiotropy), while genomic architecture includes structural arrangement (e.g., inversions, fusions, translocations, duplications), position, and linkage among loci. Both types of architecture contribute to the inheritance models for genes underlying adaptive traits that are used in evolutionary predictions.

Here, we discuss some effects of genetic (the number of loci and their effect sizes) and genomic (the degree of linkage among loci) architectures on predictions of evolutionary responses to environmental disturbance. Single or unlinked loci have received comparatively more attention than linked genomic architectures in this regard (Bay *et al.* 2017a; Kardos & Luikart 2019). We demonstrate that hypothetical single locus control of a life history trait under harvesting-induced selection generates a more variable evolutionary response compared to an unlinked polygenic scenario. We then suggest that linked polygenic architectures resemble those of single large-effect loci, yet exist along a continuum of linkage disequilibrium, and are likely to play a large role in adaptation to rapid environmental change. We show that linked architectures underlie diverse traits in natural populations that are directly or indirectly under environmental selection. Finally,

we discuss some barriers to modelling such architectures and challenges they present to

conservation and management. More broadly, we aim to promote the integration of

genomic data into eco-evolutionary modelling of responses to environmental change.

Large-effect loci alter evolutionary predictions compared to traditional multi-locus

models

Considering genetic architecture, the degree to which a trait is controlled primarily by a

single locus or multiple loci will influence its evolution in response to environmental

stressors. Traditional evolutionary models have focused on the fixation dynamics of

single-locus traits suddenly exposed to selection (Orr & Unckless 2014). As single-locus

control of complex traits has been considered rare (Feder & Walser 2005), eco-

evolutionary models of complex non-model organisms often incorporate a standard

inheritance model of 10 or 20 unlinked loci (e.g., Kuparinen & Hutchings 2012). Multi-

locus (e.g., 100+ loci) models based on genomic single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

data have also been employed more recently to predict the capacity of a population to

evolve in pace with global climate change (Bay *et al.* 2017a, 2018). Bay *et al.* (2017b)

described a potential framework for genomic predictions of adaptive responses to

environmental change, termed 'evolutionary response architectures', but focused on

unlinked polygenic control of climate-associated traits.

The assumption that single genetic variants accounting for large amounts of phenotypic

variation are rare is being challenged as more refined statistical genomics enable their

discovery (Hoban *et al.* 2016). Large-effect loci have been documented in plants

(Kivimäki *et al.* 2007; Baxter *et al.* 2010), mammals (Johnston *et al.* 2013; Kardos *et al.*

2015; Jones *et al.* 2018; Barrett *et al.* 2019), birds (Toews *et al.* 2019; Merritt *et al.*

2020), and fishes (Colosimo *et al.* 2004; Lampert *et al.* 2010; Barson *et al.* 2015;

Thompson *et al.* 2019). The implications of these variants for eco-evolutionary model

predictions can be severe. For example, after the discovery that the *vgll3* gene is

responsible for 40% of the variation in age at maturity in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)

(Barson *et al.* 2015), Kuparinen and Hutchings (2017) demonstrated that hypothetical

single-locus control of this key, sexually dimorphic life-history trait generates divergent

and disruptive fisheries-induced evolution relative to that predicted by the classically

applied, commonly assumed multi-locus model.

Single-locus architecture generates divergent evolutionary responses to harvesting

We revisited this model to compare hypothetical single- and multi-locus architectures,

but without dimorphic trait expression, to assess whether genetic architecture affected

trait evolution in a more general scenario absent of sexual dimorphism. To this end, we

took simple averages of the sex-specific probabilities for the male and female age at

maturity. By doing this, a male and a female carrying the same single-locus genotype

have the same probabilities to mature at the ages of 1SW, 2SW and 3 SW. We simulated

10 independent evolutionary trajectories for both the single-locus and the multi-locus

control scenarios and tracked the average age at maturity for each simulation time step.

The simulations involved three phases: i) pristine conditions in the absence of fishing

(500 years, the first 400 of which were discarded as burn-in), ii) exposure to selective

fishing mortality at the rate of 0.2, and iii) recovery in the absence of fishing. The

detailed model description and parameter values are reported in Kuparinen & Hutchings

(2017).

Despite dimorphism being absent, the simulations demonstrate that single-locus control

of age at maturity generates increased variability in this trait compared to the multi-locus

scenario and that the response to, and recovery from, fishing are also highly varied

(Figure 1). In the single locus scenario, oscillations driven by chaotic dynamics are

evident under pristine conditions, reduced at varying rates under fishing pressure, and

markedly return in only three out of ten simulations (Figure 1a,c). In contrast, the multi-

locus scenario does not generate chaotic dynamics and exhibits little variability both

within and between simulations (Figure 1b,d). Therefore, single locus control of age at

maturity with and without sexually dimorphic expression results in highly unpredictable

evolutionary and ecological responses to fishing-induced selection on this trait, relative to

the commonly assumed multi-locus control.

This result is consistent with recent simulations demonstrating increased variability in

population viability under rapid directional environmental change when the trait under

selection is under single-locus rather than multi-locus control (Kardos & Luikart 2019). It

further suggests that recovery following relaxation of selection is also highly variable.

Inheritance of linked polygenic architectures resembles that of single loci

Particularly for complex traits, such as those contributing to growth, behaviour, or

environmental responses, polygenic control might indeed be the norm (Savolainen *et al.*

2013; Palumbi *et al.* 2014; Bay *et al.* 2017a). Yet, considering genomic architecture,

blocks of tightly linked putatively adaptive genes that undergo reduced or no

recombination are taxonomically widespread (Nosil *et al.* 2009; Rogers *et al.* 2011;

Yeaman 2013; Wellenreuther & Bernatchez 2018).

There are several mechanisms by which linked clusters might evolve in response to

selection, but all are characterized by a reduction in recombination (Yeaman 2013). This

is because when linkage captures an advantageous allelic combination, selection will

favour a reduced recombination rate in that region to avoid splitting up complementary

alleles (Charlesworth & Charlesworth 1979; Kirkpatrick & Barton 2006; Bürger &

Akerman 2011; Yeaman & Whitlock 2011). Low recombination rates can be achieved by

genic modifiers that decrease the frequency of crossovers during meiosis or genomic

rearrangements that alter gene order, suppress recombination, and/or generate unbalanced

gametes in heterozygotes (Figure 2; Butlin 2005; Ortiz-Barrientos *et al.* 2016).

Recombination rate is also negatively correlated with epistasis, chromosome length, and

proximity among loci (Kong *et al.* 2002; Butlin 2005). Further, sequence content can

have both positive (CpG content) and negative (GC, polyA/polyT, and heterochomatin

content) effects on recombination rate (Kong *et al.* 2002). The lower the rate of

recombination, the more the inheritance pattern of a genomic region containing multiple

loci resembles that of a single locus, such that complete linkage among genes would

result in their co-inheritance (Figure 3).

Linked polygenic architectures are ubiquitous and exist along a continuum of linkage

disequilibrium

Linked regions are often identified in population genomic studies as 'genomic islands of

divergence' (regions that exhibit greater differentiation than expected under neutrality;

Wu 2001), although the degree of linkage varies depending on the mechanism of

recombination suppression (Figure 2). For example, genic modifiers might only partially

reduce recombination, leading to low or moderate levels of linkage disequilibrium (LD;

non-random association of alleles at different loci)(Butlin 2005).

In contrast, extreme cases of tightly linked co-adapted gene complexes associated with

discrete complex phenotypes, known as supergenes, underlie key life-history traits in a

variety of species (Schwander *et al.* 2014). Supergenes are often associated with

structural genomic variation, which underlies complex phenotypes and adaptive

processes in a wide variety of non-model taxa (Mérot *et al.* in review; Wellenreuther *et*

al. 2019). There is emerging evidence that structural variants might comprise the most

important source of genetic variation in natural populations, as they have been found to

account for several times more genomic variation than SNPs (e.g., 3x in the Australasian

snapper [*Chrysophrys auratus*; Catanach *et al.* 2019], 12x in *Homo sapiens* [Pang *et al.*

2010]).

One of the most well studied types of structural variant is the chromosomal inversion

(Sturtevant 1921; Wellenreuther *et al.* 2019). Inversions prevent recombination within the

inverted region by displacing crossovers away from breakpoints during meiosis (at least

in *Diptera* flies) or by producing lethal meiotic products (if the inversion does not include

the centromere) or inviable gametes (if the inversion spans the centromere) in

heterokaryotypes, resulting in the selective recovery of non-recombinant chromosomes

(Reiseberg 2001; Hoffmann & Rieseberg 2008; Wellenreuther & Bernatchez 2018).

Other types of structural variation, such as chromosomal fusions and fissions,

translocations, and copy number variants (CNVs), can also generate unbalanced gametes

(Rieseberg 2001), which is expected to have similar implications for recombination rate

reduction. Chromosomal fusions reduce recombination to a lesser extent than inversions,

but to varying degrees in both heterozygotes and fused homozygotes (Bidau *et al.* 2001;

Guerrero & Kirkpatrick 2014). There is also at least one example of a complex CNV

maintaining linkage among candidate genes associated with multiple traits, effectively

acting as a supergene (Tigano *et al.* 2018). While the precise mechanisms for reducing

recombination are not yet clear for many types of structural variation, the field is poised

for major advances (Mérot *et al.* in review).

Linked architectures play a large role in adaptation to rapid change

Linked architectures are hypothesized to facilitate rapid adaptation by enabling

inheritance of co-adapted gene complexes. Instead of accumulating beneficial alleles over

multiple generations, they come as a package that has the potential to spread rapidly

through a population, similar to a single large-effect gene (Kirkpatrick & Barrett 2015).

Therefore, while the extent of recombination in linkage blocks can vary, a linked

genomic architecture would enable single-locus-like modeling of polygenic adaptation.

Their prevalence, especially the rising ubiquity of structural variation, necessitates a

reassessment of common assumptions regarding the degree to which genes contributing

to a polygenic trait are likely to be physically linked and/or experience reduced

recombination. Next, we seek to highlight the broad potential of modelling linked

architectures for the purpose of predicting responses of natural populations to

environmental disturbance.

Linked genomic architectures underlie diverse traits in natural populations that are

directly or indirectly under environmental selection

In recent years, linked genomic architectures have been associated with a variety of

adaptive traits in natural populations (Table 1). While inversions appear to be the most

commonly studied (Wellenreuther & Bernatchez 2018; Wellenreuther *et al.* 2019),

chromosomal fusions (Wellband *et al.* 2019) and complex architectures involving

multiple rearrangements (Tigano *et al.* 2018; Pearse *et al.* 2019) are also associated,

directly or indirectly, with traits relevant for adaptation. In some cases, SNPs located in

proximity to one another are found to be in LD and the structural architecture is yet to be

determined (e.g., Micheletti *et al.* 2018). Considering that the cataloguing of structural

genomic variation is still in its infancy and that our understanding of recombination rate

variation is lacking (Mérot *et al.* in review), we adopt an inclusive approach regarding the

examples discussed.

Linked architectures are favoured by selection under gene flow

Theoretical work has implicated the genetic and genomic architecture of adaptive traits as

the key element in determining whether they will be lost under gene flow (Bürger &

Akerman 2011; Yeaman & Whitlock 2011; Yeaman 2013; Aeschbacher & Bürger 2014;

Akerman & Bürger 2014). Alleles with large effect sizes are less likely to be

overwhelmed by gene flow (Yeaman & Otto 2011), as are tightly linked polygenic

architectures because they effectively act as a single large-effect locus (Griswold 2006;

Yeaman & Otto 2011). Gene flow increases the risk of breaking up co-adapted alleles,

thereby selecting for reduced recombination and increased linkage (Nosil *et al.* 2009;

Tigano & Friesen 2016).

The evolution of linked architectures under gene flow might explain why they appear to

be common in species with high dispersal capabilities: flying insects, birds, and fishes

(Table 1; Wellenreuther & Bernatchez 2018). Many examples of extremely tight linkage

derive from systems in which closely related species or ecotypes are living in sympatry

(Nosil *et al.* 2009; Hooper 2016). Yet, high gene flow is pervasive in the natural world,

including many plants with high seed dispersal and marine organisms with pelagic early

life stages. Therefore, linked architectures are likely common.

Linked architectures are often directly associated with environmental adaptation

Linked architectures associated with adaptation to local environmental variables seem

particularly common among flies and fishes (Table 1; Wellenreuther & Bernatchez

2018). Flies in the genus *Drosophila* provide numerous examples of inversions associated

with environmental adaptation, including those exhibiting latitudinal (Krimbas & Powell

1992; Anderson *et al.* 2005; Rane *et al.* 2015; Fuller *et al.* 2016, 2017; Kapun & Fabian

2016; Kapun & Flatt 2019) and altitudinal (Kapun & Flatt 2019) clines, as well as

adaptation to a desert environment (possibly through the host plant; Guillén & Ruiz

2012). Of particular interest from a human health perspective, environmentally structured

inversion polymorphisms are common among *Anopheles* spp. mosquitos, potentially

enhancing the adaptability and vector potency of these primary malaria vectors (Ayala *et*

al. 2014, 2017). Inversions in *Anopheles* spp. vary in karyotype frequencies across

latitudinal clines and between mountain forests and lowland savannahs (Ayala *et al.*

2017), and are associated with aridity tolerance.

Inversions and blocks of differentiation (which may or may not be associated with

inversions) appear to underlie adaptation to salinity (Jones *et al.* 2012; Berg *et al.* 2015)

and vary in frequency across latitudinal clines in marine fishes, suggesting an association

with temperature or growing season length (Pettersson *et al.* 2019; Therkildsen *et al.*

2019; Kess *et al.* 2020). Chromosomal translocations and fusions are common among

salmonids (Phillips 2005). Their adaptive significance, if any, is not generally known,

although a fusion in Atlantic salmon (Ssa08/Ssa29) is associated with summer

precipitation in a Canadian river system (Wellband *et al.* 2019).

While we have focused on adaptation to abiotic environmental variables, traits controlled

by linked architectures can also be associated with the biotic environment. For example,

cryptic colouration in timena stick insects (Lindtke *et al.* 2017; Lucek *et al.* 2019) and

mimicry patterns in butterflies (Joron *et al.* 2013; Nishikawa *et al.* 2015), associated with

a large haploblock and inversions, respectively, are under selection via local host plants

and predators associated with particular environments. Changes in these environments

can alter camouflage substrates and predator distributions. Adaptation will therefore

depend partly on the evolutionary dynamics of the linked regions.

Linked architectures are also indirectly associated with environmental adaptation

Architectures that link genes controlling several types of co-adapted traits can result in

indirect selection on traits associated with environmental adaptation. The inversions in

Anopheles spp. associated with differences in aridity tolerance (Cheng *et al.* 2018) are

also associated with morphology and behaviour (reviewed by Ayala *et al.* 2014).

Inversions underlie alternative reproductive phenotypes in some birds (Thomas *et al.*

2008; Horton *et al.* 2014; Küpper *et al.* 2015; Zinzow-Kramer *et al.* 2015),

simultaneously controlling morphological (e.g., plumage colouration), behavioural (e.g.,

mating tactic), and life history (e.g., maturation, growth rate) traits. Common murres

(*Uria aalge*) have a complex CNV maintaining linkage among genes associated with

plumage colouration and thermal tolerance despite random mating (Tigano *et al.* 2018).

Alternate behavioural or life history strategies often impose different environmental

selection pressures. Fish populations that migrate between freshwater and saltwater for

reproduction and feeding require different temperature and salinity adaptations compared

to resident populations that don't migrate. Consequently, linked architectures in marine

and freshwater fishes are associated with coexisting migratory ecotypes experiencing

different environments (Table 1; Pearse *et al.* 2014, 2019; Berg *et al.* 2016; Kirubakaran

et al. 2016; Arostegui *et al.* 2019; Kess *et al.* 2019). For example, a double inversion in

steelhead/rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) varies in frequency between anadromous

and resident populations, as well as between fluvial and adfluvial populations, and

exhibits latitudinal- and temperature-associated frequency clines (Pearse *et al.* 2014,

2019; Arostegui *et al.* 2019).

Variation in reproductive timing can require adaptations to different environmental

conditions, such as different temperatures experienced during early life (Oomen &

Hutchings 2015, 2016), which could favour architectures that link genes associated with

environmental and life history traits. An inversion in Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*)

is associated with both temperature and timing of reproduction (Fuentes-Pardo *et al.*

2019; Pettersson *et al.* 2019), whereas linked regions in Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*;

Narum *et al.* 2018) and steelhead (Micheletti *et al.* 2018) are associated with the timing

of arrival to spawning grounds.

Many social traits in insects, birds, fishes, and plants are controlled by linked

architectures due to bidirectional influences between social behaviour and genome

architecture (reviewed by Rubenstein *et al.* 2019). For example, a supergene containing

multiple chromosomal rearrangements underlies several social traits in the fire ant

(*Solenopsis invicta*; Huang *et al.* 2018). The connection between social traits and linked

architectures has broad relevance for predicting responses to environmental change, as

sociality itself is often under environmental selection. For example, thermal stress and

resource scarcity select for more or less sociality in different species and contexts (Kao *et*

al. submitted; Doering *et al.* 2018). Further, social traits are often correlated with

phenotypes that might be under selection from anthropogenic stressors such as climate

change and harvesting (e.g. alternative mating tactics and growth rate in swordtail fish

[*Xiphophorus* spp.; Lampert *et al.* 2010]).

Theory predicts that supergenes are also likely to arise when there is coevolution between

social traits and dispersal, because dispersal will be selected against in benevolent

individuals so that they tend to interact with relatives and selected for in selfish

individuals so that they tend to interact with nonrelatives (Mullon *et al.* 2018; Rubenstein

et al. 2019). Therefore, linkage between genes for dispersal traits (e.g., locomotion,

physiology) and social behaviour is expected to evolve under a variety of circumstances

(Rubenstein *et al.* 2019). As dispersal is one of the primary mechanisms of organismal

responses to environmental change, control by linked architectures will likely alter

predictions of responses to disturbance in a wide array of taxa.

Therefore, selection on diverse traits could indirectly impose selection on traits associated

with environmental adaptation and incorporating linkage when modelling environmental

responses has broad taxonomic utility.

Flexible eco-genetic models can reflect a diversity of genomic architectures

The best modelling strategy will depend on what is known regarding the genomic trait

architecture. For most traits, the precise architecture is not known and is often estimated

to consist of between 10 and 100 unlinked loci of equal effects (e.g., Kuparinen &

Hutchings 2012). Given the rising prevalence of major effect loci and tightly linked

architectures, exploring both extremes – single locus, representing both major effect and

tightly linked loci, and highly polygenic, unlinked loci – is warranted. In reality, an

intermediate architecture is likely. Yet, the output of these extreme scenarios will be

informative about the range and distribution of possible outcomes and the sensitivity of

the model to the genomic architecture in a particular case (e.g., life history or selection

regime). As genomic information becomes available, more precise estimates of the

number of loci, their effect sizes, and the degree of linkage among them can be

incorporated into the inheritance kernel.

Conclusion

Despite the challenges in estimating LD and fitness effects of linked genomic

architectures (Box 1), simple approximations can be obtained by treating them as single

loci of large effect. This approach was shown to be more powerful for detecting

genotype-environment associations between linked haploblocks in *Helianthus* sunflowers

compared to characterizing genotypes based on SNPs within the blocks (Todesco *et al.*

2019). When the genomic architecture is not known, a precautionary approach considers

the greater variability and higher uncertainty that might be common under a single-locus

scenario (also see Kardos & Luikart 2019). Rapid developments in the fields of

recombination rate variation and the population genomics of structural variants will

continue to improve predictions borne from genomic data.

Implementing genomic architecture into spatially or temporally explicit conservation and
management plans presents additional considerations. For example, variable rates of gene
flow within species could result in different genomic architectures underlying adaptation
at different spatial and temporal scales (Nosil *et al.* 2009; Oomen 2019). The same trait
could be under selection at both scales, as contrasting genomic architectures can produce
similar phenotypic outcomes (Therkildsen *et al.* 2019). The diversity of genomic
architectures underlying the same or different traits also complicates the process of
delineating evolutionarily significant units for conservation, particularly when the relative
fitness consequences of trait variation is unclear (Waples & Lindley 2018; Waples *et al.*
2019). Nonetheless, it is clear that we must consider linked genomic architectures
underlying adaptive traits when predicting the consequences of environmental
disturbance to natural populations.

Box 1

A better understanding of how linked architectures evolve is needed

Linkage disequilibrium should be included in theoretical models

A major challenge to modelling the evolution of linked genomic architectures lies in
estimating and parameterizing LD, which depends on the distance and epistasis among
loci, chromosome size, position of loci along a chromosome, local sequence content, and
other sources of recombination rate and gene conversion variation, such as genic
modifiers and structural genomic polymorphisms (Figure 2; Kong *et al.* 2002; Butlin
2005). Rates of recombination and gene conversion are difficult to estimate directly and
vary within and among taxa. Fine-scale crossover maps (Rowan *et al.* 2019) and
estimates of recombination (Guerrero & Kirkpatrick 2014) and gene conversion (Korunes
& Noor 2019) rates can be obtained with high-throughput sequencing of the offspring of
heterozygotes. LD can also be estimated from individual-level population-scale genomic
data (Gianola *et al.* 2013; Bilton *et al.* 2018; Ragsdale & Gravel 2019). Yet, even if
accurate estimates of LD are obtained for a particular set of environmental conditions,

recombination rates are often plastic (Stevison *et al.* 2017), complicating estimates of LD

under environmental change.

Linked architectures have fitness effects besides those of the target phenotype

Besides effects of the trait that is the target of selection, other aspects of linked genomic

architectures impact fitness and, consequently, the evolutionary trajectory of the

architecture and trait. For example, linked architectures can prevent the purging of

deleterious mutations, reducing the fitness of homozygotes (Jay *et al.* 2019). Conversely,

heterozygotes for linked architectures can experience partial sterility due to the

production of inviable gametes during meiosis, although strong evidence for this appears

limited to plants (Hoffmann & Rieseberg 2008). Whether species outside of *Diptera* can

displace crossovers away from the breakpoints of chromosomal rearrangements, thus

altering their patterns of inheritance, is also poorly understood, as is taxonomic variation

in other types of recombination modifiers. Further, there is some probability of

developing genetic incompatibilities at linked loci, which could be modelled explicitly.

Finally, a better understanding of how eco-evolutionary feedbacks shape genomic

architectures is needed.

Funding

This work was supported by a James S. McDonnell Foundation 21st Century Postdoctoral

Fellowship Award to RAO; the Academy of Finland to AK; the European Research

Council (grant number COMPLEX-FISH 770884) to AK; the Natural Sciences and

Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant to JAH; the Killam Trusts to

JAH; and Loblaw Companies Limited to JAH. The present study reflects only the

authors' view and the European Research Council is not responsible for any use that may

be made of the information it contains.

Acknowledgements

We thank Marine Brieuc and Mitchell Newberry for helpful comments and discussion on

aspects of the manuscript.

References

Aeschbacher S, Bürger R (2014) The effect of linkage on establishment and survival of
locally beneficial mutations. *Genetics*, **197**, 317–336.

Akerman A, Bürger R (2014) The consequences of gene flow for local adaptation and
differentiation: a two-locus two-deme model. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, **68**,
1135–1198.

Anderson AR, Hoffmann AA, McKechnie SW, Umina PA, Weeks AR (2005) The
latitudinal cline in the In(3R)Payne inversion polymorphism has shifted in the last
20 years in Australian *Drosophila melanogaster* populations. *Molecular Ecology*,
14, 851–858.

Arostegui MC, Quinn TP, Seeb LW, Seeb JE, Mckinney GJ (2019) Retention of a
chromosomal inversion from an anadromous ancestor provides the genetic basis for
alternative freshwater ecotypes in rainbow trout. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1412–
1427.

Ayala D, Acevedo P, Pombi M *et al.* (2017) Chromosome inversions and ecological
plasticity in the main African malaria mosquitoes. *Evolution*, **71**, 686–701.

Ayala D, Ullastres A, González J (2014) Adaptation through chromosomal inversions in
Anopheles. Frontiers in Genetics, **5**, 129.

Barrett RDH, Laurent S, Mallarino R *et al.* (2019) Linking a mutation to survival in wild
mice. *Science*, **504**, 499–504.

Barrio AM, Lamichhaney S, Fan G *et al.* (2016) The genetic basis for ecological
adaptation of the Atlantic herring revealed by genome sequencing. *eLife*, **5**, e12081.

Barson NJ, Aykanat T, Hindar K *et al.* (2015) Sex-dependent dominance at a single locus
maintains variation in age at maturity in salmon. *Nature*, **528**, 405–408.

Barth JMI, Berg PR, Jonsson PR *et al.* (2017) Genome architecture enables local
adaptation of Atlantic cod despite high connectivity. *Molecular Ecology*, **26**, 4452–
4466.

Barth JMI, Villegas-Ríos D, Freitas C *et al.* (2019) Disentangling structural genomic and
behavioural barriers in a sea of connectivity. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1394–1411.

Baxter I, Brazelton JN, Yu D *et al.* (2010) A coastal cline in sodium accumulation in
Arabidopsis thaliana is driven by natural variation of the sodium transporter
AtHKT1;1. *PLoS Genetics*, **6**, e1001193.

Bay RA, Harrigan RJ, Underwood V Le *et al.* (2018) Genomic signals of selection
predict climate-driven population declines in a migratory bird. *Science*, **86**, 83–86.

Bay RA, Rose N, Barrett R *et al.* (2017a) Predicting responses to contemporary
environmental change using evolutionary response architectures. *The American
Naturalist*, **189**, 463–473.

Bay RA, Rose NH, Logan CA, Palumbi SR (2017b) Genomic models predict successful
coral adaptation if future ocean warming rates are reduced. *Science Advances*, **3**,
e1701413.

Berg PR, Jentoft S, Star B *et al.* (2015) Adaptation to low salinity promotes genomic

divergence. *Genome Biology & Evolution*, **7**, 1644–1663.

Berg PR, Star B, Pampoulie C *et al.* (2016) Three chromosomal rearrangements promote genomic divergence between migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod. *Scientific Reports*, 23246.

Berg PR, Star B, Pampoulie C *et al.* (2017) Trans-oceanic genomic divergence of Atlantic cod ecotypes is associated with large inversions. *Heredity*, **119**, 418–428.

Bidau CJ, Gimenez MD, Palmer CL, Searle JB (2001) The effects of Robertsonian fusions on chiasma frequency and distribution in the house mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus*) from a hybrid zone in northern Scotland. *Heredity*, **87**, 305–313.

Bilton TP, McEwan JC, Clarke SM *et al.* (2018) Linkage disequilibrium estimation in low coverage high-throughput sequencing data. *Genetics*, **209**, 389–400.

Bradbury IR, Hubert S, Higgins B *et al.* (2010) Parallel adaptive evolution of Atlantic cod on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean in response to temperature. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **277**, 3725–3734.

Bürger R, Akerman A (2011) The effects of linkage and gene flow on local adaptation: a two-locus continent–island model. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **80**, 272–288.

Butlin RK (2005) Recombination and speciation. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2621–2635.

Catanach A, Crowhurst R, Deng C *et al.* (2019) The genomic pool of standing structural variation outnumbers single nucleotide polymorphism by threefold in the marine teleost *Chrysophrys auratus*. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1210–1223.

Cauwelier E, Gilbey J, Sampayo J, Stradmeyer L, Middlemas SJ (2018) Identification of a single genomic region associated with seasonal river return timing in adult Scottish Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), using a genome-wide association study. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **75**, 1427–1435.

Cayuela H, Rougemont Q, Laporte M *et al.* (2019) Standing genetic variation and chromosomal rearrangements facilitate local adaptation in a marine fish. *bioRxiv*, 1–48.

Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B (1979) Selection of recombination in a multi-locus system. *Genetics*, **91**, 575–580.

Cheng C, Tan JC, Hahn MW, Besansky NJ (2018) Systems genetic analysis of inversion polymorphisms in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **115**, E7005–E7014.

Christmas MJ, Wallberg A, Bunikis I *et al.* (2019) Chromosomal inversions associated with environmental adaptation in honeybees. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1358–1374.

Colosimo PF, Peichel CL, Nereng K *et al.* (2004) The genetic architecture of parallel armor plate reduction in threespine sticklebacks. *PLoS Biology*, **2**, 635–641.

Coughlan JM, Willis JH (2019) Dissecting the role of a large chromosomal inversion in life history divergence throughout the *Mimulus guttatus* species complex. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1343–1357.

Coulson T, Kendall BE, Barthold J *et al.* (2017) Modeling adaptive and nonadaptive responses of populations to environmental change. *American Naturalist*, **190**, 313–336.

Crow T, Ta J, Nojoomi S *et al.* (2019) Gene regulatory effects of a large chromosomal inversion in highland maize. *bioRxiv*, 1–30.

Doering GN, Scharf I, Moeller H V., Pruitt JN (2018) Social tipping points in animal societies in response to heat stress. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 1298–1305.

Faria R, Chaube P, Morales HE *et al.* (2019) Multiple chromosomal rearrangements in a hybrid zone between *Littorina saxatilis* ecotypes. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1375–1393.

Feder ME, Walser J (2005) The biological limitations of transcriptomics in elucidating stress and stress responses. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **18**, 901–910.

Fuentes-Pardo AP, Bourne C, Singh R *et al.* (2019) Adaptation to seasonal reproduction and thermal minima-related factors drives fine-scale divergence despite gene flow in Atlantic herring populations. *bioRxiv*, 1–48.

Fuller ZL, Haynes GD, Richards S, Schaeffer SW (2016) Genomics of natural populations: how differentially expressed genes shape the evolution of chromosomal inversions in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Genetics*, **204**, 287–301.

Fuller ZL, Haynes GD, Richards S, Schaeffer SW (2017) Genomics of natural populations: evolutionary forces that establish and maintain gene arrangements in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Molecular Ecology*, **26**, 6539–6562.

Gianola D, Qanbari S, Simianer H (2013) An evaluation of a novel estimator of linkage disequilibrium. *Heredity*, **111**, 275–285.

Griswold CK (2006) Gene flow's effect on the genetic architecture of a local adaptation and its consequences for QTL analyses. *Heredity*, **96**, 445–453.

Guerrero RF, Kirkpatrick M (2014) Local adaptation and the evolution of chromosome fusions. *Evolution*, **68**, 2747–2756.

Guillén Y, Ruiz A (2012) Gene alterations at *Drosophila* inversion breakpoints provide *prima facie* evidence for natural selection as an explanation for rapid chromosomal evolution. *BMC Genomics*, **13**, 53.

Hoban S, Kelley JL, Lotterhos KE *et al.* (2016) Finding the genomic basis of local adaptation: pitfalls, practical solutions, and future directions. *The American Naturalist*, **188**, 379–397.

Hoffmann A, Griffin P, Dillon S *et al.* (2015) A framework for incorporating evolutionary genomics into biodiversity conservation and management. *Climate Change Responses*, **2**, 1.

Hoffmann AA, Rieseberg LH (2008) Revisiting the impact of inversions in evolution: from population genetic markers to drivers of adaptive shifts and speciation? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **39**, 21–42.

Hooper DM (2016) Range overlap drives chromosome inversion fixation in Passerine birds. *bioRxiv*, 1–46.

Horton BM, Moore IT, Maney DL (2014) New insights into the hormonal and behavioural correlates of polymorphism in white-throated sparrows, *Zonotrichia albicollis*. *Animal Behaviour*, **93**, 207–219.

Huang Y-C, Dang VD, Chang N-C, Wang J (2018) Multiple large inversions and breakpoint rewiring of gene expression in the evolution of the fire ant social supergene. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, **285**, 20180221.

IPBES, Díaz S, Settele J, Brondízio E (2019) *Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services*.

IPCC (2018) IPCC, 2018: Summary for Policymakers. In: *Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the*

context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, (eds
Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pörtner H-O, et al.)

Jay P, Chouteau M, Whibley A *et al.* (2019) Mutation accumulation in chromosomal
inversions maintains wing pattern polymorphism in a butterfly. *bioRxiv*, 1–8.

Jiménez-Mena B, Le Moan A, Christensen A *et al.* (2019) Weak genetic structure despite
strong genomic signal in lesser sandeel in the North Sea. *Evolutionary Applications*,
13, 376–387.

Johnston SE, Gratten J, Berenos C *et al.* (2013) Life history trade-offs at a single locus
maintain sexually selected genetic variation. *Nature*, **502**, 93–95.

Johnston SE, Orell P, Pritchard VL *et al.* (2014) Genome-wide SNP analysis reveals a
genetic basis for sea-age variation in a wild population of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
salar). *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 3452–3468.

Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF *et al.* (2012) The genomic basis of adaptive evolution
in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature*, **484**, 55–61.

Jones MR, Mills LS, Alves PC *et al.* (2018) Adaptive introgression underlies
polymorphic seasonal camouflage in snowshoe hares. *Science*, **360**, 1355–1358.

Joron M, Frezal L, Jones RT *et al.* (2013) Chromosomal rearrangements maintain a
polymorphic supergene controlling butterfly mimicry. *Nature*, **477**, 203–206.

Kao AB, Hund AK, Bhat D *et al.* (in review) Changes in group size under resource
scarcity reflect drivers of sociality across taxa. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*.

Kapun M, Fabian DK (2016) Genomic evidence for adaptive inversion clines in
Drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**, 1317–1336.

Kapun M, Flatt T (2019) The adaptive significance of chromosomal inversion
polymorphisms in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1263–1282.

Kardos M, Luikart G (2019) The genomic architecture of fitness is a major driver of
population viability during rapid environmental change. *bioRxiv*, 1–36.

Kardos M, Luikart G, Bunch R *et al.* (2015) Whole-genome resequencing uncovers
molecular signatures of natural and sexual selection in wild bighorn sheep.
Molecular Ecology, **24**, 5616–5632.

Kess T, Bentzen P, Lehnert SJ *et al.* (2019) A migration-associated supergene reveals
loss of biocomplexity in Atlantic cod. *Science Advances*, **5**, eaav2461.

Kess T, Bentzen P, Lehnert SJ *et al.* (2020) Modular chromosome rearrangements reveal
parallel and nonparallel adaption in a marine fish. *Ecology and Evolution*, 1–16.

Kirkpatrick M, Barrett B (2015) Chromosome inversions, adaptive cassettes and the
evolution of species' ranges. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 2046–2055.

Kirkpatrick M, Barton N (2006) Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation.
Genetics, **173**, 419–434.

Kirubakaran TG, Grove H, Kent MP *et al.* (2016) Two adjacent inversions maintain
genomic differentiation between migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod.
Molecular Ecology, **25**, 2130–2143.

Kivimäki M, Kärkkäinen K, Gaudeul M, Løe G, Ågren J (2007) Gene, phenotype and
function: GLABROUS1 and resistance to herbivory in natural populations of
Arabidopsis lyrata. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 453–462.

Kong A, Gudbjartsson DF, Sainz J *et al.* (2002) A high-resolution recombination map of
the human genome. *Nature Genetics*, **31**, 241–247.

Korunes KL, Noor MAF (2019) Pervasive gene conversion in chromosomal inversion

heterozygotes. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1302–1315.

Krimbas CB, Powell JR (1992) *Drosophila inversion polymorphism*. CRC Press.

Kuparinen A, Hutchings JA (2012) Consequences of fisheries-induced evolution for
population productivity and recovery potential. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*
Biological Sciences, **279**, 2571–2579.

Kuparinen A, Hutchings JA (2017) Genetic architecture of age at maturity can generate
divergent and disruptive harvest-induced evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of*
the Royal Society of London - Series B: Biological Sciences, **372**, 20160035.

Küpper C, Stocks M, Risse JE *et al.* (2015) A supergene determines highly divergent
male reproductive morphs in the ruff. *Nature Genetics*, **48**, 79–83.

Lamichhaney S, Fuentes-Pardo AP, Rafati N *et al.* (2017) Parallel adaptive evolution of
geographically distant herring populations on both sides of the North Atlantic
Ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **114**, E3452–E3461.

Lampert KP, Schmidt C, Fischer P *et al.* (2010) Report determination of onset of sexual
maturation and mating behavior by melanocortin receptor 4 polymorphisms. *Current*
Biology, **20**, 1729–1734.

Lee C-R, Wang B, Mojica JP *et al.* (2017) Young inversion with multiple linked QTLs
under selection in a hybrid zone. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, **1**, 0119.

Lindtke D, Lucek K, Soria-Carrasco V *et al.* (2017) Long-term balancing selection on
chromosomal variants associated with crypsis in a stick insect. *Molecular Ecology*,
26, 6189–6205.

Lowry DB, Willis JH (2010) A widespread chromosomal inversion polymorphism
contributes to a major life-history transition, local adaptation, and reproductive
isolation. *PLoS Biology*, **8**, e1000500.

Lucek K, Gompert Z, Nosil P (2019) The role of structural genomic variants in
population differentiation and ecotype formation in *Timema cristinae* walking sticks.
Molecular Ecology, **28**, 12274–1237.

Merot C, Berdan EL, Babin C *et al.* (2018) Intercontinental karyotype – environment
parallelism supports a role for a chromosomal inversion in local adaptation in a
seaweed fly. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, **285**,
20180519.

Mérot C, Llaurens V, Normandeau E, Bernatchez L, Wellenreuther M (2019) Balancing
selection via life-history trade-offs maintains an inversion polymorphism in a
seaweed fly. *bioRxiv*, 1–18.

Mérot C, Oomen RA, Tigano A, Wellenreuther M (in review) A roadmap towards
understanding the evolutionary significance of structural genomic variation. *Trends*
in Ecology & Evolution.

Merritt JR, Grogan KE, Zinzow-Kramer WM *et al.* (2020) A behavioral polymorphism
caused by a single gene inside a supergene. *bioRxiv*, 1–9.

Micheletti SJ, Hess JE, Zendt JS, Narum SR (2018) Selection at a genomic region of
major effect is responsible for evolution of complex life histories in anadromous
steelhead. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **18**, 140.

Le Moan A, Bekkevold D, Hemmer-Hansen J (2019a) Evolution at two time-frames:
ancient and singular origin of two structural variants involved in local adaptation of
the European plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*). *bioRxiv*, 1–38.

Le Moan A, Gaggiotti O, Henriques R *et al.* (2019b) Beyond parallel evolution: when

several species colonize the same environmental gradient. *bioRxiv*, 1–43.

Morales HE, Faria R, Johannesson K *et al.* (2019) Genomic architecture of parallel
ecological divergence: beyond a single environmental contrast. *Science Advances*, **5**,
eaav9963.

Mullon C, Keller L, Lehmann L (2018) Social polymorphism is favoured by the co-
evolution of dispersal with social behaviour. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **2**, 132–
140.

Narum SR, Genova A Di, Micheletti SJ, Maass A (2018) Genomic variation underlying
complex life-history traits revealed by genome sequencing in Chinook salmon.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences, **285**, 20180935.

Nishikawa H, Iijima T, Kajitani R *et al.* (2015) A genetic mechanism for female-limited
Batesian mimicry in *Papilio* butterfly. *Nature Genetics*, **47**, 405–409.

Nosil P, Funk DJ, Ortiz-Barrientos D (2009) Divergent selection and heterogeneous
genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 375–402.

Oomen RA (2019) The genomic basis and spatial scale of variation in thermal responses
of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Doctoral thesis. Dalhousie University.

Oomen RA, Hutchings JA (2015) Variation in spawning time promotes genetic
variability in population responses to environmental change in a marine fish.
Conservation Physiology, **3**, 1–12.

Oomen RA, Hutchings JA (2016) Genetic variation in plasticity of life-history traits
between Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) populations exposed to contrasting thermal
regimes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **94**, 257–264.

Orr HA, Unckless RL (2014) The population genetics of evolutionary rescue. *PLoS*
Genetics, **10**, e1004551.

Ortiz-Barrientos D, Engelstädter J, Rieseberg LH (2016) Recombination rate evolution
and the origin of species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **31**, 226–236.

Palumbi SR, Barshis DJ, Traylor-Knowles N, Bay RA (2014) Mechanisms of reef coral
resistance to future climate change. *Science*, **344**, 895–899.

Pang AW, Macdonald JR, Pinto D *et al.* (2010) Towards a comprehensive structural
variation map of an individual human genome. *Genome Biology*, **11**, R52.

Pearse DE, Barson NJ, Nome T *et al.* (2019) Sex-dependent dominance maintains
migration supergene in rainbow trout. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **3**, 1–12.

Pearse DE, Miller MR, Abadıa-Cardoso A, Garza JC (2014) Rapid parallel evolution of
standing variation in a single, complex ,genomic region is associated with life
history in steelhead/rainbow trout. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological*
Sciences, **281**, 20140012.

Pettersson ME, Rochus CM, Han F *et al.* (2019) A chromosome-level assembly of the
Atlantic herring – detection of a supergene and other signals of selection. *bioRxiv*,
1–49.

Phillips RB (2005) Chromosome morphology. In: *Stock Identification Methods* (eds
Cadrin SX, Friedland KD, Waldman JR), pp. 273–294. Elsevier, Amsterdam,
Netherlands.

Ragsdale AP, Gravel S (2019) Unbiased estimation of linkage disequilibrium from
unphased data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 1–10.

Rane R V, Rako L, Kapun M, Lee SF, Hoffmann AA (2015) Genomic evidence for role
of inversion 3RP of *Drosophila melanogaster* in facilitating climate change

adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 2423–2432.

Rieseberg LH (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution, **16**, 351–358.

Rogers SM, Mee JA, Bowles E (2011) The consequences of genomic architecture on
ecological speciation in postglacial fishes. *Current Zoology*, **59**, 53–71.

Rowan BA, Heavens D, Feuerborn TR *et al.* (2019) An ultra high-density *Arabidopsis*
thaliana crossover map that refines the influences of structural variation and
epigenetic features. *Genetics*, **213**, 771–787.

Rubenstein DR, Ågren JA, Carbone L *et al.* (2019) Coevolution of genome architecture
and social behavior. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **34**, 844–855.

Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J (2013) Ecological genomics of local adaptation.
Nature Reviews Genetics, **14**, 807–820.

Schaeffer SW (2008) Selection in heterogeneous environments maintains the gene
arrangement polymorphism of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Evolution*, **62**, 3082–
3099.

Schwander T, Libbrecht R, Keller L (2014) Supergenes and complex phenotypes.
Current Biology, **24**, R288–R294.

Sodeland M, Jorde PE, Lien S *et al.* (2016) "Islands of Divergence" in the Atlantic cod
genome represent polymorphic chromosomal rearrangements. *Genome Biology &*
Evolution, **8**, 1012–1022.

Stevison LS, Sefick S, Rushton C, Graze RM (2017) Recombination rate plasticity:
revealing mechanisms by design. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences, **372**, 20160459.

Sturtevant AH (1921) A case of rearrangement of genes in Drosophila. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences, **7**, 235–237.

Therkildsen NO, Wilder AP, Conover DO *et al.* (2019) Contrasting genomic shifts
underlie parallel phenotypic evolution in response to fishing. *Science*, **490**, 487–490.

Thomas JW, Cáceres M, Lowman JJ *et al.* (2008) The chromosomal polymorphism
linked to variation in social behavior in the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia*
albicollis) is a complex rearrangement and suppressor of recombination. *Genetics*,
179, 1455–1468.

Thompson TQ, Bellinger MR, Rourke SMO *et al.* (2019) Anthropogenic habitat
alteration leads to rapid loss of adaptive variation and restoration potential in wild
salmon populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, **116**,
177–186.

Tigano A, Friesen VL (2016) Genomics of local adaptation with gene flow. *Molecular*
Ecology, **25**, 2144–2164.

Tigano A, Reiertsen TK, Walters JR, Friesen VL (2018) A complex copy number variant
underlies differences in both colour plumage and cold adaptation in a dimorphic
seabird. *bioRxiv*, 1–14.

Todesco M, Owens GL, Bercovich N *et al.* (2019) Massive haplotypes underlie ecotypic
differentiation in sunflowers. *bioRxiv*, 1–66.

Toews DPL, Taylor SA, Streby HM, Kramer GR, Lovette IJ (2019) Selection on
VPS13A linked to migration in a songbird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America, **116**, 18272–18274.

Twyford AD, Friedman J (2015) Adaptive divergence in the monkey flower *Mimulus*

guttatus is maintained by a chromosomal inversion. *Evolution*, **69**, 1476–1486.

Veale AJ, Russello MA (2017) An ancient selective sweep linked to reproductive life history evolution in sockeye salmon. *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 1747.

Wallberg A, Schöning C, Webster MT, Hasselmann M (2017) Two extended haplotype blocks are associated with adaptation to high altitude habitats in East African honey bees. *PLoS Genetics*, **13**, 1–30.

Waples RS, Lindley ST (2018) Genomics and conservation units: the genetic basis of adult migration timing in Pacific salmonids. *Evolutionary Applications*, **11**, 1518–1526.

Waples RS, Naish KA, Primmer CR (2019) Conservation and management of salmon in the age of genomics. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, **8**, 1–27.

Wellband K, Mérot C, Linnansaari T *et al.* (2019) Chromosomal fusion and life history-associated genomic variation contribute to within-river local adaptation of Atlantic salmon. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1439–1459.

Wellenreuther M, Bernatchez L (2018) Eco-evolutionary genomics of chromosomal inversions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **33**, 427–440.

Wellenreuther M, Mérot C, Berdan E, Bernatchez L (2019) Going beyond SNPs: the role of structural genomic variants in adaptive evolution and species diversification. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**, 1203–1209.

Wu C-I (2001) The genic view of the process of speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **14**, 851–865.

Yeaman S (2013) Genomic rearrangements and the evolution of clusters of locally adaptive loci. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, E1743–E1751.

Yeaman S, Otto SP (2011) Establishment and maintenance of adaptive genetic divergence under migration, selection, and drift. *Evolution*, **65**, 2123–2129.

Yeaman S, Whitlock MC (2011) The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-selection balance. *Evolution*, **65**, 1897–1911.

Zinzow-Kramer WM, Horton BM, Mckee CD *et al.* (2015) Genes located in a chromosomal inversion are correlated with territorial song in white-throated sparrows. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, **14**, 641–654.

Table captions

Table 1: Genomic regions in linkage disequilibrium that are associated with environmental or life history traits under selection.

Figure captions

Figure 1: The evolution of age at maturity in Atlantic salmon in response to fishing under hypothetical (a,c) single- and (b,d) multi-locus scenarios for genetic architecture of the trait. The beginning and end of the fishing period with fishing mortality of 0.20 are indicated by dashed vertical lines. Each line represents one replicate simulation (N=10). The top panels show all replicate simulations overlaid on each other, whereas the bottom panels show each replicate on a separate row.

Figure 2: Linkage disequilibrium exists on a spectrum influenced by several factors potentially affecting recombination rate (r) among loci. Rather than a mechanistically accurate diagram, consider this figure as a roughly organized corkboard onto which the various factors and conditions have been pinned.

Figure 3: Hypothetical genomic architectures (top row), corresponding model parameters (middle row), and evolutionary simulations (bottom row) for a polygenic trait in a diploid individual with two chromosomes that is under a temporary period of directional selection (grey bars): (a) a single locus of large effect, (b) ten loci of small effect with negligible linkage disequilibrium (LD), and (c) ten loci of small effect with strong LD. Red bars indicate the position of individual loci along a chromosome. Model parameters include the number of loci (N_{loci}), their effect sizes, and the degree of LD among them (when applicable), whereby continuous dashed lines indicate negligible levels of LD and continuous solid lines indicate strong LD. Individual black lines represent hypothetical replicate simulations (N=3).

Table 1: Genomic regions in linkage disequilibrium that are associated with environmental or life history traits under selection.

Species name	Common name	Variant architecture	Variant names and/or locations	Associated phenotype	References
Plants					
Boechera stricta	Drummond's rockcress	inversion	LG1	environmental adaptation (water regime)	(Lee *et al.* 2017)
Helianthus spp.	sunflower	haploblocks and inversions	genome-wide (*N*=37)	environmental adaptation (climate) and reproduction (morphology)	(Todesco *et al.* 2019)
Mimulus guttatus	monkeyflower	inversion	DIV1 on chromosome 8	perenniality	(Lowry & Willis 2010; Twyford & Friedman 2015; Coughlan & Willis 2019)
Zea mays ssp. *mays*	highland maize	inversion	Inv4m	environmental adaptation (highland)	(Crow *et al.* 2019)
Invertebrates					
Anopheles spp.	mosquito	inversions	genome-wide (*N*=17)	environmental adaptation (latitudinal clines, altitude-associated habitat)	(Ayala *et al.* 2014, 2017)
Apis mellifera	East African honeybee	inversions	r7, r9	environmental adaptation (altitude-associated habitat)	(Wallberg *et al.* 2017; Christmas *et al.* 2019)
Coelopa frigida	seaweed fly	inversion	chromosome 1	environmental adaptation (latitudinal clines)	(Merot *et al.* 2018; Mérot *et al.* 2019)
Drosophila melanogaster	fruit fly	inversions	In(3R)Payne (3RP), In(3L)P, In(3R)C, In(2L)t	environmental adaptation (latitudinal and altitudinal clines)	(Krimbas & Powell 1992; Anderson *et al.* 2005; Rane *et al.* 2015; Kapun & Fabian 2016; Kapun & Flatt 2019)
Drosophila mojavensis	fruit fly	inversions	chromosome 2 (*N*=7)	environmental adaptation (desert and/or host plant)	(Guillén & Ruiz 2012)
Drosophila pseudoobscura	fruit fly	inversions	AR, PP, CH, ST, TL	environmental adaptation (latitudinal clines)	(Schaeffer 2008; Fuller *et al.* 2016, 2017)
Littorina saxatilis	rough periwinkle	inversions, putative inversions	genome-wide (*N*=17)	environmental adaptation (wave vs. crab ecotype, low-shore vs. high shore)	(Faria *et al.* 2019; Morales *et al.* 2019)
Teleosts					

Species name	Common name	Variant architecture	Variant names and/or locations	Associated phenotype	References
Gadus morhua	Atlantic cod	inversions	LG02, LG07, LG12	environmental adaptation (latitudinal and salinity clines)	(Bradbury *et al.* 2010; Berg *et al.* 2015; Sodeland *et al.* 2016; Barth *et al.* 2017, 2019)
		inversion	LG01	migratory behaviour	(Berg *et al.* 2016, 2017; Kirubakaran *et al.* 2016; Kess *et al.* 2019)
Menidia menidia	Atlantic silverside	block of differentiation	chromosome 24	environmental adaptation (latitudinal clines), growth	(Therkildsen *et al.* 2019)
Salmo salar	Atlantic salmon	fusion	Ssa08/Ssa29	environmental adaptation (summer precipitation)	(Wellband *et al.* 2019)
		linked genomic region	Ssa09	sea age at maturity, run timing	(Johnston *et al.* 2014; Barson *et al.* 2015; Cauwelier *et al.* 2018)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	rainbow trout	double inversion	Omy05	migratory behaviour (anadromous vs. resident and fluvial vs. adfluvial), environmental adaptation (latitudinal and temperature clines)	(Pearse *et al.* 2014, 2019; Arostegui *et al.* 2019)
Oncorhynchus nerka	sockeye salmon	linked genomic region	Ssa09 homolog	environmental adaptation (stream- vs. lake-spawning ecotypes)	(Veale & Russello 2017)
Clupea harengus	Atlantic herring	inversion	chromosome 12	environmental adaptation (latitudinal clines), spawning time	(Barrio *et al.* 2016; Lamichhaney *et al.* 2017; Fuentes-Pardo *et al.* 2019; Pettersson *et al.* 2019)
Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Chinook salmon	linked genomic region	Ots28	timing of arrival to spawning grounds	(Narum *et al.* 2018)
Ammodytes tobianus	lesser sandeel	haploblock	unknown (13 linked SNPs)	putative environmental adaptation (sea bottom temperature)	(Jiménez-Mena *et al.* 2019)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	steelhead trout	linked genomic region	Omy28	migration timing	(Micheletti *et al.* 2018)
Mallotus villosus	capelin	fusion	chromosome 2 and 9	environmental adaptation (spawning site, temperature)	(Cayuela *et al.* 2019)

Species name	Common name	Variant architecture	Variant names and/or locations	Associated phenotype	References
Gasterosteus aculeatus	three-spined stickleback	inversions	chromosome 1, 11, and 21	environmental adaptation (marine vs. freshwater)	(Jones *et al.* 2012)
Pleuronectes platessa	European plaice	putative inversions	SV19, SV21	environmental adaptation (latitudinal and salinity clines)	(Le Moan *et al.* 2019a; b)
Aves					
Uria aalge	common murre	complex copy number variant	scaffold 72	plumage colouration, thermal adaptation	(Tigano *et al.* 2018)

Figure 1: The evolution of age at maturity in Atlantic salmon in response to fishing under hypothetical (a,c) single- and (b,d) multi-locus scenarios for genetic architecture of the trait. The beginning and end of the fishing period with fishing mortality of 0.20 are indicated by dashed vertical lines. Each line represents one replicate simulation (*N*=10). The top panels show all replicate simulations overlaid on each other, whereas the bottom panels show each replicate on a separate row.

Figure 2: Linkage disequilibrium exists on a spectrum influenced by several factors potentially affecting recombination rate (r) among loci. Rather than a mechanistically accurate diagram, consider this figure as a roughly organized corkboard onto which the various factors and conditions have been pinned.

Figure 3: Hypothetical genomic architectures (top row), corresponding model parameters (middle row), and evolutionary simulations (bottom row) for a polygenic trait in a diploid individual with two chromosomes that is under a temporary period of directional selection (grey bars): (a) a single locus of large effect, (b) ten loci of small effect with negligible linkage disequilibrium (LD), and (c) ten loci of small effect with strong LD. Red bars indicate the position of individual loci along a chromosome. Model parameters include the number of loci (N_{loci}), their effect sizes, and the degree of LD among them (when applicable), whereby continuous dashed lines indicate negligible levels of LD and continuous solid lines indicate strong LD. Individual black lines represent hypothetical replicate simulations (N=3).