Design for Fast Optogenetic Screen In Mammalian Cells

For Next Gen Ca^{2+} Sensors

Merrilee Thomas[1*], Scott Martinka[2], and Thom Hughes[1,2]

[1] Department of Neuroscience and Cell biology, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, United States of America

[2] Montana Molecular, Bozeman, Montana, United States of America

*Corresponding Author

Merrileethomas1@gmail.com

Abstract

Genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors are proving to be powerful tools in neuroscience. The GCaMP6 Ca^{2+} sensor is widely used, and there are now many proof-of-principle versions for many second messengers that show promise. Improving these has been challenging because testing them involves a low throughput, labor-intensive processes. Our goal was to create a live cell system that uses a simple, reproducible, optogenetic process for testing prototypes of genetically encoded biosensors.

Blue light was used to activate an adenylyl cyclase enzyme from the soil bacterium *Beggiatoia* (bPAC) that increases intracellular cAMP (Stierl et al. 2011) as detected by the red sensor R-CaDDis. In turn the cAMP opened a cAMP gated channel (olfactory cyclic nucleotide gated channel, CNG, or the hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide gated channel, HCN2). This produced slow Ca^{2+} transients as detected by R-GECO1.2. To speed these transients up, we added the inwardly rectifying potassium channel 2.1, Kir2.1, and the bacterial voltage gated sodium channel (NAVROSD). This is a modular system in which the kinds of channels, and their relative amounts, can be tuned to produce the cellular behavior crucial for screening a particular biosensor in an automated format.

Introduction

Why are Ca^{2+} sensors important?

The calcium ion is an important second messenger in cellular processes ranging from neuronal function and muscle contractility, to fertilization and embryogenesis (Bear, Connors,

and Paradiso 2007). In the mammalian neuron, Ca^{2+} plays a role in many signaling pathways,

ultimately controlling the release of neurotransmitters from neurons. Genetically encoded Ca^{2+}

indicators contain fluorescent proteins that change fluorescence intensity as a function of Ca^{2+}

concentration (Kerruth et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2019) . They are minimally invasive, and since

they are genetically encoded their expression can be exquisitely targeted to specific cell types

and tissues (Agetsuma, Matsuda, and Nagai 2017; Bruton, Cheng, and Westerblad 2020; Kim

et al. 2019). The fluorescence of these biosensors is typically captured with wide field

microscopy and standard CCD cameras. However, there are many new imaging approaches

to capture the wealth of information they provide. Two photon microscopes make it possible to

image micro domains of Ca^{2+} signals within neurons in thick living tissue (T.-W. Chen et al.

2013; Peron et al. 2015). Light sheet microscopy makes it possible to now capture entire larval

zebrafish brain activity at single cell resolution at 2 Hz (Ahrens et al. 2013). Recently, Ca^{2+}

sensors are being coupled with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Barandov et al.

2019; Ghosh et al. 2018).

There are now several Ca^{2+} sensors that can sense Ca^{2+} transients in cells with

millisecond response times and that are bright enough to image in living tissue (Ouzounov et

al. 2017). Most of these sensors have blue excitation and green emission peaks. Currently, the

sensors with the fastest kinetic response time to Ca^{2+} flux are the Ultrafast GCaMP6f, jRCaMP

and jRGECO1a (Helassa et al. 2016; Kerruth et al. 2019). The recent jGCaMP7 sensor makes

it possible to capture individual action potentials and can track large neuronal populations

when using two photon or wide field imaging (Dana et al. 2019).

There is still a need for better Ca^{2+} sensors that are red (Zhao et al. 2011), or near IR

(Qian et al. 2019), because these longer wavelengths enable investigators to image deeper

into thick tissues that absorb and scatter light in the blue - green range. A greater maximal

change in fluorescence for these sensors is needed, since a better signal to noise ratio can

help increase imaging speeds and increase the field of view. Brighter sensors can be

detectable with lower expression levels. This could be important since overexpression can lead

to epileptiform activity (Dana et al. 2019). Sensors built with calcium binding properties such as

calmodulin present specific problems *in vivo* such as buffering the internal Ca^{2+} concentration,

and activation of kinases and phosphatases, and modulate ion channels(Mank and Griesbeck

2008). Further, creating sensors with linear response functions is needed, since the

nonlinearities in GCaMP has led to decreased ability to accurately detect spikes (Deneux et al.

2016). Finally, jGCaMP7 and G-GECO1 sensors are imaged using green light. The short

wavelengths of light needed to image these sensors can heat and damage the brain, which

decreases the duration of imaging possible of the tissue (Podgorski and Ranganathan 2016).

One of the most effective approaches to expanding the fluorescent biosensor toolbox

involves screening thousands of prototypes and then evolving for optimal function(Ibraheem et

al. 2011; Park et al. 2013; Ding et al. 2015; Alford et al. 2012). For ease of use, this can be

done in *E.coli*. colonies or lysates where it is possible to screen >10,000 colonies per round of

evolution (Zhao et al. 2011); (Zhao et al. 2014)**.** A drawback of this approach is that a sensor

that works in *E.coli* may not work as well in mammalian cells. A more labor intensive, lower

throughput, approach is to screen in mammalian neurons. For example, in the evolution of

GCaMP6 to GCaMP7, 446 variants were screened, similarly R-Geco to J-RGeco1 required

screening 689 variants, and RCaMP1 to JRCaMP1 screened 934 variants (Dana et al. 2016,

2019).

What if we could screen thousands of variants in mammalian cells for sensitivity, speed

and dynamic range? In theory Ca^{2+} sensors could be screened in excitable human embryonic

kidney cells (HEK-293 cells). The Cohen lab has pioneered the use of excitable HEK-293 cells

to screen voltage sensors. They combined the inwardly rectifying potassium channel (Kir2.1)

and voltage gated sodium channel (NAV1.3) and field stimulation to produce rhythmic

depolarization and hyperpolarization in HEK cells (Park et al. 2013; Zhang, Reichert, and

Cohen 2016),(Shah et al. 2004). They then created a stable cell line that expresses Kir2.1,

Nav1.7 and CheRiff, a channelrhodopsin. This can be photoactivated thereby creating a

system that produced oscillating membrane voltage (Zhang and Cohen 2017). Yet, there are

inherent problems with stable cell lines, such as drift and silencing. In addition, the level of

expression of each of the channels cannot be easily changed.

Similarly, another paper described a cell line that had pacemaker like activity. They

stably expressed the HCN2 and Kir2.1 channels, and transiently expressed a voltage-gated

channel Cav3.1 or Nav5.1 (K. Chen et al. 2018). HCN2 is a hyperpolarization activated, cyclic

nucleotide gated ion channel (K. Chen et al. 2018). Nav1.5 is a voltage gated sodium channel

responsible for action potentials in cardiomyocytes (K. Chen et al. 2018). Chen et al. found

that cells expressing HCN2 and Kir2.1 had action potentials with depolarizations that last over

~450 ms, and a hyperpolarization phase that lasts ~737 ms (K. Chen et al. 2018). With either

Cav3.1 or Nav5.1 expressed in conjunction with the Kir2.1 and HCN2, they found that there

was an average of one action potential per second. This suggests that even without field

stimulation, cells expressing these channels can create their own auto-depolarization leading

to rhythmic oscillations in membrane potential. They also showed that the currents produced

by the voltage gated channels influenced the speed at which the action potentials occur.

In theory, we could use similar channels to create cells that do not require field

stimulation, and have faster action potentials and whole cell Ca^{2+} transients. We wanted the

system to be automatable, inexpensive, modular, and useful in many different cell types. Our

goal was to create a screening platform for biosensors that is consistent, reliable, and capable

of quickly screening thousands of prototypes.

Results

Establishing an Optical Actuator

How can we actuate a biosynthetic cell line using light? To develop a optogenetically

controlled screen we chose to use bPAC (blue photo activated cyclase), a blue light activated

adenylyl cyclase from the soil bacteria *Beggiatoia* (Stierl et al. 2011). bPAC enzyme is

activated with 480 nm light which then converts ATP into cyclic adenosine monophosphate

(cAMP). R-caDDis is a fluorescent cAMP sensor that is excited with 561 nm light (Bernal

Sierra et al. 2018). We began by examining whether blue light stimulus can produce a

measurable change in cAMP levels in HEK-293 cells.

We transduced HEK-293 cells with bPAC (2x10^11 Vg/mL, viral titer) and R-caDDis (5 x

10^10 Vg/mL) (Fig.1 A). The following day the cells were stimulated with 10 milliseconds of

blue light and then images were collected continuously with 561 nm excitation that does not

activate bPAC. Upon blue light photoactivation, the R-CaDDis red fluorescence increased.

The quantification of the red fluorescence reveals that cAMP levels within the cell rise over the

time frame of ~100 seconds (Fig.1C). An obvious increase in red fluorescence can be seen

upon blue light activation and is demonstrated in the images of HEK-293 cells taken pre and

10 seconds post blue light stimulation (Fig.1B). Control cells with no bPAC did not show an

increase in red fluorescence. The system response is remarkably reproducible and the same

cells can be repeatedly stimulated. Indeed the only limitation is that too much blue light given

over a short period of time will create too much cAMP and saturate the sensor. The slow

decrease in fluorescence of R-CaDDis is most likely due to the phosphodiesterases present in

the cell which work to eliminate cAMP (Tewson et al. 2016).

Figure 1 : **20ms of blue light is sufficient to activate bPAC.** A) HEK-293 cells were

transduced with bPAC (the actuator) and red-caDDis, the red fluorescence cAMP sensor. B)

The cells were briefly illuminated with 20ms of 480nm light. This activation of bPAC increases

cAMP levels which increases R-cADDis fluorescence. Brightness and contrast were the same

for both images. C) 20 ms of blue light activates bPAC which produces bursts of cAMP over a

period of 100 seconds. This is a stereotyped response that is repeatable with multiple doses of

blue light.

Dose Dependence

To examine the dose-response relationship for blue light and cAMP production, the

intensity of the blue light was systematically varied during stimulation while quantifying the r-

CaDDis fluorescence. HEK-293 cells were transduced with bPAC and R-caDDis, and each

well in a 96-well plate was given a 15 milliseconds dose of blue light. For each well, the power

of the LED 480nm light was increased stepwise from 0% to 100% in increments of five using a

THORLABS LED controller. At 100% power the light source measured 70mW/cm^2. Figure 2

reveals a near-linear dose response in which increasing levels of blue light produces increases

in cAMP levels. Above 50% power to the LED, there was an increased variability in the

response that is most likely due to saturating the sensor with cAMP. It is possible that lowering

the level of bPAC expression would make it possible to create a system with a greater range of

tunability before saturating the sensor with cAMP. The fluorescence change, delta F, was used

to determine the cAMP level and all points in the dose response are an average of multiple

trials (Fig. 2).

Figure 2: **There is a linear dose/response relationship between the power of blue**

excitation and the fluorescence response of R-caDDis. As the blue light intensity

increases so does the cAMP production. The delta F is a measure between the base and max

of the change in fluorescence (inset). Each data point represents an average of four trials.

Coupling the Actuator with an ion Channel

To couple cAMP production with depolarization, we expressed a cyclic nucleotide-gated

ion (CNG or HCN2) channel. The CNG channel is normally gated by cyclic guanosine

monophosphate (cGMP) (Fesenko, Kolesnikov, and Lyubarsky 1985). However, several

mutations can be introduced (Rich et al. 2000) that render it sensitive to cAMP. In theory, this

mutant channel should couple bPAC activation to a current that depolarizes the cell. In addition

the HCN2 is cAMP gated and must be hyperpolarized to activate(K. Chen et al. 2018).

We expressed a CNG channel (1.26 x 10^11 Vg/mL), bPAC, and R-GECO1 in HEK

cells (Fig. 3A). We triggered the activation of bPAC with blue light. Figure three illustrates that

upon 20ms of blue light activation of the enzyme, the red fluorescence of R-GECO increased.

The opening of the CNG channel increased Ca^{2+} levels in the cell which was quantified by an

increase in red fluorescence levels of R-GECO1 [16] (Fig. 3). The Ca^{2+} levels (Fig 3. B) stayed

elevated for ~30-80 seconds before their levels began to fall. The control cells without bPAC

showed no response (supplemental Fig. 2).

Figure 3: Activation of bPAC leads to a Ca^{2+} transient when CNG or HCN2 is

expressed. A) Blue light stimulation of bPAC should produce cAMP that inturn opens the

mutant CNG channel. B) The red trace is the R-GECO response to 20 ms of blue light

activation in cells with both the CNG and bPAC. C) Blue light stimulation of bPAC should

produce cAMP that opens the HCN2 channel. D) the trace is the R-GECO1 response to 20ms

of blue light activation in cells with both the HCN2 and bPAC.

Additional Channels Can Tune the Speed and Frequency of Ca^{2+} Transients

While the bPAC and CNG or HCN2 produced very reliable Ca^{2+} transients, these were

long lived events with slow kinetics. In theory introducing voltage regulated potassium and

sodium conductance could speed these transients up. The recent paper by Chen et al. found

that varying the levels of Kir2.1, and Cav1.3 or Nav1.5 affected the membrane oscillations

when recording membrane voltage using electrophysiology (K. Chen et al. 2018).

Wild type HEK-293 cells have a resting membrane potential of -25 mV but with Kir2.1

expressed their resting potential decreases to -70mV (Nguyen, Kirkton, and Bursac 2016;

Hille 2001; Kirkton and Bursac 2011; Yu et al. 2004). Kir2.1 is an inwardly rectifying potassium

channel that upon hyperpolarization greater than -20mV increases its conductance producing

an outward current (Hille 2001).

A 2016 study by the Bursac lab (Nguyen, Kirkton, and Bursac 2016) describes the

bacterial sodium channel, NavRosDg217a (NavD). It is characterized by a rapid activation

state that begins to open when the cell depolarizes to -30mV and a slow inactivation state

(hundreds of milliseconds) that starts during the depolarization (Nguyen, Kirkton, and Bursac

2016). The NavD channel is rapidly inactivated at +40mV, although, it must return to a

hyperpolarized state below -40mV for it to move from an inactivated state to deactivated, at

which point the channel can re-open (Nguyen, Kirkton, and Bursac 2016). Co-expressing the

NavD channel with Kir2.1 can create an excitable system because the Kir2.1 hyperpolarizes

the cell after each spontaneous depolarization of the sodium channel.

We choose the NavRosDg217A for two reasons. First, the human sodium channel has

an enormous coding region that exceeds the carrying capacity of most viruses. Bacterial

channel subunits are much smaller. Secondly, we wanted to create a system with long slow

depolarizations such that membrane depolarization events could be captured with slower

imaging speeds (10Hz). We transduced the bacterial channel NavRosDg217A (Nguyen,

Kirkton, and Bursac 2016), Kir2.1, CNG, and R-GECO1 into HEK-293 cells. There is some

degree of spontaneous activity, but when we activate bPAC with 20ms of blue light there were

robust responses in all of the wells. There were obvious Ca^{2+} transients that occurred in 90%

of the cells, but the activity was largely uncoordinated. Each cell has its own "signature"

change in Ca^{2+} levels, as seen in the R-GECO1 fluorescence traces (Fig.4B). The

fluorescence traces encode the change in the intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration levels of the cell.

Figure 4: The kir2.1 and voltage gated sodium channel is vital for creating faster

Ca^{2+} transients. A) In theory introducing the NavD and Kir2.1 channel should create faster

oscillating Ca^{2+} transients in the cell. B) Each cell has a unique Ca^{2+} transient response after

blue light stimulation of bPAC.

Optimizing the Activity

Our goal was to create a highly reproducible system that can be optimized. We propose

that different levels of the Kir2.1 and NavD would lead to varying responses. We systematically

transduced cells with varying ratios of Kir2.1 and NavD while holding the levels of bPAC and

CNG channels constant. The different ratios of the channels lead to different responses. To

quantify the Ca^{2+} imaging data we analyzed data using a custom made Matlab Program (Fig.

5A). We wanted to break down each Ca^{2+} transient into components that could be analyzed

and looked at in multiple ways. For each Ca^{2+} transient we examined the prominence of the

peak, the full width of the peak at half maximum (FWHM), and the inter-peak interval.

Figure 5: Optimizing the ratios of voltage gated channels in the unstable system

illustrated in figure 4. A) Diagram of the Matlab analysis of the Ca^{2+} transients. Peak

intensity, full width of the peak at half maximum (FWHM), and inter-peak intervals were

analyzed. B) Varying the ratios of Kir2.1 to NavD produce varying Ca^{2+} transient event widths.

The mean peak (FWHM) was analyzed with the standard deviation(SD) of the mean reported.

C) The mean intervals between peaks correlate with the varied levels of Kir2.1 to NavD. SD of

mean shown. D) Peak intensity histograms of Ca^{2+} transient events in cells transduced with a

Kir2.1 to NavD ratio of 14:1.

The Peak Finder in Matlab marks the peaks by their location in time and their maximum

fluorescence intensity. The peak intensity was then measured from the base fluorescence to

the peak that was pre-determined using the Matlab Peak Finder (Fig. 5A). The peak intensity

of the events does not appear to be random. Histograms of peak intensity (Fig. 5D) show what

appear to be units of activity as though the Ca^{2+} response is integrating one, two, or three

events.

In addition, we examined the width of the peak which was measured as the full width of

the peak at half maximum (Fig. 5A). The peak (FWHM) of the Ca^{2+} event is the length of time

that the Ca^{2+} concentration stayed elevated for each defined peak. The fastest event occurred

for 120ms, to ensure that each event was captured we sampled with continuous 40ms

exposures. The fastest average event (Peak FWHM) for a Ca^{2+} transient occurred in cells with

a Kir2.1 to NavRosD viral infectious unit ratio of 22:1 and generally lasted for ~400ms. Next,

we measured the inter-peak interval, which is the difference between two consecutive peaks.

The inter-peak interval is a measure of how frequently Ca^{2+} transients occur in a given system

after a previous response. Again, the cells that exhibited the shortest average inter-peak

interval were cells that had the Kir2.1:NavD ratio of 22:1, although there was a great deal of

cell to cell variability. A single burst of blue light produced activity for an average of two- three

minutes.

Optimizing Activity with HCN2

The behavior of HCN2 was quite different than CNG. Figure six depicts the

experimental setup, with R-GECO1, bPAC, Kir2.1, and NavD introduced by viral transduction

followed by transient transfection of the HCN2 plasmid. The following day images were

collected with 561 nm excitation light for several minutes after a blue light activation pulse of

20ms.

Figure 6: Replacing the CNG channel with the pacemaker HCN2 channel

increases the Ca^{2+} transient duration and the time between events. A) The HCN2 channel

can be exchanged for CNG**.** B) The transient events lasted over several seconds. C) The

Inter-Peak Interval time increased in concordance to increased Ca^{2+} event widths. The

standard deviation of the mean is shown.

We found that the HCN2 produced longer inter-peak intervals, and longer Ca^{2+}

transients, than cells expressing the CNG channel (Fig. 6). We found that the fastest events

occurred in cells with a viral unit ratio of Kir2.1 to NavD of 14:1 with an average of ~3 seconds

(Fig.6b). Compared to cells expressing CNG, the HCN2 cells in general had Ca^{2+} transients

that lasted around seven seconds with the time between transients ranging between 30 to 60

seconds. Importantly, the HCN2 cells show continued Ca^{2+} transients that lasted for up to 14

minutes after a single stimulus of blue light.

Screening Green Sensors Is Possible

Although there are several well engineered Ca^{2+} biosensors that are green, there

is still room for improvement, and continued engineering on green Ca^{2+} sensors persists.

Further, if it is possible to image both red and green Ca^{2+} sensors simultaneously, that can be

used to benchmark the other in terms of speed, signal amplitude, and linearity. G-GECO1 is

based on the GCaMP sensor and is based on the circularly permuted GFP with a fusion to the

M13 and calmodulin at the N and C termini (Zhao et al. 2011). It is pH-sensitive and is

relatively dim until it is excited, at which point it has a two fold increase in fluorescence

compared to GCaMP3 (Zhao et al. 2011).

Imaging G-GECO while continuously activating bPAC was generally straightforward.

We held the virus amount of Kir2.1 at 4×10^{14} VG/μL , and NavD at 1.7×10^{13} VG/μL, at a viral

ratio of Kir2.1:NavD at 22:1. The CNG channel viral titer was held at 2.5×10^{14}VG/μL and we

expressed G-GECO1 heterologously (Fig. 7A). We varied the viral titer of bPAC from .5 - 4 x

10^{16} VG/μL . We found that decreasing the bPAC dilution to 1 x 10^{16} VG/μL decreased the

width of the Ca^{2+} event, as well as decreased the time between events (Supplemental Fig.1).

In principal an image splitter could be used to compare red sensors of unknown speed with a

benchmarked green sensor. This experiment shows that the system can be continuously

activated using very low power light.

Figure 7: Green Ca^{2+} indicators can be screened with low levels of blue light. A)

The continuous blue light illumination needed to image green Ca^{2+} sensors should

continuously activate bPAC. However, by reducing the amount of bPAC expression we are

able to create an unstable system. The viral titer ratio of Kir2.1:NavD are held constant (22:1)

and the total viral amount of bPAC was systematically varied. B) Increased viral levels of bPAC

lead to Ca^{2+} transients with longer widths. C) Increasing bPAC expression lead to increased

time between events. Standard deviation of the mean is shown.

Discussion

Our goal was to create a simple, modular, reproducible system to screen Ca^{2+} sensors.

The system can be optimized by varying the ratio of Kir2.1 to NavD channels, thereby

changing the type of Ca^{2+} transients that occur and the frequency at which they occur.

Therefore, optimizing the ratio of the channels to the experimenters preference for cell type

and sensor can empower an automated screen for Ca^{2+} sensors with different dynamic

properties.

The designing of the high throughput screen for Ca^{2+} sensors can be modified. If a slow

sensor is preferable, the HCN2 channel with a greater Kir2.1 to NavD ratio is desirable.

Otherwise, to discover a fast sensor with increased linearity to Ca^{2+} dynamics, the CNG

channel with a fast sodium channel would be favorable. For example, the Ca^{2+} transients width

(length of time transient occurs) could potentially be greatly reduced by using a faster voltage

gated sodium channel. In addition, several papers have shown that including the connexin-43

protein increases the gap junction coupling of the HEK-293 cells (Nguyen, Kirkton, and Bursac

2016; Kirkton and Bursac 2011; Fahrenbach, Mejia-Alvarez, and Banach 2007). We did not

test how connexin-43 might affect this system but speculate that it could potentially stabilize

the activity between the cells allowing for more consistent oscillations. If the throughput needs

to be increased, the screening process could be broken into two steps. First, the screen could

use a HCN2 channel (Fig.6A) and the cells could be imaged slowly without creating large data

files. The best variants could then be screened in cells expressing the CNG channel and a fast

sodium channel. These prototypes will be expressed in cells that also express a benchmark

sensor such as GCAMP7f. Finally, the most promising variants would then be photo physically

characterized (Molina et al. 2019; Barnett, Hughes, and Drobizhev 2017).

313   The progress on improving Ca^{2+} and voltage sensors depends on the throughput

of the screen (Park et al. 2013; Rodriguez et al. 2017). For example, the improvement of the

voltage sensors has been very slow due to limitations in the throughput involved in whole cell

patch clamp fluorometry (Storace et al. 2016; Park et al. 2013). The system described here will

enable investigators to screen without drugs or field stimulation. Recording the activity in a well

for a minute would eliminate false negatives and result in a time budget of roughly 100 minutes

per 96 well plate. Alternatively, the FLIPR (Schroeder and Neagle 1996; Arkin et al. 2012)

would make it possible to simultaneously screen 384 well plates which would move this screen

into a high throughput realm.

Methods

Growth conditions for HEK-293 cells

A line of HEK-293 were cultured in DMEM, 10% FBS, and 1% Penicillin (100 U/mL). For experiments, HEK-293 cells were plated in 96 well plastic plates at 200µl per well (~3 x10^5 cells/mL).

Plasmids

The HCN2 plasmid was kindly donated by Joan Lemire at Tufts University from Dr. Michael Levin's lab. The NavRosDg217 was reverse translated using a human codon preferences and synthesized as a Gblock by IDT (Coralville, Iowa). The cyclic nucleotide gated olfactory channel (Rattus norvegicus) has the following mutations, delta61–90/C460W/E583M and was synthesized as a Gblock by IDT. The rat channel can be found on NCBI at NP_037060.1 (Rich et al. 2001). pGEMTEZ-Kir2.1 was a gift from Richard Axel, Joseph Gogos & Ron Yu (Addgene plasmid #32641 ; http://n2t.net/addgene:32641 ; RRID:Addgene_32641)(Yu et al. 2004).

Baculovirus

The following channels, Kir2.1, CNG, and NavRosDg217 were placed into a BacMam plasmid and used to create high titer baculovirus (Montana Molecular, Bozeman, MT). The virus titers were: Kir2.1 titer 1.58x10^11 VG/mL, bPAC titer 1.98 x 10^11 VG/mL, CNG titer 1.26x10^11VG/mL, and NavRosDg217 titer 1.73 x 10^10VG/mL. The following bio-sensors

were obtained from Montana Molecular: The R-CaDDis (titer 5.06x10^10 Vg/mL); R-GECO

(1.32x10^10 Vg/mL); and G-GECO (4.48x10^10 Vg/mL).

Transduction

HEK-293 cells were prepared and transduced following manufacturer's recommended

protocol (Montana Molecular). HEK-293 cells were plated in 96 well plastic plates at a mono

confluent layer of (3 x 10^5 cells/mL) and were imaged two days following transduction. The

cells were washed with Tyrode's solution once and then each well was filled with 100µl of

Tyrode's solution.

Transfection of HCN2

24 hours post transduction the HEK-293 cells were grown to ~80% confluency in 96 well

plates and transfected using Mirus Bio *Trans*IT®-293 transfection reagent using the

manufacturer's recommendation. Cells were transfected with the HCN2 plasmid at 100ng/µl.

An ASI modular microscope

The experiments were conducted using wide field imaging on an ASI-XYZ stage fitted

with a modular infinity microscope. The objective imaged onto the detector chip of a

Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 4.0 scientific-CMOS camera. The images were collected using

either matlab scripts that controlled the camera, ASI stage, SH1-Thorlabs shutter, and

ThorLabs DC4100 Four Channel-LED Driver, or by using µmanager (Edelstein et al. 2014). A

custom illumination system was arranged. Briefly, a dichroic mirror (XX) was positioned at the

entrance of the microscope to combine blue light LED illumination with yellow laser

illumination. An SH1-Thorlabs shutter was used to create brief illumination from a blue

ThorLABS LED (488nm) for rapid stimulation of bPAC. At 100% the blue light illumination was

70mW/cm^2. The yellow illumination was provided with a Sapphire laser(561nm, 50mW,

Coherent). The laser beam was steered with two mirrors (arranged in a periscope) into an

entrance aperture of the ASI microscope. Before entering the microscope, the laser beam was

diffused with a 50^0 diffuser (ED1 C50 MD, Thorlabs) placed in the focus of an f = 20 mm

aspheric lens (ACL2520U, Thorlabs) that collimated the beam for further traveling into the

microscope. The illumination intensity at 100% of the laser power at the sample was

10mW/cm^2.

Analysis

Image data was stored in a Z-stack tiff file and loaded into the FIJI distribution of the

ImageJ software (Schindelin et al. 2012). The background fluorescence was corrected using

the photobleaching gui in FIJI. The cells were hand selected using a freehand ROI surrounding

the cell of interest. The average pixel value within the ROI for each frame was then loaded into

MatLab. Analysis was built in Matlab using the Find Peaks in the Signal Processing Toolbox.

The find peaks returns a vector with the local maxima (peaks) from the ROI trace data. In

addition, it returns the widths of the peak and the prominence of the peak. The inter-event

interval is the vector difference between each consecutive peak.

Acknowledgements

Science doesn't exist in a vacuum, and because of this I would like to thank the

following people: Paul Tewson for HEK-293 cells. Scott Martinka for making lots of great high

titer virus. Kevin Harlett for having a deep love of analyzing data. Rosana Molina. Kaspar

Podgorski, Steven Hoffman, Dr. Mark Owkes, and Jonathan King for Matlab wizardry. Huge

thanks to Joan Lemire at Tufts University for quick turnaround time and HCN2 plasmid. For

Thom Hughes and his strong belief in his graduate students. The Thomas family.

References

Agetsuma, Masakazu, Tomoki Matsuda, and Takeharu Nagai. 2017. "Methods for Monitoring
Signaling Molecules in Cellular Compartments." *Cell Calcium* 64 (June): 12–19.
Ahrens, Misha B., Michael B. Orger, Drew N. Robson, Jennifer M. Li, and Philipp J. Keller.
2013. "Whole-Brain Functional Imaging at Cellular Resolution Using Light-Sheet
Microscopy." *Nature Methods* 10 (5): 413–20.
Alford, Spencer C., Ahmed S. Abdelfattah, Yidan Ding, and Robert E. Campbell. 2012. "A
Fluorogenic Red Fluorescent Protein Heterodimer." *Chemistry & Biology* 19 (3): 353–60.
Arkin, Michelle R., Patrick R. Connor, Renee Emkey, Kim E. Garbison, Beverly A. Heinz, Todd
R. Wiernicki, Paul A. Johnston, Ramani A. Kandasamy, Nancy B. Rankl, and Sitta
Sittampalam. 2012. "FLIPR^{TM} Assays for GPCR and Ion Channel Targets." In *Assay
Guidance Manual*, edited by G. Sitta Sittampalam, Abigail Grossman, Kyle Brimacombe,
Michelle Arkin, Douglas Auld, Chris Austin, Jonathan Baell, et al. Bethesda (MD): Eli Lilly &
Company and the National Center for AdvancingTranslational Sciences.
Barandov, Ali, Benjamin B. Bartelle, Catherine G. Williamson, Emily S. Loucks, Stephen J.
Lippard, and Alan Jasanoff. 2019. "Sensing Intracellular Calcium Ions Using a Manganese-
Based MRI Contrast Agent." *Nature Communications* 10 (1): 897.
Barnett, Lauren M., Thomas E. Hughes, and Mikhail Drobizhev. 2017. "Deciphering the
Molecular Mechanism Responsible for GCaMP6m's Ca2+-Dependent Change in
Fluorescence." *PloS One* 12 (2): e0170934.
Bear, Mark F., Barry W. Connors, and Michael A. Paradiso. 2007. *Neuroscience*. Lippincott
Williams & Wilkins.
Bernal Sierra, Yinth Andrea, Benjamin R. Rost, Martin Pofahl, António Miguel Fernandes,
Ramona A. Kopton, Sylvain Moser, Dominik Holtkamp, et al. 2018. "Potassium Channel-
Based Optogenetic Silencing." *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 4611.
Bruton, Joseph, Arthur J. Cheng, and Håkan Westerblad. 2020. "Measuring Ca2+ in Living
Cells." *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 1131: 7–26.
Chen, Kuihao, Dongchuan Zuo, Sho-Ya Wang, and Haijun Chen. 2018. "Kir2 Inward
Rectification-Controlled Precise and Dynamic Balances between Kir2 and HCN Currents
Initiate Pacemaking Activity." *FASEB Journal: Official Publication of the Federation of
American Societies for Experimental Biology* 32 (6): 3047–57.
Chen, Tsai-Wen, Trevor J. Wardill, Yi Sun, Stefan R. Pulver, Sabine L. Renninger, Amy
Baohan, Eric R. Schreiter, et al. 2013. "Ultrasensitive Fluorescent Proteins for Imaging
Neuronal Activity." *Nature* 499 (7458): 295–300.
Dana, Hod, Boaz Mohar, Yi Sun, Sujatha Narayan, Andrew Gordus, Jeremy P. Hasseman,
Getahun Tsegaye, et al. 2016. "Sensitive Red Protein Calcium Indicators for Imaging
Neural Activity." *eLife* 5 (March). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12727.
Dana, Hod, Yi Sun, Boaz Mohar, Brad K. Hulse, Aaron M. Kerlin, Jeremy P. Hasseman,
Getahun Tsegaye, et al. 2019. "High-Performance Calcium Sensors for Imaging Activity in
Neuronal Populations and Microcompartments." *Nature Methods* 16 (7): 649–57.
Deneux, Thomas, Attila Kaszas, Gergely Szalay, Gergely Katona, Tamás Lakner, Amiram
Grinvald, Balázs Rózsa, and Ivo Vanzetta. 2016. "Accurate Spike Estimation from Noisy

Calcium Signals for Ultrafast Three-Dimensional Imaging of Large Neuronal Populations in
Vivo.” *Nature Communications* 7 (July): 12190.
Ding, Yidan, Jiao Li, Jhon Ralph Enterina, Yi Shen, Issan Zhang, Paul H. Tewson, Gary C. H.
Mo, et al. 2015. “Ratiometric Biosensors Based on Dimerization-Dependent Fluorescent
Protein Exchange.” *Nature Methods* 12 (3): 195–98.
Edelstein, Arthur D., Mark A. Tsuchida, Nenad Amodaj, Henry Pinkard, Ronald D. Vale, and
Nico Stuurman. 2014. “Advanced Methods of Microscope Control Using µManager
Software.” *Journal of Biological Methods* 1 (2). https://doi.org/10.14440/jbm.2014.36.
Fahrenbach, John P., Rafael Mejia-Alvarez, and Kathrin Banach. 2007. “The Relevance of Non-
Excitable Cells for Cardiac Pacemaker Function.” *The Journal of Physiology* 585 (Pt 2):
565–78.
Fesenko, E. E., S. S. Kolesnikov, and A. L. Lyubarsky. 1985. “Induction by Cyclic GMP of
Cationic Conductance in Plasma Membrane of Retinal Rod Outer Segment.” *Nature* 313
(6000): 310–13.
Ghosh, Souparno, Peter Harvey, Jacob C. Simon, and Alan Jasanoff. 2018. “Probing the Brain
with Molecular fMRI.” *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 50 (June): 201–10.
Helassa, Nordine, Borbala Podor, Alan Fine, and Katalin Török. 2016. “Design and Mechanistic
Insight into Ultrafast Calcium Indicators for Monitoring Intracellular Calcium Dynamics.”
Scientific Reports 6 (December): 38276.
Hille, Bertil. 2001. *Ionic Channels of Excitable Membranes*. Sinauer.
Huang, Lawrence, Ulf Knoblich, Peter Ledochowitsch, Jérôme Lecoq, R. Clay Reid, Saskia E. J.
de Vries, Michael A. Buice, et al. 2019. “Relationship between Spiking Activity and
Simultaneously Recorded Fluorescence Signals in Transgenic Mice Expressing GCaMP6.”
bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/788802.
Ibraheem, Andreas, Hongkin Yap, Yidan Ding, and Robert E. Campbell. 2011. “A Bacteria
Colony-Based Screen for Optimal Linker Combinations in Genetically Encoded
Biosensors.” *BMC Biotechnology* 11 (November): 105.
Kerruth, Silke, Catherine Coates, Céline D. Dürst, Thomas G. Oertner, and Katalin Török. 2019.
“The Kinetic Mechanisms of Fast-Decay Red-Fluorescent Genetically Encoded Calcium
Indicators.” *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 294 (11): 3934–46.
Kim, Douglas, Karel Svoboda, Loren Looger, and Eric Schreiter. 2019. Genetically encoded
calcium indicators and methods of use. USPTO 20190153067:A1. *US Patent*, filed
November 21, 2018, and issued May 23, 2019.
https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/48/48/53/5bb27ffa25d100/US20190153067A
1.pdf.
Kirkton, Robert D., and Nenad Bursac. 2011. “Engineering Biosynthetic Excitable Tissues from
Unexcitable Cells for Electrophysiological and Cell Therapy Studies.” *Nature
Communications* 2: 300.
Mank, Marco, and Oliver Griesbeck. 2008. “Genetically Encoded Calcium Indicators.” *Chemical
Reviews* 108 (5): 1550–64.
Molina, Rosana S., Yong Qian, Jiahui Wu, Yi Shen, Robert E. Campbell, Mikhail Drobizhev, and
Thomas E. Hughes. 2019. “Understanding the Fluorescence Change in Red Genetically
Encoded Calcium Ion Indicators.” *Biophysical Journal* 116 (10): 1873–86.
Nguyen, Hung X., Robert D. Kirkton, and Nenad Bursac. 2016. “Engineering Prokaryotic
Channels for Control of Mammalian Tissue Excitability.” *Nature Communications* 7
(October): 13132.
Ouzounov, Dimitre G., Tianyu Wang, Mengran Wang, Danielle D. Feng, Nicholas G. Horton,
Jean C. Cruz-Hernández, Yu-Ting Cheng, et al. 2017. “In Vivo Three-Photon Imaging of
Activity of GCaMP6-Labeled Neurons Deep in Intact Mouse Brain.” *Nature Methods* 14 (4):
388–90.
Park, Jeehae, Christopher A. Werley, Veena Venkatachalam, Joel M. Kralj, Sulayman D. Dib-

Hajj, Stephen G. Waxman, and Adam E. Cohen. 2013. "Screening Fluorescent Voltage
Indicators with Spontaneously Spiking HEK Cells." *PloS One* 8 (12): e85221.
Peron, Simon P., Jeremy Freeman, Vijay Iyer, Caiying Guo, and Karel Svoboda. 2015. "A
Cellular Resolution Map of Barrel Cortex Activity during Tactile Behavior." *Neuron* 86 (3):
783–99.
Podgorski, Kaspar, and Gayathri Ranganathan. 2016. "Brain Heating Induced by near-Infrared
Lasers during Multiphoton Microscopy." *Journal of Neurophysiology* 116 (3): 1012–23.
Qian, Yong, Kiryl D. Piatkevich, Benedict Mc Larney, Ahmed S. Abdelfattah, Sohum Mehta,
Mitchell H. Murdock, Sven Gottschalk, et al. 2019. "A Genetically Encoded near-Infrared
Fluorescent Calcium Ion Indicator." *Nature Methods* 16 (2): 171–74.
Rich, Thomas C., Kent A. Fagan, Hiroko Nakata, Jerome Schaack, Dermot M. F. Cooper, and
Jeffrey W. Karpen. 2000. "Cyclic Nucleotide–Gated Channels Colocalize with Adenylyl
Cyclase in Regions of Restricted Camp Diffusion." *The Journal of General Physiology* 116
(2): 147–62.
Rich, Thomas C., Tonia E. Tse, Joyce G. Rohan, Jerome Schaack, and Jeffrey W. Karpen.
2001. "In Vivo Assessment of Local Phosphodiesterase Activity Using Tailored Cyclic
Nucleotide–Gated Channels as Camp Sensors." *The Journal of General Physiology* 118
(1): 63–78.
Rodriguez, Erik A., Robert E. Campbell, John Y. Lin, Michael Z. Lin, Atsushi Miyawaki, Amy E.
Palmer, Xiaokun Shu, Jin Zhang, and Roger Y. Tsien. 2017. "The Growing and Glowing
Toolbox of Fluorescent and Photoactive Proteins." *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 42 (2):
111–29.
Schindelin, Johannes, Ignacio Arganda-Carreras, Erwin Frise, Verena Kaynig, Mark Longair,
Tobias Pietzsch, Stephan Preibisch, et al. 2012. "Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for
Biological-Image Analysis." *Nature Methods* 9 (7): 676–82.
Schroeder, Kirk S., and Brad D. Neagle. 1996. "FLIPR: A New Instrument for Accurate, High
Throughput Optical Screening." *Journal of Biomolecular Screening* 1 (2): 75–80.
Shah, Bhaval S., Anthony M. Rush, Shujun Liu, Lynda Tyrrell, Joel A. Black, Sulayman D. Dib-
Hajj, and Stephen G. Waxman. 2004. "Contactin Associates with Sodium Channel Nav1.3
in Native Tissues and Increases Channel Density at the Cell Surface." *The Journal of
Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 24 (33): 7387–99.
Stierl, Manuela, Patrick Stumpf, Daniel Udwari, Ronnie Gueta, Rolf Hagedorn, Aba Losi,
Wolfgang Gärtner, et al. 2011. "Light Modulation of Cellular cAMP by a Small Bacterial
Photoactivated Adenylyl Cyclase, bPAC, of the Soil Bacterium Beggiatoa." *The Journal of
Biological Chemistry* 286 (2): 1181–88.
Storace, Douglas, Masoud Sepehri Rad, Bokeum Kang, Lawrence B. Cohen, Thom Hughes,
and Bradley J. Baker. 2016. "Toward Better Genetically Encoded Sensors of Membrane
Potential." *Trends in Neurosciences* 39 (5): 277–89.
Tewson, Paul H., Scott Martinka, Nathan C. Shaner, Thomas E. Hughes, and Anne Marie
Quinn. 2016. "New DAG and cAMP Sensors Optimized for Live-Cell Assays in Automated
Laboratories." *Journal of Biomolecular Screening* 21 (3): 298–305.
Yu, C. Ron, Jennifer Power, Gilad Barnea, Sean O'Donnell, Hannah E. V. Brown, Joseph
Osborne, Richard Axel, and Joseph A. Gogos. 2004. "Spontaneous Neural Activity Is
Required for the Establishment and Maintenance of the Olfactory Sensory Map." *Neuron* 42
(4): 553–66.
Zhang, Hongkang, and Adam E. Cohen. 2017. "Optogenetic Approaches to Drug Discovery in
Neuroscience and Beyond." *Trends in Biotechnology* 35 (7): 625–39.
Zhang, Hongkang, Elaine Reichert, and Adam E. Cohen. 2016. "Optical Electrophysiology for
Probing Function and Pharmacology of Voltage-Gated Ion Channels." *eLife* 5 (May).
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.15202.
Zhao, Yongxin, Ahmed S. Abdelfattah, Yufeng Zhao, Araya Ruangkittisakul, Klaus Ballanyi,

Robert E. Campbell, and D. Jed Harrison. 2014. "Microfluidic Cell Sorter-Aided Directed
Evolution of a Protein-Based Calcium Ion Indicator with an Inverted Fluorescent
Response." *Integrative Biology* 6 (7): 714–25.
Zhao, Yongxin, Satoko Araki, Jiahui Wu, Takayuki Teramoto, Yu-Fen Chang, Masahiro Nakano,
Ahmed S. Abdelfattah, et al. 2011. "An Expanded Palette of Genetically Encoded Ca^{2+}
Indicators." *Science* 333 (6051): 1888–91.

541
542

Figure one : **Only 20ms of blue light is sufficient to activate bPAC.** A) HEK-293 cells were transduced with bPAC (the actuator) and red-caDDis, the red fluorescence cAMP sensor. B) The cells were briefly illuminated with 20ms of 480nm light. This activation of bPAC increases cAMP levels which increases R-cADDis fluorescence. Brightness and contrast were the same for both images. C) 20 ms of blue light activates bPAC which produces bursts of cAMP over a period of 100 seconds. This is a stereotyped response that is repeatable with multiple doses of blue light.

Figure 2: **There is a linear dose/response relationship between the power of blue excitation and the fluorescence response of R-caDDis.** As the blue light power increases so does the cAMP production. The delta F is a measure between the base and max of the change in fluorescence (inset). Each data point represents an average of multiple trials.

Figure 3: Activation of bPAC leads to a Ca2+ transient when CNG or HCN2 is expressed. A) Blue light stimulation of bPAC should produce cAMP that inturn opens the mutant CNG channel. B) The red trace is the R-GECO response to 20 ms of blue light activation in cells with both the CNG and bPAC. C) Blue light stimulation of bPAC should produce cAMP that opens the HCN2 channel. D) the trace is the R-GECO1 response to 20ms of blue light activation in cells with both the HCN2 and bPAC.

Figure Four : The voltage gated sodium channel is vital for creating an unstable system. A) In theory introducing the NavD channel should create oscillating calcium transients in the cell. B) Each cell has a unique calcium transient response after blue light stimulation of bPAC. C) A Z-projection of a time series shows the cumulative activity of cells over 60 seconds. Wells without bPAC show little to no activity.

Figure 5: Optimizing the ratios of voltage gated channels in the unstable system illustrated in figure 4. A) Diagram of the Matlab analysis of the Ca^{2+} transients. Prominence, width of half prominence, and inter-event intervals were analyzed. B) Varying the ratios of Kir2.1 to NavD produce varying calcium transient event widths. C) The mean inter-event Interval changes in concordance to the varied levels of Kir to NavD. D) Prominence histogram of Ca^{2+} transient events in cells transduced with a 3:2 ratio of Kir to NavD.

Figure 6: Replacing the CNG channel with the pacemaker HCN2 channel increases the Ca^{2+} transient duration and the time between events. A) The HCN2 channel can be exchanged for CNG. B) The transient events lasted over several seconds. C) The Inter-Event Interval time increased in concordance to increased calcium event widths.

Figure Seven: Green Ca^{2+} indicators can screened with low levels of blue light. A) The continuous blue light illumination needed to image green Ca^{2+} sensors should continuously activate bPAC. However, by reducing the amount of bPAC expression we are able to create an unstable system. The levels of Kir:NavD are held constant (2.5:1) and the levels of bPAC were systematically varied. B) Increased levels of bPAC lead to Ca2+ transients with longer widths. C) Increasing bPAC leads to increased time between events.

Supplemental Figure 1 : Inter-Event Intervals are linearly dependent on the event width.