1	First report of the Eocene bivalve Schedocardia (Mollusca, Cardiidae) from Cuba
2	
3	JOHANSET ORIHUELA ^{1*}
4 5	¹ Department of Earth and Environment (Geosciences), Florida International University,
6	Miami, Florida 33199, USA, Email: Jorih003@fiu.edu_* corresponding author
7 8 9	YASMANI CEBALLOS IZQUIERDO ²
10	² Instituto de Geofísica y Astronomía, calle 212 No.2906 entre 29 y 31, La Coronela, La Lisa,
11	La Habana, Cuba, Email: yasmaniceballos@gmail.com
12 13 14	ROGER W. PORTELL ³
15	³ Florida Museum of Natural History, 1659 Museum Road, University of Florida, Gainesville,
16	Florida 32611, USA, Email: portell@flmnh.ufl.edu
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

34 ABSTRACT

35	Herein we provide the first report of the rare cardiid bivalve Schedocardia from Cuba. The single,
36	partial, valve external mold was derived from the Madruga Formation which is characterized by a
37	richly diverse marine fauna including echinoderms, brachiopods, benthic and planktonic
38	for aminiferans, but from which bivalves were not previously reported. The unit is considered late
39	Paleocene in age (Thanetian), but the presence of Schedocardia supports a possible age extension of
40	the formation into the early Eocene (Ypresian). Moreover, we provide a reconsideration of the
41	historical factors that affected the accepted type locality of the outcrop, which allows for an alternative
42	interpretation of the shallow water fauna found therein.
43	
44	Keywords: Mollusca; Cardiidae; Fossils; Eocene; Madruga Formation; Cuba
45	
46	RESUMEN
47	Se reporta por primera vez el género bivalvo Schedocardia de la Formación Madruga, para el registro
48	fósil de Cuba. De esta formación se ha reportado una rica fauna de invertebrados incluyendo
49	equinodermos, braquiópodos y, especialmente de foraminíferos, pero no moluscos bivalvos. Se ha
50	considerado que la Formación Madruga se depositó durante el Paleoceno tardío (Thanetiano), no
51	obstante, la presencia de Schedocardia apoya la extensión de edad de la formación hasta el Eoceno
52	temprano (Ypresiano). La consideración de factores antropogénicos que han afectado la localidad tipo
53	de la formación nos permite ahora una interpretación alternativa del depósito y el ambiente de
54	deposición.
55	
56	Palabras clave: Molusco; Cardiido; Fósil; Eoceno; Madruga; Cuba
57	
58	
59	
60	

61 INTRODUCTION

62

The Paleocene of Cuba is represented in localized outcrops throughout the island, but the
best-known outcrops are perhaps those near the town of Madruga, in central-eastern
Mayabeque Province, where a complex of ophiolites, Cretaceous volcanic rocks, and latest
Cretaceous, Paleogene and Neogene age sedimentary formations crop out (FurrazolaBermúdez et al., 1964; Albear et al., 1985).
This region has been the subject of paleontological investigations since the earlymiddle 20th century, as part of oil and mineral prospecting by North American companies (De

70 Golyer, 1918; Lewis, 1932a, b; Palmer, 1932; Wright and Sweet, 1924). Based on field trips 71 made between 1929 and 1946 around Cuba, Palmer (1948) compiled a list of fossil-bearing 72 localities, including more than 70 sites for the town of Madruga. In his publication, Palmer 73 mentioned a locality previously identified by Lewis (1932a) as "Cut under railroad bridge 74 two kilometers west of Madruga at Central San Antonio" and cataloged it as a notable locality 75 (Loc. 757 in Palmer, 1948). Since Lewis (1932a) had not selected a holostratotype (type 76 section) nor did he record its faunal content, Bermúdez (1950) erected the roadcut under the 77 railroad bridge as the type locality for the Madruga Formation (Loc. Bermúdez Sta. 76b) and 78 assigned it a Paleocene age.

This locality was originally described as "Madruga marls" by Lewis (1932a), who
erroneously considered it of Late Cretaceous age. Its fossil fauna was reported later by Palmer
(1934), Palmer (1932, 1948), Cushman and Bermúdez (1948a, b, 1949), Bermúdez (1938,
1950), Cooper (1955, 1979), and Kier (1984). They had found mostly Cretaceous and
Paleocene large foraminifers, but also assemblages consisting of small benthic and planktonic
species including several index taxa (Palmer, 1934; Cushman and Bermúdez, 1948a, b, 1949;
Bermúdez, 1950; Furrazola-Bermúdez et al., 1964; Lexicon, 2013). Interestingly,

3

86	brachiopods, scaphopods, and echinoid fragments were also reported. The brachiopods
87	collected by Palmer, deposited in the U.S. National Museum, were later described and figured
88	by Cooper (1955, 1979). Although these works provide a detailed account of the foraminifer
89	faunule from the Madruga outcrop, bivalves had not been reported, and the echinoids and
90	scaphopods still need investigation.
91	Recent fieldwork at this locality yielded several interesting, well-preserved fossil
92	bivalve molds, representing a new addition to the fauna of the Madruga Formation undetected
93	in over 80 years of collecting history at the site. Furthermore, our new observations contribute
94	to the reinterpretation of the age and environment of deposition of the Madruga Formation.
95	
96	MATERIALS AND METHODS
97	
98	Locality
	•
99	
99 100	The Madruga Formation type section and collecting site of the bivalves herein
	The Madruga Formation type section and collecting site of the bivalves herein reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation,
100	
100 101	reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation,
100 101 102	reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation, outskirts of the town of Madruga, Mayabeque Province: GPS coordinates Lat. 22.906787°,
100 101 102 103	reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation, outskirts of the town of Madruga, Mayabeque Province: GPS coordinates Lat. 22.906787°, Long81.872793°, datum WGS 84, altitude 159 m above modern sea level (taken from
100 101 102 103 104	reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation, outskirts of the town of Madruga, Mayabeque Province: GPS coordinates Lat. 22.906787°, Long81.872793°, datum WGS 84, altitude 159 m above modern sea level (taken from Google Earth). This is the same location, below the railroad bridge at the Carretera Central
 100 101 102 103 104 105 	reported is located at a highway cut near the Boris Luis Santa Coloma Sugar Plantation, outskirts of the town of Madruga, Mayabeque Province: GPS coordinates Lat. 22.906787°, Long81.872793°, datum WGS 84, altitude 159 m above modern sea level (taken from Google Earth). This is the same location, below the railroad bridge at the Carretera Central west of Madruga town, reported by Palmer (1948) as Loc. 757, and Loc. Sta. 76b in

109	1). This is nearby the original localities visited by Palmer and Bermúdez, but the exact
110	locality of their collecting stations is unknown because they did not indicate them.

111

112 Geological setting: Lithology and Deposition environment

113

114 The Madruga section has been described as brown or reddish-brown calcareous well-

115 stratified, cemented, greywacke sandstones, sandy-shales, and shales with some conglomerate

116 intercalations. Clastic material is derived from older Cretaceous volcanic-sedimentary rocks,

117 which in the conglomerates can reach from 30 to 40 cm up to 1 to 2 m in diameter.

118 Radiolarian sandstones have been reported (Cushman and Bermúdez, 1948a:68), but the

119 origin of the silica (biogenic or volcanic) is unknown. The age of the unit was originally

120 considered Late Cretaceous by Lewis (1932a:539, 1932b) but later defined as late Paleocene

121 based on several index Foraminifera (Bermúdez, 1950, 1961; Furrazola-Bermúdez et al.,

122 1964; Iturralde-Vinent, 2011).

123 Stratigraphically, the Madruga Formation lies concordant over the Mercedes 124 Formation and discordant over the Peñalver (Late Cretaceous/early Paleocene) and Via 125 Blanca Formations (Late Cretaceous) (Fig. 2). It is covered concordantly by the Capdevilla 126 Formation (early Eocene) and discordantly by the Cojimar Formation (early-middle Miocene) 127 and Nazareno Formation (late middle Eocene – late Eocene). The Cretaceous rocks (K₂^{cp-m}?) 128 can be confused with the overlaying Paleocene layers (Albear et al., 1985; Franco, 1992; 129 Lexicon, 2013). At the type locality, these contacts with other formations are not exposed 130 within the outcrop but are exposed at nearby locations. Fossil specimens are sparse and rare 131 throughout the outcrop.

132	The environment of deposition has been interpreted as a marine synorogenic
133	piggyback basin of medium to deep depth (bathyal), formed under unstable tectonic
134	environment, transportation, and turbidity currents (Bralower and Iturralde-Vinent, 1997;
135	Iturralde-Vinent and MacPhee, 1999; Iturralde-Vinent et al., 2016). The Apollo and
136	Capdevilla Formations are considered lateral facies representing shallower marine
137	environments, whereas the Nazareno Formation constitutes a deeper, more turbid
138	environment (Albear and Iturralde-Vinent, 1985; Fluegeman, 1999). The Cretaceous volcanic
139	arc rocks and the localized ophiolite likely represent a mélange complex (flyschoid,
140	containing serpentinite and gabbro) exposure in the region. These were likely exposed during
141	western Cuba's major overthrust events of the Eocene (Iturralde-Vinent, 1996, 2011, 2015).
142	
143	Abbreviations
144	Institutional—Specimen repository: Paleontology collection of the Madruga Museum
145	(MPAL). LBF-large benthic foraminifera; PKF, planktonic foraminifera; SBF, small benthic
146	Foraminifera.
147	
148	RESULTS
149	
150	Systematic Paleontology
151	Class: Bivalvia Linnaeus, 1758
152	Order: Cardiida Ferussac, 1822
153	Family: Cardiidae Lamarck, 1809
154	Cardium Group (sensu Schneider, 2002)
155	Genus: Schedocardia Dall 1900
156	Schedocardia cf. hatchetigbeensis (Aldrich, 1886)

158	Specimens- MPAL-1001, deposited at the paleontology collection of the Museum of
159	Madruga city. Collected by Yasmani Ceballos Izquierdo on June 2017, at 3-4 m west of the
160	railroad bridge, on the north margin of the roadcut (Figs. 1-2).
161	Description- The fossil is an incomplete external mold in a calcareous matrix. The external
162	mold is obliquely quadrate, elliptic-ovoid or schediform in shape (D in Schneider, 2002);
163	radial ribs are narrow and nearly symmetrical throughout. There are small-simple foramina on
164	the interstices between the radial ribs, valve margins crenulated. The interstices between
165	radial ribs are wider than the width of the rib, wider spaced towards valve margin (Fig. 4).
166	External ribs strongly expressed, but not alternating (i.e., wide to narrow) on a central slope.
167	There are >19 ribs present on our specimen, but the exact number is unknown due to
168	incompleteness. The spines or their foramina are more pronounced in the lower valve
169	margins, in the near-symmetrical organization. Only the upper part of the valve external mold
170	is visible. No spines or nobs present. Flanking ribs closely packed together. Cardinals, muscle
171	scars, or hinge not visible (Fig. 4). The umbo is generally incomplete, but likely opisthogyral
172	and wide. Marked, ovoid-shaped and incised foramina occur almost symmetrically along the
173	curved-space between the valve ribs (Fig. 4). In cross-section, these either extend straight
174	down or curved at the deepest tip. They may be alternating spines.
175	A smaller cardiid specimen is embedded in the same sample opposite this cast (not illustrated
176	here).
177	
178	
179	DISCUSSION
180	
181	Identification

182 The specimen (MPAL-1001) is comparable to Schedocardia waiparana Freneix and Grant-183 Mackie 1978, Schedocardia juncea (Olsson 1930), and Schedocardia gatunense (Dall 1900), 184 in their elliptic-ovate shape of the valve and higher, less oblique shell anteriorly (Figs. 3-5). 185 However, it differs from these three species in having prominent ribs near the umbo, many 186 more costae, interstices that are flat but wide, not narrow, and ribs that do not bear beads (as 187 in S. gatunense). In that sense, our specimen agrees closely with Schedocardia 188 hatchetigbeensis (Aldrich 1886) in having narrower interstices, lacking rib beads, and having 189 low spines inside these spaces. Since our specimen is incomplete, we tentatively refer it here 190 to S. cf. hatchetigbeensis until further specimens are available (Fig. 5). 191 192 In addition to the Schedocardia, another cardiid bivalve, Acanthocardia (MPAL-1002), was 193 discovered in the same deposit (Fig. 6). This specimen has an obliquely quadrate shell, with

194 21 visible ribs, crenulate valve margins, and spinose/nodose ribs. Probable double ribs can be

seen on the imbricated valve side. Although the specimen is incomplete, it resembles in

196 overall morphology Acanthocardia tuberculata (Linnaeus 1758) and Acanthocardia

197 *mucronata* (Linneaus 1758). However, the specimen is too incomplete to assign to species.

198

199 Chronology

200 The Madruga Formation has been formerly assigned to the late Paleocene (upper) by the

201 researchers of the Cuban Geological Lexicon and others (Sánchez-Roig, 1949; Sachs, 1957;

202 Bermúdez, 1950, 1961; Furrazola-Bermúdez et al., 1964; Lexicon, 2013) based on the

- 203 presence of several index taxa, such as the planktic foram *Morozovella velascoensis*
- 204 (Cushman 1925), index of PKF zone P5, and Pseudophragmina (=Athecocyclina) stephensoni
- 205 (Vaughan 1929), a large benthic foraminifer of carbonate banks (Özcan et al., 2019). More
- 206 recently, researchers have extended the age of the formation to the early Eocene (Ypresian)

207 due to the presence of the LBF Euconuloides wellsi (Cole and Bermúdez, 1944), which is

208 absent in Paleogene assemblages (Blanco-Bustamante et al., 1999).

209 The genus *Schedocardia* appears in the fossil record in the late Paleocene (Keen, 1980;

210 Schneider, 2002), but apparently did not have its acme until the Eocene. Schedocardia

211 *hatchetigbeensis* has been reported from the early Eocene (Ypresian) of Alabama and Texas,

212 USA (Toulmin, 1977; Sessa et al., 2012). Other Schedocardia species, such as S. juncea and

213 S. gatunense are reported from the late Eocene of Panama, Venezuela, Colombia, and Peru

214 (Woodring, 1982). Therefore, the genus is generally considered to be an Eocene indicator

215 (Woodring, 1982:542). The occurrence of *Schedocardia* in the Madruga Formation seems to

support the extension of the Cuban formation at least to the early Eocene.

217 Cushman and Bermúdez (1948a, b) correlated the Madruga Formation with late Paleocene

218 formations from Alabama and Texas, so it is not so surprising that S. cf. hatchetigbeensis

219 appears here. These North American formations are now considered early Eocene (Toulmin,

220 1977; Sessa et al., 2012).

221

222 Environment

Schedocardia and *Acanthocardia* are considered facultative mobile infaunal (benthic)
suspension feeders (Clarkson, 1986). Their presence, along with multiple large benthic forams

(LBF's), echinoids, and brachiopods suggests that after the late Paleocene, during the early

Eocene, the area of deposition became a shallower marine environment, like the lateral facies

227 Apollo and Capdevilla Formations, or were redeposited from shallower environments into

deeper by more turbid environments (Blanco-Bustamante et al., 1999). The presence of the

- 229 conglomerates and polymictic elements may suggest closer proximity to a deltaic
- environment. Moreover, these suggests that at least some of the fauna reported for the
- 231 Madruga Formation may have originated in shallower waters of a nearby shelf-forereef

environment, transported or reworked by currents or other agents into deeper depositional
environments interpreted in the current literature (Albear et al., 1985; Franco et al., 1992;
Iturralde-Vinent, 2011; Lexicon, 2013). This interpretation agrees with the overall trend in the
orogenic activity of the Cuban terrain during the early-middle Eocene (Bralower and
Iturralde-Vinent, 1997; Iturralde-Vinent and MacPhee, 1999; Iturralde, 1996, 2011; IturraldeVinent et al., 2016). However, much more evidence is needed to support these observations
and hypotheses.

239

240 Limitations and final considerations

241 Our observations call attention to several limitations that must be considered for our current 242 interpretation of this outcrop and its fauna. The construction of the highway highly disturbed 243 the area of the outcrop. At the time of the Palmer and Bermúdez visits, those outcrops were 244 well-exposed due to the recent highway construction but are currently severely deteriorated or 245 disturbed by urbanization. Windows into the fossil-bearing strata are rare due to vegetation 246 and soil cover (YCI unp. observations). Former investigators, however, did not take into 247 consideration the anthropogenic alterations inflicted on the local stratigraphy by the 248 construction of the roads (Figs. 1, 6). These modifications seem to have severely altered or 249 mixed the bedding at our collecting locality (Fig. 7.2), and the horizontality of several beds at 250 several locations. The use of dynamite and heavy machinery likely affected the local 251 stratigraphy and occurrence of its fossil fauna, which up to now has not been considered in 252 any of the published accounts of the outcrop since the 1920's (Fig. 7). 253 Furthermore, the historic photographs taken during the construction of the highway and 254 overpass show the presence of a more lithified outcrop-base than the more friable, marly, 255 polymictic sandstones covered by soil and vegetation of today. It is possible that large 256 boulders now present in the outcrop were positioned there then. All these factors, and the

257	presence of these hitherto unreported faunal components prompt a reassessment of the whole
258	outcrop that could help clarify the stratigraphy, fauna, and age of the Madruga Formation and
259	its formational history. Much more data is needed to test some of these initial observations
260	and hypotheses. However, we are confident that such an investigation will reveal a far richer
261	Madruga Formation than currently characterized.
262	
263	CONCLUSIONS
264	
265	The Paleocene bivalve fauna of Cuba has been scantly investigated, although outcrops of
266	marine sedimentary rocks of this age are widespread throughout the island. One of the
267	foremost Paleocene Cuban geologic units is the Madruga Formation, which occurs near the
268	town of Madruga, in eastern Mayabeque Province. This formation has produced diverse
269	foraminifera, brachiopod, and echinoid faunas, but bivalves up to now have not been reported.
270	Herein, we expand the chronologic and paleoenvironmental setting by recording the cardiid
271	bivalve genus Schedocardia, which is the first report for the Cuban fossil record. Historical
272	insight gleaned from photographs taken during the construction of the highway call attention
273	to the high level of disturbance and anthropogenization which the outcrop has been subjected
274	since the late 1920's and cautions as to the depositional and chronologic interpretation of the
275	samples taken there since. Moreover, our observations call for a reassessment of the stratotype
276	outcrop and the Madruga Formation.
277	
278	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
279	
280	We thank Manuel Iturralde-Vinent for his observations and comments that greatly improved
281	earlier versions of the manuscript, Jan Johan ter Poorten for his aid in the identification of the
282	Cuban Schedocardia specimen and Madruga city historian Carlos Miguel Suárez Sardiñas for

283	providing important historical insight, historical photographs, and aid during the fieldwork.
284	Furthermore, our manuscript was improved by comments and suggestions of Lazaro Viñola
285	López. Sandy Ebersole and Lynn Harrell kindly loaned the S. hatchetigbeensis valve
286	exhibited in Figure 5 and Sean Roberts assisted with specimen imaging.
287	
288	REFERENCES
289	
290	Albear, J. F. and Iturralde-Vinent, et al. 1977. Memoria explicativa del mapa geológico escala
291	1:250 000 de las provincias de La Habana (unpublished). Fondo Geológico de Cuba,
292	Instituto de Geología y Paleontología de la Academia de Ciencias de Cuba, La
293	Habana, Cuba.
294	Albear, J. F., Iturralde-Vinent, M., Furrazola-Bermúdez, G., and Sánchez-Arango, J. R. 1985.
295	Contribución a la geología de las provincias de La Habana y Ciudad de la Habana.
296	Editorial Científico-Técnica, La Habana.
297	Aldrich, T. H. 1886. Preliminary report on the Tertiary fossils of Alabama and Mississippi.
298	Bulletin of the Geological Survey of Alabama, part I:15-60.
299	Bermúdez, P. J. 1938. Nueva especie de Bulimina del Cretácico Superior cubano. Memorias
300	de la Sociedad Cubana de Historia Natural "Felipe Poey", 12(2):80–90.
301	Bermúdez, P. J. 1950. Contribución al estudio del Cenozoico cubano. Memorias de la
302	Sociedad Cubana de Historia Natural "Felipe Poey", 19(3):204–375.
303	Bermúdez, P. J. 1961. Las Formaciones Geológicas de Cuba. Ministerio de Industrias,
304	Instituto Cubano de Recursos Minerales, Geología Cubana, No. 1:1–177.
305	Bralower, T., and Iturralde-Vinent, M. 1997. Micropaleontological dating of the collision
306	between the North American continental margin and the Greater Antilles Volcanic Arc
307	in Western Cuba. <i>Palaios</i> , 12:133–150. DOI: 10.2307/3515303.

- 308 Blanco-Bustamante, S. Fernández-Rodríguez, G., and Fluegeman, R. H. 1999. A note on the
- 309 Biostratigraphy of Paleocene-Eocene Larger Foraminifera from Western Cuba.

310 *Micropaleontology*, 45(2):19–26.

- 311 Cooper, G. A., 1955. New brachiopods from Cuba. Journal of Paleontology, 29(1):64–70.
- 312 Cooper, G. A., 1979. Tertiary and Cretaceous brachiopods from Cuba and the Caribbean.

313 *Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology*, 37:1–56.

- Cushman, J. A., and Bermúdez, P. J., 1948 (*a*). Some Paleocene foraminifera from the
 Madruga Formation of Cuba. *Contributions for the Cushman Laboratory for*
- 316 Foraminiferal Research, 24:68–75.
- 317 Cushman, J.A., and Bermúdez, P. J., 1948 (b). Additional species of Paleocene foraminifera
- from the Madruga Formation of Cuba. *Contributions for the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research*, 24:85–89.
- 320 Cushman, J. A., and Bermúdez, P. J. 1949. Some Cubans species of *Globorotalia*.

321 *Contributions for the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research*, 25:26-45.

322 Dall, W. H. 1900. Contributions to the Tertiary fauna of Florida with special reference to

323 Silex Beds of Tampa and the Pliocene beds of the Caloosahatchee River. Part V.

- 324 Teleodesmacea: Solen to Diplodonta. Transactions of the Wagner Free Institute of
- *Science*, 3:949–1218.
- 326 De Golyer, E. 1918. The geology of Cuban petroleum deposits. *American Association of* 327 *Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 2:133–167.
- Fluegeman R. H., and Aubry, M. P. 1999. Lower Paleogene biostratigraphy of Cuba.
 Micropaleontology, 45(2):1–91.
- 330 Franco-Álvarez, G. L., Acevedo-González, M., Álvarez-Sánchez, H., Artime Peñeñori, C.,
- 331 Barriento-Duarte, A., Blanco-Bustamante, S., Cabrera, M., Cabrera, R., Carassou
- 332 Agragan, G., Cobiella-Reguera, J. L., Coutin Lambert, R., De Albear, J. F., De

333	Huelbes Alonso, J., De la Torre y Callejas, A., Delgado Damas, R., Díaz de
334	Villalvilla, L., Diaz-Otero, C., Dilla Alfonso, M., Echevarría-Hernández, B.,
335	Fernández-Carmona, J., Fernández-Rodríguez, G., Flores-García, R., Florez-Abín, E.,
336	Fonseca, E., Furrazola-Bermúdez, G., García-Delgado, D., Gil-González, S., González
337	García, R.A., Gutiérrez-Domech, R., Linares-Cala, E., Milián García, E., Millán-
338	Trujillo, G., Moncada Ferrera, M., Montero Zamora, L., Orbera, L., Ortega-Sastriques,
339	F., Peñalver-Hernández, L. L., Perera, C., Pérez Arias, J. R., Pérez Lazo, J., Pérez
340	Rodriguez, E., Pifieiro Pérez, E., Recio Herrera, A. M., Sánchez-Arango, J. R.,
341	Saunders Pérez, E., Segura-Soto, R., Triff-Oquendo, J., Zuazo Alonso, A.,
342	Pszczółkowski, A., Brezsnyánszky, K., Slavov, I., and Myczyński, R., 1992. Léxico
343	Estratigráfico de Cuba. Centro de Nacional de Información Geológica, La Habana, 1-
344	658.
345	Furrazola-Bermúdez, G., Judoley, C. M., Mijailovskaya, M. S., Miroliubov, Y. S.,
346	Novojatsky, I. P., Nuñez Jiménez, A., and Solsona, J. B. 1964. Geologia de Cuba.
347	Editorial Nacional de Cuba, La Habana.
348	Garvie, C. L. 2013. Studies on the molluscan paleomacrofauna of the Texas Paleogene.
349	Bulletins of American Paleontology, 384-386:1–222.
350	Keen, A. M. 1980. The pelecypod family Cardiidae: a taxonomic summary. Tulane Studies in
351	Geology and Paleontology, 16:1-40.
352	Kier, P. 1984. Fossil spatangoid echinoids of Cuba. Smithsonian Contributions to
353	Paleobiology, 55:1-336.
354	Iturralde-Vinent, M. 1995. Cuencas sedimentarias del Paleoceno-Eoceno de Cuba. Boletín de
355	la Sociedad Venezolana de Geología, 20 (1-2):75–80.

	356	Iturralde-Vinen	t, M., 2011.	. Geología de las roca	as del Arco V	/olcánico de	el Paleógeno.	En
--	-----	-----------------	--------------	------------------------	---------------	--------------	---------------	----

357 Compendio de Geología de Cuba y del Caribe. Segunda Edición (published December

358 2012), DVD-ROM. Editorial CITMATEL, ISBN: 9-789592-572863.

- 359 Iturralde-Vinent, M. 2015. Estratigrafía de las cuencas sinorogénicas del Campaniano tardío
- 360 al Daniano desarrolladas sobre rocas de arco volcánico y retroarco en Cuba. Anuario

de la Sociedad Cubana de Geología, No. 2:27–50.

362 Iturralde-Vinent, M., 2011. Cuencas sinorogénicas del Campaniano tardío al Eoceno Superior,

363 desarrolladas sobre rocas del arco volcánico y máfico-ultramáficas. En: Compendio de

364 Geología de Cuba y del Caribe. Segunda Edición, DVD-Rom. Editorial CITMATEL,

365 ISBN: 9-789592-572863. Premio Academia de Ciencias de Cuba 2011.

- 366 Iturralde-Vinent, M., García-Casco, A., Rojas-Agramonte, Y., Proenza-Fernández, J.A.,
- 367 Murphy, J.B., and Stern, R.J., 2016. The geology of Cuba: A brief overview and

368 synthesis. *GSA Today*, 26(10):4–10, DOI: 10.1130/GSATG296A.1.

- 369 Iturralde-Vinent, M., and MacPhee, R. D. E. 1999. Paleogeography of the Caribbean region,
- 370 implications for Cenozoic biogeography. *Bulletin of American Museum Natural*
- 371 *History*, (238):1–95.
- 372 Lamarck, J. B. 1809. *Philosophie Zoologique*. Musée d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.
- 373 Lewis, J. W., 1932 (*a*). Geology of Cuba (with discussion by R. J. Metcalf). *American*374 *Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 16(6):533–555.
- Lewis, J. W., 1932 (b). Occurrence of oil in igneous rocks in Cuba. American Association of *Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 16(8): 809–818.
- 377 Linnaeus, C. 1758. Systema Naturae. Laurentii Salvii, Homiae.
- 378 Özcan, E., Mitchell, S. F., Less, G., Robinson, E., Bryan, J. R., Pignatti, J., and Yücel, A. O.
- 379 2019. A revised suprageneric classification of American orthophragminids with
- 380 emphasis on late Paleocene representatives from Jamaica and Alabama. *Journal of*

- 381 Systematic Palaeontology 17:1551-1579.
- 382 https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2018.1539778.
- 383 Palmer, K. V. and Brann, D. C. 1965. Catalogue of the Paleocene and Eocene Mollusca of the
- 384 southern and eastern United States. Part 1. Pelecypoda, Amphineura, Peteropoda,
- 385 Scaphopoda, and Cephalopoda. *Bulletins of American Paleontology* 48:1-471.
- 386Palmer, D. K., 1934. Some large Foraminifera from Cuba. Memorias de la Sociedad Cubana
- 387 *de Historia Natural "Felipe Poey"*, 8(4):235–269.
- 388 Palmer, R. H., 1932. Informe geológico del área de Madruga. Oficina Nacional de Recursos
- 389 Minerales, Ministerio de Energía y Minas, La Habana (Inédito).
- 390 Palmer, R. H., 1938. Field guide to geological excursion in Cuba. Secretaría de Agricultura,
- 391 Panfleto, 1–12.
- Palmer, R. H., 1948. List of Palmer Cuban fossil localities. *Bulletins of American Paleontology*, 31(128):1–178.
- 394 Sánchez-Roig, M., 1949. Paleontología Cubana I: Los Equinodermos Fósiles de Cuba.

395 Compañía Editora de Libros y Folletos, La Habana, 1:1–330.

- 396 Sachs, K. N. 1957. Restudy of some Cuban larger Foraminifera. *Contributions from the*397 *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research*, VIII (3):106–120.
- 398 Sessa, J. A., Bralower, T. J., Patzkowsky, M. E., Handley, J. C. and Ivany, L. C. 2012.

399 Environmental and biological controls on the diversity and ecology of Late Cretaceous

- 400 through early Paleogene marine ecosystems in the U.S. Gulf Coastal Plain.
- 401 *Paleobiology* 38(2):218–239.
- 402 Schneider, J. 2002. Phylogeny of cardiid bivalves (cockles and giant clams): revision of the
- 403 Cardiinae and the importance of fossils in explaining disjunct biogeographical
- 404 distributions. *Zoological Journal of the Linnaean Society*, 136:321–369.

405	Toulmin, L. D. 1977. Stratigraphic Distribution of Paleocene and Eocene Fossils in the
406	Eastern Gulf Coast Region. Geological Survey of Alabama, Monograph, 13(1):1-602.
407	Wright, A., Sweet, P. W. K., 1924. The Jurassic as a source of oil in western Cuba. Bulletin of
408	the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 8(4):516–519.
409	Woodring, W. P. 1982. Geology and paleontology of Canal Zone and adjoining parts of
410	Panama: Description of Tertiary mollusks (Pelecypods: Propeamussiidae to
411	Cuspidariidae). United States Geological Survey Professional Paper, 306(F):541-759.
412	
413	CAPTIONS
414	Figures
415	Figure 1: Collecting locality of the Madruga Formation, near the city of Madruga, Province of
416	Mayabeque, Cuba. 1.1 Mayabeque province, 1.2 Madruga municipality, and 1.3 Madruga
417	Formation type locality indicated by the red arrow near the railroad bridge. Historical
418	photographs of the construction of the road under the railroad bridge, where the exposed
419	outcrops of the Madruga Formation can be seen during construction (1.4) and after (1.5).
420	
421	Figure 2: Geological map indicating the outcrops associated with the Madruga
422	Formation at the collection locality discussed (marked with an asterisk *). Modified from
423	Nunez and Iturralde-Vinent (2016). Madruga Formation (md), Paleocene (e1-3),
424	Nazareno Formation (nz) lower Eocene (e4-5), Peñalver Formation (pn) upper Cretaceous
425	(Cenomanian-Campanian, k1-5), Via Blanca Formation (vb), upper Cretaceous (Campanian-
426	Maastrichtian, k5-6), Güines Formation (gn), lower Miocene (n1-2), and Cojimar Formation
427	(cjr), middle Miocene (n3-4). UB indicates ophiolite outcrops.
428	

429 Figure 3: Schedocardia cf. hatchetigbeensis (MPAL-1001) valve external mold in the matrix,

430 collected from the Madruga Formation
--

431

432 Figure 4: Details of the <i>Schedocardia</i> cf. <i>hatchetigbeensis</i> (MPAL-1001). Note t	he ovoid
--	----------

- 433 foramen on the valve, and the smaller foramina in the space between the ribs, suggesting
- 434 alternating rows of spines.

435

- 436 Figure 5. *Schedocardia hatchetigbeensis* for comparison. Specimen courtesy of Alabama
- 437 Geological Survey.

438

- 439 Figure 6: Acanthocardia sp. specimen (MPAL-1002) in the matrix, collected from the
- 440 indicated outcrop of the Madruga Formation.

441

442 Figure 7: Historical photograph of the road under the railroad bridge during construction in

443 1928.


444

Figure 8: Photo showing part of the road-cut and the apparent effects of the construction and

446 use of explosives on the stratigraphy.


447

- 448
- 449
- 450
- 451
- 452
- 453
- 454



455

456 Figure 1.




457

458 Figure 2.



459

460 Figure 3.




461

462 Figure 4.









465

466 Figure 6.



467

468 Figure 7.

469



470

471 Figure 8.