1 Physicochemical and metabolic constraints for thermodynamics-

2 based stoichiometric modelling under mesophilic growth 3 conditions

4

5	Claudio Tomi-Andrino ^{1,2,3} ,	Rupert Norman ² ,	Thomas Millat ² ,	Philippe	Soucaille ^{2,4,5,6} ,
6	Klaus Winzer ² , David A. Barr	rett ¹ , John King ³ , De	ong-Hyun Kim ^{1*}		

7

¹Centre for Analytical Bioscience, Advanced Materials and Healthcare Technologies
Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

- 10 ²Nottingham BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC), School of Life
- 11 Sciences, BioDiscovery Institute, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.
- 12 ³Nottingham BBSRC/EPSRC Synthetic Biology Research Centre (SBRC), School of
- 13 Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.
- ⁴INSA, UPS, INP, Toulouse Biotechnology Institute, (TBI), Université de Toulouse,
 Toulouse, France.
- ⁵INRA, UMR792, Toulouse, France.
- 17 ⁶CNRS, UMR5504, Toulouse, France.
- 18
- 19
- 20 *Corresponding author
- 21 Email: dong-hyun.kim@nottingham.ac.uk
- 22

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

23 Abstract

24 Metabolic engineering in the post-genomic era is characterised by the development of new 25 methods for metabolomics and fluxomics, supported by the integration of genetic engineering 26 tools and mathematical modelling. Particularly, constraint-based stoichiometric models have 27 been widely studied: (i) flux balance analysis (FBA) (in silico), and (ii) metabolic flux 28 analysis (MFA) (in vivo). Recent studies have enabled the incorporation of thermodynamics 29 and metabolomics data to improve the predictive capabilities of these approaches. However, 30 an in-depth comparison and evaluation of these methods is lacking. This study presents a 31 thorough analysis of two different in silico methods tested against experimental data (metabolomics and ¹³C-MFA) for the mesophile Escherichia coli. In particular, a modified 32 33 version of the recently published matTFA toolbox was created, providing a broader range of 34 physicochemical parameters. Validating against experimental data allowed the determination 35 of the best physicochemical parameters to perform the TFA (Thermodynamics-based Flux 36 Analysis). An analysis of flux pattern changes in the central carbon metabolism between ¹³C-37 MFA and TFA highlighted the limited capabilities of both approaches for elucidating the 38 anaplerotic fluxes. In addition, a method based on centrality measures was suggested to 39 identify important metabolites that (if quantified) would allow to further constrain the TFA. 40 Finally, this study emphasised the need for standardisation in the fluxomics community: 41 novel approaches are frequently released but a thorough comparison with currently accepted 42 methods is not always performed.

43 Keywords

44 Constraint-based modelling, fluxomics, metabolomics, thermodynamics, centrality measures.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

45 Author summary

46 Biotechnology has benefitted from the development of high throughput methods 47 characterising living systems at different levels (e.g. concerning genes or proteins), allowing 48 the industrial production of chemical commodities. Recently, focus has been placed on 49 determining reaction rates (or metabolic fluxes) in the metabolic network of certain 50 microorganisms, in order to identify bottlenecks hindering their exploitation. Two main 51 approaches are commonly used, termed metabolic flux analysis (MFA) and flux balance 52 analysis (FBA), based on measuring and estimating fluxes, respectively. While the influence 53 of thermodynamics in living systems was accepted several decades ago, its application to 54 study biochemical networks has only recently been enabled. In this sense, a multitude of 55 different approaches constraining well-established modelling methods with thermodynamics 56 has been suggested. However, physicochemical parameters are generally not properly 57 adjusted to the experimental conditions, which might affect their predictive capabilities. In 58 this study, we have explored the reliability of currently available tools by investigating the 59 impact of varying said parameters in the simulation of metabolic fluxes and metabolite 60 concentration values. Additionally, our in-depth analysis allowed us to highlight limitations 61 and potential solutions that should be considered in future studies.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

63 Introduction

64 Metabolic engineering aims to improve microbial strains by considering comprehensive 65 metabolic pathways in their entirety rather than overexpressing a single gene (1). To improve 66 the strains, hypothesis-driven studies have attempted to rationally identify gene targets and to 67 evaluate the effects of those changes in the network (2, 3). However, the complex nature of 68 cellular metabolism and its regulation demands a holistic understanding, i.e. a data-driven 69 approach (1-3). Combining metabolic engineering with systems biology and mathematical 70 modelling allows for an optimisation of entire cellular networks considering further 71 downstream processes at early stages (4).

72 This systematic framework exploits information regarding the metabolic state, which 73 comprises the metabolome (complete set of low-molecular-weight metabolites (<1.5 kDa)) 74 and the fluxome (or metabolic activity, distribution of rates of conversion/transport in the 75 metabolic network) (5, 6). Kinetic modelling can yield metabolic fluxes from metabolomics 76 data, but lack of high-quality enzymatic parameters and computational limitations (e.g. time-77 consuming processes) hinder its application (7-9). Performing an elementary flux mode 78 analysis (EFMA) to decompose the metabolic network into minimal subsets allowing to 79 maintain the steady state provides useful information (10). However, the combinatorial 80 explosion makes the algorithm computationally expensive and therefore limits the size of the 81 network that can be analysed (10, 11). Alternatively, stoichiometric modelling can provide a 82 flux distribution for larger networks without any kinetic or metabolomics information (12). 83 Briefly, a metabolic (quasi) steady state for intracellular concentration values (C) is assumed, 84 so that the stoichiometric matrix (S) (including the stoichiometric coefficients of metabolites 85 in each reaction of the metabolic network) constrains the set of metabolic fluxes (υ) (13):

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = S \times v \cong 0 \tag{1}$$

86 Two main approaches to solve this equation can be found: (i) flux balance analysis 87 (FBA), normally applied to large models (genome-scale model, GSM) (14) or (ii) metabolic 88 flux analysis (MFA), used for smaller metabolic networks (mainly the central carbon 89 metabolism) (Table 1). FBA solves the underdetermined system represented in Eq. 1 by 90 maximising or minimising the value of an assumed objective function (14). A plethora of 91 different objectives has been described in the literature (15). Three of them can be 92 highlighted: maximisation of biomass yield ($Y_{X/S}$, equal to the ratio growth rate/substrate 93 uptake rate), maximisation of ATP yield, and minimisation of sum of fluxes, which have

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

94 been suggested to compete in the regulation of bacterial metabolism (16). Hence, selecting an 95 adequate one/multi-dimensional objective function when analysing a GSM will depend on 96 the growth conditions to be simulated in FBA. In general, measured extracellular metabolic 97 rates (e.g. substrate uptake) are insufficient to properly constrain the intracellular metabolic 98 fluxes (13). In contrast, MFA is based on a least-squares-regression problem, normally solved 99 by exploiting experimental mass isotopomer distribution (MID) of proteinogenic amino acids (¹³C-MFA) (13). Since this approach requires fewer assumptions and uses more experimental 100 information than FBA, ¹³C-MFA is considered to be the *gold standard* in fluxomics (17). 101 102 However, current applicability (central carbon metabolism), and technical/computational 103 complexity (particularly for autotrophic growth (18)) limit its usage.

104 The set of constraints characterising stoichiometric modelling approaches (Eq. 1) is 105 insufficient to guarantee thermodynamically feasible results in the flux solution space (19, 106 20). Both FBA and ¹³C-MFA assume most reactions to be reversible (13, 21): in the first case 107 directionalities are dictated by the optimal flux distribution (which depends on the *a priori* 108 chosen objective function (14)), whereas in ¹³C-MFA they are determined by the MIDs (22). 109 The flux-force relationship (thermodynamic displacement from the equilibrium (23)) links 110 thermodynamic potentials and fluxes (Eq. 2):

$$\Delta_{\rm r}G' = \Delta_{\rm r}G'^o + RT \ln Q = RT \ln (Q/k_{eq}) = -RT \ln (J^+/J^-)$$
⁽²⁾

where $\Delta_{\rm r} {\rm G}'$ and $\Delta_{\rm r} {\rm G}'^{\rm o}$ are the Gibbs free energies of reactions (the latter referring to adjusted standard conditions), Q and k_{eq} are the ratio of products to reactant concentrations or activities (the latter at equilibrium) and (J^+/J^-) is the relative forward-to-backward flux (22).

114 Four main approaches exploiting thermodynamics data can be highlighted: (i) energy 115 balance analysis (EBA), where pre-selecting $\Delta_r G'$ bounds leads to biased results (24), 116 (ii) network-embedded (NET) thermodynamic analysis, that needs pre-assigned 117 directionalities (e.g. obtained by FBA) and evaluates the thermodynamic consistency (25), 118 (iii) max-min driving force (MDF), which needs a flux distribution as input data to predict 119 metabolite concentration values (26), and (iv) thermodynamically-constrained FBA. Two 120 methods were developed in the latter approach: thermodynamics-based flux analysis (TFA), 121 and an optimization problem allowing to obtain a thermodynamically flux-minimised 122 (TR-fluxmin) solution. TFA directly yields a thermodynamically feasible FBA solution (e.g. 123 by maximising $Y_{X/S}$) and simulates metabolomics data (20, 27). In contrast, TR-fluxmin is

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

based on the minimisation of sum of fluxes in the system whilst applying a penalty score for *in silico* metabolite concentration values (21). Other recent approaches are based on alternative constraints, such as setting an upper limit on the Gibbs energy dissipation rate (28), or only provide information regarding reaction directionalities (29). With regards to EFMA, even though using thermodynamics reduces the aforementioned limitations due to combinatorial explosion, the network size is still a limiting factor (30).

130 MDF and TFA are generally performed using eQuilibrator (26) and matTFA (20), respectively. Since matTFA can be directly used to analyse a GSM, it was selected for this 131 132 study. Three features should be highlighted: (i) unique values for temperature (25 °C) are 133 considered, (ii) salinity (S) is not taken into account when calculating parameter A, and (iii) 134 Gibbs free energy values are adjusted for ionic strength (I) using the extended Debye-Hückel 135 equation (Table 1). In this sense, it should be noted that the cytosol of E. coli is normally in 136 the interval 0.15 - 0.20 M (27) (and so, salinity is not null), and the fact that the 137 extended Debye-Hückel equation is only valid for I < 0.1 M (31).

Table 1. Comparison of frequently used approaches in fluxomics. Parameter A is used in the extendedDebye-Hückel equation.

	¹³ C-MFA	FBA	TFA
Metabolic network size	small	GSM	GSM
Flux distribution	generated	generated	generated
Uptake rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Specific growth rate, μ (h ⁻¹)	-	Yes	Yes
Gibbs free energy of formation (ΔG_{f}°)	-	-	Experimental (32), or GCM (33)
Temperature, $t (\Box C)$	-	-	25
Ionic strength, I (M)	-	-	0.25
Salinity, S (g/kg)	-	-	-
Adjustment method	-	-	Extended Debye-Hückel
Parameter A	-	-	T-dependent
Metabolite concentration values	-	-	Constraint or predicted
Problem formulation	least square	LP	MILP
	regression (13)	(14)	(20)

 ¹³C-MFA, ¹³C metabolic flux analysis; FBA, flux balance analysis; GCM, group contribution method;
 GSM, genome-scale model; LP, linear programming; MILP, mixed-integer linear programming;

142 TFA, thermodynamics-based flux analysis.

143 This study was based on determining the impact of varying and adjusting the 144 physicochemical parameters (t, I and S) on the predictive capabilities of TFA under 145 mesophilic growth conditions. In order to do so, a modified matTFA was developed by

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

increasing the number of parameters and parameter values that were originally considered (20). To validate the results, a comparison with published ¹³C-MFA and metabolomics data was performed. In particular, flux pattern changes between *in vivo* and *in silico* fluxes in the central carbon metabolism were analysed, with a focus on the anaplerotic reactions. In addition, a method based on centrality measures was suggested to identify important metabolites that (if quantified) would allow to further constrain the TFA.

152

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

154 Materials and methods

155 Metabolic network, mapping of metabolic fluxes and experimental data

156 Mesophilic growth conditions were studied by selecting a GSM for Escherichia coli 157 (str. K-12 substr. MG1655): iJO1366, which has proven to predict phenotypes in a wide 158 range of growth conditions (34). For the sake of consistency, metabolomics and fluxomics 159 data were obtained from the same experiment (S1 Dataset and S1 Table) (35). Briefly, cells 160 were grown in glucose-limited chemostats at 37 °C with minimal medium and a fixed specific growth rate (μ) of 0.20 h⁻¹. The experimental glucose uptake rate (2.93 mmol gDCW⁻¹ h⁻¹) 161 162 was used as a constraint, leaving the default lower and upper bounds for transport reactions. 163 Maximisation of the biomass yield was selected as the objective function, and no flux value 164 was forced through the biomass reactions (v_{biomass}). Directionalities of resulting flux values 165 from TFA were compared on a reaction-by-reaction case against in vivo fluxes from ¹³C-166 MFA, for which a mapping and directionality correction step was needed (S1 Table). 167

168 Generation of experimental design

169 The original matTFA toolbox uses unique values for t and I (20), and S is not taken into 170 account (Table 1). To explore their potential impact in the predictive capabilities, a modified 171 matTFA (mod-matTFA) allowing to consider alternative parameters values and methods was created (Table 2). For the sake of reproducibility (36), the complete list of files used in this 172 173 study was collected in S2 Table, and are publicly available in Nottingham SBRC's GitHub 174 profile (https://github.com/SBRCNottingham/Impact-of-Physicochemical-Parameters-on-175 thermodynamics-based-FBA). Analyses were performed using the COBRA toolbox (37) in 176 MATLAB R2016b with the solver CPLEX 12.8.0 to ensure compatibility.

177

Table 2. Factors considered in mod-matTFA. Values 0/1 refer to the binary codification for the full factorial
 design (S3 Table). In total, 2⁶ combinations were tested.

Temperature, t (\Box C)	(0): 25
	(1): 37
Ionic strength, <i>I</i> (M)	(0): 0
	(1): 0.25
Salinity, S (g/kg)	(0): 0
	(1): 13.74
Adjustment method	(0): Extended Debye-Hückel equation
	(1): Davies equation
Parameter A	(0): T-dependent*
	(1): T,S-dependent
Metabolite concentration	(0): Default matTFA

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

values	(1): experimental data

*T is temperature in K. There is a 'default matTFA' constraint regarding set concentrations values for cofactors
(AMP, ADP and ATP) as included in the original matTFA code. 'Experimental data' refers to the use of
published metabolomics data (S2 Dataset), setting the lower and upper bound for the simulation as 90-110% of
the concentration values.

184

Since *I* affects the Gibbs energy of formation, an adjustment from the reference state ($\Delta_f G_j^o$) was needed to obtain the standard transformed Gibbs energy of formation ($\Delta_f G_j^{\prime o}$) (32). In the original matTFA (20) and other studies (26, 28) the extended Debye-Hückel equation was used to adjust the Gibbs free energy values, with a proven validity for *I* < 0.1 M (31) (Eq. 3). The parameter *B* was assumed to be constant, with a value of 1.6 mol^{-1/2}L^{1/2} (27, 32). Mod-matTFA also explored the impact of using the Davies equation ($\beta = 0.3$) (Eq. 4) as an alternative adjustment approach, with a tested validity for *I* < 0.5 M (31).

$$\Delta_{\rm f}G_j^{\prime o}(I) = \Delta_{\rm f}G_j^o + N_H(j)RT\ln(10)\,\mathrm{pH} - RT\left(\frac{A\sqrt{I}}{1+B\sqrt{I}}\right)\left(z_j^2 - N_H(j)\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta_{\rm f} G_j^{\prime o}(I) = \Delta_{\rm f} G_j^o + N_H(j) RT \ln(10) \rm{pH} - RT \left(\frac{A\sqrt{I}}{1 + \sqrt{I}} - \beta I \right) \left(z_j^2 - N_H(j) \right)$$
(4)

192 Both formulas include terms correcting the pH and I, where $N_H(j)$ is the number of 193 hydrogen atoms in species j, R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature and z_i refers 194 to the charge of the species (32). Applying the Gibbs-Helmholtz equation would be necessary 195 to account for temperature different from standard conditions, i.e. 25 °C, but the lack of 196 measured changes in enthalpy (ΔH^o) for all the metabolites prevents from doing so (38). 197 Hence, variations from 25 °C to 37 °C were assumed to be small, as shown elsewhere (39). 198 The parameter A is normally assumed to be constant (27) or calculated using a 199 temperature-dependent function (Eq. 5) (20, 26), and the impact of using a 200 temperature/salinity-dependent function (Eq. 6) (38) was also tested in this study (Fig. 1).

$$A (\text{mol}^{-1/2}\text{kg}^{1/2}) = 1.10708 - 1.54508 \times 10^{-3}T + 5.95584 \times 10^{-6}T^2$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$A \,(\mathrm{mol}^{-1/2} \mathrm{kg}^{1/2}) = \frac{F^3 \sqrt{2\varepsilon_0 R^3}}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 N_A} \times \left(\frac{\rho_{sw}(t,S)}{(\varepsilon_{sw}(t,S)T)^3}\right)^{1/2}$$
(6)

where the first term in Eq. (6) includes physical constants (Faraday's constant (*F*), vacuum permittivity (ε_0), gas constant (*R*) and Avogadro's constant (N_A)), and the second the temperature (T in K and *t* in °C), and salinity (S) dependent functions to calculate the density (ρ_{sw}) (40) and the relative permittivity (ε_{sw}) (41) for seawater (S2 Table).

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches



Fig. 1. Calculation of the parameter *A*. The red line refers to the temperature-dependent function (Eq. 5), whereas the surface is the temperature/salinity-dependent function (Eq. 6).

In general, consistency in units between parameters *A* (mol^{-1/2}kg^{1/2}) and *B* (mol^{-1/2}L^{1/2}) is achieved by assuming 1 kg = 1 L. In this study, an expression for seawater (Eq. 7) (42) was used to estimate a salinity value by considering a buoyant density (ρ) for bacterial cells of 1.11 kg/L (43). For *I*, a value of 0.25 M was used (Table 2).

$$I(M) \times \rho(kg/L) = \frac{19.92 \times S}{1000 - 1.005 \times S}$$
(7)

212

205

213 Assessment of fluxomics and metabolomics predictive capabilities

214 Mesophilic growth conditions for *E. coli* were selected as a case study to explore the impact 215 of metabolic and physiochemical constraints on the predictive capabilities of TFA at the 216 fluxomics and metabolomics level. Accordingly, 64 different factor combinations (Table 2) 217 were tested using mod-matTFA. It is important to note that not all test yielded a solution where cell growth was achieved (i.e. $v_{\text{biomass}} > 0 \text{ mmol gDCW}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$). Since different factor 218 219 combinations converged into the same set of solutions, tests were characterised at the 220 fluxomics and metabolomics levels by considering either the full set of values, or the subset 221 with an experimental counterpart.

Results yielding feasible solutions were also compared against ¹³C-MFA flux values (S1 Table) and experimental metabolomics data (S1 Dataset), respectively. A goodness-of-fit analysis based on the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was performed, as shown in (44). In order to identify the test(s) with the best predictive capabilities at both levels, they were

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

226 separately ranked according to two criteria: (i) correlation coefficient at the fluxomics level, 227 and (ii) correlation coefficient at the metabolomics level. The concordance between results 228 was assessed by the Kendall's W statistics (S2 Table), where a value of 0 means no 229 agreement of ranking position with respect to each criterion, and a value of 1 indicates total 230 agreement. This statistics is a normalisation of the Friedman test, which simply tests whether 231 samples are from the same population or not (45). Finally, a joint ranking after weighting the 232 ranking position according to each criterion was considered (the higher the score, the better 233 the correlation in both the fluxomics and metabolomics levels).

234

235 Thermodynamics-enriched network analysis

236 The constraining capacity of metabolites is not uniform, and depends on their connectivity in 237 the network (20, 46). To further constrain the model, a priority list of metabolites to be 238 quantified should be considered when designing the metabolomics protocol. In this study, the 239 suitability of the selected dataset for this purpose was analysed (S1 Dataset). The importance 240 of each metabolite in the network was measured by means of PageRank as implemented in 241 MATLAB. This algorithm was developed by Google (47) and has been recently applied to 242 metabolic networks (48). In this sense, the presence of over-represented metabolites (e.g. 243 proton donor) biases centrality measures (48). Therefore, a removal of these currency (49), 244 side (48) or pool (50) metabolites from the network was performed (S1 Appendix).

245 Non-redundant flux distributions from TFA were selected and subjected to network 246 simplification and correction. Briefly, only active metabolites and reactions were kept, and 247 stoichiometric coefficients were corrected so that they reflected the flux direction of each 248 reaction. Centrality measures require a graph G, defined as a pair G = (V, E), where the 249 vertices (or nodes) V are the metabolites, and the edges E the reactions connecting them. The 250 stoichiometric matrix was converted into an adjacency matrix using an in-house script 251 (S1 Appendix), which was later used to generate a G ready for the PageRank analysis. The 252 final lists of metabolites were ranked by their centrality score, and the top 50% compared 253 against the list of available experimental values.

254

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

256 Results and discussion

257 In the last two decades, biotechnology and systems biology have benefitted from the 258 development of ¹³C-MFA and FBA to measure and estimate intracellular metabolic fluxes in 259 industrially relevant bacteria. Although the influence of thermodynamics in living systems 260 has been considered for several decades, its application to study biochemical networks has 261 been only recently enabled (24, 32). In this sense, a multitude of different approaches 262 constraining well-established modelling approaches with thermodynamics have been 263 suggested. Given its relevance, this study focused on analysing TFA (performed by matTFA 264 toolbox (20)). This study aimed at: (i) assessing and improving TFA's reliability of predicting 265 metabolic fluxes and metabolite concentration values, and (ii) identifying important 266 metabolites to further constrain the model. In order to do so, (i) the published matTFA 267 toolbox was modified to include a broader range of parameters (and parameter values) as well 268 as alternative equations and constraints (Table 2), and (ii) an in-house script was developed to 269 perform a GSM-wide network analysis exploiting TFA-derived reaction directionalities.

270

271 Evaluation of the reliability of predicted flux and concentration values

272 A full factorial design comprising 2^6 tests (Table 2) was applied in TFA to constrain the GSM 273 iJO1366 (34), selecting the maximisation of biomass yield as the objective function. An experimental glucose uptake rate was set (2.93 mmol gDCW⁻¹ h⁻¹), reaching a $\mu \approx 0.28$ h⁻¹ 274 (the experimental was 0.20 h⁻¹) for all FBA and TFA tests. Overall, 26/64 tests were 275 276 unsuccessful (no cell growth), and the remaining 38/64 converged into common optimal 277 solutions (S4 Table). At the fluxomics level, a single flux distribution was achieved in FBA 278 for all tests, whereas for TFA a different number of non-redundant solutions were found: 5 279 (when considering all reactions) or 4 (only those with an experimental counterpart). Likewise, at the metabolomics level, the 38 tests were reduced to 9 optimal solutions. Results 280 281 were tested against available experimental data (13 C-MFA (35, 51) and metabolomics (35)) 282 by calculating the Pearson correlation coefficient. Therefore, each successful test was 283 characterised by the optimal solutions it achieved and the correlation coefficients at both the 284 fluxomics and metabolomics levels.

The importance of each factor was assessed by means of decision trees (CART® in Minitab 19) (Table 3). Briefly, models were built considering categorical predictors (the factors after the codification (S3 Table)) and responses: the importance of a factor measured the improvement on the model when using it to split the data. Accordingly, the relative

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

importance was calculated with respect to the best predictor (Table 3). The I (M) was the top one for all responses except for *TFA* (*full*), where it equalised t (°C) at 95.7 % and was second to the adjustment method. In all cases, using either default concentrations values for AMP, ADP and ATP (as included in the original matTFA), or experimental data made no difference. As a result, tests only differing in this factor showed the same correlations with experimental data (Table 4).

Table 3. Relative factor importance. The type of analysis depended on the nature of the response: *classification* was selected for TFA (full), TFA (match ¹³C-MFA), concentration values (full) and concentration values (match experimental), and *regression* for r (fluxomics) and r (metabolomics). The former was suited for categorical responses (i.e. which solution is achieved, as shown in S4 Table), and the latter for continuous responses (for Pearson's r, from -1 to +1).

	TFA (full)	TFA (match ¹³ C-MFA)	Concentration values (full)	Concentration values (match exp)	r (fluxomics)	r (metabolomics)
<i>t</i> (°C)	95.7	50.0	50.0	50.0	29.8	60.4
<i>I</i> (M)	95.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
S (g/kg)	19.9	7.8	27.5	27.5	-	-
Parameter A	-	1.0	50.0	50.0	2.6	-
Adjustment method	100.0	52.1	44.4	44.4	52.3	0.9
[met]	-	-	-	-	-	-

300

301 Correlation coefficients for FBA in all tests was $r \approx 0.02$, whereas for TFA it varied 302 within the range from 0.90 to 0.95. A reaction-by-reaction comparison of flux directionalities in central metabolism showed inherent differences between ¹³C-MFA and FBA/TFA, as 303 304 discussed in the last section of this study. At the metabolomics level, it ranged from 0.08 to 305 0.18 (S4 Table). Tests were ranked independently by both criteria, showing a notable 306 agreement in their positions (Kendall's $W \approx 0.81$). Scoring the position according to each 307 criterion allowed creating a joint ranking to identify the test(s) with the best predictive 308 capability at both levels (Table 4). Four tests held the first position, since they all converged into the same optimal solutions (S4 Table). Specifically, t = 37 °C, I = 0.25 M and the Davies 309 310 equations as adjustment method were used. Following the relative factor importance 311 (Table 3), correlation coefficients were not affected by S and the selection of concentrations 312 values.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

313	Table 4. Tests	with the highest score	e in the joint ranking	. The full list is	available in (S4	Table). *(run #3)
-----	----------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------------

314 reflects the conditions used in the original matTFA.

2	1	5
J	т	J

Rank sum		62	.5		59	9.5		56	.5		51.5
Correlation coefficient TFA vs. ¹³ C-MFA		0.9	95		0.	95		0.9	0		0.90
Correlation coefficient metabolomics		0.1	18		0.	17		0.1	7		0.15
Run number	20	24	52	56	28	60	32	64	12	44	3*
t (°C) (0 = 25, 1 = 37)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
I(M) (0 = 0, 1 = 0.25)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
S (g/kg) (0 = 0, 1 = 13.74)	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Parameter A ($0 = t$ -dependent, $1 = t/S$ -dependent)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
Adjustment method $(0 = DH, 1 = Davies)$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
[met] (0 = default, 1 = experimental values)	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0

Davies, Davies equation; **DH**, extended Debye-Hückel equation; **[met]**, metabolite concentration values. Values of 0 and 1 in the headers refer to the binary codification from the full factorial design (S3 Table). *Run #3 represents the analytical conditions from the original matTFA, added here as a reference. There is a 'default matTFA' constraint regarding set concentrations values for AMP, ADP and ATP, as included in the original matTFA script. 'Experimental values' refers to the use of published metabolomics data (S1 Dataset). Correlation coefficient values were rounded to the closest integer for ranking purposes.

322

323 This analysis showed that adjusting the physicochemical parameters to the 324 experimental conditions did improve the predictive capabilities of TFA, but certain technical limitations at both levels need to be discussed. The nature of ¹³C-MFA only allows 325 326 determining the flux distribution in the central carbon metabolism by considering amino acid 327 synthesis (13), which has been noted to be very robust against changes in the intermediate 328 metabolite concentrations (52, 53). The recent discovery of non-enzymatic metabolism-like 329 reactions suggests that current metabolic networks evolved from prebiotic reaction 330 sequences. Therefore, a well-established flux distribution in the central pathways can be 331 expected (54). In order to discern among tests, focus on highly variable flux values should be 332 promoted, but the variance among them was low (S2 Dataset). In fact, only 36/1679 showed a 333 variance greater than zero, where 6 reactions had an experimental counterpart to compare 334 against. Optimal solutions for all tests were similar (reducing the discerning capacity), which 335 explained the overall high correlation coefficients for all tests. Therefore, results from the 336 comparison of predicted and experimental metabolite concentration values are paramount to 337 better understand the impact of varying the physicochemical parameters.

Regarding the metabolomics level, the 9 non-redundant solutions were subjected to a similar analysis. Likewise, only 46/972 metabolites had a variance among tests greater than

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

340 zero (S3 Dataset), out of which 7 were quantified: L-aspartate, phosphoenolpyruvate, ATP, 341 L-valine, pyruvate, NADP⁺, and FAD. Reliable quantitation of energy-carrying molecules 342 and redox cofactors is not easily achievable, given the inherent cell dynamics (e.g. cell cycle 343 and cell size variations) and degradation during extraction (55-63). Since the correlation 344 coefficients were calculated using a dataset blind to highly variable metabolites (e.g. 345 3-phosphohydroxypyruvate ranged four orders of magnitude), resulting values were similar 346 for different factor combinations (Table 4). Thus, said metabolites should be quantified to 347 deconvolute the impact of using default or experimental concentration values in the 348 predictive capabilities.

349 Other limitations refer to the design of the tool itself. This method does not consider 350 other complex phenomena affecting the thermodynamic feasibility of metabolic pathways, 351 such as Mg complexation with metabolites, or compound dissociation into more than two 352 protonated species (19, 20) (as shown in the file *calcDGspecies.m*). In addition, Gibbs free 353 energy values are relaxed when no feasible solution is found, so the constraining power of 354 experimental metabolite concentration values is reduced (20). Related to this, an approach 355 allowing to identify metabolites to further constrain the model was developed in this study 356 (next section). Finally, it should be noted that to apply matTFA to thermophilic species (e.g. 357 Thermus thermophilus, a potential non-model metabolic engineering platform (64)), recent 358 methods to adjust Gibbs free energies to high temperatures should be considered (65).

359

360 Identification of central metabolites to further constrain the model

Successful tests converged into 5 solutions at the fluxomics level (S4 Table), which are structurally equivalent. Therefore, a single stoichiometric matrix was considered for further analysis. After the simplification step (removal of inactive metabolites and reactions, as well as side compounds) 622/1805 metabolites were left in the network. The experimental dataset included information about 44 metabolites (S1 Dataset), out of which 34 were also considered in the simplified network, and the rest was discarded as side compounds.

PageRank scores were calculated, allowing to identify metabolites in the top 50% for which experimental data was available (Table 5). Overall, 18/34 quantified metabolites were in the top 50%, with only 7 in the top 10%. The lack of high centrality for most metabolites explains the aforementioned result, where tests only differing in the set of concentrations values used as a constraint (default ATP/ADP/AMP or experimental) led to the same optimal solution (e.g. tests 20 and 52, Table 3).

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

373 Table 5. Quantified metabolites in the top 50% of PageRank (PR) based analysis. The last position in the

374 ranking (#622) was L-Tyrosine (PR score = 0.0004), which had been quantified. The full list can be found in

375 (S4 Dataset).

Quantile	Ranking position	Metabolite	Node	PR score
	1	L-Glutamate	glu-L_c	0.0172
	2	Pyruvate	pyr_c	0.0126
	4	D-Fructose 6-phosphate	f6p_c	0.0079
10%	6	Acetyl-CoA	accoa_c	0.0071
	7	L-Methionine	met-L_c	0.0071
	23	Succinyl-CoA	succoa_c	0.0046
	44	L-Serine	ser-L_c	0.0034
	69	Dihydroxyacetone phosphate	dhap_c	0.0029
	70	L-Tryptophan	trp-L_c	0.0029
	88	Phosphoenolpyruvate	pep_c	0.0026
	103	S-Adenosyl-L-methionine	amet_c	0.0024
30%	44L-Serin69Dihydr70L-Tryp88Phosph103S-Ader30%129L-Alar157L-Histi	L-Alanine	ala-L_c	0.0021
	157	L-Histidine	his-L_c	0.0020
	161	D-Glucose 1-phosphate	g1p_c	0.0019
	177	L-Proline	pro-L_c	0.0019
	181	3-Phospho-D-glycerate	3pg_c	0.0018
50%	249	D-Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate	fdp_c	0.0016
50%	258	L-Leucine	leu-L_c	0.0015

376

377 The priority list is led by L-glutamate, pyruvate, 2-oxoglutarate (not quantified), 378 D-fructose-6-P and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (not quantified). Both L-glutamate and 379 2-oxoglutarate participate in the assimilation of nitrogen in E. coli, where the former also 380 plays a role as nitrogen donor in the biosynthesis of nucleic acids (66). The latter along with 381 the rest (except for glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate), and acetyl-CoA are important biosynthetic 382 precursors used in modelling (49). Accordingly, other metabolites participating in central 383 pathways such as glycolysis (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, dihydroxyacetone phosphate, etc.) 384 and protein biosynthesis (amino acids) were also identified. Important metabolites 385 highlighted here agree with results from the seminal work by Wagner et al. (49), where they 386 used a smaller network (317 vs. 931 reactions). Due to computational costs, other attempts specifically focusing on the constraining capacity with regards to TFA (Thermodynamics-387 388 based Metabolite Sensitivity Analysis, TMSA) are also limited by the network size (156 389 reactions in (46)). In particular, this approach identified pyruvate as the most significant 390 metabolite in terms of reducing the variability in the thermodynamic properties of reactions, 391 and attributed it to its high connectivity in the network. Other important compounds included 392 phosphate, NAD⁺, NADH, CO₂, menaquinol-8, menaquinone-8 and D-lactate. All but the

latter were classified as side compounds for this study (and therefore excluded), since thecentrality measures are biased by ubiquitous metabolites (48).

395 The impact of the inherent dynamics (cell cycle and cell ageing) has been pointed out 396 as a source of metabolic heterogeneity in clonal microbial populations (55). In a chemostat, 397 cells are maintained at the exponential growth phase, but the cell cycle is not synchronised 398 across single cells unless forced (56, 57). In E. coli, concentration values for NAD(P)H 399 oscillate along the cell cycle (58), and ATP concentration values show an asymmetric 400 distribution across single cells in a continuous culture (59). From a metabolomics point of 401 view, an unbiased extraction and quantitation method is yet to be developed (60). 402 Particularly, ATP/ADP/AMP quantitation require specific culture conditions (61), and 403 nicotinamides parallel protocols to avoid degradation. Overall, the method developed here 404 generated a priority list to be considered when selecting a metabolomics protocol aiming at 405 providing data to further constrain a model in TFA.

406

407 **Reaction directionalities in the central carbon metabolism**

408 Finally, flux pattern changes between in vivo and in silico fluxes in the central carbon 409 metabolism were analysed, with a particular focus on the anaplerotic reactions. The 410 'anaplerotic node' (Fig. 2) consists of carboxylation/decarboxylation reactions including 411 intermediates participating in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle that are used for 412 biosynthesis of amino acids (67). Given the fact similar MIDs (from proteinogenic amino 413 acids) can be obtained from different precursors, ¹³C-MFA has been noted to show a limited 414 capability to elucidate fluxes around the anaplerotic node (52, 68, 69). In order to evaluate 415 changes in reaction directionalities, the available in vivo fluxes were tested against their 416 equivalents in the simulated TFA flux distributions (S1 Table). Overall, 13/40 flux directions 417 disagree between approaches (Table 6).

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches





Fig. 2. Anaplerotic node for *E. coli*. Set of carboxylation/decarboxylation reactions including phosphoenolpyruvate, pyruvate, oxaloacetate, and malate. Arrows indicate the expected direction of carbon fluxes. Boxes refer to reactions: blue when they are defined in both the GSM and the metabolic network used for ¹³C-MFA, and orange when they are exclusively considered in the GSM. In the latter case no mapping was possible (S1 Table).

425 Discrepancies in flux pattern between methods are caused by both differences in the 426 structure of the metabolic networks and the way the problem is defined (Table 1). On the one 427 hand, *i*JO1366 includes 8 reactions concerning the anaplerotic node and the glyoxylate shunt: 428 PPC and PPCK (between phosphoenolpyruvate and oxaloacetate), PYK and PPS (between 429 phosphoenolpyruvate and pyruvate), ME1 and ME2 (between pyruvate and malate) (Fig. 2), 430 and finally ICL and MALS (from isocitrate to malate, via glyoxylate). In contrast, the metabolic network used for the ¹³C-MFA did not consider PPCK and PPS (S1 Table), which 431 could affect the determination of fluxes to/from phosphoenolpyruvate. Since ¹³C-MFA is 432 433 based on lumped reaction, branched pathways are not taken into account (13). Thus, having a 434 smaller range of alternative pathways than FBA/TFA may affect the estimation of flux 435 values.

436

Table 6. Flux pattern changes between ¹³C-MFA data and matTFA predictions.

Reaction (GSM)	Definition (GSM)	Definition (¹³ C-MFA)	Direction (¹³ C-MFA)	Corrected direction (¹³ C-MFA)	Direction (TFA)
ACALD	$acald_c + coa_c +$	$AcCoA \rightarrow Ethanol$	+	-	0
	$nad_c \leftrightarrow accoa_c + h_c$				
	+ nadh_c				

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

ACKr	$ac_c + atp_c + h_c \leftrightarrow$	$AcCoA \rightarrow Acetate$	0	0	+
ACONTb	actp_c + adp_c acon-C_c + h2o_c <=> icit_c	CIT -> ICT	+	+	0/+
ALCD2x	etoh_c + nad_c \leftrightarrow acald c + h c + nadh c	$AcCoA \rightarrow Ethanol$	+	-	+
FBA	$fdp_c \leftrightarrow dhap_c + g_{3p_c}$	$F1,6P \rightarrow DHAP + G3P$	+	+	0/+
ICL	$icit_c \rightarrow glx_c +$ succ c	ICT \rightarrow Glyoxylate + SUC	+	+	0
ME1	mal-L_c + nad_c \rightarrow co ² c + nadh c + pyr c	$MAL \rightarrow PYR + CO2$	+	+	0
ME2	$co2_c + nadp_c \rightarrow$ $co2_c + nadp_c + co2_c + nadp_c + co2_c + nadp_c + co2_c + nadp_c + co2_c + co2_c$	$MAL \rightarrow PYR + CO2$	+	+	0
PFK	pyr_c atp_c + f6p_c <=> adp_c + fdp_c	F6P -> F1,6P	+	+	0/+
PTAr	accoa_c + h_c + pi_c \leftrightarrow actp c + coa c	$AcCoA \rightarrow Acetate$	0	0	-/0
РҮК	$adp_c + pep_c \leftrightarrow$ $atp_c + pyr_c$	$PEP \rightarrow PYR$	+	+	0/+
SUCOAS	$atp_c + coa_c + succ_c$ $\leftrightarrow adp_c + pi_c +$	$2\text{-KG} \rightarrow \text{SUC} + \text{CO2}$	+	+	-
TALA	succoa_c $g3p_c + s7p_c \leftrightarrow$ $e4p_c + f6p_c$	$S7P + G3P \leftrightarrow E4P + F6P$	+	+	-/0

Where +, flux in the forward direction; -, flux in the reverse direction; 0, no flux. *Corrected direction*, refers to the adjustments due to differences in the definition of the reaction between ¹³C-MFA and GSM (S1 Table). For example the case of ALCD2x: *in vivo* flux (¹³C-MFA) suggests production of ethanol, whereas the *in silico* one (GSM/TFA) predicts consumption of ethanol. Since reactions are defined in opposite directions, a correction becomes necessary. Discrepancy between corrected directions and predicted ones allowed an automated identification of flux pattern changes.

444 On the other hand, *in silico* flux distributions are the result of optimising the system 445 according to the chosen objective function. Accordingly, when maximising the biomass 446 production (which requires ATP), FBA and TFA promote pathways that reduce wasting ATP 447 in the optimal solution (14). For instance, PPCK (ATP-consuming reaction) carried no flux. 448 In contrast, experimental data from E. coli grown on glucose has proven that both PPC and 449 PPCK (which constitute a *futile cycle*) are active and play a role in metabolic regulations 450 (70). However, given the fact that ICL and ME1/ME2 do not generate any ATP, fluxes are 451 shut down in the simulated flux distributions (as shown in (52)). In this sense, it should be 452 noted that stochastic events or regulatory processes have been suggested to provoke a 453 variation of the fluxes through PPCK and ME1/ME2 (71). FBA/TFA also faced problems 454 regarding the overflow metabolism: acetate was predicted to be produced (PTAr and ACKr), 455 as opposed to the lack of flux according to ¹³C-MFA.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

456 Even though flux pattern changes between predicted and experimentally determined 457 intracellular fluxes were present, TFA offered a reliable prediction of intracellular fluxes 458 (Table 4). This overall consistency has been noted in the literature by comparing an array of 459 different objective functions and constraints (based on split ratios rather than on mapping on 460 a reaction-by-reaction case) (15). A combination of both approaches to overcome their 461 limitations and different flux space solutions has also been suggested (72, 73). However, 462 fluxes concerning the TCA cycle, the glyoxylate shunt and acetate secretion have proven to 463 be difficult to predict (15), as also shown in this study. Similarly, other reactions are also 464 affected by the substrate uptake rate: ALCD2x becomes unidirectional at high glucose levels 465 (28).

466 In addition, the nonlinear dependency of the anaplerotic fluxes on the growth rate has 467 been reported in the literature, limiting the reliability of conclusions from experiments using 468 single dilution rates (70, 71). Particularly, metabolic fluxes through the aforementioned futile 469 cycle are expected to be active under glucose-limited growth conditions (74), rather than 470 being totally shut down (Fig. 2). In this sense, a higher degree of consistency between 471 predicted and experimental flux distributions could have been achieved by (i) focusing on 472 data from cultures with high dilution rates, so that futile cycle activity is lowered and the flux 473 distribution becomes closer to the optimal solution, or (ii) applying further constraints to 474 properly model the anaplerotic reactions (75). The first option is limited by the lack of 475 published data at both the metabolomics and fluxomics levels from the same experiment, and 476 the second one by the lack of implementation.

477 Consequently, it was assumed that the high correlation coefficient achieved for TFA 478 against *in vivo* fluxomics data ($r \approx 0.9$) was high enough to enable the analyses on the impact 479 of varying the physicochemical parameters in the predictive capabilities. Studying flux 480 pattern changes on a reaction-by-reaction basis also allowed to confirm previously reported 481 limitations from both ¹³C-MFA and FBA/TFA with regards to the anaplerotic node (68, 69, 482 75). Thus, metabolites in the node are expected to be directly affected.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

484 **Conclusions**

485 This study showed that the predictive capabilities of TFA can be potentially improved by 486 using physicochemical parameters closer to the experimental conditions and adequate 487 equations. In addition, we proposed a method based on centrality measures to identify 488 important metabolites allowing to further constrain the TFA. In contrast to previous attempts, 489 our strategy is not limited by the size of the network and is computationally cheap. Therefore, 490 a preliminary TFA could be considered when designing a metabolomics protocol to maximise 491 the constraining power of the experimental concentration values. Overall, our study stressed 492 the necessity of performing an in-depth assessment of available methods in the fluxomics 493 field. For instance, interesting published potential solutions to known problems (e.g. 494 elucidation of the anaplerotic fluxes) should be integrated with the widely used approaches. 495 This should increase the degree of standardisation in the community, allowing to cross-496 validate novel strategies and improving the reliability of the simulated data.

497

498 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council [BBSRC; Grant number BB/L013940/1] and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EPSRC; Grant number BB/L013940/1]. We thank the University of Nottingham's School of Life Sciences for supporting the PhD studentship of CTA and Nicole Pearcy for her helpful comments.

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

504 **References**

505 1. Stephanopoulos G, Alper H, Moxley J. Exploiting biological complexity for strain 506 improvement through systems biology. Nat Biotechnol. 2004;22(10):1261-7.

507 2. Kell DB, Oliver SG. Here is the evidence, now what is the hypothesis? The 508 complementary roles of inductive and hypothesis-driven science in the post-genomic era. 509 BioEssays. 2003;26:99-105.

510 3. Dai Z, Nielsen J. Advancing metabolic engineering through systems biology of 511 industrial microorganisms. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015;36:8-15.

512 4. Park JH, Lee SY, Kim TY, Kim HU. Application of systems biology for bioprocess 513 development. Trends Biotechnol. 2008;26(8):404-12.

514 5. Toya Y, Shimizu H. Flux analysis and metabolomics for systematic metabolic 515 engineering of microorganisms. Biotechnol Adv. 2013;31(6):818-26.

516 6. Kim DH, Achcar F, Breitling R, Burgess KE, Barrett MP. LC-MS-based absolute 517 metabolite quantification: application to metabolic flux measurement in trypanosomes. 518 Metabolomics. 2015;11(6):1721-32.

519 7. Cortassa S, Caceres V, Bell LN, O'Rourke B, Paolocci N, Aon MA. From 520 metabolomics to fluxomics: a computational procedure to translate metabolite profiles 521 into metabolic fluxes. Biophysical Journal. 2015;108(1):163-72.

8. van Eunen K, Kiewiet JAL, Westerhoff HV, Bakker BM. Testing Biochemistry
Revisited: How In Vivo Metabolism Can Be Understood from In Vitro Enzyme Kinetics.
PLoS Comput Biol. 2012;8(4).

525 9. Tomar N, De RK. Comparing methods for metabolic network analysis and an application to metabolic engineering. Gene. 2013;521(1):1-14.

10. Zanghellini J, Ruckerbauer D, Hanscho M, Jungreuthmayer C. Elementary flux
modes in a nutshell: Properties, calculation and applications. Biotechnol J. 2013;8:1009–
16.

Jol S, Kummel A, Terzer M, Stelling J, Heinemann M. System-Level Insights into
Yeast Metabolism by Thermodynamic Analysis of Elementary Flux Modes. PLoS Comput
Biol. 2012;8(3).

533 12. Feist AM, Herrgard MJ, Thiele I, Reed JL, Palsson BO. Reconstruction of 534 biochemical networks in microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(2):129-43.

535 13. Antoniewicz MR. Methods and advances in metabolic flux analysis: a mini-review.
536 J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;42(3):317-25.

537 14. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson BO. What is flux balance analysis? Nat Biotechnol. 538 2010;28(3):245-8.

539 15. Schuetz R, Kuepfer L, Sauer U. Systematic evaluation of objective functions for
540 predicting intracellular fluxes in Escherichia coli. Molecular Systems Biology.
541 2007;3(119).

542 16. Schuetz R, Zamboni N, Zampieri M, Heinemann M, Sauer M. Multidimensional 543 optimality of microbial metabolism. Science. 2012;336:601-4.

544 17. Long CP, Antoniewicz MR. High-resolution 13C metabolic flux analysis. Nat Protoc. 545 2019;14:2856–77.

546 18. Wiechert W, Noh K. Isotopically non-stationary metabolic flux analysis: complex 547 yet highly informative. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2013;24(6):979-86.

548 19. Vojinović V, Von Stockar U. Influence of uncertainties in pH, pMg, activity 549 coefficients, metabolite concentrations, and other factors on the analysis of the 550 thermodynamic feasibility of metabolic pathways. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2009;103(4):780– 551 95.

552 20. Salvy P, Fengos G, Ataman M, Pathier T, Soh KC, Hatzimanikatis V. pyTFA and 553 matTFA a Python package and a Matlab toolbox for Thermodynamics-based Flux 554 Analysis. Bioinformatics. 2018;35(1):167-9.

555 21. Hoppe A, Hoffmann S, Holzhütter H-G. Including metabolite concentrations into 556 flux balance analysis: thermodynamic realizability as a constraint on flux distributions in 557 metabolic networks. BMC Syst Biol. 2007;1(23).

558 22. Park JO, Rubin SA, Xu YF, Amador-Noguez D, Fan J, Shlomi T, et al. Metabolite 559 concentrations, fluxes and free energies imply efficient enzyme usage. Nat Chem Biol. 560 2016;12. 561 23. Ataman M, Hatzimanikatis V. Heading in the right direction: thermodynamics-562 based network analysis and pathway engineering. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2015;36:176-563 82. 564 24. Beard DA, Babson E, Curtis E, Qian H. Thermodynamic constraints for biochemical 565 networks. J Theor Biol. 2004;228:327-33. 566 25. Kümmel A, Panke S, Heinemann M. Putative regulatory sites unraveled by 567 network-embedded thermodynamic analysis of metabolome data. Mol Syst Biol. 2006. 568 Flamholz A, Noor E, Bar-Even A, Milo R. eQuilibrator - the biochemical 26. 569 thermodynamics calculator. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:D770-D5. 570 Henry CS, Broadbelt LJ, Hatzimanikatis V. Thermodynamics-Based Metabolic Flux 27. 571 Analysis. Biophys J. 2007;92:1792-805. 572 28. Niebel B, Leupold S, Heinemann M. An upper limit on Gibbs energy dissipation 573 governs cellular metabolism. Nat Metab. 2019;1:125–32. 574 29. Fleming RM, Thiele I. von Bertalanffy 1.0: a COBRA toolbox extension to 575 thermodynamically constrain metabolic models. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(1):142-3. Gerstl M, Jungreuthmayer C, Zanghellini J. tEFMA: computing thermodynamically 576 30. 577 elementary flux modes metabolic networks. feasible in Bioinformatics. 578 2015;31(13):2232-4. 579 31. Simonin J-P. Thermodynamic consistency in the modeling of speciation in 580 selfcomplexing electrolytes. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2017;56:9721-33. 581 32. Alberty RA. Thermodynamics of Biochemical Reactions: John Wiley & Sons; 2005. 582 33. Jankowski K, Henry CS, Broadbelt LJ, Hatzimanikatis V. Group contribution 583 method for thermodynamic analysis of complex metabolic networks. Biophys J. 584 2008;95:1487-99. 585 Orth JD, Conrad TM, Na J, Lerman JA, Nam H, Feist AM, et al. A comprehensive 34 586 genome-scale reconstruction of Escherichia coli metabolism-2011. Mol Syst Biol. 587 2011;7(535). 588 Ishii N, Nakahigashi K, Baba T, Robert M, Soga T, Kanai A, et al. Multiple high-35. 589 throughput analyses monitor the response of E.coli to perturbations. Science. 590 2007;316(5824):593-7 591 Sandve GK, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J, Hovig E. Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible 36. 592 Computational Research. PLoS Comput Biol. 2013;9(10). 593 Schellenberger J, Que R, Fleming RM, Thiele I, Orth JD, Feist AM, et al. 37. 594 Quantitative prediction of cellular metabolism with constraint-based models: the COBRA 595 Toolbox v2.0. Nat Protoc. 2011;6(9):1290-307. 596 Atkins P, Paula Jd. Equilibrium electrochemistry. Atkins' physical chemistry. 7th 38. 597 ed. United States: Oxford University Press; 2002. 598 39. Fleming RM, Thiele I, Nasheuer HP. Quantitative assignment of reaction 599 directionality in constraint-based models of metabolism: Application to Escherichia coli. 600 Biophys Chem. 2009;145:47-56. 601 40. Siedler G, Peters H. Physical properties (general) of sea water. Oceanography. 602 Landolt-Börnstein: Numerical data and functional relationships in science and 603 technology. V/3a. Berlin: Springer; 1986. p. 233-64. 604 41. Meissner T, Wentz FJ. The complex dielectric constant of pure and sea water from 605 microwave satellite observations. IEEE T Geosci Remote. 2004;42(9):1836-49. 606 42. Millero FJ, Leung WH. Thermodynamics of seawater at one atmosphere. Am J Sci. 607 1976;276:1035-77 608 Baldwin WW, Myer R, Powell N, Anderson E, Koch AL. Buoyant density of 43. 609 Escherichia coli is determined solely by the osmolarity of the culture medium. Arch 610 Microbiol. 1995;164:155-7. 611 Pandey V, Hadadi N, Hatzimanikatis V. Enhanced flux prediction by integrating 44. 612 relative expression and relative metabolite abundance into thermodynamically consistent 613 metabolic models. PLoS Comput Biol. 2019;15(5). 614 45. Field A. Discovering statistic using SPSS. 3rd ed: SAGE Publications; 2009.

615 46. Kiparissides A, Hatzimanikatis V. Thermodynamics-based Metabolite Sensitivity 616 Analysis in metabolic networks. Metab Eng. 2017;39:117-27.

617 47. Page L B, Motwani R W. The PageRank Citation Ranking: Bringing Order to the 618 Web. In: InfoLab S, editor. Stanford, CA, USA1998.

48. Frainay C, Aros S, Chazalviel M, Garcia T, Vinson F, Weiss N, et al. MetaboRank:
network-based recommendation system to interpret and enrich metabolomics results.
Bioinformatics. 2018;35(2):274–83.

49. Wagner A, Fell D. The small world inside large metabolic networks. Proc Biol Sci.2001;268:1803-10.

50. Beguerisse-Díaz M, Bosque G, Oyarzún D, Picó J, Barahona M. Flux-dependent graphs for metabolic networks. NPJ Syst Biol Appl. 2018;4(32).

51. Cordova LT, Cipolla RM, Swarup A, Long CP, Antoniewicz MR. 13C metabolic flux
analysis of three divergent extremely thermophilic bacteria: Geobacillus sp. LC300,
Thermus thermophilus HB8, and Rhodothermus marinus DSM 4252. Metab Eng.
2017;44:182-90.

52. Toya Y, Ishii N, Nakahigashi K, Hirasawa T, Soga T, Tomita M, et al. 13Cmetabolic flux analysis for batch culture of Escherichia coli and its pyk and pgi gene knockout mutants based on mass isotopomer distribution of intracellular metabolites. Biotechnol Prog. 2010;26(4):975-92.

634 53. Costenoble R, Muller D, Barl T, van Gulik WM, van Winden WA, Reuss M, et al.
635 13C-Labeled metabolic flux analysis of a fed-batch culture of elutriated Saccharomyces
636 cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 2007;7(4):511-26.

637 54. Ralser M. An appeal to magic? The discovery of a non-enzymatic metabolism and 638 its role in the origins of life. Biochem J. 2018;475:2577-92.

639 55. Takhaveev V, Heinemann M. Metabolic heterogeneity in clonal microbial 640 populations. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2018;45:30-8.

641 56. Goodwin BC. Synchronization of Escherichia coli in a chemostat by periodic 642 phosphate feeding. Eur J Biochem. 1969;10:511-4.

643 57. Massie TM, Blasius B, Weithoff G, Gaedke U, Fussmann GF. Cycles, phase
644 synchronization, and entrainment in single-species phytoplankton populations. P Natl
645 Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(9):4236-41.

58. Zhang Z, Milias-Argeitis A, Heinemann M. Dynamic single-cell NAD(P)H
measurement reveals oscillatory metabolism throughout the E. coli cell division cycle. Sci
Rep. 2018;8(2162).

59. Yaginuma H, Kawai S, Tabata KV, Tomiyama K, Kakizuka A, Komatsuzaki T, et al. Diversity in ATP concentrations in a single bacterial cell population revealed by quantitative single-cell imaging. Sci Rep. 2014;4(6522).

652 60. Gao P, Xu G. Mass-spectrometry-based microbial metabolomics: recent 653 developments and applications. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2015;407(3):669-80.

654 61. Rabinowitz JD, Kimball E. Acidic Acetonitrile for Cellular Metabolome Extraction 655 from Escherichia coli. Anal Chem. 2007;79:6167-73.

656 62. Lu W, Wang L, Chen L, Hui S, Rabinowitz JD. Extraction and Quantitation of
657 Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide redox cofactors. Antioxidants & redox signaling.
658 2018;28(3):167-79.

659 63. Barber F, Ho P-Y, Murray AW, Amir A. Details matter: noise and model structure 660 set the relationship between cell size and cell cycle timing. Front Cell Dev Biol. 661 2017;5(92).

662 64. Crosby JR, Laemthong T, Lewis AM, Straub CT, Adams MW, Kelly RM. Extreme 663 thermophiles as emerging metabolic engineering platforms. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 664 2019;59:55-64.

665 65. Dun B, Zhang Z, Grubner S, Yurkovich J, Palsson B, Zielinski D. Temperature666 Dependent Estimation of Gibbs Energies Using an Updated Group-Contribution Method.
667 Biophys J. 2018;114:2691–702.

668 66. van Heeswijk W, V. Westerhoff H, Boogerd F. Nitrogen Assimilation in Escherichia 669 coli: Putting Molecular Data into a Systems Perspective. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 670 2013;77(4):628–95.

671 67. Sauer U, Eikmanns BJ. The PEP-pyruvate-oxaloacetate node as the switch point 672 for carbon flux distribution in bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2005;29:765-94.

673 68. Kappelmann J, Wiechert W, Noack S. Cutting the Gordian Knot: Identifiability of 674 anaplerotic reactions in Corynebacterium glutamicum by means of (13) C-metabolic flux 675 analysis. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2015;113(3).

676 69. Fischer E, Zamboni N, Sauer U. High-throughput metabolic flux analysis based on
677 gas chromatography-mass spectrometry derived 13C constraints. Anal Biochem.
678 2004;325(2):308-16.

70. Yang C, Hua Q, Baba T, Mori H, Shimizu K. Analysis of Escherichia coli anaplerotic
metabolism and its regulation mechanisms from the metabolic responses to altered
dilution rates and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase knockout. Biotechnol Bioeng.
2003;84(2):129-44.

71. Nanchen A, Schicker A, Sauer U. Nonlinear dependency of intracellular fluxes on
growth rate in miniaturized continuous cultures of Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microb.
2006;72(2):1164-72.

Williams TCR, Poolman MG, Howden AJM, Schwarzlander M, Fell DA, Ratcliffe RG,
et al. A genome-scale metabolic model accurately predicts fluxes in central carbon
metabolism under stress conditions. Plant Physiol. 2010;154:311-23.

Chen X, Alonso AP, Allen DK, Reed JL, Shachar-Hill Y. Synergy between (13)Cmetabolic flux analysis and flux balance analysis for understanding metabolic adaptation
to anaerobiosis in E. coli. Metab Eng. 2011;13(1):38-48.

692 74. Sauer U, Lasko DR, Fiaux J, Hochuli M, Glaser R, Szyperski T, et al. Metabolic flux
693 ratio analysis of genetic and environmental modulations of Escherichia coli central
694 carbon metabolism. J Bacteriol. 1999;181(21):6679-88.

695 75. Myoung Park J, Yong Kim T, Yup Lee S. Prediction of metabolic fluxes by 696 incorporating genomic context and flux-converging pattern analyses. P Natl Acad Sci 697 USA. 2010;107(33):14931–6.

698

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

700 Author contributions

- 701
- 702 Conceptualisation: Claudio Tomi-Andrino, Thomas Millat, Dong-Hyun Kim
- 703 Data curation: Claudio Tomi-Andrino
- 704 Formal analysis: Claudio Tomi-Andrino
- 705 Methodology: Claudio Tomi-Andrino, Rupert Norman, Thomas Millat, Philippe Soucaille
- 706 **Project administration:** Claudio Tomi-Andrino
- 707 Resources: Klaus Winzer, David A. Barrett, John King, Dong-Hyun Kim
- 708 Software: Claudio Tomi-Andrino, Rupert Norman, Thomas Millat
- 709 Supervision: Klaus Winzer, David A. Barrett, John King, Dong-Hyun Kim
- 710 Writing original draft: Claudio Tomi-Andrino
- 711 Writing review & editing: Claudio Tomi-Andrino, Rupert Norman, Thomas Millat,
- 712 Philippe Soucaille, Klaus Winzer, David A. Barrett, John King, Dong-Hyun Kim

Predictive capabilities of thermodynamics-based stoichiometric approaches

714 Supporting information

- **S1 Appendix.** Generation of directed graphs and side compounds.
- **S1 Dataset.** Metabolomics Keio database.
- 718 S2 Dataset. Variation of flux values among tests.
- 719 S3 Dataset. Variation of concentration values among tests.
- 720 S4 Dataset. PageRank scores.
- **S1 Table.** Mapping of metabolic fluxes.
- **S2 Table.** List of files used in this study.
- **S3 Table.** Full factorial design.
- **S4 Table.** Tests characterisation and ranking.