Mapping the immunogenic landscape of near-native HIV-1 envelope trimers in non-human

primates

Christopher A. Cottrell[1,2,3], Jelle van Schooten[4], Charles A. Bowman[1], Meng Yuan[1], David Oyen[1],

Mia Shin[1], Robert Morpurgo[4], Patricia van der Woude[4], Marielle van Breemen[4], Jonathan L.

Torres[1], Raj Patel[1], Justin Gross[1], Leigh M. Sewall[1], Jeffrey Copps[1], Gabriel Ozorowski[1,2,3], Bartek

Nogal[1,2,3], Devin Sok[2,3,5], Eva G. Rakasz[6], Celia Labranche[7], Vladimir Vigdorovich[12], Scott

Christley[13], Diane G. Carnathan[3,14], D. Noah Sather[12], David Montefiori[7,8], Guido Silvestri[3,14],

Dennis R. Burton[2,3,5,9], John P. Moore[10], Ian A. Wilson[1,2,3,11], Rogier W. Sanders[1,10#], Andrew B.

Ward[1,2,3#], Marit J. van Gils[4#]

[1] Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute,

La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

[2] IAVI Neutralizing Antibody Center, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.

[3] Consortium for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Development, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA

92037, USA.

[4] Department of Medical Microbiology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, 1105 AZ

Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

[5] Department of Immunology and Microbiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA

92037, USA.

[6] Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53715, USA.

[7] Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA.

[8] Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA.

[9] The Ragon Institute of Massachusetts General Hospital, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

and Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

[10] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New

York, NY 10021,USA

[11] The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

[12] Center for Global Infectious Disease Research, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle,

WA 98109, USA.

[13] Department of Population and Data Sciences, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

75390, USA

[14] Yerkes National Primate Research Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA;
33
[#] corresponding author; m.j.vangils@amc.uva.nl; andrew@scripps.edu; r.w.sanders@amc.uva.nl

Summary

The induction of broad and potent immunity by vaccines is the key focus of research efforts

aimed at protecting against HIV-1 infection. Soluble native-like HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins

have shown promise as vaccine candidates as they can induce potent autologous neutralizing

responses in rabbits and non-human primates. In this study, monoclonal antibodies were isolated

and characterized from rhesus macaques immunized with the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer to better

understand vaccine-induced antibody responses. Our studies reveal a diverse landscape of

antibodies recognizing immunodominant strain-specific epitopes and non-neutralizing neo-

epitopes. Additionally, we isolated a subset of mAbs against an epitope cluster at the gp120-gp41

interface that recognize the highly conserved fusion peptide and the glycan at position 88 and

have characteristics akin to several human-derived broadly neutralizing antibodies.

Introduction

HIV-1 continues to cause significant morbidity and mortality around the world with an estimated

1.7 million new infections in 2018 (UNAIDS, 2019), which emphasizes the need for an effective

prophylactic vaccine. The HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env) is the sole target for neutralizing

antibody (NAb) responses. Studies of infected patients have led to the isolation of NAbs against

multiple different epitopes on the Env surface that are capable of both neutralizing most

circulating strains and providing passive protection against repeated viral challenges in non-

human primates (NHPs) (Burton and Hangartner, 2016; Moldt et al., 2016; Pegu et al., 2019; Wu,

2018). Extensive research efforts, including structure-based engineering of Env immunogens, are

currently directed towards developing vaccine strategies to successfully elicit broadly

neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) against specific Env epitopes (de Taeye et al., 2015; Escolano et

al., 2019; Escolano et al., 2016; Jardine et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2019; Steichen et al., 2016;

Torrents de la Pena et al., 2017). The development and structural determination of soluble

native-like Env trimer mimics, particularly ones based on the SOSIP technology, has provided a

platform for structure-based immunogen design (Julien et al., 2013a; Lyumkis et al., 2013;

Pancera et al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2013). NAbs induced by SOSIP trimers in NHPs can protect

against challenge with an autologous Simian-Human Immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) (Pauthner et

al., 2017; Pauthner et al., 2019). However, as NAbs with the required breadth of activity have not

yet been induced in trimer-immunized animals, improvements to current vaccine design and

delivery strategies are clearly needed.

Characterizing the antibody response to SOSIP trimers may provide useful information for

guiding immunogen design. Initial analyses of rabbits immunized with BG505 SOSIP.664 trimers,

including studies of isolated monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), showed that autologous NAbs

targeted a large hole in the glycan shield of the BG505 virus caused by the absence of

glycosylation sites at positions 241 and 289 (Klasse et al., 2018; McCoy et al., 2016). The 241

glycan is highly conserved (97%) among HIV-1 strains and while the 289 glycan is less conserved,

it is still present in 79% of viruses. The required absence of typically conserved glycans explains

why the NAbs isolated from the trimer-immunized rabbits lack breadth (McCoy et al., 2016). Later

studies involving BG505 trimer-immunized rabbits, guinea pigs, and NHPs have identified

additional narrow-specificity neutralizing serum responses that recognize epitopes in the C3/V4,

C3/V5, and V1 regions, with mAbs isolated from guinea pigs targeting the C3/V4 epitope (Cirelli

et al., 2019; Klasse et al., 2018; Lei et al., 2019; Nogal et al., 2019; Pauthner et al., 2017).

Here, we describe a detailed characterization of neutralizing and non-neutralizing mAbs

isolated from two rhesus macaques (RMs) previously immunized with the BG505 SOSIP.664

trimer (Sanders et al., 2015). We identified multiple mAbs targeting the 289-glycan hole on the

BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer or a neo-epitope cluster at the base of the trimer. The most potent NAb

isolated targeted the gp120/gp41 interface at an epitope that significantly overlaps with the

epitope of human bNAb VRC34 (Kong et al., 2016). Insights from the induction of these NAbs

through vaccination can be further used to develop immunogens and immunization strategies to

induce cross-reactive antibody responses.

Results

Indian origin rhesus macaque BCR germline database

The majority of bNAbs isolated from HIV-infected patients have exceedingly high levels of

somatic hypermutation (SHM) (Landais and Moore, 2018; Wu, 2018). Accurately measuring levels

of SHM elicited during immunization experiments is a critical component to ensuring the elicited

antibodies are acquiring the level of mutations associated with neutralization breadth. To

accurately measure the extent of SHM, the mAb sequences that we obtained from BG505

SOSIP.664 trimer-immunized RMs required comparison to a germline B-cell receptor (BCR)

reference database. The IMGT reference database for RMs is incomplete and contains a mixture

of genes/alleles from both Chinese and Indian origin animals. Given the high levels of genetic

diversity in the Immunoglobulin (Ig) loci among RMs from different origins (Corcoran et al., 2016)

and the general use of Indian origin RMs in most HIV-1 immunization experiments conducted in

the United States, we constructed a germline database containing gene/alleles from only Indian

origin RMs. The gene/alleles from the published database (Vigdorovich et al., 2016) were aligned

to the Mmul_8.0.1 Indian origin RM genome assembly using BLAST (Johnson et al., 2008; Zimin

et al., 2014). Sequences that were not identical to the reference genome were eliminated.

Additional genes/alleles from publicly available Indian origin RM genomic DNA sequencing

datasets (Cirelli et al., 2019; Ramesh et al., 2017) were added to the database. After duplicates

were removed, the resulting new database contained 189 IGHV, 70 IGHD, 9 IGHJ, 188 IGKV, 5

IGKJ, 147 IGLV, and 13 IGLJ genes/alleles (Table S1).

Recent advances in BCR repertoire sequencing and analysis have enabled the use of next-

generation sequencing (NGS) datasets for inferring novel genes/alleles (Corcoran et al., 2016;

Gadala-Maria et al., 2015; Ralph and Matsen, 2018). Using the database described above as an

initial database, we performed IgDiscover analysis (Corcoran et al., 2016) on IgM BCR sequences

derived from five Indian origin RMs. Additionally, we performed IgDiscover analysis on previously

obtained Indian origin RM BCR NGS datasets that were downloaded from the NCBI sequence read

archive (Corcoran et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2015) or obtained directly from the study authors

(Vigdorovich et al., 2016). Inferred genes/alleles were kept if they were detected in more than

one animal or if they were identical to RM genes/alleles that were previously deposited in the

NCBI database. We added 113 IGHV, 18 IGKV, and 18 IGLV genes/alleles to our germline database

(http://ward.scripps.edu/gld/) resulting in a total of 302 IGHV, 206 IGKV, and 165 IGLV

genes/alleles. This updated database was then converted into a custom IgBLAST database and

subsequently used to analyze our BG505-specific mAbs sequences with IgBLAST (Ye et al., 2013).

Antigen-specific mAbs isolated from BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer-immunized RMs

To better understand the immunogenicity of the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimers in previously

immunized Indian origin RMs (Sanders et al., 2015) we selected the two animals (rh1987 and

rh2011) with the highest serum neutralization activity against the autologous BG505.T332N

pseudovirus for in-depth mAb analysis. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from the

following time points were selected for BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer-specific IgG-positive single

memory B-cell sorting: (i) two weeks prior to the fourth immunization (week 22), (ii) 1 week after

the fourth immunization (week 25) and (iii) 1 week after the sixth immunization (week 53) (Fig

1A). In total, 25 and 17 mAbs were cloned from RMs rh1987 and rh2011, respectively (Fig 1B).

The BG505-specific mAb sequences were analysed using our germline database and

shown to be evenly distributed between kappa and lambda light chains (KC and LC) usage (Fig

1B). For animal rh1987, 11 KC and 14 LC mAbs were isolated. Their average heavy chain (HC) SHM

(nucleotide level) was 6.4% (range: 2.1%-10.2%) with an average HC complementarity-

determining region 3 (CDR-H3) length of 15 amino acids (aa) (range: 7-23) (Table S2). The rh1987

KC mAbs utilized HC variable genes from the IGHV3 and IGHV4 families and predominantly used

KC V genes from the IGKV1 family (Table S2). All of the rh1987 KC mAbs had a CDR-L3 length of 9

aa and their average KC SHM (nucleotide level) was 4.7% (range: 2.6%-6.0%) (Table S2). A single

clonal family with 4 members (RM19A) was detected among rh1987 KC mAbs with members

isolated from both week 22 and week 25 samples (Table S2). The rh1987 LC mAbs used HC V

genes from the IGHV1, IGHV3 and IGHV4 families and LC V genes mainly from the IGLV2 gene

family (Table S2). The rh1987 LC mAbs had an average CDR-L3 length of 10 aa (range: 9-11) with

an average LC SHM (nucleotide level) of 3.8% (range: 0.9%-10.6%) (Table S2). Two clonal families

(RM19B [2 members] and RM19C [4 members]) were identified among the rh1987 LC mAbs

isolated from weeks 22 and 25 (Table S2).

For animal rh2011, 8 KC and 9 LC mAbs were isolated. Their average HC SHM rate

(nucleotide level) was 6.1% (range: 3.0%-9.1%) and they had an average CDR-H3 length of 17 aa

(range 10-20) (Table S2). Half of the rh2011 KC mAbs belonged to the RM20E clonal family,

isolated from the week 53 sample. The RM20E clonal family utilized the HC V gene IGHV5-

ABI*01_S2502 and the KC V gene LJI.Rh_IGKV2.71 (Table S2). Overall, the rh2011 KC mAbs had

an average KC SHM rate (nucleotide level) of 4.1% (range: 3.0%-5.3%) and a CDR-L3 length of 9

aa (Table S2). Among the rh2011 LC mAbs, two clonal families (RM20A [4 members] and RM20B

[2 members]) were isolated from weeks 22 and 25 samples (Table S2). Overall, the rh2011 mAbs

had an average LC SHM rate (nucleotide level) of 4.4% (range: 2.1%-6.4%) and an average CDR

L3 length of 10.6 aa (range: 9-11) (Table S2).

BG505-specific mAbs recognize multiple Env regions

All 42 mAbs bound to the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer in ELISA, but only 11 of the 25 mAbs from

rh1987 and 9 of the 17 from rh2011 bound the corresponding gp120 monomer (Fig S1). The mAbs

were tested for neutralization activity against the autologous BG505 clade A Tier 2 virus, its

glycan-611 knockout variant (N611A), and the heterologous SF162 clade B Tier 1A virus. Only a

few mAbs, 4 from rh1987 and 2 from rh2011, neutralized the BG505.T332N pseudovirus but one

of them, RM20F from rh2011, did so potently (Fig 1C). Two mAbs from rh1987 and 4 from rh2011

were able to potently neutralize the N611A-variant despite lacking activity against the autologous

BG505.T332N pseudovirus (Fig 1C and D). None of the 42 mAbs neutralized the easy-to-neutralize

heterologous SF162 virus (Fig 1C and D).

EM-based epitope mapping revealed mAbs isolated from both animals target 4 distinct, but

somewhat overlapping epitopes

We used low resolution, negative stain, single particle electron microscopy (EM) to visualize

where a representative subset of the isolated mAbs bound on the surface of the BG505 SOSIP

trimer. The majority (55%) of mAbs isolated were non-neutralizing antibodies that bound to the

base of the BG505 SOSIP trimer (Figs 2A, S2, and Table S2) at a neo-epitope cluster that is

occluded by the viral membrane on HIV-1 virions. Fabs bound to the base of the soluble trimer

via multiple angles of approach and utilized a variety of heavy and light chain genes/alleles to do

so (Fig 2A and Table S2). The extent of SHM in the base-targeting mAbs ranged from 2-10% in the

HC and 1-11% in the light chain (Table S2). Previous studies examining the polyclonal antibody

responses elicited by the BG505 SOSIP trimers in rabbits and RMs have shown that epitopes at

the base of the soluble trimer were targeted in every single animal analyzed (Bianchi et al., 2018;

Cirelli et al., 2019; Nogal et al., 2019). Taken together with our new data, it is clear that the base

of SOSIP trimers contain an immunodominant non-neutralizing neo-epitope cluster that is easily

targeted by a variety of precursor BCRs in different species.

A subset of mAbs from both animals bound to an epitope near the N611 glycan (Figs 2B

and S2). These mAbs were not capable of neutralizing the autologous BG505.T332N pseudovirus

but neutralized the BG505 N611A variant (Fig 1C and D). Two additional mAbs isolated from

rh2011 (RM20F and RM20H) bound to an epitope near the fusion peptide (FP) and were capable

of neutralizing both the autologous and N611A BG505 pseudoviruses, but the latter virus more

potently (Figs 2C and S2). MAbs from both animals targeted the 289-glycan hole epitope on

BG505, with some, isolated from rh1987, showing weak neutralization of the autologous

BG505.T332N pseudovirus (Figs 1C and 2D; Table S2). Multiple germline genes/alleles were used

to target the same 289-glycan hole epitope (Table S2).

To further assess the epitopes targeted following immunization with the BG505

SOSIP.664 trimer and verify that we isolated mAbs representative of the full serum antibody

response, we performed electron microscopy polyclonal epitope mapping (EMPEM) (Bianchi et

al., 2018) using week 28 serum. EMPEM revealed that similar epitopes were targeted in both

animals and that the epitope assignments correlated well with the epitopes ascribed to mAbs

generated by antigen-specific B-cell sorting (Fig 2E versus S2).

A previous analysis of purified serum IgGs from RMs rh1987 and rh2011 identified the

C3/V5 epitope as a major target for neutralization activity (Klasse et al., 2018); however, none of

the mAbs isolated here targeted the C3/V5 epitope nor were they detected by EMPEM. While

low resolution, negative stain EM provides valuable information on where mAbs bind on the

surface of HIV-1 Env, the molecular detail necessary to guide structure-based immunogen design

requires high-resolution structural data obtained by cryoEM and x-ray crystallography. We

therefore selected three Fabs (RM20J, RM20F, and RM20E1) that bound to different epitopes for

high-resolution structural determination.

MAb RM20J binds to the α2 helix of gp120 and exploits a hole in the glycan shield of BG505 at

position 289

We solved a 2.3 Å crystal structure of unliganded RM20J Fab and a 3.9 Å cryoEM structure

of RM20J Fab bound to the BG505 SOSIP Env trimer (Figs 3A and S3; Tables S4 and S5.). Together

these structures revealed the RM20J Fab binds to an epitope on a single gp120 protomer with

982 Å² of buried surface area (BSA). The CDR-H1 and CDR-H2 make contact with the C2 region of

gp120 including residues N289 and T290 (Fig 3B). A glycan at position N289 would directly clash

with both the CDR-H1 and CDR-H2 of RM20J (Fig 3B). CDR-L2 makes contact with the first N-

acetyl glucosamine sugar of the N355 glycan (Fig 3C). Additional contacts are made to the α2

helix of gp120 by RM20J CDR-H3 and CDR-L2 (Fig 3C). When compared to 10A, a previously

characterized 241/289 glycan hole targeting NAb isolated from a BG505 SOSIP.664-immunized

rabbit (Bianchi et al., 2018; McCoy et al., 2016), RM20J binds to an epitope biased more towards

289 and away from 241 in the 241/289 glycan hole, revealing subtle differences in the recognition

of the epitope (Figs 3D and 3E). Despite binding to the BG505 SOSIP trimer with high affinity

(Table S3), RM20J was not able to neutralize the autologous BG505.T332N pseudovirus (Fig 1C).

Although the hypervariable region of V4 was not resolved in the trimer structure, it lies directly

above the RM20J epitope and contains two additional glycans (N406 and N411) that may affect

RM20J binding. Comparisons between the glycosylation profiles of the BG505 viral Env and the

SOSIP.664 trimer revealed differences in the glycoforms present at positions N355, N406, and

N411 (Cao et al., 2018; Struwe et al., 2018) with more complex glycans being found on the viral

Env that could hinder the ability of RM20J to bind on the surface of the virus and, therefore,

render it incapable of neutralization. Several of the mAbs isolated from rh1987, including the

RM19A clonal family, bind to a similar epitope as RM20J (Fig 2D, Fig S2, Table S2) and either fail

to neutralize the autologous BG505 virus or do so with weak potency (Fig 1C and D).

MAb RM20F binds to a quaternary epitope at the gp120/gp41 interface that includes elements

of the fusion peptide and the N88 glycan

For a more detailed view of the mode of RM20F recognition, we solved a 2.2 Å crystal

structure of unliganded RM20F Fab and a 4.3 Å cryoEM structure of RM20F Fab bound to BG505

SOSIP trimer (Figs 4A and S3; Tables S4 and S5). RM20F recognizes an epitope spanning two gp41

protomers and a single gp120 protomer that has 1126 Å² of BSA at the interface. The RM20F LC

contributes 22% of the paratope surface area (250 Å²) and makes contact with the poorly

conserved residues H85 (8.1% prevalence among global strains) and K229 (12.5% prevalence) in

the C1 and C2 regions of gp120 respectively (Fig 4B). The RM20F HC contributes the remaining

78% of the paratope surface area (876 Å²) and uses its 20 residue CDR-H3 to wedge between the

FP of the primary gp41 protomer and the HR2 helix of the adjacent gp41 protomer (Fig 4C).

Additional contacts with the fusion peptide proximal region (FPPR) of the primary gp41 protomer

are made by residues at the tip of CDR-H2 (Fig 4B). The N88 glycan accounts for 18% (198 Å²) of

the epitope BSA and makes contact with the CDR-H2 and FR-H3 regions of RM20F (Fig 4B). The

lack of connecting density, even at lower contour, between RM20F and the glycans at N611 and

N637 suggests these glycans do not substantially contribute to the epitope. Epitope mapping

using BG505.T332N mutant pseudoviruses showed that knocking out the N611 glycan (N611Q

mutant) substantially enhanced neutralization by RM20F, while knocking out the N637 glycan

(N637Q mutant) had no effect. (Fig 4D). Other virus mutants revealed that neutralization by

RM20F was sensitive to various sequence changes within the epitope, particularly at residues

H85 and E647 (84.3% prevalence) and N88 (N88 glycan knock out) (Fig 4D). The N88 glycan knock

out and the H85A mutation (to a lesser extent) significantly reduced neutralization activity of the

FP-targeting bNAb VRC34, but no effect on the CD4 binding site targeting bNAb VRC01 (Fig 4D).

Introducing the 241 or 289 glycans (S241N and P291T, respectively) modestly reduced the

neutralization activity of RM20F (Fig 4D). In comparison to the FP-targeting bNAbs VRC34 and

ACS202, RM20F lacked neutralization breadth when tested against a panel that included multiple

heterologous viruses (Fig S4) likely due to the dependency on poorly conserved residues.

MAb RM20E1 binds to the fusion peptide and makes contact with two adjacent protomers

We solved a 2.3 Å crystal structure of the unliganded RM20E1 Fab and 4.4 Å cryoEM

structure of RM20E1 Fab bound to BG505 SOSIP trimer and Fab PGT122 (Figs 5A and S3; Tables

S4 and S5). RM20E1 binds to an epitope composed of one gp120 and two gp41 protomers with

1178 Å² of BSA. Residues 515 to 520 of the FP in the primary gp41 protomer are sandwiched

between CDR-H3, CDR-L1, and CDR-L3 of RM20E1 (Fig 5B). CDR-H3 and FR-H1 make contact with

HR2 in the adjacent gp41 protomer (Fig 5C). Additionally, the FR-H1 makes contact near the

N611-glycan site in the adjacent gp41 protomer, but we observed no connecting density that

could be attributed to the N611-glycan itself (Fig 5C). RM20E1 avoids the N88 glycan but does

interact with residues in the C1 region of gp120, including H85, via its CDR-L1 (Fig 5B). Despite

recognition of the conserved FP, RM20E1 did not neutralize the autologous BG505.T332N

pseudovirus. The antibody did however potently neutralize the N611A glycan KO BG505

pseudovirus (Fig 1C) suggesting the epitope is shielded by the N611 glycan. The epitopes of the

FP-targeting bNAbs VRC34, ACS202, and DFPH-a.15 overlap to a large extent with the epitopes

of RM20E1 and RM20F (Fig 5D), with DFPH-a.15 and VRC34 also neutralizing more potently in

the absence of the N611 glycan (Kong et al., 2019; Kong et al., 2016; van Gils et al., 2016; Yuan et

al., 2019). The RM20E1-bound FP conformation is similar to the FP conformation when bound by

the bNAb VRC34 (Fig S5); however, the inability of RM20E1 to accommodate the N611 glycan

likely results in the lack of neutralization of the wild-type virus.

Discussion

A major goal of HIV-1 vaccine research is to elicit bNAbs able to neutralize the large

diversity of circulating HIV-1 strains in humans. However, how to achieve this goal remains a

critical problem. Native-like Env trimers are an important design platform for engineering

immunogens for bNAb induction (de Taeye et al., 2015; Dubrovskaya et al., 2019; Escolano et al.,

2019; Escolano et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2019; Sanders et al., 2013; Steichen et al., 2016; Torrents

de la Pena et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). BG505 SOSIP trimers were able to induce responses in

immunized RMs that potently neutralized the autologous Tier 2 virus (Pauthner et al., 2017;

Sanders et al., 2015). When present at sufficient titers, those NAbs protected against BG505-SHIV

challenge (Pauthner et al., 2019). Evaluating SOSIP trimers in RMs can yield valuable information

because of the close genetic relationship between RMs and humans. Here, we isolated mAbs

from two BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer-immunized RMs to better understand how the immune

system recognizes the trimers and the epitopes associated with the potent, but limited, HIV-1

Tier 2 neutralization.

By mapping the epitopes of all of the mAbs isolated from the immunized RMs, rather than

focusing only on NAbs, we were able to identify several non-neutralizing and potentially

immunodominant epitopes that would ideally be eliminated in future immunization studies. As

shown previously with mAbs from rabbits immunized with BG505 SOSIP.664 trimers (McCoy et

al., 2016), the lack of glycans at positions 241 and 289 in BG505 creates a large glycan hole which

is targeted by mAbs from both RMs. The mAbs isolated from RMs that target the 241/289 glycan

hole are more biased towards the 289-site compared to the previously characterized rabbit

mAbs. This difference may be attributed to the underlying differences in BCR repertoires

between the two animal models. In addition to the lack of glycans due to missing sequons that

encode for glycosylation, the recombinant BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer may also contain missing

glycans even when the correct sequon is present as previously observed by mass spectrometry

studies of glycopeptides (Cao et al., 2017; Cao et al., 2018). Our study identified gp120/gp41

interface antibodies whose neutralization was enhanced in the absence of the N611 glycan,

suggesting that the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer may have sub-stoichiometric glycan occupancy in

gp41 at this position, creating an unexpected but immunogenic glycan hole. The elicitation of FP

targeting mAbs in RMs with the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer provides evidence that the FP bNAb

epitope is accessible and immunogenic on soluble Env trimer immunogens. Recent studies in

mice, guinea pigs and RMs using synthetically produced HIV fusion peptides covalently attached

to carrier proteins as priming immunogens followed by boosts with soluble Env trimer

immunogens have also elicited FP specific antibodies including some mAbs with neutralization

breadth (Cheng et al., 2019; Kong et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018). However, the majority of the

animals immunized in these studies do not develop neutralization breadth and instead develop

potent neutralization against the BG505 pseudovirus with the N611 glycan KO (Cheng et al., 2019;

Kong et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018). Given the consistency across studies and animal models in

eliciting potent NAbs that target the FP epitope and require the absence of the N611 glycan,

investing in strategies to quantify and enhance the N611 glycan occupancy in soluble Env trimer

immunogens, particularly for boost immunogens, may improve the neutralization breadth

elicited by FP-targeting immunization protocols.

The sorting probe used to isolate BG505 Env-specific B-cells was a C-terminally

biotinylated BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer bound to a fluorescent streptavidin tetramer. Steric

constraints between the base of the trimer and the streptavidin tetramer likely resulted in a

lower recovery of base epitope-specific B-cells. Despite this potential selection bias, 55% of the

mAbs isolated from the two immunized RMs bound to the base of the BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer,

indicating the base of the soluble trimer is the major target for antibody responses during

immunization. To reduce the immunogenicity of this epitope, glycans can be introduced to shield

this site or the native-like trimers could be constructed onto scaffolds or particles (Brouwer et

al., 2019; Georgiev et al., 2018; Kulp et al., 2017; Ringe et al., 2019).

We were unable to construct individual germline BCR databases from RMs rh1987 and

rh2011 to precisely determine the SHM and gene/alleles usage as samples of naïve PBMC

samples were no longer available. Instead, we constructed a germline database containing BCR

gene/alleles from multiple Indian origin RMs that allowed us to measure SHM levels in the mAbs

we isolated from RMs. The new database provides web-based access

(http://ward.scripps.edu/gld/) to a curated and highly annotated general resource for examining

BCR gene/alleles from Indian origin RMs. Previous estimates of average SHM rates in mAb

sequences from Env-immunized RMs were 8.9% and 6.1% for the HC and LC, respectively (Wang

et al., 2017). These apparently high levels of SHM following repeated immunization with the

exact same soluble Env immunogens were likely due to missing germline gene/alleles from the

database used to calculated SHM. Using the germline database reported in this study, we were

able to assign vaccine-elicited antibody sequences to specific germline genes/alleles and

determine levels of SHM much more accurately. The average levels of SHM reported in this study

(6.3% for HC and 4.2% for LC) are comparable to the average levels of SHM reported in similar

immunization studies where per animal germline BCR databases were inferred using IgDiscover

(Martinez-Murillo et al., 2017; Phad et al., 2020). Our germline database provides a resource for

assigning germline genes/alleles and accurately calculating rates of SHM when inferring

individual germline databases for each animal is logistically impractical.

In conclusion, in this study, neutralizing and non-neutralizing mAbs with distinctive

epitopes were isolated and characterized in BG505 SOSIP.664-immunized RMs. We

demonstrated that a polyclonal response was elicited in two different RMs that target the BG505

SOSIP.664 trimer in highly similar ways. While rabbit antibody responses are dominated by the

base and 241-glycan hole epitopes, the RM mAbs target more diverse epitopes with mAbs also

targeting the FP and gp120/gp41 interface. The mAbs characterized here also provide a valuable

resource for epitope mapping and comparison to a wide array of BG505-based immunization

experiments, including the recently initiated BG505 SOSIP.664 human clinical trial

(NCT03699241). Finally, the FP-targeting mAbs in particular provide a structure-guided

opportunity to modify BG505 SOSIP and other trimers to focus the antibody response on the FP-

region, with the goal of eliciting bNAb-like antibodies.

Methods

Immunizations of rhesus macaques

Immunization samples used in this study were obtained from previously immunized RMs

described in Sanders et al. 2015 (Sanders et al., 2015). Briefly, RMs were immunized

intramuscularly (i.m.) with 100 μg of BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer formulated in 75 units of

ISCOMATRIX given at week 0, 4, 12, 24, 38 and 52. All immunizations and blood samplings were

performed at the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center as described previously (Sanders

et al., 2015).

Rhesus macaque naïve B-cell repertoire sequencing

Frozen PBMCs from five naïve Indian origin RMs were obtained from Yerkes National Primate

Research Center (IACUC approval YER2001036). The cells were rapidly thawed in a 37°C water

bath and immediately diluted into 10 mL of pre-warmed RPMI media with 10% (v/v) heat-

inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were pelleted at 400xg for 7 minutes and resuspended

in 0.5 mL FACS buffer (PBS + 1% (v/v) FBS and stained on ice for 1 hr with the panel of fluorescent

antibodies against IgM ([clone G20-127] BD), CD4 ([clone OKT-4] BioLegend), CD3 ([clone SP34-

2] BD), IgG ([clone G18-145] BD), CD20 ([clone 2H7] BioLegend), CD8 ([clone RPA-T8] BioLegend),

CD14 ([clone M5E2] BD), CD16 ([clone eBioCB16] ThermoFisher) and an eFluor780 viability

marker (ThermoFisher). A MoFlo Astrios cell sorter (Beckman Coulter), with gating for live

IgM$^+$/CD20$^+$/CD3$^-$/CD4$^-$/CD8$^-$/CD14$^-$/CD16$^-$ cells, was used to isolate cells that were then pelleted

at 600xg for 10 min, resuspended in RLT+BME buffer (Qiagen), snap frozen in a dry ice ethanol

bath and stored at -80°C. RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Protect Mini kit (Qiagen)

following the manufacturer's instructions. The 5' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5'RACE) with

template switching method was used to obtain cDNA with unique molecular identifiers (UMIs)

using a protocol modified from Turchaninova *et al.* (Turchaninova et al., 2016). Briefly, 300 ng of

RNA was used in a 5'RACE cDNA synthesis reaction. The first-strand cDNA synthesis was

performed at 42°C for 1 hr using the RM IgM outer reverse primer (5'-

GTGATGGAGTCGGGAAGGAAG-3'), a template switch adaptor with incorporated UMIs (5'-

AAGCAGUGGTAUCAACGCAGAGUNNNNUNNNNUNNNNUCTTrGrGrGrG-3'), and SMARTScribe

Reverse Transcriptase (Clontech). Residual template switch adaptor was removed by incubation

with 5 U of uracil DNA glycosylase (New England BioLabs) for 40 min at 37°C. The resulting cDNA

was purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's

instructions. PCR amplification was performed using the Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New

England BioLabs), the forward primer (5'-NNNNAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCA-3'), and the RM IgM

inner reverse primer (5'-NNNNNAGGGGGAAAAGGGTTG-3'). Illumina adaptors were added using

the NEBNext® Ultra^TM II DNA Library Prep Kit (New England BioLabs) following the manufacturer's

instructions. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq using the Illumina v3, (2x 300 bp)

sequencing kit.

Indian origin RM germline BCR database

Gene/alleles published by Vigdorovich *et al*. (Vigdorovich et al., 2016) were aligned to the

Mmul_8.0.1 Indian origin RM genome assembly using BLAST (Johnson et al., 2008; Zimin et al.,

2014). Sequences that were not identical to the reference genome were eliminated. Additional

full-length genes/alleles from available Indian origin RM genomic DNA sequencing datasets

(Cirelli et al., 2019; Ramesh et al., 2017) were added to the database. Duplicates and sequences

containing ambiguous bases were removed. The resulting initial Indian origin RM germline BCR

database (Table S1) was used for running IgDiscover on additional NGS datasets that were

obtained during this study as described above, downloaded from the NCBI SRA (Corcoran et al.,

2016; Guo et al., 2015), or obtained directly from the study authors (Vigdorovich et al., 2016).

Paired sequence reads were aligned and filtered for length and quality using VDJServer (Christley

et al., 2018). Novel germline BCR gene/alleles were inferred using IgDiscover v0.11 with the

germline_filter parameters "unique_cdr3s" and "unique_js" set to 10 and 4 respectively to

reduce the rate of false positives (Corcoran et al., 2016). Inferred genes/alleles were kept if they

were detected in more than one animal or if they were identical to RM genes/alleles that were

previously deposited in NCBI. The resulting germline BCR database (available at

http://ward.scripps.edu/gld/) was converted into a custom IgBLAST database and subsequently

used to analyze the BG505-specific mAb sequences (Ye et al., 2013).

Env sequence analysis

Prevalence of specific amino acids or potential N-linked glycosylation sites (PNGS) were

calculated using HIVAnchor (https://github.com/chazbot72/anchor). Pairwise alignments

between the HxB2 Env reference sequence (K03455) and the LANL 2018 Group M super filtered

web alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers and Higgins, 2018). The results were

parsed into a database keyed on positions relative to the reference, with gaps notated as sub

positions following the last identical residue. The database was subsequently interrogated for

conservation of amino acids or PNGS at specific positions.

Env protein production

BG505 SOSIP.664, BG505 SOSIP.664-D7324 tag, BG505 SOSIP.664-AviTag, BG505 SOSIP.v4.1, and

BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 were expressed in HEK293F cells and purified with either PGT145 or 2G12

affinity chromatograph followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a HiLoad® 16/600

Superdex® pg200 (GE Healthcare) as described previously (de Taeye et al., 2015; Sanders et al.,

2013; Torrents de la Pena et al., 2017). Monomeric gp120 proteins (AviTag or D7324 tagged)

were purified using a *Galanthus nivalis* lectin (Vector Labs) column. The Avi-tagged proteins were

biotinylated using the BirA enzyme (Avidity) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The

resulting biotinylated proteins are referred to using the descriptor AviB.

Monoclonal antibody isolation

BG505 SOSIP.664-specific IgG$^+$ memory B-cells from isolated PBMCs from RMs rh1987 and

rh2011 were single cell sorted in lysis buffer in order to amplify the antigen-specific mAbs, as

previously described (Sok et al., 2014). PBMCs were stained with primary fluorophore-conjugated

antibodies to human CD3, CD8, CD14, CD20, IgG and IgM (BD Pharmigen). For staining with Env

proteins, 50 nM of BG505 SOSIP.664-AviB, BG505 SOSIP.664 7C3-AviB or gp120-AviB were

coupled in equimolar ratios to Streptavidin-PE, Streptavidin-FITC or Streptavidin-APC (Life

Technologies), respectively. Cells were stained for 1 hr at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 1 mM

EDTA and 1% FBS. In the gating strategy, we first excluded unwanted cell populations ($CD3^-$/$CD8^-$

/$CD14^-$) followed by selection of HIV Env-specific (positive for any of the 3 probes) memory B-

cells ($CD20^+$/IgG^+/IgM^-/HIV^+). Cells of interest were single-cell sorted using a BD FACSAria III

machine, into 96-well plates containing lysis buffer, and immediately stored at -80°C. One round

of reverse-transcription and two rounds of nested PCR were performed to amplify the antibody

V(D)J genes as previously described by Tiller *et al.*[15]. The PCR products containing the variable

regions of the heavy chain or light chain, kappa or lambda were cloned into human IgG expression

vectors to produce mAbs as described previously (Sok et al., 2014). Fab expression vectors were

made by introducing two stop codons following residue D234 (Kabat numbering (Kabat, 1991))

in the IgG heavy chain vectors using the QuikChange® Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit

(Agilent). Sequences were verified by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz).

Monoclonal antibody and Fab production

MAbs and Fabs were expressed in HEK293F cells and purified using affinity chromatography.

Briefly, HEK293F cells (Invitrogen) were co-transfected with heavy and light chain plasmids (1:1

ratio) using PEImax. Transfections were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Supernatants were harvested 4-6 days following transfection and passed through a 0.45 μm filter.

MAbs were purified using Protein A/G (ThermoFisher) or MAbSelect™ (GE Healthcare) affinity

chromatography. Fabs were purified using CaptureSelect™ CH1-XL (ThermoFisher) affinity

chromatography.

D7324-capture ELISA for monomeric and trimeric BG505 Env proteins

Binding ELISAs were conducted as described previously (Sanders et al., 2013; van Gils et al., 2016).

TZM-bl cell-based neutralization assays

Neutralization assays using the autologous BG505.T332N virus and mutants, and the

heterologous SF162 virus, were carried out as described previously (Derking et al., 2015).

Nonlinear regression curves were determined and 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values

were calculated using a sigmoid function in Graphpad Prism v7.03.

Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI)

An Octet RED instrument (FortéBio) was used to determine the kinetic parameters of the

antibody–antigen interactions by Biolayer Interferometry. Monoclonal Fabs were loaded onto

anti-human Fab-CH1 (FAB2G) biosensors (FortéBio) at a concentration of 10 µg/mL in kinetics

buffer (PBS, pH 7.4, 0.01% [w/v] BSA, and 0.002% [v/v] Tween 20) until a response of 1 nanometer

shift was reached. Loaded biosensors were dipped into kinetics buffer for 1 min to acquire a

baseline and then moved to wells containing a series of 2-fold dilutions of BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 in

kinetics buffer, starting at a 4000 nM. The trimers were allowed to associate for 180 secs before

the biosensor were move back to the wells containing kinetics buffer where the baseline was

acquired. Disassociation of the trimers from the Fab-loaded biosensors was recorded for 300

secs. All BLI experiments were conducted at 37°C. Kinetic parameters were calculated using the

Octet System Data Analysis v9.0 (FortéBio).

Negative Stain Electron Microscopy

BG505 SOSIP/Fab complexes were made by mixing 10-15 µg SOSIP with a 3 to 6-fold per protomer

molar excess for monoclonal Fabs or 500 µg polyclonal Fabs and allowed to incubate for 18 to 24

hrs at room temperature (RT). Complex samples were either diluted to 0.02 mg/mL and applied

to glow discharged negative stain grids or they were SEC purified using a Superose™ 6 Increase

10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) column to remove excess Fab prior to EM grid preparation. Fractions

containing the SOSIP/Fab complexes were pooled and concentrated using 10 kDa Amicon® spin

concentrators (Millipore). Samples were diluted to 0.03 mg/mL in TBS (0.05 M Tris pH 7.4, 0.15

M NaCl) and adsorbed onto glow discharged carbon-coated Cu400 EM grids (Electron Microscopy

Sciences) and blotted after 10 seconds. The grids were then stained with 3 µL of 2% (w/v) uranyl

formate, immediately blotted, and stained again for 45 secs followed by a final blot. Image

collection and data processing was performed as described previously on either an FEI Tecnai T12

microscope (2.05 Å/pixel; 52,000× magnification) or FEI Talos microscope (1.98 Å/pixel; 72,000×

magnification) with an electron dose of ~25 electrons/$Å^2$ using Leginon (Pugach et al., 2015;

Suloway et al., 2005). 2D classification, 3D sorting and 3D refinement conducted using Relion v3.0

(Zivanov et al., 2018). EM density maps were visualized using UCSF Chimera and segmented using

Segger (Pettersen et al., 2004; Pintilie et al., 2010).

X-ray Crystallography Data Collection and Processing

All crystals were grown using sitting drop vapor diffusion. The RM20F Fab was crystallized from

a solution containing 10 mg/mL protein in TBS with a well solution containing 0.1M MES, pH 5.0

and 2M ammonium sulfate. The crystals were cryoprotected by soaking in a well solution

supplemented with 30% ethylene glycol. The RM20J Fab was crystallized from a solution

containing 10 mg/mL protein in TBS with a well solution containing 0.1M MES, pH 6.0, 5%

PEG3000 and 40% PEG400, with no cryoprotectant supplemented. The RM20E1 Fab was

crystallized from a solution containing 6.3 mg/mL protein in TBS with a well solution containing

0.1M glycine, pH 10.5, 1.2M NaH_2PO_4, 0.8M Na_2HPO_4, and 0.2M Li_2SO_4, with 15% ethylene glycol

supplemented as cryoprotectant. All crystals were grown at 298 K. Diffraction data for RM20F

and RM20E1 were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) beamline

BL12–2, and that for RM20J collected at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) beamline 23ID-B.

Data collection and processing statistics are detailed in Table S5. Data sets were indexed,

integrated, and scaled using the HKL-2000 package (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The structures

were solved by molecular replacement using PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007) with a homology model

(SWISS-MODEL; (Arnold et al., 2006; Biasini et al., 2014; Bordoli et al., 2009)) as a search model

and further refined using phenix.refine (Adams et al., 2010) combined with manual building

cycles in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010).

Cryo Electron Microscopy Sample Preparation

RM20J complex: 500 μg BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 was mixed with 656 μg RM20J Fab and incubated at

RT overnight. The complex was SEC purified using a HiLoad® 16/600 Superdex® pg200 (GE

Healthcare) column in TBS. Fractions containing the complex were concentrated to 6.1 mg/mL

using a 10 kDa Amicon® spin concentrator (Millipore). 3.5 μL of the complex was mixed with 0.57

μL of 0.04 mM lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG) and applied to a C-Flat grid (CF-2/1-4C,

Protochips, Inc.), which had been plasma-cleaned for 5 seconds using a mixture of N_2/O_2 (Gatan

Solarus 950 Plasma system). The grid was blotted and plunged into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot

Mark IV (ThermoFisher).

RM20F complex: 500 µg BG505 SOSIP.v4.1 was mixed with approximately 1,000 µg RM20F Fab

and incubated at RT overnight. The complex was SEC purified using a Superose™ 6 Increase

10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) column in TBS. Fractions containing the complex were concentrated

to 6 mg/mL using a 10 kDa Amicon® spin concentrator (Millipore). 3 µL of the complex was mixed

with 1 µL of a n-Dodecyl-β-D-Maltopyranoside (DDM) solution to a final DDM concentration of

0.06 mM and applied to a C-Flat grid (CF-2/2-4C, Protochips, Inc.), which had been plasma-

cleaned for 5 seconds using a mixture of N_2/O_2 (Gatan Solarus 950 Plasma system). The grid was

blotted and plunged into liquid Ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher).

RM20E1 complex: 355 µg BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 was mixed with 484 µg RM20E1 Fab and 484 µg

PGT122 Fab and incubated at RT overnight. The complex was SEC purified using a HiLoad® 16/600

Superdex® pg200 (GE Healthcare) column in TBS. Fractions containing the complex were

concentrated to 4 mg/mL using a 10 kDa Amicon® spin concentrator (Millipore). 3 µL of the

complex was mixed with 1 µL of a n-Dodecyl-β-D-Maltopyranoside (DDM) solution to a final DDM

concentration of 0.06 mM and applied to a grid (Quantifoil R 1.2/1.3, 400), which had been

plasma-cleaned for 5 seconds using a mixture of N_2/O_2 (Gatan Solarus 950 Plasma system). The

grid was blotted and plunged into liquid Ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher).

Cryo Electron Microscopy Data Collection and Processing

Samples were imaged on either FEI Titan Krios electron microscope (ThermoFisher) operating at

300 keV (RM20F dataset) or a FEI Talos Arctica electron microscope (ThermoFisher) operating at

200 keV (RM20J and RM20E1 datasets). Both microscopes were equipped with Gatan K2 Summit

direct electron directors operating in counting mode. Automated data collection was performed

using the Leginon software suite (Suloway et al., 2005). Micrograph movie frames were aligned

and dose-weighted using MotionCor2 (Zheng et al., 2017), and CTF models were determined

using Gctf (Zhang, 2016). Particle picking, 2D classification, Ab-initio reconstruction, and 3D

refinement were conducted using cryoSPARCv2 (Punjani et al., 2017). Data collection and

processing parameters are reported in Table S4.

Initial molecular models of the BG505 SOSIP trimer/Fab complexes were built by docking the Env

portion of PDB: 5V8M (Lee et al., 2017) into the EM density maps along with the relevant Fab

crystal structures (PDB: 4JY5 was used for PGT122 (Julien et al., 2013b)) using UCSF Chimera

(Pettersen et al., 2004). The Fab constant regions were removed due to flexibility in the elbow

region as commonly found in Fab structures (Bailey et al., 2018), the appropriate stabilizing

mutations (v4.1 or v5.2) were introduced into the Env sequence, and N-linked glycans were

added using Coot (van Beusekom et al., 2019). The models were iteratively refined into the EM

density maps using RosettaRelax and Coot (Casanal et al., 2019; DiMaio et al., 2009; Emsley et

al., 2010; Frenz et al., 2018; van Beusekom et al., 2019). Glycan structures were validated using

Privateer (Agirre et al., 2015). Overall structures were evaluated using EMRinger (Barad et al.,

2015) and MolProbity (Williams et al., 2018). Protein interface calculations were performed using

jsPISA (Krissinel, 2015). Final model statistics are summarized in Table S4.

Statistical analysis

Statistical models inherent to Relion 3.0 (Zivanov et al., 2018) and cryoSPARC (Punjani et al.,

2017) were employed in image analysis to derive 2D classes and 3D models. All ELISA and

neutralization assays were conducted with at least duplicate measurements.

Data and Software Availability

The accession numbers for Env-specific BCR sequences are DDBJ/ENA/GenBank: MT002976-

MT002992 and MT008262-MT008328. RM IgM BCR sequences are available under BioProject ID:

PRJNA604386. Atomic coordinates and structure factors of the reported crystal structure have

been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB: 6VOS, 6VOR). Cryo-EM reconstructions have been

deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB: EMD-21246, EMD-21257, EMD-21232),

and in the Protein Data Bank (PDB: 6VN0, 6VO1, 6VLR). The accession numbers for the negative

stain 3D EM reconstructions are Electron Microscopy DataBank: EMD-21053, EMD-21055, EMD-

21056, EMD-21057, EMD-21058, EMD-21059, EMD-21061, EMD-21062, EMD-21064, EMD-

21065, EMD-21066, EMD-21075, EMD-21076, EMD-21077, EMD-21078, EMD-21079, EMD-

21080, EMD-21081, EMD-21082, EMD-21083, EMD-21084, EMD-21085, EMD-21086, EMD-

21087, EMD-21088, EMD-21089, EMD-21090, EMD-21091, EMD-21092, EMD-21093, EMD-

21272, EMD-21273, EMD-21274, EMD-21275, EMD-21276, EMD-21277, EMD-21278.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. MAb isolation and characterization from BG505 SOSIP.664 trimer-immunized RMs. (A)

Simplified immunization scheme adapted from Sanders et al. 2015 (Sanders et al., 2015). Black

arrows indicate i.m. immunizations with 100 µg of BG505 SOSIP.664 with 75 units of ISCOMATRIX

adjuvant. Red arrows indicate blood draws. (B) Heavy and light chain genetic characteristics for

mAbs isolated from rh1987 and rh2011. (C) TZM-bl neutralization of BG505.T332N,

BG505.T332N.N611A, and SF162 pseudoviruses for mAbs isolated from RM rh1987. (D) TZM-bl

neutralization of BG505.T332N, BG505.T332N.N611A, and SF162 pseudoviruses for mAbs

isolated from RM rh2011. Assay limit of detection was at an IC_{50} of 50 µg/mL.

Figure 2. Epitope mapping by negative stain electron microscopy. (A) Representative base-

targeting mAbs for animals rh1987 and rh2011. (B) Overlapping gp120/gp41 interface epitope

targeted by mAbs from both animals. (C) Overlapping FP epitope targeted by mAbs from both

animals. (D) Overlapping N289-glycan hole epitope targeted by mAbs from both animals. (E)

EMPEM analysis for wk28 IgG from rh2011 and rh1987. All structural figures were generated with

UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004). EM density maps were segmented with the Segger

extension in UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004; Pintilie et al., 2010).

Figure 3. RM20J binds to the N289 glycan hole region of BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 (A) Segmented 3.9 Å

cryoEM reconstruction of RM20J Fab (pink) in complex with BG505 SOSIP.v5.2 (gp120, dark blue;

gp41, light blue). (B) and (C) Zoomed-in views of the epitope/paratope interaction between

gp120 (blue, ribbon diagram) and RM20J Fab (surface representation). (D) and (E) Comparison of

the RM20J epitope with that of the BG505 SOSIP.664 elicited rabbit neutralizing NAb 10A (PDB:

6DID) (Bianchi et al., 2018; McCoy et al., 2016).

Figure 4. RM20F binds to a quaternary epitope at the gp120/gp41 interface of BG505 SOSIP.v4.1.

(A) Segmented 4.3 Å cryoEM reconstruction of RM20F Fab (orange) in complex with BG505 SOSIP

(gp120, dark blue; gp41, light blue). (B) and (C) Zoomed-in views of the epitope/paratope

interaction between BG505 SOSIP (gp120, dark blue; gp41s, light blue and light green; ribbon

diagram) and RM20F Fab (surface representation). (D) Neutralization data for BG505 mutant

pseudoviruses against VRC01, RM20F, and VRC34.

Figure 5. RM20E1 binds to the fusion peptide of BG505 SOSIP.v5.2. (A) Segmented 4.2 Å cryoEM

reconstruction of RM20E1 Fab (yellow) and PGT122 Fab (light green) in complex with BG505

SOSIP (gp120, dark blue; gp41, light blue). (B) and (C) Zoomed-in views of the epitope/paratope

interaction between BG505 SOSIP (gp120, dark blue; gp41s, light blue and light green; ribbon

diagram) and RM20E1 Fab (surface representation). (D) Comparison of RM20E1 and RM20F

epitopes to those of FP targeting bNAbs VRC34, ACS202, and DFPH-a.15 (Kong et al., 2019; Kong

et al., 2016; van Gils et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2019).

Table S1. Initial germline BCR database used in IgDiscover

Acknowledgements

We thank Jean-Christophe Ducom, Hannah Turner, and Bill Anderson for assistance with

computational resources, microscope management, and data collection. We thank Alan Saluk,

Brian Seegers, Steven Head, Jessica Ledesma, Curt Wittenberg, and Nitya Bhaskaran for

assistance with naïve B-cell sorting and NGS sequencing. This work was supported by the HIV

Vaccine Research and Design (HIVRAD) program (P01 AI110657) (A.B.W., R.W.S, J.P.M., and

I.A.W.), NIH CHAVI-ID (UM1 AI100663) and CHAVD (UM1 AI44462) awards (A.B.W., I.A.W. and

D.R.B.), NIH R01 AI13082 (J.P.M.), the International AIDS Vaccine Initiative Neutralizing Antibody

Center, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation CAVD (OPP1115782, OPP1132237, OPP1084519,

OPP119635), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under

grant agreement no. 681137 (R.W.S.). C.A.C. is supported by the NIH F31 Ruth L. Kirschstein

Predoctoral Award Al131873 and by the Achievement Rewards for College Scientists Foundation.

R.W.S. is a recipient of a Vici fellowship from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research

(NWO). G.O. and M.J.v.G. are supported by amfAR Mathilde Krim Fellowships in Basic Biomedical

Research grant numbers 109718-63-RKNT and 109514-61-RKVA, respectively. J.v.S. is a recipient

of a 2017 AMC Ph.D. Scholarship. Computational analyses of EM data were performed using

shared instrumentation funded by NIH grant S10OD021634. Naïve B-cell sorting and NGS

sequencing was conducted as part of the Technologies Across Scale graduate course with support

from Skaggs Graduate School of Chemical and Biological Sciences at Scripps Research. Use of the

Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported

by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under

Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported

by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the National Institutes of

Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (including P41GM103393). The contents

of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent

the official views of NIGMS, NIAID, or NIH.

References

Adams, P.D., Afonine, P.V., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V.B., Davis, I.W., Echols, N., Headd, J.J., Hung,
L.W., Kapral, G.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W.*, et al.* (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based
system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological
crystallography *66*, 213-221.
Agirre, J., Iglesias-Fernandez, J., Rovira, C., Davies, G.J., Wilson, K.S., and Cowtan, K.D. (2015).
Privateer: software for the conformational validation of carbohydrate structures. Nature
structural & molecular biology *22*, 833-834.
Arnold, K., Bordoli, L., Kopp, J., and Schwede, T. (2006). The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-
based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics *22*, 195-201.
Bailey, L.J., Sheehy, K.M., Dominik, P.K., Liang, W.G., Rui, H., Clark, M., Jaskolowski, M., Kim, Y.,
Deneka, D., Tang, W.J.*, et al.* (2018). Locking the Elbow: Improved Antibody Fab Fragments as
Chaperones for Structure Determination. Journal of molecular biology *430*, 337-347.
Barad, B.A., Echols, N., Wang, R.Y., Cheng, Y., DiMaio, F., Adams, P.D., and Fraser, J.S. (2015).
EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-electron microscopy.
Nature methods *12*, 943-946.
Bianchi, M., Turner, H.L., Nogal, B., Cottrell, C.A., Oyen, D., Pauthner, M., Bastidas, R., Nedellec,
R., McCoy, L.E., Wilson, I.A.*, et al.* (2018). Electron-Microscopy-Based Epitope Mapping Defines
Specificities of Polyclonal Antibodies Elicited during HIV-1 BG505 Envelope Trimer
Immunization. Immunity *49*, 288-300 e288.

Biasini, M., Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., Arnold, K., Studer, G., Schmidt, T., Kiefer, F., Gallo
Cassarino, T., Bertoni, M., Bordoli, L.*, et al.* (2014). SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary
and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res *42*, W252-258.
Bordoli, L., Kiefer, F., Arnold, K., Benkert, P., Battey, J., and Schwede, T. (2009). Protein
structure homology modeling using SWISS-MODEL workspace. Nature protocols *4*, 1-13.
Brouwer, P.J.M., Antanasijevic, A., Berndsen, Z., Yasmeen, A., Fiala, B., Bijl, T.P.L., Bontjer, I.,
Bale, J.B., Sheffler, W., Allen, J.D.*, et al.* (2019). Enhancing and shaping the immunogenicity of
native-like HIV-1 envelope trimers with a two-component protein nanoparticle. Nat Commun
10, 4272.
Burton, D.R., and Hangartner, L. (2016). Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies to HIV and Their Role in
Vaccine Design. Annual review of immunology *34*, 635-659.
Cao, L., Diedrich, J.K., Kulp, D.W., Pauthner, M., He, L., Park, S.R., Sok, D., Su, C.Y., Delahunty,
C.M., Menis, S.*, et al.* (2017). Global site-specific N-glycosylation analysis of HIV envelope
glycoprotein. Nat Commun *8*, 14954.
Cao, L., Pauthner, M., Andrabi, R., Rantalainen, K., Berndsen, Z., Diedrich, J.K., Menis, S., Sok, D.,
Bastidas, R., Park, S.R.*, et al.* (2018). Differential processing of HIV envelope glycans on the virus
and soluble recombinant trimer. Nat Commun *9*, 3693.
Casanal, A., Lohkamp, B., and Emsley, P. (2019). Current Developments in Coot for
Macromolecular Model Building of Electron Cryo-microscopy and Crystallographic Data. Protein
science : a publication of the Protein Society.
Cheng, C., Xu, K., Kong, R., Chuang, G.Y., Corrigan, A.R., Geng, H., Hill, K.R., Jafari, A.J., O'Dell, S.,
Ou, L.*, et al.* (2019). Consistent elicitation of cross-clade HIV-neutralizing responses achieved in
guinea pigs after fusion peptide priming by repetitive envelope trimer boosting. PloS one *14*,
e0215163.
Christley, S., Scarborough, W., Salinas, E., Rounds, W.H., Toby, I.T., Fonner, J.M., Levin, M.K.,
Kim, M., Mock, S.A., Jordan, C.*, et al.* (2018). VDJServer: A Cloud-Based Analysis Portal and Data
Commons for Immune Repertoire Sequences and Rearrangements. Frontiers in immunology *9*,
976.
Cirelli, K.M., Carnathan, D.G., Nogal, B., Martin, J.T., Rodriguez, O.L., Upadhyay, A.A., Enemuo,
C.A., Gebru, E.H., Choe, Y., Viviano, F.*, et al.* (2019). Slow Delivery Immunization Enhances HIV
Neutralizing Antibody and Germinal Center Responses via Modulation of Immunodominance.
Cell.
Corcoran, M.M., Phad, G.E., Nestor, V.B., Stahl-Hennig, C., Sumida, N., Persson, M.A., Martin,
M., and Karlsson Hedestam, G.B. (2016). Production of individualized V gene databases reveals
high levels of immunoglobulin genetic diversity. Nat Commun *7*, 13642.
de Taeye, S.W., Ozorowski, G., Torrents de la Pena, A., Guttman, M., Julien, J.P., van den
Kerkhof, T.L., Burger, J.A., Pritchard, L.K., Pugach, P., Yasmeen, A.*, et al.* (2015). Immunogenicity
of Stabilized HIV-1 Envelope Trimers with Reduced Exposure of Non-neutralizing Epitopes. Cell
163, 1702-1715.
Derking, R., Ozorowski, G., Sliepen, K., Yasmeen, A., Cupo, A., Torres, J.L., Julien, J.P., Lee, J.H.,
van Montfort, T., de Taeye, S.W.*, et al.* (2015). Comprehensive antigenic map of a cleaved
soluble HIV-1 envelope trimer. PLoS pathogens *11*, e1004767.

DiMaio, F., Tyka, M.D., Baker, M.L., Chiu, W., and Baker, D. (2009). Refinement of protein
structures into low-resolution density maps using rosetta. Journal of molecular biology *392*,
181-190.
Dubrovskaya, V., Tran, K., Ozorowski, G., Guenaga, J., Wilson, R., Bale, S., Cottrell, C.A., Turner,
H.L., Seabright, G., O'Dell, S.*, et al.* (2019). Vaccination with Glycan-Modified HIV NFL Envelope
Trimer-Liposomes Elicits Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies to Multiple Sites of Vulnerability.
Immunity *51*, 915-929 e917.
Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot.
Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography *66*, 486-501.
Escolano, A., Gristick, H.B., Abernathy, M.E., Merkenschlager, J., Gautam, R., Oliveira, T.Y., Pai,
J., West, A.P., Jr., Barnes, C.O., Cohen, A.A.*, et al.* (2019). Immunization expands B cells specific
to HIV-1 V3 glycan in mice and macaques. Nature *570*, 468-473.
Escolano, A., Steichen, J.M., Dosenovic, P., Kulp, D.W., Golijanin, J., Sok, D., Freund, N.T., Gitlin,
A.D., Oliveira, T., Araki, T.*, et al.* (2016). Sequential Immunization Elicits Broadly Neutralizing
Anti-HIV-1 Antibodies in Ig Knockin Mice. Cell *166*, 1445-1458 e1412.
Frenz, B., Ramisch, S., Borst, A.J., Walls, A.C., Adolf-Bryfogle, J., Schief, W.R., Veesler, D., and
DiMaio, F. (2018). Automatically Fixing Errors in Glycoprotein Structures with Rosetta.
Structure.
Gadala-Maria, D., Yaari, G., Uduman, M., and Kleinstein, S.H. (2015). Automated analysis of
high-throughput B-cell sequencing data reveals a high frequency of novel immunoglobulin V
gene segment alleles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America *112*, E862-870.
Georgiev, I.S., Joyce, M.G., Chen, R.E., Leung, K., McKee, K., Druz, A., Van Galen, J.G., Kanekiyo,
M., Tsybovsky, Y., Yang, E.S.*, et al.* (2018). Two-Component Ferritin Nanoparticles for
Multimerization of Diverse Trimeric Antigens. ACS Infect Dis *4*, 788-796.
Guo, K., Halemano, K., Schmitt, K., Katuwal, M., Wang, Y., Harper, M.S., Heilman, K.J., Kuwata,
T., Stephens, E.B., and Santiago, M.L. (2015). Immunoglobulin VH gene diversity and somatic
hypermutation during SIV infection of rhesus macaques. Immunogenetics *67*, 355-370.
Jardine, J.G., Kulp, D.W., Havenar-Daughton, C., Sarkar, A., Briney, B., Sok, D., Sesterhenn, F.,
Ereno-Orbea, J., Kalyuzhniy, O., Deresa, I.*, et al.* (2016). HIV-1 broadly neutralizing antibody
precursor B cells revealed by germline-targeting immunogen. Science *351*, 1458-1463.
Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., and Madden, T.L. (2008).
NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic Acids Res *36*, W5-9.
Julien, J.P., Cupo, A., Sok, D., Stanfield, R.L., Lyumkis, D., Deller, M.C., Klasse, P.J., Burton, D.R.,
Sanders, R.W., Moore, J.P.*, et al.* (2013a). Crystal structure of a soluble cleaved HIV-1 envelope
trimer. Science *342*, 1477-1483.
Julien, J.P., Sok, D., Khayat, R., Lee, J.H., Doores, K.J., Walker, L.M., Ramos, A., Diwanji, D.C.,
Pejchal, R., Cupo, A.*, et al.* (2013b). Broadly neutralizing antibody PGT121 allosterically
modulates CD4 binding via recognition of the HIV-1 gp120 V3 base and multiple surrounding
glycans. PLoS pathogens *9*, e1003342.
Kabat, E.A. (1991). Sequences of proteins of immunological interest : tabulation and analysis of
amino acid and nucleic acid sequences of precursors, V-regions, C-regions, J-chain, T-cell
receptors for antigenm T-cell surface antigens, [beta]2-microglobulins,major histocompatibility
antigens, Thy-1, complement, C-reactive protein, thymopoietin, integrins, post-gamme globulin,

[alpha]2-macroglobulins, and other related proteins, 5th edn (Bethesda, Md. (Bethesda,
20892): U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of
Health).

Klasse, P.J., Ketas, T.J., Cottrell, C.A., Ozorowski, G., Debnath, G., Camara, D., Francomano, E.,
Pugach, P., Ringe, R.P., LaBranche, C.C.*, et al.* (2018). Epitopes for neutralizing antibodies
induced by HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein BG505 SOSIP trimers in rabbits and macaques. PLoS
pathogens *14*, e1006913.

Kong, R., Duan, H., Sheng, Z., Xu, K., Acharya, P., Chen, X., Cheng, C., Dingens, A.S., Gorman, J.,
Sastry, M.*, et al.* (2019). Antibody Lineages with Vaccine-Induced Antigen-Binding Hotspots
Develop Broad HIV Neutralization. Cell *178*, 567-584 e519.

Kong, R., Xu, K., Zhou, T., Acharya, P., Lemmin, T., Liu, K., Ozorowski, G., Soto, C., Taft, J.D.,
Bailer, R.T.*, et al.* (2016). Fusion peptide of HIV-1 as a site of vulnerability to neutralizing
antibody. Science *352*, 828-833.

Krissinel, E. (2015). Stock-based detection of protein oligomeric states in jsPISA. Nucleic Acids
Res *43*, W314-319.

Kulp, D.W., Steichen, J.M., Pauthner, M., Hu, X., Schiffner, T., Liguori, A., Cottrell, C.A., Havenar-
Daughton, C., Ozorowski, G., Georgeson, E.*, et al.* (2017). Structure-based design of native-like
HIV-1 envelope trimers to silence non-neutralizing epitopes and eliminate CD4 binding. Nat
Commun *8*, 1655.

Landais, E., and Moore, P.L. (2018). Development of broadly neutralizing antibodies in HIV-1
infected elite neutralizers. Retrovirology *15*, 61.

Lee, J.H., Andrabi, R., Su, C.Y., Yasmeen, A., Julien, J.P., Kong, L., Wu, N.C., McBride, R., Sok, D.,
Pauthner, M.*, et al.* (2017). A Broadly Neutralizing Antibody Targets the Dynamic HIV Envelope
Trimer Apex via a Long, Rigidified, and Anionic beta-Hairpin Structure. Immunity *46*, 690-702.

Lei, L., Yang, Y.R., Tran, K., Wang, Y., Chiang, C.I., Ozorowski, G., Xiao, Y., Ward, A.B., Wyatt, R.T.,
and Li, Y. (2019). The HIV-1 Envelope Glycoprotein C3/V4 Region Defines a Prevalent
Neutralization Epitope following Immunization. Cell Rep *27*, 586-598 e586.

Lyumkis, D., Julien, J.P., de Val, N., Cupo, A., Potter, C.S., Klasse, P.J., Burton, D.R., Sanders,
R.W., Moore, J.P., Carragher, B.*, et al.* (2013). Cryo-EM structure of a fully glycosylated soluble
cleaved HIV-1 envelope trimer. Science *342*, 1484-1490.

Martinez-Murillo, P., Tran, K., Guenaga, J., Lindgren, G., Adori, M., Feng, Y., Phad, G.E., Vazquez
Bernat, N., Bale, S., Ingale, J.*, et al.* (2017). Particulate Array of Well-Ordered HIV Clade C Env
Trimers Elicits Neutralizing Antibodies that Display a Unique V2 Cap Approach. Immunity *46*,
804-817 e807.

McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J.
(2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Crystallogr *40*, 658-674.

McCoy, L.E., van Gils, M.J., Ozorowski, G., Messmer, T., Briney, B., Voss, J.E., Kulp, D.W.,
Macauley, M.S., Sok, D., Pauthner, M.*, et al.* (2016). Holes in the Glycan Shield of the Native HIV
Envelope Are a Target of Trimer-Elicited Neutralizing Antibodies. Cell Rep *16*, 2327-2338.

Moldt, B., Le, K.M., Carnathan, D.G., Whitney, J.B., Schultz, N., Lewis, M.G., Borducchi, E.N.,
Smith, K.M., Mackel, J.J., Sweat, S.L.*, et al.* (2016). Neutralizing antibody affords comparable
protection against vaginal and rectal simian/human immunodeficiency virus challenge in
macaques. Aids *30*, 1543-1551.

Nogal, B., Bianchi, M., Cottrell, C.A., Kirchdoerfer, R.N., Sewall, L.M., Turner, H.L., Zhao, F., Sok,
D., Burton, D.R., Hangartner, L*., et al.* (2019). Mapping polyclonal antibody responses in non-
human primates vaccinated with HIV Env trimer subunit vaccines. bioRxiv, 833715.
Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in
oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol *276*, 307-326.
Pancera, M., Zhou, T., Druz, A., Georgiev, I.S., Soto, C., Gorman, J., Huang, J., Acharya, P.,
Chuang, G.Y., Ofek, G*., et al.* (2014). Structure and immune recognition of trimeric pre-fusion
HIV-1 Env. Nature *514*, 455-461.
Pauthner, M., Havenar-Daughton, C., Sok, D., Nkolola, J.P., Bastidas, R., Boopathy, A.V.,
Carnathan, D.G., Chandrashekar, A., Cirelli, K.M., Cottrell, C.A*., et al.* (2017). Elicitation of
Robust Tier 2 Neutralizing Antibody Responses in Nonhuman Primates by HIV Envelope Trimer
Immunization Using Optimized Approaches. Immunity *46*, 1073-1088 e1076.
Pauthner, M.G., Nkolola, J.P., Havenar-Daughton, C., Murrell, B., Reiss, S.M., Bastidas, R.,
Prevost, J., Nedellec, R., von Bredow, B., Abbink, P*., et al.* (2019). Vaccine-Induced Protection
from Homologous Tier 2 SHIV Challenge in Nonhuman Primates Depends on Serum-Neutralizing
Antibody Titers. Immunity *50*, 241-252 e246.
Pegu, A., Borate, B., Huang, Y.D., Pauthner, M.G., Hessell, A.J., Julg, B., Doria-Rose, N.A.,
Schmidt, S.D., Carpp, L.N., Cully, M.D*., et al.* (2019). A Meta-analysis of Passive Immunization
Studies Shows that Serum-Neutralizing Antibody Titer Associates with Protection against SHIV
Challenge. Cell Host & Microbe *26*, 336-+.
Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and
Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J
Comput Chem *25*, 1605-1612.
Phad, G.E., Pushparaj, P., Tran, K., Dubrovskaya, V., Adori, M., Martinez-Murillo, P., Vazquez
Bernat, N., Singh, S., Dionne, G., O'Dell, S*., et al.* (2020). Extensive dissemination and intraclonal
maturation of HIV Env vaccine-induced B cell responses. The Journal of experimental medicine
217.
Pintilie, G.D., Zhang, J., Goddard, T.D., Chiu, W., and Gossard, D.C. (2010). Quantitative analysis
of cryo-EM density map segmentation by watershed and scale-space filtering, and fitting of
structures by alignment to regions. Journal of structural biology *170*, 427-438.
Pugach, P., Ozorowski, G., Cupo, A., Ringe, R., Yasmeen, A., de Val, N., Derking, R., Kim, H.J.,
Korzun, J., Golabek, M*., et al.* (2015). A native-like SOSIP.664 trimer based on an HIV-1 subtype
B env gene. J Virol *89*, 3380-3395.
Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017). cryoSPARC: algorithms for
rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nature methods *14*, 290-296.
Ralph, D.K., and Matsen, F.A.I. (2018). Per-sample immunoglobulin germline inference from B
cell receptor deep sequencing data. arXiv.
Ramesh, A., Darko, S., Hua, A., Overman, G., Ransier, A., Francica, J.R., Trama, A., Tomaras, G.D.,
Haynes, B.F., Douek, D.C*., et al.* (2017). Structure and Diversity of the Rhesus Macaque
Immunoglobulin Loci through Multiple De Novo Genome Assemblies. Frontiers in immunology
8, 1407.
Ringe, R.P., Cruz Portillo, V.M., Dosenovic, P., Ketas, T.J., Ozorowski, G., Nogal, B., Perez, L.,
LaBranche, C.C., Lim, J., Francomano, E*., et al.* (2019). Neutralizing antibody induction by HIV-1

Envelope glycoprotein SOSIP trimers on iron oxide nanoparticles may be impaired by mannose
binding lectin. J Virol.

Sanders, R.W., Derking, R., Cupo, A., Julien, J.P., Yasmeen, A., de Val, N., Kim, H.J., Blattner, C.,
de la Pena, A.T., Korzun, J.*, et al.* (2013). A next-generation cleaved, soluble HIV-1 Env trimer,
BG505 SOSIP.664 gp140, expresses multiple epitopes for broadly neutralizing but not non-
neutralizing antibodies. PLoS pathogens *9*, e1003618.

Sanders, R.W., van Gils, M.J., Derking, R., Sok, D., Ketas, T.J., Burger, J.A., Ozorowski, G., Cupo,
A., Simonich, C., Goo, L.*, et al.* (2015). HIV-1 VACCINES. HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies induced by
native-like envelope trimers. Science *349*, aac4223.

Sievers, F., and Higgins, D.G. (2018). Clustal Omega for making accurate alignments of many
protein sequences. Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society *27*, 135-145.

Sok, D., van Gils, M.J., Pauthner, M., Julien, J.P., Saye-Francisco, K.L., Hsueh, J., Briney, B., Lee,
J.H., Le, K.M., Lee, P.S.*, et al.* (2014). Recombinant HIV envelope trimer selects for quaternary-
dependent antibodies targeting the trimer apex. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America *111*, 17624-17629.

Steichen, J.M., Kulp, D.W., Tokatlian, T., Escolano, A., Dosenovic, P., Stanfield, R.L., McCoy, L.E.,
Ozorowski, G., Hu, X., Kalyuzhniy, O.*, et al.* (2016). HIV Vaccine Design to Target Germline
Precursors of Glycan-Dependent Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies. Immunity *45*, 483-496.

Struwe, W.B., Chertova, E., Allen, J.D., Seabright, G.E., Watanabe, Y., Harvey, D.J., Medina-
Ramirez, M., Roser, J.D., Smith, R., Westcott, D.*, et al.* (2018). Site-Specific Glycosylation of
Virion-Derived HIV-1 Env Is Mimicked by a Soluble Trimeric Immunogen. Cell Rep *24*, 1958-1966
e1955.

Suloway, C., Pulokas, J., Fellmann, D., Cheng, A., Guerra, F., Quispe, J., Stagg, S., Potter, C.S., and
Carragher, B. (2005). Automated molecular microscopy: the new Leginon system. Journal of
structural biology *151*, 41-60.

Torrents de la Pena, A., Julien, J.P., de Taeye, S.W., Garces, F., Guttman, M., Ozorowski, G.,
Pritchard, L.K., Behrens, A.J., Go, E.P., Burger, J.A.*, et al.* (2017). Improving the Immunogenicity
of Native-like HIV-1 Envelope Trimers by Hyperstabilization. Cell Rep *20*, 1805-1817.

Turchaninova, M.A., Davydov, A., Britanova, O.V., Shugay, M., Bikos, V., Egorov, E.S., Kirgizova,
V.I., Merzlyak, E.M., Staroverov, D.B., Bolotin, D.A.*, et al.* (2016). High-quality full-length
immunoglobulin profiling with unique molecular barcoding. Nature protocols *11*, 1599-1616.

UNAIDS (2019). Global HIV & AIDS statistics — 2018 fact sheet.

van Beusekom, B., Wezel, N., Hekkelman, M.L., Perrakis, A., Emsley, P., and Joosten, R.P. (2019).
Building and rebuilding N-glycans in protein structure models. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol *75*,
416-425.

van Gils, M.J., van den Kerkhof, T.L., Ozorowski, G., Cottrell, C.A., Sok, D., Pauthner, M.,
Pallesen, J., de Val, N., Yasmeen, A., de Taeye, S.W.*, et al.* (2016). An HIV-1 antibody from an
elite neutralizer implicates the fusion peptide as a site of vulnerability. Nat Microbiol *2*, 16199.

Vigdorovich, V., Oliver, B.G., Carbonetti, S., Dambrauskas, N., Lange, M.D., Yacoob, C., Leahy,
W., Callahan, J., Stamatatos, L., and Sather, D.N. (2016). Repertoire comparison of the B-cell
receptor-encoding loci in humans and rhesus macaques by next-generation sequencing. Clin
Transl Immunology *5*, e93.

Wang, Y., O'Dell, S., Turner, H.L., Chiang, C.I., Lei, L., Guenaga, J., Wilson, R., Martinez-Murillo,
P., Doria-Rose, N., Ward, A.B.*, et al.* (2017). HIV-1 Cross-Reactive Primary Virus Neutralizing
Antibody Response Elicited by Immunization in Nonhuman Primates. J Virol *91*.
Williams, C.J., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., Prisant, M.G., Videau, L.L., Deis, L.N., Verma, V.,
Keedy, D.A., Hintze, B.J., Chen, V.B.*, et al.* (2018). MolProbity: More and better reference data
for improved all-atom structure validation. Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society
27, 293-315.
Wu, X. (2018). HIV Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies: VRC01 and Beyond. Adv Exp Med Biol *1075*,
53-72.
Xu, K., Acharya, P., Kong, R., Cheng, C., Chuang, G.Y., Liu, K., Louder, M.K., O'Dell, S., Rawi, R.,
Sastry, M.*, et al.* (2018). Epitope-based vaccine design yields fusion peptide-directed antibodies
that neutralize diverse strains of HIV-1. Nature medicine *24*, 857-867.
Yang, L., Sharma, S.K., Cottrell, C., Guenaga, J., Tran, K., Wilson, R., Behrens, A.J., Crispin, M., de
Val, N., and Wyatt, R.T. (2018). Structure-Guided Redesign Improves NFL HIV Env Trimer
Integrity and Identifies an Inter-Protomer Disulfide Permitting Post-Expression Cleavage.
Frontiers in immunology *9*, 1631.
Ye, J., Ma, N., Madden, T.L., and Ostell, J.M. (2013). IgBLAST: an immunoglobulin variable
domain sequence analysis tool. Nucleic Acids Res *41*, W34-40.
Yuan, M., Cottrell, C.A., Ozorowski, G., van Gils, M.J., Kumar, S., Wu, N.C., Sarkar, A., Torres, J.L.,
de Val, N., Copps, J.*, et al.* (2019). Conformational Plasticity in the HIV-1 Fusion Peptide
Facilitates Recognition by Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies. Cell Host Microbe *25*, 873-883 e875.
Zhang, K. (2016). Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. Journal of structural biology
193, 1-12.
Zheng, S.Q., Palovcak, E., Armache, J.P., Verba, K.A., Cheng, Y., and Agard, D.A. (2017).
MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron
microscopy. Nature methods *14*, 331-332.
Zimin, A.V., Cornish, A.S., Maudhoo, M.D., Gibbs, R.M., Zhang, X., Pandey, S., Meehan, D.T.,
Wipfler, K., Bosinger, S.E., Johnson, Z.P.*, et al.* (2014). A new rhesus macaque assembly and
annotation for next-generation sequencing analyses. Biol Direct *9*, 20.
Zivanov, J., Nakane, T., Forsberg, B.O., Kimanius, D., Hagen, W.J., Lindahl, E., and Scheres, S.H.
(2018). New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3.
eLife *7*.
909

A

B

rh1987 heavy — Avg SHM: 6.4% Avg CDR3 length: 15aa 25 mAbs

rh2011 heavy — Avg SHM: 6.1% Avg CDR3 length: 17aa 17 mAbs

rh1987 light — Avg SHM: 4.2% Avg CDR3 length: 10aa 25 mAbs

rh2011 light — Avg SHM: 4.3% Avg CDR3 length: 10aa 17 mAbs

VH1 VH3 VH4 VH5

VK1 VK2 VK3 VK6 VL1 VL2 VL4 VL8 VL11

C

rh1987

mAb	BG505 T332N	BG505 T332N+N611A	SF162
RM19A	41.8	>50	>50
RM19A1	44.9	>50	>50
RM19A2	>50	>50	>50
RM19A3	>50	>50	>50
RM19B1	>50	>50	>50
RM19C3	>50	>50	>50
RM19C4	>50	>50	>50
RM19D	>50	>50	>50
RM19J	>50	>50	>50
RM19M	12.9	5.63	>50
RM19N	>50	>50	>50
RM19O	>50	25.5	>50
RM19P	23.5	>50	>50
RM19R	>50	>50	>50
RM19S	>50	3.51	>50
RM19T	>50	>50	>50

IC_{50} (µg/mL)

D

rh2011

mAb	BG505 T332N	BG505 T332N+N611A	SF162
RM20A3	>50	>50	>50
RM20B	>50	>50	>50
RM20C	>50	>50	>50
RM20D	>50	>50	>50
RM20E	>50	<0.41	>50
RM20E1	>50	<0.41	>50
RM20E2	>50	<0.41	>50
RM20E3	>50	<0.41	>50
RM20F	1.6	<0.41	>50
RM20H	42.9	<0.41	>50
RM20I	>50	>50	>50
RM20J	>50	>50	>50

IC_{50} (µg/mL)

	VRC01	RM20F	VRC34
WT	1.0	1.0	1.0
H85A	1.2	11.9	4.7
E87A	1.2	4.3	0.8
N88A	1	>28	>24
K229A	0.6	3.1	1.2
S241N	1.1	6.6	n.d.
E267A	0.8	1.3	0.9
P291T	1.1	2.9	n.d.
N332T	0.9	0.5	n.d.
F522A	0.8	3.9	1.0
M535A	0.9	2.9	1.0
T536A	0.8	2.9	1.5
T538A	0.8	3.6	1.2
N611Q	1.5	<0.1	n.d.
N625Q	1.9	0.6	n.d.
N637Q	1.6	1.2	n.d.
G644A	1.0	4.2	1.8
E647A	1.7	13.5	0.6

fold reduction in neutralization

A

PGT122
gp120
gp41
RM20E1

B

gp120
gp41_1
gp41_2
fusion peptide
HC
CDR-H1
CDR-H3
LC
CDR-L1
CDR-L3

H85

N88 glycan

C

gp120
gp41_1
gp41_2
fusion peptide
HC
FR-H1
CDR-H1
CDR-H3
LC
CDR-L1
CDR-L3

F519

HR2   N611

N637

D

Env   RM20E1   RM20F   DFPH-a.15   VRC34   ACS202