Epidynamics characterize and navigate the map of seizure dynamics

Maria Luisa Saggio[1,*], Dakota Crisp[2,*], Jared Scott[2], Phillippa J. Karoly[3], Levin Kuhlmann[4], Mitsuyoshi Nakatani[1], Tomohiko Murai[5], Matthias Dümpelmann[6], Andreas Schulze-Bonhage[6], Akio Ikeda[5], Mark Cook[7], Stephen V. Gliske[8], Jack Lin[8], Christophe Bernard[1,+], Viktor Jirsa[1,+], William Stacey[2,8,+]

INS, Institut de Neurosciences des Systèmes, Inserm, Aix Marseille Université, Marseille, France
Department of Biomedical Engineering, BioInterfaces Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
Centre for Human Psychopharmacology, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Australia
Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan
Epilepsy Center, University of Freiburg, Germany
Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

* These Authors contributed equally as primary authors
+ These Authors contributed equally as senior authors

ABSTRACT

Seizures are a disruption of normal brain activity present across a vast range of species, diseases,

and conditions. Here we introduce a mathematical theory, Epidynamics, which provides a

conceptual framework to characterize how seizures start, evolve, and terminate. We provide the

first objective taxonomy of seizures based on a straightforward analysis of EEG data. Analyzing

over 2000 focal-onset seizures recorded from 7 epilepsy centers on five continents, we find

evidence of the predicted 16 Dynamic Classes of seizures. The theory also enables drawing a

map of brain dynamics that includes most seizure classes and status epilepticus. We demonstrate

that patients navigate the map during their lifetime and verify critical predictions of the theory.

Epidynamics not only provides a way to stratify patients in complement to present practical

classifications but also guides biophysically based mechanistic approaches and provides a

language to describe the most critical features of seizure dynamics.

Impact statement:

Epidynamics, a mathematically-derived method of classifying seizure dynamics, provides a

rigorous method for classifying and quantifying seizures and a theoretical framework for

understanding seizure initiation and propagation.

Keywords:
Epilepsy
Seizure
Dynamics
Bifurcation
Intracranial EEG

INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders with an estimated prevalence of 50 million worldwide (World Health Organization, 2017). It is characterized by spontaneously recurring seizures, which are "a transient occurrence of signs and or symptoms due to abnormal excessive or synchronous neuronal activity in the brain" (Fisher *et al.*, 2005). However, there are a vast array of signs, symptoms, and underlying causes of seizures. Thus, despite high prevalence and considerable morbidity and mortality, it has been challenging to characterize, treat, and understand seizures, which prevents the development of reasoned, mechanistic approaches to therapy and improved patient care. Seizure classifications to date have been purely descriptive of empirical data: clinical manifestations (e.g. focal vs. generalized) that are based upon the region of brain affected rather than the seizure itself, and visual descriptions of electroencephalogram (EEG) waveforms. These classifications have been subjected to numerous revisions and still have great difficulty reconciling pathology with phenotype. In its latest position paper, the International League Against Epilepsy states: "Because current knowledge is insufficient to form a scientifically based classification, the 2017 Classification is operational (practical)" (Fisher *et al.*, 2017). A theory unifying our understanding of empirical data would constitute a significant step forward. Here we present the first mathematical theory accounting for the essential onset and offset dynamics of electrophysiological seizures based upon first principles. This new "Dynamic Class" allows scientific classification of electrophysiological seizures using practical, objective metrics and provides a conceptual framework to understand why different types of seizures can occur in patients during their lifetime. We then discuss how this theory of a seizure's canonical dynamic characteristics—which we term the "Epidynamics"—can be used for immediate transfer to clinical practice, to provide a rational method of characterizing seizures, and to allow a greater understanding of the underlying principles governing seizure generation and termination.

The basis of our theory is the observation that seizures are characterized by abrupt changes in the EEG waveform at seizure onset and offset, which we interpreted as bifurcations known from dynamic system theory (Lopes da Silva *et al.*, 2003b). As a seizure evolves, the brain moves from a normal state into a seizure and back again. Recent work has focused on describing these

transitions with empirically-chosen visual patterns and has found interesting relationships with

underlying pathology (Perucca *et al.*, 2014), surgical outcome, (Jimenez-Jimenez *et al.*, 2015;

Lagarde *et al.*, 2016) and Sudden Unexpected Death in Epilepsy (Rajakulendran and Nashef,

2015). However, these transitions can also be described more rigorously and mathematically as

bifurcations. Bifurcations represent qualitative changes that both define and constrain the system

dynamics (Strogatz, 2015). The concept has been used to understand neuronal firing: when a

neuron goes through a bifurcation, the emergent dynamics often comprise a new set of behaviors

such as multiple stable fixed points (rest activity) or limit cycles (oscillatory activity) (Izhikevich,

2000). When a neuron oscillates quickly about a limit cycle, it produces fast repetitive activity

known generically as bursting. These dynamics can be described by a set of differential equations

and accompanying parameters. Concepts about bursting neurons can be extended to seizures,

where bursting is used in a mathematical sense, and here refers to the alternation between healthy

and ictal (oscillatory) states. Two variables are the minimum necessary to generate oscillations,

and in systems with two variables there are only six types of bifurcations involved in bursting

(Fig. 1). Four can be used to enter the bursting regime, and another four to exit, giving a total of

sixteen possible combinations (Izhikevich, 2000), which we call Dynamic Classes. A key benefit

of this bifurcation-based theory is that it unambiguously identifies the invariant properties of

individual events, which may provide mechanistic insight into the underlying causes and

response to specific interventions. It also provides a model that not only accounts for the effects

of noise on the system (Suffczynski *et al.*, 2005) and multistability (Lopes da Silva *et al.*, 2003a;

Milton, 2012), but also generates a time series. Generalizing this to epilepsy, Jirsa et al., (Jirsa *et*

al., 2014) proposed the existence of 16 theoretically possible Dynamic Classes (i.e. seizure

types), and found one seizure offset bifurcation that was present across multiple species, brain

regions, and pathologies, including a small cohort of humans. Based on that initial work, we now

expand and build a theory of the full taxonomy of human focal onset seizures. In the following,

we begin with the definition of the different types of seizures based on dynamics at their onset

and offset. Then, we show that seizures recorded from different centers in the world can be

rigorously classified, and how classification can be performed in daily clinical practice. Next, we

introduce Epidynamics, a general theory of seizure dynamics, which provides a map where all

seizure types can be located. The map allows us to understand complex and unusual seizure

patterns from the human dataset, and we demonstrate that patients navigate the map to express

different types of seizures. Finally, we discuss how the theory can be used to improve patient

care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Classification of seizure dynamics

The goal of this work is to characterize seizures by their underlying onset and offset dynamics,

which depends upon identifying reliable, canonical dynamic features. While the dynamics of a

single neuron have already been described (Izhikevich, 2000), linking that behavior to a seizure

generated by millions of neurons is complex. We chose to analyze the EEG signal from standard

intracranial electrodes, as it is the most clinically-relevant and widely-studied method to measure

brain dynamics. The key to this analysis is to demonstrate that human seizures have the same

classes of onset/offset patterns as individual neurons. These patterns, which are visible within the

EEG waveform, identify the bifurcations that define the invariant properties, and thus the first

rigorous classification, of seizure dynamics. Fig. 1 demonstrates these different bifurcations,

showing how the signal changes in terms of amplitude and frequency of successive spikes and

may contain a shift in the baseline as the seizure starts or stops. Of note, in dynamical terms, a

"spike" is defined as any prominent sharp transient associated with the dynamical process. For

human EEG, we assume this includes all fast transients < 200 ms with amplitude that is

distinguishable from the background. Of note this dynamical definition also includes the fast,

low amplitude spiking seen at the beginning of some seizures.

In this work we present algorithms to measure the invariant properties, which can then be used to

classify the seizure types. While the theory behind this classification has been proven

mathematically(Kuznetsov, 2004), measurement of these values under real conditions is

challenging. This is because 1) EEG recordings of the brain are much more complex than single

bursting cells, 2) EEG is notoriously noisy, and 3) there is limited understanding of the

underlying physiology that produces the EEG waveforms(Einevoll *et al.*, 2013; Reimann *et al.*,

2013). Despite these limitations, we found strong evidence that at least one Dynamic Class exists

(Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). Herein, we present both an automated algorithm and a visual method to

analyze these noisy data. We find that visual analysis is quite reliable and often preferable under

clinical conditions, as demonstrated by recent work in other noisy neural signals(Haddad and

Marder, 2018). Therefore, while we do present the algorithm results as validation, the final

clinical analysis is based upon the visual classifications.

Onset Types: As described in Fig. 1, there are four onset bifurcations. Two of these progress

gradually from resting state into seizure via specific scaling laws for the amplitude or

frequency(Strogatz, 2015): in the supercritical Hopf bifurcation (SupH), the amplitude of the

oscillations starts at zero and increases proportionally to the square root of the distance from the

bifurcation point; the Saddle-Node on an Invariant Circle (SNIC) bifurcation has oscillations that

increase in frequency as the square-root of the same distance. The other two, Saddle-Node (SN)

and subcritical Hopf (SubH) bifurcations, have abrupt amplitude and frequency changes that do

not follow scaling laws. The SN can contain a jump in the signal baseline (i.e. direct current (DC)

shift), but in the absence of a detectable DC shift these two classes can be difficult to distinguish

even theoretically. SN without DC shift and SubH are thus grouped together in this work. Further

demonstration of the generation of these time series can be found in (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014; Saggio *et*

al., 2017).

Offset Types: Two of the bifurcations are characterized by decreasing frequency, following

square-root scaling for the SNIC and logarithmic scaling for the Saddle-Homoclinic (SH)

bifurcation. Both of these dynamics manifest as slowing of the seizure down to zero near its end,

which is the well-known clinical hallmark of a seizure(2016). This "slowing" at seizure

termination has been identified as an inherent characteristic of seizures across all spatial scales

(Kramer *et al.*, 2012), and in multiple species and brain regions (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). Due to the

small number of spikes near the end of seizures, it is difficult to distinguish between these two

scaling laws when using only frequency of spikes (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014); throughout this work, when

we refer to "slowing-down" it refers to logarithmic or square root scaling, implying scaling down

to zero. The other two bifurcations do not require slowing at termination: the SupH bifurcation

with square-root-scaled decreasing amplitude and no scaling law for the frequency, and the Fold

Limit Cycle (FLC) that has no specific scaling law. Note that these two offset types would

appear somewhat atypical to a clinician, as "slowing down" is expected at the end of most

seizures. The only dependence on a DC shift is that only the SH can have a shift, but the absence

of a shift can be SH or SNIC.

Patient selection and seizure analysis to create human seizure taxonomy

We analyzed seizures from 120 patients recorded on intracranial EEG in 7 centers worldwide (Appendix I.1) (Ihle *et al.*, 2010; Cook *et al.*, 2013; Kanazawa *et al.*, 2015; Wagenaar *et al.*, 2015) to identify the bifurcations at onset and offset. All patients had focal onset seizures. Results are shown in Fig. 2.

<u>Accurate identification of bifurcations:</u> The canonical features necessary to distinguish the bifurcations are the dynamics of the amplitude and interspike intervals (ISI) and the presence/absence of a DC shift. We identified the spike timing and amplitude to allow for both visual and mathematical analysis (Appendix I.2). In order to validate the analysis, we first compared the results of three human reviewers (Appendix I.3) and an automated algorithm (Appendix I.4) in a gold-standard computational model that generated 60 seizures of each type (Saggio *et al.*, 2017). These methods were very successful in distinguishing the different bifurcations in the model data, although we had to consider SN without DC shift and SubH as a single group for seizure onset, and SH without DC shift and SNIC as a single group for offsets because there is no method to distinguish them. We then compared these same methods on 120 human seizures. We found that concordance was also reliable in human data (Appendix I.5). These results show that the chosen features are capable of distinguishing the different bifurcations reliably for both human visualization and algorithms, that human seizure dynamics are consistent with the modeled bifurcations, and that human reviewers can use the methods described in the next paragraphs to classify onset and offset bifurcations reliably. In addition, we found that human reviewers were more reliable than the automated algorithm in noisy clinical data. We then used the human markings on the clinical data for the taxonomy in Fig. 2.

<u>Onset dynamics</u> - To analyze onset dynamics, we first investigated all 51 patients that had been recorded using equipment capable of visualizing DC shifts. Of note, DC shift alone has previously been shown to be highly correlated to the seizure onset zone (Ikeda *et al.*, 1996; Ikeda *et al.*, 1999; Kanazawa *et al.*, 2015). Many seizures (41%) started with constant amplitude spikes

and a DC shift, signifying SN bifurcation (Fig. 2E). The second most frequent was similar

amplitude/frequency dynamics without a DC shift (either SubH or SN, 37%), followed by SupH

(14%) and SNIC (6%). Ambiguities in the classification were treated systematically as detailed in

the Appendix (I.6) , and only 1/51 seizures could not be agreed upon by the reviewers. Despite

the inability to distinguish SN (-DC) and SubH, we demonstrate that at least three of the

predicted bifurcation types are present at onset in human seizures. Importantly, some seizures

display complex dynamics because they go through more than one bifurcation as the seizure

begins. For example, nearly half of the seizures labeled as SN onset progressed into square-root

amplitude scaling (likely due to spread of the seizure to neighboring tissue) after 2-5 seconds,

consistent with a switch to SupH dynamics; we only labeled the initial bifurcation herein. For this

reason, we have slightly modified the definition of onset bifurcation as compared to the literature.

Here the onset bifurcation is the one causing a departure from the resting state, even if it is not

directly causing the onset of oscillations as there can be intermediate states (Appendix II.1).

We next looked at the onset bifurcations in the other 69 seizures that were recorded with non-DC

coupled hardware. Of the four onset bifurcations, SN and SubH become indistinguishable

without a DC shift available. Combining these two into a single group, we found that 67% were

SN-SubH, 26% were SupH, 6% were SNIC, and only one seizure did not have reviewer

consensus.

Offset dynamics – We first examined offsets in those patients with DC recordings for the most

robust classification. The analysis of the interspike intervals (ISI) and spike amplitudes revealed

a logarithmic/square-root slowing-down with constant amplitude in 20/51 patients, and of those

10 had DC shifts at offset. Thus, 10/51 (20%) were SH, while the remaining 10 (20%) were

potentially SH or SNIC (Fig. 2F). The remaining 31 patients did not have slowing at the end of

their seizure (53% FLC, 6% SupH, 2% no reviewer consensus).

For non-DC coupled data, we grouped SH and SNIC in the remaining 69 patients. The majority

of seizures had arbitrary ISI and/or amplitude (54% FLC), while 41% had slowing down

characteristic of SH-SNIC. The remaining seizures either had constant ISI with amplitude that

decreased as a square root (SupH, 3%) or had no consensus among reviewers (3%). Examples of

the different clinical bifurcations are shown in Appendix I.7.

Analyzing arbitrary dynamics. One significant challenge in the above analyses was the

presence of noise. Within the theoretical model, "arbitrary" dynamics refer to abrupt changes

without clear scaling laws to or from zero. However, in human data analysis "arbitrary" includes

a wide range of other behaviors, especially noise. It is important to note that the taxonomy above

includes the first seizure that could be analyzed from every patient—we did not restrict the

analysis to "clean" seizures. Some of the seizures were noisy, either from technical concerns or

physiological effects of the seizure. We chose this method in order to provide a robust, real-world

demonstration of this analysis. Because noise can be classified as "arbitrary," this analysis may

overestimate the numbers of SubH-SN onsets (no DC shift) and FLC offsets. However, it was

clear that this limitation was not merely technical: several patients had complex physiological

dynamics. Four of the FLC seizures were highly unusual from a clinical perspective: one had

increasing amplitude for the last 10 seconds, one consisted of low-voltage fast activity that ended

abruptly without any other change, one ended with irregular spike waves, and one had

accelerating frequency at the end (Appendix I.8 and V).

Data availability

Code and data for validation analysis in "Accurate Identification of bifurcations" are freely

available for download (Crisp *et al.*, 2019).

RESULTS

Taxonomy of human epilepsy

16 Dynamic Classes of seizures – The preceding data validate that at least three types of onset

and offset are systematically present in human focal epilepsy. As detailed above, real clinical data

are challenging: the lack of DC shift makes it difficult to distinguish some bifurcations, and the

noisiness of EEG is hard to distinguish from arbitrary dynamics. Nevertheless, these results

show robust evidence that human seizures conform to both the onset and offset bifurcations

predicted by our model. These combinations lead to a taxonomy containing sixteen Dynamic

Classes of electrographic seizures (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). We identified the Dynamic Classes in

patients with DC recordings. Two patients did not achieve reviewer consensus (one onset, one

offset), leaving 49 patients (Fig. 2F). We identified 12 different Classes, with the limitation that

several of the Classes cannot be fully distinguished in the absence of a DC shift (e.g. SH(-DC) –

SNIC offsets). The taxonomy was dominated by seizures with either SN or SubH onsets and

slowing (SH-SNIC) or arbitrary dynamics (FLC) at the end. In this cohort of focal onset

seizures, the SupH and SNIC onsets were less common, accounting for all 4 Classes that were

absent.

Correlation between clinical data and seizure type

We compared all available clinical metadata from patients with their Dynamic Class and found

no correlation between seizure class and patient gender, pathology, or localization. There was a

correlation with age, as older patients tended to have more SupH onsets (Appendix I.9). We also

compared these results with a prior visual classification that identifies 7 basic seizure onset

patterns (Perucca *et al.*, 2014), and found 6/7 patterns without any apparent relationship to

clinical data or pathology (Appendix I.10). There were no significant similarities between the

Dynamic Class and the visual classification.

Seizure dynamics vary in human epilepsy

While analyzing this dataset, we noted that one patient had two consecutive seizures belonging to

different classes: one supH/supH and one supH/SH, raising the possibility that an individual may

express different types of seizures. This finding was surprising, as many clinicians assume that a

person's seizure should be "stereotyped", i.e. consistent over time. In fact, multiple medical

devices have been designed under the presumption that a patient's seizures would have similar

appearance over time(Morrell, 2011; Cook *et al.*, 2013). To test whether individuals display

different types of seizures over time, we used a unique dataset from Melbourne in which patients

had intracranial EEG recorded continuously for many months (Cook *et al.*, 2013). We analyzed

over 2000 seizures from 13 patients. Given the size of the sample, we limited the analysis to the

most straightforward metric: the ISI at seizure offset to determine whether there was slowing at

seizure termination. This allowed us to differentiate the SH/SNIC from the supH/FLC

bifurcations (i.e. slowing-down or constant ISI). There were 658 seizures of sufficient length (>

25 seconds) to measure the offset ISI. To be conservative, we only classified seizures as slowing-

down or constant if such a determination was unequivocal and labeled the rest as "not assessed,"

meaning that the determination was not readily evident on brief visual inspection. As seen in Fig.

3, all 13 patients expressed at least two offset patterns. Note that this is likely an underestimation

of the heterogeneity in seizure classes: these recordings did not contain DC coupling so onset

bifurcations were not assessed, and we did not distinguish the offsets into all four types.

Nevertheless, we can unambiguously conclude that individuals have seizures from different

classes over time.

A theory of seizure generation based on first principles of nonlinear dynamics

The clinical data above show that seizures can be categorized based on their onset/offset

bifurcations, that seizures can include several bifurcations and that patients have multiple types of

seizures. We thus decided to formalize these findings within a unifying mathematical theory,

which can account for all these behaviors. During seizures, the firing activity of neurons becomes

organized, enabling the emergence of oscillatory activity that can be observed in electrographic

recordings. This greatly reduces the degrees of freedom necessary to describe the observed

activity, i.e. a small number of differential equations are sufficient to describe the collective

behavior (Fig. 4A). We here consider a system with the minimum number of variables necessary

to produce oscillatory activity, two. Based on the parameter values, two states can be

distinguished: resting (fixed point) or oscillatory (limit cycle). When these two states coexist for

the same range of parameter values (bistability), transitions between them can be promoted by

noise if the system is sufficiently close to a bifurcation (Lopes da Silva *et al.*, 2003b; Kalitzin *et*

al., 2010). However, the statistics of ictal durations (Suffczynski *et al.*, 2006) points to the

existence of a deterministic process governing this transition for seizure offset and possibly for

the onset. This can be achieved with the addition of a third variable acting on the timescale of

ictal duration. We previously validated this approach with the "Epileptor," a set of five

differential equations able to account for the most dominant seizure class (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014)

(SN/SH, also known as 'square-wave' bursting(Rinzel, 1987)) . In the Epileptor, the transition

from "normal" to seizure state and back again, as well as the seizure dynamics, are controlled by

a collective permittivity variable that evolves on a slow time scale. However, the Epileptor only

accounted for a single Dynamic Class and is not sufficient to explain the data presented above.

The fact that individuals can express different classes of seizures over time leads to two

predictions that must be included within a model of human seizure dynamics: different classes of

seizures must coexist in the same model, and there must be an endogenous mechanism by which

the brain can transition slowly between the different classes.

Addressing these predictions within the framework of bifurcation analysis provides the entry

point to propose a general theory of seizures. Previous mathematical work demonstrated that the

procedure to build a minimal model for the SN/SH class (Golubitsky *et al.*, 2001) provides a two-

dimensional map for the parameter space of the fast variables on which all the six bifurcations

can be placed (Dumortier *et al.*, 1991). In effect, the map is a representation of the range of states

in which a brain region can exist, oscillatory (ictal) and non-oscillatory (interictal), and the

transitions between them. The oscillatory state produces spiking activity that is described by the

fast variables (millisecond scale activity). However, on a much slower time scale of the order of

seizure length, the brain can move towards a transition to an interictal state, as described by a

slow variable. Migrations to different locations on the map can occur on a usually even slower

timescale (10's-1000's of seconds), which we call here "ultraslow". The use of an ultraslow

variable allows full exploration of the map (Saggio *et al.*, 2017). Applying these general

mathematical principles to epilepsy implies that any brain region able to generate SN/SH seizures

can potentially generate other classes by navigating to different dynamical regimes (i.e. changing

the parameters of differential equations) (Saggio *et al.*, 2017). The map related to the

organization of seizure classes is shown in Figure 4B-C. The state of a brain region at any

moment can be represented as a location on the map, which will define its dynamical properties.

Regions in the map that correspond to different regimes, including resting and ictal states, are

separated by bifurcation curves. Seizures are represented as black arrows, each arrow

corresponding to one specific seizure class. To produce a seizure, the system, which is initially in

the resting state within the bistability region, heads towards the onset bifurcation curve. When

this curve is reached, the resting state disappears and the system is forced to go into the

oscillatory seizure regime within the bistability region. This transition in state causes an inversion

in the trajectory of brain state, with the system now heading towards the offset bifurcation curve.

When the offset is reached, the system goes back to rest and inverts direction again. Movement

along the black arrow is produced by slow (of the order of the ictal length) mechanisms leading

to seizure offset. Note that the movement towards the onset and offset bifurcations occurs in both

cases from within the bistability region. Ultraslow movements on the map are responsible for

changing the location of the brain state while at rest and enable the expression of different classes

of seizures as observed clinically. This theory thus provides a potential explanation for the

clinical observation of multiple classes of seizures in a single patient, and the map provides a

hypothesis to describe how a patient's current state (i.e. location on the map) can affect seizure

dynamics (whether a seizure is likely to occur, and what type is most likely). Figure 4C depicts

paths (black arrows) for seven of the 16 Dynamic Classes placed on a two-parameter map.

Adding one additional parameter allows this map to be extended and create seven other Classes

in three dimensions, while the final two Classes require even higher dimensions to create (Saggio

et al., 2017). These higher-dimensional Classes require very fine parameter tuning, and thus are

less likely to occur (Golubitsky *et al.*, 2001; Saggio *et al.*, 2017). It is noteworthy that our clinical

data show that the Classes that occurred the most (e.g. SN/FLC and SN/SH) were predicted to be

among the most likely to occur (Appendix II. 5).

Ultraslow fluctuations to navigate the map of brain states

It is important to note that the topology of the map in Fig. 4 was initially proven mathematically

to be generic and rigorously valid for bursting (Dumortier *et al.*, 1991; Baer *et al.*, 2006). This

invariance establishes the ground truth to define the relationships between the different

bifurcations in the proximity of the SN/SH class, which leads to a key prediction: transitions

between certain classes may be more common due to their proximity on the map. For example,

considering the bistability region in the upper part of the map, we note that the offset curves of

SH and SupH approach until they meet. When the curves are very close, even small fluctuations

in the parameters can cause a transition between classes. If fluctuating internal conditions allow

individuals to move around these regions of convergence, patients may have seizures belonging

to different classes over time, as observed in our longitudinal analysis. The model predicts that

transitions between specific classes are more likely to occur if these classes are close in the map,

in the sense just shown of bifurcation curves approaching each other within the same bistability

region. On the contrary, transitions between classes belonging to distant bistability regions

require stronger changes in the ultraslow variable(s) and are thus less likely to occur.

The map also predicts that movements towards different bifurcations can occur while a seizure is
ongoing. As proof of concept, we found several examples of such fluctuations in our cohort. In
Fig. 5A, one patient's seizure had constant ISI and square-root amplitude scaling for
approximately 70 seconds, properties exhibited when approaching the SupH bifurcation. The
seizure appeared to be terminating, but then it abruptly restarted to terminate with slowing-down
ISI and constant amplitude (SH/SNIC bifurcation). We found five examples of this behavior in
our data (out of >2000 seizures) and reproduced it with our model. By definition, the Dynamic
Class includes only the onset and final offset bifurcation, but the behavior during this seizure is
intriguing and can be explained by the model. We considered a path for the SN/SupH class with
an ultraslow drift of the offset point that changed the path to SN/SH and added noise to all
variables to simulate fluctuations. With these settings, we ran 100 simulations, which generated
several different Dynamic Classes, predominantly SN/SH, SupH/SH, and SN/SupH (Appendix
III). Several had transitions during the seizure from one bifurcation to another, 10 of which in the
same manner as the data in Fig. 5A, switching from SupH to SH offset. Thus, the clinical
example is one of the most favorable combinations within the model, as the SupH and SH
bifurcations are so close that small fluctuations can cause the switch.

Status epilepticus: Explorations of the map also demonstrated another effect sometimes seen
clinically: status epilepticus. Simulations with the previous settings in some cases produced
continuous seizures that did not resolve by the end of the simulation, equivalent to status
epilepticus (El Houssaini *et al.*, 2015). We analyzed the corresponding trajectories on the map to
determine how this had occurred. Prolonged seizures occurred when the brain state crossed the
SN onset curve but was unable to return to rest through the offset bifurcation and remained
mainly in the violet "seizure only" region in Fig. 5G. The slow variable naturally drives the state
towards offset, but in these cases was continually overridden by noise, causing the state to
'escape' from the bistability region. We then analyzed this effect by simulating various levels of
noise and showed that there was a clear correlation between the noise variance and the likelihood
of entering status epilepticus (Appendix IV). We compared these results with our clinical data,
which had two examples of non-convulsive status epilepticus. In both cases, the seizures began in
typical fashion, but instead of terminating began having long periods of constant ISI with varying

periods of amplitude fluctuations. There were many abrupt transition periods during which the

ISI and amplitudes became arbitrary. After these brief periods of disorganization, the dynamics

returned to constant ISI. We compared the dynamics of our model results with these human

seizures and found that the transition between different dynamics is quite similar. In Fig. 5D, we

show a portion of two human seizures and one example of the simulation (Fig. 5E-F) and

movement on the map (Fig. 5H-I). Further demonstration of the patients' status epilepticus is

provided in Appendix IV. The patterns of organized- alternating with disorganized- firing, often

known clinically as "waxing and waning seizures," are entirely consistent with the model: the

seizure undergoes periods in which it progresses towards termination, then because of noise it

reverts to a point farther away from the offset bifurcation, as described previously (Kramer *et al.*,

2012).

Accelerating seizure: We then analyzed the seizure offset that increased in frequency described in

Appendix I.8. In this case, we explored conditions on the map that could produce "speeding up"

at the end of the seizure. We identified multiple trajectories in the map of brain states capable of

producing these unusual seizure dynamics. As in the case of status epilepticus, these unusual

patterns are dependent upon the relative position within the brain map, in this case occurring

when brain states along a trajectory are affected by multiple bifurcations that are in close

proximity (Appendix V). These results further validate our theory of Dynamic Class and show

how it provides a rational explanation for a wide range of physiological dynamics.

449   DISCUSSION

Seizures have been recognized clinically for millennia, but after nearly a century of

electrographic recordings we still do not have a scientifically-based method of characterizing

them. We here address this issue and provide the first general mathematical theory that explains

the basic features of seizure onset and offset dynamics, which we term collectively the

'Epidynamics' of seizures. In canonical terms, there are 16 Dynamic Classes of seizures, and our

theory provides a general dynamical map that describes how a brain region can move between

these conditions. Our classification aims at precisely identifying the seizure type in terms of

dynamics, without any dependence upon specific symptoms, pathology, or localization. Thus, it

is highly complementary to the classical operational classifications used by clinicians to diagnose

and treat patients, which are based upon those factors and are continually being updated (Fisher

et al., 2017). The Dynamic Class describes the behavior of the seizure itself, while the clinical

classification describes the patient's symptoms: together, both classifications are synergistic and

can be used to improve patient stratification and potentially provide more targeted treatments.

The classification we propose is based on a simple, invariant, objective metrics that have

compelling scientific rationale. With DC-coupled recordings, it is possible to distinguish the

Classes with high fidelity, even with visual inspection. This method is thus readily available to

clinicians, as many standard EEG acquisition devices now have excellent resolution near DC

(<0.1 Hz).

Our interpretation of the results relies on some key assumptions. First, we are assuming that the

onset and offset of seizures are brought about by bifurcations. Another common mechanism in

the literature is noise-induced transitions (Lopes da Silva *et al.*, 2003b), which our model can

reproduce (see Appendix II.3 for a discussion of how this would affect our classification).

Second, we rely on the assumption of timescale separation between the dynamics of the spikes

within seizures and the slower dynamics controlling seizure threshold and termination (both in

theory and in the simulated sample of data). If this assumption does not hold, different

phenomena could occur and the scaling laws could be impossible to identify. Third, we only

considered planar bifurcations for simplification (Appendix II.6). These assumptions were the

axioms for developing the theory and data analysis. Future work will address the validity and

consequences of these simplifying assumptions.

Similar to sleep, seizures are universal from insects to humans, leading to the proposal that

seizures are an inherent property of a brain, i.e. they are endogenous activities that perhaps barely

changed during evolution (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). This may explain why, despite the vast range of

genetic, structural, chemical, and developmental conditions that cause epilepsy, seizures have a

remarkably limited set of dynamical behaviors. It is therefore not surprising that elementary

mathematical laws can describe their electrophysiological signature. However, clinical

interpretation of seizure dynamics has been almost universally based on simple observation, in

which clinicians report the frequency and morphology of spikes. This method is helpful to

identify primary generalized epilepsies, but within focal seizures has limited clinical use. Two

notable exceptions are the presence of low voltage fast activity (Wetjen *et al.*, 2009) and focal

DC shifts (Ikeda *et al.*, 1999), both of which are highly predictive of the true seizure focus. In

this work, we now show that both of these patterns are hallmarks of the SN onset bifurcation,

showing that identification of the Dynamic Class can have clinical utility. In effect, what those

past studies demonstrated was that clinicians had discovered empirically one of the predictions of

Epidynamics: the outcome is improved if clinicians can resect the region of brain that showed the

clearest SN onset bifurcation.

One limitation of previous clinical descriptions of seizures is that it has been unclear which

dynamical features are relevant. There is high variability in the frequency and morphology of

spikes due to individual fluctuations and noise. Our analysis identifies the invariant dynamics that

impose important constraints on the system. A crucial aspect of our approach is that it allows us

to disentangle characteristics that are necessary to describe the dynamics from other seizure

related phenomena that are not fundamental to our simplified model (e.g. spike and wave

complexes, preictal spikes, etc.). For instance, while spike frequency or amplitude of individual

spikes can vary significantly (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014) and are not incorporated into our model, the

presence of slowing down (SH or SNIC offset) is a fundamental aspect of that seizure's

dynamics. It is important to note that we only analyzed the particular case of drug-resistant

epilepsies investigated with invasive intracranial recordings; however, it is unlikely that this

theory is specific to such epilepsies as seizures forced in non-epileptic networks follow the same

universal rules (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). We note that prior work focusing on individual bifurcations

are all also entirely consistent with our comprehensive model of Dynamic Class, which

encompasses all these onset and offset possibilities and further shows how they interact

(Appendix VII).

This work does not include data from generalized onset epilepsies, as these are not typically

recorded with intracranial EEG. Our taxonomy is, however, fully consistent with past work on

generalized epilepsy dynamics (Wendling *et al.*, 2015). Absence seizures, for instance, begin

with sudden onset and offset of ~ 3 Hz large amplitude oscillations without a DC shift (Slaght *et*

al., 2004) and terminate abruptly without slowing down to zero, which would point to a

SubH/FLC class (which is the most likely Dynamic Class (Golubitsky *et al.*, 2001), see Appendix

II.5). In this work with focal epilepsies, the most common Classes were the SN (with DC

shift)/FLC and SN/SH (which are also likely Classes).

When comparing our results with a past visual classification system of spike frequency,(Perucca

et al., 2014) we found no correlation with pathology in our cohort of 120 patients. However, our

cohort did not have any patients with tuberous sclerosis, which was the only pathology associated

with burst suppression in that prior work. Combining the data from both studies, the different

patterns appear to be either evenly distributed or too rare to find robust correlations with

pathology. Similarly, Epidynamics is not strongly correlated with pathology. In terms of the map

of brain activity, we hypothesize that what determines the seizure dynamics is not the pathology

per se, but the location of the brain on the map. Specific pathologies may predispose to certain

regions, but there are many complex dynamics affecting brain state and many conditions that can

produce similar dynamics. This coincides with the idea of this brain map showing the full range

of potential seizure onset and offset activity.

There is great potential in characterizing a seizure's Epidynamics, as it provides a unique

perspective on brain networks. We have previously demonstrated that very different biophysical

mechanisms can produce the same class of seizures (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). Here we show that

seizures from 120 patients with a wide array of focal pathologies can be grouped into similar

seizure classes. Our data show that different seizure classes imply different locations within the

dynamic map, but cannot be used to infer biophysical mechanisms in the absence of other

constraints. Note that operational classifications are not predictive of mechanism either, but

Epidynamics provides insight and predictions that can guide future research and clinical

decisions. Understanding the underlying dynamics can help in the design of strategies to control

seizures, such as with electrical stimulation (Kalitzin *et al.*, 2010). Studies on neuronal bursters

(Izhikevich, 2000), which are organized in similar dynamic classes, demonstrate that classes have

different sensitivity to stimulation. For example, SubH onset act as resonators, which require a

resonant frequency in the stimulus to trigger oscillations, while SN onset behaves as an integrator

in which the nature of the stimulus (excitatory or inhibitory) rather than the frequency plays a key

role (Izhikevich, 2000). There is a long history of using perturbation to probe the proximity of a

nearby bifurcation in disciplines such as electrical power (Chow *et al.*, 1990) and reservoirs

(Heppell *et al.*, 2000). Past work on stimulation to assess epileptogenicity (Alarcon, 2005;

Kalitzin *et al.*, 2005; David *et al.*, 2010) is similar to such work and would be greatly enhanced

with the insight gained from this model to understand the nearby bifurcations. Further theoretical

and clinical work is necessary to assess whether knowledge of the Dynamic Class could also

improve the ability to abort seizures with tailored stimulation. The second important prediction is

that the synchronization properties of coupled bursters are bifurcation-dependent (Wang *et al.*,

2011; Reimbayev and Belykh, 2014; Belykh *et al.*, 2015). This is a key issue for seizure

propagation, as it predicts that the ability of a seizure to spread is dependent upon its class. Since

the spatiotemporal organization of the seizure is part of the data features used to personalize brain

network models (Virtual Epileptic Patient (Jirsa *et al.*, 2017; Proix *et al.*, 2017)) and functional

connectivity based approaches (Hutchings *et al.*, 2015; Sinha *et al.*, 2017; Taylor *et al.*, 2017),

the choice of the right dynamic class is critical for successful patient modeling and clinical

translation.

Another significant contribution of this work concerns the dynamics of the slow permittivity

variable to explain how slow changes in the behavior/state of a brain region can bring it closer or

farther away from different bifurcations, i.e. seizure threshold. The fact that all 13 patients had

seizures belonging to at least two classes implies that the permittivity variable moves on a

dynamical map in which different types of bifurcations can occur. Each parameter of the map

should be considered as a representation of a manifold of physiological variables that cooperate

to produce a particular change in the system. Given the slow timescale at which these changes

occur, neurochemical substances (e.g. hormones, neuromodulators etc.) are the best candidates.

Within the permittivity variable we can here distinguish two timescales: a slow timescale of the

order of the ictal length, and an ultra-slow timescale of the order of the interictal length (hours,

days, months, years). Typical examples include the circadian regulation of seizures (Karoly *et al.*,

2017) and catamenial epilepsy. Interestingly, both males and females display ultraslow (weeks)

modulation of seizure probability both in rats (Baud *et al.*, 2019) and humans (Karoly *et al.*,

2017; Baud *et al.*, 2018), further suggesting that these results are species- and sex-independent.

Those results and ours strongly support the proposal that patients move closer and farther away

from seizure threshold (i.e. "travel the map") during their lifetime. This theory may also be

helpful in assessing a brain's current proximity to seizure bifurcations, i.e. predict the risk of

seizures occurring. Several features are altered when nearing the onset bifurcations, such as

preictal spikes (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014), variance of the signal (Meisel *et al.*, 2015), and reaction to

electrical probing of cortical excitability (Freestone *et al.*, 2011). Recent work has shown that

interictal discharges act like system perturbations that behave like the slow approach to

bifurcations, just as predicted by our model (Chang *et al.*, 2018). Seizure forecasting, based on

electrographic recordings, is already enhanced when circadian rhythms are used to inform the

model (Karoly *et al.*, 2017). If the ultraslow physiological correlates of the map's parameter

could be identified, measured, and manipulated, this would open new possibilities to assess when

the patient is moving towards unsafe regions of the map and to alter their trajectory, i.e. control

seizures before they occur.

Our theory describes a map of brain states that accounts for the potential Dynamic Classes of

seizures, and how the resting brain can navigate between them. This is not a unifying theory to

describe seizures completely, as we defend a complementary approach, combining it with

traditional operational classifications. However, it provides a unique avenue to classify seizures

based upon their key dynamical features, while providing insight into how seizures become more

or less likely to occur at a given time. A necessary corollary is that, although our theory has been

developed in the context of seizure dynamics, it likely extends to physiological function of the

healthy brain (e.g. alterations between REM and slow wave sleep, and the appearance of gamma

frequencies or ripples during slow wave sleep) and stipulates the existence of at least two time

scales in any theory of the brain. Slow time scales are present in many theories of brain function,

but typically have been limited to the domain of learning and adaptation, thus functionally

separated from fast processes. Here the functional integration and co-evolution of the fast

neuroelectric and slow permittive time scales suggests emergent and inherent properties of brain

processes.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Masao Matsuhashi for efforts in data acquisition.

Funding:

Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas 15H05871 from the Japan Ministry of

Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT). EU FP7 Project EPILEPSIAE

(Grant 211713). NIH K08-NS069783, R01-NS094399, K01-ES026839, Ligue Française contre

l'Epilepsie, European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant

720270), Fondation pour la Recherche Médical (grant DIC 20161236442), SATT Sud Est, and

Agence Nationale de la Recherche (scientific excellence grant EPINOV).

Competing interests:

None of the authors have any competing interests.

632
633

Bifurcation	Timeseries	Amplitude	Frequency	Baseline jump	Rest - Seizure
Saddle-Node (SN)		non zero	non zero	can be present	→
Saddle-Node Invariant Circle (SNIC)		non zero	zero ($\sqrt{\lambda}$)	no	↔
Saddle Homoclinic (SH)		non zero	zero ($\ln \lambda$)	can be present	←
Supercritical Hopf (SupH)		zero ($\sqrt{\lambda}$)	non zero	no	↔
Subcritical Hopf (SubH)		non zero	non zero	no	→
Fold Limit Cycle (FLC)		non zero	non zero	no	←

634
635

Figure 1: Scaling-laws of bifurcations. Six bifurcations are responsible for the transition from

rest to seizure and vice-versa. For each bifurcation we report: name and abbreviation; an example

of timeseries; whether the amplitude or frequency of the oscillations goes to zero at the

bifurcation point, and if they do how they change as a function of the distance to the bifurcation

point (λ); whether the baseline of the signal shows a baseline shift; and if the bifurcation can be

used to start (→) or stop (←) a seizure or both (←→).

A

B

Supercritical Hopf onset:
No DC shift at onset
Increasing amplitude (sqrt)

Saddle Homoclinic offset:
Constant amplitude
Increasing ISI (log)

unfiltered data; DC shifts present

filtered data removes DC shifts

C

Saddle Node onset:
Immediate DC shift at onset
Constant amplitude

Fold Limit Cycle offset:
Arbitrary amplitude and frequency

D

Supercritical Hopf onset:
No DC shift at onset (presumed)
Increasing amplitude (sqrt)

Supercritical Hopf offset:
Decreasing amplitude (sqrt)
Constant ISI

E — Onset bifurcations / Offset bifurcations

with DC, N=51
- SN (+DC)
- SN (-DC)-SubH
- SNIC
- SupH
- No consensus

with DC, N=51
- SH (+DC)
- SH (-DC)-SNIC
- SupH
- FLC
- No consensus

no DC, N=69
- SN (all)-SubH
- SNIC
- SupH
- No consensus

no DC, N=69
- SH (all)-SNIC
- SupH
- FLC
- No consensus

F

Onset	Offset	N (/49)
SN (+DC)	SH (+DC)	4
	SH (-DC)-SNIC	3
	SupH	0
	FLC	13
SupH	SH (+DC)	1
	SH (-DC)-SNIC	2
	SupH	2
	FLC	2
SNIC	SH (+DC)	0
	SH (-DC)-SNIC	0
	SupH	1
	FLC	2
SN (-DC) -SubH	SH (+DC)	4
	SH (-DC)-SNIC	5
	SupH	0
	FLC	10

Figure 2: Seizure dynamics taxonomy. A: interspike intervals (ISI) and peak-to-peak

amplitude were measured for every spike during seizures. B: DC-coupled (red) and high-pass

filtered (black) data of a seizure shows SupH onset and SH offset. C: SN onset characterized by

DC shift at onset. Ambiguous seizure offsets were included in the analysis as FLC. D: SupH

onset and offset. Although this patient did not have DC-coupled recordings, the amplitude scaling

is clearly most consistent with SupH. Scale bars: 10 sec. E. Final results for all onset and offset

bifurcations tested. All four bifurcation types were present. F: Final taxonomy of the 39 patients

with onset+offset classifications. In patients with DC recordings, SH offset was sometimes

distinguishable from SNIC.

665
666
667 **Figure 3: Manual classification of seizures from long-term intracranial recordings**. As a

668 conservative analysis, colored bars show seizures with unequivocal slowing-down (blue) or

669 constant (grey) scaling, demonstrating that all 13 patients had both types of seizure offset.

670 Additional seizures that had more difficult classification (white) were not needed for this

671 analysis, as each patient had already demonstrated both offset types.

Figure 4: Modeling seizures. A: During a seizure the microscopic variables, which compose a
brain region, organize so that the emergent global activity can be described by a few collective
variables. These collective variables, on the other hand, act on the microscopic variables,
'enslaving' them. B: The fast variables can be in different states depending on the values of the
parameters. In our model we have three parameters $(\mu_2, -\mu_1, \nu)$, however the relevant dynamics
occurs on a sphere with fixed radius. We thus consider the two-parameter spherical surface (θ, ϕ)
that can be sketched with a flat map as shown in C. C:Bifurcation curves divide the map in
regions where different states are possible: healthy state (white), ictal state (violet), coexistence
between healthy and ictal states (yellow), coexistence between healthy and 'active' rest (a non-
oscillatory state with a different baseline than the healthy state (gray)). When a seizure starts
because the system crosses an onset bifurcation, the slow variable enables movement along the
black arrow (a path in the map) to bring the system back to rest. Note that in this model, the
system alternates between the resting and seizing states within the bistability regions. The shape

of the arrow is meant to better show the trajectory followed by the system, however movement in

the model occurs back and forth along the same curve. Insets show example of timeseries for

different paths. SubSH: Subcritical Saddle Homoclinic, an unstable limit cycle that occupies a

small portion of the map but is incapable of starting/stopping seizures, and thus is not included in

Fig. 1 nor the rest of the analysis. D: An expanded view of one trajectory followed in C. The

path (double arrow) represents movement from resting to seizure state and back by crossing the

bifurcations, which in this case are SN and SH. This activity forms a seizure in the time series.

The resting state is represented by a black line (fixed point), the minimum and maximum of the

amplitude of the seizure (limit cycle) by gray lines.

712
Figure 5: Fluctuations in the ultra-slow modulation of the path causes changes in the
dynamics of the seizure. A: A recording in which the seizure begins to go towards a SupH offset
(square-root decreasing amplitude), but the amplitude increases again and the final offset is SH.
B: A portion of the simulation of the model in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) done to reproduce the
dynamics observed in the recording. This timeseries is high pass filtered to simulate the effect of
AC recordings. The non-filtered simulation is shown in C. D: A portion of a long status
epilepticus recorded in one patient. The status epilepticus was characterized by transitions in the
dynamics (such as at 800s and 960s). E: High-pass filtered, and F: unfiltered portion of model
data simulating the behavior in D. G: A zoomed, flattened projection of the map in Fig. 4B (see
Appendix), for reference in H-I. H,I: Amplitude and frequency maps showing trajectories (white)
of the modelled seizures in C,F. The seizure from C begins (red triangle) and naturally moves
upwards towards the supH bifurcation, but a change in the ultraslow drift prior to termination
pushes the system downward, changing the offset from SupH to SH. Conversely, the seizure
from F begins (black triangle) and repeatedly moves towards the SH termination due to the

ultraslow drift, but is pushed back into the seizure regime repeatedly by high levels of noise,

which overrides the role of the slow variable in terminating the seizure.

References

EEG: An Introductory Text and Atlas of Normal and Abnormal Findings in Adults, Children, and Infants. Chicago: American Epilepsy Society; 2016.

Alarcon G. Electrical stimulation in epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol 2005; 116(3): 716-7.

Baer SM, Kooi BW, Kuznetsov YA, Thieme HR. Multiparametric bifurcation analysis of a basic two-stage population model. Siam J Appl Math 2006; 66(4): 1339-65.

Baud MO, Ghestem A, Benoliel JJ, Becker C, Bernard C. Endogenous multidien rhythm of epilepsy in rats. Exp Neurol 2019; 315: 82-7.

Baud MO, Kleen JK, Mirro EA, Andrechak JC, King-Stephens D, Chang EF*, et al.* Multi-day rhythms modulate seizure risk in epilepsy. Nat Commun 2018; 9(1): 88.

Belykh I, Reimbayev R, Zhao K. Synergistic effect of repulsive inhibition in synchronization of excitatory networks. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2015; 91(6): 062919.

Benjamin O, Fitzgerald TH, Ashwin P, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Chowdhury F, Richardson MP*, et al.* A phenomenological model of seizure initiation suggests network structure may explain seizure frequency in idiopathic generalised epilepsy. J Math Neurosci 2012; 2(1): 1.

Breakspear M, Roberts JA, Terry JR, Rodrigues S, Mahant N, Robinson PA. A unifying explanation of primary generalized seizures through nonlinear brain modeling and bifurcation analysis. Cereb Cortex 2006; 16(9): 1296-313.

Chang WC, Kudlacek J, Hlinka J, Chvojka J, Hadrava M, Kumpost V*, et al.* Loss of neuronal network resilience precedes seizures and determines the ictogenic nature of interictal synaptic perturbations. Nature neuroscience 2018; 21(12): 1742-52.

Chow JH, Winkelman JR, Pai MA, Sauer PW. Singular Perturbation Analysis of Large-Scale Power-Systems. Int J Elec Power 1990; 12(2): 117-26.

Cook MJ, O'Brien TJ, Berkovic SF, Murphy M, Morokoff A, Fabinyi G*, et al.* Prediction of seizure likelihood with a long-term, implanted seizure advisory system in patients with drug-resistant epilepsy: a first-in-man study. Lancet neurology 2013; 12(6): 563-71.

Crisp DN, Saggio ML, Scott J, Stacey WC, Nakatani M, Gliske S*, et al.* Epidynamics: Navigating the map of seizure dynamics - Code and Data. https://doiorg/107302/ejhy-5h41 2019 [cited; Available from: https://doi.org/10.7302/ejhy-5h41

David O, Bastin J, Chabardes S, Minotti L, Kahane P. Studying network mechanisms using intracranial stimulation in epileptic patients. Front Syst Neurosci 2010; 4: 148.

Dumortier F, Roussarie R, Sotomayor J, Zoladek H. Generic 3-parameter families of vector fields, unfolding of saddle, focus, and elliptic singularities with nilpotent linear part. 2 ed: Springer-Verlag; 1991.

Einevoll GT, Kayser C, Logothetis NK, Panzeri S. Modelling and analysis of local field potentials for studying the function of cortical circuits. Nat Rev Neurosci 2013; 14(11): 770-85.

El Houssaini K, Ivanov AI, Bernard C, Jirsa VK. Seizures, refractory status epilepticus, and depolarization block as endogenous brain activities. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2015; 91(1): 010701.

Fisher RS, Cross JH, French JA, Higurashi N, Hirsch E, Jansen FE*, et al.* Operational classification of seizure types by the International League Against Epilepsy: Position Paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. Epilepsia 2017; 58(4): 522-30.

Fisher RS, van Emde Boas W, Blume W, Elger C, Genton P, Lee P, *et al.* Epileptic seizures and
epilepsy: definitions proposed by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) and the
International Bureau for Epilepsy (IBE). Epilepsia 2005; 46(4): 470-2.
Freestone DR, Kuhlmann L, Grayden DB, Burkitt AN, Lai A, Nelson TS, *et al.* Electrical probing of
cortical excitability in patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav 2011; 22 Suppl 1: S110-8.
Golubitsky M, Josic K, Kaper TJ. An unfolding theory approach to bursting in fast-slow systems.
Global Analysis of Dynamical Systems 2001: 277-308.
Goodfellow M, Rummel C, Abela E, Richardson MP, Schindler K, Terry JR. Estimation of brain
network ictogenicity predicts outcome from epilepsy surgery. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 29215.
Goodfellow M, Schindler K, Baier G. Self-organised transients in a neural mass model of
epileptogenic tissue dynamics. NeuroImage 2012; 59(3): 2644-60.
Haddad SA, Marder E. Circuit Robustness to Temperature Perturbation Is Altered by
Neuromodulators. Neuron 2018; 100(3): 609-23.e3.
Heppell SS, Caswell H, Crowder LB. Life Histories and Elasticity Patterns: Perturbation Analysis
for Species with Minimal Demographic Data. Ecology 2000; 81(3): 654-65.
Hutchings F, Han CE, Keller SS, Weber B, Taylor PN, Kaiser M. Predicting Surgery Targets in
Temporal Lobe Epilepsy through Structural Connectome Based Simulations. PLoS Comput Biol
2015; 11(12): e1004642.
Iasemidis LD, Olson LD, Savit RS, Sackellares JC. Time dependencies in the occurrences of
epileptic seizures. Epilepsy Res 1994; 17(1): 81-94.
Ihle M, Feldwisch-Drentrup H, Teixeira CA, Witon A, Schelter B, Timmer J, *et al.* EPILEPSIAE - A
European epilepsy database. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2010.
Ikeda A, Taki W, Kunieda T, Terada K, Mikuni N, Nagamine T, *et al.* Focal ictal direct current
shifts in human epilepsy as studied by subdural and scalp recording. Brain 1999; 122 (Pt 5): 827-
38.
Ikeda A, Terada K, Mikuni N, Burgess RC, Comair Y, Taki W, *et al.* Subdural recording of ictal DC
shifts in neocortical seizures in humans. Epilepsia 1996; 37(7): 662-74.
Izhikevich EM. Neural excitability, spiking and bursting. Int J Bifurcat Chaos 2000; 10(6): 1171-
266.
Jimenez-Jimenez D, Nekkare R, Flores L, Chatzidimou K, Bodi I, Honavar M, *et al.* Prognostic
value of intracranial seizure onset patterns for surgical outcome of the treatment of epilepsy.
Clin Neurophysiol 2015; 126(2): 257-67.
Jirsa VK, Proix T, Perdikis D, Woodman MM, Wang H, Gonzalez-Martinez J, *et al.* The Virtual
Epileptic Patient: Individualized whole-brain models of epilepsy spread. NeuroImage 2017;
145(Pt B): 377-88.
Jirsa VK, Stacey WC, Quilichini PP, Ivanov AI, Bernard C. On the nature of seizure dynamics. Brain
2014; 137(Pt 8): 2210-30.
Kalitzin S, Koppert M, Petkov G, da Silva FL. Multiple oscillatory states in models of collective
neuronal dynamics. Int J Neural Syst 2014; 24(6): 1450020.
Kalitzin S, Koppert M, Petkov G, Velis D, da Silva FL. Computational model prospective on the
observation of proictal states in epileptic neuronal systems. Epilepsy Behav 2011; 22 Suppl 1:
S102-9.

Kalitzin S, Velis D, Suffczynski P, Parra J, da Silva FL. Electrical brain-stimulation paradigm for
estimating the seizure onset site and the time to ictal transition in temporal lobe epilepsy. Clin
Neurophysiol 2005; 116(3): 718-28.
Kalitzin SN, Velis DN, da Silva FH. Stimulation-based anticipation and control of state transitions
in the epileptic brain. Epilepsy Behav 2010; 17(3): 310-23.
Kanazawa K, Matsumoto R, Imamura H, Matsuhashi M, Kikuchi T, Kunieda T, *et al.* Intracranially
recorded ictal direct current shifts may precede high frequency oscillations in human epilepsy.
Clin Neurophysiol 2015; 126(1): 47-59.
Karoly PJ, Ung H, Grayden DB, Kuhlmann L, Leyde K, Cook MJ, *et al.* The circadian profile of
epilepsy improves seizure forecasting. Brain 2017; 140(8): 2169-82.
Kirst C, Ammer J, Felmy F, Herz A, Stemmler M. Fundamental structure and modulation of
neuronal excitability: Synaptic control of coding, resonance, and network synchronization.
bioRxiv; 2015. p. 022475.
Kramer MA, Truccolo W, Eden UT, Lepage KQ, Hochberg LR, Eskandar EN, *et al.* Human seizures
self-terminate across spatial scales via a critical transition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;
109(51): 21116-21.
Kuznetsov Y. Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory: Springer; 2004.
Lagarde S, Bonini F, McGonigal A, Chauvel P, Gavaret M, Scavarda D, *et al.* Seizure-onset
patterns in focal cortical dysplasia and neurodevelopmental tumors: Relationship with surgical
prognosis and neuropathologic subtypes. Epilepsia 2016; 57(9): 1426-35.
Lopes da Silva F, Blanes W, Kalitzin SN, Parra J, Suffczynski P, Velis DN. Epilepsies as dynamical
diseases of brain systems: basic models of the transition between normal and epileptic activity.
Epilepsia 2003a; 44 Suppl 12: 72-83.
Lopes da Silva FH, Blanes W, Kalitzin SN, Parra J, Suffczynski P, Velis DN. Dynamical diseases of
brain systems: different routes to epileptic seizures. IEEE transactions on bio-medical
engineering 2003b; 50(5): 540-8.
Marten F, Rodrigues S, Suffczynski P, Richardson MP, Terry JR. Derivation and analysis of an
ordinary differential equation mean-field model for studying clinically recorded epilepsy
dynamics. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2009; 79(2 Pt 1): 021911.
Meijer HG, Eissa TL, Kiewiet B, Neuman JF, Schevon CA, Emerson RG, *et al.* Modeling focal
epileptic activity in the Wilson-cowan model with depolarization block. J Math Neurosci 2015; 5:
7.
Meisel C, Kuehn C. Scaling effects and spatio-temporal multilevel dynamics in epileptic seizures.
PLoS One 2012; 7(2): e30371.
Meisel C, Schulze-Bonhage A, Freestone D, Cook MJ, Achermann P, Plenz D. Intrinsic excitability
measures track antiepileptic drug action and uncover increasing/decreasing excitability over the
wake/sleep cycle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015.
Milton JG. Neuronal avalanches, epileptic quakes and other transient forms of neurodynamics.
Eur J Neurosci 2012; 36(2): 2156-63.
Morrell MJ. Responsive cortical stimulation for the treatment of medically intractable partial
epilepsy. Neurology 2011; 77(13): 1295-304.
Perucca P, Dubeau F, Gotman J. Intracranial electroencephalographic seizure-onset patterns:
effect of underlying pathology. Brain 2014; 137(Pt 1): 183-96.

Proix T, Bartolomei F, Guye M, Jirsa VK. Individual brain structure and modelling predict seizure
propagation. Brain 2017; 140(3): 641-54.

Rajakulendran S, Nashef L. Postictal generalized EEG suppression and SUDEP: a review. J Clin
Neurophysiol 2015; 32(1): 14-20.

Reimann MW, Anastassiou CA, Perin R, Hill SL, Markram H, Koch C. A biophysically detailed
model of neocortical local field potentials predicts the critical role of active membrane currents.
Neuron 2013; 79(2): 375-90.

Reimbayev R, Belykh I. When Transitions Between Bursting Modes Induce Neural Synchrony. Int
J Bifurcat Chaos 2014; 24(8).

Rinzel J. A Formal Classification of Bursting Mechanisms in Excitable Systems. In: Teramoto E,
Yumaguti M, editors. Mathematical Topics in Population Biology, Morphogenesis and
Neurosciences: Proceedings of an International Symposium held in Kyoto, November 10–15,
1985. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1987. p. 267-81.

Saggio ML, Spiegler A, Bernard C, Jirsa VK. Fast-Slow Bursters in the Unfolding of a High
Codimension Singularity and the Ultra-slow Transitions of Classes. Journal of Mathematical
Neuroscience 2017; 7.

Schmitt SE, Pargeon K, Frechette ES, Hirsch LJ, Dalmau J, Friedman D. Extreme delta brush: a
unique EEG pattern in adults with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. Neurology 2012; 79(11):
1094-100.

Sinha N, Dauwels J, Kaiser M, Cash SS, Brandon Westover M, Wang Y, *et al.* Predicting
neurosurgical outcomes in focal epilepsy patients using computational modelling. Brain 2017;
140(2): 319-32.

Slaght SJ, Paz T, Chavez M, Deniau JM, Mahon S, Charpier S. On the activity of the corticostriatal
networks during spike-and-wave discharges in a genetic model of absence epilepsy. J Neurosci
2004; 24(30): 6816-25.

Strogatz SH. Nonlinear dynamics and chaos : with applications to physics, biology, chemistry,
and engineering. Second edition. ed. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, a member of the Perseus
Books Group; 2015.

Suffczynski P, Lopes da Silva F, Parra J, Velis D, Kalitzin S. Epileptic transitions: model predictions
and experimental validation. J Clin Neurophysiol 2005; 22(5): 288-99.

Suffczynski P, Lopes da Silva FH, Parra J, Velis DN, Bouwman BM, van Rijn CM, *et al.* Dynamics of
epileptic phenomena determined from statistics of ictal transitions. IEEE transactions on bio-
medical engineering 2006; 53(3): 524-32.

Taylor PN, Baier G, Cash S, Dauwels J, Slotine J, Wang Y. A model of stimulus induced epileptic
spike-wave discharges. 2013 Symposium on Computational Intelligence, Cognitive Algorithms,
Mind, and Brain (CCMB); 2013.

Taylor PN, Wang Y, Kaiser M. Within brain area tractography suggests local modularity using
high resolution connectomics. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 39859.

Terry JR, Benjamin O, Richardson MP. Seizure generation: the role of nodes and networks.
Epilepsia 2012; 53(9): e166-9.

Touboul J, Wendling F, Chauvel P, Faugeras O. Neural mass activity, bifurcations, and epilepsy.
Neural Comput 2011; 23(12): 3232-86.

Wagenaar JB, Worrell GA, Ives Z, Matthias D, Litt B, Schulze-Bonhage A. Collaborating and
sharing data in epilepsy research. J Clin Neurophysiol 2015; 32(3): 235-9.

Wang HX, Wang QY, Lu QS. Bursting oscillations, bifurcation and synchronization in neuronal
systems. Chaos Soliton Fract 2011; 44(8): 667-75.
Wang Y, Goodfellow M, Taylor PN, Baier G. Phase space approach for modeling of epileptic
dynamics. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2012; 85(6 Pt 1): 061918.
Wendling F, Bartolomei F, Bellanger JJ, Chauvel P. Epileptic fast activity can be explained by a
model of impaired GABAergic dendritic inhibition. Eur J Neurosci 2002; 15(9): 1499-508.
Wendling F, Benquet P, Bartolomei F, Jirsa V. Computational models of epileptiform activity.
Journal of neuroscience methods 2015.
Wetjen NM, Marsh WR, Meyer FB, Cascino GD, So E, Britton JW, *et al.* Intracranial
electroencephalography seizure onset patterns and surgical outcomes in nonlesional
extratemporal epilepsy. Journal of neurosurgery 2009; 110(6): 1147-52.
World Health Organization. Epilepsy. www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs999; 2017.
917
918

APPENDIX

I. Methods

I.1 Data collection

Human EEG data

Data were collected from seven international epilepsy centers: University of Michigan, Mayo Clinic, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Melbourne, University of Freiburg, and Kyoto University Hospital (Ihle *et al.*, 2010; Cook *et al.*, 2013; Kanazawa *et al.*, 2015; Wagenaar *et al.*, 2015). All patients consented to share their data according to the local institution's review board policy. Data were de-identified EEG collected with each institution's clinical EEG equipment. All EEG data used in this work were from either grid or depth intracranial electrodes. All sampling rates were > 200 Hz and antialiasing filters > 100 Hz, but there was variability between centers (XLTek, Nicolet, Natus, Nihon Kohden, NeuroVista). The Melbourne patients had ambulatory devices that recorded data for several months [3], while all the others were acquired during acute inpatient recording sessions. As the analysis was limited to amplitude and interspike intervals that should not be affected by the different techniques used, we did not stratify by center, but we did verify that the results did not depend upon center for offset dynamics. For onset dynamics, there were only two centers (Kyoto, Michigan) that had amplifiers that recorded low enough frequency content (high pass filter 0.016 Hz) (Kanazawa *et al.*, 2015) to allow analysis of direct current (DC, i.e. very low frequency) shifts, and so only those centers were included in the analyses that involved DC coupling.

In every patient, EEG from a single electrode was used for analysis. The electrode was chosen in each case by reading the official clinical report to identify the seizure onset zone and choosing the electrode with the highest amplitude within the seizure.

Simulated seizures

Validation of the classification scheme required a gold standard dataset, which we generated by simulating 60 random iterations of each type of onset and offset bifurcation. The simulation used the model and methods to begin/end at specific bifurcations described in Saggio et al (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) and a function to generate a trajectory across each bifurcation. The trajectory was an arc of great circle as described in section II for the model, but the crossing point on the bifurcation curve and the inclination of the path with regards to the bifurcation curve changed randomly at each iteration to produce adequate variablity within each bifurcation type. These conditions were implemented to create a robust data set that would mimic biologic variability. We simulated an equal number of seizures per bifurcation. The final result was 240 seizure onset and 240 seizure offsets, each with random characteristics and spanning a wide range of physiologically-relevant parameters. The 480 seizures were used to assess the accuracy of both the human and algorithm classification schemes.

For each bifurcation, we created an algorithm able to choose a random point on the bifurcation curve (see section V) and a random point on a curve we designed to run parallel to the bifurcation curve. A trajectory linking the two points would cross the bifurcation curve with different inclinations at each iteration. The combination of a random point on the bifurcation curve and different inclination gives different amplitude/frequency behaviors, as shown in

Appendix – Figs. 10 and 11. Given that variability in the non-prescribed trends is due to the
presence of other bifurcations nearby, we ignored portions of the bifurcation curves far from
others, such as the portion of SupH behind the sphere as compared to the bistability regions. Two
of the onsets (SubH and SupH) required a small amount of noise to be added to the simulations in
order for the system to be able to leave the unstable equilibrium. The velocity of the slow
variable, c, was choosen for each class to be small enough to ensure time scale separation so that
the prescribed scaling law would be visible.
As these simulations had arbitrary timescale and different levels of background noise, we
then post-processed the data to blind the reviewers. We first added normal random noise
(MATLAB's 'normrnd', mu = 0, sigma = 0.01) to all samples of every signal based upon the
existing noise. We then rescaled all seizures so that the final interspike intervals would be ~0.2 s,
then downsampled ('decimate' function in Matlab) so the sampling rate would be ≤ 200 Hz. All
code is available for download at *https://doi.org/10.7302/ejhy-5h41* (Crisp *et al.*, 2019).

976
I.2 Spike analysis

As stated in the main body, we define a "spike" in dynamical terms: any prominent sharp
transient associated with the dynamical process, which for these human EEGs means any fast
transient < 200 ms long and amplitude discernable from the background. Inter-spike interval (ISI)
is defined as the time between sequential spikes. Amplitude for a given spike is defined as the
absolute maximum peak-to-peak difference in a window of time ranging from the halfway point
of the first spike and the halfway point of the latter spike (see Fig. 2A in main body).
Beginning/ending spikes utilize only the halfway point of the nearest spike. All analyzed data
were decimated to ~200 Hz for efficient analysis. In order to remove slow transients and identify
the local spike amplitudes, raw EEG data were first high-pass filtered (MATLAB's 'highpass', 1
Hz). Peaks were found in Matlab using the 'findpeaks' function, after manually optimizing
amplitude, time, and prominence for each patient. This process required iterating the features
until visually confirming that spikes were correctly detected, using plots in Matlab with the spike
detections superimposed upon the EEG signal (see Appendix – Fig. 1). Due to the wide
variability between patients, we were unable to develop a reliable automated method to determine
the spike locations in every patient.
Sentinel spikes. Some seizures began with a single high amplitude 'sentinel spike,' which
typically was present in many electrodes and was not directly related to the seizure dynamics
thereafter. Additionally, it was sometimes difficult to know whether these events were actually
true sentinel spikes versus a transient caused by a filtered DC shift (e.g. the first spikes seen in
Fig. 2B&C, Appendix – Fig. 1A&C). This spike was used as the initial seizure onset time but was
not included as a detected spike for seizure dynamics, as this phenomenon is not a canonical
feature of any of the onset bifurcations.
Spike-wave complexes. Some seizures had spike-wave complexes in which the aftergoing
slow wave was very prominent, similar to the waveforms seen in absence epilepsy. In these
cases, the highest amplitude of the whole complex was used, even if it was the slow wave, and
only a single event was counted from each complex (see Appendix – Fig. 1C).
Clonic spiking. As described previously (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014), when there was clonic
spiking at the end seizures, the interclonic interval was used to evaluate the presence of slowing
down, and the ISI between successive fast spikes within each clonic burst were ignored (see Fig.
2B & Appendix – Fig. 1F).

It is important to note that this analysis does not address every feature of the seizures.
There are many phenomena, such as the three listed above, the shape and frequency of the spikes,
and other complex patterns, that are not invariant features of the bifurcations. While these are
important to the clinical description and can be relevant to understand the underlying dynamics,
in the framework here proposed they do not contribute to defining the Epidynamics of seizure
onset and/or offset.
1014

I.3 Visual classification of seizure dynamics

The key to differentiating the bifurcation type is to identify the invariant dynamical
features, which can be summarized as the presence of a DC shift and the behavior of the ISI and
amplitude (see Fig. 1). These features are typically quite easy to distinguish. The only prominent
ambiguity is that it is not feasible under clinical conditions to distinguish between the logarithmic
and square root functions at offset, as previously described (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). Thus, our first test
was to determine if human reviewers can classify the different bifurcations visually using simple
rules.
The presence of a DC shift and the general trends of ISI and amplitude can readily be
determined upon visual analysis. The basis for this analysis is to determine whether the amplitude
and ISI scale to zero. For ISI, this appears as a decreasing frequency as T approaches 0, i.e.
slowing down at seizure offset, or speeding up after seizure onset. For amplitude, it appears as a
gradual change in the spike amplitudes, with the spike at T=0 being very small compared to the
baseline, then increasing further away from T=0. This description is qualitative but is readily
applied to typical EEG data. Since the onset/offset dynamics are typically defined by only 5-10
spikes and there is considerable noise and variability in real EEG signals, rigorous curve fitting is
rarely possible (though we included it in the examples in Appendix – Fig. 1). Just as with clinical
EEG reading, we found that a much simpler and more reliable classification system was to
visualize the ISI and amplitude plots of the first and last 10 spikes and determine whether the
trends were scaling to zero, constant, or arbitrary, and if there was a DC shift. For amplitude, we
defined 'scaling to zero' as steadily diminishing to less than 3 times the background level near
T=0. For ISI, we define it as steadily larger ISI near T=0, with the last two ISI > 50% larger than
the mean ISI 10 seconds prior. All analysis for onset and offset concentrated on the first/last 5
seconds of data, but occasionally used up to 15 seconds to observe the full patterns. Using these
definitions, we developed a visual classification system (Appendix – Table 1).
1040

1041
1042

Onset	
DC Shift	SN
Amplitude increasing	SupH
Interspike interval (ISI) decreasing (i.e. frequency increasing)	SNIC
ISI and Amplitude constant/arbitrary (no DC shift)	SN or SubH
Offset	
DC Shift	SH
Amplitude decreasing	SupH
ISI increasing (frequency decreasing) (no DC shift)	SH or SNIC
ISI and amplitude constant/arbitrary (no DC shift)	FLC

Appendix – Table 1: Visual classification system

Classification relies upon visualization of the given features. In case of multiple features
present, the bifurcation listed on top takes priority. DC shift: a sharp deflection > 5 times
the background that occurs in < 0.5 s, then lasts > 1 s. Constant: the value is consistent
and does not trend upward or downward for 10 spikes. Arbitrary: no consistent unimodal
trend over 10 spikes.
1049
1050

I.4 Features for automated classification of seizure dynamics

We also developed an analytical tool to use quantitative features and machine learning to
identify the Dynamic Class. The goal of this analysis was to determine if the features used in the
qualitative study were robust. We designed features based upon the visual classification system in
Appendix – Table 1, focused on quantifying DC shift, amplitude trends, and interspike interval
trends. Definitions, feature computation, and feature descriptions are as follows:
1057
Baseline Definition: Several features require definition of the baseline, i.e. seizure activity vs.
non-seizure activity. All analyzed waveforms include a period of baseline, followed by seizure
activity, followed by more baseline. Computationally, the term 'baseline' below is defined here
as the segment of the waveform that started before/after a seizure. For an onset baseline, this
segment was taken as the start of the waveform up until seizure onset. For an offset baseline, this
segment was taken as the point after seizure offset to the end of the waveform.
DC vs. Non-DC: Data acquired at Kyoto (Nihon Kohden EEG 1100 amplifier) or Michigan
(Natus Quantum amplifier), both of which record down to 0.016 Hz, were included in the DC
cohort, and all other data was considered "non-DC". Non-DC data were first filtered with 1 Hz
highpass filter (Matlab 'highpass'), then all features extracted. On the DC data, features were
computed on the raw, unprocessed EEG.
Preprocessing steps: 1) Onset/offset times, as well as the relative bifurcation window lengths,
were determined by a trained epilepsy specialist. 2) Spikes were identified using findpeaks.m
(Matlab) to locate upper (maxima) and lower (minima) spikes. 3) Seizure polarity was

determined by determining whether the median of the upper or lower spikes had a greater
absolute difference from the baseline median. The value with larger difference was chosen as the
true "spikes" and were used for all further analysis. All amplitudes were taken as absolute
values. This step accounts for the fact that spikes can be either positive or negative in intracranial
electrodes.
Feature 1 – ISI trend: The ISI of all spikes were computed and plotted consecutively. A simple
line was fit to the data with a least squares algorithm and the slope of the line fit was extracted as
the overall ISI trend. For onset (offset), the first (last) 5-15 spikes were used, using as many as
possible until a clear inflection point in the line. The order was reversed for offset.
Feature 2 – Amplitude trend: This feature was computed exactly as in ISI trend, except the peak-
to-peak spike amplitudes were used in place of the ISI.
Features 3&4 – normalized upper and lower peak median: The signed distance between the
median of the upper peaks and the baseline median was computed (upm). That same was done for
the lower peaks (lpm). If $|upm| > |lpm|$, then normalized values were $nupm = 1$, $nlpm = upm/lpm$.
If $|lpm| > |upm|$, then $nlpm = -1$, and $nupm = - lpm / upm$ (note that lpm is negative in all cases).
These features identified DC shifts.
1088

I.5 Validation of classification methods

In our prior work with the Epileptor model (the SN/SH Class), we proved the goodness-
of-fit to the logarithmic equation for terminal ISI (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). However, in the current
work we found that GoF methods were not robust when discerning between multiple seizure
types in noisy data. This is because the number of samples (spikes) is small, while the combined
uncertainty in the noise as well as the classification of the different Classes can be significant.
The result was that the variability due to noise was often more prominent than the differences
between bifurcation types. This difficulty is not surprising, as seizures are notoriously difficult
for automated algorithms to identify under clinical (noisy) conditions, and classification will be
even more difficult. More importantly, even under ideal conditions any such analysis would be
limited due to the lack of a gold standard. We therefore decided on an alternate and more
rigorous approach, appropriate for the data quality of clinical seizures.
In order to validate our classification system, we used a multi-step approach. The key to
this validation was the generation of a gold-standard with simulated data, in which we know
which bifurcation is present. Using the gold standard, we first used machine learning tools to
assess how accurately our chosen features are capable of identifying the differences between the
bifurcations. We then tested how accurately human reviewers could identify each bifurcation
using visual review. After proving that the human reviewers were accurate and reliable in the
gold standard, we tested their reliability in the true clinical dataset. These steps are detailed
below.
1109
Step 1: Feature validation and bootstrapping:
OBJECTIVE: Determine whether the chosen features capable of discerning between the
four bifurcations in the gold standard.
METHODS: Using the simulated seizures (240 onsets, 240 offsets), supervised learning
was chosen to quantify how well the simple features in I.4 captured the differences between the
different Dynamic Classes. This was performed by fitting labeled features to a statistical model to
generate a goodness-of-fit measurement. Specifically, we used a multinomial logistic regression

model ('mnrfit', Matlab), which outputs a model parameter 'deviance' that estimates the
Goodness-of-Fit. The GoF was compared with the gold standard in the simulation data. Note that
this method is not used for classification nor to determine the "best" potential fits. Rather, we
used the GoF statistic to assess whether our chosen features were capable of discerning between
the four bifurcations. While there certainly could be better features, we chose these because they
recapitulate what experts use for visual analysis, which is what this test is trying to validate.
To provide reference for the GoF computation, we performed a permutation test by
randomly scrambling the bifurcation labels and re-fitted the data to the model. This was repeated
10,000 times to provide the distribution of random GoF, which can then be compared with the
index case and provide a p-value, a process known as bootstrapping.
RESULTS: For both onset and offset bifurcations, the GoF with true labels was better
than all 10,000 permutations (p < 1e-4). This result indicates that the 4 features are very effective
in capturing the differences between the 4 onset and offset bifurcations and extremely unlikely to
be due to chance.
CONCLUSION: The chosen features are capable of discerning between the bifurcations.
1132
<u>Step 2: Human visual analysis of simulated data:</u>
OB JECTIVE: Validate whether visual analysis by human reviewers accurately identifies
the different bifurcations in the gold standard.
METHODS: Using the simulated seizures (240 onsets, 240 offsets), three independent
reviewers labeled all bifurcations using an in-house Matlab viewing program that kept labels
blinded while also randomizing the order in which the seizures were viewed. DC-coupled data
were shown with two waveforms: raw data and 1 Hz highpass filtered data ('highpass', Matlab),
in order to highlight DC components while also allowing viewing of spike dynamics. Reviewers
had to choose exactly one of the four potential bifurcations for each example.
RESULTS: For onsets, all three reviewers unanimously agreed in 80%, 2/3 agreed in
18.3%, and no agreement was found in 4/240 (1.7%). Compared to ground truth, reviewer 1, 2,
and 3 had 100%, 97.92%, and 80.42% accuracy, respectively. For offsets, there was majority
agreement in all 240 seizures, 78.75% of which were unanimous. The Fleiss Kappa score for
these results has a p-value that is lower than the smallest number possible to express in Matlab (p
< 4.94e-324). Compared to ground truth, reviewer 1 and 2 both had accuracies of 99.85% while
reviewer 3 had 78.75%.
CONCLUSION: These results clearly show that the visual classification system can
distinguish the four bifurcation types, and that human reviewers are accurate and consistent.
1151

	Unanimous	2/3 agree	No consensus	P value
Onset (N=240)	192	44	4	P< 4.9 e-324
Offset (N=240)	189	51	0	P< 4.9 e-324

1152
1153   **Appendix – Table 2: Reviewer agreement on simulated data**

1154   *Reviewers were highly consistent with each other in the simulated data. The interrater*
1155   *reliability is extremely high, as the p-value (Fleiss Kappa, 3 reviewers) is negligible.*
1156
<u>Step 3: Validation on clinical data:</u>

OBJECTIVE: The strong results of Steps 1 and 2 validate the use of these methods in
clinical data. The goal of the present step is to determine reliability of the three reviewers when
labeling the human seizures, and to use these labels for the taxonomy in Fig. 2.
METHODS: We evaluated the 51 DC-coupled seizures and 69 non-DC-coupled seizures
separately (see main paper). We used similar methods as in Step 2 to classify the human seizures.
One important difference in this analysis is that SubH onsets were grouped with SN (-DC), and
SNIC offsets with SH (-DC), as described. We calculated the Fleiss Kappa statistic between the
three reviewers to assess inter-rater agreement.
RESULTS: As seen in Appendix – Table 3, the three reviewers had high agreement,
especially in the DC-coupled data. We also used the majority labels with the bootstrapped
automated feature analysis as in step 1, to determine how well the given features captured the
specific chosen bifurcation. These results showed that the DC onset and offset both had excellent
GoF with the chosen features. Non-DC offset was also excellent, but the non-DC onset had less
consistent results (p=0.0969). This demonstrates the usefulness of DC-coupled recordings to
identify the onset bifurcations.
CONCLUSION: These results show that the labels are highly consistent between different
reviewers. Given the results from Steps 1 & 2, we conclude that is it highly likely that the
bifurcations are present in the data and that these methods are correctly identifying them. Given
these positive results, we used the majority-vote classification for the taxonomy seen in Fig. 2.

1177
1178
1179
1180

	DC (N=51)	Non-DC (N=69)
Onset	P = 1.78e-15	P = 4.51e-12
Offset	P = 4.51e-11	P = 9.72e-4

	DC	Non-DC
Onset	P < 1e-4	P = 0.0969
Offset	P = 7e-4	P < 1e-4

1181
1182
1183
1184
A.
Reviewer agreement on human data **B.**
Automated features permutation test

Appendix – Table 3: Accuracy identifying bifurcations in clinical data

A. Reviewers were highly consistent with each other scoring the bifurcations in the clinical
data. (Fleiss Kappa, 3 reviewers). Each patient was stratified based upon whether their EEG
had DC-coupled recordings, as the non-DC group could not disambiguate SN or SH with DC
shifts. B. The model fit of the automated features to each chosen bifurcation was performed
versus human majority vote, then bootstrapped as in Step 1. The chosen model parameters
were clearly highly descriptive of chosen bifurcations in DC onset and offset and non-DC
offset. As expected, the lack of DC coupling makes it difficult to disambiguate the four onset
bifurcations.
1197
1198

I.6 Challenges with classification

There were several conditions in the data that required rules for disambiguation between the different bifurcations:

1. Amplitude scaling. Amplitude was often arbitrary in our clinical data, showing considerable variability from spike to spike. This variability sometimes made it difficult to determine if there was scaling to zero (square-root). In those cases, we observed the spikes closest to T=0: if the first 5-10 spikes appeared to arise from the baseline noise and consistently grow in later spikes, it was classified as square-root scaling (SupH). If the first 5-10 spikes were clearly > 3 times higher than baseline noise, the seizure was classified as constant (i.e. arbitrary) amplitude even if later spikes increased in amplitude.

2. Late amplitude increase. In many seizures, after ~10 seconds it was common for the spiking activity to increase in amplitude as the seizure spread to neighboring electrodes. This late increase was not part of the onset bifurcation, and only the initial trend during the first 10 seconds was used for classification, which was typically not SupH.

3. 'Arbitrary' scaling usually constant. Although the "non-zero" scaling listed in Fig. 1 theoretically includes many arbitrary patterns, we found that the vast majority of examples manifested as a constant value, similar to the analysis in (Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). For instance, nearly all SN onsets had constant amplitude and ISI, and SH offsets had constant amplitude.

4. Mixed dynamics. In cases where features from more than one bifurcation were present in the data (e.g. DC shift and amplitude scaling), we used the following prioritization order: DC shift → amplitude scaling → frequency scaling. The highest priority was used as the final bifurcation, e.g. if DC shift and amplitude scaling were both present, it was classified as SN (onset) or SH (offset). Appendix – Table 1 presents the bifurcations in this order.

5. Unusual dynamics. There were rare examples in which the dynamical behavior was highly unusual, all of which we present in this Appendix (Appendix – Figs. 1E, 2, 9-11). Each of these patterns was classified as 'arbitrary'. See section I.8 for further details.

Despite these rules, there were examples in which the human reviewers were either incorrect in the simulated data or did not agree with each other in the clinical data. While these errors were uncommon, we evaluated each case to determine the conditions responsible. The following are a list of the conditions that can make classification difficult. "a" and "b" were specific to the simulated data, as it is necessary to know the ground truth to identify these problems.

a. Misclassifying arbitrary bifurcations (in simulated data). This occurred when an arbitrary bifurcation had parameters that produced trends very similar to scaling laws (either amplitude or ISI). This is expected, as the full range of "arbitrary" can encompass some of the other parameters.

b. Distinguishing SN from SupH onsets (in simulated data). This was due to a technical aspect of the simulation. In order to produce the full range of SupH parameters, it was sometimes necessary to move the model to a region of the map near the SN bifurcation with a DC shift before the seizure could begin. This parameter correction was not part of the initial bifurcation, but did produce a DC shift close to seizure onset that sometimes

1242    confused the reviewers. However, this situation most likely is not pertinent to
1243    physiological seizures, as it is an artifact of the model construction.

1244 The following phenomena are not described by the canonical features of the bifurcations, and
1245 were difficult for the reviewers to classify. The majority of disagreements between reviewers
1246 contained at least one of the following:

1247   c. Polyspikes or spike waves at seizure onset, especially prior to low voltage fast activity
1248   d. Preictal spikes
1249   e. Noisy data, especially 'extraneous' spikes that disrupt scaling laws and "Unusual
1250    dynamics" (#5 in preceding section)
1251   f. Ambiguous seizure onset/offset times
1252   g. Low voltage fast activity that speeds up at seizure onset

1253 These patterns (a-g) are inherent challenges of seizure data, and such conditions have the
1254 potential for ambiguous classification. However, we do not consider this to be a failure of our
1255 method—rather, Epidynamics provides a method of identifying and quantifying these conditions.
1256 While these phenomena are not uncommon, they are not invariant features that define the
1257 bifurcation. In effect, the fact that they deviate from the basic Epidynamics not only allows us to
1258 identify them as atypical, but also to quantify *how and why* they are atypical.
1259  We include these graphical fits and RMSE for constant and square root/logarithmic equations to
1260 demonstrate how this tool may be used to determine parameters for these equations, which can be
1261 used for quantitative analysis, comparison, and robust discussion of seizure dynamics in future
1262 work.
1263

1264
1265 *Appendix – Fig. 1A: Saddle Node Onset*

DC-coupled recordings (green, top) show DC shift that occurs immediately upon seizure onset.
To determine spike amplitudes and ISI, data must be high-pass filtered (black). Those filtered
data are then used to identify the spikes (red circles) for analysis. The interspike intervals (ISI,
middle) and amplitude (bottom) of each spike are then plotted versus time since seizure onset for
visual analysis and curve fitting. The seizure begins with fast 20 Hz firing at arbitrary amplitude
for over 5 seconds. This is followed by changing amplitude, irregular firing, and then clonic
bursting, but those are clearly after the initial onset. The combination of arbitrary amplitude, ISI,
and DC shift is consistent with Saddle Node.
1274

1275
Appendix – Fig. 1B: Supercritical Hopf Onset

DC-coupled recordings (green, top) do not have a DC shift at onset. The ISI is arbitrary at
onset, then after 10 s starts to decrease (middle). This irregular spiking prior to the seizure (*) is
not part of a clear seizure onset, does not conform to any initial bifurcation, and thus was not
chosen as the unequivocal seizure onset. The sustained spiking that begins at 8 seconds,
however, follows the SupH dynamics very well. Amplitude shows steady increase in linear scale,
which appears as a straight light in loglog plots, consistent with a square root power law (loglog
plot). This seizure is most consistent with SupH due to the amplitude scaling.

1284

1285

Appendix – Fig. 1C: SNIC Onset

The seizure begins at some point after a sentinel spike. The true starting time of this seizure is debatable, as there are three spike-waves with large ISI and amplitude but they do not persist. At 3.9 s after the sentinel spike (*) there is a fourth spike wave that leads into the unequivocal seizure onset, with accelerating frequency until 5 s. Whether one chooses T=0 or T=3.9 as the starting time, this seizure clearly starts with high amplitude spike waves that accelerate in frequency, characteristic of the SNIC. Spike wave discharges were present in both patients that we classified as SNIC, but are not a requirement. In this case, visual inspection was more reliable than fitting equations to make the determination because seizure onset was irregular.

1297
Appendix – Fig. 1D: Saddle Node or Subcritical Hopf Onset

1299 *DC-coupled recordings (green, top) show some baseline fluctuations that are not consistent and*
1300 *too slow to be considered a DC shift. This recording was capable of showing DC, but this*
1301 *patient's data did not have a clear DC onset. The ISI and amplitudes are both arbitrary and do*
1302 *not scale from zero. This seizure is consistent with either SN or SubH.*

1308

Appendix – Fig. 1E: SN or SubH onset after delta brush

After a sentinel spike, there is a burst of fast spikes, followed by low voltage fast spikes that lead into an unusual slow wave similar to the "delta brush" pattern. The onset pattern is unusual: there are fast polyspikes with arbitrary amplitude after the sentinel spike, then the delta brush, and it is unclear whether there was a DC shift or a slow wave. These early patterns do not fit with any bifurcation. This seizure was labeled as SN or SubH due to the arbitrary amplitude and constant ISI, but is an outlier due to unusual pattern. The delta brush pattern was consistent with Perucca type (vii), see Appendix – Table 5.

1309

1320
Appendix – Fig. 1F: Saddle Homoclinic or SNIC offset

In this case the terminal ISI clearly increases as the end approaches and the amplitude of spikes
does not scale all the way to zero (top). For visualization, we reverse the spike plots to coincide
with the direction of the seizure, but to determine offset t='time until end of seizure', i.e. it is
counting down. Hence the '0' is at the right of the plots. ISI shows log scaling in 'semilogy'
plots, which continues out to 25 s (not shown). The amplitude is somewhat variable but does not
diminish to 0. This is consistent with a SH offset. This could also be a SNIC, as a square root
function (loglog plot) is very similar at this scale for the ISI (not shown).

1329

Appendix – Fig. 1G: Supercritical Hopf offset

Here, the terminal ISI is nearly constant and does not have any "slowing down." The amplitude,
on the other hand, clearly diminishes and trends to zero as a square root power law by the end of
the seizure. There are even some potential smaller spikes seen after the seizure (*), as if the
spikes have vanished into the background noise. In this case, the seizure stops because the
amplitude, rather than the frequency, has gone to zero. The constant ISI and diminishing
amplitude are indicative of the SupH offset.

1337

1338

Appendix – Fig. 1H: Fold Limit Cycle offset

The primary feature of the FLC is the lack of scaling laws. In this case, the ISI is constant until 8 sec prior to the termination, then begins to increase until 6.5 s, then decreases until 2 sec, then increases again. Even if including the one low-amplitude "failed" spike at the *, the ISI is still arbitrary and does not follow log or square root scaling laws (#- location of ISI if the extra spike is included). The end of the seizure is abrupt. This arbitrary pattern is consistent with FLC offset. Other examples of FLC-terminal seizures are shown in Appendix – Figs. 1D,E, 2, 9.

I.8 Unusual seizure dynamics

There were rare seizures that contained some unusual dynamical phenomena in the
amplitude and ISI. We labeled these as arbitrary, which led to classification as SN or SubH at
onset or FLC at offset. However, it is important to reiterate that the vast majority of 'non-zero
scaling' dynamics were constant values, rather than arbitrary patterns that appear clinically
unusual. We have identified several examples of these unexpected patterns that we wish to
highlight (1 onset, 4 offsets). For onset, there was a single example in which a delta brush pattern
arises at seizure onset after several polyspikes, shown in Appendix – Fig. 1E. The pattern lasted
<1 s then progressed to arbitrary spiking. While this pattern is 'arbitrary,' it is very distinct from
the other patterns seen with SN-SubH onset. For offset, there were four seizures encompassing a
wide range of unusual dynamics. Appendix – Fig. 2 shows three that were classified as FLC.
Each of these tracings is highly irregular for a classical seizure offset, and some might question
whether the electrode is truly in the seizure focus or if the seizure was correctly labeled; however,
in each case a clinical epileptologist verified that this was indeed the focus and there was clear
clinical correlation with these events, ending at seizure offset. These dynamics were not felt to
be due to spatial undersampling, as the seizure onset in each case was clearly on the given
electrode, and all neighboring electrodes had similar offset dynamics. The fourth offset example
had an increasing frequency, and is analyzed in section V (Appendix – Figs. 9-11).
1366
1367

1368

Appendix – Fig. 2: Unusual Fold Limit Cycle seizures.

Each example is a single electrode from a separate patient, showing the voltage trace at the
seizure focus. A: spike amplitude increases at end of seizure. B: abrupt start/stop of low voltage
fast activity, without any change in frequency. (Note: the slow downward deflection was too slow
to be labeled a DC shift). C: Seizure with low voltage fast that progresses into arbitrary spike
waves. Scale bars: 1 mV by 10 sec.

I.9 Patient metadata

1377

Full clinical metadata were available for 88 of the patients (Appendix – Table 4). We stratified all
patients by their onset and offset bifurcations and evaluated the prevalence of gender, age,
pathology, and seizure localization in each case. Due to the vast heterogeneity of electrode
locations, all electrodes were stratified into the four main lobes (frontal, occipital, parietal,
temporal). Note that not all patients had onset bifurcations available, and some of the rows do
not reconcile because some patients had portions of the metadata missing, most commonly the
pathology. For simplification and to include all data, all SN and SH were treated as if there were
no DC coupling, and so were grouped with the SubH and SNIC bifurcations as shown. There was
a correlation between patient age and onset bifurcation, with a higher proportion of SupH in ages
41-60 (p=0.0019 after Bonferroni correction). There was no significant correlation between any
of the bifurcations and pathology, or location (chi-square test). Note that some bifurcations were
rare, so even with 88 patients they did not have sufficient numbers to make strong conclusions.
1390

Onset	Sex		Age			Pathology				Location			
	M	F	1-20	21-40	41-60	CD	MTS	T	O	Te	F	Oc	P
SN-SubH	30	23	13	29	10	20	17	1	1	26	12	5	8
SNIC	3	3	4	2	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
SupH	10	4	2	3	9	2	5	2	0	9	4	2	2
Offset													
SH-SNIC	17	13	5	16	8	10	10	1	1	17	9	2	3
SupH	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
FLC	23	19	12	19	9	14	12	3	1	20	8	5	8

1391
1392

1393 ### Appendix – Table 4: Comparison of bifurcations with metadata

1394 *All available patient metadata from all centers are included. CD: cortical dysplasia*
1395 *(including 2 heterotopias). MTS: mesial temporal sclerosis. T: tumor. O: other. F:*
1396 *frontal. Oc: Occipital. P: parietal. Te: temporal.*
1397

I.10 Comparison with previous classification method

We also compared our results with a previous classification method (Appendix – Table 5).
The classifications from Perucca et al.(Perucca *et al.*, 2014) are as listed: (i) low-voltage fast, (ii)
low-frequency high-amplitude periodic spikes, (iii) sharp activity, (iv) spike-and-wave activity,
(v) burst of high-amplitude polyspikes, (vi) burst suppression, and (vii) delta brush. Additionally,
we created the category (U) to indicate seizure onsets that were not explained by the Perucca
classification. In our analysis, we did not find any seizure onsets that corresponded with (vi).
There was no significant correlation between the onset types and any of the patient metadata (chi-

square). In addition, we compared these classifications with the onset and offset bifurcations. A
chi-squared test was performed on all combinations of Jirsa onset (i.e. based upon bifurcations in
(Jirsa *et al.*, 2014)), Jirsa offset, and Perucca onset. There was no significance between any of the
pairings. Note that two patients had type (vii) (delta brush, see Appendix – Fig. 1E), but did not
have the expected anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis that was originally described with this
pattern (Schmitt *et al.*, 2012).

onset	Sex		Age			Pathology				Location			
	M	F	1-10	21-40	41-60	CD	MTS	T	O	Te	F	Oc	P
i.	15	15	7	10	12	9	10	1	1	18	5	1	5
ii.	9	8	5	8	4	6	6	2	0	7	5	4	3
iii.	13	10	6	14	3	7	8	0	1	13	7	0	4
iv.	3	2	2	3	0	2	2	0	0	3	1	0	1
v.	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	1
vii.	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
U	5	2	1	5	1	1	2	2	1	6	4	0	0

		Jirsa Onset			Jirsa Offset		
		SN/SubH	SNIC	SupH	SH/SNIC	SupH	FLC
Perucca Onset	i.	21	2	8	12	0	17
	ii.	14	2	6	10	0	13
	iii.	22	1	4	12	1	14
	iv.	4	1	0	3	0	3
	v.	3	0	2	1	1	3
	vii.	2	0	0	0	0	2
	U	1	0	2	2	0	0
Jirsa Offset	SH/SNIC	30	3	14			
	SupH	1	1	3			
	FLC	53	3	7			

Appendix – Table 5: Comparison of visual with dynamic classifications

*Top: Metadata stratified by Perucca classification. There is no clear correlation between
Perucca onset type and any of the clinical metadata. Bottom: Comparison of Perucca
visual onset classification with our bifurcation analysis. There was no significant
correlation between the two classifications. Note that numbers sometimes do not
reconcile between different sections and between Appendix – Tables 4 and 5 due to lack
of metadata in some patients.*

II. Construction of the Dynamic Class model and the dynamic map

1425

1426

1427   In this work we used the model in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) and we refer to that paper for
1428   details. It consists of three ordinary differential equations:

1429

1430   Equation 1:

1431

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -y$$

$$\frac{dy}{dz} = x^3 - \mu_2(z)x - \mu_1(z) - y(v(z) + x + x^2)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = -c\left(\sqrt{(x - x_S(z))^2 + y^2} - d^*\right)$$

1432

The first two of them are based on the normal form of the unfolding of the degenerate
Takens-Bogdanov singularity (Dumortier *et al.*, 1991). They depend upon three parameters
(μ_2, μ_1, v). We can consider a sphere, with radius R, centered at the origin in the three-
dimensional parameter space. On the spherical surface there are curves of bifurcations that divide
the surface into regions with different sets of attractors. It can be shown that, up to a certain value
of the radius, the bifurcation diagrams on the spherical surface do not change (Dumortier *et al.*,
1991). For this reason, the number of parameters relevant to describe the bifurcations in the
system can be reduced, from the three Cartesian parameters (μ_2, μ_1, v) to the two spherical
parameters (θ, φ) keeping the radius fixed. In the present work we use a radius R=0.4. This
reduction allows for easier analysis and visualization of the parameter space. A flat sketch of the
spherical surface and its bifurcations can be found in the map in Fig. 4C. A flat projection of the
real map, as shown in Fig. 5, is obtained with Lambert equal area azimuthal projection. Details
on how to reconstruct these maps can be found in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) . Movement on the sphere
is promoted by making the three Cartesian parameters (or the two spherical ones) depending on a
third variable, z, acting on a slower timescale described by the parameter $0 < c \ll 1$. Movement
is implemented so that, when the fast subsystem (x, y) is in the resting state $(x_S, 0)$, it moves
towards the onset bifurcation, and when the distance from the resting state is bigger than d^* it
moves towards the offset bifurcation. When $d^* > 0$ this gives periodic bursting.

1451

1452   The shape of the path along which the fast subsystem moves is taken to be the arc of the
1453   great circle linking the offset point ***A*** to the onset point ***B*** on the sphere. It is described by the
1454   following parameterization:

1455

1456   Equation 2:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_2 \\ -\mu_1 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

1457

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(z) = R(\boldsymbol{e}\cos z + \boldsymbol{f}\sin z)$$

1458

where $e = A/R$ and $f = ((A \times B) \times A)/\|(A \times B) \times A\|$. An example of path for each class is
sketched in Fig. 4C with a black arrow.
In this model the dynamics of z exploit feedback from the fast subsystem. This is possible
because the fast subsystem exhibits bistability and hysteresis along the bursting path ('hysteresis-
loop bursting' in Izhikevich nomenclature (Izhikevich, 2000)). This allows having bursting with
one slow variable only. Other possibilities exist, in which the slow subsystem oscillates
independently from the fast one ('slow-wave bursting' in Izhikevich nomenclature (Izhikevich,
2000)). The hysteresis-loop mechanism is well suited to model the mechanisms leading to seizure
termination, which are thought to be triggered by the presence of the seizure itself, as done in
(Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). For this reason in this work we focus on this type of mechanism for bursting.
However, a slow-wave mechanism may be possible and we refer to (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) for
details on how to obtain slow-wave bursters for all the classes of the taxonomy using the same
map.
1472

1473   *II.1 Definition of onset*

While previous works on the taxonomy define the onset bifurcation as the bifurcation that
starts the oscillatory activity (Izhikevich, 2000; Jirsa *et al.*, 2014; Saggio *et al.*, 2017), we here
define the onset bifurcation as the first one that destabilizes the resting state (or healthy
condition). This affects the classes in which transition to the 'active rest' (onset bifurcation with
the present definition) precedes the transition to the limit cycle (onset bifurcation with the
definition in the literature). This does not change the mechanism or dynamics of seizure
initiation, merely the nomenclature. We chose this method because under clinical conditions the
"seizure initiation" is defined as the first departure from resting state. We note that this definition,
which we used for practical reasons, highlights that the mechanisms triggered by the seizure,
such as the inversion in the direction of the slow variable, may be triggered before oscillations are
evident. Examples of this effect are shown in the next paragraph, in which we use a different
label for two of the classes in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) in which SupH (which started the oscillations)
was preceded by SN (which destabilized the resting state): SupH/SH becomes a different
realization of SN/SH, and SupH/SupH becomes a different realization of SN/SupH.
1488

1489   *II.2 Examples of Dynamic Classes*

In Appendix – Fig. 3 we show bifurcation diagrams for the classes in the bistability region
in the upper portion of the map from Fig. 4C. Note that, for all Classes except SN / SH, additional
bifurcations (aside from the first and last used in the Dynamic Class name) may be necessary for
the Class to exist (to allow the presence of the hysteresis-loop). In particular, all the classes in this
portion of the map show a baseline jump, due to the crossing of the SN bifurcation. By our
definition, these would be classified as SN onsets. However, in two of the examples shown it is
not until the trajectory then crosses the SupH bifurcation that the oscillation begins. These are
the conditions which our previous work had classified as SupH onsets, and which we now
classify as SN. We based this decision on the fact that our human data showed this precise
combination several times, but clinicians always identified the SN as the start of the seizure. The

ability to identify a seizure trajectory prior to crossing the oscillatory bifurcation has intriguing
implications for future work.
1502
1503   In Appendix – Fig. 4 we show bifurcation diagrams for the classes in the bistability region
1504   in the lower portion of the map. Here classes occur without baseline shifts, even when starting
1505   with a SN bifurcation. This makes it impossible to discern SN from SubH onsets based on Fig. 1.
1506
1507

1508

1509

Appendix – Fig. 3: Dynamic classes with baseline shift

A: Zoom of the bistability region in the upper part of Figure 4C. In this region, the Dynamic
Classes are combinations of SN, SupH, and SH. All begin with a SN bifurcation, which causes a
baseline shift. The background of the timeseries is shaded with the same color of the portion the
map traversed. Vertical colored lines mark the value of z at which bifurcations on the map are
crossed. Two of the trajectories (top right, bottom left) do not have sustained oscillations until
after crossing the SupH bifurcation a short time after the SN onset. B: Bifurcation diagrams for
the same classes. Onset and offset bifurcations for the oscillatory phase are marked with red
letters. SN_r refers to the SN curve on the right of the map, SN_l to the SN at the left. Inset:
comparison with the 'Epileptor' class from (Jirsa et al., 2014).
1520

A - Map and Timeseries

B - Bifurcation Diagrams

Modified from Saggio, Spiegler et al. 2017, Figure 7.

1521

Appendix – Fig. 4: Dynamic classes without baseline shift

A: Zoom of the bistability region in the lower part of Figure 4C. For each class possible in this
region we show an example of the timeseries. The background of the timeseries is shaded with the
same color of the portion the map traversed. Vertical colored lines mark the value of z at which
bifurcations on the map are crossed. B: Bifurcation diagrams for the same classes. Onset and
offset bifurcations are marked with red letters.

II.3 Ranking the complexity of each Dynamic Class

1529

We can use mathematical arguments to rank the classes according to how complex they
are, with more complex classes being more difficult to encounter in models, and potentially also
in nature. The first criterion to define the complexity of a class is based on the minimum number
of parameters (called 'codimension') necessary to describe a map in which a path for this class
can be placed (see (Golubitsky *et al.*, 2001), in which this criterion is introduced, for a more
mathematical definition). We base this analysis on the hysteresis loop classes proposed in
(Saggio *et al.*, 2017) , and which are illustrated in Fig. 4 and Appendix – Figs. 3, 4. The basis of
the dynamic trajectory (or 'bursting path') of this seizure model is that, once the seizure begins,
there is a feedback mechanism in which the slow permittivity variable tends to terminate the
seizure. Using this seizure mechanism, the least complex class is SubH/FLC, which requires a
codimension of two. Following that are SN/SH, SN/SupH, SN/FLC, SupH/SH, SupH/SupH and
SubH/SH. These classes require a codimension three, which is the basis for our current model
(Saggio *et al.*, 2017) . In Fig. 4C of the main paper we show a representation of this map that is
two-dimensional for more readability, but the map is in fact lying on a spherical surface in a
three-parameter space (Fig. 4B). The other classes of the taxonomy require higher codimensions
(Saggio *et al.*, 2017). We can further rank classes with the same codimension based on how many
bifurcation curves their paths must cross on the map (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) . For example, Class
SN/SH (two curves to cross) is less complex than the other codimension three classes (3 or more
curves to cross).

1549

In Appendix – Fig. 5 we show the classes' ranking superimposed with results from our
classification of human seizures (adapted from Fig. 2F). In the clinical data (DC recordings only),
the most common classes are SN/FLC, SN/SH and possibly SubH/FLC and SubH/SH, which
have low complexity (complexity 2 and 3). However, it is worth recalling that classes with FLC
offset may be overestimated due to noise. Thus, the clinical data are consistent with the predicted
complexity. Interestingly, though not assessed in this work, absence seizures may be best
described with the most common SubH/FLC, which can be found in the lower bistability region
of the map (see Discussion). Note that the number of seizures in each class is based upon our
method of identifying the first bifurcation, while the complexity is based upon the initiation of
the limit cycle (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) . Reconciling these methods would likely result in some of
the SN onset seizures being reclassified as SupH. Additionally, it should be noted that this
complexity refers only to seizures that are produced by the hysteresis mechanism. Other
plausible mechanisms are independent changes in the permittivity variable and fluctuations due
to noise, both of which can produce seizures with different codimensions/complexity than those
shown here.

1565

1575

Appendix – Fig. 5: Complexity of classes and data classification results.

The complexity of the 16 Dynamic Classes is shown, with darker colors being the least complex.
Superimposed on that are the number of seizures from Fig. 2 E-F in each Class. Note that some
of the seizures could not be distinguished between SH and SNIC offsets, or between SN (no DC
shift) and SubH, so for these classes there is a range. *-The codimension of some of these classes
is presumed, see (Saggio et al., 2017) for details.

1582
1583

II.4 Modeling noise-driven or bifurcation-driven transitions

When $d^*>0$ this model produces periodic bursting, while $d^* = 0$ gives no bursting at all
since the whole system would be in a fixed point. In the presence of noise, we have periodic
bursting if the variance of the noise is smaller than $d^* > 0$. However, by setting a d^* value close
to the noise variance, and initial conditions for z within the bistability region, we have a different
scenario. In this case a seizure can be initiated if noise is strong enough to bring the system
beyond the separatrix (i.e. outside the domain of attraction of the fixed point and within the
domain of attraction of the limit cycle). Noise-induced transitions like this one have been
proposed as a mechanism to initiate a seizure (Lopes da Silva *et al.*, 2003b). However, in our
model, once the fast subsystem has left the fixed point of the fast variables, the whole system is
no longer in a fixed point and the slow variable will activate to bring to seizure offset. Setting d^*
close to the noise variance thus allows a mixed scenario in which the onset is noise-driven (with
the transition to seizure being more likely the closer the system is to the bifurcation) and offset is
determined by a deterministic slow variable. Noise-driven transitions require the presence of
bistability. The probability of having such a transition increases when approaching the bifurcation
point, which gives origin to this bistability, from within the bistability region, since the separatrix
gets closer to the resting state. In the presence of noise thus this mechanism can contribute to
seizure onset. Noise-driven transitions lack any scaling law. However, the only two bifurcations
here that allow for noise-driven transition in their proximity (because they feature bistability) are
SN and SubH, which also lack any scaling law. This implies that, if noise-induced transitions
rather than bifurcations are causing seizure onset in any seizure in our dataset, these seizures
would be classified under the 'SN or SubH' label. The presence of such events in our dataset
would not undermine our classification since we already know that 'SN or SubH' onset is
overestimated. However, it is interesting that in these cases, even though the onset is not caused

by a bifurcation, the label in our classification would describe the bifurcation that creates the
bistability necessary for the noise-induced transition to occur.
1610

II.5 Biophysical meaning of the variables

As discussed in the main text, we use a bursting model as a phenomenological model for
seizure generation and termination. This implies that the variables of the model do not have any
explicit biophysical meaning. While we can make considerations, based on the timescales at
which they operate, on which class of biophysical processes are best candidates to be represented
within a given variable (e.g. neuroelectric processes for the fast variables, neurochemical
substances for the slow ones), the biophysical meaning of the variables may depend on the
specific type of epilepsy or even on the specific patient.
One strategy to identify the correlates of the model variables could be to rely on the
comparison with more realistic biological models. Our phenomenological model is based on the
unfolding of the degenerate Takens-Bogdanov singularity. This singularity appears to be quite
common both in neural models (Kirst *et al.*, 2015) and in neural population models, such as the
Jansen-Rit or the Wendling-Chauvel models (Touboul *et al.*, 2011). When this singularity exists,
the bifurcation diagram in its surroundings is equivalent to the one shown in our model. This
allows us to produce a mapping between the variables and parameters of the models in which this
singularity can be found and those of the phenomenological model in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017).
Applications of this model, however, do not necessarily depend on the understanding of the
biophysical correlates of its variables. This is true for the investigation of the synchronization and
propagation patterns linked to each Dynamic Class—the dynamic phenomena are invariants and
do not depend upon the specific physiological parameters.
However, there is clearly great utility in determining how specific physiological
parameters can be related to this model, specifically for the application of designing methods to
stop or prevent seizures by acting upon the slow and ultra-slow variables. In particular, it will be
important in future work to model the effect of stimulation on specific Dynamic Classes. In this
case, an external current applied to the fast subsystem will modify the additive term μ_1.
1636
1637

II.6 Limitations of the model

<u>Planar bifurcations</u>. One limitation of the present study is that it considers only planar (i.e. two
variables) bifurcations as possible onset or offset mechanisms. When considering higher
dimensional systems, additional offset bifurcations exist, while the possible onsets are unchanged
(Dumortier *et al.*, 1991). However, the exact number of additional bifurcations is unknown
(Kuznetsov, 2004).
Planar bifurcations are used to organize the bifurcation diagrams of many neural
populations or field models for seizures, even high dimensional ones (Breakspear *et al.*, 2006;
Marten *et al.*, 2009; Touboul *et al.*, 2011; Taylor *et al.*, 2013; Meijer *et al.*, 2015).
Phenomenological models in the literature are planar or have planar fast subsystems (Kalitzin *et
al.*, 2010; Benjamin *et al.*, 2012; Terry *et al.*, 2012; Jirsa *et al.*, 2014; Hutchings *et al.*, 2015;
Sinha *et al.*, 2017). The presence of additional variables, acting on a different timescale (still fast

as compared to the slow permittivity variable z of the present paper), are used to create spike and
wave discharges (Marten *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Jirsa *et al.*, 2014). In these cases, non-
planar bifurcations may appear in the model, such as period doubling of limit cycles (Marten *et*
al., 2009), but they are not used to start or stop a seizure, but rather to change the number of
spikes riding on the wave. This does not affect the present work, which instead focuses solely on
the onset/offset dynamics. We cannot exclude the possibility of non-planar bifurcations playing a
role in seizure offset, but with current knowledge and available data it may not be possible to
distinguish them from planar bifurcations. Using a planar system also excludes the possible role
of low dimensional chaos in seizure generation (Iasemidis *et al.*, 1994).
1659
<u>Bursting with higher dimensional fast subsystems.</u> Our model is built upon the minimum number
of dimensions necessary to produce the bursting behavior. Obviously, more complex models
could also generate similar activity with different bifurcations (Izhikevich, 2000; Kuznetsov,
2004). Among those known, some are characterized by non-zero frequency at the bifurcation
point: the subcritical flip of limit cycles (or period doubling), subcritical Neimark-Sacker, FLC
on a homoclinic torus and Blue-sky bifurcations. Other have zero-frequency at the bifurcation
point: Saddle Focus Homoclinic and Focus Focus Homoclinic. The latter requires at least a four-
dimensional fast subsystem. In addition, planar bifurcations may display additional features when
they occur in higher dimensions, such as baseline jumps in a FLC.
1669
1670 <u>Other mechanisms of dynamic trajectories.</u> As stated previously, our model assumes a hysteresis
1671 in the seizure trajectory, in which the slow variable acts to pull the system out of a seizure once it
1672 starts. There are other methods of starting seizures, such as independent changes of the slow
1673 permittivity variable causing a crossing of the bifurcation, which will be the subject of future
1674 work.

III. Switching between Dynamic Classes due to fluctuations and ultra-slow modulations

In the model above, the path along which the fast subsystem slowly moves is a simple arc
linking the offset and onset points. However, movements promoted by real changes of parameters
can be more complex. As a proof of concept of the effects that this can have on the system, we
considered modifications of the path due to (i) ultra-slow drifting of the offset and onset points ***A***
and ***B*** and (ii) fluctuations produced by noise.
The ultra-slow drift was obtained as in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) . The offset point moves
along an arc of great circle linking the initial offset A_1 to the final offset A_2 with velocity $c_A \ll c$.
This movement is promoted by an ultra-slow variable u. Analogously for the onset point ***B***, from
B_1 to B_2 with velocity $c_A \ll c$, movement is promoted by the ultra-slow variable w. The
equations are:
1687

$$\frac{du}{dt} = c_A$$

1688

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = c_B$$

1689

$$A = A(u) = R(g\cos u + h\sin u)$$
$$B = B(w) = R(l\cos w + m\sin w)$$

where $g = A_1/R$, $h = ((A_1 \times A_2) \times A_1)/\|(A_1 \times A_2) \times A_1\|$, $l = B_1/R$ and $m = ((B_1 \times B_2) \times B_1)/\|(B_1 \times B_2) \times B_1\|$.

Depending on the location on the map of the points A_1, A_2, B_1 and B_2, this type of drift can cause the system to produce seizures of different classes in different moments, which is consistent with the results of our longitudinal analysis. The addition of noise fluctuations can potentially alter the trajectory of the seizure while the seizure is ongoing, which might explain some of the unusual seizures identified in the data, such as in Fig. 5. For example, to obtain transitions between the SN / SupH class and a class with SH offset (Fig. 5A), we simulated a seizure that began on a path for SN / SupH slowly drifting downwards towards a path for SN / SH. The two paths lie very close on the map. We then added normally distributed noise, which allowed the system to deflect off its normal trajectory. This noise then allowed to get close to different bifurcations within a single seizure. Transitions of the type observed in data (which is a subcase of class SN / SH) were observed in 10 of the 100 simulations we ran with the same settings. The other simulations produced mostly SN / SH seizures (43/100) and some SN / SupH (8/100), other forms of transitions within seizures and 12 examples of status epilepticus in which the system entered a long seizing state that did not resolve by the end of the simulation. When more than one seizure occurred in the same simulation we classified only the first unless otherwise stated. Seizures were classified by determining the onset and offset bifurcations crossed by the path, rather than by analyzing the frequency/amplitude profile of the time series.

Of course, these rates of occurrence of classes are not meant to reflect real rates in data, since parameters for the simulations were carefully chosen to obtain the specific transition within seizure observed in data. However, since simulation settings were the same for the 100 trials, these results show that fluctuations can easily cause different classes to occur when these classes are close on the map.

Integration settings for Fig. 5 B-C: Euler-Meruyama method; integration step 0.002 s; simulation length 10000 s; initial conditions were set to 0 for all variables; noise variance was 0.005 for fast variables, 0.0005 for the slow variable and 0.002 for ultra-slow variables. Model parameter settings: $c = 0.002$; $c_A = 0.00005$; $c_B = 0.000005$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $A_1 = (0.2731,-0.05494,0.287)$; $B_1 = (0.3331,0.074,0.2087)$; $A_2 = (0.3524,0.05646,0.1806)$; $B_2 = (0.3496,0.07955,0.1774)$. The procedure to compute the amplitude and frequency of the limit cycle across the map, as shown in Fig. 5 H-I, is the same as in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017).

IV. Analysis and simulation of status epilepticus

In 44 out of the 100 simulations we ran in the previous section, after generating one or more seizures the system escaped from the bistability region to be trapped in the 'seizure only region'. Activity in this region of the map is analogous to status epilepticus. The dynamic map allows us to analyze how this transition occurred. In 11 cases this escape occurred when the imposed ultraslow drift caused the system to cross the SH curve at the lower border of the upper bistability region and then enter the 'seizure only' region through the SN bifurcation. In the remaining 33 cases, instead, the system escaped the bistability region directly through the SN curve, thus before the downward ultra-slow drift could play a role in the escape. Our simulations show an example of each scenario (Appendix – Fig. 6). To assess the role of noise in this phenomenon, we ran five simulations for each of several levels of noise variance. Decreasing the noise variance scaling factor a from 0.0005 to 0.0002 diminished the number of times the system ended up in status epilepticus, from 3/5 to 1/5, then to 0/5 for $a = 0.0001$ and below. One of the status epilepticus obtained with a = 0.0005 is shown in Fig. 5. These results indicate that high levels of noise variance can contribute to the system being trapped in the seizure only regime.

Integration settings: Euler-Meruyama method; integration step 0.002 s; simulation length 10000 s; initial conditions were set to 0 for all variables; noise variance was $a * (10$ for fast variables, 1 for the slow variable, 5 for ultra-slow variables). Tested values of a were: 0.0005, 0.0002, 0.0001, 0.00005. Model parameter settings: $c = 0.0001$; $c_A = c_B = 0$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.3483, 0.03698, 0.1931)$; $\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.3331, 0.074, 0.2087)$; $\boldsymbol{A_2} = \boldsymbol{B_2} = (0.279, 0.2187, 0.1854)$.

Appendix – Fig. 6: Escape from the bistability region into the seizure-only region

A: In some of the simulations performed with an ultra-slow downward drift and noise, the system escaped from the bistability region (yellow) into the seizure-only region (lavender). The escape could occur directly through SN (orange curve), as in Simulation 1, or passing below SH (blue curve) and then through SN, as in Simulation 2. B-C: Time series for Simulation 1 and 2. The clinical relevance of this is to illustrate how some episodes of status epilepticus might arise uniformly (B) while others have a stuttering onset (C).

These findings are very similar to examples from the clinical cohort. Two of the patients
had unrecognized episodes of nonconvulsive status epilepticus lasting over 2 hours during sleep
(see Fig. 5). We analyzed these seizures in the same manner as the others. One began with a
brief seizure very similar to the most common SN/SH Class (though this was not DC-coupled, so
the onset could potentially be SubH, and the offset SNIC). However, instead of terminating, it
then transitioned through a SupH into irregular firing. The second example started with SupH
and went immediately into irregular firing. After each seizure started, there were numerous
transition periods in which the seizure changed dynamics. The first patient had long periods of
arbitrary amplitude and ISI, which occasionally organized into a brief SN-SubH/SH pattern
before reverting to the disorganized firing (Appendix – Fig. 7). In this patient, the seizure lasted
over 24 hours, but the final offset could not be determined due to poor signal quality. In the
second patient, there were prolonged periods of primarily constant ISI and amplitude,
interspersed with brief periods of arbitrary firing, and the seizure finally ended with a SupH
(Appendix – Fig. 8). There were also several unusual periods in which the ISI became uniform
for several seconds. During these periods there was nearly perfect ~7 Hz spike wave discharges
with constant amplitude, which were much more periodic than typical seizures. In both of these
examples, the dynamical behavior is entirely consistent with the model: the seizure repeatedly
approaches an offset bifurcation then returns to the seizure regime with disorganized (i.e. noisy)
firing. This offset was SH in our simulations due to the chosen parameters, but can also be SupH
under different conditions as it resides in the same bistability region, e.g. if the seizure started in
the top of the region.

1780

Appendix – Fig. 7: Status Epilepticus patient 1

A: Initiation (left) of seizure that begins to terminate with a SH/SNIC bifurcation, then transitions
into a SupH onset. The post-seizure baseline (right) returns to prior levels. The seizure lasted >
24 hours, but due to signal dropout the exact time of termination was not recorded. Inset a2:
expanded view of indicated portion of seizure start. B: Subclinical status epilepticus was
characterized by irregular firing interspersed with periods of organized, lower amplitude EEG.

1787 *C, D: Expanded view of (c1, d1) from B, with further expansion of (c2, d2). The irregular firing*
1788 *organizes several times into discrete seizures that nearly terminate with SH dynamics, but revert*
1789 *to the previous pattern. E: Later in the seizure, there is waxing/waning of the firing pattern,*
1790 *similar to Fig. 5 D-F.*
1791
1792

1793
1794

Appendix – Fig. 8: Status Epilepticus patient 2

A: Onset and offset of a seizure lasting 5 hours were both SupH bifurcations. B: During the
seizure, there were many transitions in which the dynamics altered. Top: voltage trace. Bottom:
interspike intervals (ISI) show multiple periods in which nearly-constant ISI is interrupted by
disorganized firing. Blue lines indicate these transition periods. C: expanded view of red box in
B. D: Expanded view of green box in C. Green circles indicate runs of highly periodic, 7 Hz
spike waves, which became more frequent later in seizure.

V. Example of accelerating frequency at seizure offset

1807

1808

One of the seizures we classified as FLC in figure 2E had increasing frequency towards
the end, shown in Appendix – Fig. 9. The best fit to the ISI for this seizure was a reversed power
law scaling. While acceleration at the end of a seizure is peculiar from the clinical point of view,
it fits with both FLC and SupH bifurcations, which do not have specific scaling laws for the
behavior of the frequency. As the amplitude of this seizure was arbitrary (rather than decreasing
with square root), it is most consistent with the FLC. We analyze it here to show how different
frequency behaviors can be obtained in the model.
1816

1817
1818
1819
1820

1821 *Appendix – Fig. 9: Seizure with acceleration of spike frequency at seizure terminus*

1822 *In this case, the ISI progressively decreases and follows a reverse power law. The amplitude is*
1823 *arbitrary. This seizure was labeled as a FLC bifurcation for taxonomical purposes as it did not*
1824 *fit with any other single bifurcation.*
1825

Seizures with FLC offset occur when the system is in the bistability region in the lower
part of the map, which is shown in Appendix – Fig. 10A. This region has a wide range of
frequency characteristics, depending on the specific path chosen. Appendix – Fig. 10 shows the
simulated results for three different paths that link a SN onset with FLC offset: it is possible to
have seizures that have increasing, decreasing, or constant frequency.   These differences occur
because this region of the map has a great diversity of scaling laws in a small region, and the
frequency has to change smoothly between them. In this particular case, even though FLC occurs
with non-zero frequency, it is close to a SH curve which requires oscillations to slow down to
zero. The closer the path chosen to the SH curve the slower the oscillations towards FLC offset.

1835

1836

Integration settings (same for the three simulations): Euler-Meruyama method;
integration step 0.5 s; simulation length 15000 s; initial conditions were set to 0 for all
variables; simulations without noise. Model parameter settings:
Constant frequency: $c = 0.001$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.1199, -0.0509, -0.3782)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.2850, 0.0586, -0.2745)$.
Increasing frequency: $c = 0.002$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (-0.1031, -0.0996, -0.3734)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.1436, 0.0331, -0.0622)$.
Decreasing frequency: $c = 0.003$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.2818, 0.0209, -0.2831)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.2187, 0.0394, -0.3326)$.

1846

1847

1848 Seizures with SupH offset occur when the system is in the bistability region in the upper
1849 part of the map, as shown in Appendix – Fig. 11A. Similar to the FLC case, the proximity of the
1850 SH curve, which has a frequency that goes to 0, affects the trajectories of SupH offsets
1851 (Appendix – Fig. 11).

1852

Integration settings (same for the three simulations): Euler-Meruyama method;
integration step 0.5 s; simulation length 20000 s; initial conditions were set to 0 for all
variables; simulations without noise. Model parameter settings:
Constant frequency: $c = 0.00025$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.3101, -0.0217, 0.2517)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.3426, 0.0772, 0.1915)$.
Increasing frequency: $c = 0.001$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.3216, 0.0625, 0.2294)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.3479, 0.0790, -0.1810)$.
Decreasing frequency: $c = 0.0002$; $d^* = 0.3$; $R = 0.4$; $\boldsymbol{A_1} = (0.3102, -0.0437, 0.2488)$;
$\boldsymbol{B_1} = (0.3249, 0.0713, 0.2221)$.

1862

These two examples show some of the complex characteristics that can occur when a
seizure's dynamics are in a region of the map that is close to two different bifurcations. This can
cause unusual phenomena such as accelerating spikes at seizure offset, but also seizures that
combine features from different bifurcations, such as FLC (Appendix – Fig. 10) or SupH
(Appendix – Fig. 11) that show slowing down. This slowing down is in principle different from
that of SH or SNIC because it does not follow a scaling law down to zero. The slowing down
becomes more similar to that of SH-SNIC the closer the path gets to these bifurcation curves.
Some extreme examples are shown in Appendix – Figs. 10 and 11, in which it would be
ambiguous to classify based upon ISI of the timeseries. In such cases, only the presence of
amplitude scaling (for SupH) would help disambiguate.

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877
1878
1879

Appendix – Fig. 10: Varied frequency behavior of FLC offsets

1880

Depending on how close the trajectory comes to the SH offset, an FLC offset can have a wide
range of frequency behaviors. We show examples for three frequency trends for the FLC
bifurcation. A) portion of the map in which the SubH / FLC class can be found. B-C) Frequency
and amplitude trajectories of three different seizures showing constant, increasing, and
decreasing frequency. D) simulations for the paths shown in B-C. Note the last few spikes drop
in amplitude precipitously, characteristic of the FLC releasing from the limit cycle (which has a
finite amplitude when it disappears) and settling on the fixed point. This is different from the
square-root decreasing amplitude of the SupH bifurcation, which is instead caused by a slow
decrease in the amplitude of the limit cycle itself, in this latter case the limit cycle disappears
when zero amplitude is reached.

1891
1892

1893
1894
1895

Appendix – Fig. 11: Frequency behavior of SupH offsets

The trajectory of seizure offset in SupH is influenced by the SH curve, allowing for constant,
increasing and decreasing frequency trends. A) portion of the map in which the SN / SupH class
can be found. B-C) Frequency and amplitude trajectories of three different seizures showing
constant, increasing, and decreasing frequency. D) simulations for the paths shown in B-C.
Note the amplitude in each case is very characteristic of the SupH, despite the different frequency
behavior.

1903
1904

VI. Projection of other epilepsy models onto the Epidynamics map

1906

The model in (Saggio *et al.*, 2017) and Fig. 4 is capable of navigating the entire projection with
first order trajectories and provides minimal models for many other bursting classes. For instance
the Epileptor model maps to the region of the SN/SH Class. In addition to the Epileptor, the map
contains the bifurcation diagrams of other seizure onset and/or offset models used in the
literature. For instance, Sinha et al. (Sinha *et al.*, 2017) used a model (only fast variables) with
the same bifurcation diagram as the subH/FLC Dynamic Class. That class is also present in the
lower portion of this map. The path of the SubH/FLC Class is equivalent to several bistable and
physiologically-inspired seizure models (Suffczynski *et al.*, 2005; Suffczynski *et al.*, 2006;
Marten *et al.*, 2009; Kalitzin *et al.*, 2011; Benjamin *et al.*, 2012; Goodfellow *et al.*, 2012; Meisel
and Kuehn, 2012; Terry *et al.*, 2012; Kalitzin *et al.*, 2014; Hutchings *et al.*, 2015). Some of these
models use noise induced transitions, others bifurcations (see Appendix III). Excitable models,
such as those in Wendling et al (Wendling *et al.*, 2002) and Goodfellow et al (Goodfellow *et al.*,
2016), can be obtained in the map when the system is close to the SNIC curve. There are also
models used in the context of epilepsy that contain bigger portions of the map, such as the
Jansen-Rit and the Wendling-Chauvel (Touboul *et al.*, 2011) models. This versatility led other
authors to state that the degenerate Bogdanov-Takens bifurcation (the basis of our map) is "a
good candidate for a simple qualitative model of a cortical mass" (Touboul *et al.*, 2011).
1924
1925