Axonal mechanisms mediating GABA-A receptor inhibition of striatal dopamine release

Paul F. Kramer[1], Emily L. Twedell[1], Jung Hoon Shin[2], Renshu Zhang[1] and Zayd M. Khaliq[1*]

[1]*Cellular Neurophysiology Unit, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health.*

[2]*Laboratory on Neurobiology of Compulsive Behaviors, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health.*

Address for Correspondence:

Zayd M. Khaliq
Cellular Neurophysiology Unit
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, MD, 20892

Phone: 1-301-451-7221
Email: Zayd.khaliq@nih.gov

Abstract

      Axons of midbrain dopaminergic neurons innervate the striatum where they contribute to movement and reinforcement learning. Past work has shown that striatal GABA tonically inhibits dopamine release, but whether GABA-A receptors directly modulate transmission or act indirectly through circuit elements is unresolved. Here, we use whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings to test for GABA-A receptors on the main dopaminergic neuron axons and branching processes within striatum. Application of GABA depolarized axons, but also decreased the amplitude of axonal spikes, limited propagation and reduced striatal dopamine release. The mechanism of inhibition involved sodium channel inactivation and shunting. Lastly, we show that the positive allosteric modulator diazepam enhanced GABA-A currents on dopaminergic neuron axons and directly inhibited release, but also likely acts by reducing excitatory drive from cholinergic interneurons. Thus, we reveal the mechanisms of GABA-A receptor modulation of dopamine release and provide new insight into the actions of benzodiazepines within the striatum.

Introduction

Axons of midbrain dopaminergic neurons are highly complex structures that transmit reward, associative-learning, and motor control signals to terminal boutons via action potentials that trigger the release of dopamine (Aransay et al., 2015; Matsuda et al., 2009; Sulzer et al., 2016). In addition to spike transmission, dopamine neuron axons within the striatum integrate local information. For example, striatal cholinergic interneurons modulate dopamine release through activation of nicotinic receptors on dopamine neuron axons (Rice and Cragg, 2004; Zhang and Sulzer, 2004) and synchronous activation of cholinergic interneurons can directly trigger dopamine release (Cachope et al., 2012; Threlfell et al., 2012). Similarly, other receptors have been shown to modulate dopamine release such as dopamine D2 (Ford, 2014), GABA-B (Pitman et al., 2014), metabotropic glutamate (Zhang and Sulzer, 2003) and muscarinic receptors (Shin et al., 2015). These data show that direct modulation of the axon presents a powerful means of controlling striatal dopaminergic signaling in a manner that is independent of somatic processing, suggesting a degree of functional segregation between these two cellular compartments (Cachope and Cheer, 2014; Hamid et al., 2016; Mohebi et al., 2019). Understanding the mechanisms that govern local control of dopamine release within the striatum will require better mechanistic knowledge of how presynaptic receptors shape axonal excitability.

GABA has long been known to modulate striatal dopamine release (Giorguieff et al., 1978; Reimann et al., 1982; Starr, 1978) but the specific contribution of GABA-A receptors (GABA-ARs) to this process is unclear. Fast-scanning cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) studies found that antagonists of GABA-ARs reduce dopamine release through an indirect mechanism involving H_2O_2 produced downstream of AMPA receptors, suggesting that GABA-ARs enhance dopamine release (Avshalumov et al., 2003; Sidlo et al., 2008). By contrast, *in vivo* microdialysis studies have found that striatal infusions of GABA-AR antagonists lead to an increase in dopamine release, suggesting that striatal GABA-ARs inhibit dopamine release (Gruen et al., 1992; Smolders et al., 1995). Consistent with this finding, an FSCV study showed that GABA-AR activation leads to inhibition of dopamine release, but argued that the effect was indirect through GABA-B receptors located on dopamine neuron axons (Brodnik et al., 2018). A more recent

study showed that GABA-AR activation inhibits dopamine release in the absence of

nicotinic receptor activation which led to the proposal that GABA-A receptors may be

present on the terminals of dopaminergic neurons (Lopes et al., 2019). However,

definitive evidence for this proposal is lacking.

Benzodiazepines are positive allosteric modulators of GABA-ARs that are

increasingly prescribed in the United States (Bachhuber et al., 2016). These drugs have

demonstrated misuse liability that in rare cases leads to a substance use disorder

(Blanco et al., 2018). The mechanism of benzodiazepine reward is thought mainly to

involve disinhibition of somatic firing (Tan et al., 2010). Similar to many drugs of abuse,

systemically-applied benzodiazepines result in acute glutamate receptor plasticity in

dopamine neurons (Heikkinen et al., 2009; Kauer and Malenka, 2007) and increase the

frequency of individual dopamine release events in the striatum (Schelp et al., 2018).

Unlike other drugs of abuse, however, benzodiazepines have been shown to decrease

the amplitude of striatal dopamine release (Gruen et al., 1992; Schelp et al., 2018).

These opposing effects suggest that benzodiazepines can differentially influence activity

in the soma and release from axon terminals. In the present study, we use direct axonal

recordings from main axons and branching processes within the striatum, calcium

imaging, FSCV, and fluorescent sensor imaging of dopamine release to disentangle

these conflicting results and to mechanistically understand the influence of axonal

GABA-A receptors on the excitability of, and transmitter release from, dopamine neuron

axons.

Methods

<u>Experimental Model and Subject Details</u>

All animal handling and procedures were approved by the animal care and use

committee (ACUC) for the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

(NINDS) at the National Institutes of Health. Mice of both sexes were used throughout

the study. Mice that underwent viral injections were injected at postnatal day 18 or older

and were used for *ex vivo* electrophysiology and imaging 3-12 weeks after injection.

The following strains were used: DAT-Cre (SJL-Slc6a3(tm1.1(cre)Bkmn/J, The Jackson

Laboratory Cat#006660); Ai95-RCL-GCaMP6f-D (Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor(tm95.1(CAG-

GCaMP6f)Hze)/MwarJ, The Jackson Laboratory Cat#028865); Ai9

(Gt(ROSA)26Sor(tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze), The Jackson Laboratory Cat#007909); TH-

GFP (Tg(TH-EGFP)1Gsat) NIH MMRRC; C57/Bl6J Wild Type, The Jackson Laboratory

Cat#000664; Ai32 (B6.Cg – Gt(ROSA)26Sor(tm32(CAG-COP4*H143R/EYFP)Hze), The

Jackson Laboratory, Cat#024109).

<u>Method Details</u>

Viral Injections

All stereotaxic injections were conducted on a Stoelting QSI (Cat#53311). Mice

were maintained under anesthesia for the duration of the injection and allowed to

recover from anesthesia on a warmed pad. The AAV9-CAG-FLEX-TdTomato (Penn

Vector Core), AAV-Syn-FLEX-jGCaMP7f (Dana et al., 2019), and AAV9-hSyn-dLight1.2

(Patriarchi et al., 2018) viruses (0.5-1 µl) were injected bilaterally into either the medial

dorsal striatum (X: ± 1.7 Y: +0.8 Z: -3.3) or the SNc (X: ± 1.9 Y: -0.5 Z: -3.9) via a

Hamilton syringe. At the end of the injection, the needle was raised at a rate of 0.1 to

0.2 mm per minute for 10 minutes before the needle was removed.

Slicing and electrophysiology

Brain slice experiments were performed on male and female adult mice of at

least 6 weeks in age. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated, and brains

rapidly extracted. Horizontal sections (electrophysiology, dLight, calcium imaging) or

coronal sections (voltammetry) were cut at 330-400 µm thickness on a vibratome while

immersed in warmed, modified, slicing ACSF containing (in mM) 198 glycerol, 2.5 KCl,

1.2 NaH_2PO_4, 20 HEPES, 25 $NaHCO_3,$10 glucose, 10 $MgCl_2$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 5 Na-

ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, and 2 thiourea. Cut sections were promptly removed from the

slicing chamber and incubated for 30-60 minutes in heated (34°C) chamber with holding

solution containing (in mM) 92 NaCl, 30 $NaHCO_3$, 1.2 NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 35 glucose, 20

HEPES, 2 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, and 2 thiourea. Slices were

then stored at room temperature and used 30 min to 6 hours later. Following incubation,

slices were moved to a heated (33–35°C) recording chamber that was continuously

perfused with recording ACSF (in mM): 125 NaCl, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 3.5 KCl,

10 glucose, 1 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$. Whole-cell recordings were made using borosilicate

pipettes (5-10 MΩ, axon; 2-3 MΩ, soma) filled with internal solution containing (in mM)

122 KMeSO$_3$, 9 NaCl, 1.8 MgCl$_2$, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 14 phosphocreatine, 9

HEPES, 0.45 EGTA, 0.09 CaCl$_2$, adjusted to a pH value of 7.35 with KOH. For high

chloride experiments, KMeSO$_3$ was substituted with KCl.

Perforated-patch recordings were made using borosilicate pipettes (5-10 MΩ)

filled with internal solution containing (in mM) 135 KCl, 10 NaCl, 2 MgCl$_2$, 10 HEPES,

0.5 EGTA, 0.1 CaCl$_2$, adjusted to a pH value of 7.43 with KOH, 278 mOsm. Pipette tips

were back-filled first with ~1 µL of internal lacking gramicidin followed by internal

containing either 4 - 8 (perforated-patch on the main axon) or 80 - 100 µg/mL

(perforated-patch in the striatum) gramicidin. Patch integrity was monitored by the

addition of Alexa-488 to the gramicidin-containing internal. Experiments were discarded

upon visual evidence of membrane rupture (Alexa-488 entering the axon).

To enable post-hoc reconstruction, pipette solutions in some experiments

included 0.1-0.3% w/v neurobiotin (Vector Labs), and 0.01 mM AlexaFluor 594

hydrazide or AlexaFluor 488 hydrazide. Current clamp recordings were manually bridge

balanced. Liquid junction potential for KMeSO$_3$ based internal solutions was -8 mV and

was corrected offline.

Electrical stimulation was evoked with tungsten bipolar electrodes (150 µm tip

separation, MicroProbes). For experiments where the site of electrical stimulation is

distal to the site of imaging or recording, electrodes were placed at the caudal end of

horizontal brain slices, or at the medial end of coronal slices. Stimulations were evoked

using an Isoflex (A.M.P.I.), amplitudes ranging from 0.1 to 75 V.

Pressure ejection was performed using a borosilicate micropipette pulled on a

horizontal puller (pipette size ~2-4 MΩ). The pharmacological agent being tested, either

GABA or muscimol, were added to a modified external solution containing (in mM): 125

NaCl, 25 NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 3.5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.01 either Alexa 488 (for

experiments in DAT-Cre x Ai9 animals) or Alexa 594 (for experiments in TH-GFP

animals). This puffing solution was then spin filtered, loaded into the glass pipette, and

lowered to within 30-50 µm of the axon using a micro-manipulator. The puffing solution

was then applied onto the axon with a short pressure ejection (80 - 300 ms in duration)

using a PV 820 Pneumatic PicoPump (WPI).

Fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV)

For all voltammetry experiments the methods are as follow. Cylindrical carbon-fiber

electrodes (CFEs) were prepared with T650 fibers (6 µm diameter, ~150 µm of exposed

fiber) inserted into a glass pipette and filled with KCl (3 M). Before use, the CFEs were

conditioned with 8 ms long triangular voltage ramp (-0.4 to +1.2 and back to -0.4 V

versus Ag/AgCl reference at 4 V/s) delivered every 15 ms. CFEs showing current above

1.8 µA or below 1.0 µA in response to the voltage ramp around 0.6 V were discarded. A

triangular voltage ramp was passed through the fiber from -400 mV to 1200 mV, and

returned to -400 mV. The ramp was run at a rate of 400 V/s, every 100 ms. Dopamine

transients were evoked by a 2 ms 470 nm LED (ThorLabs) pulse every 60 seconds.

Selective channel rhodopsin stimulation of dopamine neuron axons was achieved by

injecting cre-dependent CoChR channel rhodopsin into the SNc *DAT-cre* transgenic

animals. Peak dopamine currents were calculated from voltammograms created in Igor

Pro (Wavemetrics).

For voltammetry experiments performed by J.H.S., the methods are as follows.

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed by decapitation. Brains were

sliced in sagittal orientation at 240 µm thickness with a vibratome (VT-1200S Leica) in

an ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM) 225 sucrose, 13.9 NaCl, 26.2 $NaHCO_3$, 1

NaH_2PO_4, 1.25 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 0.1 $CaCl_2$, 4.9 $MgCl_2$, and 3 kynurenic acid. Slices

were incubated for 20 min at 33 °C in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in

mM) 124 NaCl, 1 NaH_2PO_4, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 $MgCl_2$, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, 20 glucose, 26.2 $NaHCO_3$,

0.4 ascorbic acid, and maintained at room temperature prior recordings. Slices were

placed in a submerged chamber perfused at 2 ml/min with ACSF at 32 °C using an in-

line heater (Harvard Apparatus).

DA transients were evoked by brief light pulse (0.6-0.8 ms) through an optical

fiber (200 µm/0.22 NA) connected to a 470 nm LED (2 mW; ThorLabs) delivered every

2 min. Data were collected with a modified electrochemical headstage (CB-7B/EC

retrofit with 5 MΩ resistor) using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) after

being low-pass filtered at 10 kHz and digitized at 100 kHz using custom-written software

in Igor Pro running mafPC (courtesy of M.A. Xu-Friedman) software. Custom-written
analysis software in Igor Pro was used for analysis. Decay time constants were
obtained with a single exponential fit of the derivative of the falling phase of DA transient
curve.

Fluorescent imaging

Calcium mas measured in dopamine neuron axons of the medial dorsal striatum
using the GCaMP6f mouse bred with the DAT-Cre mouse, or with viral injection of CRE-
dependent jGCaMP7f into the SNc of DAT-cre mice. These data were combined in the
results. All calcium imaging experiments were performed in the presence of atropine,
sulpiride, hexamethonium chloride, and CGP55845. A white light LED (Thorlabs;
SOLIS-3C) was used in combination with a GFP filter set. A photodiode (New Focus)
was mounted on the top port of the Olympus BX-51WI microscope.

Immunohistochemistry, clearing, confocal imaging, and neural reconstructions

After electrophysiology or imaging, slices were fixed overnight in 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffer (PB, 0.1M, pH 7.6). Slices were
subsequently stored in PB until immunostaining and cleared using a modified CUBIC
protocol, chosen because it does not quench endogenous fluorescence (Susaki et al.,
2015). For the immunostaining/CUBIC clearing, all steps were performed at room
temperature on shaker plate. Slices were placed in CUBIC reagent 1 for 1-2 days,
washed in PB 3 x 1 hour each, placed in blocking solution (0.5% fish gelatin (Sigma) in
PB) for 3 hours. Slices were directly placed in streptavidin-Cy5 conjugate at a
concentration of 1:1000 in PB for 2-3 days. Slices were washed 3 times for 2 hours
each and were then placed in CUBIC reagent 2 overnight. Slices were mounted on
slides in reagent 2 in frame-seal incubation chambers (Bio-Rad SLF0601) and
coverslipped (#2 glass). Slices were imaged through 20×, 0.8 nA and 5×, 0.3 nA
objectives on an LSM 800 confocal microscope (Zeiss), and taken as tiled z-stacks
using Zen Blue software in the NINDS light imaging facility.

Main axons were reconstructed and measured using Simple Neurite Tracer in
FIJI (Longair et al., 2011). Of axons with a positively identified soma, the majority were

found in the substantia nigra *pars compacta*, with some found in the ventral tegmental
area. Striatal axons were reconstructed using Neurolucida (MBF bioscience).

Drugs

All salts and all drugs not otherwise stated were from Sigma-Aldrich. Fluo5F and
Alexa594 (Life Technologies), gabazine, d-AP5, hexamethonium chloride, oxotremorine
M, GABA, and muscimol, were dissolved in deionized water. Sulpiride, quinpirole,
picrotoxin, CGP55845 (Tocris), NBQX, and diazepam were dissolved in DMSO.
Atropine was dissolved in DMSO and then diluted 1:10 in deionized water.

<u>Quantification and Statistical Analysis</u>

Analysis was conducted in Igor Pro and Prism 8 (GraphPad). Data in text is
reported as mean ($\bar{x}$) ± SEM for parametric or median ($\tilde{x}$) for non-parametric data. Error
bars on graphs are indicated as ± SEM. Box plots show medians, 25 and 75% (boxes)
percentiles, and 10 and 90% (whiskers) percentiles. For parametric data, t-tests were
used for two-group comparison, and ANOVA tests were used for more than two group
comparisons, followed by a Bonferonni or Šidák post-hoc test for analysis of multiple
comparisons. For non-parametric data sets, Mann-Whitney U tests were used to
compare two groups while the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare more than two
groups. For linear regression analysis, the Straight Line analysis function was used in
Prism, and an extra sum-of-squares F test was performed to determine significant
differences in slope between data sets on the same plot, and to determine whether a
line or exponential decay model fits the data better. For exponential fits, the One Phase
Decay analysis function in Prism was used to fit a standard curve.

Results
Characteristics of firing in DA neuron axons – main axon and striatal terminal axons
Dopamine neurons of substantia nigra *pars compacta* form thin, unmyelinated
axons that project to the dorsal striatum through the medial fiber bundle (MFB). To
examine action potential firing in the main unbranching axon from adult mice, we used a
horizontal brain slice which preserved the connection between the cell bodies of SNc

dopaminergic neurons and their MFB projecting axons. Dopaminergic neuron axons

within the MFB were identified using the fluorescent marker proteins GFP or td-Tomato

from TH-GFP mice or DAT-CRE x Ai9, respectively. Using these optimized brain slices

in combination with marker mice enabled us to record propagating action potentials

from the main axon at distances of greater than 2 millimeters from the soma (Figure

1A).

260   To examine the characteristics of axonal action potentials, we made whole-cell

recordings from the cut ends of axons (blebs) located on the surface of the slice (Hu

and Shu, 2012; Hu et al., 2009; Shu et al., 2007). We found that many axons exhibited

spontaneous firing activity with median spontaneous rates that were nearly identical to

somatic pacemaker rates (Figure 1B; axon: $\tilde{x}$=3.3 Hz, n=41; soma: $\tilde{x}$=2.75 Hz, n=10;

Mann-Whitney U test, U=161, p=0.298), consistent with the slow, rhythmic firing

associated with dopaminergic neurons (Grace and Bunney, 1984). Axonal action

potentials had narrower half-widths (Figures 1C and D ; axon: $\tilde{x}$=0.89 ms n=27, soma:

$\tilde{x}$=1.24 ms n=10; Mann-Whitney U=59, p=0.008 two-tailed) and a more hyperpolarized

threshold relative to somatic spikes (Figure 1E; axon: $\tilde{x}$=-56 mV, n=26; soma: $\tilde{x}$=-41.7

mV n=10; U=9, p<0.0001).

271   In the axon, the voltage trajectory between action potentials was shallow in slope

(avg. dV/dt at middle 50% of the interspike interval, axon: $\tilde{x}$=9.24 mV/s, n=27), reaching

a minimum at the spike trough with little depolarization before reaching threshold. By

contrast, the somatic interspike voltage exhibited a significantly greater slope on

average (Figure 1F; avg. dV/dt, soma: $\tilde{x}$=49.9 mV/s n=10; U=22, p<0.0001), similar to

previously reported values (Khaliq and Bean, 2008). A plot of the slope of the interspike

voltage against the axonal recording distance followed a roughly exponential

relationship with the interspike slope, such that it becomes more shallow with increasing

recording distance (Figure 1G; single exponential fit, length constant, λ=211 μm, n=27;

R^2=0.70; data were fit with a single exponential significantly better than with a line:

F(1,24)=22.1, p<0.0001). Axonal recordings at distances greater than two length

constants from the soma (> 422 μm) exhibited little sub-threshold depolarization

between action potentials ($\tilde{x}$=7.3 mV/s, n=13). In sum, action potentials recorded in the

Figure 1

Figure 1: Whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings from dopamine neuron axons
A. Main axon recorded in whole-cell mode with a connected soma (filled with neurobiotin, imaged with streptavidin-Cy5, slice cleared with CUBIC, *red*); GFP driven by the TH promoter (*green*). **B.** Trace of spontaneous action potentials recorded from a dopaminergic axon (*left*). Firing rate from somatic (*n*=10) and axonal recordings (*n*=41; p=0.298) (*right*). **C.** Overlay of an axonal and somatic spike (*left*). Phase plot for a somatic and axonal action potential (*right*). **D.** Half-peak width from somatic (*n*=10) and axonal (*n*=27) APs (**p=0.008) **E.** AP threshold between soma (*n*=10) and axon (*n*=26)(****p<0.0001) **F.** Example traces of interspike voltage from obtained from axonal (*blue*) and somatic (*gray*) recordings. **G.** Slope of interspike voltage plotted against recording distance between axonal recording site (*blue*) and soma (*gray*). **H.** Post-hoc reconstruction of a patched striatal axon **I.** Trace of subthreshold depolarization (*left*) and axonal AP (*right*) evoked by 250 pA and 275 pA current injection. **J.** Input resistance values for main axon (*n*=28) and striatal axons (*n*=74) APs (****p<0.0001) **K.** Comparison of the mean interspike voltage between soma (*n*=10) main axon (*n*=21) and striatal axon, which was measured as the average resting membrane potential (*n*=74) (*p=0.032; ***p=0.0007; ns p=0.87).

Figure Supplement 1: Animated rotating movie of a striatal filled axon
Reconstructed in Neurolucida

main axons of dopaminergic neurons are narrow, with voltage thresholds that are

negative relative to somatic spikes.

Within the dorsal striatum, the axons of dopaminergic neurons branch

extensively and decrease in diameter (Matsuda et al., 2009) which raises the question

of how the properties of striatal terminal axons compare to those of the main axon.

Using perforated-patch recordings to record from axon blebs, we found that terminal

axons have a higher input resistance (Figure 1J; $\tilde{x}$=1.83 GΩ, n=74) than the main axon

($\tilde{x}$=599 MΩ, n=28, U=254, p<0.0001 two-tailed). The interspike membrane potential in

the striatal dopamine neuron axon was hyperpolarized relative to the main axon, but

both axonal compartments were more hyperpolarized relative to the average interspike

voltage in the soma (Figure 1K; terminal axon: $\tilde{x}$=-71 mV, main axon: $\tilde{x}$=-68.9 mV,

soma=-62.2 mV; Kruskal-Wallis H test χ^2(2)=13.9, p=0.001; terminal vs. main p=0.87;

terminal vs. soma, p=0.0007; soma vs. main, p=0.032). Together, these results show

that the main and terminal axons of dopamine neurons are high input resistance

compartments in which action potentials are evoked from relatively hyperpolarized

interspike voltages.

Identification of GABA-A receptor-mediated currents on dopaminergic neuron axons

Past work has shown that GABA-A receptors modulate dopamine release but

evidence that GABA-A receptors are located on dopaminergic neuron axons has been

indirect. To test for a GABA-A receptor-mediated conductance in the axon, a second

pipette was placed 30-60 μm from the axonal recording site on the main axon and

GABA (300 μM-1 mM) was locally applied by a brief (80-300 ms) pressure ejection

(Figure 2A, B). GABA puff resulted in depolarization of the axonal membrane potential

by an average of 4.86 ± 0.66 mV (n=9), which was completely blocked by the GABA-A

antagonist picrotoxin (Figure 2C; 100 μM; t(8)=6.1, p=0.0003). To verify the direct nature

of these currents, we tested the effect of increasing the concentration of intracellular

chloride on the GABA-mediated depolarization. We found that filling axons with an

internal solution containing high chloride resulted in GABA-mediated depolarizations

that were 2.76-fold larger in amplitude (Figure 2D; low Cl⁻=4.74 ± 0.66 mV, high Cl⁻=13.1

Figure 2

Figure 2: GABA-A receptors located on the axons of dopaminergic neuron are depolarizing

A. Schematic of experimental setup **B.** Example traces of pressure ejection of GABA onto the axon in control (*black*) and after application of picrotoxin (*red*) **C.** Peak of amplitude of GABA-evoked depolarization in control and after picrotoxin (*n*=9; ***p=0.0003) **D.** Peak amplitude of GABA-evoked depolarization recorded with low (*n*=5) or high (*n*=10) chloride internal solutions (***p=0.0008) **E.** Example traces overlaid from three different current injections. Timing of muscimol puff application indicated by *arrow* **F.** Peak of the muscimol-evoked depolarization plotted against the average interspike voltage. *Fitted line* was used to determine the reversal potential **G.** Paired values indicating mean interspike voltage ($V_{interspike}$) and muscimol reversal potential (E_{musc}) in individual axons (*n*=15; ****p<0.0001).

± 2.44 mV; t(13)=4.34, p=0.0008). These results provide direct evidence for the

presence of functional GABA-A receptors on the axons dopaminergic neurons.

Axonal GABA-A receptors are depolarizing

The physiological function of GABA-A receptors is closely tied to its reversal

potential, which has been shown to vary in axons across cell types from depolarizing

(Pugh and Jahr, 2011; Ruiz et al., 2010; Szabadics et al., 2006) to hyperpolarizing

(Rinetti-Vargas et al., 2017; Xia et al., 2014). Therefore, we determined the GABA-A

reversal potential in the main dopaminergic neuron axons using perforated-patch

recordings in which the intracellular chloride concentration is preserved. While holding

the axon at different membrane voltages with constant current, we applied single puffs

of the GABA-A selective agonist muscimol and then measured the amplitude of the

resulting muscimol-evoked membrane depolarization (Figure 2E-G). Our analysis

showed that the average GABA-A reversal potential in dopamine neuron axons was -

56.3 ± 2.38 mV (n=15). Importantly, we found in all recorded axons that the reversal

potential of axonal GABA-A current was always depolarized relative to the average

interspike voltage of the axon (Figure 2E-G; $V_{interspike}$=-68 ± 1.75 mV, p<0.0001).

Stronger effect of GABA-A inhibition on APs that undergo propagation

Based on our finding that GABA-A receptors are depolarizing, we next

hypothesized that activation of axonal GABA-receptors should enhance dopamine

release. Therefore, we tested the effect of axonal GABA-A receptors on dopamine

release using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry to measure extracellular dopamine in dorsal

striatum slices. Dopamine release was evoked selectively from dopaminergic fibers

using expression of the channelrhodopsin variant, CoChR. Surprisingly, we found that

muscimol suppressed dopamine release from axons within the dorsal striatum. Brief

puff application of muscimol (10 µM, 1-3 s) resulted in an inhibition of dopamine release

by an average of 19.1 ± 4.2% (Figure 3A-C; control, $\bar{x}$=94.7%; muscimol, $\bar{x}$=75.7%; F(1,

6)=20.7, p=0.004; n=7 slices).

Our data show an inhibitory effect of axonal GABA-A conductance on dopamine

release that differs from the excitatory actions of GABA-A receptors observed in most

Figure 3

Figure 3: GABA-A receptor mediated inhibition of axonal calcium signals and striatal dopamine release

A-C. Voltammetry experiments using opsin-evoked dopamine release (DAT-Cre injected with CoChR in SNc). **A.** Example voltammetry signal of dopamine in control (*black*) and during muscimol puff (*green*). *Inset:* example current-voltage plot of FSCV signal. **B.** Time course of opsin-evoked dopamine release in an example experiment. **C.** Summary data showing the main effect of muscimol puff on dopamine release measured with voltammetry. *Closed symbols* indicate individual experiments and *open symbols* indicate averaged data (p=0.004; *n*=7) **D-F.** Stimulation-evoked calcium signals in dopamine neuron axons. **D.** Experimental setup diagram **E.** Stimulation-evoked GCaMP6f signals in control (*black*) and in response to muscimol bath application (*green*). **F.** Time course comparing the effect of bath applied muscimol for GCaMP6f signals imaged near to (average 100 μm, *n*=5) and far from (average 690 μm, *n*=5; *p=0.046) the stimulation site. **G-I.** Imaging dopamine release in the dorsal medial striatum using dLight 1.2. **G.** Experimental setup diagram. **H.** Example traces of bulk dopamine release following electrical stimulation in control (black) and during muscimol bath application (green). **I.** Group data showing the time course of muscimol application on dopamine release peak amplitude measured far from the site of stimulation (average 1054 μm, *n*=9; p=0.0008). All experiments in **D-I** were done in the presence of hexamethonium chloride (200 μM).

central axons. As one possible explanation, dopamine neuron axons in the dorsal

striatum are distinguished by their highly branched structure (Matsuda et al., 2009)

which under some circumstances may present a challenge for the reliability of spike

propagation. We therefore set out to determine the influence of GABA-A receptors on

signals that have propagated through the extreme architecture of the dopaminergic

neuron terminals. To test this idea, a stimulating electrode was placed at the caudal end

of the striatum, and a burst of stimulations were elicited with a bipolar electrode (Figure

3D-F). Rises in axonal calcium were recorded either near the site of stimulation ($\bar{x}$ ~ 100

μm) or far from the site of stimulation ($\bar{x}$ ~ 690 μm). Calcium increases recorded far from

the site of stimulation were significantly more inhibited by activating GABA-A receptors

than those recorded nearby (Figure 3F, near $\bar{x}$=94.8%, far $\bar{x}$=36.7% of baseline; Šidák's

post-hoc test t(6)=3.3; p=0.046; n=5 slices for each condition).

Finally, to test the effect of GABA-A receptor activation on dopamine release for

propagating action potentials, a stimulating electrode was placed at the caudal end of

the striatum, and a burst of stimulations were elicited with a bipolar electrode (Figure

3G-I). Using dLight 1.2, dopamine release was measured distal to the site of stimulation

($\bar{x}$ ~ 1054 μm). Bath perfusion of muscimol (10 μM) depressed dopamine release by an

average of 38.2 ± 6.6% (Figure 3I; baseline $\bar{x}$=100%, muscimol $\bar{x}$=61.8%; RM 1-way

ANOVA F(2, 26)=16.5; Bonferroni's post-hoc t(8)=5.8, p=0.0008). Together, these

results suggest that GABA-A receptor activation inhibits dopamine release, and acts

more strongly on signals that propagate long distances through the axonal arbor.

Axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit through a combination of Na$_v$ channel inactivation and
shunting

To better understand how axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit dopamine release,

we tested the effect of GABA-A receptor activation on axonal action potential

waveforms. As shown in Figure 4A, the most prominent effect of GABA-A receptor

activation was a shortening of the action potential peak. Although the effect of GABA on

spike height varied between axons, we found that the peak was shortened on average

by 7.74 ± 1.83 mV (avg. peak amplitude; control, $\bar{x}$=12.5 ± 4.72 mV; GABA, $\bar{x}$=4.77 ±

5.22 mV; 2-way ANOVA Bonferroni's post-hoc t(12)=5.75; n=7, p=0.0002). This effect

was blocked completely by picrotoxin (peak reduction in picrotoxin; control peak, $\bar{x}$=-
1.86 ± 5.34 mV, GABA peak $\bar{x}$=-3.88 ± 5.02 mV; 2-way ANOVA Bonferroni's post-hoc
t(12)=1.49; n=7, p=0.32). We took advantage of the variability between axons in their
responses to GABA in order to assess the relationship between the GABA-A mediated
depolarization and spike height. Plotting data from 14 axon recordings, we found that
the reduction in spike height correlates linearly with the GABA-mediated depolarization
with a slope of -1.50 mV/mV (95% CI: -2.05 to -0.94; R^2=0.74, n=14; Figure 4C, fit to
green symbols). Therefore, larger GABA-induced subthreshold depolarizations result in
shorter axonal action potentials.

The GABA-A mediated reduction of spike amplitude likely involves two main
processes: inactivation of axonal sodium channels due to GABA-induced depolarization
(Debanne, 2015) and shunting inhibition (Xia et al., 2014; Cattaert and El Manira, 1999).
To dissect the contribution from these two processes, we compared the GABA puff
experiments in Figure 4A to separate experiments where depolarization was evoked
instead by direct current injection (Figure 4B). We reasoned that the effects of current
injection-evoked depolarization on spike height should be dominated by sodium channel
inactivation whereas shunting inhibition should be minimal under these conditions.
Plotting the spike height against current injection-evoked depolarization in Figure 4C
(brown symbols), we found that direct current injections were significantly less effective
at reducing spike peak amplitudes as compared to GABA mediated depolarization as
revealed by shallower slope of best-fit lines (Figure 4C; GABA-A activation: -1.50
mV/mV, 95% CI: -2.05 to -0.94; direct depolarization: -0.77 mV/mV, 95% CI: -0.96 to -
0.59; F(1,25)=4.39, n=29, p=0.047). We next analyzed the rate of the rise of the action
potential (dV/dt) as it reflects the maximal spike-evoked sodium current. By contrast, we
found little difference in the effect of GABA-evoked and direct current injection-evoked
depolarization on the rate of rise of axonal action potentials (dV/dt). Plots in Figure 4D
show that both manipulations slowed the rate of rise of action potentials and shared
similar dependences on subthreshold depolarization (slope of linear fits; GABA-A
activation: -3.78 %/mV 95% CI: -5.46 to -2.10, direct depolarization: -3.20 %/mV, 95%
CI: -4.57 to -1.83; F (1,17) =0.35, n=21, p=0.56).

Figure 4

Figure 4: Axonal GABA-A receptors inhibit excitability through a combination of sodium channel inactivation and shunting

A. Example axonal recording showing the effect of a brief GABA pressure ejection. Control (grey) and GABA (green) traces magnified. *A, right:* Control action potential in black and GABA-affected action potential in green. **B.** Similar experiment to A., except demonstrating the effect current injection on spike properties as opposed to GABA application. Purple line on AP upstroke denotes area of measurement for rate of rise, arrows denoting measurement of change in AP peak and change in membrane potential **C.** Effect of the amount of baseline depolarization on the decrease in peak AP amplitude, compared between GABA (green; n=14) and current injection (tan; n=15) (*p=0.047) **D.** Effect of the amount of baseline depolarization on the normalized decrease in rate of AP upstroke, compared between GABA (green; n=11) and current injection (tan; n=10) (ns p=0.564). **E.** A plot showing the relationship between decrease in rate of AP rise and decrease in AP peak, for injection of current (brown; n=8) and a brief pressure ejection of GABA (green; n=11). **F.** Example axonal recording showing spontaneously firing action potentials before the application of TTX *(top, black)* and after TTX bath perfusion, just before the action potentials cease *(bottom, red).* inset: Example phase plots for axonal action potentials before (black) and after (red) TTX perfusion. *Right:* Averaged data showing the effect of TTX on action potential peak amplitude (graphs were aligned to the beginning of TTX effect, n=5). The decrease in peak amplitude is plotted in G. **G.** Data from five individual axons showing the effect of TTX wash-in on the change in rate of action potential rise, and the change in the peak of the action potential. Each dot in data from an individual action potential, normalized to just before the perfusion of TTX. In red is the average effect. **H.** Slope and R^2 values from **E** and **G** compared.

These data suggested the peak amplitude of the action potential was susceptible

to both depolarizations and shunting inhibition, while the rate of rise was only affected

by depolarizations. In order to combine these two effects and distinguish between

shunting inhibition and depolarization-mediated inactivation of sodium channels, the

change in rate of rise was graphed against the change in peak spike amplitude. From

this relationship the added effect of shunting inhibition is clear in the significantly

steeper relationship for GABA-A receptor activation (Figure 4E slope of linear fits;

GABA-A activation: 0.44 mV/%, 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.52, direct depolarization: 0.18

mV/mV, 95% CI: 0.15 to 0.22; F (1,17) =39.9, n=19, p<0.0001).

To experimentally test the effect of sodium channel inhibition on axonal action

potentials, TTX was bath perfused while recording axonal action potentials (Figure 4F).

As the effect of TTX developed, the amplitude of the peak of the action potential was

progressively reduced, and the rate of rise was progressively slowed (Figure 4F-H). We

compared the relationship of the reduction in the peak and the slowing of the rate of rise

across groups and found that the average of the TTX condition was similar to the direct

depolarization, indicating this effect was mainly through inactivation of sodium channels.

However, GABA-A receptor activation had a significantly steeper relationship, revealing

the additional contribution of shunting inhibition (Figure 4H).

These data show that GABA-A receptors act mechanistically through both

depolarization of the axonal membrane as well as a change in the input resistance that

leads to shunting inhibition. These two effects combine to slow and shorten propagating

dopaminergic action potentials.

Benzodiazepines enhance tonic GABA activity on dopamine neuron axons

Benzodiazepines constitute a class of allosteric modulators that act on GABA-A

receptors to enhance GABA-mediated currents. Much is known about the somatic

mechanisms regulating the effects of benzodiazepines in dopamine neurons (Reynolds

et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2011); but less is known about direct axonal

effects of these drugs. Studies examining the effect of benzodiazepines on dopamine

release showed these effects are likely mediated through GABA-B receptors, and

indirectly involved GABA-A receptors on non-dopaminergic neurons (Brodnik et al.,

2018). We therefore sought to determine the contribution of GABA-A receptors on

dopamine neuron axons to the effects of diazepam.

We first tested the effect to inhibiting both GABA-A and GABA-B receptors in the

striatum in the absence of any exogenously applied agonist. We found that co-

application of GABA-A (GABAzine) and GABA-B (CGP-55845) antagonists significantly

enhanced dopamine release to 115% of baseline (Figure 5A, B; t(9)=2.99, p=0.015,

n=10). This finding is consistent with previous studies reporting a GABA tone in the

striatum (Ade et al., 2008; Gruen et al., 1992; Lopes et al., 2019).

We next wanted to know if diazepam acted in concert with this GABA tone to

inhibit dopamine release independently of GABA-B receptors. In order to isolate the

diazepam effect on dopaminergic axons, we recorded in a cocktail of synaptic blockers

targeting dopamine D2, GABA-B, muscarinic, and nicotinic receptors. While recording in

these antagonists, we found diazepam significantly decreased dopamine release

(Figure 5C; diazepam: 80.6 ± 5.9% of baseline; RM 1-way ANOVA Bonferroni's test

t(5)=3.28, n=6 slices; p=0.044). Interestingly, it has been shown that dopamine release

in the striatum is filtered, and can even be directly elicited, by excitatory drive from

cholinergic interneurons (Cachope and Cheer, 2014; Rice and Cragg, 2004; Threlfell et

al., 2012; Zhang and Sulzer, 2004). We were therefore curious to test how diazepam

affected dopamine release with cholinergic input intact. Recording dopamine release in

a cocktail of synaptic blockers targeting dopamine D2, GABA-B, and muscarinic, but not

nicotinic receptors, we found that diazepam robustly decreased dopamine release

(Figure 5D; diazepam: 50.7 ± 11.3% of baseline; RM 1-way ANOVA Bonferroni's post-

hoc t(4)=4.37; p=0.024, n=5 slices). The diazepam-mediated inhibition was significantly

greater compared to when cholinergic input was blocked (two-tailed t-test; t(9)=2.47,

p=0.035).

To understand the mechanism behind this inhibition in the dopamine neuron

axons we performed direct recordings from the axon. First, we sought to investigate

whether these axonal GABA-A receptors are directly modulated by diazepam. For this

experiment we puffed on muscimol in a voltage-clamp recording of the main axon, and

then bath perfused diazepam (10 μM). Diazepam dramatically increased the amplitude

of the muscimol-evoked current (Figure 5E,G). We also tested the effect of diazepam on

Figure 5

Figure 5: Diazepam inhibits striatal dopamine release through direct effects on axonal GABA-A receptors

A. GABA-A and GABA-B antagonists significantly increased the voltammetric detection of optically-evoked peak dopamine release. *Inset:* example CV plot for dopamine release. **B.** *Left:* Example dopamine transient in control (black) and in GZ/CGP (red). *Right:* Plot of the average increase in peak optically-evoked dopamine release (each dot is one slice; n=10, p = 0.015). **C.** Example traces of imaged dopamine release from control (black) and diazepam bath perfusion (green) conditions. *Right:* Group effect of diazepam on peak dopamine release (*n*=6; *p=0.044). **D.** Example traces of imaged dopamine release from control (black) and diazepam bath perfusion (green) conditions. *Right:* time course showing the effect of diazepam bath perfusion on peak dopamine release (*n*=5). **E.** Example step depolarization *(left)* and muscimol pressure ejection *(right)* recorded in the main axon in control (black) and diazepam bath application (green). Step depolarization and muscimol puff were performed within the same cell. **F.** Time course showing the effect of diazepam bath application on the normalized input resistance of the main axon in the medial forebrain bundle (*n*=5). **G.** Time course showing the effect of diazepam bath application on the normalized muscimol-evoked peak current (*n*=6). **H.** *Left:* example current injections to test axonal input resistance in control (black) diazepam (green) and GABA-A antagonists picrotoxin and GABAzine (red) conditions. *Right:* time course of diazepam bath perfusion followed by GABA-A antagonist bath perfusion on the normalized axonal input resistance.

468 the input resistance by giving a small voltage step (Figure 5E). We found that, in the

469 main axon, there was no effect of diazepam perfusion on the axonal input resistance

470 (Figure 5F). This set of experiments show that diazepam directly targets axonal GABA-

471 A receptors on dopamine neurons, but in the medial fiber bundle GABA-A agonists must

472 be exogenously applied to observe the effects of the drug.

473   Given the effect of diazepam on dopamine release reported above, we

474 hypothesized that diazepam might be acting in concert with the striatal GABA tone to

475 modulate dopamine neuron axons. When we recorded from striatal dopamine neuron

476 axons and bath perfused diazepam, we found that diazepam decreased the input

477 resistance of the axon in the striatum by an average of 22.7 ± 6.2% (Figure 5H), without

478 any additional application of a GABA agonist. These results indicate that diazepam acts

479 on the GABA tone to decrease the input resistance of dopamine neuron axons, thereby

480 potentiating shunting inhibition. These results show that diazepam directly targets

481 dopamine neuron axonal GABA-A receptors and works in concert with the striatal GABA

482 tone to modulate the input resistance of dopamine neuron axons. This mechanism

483 leads to an increased shunting inhibition and depresses stimulated dopamine release.

485 **Discussion**

486  Here we examine the influence of GABA-A receptors on the excitability of

487 dopaminergic neuron axons and on the release of dopamine onto targeted cells in the

488 dorsal striatum. To test this, we performed whole-cell and perforated-patch recordings

489 from the main axon located within the medial forebrain bundle as well as in the

490 branched, signaling axon located in the striatum. Using this approach, we provide direct

491 evidence that GABA-A receptors are present on the axons of midbrain dopaminergic

492 neurons. We show that these receptors modulate propagation of action potentials in the

493 axon through a combination of sodium channel inactivation and shunting inhibition.

494 Finally, we demonstrate that diazepam (Valium), a commonly prescribed broad-

495 spectrum benzodiazepine, enhances axonal GABA-A receptors which results in

496 shunting and subsequent inhibition of dopamine release. Together, these experiments

497 reveal the mechanisms of GABA-A receptor modulation of dopamine release and

provide new insight into the role of axonal GABA-A receptors in the actions of

benzodiazepines in the striatum.

Action potential firing in midbrain dopaminergic neuron axons

The shape of the axonal action potential and the pre-spike membrane potential are

critical determinants of neurotransmitter release (Augustine, 1990; Awatramani et al.,

2005; Geiger and Jonas, 2000; Rowan et al., 2016; Sabatini and Regehr, 1997). Our

data show that these features of axonal action potentials differ substantially from those

that have been classically associated with somatic firing in dopaminergic neurons

(Grace and Bunney, 1983; Ungless and Grace, 2012). For example, action potentials in

the axons of dopamine neurons are narrow with an average halfwidth of 0.89 ms, in

agreement with studies that have reported brief presynaptic action potentials in other

neuronal cell types (Alle and Geiger, 2006; Geiger and Jonas, 2000; Hallermann et al.,

2012; Kole et al., 2007). We also find that action potentials are initiated from spike

thresholds that are 14.3 mV more hyperpolarized than somatic spikes. Furthermore, the

average non-spike voltage recorded in both the main axon and striatal axon is 6.7 mV

and 8.8 mV more negative than values reported for the soma, which also fits with data

from cortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Hu and Bean, 2018).

The hyperpolarized axonal interspike potential has possible functional implications on

the control of dopamine release. First, the hyperpolarized axonal interspike voltage

would likely maximize the availability of low-threshold channels such as L- and T-type

calcium channels in axons, both of which are known to couple to dopamine release in

the dorsal striatum (Brimblecombe et al., 2015). Second, activation of somatodendritic

dopamine D2-receptors typically results in membrane hyperpolarization which then

raises the question of how these receptors control axonal excitability and transmitter

release. In the soma, D2-receptors have been shown to inhibit firing through activation

of G-protein activated inwardly rectifying (GIRK2) potassium channels (Beckstead et al.,

2004) and inhibition of the sodium leak channel NALCN (Philippart and Khaliq, 2018). In

axons however, D2-receptors are thought to activate Kv1 channels (Martel et al., 2011).

The hyperpolarized membrane potential of the axon suggests that further

hyperpolarization by Kv1 may be limited by the potassium reversal potential and may

not be the main mechanism of dopamine inhibition. Consistent with the proposal by

Martel and colleagues (2011), shunting and/or changes in spike shape are likely to

underlie the D2-dependent inhibition of dopamine release.

In somatic recordings of pacemaking, dopaminergic neurons exhibit a gradual

depolarization of the interspike voltage thought to be critical for the generation of

spontaneous activity (Kang and Kitai, 1993; Khaliq and Bean, 2008). By contrast, our

data from distal recordings show that the slope of the interspike axonal membrane

potential was shallow. The shallower interspike depolarization in the axon suggests that

pacemaking in dopaminergic neurons results largely from excitability of the soma and

dendrites. Furthermore, hyperpolarized axonal threshold potential suggests that our

recording site in the axon is distal to the site of action potential initiation, which is the

axon initial segment (Hausser et al., 1995; Shu et al., 2007). Therefore, these

observations argue against the axon as a third site of oscillation generation after the

soma and dendrites (Pissadaki and Bolam, 2013). It is important to note that although

the mixture of conductances present in axons do not favor spontaneous activity, it is still

possible that the conductances that drive somatic depolarization such as NALCN and

HCN may also be present in axons. In fact, a recent study found a positive correlation

between the length of the axon initial segment and the spontaneous firing rate,

suggesting that the axon initial segment speeds firing (Lopez-Jury et al., 2018; Meza et

al., 2018). However, a different study found that the geometry of the axon initial

segment negligibly affects the firing rate (Moubarak et al., 2019). Aside from the axon

initial segment geometry, future work should focus on determining the axonal

conductances that enable and control firing rate and spike transmission.

Axonal GABA-A receptors on dopaminergic neuron axons are depolarizing

The published literature has shown that large differences exist in the reversal

potential of axonal chloride-based conductances when comparing between neuronal

cell types. For example, a careful study of the GABA reversal potential in axon initial

segment of cortical layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons demonstrated that E_{GABA} shifts from

depolarizing to hyperpolarizing with age, eventually settling at negative values near the

somatic resting potential in adult mice (~ -87 mV, Rinetti-Vargas et al. (2017)). Similarly,

hyperpolarized GABA reversal potential values were reported from proximal axons of

layer 5 pyramidal neurons from rats (Xia et al., 2014). By contrast, axonal GABA-A

receptors on the mossy fiber bouton (Ruiz et al., 2010), cultured Purkinje neuron

terminals (Zorrilla de San Martin et al., 2017) as well as axonal glycine receptors on the

calyx of Held (Price and Trussell, 2006) have reported axonal chloride-based

conductances that are depolarizing relative to resting membrane potential.

In this study, we demonstrate that the average reversal potential of GABA-mediated

currents in dopamine neuron axons, when considered relative to the average axonal

interspike membrane potential of -68 mV, is also depolarized at -56 mV. Our recordings

were performed in adult mice (ages 6-17 weeks, median of 15.5 weeks) suggesting that

the depolarized reversal potential that we obtained represents the value in mature

axons. Interestingly, the reversal potential for somatodendritic GABA currents in

dopaminergic neurons is also depolarized at -63 mV due to relatively low expression of

the K-Cl cotransporter KCC2 (Gulacsi et al., 2003), which is similar to the average

interspike membrane potential of dopamine neurons during pacemaking. Therefore,

activation of somatodendritic GABA-A receptors reduces spiking primarily through

shunting with relatively little change in the membrane potential.

Mechanism of axonal GABA-A receptor mediated inhibition of striatal dopamine

release

Despite the depolarized GABA reversal potential in distal axons, our findings show

that activation of axonal GABA-A receptors results in inhibition of dopamine release.

Although this is consistent with work from spinal cord (Curtis and Lodge (1982); Eccles

et al. (1961); for a review see, Trigo et al. (2008)), these results stand in contrast to

previous studies that have found axonal GABA-A receptors enhance synaptic

transmission in cerebellar parallel fibers (Pugh and Jahr, 2011), hippocampal mossy

fibers (Ruiz et al., 2010), terminals of cerebellar Purkinje neurons (Zorrilla de San Martin

et al., 2017) and in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of the cortex (Szabadics et al., 2006).

What features distinguish dopaminergic neuron axons, and contribute to the inhibitory

effect of GABA-A receptors on transmitter release? The answer to this question is

currently unknown. However, one possibility is that dopaminergic neurons differ

dramatically from these other cell types in axonal architecture. For example, parallel
fibers and mossy fibers are unbranching. On the other hand, dopamine neuron axons
are among the most branching processes in the brain, forming new bifurcations an
average of 31 µm, and possessing an average total length of 467,000 µm (Matsuda et
al., 2009), from which we can estimate roughly 15,000 total branches per cell. This
unusually high frequency of branching may lead to stronger attenuation of propagating
spikes. Consistent with this, we found that activation of GABA-A receptors had only
subtle effects on axonal calcium signals at proximal imaging sites while axonal calcium
signals at distal imaging sites were dramatically reduced. Therefore, we propose that
GABA-A activation reduces the height of axonal action potentials which, in combination
with the extensive axonal branching, may have an overall effect of limiting spike
propagation and inhibiting dopamine release. More generally, the density of voltage-
gated sodium channels and other channels that support active propagation are
challenged by axonal GABA-A receptors, which may have a stronger effect in the thin,
highly branching distal axon.

Past studies have proposed that presynaptic GABA-A receptors exert their effects
through either shunting inhibition or sodium channel inactivation (Trigo et al., 2008).
Because of the lack of experimental access to the axonal compartment, however, direct
tests of this hypothesis have previously been limited to large terminal structures. In the
rat posterior pituitary nerve terminals, GABA was shown to produce large
depolarizations that led to strong inactivation of sodium channels, while shunting was
thought to play little role in inhibition of secretion from terminals (Zhang and Jackson,
1993). Here, we demonstrate in the thin, unmyelinated axons of dopaminergic neurons
that shunting and depolarization-mediated inactivation of sodium channels contribute
nearly equally to GABA-A receptor mediated alteration of action potential shape and the
subsequent inhibition of striatal dopamine release. Under conditions of tonic GABA-A
receptor activation, these two inhibitory mechanisms will be especially prominent,
particularly in an electrically tight compartment like the axon where tiny fluctuations of
GABA-A activity can cause large changes in membrane voltage and input resistance.
Furthermore, we found that these two mechanisms of inhibition differentially affect
action potential waveforms. While depolarization-mediated sodium channel inactivation

both reduces spike height and slows the rate of action potential rise, shunting inhibition
only affects spike height.
624
625
Effect of benzodiazepines on axons
Benzodiazepines can have rewarding effects that, in some cases, can lead to habit
formation (Blanco et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2011). The rewarding actions of
benzodiazepines are thought to involve potentiation of GABA-A receptors located on
inhibitory GABAergic neuron within the VTA which then results in disinhibition of VTA
dopaminergic neurons (Tan et al., 2010). As is the case with other drugs of abuse that
disinhibit dopamine neurons (e.g. opioids), benzodiazepines would be expected then to
increase the somatic firing rate and subsequent dopamine release in the striatum.
Instead, studies of awake behaving rats show that systemic diazepam administration
increases the frequency of dopamine release events but decreases the amplitude of
these release events (Schelp et al., 2018). The apparent disparity in these results can
be reconciled by our observation that axonal GABA-A receptors on dopaminergic
neuron axons are enhanced by diazepam. This enhancement of GABA-A receptors
leads to a decrease in dopamine release through a combination of shunting inhibition
and depolarization-mediated sodium channel inactivation. Therefore, we propose that
the effects of drugs that pharmacologically target GABA-A receptors such as ethanol,
barbiturates, and other sedatives should be reexamined considering their potential
effects on axonal GABA-A receptors.
Previous reports examining the effect of striatal GABA tone on dopamine release
have shown a main effect through GABA-B receptors (Brodnik et al., 2018; Lopes et al.,
2019), with GABA-A receptors on dopamine axons lacking a clear function (Lopes et al.,
2019). Our study shows that the GABA tone acts also through presynaptic GABA-A
receptors located on dopamine axons. Furthermore, drugs that potentiate GABA-A
receptors like diazepam will act on this tone to inhibit dopamine release. Yet, it is
important to also consider that tonic GABA activity within the striatum may not only
affect dopamine neuron axons. Indeed, we observed that dopamine release was more
inhibited by diazepam with nicotinic receptors available rather than inhibited. This

finding hints at an additional circuit mechanism of action for diazepam, and perhaps for

GABA-A receptors more generally, within the striatum. As GABA-A receptors have been

found on other axons throughout the central nervous system, it is plausible to

hypothesize that other neurons within the striatum also express axonal GABA-A

receptors. Thus, there could be an additive effect of potentiating GABA-A receptor

mediated inhibition when nicotinic receptors are available by diazepam acting through

both cholinergic and dopaminergic axons.

In sum, this report shows direct evidence for GABA-A receptors on dopamine

neuron axons. These receptors act mechanistically in the axon through a combination of

increased shunting inhibition and sodium channel inactivation. Functionally, this results

in reduced action potential propagation through the axonal arbor and decreased

dopamine release, especially distal to the site of action potential initiation. Finally,

benzodiazepines act directly on axonal GABA-A receptors to enhance the effects of

GABA tone in the striatum, making the axons leakier and potentially weakening signal

integration.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Veronica Alvarez (NIAAA) for input on experiments and sharing lab equipment for voltammetry experiments, and to Dr. Carolyn Smith and the NINDS Light Imaging Facility for the training and equipment to take confocal images of cleared tissue. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the Khaliq lab for their input on experiments, data presentation and the text. Funding for this research was provided by an NINDS intramural research program grant NS003134 to Z.M.K. and a Center for Compulsive Behaviors fellowship, Intramural Research Program, NIH, awarded to P.F.K.

Author Contributions

P.F.K. conducted the experiments and analyzed the data; E.L.T. conducted and analyzed immunostaining, reconstructions, and voltammetry in Figure 3. J.H.S. conducted and analyzed voltammetry experiments in Figure 5. R.Z. did the stereotaxic injections. P.F.K. and Z.M.K. designed the experiments and wrote the paper.

784   References

Ade, K.K., Janssen, M.J., Ortinski, P.I., and Vicini, S. (2008). Differential tonic GABA
conductances in striatal medium spiny neurons. J Neurosci *28*, 1185-1197.

Alle, H., and Geiger, J.R. (2006). Combined analog and action potential coding in hippocampal
mossy fibers. Science *311*, 1290-1293.

Aransay, A., Rodriguez-Lopez, C., Garcia-Amado, M., Clasca, F., and Prensa, L. (2015). Long-
range projection neurons of the mouse ventral tegmental area: a single-cell axon tracing
analysis. Front Neuroanat *9*, 59.

Augustine, G.J. (1990). Regulation of transmitter release at the squid giant synapse by
presynaptic delayed rectifier potassium current. J Physiol *431*, 343-364.

Avshalumov, M.V., Chen, B.T., Marshall, S.P., Pena, D.M., and Rice, M.E. (2003). Glutamate-
dependent inhibition of dopamine release in striatum is mediated by a new diffusible
messenger, H2O2. J Neurosci *23*, 2744-2750.

Awatramani, G.B., Price, G.D., and Trussell, L.O. (2005). Modulation of transmitter release by
presynaptic resting potential and background calcium levels. Neuron *48*, 109-121.

Bachhuber, M.A., Hennessy, S., Cunningham, C.O., and Starrels, J.L. (2016). Increasing
Benzodiazepine Prescriptions and Overdose Mortality in the United States, 1996-2013. Am J
Public Health *106*, 686-688.

Beckstead, M.J., Grandy, D.K., Wickman, K., and Williams, J.T. (2004). Vesicular dopamine
release elicits an inhibitory postsynaptic current in midbrain dopamine neurons. Neuron *42*, 939-
946.

Blanco, C., Han, B., Jones, C.M., Johnson, K., and Compton, W.M. (2018). Prevalence and
Correlates of Benzodiazepine Use, Misuse, and Use Disorders Among Adults in the United
States. J Clin Psychiatry *79*.

Brimblecombe, K.R., Gracie, C.J., Platt, N.J., and Cragg, S.J. (2015). Gating of dopamine
transmission by calcium and axonal N-, Q-, T- and L-type voltage-gated calcium channels
differs between striatal domains. J Physiol *593*, 929-946.

Brodnik, Z.D., Batra, A., Oleson, E.B., and España, R.A. (2018). Local GABA A Receptor-
Mediated Suppression of Dopamine Release within the Nucleus Accumbens. Acs Chem
Neurosci *10*, 1978-1985.

Cachope, R., and Cheer, J.F. (2014). Local control of striatal dopamine release. Front Behav
Neurosci *8*, 188.

Cachope, R., Mateo, Y., Mathur, B.N., Irving, J., Wang, H.L., Morales, M., Lovinger, D.M., and
Cheer, J.F. (2012). Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic
dopamine release: setting the tone for reward processing. Cell Rep *2*, 33-41.

Curtis, D.R., and Lodge, D. (1982). The depolarization of feline ventral horn group Ia spinal
afferent terminations by GABA. Exp Brain Res *46*, 215-233.

Dana, H., Sun, Y., Mohar, B., Hulse, B.K., Kerlin, A.M., Hasseman, J.P., Tsegaye, G., Tsang,
A., Wong, A., Patel, R.*, et al.* (2019). High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in
neuronal populations and microcompartments. Nat Methods *16*, 649-657.

Eccles, J.C., Eccles, R.M., and Magni, F. (1961). Central inhibitory action attributable to
presynaptic depolarization produced by muscle afferent volleys. J Physiol *159*, 147-166.

Ford, C.P. (2014). The role of D2-autoreceptors in regulating dopamine neuron activity and
transmission. Neuroscience *282*, 13-22.

Geiger, J.R., and Jonas, P. (2000). Dynamic control of presynaptic Ca(2+) inflow by fast-
inactivating K(+) channels in hippocampal mossy fiber boutons. Neuron *28*, 927-939.

Giorguieff, M.F., Kemel, M.L., Glowinski, J., and Besson, M.J. (1978). Stimulation of dopamine
release by GABA in rat striatal slices. Brain Res *139*, 115-130.

Grace, A.A., and Bunney, B.S. (1983). Intracellular and extracellular electrophysiology of nigral
dopaminergic neurons--1. Identification and characterization. Neuroscience *10*, 301-315.

Grace, A.A., and Bunney, B.S. (1984). The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons:
single spike firing. J Neurosci *4*, 2866-2876.

Gruen, R.J., Friedhoff, A.J., Coale, A., and Moghaddam, B. (1992). Tonic inhibition of striatal
dopamine transmission: effects of benzodiazepine and GABAA receptor antagonists on
extracellular dopamine levels. Brain Res *599*, 51-56.

Gulacsi, A., Lee, C.R., Sik, A., Viitanen, T., Kaila, K., Tepper, J.M., and Freund, T.F. (2003).
Cell type-specific differences in chloride-regulatory mechanisms and GABA(A) receptor-
mediated inhibition in rat substantia nigra. J Neurosci *23*, 8237-8246.

Hallermann, S., de Kock, C.P., Stuart, G.J., and Kole, M.H. (2012). State and location
dependence of action potential metabolic cost in cortical pyramidal neurons. Nat Neurosci *15*,
1007-1014.

Hamid, A.A., Pettibone, J.R., Mabrouk, O.S., Hetrick, V.L., Schmidt, R., Vander Weele, C.M.,
Kennedy, R.T., Aragona, B.J., and Berke, J.D. (2016). Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value
of work. Nat Neurosci *19*, 117-126.

Hausser, M., Stuart, G., Racca, C., and Sakmann, B. (1995). Axonal initiation and active
dendritic propagation of action potentials in substantia nigra neurons. Neuron *15*, 637-647.

Heikkinen, A.E., Moykkynen, T.P., and Korpi, E.R. (2009). Long-lasting modulation of
glutamatergic transmission in VTA dopamine neurons after a single dose of benzodiazepine
agonists. Neuropsychopharmacology *34*, 290-298.

Hu, W., and Bean, B.P. (2018). Differential Control of Axonal and Somatic Resting Potential by
Voltage-Dependent Conductances in Cortical Layer 5 Pyramidal Neurons. Neuron *99*, 1355.

Hu, W., and Shu, Y. (2012). Axonal bleb recording. Neurosci Bull *28*, 342-350.

Hu, W., Tian, C., Li, T., Yang, M., Hou, H., and Shu, Y. (2009). Distinct contributions of Na(v)1.6
and Na(v)1.2 in action potential initiation and backpropagation. Nat Neurosci *12*, 996-1002.

Kang, Y., and Kitai, S.T. (1993). A whole cell patch-clamp study on the pacemaker potential in
dopaminergic neurons of rat substantia nigra compacta. Neurosci Res *18*, 209-221.

Kauer, J.A., and Malenka, R.C. (2007). Synaptic plasticity and addiction. Nat Rev Neurosci *8*,
844-858.

Khaliq, Z.M., and Bean, B.P. (2008). Dynamic, nonlinear feedback regulation of slow
pacemaking by A-type potassium current in ventral tegmental area neurons. J Neurosci *28*,
10905-10917.

Kole, M.H., Letzkus, J.J., and Stuart, G.J. (2007). Axon initial segment Kv1 channels control
axonal action potential waveform and synaptic efficacy. Neuron *55*, 633-647.

Longair, M.H., Baker, D.A., and Armstrong, J.D. (2011). Simple Neurite Tracer: open source
software for reconstruction, visualization and analysis of neuronal processes. Bioinformatics *27*,
2453-2454.

Lopes, E.F., Roberts, B.M., Siddorn, R.E., Clements, M.A., and Cragg, S.J. (2019). Inhibition of
Nigrostriatal Dopamine Release by Striatal GABAA and GABAB Receptors. J Neurosci *39*,
1058-1065.

Lopez-Jury, L., Meza, R.C., Brown, M.T.C., Henny, P., and Canavier, C.C. (2018).
Morphological and Biophysical Determinants of the Intracellular and Extracellular Waveforms in
Nigral Dopaminergic Neurons: A Computational Study. J Neurosci *38*, 8295-8310.

Martel, P., Leo, D., Fulton, S., Berard, M., and Trudeau, L.E. (2011). Role of Kv1 potassium
channels in regulating dopamine release and presynaptic D2 receptor function. PLoS One *6*,
e20402.

Matsuda, W., Furuta, T., Nakamura, K.C., Hioki, H., Fujiyama, F., Arai, R., and Kaneko, T.
(2009). Single Nigrostriatal Dopaminergic Neurons Form Widely Spread and Highly Dense
Axonal Arborizations in the Neostriatum. The Journal of Neuroscience *29*, 444-453.

Meza, R.C., Lopez-Jury, L., Canavier, C.C., and Henny, P. (2018). Role of the Axon Initial
Segment in the Control of Spontaneous Frequency of Nigral Dopaminergic Neurons In Vivo. J
Neurosci *38*, 733-744.

Mohebi, A., Pettibone, J.R., Hamid, A.A., Jenny-Mari, T.W., Vinson, L.T., Patriarchi, T., Tian, L.,
Kennedy, R.T., and Berke, J.D. (2019). Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and
motivation. Nature *570*, 65-70.

Moubarak, E., Engel, D., Dufour, M.A., Tapia, M., Tell, F., and Goaillard, J.M. (2019).
Robustness to Axon Initial Segment Variation Is Explained by Somatodendritic Excitability in Rat
Substantia Nigra Dopaminergic Neurons. J Neurosci *39*, 5044-5063.

Patriarchi, T., Cho, J.R., Merten, K., Howe, M.W., Marley, A., Xiong, W.H., Folk, R.W.,
Broussard, G.J., Liang, R., Jang, M.J., *et al.* (2018). Ultrafast neuronal imaging of dopamine
dynamics with designed genetically encoded sensors. Science *360*.

Philippart, F., and Khaliq, Z.M. (2018). Gi/o protein-coupled receptors in dopamine neurons
inhibit the sodium leak channel NALCN. Elife *7*.

Pissadaki, E.K., and Bolam, J.P. (2013). The energy cost of action potential propagation in
dopamine neurons: clues to susceptibility in Parkinson's disease. Front Comput Neurosci *7*, 13.

Pitman, K.A., Puil, E., and Borgland, S.L. (2014). GABA(B) modulation of dopamine release in
the nucleus accumbens core. Eur J Neurosci *40*, 3472-3480.

Price, G.D., and Trussell, L.O. (2006). Estimate of the chloride concentration in a central
glutamatergic terminal: a gramicidin perforated-patch study on the calyx of Held. J Neurosci *26*,
11432-11436.

Pugh, J.R., and Jahr, C.E. (2011). Axonal GABAA receptors increase cerebellar granule cell
excitability and synaptic activity. J Neurosci *31*, 565-574.

Reimann, W., Zumstein, A., and Starke, K. (1982). Gamma-aminobutyric acid can both inhibit
and facilitate dopamine release in the caudate nucleus of the rabbit. J Neurochem *39*, 961-969.

Reynolds, L.M., Engin, E., Tantillo, G., Lau, H.M., Muschamp, J.W., Carlezon, W.A., Jr., and
Rudolph, U. (2012). Differential roles of GABA(A) receptor subtypes in benzodiazepine-induced
enhancement of brain-stimulation reward. Neuropsychopharmacology *37*, 2531-2540.

Rice, M.E., and Cragg, S.J. (2004). Nicotine amplifies reward-related dopamine signals in
striatum. Nat Neurosci *7*, 583-584.

Rinetti-Vargas, G., Phamluong, K., Ron, D., and Bender, K.J. (2017). Periadolescent Maturation
of GABAergic Hyperpolarization at the Axon Initial Segment. Cell Rep *20*, 21-29.

Rowan, M.J., DelCanto, G., Yu, J.J., Kamasawa, N., and Christie, J.M. (2016). Synapse-Level
Determination of Action Potential Duration by K(+) Channel Clustering in Axons. Neuron *91*,
370-383.

Ruiz, A., Campanac, E., Scott, R.S., Rusakov, D.A., and Kullmann, D.M. (2010). Presynaptic
GABAA receptors enhance transmission and LTP induction at hippocampal mossy fiber
synapses. Nat Neurosci *13*, 431-438.

Sabatini, B.L., and Regehr, W.G. (1997). Control of neurotransmitter release by presynaptic
waveform at the granule cell to Purkinje cell synapse. J Neurosci *17*, 3425-3435.

Schelp, S.A., Brodnik, Z.D., Rakowski, D.R., Pultorak, K.J., Sambells, A.T., Espana, R.A., and
Oleson, E.B. (2018). Diazepam Concurrently Increases the Frequency and Decreases the
Amplitude of Transient Dopamine Release Events in the Nucleus Accumbens. J Pharmacol Exp
Ther *364*, 145-155.

927 Shin, J.H., Adrover, M.F., Wess, J., and Alvarez, V.A. (2015). Muscarinic regulation of
928 dopamine and glutamate transmission in the nucleus accumbens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
929 *112*, 8124-8129.

930 Shu, Y., Duque, A., Yu, Y., Haider, B., and McCormick, D.A. (2007). Properties of action-
931 potential initiation in neocortical pyramidal cells: evidence from whole cell axon recordings. J
932 Neurophysiol *97*, 746-760.

933 Sidlo, Z., Reggio, P.H., and Rice, M.E. (2008). Inhibition of striatal dopamine release by CB1
934 receptor activation requires nonsynaptic communication involving GABA, H2O2, and KATP
935 channels. Neurochem Int *52*, 80-88.

936 Smolders, I., De Klippel, N., Sarre, S., Ebinger, G., and Michotte, Y. (1995). Tonic GABA-ergic
937 modulation of striatal dopamine release studied by in vivo microdialysis in the freely moving rat.
938 Eur J Pharmacol *284*, 83-91.

939 Starr, M.S. (1978). GABA potentiates potassium-stimulated 3H-dopamine release from slices of
940 rat substantia nigra and corpus striatum. Eur J Pharmacol *48*, 325-328.

941 Sulzer, D., Cragg, S.J., and Rice, M.E. (2016). Striatal dopamine neurotransmission: Regulation
942 of release and uptake. Basal Ganglia *6*, 123-148.

943 Susaki, E.A., Tainaka, K., Perrin, D., Yukinaga, H., Kuno, A., and Ueda, H.R. (2015). Advanced
944 CUBIC protocols for whole-brain and whole-body clearing and imaging. Nat Protoc *10*, 1709-
945 1727.

946 Szabadics, J., Varga, C., Molnar, G., Olah, S., Barzo, P., and Tamas, G. (2006). Excitatory
947 effect of GABAergic axo-axonic cells in cortical microcircuits. Science *311*, 233-235.

948 Tan, K.R., Brown, M., Labouebe, G., Yvon, C., Creton, C., Fritschy, J.M., Rudolph, U., and
949 Luscher, C. (2010). Neural bases for addictive properties of benzodiazepines. Nature *463*, 769-
950 774.

951 Tan, K.R., Rudolph, U., and Luscher, C. (2011). Hooked on benzodiazepines: GABAA receptor
952 subtypes and addiction. Trends Neurosci *34*, 188-197.

953 Threlfell, S., Lalic, T., Platt, N.J., Jennings, K.A., Deisseroth, K., and Cragg, S.J. (2012). Striatal
954 dopamine release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. Neuron *75*,
955 58-64.

956 Trigo, F.F., Marty, A., and Stell, B.M. (2008). Axonal GABAA receptors. Eur J Neurosci *28*, 841-
957 848.

958 Ungless, M.A., and Grace, A.A. (2012). Are you or aren't you? Challenges associated with
959 physiologically identifying dopamine neurons. Trends Neurosci *35*, 422-430.

960 Xia, Y., Zhao, Y., Yang, M., Zeng, S., and Shu, Y. (2014). Regulation of action potential
961 waveforms by axonal GABAA receptors in cortical pyramidal neurons. PLoS One *9*, e100968.

Zhang, H., and Sulzer, D. (2003). Glutamate spillover in the striatum depresses dopaminergic
transmission by activating group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. J Neurosci *23*, 10585-
10592.

Zhang, H., and Sulzer, D. (2004). Frequency-dependent modulation of dopamine release by
nicotine. Nat Neurosci *7*, 581-582.

Zhang, S.J., and Jackson, M.B. (1993). GABA-activated chloride channels in secretory nerve
endings. Science *259*, 531-534.

Zorrilla de San Martin, J., Trigo, F.F., and Kawaguchi, S.Y. (2017). Axonal GABAA receptors
depolarize presynaptic terminals and facilitate transmitter release in cerebellar Purkinje cells. J
Physiol *595*, 7477-7493.
972