Characterizing the tumor microenvironment of metastatic ovarian cancer by single cell transcriptomics

Susan Olalekan[1*], Bingqing Xie[1], Rebecca Back[1], Heather Eckart[1], Anindita Basu[1,2*]

1 Department of Medicine, University of Chicago
2 Center for Nanoscale Materials, Argonne National Laboratory

*Correspondence: Anindita Basu (onibasu@uchicago.edu), Susan Olalekan (solalekan@uchicago.edu)

44
45
Abstract

Epithelial ovarian cancer is a highly heterogenous disease with a common metastatic site, the
omentum. The presence of CD8$^+$T cells within the tumor is associated with overall survival
however not every patient responds to checkpoint immune blockade aimed at restoring T cell
function. Determining if a patient has T cells that respond to immunotherapies, their
characteristics and how they can be manipulated to target cancer cells is an area of intense
investigation in cancer therapy. The objective of this study was to determine the cellular
composition and their transcriptional state in metastatic ovarian cancer samples using single cell
RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq). Hierarchical clustering stratified our patient cohort into 2 main
groups: a high T cell and 2) a high monocyte signature group suggesting differences in immune
response. To assess the immune response in these patient samples, we performed an
unsupervised clustering of the T cell population in the two groups. The T cell population clustered
into 4 and 3 subpopulations in the high T cell and high monocyte group respectively. A granulysin
expressing T cell population was unique to the High T cell group. Interestingly, although both
groups had resident memory CD8$^+$T (CD8$^+$Trm) cells, only the CD8$^+$Trm cells in the high T cell
group expressed TOX, a recently described transcription factor. TOX confers longevity to T cells
within immunosuppressive environment such as cancer. Along with TOX$^+$ T cells we found unique
B cells and other novel macrophage clusters in our high T cell response group. In conclusion, our
scRNA-seq data reveal novel subsets of immune cells that may contribute to the success of
immunotherapy in ovarian cancer patients.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal malignancy of the female reproductive tract[1]. Conventional
therapy involving cytoreductive surgery and chemotherapy are 90% effective when cancer is
diagnosed at the early stage when it is still restricted to one or both ovaries. Unfortunately, most
ovarian cancer cases are diagnosed at stage III when the cancer has metastasized and the
diagnosis in these patients result in a 30% 5-year survival rate[2]. Additionally, the efficacy of novel
therapies such as immunotherapy is not consistent among cancer patients. We therefore need
biomarkers for early clinical detection and that are predictive of patient response to novel
therapies. Furthermore, to develop efficacious therapies for metastatic ovarian cancer, we need
to define the cellular heterogeneity and the transcriptional state within the tumor
microenvironment. Immunohistochemical staining and flow cytometry have been useful in
categorizing the cell types based on specific cell surface markers. However, cell classification
solely on the basis of phenotypic markers masks the heterogeneity within individual cell types.
Bulk profiling studies average gene expression and fail to identify the respective contribution of
cell subsets. Alternatively, single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) has emerged a powerful tool
to interrogate tumor composition revealing previously uncharacterized cellular heterogeneity as
well as revealing gene regulatory networks within the tumor microenvironment[3-8].

Although epithelial ovarian is a highly heterogenous disease, all histotypes commonly
metastasize to the omentum. The omentum is mainly an adipose tissue in the peritoneal cavity
containing aggregates of immune cells in areas called milky spots. These milky spots act similar
to lymph nodes, collecting and responding to antigen within the peritoneal cavity. Interestingly,
ovarian cancer cells preferentially colonize adipose tissue with milky spots in the peritoneal
cavity[9]. Additionally, adipocytes provide adipokines and act as a source of energy for ovarian
cancer cells[10]. It is likely that integrated signals from adipocytes and immune cells make the
omentum a focal point of metastasis of ovarian cancer. In high grade serous ovarian carcinoma,
the most common histotype, the presence of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with
significantly longer overall survival suggesting that not all immune cells encourage metastasis of
ovarian cancer[11]. In this current study, we use scRNA-seq technology to examine the cells within
omental tumors from 6 patients with different histotypes of ovarian cancer. We identified 12 cell
clusters including epithelial and stromal populations. Patients were divided into two groups
based on immune signatures; 1) T cell response 2) monocyte response group. This
unprecedented concurrent transcriptomic analysis of all cells within metastatic ovarian cancer
unravels patient specific response to cancer and highlights patients suitable for cancer
immunotherapy.

Materials and methods

Tissue collection

Ovarian cancer tissue was collected from women undergoing debulking surgery at the University
of Chicago. Human tissue acquisition after patient deidentification was approved by the
university of Chicago institutional review board for human research. Ovarian cancer tissue was
histologically carcinoma classified and staged by a pathologist according to tumor-node-
metastasis (TMN) and/or international federation of gynecology and obstetrics (FIGO)
classifications (Table 1). Three of the ovarian cancer samples originated from the left fallopian
tube (with associated serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC)).
137
138   **Immunohistochemistry**
139
Tissue sections were fixed overnight in 4% formaldehyde at 4^0C. After serial dehydration, the
tissues were embedded in paraffin and cut into 5μm thick sections. Histological evaluation was
done with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Immunohistochemical staining was performed to
confirm the presence of cytokeratin-7 (Thermofisher), pan-vimentin (DAKO), CD45 (Agilent
Technologies), CD3 (Human envision) and CD19 cells. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized and
rehydrated through xylenes and serial dilutions of EtOH to distilled water. Tissue sections were
incubated in citrate buffer, pH 6 and heated in a microwave oven. Anti-cytokeratin-7(1:1000),
anti-Vimentin (1:100), anti-CD45 (1:100), anti-CD3 (1:100), and anti-CD19 (1:200) antibodies
were applied on tissue sections along with one-hour incubation at room temperature in a
humidity chamber. The antigen-antibody bindings were detected with labeled polymer-HRP
Envision system (DAKO, K4007) and DAB+ chromogen (DAKO, K3468) system. Tissue sections
were briefly immersed in hematoxylin for counterstaining and were covered with cover glasses.
152
153   **Tissue digestion, Red blood cell lysis and Dead cell removal**
154
Ovarian cancer tissue obtained from the anatomic pathology department was transported in
DMEM/F12 containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S (10% DMEMF/12) on ice to the laboratory. Ovarian
cancer tissue was minced manually with a scalpel and enzymatically digested using 1.5 mg/ml
collagenase IV (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mg/ml hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 500 μg/ml. DNase I
(GoldBio) in Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) in a 37 ^{0}C shaker (200rpm) for 0.5 − 2 h.
Following digestion, cells were resuspended in 10% DMEMF/12 and filtered serially through 70
μm and 40 μm strainers. Red blood cells were lysed by incubating the cell suspension in RBC lysis
buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2-5 minutes. Lysis was quenched by adding excess 10% DMEMF/12.
The number of live cells was enriched using the dead cell removal kit (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-090-
101) according to manufacturer's instructions.
165
166   **Drop-seq Experiments**
167
Drop-seq experiment was performed as previously described[12]. Briefly, cells and barcoded beads
were loaded at a concentration of 100,000 cells/ml in PBS-BSA and 120,000 beads/ml in lysis
buffer in separate 3 ml syringes. Drop-seq experiments were run using a 125-micron microfluidic
device at 16 ml/hr (oil), 4 ml/hr (cells) and 4 ml/hr (beads) with ~15 minutes per collection.
Following collection, drops were broken and barcode beads with mRNA hybridized unto them
were collected and washed. Barcoded cDNA attached to the barcode beads or STAMPS were

generated by reverse transcription, treated with exonuclease 1 and the number of STAMPs was
counted. 5000 STAMPSs were aliquoted per well in a 96-well plate and the cDNA attached to the
STAMPS were amplified through 14 PCR cycles. PCR supernatants from each well were pooled
and cleaned with Ampure beads. Purified cDNA product was quantified using Qubit 3.0
(Invitrogen) and 450-650 pg of each sample was used as input for Illumina Nextera reactions (12
cycles). Tagmented libraries were quantified using an Agilent BioAnalyzer High sensitivity chip
according to manufacturer's recommendations before submission for sequencing on Illumina's
Nextseq 500, using NextSeq 75 cycle v3 kits. Paired end sequencing was performed on the
samples with 5% Illumina PhiX Control v3 added, with 20 bp for Read 1 and 64 bp for Read2 using
custom   Read1   primer,   GCCTGTCCGCGGAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTAC,   according   to
manufacturer's instructions.

185
186
187

From alignment of the reads collected from the Dropseq experiments, read count matrices for
unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) were generated for both exon regions and intronic regions
in the human genome (gencode hg38 v.27) using the STAR version 2.5.3 aligner[13,14]. The ovarian
cancer samples from patients' metastatic site omentum were sequenced with Drop-seq. A total
of 13 sequencing runs were performed for six patients metastatic site samples using Drop-seq
where each sample was sequenced twice to maximize the sequencing depth and 3401 sample
was sequenced three times. Each sequencing run produced paired-end reads, with one pair
representing the 12 bp cell barcode and six bp unique molecular identifier (UMI), and the second
pair representing a 60 bp mRNA fragment. The paired-end reads from the same samples were
merged and six paired-end fastq files were generated. A snakemake protocol based pipeline was
applied to process the paired-end reads from each sequencing run and produce a count matrix
representing the expression of every gene in every cell (Supplementary Methods)[15]. Individual
count matrices were produced for each of the six patients after accounting for UMI duplicates.

201
The individual count matrix was produced for each patient with a total number of six count
matrices. The summarized counts for each gene were inferred based on both exon and intronic
reads to produce the gene expression matrix per sample. Expression matrices of six ovarian
cancer samples from the metastatic sites in the omentum were generated. To select high quality
cells, we applied a filter based on the number of genes detected per cell. Prior to filtering, each
sample produced approximately 5000 cells. Based on the median number of genes captured, cells
with less than 800 genes were removed from the data sets. For lower quality samples, the
threshold was lowered to 600 to 700 as shown in Table 2. We followed a standardized pipeline
implemented in single cell analysis tool suite Seurat v3.0.2 [16,17]. A global-scaling normalization
method that normalizes the feature expression measurements for each cell by the total
expression, multiplies this by a scale factor of log (TP10k) was applied to all samples[17]. Each
normalization matrix was then scaled by a linear transformation to center the mean gene
expression of cells and normalized the gene expression variance. We applied PCA on the
normalized expression matrix to extract the variable components in the sample data, followed
by a heuristic elbow plot on the variance of each PC. We selected the number of top variant PCs
based on the elbow plot and the number varies from 10 to 15 depending on the sample. The top

PCs were used in further exploration of the data, such as UMAP /tSNE dimension reduction,
construction of K-nearest neighbor graph and other graphical clustering analysis.
220
221 **Cell type classification (correlation with CellAtlas)**
222
To assign the cell type to individual cells, we used a bulk sequencing gene expression data-set
from 95 cell lines collected by Cell Atlas[18] which cover 33 majority of cell types in normal human
tissue, including common immune cells, endothelial, epithelial, fibroblast, mesodermal cells and
so on. The cell lines can be further divided in to fine-grained 60 subtypes. We inferred the
similarity between individual cells in the samples and cell lines by calculating the pairwise
Pearson correlation matrix $C=\{c(i,j)\}$ among any cell, i, from the Drop-seq experiments with any
cell line, j, in the cell atlas. We performed a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) modularity
optimization-based clustering on the CellAtlas cell lines to group the cell lines into larger and
more general cell type categories. This was done to eliminate the bias in CellAtlas dataset and
remove some rare or under-powered cell types. The resulting clusters of cell lines were
annotated by the most frequent major cell type from the cell line groups. For each cell in our
cancer sample, the cell type was then assigned with the most common cell type from top 5 highly
correlated major cell type clusters. We collapsed few ambiguous cell types with the neighboring
cell types based on their expression profiles. The cell sub-type was assigned with the most highly
correlated cell-type from the 60 sub-types in the cell lines.
238
239 **Classification with cluster markers, canonical genes, and genetic functions**
240
Sometimes the Gene Ontology (GO) and Pathway analysis gives general/noisy functional
categories which make certain cell types hard to be identified. By leveraging prior knowledge
from the CellAtlas mapping, we were able to locate the relevant function categories for those cell
types and narrow down their marker genes more efficiently[18]. Moreover, by the consensus of
both GO and CellAtlas analyses, we obtained higher confidence in classifying cell types in each
patient sample.
247
We used the cell types obtained from the cell Atlas correlation as baseline and curated the cell
types manually using canonical genes information and functional association for cell types
detected. The differentially expressed gene markers were extracted from cell clusters. Cross-
referencing was done between gene markers and known canonical genes to the cell types. Gene
ontology and pathway-based enrichment was performed on the gene markers to provide
additional evidence for the cell type assignment. As a result, we identified 9 major cell types
which include epithelial cells, fibroblast cells, three immune cell types, viz., B cells/B cell plasma,
T cells, and monocytes, mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), embryonic stem cells (ESC), and
endothelial cells.
257
258 **Trajectory analysis**
259
We selected cells based on the classified cell types and performed trajectory analysis using
monocle for single cell type and monocle 3 for multi-cell types[19,20]. The trajectory analysis in

monocle was to reduce the high dimensional gene expression matrix onto a tree structure using
DDR tree[20]. This method produces a single tree with multiple branches. The monocle3 was based
on UMAP dimension reduction and the resulting trajectory can be disconnected so that the
trajectory path for various cell types can be shown simultaneously[21].
266
267

Results

269
270 **Patient cohort**
271
Ovarian cancer samples were collected from the metastatic site (the omentum) of six patients
(Table 1). Four patients were diagnosed with high grade serous cancer, one with serous
carcinoma and one with malignant mixed Mullerian tumor (MMMT). The ages of the patients
ranged from 46 to 71 years. All tumors were diagnosed at stage III or later. Four of the patients
had received neo-adjuvant therapy prior to surgery including the patient diagnosed with MMMT.
The reported ethnic background of patients includes two Whites, two Blacks and two Asians.
278
279 **Characteristics of the Tumor microenvironment**
280
Normal omentum is comprised mainly of adipocytes as well as aggregates of leukocytes called
milky spots[22]. Adipocytes act as a source of energy for ovarian cancer during invasion of the
omentum[10]. This results in an inverse relationship between ovarian tumor growth (cancer and
cancer associated cell growth) and reduction of adipocytes within the omentum[10]. The area of
tumor occupied by adipocyte decreases with disease score[23]. To quantify the transformation of
the omentum by ovarian cancer cells, we used Imagescope, a digital histopathology software, to
annotate and calculate the area occupied by adipocyte in each patient sample on hematoxylin
and eosin (H&E) stained sections (Figure 1A.) The area of adipocyte was reported as a percentage
of the total surface area of the sample used as a measure of disease score as previously described
by Pearce et al.[23] which we also used (Figure 1B). Two samples, PT-3401 and PT-5150 had the
highest percent area of adipocyte which we categorized as low disease score while the other 4
samples are categorized as high disease score. The presence of cancer cells, total stromal cells
and immune cells were confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) using antibodies against
cytokeratin-7 (CK-7), vimentin and CD45 antibodies (Figure 1C-H). There was a varying proportion
of each cell type across patients. Notably, we observed that malignant cells in some patients (PT-
5150, PT- 3232, PT-4806 and PT-2834) double stained for CK-7 and vimentin suggesting active
epithelial mesenchymal transition (Figure 1C-F). In addition, the MMMT (PT-2834) sample had
two different types of malignant cells that stained separately for CK7 and vimentin. Incidentally,
the proportion of vimentin staining cells made up by CD45^{+} immune cells positively correlated
with the area of adipocytes (Figure 1B&H). We also observed that these immune cells were
enriched around the remaining adipocytes and sparsely throughout the rest of the tissue.
Together, these data reveal patient variability in cancer cells in different histological types of
ovarian cancer and positively correlates the presence of adipocytes and immune cells.
304

Generation of single cell Data, Cell-type clustering and cell type assignment

For Drop-seq experiments, tumors samples were enzymatically digested into a single cell suspension and ran through drop-seq experiment as previously described[12]. We integrated all six omentum samples resulting in a gene expression matrix which contains the expression values of 9885 cells and 40947 genes mapped from the human reference genome (version GRCh38). A minimum cut off of 600-800 genes per cell was set as shown in Table 2. The clustering was performed by hierarchical clustering using resolution of 0.2 where 12 clusters are detected (Figure 2A). The cells from each sample have membership across all clusters (Figure 2B). For each cluster, a one-against-all upregulated markers detection was performed using Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and genes with adjusted P-value < 0.05 were identified as significantly upregulated gene markers. The dimension reduction was done using both t-SNE and UMAP and was found to be consistent. The cell types were assigned and curated by cell line correlation, canonical genes and functional categories for the significantly differentially express genes on the detected clusters. 9 major clusters out of 12 were identified with cell types (Figure 2C). This included 1 cluster for malignant cells, 1 cluster for fibroblast, 1 cluster for mesenchymal stem cells, 1 cluster for embryonic stem cells, 1 cluster for endothelial cells. Unsupervised clustering of the total cell population of the different patients revealed three groups (Figure 2D); the first group (PT-6885 and PT-4806) had high ratios of epithelial and fibroblast clusters. The second group had a high epithelial and T cell ratio (PT-3401 and PT-5150) and the final cluster was driven by a high epithelial cell ratio (PT-3233 and PT-2834). Neo-adjuvant therapy has been previously described to shift the cellular landscape from epithelial to immune cells in high grade serous ovarian cancer samples[24]. Although we had 4 neo-adjuvant treated patient samples, only two (PT-6885 and PT-4806) clustered together in the epithelial/fibroblast group. The neoadjuvant treated sample in the epithelial group was from the MMMT patient with no significant shift to immune cells. Finally, the neo-adjuvant sample in the high T cell group had the highest percentage of immune cells. These scRNA-seq data reconfirms the heterogeneous nature of the tumor environment as well as the heterogenous response to therapy.

Cancer cell clustering analysis

All 6 patient samples were merged and cells belonging to the central clusters connected to epithelial cells shown in Figure 3 were selected. The corresponding cell types are epithelial cells and ESC according to the cell types assignment results from all cellsAtlas[18]. There was a total of 4,733 cells in this subset. We detected 7 clusters using resolution 0.2 (Figure 3A&B). Cluster 0 and 3 highly expressed EpCAM (Figure 3E) that has previously been associated with platinum resistance and metastasis in ovarian cancer[25]. Cluster 0 expressed the highest levels of IL-6 and TGF-β (Figure 3C&D). IL-6 enhances tumor cell survival and platinum resistance and correlates with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer while TGF-β induces an aggressive cancer phenotype [26-29]. In addition to EpCAM, Cluster 3 highly expressed NDRG1 and other epithelial markers such as KRT18, KRT19 and CD24 (Figure 3E). NDRG1 is activated by p53 and was shown to inhibit proliferation of metastatic lung cancer cells [30,31]. Another ovarian cancer marker, PAX8, was expressed by cluster 2, along with high expression of MALAT1 and MUC16 (Figure 3E). High expression of MALAT1 is associated with advanced disease, recurrence and reduced survival[32].

MUC16 is a biomarker of ovarian cancer and necessary for tumor cell growth and metastasis[33,34].
Cluster 1 expressed vimentin along with high MKI67 and PCLAF indicative of a proliferating
population. Cluster 5 also expressed PCLAF, a marker of proliferation, as well as FN1 and ZEB1
that are markers of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). Cluster 6 highly expressed
vimentin, MKI67 (marker of proliferation), S100A4, a protein implicated in tumor and progression
and metastasis(Figure 3E)[35]. These highly expressed markers, along with comparatively lower
expression of EMT markers (ZEB1 and ZEB2) in cluster 6 are suggestive of cells undergoing
mesenchymal to epithelial transition (Figure 3E). We also investigated the presence of clusters
expressing classical ovarian cancer stem cells markers including CD33, CD44, CD117 and CD24[36].
However, we did not observe cells co-expressing these markers, which may be due to the
problem of low sensitivity and drop-outs in our single-cell data. These distinctive clusters reveal
the heterogeneity within ovarian cancer population at any given time and the multiple processes
that cancer cells harness to progress disease.
362
363   **Immune cellular profile of patient samples**
364
The milky spots of the omentum is normally composed of 70% macrophages, 10% T cells and 10%
B cells along with mast cells and stromal cells[22]. During the initial phase of metastasis and
colonization of the omentum, additional macrophages are recruited from the peritoneal cavity
without any anti-tumor effect on the cancer cells[37,38]. The presence of T cells positively correlates
with overall survival[39,40]. To further investigate the immune population in the metastatic
omentum tissue, we selected the immune cells from the six patient samples and divided the cells
into 3 major categories T cell (956), B cell (409)/B cell plasma (358) and monocytes (695). The T
cells can be further divided into CD4 and CD8 positive clusters based on the violin plot of the CD4
and CD8 genes. B cells and plasma cells were distinguished by the B cell specific marker gene
CD19 where the expression of CD19 was turned down in plasma cells. The monocytes exhibited
a combination of macrophages and monocytes. Similar samples were grouped by a dendrogram
resulting in 2 main groups (Figure 4A): 1) a T cell signature group (2 samples) and 2) a monocyte
signature group (4 samples). These groups are similar to our previously described low and high
disease score (Figure 1). In addition, the high T cell group also expressed a high B cell signature
which was absent in the samples with the monocyte/macrophage signature group. Interestingly,
there was a difference in the samples of the high T cell group between the neo-adjuvant (PT-
5150) and the non-neoadjuvant treated sample (PT-3401). The neoadjuvant sample had a higher
ratio of plasma B cells (64.4%) than the sample from the non-neo-adjuvant sample (28.0%)
(Figure 4B). Conversely the neoadjuvant sample had a lower ratio of B cell cluster
1(naïve/memory B cells) (35.6%) compared to the non-neo-adjuvant sample (72.0%). CD20^{+} B
cells colocalized with CD8^{+} T cells positively correlated with overall survival[41]. Contrastingly,
plasma cell infiltration in epithelial ovarian cancer significantly reduced overall survival[42]. Taken
together this data suggests there is varied immune response to neoadjuvant therapy amongst
sample/patients and a need for personalized novel therapies.
389
390   **Differences in T cell clustering and subtype analysis**
391

We classified the 6 omentum samples into two disease groups based on the adipocyte ratio
detected in the samples as well as the dendrogram grouping. Higher disease score (HighDS)
corresponds to lower adipocyte and T cell ratio while the low disease score (LowDS) group had
higher adipocyte and T cell ratio. The HighDS group (samples PT- 4806, PT-6885, PT-2834, PT-
3233) and LowDS group yielded 5190 and 4695 total cells respectively. To reveal the functional
subtypes of T cells and any difference in the HighDS versus LowDS groups, we clustered a total of
956 total T cell, 820 LowDS T cells and 136 HighDS T cells (Figure 5A). A total of 4, 4 and 3
transcriptionally distinct clusters emerged from total, LowDS and HighDS T cells respectively.
(Figure 5A-C). The top genes revealed clusters similar to previously described T cell phenotypes
in breast cancer including CD4+IL7R, CD4+FOXP3, resident memoryCD8+T cells (CD8+Trm cells) and
one population described in lung cancer with high granulysin expression CD4+GNLY[43,44]. Although
there were fewer T cells in the HighDS group they clustered into 3 groups. Both groups had
LowDS group. CD8+Trm cells had the highest expression of IFN-γ in both groups (Figure 5B).
Additionally, although both groups had CD8+Trm cells, only the CD8+Trm cells (P value < 0.004) in
the LowDS group expressed a recently described transcription factor, TOX, which is the master
regulator of exhausted T cells (Figure 5C)[45,46].   TOX was also lowly expressed by the GNLY+T cells
(p value = 0.407). These TOX+ T cells persist during chronic infection and TOX is expressed on the
CD8+T cells that are reactivated in response to PD-L1 immunotherapy. These data suggest that
scRNA-seq is capable of determining patients that will benefit from novel therapy.
411
412   **Transcriptionally distinct plasma cell clusters in LowDS group.**
413
B cells studies in ovarian cancer has been limited to their effect on survival. CD20+ B cells
colocalized with CD8+ T cells positively correlated with overall survival[41]. Contrastingly, plasma
cell infiltration in epithelial ovarian cancer significantly reduced overall survival[42]. These surface
markers alone are not informative about the transcriptional state or function of B cells. To
investigate the B cell subtypes in our samples, B cells and plasma cells were distinguished by the
B cell specific marker gene CD19 where the expression of CD19 was turned down in plasma cells.
In the LowDS group there were a total of 396 B cells and 274 plasma cells while there was a total
of 124 B cells detected in the HighDS group. Unsupervised clustering on combined B cells
revealed a total of 3 clusters (Figure 6A); naïve/effector B cells, plasma B cells and a third cluster
enriched with cycling genes. When we performed unsupervised clustering on the two groups
separately, the LowDS and HighDS group had 4 and 2 clusters respectively (Figure 6B&C). In the
LowDS group, cluster 0 had high expression of MHC class II genes, cluster 1 and 2 were both
positive for BLIMP1 indicating they are plasma cells. However, cluster 2 highly express CD38 and
CD138 indicative of post germinal center B cells. Both cluster 1 and 2, highly expressed class
switched IGHG. Finally, cluster 3, highly expressed proliferative genes and low Blimp1 suggesting
a plasmablast population. In the HighDS group, cluster 0 highly expressed CD24 a naïve B cell
marker while cluster 1 highly expressed BLIMP1. Post germinal center B cells were absent in this
group.
432
433   **Identification of novel macrophage subsets**
434

The samples assigned to the HighDS group had a low T cell to monocyte ratio (0.4: 1) compared
to the LowDS group (2.5:1). To assess the differences in the macrophage population, we
performed unsupervised clustering on both groups combined (Figure 7A) and separately (Figure
7B&C). There were 4 clusters in the LowDS group and 2 clusters in the highDS. Both groups had
a CD14^{+}CD16^{+}CD163^{+} cluster reminiscent of tumor associated macrophages. In the highDS group,
there was also an immature CCR7^{+}FLT3^{+} population. These FLT3^{+} progenitors can differentiate
into osteoclasts, dendritic cells, microglia and macrophages[47]. The other clusters in the high T cell
group included CCR7^{+}CD274^{+} which suggest a regulatory population likely similar to MDSCs. IRF8^{+}
expressing macrophages (Cluster 2) are induced in the presence of IFN-γ and promote the
formation of autophagosome[48]. The final cluster highly expressed NR1H2 inhibits inflammatory
genes in macrophages[49,50].
446
447

Discussion

In this study we analyzed approximately 10,000 cells from 6 patients with 3 histotypes of
epithelial ovarian cancer at the most common metastatic site, the omentum. The resolution of
our dataset revealed 9 major clusters of which we further interrogated the cancer and immune
cells clusters. The concurrent transcriptomic analysis of cancer and stromal cells provides insights
into the interactions occurring within the tumor microenvironment that contribute to the
progression of cancer. Our single cell data was able to stratify our patients into two groups based
on their immune response.

The largest cluster of cells was cancer epithelial cells, which composed about 50% of cells
analyzed. These cells expressed a variety of genes associated with metastatic disease including
MUC16, PAX8 and MALAT1. Although we combined cells from 6 different patients, cancer cells
from each patient expressed a variety of these markers indicating that targeting any of these
genes will be redundant since there are compensatory proteins that will continue to progress
disease. Another approach to targeting tumors will be the identification of cancer stem cell in
ovarian cancer[51]. Many studies have attempted to identify these rare cells without success[52,53].
We did find a cell population closely resembling embryonic stem cells that highly express
proliferative markers. Successful identification for isolation and interrogation of these putative
ESC cells might provide useful insight about CSC cells in ovarian cancer.

Infiltration of immune cells into the omentum during metastatic cancer does not always elicit
anti-tumor responses[38]. In ovarian cancer, high tumor infiltrating T cells is associated with
significantly longer overall survival[11]. However not all patients have a high infiltration of T cells.
Additionally, the transformation of adipocytes into cancer cell described here inversely correlates
with the number of infiltrating immune cells. The mechanisms behind this difference in immune
response remains incompletely understood. There is evidence that associates immunogenicity of
neo-antigens generated due to high tumor mutational load and clinical response to
immunotherapies[54-57]. The functional plasticity of leukocytes makes them an attractive
therapeutic target. Additionally, immunotherapy avoids the obstacle of drug delivery in solid

tumors by using the cells within the tumor environment to target therapy, making cancer
immunotherapy an area of high investigation. To this end, we sought to investigate the immune
population within these metastatic tumors. From the 6 patients we investigated in this study, 2
of them had a high T cell response while the other 4 had a high monocyte response. Once we
grouped our data into two different immune groups we sought to reconcile the transcriptomic
signature in the cancer cells with the immune response. Both high T cell and high monocyte
groups had 8 cancer cell cluster. Was there any difference in these cells?

Advances in personalized medicine has revealed TOX as a transcription factor expressed by T cells
that respond to immune checkpoint block[45,58]. In our patient cohort, TOX was expressed by two
T cell subsets, CD8$^+$Trm and CD4$^+$GNLY in the high T cell group. The high monocyte group also
had the CD8$^+$Trm subset but not the CD4$^+$GNLY subset. Interestingly, there was no TOX expression
in the high monocyte group. TOX confers longevity and persistence on T cell in
immunosuppressive environment such as cancer; however, the mechanism by which these TOX$^+$
T cells are generated is not understood. Coincidentally, there is also a difference in the B cell
population. Along with the expression of TOX in T cells, the high T cell response group also had a
unique subset of BLIMP1$^+$CD38$^+$CD138$^+$ B cell population. It is likely that these antigen cognate
plasma B cells are involved in the generation or maintenance of TOX$^+$ T cells. A mechanistic study
of these cells is necessary as they may hold the key into inducing TOX$^+$T cells in patients who do
not respond to immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first scRNA-seq study that allows stratification of patients according
to immune response in metastatic ovarian cancer. Concurrent transcriptomic analysis of cancer
and stromal cells revealed intratumor heterogeneity and patient variability highlighting the need
for personalized medicine. Interrogating tumor infiltrating lymphocytes at the single cell level
also feature unique differences in other tumor infiltrating immune cells that are previously
undescribed. Mechanistic studies are required to determine the role of these cells in tumor
progression and response to novel cancer immunotherapies.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Mengjie Chen for helpful discussions on CellAtlas data and Mark Lingen for
help with tissue access. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Nanoscale Materials,
a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility, and supported by the U.S.
Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. All patient
tissues were histologically graded, de-identified and obtained from the University of Chicago
Human Tissue Resource Center. Computational resources and data storage were provided by the
University of Chicago Research Computing Center.

References

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* **67**, 7-30, doi:10.3322/caac.21387 (2017).

Testa, U., Castelli, G. & Pelosi, E. Genetic Abnormalities, Clonal Evolution, and Cancer Stem Cells of Brain Tumors. *Med Sci (Basel)* **6**, doi:10.3390/medsci6040085 (2018).

Zheng, G. X. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14049, doi:10.1038/ncomms14049 (2017).

Villani, A. C. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals new types of human blood dendritic cells, monocytes, and progenitors. *Science* **356**, doi:10.1126/science.aah4573 (2017).

Dixit, A. *et al.* Perturb-Seq: Dissecting Molecular Circuits with Scalable Single-Cell RNA Profiling of Pooled Genetic Screens. *Cell* **167**, 1853-1866 e1817, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.038 (2016).

Jaitin, D. A. *et al.* Dissecting Immune Circuits by Linking CRISPR-Pooled Screens with Single-Cell RNA-Seq. *Cell* **167**, 1883-1896 e1815, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.039 (2016).

Shalek, A. K. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics reveals bimodality in expression and splicing in immune cells. *Nature* **498**, 236-240, doi:10.1038/nature12172 (2013).

Zheng, C. *et al.* Landscape of Infiltrating T Cells in Liver Cancer Revealed by Single-Cell Sequencing. *Cell* **169**, 1342-1356 e1316, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.035 (2017).

Clark, R. *et al.* Milky spots promote ovarian cancer metastatic colonization of peritoneal adipose in experimental models. *The American journal of pathology* **183**, 576-591, doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2013.04.023 (2013).

Nieman, K. M. *et al.* Adipocytes promote ovarian cancer metastasis and provide energy for rapid tumor growth. *Nature medicine* **17**, 1498-1503, doi:10.1038/nm.2492 (2011).

Santoiemma, P. P. & Powell, D. J., Jr. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* **16**, 807-820, doi:10.1080/15384047.2015.1040960 (2015).

Macosko, E. Z. *et al.* Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202-1214, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.002 (2015).

Frankish, A. *et al.* GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D766-D773, doi:10.1093/nar/gky955 (2019).

Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635 (2013).

Selewa, A. *et al.* Systematic Comparison of High-throughput Single-Cell and Single-Nucleus Transcriptomes during Cardiomyocyte Differentiation. *BioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/585901 (2019).

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nature biotechnology* **36**, 411-420, doi:10.1038/nbt.4096 (2018).

Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-1902 e1821, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031 (2019).

18   Mabbott, N. A., Baillie, J. K., Brown, H., Freeman, T. C. & Hume, D. A. An expression atlas
of human primary cells: inference of gene function from coexpression networks. *BMC*
Genomics **14**, 632, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-632 (2013).

19   Trapnell, C. *et al.* The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by
pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nature biotechnology* **32**, 381-386,
doi:10.1038/nbt.2859 (2014).

20   Qiu, X. *et al.* Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nat*
Methods **14**, 979-982, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4402 (2017).

21   Becht, E. *et al.* Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP.
Nature biotechnology, doi:10.1038/nbt.4314 (2018).

22   Platell, C., Cooper, D., Papadimitriou, J. M. & Hall, J. C. The omentum. *World J*
Gastroenterol **6**, 169-176, doi:10.3748/wjg.v6.i2.169 (2000).

23   Pearce, O. M. T. *et al.* Deconstruction of a Metastatic Tumor Microenvironment Reveals
a Common Matrix Response in Human Cancers. *Cancer Discov* **8**, 304-319,
doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-0284 (2018).

24   Shih, A. J. *et al.* Correction: Identification of grade and origin specific cell populations in
serous epithelial ovarian cancer by single cell RNA-seq. *PloS one* **13**, e0208778,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0208778 (2018).

25   Bellone, S. *et al.* Overexpression of epithelial cell adhesion molecule in primary,
metastatic, and recurrent/chemotherapy-resistant epithelial ovarian cancer: implications
for epithelial cell adhesion molecule-specific immunotherapy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* **19**,
860-866, doi:10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181a8331f (2009).

26   Coward, J. *et al.* Interleukin-6 as a therapeutic target in human ovarian cancer. *Clinical*
cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research **17**,
6083-6096, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0945 (2011).

27   Lutgendorf, S. K. *et al.* Interleukin-6, cortisol, and depressive symptoms in ovarian cancer
patients. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical*
Oncology **26**, 4820-4827, doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.14.1978 (2008).

28   Scambia, G. *et al.* Prognostic significance of interleukin 6 serum levels in patients with
ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* **71**, 354-356, doi:10.1038/bjc.1995.71 (1995).

29   Li, W. *et al.* TGFbeta1 in fibroblasts-derived exosomes promotes epithelial-mesenchymal
transition of ovarian cancer cells. *Oncotarget* **8**, 96035-96047,
doi:10.18632/oncotarget.21635 (2017).

30   Stein, S. *et al.* NDRG1 is necessary for p53-dependent apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 48930-
48940, doi:10.1074/jbc.M400386200 (2004).

31   Ellen, T. P., Ke, Q., Zhang, P. & Costa, M. NDRG1, a growth and cancer related gene:
regulation of gene expression and function in normal and disease states. *Carcinogenesis*
29, 2-8, doi:10.1093/carcin/bgm200 (2008).

32   Gordon, M. A., Babbs, B., Cochrane, D. R., Bitler, B. G. & Richer, J. K. The long non-coding
RNA MALAT1 promotes ovarian cancer progression by regulating RBFOX2-mediated
alternative splicing. *Mol Carcinog* **58**, 196-205, doi:10.1002/mc.22919 (2019).

33   Robertson, D. M. *et al.* Combined inhibin and CA125 assays in the detection of ovarian
cancer. *Clin Chem* **45**, 651-658 (1999).

34   Theriault, C. *et al.* MUC16 (CA125) regulates epithelial ovarian cancer cell growth,
tumorigenesis and metastasis. *Gynecologic oncology* **121**, 434-443,
doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.02.020 (2011).
35   Fei, F., Qu, J., Zhang, M., Li, Y. & Zhang, S. S100A4 in cancer progression and metastasis:
A systematic review. *Oncotarget* **8**, 73219-73239, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.18016 (2017).
36   Klemba, A. *et al.* Surface markers of cancer stem-like cells of ovarian cancer and their
clinical relevance. *Contemp Oncol (Pozn)* **22**, 48-55, doi:10.5114/wo.2018.73885 (2018).
37   Shimotsuma, M., Simpson-Morgan, M. W., Takahashi, T. & Hagiwara, A. Activation of
omental milky spots and milky spot macrophages by intraperitoneal administration of a
streptococcal preparation, OK-432. *Cancer research* **52**, 5400-5402 (1992).
38   Oosterling, S. J. *et al.* Insufficient ability of omental milky spots to prevent peritoneal
tumor outgrowth supports omentectomy in minimal residual disease. *Cancer Immunol*
Immunother **55**, 1043-1051, doi:10.1007/s00262-005-0101-y (2006).
39   Sato, E. *et al.* Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high
CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **102**,
18538-18543, doi:10.1073/pnas.0509182102 (2005).
40   Wouters, M. C. *et al.* Treatment Regimen, Surgical Outcome, and T-cell Differentiation
Influence Prognostic Benefit of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in High-Grade Serous
Ovarian Cancer. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association*
for Cancer Research **22**, 714-724, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1617 (2016).
41   Santoiemma, P. P. *et al.* Systematic evaluation of multiple immune markers reveals
prognostic factors in ovarian cancer. *Gynecologic oncology* **143**, 120-127,
doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.07.105 (2016).
42   Lundgren, S., Berntsson, J., Nodin, B., Micke, P. & Jirstrom, K. Prognostic impact of
tumour-associated B cells and plasma cells in epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res* **9**,
21, doi:10.1186/s13048-016-0232-0 (2016).
43   Savas, P. *et al.* Publisher Correction: Single-cell profiling of breast cancer T cells reveals a
tissue-resident memory subset associated with improved prognosis. *Nature medicine* **24**,
1941, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0176-6 (2018).
44   Guo, X. *et al.* Publisher Correction: Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung
cancer by single-cell sequencing. *Nature medicine* **24**, 1628, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-
0167-7 (2018).
45   Yao, C. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals TOX as a key regulator of CD8(+) T cell persistence
in chronic infection. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 890-901, doi:10.1038/s41590-019-0403-4 (2019).
46   Khan, O. *et al.* TOX transcriptionally and epigenetically programs CD8(+) T cell exhaustion.
Nature **571**, 211-218, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1325-x (2019).
47   Servet-Delprat, C. *et al.* Flt3+ macrophage precursors commit sequentially to osteoclasts,
dendritic cells and microglia. *BMC Immunol* **3**, 15, doi:10.1186/1471-2172-3-15 (2002).
48   Gupta, M. *et al.* IRF8 directs stress-induced autophagy in macrophages and promotes
clearance of Listeria monocytogenes. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6379, doi:10.1038/ncomms7379
(2015).

49 Castrillo, A., Joseph, S. B., Marathe, C., Mangelsdorf, D. J. & Tontonoz, P. Liver X receptor-
dependent repression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression in macrophages. *J Biol*
Chem **278**, 10443-10449, doi:10.1074/jbc.M213071200 (2003).
50 N, A. G. & Castrillo, A. Liver X receptors as regulators of macrophage inflammatory and
metabolic pathways. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1812**, 982-994,
doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.12.015 (2011).
51 Bast, R. C., Jr., Hennessy, B. & Mills, G. B. The biology of ovarian cancer: new opportunities
for translation. *Nat Rev Cancer* **9**, 415-428, doi:10.1038/nrc2644 (2009).
52 Shah, M. M. & Landen, C. N. Ovarian cancer stem cells: are they real and why are they
important? *Gynecologic oncology* **132**, 483-489, doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.12.001 (2014).
53 Burgos-Ojeda, D., Rueda, B. R. & Buckanovich, R. J. Ovarian cancer stem cell markers:
prognostic and therapeutic implications. *Cancer letters* **322**, 1-7,
doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2012.02.002 (2012).
54 Van Allen, E. M. *et al.* Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic
melanoma. *Science* **350**, 207-211, doi:10.1126/science.aad0095 (2015).
55 Rizvi, N. A. *et al.* Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-
1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science* **348**, 124-128,
doi:10.1126/science.aaa1348 (2015).
56 Snyder, A. *et al.* Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma. *N*
Engl J Med **371**, 2189-2199, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1406498 (2014).
57 Aguade-Gorgorio, G. & Sole, R. Genetic instability as a driver for immune surveillance. *J*
Immunother Cancer **7**, 345, doi:10.1186/s40425-019-0795-6 (2019).
58 Siddiqui, I. *et al.* Intratumoral Tcf1(+)PD-1(+)CD8(+) T Cells with Stem-like Properties
Promote Tumor Control in Response to Vaccination and Checkpoint Blockade
Immunotherapy. *Immunity* **50**, 195-211 e110, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.12.021 (2019).

Figure 1: A) The hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained sections with B) the percentage area of adipocytes histogram on the individual patient sample. C) Cytokeratin-7 (CK-7) staining on patient sample slices with D) the percentage of CK-7 positive histogram on the individual patient sample. E) Vimentin staining on patient sample slices with F) the percentage of Vimentin positive histogram on the individual patient sample. G) CD45 staining on patient sample slices with H) the percentage of CD45 positive histogram on the individual patient sample. Images taken at A) 40x ; C),E),G) 160x

Figure 2: A) UMAP plot for high-quality cells, based on number of genes detected per cell in all six omentum samples, colored by clustering results. B) The UMAP colored by the patients. C) UMAP plot for clusters colored and annotated by assigned cell types. D) Heatmap of cell types composition ratio in each patient sample with hierarchical dendrograms on both patients and cell types.

Figure 3: A) UMAP plot for annotated cancer cell-types only from all six omentum samples and colored by patient. B) UMAP plot colored by unsupervised clustering results. C) Violin plot for gene IL-6 expression level across all seven clusters. D) Violin plot for gene TGFB1 counts across all seven clusters. E) Feature plots of relevant marker genes.

Figure 4: A) Heatmap of immune cell types (T cell, B cell, plasma B cell, and Monocyte in each patient sample with vertical and horizontal dendrograms on both patients and cell types. B) The overall percentage of two B cell populations (B cell 1: naïve B cell; B cell 2: plasma B cell) in low disease score group, PT-3401 and PT-5150.

Figure 5: A) UMAP plot for T cells in across all six samples, annotated by T cell sub-population for total, low and high disease score. B) The violin plot of gene IFNG expression level across four T cell sub-populations in all six samples. C) The feature plot for expression level of gene TOX in low disease score samples.

Figure 6. UMAP plot for B cells in all six samples, annotated by B cell sub-population A) total B) low disease score group C) high disease score group along with feature plots for marker genes.

Figure 7. UMAP plot for monocytes in all six samples, annotated by monocyte sub-population in A) total B) low disease score group C) high disease score group along with feature plots for marker genes.

Omentum	Age	Race	Origin	Histologic type	Histological grade	Neoadjuvant therapy	Stage (PMN/FIGO)
PT-3232	46	Asian	Left fallopian (STIC)	High grade serous carcinoma	High grade	No	pT3c Nx / IIIc
PT-3401	56	White	Left ovary	High grade serous carcinoma	High grade	No	pT3c Nx Mx/ IIIc
PT-6885	66	Black	Left fallopian (STIC)	High grade serous carcinoma	High grade	Yes	ypT3c N1a / yIIIc
PT-5150	62	White	undetermined	Serous	Not applicable	Yes	ypT3a Nx M1/ Ivb
PT-2834	66	Asian	Fallopian	Malignant mixed Mullerian tumor	High grade	Yes	ypT3c Nx/ y111c
PT-4806	71	Black	Left fallopian (STIC)	High grade serous carcinoma	High grade	Yes	pT3c, N1, M1/ IIIc

Table 1. De-identified patient meta-data for all metastatic ovarian cancer tissues collected from the omentum.

	Gene Cutoff	No of Genes	No of Cells
PT-5150	600	24776	1244
PT-3401	800	32024	3451
PT-6885	800	24063	1071
PT-3232	700	19297	1102
PT-4806	700	26499	1108
PT-2834	800	26221	1909

Table 2. Filter parameters applied to samples collected from six patients and the resulting number of cells and genes per sample.