F-box protein MEC-15 promotes microtubule stability and neurite growth by antagonizing the HSP90 chaperone network in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Chaogu Zheng[1,2,*,#], Emily Atlas[2], Ho Ming Terence Lee[1], Susan Laura Javier Jao[2], Ken C. Q. Nguyen[3], David H. Hall[3], and Martin Chalfie[2,*]

[1]School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

[2]Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

[3]Department of Neuroscience, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, U.S.A.

[*]Correspondence: cgzheng@hku.hk (C.Z.), mc21@columbia.edu (M.C.)

[#]Lead contact

Summary

   Molecular chaperones often work collaboratively with the ubiquitination-proteasome system (UPS) to facilitate the degradation of misfolded proteins, which typically safeguards cellular differentiation and protects cells from stress. In this study, however, we report that the Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery antagonizes the activity of F-box protein MEC-15 to negatively regulate neuronal differentiation. Using the touch receptor neurons (TRNs) of *C. elegans*, we find that *mec-15(-)* mutants display defects in MT formation, neurite growth, synaptic development, and neuronal functions, and these defects can be rescued by the loss of Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones and cochaperones. MEC-15 likely functions in a SCF complex to degrade DLK-1, which is an Hsp90 client protein stabilized by the chaperones. The abundance of DLK-1 and likely other Hsp90 substrates are fine-tuned by the antagonism between MEC-15 and chaperones, which regulates TRN development and synaptic functions of GABAergic motor neurons. Therefore, a balance between UPS and chaperones tightly controls neuronal differentiation.

Introduction

Molecular chaperones, including the heat shock proteins (Hsps), play essential roles in protein maturation, refolding, and degradation. Although the function of Hsps in the response to stress has been extensively characterized, their roles in neuronal differentiation are much less understood. Ishimoto et al. (1998) found that Hsp90 promotes neurite extension for the chick telencephalic neurons and spinal neurons *in vitro*. More recently, pharmacological inhibition of Hsp90 by 17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG) disturbed neuronal polarization and axonal elongation of cultured hippocampal neurons (Benitez et al., 2014). Hsp90 inhibition decreased expression of two Hsp90 client proteins, Akt and GSK3, which have diverse functions in cell differentiation. Thus, Hsp90 may regulate axon specification and growth by affecting specific signaling pathways through its chaperone activity. Given that the Hsp70 and Hsp90 chaperones interact with numerous client proteins, including transcription factors, kinases, and signaling molecules (Wayne et al., 2011), the regulation of neuronal morphogenesis by the chaperones is likely to be context-dependent. Whether Hsp70 and Hsp90 chaperones and their co-chaperones can also negatively regulate neurite growth, however, is unclear.

The ubiquitination-proteasome system (UPS) often works in concert with the chaperone-mediated refolding machinery for protein quality control, which promotes degradation of numerous misfolded proteins in a chaperone-dependent manner (Buchberger et al., 2010). In developing neurons, this process safeguards the protein quality of important guidance molecules. For example, the *C. elegans* BC-box protein EBAX-1, the substrate-recognition subunit of the Elongin BC-containing Cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase (CRL), and HSP-90/Hsp90 collaboratively regulate the folding and degradation of misfolded SAX-3/Robo receptor during axonal pathfinding (Wang et al., 2013). By preferentially binding to misfolded SAX-3, EBAX-1 not only recruits Hsp90 to promote the refolding of nonnative SAX-3 but also mediates the degradation of irreparable SAX-3 through CRL activity.

Despite known examples of collaboration, UPS and molecular chaperones could theoretically have opposing effects as well, since UPS increases normal protein turnover and chaperones can enhance protein stability. The antagonism of the UPS and the molecular chaperone machinery during neuronal differentiation, however, has not, to our knowledge, been

previously reported.

In this study, we find that the F-box and WD40 repeat domain-containing protein

MEC-15 (an ortholog of human FBXW9) and ubiquitination promote microtubule (MT)

stability and neurite growth by inhibiting the activity of the Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone network.

Mutations in *mec-15* led to a range of developmental defects in the *C. elegans* touch receptor

neurons (TRNs), including the loss of microtubules (MTs), inhibited neurite growth and

branching, defects in localizing synaptic proteins, and the loss of sensory function. All these

defects in *mec-15* mutants can be rescued by removing the Hsp70 and Hsp90 chaperones and

co-chaperones, which unexpectedly suggests that the chaperones can disrupt neurite growth

and neuronal development and this activity is normally suppressed by the F-box protein and

the ubiquitination pathway. Downstream of the chaperones, we identified the MAP3 kinase

DLK-1 as an Hsp90 client protein that has MT-destabilizing activity and can inhibit neurite

growth. Therefore, our studies provided an important example of how molecular chaperones

are antagonized by the ubiquitination pathway during neuronal differentiation.

Results

The F-box protein MEC-15 is required for MT stability and neurite growth

The six *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons (ALML/R, PLML/R, AVM, and PVM) are

mechanosensory neurons that respond to gentle touch and have a simple morphology (Figure

1A-B). We previously identified a set of neomorphic tubulin mutations that caused the

formation of hyperstable MTs and the growth of an ectopic, posteriorly directed neurite in ALM

neurons (termed ALM-PN; similarly, ALM-AN is the anteriorly directed neurite of ALM;

PLM-AN and PLM-PN are the anteriorly and posteriorly directed neurites of PLM,

respectively; Zheng et al., 2017). Among those mutants, the β-tubulin *mec-7(u278;* C303Y*)*

allele had the strongest phenotype, with a very long ALM-PN extending to the tail region. By

suppressing this *mec-7(neo)* phenotype, we sought to identify genes that regulate MT stability

and neurite development. This *mec-7(u278)* suppressor screen (to be described elsewhere)

yielded a *mec-15* nonsense allele *u1042(*R26**)*, which completely suppressed the growth of

ALM-PN in *mec-7(u278)* mutants (Figure 1A-B). Another *mec-15* loss-of-function *(lf)* allele

*u75(*Q118**)* showed similar suppression. *mec-15* codes for a protein that contains a N-terminal

F-box and four WD-40 repeats (Figure 1C; Bounoutas et al., 2009b) and is orthologous to

human FBXW9.

The loss of *mec-15* also suppressed the growth of ectopic ALM-PN induced by other

mutations that increased MT stability and caused the formation of an ALM-PN (Figure 1C),

including another neomorphic β-tubulin mutation *mec-7(u1017;* L377F*)*, a *lf* mutation in a

destabilizing α-tubulin gene *tba-7*, and a *lf* mutation in a MT-depolymerizing kinesin-13 gene

klp-7 (Zheng et al., 2017). These data suggest that MEC-15, a F-box protein, is generally

required for the excessive neurite growth triggered by elevated MT stability in TRNs.

MEC-15 is also required for normal TRN morphology. *mec-15(lf)* alleles *u75* and

u1042 caused the shortening of both PLM-AN and PLM-PN in an otherwise wild-type

background (Figure 1D and S1). Another *mec-15* nonsense allele *u1008(*Q194**)*, isolated from

a different screen (Zheng et al., 2017), showed similar TRN morphological defects (Figure

S1A-C). Previous studies found that *mec-15* is required for touch sensitivity and the

localization of presynaptic proteins in TRNs (Bounoutas et al., 2009b). We found that the

ALM-AN and PLM-AN in *mec-15* mutants could not fully extend their synaptic branches

(Figure 1D, iv and v), which may have caused the synaptic defects.

As previously found (Bounoutas et al., 2009b; Sun et al., 2013), a *mec-15::GFP*

translational reporter was expressed in the TRNs, several head and tail neurons, and ventral

cord motor neurons (Figure 1E). We also generated a *mec-15::GFP* knock-in allele at the

endogenous *mec-15* locus through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing, but the resulted strain

did not have any detectable GFP expression, suggesting that the endogenous MEC-15 level

may be quite low. We next tested whether MEC-15 functions cell-autonomously in the TRNs.

Expression of wild-type *mec-15(+)* from the TRN-specific *mec-18* promoter rescued both the

neurite growth defects in *mec-15(lf)* single mutants and the loss of ALM-PN in *mec-15*(*lf);*

mec-7(u278 neo) double mutants. In contrast, the expression of MEC-15 with some or all of

the F-box domain removed could not rescue either phenotype (Figure 1C and S1), suggesting

that MEC-15 functions within the TRNs and its activity requires the F-box domain.

F-box proteins assemble with Skp and Cullin proteins to form SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase

complexes. The involvement of ubiquitination in the action of MEC-15 was supported by the

findings that TRN-specific knockdown of *uba-1*, the only known *C. elegans* gene encoding a

ubiquitin-activating enzyme, strongly suppressed the growth of ALM-PN in *mec-7(u278 neo)*

mutants (Figure S1D). Because mutation or general knockdown of *uba-1* causes sterility and

lethality, we performed cell-specific RNAi by expressing double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)

against *uba-1* from the TRN-specific *mec-17* promoter. As a negative control, expression of

dsRNA against GFP did not affect neurite growth. Moreover, inhibition of proteasomes by

bortezomib partially suppressed the growth of ALM-PN (Figure S1D). In addition, previous

work found that MT depolymerization led to a general reduction in protein levels in TRNs

(Bounoutas et al., 2011). We found that mutations in *mec-15*, knockdown of *uba-1*, and

bortezomib treatment all significantly reduced TagRFP expression from a *mec-17p::TagRFP*

transgene in the TRNs, measured as fluorescent intensity (Figure S1E). The above data lead to

the hypothesis that some MT-destabilizing molecule, which is normally ubiquitinated and

targeted for degradation by MEC-15, became abnormally accumulated in the *mec-15*-deficient

TRNs, leading to reduced MT stability and the inhibition of neurite growth.

Although reduced *uba-1* activity affected ALM-PN outgrowth, we could not identify

the other components of the putative SCF complex. In fact, our results suggest that these other

components may be redundant. For example, although we found that MEC-15 physically

interacted with the Skp homolog SKR-1 in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Figure S1F), *skr-1(ok1696)*

null mutations did not cause TRN morphological defects and did not suppress ALM-PN in

mec-7(u278 neo) mutants. In addition, RNAi of *skr-2, skr-8, skr-9, skr-12,* and *skr-13* (other

Skp homologs) did not suppress *mec-7(neo)* phenotype (see Materials and Methods for details).

Cullin, the third component of the SCF complex, also appeared to be redundant, since

mutations or knockdown of Cullin homologs *cul-1, cul-2, cul-4, cul-5*, and *cul-6* did not induce

the same defect as the loss of MEC-15.

Loss of molecular chaperones suppresses neurite growth defects of *mec-15* mutants

To identify the downstream target(s) of the MEC-15-dependent ubiquitination

pathway, we screened for suppressors of *mec-15* in the *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)*

background and identified the phenotype-causing mutations in eight mutants with restored

ectopic ALM-PNs (STAR methods and Table S1). Among those mutants were one recessive *lf*

allele of *sti-1* and four recessive *lf* alleles of *pph-5*. *sti-1* encodes a homolog of the Sti1/Hop

cochaperone that physically links Hsp70 and Hsp90 through the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-

domain (Schmid et al., 2012), and *pph-5* encodes a homolog of Protein Phosphatase 5 (PP5), a

TPR-domain containing serine/threonine phosphatase that binds to Hsp90 and activates its

kinase clients (Vaughan et al., 2008). Deletion alleles of both genes [*sti-1(ok3354)* and *pph-*

5(ok3498)] also induced the growth of ALM-PN in *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* animals

(Figure 2A-C and Table S1).

Since STI-1 and PPH-5 are components of the molecular chaperone pathway, we

tested other genes in the same pathway. The removal of *hsp-90* (a Hsp90 homolog) and *hsp-*

110 (a Hsp70 family member) but not *hsp-1* (another Hsp70 family protein) suppressed the loss

of MEC-15. *hsp-90(ok1333)* and *hsp-110(gk533)* deletion alleles caused larval arrest, but the

arrested *mec-15(u1042); hsp-90(ok1333); mec-7(u278)* and *mec-15(u1042); hsp-110(gk533);*

mec-7(u278) triple mutants had long ALM-PNs (Figure 2C).

Moreover, TRN-specific knockdown of *hsf-1*/heat shock transcription factor 1, which

activates the expression of Hsps and functions upstream of HSP-90 and HSP-110 (Singh and

Aballay, 2006), also induced the growth of ALM-PNs in *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* double

mutants (Figure 2C). PP5, the PPH-5 homolog, dephosphorylates the co-chaperone Cdc37 in

yeast and mammals, which is essential for the folding and maturation of Hsp90-dependent

protein kinases (Vaughan et al., 2008; Wandinger et al., 2006). TRN-specific RNAi silencing

of *cdc-37*, *C. elegans* Cdc37, promoted the growth of ALM-PN in *mec-15(-); mec-7(u278)*

animals (Figure 2C), suggesting that some Hsp90 kinase clients may be involved in the

regulation of neurite growth.

Through a candidate RNAi screen of 27 Hsp70/Hsp90-related genes (Table S2), we

found that knocking down *daf-41*, which encodes the Hsp90 co-chaperone PTGES3/p23, also

restored the growth of ALM-PNs in *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* animals (Figure S2C). *daf-*

41(ok3052) deletion allele showed the same phenotype (Figure 2C). Thus, disrupting the

Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery by deleting either Hsp70, Hsp90, p23, Sti1/Hop, PP5, or

Cdc37 rescued the loss of the F-box protein MEC-15.

Importantly, the suppression of *mec-15* loss did not depend on the presence of *mec-*

7(u278 neo). We crossed *lf* alleles of *hsp-110*, *hsp-90*, *daf-41/p23*, *sti-1*, and *pph-5* with *mec-*

15(u1042) to create double mutants and found that the shortening of PLM-PN in *mec-15* single

mutants was suppressed in all double mutants (Figure 2E and G). TRN-specific knockdown of

cdc-37 had similar effects (Figure 2G). In addition, we found that the PLM-AN outgrowth defect (but not PLM-PN) in *mec-15* null mutants was more severe at 25°C than at 20°C (Figure S2A), suggesting that the abnormally accumulated proteins may have higher expression or exert a stronger MT-destabilizing activity at higher temperature. This more severe PLM-AN growth defects at 25°C was also suppressed in *mec-15; daf-41*, *mec-15; sti-1*, and *mec-15; pph-5* double mutants; most PLM-ANs extended fully and grew beyond the vulva (Figure 2F). We could not measure the length of PLM-AN in *mec-15; hsp-110* and *mec-15; hsp-90* adults, because those double mutants arrested at early larval stages.

HSP-90 and the cochaperones DAF-41, STI-1, and PPH-5 are expressed in most *C. elegans* cells (Gillan et al., 2009; Richie et al., 2011; Song et al., 2009), and we confirmed their expression in the TRNs (Figure S3A-C). Moreover, we were able to rescue the loss of *daf-41*, *sti-1*, and *pph-5* in their double mutants with *mec-15(u1042)* and their triple mutants with *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* by expressing the wild-type cochaperone gene from a TRN-specific *mec-17* promoter, indicating that the chaperone pathway genes function cell-autonomously in the TRNs (Figure S3D). Unexpectedly, mutants of chaperones and cochaperones also had strong maternal effects in suppressing the Mec-15 phenotype (Figure S4; see Supplemental Results for details).

Because mutations in *sti-1* and *pph-5* showed strong and almost identical suppression of the *mec-15(-)* phenotype, we wondered whether the two genes interact with each other. Rohl et al. (2015) previously found that the phosphorylation of yeast Sti1 and human Hop, STI-1 homologs, inhibited their cochaperone activity, we found that phosphorylation of *C. elegans* STI-1 inhibited its activity in regulating neurite growth. TRN-specific expression of non-phosphorylatable, hyperactive STI-1 mutants suppressed the growth of ALM-PN in *mec-7(u278)* animals, and the expression of phosphomimic, inactive STI-1 mutants restored ALM-PN growth in *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* animals. Non-phosphorylatable STI-1 mutants also suppressed the rescuing effects of *pph-5* mutations in *mec-15; mec-7* animals, suggesting that PPH-5 may enhance STI-1 activity through dephosphorylation (Figure S5; see Supplemental Results for details).

Moreover, *hsp-110*, *hsp-90*, *daf-41*, *sti-1*, and *pph-5* single mutants did not show any TRN morphological defects and did not cause touch insensitivity, suggesting that their

241 activities are normally not required for TRN differentiation and function. Nevertheless, an

242 inhibitory role for these Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones and cochaperones on TRN differentiation is

243 revealed when *mec-15* is lost, because the chaperone proteins were required for the disruption

244 of TRN morphology and functions in *mec-15(-)* mutants.

Mutations in chaperones rescues MT loss and synaptic defects of *mec-15* mutants

Consistent with the hypothesis that chaperones are detrimental for TRN development

in *mec-15* mutants, we also observed suppressing effects of chaperone mutations on *mec-15(lf)-*

induced changes in MT organization. We previously showed that MT organization and stability

determined neurite growth patterns in TRNs (Zheng et al., 2017). Using electron microscopy

(EM), we found that the number of MTs in a cross section of TRN neurite is dramatically

reduced in *mec-15* mutants compared to the wild type in both ALM and PLM (Figure 3A-B).

For example, PLM-AN in *mec-15(u1042)* mutants had 4.9 ± 2.9 (mean $\pm$ SD) MTs on average

in a cross section, compared to 29.8 ± 6.5 MTs in the wild type. In addition to the loss of MTs,

mec-15 mutants also had smaller MTs than the wild type. For example, whereas 98% (N = 306)

of the MTs in the wild type had the 15-protofilament (15-p) MTs, 71% (N = 65) of the MTs in

the PLM-AN of *mec-15(u1042)* adults had between 11 and 13 protofilaments, resulting in

significantly smaller MT diameters (Figure 3C-D). The loss of MTs and the smaller size

indicate that MTs are highly unstable and disorganized in the absence of MEC-15.

The structural defects of MTs in *mec-15* mutants are rescued in *mec-15; sti-1* and *mec-*

15; pph-5 double mutants, which had restored MT numbers, 16.2 ± 4.3 and 17.6 ± 9.2 MTs in

the PLM-AN, respectively. The double mutants also have large-diameter 15-protofilament MTs,

similar to those seen in the wild type (Figure 3D). These data suggest that the loss of Hsp90

co-chaperones promotes MT formation and stability, which in turn rescues the TRN neurite

growth defects in *mec-15* mutants.

Since MT depolymerization in the TRNs causes a general reduction in protein levels

(Bounoutas et al., 2011), *mec-15* mutants showed drastically decreased TagRFP expression

from a *mec-17p::TagRFP* transgene in the TRNs, compared to the wild type; this reduction in

protein level was completely rescued by mutations in *daf-41/p23*, *sti-1*, and *pph-5* (Figure 3E).

Moreover, *mec-15* mutants also showed almost complete loss of GFP::RAB-3 localization at

the presynaptic site in PLM neurons and partial loss in ALM neurons (Bounoutas et al., 2009b).

This transport defect is likely caused by unstable MTs and is suppressed by the mutations in

sti-1 and *pph-5* (Figure 3F).

TRNs are mechanosensory neurons that detect gentle body touches; this sensory

function of TRNs depends on the 15-p MTs (Bounoutas et al., 2009a). Mutations in *mec-15*

cause touch insensitivity (Chalfie and Au, 1989), likely due to the loss of 15-p MTs. This

sensory defect was fully rescued in *sti-1; mec-15* and *pph-5; mec-15* double mutants and

partially rescued in *daf-41; mec-15* double mutants (Figure 3G).

Thus, in addition to neurite development, the removal of the molecular chaperone

pathway genes restored a variety of MT-related structures and functions affected by *mec-15*

loss. Given the protective nature of the chaperones, this restoration is rather unexpected and

suggests that Hsp70/Hsp90 machinery may contribute to the refolding and stabilization of a

client protein or proteins that negatively affects MT stability and TRN development.

Hsp90 chaperones inhibit neurite growth by stabilizing DLK-1

Since our *mec-15* suppressor screen (Table S1) yielded two *dlk-1 lf* alleles, *u1105*

(V844I) and *u1138* (W394*), we examined the possibility that Hsp70/Hsp90 might regulate

MT organization and neurite growth by acting on *dlk-1*, which encodes a MAP3 kinase

homologous to human MAP3K12 and MAP3K13. Both *u1105* and *u1138* mutations restored

the growth of both ALM-PN and PLM-PN in *mec-15(u1042); mec-7(u278)* mutants (Figure

4A and B). The missense mutation (V844I) in *u1105* is adjacent to a C-terminal region (aa 850-

881) of DLK-1 that interacts with its kinase domain (Yan and Jin, 2012); so, catalytic function

of DLK-1 may be impaired in *u1105* mutants. Another *dlk-1 lf* allele, *ju476* (a frameshift

mutation), suppressed *mec-15(-)* even more strongly. The phenotype of *dlk-1(-)* is similar to

the effects of *sti-1(-)* and *pph-5(-)* in *mec-15; mec-7* mutants. Independent of the *mec-7(u278)*

background, *dlk-1 lf* alleles also suppressed the shortening of PLM-PN and the premature

termination of PLM-AN in *mec-15(u1042)* mutants (Figure 4C and D). Moreover, *dlk-1 lf*

alleles showed maternal effects similar to *daf-41, sti-1,* and *pph-5 lf* alleles (Figure 4B). These

data suggest that *dlk-1* may function in the same pathway as the chaperone and co-chaperone

genes.

Expression of DLK-1a from the TRN-specific *mec-17* promoter rescued the loss of

dlk-1 by suppressing the growth of ALM-PN in *dlk-1; mec-15; mec-7* triple mutants,

confirming that DLK-1 functions cell-autonomously (Figure 4E). More importantly,

overexpression of DLK-1a also suppressed the phenotype of *pph-5* and *sti-1* mutants,

indicating that DLK-1 functions downstream of the chaperones (Figure 4E). Through a yeast

two-hybrid assay, we detected a physical interaction between HSP90 and DLK-1a (Figure 4F),

which is the long and active isoform of DLK-1 (Yan and Jin, 2012). Moreover, the level of

GFP::DLK-1a fusion protein is drastically reduced in *pph-5* and *sti-1* mutants, suggesting that

the stability of DLK-1 relies on the Hsp90 chaperones (Figure 4G).

DLK-1 is known to induce MT dynamics and is essential for axonal regeneration in

TRNs (Tang and Chisholm, 2016; Yan et al., 2009); DLK-1 signaling is also required for MT

depolymerization-induced downregulation of protein levels in TRNs (Bounoutas et al., 2011).

Indeed, *dlk-1 lf* mutations blocked the downregulation of TagRFP in *mec-15(-)* animals, while

overexpression of DLK-1a in the wild-type animals led to marked reduction of TagRFP

expression (Figure 4H). Consistent with a role in reducing MT stability, DLK-1a

overexpression strongly suppressed the growth of ectopic ALM-PN in *mec-7(u278)* animals

and also shortened PLM-AN and PLM-PN in wild-type animals (Figure 4C and E). The above

data suggest that DLK-1 likely mediates the activity of Hsp90 chaperones and cochaperones in

destabilizing MTs during development. Coincidentally, a recent study found that Hsp90 is also

a chaperone for DLK in mouse neurons and in Drosophila and is required for axon injury

signaling (Karney-Grobe et al., 2018). Thus, the regulation of DLK-1 by Hsp90 chaperone

appears to be evolutionarily conserved.

Importantly, DLK-1 is not the only downstream effector of the chaperones, because

unlike the *sti-1* and *pph-5 lf* mutations, the *dlk-1 lf* mutations did not rescue the touch

insensitivity, PLM-AN branching defects, or the GFP::RAB-3 localization defect in *mec-15*

mutants (Figure S6). Even for neurite growth, the loss of *dlk-1* did not fully rescue the

outgrowth defect of PLM-PN and PLM-AN in *mec-15* mutants (Figure 4C and D). Thus, we

expect that other Hsp90 client proteins also function during TRN development.

MEC-15 downregulates DLK-1 levels in TRNs

Since MEC-15 is a F-box protein that likely functions in a SCF complex to target

substrate protein for ubiquitination and degradation, we next asked whether MEC-15 regulates

DLK-1 protein levels. We found that the expression of GFP::DLK-1 fusion proteins was

elevated in *mec-15* mutants (Figure 4G), whereas the levels of STI-1::GFP and PPH-5::GFP

fusion proteins were not changed in *mec-15* mutants (Figure S7A). Importantly, the level of

GFP::DLK-1 was also increased by blocking ubiquitination through TRN-specific silencing of

uba-1 (Figure 4G). Thus, MEC-15 likely targets DLK-1 but not the Hsp90 co-chaperones for

degradation.

We could not detect any physical interaction of MEC-15 with DLK-1a in yeast two-

hybrid assays, suggesting that the interaction may be transient or dependent on particular post-

translational modification of DLK-1. We did not detect any interaction of MEC-15 with STI-

1, PPH-5, DAF-41, HSP-90, or HSP-110 either. In attempts to detect MEC-15 binding to DLK-

1 in the TRNs, we made constructs that express HA-tagged MEC-15 and FLAG-tagged DLK-

1 from the TRN-specific *mec-18* and *mec-17* promoters, respectively. When injected separately,

both MEC-15 and DLK-1 can be detected by western blot probing the tags; but when injected

together, only MEC-15::HA was detected, and FLAG::DLK-1 was undetectable (Figure S7B).

Although this renders the co-immunoprecipitation assay impossible, these results suggested

that MEC-15 suppressed the expression of DLK-1 at the protein level.

RPM-1, a RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase, also downregulates the abundance of

DLK-1 at the protein level and modulates the p38 MAP Kinase pathway (Nakata et al., 2005).

The phenotype of *rpm-1* mutants, however, is the direct opposite of *mec-15* mutants. Instead

of the shortened TRN neurites of *mec-15* mutants, *rpm-1(ok364)* knockout mutants had

overextended ALM-AN and PLM-AN (Figure S7C; Schaefer et al., 2000). Moreover, the loss

of *rpm-1* did not suppress the ectopic ALM-PN in *mec-7(u278)* mutants, and the *rpm-1 mec-7*

double mutants showed both long ALM-PN and the overextension of ALM-AN and PLM-AN

(Figure S7D-F). Thus, RPM-1 appears to negatively regulate neurite growth, whereas MEC-

15 promotes growth. Downstream of both proteins, DLK-1 may exert dual functions in both

inducing MT dynamics and promoting neurite extension depending on the cellular contexts.

mec-15 is epistatic to *rpm-1*, since *mec-15; rpm-1* double mutants showed mostly the

mec-15(-) phenotype, having shortened PLM-ANs and PLM-PNs. The *mec-15; rpm-1; mec-7*

triple mutants showed the phenotype of *mec-15; mec-7* double mutants in the suppression of

ALM-PN (Figure S7E-F). This epistasis suggests that either MEC-15-mediated regulation of

DLK-1 plays a more dominant role in TRNs than RPM-1-mediated regulation or MEC-15-

regulated DLK-1-independent pathways act downstream of RPM-1-controlled pathways.

MEC-15 regulates the function of GABAergic motor neuron by antagonizing Hsp90

chaperone activities

Finally, the genetic interaction between *mec-15* and the Hsp90 chaperones occurs not

only in the TRNs, but also in other neurons. In the ventral cord motor neurons, MEC-15

regulates the trafficking of synaptic vesicle protein SNB-1 and promotes GABAergic synaptic

transmission (Sun et al., 2013). We found that compared to the wild-type animals, *mec-15*

mutants had fewer synaptic puncta labeled by SNB-1::GFP in GABAergic neurons, and this

deficit in synaptic density was rescued by mutations in *sti-1* or *pph-5*, although the average

fluorescent intensity of each puncta was similar between the mutants and the wild-type animals

(Figure 5A-C).

Behaviorally, reduced GABA release in *mec-15* mutants led to lower inhibitory

postsynaptic currents in the body wall muscles and increased sensitivity to the acetylcholine

esterase inhibitor aldicarb, which causes paralysis through the accumulation of acetylcholine

and persistent muscle contraction (Sun et al., 2013). This increased sensitivity to aldicarb in

mec-15 mutants was completely suppressed in *mec-15; sti-1* and *mec-15; pph-5* mutants

(Figure 5D). Therefore, MEC-15 and Hsp90 chaperones also appear to counter each other to

regulate GABAergic synaptic function. Moreover, similar to the thermosensitive PLM-AN

growth defects in *mec-15* mutants, we found that *mec-15(-)* animals grown at 25°C are much

more sensitive to aldicarb than animals raised at 20°C; loss of *sti-1* or *pph-5* also rescued this

temperature-dependent defects (Figure 5D). This result suggests that MEC-15 target proteins

in both TRNs and GABAergic motor neurons are more stable or have higher levels at higher

temperature, likely due to the increased activity of Hsp90 chaperones at higher temperature.

Discussion

Ubiquitination and protein degradation promote stable MTs and neurite growth

The ubiquitination-proteasome system (UPS) affects many processes of neuronal

development, including neurogenesis, cell fate specification, neuronal migration, polarization,

and axonal and dendrite morphogenesis (Tuoc and Stoykova, 2010; Yamada et al., 2013). With

respect to neurite morphogenesis, previous studies suggested that E3 ubiquitin ligase can both

positively and negatively regulate neurite growth. For example, during axodendritic

polarization in hippocampal neurons, the HECT-domain E3 ubiquitin ligase Smurf1 promotes

the growth of axons by degrading RhoA (inhibitor of axon growth), whereas Smurf2 inhibits

the growth of neurites fated to be dendrites by degrading Ras GTPase Rap1B (inducer of

neurite growth; (Cheng et al., 2011; Schwamborn et al., 2007).

Our studies identified the F-box and WD40 domain protein MEC-15 (ortholog of

human FBXW9) as a positive regulator of neurite growth. As in the above examples, MEC-15

presumably functions in a Skp, Cullin, F-box containing complex (or SCF complex), which is

a E3 ubiquitin ligase, to degrade some protein(s) that can inhibit neurite outgrowth. One

potential substrate of MEC-15 is the MAP3 kinase DLK-1, which is known to induce MT

dynamics (Tang and Chisholm, 2016) and can suppress neurite growth when overexpressed

(this study). Since the loss of *dlk-1* did not fully rescue the developmental defects of *mec-15(-)*

mutants, MEC-15 likely has multiple substrates.

In the PLM neurons, the anterior neurite is considered an axon because its MTs has

uniform "plus-end out" polarity, whereas the posterior neurite is more like a dendrite given that

its MTs have mixed polarity (Hsu et al., 2014). MEC-15 is required for the extension of both

PLM-AN and PLM-PN, which suggests that MEC-15 is a general regulator of neurite growth

instead of an axon- or dendrite-specific regulator, like the E3 ligase Cdh1-APC and Cdc20-

APC (Konishi et al., 2004). This function of MEC-15 may be attributed to its activity in

promoting the formation of stable MTs, since mutations in *mec-15* led to significant loss of

MTs and the reduction of MT diameters. Thus, our studies link SCF complex and UPS to the

general stability of MT cytoskeletons.

Dual yet contradictory functions of DLK-1

Another conserved RING-domain E3 ubiquitin ligase, RPM-1, also regulates neurite

extension in TRNs by interacting with the SCF complex, including SKR-1/Skp, CUL-1/Cullin,

and the F-box protein FSN-1/FBXO45 (Nakata et al., 2005). However, MEC-15 and RPM-1

have opposing functions. MEC-15 promotes neurite growth, whereas RPM-1 inhibits neurite

growth. More surprisingly, both RPM-1 and MEC-15 appear to target DLK-1 for degradation.

Since mutations in *dlk-1* partially suppress both the overextension phenotype in *rpm-1(-)*

mutants (Nakata et al., 2005) and the underextension in *mec-15(-)* mutants (this study), DLK-

1 may exhibit dual yet opposite functions in regulating neurite growth.

Elevated DLK-1 level promotes axon growth in *rpm-1(-)* mutants but inhibits neurite

growth in *mec-15(-)* mutants; these two opposing functions seem to be balanced under normal

conditions, since *dlk-1(-)* mutants did not show any TRN morphological defects. Perhaps the

spatial and temporal expression of DLK-1 determines which of the two opposing functions is

engaged. For example, DLK-1 may play inhibitory roles for general neurite extension during

the growth phase by reducing MT stability globally in the entire cell and then prevent axon

termination at specific sites at a later stage of development. Because *mec-15(-) rpm-1(-)* double

mutants exhibited the underextension phenotype, the overall effects of accumulating DLK-1

appear to inhibit neurite growth. Interestingly, DLK-1 also initiates apparently contradictory

responses under stress conditions during development and after axonal injury (Tedeschi and

Bradke, 2013). For example, after optical nerve injury in mice, DLK triggers the expression of

both proapoptotic and regenerative genes, although cell death is the dominant response

(Watkins et al., 2013). Future studies are needed to understand how the multifaceted functions

of DLK-1 are controlled spatially and temporally to initiate specific signalling. Our work

suggests that different components of the UPS could generate distinct cellular responses by

targeting the same protein.

Inhibitory role of Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones and co-chaperones in neuronal development

Besides the protective effects of Hsps in cells under stressed conditions, growing

evidence suggest that these chaperones also play regulatory roles in normal neurodevelopment

(Miller and Fort, 2018). The ATP-dependent Hsp70 and Hsp90 family chaperones are

expressed in the nervous system during mouse embryonic and postnatal development, which

suggests that they may function in both neurogenesis and late-stage neurodevelopment (Loones

et al., 2000). In fact, deletion of the transcription factor HSF1, which activates the transcription

of many Hsps genes, in mice led to impaired olfactory neurogenesis and hippocampal

spinogenesis and neurogenesis (Uchida et al., 2011), supporting a critical role for Hsps in

proper neurodevelopment.

At the subcellular level, Hsc70 (Hsp70 family chaperone) and Hsp90 are localized to

the apical dendrites of Purkinje cells and cerebellar neurons from postnatal period into

adulthood (D'Souza and Brown, 1998); in the differentiating hippocampal neurons, Hsp90 was

associated with the cytoskeleton in branch points and terminal ends (Quinta and Galigniana,

2012). These results suggest that Hsps may regulate neuronal polarization and neurite

morphogenesis by modulating cytoskeleton dynamics. Indeed, pharmacological inhibition of

Hsp90 disturbed the polarization and axonal extension of cultured hippocampal neurons by

inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/GSK3 signaling pathway (Benitez et al., 2014), which is known to

regulate neuronal morphogenesis (Kim et al., 2011). The fact that Akt and GSK3 are Hsp90

client proteins (Banz et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2000) indicates that Hsp90 could regulate cell

differentiation by maintaining the stability of its client proteins. Thus, given that Hsp90

interacts with hundreds of client proteins, the specific function of Hsp90 in differentiation

would depend on the function of its client protein(s) in particular cellular contexts.

Our studies identified one such context, in which Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones play an

unexpected, inhibitory role in regulating MT stability and neurite growth. In *mec-15(-)* mutants,

Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone and co-chaperones contribute to destabilizing MTs, inhibiting neurite

growth, suppressing synaptic development, and disrupting sensory functions. Components of

this molecular chaperone machinery include HSP-110/Hsp70, HSP-90/Hsp90, cochaperone

STI-1/STI1/Hop (which links Hsp70 and Hsp90), PPH-5/PP5 (which interacts with and

activates Hsp90 and other cochaperones through dephosphorylation), DAF-41/p23/ PTGES3

(which binds to Hsp90 in its ATP-bound conformation and stabilizes the Hsp90-substrate

complexes), and CDC-37/Cdc37 (which interacts with Hsp90 and promote the maturation of

kinase substrate) (Figure 6). Removing any of the components in *mec-15(-)* mutants could

rescue the TRN developmental defects and restore normal differentiation. The fact that we

identified almost every component in a Hsp90 ATPase cycle (or protein folding cycle) suggests

that a complete Hsp90 pathway is involved in negatively regulating TRN differentiation.

At least part of this negative regulation is mediated by the stabilization of DLK-1,

which is a conserved Hsp90 client protein in *C. elegans* (this study), Drosophila, and mice

(Karney-Grobe et al., 2018). Because the loss of Hsp90 cochaperones fully rescued all

developmental defects in *mec-15(-)* mutants, whereas mutations in *dlk-1* only partially

suppressed the neurite growth defects, other client proteins stabilized by Hsp90 chaperones

may also participate in the inhibition of TRN differentiation. Future studies will focus on

identifying such clients.

Antagonism between UPS and chaperones in neuronal differentiation

Mutations in the chaperones and cochaperones by themselves did not produce any defects in TRN differentiation, suggesting that the inhibitory function of Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperones and cochaperones does not seem to affect normal development. This lack of effect may be due to Hsp90 clients, such as DLK-1, being normally maintained at a low level by the UPS involving MEC-15, making the effects of chaperone loss difficult to detect. In *mec-15(-)* mutants, when DLK-1 and likely other Hsp90 client proteins accumulate in the TRNs, they are stabilized by the Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery and exert their functions. In such scenarios, the activity of chaperones in controlling differentiation became critical. Thus, by targeting the Hsp90 clients for degradation, UPS curbs the activity of the chaperones in inhibiting cellular differentiation.

This antagonism between UPS and the chaperones is quite unexpected, since most previous studies indicate that they work synergistically to degrade misfolded proteins. This protein quality control safeguards axon guidance in developing neurons (Wang et al., 2013) and prevents protein aggregation that leads to neurodegeneration (Ciechanover and Kwon, 2017). Our studies, however, provide novel insights into the interaction between UPS and chaperones by suggesting that chaperones also promote the expression and stabilization of proteins that are normally degraded by UPS for fast turnover and low background abundance. This tug-of-war keeps the common substrate of UPS and chaperones at the optimal level. This antagonism is crucial for a range of neurodevelopmental processes, including MT formation, neurite growth, synaptic development, and neuronal functions, not only in the TRNs but also in the GABAergic motor neurons. Therefore, we expect that the balance between the UPS and chaperone activities may be important for robust neuronal differentiation in general.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, C.Z. and M.C.; Methodology, C.Z., E.A., K.C.Q.N, D.H.H., and M.C.; Investigation, C.Z., E.A., H.M.T.L, S.L.J.J, and K.C.Q.N; Writing – Original draft and revision, C.Z. and M.C.; Funding acquisition, C.Z., D.H.H., and M.C.; Resource, D.H.H.; Supervision, C.Z. and M.C.

Acknowledgements

We thank Natalie Yvonne Sayegh for helping with the *mec-7* suppressor screen and the members of the Chalfie lab for constructive comments on the manuscript. This work is supported by start-up funds from the University of Hong Kong to C.Z. and grants from the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong [ECS27104219 to C.Z.] and the National Institutes of Health of United States [GM30997 and GM122522 to M.C.; OD010943 to D.H.H.]. The electron microscope JEOL 1400Plus TEM used in this study was acquired through a NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant (1S10OD016214-01A1) at Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

Reference

Banz, V.M., Medova, M., Keogh, A., Furer, C., Zimmer, Y., Candinas, D., and Stroka, D. (2009). Hsp90 transcriptionally and post-translationally regulates the expression of NDRG1 and maintains the stability of its modifying kinase GSK3beta. Biochim Biophys Acta *1793*, 1597-1603.

Benitez, M.J., Sanchez-Ponce, D., Garrido, J.J., and Wandosell, F. (2014). Hsp90 activity is necessary to acquire a proper neuronal polarization. Biochim Biophys Acta *1843*, 245-252.

Bounoutas, A., Kratz, J., Emtage, L., Ma, C., Nguyen, K.C., and Chalfie, M. (2011). Microtubule depolymerization in Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons reduces gene expression through a p38 MAPK pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 3982-3987.

Bounoutas, A., O'Hagan, R., and Chalfie, M. (2009a). The multipurpose 15-protofilament microtubules in C. elegans have specific roles in mechanosensation. Curr Biol *19*, 1362-1367.

Bounoutas, A., Zheng, Q., Nonet, M.L., and Chalfie, M. (2009b). mec-15 encodes an F-box protein required for touch receptor neuron mechanosensation, synapse formation and development. Genetics *183*, 607-617, 601SI-604SI.

Buchberger, A., Bukau, B., and Sommer, T. (2010). Protein quality control in the cytosol and the endoplasmic reticulum: brothers in arms. Mol Cell *40*, 238-252.

Chalfie, M., and Au, M. (1989). Genetic control of differentiation of the Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons. Science *243*, 1027-1033.

Cheng, P.L., Lu, H., Shelly, M., Gao, H., and Poo, M.M. (2011). Phosphorylation of E3 ligase Smurf1 switches its substrate preference in support of axon development. Neuron *69*, 231-243.

Ciechanover, A., and Kwon, Y.T. (2017). Protein Quality Control by Molecular Chaperones in Neurodegeneration. Front Neurosci *11*, 185.

D'Souza, S.M., and Brown, I.R. (1998). Constitutive expression of heat shock proteins Hsp90, Hsc70, Hsp70 and Hsp60 in neural and non-neural tissues of the rat during postnatal development. Cell Stress Chaperones *3*, 188-199.

Gillan, V., Maitland, K., McCormack, G., Him, N.A., and Devaney, E. (2009). Functional genomics of hsp-90 in parasitic and free-living nematodes. Int J Parasitol *39*, 1071-1081.

Hsu, J.M., Chen, C.H., Chen, Y.C., McDonald, K.L., Gurling, M., Lee, A., Garriga, G., and Pan, C.L. (2014). Genetic analysis of a novel tubulin mutation that redirects synaptic vesicle targeting and causes neurite degeneration in

C. elegans. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004715.

Ishimoto, T., Kamei, A., Koyanagi, S., Nishide, N., Uyeda, A., Kasai, M., and Taguchi, T. (1998). HSP90 has neurite-
promoting activity in vitro for telencephalic and spinal neurons of chick embryos. Biochem Biophys Res Commun
253, 283-287.

Karney-Grobe, S., Russo, A., Frey, E., Milbrandt, J., and DiAntonio, A. (2018). HSP90 is a chaperone for DLK and is
required for axon injury signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *115*, E9899-E9908.

Kim, Y.T., Hur, E.M., Snider, W.D., and Zhou, F.Q. (2011). Role of GSK3 Signaling in Neuronal Morphogenesis. Front
Mol Neurosci *4*, 48.

Konishi, Y., Stegmuller, J., Matsuda, T., Bonni, S., and Bonni, A. (2004). Cdh1-APC controls axonal growth and
patterning in the mammalian brain. Science *303*, 1026-1030.

Loones, M.T., Chang, Y., and Morange, M. (2000). The distribution of heat shock proteins in the nervous system
of the unstressed mouse embryo suggests a role in neuronal and non-neuronal differentiation. Cell Stress
Chaperones *5*, 291-305.

Miller, D.J., and Fort, P.E. (2018). Heat Shock Proteins Regulatory Role in Neurodevelopment. Front Neurosci *12*,
821.

Nakata, K., Abrams, B., Grill, B., Goncharov, A., Huang, X., Chisholm, A.D., and Jin, Y. (2005). Regulation of a DLK-
1 and p38 MAP kinase pathway by the ubiquitin ligase RPM-1 is required for presynaptic development. Cell *120*,
407-420.

Quinta, H.R., and Galigniana, M.D. (2012). The neuroregenerative mechanism mediated by the Hsp90-binding
immunophilin FKBP52 resembles the early steps of neuronal differentiation. Br J Pharmacol *166*, 637-649.

Richie, C.T., Bembenek, J.N., Chestnut, B., Furuta, T., Schumacher, J.M., Wallenfang, M., and Golden, A. (2011).
Protein phosphatase 5 is a negative regulator of separase function during cortical granule exocytosis in C. elegans.
J Cell Sci *124*, 2903-2913.

Rohl, A., Tippel, F., Bender, E., Schmid, A.B., Richter, K., Madl, T., and Buchner, J. (2015). Hop/Sti1 phosphorylation
inhibits its co-chaperone function. EMBO Rep *16*, 240-249.

Sato, S., Fujita, N., and Tsuruo, T. (2000). Modulation of Akt kinase activity by binding to Hsp90. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A *97*, 10832-10837.

Schaefer, A.M., Hadwiger, G.D., and Nonet, M.L. (2000). rpm-1, a conserved neuronal gene that regulates
targeting and synaptogenesis in C. elegans. Neuron *26*, 345-356.

Schmid, A.B., Lagleder, S., Grawert, M.A., Rohl, A., Hagn, F., Wandinger, S.K., Cox, M.B., Demmer, O., Richter, K.,
Groll, M.*, et al.* (2012). The architecture of functional modules in the Hsp90 co-chaperone Sti1/Hop. EMBO J *31*,
1506-1517.

Schwamborn, J.C., Muller, M., Becker, A.H., and Puschel, A.W. (2007). Ubiquitination of the GTPase Rap1B by the
ubiquitin ligase Smurf2 is required for the establishment of neuronal polarity. EMBO J *26*, 1410-1422.

Singh, V., and Aballay, A. (2006). Heat-shock transcription factor (HSF)-1 pathway required for Caenorhabditis
elegans immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *103*, 13092-13097.

Song, H.O., Lee, W., An, K., Lee, H.S., Cho, J.H., Park, Z.Y., and Ahnn, J. (2009). C. elegans STI-1, the homolog of
Sti1/Hop, is involved in aging and stress response. J Mol Biol *390*, 604-617.

Sun, Y., Hu, Z., Goeb, Y., and Dreier, L. (2013). The F-box protein MEC-15 (FBXW9) promotes synaptic transmission
in GABAergic motor neurons in C. elegans. PLoS One *8*, e59132.

Tang, N.H., and Chisholm, A.D. (2016). Regulation of Microtubule Dynamics in Axon Regeneration: Insights from
C. elegans. F1000Res *5*.

Tedeschi, A., and Bradke, F. (2013). The DLK signalling pathway--a double-edged sword in neural development
and regeneration. EMBO Rep *14*, 605-614.

Tuoc, T.C., and Stoykova, A. (2010). Roles of the ubiquitin-proteosome system in neurogenesis. Cell Cycle *9*, 3174-
3180.

Uchida, S., Hara, K., Kobayashi, A., Fujimoto, M., Otsuki, K., Yamagata, H., Hobara, T., Abe, N., Higuchi, F., Shibata,
T*., et al.* (2011). Impaired hippocampal spinogenesis and neurogenesis and altered affective behavior in mice
lacking heat shock factor 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 1681-1686.

Vaughan, C.K., Mollapour, M., Smith, J.R., Truman, A., Hu, B., Good, V.M., Panaretou, B., Neckers, L., Clarke, P.A.,
Workman, P*., et al.* (2008). Hsp90-dependent activation of protein kinases is regulated by chaperone-targeted
dephosphorylation of Cdc37. Mol Cell *31*, 886-895.

Wandinger, S.K., Suhre, M.H., Wegele, H., and Buchner, J. (2006). The phosphatase Ppt1 is a dedicated regulator
of the molecular chaperone Hsp90. EMBO J *25*, 367-376.

Wang, Z., Hou, Y., Guo, X., van der Voet, M., Boxem, M., Dixon, J.E., Chisholm, A.D., and Jin, Y. (2013). The EBAX-
type Cullin-RING E3 ligase and Hsp90 guard the protein quality of the SAX-3/Robo receptor in developing neurons.
Neuron *79*, 903-916.

Watkins, T.A., Wang, B., Huntwork-Rodriguez, S., Yang, J., Jiang, Z., Eastham-Anderson, J., Modrusan, Z., Kaminker,
J.S., Tessier-Lavigne, M., and Lewcock, J.W. (2013). DLK initiates a transcriptional program that couples apoptotic
and regenerative responses to axonal injury. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 4039-4044.

Wayne, N., Mishra, P., and Bolon, D.N. (2011). Hsp90 and client protein maturation. Methods Mol Biol *787*, 33-
44.

Yamada, T., Yang, Y., and Bonni, A. (2013). Spatial organization of ubiquitin ligase pathways orchestrates neuronal
connectivity. Trends Neurosci *36*, 218-226.

Yan, D., and Jin, Y. (2012). Regulation of DLK-1 kinase activity by calcium-mediated dissociation from an inhibitory
isoform. Neuron *76*, 534-548.

Yan, D., Wu, Z., Chisholm, A.D., and Jin, Y. (2009). The DLK-1 kinase promotes mRNA stability and local translation
in C. elegans synapses and axon regeneration. Cell *138*, 1005-1018.

Zheng, C., Diaz-Cuadros, M., Nguyen, K.C.Q., Hall, D.H., and Chalfie, M. (2017). Distinct effects of tubulin isotype
mutations on neurite growth in Caenorhabditis elegans. Mol Biol Cell *28*, 2786-2801.

Figure legends

Figure 1. F-box protein MEC-15 promotes neurite growth. (A) The growth of ALM-PN (arrows) in

mec-7(u278 neo) mutants was suppressed by *mec-15 lf* mutations and was restored in the double mutants

by expressing wild-type MEC-15 under a TRN-specific *mec-18* promoter. Arrow head indicates the

absence of ALM-PN. (B) Schematic presentation of ALM and PLM morphologies in wild-type and

mutant animals with designated neurite names. (C) Protein structures of the wild type MEC-15 with the

F-Box and the four WD-40 repeats (WD) labeled in green and blue, respectively. The normalized length

(mean ± SD) of ALM-PN in various strains. In all the Figures, error bars represent standard deviation,

and asterisks indicate significant difference (* for $p < 0.05$ and ** for $p < 0.01$) in ANOVA and Tukey-

Kramer test. (D) TRN morphology in *mec-15(u75 lf)* mutants. (i) low power view (Scale bar = 100 μm).

(ii) PLM-AN was shortened and did not extend beyond PVM. (iii) PLM-PN was also significantly

shortened. Synaptic branches of (iv) ALM-AN and (v) PLM-AN could not fully extend in *mec-15*

mutants. Scale bar = 20 μm in (ii) through (v). (E) The expression of *mec-15::GFP* in (i) anterior and

(ii) posterior TRNs, (iii) head neurons, (iv) ventral cord neurons, and (v) the preanal ganglion and the

tail.

Figure 2. Loss of Hsp90 chaperones suppress the neurite growth defects in *mec-15 lf* mutants. (A)

ALM-PN is absent in *mec-15; mec-7* mutants (arrow head) and restored in triple mutants *sti-1* and *pph-*

5 lf mutations (arrow). (B) Schematic presentation of ALM and PLM morphologies in various mutants.

sti-1(-) is used as an example of *mec-15(-)* suppressors. (C) The length of ALM-PN in various mutants.

hsp-90(ok1333), *hsp-1(ok1371)*, and *hsp-110(gk533)* alleles caused larval arrest and were examined at

L2/L3 stages. *daf-41(ok3052)*, *sti-1(u1071)*, and *pph-5(u1072)* were examined at both L2/L3 and adult

stages; *sti-1(ok3354)* and *pph-5(ok3498)* deletion alleles served as references. *mec-15; mec-7* adult

animals carrying transgenes expressing dsRNA against *hsf-1* or *cdc-37* in TRNs were examined; results

are labeled as *hsf-1(i)* and *cdc-37(i)*, respectively. (D) Premature termination of ALM-AN and PLM-

AN (arrow heads) in *mec-15* single mutants were rescued in *mec-15; sti-1* and *mec-15; pph-5* double

mutants. Dashed line indicates the position of the vulva. (E) Shortening of PLM-PN (arrow head) in

mec-15 single mutants were rescued to its normal length (arrows) in the double mutants. (F) Anterior

extent of PLM-AN growth in one-day old adults of various mutants grown at 25°C. Distance are given

relative to the position of the vulva, so positive values indicate that the PLM-AN grew pass the vulva

towards the anterior. (G) PLM-PN length in various mutants. For (F) and (G), *daf-41(ok3052)*, *sti-*

1(u1071), *pph-5(u1072)*, *hsp-90(ok1333)*, and *hsp-110(gk533)* were examined. Asterisks indicate

statistical significance for the difference between the *mec-7; mec-15* and the triple mutants (C) or

between *mec-15* and the double mutants (G) in ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer test.

Figure 3. The loss of MTs and TRN developmental and functional defects in *mec-15* mutants were

rescued by mutations in Hsp90 cochaperones. (A) Representative EM micrographs of cross-sections

of ALM-AN and PLM-AN in wild-type, *mec-15(u1042)*, *mec-15; pph-5(ok3498)*, and *mec-15; sti-*

1(ok3354) animals. Scale bar = 100 nm. (B) Average number of MTs in a cross-section of ALM-AN

and PLM-AN in various strains. Numbers of sections analyzed for each strain are indicated above the

bars. (C) Enlarged EM images of individual MTs with protofilament visualized by tannic acid staining

from the cross-sections of PLM-AN of the strains shown in (A). (D) Average diameter of MTs in cross-

sections from various strains. Number of MTs measured are indicated above the bars. In (B) and (D),

double asterisks indicate statistical significance ($p < 0.01$) for the difference between *mec-15* mutants

and the double mutants. (E) Fluorescent intensity of TagRFP in PLM expressed from the *uIs115[mec-*

17p::TagRFP] transgene in *mec-15(u1042)*, *mec-15; pph-5(ok3498)*, *mec-15; sti-1(ok3354)*, and *mec-*

15; daf-41(ok3052) mutants. Quantification is in arbitrary units for comparison. (F) Localization of

synaptic vesicles labeled by GFP::RAB-3 in TRNs of wild-type, *mec-15*, *mec-15; sti-1*, and *mec-15;*

pph-5 animals. Scale bar = 20 μm. (G) The number of gentle touch responses from five stimuli in the

strains indicated. Double asterisks indicate statistical significance ($p < 0.01$) for the difference between

mec-15 mutants and the double mutants.

Figure 4. DLK-1 acts downstream of the chaperones to destabilize MTs and inhibit neurite growth.

(A) Gene structure of the long isoform of *dlk-1* and the position of three *lf* mutations. (B) Normalized

neurite length of ALM-PN and PLM-PN in *dlk-1; mec-15; mec-7* triple mutants and the ALM-PN length

in the male cross progeny of *mec-15; mec-7* double mutants and *dlk-1(ju476); mec-15; mec-7* triple

mutants. Double asterisks indicate significant difference ($p < 0.01$) between the test group and *mec-15;*

mec-7 double mutants. (C) The length of PLM-PN in *dlk-1; mec-15* mutants and wild-type animals

expressing *mec-17p::dlk-1a* transgene. (D) The distance between PLM-AN terminal and the vulva in the *dlk-1; mec-15* mutants grown at 25°C. (E) The length of ALM-PN in the indicated mutant animals expressing *mec-17p::dlk-1a* transgene; *dlk-1(ju475)*, *sti-1(ok3354)*, and *pph-5(ok3498)* alleles were used. (F) Yeast two-hybrid assays for the interaction between AD::HSP-90 and BD::DLK-1a. (G) Fluorescent intensity of GFP::DLK-1 expressed from the TRN-specific *mec-17* promoter in wild type, *sti-1(ok3354)*, *pph-5(ok3498)*, and *mec-15(u1042)* mutants and animals carrying *mec-17p::uba-1RNAi* transgenes. Dashed circles enclose PLM cell bodies; scale bar = 20 μm. (H) Fluorescent intensity of TagRFP expressed from *mec-17* promoter in *dlk-1; mec-15* double mutants and in wild type animals expressing *mec-17p::dlk-1a* transgene.

Figure 5. MEC-15 regulates synaptic functions of GABAergic motor neuron by antagonizing the activity of Hsp90 chaperones. (A) Synaptic puncta in the ventral neurite of GABAergic motor neurons labeled by *juIs1[unc-25p::snb-1::GFP]* in the wild-type animal, *mec-15*, *mec-15; sti-1*, and *mec-15; pph-5* mutants. (B) Average fluorescent intensity of the SNB-1::GFP puncta in the indicated strains. (C) Average number of SNB-1::GFP puncta in 10 μm of the ventral neurite of GABAergic motor neurons in the indicated strains. (D) Percentage of adult animals paralyzed at the indicated time point after being exposed to 1 mM Aldicarb. Animals were raised from embryos to young adults at either 20°C or 25°C before test. The same *mec-15* data were plotted twice in the two graphs for comparing with the double mutants.

Figure 6. A model for the antagonism between the activities of Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery and MEC-15 in the regulation of TRN differentiation and functions. HSP-90 receive client protein X from either HSP-110 (a Hsp70 family protein) *via* a STI-1-mediated physical link or CDC-37 via its binding to kinase clients. Both STI-1 and CDC-37 are activated by PPH-5 through dephosphorylation (dashed line). Those Hsp90 client proteins, including DLK-1 and other unidentified proteins (?), are not only stabilized by the chaperones but also subjected to MEC-15-mediated ubiquitination and degradation. Thus, this antagonism between the chaperones and MEC-15 tightly controls the levels of those Hsp90 client proteins, which appear to negatively regulate MT stability, neurite growth, and synaptic development.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

783
784 **Figure 5**
785
786

Figure 6

834