Title

Integrative analyses to investigate the link between microbial activity and molecules degradation during anaerobic digestion

Author names + Affiliations

Laetitia Cardona[1], Kim Anh Lê Cao[2], Francesc Puig-Castellví[1,3], Chrystelle Bureau[1], Céline Madigou[1], Laurent Mazéas[1], Olivier Chapleur[1*]

[1]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, PROSE,
1 rue Pierre-Gilles de Gennes, CS 10030, 92761 Antony Cedex, France
[2]Melbourne Integrative Genomics research unit, Melbourne University,
Building 184/30 Royal Parade, Parkville VIC 3052, Australia
[3]Ingénierie, Procédés, Aliments research unit, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay,
1 rue des Olympiades, 91300 Massy, France

*Corresponding author
Tel + 33 1 40 96 65 06
Fax + 33 1 40 96 61 99
olivier.chapleur@irstea.fr
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9460-921X

Abstract

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a promising biological process to convert waste into sustainable energy. However, the microbiota involved in this bioprocess is complex and additional knowledge is still needed to fully exploit its capability. High throughput methodologies open new perspectives, but innovative data integration methodologies are required for extracting relevant information from these rich data. We analysed the association between microbial activity and the patterns of substrate degradation during a lab-scale co-digestion experiment. These parameters were longitudinally monitored using 16S rRNA sequencing and untargeted metabolomics. In this experiment, samples were collected from digesters fed with 9 different mixtures of fish, sewage sludge, and grass. Our objective was to identify microorganisms

responsible for the degradation of molecules specific of each co-substrate. Five main groups

of correlated features were successfully evidenced. For example, the degradation of

cadaverine was found to be correlated with microorganisms from the order *Clostridiales* and

the genus *Methanosarcina,* and the degradation of lignin compounds was correlated with

cellulolytic degraders *Lactobacillales*. This study highlights the potential of data integration

towards a comprehensive understanding of AD microbiota.

Keywords

16S RNA sequencing; metabolomic; data integration; methanisation; co-digestion; PLS

canonical

Introduction

Deciphering the microbial communities from diversified domains such as health, food

safety and environment has been widely addressed by the development of the high-throughput

technologies and adapted computational statistical methods. It is now possible to study the

structure, activity, interaction and function of complex microbial communities using new

methods from the genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics fields

(Vanwonterghem et al., 2014). In parallel to the important advancements in these fields, the

recent development of specific statistical methods and user-friendly workflows has

substantially improved the visualisation, analysis and integration of the omics results (Bouhlel

et al., 2018; Callahan et al., 2016; Rohart et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2019).

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a promising bioprocess to provide sustainable energy.

Indeed, driven by a complex microbial community, AD allows to transform organic waste

into biogas. This process involves four different steps: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis

and methanogenesis managed by the interaction of bacteria and archaea. Nonetheless, this

microbial diversity and its interaction are highly sensitive and depend on multiple parameters

such as temperature (Madigou et al., 2019; Noll et al., 2010), presence of inhibitors such as

phenol (Poirier et al., 2016), ammonia (Li et al., 2017), long chain fatty acids (Sousa et al.,

2013), and feeding composition (Zamanzadeh et al., 2017). Several studies have already

shown the utility of using omics technologies to decipher the anaerobic microbial population

(Amha et al., 2018; Hassa et al., 2018). The level of information differs according to the type

of used omics technology. Analyses of a single omics data type are routinely carried out (Bize

et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016); but the use of several omics at the same time is rare. For

example Beale *et al.* applied metagenomic and metabolomic approaches to obtain new

insights on the diversity and activity of the anaerobic population after stress (Beale et al.,

2016). However, no direct correlation was assessed between the two approaches. More

generally, in the literature omics data integration methodologies are still sparse.

The aim of this study was to evaluate a methodology to correlate the microbial activity

to the metabolites specific of the substrates degradation. For that purpose, 3 different

substrates (sewage sludge, grass, and fish) of different chemical composition were used. In

this study, 27 anaerobic bioreactors fed with binary mixtures of the substrates (sludge and

grass, or sludge and fish) at different proportions (0-100, 25-75, 50-50, 75-25, 100-0) were

employed. By using these binary mixtures, it is possible to evaluate the evolution of the

substrate-specific microorganisms and molecules. The active microbial community was

analysed through the sequencing of the 16S rRNA. While DNA can inform us about the total

diversity of a community (that includes dead, dormant and living microorganisms), RNA

sequencing allow us to only characterise microorganisms active in a given environment (Lin

et al., 2016) and to study therefore the metabolically active microorganisms (De Vrieze et al.,

2018). Untargeted metabolomics using LC-MS was performed to study the pattern of the

substrate degradation. Metabolomic experiments can be potentially used to determine the

molecular fingerprints of waste degradation and to monitor the patterns of substrate

degradation (Villas-Bôas et al., 2006).

To our knowledge, this is the first study that studies the correlation between microbial

activity from 16S rRNA sequencing data and pattern of substrate degradation from

metabolomics data. Our computational analyses revealed subsets of active microorganisms

highly associated to dynamics of substrate degradation over time, and posit novel hypotheses

regarding the capacity of microorganisms to degrade specific molecules. For instance,

cadaverine degradation was correlated to *Clostridiales* and *Methanosarcina,* which suggests a

possible syntrophic relationship between these two microorganisms. Such association was

already highlighted with a different anaerobic syntrophy between a *Clostridium*-like bacteria

and *Methanospirullum* archaea (Roeder and Schink, 2009).

Methods

Feedstock preparation

The inoculum used in the digestion experiments was sampled from a mesophilic full

scale industrial anaerobic bioreactor treating primary sludge from a wastewater treatment

plant (Valenton, France). The inoculum was incubated in anaerobic condition at 35°C without

feeding in order to degrade the organic matter in excess before to carry out the experiments.

Substrates used in the experiments were wastewater sludge collected from an industrial

wastewater treatment plant (Valenton, France), fish waste obtained from a fish shop, and

garden grass mowed from IRSTEA institute. Fish and grass wastes were crushed and kept at

4°C until the incubation experiments.

Bioreactors experimental set-up

Binary mixtures of sludge with linearly increasing (0-100, 25-75, 50-50, 75-25, 100-0)

percentages of either fish or grass were prepared (Figure 1). Experiments were carried out in

1L glass bottles (700 mL working volume) at 35°C in the dark without agitation. The same

quantity of carbon was added in all the digesters, and the ratio of substrate/inoculum used to

feed and inoculate all the digesters was fixed at 12 gCOD/1.2 gCOD (Table S1). All the

bioreactors were complemented with a biochemical potential buffer (International Standard

ISO 11734 (1995)) to reach a final working volume of 700 mL. All incubations were

performed in triplicate. The bioreactors were then sealed with a screw cap and a rubber

septum and the headspaces were then flushed with N_2 (purity >99.99%, Linde gas SA). In

total 27 anaerobic bioreactors were set-up.

Weekly (at days 0, 7, 14, 21), for every reactor, 6 mL of liquid phase were sampled

through the septum using a syringe. The collected samples were centrifuged at 10 000g for 10

minutes to separate the supernatants from the pellets. Supernatant were snap frozen using

liquid nitrogen and kept at -20°C for metabolomic analysis and pellets kept at -80°C for

microbial analysis.

Figure 1. **Scheme of the batch experimental design.** S100 stands for wastewater sludge alone, F25, F50, F75, F100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of fish (F) in co-digestion with sludge, G25, G50, G75, G100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of Grass (G) in co-digestion with sludge

RNA extraction and 16S rRNA sequencing

Based on the biogas production, a total of 22 samples were selected (active biogas production - Figure 2). Since fish-containing digesters showed a higher delay in the biogas production than grass-containing digesters, the used sampling time-points were different for the two substrates (day 14 for grass-containing digesters, and day 28 for fish-containing digesters). In addition, the microbial analysis was also performed for samples from the same digesters collected at day 21.

Figure 2. Cumulated biogas production (mgC/gCOD) over time (Days) for the different bioreactors. Mean values of the triplicate bioreactors, error bars represent standard deviations within triplicates. S100 stands for wastewater sludge alone; F25, F50, F75, F100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of fish (F) in co-digestion with sludge; G25, G50, G75, G100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of Grass (G) in co-digestion with sludge. Red solid lines correspond to the 16S rRNA sequencing points and metabolomic points. Purple dashed line corresponds to the point where only metabolomic analysis was carried out.

The commercial kit FastRNA Pro™ Soil-Direct (MP Biomedicals) was used to extract the total RNA following the manufacturer's specifications. TURBO™ DNase (Ambion) kit following the manufacturer's instructions allowed to remove DNA co-extracted. The RNA was denatured by 2 min at 85°C in a dry bath and was then stored on ice. RNAClean XP magnetic beads purification system (Beckman Coulter) was used to RNA purification by adding 1.8 volumes of beads by volume of RNA. After mixing by pipetting and 5 min of incubation, beads were captured using a magnetic rack on one side of the tube and then washed by adding 500 μL of 70% cold ethanol (diluted in DEPC-water). Tubes were incubated during 30 seconds at room temperature and ethanol was removed then. This washing step was repeated 3 times. Once ethanol finally evaporated, beads were resuspended with DEPC-water to eluted RNA from the beads. Finally, beads were removed using the

magnetic rack and RNA was recovered in the supernatant. The integrity and quantity of the

RNA was evaluated using High Sensitivity RNA ScreenTape and 4200 TapeStation (Agilent

Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol.

A reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was carried out on the

RNA using the mix iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix (Biorad) and the following

thermocycler program: 5 min at 25°C, 30 min at 42°C and 5 min at 85°C. The cDNA was

quantified using Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (ssDNA assay kit, Invitrogen, Life Technologies).

Archaeal and bacterial hyper variable region V4-V5 of the 16S rRNA gene were

amplified as cDNA, and these amplicons were then sequenced according to the protocol

described by Madigou *et al.* (Madigou et al., 2019).

FROGS (Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution), a galaxy/CLI workflow (Escudié et

al., 2018), was used to design an OTU count matrix. R CRAN software (version 3.5.1) was

used to examine the OTUs abundances. Alpha diversity was analysed using Shannon method

using phyloseq R package (version 1.20.0). Considering the dispersion in the total number of

reads identified in each sample, archaeal and bacterial OTUs abundances were scaled with

total sum. Only OTUs that exceeded 1% in terms of relative abundance in at least one sample,

were selected for the analysis and square-root transformed.

Metabolomic analysis

Metabolomic analysis was performed on all collected supernatants. Samples were

analysed using reverse phase liquid chromatography coupled to high resolution mass

spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-HRMS) using a LTQ-Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo Scientific).

Samples were diluted at 1/10 in water and 10 μL of the solution was injected into the

analytical system. Chromatographic separation was performed on Accela 1250 pump at 400

μL/min with a linear gradient from 10 to 80% of mobile phase A (acetonitrile + 0.05% formic

acid) and 90 to 20 % of mobile phase B (water + 0.05% formic acid) into a syncronis C18

column (50x2.1 mm, 1.7µm, Thermo Scientific) during 23 minutes, followed by a

stabilization phase of 5 minutes to return at the initial condition. After chromatographic

separation, the sample was ionized by electrospray ionization (ESI) on positive mode. The

detection was performed in full scan over an m/z range from 50 to 500 at a resolution of 100

000. A sample consisting on the supernatant from the digestion of anaerobic sludge was used

as a quality control and injected every 10 experiment samples, blank samples were injected

every 10 samples, and an equimolar mix of the samples was injected every 5 samples.

The raw data obtained from the LC-HRMS analyses were transformed into mzXML

files using MSConvert (ProteoWizard 3.0). The XCMS R package (version 1.52.0) was used

to process the data (Smith et al., 2006). The method *centWave* was used to determine

chromatographic peaks (ROIs) with a *m/z* error of 10 ppm and a peakwidth between 20 and

50 seconds. The ROIs found in different sample were grouped using the group method with a

bandwidth of 30. ROIs retention times from the same ROI groups were unified across samples

using the *orbiwarp* method. A second grouping was carried out using a bandwidth of 25.

Finally, missing ROIs in the samples were filled using the *fillPeaks* method. Initial metabolite

identification was performed based on the comparison of the accurate molecular mass

measured by LC-HRMS with the corresponding values found in the online databases HMDB,

LipidMaps, and PubChem (Fahy et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2018; Wishart et al., 2018). In

addition, for selected compounds, a confirmation of the metabolite identification was

performed by MS/MS fragmentation, and followed by the comparison of the acquired MS/MS

spectra with the theoretical spectra from the online databases HMDB and MassBank (Horai et

al., 2010).

 Statistical analysis

    Statistical methods from mixOmics R package (Rohart et al., 2017) were used to

 highlight the relationship between the key microorganisms and the molecules degradation

 pattern.

    Firstly, in order to highlight the most active microorganisms involved in the substrate

 degradation independently of the mixture composition, the method sparse Principal

 Component Analysis (sPCA, (Shen and Huang, 2008)) was used on the microbial dataset.

 This method allows to select the OTUs that highly contributed to explain the main source of

 variance in the data.

    To study the dynamics of the metabolites between the different feeding types, we used

 sparse Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (sPLS-DA, (Lê Cao et al., 2011)) on the

 mono-digestion samples (S100, F100, G100) at day 0 to select the most discriminative

 molecules. This analysis enabled to identify the molecules specific of each substrate at the

 beginning of the experiment. These most discriminant molecules were selected based on the

 error rate of classification obtained for each component when adding progressively the

 number of molecules.

    The patterns of abundance of the selected OTUs and molecules within the mixtures and

 over time were observed with heatmaps (heatmap.2 function from gplots R package, version

 3.0.1) using the Ward method and Manhattan Euclidean distance for respectively OTUs and

 molecules abundances.

    Secondly, to study the correlation between microbial activities and molecules

 degradation over time, the selected molecules data were transformed using a ratio between the

 molecules abundances at Day 0 and days 14, 21 or 28. The two sets of data (selected

 microorganisms and molecules in the first part) were statistically integrated using a PLS

 canonical mode (Lê Cao et al., 2009) and their variabilities through the different mixtures

were studied. Microorganisms and molecules with a similar pattern of evolution were grouped

together using a hierarchical clustering using complete linkage method to identify the

microorganisms involved in the degradation of the molecules.

Results and discussion

A comparison of the major chemical parameters of anaerobic digestion is described in

a precedent study (Cardona et al., 2019). This study describes the influence of adding a

second substrate to improve the digestion performances. Because the present study focuses on

the correlation of microbial activity and molecule degradation, the performance results will

not be described in details here.

Influence of the feeding composition on the microbial community

The alpha diversity using Shannon index (supplemental Figure S1) was calculated on

archaeal and bacterial communities in order to evaluate the influence of the feeding

composition on the microbial diversity. We observed that the archaeal and the bacterial

diversity is inversely proportional to the amount of fish added in the digester. The low

microbial diversity induced by the presence of fish substrate might be explained by a simpler

substrate composition (in terms of molecular variety) in this substrate, or by a higher

functional redundancy of the microorganisms that can degrade fish substrate if compared to

those that can degrade grass or sewage sludge.

The sPCA sample plot highlighted the influence of the feeding composition on the

microorganisms abundance (Figure 3). We identified 43 OTUs representing 65 to 85% of the

total microbial community that explained most of the variability in the 16S rRNA data.

Samples were grouped in three clusters, according to the major co-substrate, and regardless of

their sample collection time. This result reveals low time variability within the conditions and

a stable microbial community over time.

<u>Figure 3</u>. Microbial dynamics over time with respect to the different feeding compositions. Sample plot from the sPCA from the 16S rRNA dataset. Digesters are represented by colours and number of days by symbols.

The individual abundances of the selected microorganisms were compared between the

different mixtures (Figure 4). The active archaeal community was different according to the

feeding type. Fish mono-digestion (F100) was mostly driven by the archaea *Methanosarcina*

OTU_6 and *Methanoculleus* OTU_18; grass mono-digestion (G100) by *Methanospirullum*

OTU_24*, Methanosarcina* OTU_1, *Methanofollis* OTU_64 and sludge mono-digestion

(S100) by *Methanosarcina* OTU_1, *Methanobacterium* OTU_63 and 204 and two OTUs of

Methanoculleus (18 and 50). Except *Methanosarcina* which has a versatile methanogenesis

metabolism, all the identified archaea use only the hydrogenotrophic pathway to produce

methane. Regarding *Methanosarcina*, it may be noteworthy to highlight that fish substrate

specifically induced the activity of *Methanosarcina* OTU_6, since it was not found in the

other substrates.

The diversity in bacteria community also differed between feeding types. As observed

in the alpha diversity analysis, the fish substrate induced a lower microbial diversity than

grass or sludge. In fish mono-digestion, the order *Clostridiales* represented more than 90% of

the community. In grass mono-digestion, the abundance of *Spirochaetales*, *Fibrobacterales*,

Lactobacillales and *Enterobacteriales* was higher than in fish and sludge mono-digestion,

favoured by their ability to degrade cellulolytic substrates such as grass. Bacterial community

of sludge mono-digestion was mostly composed by *Cloacimonadales*, *Synergistales*,

Anaerolineales, *Hydrogenedentiales*, *Erysipelotrichales* and *Coprothermobateriales*.

Members from the orders *Synergistales* and *Anaerolineales* are known or suspected to be able

to form syntrophic interaction with hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Ito et al., 2011; Sekiguchi

et al., 2001). In sludge, the abundance of potential syntrophic partners in presence with

hydrogenotrophic methanogens suggests that methane production was mainly produced from

the hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis pathway, in line with the archaeal community

described in this incubation.

In light of the literature, the type of substrate used to feed the digesters leads to the

development of an adapted microbial community of degraders (De Francisci et al., 2015; Lee

et al., 2018). Specifically, in addition to a lower microbial diversity, fish substrate induced the

growth of a more specific community than that observed in grass and in sludge substrates.

Indeed, only 5% of the OTUs were found in both samples collected from fish- and sludge-

digesters, and 9% were found in both samples collected from fish- and grass-digesters, while

17% of the OTUs were common between samples collected from grass- and sludge-digesters.

Within the mixtures, the proportion of the selected microorganisms evolved according to the feeding composition. However, the evolution of the microbial response was not completely linear. For example, the relative abundances of the active OTUs in the fish:sludge mixture at 75:25 (F75) was close to the relative abundances of the active microorganisms in the fish mono-digestion. On the other hand, the more sludge was added in the feeding, more active microorganisms characteristic of sludge were recovered. On the contrary, the active microbial community in grass remained dominant even down to 25% of grass (in the mixture with 75% of sludge, G25). Despite the progressive evolution for most of the microorganisms was observed across all the samples, some microorganisms were only found in specific

conditions such as *Methanocorpusculum* and specific OTUs of *Clostridiales* in the mixes 50:50 and 75:25 of grass:sludge.

Biogas can be regarded as the final outcome of the active microbial composition, and therefore, an association between microorganisms' dynamics and the biogas production performances can be drawn (Figure 2). For example, the similarity of the microbial composition between F100 and F75, or between G25 and S100, induced a similar biogas production. Thus, the bioreactors performances depend on the ability of the microbial community to adapt to the added substrates.

Substrates degradation dynamics

The temporal dynamics of the degradation of the different substrate mixtures in the bioreactors were studied. For that purpose, the metabolic fingerprint of the degradation in the digesters were analysed using an HPLC-ESI-HRMS instrument. After data examination with XCMS, a total of 267 ROIs were detected. To identify the molecules (ROIs) specific to each substrate, and that were initially present, sPLS-DA was performed on the metabolomics data from samples relative to the mono-digestion bioreactors (F100, G100 and S100) at day 0. From this analysis, a total of 70 molecules were determined to be specific of any of the 3 substrates.

The degradation pattern of the selected molecules within the mixtures and over time was evaluated by comparing their intensities (Figure 5). The molecules were grouped according to their initial intensities at day 0. Four major groups can be distinguished from this clustering. 3 of these groups include the ROIs whose relative intensities were significant only in sludge-, grass- or fish-enriched digesters, respectively (S, G, and F, respectively, in Figure 5). The other group (FG in Figure 5) include the ROIs whose relative intensities were high in both fish- and grass- but low in sludge-containing digesters.

Figure 5. Molecules dynamics within bioreactors and over time. The heatmap shows the evolution among the samples and over time of the intensity of the most discriminant ROIs selected by the sPLS-DA in the different feeding type fish, grass and sludge at day 0. Analyses were carried out at days 21-28 for bioreactors containing fish, 14-21 for bioreactors containing grass and 14-21-28 for bioreactors containing sludge. For each waste mixture and date, triplicates bioreactors called 1, 2 and 3 were analysed. Heatmap color goes from blue to red in accordance with the abundance increase.

At day 0, the intensity of the ROIs representative of each feeding type differed within

the samples. This result was expected due to the differences in the substrate molecular

composition. Moreover, the intensity of the ROIs representative of sludge decreased when

fish or grass was mixed with sludge. On the other hand, the intensities of some ROIs

representative of fish or grass were similar within all the digesters containing fish or grass.

As expected, the intensity of some ROIs decreased over time, while some other either

increased or remained stable during the experiment. These molecules with stable intensity

across time may not be easily degraded, or they may be a product of degradation of other

molecules.

The putative identification of the ROIs based on the comparison of their theoretical

formula and molecular weight to databases is given in the Table S2. Examples of metabolite

biomarkers of sludge are diethylthiophosphate (X340) and 6-methylquinoline (X218 and

X270). Diethylthiophosphate is a common degradation product of organophosphorus

pesticides, while 6-methylquinoline is a flavouring ingredient found in tea.

In grass-fed bioreactors, grass biomarkers were classified as plant constituents (betaine,

X245), metabolites obtained in lignin degradation (trans-ferulic acid and p-coumaric acid,

X388 and X365, respectively), and metabolites from sugar metabolism (galactitol, X329).

In fish bioreactors, most of the metabolites corresponded to organic compounds

resulting from amino acids degradation (cadaverine and histamine, X132 and X150,

respectively). Therefore, in all cases, the substrate-specific metabolites were biologically

consistent with the corresponding substrates, as these metabolites were constituents of the

substrates or degradation products from these constituents.

Correlation between microbial activity and substrates degradation pattern

A PLS analysis was performed to integrate the data relative to the microorganism activity with the data of the molecules degradation. The rate of molecules degradation in the bioreactors was estimated by dividing the molecules relative intensity at day 0 by their intensity at days of interest.

The ordination plots from PLS (Figure S2 A to C) show similar patterns for the two datasets, suggesting that an underlying correlation structure between the two datasets exist. The correlation circle plot (Figure S2-D) allows visualizing at the same time the groups of active microorganisms correlated to the molecules degradation rate. To further identify the microorganisms potentially responsible of the molecules degradation, a hierarchical clustering based on the loadings of the microbial and metabolic from the PLS was performed. Five groups of correlated microorganisms and molecules were identified according to their substrate specificity (Tables S2 and S3). Figure 6 depicts the mean values of the microbial activity and molecule degradation rate according to the feeding types. Group 1 included microorganisms and molecules with a high microbial activity and high molecule degradation rate during the digestion of sludge and grass. Groups 2-4 included microorganisms and molecules that are specific of either sludge, grass, or fish, respectively. Finally, group 5 included the microorganisms and molecules that were highly active and highly degraded, respectively, in fish and sludge bioreactors.

Figure 6. **Dynamics of the active microorganisms correlated to the molecules degradation among the samples at day 21.** Duplicates were carried out on the bioreactors containing only fish, grass or sludge. Line represents the mean values of the different microbial activity (solid blue line) or molecules degradation rates (dashed red line) included in every clusters, the shadowing traces represent their standard deviation. Duplicates were carried out on the bioreactors containing only fish, grass, or sludge. S100 stands for wastewater sludge alone, F25, F50, F75, F100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of fish (F) in co-digestion with sludge, G25, G50, G75, G100 stands for respectively 25, 50, 75 or 100% of Grass (G) in co-digestion with sludge.

Group 1 included two genera of archaea, *Methanosarcina* and *Methanospirullum*, and

the molecules diethylthiophosphate and N-(3-methylbutyl)acetamide (X153). As stated

before, diethylthiophosphate is pesticide degradation product and a urine metabolite (Nomura

et al., 2014). Conversely, N-(3-methylbutyl)acetamide is a metabolite found in alcoholic

beverages obtained by the fermentation of vegetal such as beer and wine. One hypothesis

explaining the correlation of these archaea and molecules could be due to an indirect role of

the archaea in the molecules degradation through a syntrophic interaction with bacteria.

However, no further explanation can be done at this stage regarding their correlation, since

such association had not been reported before and therefore further investigations are

required.

Group 2 correlated 14 OTUs from the orders *Cloacimonadales*, *Clostridiales*,

Anaerolineales,   *Synergistales,*   *Bacteroidales,*   *Hydrogenedentiales*   and

Coprothermobacterales to 8 molecules including compounds from tryptophan degradation (L-

tryptophanol and tryptamine, X217 and X269, respectively), 6-methylquinoline and

thioxoacetic acid, among others. In agreement with these metabolites, *Anaerolineales* and

Synergistales are known for their ability to degrade amino acids (Swiatczak et al., 2017).

Thus, their correlation with L-tryptophanol and tryptamine is consistent with the literature.

Surprisingly, no methanogen was clustered in this group despite they were expected to be

highly correlated with these syntrophic bacteria. One reason could be that the methanogens

were not specific partners of these bacteria. Indeed, most of the methanogens were found

ubiquitously in bioreactors fed partly with either sludge or grass as shown in the figure 4.

In group 3, 12 OTUs mostly from the orders *Clostridiales*, *Lactobacillales*,

Bacteroidales and *Spirochaetales* and the archaea *Methanofollis* were correlated to 7

molecules including sugar and lignin compounds, plant constituents, and protein degradation

products. From this group, it is worth to highlight the correlation between the microbial

activity of the lactic acid bacteria *Lactobacillales* and the degradation of lignin compounds

such as trans-ferulic acid (X388) and p-coumaric acid (X365). Indeed, such microorganisms

are lignin degraders (Fessard and Remize, 2017; Filannino et al., 2014).

In group 4, *Methanosarcina* and 2 OTUs from the order *Clostridiales* were correlated to

molecules that can be classified as amino acids degradation products. Cadaverine (X132) and

5-aminopentanoic acid (X208) are obtained from L-lysine degradation, histamine (X150)

from L-histidine degradation, and phenylpyruvic acid (X300) from L-phenylalanine

degradation. The presumed role of these microorganisms in the degradation of cadaverine and

L-histidine can be supported by previous studies. Roeder and Schink described a new strain

close to *Clostridium aminobutyricum,* able to degrade cadaverine, in co-culture with the

archaea *Methanospirullum* (Roeder and Schink, 2009). On the other hand, some *Clostridium*

were also identified to be involved in the histamine degradation (Pugin et al., 2017).

In Group 5, heptane-1,2,3-triol and hexadecandiperoxoic acid were found to be

descriptive of this cluster. The role of these molecules in this cluster is unknown and it must

be further investigated. *Methanoculleus* and *Methanobacterium* were correlated to the genus

Syntrophomonas. Some species of this bacterium are known to growth in syntrophy with H_2-

consumer as methanogens (Mcinerney et al., 1981).

In the different groups, there were some ROIs that could not be assigned. In MS

metabolomics analysis, the step of *m/z* assignment is a traditional bottleneck (Longnecker et

al., 2015). In this study, in addition, the assignment is even more hampered due to the higher

structural diversity of the compounds found in digesters, the lack of specific databases, and

the absence of precedent literature of metabolomics analysis on anaerobic digesters. Despite

all these drawbacks, some correlations between microorganisms and metabolite degradations

could still be pointed out. Therefore, if the limitations in the metabolite assignment can be

addressed, a better insight of the correlations between microbial and metabolic data will be

drawn. .

The statistical method developed in this study allows to posit hypotheses on the

degradation of molecules by different microorganisms. These hypotheses were consistent in

regards with the literature. However, in order to go further in the interpretation,

complementary analyses must be performed. Firstly, the molecules identification needs to be

reinforced by using MS/MS fragmentation and by comparison of the acquired MS/MS spectra

with the spectra of standards. Secondly, the ultimate proof of the degradation of the molecules

by the identified microorganisms can be obtained by performing microbial cultures using

these molecules as substrates, or by performing experiments with labelled molecules (stable

isotope probing, (Chapleur et al., 2016)). Finally, a much broader metabolic coverage can be

obtained by using different extraction protocols, by combining different instrumental

implementations (i.e., by analysing the samples using different ionisation modes), by using

different chromatographic columns (i.e., reverse phase and HILIC), and even by using

different high-throughput metabolomics techniques (i.e, LC-MS, GC-MS, and NMR

spectroscopy).

Conclusion

This study demonstrates the existence of links between the anaerobic digester feeding

composition and the microbiota development. Our method allowed the extraction of the

correlation patterns between the microorganisms' activity and the degradation of molecules,

characteristic of each substrate. We identified a subset of active microorganisms highly

correlated with molecules degradation patterns. The highlighted microbial and metabolic

correlations were biologically relevant and consistent with previous literature. The

development of new omics methodologies and associated databases focused on anaerobic

digesters metabolic composition will open new approaches to study and improve the

functioning mechanisms of these bioprocesses.

Disclosures

The authors declare no competing financial interest

Funding

    This work was supported by the National Research Agency (grant number NR-16-CE05-0014) as part of the Digestomic project. Kim Anh Lê Cao, Olivier Chapleur and Laëtitia Cardona scientific travels were supported in part by the France-Australia Science Innovation Collaboration (FASIC) Program Early Career Fellowships from the Australian Academy of Science (grant number 39417TM). Kim Anh Lê Cao was supported in part by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Career Development fellowship (grant number GNT1159458).

Acknowledgements

    We thank Nadine Derlet from the Irstea PROSE analytical division for their technical support. We acknowledge SUEZ Environment for providing us the access to the wastewater treatment plant of Valenton.

References

Amha, Y.M., Anwar, M.Z., Brower, A., Jacobsen, C.S., Stadler, L.B., Webster, T.M., Smith, A.L., 2018. Inhibition of anaerobic digestion processes: Applications of molecular tools. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 999–1014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.210

Beale, D.J., Karpe, A. V., McLeod, J.D., Gondalia, S. V., Muster, T.H., Othman, M.Z., Palombo, E.A., Joshi, D., 2016. An "omics" approach towards the characterisation of laboratory scale anaerobic digesters treating municipal sewage sludge. Water Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.10.029

Bize, A., Cardona, L., Desmond-Le Quéméner, E., Battimelli, A., Badalato, N., Bureau, C., Madigou, C., Chevret, D., Guillot, A., Monnet, V., Godon, J.J., Bouchez, T., 2015. Shotgun metaproteomic profiling of biomimetic anaerobic digestion processes treating

sewage sludge. Proteomics 15, 3532–3543. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201500041

Bouhlel, J., Jouan-Rimbaud Bouveresse, D., Abouelkaram, S., Baéza, E., Jondreville, C., Travel, A., Ratel, J., Engel, E., Rutledge, D.N., 2018. Comparison of common components analysis with principal components analysis and independent components analysis: Application to SPME-GC-MS volatolomic signatures. Talanta 178, 854–863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.10.025

Cai, M., Wilkins, D., Chen, J., Ng, S.K., Lu, H., Jia, Y., Lee, P.K.H., 2016. Metagenomic reconstruction of key anaerobic digestion pathways in municipal sludge and industrial wastewater biogas-producing systems. Front. Microbiol. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00778

Callahan, B.J., Sankaran, K., Fukuyama, J.A., McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S.P., 2016. Bioconductor Workflow for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. F1000Research 5, 1492. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8986.2

Cardona, L., Levrard, C., Guenne, A., Chapleur, O., Mazéas, L., 2019. Co-digestion of wastewater sludge: choosing the optimal blend. Chem. Eng. J. 87, 772–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.03.016

Chapleur, O., Mazeas, L., Godon, J.J., Bouchez, T., 2016. Asymmetrical response of anaerobic digestion microbiota to temperature changes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 1445–1457. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-7046-7

De Francisci, D., Kougias, P.G., Treu, L., Campanaro, S., Angelidaki, I., 2015. Microbial diversity and dynamicity of biogas reactors due to radical changes of feedstock composition. Bioresour. Technol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.126

De Vrieze, J., Pinto, A.J., Sloan, W.T., Boon, N., Ijaz, U.Z., 2018. The active microbial community more accurately reflects the anaerobic digestion process : 16S rRNA (gene) sequencing as a predictive tool. Microbiome 1–13. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0449-9

Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K., Maman, S., Hernandez-Raquet, G., Combes, S., Pascal, G., 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. Bioinformatics 34, 1287–1294.

Fahy, E., Sud, M., Cotter, D., Subramaniam, S., 2007. LIPID MAPS online tools for lipid research. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, 606–612. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm324

Fessard, A., Remize, F., 2017. Why Are Weissella spp. Not Used as Commercial Starter Cultures for Food Fermentation? Fermentation 3, 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation3030038

Filannino, P., Gobbetti, M., Angelis, M. De, Cagno, R. Di, 2014. Hydroxycinnamic Acids
Used as External Acceptors of Electrons : an Energetic Advantage for Strictly
Heterofermentative   Lactic   Acid   Bacteria   80,   7574–7582.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02413-14

Hassa, J., Maus, I., Off, S., Pühler, A., Scherer, P., Klocke, M., Schlüter, A., 2018.
Metagenome, metatranscriptome, and metaproteome approaches unraveled compositions
and functional relationships of microbial communities residing in biogas plants. Appl.
Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102, 5045–5063. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8976-7

Horai, H., Arita, M., Kanaya, S., Nihei, Y., Ikeda, T., Suwa, K., Ojima, Y., Tanaka, K.,
Tanaka, S., Aoshima, K., Oda, Y., Kakazu, Y., Kusano, M., Tohge, T., Matsuda, F.,
Sawada, Y., Hirai, M.Y., Nakanishi, H., Ikeda, K., Akimoto, N., Maoka, T., Takahashi,
H., Ara, T., Sakurai, N., Suzuki, H., Shibata, D., Neumann, S., Iida, T., Tanaka, K.,
Funatsu, K., Matsuura, F., Soga, T., Taguchi, R., Saito, K., Nishioka, T., 2010.
MassBank: A public repository for sharing mass spectral data for life sciences. J. Mass
Spectrom. 45, 703–714. https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.1777

Ito, T., Yoshiguchi, K., Ariesyady, H.D., Okabe, S., 2011. Identification of a novel acetate-
utilizing bacterium belonging to Synergistes group 4 in anaerobic digester sludge. ISME
J. 5, 1844–1856. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.59

Lê Cao, K.-A., Boitard, S., Besse, P., 2011. Sparse PLS discriminant analysis: biologically
relevant feature selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems. BMC
Bioinformatics 12, 253. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-253

Lê Cao, K.-A., Martin, P.G., Robert-Granié, C., Besse, P., 2009. Sparse canonical methods
for biological data integration: application to a cross-platform study. BMC
Bioinformatics 10, 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-34

Lee, J., Kim, E., Han, G., Tongco, J.V., Shin, S.G., Hwang, S., 2018. Microbial communities
underpinning mesophilic anaerobic digesters treating food wastewater or sewage sludge:
A   full-scale   study.   Bioresour.   Technol.   259,   388–397.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.03.052

Li, N., He, J., Yan, H., Chen, S., Dai, X., 2017. Pathways in bacterial and archaeal
communities dictated by ammonium stress in a high solid anaerobic digester with
dewatered   sludge.   Bioresour.   Technol.   241,   95–102.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.05.094

Lin, Q., De Vrieze, J., Li, J., Li, X., 2016. Temperature affects microbial abundance, activity
and interactions in anaerobic digestion. Bioresour. Technol. 209, 228–236.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.02.132

Longnecker, K., Futrelle, J., Coburn, E., Kido, M.C., Kujawinski, E.B., 2015. Environmental metabolomics : Databases and tools for data analysis. Mar. Chem. 177, 366–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.06.012

Madigou, C., Lê Cao, K.-A., Bureau, C., Mazéas, L., Déjean, S., Chapleur, O., 2019. Ecological consequences of abrupt temperature changes in anaerobic digesters. Chem. Eng. J. 361, 266–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2018.12.003

Mcinerney, M.J., Bryant, M.P., Hespell, R.B., Costerton, J.W., 1981. Syntrophomonas wolfei gen. nov. sp. nov., an Anaerobic, Syntrophic, Fatty Acid-Oxidizing Bacterium 41, 1029–1039.

Noll, M., Klose, M., Conrad, R., 2010. Effect of temperature change on the composition of the bacterial and archaeal community potentially involved in the turnover of acetate and propionate in methanogenic rice field soil. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 73, 215–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00883.x

Nomura, H., Ueyama, J., Sugiura, Y., Takaishi, A., Hayashi, Y., Kondo, T., Inuzuka, K., Kamijima, M., Ogi, H., Osaka, A., Inoue, M., Wakusawa, S., Saito, I., 2014. A revised method for determination of dialkylphosphate levels in human urine by solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry: application to human urine samples from Japanese children. Environ. Health Prev. Med. 19, 405–413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-014-0407-5

Poirier, S., Bize, A., Bureau, C., Bouchez, T., Chapleur, O., 2016. Community shifts within anaerobic digestion microbiota facing phenol inhibition: Towards early warning microbial indicators? Water Res. 100, 296–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.05.041

Pugin, B., O'Mahony, L., Westermann, P., Hellings, P., Wawrzyniak, M., Heider, A., Akdis, C.A., Barcik, W., 2017. A wide diversity of bacteria from the human gut produces and degrades biogenic amines. Microb. Ecol. Health Dis. 28, 1353881. https://doi.org/10.1080/16512235.2017.1353881

Roeder, J., Schink, B., 2009. Syntrophic degradation of cadaverine by a defined methanogenic coculture. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 4821–4828. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00342-09

Rohart, F., Gautier, B., Singh, A., Cao, K.-A. Le, 2017. mixOmics: an R package for 'omics feature selection and multiple data integration. bioRxiv 108597. https://doi.org/10.1101/108597

Sekiguchi, Y., Takahashi, H., Kamagata, Y., Ohashi, A., Harada, H., 2001. In Situ Detection,
Isolation, and Physiological Properties of a Thin Filamentous Microorganism Abundant
in Methanogenic Granular Sludges: a Novel Isolate Affiliated with a Clone Cluster, the
Green Non-Sulfur Bacteria, Subdivision I. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 5740–5749.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.12.5740

Shen, H., Huang, J.Z., 2008. Sparse principal component analysis via regularized low rank
matrix   approximation.   J.   Multivar.   Anal.   99,   1015–1034.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2007.06.007

Singh, A., Benoît, G., Shannon, C.P., Vacher, M., Rohart, F., Tebbutt, S.J., Lê Cao, K.-A.,
2019. DIABLO: an integrative, multi-omics, multivariate method for multi-group
classification.   Bioinformatics   1–50.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty1054

Smith, C.A., Want, E.J., Maille, G.O., Abagyan, R., Siuzdak, G., 2006. XCMS : Processing
Mass Spectrometry Data for Metabolite Profiling Using Nonlinear Peak Alignment ,
Matching , and Identification. Anal. chemestry 78, 779–787.

Sousa, D.Z., Salvador, A.F., Ramos, J., Guedes, A.P., Barbosa, S., Stams, A.J.M., Alves,
M.M., Pereira, M.A., 2013. Activity and viability of methanogens in anaerobic digestion
of unsaturated and saturated long-chain fatty acids. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 4239–
4245. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00035-13

Swiatczak, P., Cydzik-Kwiatkowska, A., Rusanowska, P., 2017. Microbiota of anaerobic
digesters in a full-scale wastewater treatment plant. Arch. Environ. Prot. 43, 53–60.
https://doi.org/10.1515/aep-2017-0033

Vanwonterghem, I., Jensen, P.D., Ho, D.P., Batstone, D.J., Tyson, G.W., 2014. Linking
microbial community structure, interactions and function in anaerobic digesters using
new   molecular   techniques.   Curr.   Opin.   Biotechnol.   27,   55–64.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.11.004

Villas-Bôas, S.G., Noel, S., Lane, G.A., Attwood, G., Cookson, A., 2006. Extracellular
metabolomics: A metabolic footprinting approach to assess fiber degradation in complex
media. Anal. Biochem. 349, 297–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2005.11.019

Wishart, D.S., Feunang, Y.D., Marcu, A., Guo, A.C., Liang, K., Vázquez-Fresno, R., Sajed,
T., Johnson, D., Li, C., Karu, N., Sayeeda, Z., Lo, E., Assempour, N., Berjanskii, M.,
Singhal, S., Arndt, D., Liang, Y., Badran, H., Grant, J., Serra-Cayuela, A., Liu, Y.,
Mandal, R., Neveu, V., Pon, A., Knox, C., Wilson, M., Manach, C., Scalbert, A., 2018.
HMDB 4.0: The human metabolome database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, D608–

D617. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1089

Zamanzadeh, M., Hagen, L.H., Svensson, K., Linjordet, R., Horn, S.J., 2017. Biogas

production from food waste via co-digestion and digestion- effects on performance and

microbial ecology. Sci. Rep. 7, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15784-w