A fast linkage disequilibrium-based statistical test for Genome-Wide Epistatic Selection Scans in structured populations

Léa Boyrie[1], Corentin Moreau[2], Florian Frugier[2], Christophe Jacquet[1], Maxime Bonhomme[1*]

[1] Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales (LRSV), Université de Toulouse, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Université Paul Sabatier (UPS), Castanet-Tolosan, France

[2] Institute of Plant Sciences-Paris Saclay (IPS2), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Univ Paris-Sud, Univ Paris-Diderot, Univ d'Evry, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Université Paris-Saclay, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[*] corresponding author

E-mail: bonhomme@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr

Short Title: LD-based Genome-Wide Epistatic Selection Scans in structured populations

Abstract

The quest for genome-wide signatures of selection in populations using SNP data has proven efficient to uncover genes involved in conserved or adaptive molecular functions, but none of the statistical methods were designed to identify interacting genes as targets of selective processes. Here, we propose a straightforward statistical test aimed at detecting epistatic selection, based on a linkage disequilibrium (LD) measure accounting for population structure and heterogeneous relatedness between individuals. SNP-based (T_{r_v}) and window-based (T_{corPC1_v}) statistics fit a Student distribution, allowing to easily and quickly test the significance of correlation coefficients in the frame of Genome-Wide Epistatic Selection Scans (GWESS) using candidate genes as baits. As a proof of concept, use of SNP data from the *Medicago truncatula* symbiotic legume plant uncovered a previously unknown gene coadaptation between the *MtSUNN* (*Super Numeric Nodule*) receptor and the *MtCLE02* (*CLAVATA3-Like*) signalling peptide, and experimental evidence accordingly supported a *MtSUNN*-dependent negative role of *MtCLE02* in symbiotic root nodulation. Using human HGDP-CEPH SNP data, our new statistical test uncovered strong LD between *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* worldwide, which persists after correction for population structure and relatedness in Central South Asian populations. This result suggests adaptive genetic interaction or coselection between skin pigmentation and the ectodysplasin pathway involved in the development of ectodermal organs (hairs, teeth, sweat glands), in some human populations. Applying this approach to genome-wide SNP data will foster the identification of evolutionary coadapted gene networks.

Keywords: linkage disequilibrium, epistatic selection, coadaptation, GWESS, *Medicago truncatula*, human.

Author summary

Population genomic methods have allowed to identify many genes associated with adaptive processes in populations with complex histories. However, they are not designed to identify gene coadaptation between genes through epistatic selection, in structured populations. To tackle this problem, we developed a straightforward LD-based statistical test accounting for population structure and heterogeneous relatedness between individuals, using SNP-based (T_{r_v}) or windows-based (T_{corPC1_v}) statistics. This allows easily and quickly testing for significance of correlation coefficients between polymorphic loci in the frame of Genome Wide Epistatic Selection Scans (GWESS). Following detection of gene coadaptation using SNP data from human and the model plant *Medicago truncatula*, we report experimental evidence of genetic interaction between two receptors involved in the regulation of root nodule symbiosis in *Medicago truncatula*. This test opens new avenues for exploring the evolution of genes as interacting units and thus paves the way to infer new networks based on evolutionary coadaptation between genes.

Introduction

Genes or genomic regions targeted by natural selection are involved in population adaptive responses to changing environments or in the conservation of pivotal molecular functions. Patterns of DNA sequence polymorphisms in these regions may reflect signatures of directional or balancing, positive selection on adaptive mutations, or negative/background selection against deleterious mutations (1-3). Identifying genes showing such selection signatures has been a major goal of population genetics over the last decades. A large number of statistical methods have been developed, with the aim of controlling at best the false positive proportion and increasing detection power, by taking into account the neutral

evolution expected for molecular polymorphisms in populations showing diverse population

structures or particular demographic scenarios (2, 4, 5). Thanks to high-throughput

sequencing technologies, these methods can now be used to perform Genome-Wide Selection

Scans (GWSS) using massive Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) datasets (6-8).

Although GWSS have identified cohorts of genes associated with past or ongoing adaptive

processes, they are not designed to identify gene coadaptation, that is the adaptive interactions

between genes through epistatic selection, because the genetic background on which adaptive

mutations occur is not considered in statistical frameworks (9).

Few theoretical studies have addressed the issue of simulating the behavior and

detecting epistatic selection in population samples using DNA polymorphisms. Simulations of

two-locus epistatic models with different degrees of recombination (i.e. $0 < c < 0.5$) in a

panmictic population as led to the conclusion that, contrary to *de novo* coselected mutations or

mutations disconnected by a certain amount of time before being coselected, standing

variation on neutral or preselected mutations was crucial for the efficacy of epistatic selection

relative to genetic drift, and therefore for its statistical detection (10, 11). Simulations of two-

locus coadaptation in subdivided populations have also shown that moderate migration rates

allowed the propagation of coselected mutations across subpopulations, while at the same

time preserving favorable allelic combinations established within each subpopulation, thus

favoring the ultimate fixation of coadapted haplotypes (12).

Adaptive epistatic interactions between alleles at two independent loci are expected to

generate Linkage Disequilibrium (LD). It has been shown that a classical LD measure, such

as the correlation coefficient r (related to r^2), can be used to detect epistatic selection between

two bi-allelic loci in a population because it is a directional measure which can indicate an

excess of ancestral and derived allelic associations, relative to recombinant allelic associations

(13). In the case where the ancestral and derived alleles at both loci are known, authors show

that this measure detects epistatic selection either in a coadaptation model where two derived

alleles can form a coadapted allelic combination, or in a compensatory model where the two

derived alleles are individually deleterious but compensate when combined. More recently,

based on simulations of structured populations of which some diverged through epistatic

selection, the D'^2_{IS} measure of LD - originally described by Ohta (14, 15) - was proposed to

detect epistatic selection (16). However, this statistic showed strong limitations to distinguish

between epistatic selection and single-locus selection at two independent loci. In addition,

neutral forces such as population structure, genetic drift and relatedness among individuals,

due to non-random mating schemes act as confounders because they naturally tend to increase

genome-wide levels of LD and to generate long-distance LD (17-20), which can falsely be

detected as signatures of epistatic selection (21).

A significant improvement towards capturing more specifically the LD due to physical

linkage in a population with various degrees of relatedness among individuals, was the

introduction of r^2_v, a r^2 measure. This measure includes a kinship matrix to capture

relatedness among individuals in structured populations (18). Subsequently, to identify

interspecific incompatibilities in the specific context of admixed populations, the $r|a$ LD

measure of positive ancestry disequilibrium (AD) was proposed (22). This measure is

equivalent to the r^2_s in (18), and therefore corresponds to the r^2 measure corrected for the

population structure (the a parameter being the genome-wide admixture proportion between

two species). Although $r|a$ reduced the false-positive rate in AD scans, an excess of low p-

values was still observed in simulations of populations with different demographic histories

with population structure (22).

In this study, we propose to implement a statistical test to detect epistatic selection in

heterogeneously structured populations (i) between two bi-allelic SNPs by using the r_v

measure, or (ii) between two genomic regions including each multiple SNPs, by using the

cor_{PC1v} measure. This latter measure captures the quantitative correlation among the first

principal components (PC1) summarizing the multi-locus genotypes at each genomic region.

Compared with r and cor_{PC1}, using simulations of genome-wide SNP data in structured

diploid populations with random to self-mating schemes under two epistatic selection models,

we showed that r_v and cor_{PC1v} (i) drastically reduce the background LD generated by

population structure and relatedness among individuals; (ii) show an equivalent or a lower

power to detect epistatic selection, depending on the mating scheme, on the

dominance/codominance/recessivity of selected mutations and on whether coadapted or

compensatory epistatic selection occurred; and (iii) T_{r_v} or T_{corPC1_v} statistics follow

approximately a Student distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$ under the null hypothesis of independence

between the two tested loci. Hence, unlike T_r or T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} or T_{corPC1_v} can be used for

straightforward statistical testing of the correlation coefficient between two loci, while

accounting for spurious correlations due to population structure and heterogeneous

relatedness among individuals.

Empirical detections of epistatic selection by genome-wide or targeted approaches on

real SNP data are scarce in the literature (23-28). We assayed our new statistical test in the

frame of genome-wide epistatic selection scans (GWESS) using a « bait» approach, with

genomic SNP data from two different organisms: the model legume plant *Medicago*

truncatula and human. As a proof of concept, we first described in *M. truncatula* the detection

of epistatic selection between the Super Numeric Nodule *MtSUNN* gene, encoding a receptor

which is central for the negative regulation of symbiotic root nodulation, and the

CLAVATA3-like (CLE) signaling peptide *MtCLE02*. This finding is reminiscent of the

functional interaction proposed for other CLE signalling peptides, *MtCLE12* and *MtCLE13*

previously shown to require the *MtSUNN* receptor to regulate negatively the number of

nodule organs on the plant root system (29, 30). Accordingly, an ectopic expression of the

MtCLE02 gene in *M. truncatula* wild-type and *sunn* mutant roots experimentally demonstrated a *MtSUNN*-dependent negative role of the *MtCLE02* gene on nodulation, hence validating functionally the genetic interaction between these two genes. In human, we illustrate the usefulness of the approach by identifying a significant epistatic or coselection signal in Central South Asian populations between *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes, encoding respectively a cation exchanger affecting pigmentation in zebrafish and human (31) and a receptor involved in the development of hair follicles, teeth and sweat glands (32, 33). Together with the fact that *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* were previously shown to be under strong positive selection in Europe and East Asian populations, respectively (34-36), our results highlight the role of epistatic selection or coselection in shaping gene coadaptation during the evolution of populations.

Results

Quality control of simulations

Details of our simulation procedure are provided in Materials and Methods. Briefly, genome-wide (4 chromosomes) SNP data (~ 15,000 SNPs per chromosome) in a two-population split model with 250 diploid individuals per population during 300 generations (the ancestral population before the split was generated by coalescent simulations) were replicated 1,000 times for all combinations of the following parameter settings: (i) selection regimes as neutrality (NEUT), coadapted (COAD) and compensatory (COMP) two-locus epistatic selection, or additive (ADD) two-locus selection (all selection models starting 100 generations after the split time), (ii) random or self-mating (95% selfing rate) since the initial generation, and (iii) complete recessivity, codominance or dominance of the mutations under selection.

To ensure that our simulations produced consistent population structure and fixation of

coselected alleles, we tracked down allele frequencies evolution at multiple SNP positions,

including those of the derived alleles *a* and *b* at the two SNPs A and B intended to be targeted

by selection, on two different chromosomes. Population structure and within population

inbreeding were calculated using F_{ST} and F_{IS} parameters (==S1 Fig==). At the outcome of the

simulations (i.e. generation 300), the average F_{IS} in self-mating and panmictic populations

was equal to 0.92 and 0.07 respectively, while the average F_{ST} was equal to 0.19 and 0.10

respectively, thus showing realistic values. Selection efficiency was measured by the co-

fixation rate of *a* and *b* at each generation in each selection model (==S2 Fig==). The first

observation was that the COAD epistatic model generally induced a higher speed of fixation

than the ADD positive selection model, while, as expected, the COMP model tends to

maintain higher polymorphism due to selection of both *AB* and *ab* combinations at the two

selected loci. The second observation was that rates of co-fixation of the derived alleles in

self-mating populations reached more rapidly an equilibrium value than in panmictic

populations, but more importantly that in self-mating populations the dominance,

codominance or recessivity of the selected mutations had few effect on the co-fixation

dynamics because of the very low heterozygosity level (F_{IS} = 0.92 at the onset of selection).

On the other hand, dominance, codominance or recessivity in panmictic populations strongly

impact co-fixation dynamics due to more complex fitness patterns in the presence of

heterozygotes (==S1 Table==). However, values of the fixation rates must be interpreted in light of

the moderate sizes of the simulated population (N = 250 in each population), as selection

efficiency increases with population size according to a factor *Ns* (37).

Two-locus linkage disequilibrium under epistatic selection models

We focus hereafter on the evolution of the two-locus average LD across simulations,

in self-mating and panmictic populations under selection models with **codominance**. We

selected this genetic model because it produced the highest rates of co-fixation (*i.e.* the best selection efficiency) in panmictic populations, while similar co-fixation rates were observed under dominance, codominance or recessivity of the selected mutations in self-mating populations (**S2 Fig**). In addition, to perform proper comparisons between selection models and to avoid sampling bias on the average LD, in the COAD and ADD models we selected 500 simulations among those in which the outcome at the last generation was the co-fixation of the derived alleles *a* and *b* in both sub-populations, and in the COMP selection model we randomly sampled 500 simulations (*i.e.* simulations showing fixation of the *AB* or *ab* combination or still showing polymorphism at the last generation). For better visibility, the evolution of the average two-locus LD is described by using the absolute correlation values. Simulations under selection models with recessivity or dominance are provided in **S3 Fig**. In the codominant mutations model, we first observe that under the NEUT model population structure with or without non-random mating generates LD between two independent loci, as measured using r or cor_{PC1}, which values can reach 0.25 to 0.5 at the final generation (**Fig 1**). This background LD is lowered to zero or close to zero on average, when correcting these statistics by the V matrix, as measured using r_v or cor_{PC1v}. This result makes the r_v and cor_{PC1v} of necessary use in order to perform statistical tests of the neutral hypothesis of a null correlation between two independent loci, accounting for "noisy" neutral processes (see next result section).

Second, we observe that selection models tend to generate more LD than NEUT model, though it depended on the statistics (r/r_v or cor_{PC1}/cor_{PC1v}), the mating system and the selection model in an entangled way. For instance, the COAD, COMP and ADD selection models all tend to generate more LD than the NEUT model in self-mating species, with COAD and COMP generating more LD than the ADD model (**Fig 1**). In panmictic populations, however, only the COMP model generate consistent LD as measured using r_v or

cor_PC1v, compared to the COAD and ADD models which generate few LD. A noticeable case

is the one of LD measured in the ADD model with the *r* statistics, which is lower than in the

NEUT model. This can be explained by a strong structure effect under the NEUT model, that

is mitigated in the ADD model due to fixation independency of the derived alleles at the SNPs

under selection. However, one can observe that it is not the case with LD measured by *cor_PC1*

which shows higher values than the NEUT model along the generations. This can be

explained by a strong haplotype structure in the region surrounding SNPs under selection,

between the two subpopulations, since haplotypes diverged during 100 generations before

being targeted by selection. However, despite correcting for population structure, it remains

difficult to distinguish epistatic selection from additive selection in self-mating populations at

the haplotype level when these are not the same haplotypes that are under selection in the

different subpopulations. Nonetheless, this nuisance phenomenon has less impact when

focusing on the SNPs targeted by selection.

Finally, it can be seen that SNP-based LD measures (r/r_v) are more efficient than

haplotype-based LD measures (*cor_PC1*/*cor_PC1v*) to detect epistatic selection (==Fig 1==). However,

they cannot capture any signal once allele fixation at one SNP or co-fixation at the two SNPs

has occurred. On the other hand, *cor_PC1*/*cor_PC1v* rely on SNP polymorphism in the genomic

region surrounding SNPs under selection, so that they can benefit from the hitch-hiking effect

even after allele fixation at the SNPs targeted by selection.

False positive control and detection power of two-locus correlation statistics

On the assumption that values of the correlation coefficient *r* follow a Student

distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$ under the null hypothesis of independence between the two variables

tested, we examined the fit of the statistics T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} to such a distribution.

This allowed evaluating their use for statistical testing of the null hypothesis that $T = 0$ - that

is $r = 0$ - if the two tested loci are independent and evolve neutrally (see Materials and

Methods for the formulas of the T statistics). False positive (FP) proportions of the statistics T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} with regard to the Student distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$ are given for different rejection quantiles in <mark>Table 1</mark>.

Table 1 – False positive (FP) proportions for T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} statistics in comparisons with the Student distribution ($\tau_{(n-2)}$) used for testing the significance of the correlation coefficient.

Generation	Mating scheme	Statistics	FP proportions		
			10% (1.283)	5% (1.648)	1% (2.334)
140	self-mating	T_r	85 %	82 %	74 %
		T_{corPC1}	89 %	86 %	81 %
		$\boldsymbol{T_{r_v}}$	**13 %**	**8 %**	**3 %**
		$\boldsymbol{T_{corPC1_v}}$	**13 %**	**7 %**	**3 %**
	random-mating	T_r	72 %	66 %	55 %
		T_{corPC1}	83 %	78 %	70 %
		$\boldsymbol{T_{r_v}}$	**2.8 %**	**0.6 %**	**0.2 %**
		$\boldsymbol{T_{corPC1_v}}$	**2.5 %**	**0.6 %**	**0.1 %**
300	self-mating	T_r	92 %	91 %	87 %
		T_{corPC1}	95 %	93 %	91 %
		$\boldsymbol{T_{r_v}}$	**31 %**	**26 %**	**20 %**
		$\boldsymbol{T_{corPC1_v}}$	**37 %**	**31 %**	**22 %**
	random-mating	T_r	85 %	81 %	74 %
		T_{corPC1}	93 %	91 %	87 %
		$\boldsymbol{T_{r_v}}$	**4.6 %**	**2.3 %**	**1.2 %**
		$\boldsymbol{T_{corPC1_v}}$	**5.2 %**	**3.1 %**	**1.1 %**

False positive proportions are calculated as the proportion of simulations in which the statistics has a value greater than the defined rejection quantile of the $\tau_{(n-2)}$ distribution, for different type I errors: 10%, 5% and 1% (the associated rejection quantile are given in bracket). In our simulations, the sample size n was equal to 500. Since the sign of the correlation coefficient is not interpretable, especially for T_{corPC1} and T_{corPC1_v} the absolute values of T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} and of the Student distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$ were used for false positive proportion calculation.

Two time points were considered in the neutral simulations for the false positive analysis as well as power analysis, at generation 140 in the midst of the time course and at the last generation 300. At generation 140, in both the self-mating and random-mating models, T_r and T_{corPC1} showed excessively large FP proportions. For instance, FP proportions ranging from 55 to 81% were observed for a 1% type I error, while T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} showed adequate, conservative FP proportions ranging from 0.1 to 3% for the same 1% type I error (<mark>**Table 1**</mark>). At generation 300, a similar behaviour was observed, with FP proportions ranging from 74 to 91% for a 1% type I error, while T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} showed adequate - though less conservative in the case of the self-mating model - FP proportions ranging from 1.1 to 22% for the same 1% type I error. This indicates that correction for population structure and heterogeneous relatedness is crucial for correctly testing the null hypothesis of the correlation coefficient between two SNP or two genomic regions. However, while sharp population structure is efficiently taken into account in the statistical correction, it seems that the combination of such population structure and self-mating tend to inflate the distribution of T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} on the long term, since this phenomenon was observed only at generation 300 and not at generation 140.

Then, a power analysis was performed for the statistics T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} by using (i) simulated data under the null hypothesis of neutrality and independence between loci and (ii) simulated data under each of the selection model and independence between loci. For each statistic, neutral simulations were used to estimate one-way rejection quantiles by using the absolute values of the statistic, corresponding to type I error α ranging from 0.001 to 0.20. Then, for each selection model under self-mating or random mating with codominant mutations, we calculated the proportion of simulations where absolute values of each T statistic were higher than the rejection quantiles. Power was calculated for T_r, T_{corPC1}, T_{r_v} and

T_{corPC1_v} at generation 140 where allele fixation at SNPs under selection was not yet achieved,

and also at the last generation 300 for window-based measures T_{corPC1} and T_{corPC1_v}.

At both time-point, a general trend is that the detection power with r/r_v and

cor_{PC1}/cor_{PC1v} is higher for the COMP model than for the COAD or the ADD models (i.e. 25-

50%, 10-65%, and 10-30%, respectively, for α=5% with r_v or cor_{PC1v} statistics), especially

when considering random mating (Fig 2). In addition, the correction of LD-based measures

by the kinship matrix (r_v/cor_{PC1v}) does not increase detection power of epistatic selection;

rather it tends to reduce power especially in the COMP model but not in the COAD model.

This is due to the fact that the fixation of the *AB* allelic combination is more frequent in sub-

populations than in the whole population in the COMP model (see S2 Fig) - a consequence of

unequal initial frequencies of the ancestral/derived alleles in the simulations - leading to a

high LD value when population structure is not taken into account. On the other hand, it is

interesting to note that an increased power to detect additive selection is observed with r_v

especially in a self-mating regime (Fig 2A). This is because, at the whole population level, the

three allelic combinations containing the derived allele (*i.e. ab*, *Ab* and *aB*) are selected, while

in sub-populations the self-mating regime limits this selection to the most frequent

combinations that are present at the onset of selection, thus increasing LD values when

population structure is taken into account. Finally, cor_{PC1v} may tend to show less power than

r_v when the same allele selected in each subpopulation is present on different haplotypes,

which is the case in our simulations (Fig 2A,C; Fig 2B,D, and see previous section).

Detection of two-locus coadaptation in the plant *Medicago truncatula*

To illustrate the straightforward statistical testing of the correlation coefficient

between two loci using T_{corPC1} or T_{corPC1_v}, a one-dimension Genome-Wide Epistatic

Selection Scan (GWESS) was performed using a bait approach with the *MtSUNN* candidate

gene against 48,339 other genes of the *M. truncatula* genome. The statistics T_{corPC1} and

T_{corPC1_v} were calculated based on PC1 values from SNP data located in 10 kbp windows

spanning each *M. truncatula* gene and PC1 values from *MtSUNN*, and p-values were obtained

from the $\tau_{(n-2)}$ null distribution. Two scans were implemented, including SNP data from

either the whole *M. truncatula* collection - n=262 individuals - or from the Far West (FW)

sub-population - n=80 individuals - (**Fig 3A,C; Fig 3B,D**; respectively). A clear inflation

towards small p-values can be observed for scans based on T_{corPC1} (**Fig 3A,B**) compared with

scans based on T_{corPC1_v} (**Fig 3C,D**), and this inflation is higher with data from the whole

collection which shows a higher degree of population structure. In the FW sub-population

scan, a sharp peak is observed using T_{corPC1_v} on the chromosome 6 corresponding to the

MtCLE02 (Medtr6g009390) gene on top of the peak (**Fig 3D,** p-value = 1.7×10^{-8}). *MtCLE02*

corresponds to the top candidate gene showing an epistatic selection signal outside of the

chromosome 4 where is located *MtSUNN*. Whereas *MtCLE02* is also highly correlated with

MtSUNN when considering T_{corPC1} (**Fig 3B,** p-value = 2.74×10^{-13}), several other genomic

regions display similar or even more significant signals, which may indicate spurious

genome-wide correlations. Interestingly, scans based on SNP data from the whole *M.*

truncatula population do not reveal such strong signal in the genomic region containing

MtCLE02 (**Fig 3A,C;** p-value = 0.077 and 0.006 for T_{corPC1} and T_{corPC1_v}, respectively),

indicating that in this specific case, epistatic selection may have occured at the sub-population

level, and as such could not be detected using SNP data from the whole population and the

T_{corPC1_v} statistic because the signal would be correlated with population structure.

Experimental evidence for the genetic dependency between *MtSUNN* and *MtCLE02* in

Medicago truncatula

The *MtSUNN* gene encodes a Leucine-Rich Repeats – Receptor Like Kinase (LRR-

RLK) whereas the *MtCLE02* genes encodes a CLAVATA-like secreted signalling peptide.

The SUNN receptor function, which is crucial in the systemic negative regulation of nodulation, has been previously associated to other CLE secreted signalling peptide encoding genes, *MtCLE12* and *MtCLE13* (29, 38). Whereas their expression was induced by the inoculation with symbiotic rhizobia bacteria initiating nodulation, this was not the case for *MtCLE02* (**S4A,B Fig**). These previously documented CLE/SUNN relationships pointed us to test for a putative functional interaction between CLE02 signalling peptides and the SUNN receptor. As previously performed for *MtCLE12* or *MtCLE13* (29, 38), we used a genetic approach consisting in overexpressing the *MtCLE02* gene comparatively in *M. truncatula* Wild-Type (WT) and *sunn* mutant roots. First, quantification of the nodule number in WT versus *sunn* mutant roots highlighted the well-known supernodulation phenotype of the *sunn* mutant (Mann & Whitney – Wilcoxon test, p-value = 2×10^{-6}). Second, the nodule number was significantly decreased when *MtCLE02* was overexpressed in WT roots, as validated by real time RT-PCR (**S4C Fig**; Mann & Whitney - Wilcoxon test, p-value = 2×10^{-6}), indicating a negative role of *MtCLE02* on nodulation (**Fig 4A,B**). Third, *MtCLE02* overexpression in *sunn* mutant roots did not impact the nodule number (Mann & Whitney – Wilcoxon test, p-value = 0.66), in contrast to what was observed in the WT, indicating that the negative role of CLE02 on nodulation relies on the SUNN receptor. These experimental results therefore unambiguously functionally link these two *M. truncatula* genes in the context of symbiotic root nodulation through an epistatic relationship which was detected by our method based on their putative co-evolution.

Detection of two-locus coadaptation in human populations

In human, two GWESS were performed on the world-wide sample of 940 individuals with two bait SNPs, 15_46172199 (rs2250072) and 2_108973688 (rs6749207) located in *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes, respectively. For each bait SNP, SNP-based statistics T_r and T_{r_v} were calculated for 479,764 genome-wide SNPs. Scans implemented in the world-wide

population with both 15_46172199 and 2_108973688 SNPs as bait were inflated towards

small p-values when using T_r statistic (<mark>**Fig 5A,B**</mark>), compared with scans implemented with T_{r_v}

statistic (<mark>**Fig 5C,D**</mark>). Using SNP 15_46172199 as bait for *SLC24A5* gene, a peak

corresponding to the *EDAR* gene was detected, with SNP 2_108946170 as the top significant

SNP (<mark>**Fig 5A,C**</mark>; T_{r_v}-based p-value = 2.29×10^{-9}). Conversely, when the scan was performed

with SNP 2_108973688 as bait from the *EDAR* gene, a peak corresponding to the *SCL24A5*

gene was detected, with SNP 15_46179457 as the top significant SNP (<mark>**Fig 5B,D**</mark>; T_{r_v}-based p-

value = 1.2×10^{-12}). Genome-wide LD distributions between each bait SNPs and all other

SNPs (<mark>**Fig 5**</mark>; top left of each panel) showed that LD values between SNPs from *EDAR* and

SLC24A5 locate in the extreme right tail thus indicating extremely significant signals. The

world-wide geographical distribution of genotypes at SNPs 15_46172199 - *SLC24A5* - and

2_108973688 - *EDAR* - (<mark>**Fig 6C**</mark>) correlates substantially with global population structure, as

depicted by a phylogenetic tree based on the kinship matrix among individuals (<mark>**Fig 6A,B**</mark>).

Indeed, the derived allele at SNP 15_46172199, associated with the light skin allele at the

SCL25A5 gene is present in Europe, North Africa, Middle East and Central South Asia, and

the derived allele at SNP 2_108973688, associated with the thick hair allele at the *EDAR* gene

is present in East-Asia, America, and Oceania (<mark>**Fig 6C**</mark>). The strong LD signature between

SCL25A5 and *EDAR* observed in the world-wide sample, as measured with T_r, therefore

reflects selection of derived alleles (in green) in different geographical regions (34, 36), thus a

correlation with global population structure. However, LD corrected for population structure

and relatedness between individuals, as measured with T_{r_v}, was still highly significant

between *SCL25A5* and *EDAR*, indicating that coadaptation by coselection or epistatic

selection may have occurred between both genes at the level of geographical sub-regions. In

order to localize the geographic origin of such selection signatures, GWESSs were performed

within six geographical regions of the world-wide sample: Central South Asia, East Asia,

Subsaharian Africa, Middle East, Europe and America (S5-S10 Figs). Only the GWESS

performed in Central South Asia indicated significant LD between SNPs at the *SCL24A5* and

EDAR genes (S5C Fig, T_{r_v}-based p-value = 6.7x10^{-6} at SNP 2_108973688; S5D Fig, T_{r_v}-

based p-value = 2.8x10^{-6} at SNP 15_46174380). Human population samples from the HGDP-

CEPH dataset in Central South Asia are composed of eight different ethnies from Pakistan.

Scans implemented with T_r or T_{r_v} statistics showed similar genome-wide LD distributions (S5

Fig; top left of each panel), indicating a weak population structure within this geographic

region. To search for local signals of coselection or epistatic selection, LD tests were

performed with T_{r_v} between two candidates SNPs within *SCL24A5* (15_46179457

(rs1834640) and 15_46172199) and three candidates SNPs within *EDAR* (2_108962124

(rs260607), 2_108982808 (rs17034770) and 2_108973688), for the 50 ethnic groups or

populations distributed in the eight geographical regions and showing polymorphism at all

five SNPs. Average and standard deviation of –log$_{10}$(p-value) across six pairwise SNP

comparisons (Fig 6,D) strongly support high LD between *SCL24A5* and *EDAR* in the Burusho

ethnic group from Pakistan (3.2 and 0.36, respectively), as also highlighted by genotypes of

Burusho individuals (Fig 6,C, r_v = 0.63 for genotypes between the two dotted lines). This

pattern of high LD between *SCL24A5* and *EDAR* in Burusho seems not to be generated by

population sub-structure in this ethnic group since LD tests performed with T_r result in similar

average (3.18) and standard deviation (0.36) of –log$_{10}$(p-value) (S11 Fig). These analyses also

suggest a weaker but still significant signal in the Hazara ethnic group, with average and

standard deviation of –log$_{10}$(p-value) of 1.73 and 0.14, respectively.

Discussion

We introduced a straightforward statistical method which can detect the signature of

epistatic selection using LD between two loci, in order to identify genes that coevolve. SNP-

based (T_{r_v}) and window-based (T_{corPC1_v}) statistics, which take into account the underlying

population structure and relatedness among individuals, are shown to fit a Student distribution

$\tau_{(n-2)}$, allowing to easily and quickly test for significance of correlation coefficients in the

frame of Genome-Wide Epistatic Selection Scans (GWESS) using either a candidate SNP, a

gene, or a short genomic region as bait. Simulations have shown that T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} showed

equivalent or less power than T_r or T_{corPC1} to detect epistatic selection in structured

populations, ranging from 10 to 65% depending on the epistatic selection model and mating

scheme (assuming a 5% type I error), and in the case where selection occurred simultaneously

in all sub-populations. Thus, selection signals in local populations could be more difficult to

detect with T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} because in these cases selection can be correlated with population

structure. In addition, the contribution of each sub-population to the global LD signal may not

be easy to partition when heterogeneous relatedness and complex population structure

underlie the genotypic data. Furthermore, the impact of the kinship matrix on LD correction

changes depending on the scale of the sampling, with a stronger impact for large scales of

geographical population structure than for smaller, less structured, and less heterogeneous

geographical scales. This result therefore suggests to perform GWESS not only using a global

sample comprising individuals from different populations, but also using samples from

different sub-populations in order to search for more population-specific patterns of epistatic

selection. In the context of statistical testing, however, simulations as well as analyses

performed both in *M. truncatula* and human genomes strongly support the requirement of

using T_{r_v} and T_{corPC1_v} instead of only T_r or T_{corPC1}, in order to efficiently control for false

positives in the frame of GWESS. Finally, despite SNP-based statistics (T_r, T_{r_v}) may tend to

show better detection power than window-based statistics (T_{corPC1}, T_{corPC1_v}) because adaptive

interacting mutations at both loci can be directly tested with SNP-based statistics and they are not sensitive to complex haplotype structure, window-based statistics also show several advantages. They are faster to implement at the genome scale - especially if two-dimensional GWESS are envisioned -, the window size can be fixed at a value that fits best the average LD decay in the species studied (even though a standard 10 kbp size can be used by default), and they allow to detect coevolving genes even after putative fixation of coselected SNPs due to surrounding SNPs within genes or windows that also carry a selection signal by hitchhiking.

The applications of our method to SNP data from human populations or from populations of the model plant *Medicago truncatula* allowed in both cases to identify couples of genes most probably under epistatic selection, or at least under coselection. In *M. truncatula*, polymorphism at the *MtSUNN* gene could be driven by a balancing selection at the local level because the *H* statistic (39) is 1.45 in the Far West population and ranks among the highest 8.41% in the entire genome, while $H = 0.52$ based on the whole species, ranking among the highest 39.5%, according to a set of 47,875 genes. The polymorphism at the *MtCLE02* gene seems however more affected by an ongoing selective sweep that can be detected at the level of the whole species ($H= -2.25$, ranking among the lowest 8.98% of the genome; data not shown). Still, both genes maintain polymorphism, and epistatic selection could drive this pattern probably through a compensatory model. As a proof of concept to illustrate the putative role of epistatic selection in the coadaptation of these two genes, a genetic approach was used to demonstrate the functional interaction between the MtCLE02 signalling peptide and the MtSUNN receptor. Indeed, the MtCLE02 signalling peptide negatively affects nodule number depending on the MtSUNN receptor, as previously shown for other CLE peptide encoding genes (*MtCLE12* and *MtCLE13*) (29, 38). Interestingly, it should be noted that the *MtCLE02* gene is, in contrast to *MtCLE12* and *MtCLE13*, not regulated by symbiotic nodulation conditions and not phylogenetically closely related to these

previously characterized CLE signalling peptide encoding genes shown to have a related

negative impact on nodule number (40). The identification of a novel CLE peptide acting in

this genetic pathway highlights the discovery power of our method to functionally associate

gene pairs independently of their expression pattern or of a coregulation pattern criterion.

In human, the *SLC24A5* gene, a major driver of variation in skin pigmentation, has

been shown to be under positive selection in European population (36, 41, 42). The causal

mutation for the light skin phenotype was not present in HGDP data (SNP rs1426654,

position: 15_46213776), but the SNPs we used (i.e. 15_46179457 and 15_46172199) localize

on the same *SLC24A5* haplotype background previously characterized (43-45). In addition,

the V370A mutation in *EDAR* coding for a receptor related to TNFα receptors involved in

driving hair structure and teeth and sweat glands development, was shown to be under

positive selection in East Asia and in native Americans, and to increase hair thickness (33-

35). Likewise, the causal mutation in the *EDAR* gene was not present in HGDP data, but the

SNPs used (i.e. 2_108962124, 2_108973688 and 2_108982808) locate within the genomic

sequence of *EDAR*. The geographic distribution of genotypes at SNP 15_46172199 and

2_108973688 strongly correlates with world-wide human population structure, which

explains the high LD observed at this level in the scans implemented with T_r. However, scans

implemented with T_{r_v} also indicate strong LD between *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* in the world-

wide sample suggesting a persistence of LD due to epistatic selection or coselection. We

identified Central South Asia, with the Burusho ethnic group from Pakistan as being the most

probable geographic origin of such selection signature. GWESS performed in Central South

Asia, and subsequent LD tests between *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* in sub-populations performed

with T_r or T_{r_v} statistics showed similar results, indicating weak population structure, as

previously observed within this geographic region and in India (46). The Burusho ethnic

group shows a predominant association between the derived alleles at *SLC24A5* and the

ancestral alleles at *EDAR*, which is indicative of the persistence of a typical European light

skin and thin hair structure in Burusho, coexisting with probably fewer East Asian

morphotypes with darker skin and thicker hair structure. This pattern could have been driven

by either epistatic selection, if a functional link between skin pigmentation and the

ectodysplasin pathway occurred in human, or coselection for these two phenotypic traits,

which is more likely because such a pattern seems specific from ethnic groups. Thus, in

human, LD between *EDAR* and *SCL24A5* is not only related to world-wide population

structure and positive selection but also to local coselection of genes.

A natural extension of the one-dimension use of T_{r_v} or T_{corPC1_v} - based tests in

GWESS is the implementation of two-dimensional GWESS in which the correlation of each

polymorphic locus (SNP, gene or genomic region) in the genome would be tested against all

remaining polymorphic loci. Such type of analyses will open the way towards exploring the

structure and topology of coadapted gene networks.

Materials and Methods

Genetic models of epistatic selection

We follow fitness genotype formalization under epistatic selection models as in (10,

13). Consider two independent bi-allelic loci A and B, with ancestral alleles *A* and *B*, and

derived alleles *a* and *b*. The coadaptation model consists in positively selecting the two-locus

ab combination; it can be considered as a selective sweep on the *ab* combination. The

compensatory model consists in selecting against the *Ab* and *aB* two-locus combinations, but

not against *AB* and *ab*. The coefficient *s* is used to positively or negatively select two-locus

genotypes. In a diploid population, the two-locus fitness expression will depend on the level

of dominance of the derived alleles (S1 Table). In the compensatory model with

codominance, we choose to select against the double heterozygote genotype (*Aa/Bb*) because

A and B are independent loci putatively equally expressing *AB* / *ab* or *Ab* / *aB*. In the neutral

model, all fitness values are set up to 1.

SNP-based and window-based LD measures of epistatic selection

In a diploid organism, at a given bi-allelic SNP with alleles coded 0 and 1 the three

possible genotypes are (00,01,11), which can be coded as the allelic dose of allele 1 (0, 1, 2).

The measure on unphased genotypes between two bi-allelic loci is defined by the correlation

coefficient *r* between the vectors of genotypes at the SNPs *l* and *m*, X^l and X^m (47-49):

515
$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i^l - \overline{X^l})(X_i^m - \overline{X^m})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i^l - \overline{X^l})^2}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i^m - \overline{X^m})^2}}$$

In the case where 0 and 1 are the ancestral and derived alleles, respectively, the sign of *r*

indicates whether combinations of ancestral and derived alleles (i.e. 00 and 11) preferentially

segregate in individuals at the two SNPs or whether alternative combinations do segregate

preferentially (i.e. 01 and 10). At two physically unlinked loci in a panmictic population, this

measure allows to detect fitness interaction between two new mutations under the

coadaptation or the compensatory model (13). In the context of GWESS with high-density

SNP data, we propose to use the *cor$_{PC1}$* measure of LD between to genomic regions

containing each multiple SNPs. The first principal component *PC1^l* is used to summarize

quantitatively the multi-SNP genotypes of the genomic region *l* (see (50)). Then, *cor$_{PC1}$* is the

correlation coefficient between the vectors of summarized multi-SNP genotypes of the two

genomic regions *l* and *m*, *PC1^l* and *PC1^m*:

527
$$cor_{PC1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(PC1_i^l - \overline{PC1^l})(PC1_i^m - \overline{PC1^m})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(PC1_i^l - \overline{PC1^l})^2}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(PC1_i^m - \overline{PC1^m})^2}}$$

However, as mentioned in (18), population structure and relatedness among individuals

generate non-independence between individuals and tend to bias upwardly the values of

linkage disequilibrium measures. This is particularly the case in highly inbred or

predominantly selfing species (20). At a given locus, Mangin and collaborators proposed to

uncorrelate the observations by multiplying the vector of genotypes by $V^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where V is the

kinship (or relatedness) matrix among individuals. V is built with the V_{ij} covariance for all

pairs (i,j) of individuals. V_{ij} is the average number of identical genotypes between individuals

i and j, in a genome-wide SNP dataset. This measure of Identity In State (IIS) is a good proxy

of Identity By Descent (IBS) with SNP markers since the latter follow an infinite site

mutation model. It follows that, since r is the Pearson correlation coefficient, r_v can be

computed as $cor(V^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^l, V^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^m)$ and cor_{PC1v} as $cor(V^{-\frac{1}{2}}PC1^l, V^{-\frac{1}{2}}PC1^m)$.

Statistical test of epistatic selection based on linkage disequilibrium

Under the hypothesis that observations within X^l and X^m (respectively within $PC1^l$ and

$PC1^m$) are independent, then r (respectively cor_{PC1}) can be used to obtain the T statistics:

$$543 \qquad T = \sqrt{n-2}\,\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$$

which follows a Student distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$. However, in the case were observations are not

independent; that is when the genotypes at a given locus are correlated within the population

due to non-random mating and/or between populations due to structure, then we expect that

only the T statistics obtained using r_v or cor_{PC1v} follow the distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$. In the case

where the ancestral/derived allele status is known at the SNPs, a positive sign of r (or r_v)

strictly reflects both the coadaptation and compensatory epistatic models and a unilateral test

can be performed with alternative hypothesis being "r (or r_v) is significantly higher than 0".

Otherwise, the sign of r is not interpretable, but the p-value of the test can be computed on

either side of the null distribution. Likewise, whether the ancestral/derived allele status of the SNPs is known or not, the sign of cor_{PC1} (or cor_{PC1v}) is not interpretable since $PC1$ reflections imply identical ranking of individuals genotypes (or relatedness) in a given genomic region (see for instance (51)). R scripts to implement the statistical test based on T_r, T_{r_v}, T_{corPC1} or T_{corPC1_v}, along with an example dataset, are available at https://github.com/leaboyrie/LD_corpc1.

Simulation and LD-based detection power of epistatic selection in structured population

Simulations of the neutral evolution of two independent bi-allelic loci were carried out in order to evaluate the distribution of LD-based measures under the null hypothesis and their fit to a Student distribution $\tau_{(n-2)}$. In addition, two-locus epistatic selection was simulated in the same framework to evaluate the statistical power $(1 - \beta)$ of these measures to detect two-locus epistatic selection given a type I error for the null hypothesis. Three selection models were simulated: the coadapted or compensatory epistatic selection model and the additive selection model (i.e. independent selection on the two loci; see table of fitness values in **S1 Table**). First, a sample from an ancestral population was simulated with the coalescence simulator SCRM (52). The sample consists of 1,000 haploid individuals with each four independent chromosomes of length L = 5 Mbp. The mutation (μ) and recombination (c) rates were fixed to classical values of 10^{-9} and 10^{-8} per base pair per generation, respectively, and the ancestral population size N_0 fixed to 100,000, so that the ρ/θ ratio (i.e. $4N_0cL/4N_0\mu L$) was equal to 10 and produced approximately 15,000 SNPs per chromosome in the sample, with a density of ~ 1 SNP each 300 bp. The SCRM command used was *scrm nhap l –t theta –r rho l – p 8 > output.txt*. Second, the ancestral population of 1,000 haploid individuals was splitted into two isolated populations each of 250 diploid individuals, in order to generate population structure. Then, a forward-in-time simulation based on python language was carried using the

SIMUPOP simulator (53, 54) under (i) different selection regimes: neutrality, coadapted or compensatory two-locus epistatic selection, or additive two-locus selection, and (ii) random or self-mating (with 95% selfing) scheme. Recombination and mutation probabilities were identical to SCRM. Simulation of each selection models were performed under complete recessivity, codominance or dominance of the mutations under selection. At generation 0, two SNPs were chosen approximately in the middle of two of the four different chromosomes, in order to follow allele frequencies and two-locus LD measures throughout 300 generations. At generation 100 in selection scenarios, the selection coefficients s was applied during 200 generations at these two SNPs in the two populations. Pilot studies suggested to use $s = 0.10$ to get adequate selection efficacy given the moderate simulated population size (N = 250 in each sub-population) and the computational cost to simulate small genomes with high SNP density. In addition, only coalescent simulations showing not too extreme derived allele frequencies at the two focal SNPs (i.e. between 0.25 and 0.75) were chosen to set up generation 0 of the forward-in-time simulation. Indeed, as suggested by previous works, standing variation on preselected mutations allows better efficacy of epistatic selection relative to genetic drift (10, 11, 13). Particularly under the coadaptation model, the fixation probability of the coadapted allelic combination highly depends on the initial allele frequencies, with low fixation probability when these mutations are in low frequencies at the onset of selection. Allele frequencies and the r and r_v LD measures were calculated at the two SNP positions every 20 generations on the total population (500 diploid individuals distributed in two isolated populations). The cor_{PC1} and cor_{PC1v} measures were calculated on windows of 10 kbp (i.e. ± 5 kbp on either side of the focal SNP). For r_v and cor_{PC1v}, the kinship matrix was calculated at each time-point measure based on the simulated SNPs on the four chromosomes dataset, after filtering for a 5% Minor Allele Frequency (MAF). No MAF filtering was performed in the genomic regions of the two focal SNPs before calculating LD-

based measures. We performed 1,000 simulations of each scenario described above, which

allowed us to get the empirical distributions of all LD-based statistics.

GWESS with SNP data in _Medicago truncatula_ and human

GWESS was performed in _M. truncatula_ using a raw dataset of 22,079,533 SNP

markers identified on the eight chromosomes of the species by the Medicago HapMap Project

on a collection of 262 accessions (see http://www.medicagohapmap.org/downloads/mt40).

The collection has already been screened for GWAS of different traits (55-61) but also for

GWSS (62-64). This highly self-mating species (95% selfing rate), originating from the

Mediteranean basin, is structured in two major sub-populations, the Far West population

(FW) concentrated on the West part under Atlantic influence, and the Circum population (C)

that spreads over the rest of the Mediteranean basin (55, 56, 65, 66). Samples from the FW

sub-population and from the C sub-population consist of 80 and 182 accessions, respectively.

We used a bait approach for GWESS, in which T_{corPC1} and T_{corPC1_v} were calculated for a

given candidate gene, here _MtSUNN_, with gene identifier Medtr4g070970 in the genome

version 4.0 - http://www.medicagogenome.org/ - (67) or MtrunA17Chr4g0035451 in the v5 -

https://medicago.toulouse.inra.fr/MtrunA17r5.0-ANR/- (68), against each of the remaining

48,339 genes of the genome. For _PC1_ calculation on each gene, imputed SNP data were

required. Gene-based imputation was performed using the TASSEL software (69), where each

missing base was imputed with the accession that shares the longest haplotype surrounding

the base, on a window of 30 SNPs maximum (56). For calculation of T_{corPC1_v}, the kinship

matrix _V_ of the 262 individuals was estimated based on the genome-wide SNP dataset with

MAF 5%.

In human, GWESS was performed by using the dataset of 494,764 SNPs (431,951

with a Minor Allele Frequency of 5%) with no missing data from the HGDP-CEPH Human

Genome Diversity Panel on a world-wide sample (America, Asia, Europe, Middle East, North

Africa, Subsaharian Africa and Oceania) of 940 individuals belonging to 57 populations from 23 countries (70, 71). The genome version (i.e. the gene positions) B36 was used for this analysis in order to fit with SNP positions in the HGDP-CEPH dataset, similarly to (72). We used a bait approach in which T_r and T_{r_v} were calculated for SNPs located in or near *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes (chromosome 15 and 2, respectively), against each of the remaining 494,763 SNPs of the genome.

Functional genetic validation of the relationship between *MtCLE02* and *MtSUNN* in *Medicago truncatula*

The Wild-Type *M. truncatula* A17 genotype from the Far West population was used in this study, as well as the *sunn-4* mutant allele derived from this genotype (73). Seeds were scarified by immersion in pure sulfuric acid for 3 min, rinsed six times with water, and sterilized for 20 min in Chlorofix (8.25 mg/L. Bayrol, France). After three washes with sterilized water, seeds were sown on 1% agar plates, and stratified for 3 days at 4°C in the dark. Germination was triggered by an overnight incubation at 24°C in the dark. To induce nodulation, a *Sinorhizobium medicae* WSM419 strain was used (74). Bacteria were grown overnight at 30°C on a Yeast Extract Broth (YEB) medium (75). For generating the *pAtUbi:MtCLE02* construct, the *MtCLE02* open reading frame was PCR amplified from *M. truncatula* genomic DNA using the Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and the 5'- CACTCTGTGGTCTCAAATGTAACAAACTTTTCTGATTCAT-3' forward and 5'- CACTTCGTGGTCTCAAAGCTCAACCAATAAAAAACTATTAG-3' reverse primers (underlines indicate Golden Gate adapter sites). Cloning was achieved using a Golden Gate strategy, as described in (76), with vectors generated by (77) and provided by the Engineering Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa (ENSA) project (https://www.ensa.ac.uk/). The *pAtUbi:MtCLE02* single gene construct (promoter-gene-terminator) was cloned into a level 1 Golden Gate vector (EC47811, ampicillin resistant), which was then combined with a

pNOS:Kanamycin cassette (EC15029), to allow *in planta* kanamycin selection of transformed

roots, into a level 2 destination vector (EC50507). pAtUbi (EC15062) and 35S terminator

(EC41414) cassettes were also provided by the ENSA project. A *pAtUbi:GUS* control vector

was generated using the same strategy and the GUS cassette (EC75111). These binary vectors

were introduced into the *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* ARqua1 strain to transform *M. truncatula*

roots and generate composite plants as described in (78). Transformed roots were selected on

kanamycin (50 μg/ml, Sigma), and plants with transgenic roots were then transferred in pots

containing a mixture of perlite:sand (3:1) and the low nitrogen "i" medium (79), in a growth

chamber (16h light at 150 μE intensity, 24°C, 60% relative air humidity). Plants were

inoculated using a *S. medicae* bacterial suspension (OD_{600nm}=0.05), and nodules were counted

14 days post inoculation (dpi). The *MtCLE02* transgene expression was validated by real time

Reverse Transcriptase – Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) as described in (80). In

addition, *MtCLE02* expression was also analysed in a nodulation kinetic with the following

timepoints: 0 (non-rhizobium inoculated roots), 1, 4, 8, 15 dpi (1 and 4 dpi corresponding to

roots and 8 and 15 dpi to nodules). Primers used were as follows to amplify (i) *MtCLE02*:

CLE02-Forward 5'-CAATGAATGTGAATGTTCTC-3' and CLE02-Reverse 5'-

TCAGGTCCATTAGGTACTCT-3'; (ii) *MtCLE13*: CLE13-Forward 5'-

CCGAAGCCTTCTACAGAAACTACG-3' and CLE13-Reverse 5'-

TCTTGGTGGTGATCTTCCATTATGC-3'; and the *ACTIN11* reference gene: ACT11-

Forward 5'-TGGCATCACTCAGTACCTTTCAACAG-3' and ACT11-Reverse 5'-

ACCCAAAGCATCAAATAATAAGTCAACC-3'.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the "DeCoD" project funded by the French Agence Nationale de

la Recherche (grant number ANR-16-CE20-0017-01). The PhD position of Léa Boyrie was

funded by the "DeCoD" project. We thank the bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-

Pyrenees (Genotoul). This work was performed in the LRSV (Toulouse, France), part of the

"Laboratoire d'Excellence" (LABEX) entitled TULIP (grant number ANR-10-LABX-41).

We thank Carole Laffont (IPS2, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) for providing results about

MtCLE13 expression. Work in the Florian Frugier laboratory has benefited from a French

State grant (Saclay Plant Sciences, grant number ANR-17-EUR-0007, EUR SPS-GSR) and

ANR grant PSYCHE (grant number ANR-16-CE20-0009-01). We thank Pierre-Marc Delaux

for useful criticisms and comments on the manuscript.

References

1.   Nielsen R. Molecular signatures of natural selection. Annu Rev Genet. 2005;39:197-218.
2.   Vitti JJ, Grossman SR, Sabeti PC. Detecting natural selection in genomic data. Annu Rev
Genet. 2013;47:97-120.
3.   Bamshad M, Wooding SP. Signatures of natural selection in the human genome. Nat Rev
Genet. 2003;4(2):99-111.
4.   Pavlidis P, Alachiotis N. A survey of methods and tools to detect recent and strong positive
selection. J Biol Res (Thessalon). 2017;24:7.
5.   Weigand H, Leese F. Detecting signatures of positive selection in non-model species using
genomic data. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society. 2018;184(2):528–83.
6.   Oleksyk TK, Smith MW, O'Brien SJ. Genome-wide scans for footprints of natural selection.
Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2010;365(1537):185-205.
7.   Haasl RJ, Payseur BA. Fifteen years of genomewide scans for selection: trends, lessons and
unaddressed genetic sources of complication. Mol Ecol. 2016;25(1):5-23.
8.   Ahrens CW, Rymer PD, Stow A, Bragg J, Dillon S, Umbers KDL, et al. The search for loci under
selection: trends, biases and progress. Mol Ecol. 2018;27(6):1342-56.
9.   Otto SP, Whitlock MC. The impact of epistatic selection on the genomic traces of selection.
Mol Ecol. 2009;18(24):4985-7.
10.   Takahasi KR, Tajima F. Evolution of coadaptation in a two-locus epistatic system. Evolution.
2005;59(11):2324-32.
11.   Takahasi K. Coalescent under the evolution of coadaptation. Molecular Ecology.
2009;18(24):5018-29.
12.   Takahasi KR. Evolution of coadaptation in a subdivided population. Genetics.
2007;176(1):501-11.
13.   Takahasi KR, Innan H. The direction of linkage disequilibrium: a new measure based on the
ancestral-derived status of segregating alleles. Genetics. 2008;179(3):1705-12.
14.   Ohta T. Linkage disequilibrium with the island model. Genetics. 1982;101(1):139-55.

15.   Ohta T. Linkage disequilibrium due to random genetic drift in finite subdivided populations.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1982;79(6):1940-4.
16.   Id-Lahoucine S, Molina A, Cánovas A, Casellas J. Screening for epistatic selection signatures: A
simulation study. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):1026.
17.   Slatkin M. Linkage disequilibrium--understanding the evolutionary past and mapping the
medical future. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9(6):477-85.
18.   Mangin B, Siberchicot A, Nicolas S, Doligez A, This P, Cierco-Ayrolles C. Novel measures of
linkage disequilibrium that correct the bias due to population structure and relatedness. Heredity
(Edinb). 2012;108(3):285-91.
19.   Nordborg M. Linkage disequilibrium, gene trees and selfing: an ancestral recombination
graph with partial self-fertilization. Genetics. 2000;154(2):923-9.
20.   Glémin S, Bazin E, Charlesworth D. Impact of mating systems on patterns of sequence
polymorphism in flowering plants. Proc Biol Sci. 2006;273(1604):3011-9.
21.   Zhang W, Collins A, Gibson J, Tapper WJ, Hunt S, Deloukas P, et al. Impact of population
structure, effective bottleneck time, and allele frequency on linkage disequilibrium maps. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(52):18075-80.
22.   Schumer M, Brandvain Y. Determining epistatic selection in admixed populations. Mol Ecol.
2016;25(11):2577-91.
23.   Brachi B, Meyer CG, Villoutreix R, Platt A, Morton TC, Roux F, et al. Coselected genes
determine adaptive variation in herbivore resistance throughout the native range of Arabidopsis
thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(13):4032-7.
24.   Grzeskowiak L, Stephan W, Rose LE. Epistatic selection and coadaptation in the Prf resistance
complex of wild tomato. Infect Genet Evol. 2014;27:456-71.
25.   Hu XS, Hu Y. Genomic Scans of Zygotic Disequilibrium and Epistatic SNPs in HapMap Phase III
Populations. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0131039.
26.   Pool JE. The Mosaic Ancestry of the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel and the D.
melanogaster Reference Genome Reveals a Network of Epistatic Fitness Interactions. Mol Biol Evol.
2015;32(12):3236-51.
27.   Caicedo AL, Stinchcombe JR, Olsen KM, Schmitt J, Purugganan MD. Epistatic interaction
between Arabidopsis FRI and FLC flowering time genes generates a latitudinal cline in a life history
trait. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(44):15670-5.
28.   Csilléry K, Lalagüe H, Vendramin GG, González-Martínez SC, Fady B, Oddou-Muratorio S.
Detecting short spatial scale local adaptation and epistatic selection in climate-related candidate
genes in European beech (Fagus sylvatica) populations. Mol Ecol. 2014;23(19):4696-708.
29.   Mortier V, Den Herder G, Whitford R, Van de Velde W, Rombauts S, D'Haeseleer K, et al. CLE
peptides control Medicago truncatula nodulation locally and systemically. Plant Physiol.
2010;153(1):222-37.
30.   Gautrat P, Mortier V, Laffont C, De Keyser A, Fromentin J, Frugier F, et al. Unraveling new
molecular players involved in the autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. J Exp Bot.
2019;70(4):1407-17.
31.   Lamason RL, Mohideen MA, Mest JR, Wong AC, Norton HL, Aros MC, et al. SLC24A5, a
putative cation exchanger, affects pigmentation in zebrafish and humans. Science.
2005;310(5755):1782-6.
32.   Botchkarev VA, Fessing MY. Edar signaling in the control of hair follicle development. J
Investig Dermatol Symp Proc. 2005;10(3):247-51.
33.   Sadier A, Viriot L, Pantalacci S, Laudet V. The ectodysplasin pathway: from diseases to
adaptations. Trends Genet. 2014;30(1):24-31.
34.   Bryk J, Hardouin E, Pugach I, Hughes D, Strotmann R, Stoneking M, et al. Positive selection in
East Asians for an EDAR allele that enhances NF-kappaB activation. PLoS One. 2008;3(5):e2209.
35.   Speidel L, Forest M, Shi S, Myers SR. A method for genome-wide genealogy estimation for
thousands of samples. Nat Genet. 2019;51(9):1321-9.

36.   Sabeti PC, Varilly P, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Hostetter E, Cotsapas C, et al. Genome-wide
detection and characterization of positive selection in human populations. Nature.
2007;449(7164):913-8.
37.   Glémin S. Mating systems and the efficacy of selection at the molecular level. Genetics.
2007;177(2):905-16.
38.   Mortier V, De Wever E, Vuylsteke M, Holsters M, Goormachtig S. Nodule numbers are
governed by interaction between CLE peptides and cytokinin signaling. Plant J. 2012;70(3):367-76.
39.   Fay JC, Wu CI. Hitchhiking under positive Darwinian selection. Genetics. 2000;155(3):1405-
13.
40.   Hastwell AH, de Bang TC, Gresshoff PM, Ferguson BJ. CLE peptide-encoding gene families in
Medicago truncatula and Lotus japonicus, compared with those of soybean, common bean and
Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):9384.
41.   Izagirre N, García I, Junquera C, de la Rúa C, Alonso S. A scan for signatures of positive
selection in candidate loci for skin pigmentation in humans. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23(9):1697-706.
42.   Deng L, Xu S. Adaptation of human skin color in various populations. Hereditas. 2018;155:1.
43.   Beleza S, Santos AM, McEvoy B, Alves I, Martinho C, Cameron E, et al. The timing of
pigmentation lightening in Europeans. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(1):24-35.
44.   Crawford NG, Kelly DE, Hansen MEB, Beltrame MH, Fan S, Bowman SL, et al. Loci associated
with skin pigmentation identified in African populations. Science. 2017;358(6365).
45.   Basu Mallick C, Iliescu FM, Möls M, Hill S, Tamang R, Chaubey G, et al. The light skin allele of
SLC24A5 in South Asians and Europeans shares identity by descent. PLoS Genet.
2013;9(11):e1003912.
46.   Rosenberg NA, Mahajan S, Gonzalez-Quevedo C, Blum MG, Nino-Rosales L, Ninis V, et al. Low
levels of genetic divergence across geographically and linguistically diverse populations from India.
PLoS Genet. 2006;2(12):e215.
47.   Hill WG, Robertson A. Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. Theor Appl Genet.
1968;38(6):226-31.
48.   Weir BS. Inferences about linkage disequilibrium. Biometrics. 1979;35(1):235-54.
49.   Rogers AR, Huff C. Linkage disequilibrium between loci with unknown phase. Genetics.
2009;182(3):839-44.
50.   McVean G. A genealogical interpretation of principal components analysis. PLoS Genet.
2009;5(10):e1000686.
51.   Li H, Ralph P. Local PCA Shows How the Effect of Population Structure Differs Along the
Genome. Genetics. 2019;211(1):289-304.
52.   Staab PR, Zhu S, Metzler D, Lunter G. scrm: efficiently simulating long sequences using the
approximated coalescent with recombination. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(10):1680-2.
53.   Peng B, Kimmel M. simuPOP: a forward-time population genetics simulation environment.
Bioinformatics. 2005;21(18):3686-7.
54.   Peng B, Amos CI. Forward-time simulations of non-random mating populations using
simuPOP. Bioinformatics. 2008;24(11):1408-9.
55.   Burgarella C, Chantret N, Gay L, Prosperi JM, Bonhomme M, Tiffin P, et al. Adaptation to
climate through flowering phenology: a case study in Medicago truncatula. Mol Ecol. 2016.
56.   Bonhomme M, André O, Badis Y, Ronfort J, Burgarella C, Chantret N, et al. High-density
genome-wide association mapping implicates an F-box encoding gene in Medicago truncatula
resistance to Aphanomyces euteiches. New Phytol. 2014;201(4):1328-42.
57.   Kang Y, Sakiroglu M, Krom N, Stanton-Geddes J, Wang M, Lee YC, et al. Genome-wide
association of drought-related and biomass traits with HapMap SNPs in Medicago truncatula. Plant
Cell Environ. 2015;38(10):1997-2011.
58.   Le Signor C, Aimé D, Bordat A, Belghazi M, Labas V, Gouzy J, et al. Genome-wide association
studies with proteomics data reveal genes important for synthesis, transport and packaging of
globulins in legume seeds. New Phytol. 2017;214(4):1597-613.

59.   Rey T, Bonhomme M, Chatterjee A, Gavrin A, Toulotte J, Yang W, et al. The Medicago
truncatula GRAS protein RAD1 supports arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis and Phytophthora
palmivora susceptibility. J Exp Bot. 2017;68(21-22):5871-81.
60.   Stanton-Geddes J, Paape T, Epstein B, Briskine R, Yoder J, Mudge J, et al. Candidate genes and
genetic architecture of symbiotic and agronomic traits revealed by whole-genome, sequence-based
association genetics in *Medicago truncatula*. PLoS One. 2013;8(5):e65688.
61.   Yoder JB, Stanton-Geddes J, Zhou P, Briskine R, Young ND, Tiffin P. Genomic signature of
adaptation to climate in Medicago truncatula. Genetics. 2014;196(4):1263-75.
62.   Bonhomme M, Boitard S, Clemente HS, Dumas B, Young N, Jacquet C. Genomic Signature of
Selective Sweeps Illuminates Adaptation of Medicago truncatula to Root-Associated Microorganisms.
Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2015;32(8):2097-110.
63.   Paape T, Bataillon T, Zhou P, J Y Kono T, Briskine R, Young ND, et al. Selection, genome-wide
fitness effects and evolutionary rates in the model legume Medicago truncatula. Mol Ecol.
2013;22(13):3525-38.
64.   Branca A, Paape TD, Zhou P, Briskine R, Farmer AD, Mudge J, et al. Whole-genome nucleotide
diversity, recombination, and linkage disequilibrium in the model legume Medicago truncatula. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(42):E864-70.
65.   Ronfort J, Bataillon T, Santoni S, Delalande M, David JL, Prosperi JM. Microsatellite diversity
and broad scale geographic structure in a model legume: building a set of nested core collection for
studying naturally occurring variation in Medicago truncatula. BMC Plant Biol. 2006;6:28.
66.   De Mita S, Chantret N, Loridon K, Ronfort J, Bataillon T. Molecular adaptation in flowering
and symbiotic recognition pathways: insights from patterns of polymorphism in the legume
Medicago truncatula. BMC Evol Biol. 2011;11:229.
67.   Tang H, Krishnakumar V, Bidwell S, Rosen B, Chan A, Zhou S, et al. An improved genome
release (version Mt4.0) for the model legume Medicago truncatula. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:312.
68.   Pecrix Y, Staton SE, Sallet E, Lelandais-Brière C, Moreau S, Carrère S, et al. Whole-genome
landscape of Medicago truncatula symbiotic genes. Nat Plants. 2018;4(12):1017-25.
69.   Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES. TASSEL: software for
association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(19):2633-5.
70.   Cann HM, de Toma C, Cazes L, Legrand MF, Morel V, Piouffre L, et al. A human genome
diversity cell line panel. Science. 2002;296(5566):261-2.
71.   Li JZ, Absher DM, Tang H, Southwick AM, Casto AM, Ramachandran S, et al. Worldwide
human   relationships   inferred   from   genome-wide   patterns   of   variation.   Science.
2008;319(5866):1100-4.
72.   Daub JT, Hofer T, Cutivet E, Dupanloup I, Quintana-Murci L, Robinson-Rechavi M, et al.
Evidence for polygenic adaptation to pathogens in the human genome. Mol Biol Evol.
2013;30(7):1544-58.
73.   Schnabel E, Journet EP, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Duc G, Frugoli J. The Medicago truncatula
SUNN gene encodes a CLV1-like leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase that regulates nodule number
and root length. Plant Mol Biol. 2005;58(6):809-22.
74.   Terpolilli JJ, O'Hara GW, Tiwari RP, Dilworth MJ, Howieson JG. The model legume Medicago
truncatula A17 is poorly matched for N2 fixation with the sequenced microsymbiont Sinorhizobium
meliloti 1021. New Phytol. 2008;179(1):62-6.
75.   Vervliet G, Holsters M, Teuchy H, Van Montagu M, Schell J. Characterization of different
plaque-forming and defective temperate phages in Agrobacterium. J Gen Virol. 1975;26(1):33-48.
76.   Engler C, Marillonnet S. Golden Gate cloning. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1116:119-31.
77.   Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Marillonnet S. A modular cloning system for
standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PLoS One. 2011;6(2):e16765.
78.   Boisson-Dernier A, Chabaud M, Garcia F, Bécard G, Rosenberg C, Barker DG. Agrobacterium
rhizogenes-transformed roots of Medicago truncatula for the study of nitrogen-fixing and
endomycorrhizal symbiotic associations. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2001;14(6):695-700.

79. Blondon F. Contribution à l'étude du développement des graminées fourragères ray-grass et
dactyle. Rev Gen Bot. 1964;71:293-381.
80. Gonzalez-Rizzo S, Crespi M, Frugier F. The Medicago truncatula CRE1 cytokinin receptor
regulates lateral root development and early symbiotic interaction with Sinorhizobium meliloti. Plant
Cell. 2006;18(10):2680-93.

Figures Captions

Figure 1. Evolution of inter-locus epistatic selection detected with linkage disequilibrium on simulated data.

Evolution of inter-locus LD in self-mating simulation model calculated on SNP-based scale with r (r_v) (**A**) and on window-based scale with cor_{PC1} (cor_{PC1v}) (**B**). Evolution of inter-locus LD in random mating simulation model calculated on SNP-based scale (**C**) and on window-based scale (**D**). Fixation rate in (A) and (C) depicted co-fixation of coselected mutant alleles *a* and *b* over generations, showing selection efficiency. Note that mutations under selection are codominant.

Figure 2. Detection power of epistatic selection according to neutral models for SNP-based and window-based LD measures.

Detection power of epistatic selection in self-mating simulation model and in random mating model calculated on SNP-based scale (r and r_v) (**A, B**) and on window based-scale (cor_{PC1} and cor_{PC1v}) (**C, D, E, F**). Figures (**A, B, C, D**) depict the detection power at generation 140 and figures (**E, D**) at generation 300 (r and r_v are no longer computable at this generation in coadapted and additive selection models). The *x*-axis corresponds to the type I error (α) and y-axis corresponds to the detection power (1-β). Mutations under selection are codominant.

Figure 3. LD distribution between the bait gene *MtSUNN* and all genes of *M. truncatula* genome.

LD between *MtSUNN* gene (framed) and all *M. truncatula* genes was calculated in the entire

population (**A** - **C**) and in the Far-West population (**B** - **D**). The p-values of the correlation test

were calculated from *Tcor_{PC1}* correlation (**A** - **B**) and from *Tcor_{PC1v}* statistics (**C** - **D**), which

includes the kinship matrix. The *x*-axis corresponds to genes positions spanning the 8

chromosomes, each point corresponding to a gene and red dots depicting the *MtCLE02* gene

in each figure. The y-axis shows the $-\log_{10}$(p-value) of the test of the correlation coefficient.

Figure 4. Experimental validation of the MtCLE02 signalling peptide / MtSUNN

receptor functional relationship in *M. truncatula* symbiotic nodulation.

(A) Representative images of nodulated roots, 14 days post rhizobium inoculation,

overexpressing the *MtCLE02* gene (Ubi:CLE02) or a *GUS* control gene (Ubi:GUS) either in

Wild-Type (WT) plants or in the *sunn* mutant. Scale bar = 1 cm. **(B)** Boxplots of the number

of nodules in the same conditions as described in **A**. A Mann & Whitney Wilcoxon rank sum

test was used to assess pairwise statistical differences, as indicated within the graph.

Figure 5. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the whole human population samples (n=952).

LD between SNP_15_46172199 (*SLC24A5)* and SNP_2_108973688 (*EDAR*), respectively,

and all other SNPs of the genome is tested using T_r (**A, B**) or T_{r_v} (**C, D**), which includes the

kinship matrix. The *x*-axis corresponds to SNP positions spanning the 22 human autosomes,

each point corresponds to a SNP and the black points depict SNPs at candidate genes in

epistatic selection with one SNP at the bait gene (vertical dotted line) in each figure. The y-

axis is the $-\log_{10}$(p-value) of the test of the correlation coefficient. Plots at the top left of each

figure show distribution of LD between each bait SNP and all other SNPs of the genome. LD

value between bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and the target SNP of *EDAR* (respectively bait SNPs of

EDAR and target SNP of *SLC24A5*) is represented by an arrow.

Figure 6. Schematic of human population structure inferred from kinship matrix,

geographic distribution of alleles and LD between SNP 15_46172199 (*SLC24A5)* and

SNP 2_108973688 (*EDAR*).

(A) Neighbor-Joining tree inferred from the molecular kinship matrix based on 494,764 SNPs

from HGDP-CEPH showing global human population structure. (B) Same tree as in (A)

showing the clustering of the different sub-populations or ethnies sampled. (C) Barplots

depicting the geographic distributions of genotypes at SNP 2_108973688 (*EDAR*) and SNP

15_46172199 (*SLC24A5*) highlighting the LD patterns mainly due to global population

structure and selection of the derived allele (coded 1) at the two genes. (D) Average and

standard error of LD significances based on T_{r_v} statistics between SNPs of *SLC24A5* (SNP

15_46172199, SNP 15_46179457) and *EDAR* (SNP 2_108962124, SNP 2_108973688, SNP

2_108982808) within each human sub-population. The barplot pinpoints Central South Asia

as the main source of within population LD probably due to coselection of alleles in Pakistan

ethnies (mainly from Burusho, delimited by two vertical dotted lines in (C)).

Supporting Information Captions

Table S1: Fitness for genotypes at two loci (A and B) in epistatic selection models

(COAD and COMP) and an additive (ADD) selection model, for recessive, dominant and

codominant mutations (derived alleles *a* and *b*).

Figure S1. Evolution of fixation index F_{ST} and inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} in the simulated

data over 300 generations and example of kinship matrices at the outcome of a

simulation.

Evolution of F_{ST} and F_{IS} coefficients in simulations of self-mating (**A**) and random-mating (**B**)

systems, calculated from neutral models. Heatmaps of kinship matrix (generation 300)

showing the distribution of genomic relatedness among individuals in presence of population

structure and contrasted reproductive systems: self-mating (**C**) and random-mating (**D**).

Figure S2. Co-fixation rate of mutant (*ab*) and derived (*AB*) haplotypes at simulated loci.

Co-fixation rate of mutant (*ab*) and ancestral (*AB*) haplotypes over generations showing

selection efficiency in self-mating (**A** - **C** - **E**) and in random mating model (**B** - **D** -**F**). Co-

fixation dynamic is depicted for recessive (**A**- **B**), codominant (**C** - **D**) and dominant (**E** - **F**)

mutations. Note that alleles *A/a* and *B/b* are the alleles of SNPs A and B under epistatic

selection, which are located in the middle of two of the four simulated chromosomes.

Figure S3. Evolution of inter-locus epistatic selection detected with linkage

disequilibrium on simulated data.

Mutations under epistatic selection are recessive (**A, B, C, D**) or dominant (**E, F, G, H**). Inter-

locus LD in self-mating simulation model is calculated on SNP-based scale with r (r_v) (**A, E**)

and on window-based scale with cor_{PC1} (cor_{PC1v}) (**B, F**). Inter-locus LD in random mating

simulation model is calculated on SNP-based scale (**C, G**) and on window-based scale (**D, H**).

Figure S4. Expression of *MtCLE02* in a nodulation kinetic and in overexpressing roots.

(**A**) Real time RT-PCR expression analysis of *MtCLE02* in a nodulation kinetic, 0 (non-

inoculated roots), 1, 4, 8 or 15 days post rhizobium inoculation (dpi). 1 and 4 dpi correspond

to roots, and 8 and 15 dpi to nodules. (**B**) Real time RT-PCR expression analysis of

MtCLE13, a CLE signaling peptide encoding gene previously linked to symbiotic nodulation,

in the same conditions as described in **A**. **(C)** Real time RT-PCR expression analysis of

MtCLE02 in roots overexpressing *MtCLE02* (Ubi:CLE02) or the *GUS* control (Ubi:GUS),

which symbiotic nodulation phenotype is analyzed in Figure 4. Two independent transgenic

roots were analyzed for each genotype.

Figure S5. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all other

HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>Central South Asia</u> human population samples (n=192).

LD between SNP_15_46172199 (*SLC24A5)* and SNP_2_108973688 (*EDAR*), respectively,

and all other SNPs of the genome is tested using T_r (**A, B**) or T_{r_v} (**C, D**), which includes the

kinship matrix. The *x*-axis corresponds to SNP positions spanning the 22 human autosomes,

each point corresponds to a SNP and the black points depict SNPs at candidate genes in

epistatic selection with one SNP at the bait gene (vertical dotted line) in each figure. The y-

axis is the $-\log_{10}$(p-value) of the test of the correlation coefficient. Plots at the top left of each

figure show distribution of LD between each bait SNP and all other SNPs of the genome. LD

value between bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and the target SNP of *EDAR* (respectively bait SNPs of

EDAR and target SNP of *SLC24A5*) is represented by an arrow.

Figure S6. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>East Asian</u> human population samples (n=242).

Figure S7. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>Subsaharian Africa</u> human population samples

(n=105).

Figure S8. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>Middle East</u> human population samples (n=134).

Figure S9. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>European</u> human population samples (n=158).

Figure S10. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the <u>America</u> human population samples (n=64).

For Figures S6 to S10, the legend is the same as in Figure S5.

Figure S11. Distribution of LD between SNPs at *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes.

Average and standard error of LD significances based on T_r statistics between SNPs of

SLC24A5 (SNP 15_46172199, SNP 15_46179457) and *EDAR* (SNP 2_108962124, SNP

2_108973688, SNP 2_108982808) within each human sub-population.

1000

1001

1002

Figure 1. Evolution of inter-locus epistatic selection detected with linkage disequilibrium

on simulated data.

Figure 2. Detection power of epistatic selection according to neutral models for SNP-based and window-based LD measures.

1043 **Figure 3. LD distribution between the bait gene *MtSUNN* and all genes of *M. truncatula***

1044 **genome.**

1045

1063 **Figure 4. Experimental validation of the MtCLE02 signalling peptide / MtSUNN**

1064 **receptor functional relationship in *M. truncatula* symbiotic nodulation.**

1065

Figure 5. LD distribution between the bait SNPs of *SLC24A5* and *EDAR* genes and all

other HGDP-CEPH SNPs in the whole human population samples (n=952).

Figure 6. Schematic of human population structure inferred from kinship matrix, geographic distribution of alleles and LD between SNP 15_46172199 (*SLC24A5)* and SNP 2_108973688 (*EDAR)*.