Temporal and spatial dynamics of peat microbiomes in drained and rewetted soils of three temperate peatlands

Haitao Wang[1]*, Micha Weil[1], Dominik Zak[2, 3], Diana Münch[1], Anke Günther[4], Gerald Jurasinski[4], Tim Urich[1]*

[1] Institute of Microbiology, University of Greifswald, Germany

[2] Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Denmark

[3] Department of Biogeochemistry and Chemical Analytics, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries Berlin, Germany

[4] Chair of Landscape Ecology and Site Evaluation, University of Rostock, Germany

Contacting details:

H. Wang: haitao.wang@uni-greifswald.de

M. Weil: micha.weil@uni-greifswald.de

D. Zak: doz@bios.au.dk

D. Münch: diana.muench1@gmx.de

A. Günther: anke.guenther@uni-rostock.de

G Jurasinski: gerald.jurasinski@uni-rostock.de

Tim Urich: tim.urich@uni-greifswald.de

* Corresponding to: haitao.wang@uni-greifswald.de or tim.urich@uni-greifswald.de

Abstract

Background: Drainage of high-organic peatlands for agricultural purposes has led to increased greenhouse gas emissions and loss of biodiversity. In the last decades, rewetting of peatlands is on the rise worldwide, to mitigate these negative impacts. However, it remains still questionable how rewetting would influence peat microbiota as important drivers of nutrient cycles and ecosystem restoration. Here, we investigate the spatial and temporal dynamics of the diversity, community composition and network interactions of prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and the influence of rewetting on these microbial features in formerly long-term drained and agriculturally used fens. Peat-soils were sampled seasonally from three drained and three rewetted sites representing the dominating fen peatland types of glacial landscapes in Northern Germany, namely alder forest, costal fen and percolation fen.

Results: Costal fens as salt-water impacted systems showed a lower microbial diversity and their microbial community composition showed the strongest distinction from the other two peatland types. Prokaryotic and eukaryotic community compositions showed a congruent pattern which was mostly driven by peatland type and rewetting. Rewetting decreased the abundances of fungi and prokaryotic decomposers, while the abundance of potential methanogens was significantly higher in the rewetted sites. Rewetting also influenced the abundance of ecological clusters in the microbial communities identified from the co-occurrence network. The microbial communities changed only slightly with depth and over time. According to structural equation models rewetted conditions affected the microbial communities through different mechanisms across the three studied peatland types.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that rewetting strongly impacts the structure of microbial communities and, thus, important biogeochemical processes, which may explain the high variation in greenhouse gas emissions upon rewetting of peatlands. The improved understanding of functional mechanisms of rewetting in different peatland types lays the foundation for securing best practices to fulfil multiple restoration goals including those targeting on climate, water, and species protection.

Keywords: Prokaryotes, Eukaryotes, Rewetting, Peatland soils, Alder forest, Coastal fen, Percolation fen

Background

Peatlands store over 30% of the earth's soil carbon although they only cover nearly 3% of the global land area [1]. Waterlogging contributes to the high level of soil organic carbon since peat, consisting of

incompletely decomposed organic matters derived mostly from plant residues, accumulates when the oxygen is deficient [2]. However, drainage of wetlands including peatlands for agricultural use contributed to half of the loss of the global wetlands [1, 3]. Drainage of peatlands results in decreased emissions of methane (CH_4) but significantly increased emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and potentially increased emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O), ultimately contributing to increased warming potential effect [2, 4-6]. The conversion of natural fens to agricultural lands also leads to biodiversity loss due to intensive dehydration, tillage and fertilization [1]. Rewetting of drained peatlands aims at mitigating these negative impacts by restoring peatlands. By increasing the water level, the existing peat carbon pool is conserved as a result of the quickly reestablished anoxia. Under anoxic conditions phenol oxidase becomes inactivated promoting the accumulation of recalcitrant phenolic compounds released by plants which in turn limits the activity of hydrolase enzymes and eventually leads to low decomposition rates [7-9]. This benefit, however, might be offset since deficiency of oxygen can also promote carbon loss through elevated production and emission of CH_4 in particular if sites become inundated and colonized by dense stands of hydrophytes and/or helophytes [10]. Which effect prevails in a given rewetted peatland is strongly driven by the prevailing microbial decomposition processes. Therefore, a better understanding of the microbial communities and their processing of organic matter is paramount to an informed and optimized management of rewetted peatlands.

Fungi are considered to be the major agents of plant litter decomposition, while bacteria as well as some invertebrates can, to lesser extent, also be significant contributors [11]. The decomposition of litter and deadwood eventually leads to the release of CO_2 to the atmosphere. This process is called soil respiration, and is a crucial element of all carbon cycling on Earth [12]. The fate of organic carbon and nitrogen is also influenced by microbial communities associated with methane cycling and nitrogen cycling in which CH_4 and N_2O are produced [13, 14]. While decent efforts were invested to investigate the influence of rewetting on the emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) [15-18], extracellular enzyme activities [19], dissolve organic carbon [20, 21], and water chemistry [15, 19], little is known about the changes of microbial communities driving these processes.

Since microbes are both the most diverse organism group on the planet and the major agents of biogeochemical cycling [22], their diversity and community structures are of great importance to ecosystem functioning. It is especially yet unclear how rewetting impacts the peat communities regarding all domains of life (archaea, bacteria and eukaryotes). Furthermore, unraveling the dynamics of community composition

together with relevant environmental factors helps to carve out the predictable spatial and temporal patterns

[23], thus better perceiving the ecological consequences resulting from these patterns. It has been shown

that decomposition rates and GHG emissions follow seasonal patterns [24-27], but the temporal dynamics

of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities in peat-soils are still poorly understood. A better understanding

of microbial community dynamics in rewetted peatlands could lay the foundation for maintaining peat-soil

quality and health, thus guiding future management of peatland restoration.

About two decades ago a peatland restoration program was initiated in the state of Mecklenburg-

Vorpommern (M-V) in Northern Germany, and in this course over 20,000 ha of peat-soils in this state were

rewetted [28]. The relatively high number of rewetted sites in different peatland types provides an excellent

opportunity to study the influence of rewetting on peat microbial community dynamics in the three most

relevant peatland types of the region, namely alder forest, coastal fen and percolation fen. The temporal

dynamics of prokaryotic (archaeal and bacterial) and eukaryotic communities were assessed through high-

throughput sequencing with 16S and 18S rRNA gene amplicons, respectively. Additional edaphic variables,

including water content, pH, organic carbon and nutrients, were monitored. The diversities and community

compositions of prokaryotes and eukaryotes were compared between the rewetted and drained sites within

each peatland type. Our objectives are 1) to investigate the temporal changes of microbial diversities and

community compositions in different seasons, 2) to reveal the influence of rewetting on the diversities,

community compositions, network interactions and the potential functions of relevant biogeochemical

processes, and 3) to reveal the functional mechanisms of rewetting on shaping the microbial communities

and functions in the three different peatland types. We hypothesize that rewetting drained fens will

influence diversity and community composition of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and changes in these

communities will follow a seasonally temporal pattern. Moreover, the functional mechanisms of rewetting

will be different in these three peatland types *inter alia* due to differences in salinity, vegetation and soil

organic matter composition. This study finally intends to provide a theoretical guide for future planning

and land management of drained peatlands.

Results

Study sites and soil edaphic properties

The six study sites are located in M-V in Northeastern Germany (Additional file 1: Fig. S1) and cover the

three major peatland types (alder forest, coastal fen and percolation fen) in M-V. For each peatland type,

two sites were selected. Both of them were drained in the past but one of the two has been rewetted. The

alder forest presumably formed under water-logged conditions. Both sites were drained in the past and used

as managed forest for many decades. The drained alder forest site (AD) is still drained, and the rewetted

site (AW) was getting rewetted when the nearby Bauernmoor was rewetted in 2003. The coastal fen sites

are located near Greifswald at the Karrendorf peninsula. The whole area was drained already in 1850 and

has been used for pasture since. From the 1960s drainage was enforced and the areas were used as intensive

grasslands. The drained site (CD) is still behind a dike but is now in an area that is used for extensive cattle

grazing, the rewetted site (CW) is located in a part of the area that has been rewetted in 1993 by removing

the old dikes. These parts are now flooded regularly, mostly during autumn and winter. The two percolation

fens are located in one percolation fen complex at the rivers Recknitz and Trebel, respectively. Both sites

also have a long drainage history with early drainage in the 18th century and much more intense drainage

in the 1960s. Both sites were used as intensive grassland. While the drained site (PD) is still under medium

intensive grassland use, the wet site (PW) has been rewetted in 1997 and was not actively managed since

then.

The soil properties varied significantly among the six sites (Additional file 2: Table S1). Rewetting

generally increased the soil moisture in all three peatland types (Additional file 1: Fig. S2 and Additional

file 1: Fig. S3), with a significant increase in alder forest (Additional file 2: Table S1). Since September

2017, the water level was always higher in the rewetted sites compared with their drained counterparts with

the exception that CW and CD showed similar water level fluctuations since May 2018 (Additional file 1:

Fig. S4). The soil temperature followed a clear seasonal pattern from August 2017 to July 2018 (Additional

file 1: Fig. S5). Moreover, dissolved organic matter (DOM) was higher in the wet sites, especially in the

alder forest and coastal fen and the concentrations of the three considered DOM compounds were in most

of the rewetted sites (Additional file 2: Table S1). The concentrations of DOM and single compounds also

increased sharply from April to November in 2017 and then decreased to lower levels in February 2018

(Additional file 1: Fig. S2). All rewetted sites showed lower nitrate concentrations compared to their still-

drained counterparts, while this was only the case in AW and CW for nitrite and ammonium (Additional

file 2: Table S1). Nitrate showed a similar temporal pattern as DOM in AD but a contrasting trend in the

other sites, while ammonium concentrations were increasing since April in all sites except for CD

(Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Water-extractable P content was higher in AW than in AD (Additional file 2:

Table S1), and it significantly decreased since April in AW, CD and PD (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). pH

and salinity were significantly higher in the rewetted sites compared with their drained counterparts

(Additional file 2: Table S1). pH and salinity were not compared among seasons since they were measured

with different methods in April and the other seasons. However, there were less variations of these soil

properties with depths, with only a few changes observed in certain sites (Additional file 1: Fig. S3).

Microbial diversities

The diversities of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes were lower in the coastal sites compared with the other

sites (Fig. 1a, Additional file 1: Fig. S6, and Additional file 2: Table S1). Prokaryotic diversities changed

significantly across seasons in AD, CD, PD and PW, while eukaryotic diversities, including fungi, protists

and metazoa, showed less significant changes across seasons (Fig. 1a). Under wet conditions prokaryotic

diversity was higher in coastal fen and protist diversity was higher in alder forest and coastal fen (Additional

file 2: Table S1). Metazoan diversity, however, was lower in all wet sites while diversities of fungi and

protists were lower in the wet percolation fen (Additional file 2: Table S1). Prokaryotic diversity declined

with depth in AW and CW (Additional file 1: Fig. S6) as well as the diversities of fungi and metazoa

(Additional file 1: Fig. S6). Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic diversities showed positive correlation with

nitrate and negative correlation with salinity and prokaryotic diversity was also positively correlated with

other soil properties (Additional file 1: Fig. S7).

Microbial community compositions

The prokaryotic communities were dominated by Acidobacteria (19.4%), Betaproteobacteria (11.7%),

Alphaproteobacteria (11.1%), Actinobacteria (7.69%) and Chloroflexi (6.41%) (Additional file 1: Fig. S8).

The taxa distributions showed similar patterns during these four seasons, but obvious differences among

sites were observed. For instance, the Acidobacteria were more abundant in the drained sites compared with

the rewetted sites, while Betaproteobacteria showed a contrasting trend (Additional file 1: Fig. S8).

Actinobacteria were more abundant in the coastal fens while Cloroflexi were more abundant in the other

two peatland types (Additional file 1: Fig. S8). These variations were reflected in the nonmetric

multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot where the study sites grouped very well (Fig. 1b). However, the

samples from percolation fen and alder forest showed considerable overlap resulting from the numerous

shared amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) between these two peatland types (Fig. 1b). In addition, these

two peatland types shared much less ASVs with the coastal fen than they shared with each other (Fig. 1b).

The changes in prokaryotic community composition were mostly driven by peatland types

(PERMANOVA, R^2=0.255, P=0.001). The hydrological state (drained/rewetted) also showed a significant

but less strong influence (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.080, P=0.001) on the prokaryotic community. However,

season showed no significant impact on prokaryotic community composition (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.017,

P=0.168, Additional file 1: Fig. S9a), while depth showed a significant but weak impact (PERMANOVA,

R^2=0.026, P=0.001, Additional file 1: Fig. S9b). NMDS was also conducted separately for each site to

exclude the site effect, and the results showed that the interaction of depth and season exhibited strong

impact on community composition in all sites except AD (PERMANOVA, P<0.05, Additional file 1: Fig.

S10).

The eukaryotic communities were dominated by fungi (Ascomycota, 25.6%; Basidiomycota, 12.5%;

Glomeromycota, 3.09%), Nematoda (10.5%), Cercozoa (9.53%), Apicomplexa (5.01%) and Arthropoda

(4.47%) (Additional file 1: Fig. S11). Specifically, Nematoda and Mortirellales were more abundant in the

drained sites compared with the rewetted sites and Apicomplexa were more abundant in the percolation

fens compared with the other two peatland types (Additional file 1: Fig. S11). The eukaryotic community

composition exhibited a congruent pattern as prokaryotes but with less overlapping between percolation

fen and alder forest (Fig. 1c). There were significant differences between peatland types (PERMANOVA,

R^2=0.189, P=0.001), and between drained and rewetted sites (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.071, P=0.001), while

there was no significant impact of season (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.018, P=0.069, Additional file 1: Fig. S9c)

and only a weak impact of depth (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.018, P=0.002, Additional file 1: Fig. S9d). Similar

to prokaryotes, we also found that the interaction between depth and season exhibited a strong impact on

community composition in all sites except AD (PERMANOVA, P<0.05, Additional file 1: Fig. S12).

The prokaryotic and eukaryotic community compositions were driven by soil properties in a similar way.

Salinity, which was higher in the coastal fen sites, differentiated the coastal from the other fens, while

nitrate was more abundant and related with alder forest (Fig. 1b and c). Moisture, pH, DOM and its

components, P and ammonium content, were generally highest in PW, and they also differentiated the

rewetted from the drained sites (Fig. 1b and c). The community composition of eukaryotes was significantly

correlated with that of prokaryotes (Mantel test, r=0.852, P=0.001). By analyzing plant ASVs separately,

we also found distinct clusters of the samples grouped by sites (Additional file 1: Fig. S9e). The Mantel

tests showed that the plant community composition was strongly and significantly correlated with both

prokaryotic (r=0.551, *P*=0.001) and eukaryotic (r=0.634, *P*=0.001) community compositions.

Differentially abundant taxa and predicted functions

The prokaryotic ASVs were collapsed into functional groups based on their taxonomies using FAPROTAX.

8 dominant and representative functions regarding carbon and nitrogen cycles, and decomposition were

analyzed (Fig. 2). Rewetting significantly promoted functions including methanogenesis, denitrification,

sulfate respiration and fermentation in certain peatland types, while the others including cellulolysis,

nitrification and nitrogen fixation were over-represented in the drained sites (Fig. 2). Methanotrophy was

over-represented in the rewetted sites of alder forest and percolation fen but in the drained site of coastal

fen (Fig. 2). While the predicted functions showed few temporal patterns (Additional file 1: Fig. S13), there

were more differences between depth sections (Additional file 1: Fig. S14). Some differentially abundant

ASVs were dominant and could be assigned to certain functions according to FAPROTAX. Within

Actinobacteria, several dominant ASVs of *Acidothermus* genus involved in cellulolysis were more

abundant in AD and PD than in their rewetted counterparts (Fig. 3) supporting the finding that microbes

conducting cellulolysis were more abundant in the drained sites (Fig. 2). Two *Flavobacterium* ASVs with

high fold changes were more abundant in CD than in CW, and they are aerobic chemoheterotrophs (Fig.

3). One ASV of *Desulfobulbus* driving sulfate reduction was more abundant in CW than in CD (Fig. 3),

which is in line with the result that sulfate respiration was stronger in the rewetted sites (Fig. 2). Some

ASVs of *Sulfurimonas* were also more abundant in the rewetted site of coastal fen (Fig. 3). The ASVs

belonging to phylum Nitrospirae were mostly more abundant in the rewetted sites, while several ASVs of

Ca. Nitrosotalea were more important in the drained sites (Fig. 3).

While most of the fungal ASVs could not be matched to the database, 15 functional guilds were identified

with FUNGuild, and only 8 of them showed significant differences between the drained and the rewetted

sites (Additional file 1: Fig. S15). Rewetting promoted the fungi that might be plant pathogens, algal or

fungal parasites, and soil or plant saprotroph in the alder forest and the percolation fen (Additional file 1:

Fig. S15). Soil saprotroph and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) were abundant and were over-

represented in CW, while AMF or fungi that might be some certain saprotrophs were more important in

AD and PD (Additional file 1: Fig. S15). The analysis of differentially abundant taxa supported these

results. The ASVs of *Archaeorhizomyces* were identified as soil saprotrophs, and one of these abundant

ASVs was more important in PW than in PD (Fig. 4). The ASVs belonging to Tremellales (Fungal Parasite-
Undefined Saprotroph) were more abundant in the drained site (Fig. 4). The Glomeromycota phylum was
identified as AMF. One Archaeosporales ASV was dominant in AD, and the two differentially abundant
AMF ASVs were found in PD, while there were more abundant AMF ASVs in CW than in CD (Fig. 4).
For protists, the Apicomplexa including ASVs of Eugregarinorida, Gregarina and *Monocystis agills* was
more important in the drained sites compared to the rewetted sites (Fig. 4). For metazoa, the abundant ASVs
of Acari belonging to Arthropoda were found in AD and in both CW and CD, while several nematoda
ASVs belonging to Rhabditida, Tylenchida and Enplea were mostly found to be more abundant in the
drained sites (Fig. 4).

Since microbes carrying out cellulolysis showed high abundance (Additional file 1: Fig. S13 and S14), we
are considering them as the major prokaryotic decomposers. Both the prokaryotic (cellulolysis) and
eukaryotic decomposers (fungi) were significantly and negatively correlated with the water content
(Additional file 1: Fig. S16).

Co-occurrence network

In total, 6,290 significant correlations were captured among 1,769 prokaryotic and 708 eukaryotic ASVs,
and all of them were positive (Fig. 5a). These correlations could indicate the potential interactions among
different microbial taxa. We identified 8 major ecological clusters (modules) from this network which might
potentially drive some specific functions in the ecosystem (Fig. 5a). These clusters were differently
distributed across sites, and the abundances of prokaryotes and eukaryotes within each module showed
consistent patterns (Fig. 5b). Module I and III were dominant in some rewetted sites, while module IV, V
and VI showed higher abundances in the drained sites. Module II was more abundant in the alder forest
while module VII was more abundant in the coastal fen. Elements of Module VIII were mainly distributed
in AW and PD. However, there was no distinct change of these modules with depths or seasons (Fig. 5b).
Since all prokaryotes and eukaryotes with a certain module responded similarly, we averaged their
abundances for each module. The correlation analysis exhibited that all these modules were significantly
correlated with soil moisture, nitrate concentration and salinity (Additional file 1: Fig. S7). Some of them
were also correlated with DOM and pH (Additional file 1: Fig. S7).

Structural equation modelling (SEM)

We designed a *priori* theoretical model based on the causal relationships among the variables (Additional

file 1: Fig. S17). By conducting the group analysis and comparing the constrained and unconstrained models,

we observed significant differences of the models for the three peatland types. In the alder forest, rewetting

showed no direct effects on prokaryotic and eukaryotic diversities but had direct effects on the other

response variables. Rewetting could also indirectly influence these microbial parameters through changing

soil moisture and then DOM which showed significant impacts on these responses (Fig. 6). DOM also

covaried with Nitrate, pH and plant community composition, indicating that DOM might also be controlled

by these properties (Fig. 6). Moreover, the different plant community compositions between drained and

rewetted sites also influenced the eukaryotic community composition, ecological clusters and predicted

functions (Fig. 6). In the coastal fen, rewetting directly influenced all the responses. The indirect effects of

rewetting were mainly mediated by nitrate, DOM and pH, but not by soil moisture (Fig. 6). As salinity

strongly covaried with nitrate and differed significantly between CD and CW (Additional file 1: Fig. S7and

Additional file 2: Table S1), salinity in addition to pH might be the key driver of rewetting effect in coastal

fen. The plant community compositions only mediated the influence of rewetting on eukaryotic functions

and ecological clusters (Fig. 6). In the percolation fen, rewetting showed direct effects on all the response

variables excluding prokaryotic diversity. It also initially influenced the soil moisture which then influenced

nitrate, DOM and pH. The pH was an important mediator which was influenced directly by rewetting and

moisture, and influenced all the responses excluding eukaryotic communities (Fig. 6). However, DOM and

plant community composition showed less impact on the response variables (Fig. 6). The R^2 values of

eukaryotic diversity were low in all study sites, and the prokaryotic diversity was also weakly explained in

alder forest and percolation fen (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Our results show that rewetting drained fens influences the diversity and community composition of both

prokaryotes and eukaryotes, but different patterns were found for the three peatland types. In the following

temporal and spatial aspects are considered in detail besides certain specific factors driving the change of

microbial communities. Finally, the overall impact of rewetting on microbial communities is discussed in

the broader context of ecological restoration and GHG emissions.

1. Temporal and vertical patterns of the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities

In our study, most of the detected soil parameters showed significant temporal changes in addition to soil
temperature (Additional file 1: Fig. S2 and S5), the most important indicator of seasonality. These
parameters probably drove the temporal patterns observed in the prokaryotic and some of the eukaryotic
diversities (Fig. 1a), as well as some of the temporal changes of predicted functions (Additional file 1: Fig.
S13). However, both prokaryotic and eukaryotic community compositions at sites showed no obvious
temporal changes, indicating that peat microbial communities do not react strongly to weather driving
temporal changes of environmental conditions. This resilience to external forces such as temperature and
nutrient supply might result from the internal feedback mechanisms including competition, viral infection
and predator-prey interlinkages, that maintain a relatively stable state of community in seasonally changing
environments [23]. Moreover, the water level we monitored since September 2017 (Additional file 1: Fig.
S4) and the water content (Additional file 1: Fig. S2) showed no obvious seasonal patterns. The stable water
status among seasons might also have contributed to the slow changes in the microbial communities since
soil moisture was one of the most important environmental factors shaping the community compositions
(Fig. 1b and c). Also, if water-saturation or high-water levels occur, respectively it may create homogeneity
and weak niche differentiation that leads to stronger interactions between microbes [29], resulting in high
resilience to environmental stresses. These results support that peatlands can stand and be resilient to
gradual, long-term changes in climate and water conditions [2].

The decrease of fungal and metazoan diversities with increasing depth (Additional file 1: Fig. S6) suggests
the general pattern that these two eukaryotic groups prefer to live in upper soil layers where oxygen is
sufficiently available. It has been demonstrated that environmental gradients drive changes in microbial
community composition along soil depth [30]. Our study found that depth showed a weak yet significant
effect on the microbial community compositions. While many soil parameters we recorded changed only
slightly with depth, distinct moisture depth profiles were observed in sites with higher water content
(Additional file 1: Fig. S3). This indicated that oxygen related to water content might contribute to depth
profiles of microbial communities, which was also supported by significant changes in oxygen-sensitive
microbial processes with depths, including methanogenesis, nitrification, cellulolysis and fermentation
(Additional file 1: Fig. S14). Despite of the weak impact of depth and season, their interaction showed
strong and significant effect on both prokaryotic and eukaryotic community compositions in all sites except
AD (Additional file 1: Fig. S10 and S12), suggesting that the influence of these two factors was covered by
the influence of site. It also indicated that temporal shifts of compositions only existed at certain depths in

a single site. However, one year of observation is a limited basis for understanding seasonal patterns as

these patterns include an annual repeating pattern, not just changes over a short period [23]. In addition, we

experienced quite unusual weather patterns over the course of our study period with very wet end of 2017

followed by an exceptionally dry year 2018. Therefore, a longer-term monitoring of microbial dynamics in

peatlands at a smaller scale is needed in future studies.

2. Major factors driving changes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities

By investigating the dynamics of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities in peat soils, we observed a

congruent pattern between these two communities as well as their responses to the environmental factors,

which is supported by a previous study showing a similar pattern [31]. In the coastal sites we found a

significant lower prokaryotic and eukaryotic diversity than in the two freshwater peatland types, which was

mainly due to the varying salinity that was negatively correlated to both diversities (Additional file 1: Fig.

S7). Salinity also differentiated the coastal sites from the other two types in terms of community

composition. Since salinity is a key factor in influencing biogeochemical cycles, increased salinity can

shape specific microbial guilds [32-34]. Given that CW is frequently flooded by the brackish seawater from

the Baltic Sea, the high saline condition in CW strongly increased the abundance of microbes carrying out

sulfate respiration while decreasing the abundance of those carrying out methanogenesis (Additional file 1:

Fig. S13). Since sulfate is the main electron acceptor in saline habitats, sulfate reducers with a higher affinity

with substrates and a higher energy gain outcompete methanogens under these conditions [35]. Moisture

was another important factor that shaped the communities, and it was significantly and positively correlated

with diversities of prokaryotes and protists, as well as with other properties including pH and concentrations

of nutrients including organic compound, nitrogen and P (Additional file 1: Fig. S7). This is in line with a

previous study showing that increased water level by permanent inundation released large amounts of

nutrients into pore water, especially phosphorus and ammonium [15]. Therefore, higher moisture might

initially increase the availability of nutrients, which then increases the microbial diversities and shapes the

community structures in years of rewetting. Interestingly, nitrate concentrations were much higher in AD

and thus differentiated AD from the other sites (Fig. 1b and 2c). This might be due to either the high

nitrification activity generating nitrate and/or due to higher external nitrate import via groundwater or

precipitation. Moreover, vegetation was also an important factor that cannot be neglected. According to our

data, the plant community composition was strongly correlated with both prokaryotic and eukaryotic

community compositions, suggesting that plants might be the driving factor of the congruent patterns

observed between prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

3. Influence of rewetting on microbial communities regarding ecological consequences

Rewetting potentially promoted methanogenesis in AW and PW, but not in CW (Fig. 2), due to the high

amount of sulfate reducers in CW which is regularly flooded with sea water. The higher abundance of

methanogens in rewetted sites seems to be the main reason for the increase of CH_4 production and thus

potentially emission after rewetting [36]. It has been shown that CH_4 dominated the balances of annual

greenhouse gases in decades after rewetting a bog in Lower Saxony [16]. The dominance of CH_4 was also

observed in riparian wetlands after 12-year rewetting [37]. Since the fens in our study all have been rewetted

for over 12 years before our measurements commenced, the rewetting could have contributed to high CH_4

emissions, which should to be tested with measurements of GHG exchange in the future. However, it is

also noteworthy that potential methanotrophs consuming CH_4 were also more abundant in AW and PW

(Fig. 2). ANME groups were only found in AW in our study, with a relative abundance of 0.15%, resulting

in the highest methanotrophy abundance in AW, and this indicated that rewetting in this site potentially

accumulated the anaerobic methane oxidation. Hence, the alder forest and the coastal fen seem to be the

better choice to be rewetted regarding consumption and production of CH_4, respectively, but this is of course

a rather narrow perspective since the whole GHG budgets have to be considered.

One purpose of rewetting drained peatlands is to restore the carbon storage by cutting off oxygen supply

with inundation, thus decreasing the emitted CO_2 from soil respiration. One major process is the

decomposing of litter and deadwood by decomposers comprising some major bacteria and fungi [11, 12].

Microbes that carry out cellulolysis seemed to be the major prokaryotic decomposers in our study due to

their high abundance (Additional file 1: Fig. S13 and S14). We found that abundances of both cellulolysis

and fungi were strongly and negatively correlated with water content (Additional file 1: Fig. S16),

suggesting an underlying mechanism of the long-known fact that water content is a key factor controlling

the microbial decomposition activity in peat-soils. Considering that rewetting generally elevated the water

level, our results also illustrate the known fact that rewetting of drained peat-soils could inhibit the microbial

decomposition, thus conserving the carbon. This is further supported by some studies showing that

rewetting can reduce the carbon loss from peatlands by investigating net ecosystem changes of CO_2 and

CH_4 [15, 38, 39].

Nitrification and denitrification are sources of N_2O and the function prediction supported the changes in

these processes under wet conditions (Fig. 2). Since the relative abundances of potential denitrifiers were

much lower compared with those of nitrifiers (Additional file 1: Fig. S13 and S14), the production of N_2O

in these fens seems to stem primarily from nitrification. Potential nitrifiers were over-represented in drained

sites of all peatland types (Fig. 2), supporting the finding that rewetting generally reduces the emission of

N_2O [17, 39].

Rewetting also showed influences on the eukaryotic communities. The lower diversities of fungi and

metazoa in rewetted sites might have been driven by the deficiency of oxygen under wet conditions since

both groups prefer aerobic living conditions. Some protists including Eugregarinorida, Gregarina and

Monocystis are known parasites of invertebrates, and they were over-represented in drained sites (Fig. 4).

Some metazoa known as parasites of plants and animals, including Tylenchida, Rhabditida and Enpleas,

were also over-represented in drained sites (Fig. 4). These results illustrate that rewetting might help

survivals of certain animal or plant species living in that area.

Conclusions

Our study showed the significant influence of rewetting on both prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities

in peat soils. To our knowledge, this is the first study that comprehensively described the changes of peat

communities regarding all domains of life across seasons after long-term rewetting, which bridges the gap

between rewetting practice and the induced ecological consequences. Congruent responses of prokaryotic

and eukaryotic communities to rewetting were observed and were driven by important abiotic and biotic

factors. Significant changes in potential microbial functions, fungi and animals indicate important

environmental consequences of rewetting relevant to carbon balance, GHG productions and parasitism.

Rewetting also induced different mechanistic relationships between microbial parameters and

environmental factors between different types of peatlands, suggesting that habitat-specific responses of

soil and water properties to rewetting should be aware, given that these are important factors driving

biogeochemical processes. Nevertheless, lack of temporal dynamics of the peat communities needs to be

verified with future studies in a longer term of investigation.

Methods

Soil sampling

The peat soils were sampled from these six sites in April 2017 (spring), August 2017 (summer), November

2017 (autumn) and February 2018 (winter). At each site, samples were taken at three spots as replicates at

depths of 5-10 cm, 15-20 cm and 25-30 cm from the top soils. Samples were taken using a soil core and

then stored in sterilized Nasco Whirl-PAK baggies. The collected soils were immediately blended and

transported to the laboratory with an ice box. Soils were kept at 4 °C before being processed on the next

day of sampling. In total, 216 samples (3 depths × 6 sites × 4 seasons × 3 replicates) were collected.

Soil edaphic properties

Soils moisture was gravimetrically measured by drying the soil over night at 90 °C until mass constancy.

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was extracted from 3 g fresh soil in 30-mL 0.1 M NaCl solution on a

shaker for 30 min at 200 rpm. Then the extracts were filtered using 0.45 μm sodium acetate filters. To

minimize possible artifacts acetate filters were carefully pre-rinsed with ca. 100 ml deionized water and

filtered samples were stored not longer than 1 week at 4°C before conducting DOM analysis [40]. A size-

exclusion chromatography (SEC) with organic carbon and organic nitrogen detection (LC-OCD-OND

analyzer, DOC-Labor Huber, Karlsruhe, Germany) was used to detect the concentrations and composition

of DOC based on size categories [41]. The detected organic compounds were categorized into three groups:

(i) biopolymers (BP-S), (ii) humic or humic-like substances including building blocks (HL-S), and (iii) low

molecular-weight substances (LM-S). The total amount of these three groups was calculated as DOM. The

ammonium molybdate spectrometric method (DIN EN 1189 D11) was used to determine soluble reactive

phosphorus with a Cary 1E Spectrophotometer (Varian). The photometry CFA method (Skalar SAN, Skalar

Analytical B.V., The Netherlands) was used to calorimetrically determine $N-NH_4^+$ and $N-NO_x^-$

concentrations according to the guidelines in EN ISO 11732 (DEV-E 23) and EN ISO 13395 (DEV, D 28),

respectively. The pH and salinity of water samples taken from wells installed at each study site were

measured manually by digital meters in April 2017. The data of the other three seasons were manually

measured at groundwater wells with the water sensors (Aquaread AP-2000 / AP-2000-D). Water levels and

soil temperatures were also continuously monitored at each site since then using Campbell Scientific CR300

Dataloggers (Logan, USA) and HOBO Dataloggers (Bourne, USA), respectively.

DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted from 0.25 g soil using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany)

according to the manufacturer's instructions with some modification. Vortex in the bead beating step was

replaced with a FastPrep®-24 5G instrument (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, USA), with an intensity of 5.0

m/s for 45 s. The extracted DNA samples were sent to LGC Genomics GmbH (Berlin, Germany) for 16S

rRNA and 18S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. Primers pairs of 515YF (5'-

GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3')/B806R (5'-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') [42] and 1183F

(5'-AATTTGACTCAACRCGGG-3')/1443R (5'-AATTTGACTCAACRCGGG-3') [43] were used to

amplify 16S rRNA (prokaryotes) and 18S rRNA (eukaryotes) genes, respectively. Amplicons were

sequenced with Illumina Miseq 300 bp paired-end platform.

Sequencing data analysis

16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene amplicon sequences were processed separately. For each processing, the

raw sequence reads were demultiplexed with barcodes, adapters and primers removed using the Illumina

bcl2fastq software. The data were then processed with *dada2* (v1.8.0) pipeline [44] in R v3.5. The qualities

of the sequences were checked, and sequences failing to meet the filter scores (maxEE=2, truncQ=2,

maxN=0) were discarded. The filtered sequences were de-replicated and clustered into ASVs, and paired-

end sequences were merged. The chimeric sequences were then de-novo checked and removed. The final

representative sequence of each ASV was assigned to taxonomy against a modified version of the SILVA

SSUref_NR_128 database [45]. ASVs with only one sequence were removed. ASVs of 16S rRNA

amplicons that were assigned to Chloroplast or mitochondria were also removed. Several samples were

discarded due to their low sequence numbers (<1,000), resulting in a total of 209 samples for further

analysis. Finally, 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA sequences were clustered into 25,864 and 15,937 ASVs,

respectively.

Plant sequences accounted for ~33% of the 18S rRNA amplicons, since peat-soil is mainly formed with

dead plants. We split plant sequences from eukaryotic ASVs to increase the resolution of the other

eukaryotes, but the plant sequences were also analyzed accordingly and separately. The diversity (Shannon

index) of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (fungi, protists and metazoa) were calculated. The tables of ASV

counts were normalized using metagenomeSeq's CSS [46], and the community compositions were analyzed

using nonmetric NMDS based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances. The 16S rRNA taxonomic profiles

were converted to putative functional profiles using FAPROTAX which maps prokaryotic clades to

established metabolic or other ecologically relevant functions [47]. However, some modifications were

made. The ASVs involved in nitrification were further verified by blasting against NCBI database according

to a previous study [48]. The anaerobic methanotrophic groups ANME were excluded from

methanogenesis. Similarly, the fungal taxonomic profiles were also converted to ecological guilds using

FUNGuild [49].

A co-occurrence network was constructed to explore the potential interactions between species, including

prokaryotes, plants and other eukaryotes. ASVs with relative abundances lower than 0.01% were filtered.

The pairwise Spearman's rank correlations were performed with *Hmisc* package [50] and all *P*-values were

adjusted by the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method. The cutoffs of correlation

coefficient and adjusted *P*-value were 0.8 and 0.01, respectively. The significant correlations were

visualized using *igraph* package [51]. Network consists of modules which are intensively connected

themselves but sparsely connected to other modules. These ecological clusters (modules) were identified

from this network using *igraph*. The relative abundances of prokaryotes and eukaryotes in each module

were calculated as sum of the relative abundances of the prokaryotic and eukaryotic ASVs belonging to

this module, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were done with R v3.5. The significance of the difference among different seasons

or depths was identified with non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test using *vegan* package [52]. Kruskal-Wallis

posthoc tests were conducted to compare the means of alpha diversity, soil properties, predicted functions

and ecological clusters between each two groups of a factor using *PMCMR* package [53]. The ASVs

(relative abundance > 0.01%) that were differently abundant in drained and rewetted sites were identified

with differential expression analysis based on the Binomial distribution using *DESeq2* package [54]. The

same differential expression analysis was also performed on predicated prokaryotic and fungal functions.

Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) was performed to test the significance

of impacts of rewetting, peatland type, depth, season and their interactions on the prokaryotic and

eukaryotic community compositions using *vegan* package. Mantel test was used to test the correlations

between prokaryotic, eukaryotic and plant community compositions with *vegan* package. The pairwise

Spearman's rank correlations were conducted to examine the relationships between diversities, soil

properties, community compositions, predicted functions and ecological clusters using *Hmisc* package, and

were plotted with *corrplot* package [55]. The soil properties were fitted into NMDS ordination, and the

significant ones were kept using the envfit function in the *vegan* package. All the *P*-values for multiple

comparisons were adjusted by FDR method and the null hypothesis was rejected while *P*-values were less
than 0.05.

SEM was performed to further find out the mechanism of rewetting effect on the microbial features. We
built a *priori* theoretical model based on the causal relationships among the variables (Additional file 1:
Fig. S17). We assume that rewetting could influence the microbial communities either directly or indirectly
through changing the water content and then the other soil parameters and the plant community
composition. To reduce the complexity of the model, 4 soil parameters were chosen according to the
correlation analysis (Additional file 1: Fig. S7). pH possibly drove DOM as well as other properties, while
nitrate showed less relevance with other properties but was driven by salinity (Additional file 1: Fig. S7).
We also reduced the dimensions of predicted functions and ecological clusters using NMDS based on Bray-
Curtis dissimilarities. The axes of NMDS were utilized in this model. SEM was constructed using
covariance-based method with *lavaan* package [56]. Since we found that peatland type mostly drove the
microbial communities (Fig. 1b and c), it is interesting to find out the different functional mechanisms of
rewetting across these 3 peatlands. We therefore implemented the group analysis using the *sem* function
with peatland type as the group in *lavaan*. Before that, all variables were checked for normality, and the
non-normally distributed ones were transformed using a two-step method [57]. Since the multivariate
normality of the final dataset still showed significant multivariate skew and kurtosis, we used the Satorra-
Bentler (S-B) procedure to correct the fitting statistics. The initial model showed a weak yet adequate fit to
the data (S-B χ^2=4.752, *P*=0.191). To improve this model, we then constrained the coefficients of two paths
that were not significant in all 3 peatland types to zero (Additional file 1: Fig. S17). The constrained model
and unconstrained model showed no significant difference with scaled chi-square difference test (*P*=0.786).
Using the same method, we also constrained that regressions among different fens were equal, and the
significant difference (*P*<0.001) between constrained and unconstrained models indicated that our models
were different between these 3 peatlands.

Acknowledgements

We thank John Couwenberg from the University of Greifswald for collecting ideas and integrating the data.
We also thank Florian Beyer from the University of Rostock for providing the site maps.

Funding

This study was supported by the European Social Fund (ESF) and the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania (Germany) within the scope of the project WETSCAPES (ESF/14-BM-A55-0034/16 and ESF/14-BM-A55-0030/16).

Availability of data and material

All the sequencing files were deposited to the European Nucleotide Archive of EMBL (European Molecular Biology Laboratory). The study accession number is PRJEB36764.

Authors' contributions

HW, MW and TU conceived and designed the research; HW, MW and DM collected the samples and performed the lab work; DZ measured the soil edaphic properties, and AG provided the environmental data; GJ helped to generate the structure of this manuscript; HW analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors contributed to revisions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

[1]Institute of Microbiology, University of Greifswald, Germany. [2]Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Denmark. [3]Department of Biogeochemistry and Chemical Analytics, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries Berlin, Germany. [4]Chair of Landscape Ecology and Site Evaluation, University of Rostock, Germany.

References

1.   Lamers LP, Vile MA, Grootjans AP, Acreman MC, van Diggelen R, Evans MG, Richardson CJ, Rochefort L, Kooijman AM, Roelofs JG *et al*. Ecological restoration of rich fens in Europe and North America: from trial and error to an evidence-based approach. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 2015;90(1):182-203.

2.   Page SE, Baird AJ. Peatlands and Global Change: Response and Resilience. Annu Rev Env Resour.
2016;41(1):35-57.

3.   Zedler JB, Kercher S. WETLAND RESOURCES: Status, Trends, Ecosystem Services, and
Restorability. Annu Rev Env Resour. 2005;30(1):39-74.

4.   Haddaway NR, Burden A, Evans CD, Healey JR, Jones DL, Dalrymple SE, Pullin AS. Evaluating
effects of land management on greenhouse gas fluxes and carbon balances in boreo-temperate
lowland peatland systems. Environ Evidence. 2014;3(1):5.

5.   Martikainen PJ, Nykänen H, Crill P, Silvola J. Effect of a lowered water table on nitrous oxide
fluxes from northern peatlands. Nature. 1993;366(6450):51.

6.   Kasimir-Klemedtsson Å, Klemedtsson L, Berglund K, Martikainen P, Silvola J, Oenema O.
Greenhouse gas emissions from farmed organic soils: a review. Soil Use Manage. 1997;13:245-
250.

7.   Freeman C, Ostle N, Kang H. An enzymic'latch'on a global carbon store. Nature.
2001;409(6817):149.

8.   Wetzel RG. Gradient-dominated ecosystems: sources and regulatory functions of dissolved organic
matter in freshwater ecosystems. In: Dissolved organic matter in lacustrine ecosystems. Springer;
1992. p. 181-198.

9.   Zak D, Roth C, Unger V, Goldhammer T, Fenner N, Freeman C, Jurasinski G. Unravelling the
importance of polyphenols for microbial carbon mineralization in rewetted riparian peatlands.
Front Env Sci Eng. 2019;7:147.

10.   Zak D, Reuter H, Augustin J, Shatwell T, Barth M, Gelbrecht J, McInnes RJB. Changes of the CO_2
and CH_4 production potential of rewetted fens in the perspective of temporal vegetation shifts.
Biogeosciences. 2015;12(8):2455-2468.

11.   Bani A, Pioli S, Ventura M, Panzacchi P, Borruso L, Tognetti R, Tonon G, Brusetti L. The role of
microbial community in the decomposition of leaf litter and deadwood. Appl Soil Ecol.
2018;126:75-84.

12.   Van Geffen KG, Poorter L, Sass-Klaassen U, Van Logtestijn RS, Cornelissen JH. The trait
contribution to wood decomposition rates of 15 Neotropical tree species. Ecology.
2010;91(12):3686-3697.

13.   Nazaries L, Murrell JC, Millard P, Baggs L, Singh BK. Methane, microbes and models:
fundamental understanding of the soil methane cycle for future predictions. Environ Microbiol.
2013;15(9):2395-2417.

14.   Thamdrup B. New pathways and processes in the global nitrogen cycle. Annu Rev Ecol Evolution
S. 2012;43:407-428.

15.   van de Riet BP, Hefting MM, Verhoeven JTA. Rewetting Drained Peat Meadows: Risks and
Benefits in Terms of Nutrient Release and Greenhouse Gas Exchange. Water Air Soil Poll.
2013;224(4).

16.   Vanselow-Algan M, Schmidt SR, Greven M, Fiencke C, Kutzbach L, Pfeiffer EM. High methane
emissions dominated annual greenhouse gas balances 30 years after bog rewetting. Biogeosciences.
2015;12(14):4361-4371.

17.   Wilson D, Blain D, Couwenberg J, Evans C, Murdiyarso D, Page S, Renou-Wilson F, Rieley J,
Sirin A, Strack M. Greenhouse gas emission factors associated with rewetting of organic soils.
Mires Peat. 2016;17.

18.   Vroom RJE, Xie F, Geurts JJM, Chojnowska A, Smolders AJP, Lamers LPM, Fritz C. Typha
latifolia paludiculture effectively improves water quality and reduces greenhouse gas emissions in
rewetted peatlands. Ecol Eng. 2018;124:88-98.

19.   Peacock M, Jones TG, Airey B, Johncock A, Evans CD, Lebron I, Fenner N, Freeman C. The effect
of peatland drainage and rewetting (ditch blocking) on extracellular enzyme activities and water
chemistry. Soil Use Manage. 2015;31(1):67-76.

20.   Zak D, Gelbrecht JJB. The mobilisation of phosphorus, organic carbon and ammonium in the initial
stage of fen rewetting (a case study from NE Germany). Biogeochemistry. 2007;85(2):141-151.

21.   Cabezas A, Gelbrecht J, Zak D. The effect of rewetting drained fens with nitrate-polluted water on
dissolved organic carbon and phosphorus release. Ecol Eng. 2013;53:79-88.

22.   Fuhrman JA. Microbial community structure and its functional implications. Nature.
2009;459(7244):193-199.

23.   Fuhrman JA, Cram JA, Needham DM. Marine microbial community dynamics and their ecological
interpretation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13(3):133-146.

24.   Gunadi B, Verhoef HA, Bedaux JJ. Seasonal dynamics of decomposition of coniferous leaf litter
598   in a forest plantation (*Pinus merkusii*) in Central Java, Indonesia. Soil Biol Biochem.
599   1998;30(7):845-852.

600   25.   Epron D, Le Dantec V, Dufrene E, Granier A. Seasonal dynamics of soil carbon dioxide efflux and
601   simulated rhizosphere respiration in a beech forest. Tree Physiology. 2001;21(2-3):145-152.

602   26.   Wang H, Liao G, D'Souza M, Yu X, Yang J, Yang X, Zheng T. Temporal and spatial variations of
603   greenhouse gas fluxes from a tidal mangrove wetland in Southeast China. Environ Sci Pollut Res
604   Int. 2016;23(2):1873-1885.

605   27.   Yu K, Faulkner SP, Baldwin MJ. Effect of hydrological conditions on nitrous oxide, methane, and
606   carbon dioxide dynamics in a bottomland hardwood forest and its implication for soil carbon
607   sequestration. Global Change Biol. 2008;14(4):798-812.

608   28.   Zerbe S, Steffenhagen P, Parakenings K, Timmermann T, Frick A, Gelbrecht J, Zak D. Ecosystem
609   service restoration after 10 years of rewetting peatlands in NE Germany. Environ Manage.
610   2013;51(6):1194-1209.

611   29.   Faust K, Raes J. Microbial interactions: from networks to models. Nat Rev Microbiol.
612   2012;10(8):538-550.

613   30.   Fierer N, Schimel JP, Holden PA. Variations in microbial community composition through two
614   soil depth profiles. Soil Biol Biochem. 2003;35(1):167-176.

615   31.   Weil M, Wang H, Bengtsson M, Günther A, Jurasinski G, Couwenberg J, Negassa W, Zak D, Urich
616   T. Rewetting of three drained peatlands drives congruent compositional changes in pro- and
617   eukaryotic microbiomes through environmental filtering. bioRxiv. 2019:848192. doi:
618   http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/848192.

619   32.   Herlemann DP, Lundin D, Andersson AF, Labrenz M, Jurgens K. Phylogenetic Signals of Salinity
620   and Season in Bacterial Community Composition Across the Salinity Gradient of the Baltic Sea.
621   Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1883.

622   33.   Fan LF, Tang SL, Chen CP, Hsieh HL. Diversity and composition of sulfate- and sulfite-reducing
623   prokaryotes as affected by marine-freshwater gradient and sulfate availability. Microb Ecol.
624   2012;63(1):224-237.

625   34.   Wang H, Gilbert JA, Zhu Y, Yang X. Salinity is a key factor driving the nitrogen cycling in the
626   mangrove sediment. Sci Total Environ. 2018;631-632:1342-1349.

627   35.   Purdy K, Nedwell D, Embley T. Analysis of the sulfate-reducing bacterial and methanogenic
628   archaeal populations in contrasting Antarctic sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol.
629   2003;69(6):3181-3191.

630   36.   Wen X, Unger V, Jurasinski G, Koebsch F, Horn F, Rehder G, Sachs T, Zak D, Lischeid G, Knorr
631   K-H *et al*. Predominance of methanogens over methanotrophs in rewetted fens characterized by
632   high methane emissions. Biogeosciences. 2018;15(21):6519-6536.

633   37.   Kandel TP, Lærke PE, Hoffmann CC, Elsgaard L. Complete annual CO2, CH4, and N2O balance
634   of a temperate riparian wetland 12 years after rewetting. Ecol Eng. 2019;127:527-535.

635   38.   Karki S, Elsgaard L, Kandel TP, Laerke PE. Carbon balance of rewetted and drained peat soils used
636   for biomass production: a mesocosm study. GCB Bioenergy. 2016;8(5):969-980.

637   39.   Karki S, Elsgaard L, Audet J, Lærke PE. Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from reed canary
638   grass in paludiculture: effect of groundwater level. Plant Soil. 2014;383(1-2):217-230.

639   40.   Heinz M, Zak D. Storage effects on quantity and composition of dissolved organic carbon and
640   nitrogen of lake water, leaf leachate and peat soil water. Water Res. 2018;130:98-104.

641   41.   Huber SA, Balz A, Abert M, Pronk W. Characterisation of aquatic humic and non-humic matter
642   with size-exclusion chromatography-organic carbon detection–organic nitrogen detection (LC-
643   OCD-OND). Water Res. 2011;45(2):879-885.

644   42.   Walters W, Hyde ER, Berg-Lyons D, Ackermann G, Humphrey G, Parada A, Gilbert JA, Jansson
645   JK, Caporaso JG, Fuhrman JA. Improved bacterial 16S rRNA gene (V4 and V4-5) and fungal
646   internal transcribed spacer marker gene primers for microbial community surveys. mSystems.
647   2016;1(1):e00009-00015.

648   43.   Hadziavdic K, Lekang K, Lanzen A, Jonassen I, Thompson EM, Troedsson C. Characterization of
649   the 18S rRNA gene for designing universal eukaryote specific primers. PLoS One.
650   2014;9(2):e87624.

651   44.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: high-
652   resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(7):581.

653   45.   Lanzén A, Jørgensen SL, Huson DH, Gorfer M, Grindhaug SH, Jonassen I, Øvreås L, Urich T.
654   CREST–classification resources for environmental sequence tags. PloS One. 2012;7(11):e49334.

655   46.   Paulson JN, Stine OC, Bravo HC, Pop M. Differential abundance analysis for microbial marker-
656   gene surveys. Nat Methods. 2013;10(12):1200.

657 47. Louca S, Parfrey LW, Doebeli M. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean
658   microbiome. Science. 2016;353(6305):1272-1277.

659 48. Arce MI, von Schiller D, Bengtsson MM, Hinze C, Jung H, Alves RJE, Urich T, Singer G. Drying
660   and Rainfall Shape the Structure and Functioning of Nitrifying Microbial Communities in Riverbed
661   Sediments. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2794.

662 49. Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST, Branco S, Tedersoo L, Menke J, Schilling JS, Kennedy PG.
663   FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild.
664   Fungal Ecol. 2016;20:241-248.

665 50. Frank E Harrell Jr, with contributions from Charles Dupont and many others. Hmisc: Harrell
666   Miscellaneous. R package version 4.1-1. 2018. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Hmisc

667 51. Csardi G, Nepusz T: The igraph software package for complex network research, InterJournal,
668   Complex Systems 1695. 2006. http://igraph.org

669 52. Jari Oksanen, F. Guillaume Blanchet, Michael Friendly, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Legendre, Dan
670   McGlinn, Peter R. Minchin, R. B. O'Hara, Gavin L. Simpson, Peter Solymos, M. Henry H. Stevens,
671   Eduard Szoecs and Helene Wagner. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-
672   1. 2018. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan

673 53. Pohlert T. The Pairwise Multiple Comparison of Mean Ranks Package (PMCMR). R package.
674   2014. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=PMCMR

675 54. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
676   data with DESeq2. Genome Biol;2014;15(12):550.

677 55. Taiyun Wei, Viliam Simko. R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version
678   0.84). 2017. https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot

679 56. Rosseel Y. Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–12
680   (BETA). J Stat Softw. 2012;48(2):1-36.

681 57. Templeton GF. A two-step approach for transforming continuous variables to normal: implications
682   and recommendations for IS research. CAIS. 2011;28(1):41-58.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 (**a**) Changes in Shannon index of prokaryotes and eukaryotes including fungi, protists and metazoa in different seasons. Data are shown as mean values and the error bars represent standard errors. Asterisks indicate the significant changes in different seasons in a corresponding site (Kruskal-Wallis test, $*P<0.05$, $**P<0.01$). (**b**) Prokaryotic and (**c**) eukaryotic community compositions based on the Bray-Cutis dissimilarities shown as NMDS plots. Venn diagrams show the number of shared prokaryotic and eukaryotic ASVs associated with different peatland types. The main ordinations in NMDS plots show similarity between samples and the arrows show correlations between environmental variables and ordination axes. DOM, dissolved organic matter; BP-S, biopolymer substances; HL-S, humic-like substances; LM-S, low-molecular substances; P, phosphorus.

Fig. 2 The differentially abundant predicted functions of prokaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted $P<0.05$), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted $P<0.05$). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the functional groups.

Fig. 3 The differentially abundant ASVs of prokaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted $P<0.01$), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted $P<0.01$). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the ASVs.

Fig. 4 The differentially abundant ASVs of eukaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted $P<0.01$), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted $P<0.01$). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the ASVs.

Fig. 5 (**a**) Co-occurrence network and identified modules. Prokaryotic nodes are shown as cycles while eukaryotic nodes are shown as triangles. (**b**) The distribution of relative abundances of prokaryotic and eukaryotic nodes within each module in different sites, depths or seasons. M, module; pro, prokaryote; euk, eukaryote.

Fig. 6 Structural equation model showing the direct and indirect effect of rewetting on the diversities,

community compositions, network ecological clusters and the predicted microbial functions. Paths that

showed significant relationships (P<0.05) are shown. The numbers along with arrows are standardized path

coefficients, and the numbers in the brackets indicate the number of axis (nMDS-axis 1 or axis 2). Direct

effects of rewetting and moisture are shown as red and blue arrows, respectively. The gray double-sided

arrows indicate the covariant relationships between the variables. Amount of variance explained by the

model (R^2) is listed for all response variables. DOM, dissolved organic matter; Pro, prokaryote; Euk,

eukaryote; Eco-clusters, ecological clusters derived from network analysis; Micro-functions, microbial

functions derived from FAPROTAX.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Map showing locations of sampling sites. **Fig. S2.** Changes in soil edaphic

properties in different seasons. **Fig. S3.** Changes in soil edaphic properties in different depths. **Fig. S4.**

Groundwater level monitored every 15 min, shown as mean value of each day from 2017-9-22 to 2018-7-

31. **Fig. S5.** Soil temperature monitored every 15 min at two depths (5 cm and 15 cm), shown as mean

value of two depths and of each day from 2017-7-24 to 2018-7-31. **Fig. S6.** Changes in Shannon index of

prokaryotes and eukaryotes including fungi, protists and metazoa in different depths. **Fig. S7.** Pairwise

Spearman's rank correlations between diversities, soil properties, community compositions, predicted

functions and ecological clusters. **Fig. S8.** The distributions of prokaryotic phyla or classes in different

sites, depths and seasons. **Fig. S9.** NMDS plots based on the Bray-Cutis dissimilarities showing prokaryotic

community composition among seasons (**a**) and depths (**b**), eukaryotic community composition among

seasons (**c**) and depths (**d**), and plant community composition (**e**) and fungal community composition (**f**)

associated with peatland types and water status. **Fig. S10.** NMDS plots based on the Bray-Cutis

dissimilarities showing prokaryotic community composition among seasons and depths in AD (**a**), AW (**b**),

CD (**c**), CW (**d**), PD (**e**) and PW (**f**). **Fig. S11.** The distributions of eukaryotic phyla in different sites, depths

and seasons. **Fig. S12.** NMDS plots based on the Bray-Cutis dissimilarities showing eukaryotic community

composition among seasons and depths in AD (**a**), AW (**b**), CD (**c**), CW (**d**), PD (**e**) and PW (**f**). **Fig. S13.**

Changes in predicted prokaryotic functions in different seasons. **Fig. S14.** Changes in predicted prokaryotic functions in different depths. **Fig. S15.** The differentially abundant predicted functions of fungi between rewetted and drained sites in three different peatlands. **Fig. S16.** Linear regression between gravimetric water content and relative abundance of prokaryotes carrying cellulolysis (**a**) or fungi (**b**). **Fig. S17.** A *priori* structural equation model. (PDF 16.6M)

Additional file 2: Table S1. Soil edaphic properties and Shannon indices of prokaryotes, fungi, protists and metazoa in different sites, in different depths, and in different seasons. (XLXS 12KB)

Fig. 1 (**a**) Changes in Shannon index of prokaryotes and eukaryotes including fungi, protists and metazoa in different seasons. Data are shown as mean values and the error bars represent standard errors. Asterisks indicate the significant changes in different seasons in a corresponding site (Kruskal-Wallis test, *P<0.05, **P<0.01). (**b**) Prokaryotic and (**c**) eukaryotic community compositions based on the Bray-Cutis dissimilarities shown as NMDS plots. Venn diagrams show the number of shared prokaryotic and eukaryotic ASVs associated with different peatland types. The main ordinations in NMDS plots show similarity between samples and the arrows show correlations between environmental variables and ordination axes. DOM, dissolved organic matter; BP-S, biopolymer substances; HL-S, humic-like substances; LM-S, low-molecular substances; P, phosphorus.

Fig. 2 The differentially abundant predicted functions of prokaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted *P*<0.05), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted *P*<0.05). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the functional groups.

Fig. 3 The differentially abundant ASVs of prokaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted *P*<0.01), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted *P*<0.01). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the ASVs.

Fig. 4 The differentially abundant ASVs of eukaryotes between rewetted and drained sites in three different mires. Positive log2-fold change values indicate significantly higher abundances in rewetted site (adjusted *P*<0.01), while negative values indicate significantly higher abundances in drained site (adjusted *P*<0.01). The size of the points indicates the relative abundance of the ASVs.

Fig. 5 (**a**) Co-occurrence network and identified modules. Prokaryotic nodes are shown as cycles while eukaryotic nodes are shown as triangles. (**b**) The distribution of relative abundances of prokaryotic and eukaryotic nodes within each module in different sites, depths or seasons. M, module; pro, prokaryote; euk, eukaryote.

Fig. 6 Structural equation model showing the direct and indirect effect of rewetting on the diversities, community compositions, network ecological clusters and the predicted microbial functions. Paths that showed significant relationships (*P*<0.05) are shown. The numbers along with arrows are standardized path coefficients, and the numbers in the brackets indicate the number of axis (nMDS-axis 1 or axis 2). Direct effects of rewetting and moisture are shown as red and blue arrows, respectively. The gray double-sided arrows indicate the covariant relationships between the variables. Amount of variance explained by the model (R^2) is listed for all response variables. DOM, dissolved organic matter; Pro, prokaryote; Euk, eukaryote; Eco-clusters, ecological clusters derived from network analysis; Micro-functions, microbial functions derived from FAPROTAX.