Title Page

Title: *Hnf4a* is required for the development of Cdh6-expressing progenitors into proximal tubules in the mouse kidney

Sierra S. Marable, Eunah Chung, and Joo-Seop Park

Division of Pediatric Urology and Division of Developmental Biology, Cincinnati

Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA

University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45267, USA

Short title: Hnf4a in proximal tubule development

Word count for abstract: 236 words
Word count for text: 3940 words

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Corresponding author:

Joo-Seop Park

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

Location R1566, ML7007

3333 Burnet Avenue

Cincinnati, OH 45229

TEL: 513-803-7871

Email: joo-seop.park@cchmc.org

ABSTRACT

Background Hnf4a is a major regulator of renal proximal tubule (PT) development. In humans, a mutation in *HNF4A* is associated with Fanconi renotubular syndrome (FRTS), which is caused by defective PT functions. In mice, mosaic deletion of *Hnf4a* in the developing kidney causes a paucity of PT cells, leading to FRTS-like symptoms. The molecular mechanisms underlying the role of Hnf4a in PT development remain unclear.

Methods We generated a new *Hnf4a* mutant mouse model employing *Osr2Cre*, which effectively deletes *Hnf4a* in developing nephrons. We characterized the mutant phenotype by immunofluorescence analysis. We performed lineage analysis to test if Cdh6-expressing cells are PT progenitors. We also performed genome-wide mapping of Hnf4a binding sites and differential gene analysis of *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys to identify direct target genes of Hnf4a.

Results Deletion of *Hnf4a* with *Osr2Cre* led to the complete loss of mature PT cells, causing lethality in the *Hnf4a* mutant mice. We found that Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells serve as PT progenitors and that they show higher proliferation than Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} differentiated PT cells. We also found that Hnf4a is required for PT progenitors to develop into differentiated PT cells. Our genomic analyses revealed that Hnf4a directly regulates the expression of genes involved in transmembrane transport and metabolism.

Conclusions Our findings show that Hnf4a promotes the development of PT progenitors into differentiated PT cells by regulating the expression of genes associated with reabsorption, the major function of PT cells.

Significance

Proximal tubule cells are the most abundant cell type in the mammalian kidney and they perform the bulk of the renal reabsorption function. Despite their importance in kidney function, the molecular mechanisms of proximal tubule development and maturation are not well understood. Here we find that, in the developing mouse kidney, $Cdh6^{high}$, LTL^{low} cells act as proximal tubule progenitors and that Hnf4a is required for these cells to further develop into proximal tubules. Our genomic analyses show that Hnf4a directly regulate the expression of genes required for reabsorption such as transmembrane transport genes and metabolism genes. This study advances our understanding of how kidney proximal tubule cells form during development.

INTRODUCTION

The kidneys filter the blood, regulate osmotic levels, maintain electrolyte balance, and metabolize drugs. The functional unit of the kidney is the nephron, which is composed of the glomerulus, the proximal tubule, the loop of Henle, and the distal tubule.[1] Each segment of the nephron has distinct physiological functions and morphology. The proximal tubule cells are the most populous cell type in the kidney and they carry out the bulk of reabsorption in the nephron.[2-4] Under physiological conditions, proximal tubules reabsorb approximately two-thirds of glomerular-filtered water and sodium chloride as well as most of the filtered glucose and phosphate.[5] Proximal tubular reabsorption of water and metabolites is essential in the regulation of body fluid composition and volume. Numerous transporter and metabolism genes are expressed in the proximal tubules in order to facilitate the function and energy demands of these highly active renal epithelial cells.[6-12] Despite their importance in kidney function, the molecular mechanisms of proximal tubule development and maturation are not well understood.

Fanconi renotubular syndrome (FRTS) is defined as generalized proximal tubule dysfunction.[13, 14] Symptoms of FRTS include glucosuria, phosphaturia, proteinuria, polyuria, and polydipsia.[14, 15] These symptoms are consistent with a failure of the proximal tubules to reabsorb and transport filtered molecules, causing urinary wasting.[16, 17] In humans, the heterozygous mutation R76W in the *HNF4A* gene causes FRTS with nephrocalcinosis.[18] Since this mutation is located in the DNA-binding domain, it has been speculated that the mutation affects the interactions of HNF4A with regulatory DNA.[18] A recent study of the FRTS *HNF4A* mutation in *Drosophila* nephrocytes

confirmed that the mutation reduced binding of Hnf4a to DNA and caused nuclear depletion of Hnf4a in a dominant-negative manner, leading to mitochondrial defects and lipid accumulation.[19]

We have previously shown that *Hnf4a* is expressed in developing proximal tubules in the mouse kidney and that *Hnf4a* is important for proximal tubule formation.[20] Mosaic loss of *Hnf4a* in the murine nephron lineage caused Fanconi renotubular syndrome-like symptoms, including polyuria, polydipsia, glucosuria, and phosphaturia.[20] Due to the mosaic expression of *Six2GFPCre* in mesenchymal nephron progenitor cells, the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney by *Six2GFPCre* was a chimera of wild-type and mutant cells.[20] This made it difficult to perform more rigorous differential gene expression analyses. In this study, we generated a new mouse model with thorough deletion of *Hnf4a* in the proximal segments of the nephron using *Osr2^{IresCre}* and investigated the requirement of mature proximal tubules for postnatal survival.[21] We also performed lineage tracing to identify proximal tubule progenitor cells in the developing kidney. To further elucidate the role of *Hnf4a* in proximal tubule development, we performed genome-wide mapping of Hnf4a binding sites in the murine neonate kidney and transcriptomic analysis of the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney. We found that Hnf4a is required for terminal differentiation of proximal tubule cells and that mature proximal tubules are required for postnatal survival. Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells in the developing kidney are proximal tubule progenitor cells and loss of *Hnf4a* causes their developmental arrest. Our genomic analyses revealed that Hnf4a directly regulates expression of many mature proximal tubule genes, including transport and metabolism genes, consistent with the fact that active reabsorption is the major function of proximal tubules.

METHODS

Mice

All mouse alleles used in this study have been previously published: $Osr2^{tm2(cre)Jian}$ ($Osr2^{IresCre}$);[22] $Hnf4a^{tm1Sad}$ ($Hnf4a^c$);[23] $Cdh6^{tm1.1(cre/ERT2)Jrs}$ ($Cdh6^{CreER}$);[24] $Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm3(CAG-EYFP)Hze}$ ($Rosa26^{Ai3}$).[25] All mice were maintained in the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) animal facility according to animal care regulations. All experiments were performed in accordance with animal care guidelines and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (IACUC2017-0037). We adhere to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Tamoxifen Treatment

Tamoxifen (T5648, Sigma) was dissolved in corn oil (C8267, Sigma) at a concentration of 20mg/ml. Pregnant female mice were injected with tamoxifen intraperitoneally (4mg/40g body weight).

Immunofluorescence Staining

Embryonic, neonatal, and adult murine kidneys were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), incubated overnight in 10% sucrose/PBS at 4°C, and imbedded in OCT (Fisher Scientific). Cryosections (8-9μm) were incubated overnight with primary antibodies in 5% heat-inactivated sheep serum/PBST (PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100). We used primary antibodies for GFP (1:500, Aves GFP-1020), Jag1 (1:20,

DSHB TS1.15H), Wt1 (1:100, Santa Cruz sc-7385), Biotin-LTL (1:500, Vector Labs B-1325), FITC-LTL (1:200, Vector Labs FL-1321), Hnf4a (1:500, Abcam ab41898), Hnf4a (1:500, Santa Cruz sc-8987), Lrp2 (1:100, Santa Cruz sc-515772), Ki67 (1:500, BioLegend 652402), Slc12a1 (1:500, Proteintech 18970-1-AP), Slc12a3 (1:300, Sigma HPA028748), Ass1 (1:500, Proteintech 16210-1-AP), Slc5a2 (1:100, Sigma HPA041603), Miox (1:500, Sigma HPA039562), Cdh6 (1:100, R&D Systems MAB2715-SP), and Cdh6 (1:200, Sigma HPA007047). Fluorophore-labeled secondary antibodies were used for indirect visualization of the target. Images were taken with a Nikon Ti-E widefield microscope equipped with an Andor Zyla camera and Lumencor SpectraX light source housed at the Confocal Imaging Core (CIC) at CCHMC.

Histology

Mouse kidneys were harvested and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight. Paraffin sections (5 μm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin or periodic acid-Schiff reagent (American MasterTech KTPAS). Images were taken with a Nikon Ti-E widefield microscope equipped with an Andor Zyla camera and Lumencor SpectraX light source housed at the Confocal Imaging Core at CCHMC.

Cell counts

The quantification of Ki67 staining was performed on $Hnf4a^{c/c}$ and $Hnf4a^{c/+};Osr2^{IresCre}$ P0 control kidneys. Immunostained samples were imaged as described above. Three 250,000μm^2 sections from each pair of kidneys (four pairs of kidneys total) were quantified manually using the ImageJ multi-point tool.[26] For the quantification of Cdh6$^+$,

LTL^{+} cells, immunostained sections from *Hnf4a[c/+];Osr2[IresCre]* (control) and

Hnf4a[c/c];Osr2[IresCre] (mutant) P0 kidneys were analyzed. Three 250,000um^2 sections

from each pair of kidneys (three pairs of kidneys from each genotype) were quantified

manually using ImageJ multi-point tool.[26]

ChIP-seq

ChIP-seq was performed as previously described.[27] Briefly, kidneys from newborn (P0)

mice were crosslinked with 1% paraformaldehyde, sonicated, incubated with Hnf4a

antibody (Abcam ab41898) coupled Dynabeads Protein G (ThermoFisher). Non-

immunoprecipitated chromatin (starting material) was used as the control. Eluted DNA

was used for constructing sequencing libraries using the ThruPLEX DNA-seq kit

(Takara). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 by the DNA Sequencing

and Genotyping Core at CCHMC. ChIP-seq reads were mapped to mm9 using

Bowtie.[28] We performed peak calling and motif analysis using HOMER.[29] Data are

available at Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE144824.

RNA-seq

RNA-seq was performed as previously described.[20] Briefly, 1 µg total RNA was isolated

from P0 *Hnf4a* mutant and control kidneys using the RNeasy Plus Micro kit (Qiagen

74034) followed by mRNA was isolation with NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic

Isolation Module (E7490, NEB). Fragmentation of mRNA followed by reverse

transcription and second strand cDNA synthesis was done using NEBNext Ultra RNA

Library Prep Kit for Illumina (E7530, NEB). Sequencing libraries were constructed using

ThruPLEX DNA-seq kit (Takara R400428). Sequencing was performed as described above. RNA-seq reads were mapped to mm9 using TopHat and normalized gene expression values were calculated using Cufflinks.[30] Genes that showed at least a 1.5-fold change in expression with a *p*-value ≤0.05 were considered differentially expressed. Data are available at Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE144772.

Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) analysis

GREAT analysis was performed using the online program, version 3 (great.stanford.edu).[31] To associate genomic regions with genes, gene regulatory domains were defined as minimum 5.0 kb upstream and 1.0 kb downstream of the TSS, and distally up to 1000 kb to the nearest gene's basal domain ('basal plus extension' option). 10,417 genomic regions from the Hnf4a ChIP-seq dataset were entered into the GREAT online program and Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) Expression terms of genes associated with the genomic regions were assessed.

Gene Ontology Analysis

Gene ontology analysis was performed using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (david.ncifcrf.gov) on differentially expressed genes identified from the RNA-seq analysis.[32]

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis of Kaplan-Meier survival curve was performed using GraphPad 8 Prism software (*n*=11 *Hnf4a* mutants and *n*=14 controls).[33] The Log-rank test was used

for survival analysis. Student's *t* test was performed using GraphPad 8 Prism software. P<0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Hnf4a is required for mature proximal tubule formation

In our previous study, we utilized *Hnf4a* floxed alleles and *Six2GFPCre* to generate a mouse model with kidney-specific deletion of *Hnf4a*. However, *Six2GFPCre* displayed mosaic expression in nephron progenitor cells and allowed a subset of nephron progenitors to escape Cre-mediated recombination.[20, 34, 35] Therefore, our previous *Hnf4a* mutant kidney was a chimera of wild-type and mutant cells leading to the FRTS-like phenotype we observed. In order to thoroughly investigate the *Hnf4a* loss-of-function phenotype, we utilized a less mosaic Cre that specifically targets the proximal segments of the nephron. We generated a new mouse model with nephron-specific deletion of *Hnf4a* using a mouse line expressing Cre recombinase under the *Osr2* promoter (*Osr2^IresCre^*)[22] bred with *Hnf4a* floxed mice (*Hnf4a^c/c^*).[23] We have recently shown that *Osr2^IresCre^* is expressed in the proximal and medial segments of the S-shaped body (SSB) of the developing nephron and that the medial segment of SSB develops into proximal tubules and loops of Henle.[21] This Cre, therefore, targets all nephron segments except for the distal tubule. *Hnf4a* deletion was apparent as early as the SSB stage in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, and loss of *Hnf4a* did not appear to affect SSB formation (Supplemental Figure 1). *Osr2^IresCre^* achieved almost complete deletion of *Hnf4a* in the kidney (Figure 1A). *Lotus Tetragonolobus* Lectin (LTL) is known to bind to glycoproteins on the surface of the proximal tubules specifically.[36, 37] Deletion of

Hnf4a in the nephron led to the loss of differentiated proximal tubule cells with high LTL staining (LTL[high]) in postnatal day 0 (P0) kidneys (Figure 1A) but it did not affect the formation of other nephron segments (Supplemental Figure 2A). Consistent with this, our transcriptomic analysis also showed that only proximal tubule genes were significantly downregulated in the *Hnf4a* mutant (Supplemental Figure 2B).

The *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys showed a decrease in the level of Lrp2 (Low-density lipoprotein-related protein 2), a proximal tubule-specific endocytic receptor protein also known as Megalin (Figure 1A).[20, 38] A few LTL[low], Lrp2^{low} cells persisted in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney (Figure 1A, yellow arrowheads). We reasoned that these cells might represent immature proximal tubules or proximal tubule progenitor cells and that the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney lacks mature proximal tubules. From previously published single cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) data,[8] we identified *Ass1*, *Slc5a2*, and *Miox* as genes that are highly expressed in mature proximal tubules and examined their expression in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney. We found that the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney showed little to no staining of Slc5a2, Ass1, and Miox, indicating decreased expression of mature proximal tubule genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney (Supplemental Figure 3). A distinctive feature of the mature proximal tubules is the apical brush border. The brush border is composed of microvilli which increase the surface area of the proximal tubule to facilitate reabsorption.[9, 10, 39] The absence of the proximal tubule brush border has been associated with proximal tubule dysfunction in patients, highlighting the importance of brush border formation.[40] We analyzed brush border formation using the Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stain[41] and found that *Hnf4a* mutants showed a lack of brush border formation (Figure 1B). Considering that brush border formation only occurs in post-

mitotic, differentiated cells,[42] our result suggests that the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney lacks terminally differentiated proximal tubule cells.

Due to *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys lacking mature proximal tubules, we examined whether the nephron tubules were still connected to the glomerulus in the mutant kidney. Immunofluorescence staining showed that the glomerulus was connected to Cdh6$^+$ cells in both the control and *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys but that LTL staining was visible only in the control kidney (Supplemental Figure 4), suggesting that the glomerulus is conncected to proximal tubule progenitors in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney.

Loss of *Hnf4a* in the nephron leads to postnatal lethality

To examine the effects of loss of LTL^{high} differentiated proximal tubules on postnatal kidney development, we analyzed the histology of *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys at P0, P7, and P14. At P0, the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney was similar in size to the control (Figure 2A). At P7, the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney was slightly smaller with a thinner cortex than the control kidney (Figure 2B). There was no apparent defect in glomerulus, loop of Henle, or distal tubule formation in the *Hnf4a* mutant at P7 (Supplemental Figure 5). At P14, the medullary region of the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney was severely damaged, cysts formed in the cortical region, and hydronephrosis was apparent (Figure 2C). Increased filtrate flow through the renal tubules can lead to renal pelvic dilation and nonobstructive hydronephrosis in nephrogenic diabetes insipidus.[43-45] It is likely that hydronephrosis seen in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney is caused by increased filtrate flow through the nephron tubules due to lack of reabsorption in the proximal tubule. Survival analysis of the *Hnf4a* mutant mice showed that ~60% of *Hnf4a* mutants were deceased by P14,

likely due to kidney dysfunction (Figure 2D). No *Hnf4a* mutants survived to weaning age (P28). These results show that the lack of mature proximal tubules causes postnatal lethality, highlighting the importance of the mature proximal tubule function for survival.

Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells in the developing kidney are proximal tubule progenitor cells

It has been previously suggested that Cdh6-expressing cells in the developing murine kidney are presumptive proximal tubule cells.[46] It was reported that, in the mouse embryonic kidney, *Cdh6* was expressed in the medial segment of the SSB and that LTL⁺ proximal tubules were still positive for Cdh6 although its expression was downregulated compared to Cdh6⁺ cells in the nephrogenic zone. Based on these observations, it was proposed that Cdh6-expressing cells in the nephrogenic zone were destined to become proximal tubules.[46] Consistent with this, we found that there were two distinct populations of Cdh6⁺ cells in the wild type developing murine kidney: Cdh6^{high} and Cdh6^{low} cells (Figure 3A). The majority of Cdh6^{high} cells were Hnf4a⁺ and had no or low LTL staining (red arrowheads and orange arrowheads, respectively, in Figure 3A), suggesting that these Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells are prospective, immature proximal tubule cells. Cdh6^{low} cells were also positive for Hnf4a and had strong LTL staining (yellow arrowheads in Figure 3A), suggesting that these Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} cells are differentiated proximal tubule cells. We found that, in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} cells were absent and the number of Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells were increased, suggesting that the loss of Hnf4a prevents Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} cells from developing into Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} cells (Figure 3B). We found that *Cdh6* expression in the

kidneys persisted postnatally, suggesting continued proximal tubule development and maturation (Supplemental Figure 6).

In order to definitively test if Cdh6^{high} cells are proximal tubule progenitor cells, we performed lineage analysis using a tamoxifen-inducible *Cre* recombinase under the *Cdh6* promoter (*Cdh6^{CreER}*) and a Cre-inducible *Rosa26^{Ai3}* reporter.[24, 25] If Cre-mediated activation of the Rosa reporter is restricted to the proximal tubules and not detected in other nephron segments, it would suggest that Cdh6+ cells are proximal tubule progenitors. Pregnant dams were injected with tamoxifen at E14.5 or E16.5 to label Cdh6^{high} cells and their descendant cells with the *Rosa26^{Ai3}* reporter. Embryos were harvested at E18.5. We found that all *Rosa26^{Ai3}* labeled cells were Hnf4a$^+$ and most were also LTL$^+$. As expected, transient activation of Cre acitivity by tamoxifen was not able to produce a robust labeling of proximal tubules with the Rosa reporter, partly due to the fact that the formation of nephrons is an asynchronous process in the rapidly developing kidney. Nonetheless, our observation that the descendants of Cdh6+ cells differentiate into proximal tubules *exclusively* indicates that Cdh6^{high} cells in the developing kidney are proximal tubule progenitor cells (Figure 4).

Hnf4a has been shown to inhibit proliferation in hepatocytes and promote terminal differentiation.[47] Many models of cellular differentiation show an inverse relationship between proliferation and differentiation.[48-51] Terminal differentiation commonly involves exiting the cell cycle and entering a postmitotic state.[48, 52] To determine whether the transition from Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} proximal tubule progenitors to Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} differentiated proximal tubule cells coincides with cell cycle exit, we examined Ki67 expression in Cdh6^{high} and Cdh6^{low} cell populations (Figure 5, A and B).

Ki67 is present in actively proliferating cells and absent in resting cells.[53-56] We found

that Cdh6^{high} proximal tubule progenitor cells were highly proliferative while only few

Cdh6^{low} cells showed Ki67 expression (Figure 5A). When quantified, Cdh6^{high} cells had

a 10-fold higher proliferative rate than Cdh6^{low} cells, indicating an expansion of the

progenitor cell population before they exit the cell cycle and undergo terminal

differentiation into mature proximal tubule cells (Figure 5B). This suggests that the

number of proximal tubule cells in the newborn kidney is largely determined by the

proliferation of Cdh6^{high} proximal tubule progenitor cells.

**Hnf4a gene regulatory network reveals the roles of Hnf4a in regulating proximal
tubule development**

To further elucidate the role of Hnf4a in the proximal tubule transcriptional program, we

performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing

(ChIP-seq) with P0 murine kidneys to identify Hnf4a-bound genomic regions. Two

Hnf4a ChIP-seq replicates yielded 10,417 reproducible binding sites (peaks)

(Supplemental Table 1). Our motif analysis showed high enrichment of the canonical

Hnf4a binding DNA sequence within these Hnf4a peaks (Figure 6A), indicating that

Hnf4a-bound genomic regions were successfully enriched in our ChIP-seq samples. We

also found that DNA motifs for other nuclear receptors including the estrogen-related

receptor alpha (ESRRA), the retinoid X receptor (RXR), the peroxisome proliferator-

activated receptor (PPAR), and hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 beta (HNF1B) were also

enriched within the Hnf4a-bound genomic regions, suggesting that these nuclear

receptors share common target genes with Hnf4a to regulate proximal tubule

development (Figure 6A). The majority of the Hnf4a peaks were found within 50kb of

transcription start sites (TSS) (Figure 6B) and 30% of the peaks were located in

promoter regions (Figure 6C). Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool

(GREAT) analysis of MGI expression annotations of genes associated with Hnf4a

binding sites showed enrichment within the developing renal proximal tubules (Figure

6D),[31] consistent with the fact that *Hnf4a* is specifically expressed in proximal tubules in

the kidney. Multiple peaks were identified near the promoters of proximal tubule genes

(Supplemental Table 1). In particular, we found Hnf4a peaks near genes such as

Slc34a1 and *Ehhadh*, genes linked to FRTS in human patients (Figure 6E).[57, 58]

   We conducted transcriptomic analysis (RNA-seq) of P0 *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys to

complement our candidate target gene list with differential gene expression data

(Supplemental Table 2). In the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, 442 genes showed a significant

decrease in expression (fold change ≥ 1.5; p-value < 0.05), including *Slc34a1*, *Ehhadh*,

and *Ass1*, which are highly expressed in proximal tubules (Figure 7A, Supplemental

Table 2).[7, 8] As previously mentioned, mutations in *SLC34A1* and *EHHADH* are

associated with FRTS in patients.[57, 58] Gene ontology (GO) analysis of these 442

downregulated genes showed enrichment of genes associated with metabolism and

transport (Figure 7B). We found that 196 genes were significantly upregulated in the

Hnf4a mutant kidneys (fold change ≥ 1.5; p-value < 0.05), including *Cdh6*, the gene

marking proximal tubule progenitors (Figure 7A, Supplemental Table 2). GO analysis of

the 196 upregulated genes showed enrichment of genes associated with phospholipid

homeostasis and cholesterol transport (Figure 7C). In order to determine which genes

are directly regulated by Hnf4a, we compared the differentially expressed genes in the

Hnf4a mutant with the 7,823 genes associated with Hnf4a binding sites to find

overlapping genes (Figure 7D, Supplemental Table 3). There were 245 genes in

common between the significantly downregulated genes and the Hnf4a binding sites,

and 81 common genes between significantly upregulated genes and the Hnf4a binding

sites (Figure 7D, Supplemental Table 3). GO analysis of the 245 downregulated genes

showed enrichment of genes associated with transport and metabolism (Figure 7E). Of

the downregulated genes, Hnf4a has previously been shown to activate the expression

of *Lrp2*, *Pdzk1*, *Slc22a1*, and *Pck1 in vitro*, a validation that some of the downregulated

genes from our data are directly regulated by Hnf4a.[59-62] When we compared our 245

downregulated genes with proximal tubule genes identified from previously published

scRNA-seq,[8] we found that there were 149 genes in common. These 149 genes were

expressed mainly in mature proximal tubule populations rather than in progenitor cells

(Supplemental Figure 7), implying that Hnf4a may be more active in mature proximal

tubules. GO analysis of the 81 upregulated genes showed enrichment of genes

associated with transport, metabolism, and response to thyroid hormone and ischemia

(Figure 7F). Our genomic and transcriptomic analyses suggest that Hnf4a regulates

proximal tubule maturation via activation of transport and metabolism genes, consistent

with the functions of the proximal tubule.

DISCUSSION

In our previous study, we identified two populations of LTL^{+} cells in the developing

mouse kidney: LTL[low] and LTL[high]. Based on our results, we concluded that these two

populations represent presumptive proximal tubules and differentiated proximal tubules,

respectively.[20] In order to further examine the presumptive proximal tubule population,

we sought to identify a marker of proximal tubule progenitors. Previously, Cdh6 had

been proposed as a marker for prospective proximal tubule cells.[46] However, it had not

been definitively shown that Cdh6^{+} cells develop into proximal tubule cells.[46] To address

this, we performed lineage analysis of Cdh6^{+} cells in the developing kidney and found

that these cells all became Hnf4a^{+} proximal tubule cells (Figure 4). This experiment

provides strong evidence that Cdh6^{+} cells are proximal tubule progenitors in the

developing kidney. Cdh6^{+} proximal tubule progenitors are highly proliferative, while

LTL[high], Hnf4a^{+} proximal tubule cells proliferate less frequently (Figure 5). This suggests

that expansion of proximal tubule progenitors determines the number of proximal tubule

cells. Identification of proximal tubule progenitors will allow us to further investigate the

developmental mechanisms of proximal tubule development.

We have previously reported that mosaic deletion of *Hnf4a* by *Six2GFPCre* in the

developing mouse kidney causes a significant reduction in proximal tubule cells,

phenocopying FRTS.[20] The paucity of proximal tubules is consistent with reduced

expression of proximal tubule genes, including the genes encoding glucose and

phosphate transporters. However, it was unknown which genes were directly regulated

by Hnf4a in proximal tubules. In this study, we performed Hnf4a ChIP-seq on newborn

mouse kidneys and RNA-seq analysis of *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys by *Osr2[IresCre]*. From

intersection of the ChIP-seq and RNA-seq datasets, we identified 245 Hnf4a direct

target genes that were downregulated in the *Hnf4a* mutant during kidney development

(Figure 7D). Among these 245 targets, the most enriched were genes associated with

transmembrane transport, suggesting that the role of Hnf4a in proximal tubule

development correlates with active reabsorption, the major function of the proximal

tubule (Figure 7E, Table 1A). The genes associated with fatty acid metabolism were

also enriched in these 245 direct target genes (Table 1B). Taking into account that

proximal tubule cells are highly active in metabolism and their energy demands are

primarily met by fatty acid oxidation[11, 63-65], our results suggest that Hnf4a regulates

metabolic reprogramming during proximal tubule development. Consistent with this, it

has been shown that Hnf4a controls lipid metabolism in Drosophila nephrocytes.[19]

Interestingly, only 3% of the Hnf4a bound genes showed differential expression in the

Hnf4a mutant kidney. Since many proximal tubule genes are upregulated postnatally,[66, 67] it is possible that Hnf4a alone is not sufficient to induce expression of the majority of

its target genes and co-factors are required.

Motif analysis of genomic regions bound by a given transcription factor provides

a list of other transcription factors that physically or genetically interact with the target

transcription factor, sharing common target genes. Known motif analysis of our Hnf4a

ChIP-seq datasets revealed that the DNA motifs for ESRRA, RXR, PPAR, and Hnf1b

were enriched within the Hnf4a-bound genomic regions in the developing mouse kidney.

The binding motifs of ESRRA and RXR are quite similar to the Hnf4a binding motif

(Figure 6A), which could suggest that there is cooperative binding among these nuclear

receptor transcription factors to activate a proximal tubule-specific transcriptional

program. In contrast, similar binding motifs could imply competitive binding, since

overlapping DNA motifs can lead to competition between transcription factors to activate

or repress context-specific transcriptional programs.[68] Recent studies in zebrafish have

implicated retinoic acid signaling in the formation of proximal tubules, further supporting

RXR as a potential co-regulator of proximal tubule development.[69, 70] Both PPARa/g and Hnf1a/b have been implicated in proximal tubule development and function, indicating that they are good candidate co-regulators of proximal tubule development.[71-73] PPAR transcription factors are known binding partners of RXR and one study predicted 17 common targets between Hnf4a and PPARa.[67] *Hnf1b* is expressed in all nephron segments[74-78] and *Hnf1b* deficiency in the nephron lineage of the mouse kidney leads to defects in nephron formation, particularly the proximal tubules, loops of Henle, and distal tubules.[78, 79] Hnf1b is known to interact with Hnf4a and regulate common target genes.[67, 75, 80] It has also been shown that expression of *Hnf1b* and *Hnf4a*, along with *Emx2* and *Pax8*, can convert fibroblasts into renal tubular epithelial cells, strongly suggesting that Hnf1b is a co-regulator of proximal tubule development.[81] Further investigation is needed to elucidate the interactions among these transcription factors and their roles in proximal tubule development.

A number of protocols have been developed for *in vitro* differentaion of induced pluripotent stem cells into kidney organoids as well as transdifferentation protocols to produce renal epithelial cells for disease modeling, drug toxicity testing, and possible cell-based therapies for chronic kidney disease.[81-85] One of the challenges of kidney organoid differentaion is achieving cellular maturity.[86] It is known that proximal tubule cells in kidney organoids fail to undergo the maturation process.[87] Considering our finding that Hnf4a plays a critical role in proximal tubule development, the application of Hnf4a ligands, such as linoleic acid,[88] may be useful for the generation of mature proximal tubules in kidney organoids.

In conclusion, we examined the molecular mechanisms of *Hnf4a*-regulated proximal tubule development. We found that proximal tubule development was arrested in the absence of *Hnf4a*. The *Hnf4a* mutant kidney cannot generate mature proximal tubules. Loss of proximal tubule cells in the *Hnf4a* mutant mice caused postnatal lethality, highlighting the importance of functional proximal tubules for survival. In the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, there is an increase in Cdh6^{high}, LTL^{low} presumptive proximal tubule cells. We definitively showed that Cdh6^{+} cells in the developing kidney are proximal tubule progenitors. These results suggest that *Hnf4a* is required for proximal tubule progenitors to differentiate into mature proximal tubule cells. Genome-wide analysis of Hnf4a binding sites in the kidney and transcriptomic analysis of the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney indicate that Hnf4a directly regulates expression of multiple genes involved in transmembrane transport and metabolic processes in the proximal tubule.

Author contributions

S.S.M. performed mouse experiments. S.S.M. and E.C. performed ChIP-seq. E.C. performed RNA-seq. S.S.M. and J.P. designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and cowrote the manuscript. S.S.M made the figures. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Steve Potter and Mike Adam for help with single cell RNA-seq data. We also thank the Confocal Imaging Core (CIC) and the DNA Sequencing and Genotyping Core (DSGC) at CCHMC. This work was supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health F31 DK120164 to S.S.M. and R01 DK120847 to J.P.

Disclosures

None.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Figure 1. Deletion of *Hnf4a* does not affect the formation of the S-shaped body.

Supplemental Figure 2. Deletion of *Hnf4a* does not affect the formation of other nephron segments in the newborn kidney.

Supplemental Figure 3. Mature proximal tubule markers are absent in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney.

Supplemental Figure 4. The glomerulus is connected to Cdh6+ tubules in the Hnf4a mutant and control kidneys.

Supplemental Figure 5. Deletion of *Hnf4a* does not affect the formation of other nephron segments in the postnatal kidney.

Supplemental Figure 6. *Cdh6* expression persists postnatally in the *Hnf4a* mutant and control kidneys.

Supplemental Figure 7. Expression of top marker genes that are enriched in early and mature proximal tubules.

Supplemental Table 1. Genome-wide mapping of Hnf4a binding sites in the mouse kidney at P0 (ChIP-seq)

Supplemental Table 2. Differential gene analysis of the Hnf4a mutant kidney at P0 (RNA-seq)

Supplemental Table 3. Intersection of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq

REFERENCES

1. McMahon, AP: Development of the Mammalian Kidney. *Curr Top Dev Biol,* 117**:** 31-64, 2016.
2. Boron, WF: Acid-base transport by the renal proximal tubule. *J Am Soc Nephrol,* 17**:** 2368-2382, 2006.
3. Nakamura, M, Shirai, A, Yamazaki, O, Satoh, N, Suzuki, M, Horita, S, et al.: Roles of renal proximal tubule transport in acid/base balance and blood pressure regulation. *Biomed Res Int,* 2014**:** 504808, 2014.
4. Alpern, RJ: Cell mechanisms of proximal tubule acidification. *Physiol Rev,* 70**:** 79-114, 1990.
5. Baum, M, Quigley, R: Proximal tubule water transport-lessons from aquaporin knockout mice. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol,* 289**:** F1193-1194, 2005.
6. Takenaka, M, Imai, E, Kaneko, T, Ito, T, Moriyama, T, Yamauchi, A, et al.: Isolation of genes identified in mouse renal proximal tubule by comparing different gene expression profiles. *Kidney Int,* 53**:** 562-572, 1998.

7.	Lee, JW, Chou, CL, Knepper, MA: Deep Sequencing in Microdissected Renal Tubules Identifies Nephron Segment-Specific Transcriptomes. *J Am Soc Nephrol,* 26**:** 2669-2677, 2015.

8.	Adam, M, Potter, AS, Potter, SS: Psychrophilic proteases dramatically reduce single-cell RNA-seq artifacts: a molecular atlas of kidney development. *Development,* 144**:** 3625-3632, 2017.

9.	Curthoys, NP, Moe, OW: Proximal tubule function and response to acidosis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol,* 9**:** 1627-1638, 2014.

10.	Zhuo, JL, Li, XC: Proximal nephron. *Compr Physiol,* 3**:** 1079-1123, 2013.

11.	Kang, HM, Ahn, SH, Choi, P, Ko, YA, Han, SH, Chinga, F, et al.: Defective fatty acid oxidation in renal tubular epithelial cells has a key role in kidney fibrosis development. *Nat Med,* 21**:** 37-46, 2015.

12.	Proctor, G, Jiang, T, Iwahashi, M, Wang, Z, Li, J, Levi, M: Regulation of renal fatty acid and cholesterol metabolism, inflammation, and fibrosis in Akita and OVE26 mice with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes,* 55**:** 2502-2509, 2006.

13.	Walsh, SB, Unwin, RJ: Renal tubular disorders. *Clin Med (Lond),* 12**:** 476-479, 2012.

14.	Igarashi, T: Pediatric Fanconi Syndrome. In: *Pediatric Nephrology.* edited by AVNER, E. D., HARMON, W. E., NIAUDET, P., YOSHIKAWA, N., EMMA, F., GOLDSTEIN, S. L., Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2016, pp 1355-1388.

15.	Klootwijk, ED, Reichold, M, Unwin, RJ, Kleta, R, Warth, R, Bockenhauer, D: Renal Fanconi syndrome: taking a proximal look at the nephron. *Nephrol Dial Transplant,* 30**:** 1456-1460, 2015.

16.	Gonick, HC: Pathophysiology of human proximal tubular transport defects. *Klin Wochenschr,* 60**:** 1201-1211, 1982.

17.	Sirac, C, Bridoux, F, Essig, M, Devuyst, O, Touchard, G, Cogne, M: Toward understanding renal Fanconi syndrome: step by step advances through experimental models. *Contrib Nephrol,* 169**:** 247-261, 2011.

18.	Hamilton, AJ, Bingham, C, McDonald, TJ, Cook, PR, Caswell, RC, Weedon, MN, et al.: The HNF4A R76W mutation causes atypical dominant Fanconi syndrome in addition to a beta cell phenotype. *J Med Genet,* 51**:** 165-169, 2014.

19.	Marchesin, V, Perez-Marti, A, Le Meur, G, Pichler, R, Grand, K, Klootwijk, ED, et al.: Molecular Basis for Autosomal-Dominant Renal Fanconi Syndrome Caused by HNF4A. *Cell Rep,* 29**:** 4407-4421 e4405, 2019.

20.	Marable, SS, Chung, E, Adam, M, Potter, SS, Park, JS: Hnf4a deletion in the mouse kidney phenocopies Fanconi renotubular syndrome. *JCI Insight,* 3, 2018.

21.	Deacon, P, Concodora, CW, Chung, E, Park, J-S: β-catenin regulates the formation of multiple nephron segments in the mouse kidney. *Scientific Reports,* 9**:** 15915, 2019.

22.	Lan, Y, Wang, Q, Ovitt, CE, Jiang, R: A unique mouse strain expressing Cre recombinase for tissue-specific analysis of gene function in palate and kidney development. *Genesis,* 45**:** 618-624, 2007.

23.	Parviz, F, Li, J, Kaestner, KH, Duncan, SA: Generation of a conditionally null allele of hnf4alpha. *Genesis,* 32**:** 130-133, 2002.

24. Kay, JN, De la Huerta, I, Kim, IJ, Zhang, Y, Yamagata, M, Chu, MW, et al.: Retinal ganglion cells with distinct directional preferences differ in molecular identity, structure, and central projections. *J Neurosci,* 31**:** 7753-7762, 2011.

25. Madisen, L, Zwingman, TA, Sunkin, SM, Oh, SW, Zariwala, HA, Gu, H, et al.: A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci,* 13**:** 133-140, 2010.

26. Schneider, CA, Rasband, WS, Eliceiri, KW: NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods,* 9**:** 671-675, 2012.

27. Park, JS, Ma, W, O'Brien, LL, Chung, E, Guo, JJ, Cheng, JG, et al.: Six2 and Wnt regulate self-renewal and commitment of nephron progenitors through shared gene regulatory networks. *Dev Cell,* 23**:** 637-651, 2012.

28. Langmead, B, Trapnell, C, Pop, M, Salzberg, SL: Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol,* 10**:** R25, 2009.

29. Heinz, S, Benner, C, Spann, N, Bertolino, E, Lin, YC, Laslo, P, et al.: Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell,* 38**:** 576-589, 2010.

30. Trapnell, C, Hendrickson, DG, Sauvageau, M, Goff, L, Rinn, JL, Pachter, L: Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. *Nat Biotechnol,* 31**:** 46-53, 2013.

31. McLean, CY, Bristor, D, Hiller, M, Clarke, SL, Schaar, BT, Lowe, CB, et al.: GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat Biotechnol,* 28**:** 495-501, 2010.

32. Huang da, W, Sherman, BT, Lempicki, RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc,* 4**:** 44-57, 2009.

33. Kaplan, EL, Meier, P: Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association,* 53**:** 457-481, 1958.

34. Chung, E, Deacon, P, Marable, S, Shin, J, Park, JS: Notch signaling promotes nephrogenesis by downregulating Six2. *Development,* 143**:** 3907-3913, 2016.

35. Surendran, K, Boyle, S, Barak, H, Kim, M, Stomberski, C, McCright, B, et al.: The contribution of Notch1 to nephron segmentation in the developing kidney is revealed in a sensitized Notch2 background and can be augmented by reducing Mint dosage. *Dev Biol,* 337**:** 386-395, 2010.

36. Schulte, BA, Spicer, SS: Histochemical evaluation of mouse and rat kidneys with lectin-horseradish peroxidase conjugates. *Am J Anat,* 168**:** 345-362, 1983.

37. Hennigar, RA, Schulte, BA, Spicer, SS: Heterogeneous distribution of glycoconjugates in human kidney tubules. *Anat Rec,* 211**:** 376-390, 1985.

38. Christensen, EI, Birn, H, Storm, T, Weyer, K, Nielsen, R: Endocytic receptors in the renal proximal tubule. *Physiology (Bethesda),* 27**:** 223-236, 2012.

39. Chevalier, RL: The proximal tubule is the primary target of injury and progression of kidney disease: role of the glomerulotubular junction. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol,* 311**:** F145-161, 2016.

40. Manz, F, Waldherr, R, Fritz, HP, Lutz, P, Nutzenadel, W, Reitter, B, et al.: Idiopathic de Toni-Debre-Fanconi syndrome with absence of proximal tubular brush border. *Clin Nephrol,* 22**:** 149-157, 1984.

41. Longley, JB, Fisher, ER: Alkaline phosphatase and the periodic acid Schiff reaction in the proximal tubule of the vertebrate kidney; a study in segmental differentiation. *Anat Rec,* 120**:** 1-21, 1954.

42. Wessely, O, Cerqueira, DM, Tran, U, Kumar, V, Hassey, JM, Romaker, D: The bigger the better: determining nephron size in kidney. *Pediatr Nephrol,* 29**:** 525-530, 2014.

43. Zheng, K, Xie, Y, Li, H: Congenital Nephrogenic Diabetes Insipidus Presented With Bilateral Hydronephrosis and Urinary Infection: A Case Report. *Medicine (Baltimore),* 95**:** e3464, 2016.

44. Sung, CC, Lin, SH: Images in clinical medicine. Nonobstructive hydronephrosis with secondary polycythemia. *N Engl J Med,* 365**:** e1, 2011.

45. Jin, XD, Chen, ZD, Cai, SL, Chen, SW: Nephrogenic diabetes insipidus with dilatation of bilateral renal pelvis, ureter and bladder. *Scand J Urol Nephrol,* 43**:** 73-75, 2009.

46. Cho, EA, Patterson, LT, Brookhiser, WT, Mah, S, Kintner, C, Dressler, GR: Differential expression and function of cadherin-6 during renal epithelium development. *Development,* 125**:** 803-812, 1998.

47. Walesky, C, Apte, U: Role of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (HNF4alpha) in cell proliferation and cancer. *Gene Expr,* 16**:** 101-108, 2015.

48. Ruijtenberg, S, van den Heuvel, S: Coordinating cell proliferation and differentiation: Antagonism between cell cycle regulators and cell type-specific gene expression. *Cell Cycle,* 15**:** 196-212, 2016.

49. Sachs, L: Constitutive uncoupling of the controls for growth and differentiation in myeloid leukemia and the development of cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst,* 65**:** 675-679, 1980.

50. Maione, R, Amati, P: Interdependence between muscle differentiation and cell-cycle control. *Biochim Biophys Acta,* 1332**:** M19-30, 1997.

51. Walsh, K, Perlman, H: Cell cycle exit upon myogenic differentiation. *Curr Opin Genet Dev,* 7**:** 597-602, 1997.

52. Marx, J: Cell biology. Cell cycle inhibitors may help brake growth as cells develop. *Science,* 267**:** 963-964, 1995.

53. Gerdes, J, Schwab, U, Lemke, H, Stein, H: Production of a mouse monoclonal antibody reactive with a human nuclear antigen associated with cell proliferation. *Int J Cancer,* 31**:** 13-20, 1983.

54. Gerdes, J, Lemke, H, Baisch, H, Wacker, HH, Schwab, U, Stein, H: Cell cycle analysis of a cell proliferation-associated human nuclear antigen defined by the monoclonal antibody Ki-67. *J Immunol,* 133**:** 1710-1715, 1984.

55. Alison, MR: Assessing cellular proliferation: what's worth measuring? *Hum Exp Toxicol,* 14**:** 935-944, 1995.

56. Scholzen, T, Gerdes, J: The Ki-67 protein: from the known and the unknown. *J Cell Physiol,* 182**:** 311-322, 2000.

57. Magen, D, Berger, L, Coady, MJ, Ilivitzki, A, Militianu, D, Tieder, M, et al.: A loss-of-function mutation in NaPi-IIa and renal Fanconi's syndrome. *N Engl J Med,* 362**:** 1102-1109, 2010.

58. Klootwijk, ED, Reichold, M, Helip-Wooley, A, Tolaymat, A, Broeker, C, Robinette, SL, et al.: Mistargeting of peroxisomal EHHADH and inherited renal Fanconi's syndrome. *N Engl J Med,* 370**:** 129-138, 2014.

59. Sasaki, S, Hara, A, Sakaguchi, M, Nangaku, M, Inoue, Y: Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha regulates megalin expression in proximal tubular cells. *Biochem Biophys Rep,* 17**:** 87-92, 2019.

60. Ketharnathan, S, Leask, M, Boocock, J, Phipps-Green, AJ, Antony, J, O'Sullivan, JM, et al.: A non-coding genetic variant maximally associated with serum urate levels is functionally linked to HNF4A-dependent PDZK1 expression. *Hum Mol Genet,* 27**:** 3964-3973, 2018.

61. Kajiwara, M, Terada, T, Asaka, J, Aoki, M, Katsura, T, Ikai, I, et al.: Regulation of basal core promoter activity of human organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1/SLC22A1). *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol,* 295**:** G1211-1216, 2008.

62. Yamamoto, T, Shimano, H, Nakagawa, Y, Ide, T, Yahagi, N, Matsuzaka, T, et al.: SREBP-1 interacts with hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 alpha and interferes with PGC-1 recruitment to suppress hepatic gluconeogenic genes. *J Biol Chem,* 279**:** 12027-12035, 2004.

63. Balaban, RS, Mandel, LJ: Metabolic substrate utilization by rabbit proximal tubule. An NADH fluorescence study. *Am J Physiol,* 254**:** F407-416, 1988.

64. Elhamri, M, Martin, M, Ferrier, B, Baverel, G: Substrate uptake and utilization by the kidney of fed and starved rats in vivo. *Ren Physiol Biochem,* 16**:** 311-324, 1993.

65. Weidemann, MJ, Krebs, HA: The fuel of respiration of rat kidney cortex. *Biochem J,* 112**:** 149-166, 1969.

66. Brunskill, EW, Park, JS, Chung, E, Chen, F, Magella, B, Potter, SS: Single cell dissection of early kidney development: multilineage priming. *Development,* 141**:** 3093-3101, 2014.

67. Martovetsky, G, Tee, JB, Nigam, SK: Hepatocyte nuclear factors 4alpha and 1alpha regulate kidney developmental expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters. *Mol Pharmacol,* 84**:** 808-823, 2013.

68. Hermsen, R, Tans, S, ten Wolde, PR: Transcriptional regulation by competing transcription factor modules. *PLoS Comput Biol,* 2**:** e164, 2006.

69. Wingert, RA, Selleck, R, Yu, J, Song, HD, Chen, Z, Song, A, et al.: The cdx genes and retinoic acid control the positioning and segmentation of the zebrafish pronephros. *PLoS Genet,* 3**:** 1922-1938, 2007.

70. Li, Y, Cheng, CN, Verdun, VA, Wingert, RA: Zebrafish nephrogenesis is regulated by interactions between retinoic acid, mecom, and Notch signaling. *Dev Biol,* 386**:** 111-122, 2014.

71. Casemayou, A, Fournel, A, Bagattin, A, Schanstra, J, Belliere, J, Decramer, S, et al.: Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor-1beta Controls Mitochondrial Respiration in Renal Tubular Cells. *J Am Soc Nephrol,* 28**:** 3205-3217, 2017.

72. Ferre, S, Igarashi, P: New insights into the role of HNF-1beta in kidney (patho)physiology. *Pediatr Nephrol,* 34**:** 1325-1335, 2019.
73. Izzedine, H, Launay-Vacher, V, Baumelou, A, Deray, G: Renal effects of PPARalpha-agonists. *Minerva Urol Nefrol,* 56**:** 339-342, 2004.
74. Lazzaro, D, De Simone, V, De Magistris, L, Lehtonen, E, Cortese, R: LFB1 and LFB3 homeoproteins are sequentially expressed during kidney development. *Development,* 114**:** 469-479, 1992.
75. Lau, HH, Ng, NHJ, Loo, LSW, Jasmen, JB, Teo, AKK: The molecular functions of hepatocyte nuclear factors - In and beyond the liver. *J Hepatol,* 68**:** 1033-1048, 2018.
76. Pontoglio, M, Barra, J, Hadchouel, M, Doyen, A, Kress, C, Bach, JP, et al.: Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 inactivation results in hepatic dysfunction, phenylketonuria, and renal Fanconi syndrome. *Cell,* 84**:** 575-585, 1996.
77. Pontoglio, M, Prie, D, Cheret, C, Doyen, A, Leroy, C, Froguel, P, et al.: HNF1alpha controls renal glucose reabsorption in mouse and man. *EMBO Rep,* 1**:** 359-365, 2000.
78. Heliot, C, Desgrange, A, Buisson, I, Prunskaite-Hyyrylainen, R, Shan, J, Vainio, S, et al.: HNF1B controls proximal-intermediate nephron segment identity in vertebrates by regulating Notch signalling components and Irx1/2. *Development,* 140**:** 873-885, 2013.
79. Massa, F, Garbay, S, Bouvier, R, Sugitani, Y, Noda, T, Gubler, MC, et al.: Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1beta controls nephron tubular development. *Development,* 140**:** 886-896, 2013.
80. Hatzis, P, Talianidis, I: Regulatory mechanisms controlling human hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha gene expression. *Mol Cell Biol,* 21**:** 7320-7330, 2001.
81. Kaminski, MM, Tosic, J, Kresbach, C, Engel, H, Klockenbusch, J, Muller, AL, et al.: Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into renal tubular epithelial cells by defined transcription factors. *Nat Cell Biol,* 18**:** 1269-1280, 2016.
82. Little, MH, Takasato, M: Generating a self-organizing kidney from pluripotent cells. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant,* 20**:** 178-186, 2015.
83. Morizane, R, Lam, AQ, Freedman, BS, Kishi, S, Valerius, MT, Bonventre, JV: Nephron organoids derived from human pluripotent stem cells model kidney development and injury. *Nat Biotechnol,* 33**:** 1193-1200, 2015.
84. Takasato, M, Er, PX, Chiu, HS, Maier, B, Baillie, GJ, Ferguson, C, et al.: Kidney organoids from human iPS cells contain multiple lineages and model human nephrogenesis. *Nature,* 536**:** 238, 2016.
85. Rota, C, Morigi, M, Imberti, B: Stem Cell Therapies in Kidney Diseases: Progress and Challenges. *Int J Mol Sci,* 20, 2019.
86. Nishinakamura, R: Human kidney organoids: progress and remaining challenges. *Nat Rev Nephrol,* 15**:** 613-624, 2019.
87. Wu, H, Uchimura, K, Donnelly, EL, Kirita, Y, Morris, SA, Humphreys, BD: Comparative Analysis and Refinement of Human PSC-Derived Kidney Organoid Differentiation with Single-Cell Transcriptomics. *Cell Stem Cell,* 23**:** 869-881 e868, 2018.

88.	Yuan, X, Ta, TC, Lin, M, Evans, JR, Dong, Y, Bolotin, E, et al.: Identification of an endogenous ligand bound to a native orphan nuclear receptor. *PLoS One,* 4**:** e5609, 2009.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Hnf4a* deletion by *Osr2Cre* leads to loss of mature proximal tubule (PT) cells. (A) Loss of *Hnf4a* in the nephron inhibits formation of LTL^{high}, mature PT cells and causes a decrease in expression of *Lrp2*, a PT-specific gene in the newborn (P0) kidney. Yellow arrowheads mark LTL^{low}, Lrp2^{low} cells that persist in the mutant. Image is representative of *n*=3. Scale bar, 100µm. (B) Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining of control and *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys at P0 show *Hnf4a* mutants lack brush border. Black arrowheads mark brush border. Image is representative of *n*=3. Scale bar, 50µm.

Figure 2. Loss of mature proximal tubules leads to postnatal lethality in *Hnf4a* mutant mice. (A-C) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys at birth (P0), postnatal day 7 (P7), and postnatal day 14 (P14). Images are representative of *n*=4. Scale bar, 100µm. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the *Hnf4a* mutants (*n*=11) with heterozygous controls (*n*=14). *P-value < 0.0001, determined by Log-rank test.

Figure 3. High Cdh6 expression is persistent in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney. (A) In the P0 control kidney, Cdh6 expression is high in LTL^{neg} and LTL^{low}, presumptive PT cells (red and orange arrowheads, respectively) and Cdh6 expression decreases as PT cells develop into LTL^{high}, mature PT cells (yellow arrowheads). In the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, Cdh6^{high},LTL^{low} cells are more abundant compared to the control and there are no Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} cells to be found. Scale bar, 100µm. Image is representative of *n*=3. (B)

Quantification of Cdh6^{high} and Cdh6^{low} cells in the *Hnf4a* mutant and control kidney. *P-value < 0.01, determined by *t* test.

Figure 4. Cdh6$^+$ lineage tracing shows that Cdh6$^+$ cells are PT progenitor cells.

Lineage labeling of Cdh6$^+$ cells with Ai3 after tamoxifen injection into pregnant dams at E14.5 or E16.5. All Ai3$^+$ cells are Hnf4a$^+$ and most are also LTL$^+$ (yellow arrowheads) at E18.5. Images are representative of *n*=3. Scale bar, 100μm.

Figure 5. Cdh6^{high} PT progenitor cells have a higher proliferation rate than Cdh6^{low} mature PT cells. (A) Representative immunostains for Ki67, Cdh6, and Hnf4a in the P0 kidney *(n*=4)*. Cdh6^{high} (orange arrowheads); Cdh6^{low} (yellow arrowheads). Scale bar, 50μm. (B) Quantification of Ki67 positive cells *(n*=4)*. *P-value < 0.01, determined by *t* test.

Figure 6. Genome-wide mapping of Hnf4a binding sites in the newborn mouse kidney (A) Analysis of known motifs from Hnf4a ChIP-seq. (B) Bar graph showing the percentage of region–gene associations according to genomic regions' distance to TSS computed by Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) (http://bejerano.stanford.edu/great/public/html/). (C) Pie chart representing the distribution of Hnf4a peaks within the annotated genome. (D) GREAT MGI Expression annotations of Hnf4a peaks showing top six enriched terms. (E) Genome browser view of Hnf4a ChIP-seq peaks near TSS of PT genes.

Figure 7. Intersection of Hnf4a ChIP-seq peaks with differentially expressed genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney identified direct target genes of Hnf4a. (A) Differential expression analysis in the *Hnf4a* mutant versus the *Hnf4a* control kidney at P0. Red and blue points in the volcano plot mark genes with significantly decreased or increased expression, respectively, in the *Hnf4a* mutant. Vertical dash lines (x-axis) mark $\log_2(1.5)$. Horizontal dash line (y-axis) marks $-\log_{10}(0.05)$ (B) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of significantly downregulated genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney showing top six enriched terms. (C) GO analysis of significantly upregulated genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney showing top five enriched terms. (D) Venn diagram shows the overlap of genes associated with Hnf4a binding sites and differentially expressed genes in the Hnf4a mutant kidney. (E) GO analysis of Hnf4a-bound, downregulated genes showing top six enriched terms. (F) GO analysis of Hnf4a-bound, upregulated genes showing top six enriched terms.

Table 1. Hnf4a target genes that were downregulated in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney

Figure 1. *Hnf4a* deletion by *Osr2Cre* leads to loss of mature proximal tubule (PT) cells. (A) Loss of *Hnf4a* in the nephron inhibits formation of LTL^high, mature PT cells and causes a decrease in expression of *Lrp2*, a PT-specific gene in the newborn (P0) kidney. Yellow arrowheads mark LTL^low, Lrp2^low cells that persist in the mutant. Image is representative of *n*=3. Scale bar, 100μm. (B) Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining of control and *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys at P0 show *Hnf4a* mutants lack brush border. Black arrowheads mark brush border. Image is representative of *n*=3. Scale bar, 50μm.

Figure 2. Loss of mature proximal tubules leads to postnatal lethality in *Hnf4a* mutant mice. (A-C) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of *Hnf4a* mutant kidneys at birth (P0), postnatal day 7 (P7), and postnatal day 14 (P14). Images are representative of *n*=4. Scale bar, 100µm. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the *Hnf4a* mutants with heterozygous controls. *P-value < 0.0001, determined by Log-rank test.

Figure 3. High Cdh6 expression is persistent in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney. (A) In the P0 control kidney, Cdh6 expression is high in LTL[neg] and LTL[low], presumptive PT cells (red and orange arrowheads, respectively) and Cdh6 expression decreases as PT cells develop into LTL[high], mature PT cells (yellow arrowheads). In the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney, Cdh6^{high},LTL^{low} cells are more abundant compared to the control and there are no Cdh6^{low}, LTL^{high} cells to be found. Scale bar, 100μm. Image is representative of *n*=3. (B) Quantification of Cdh6^{high} and Cdh6^{low} cells in the *Hnf4a* mutant and control kidney. *P-value < 0.01, determined by *t* test.

Figure 4. Cdh6 lineage tracing shows that Cdh6+ cells are PT progenitor cells. Lineage labeling of Cdh6+ cells with Ai3 after tamoxifen injection into pregnant dams at E14.5 or E16.5. All Ai3+ cells are Hnf4a+ and most are also LTL+ (yellow arrowheads) at E18.5. Images are representative of n=3. Scale bar, 100µm.

Figure 5. Cdh6high PT progenitor cells have a higher proliferation rate than Cdh6low mature PT cells. (A) Representative immunostains for Ki67, Cdh6, and Hnf4a in the P0 kidney *(n=4)*. Cdh6high (orange arrowheads); Cdh6low (yellow arrowheads). Scale bar, 50μm. (B) Quantification of Ki67 positive cells. *P-value < 0.01, determined by *t* test.

Figure 6. Genome-wide mapping of Hnf4a binding sites in the newborn mouse kidney (A) Analysis of known motifs from Hnf4a ChIP-seq. (B) Bar graph showing the percentage of region–gene associations according to genomic regions' distance to TSS computed by Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT) (http://bejerano.stanford.edu/great/public/html/). (C) Pie chart representing the distribution of Hnf4a peaks within the annotated genome. (D) GREAT MGI Expression annotations of Hnf4a peaks showing top six enriched terms. (E) Genome browser view of Hnf4a ChIP-seq peaks near TSS of PT genes.

Figure 7. Intersection of Hnf4a ChIP-seq peaks with differentially expressed genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney identified direct target genes of Hnf4a. (A) Differential expression analysis in the *Hnf4a* mutant versus the *Hnf4a* control kidney at P0. Red and blue points in the volcano plot mark genes with significantly decreased or increased expression, respectively, in the *Hnf4a* mutant. Vertical dash lines (x-axis) mark $\log_2(1.5)$. Horizontal dash line (y-axis) marks $-\log_{10}(0.05)$ (B) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of significantly downregulated genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney showing top six enriched terms. (C) GO analysis of significantly upregulated genes in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney showing top five enriched terms. (D) Venn diagram shows the overlap of genes associated with Hnf4a binding sites and differentially expressed genes in the Hnf4a mutant kidney. (E) GO analysis of Hnf4a-bound, downregulated genes showing top six enriched terms. (F) GO analysis of Hnf4a-bound, upregulated genes showing top six enriched terms.

Table 1. Hnf4a target genes that were downregulated in the *Hnf4a* mutant kidney

A. Genes associated with transmembrane transport

Gene symbol	Gene name
Sfxn1	sideroflexin 1
Slc13a1	solute carrier family 13 (sodium/sulfate symporters), member 1
Slc16a4	solute carrier family 16 (monocarboxylic acid transporters), member 4
Slc16a9	solute carrier family 16 (monocarboxylic acid transporters), member 9
Slc2a2	solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 2
Slc2a5	solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 5
Slc22a6	solute carrier family 22 (organic anion transporter), member 6
Slc22a8	solute carrier family 22 (organic anion transporter), member 8
Slc22a12	solute carrier family 22 (organic anion/cation transporter), member 12
Slc22a1	solute carrier family 22 (organic cation transporter), member 1
Slc22a13	solute carrier family 22 (organic cation transporter), member 13
Slc22a2	solute carrier family 22 (organic cation transporter), member 2
Slc23a1	solute carrier family 23 (nucleobase transporters), member 1
Slc47a1	solute carrier family 47, member 1
Slc5a8	solute carrier family 5 (iodide transporter), member 8
Slc5a1	solute carrier family 5 (sodium/glucose cotransporter), member 1
Slc5a12	solute carrier family 5 (sodium/glucose cotransporter), member 12

B. Genes associated with lipid and fatty acid metabolism

Gene symbol	Gene name
Hmgcs2	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 2
Gm2a	GM2 ganglioside activator protein
Acaa1b	acetyl-Coenzyme A acyltransferase 1B
Acsm1	acyl-CoA synthetase medium-chain family member 1
Dgat2	diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2
Elovl2	elongation of very long chain fatty acids -like 2
Ehhadh	enoyl-Coenzyme A, hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl Coenzyme A dehydrogenase
Fads3	fatty acid desaturase 3
Hsd17b2	hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 2
Nceh1	neutral cholesterol ester hydrolase 1
Pck1	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1, cytosolic
Pcx	pyruvate carboxylase
Slc27a2	solute carrier family 27 (fatty acid transporter), member 2
Amacr	alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemase
Cryl1	crystallin, lambda 1