Modeling microbial cross-feeding at intermediate scale portrays community dynamics and species coexistence

Chen Liao[1], Tong Wang[2,3], Sergei Maslov[3,4], Joao B. Xavier[1,*]

[1]Program for Computational and Systems Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, United States of America, [2]Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, USA, [3]Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, USA, [4]Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL 61801, USA

[*]To whom correspondence may be addressed: J. Xavier (Email: XavierJ@mskcc.org)

Keywords:

cross-feeding; coarse-grained modeling; microbial community; ecological relationship; species coexistence; population dynamics

Abstract

Social interaction between microbes can be described at many levels of details: from the biochemistry of cell-cell interactions to the ecological dynamics of populations. Choosing an appropriate level to model microbial communities without losing generality remains a challenge. Here we show that modeling cross-feeding interactions at an intermediate level between genome-scale metabolic models of individual species and consumer-resource models of ecosystems is suitable to experimental data. We applied our modeling framework to three published examples of multi-strain *Escherichia coli* communities with increasing complexity: uni-, bi-, and multi-directional cross-feeding of either substitutable metabolic byproducts or essential nutrients. The intermediate-scale model accurately fit empirical data and quantified metabolic exchange rates that are hard to measure experimentally, even for a complex community of 14 amino acid auxotrophies. By studying the conditions of species coexistence, the ecological outcomes of cross-feeding interactions, and each community's robustness to perturbations, we extracted new quantitative insights from these three published experimental datasets. Our analysis provides a foundation to quantify cross-feeding interactions from experimental data, and highlights the importance of metabolic exchanges in the dynamics and stability of microbial communities.

Author summary

The behavior of microbial communities such as the human microbiome is hard to predict by its species composition alone. Our efforts to engineer microbiomes—for example to improve human health—would benefit from mathematical models that accurately describe how microbes exchange metabolites with each other and how their environment shapes these exchanges. But what is an appropriate level of details for those models? We propose an intermediate level to model metabolic exchanges between microbes. We show that these models can accurately describe population dynamics in three laboratory communities and predicts their stability in response to perturbations such as changes in the nutrients available in the medium that they grow on. Our work suggests that a highly detailed metabolic network model is unnecessary for extracting ecological insights from experimental data and improves mathematical models so that one day we may be able to predict the behavior of real-world communities such as the human microbiome.

Introduction

Most microorganisms that affect the environments we live in[1] and that impact our health[2] do not live in isolation: they live in complex communities where they interact with other strains and species. The past decade has seen a surge of scientific interest in microbial communities, such as the human microbiome, but most studies remain limited to cataloguing community composition[3]. Our mechanistic understanding of how biochemical processes occurring inside individual microbial cells command interaction between cells, and lead to the emergent properties of multi-species communities remains limited[4].

Microorganisms consume, transform and secrete many kinds of chemicals, including nutrients, metabolic wastes, extracellular enzymes, antibiotics and cell-cell signaling molecules such as quorum sensing autoinducers[5–8]. The chemicals produced by one microbe can impact the behaviors of others by promoting or inhibiting their growth[9], creating multi-directional feedbacks that can benefit or harm the partners involved[10,11].

If a community is well-characterized and given sufficient data on population dynamics, it should be possible to parameterize the processes involved in microbe-microbe interactions by fitting mathematical models[12]. Any model can potentially yield insights[13], but the complexity of most models so far has been either too high for parameterization[14], or too low to shed light on cellular mechanisms[15]. Microbial processes may be modelled across a range of details: At the low end of the spectrum we have population dynamic models such as generalized Lotka-Volterra (gLV)[16] and Consumer-Resource (C-R) models[17], which treat each organism as a 'black-box'. For example, C-R models assume a linear or Monod dependence of microbial growth on resource uptake kinetics. At the high end of the spectrum, we have detailed single-cell models such as dynamic flux balance analysis (dFBA)[18] and agent-based models[19] that have too many parameters

to be parameterizable by experimental data. For example, the linear equations for fluxes obtained

from quasi-steady-state assumption of dFBA are underdetermined. What is an appropriate level of

detail to model and constrain microbial processes using data, to produce accurate predictions as

well as new mechanistic insights?

Here we propose a generalizable framework that couples classical ecological models of

population and resource dynamics with coarse-grained intra-species metabolic networks. We show

that modeling communities at this intermediate scale can accurately quantify metabolic processes

from population dynamics data acquired in the laboratory. We demonstrate the approach on three

evolved/engineered communities of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strains with increasing levels of

complexity: (1) unilateral acetate-mediated cross-feeding[20], (2) bilateral amino-acid-mediated

cross-feeding between leucine and lysine auxotrophies[21], and (3) multilateral amino-acid-mediated

cross-feeding between 14 distinct amino acid autotrophies[22]. The parameterized models report

inferred leakage fractions of metabolic byproducts that are difficult to measure directly by

experiments, reveal how resource supply and partitioning alter the coexistence and ecological

relationships between cross-feeders, and predict the limits of community robustness against

external perturbations.

Results

Modeling microbial metabolic processes at an intermediate level. Inspired by the classical

MacArthur's CR models[23] and many follow-ups[17,24–26], we propose to integrate CR models with a

coarse-grained yet mechanistic description of cell metabolism. Metabolic reactions can be broadly

classified as catabolic and anabolic, where catabolic reactions break down complex substrates from

culture media into smaller metabolic intermediates that can be used to build up biomass

components by anabolic reactions. A minimal representation of cell metabolism is a three-layer

network composed of growth substrates at the top, building block metabolites in the middle, and

biomass at the bottom (Fig. 1). The growth substrates can be either substitutable (e.g., glucose and

acetate) or non-substitutable (e.g., glucose and ammonium); however, in our model we consider

only the non-substitutable building blocks for cell growth. In fact, substitutable metabolites can be

mathematically lumped into complementary functional groups that together make a non-

substitutable group when coarse-graining metabolic network. Despite its simplicity, this model is

flexible enough to describe the transformation of resources into other resources, non-consumable

chemicals and biomass, regardless of the specific reactions involved.

Based on these assumptions, we developed a dynamic modeling framework that contains

eight kinds of biochemical reactions describing resource uptake, transformation, secretion,

utilization, and degradation (Fig. 1, Supplementary Texts 1.1). Briefly, substrates available in the

growth media can be imported into cells. A certain fraction of the imported substrates is then

broken down into building block metabolites, which can be released back to the surrounding

environment, used by cells for biomass production, consumed by other non-growth processes, and

degraded. Secretable metabolites, when released, can be imported by cells in a way similar to

externally supplied substrates, except that their uptake may be inhibited by other substitutable

substrates that are assumed to be preferentially used (e.g., catabolite repression). The dynamics of

population size change is affected by two elements: population growth and cell death, where the

former may depend on both building blocks and substrates. Here the substrate dependency lumps

the growth effects from metabolites that are not explicitly modeled, which can substantially reduce

model size by defining and choosing model variables for only metabolites known to mediate

interpopulation interactions. To model the effects of toxic compounds[27] we allow the growth rate

of any cell population can be inhibited by accumulation of toxic metabolites in the environment.

The eight types of reactions can be translated to differential equations. We assumed quasi-

steady-state for intracellular substrates and metabolites, as metabolic reactions typically occur at

faster time scales compared to ecological dynamics. The time-scale separation thus simplifies our

model by excluding intracellular variables, leaving only three types of variables that describe the

population density of active cells (N_l, $l = 1,2,,\cdots,n_c$), the extracellular concentrations of

substrates ($[S_i]$, $i = 1,2,\cdots,n_s$), and the concentrations of metabolic byproducts excreted by cells

($[M_j]$, $j = 1,2,\cdots,n_m$). Assuming a chemostat environment with dilution rate D (which reduces

to a batch culture when $D = 0$), the differential equations associated with the three state variables

are given below (Supplementary Equations (9)-(11))

$$\frac{d[S_i]}{dt} = D(S_{0,i} - [S_i]) - \sum_{l=1}^{n_c} J_{l,i}^{upt,S} N_l \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dN_l}{dt} = N_l(J_l^{grow} - J_l^{death} - D) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d[M_j]}{dt} = D(M_{0,j} - [M_j]) + \sum_{l=1}^{n_c}(J_{l,j}^{leak,M} - J_{l,j}^{upt,M})N_l \tag{3}$$

where $S_{0,i}$ and $M_{0,j}$ are the feed medium concentrations of substrate S_i and metabolite M_j

respectively. $J_{l,i}^{upt,S}$ and $J_{l,j}^{upt,M}$ represent uptake fluxes of substrates and metabolites respectively,

$J_{l,j}^{leak,M}$ are metabolite secretion fluxes, and J_l^{grow} and J_l^{death} stand for per-capita growth and death

rates respectively. We used Monod kinetics for resource uptake ($J_{l,i}^{upt,S}$ and $J_{l,j}^{upt,M}$; Supplementary

Equation (16) and (17)), derived mathematical expressions for metabolite leakage ($J_{l,j}^{leak,M}$;

Supplementary Equation (18) and (19)) and biomass production (J_l^{grow}; Supplementary Equation

(20)) using the Liebig's Law of the Minimum[28] (growth rate is proportional to the flux of the

scarcest resource), and modelled cell death using first-order kinetics with constant specific

mortality rate (J_l^{death}; Supplementary Equation (23)). The functional forms of these kinetic laws

and other details of model derivation are described in Supplementary Texts 1.1.

Example 1: unilateral acetate-mediated cross-feeding. We first applied our modeling

framework to a well-documented unilateral acetate-mediated cross-feeding polymorphism evolved

from a single ancestral lineage of *E. coli* in laboratory conditions[20] (Supplementary Texts 1.2.1).

The community contains two polymorphic subpopulations (*E. coli* subspecies) whose metabolism

differs in their quantitative ability to uptake and efflux carbon sources: a glucose specialist strain

(CV103) which has a faster glucose uptake rate but cannot grow on acetate, and an acetate

specialist strain (CV101) which can grow on acetate but has a lower glucose uptake rate. CV103

secretes acetate—a major by-product of its aerobic metabolism—and this way creates a new

ecological niche for CV101. For simplicity, we assumed that glucose and acetate are fully

substitutable resources since *E. coli* cells can grow on either carbon source with similar yields

(Supplementary Texts 1.2.2). Compared to its complete form (Supplementary Fig. 1), the

simplified model diverts all glucose flux to acetate that acts as the only growth limiting factor (Fig.

2A). Using parameters estimated by manual fitting (Materials and methods, Supplementary Table

1), we show that the model accurately reproduced the observed changes in growth and acetate

concentration in both monoculture and coculture experiments over time (Fig. 2B-E). Particularly,

Fig. 2D shows that acetate is toxic to both strains and CV101 is more susceptible. Although Fig.

2E shows coexistence of CV101 and CV103 within 40 generations, our model predicts that CV103

would be eventually excluded from the community in the long run (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The simplified model has 11 parameters, including 8 free parameters, 2 parameters fixed

at literature values, and 1 biological constant (Supplementary Table 1). To assess parameter

uncertainty, we sampled posterior distribution of all free parameters using Markov-Chain-Monte-

Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (Material and methods), finding that their medians coincide well with

the default values obtained by manual fitting and used in the simulations (Supplementary Fig. 3,

Supplementary Table 1). Compared to other free parameters, $C_{1,g}$ (half maximum inhibitory

concentration of glucose for acetate uptake by CV101) and $I_{3,a}$ (half maximum inhibitory

concentration of acetate for CV103 growth) have much wider distributions, suggesting the dataset

(Fig. 2B-E) used to constrain the model is relatively insensitive to changes in their values. We did

not find strong correlations among parameters, except for the maximum glucose uptake rate of

CV101 and CV103 ($V_{1,g}$ and $V_{3,g}$ respectively), which has a Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC)

99.6%. Particularly, the distribution of the acetate leakage fraction has a median 36.7% with

interquartile range from 29.8% to 44.6%, which is consistent with the manually optimized value

33.0%. This value suggests that both cell types have nearly equal carbon flux values between

acetate secretion and glucose uptake, a quantitative relationship that has been observed in a

different *E. coli* strain[29]. The high efflux of acetate may be a consequence of adaptive co-evolution

and accumulation of degenerative mutations[20].

Our model indicated that the competition outcome depends on the acetate level in the feed

medium (Fig. 2E): CV103 dominates the community without acetate supplementation while

CV101 dominates when 1 mM acetate was supplemented. Fig. 2F outlines the region in the

nutritional space when CV101 grows faster than (gray shading) and equal to (shading boundary)

CV103. The region has a bell shape with the maximum at 0.81 mM glucose and is almost

symmetric around 1 mM acetate. The dose-dependent growth effects can be explained by the

conflicting role of acetate which is both a source of carbon and a toxic waste. Acetate at low

concentration serves as nutrient for CV101 and increases its growth rate. However, too much

acetate is toxic and has stronger inhibitory effects on the growth of CV101 compared to CV103

(Fig. 2D). The growth advantage of CV101 conferred by an intermediate level of acetate can be

negated at high glucose level (> 0.81 mM) due to strong carbon catabolite repression resulting in

reduced assimilation of acetate by CV101.

Coexistence of CV101 and CV103. Coexistence of CV101 and CV103 requires that the growth

rate of both strains is equal to the dilution rate. The nutritional space has two solutions (Fig. 2F,

gray circles) that satisfy the criteria at dilution rate of 0.2 h^{-1} (the value used in the experiment[20]).

We then constructed a phase diagram (Fig. 2G) that spans a wide range of acetate leakage fraction

and the feed medium glucose concentration via simulations. Since acetate is not supplemented,

increasing glucose supplementation induces higher release of acetate to the environment. The

entire phase space is divided into five distinct regions with four outcomes, including population

collapse, extinction of CV103 (CV101 wins), extinction of CV101 (CV103 wins) and stable

coexistence. In general, CV103 wins when the supplementation level of glucose is either very low

(acetate level is too low to compensate for the growth disadvantage of CV101 due to slower

glucose uptake) or very high (acetate level is too high to be toxic and strongly inhibits CV101).

Stable coexistence can be maintained within a narrow range of acetate leakage fraction. We show

that the coexistence region is robust to changes in the two most uncertain parameters determined

by MCMC (Supplementary Fig. 4). Note that the narrow coexistence regime does not necessarily

conflict with the observed transient coexistence in Fig. 2E because the theoretical phase diagram

was constructed at steady state when time goes to infinity.

Using Chesson's coexistence theory[30], the boundaries of the coexistence region can be

interpreted as the conditions when the fitness (growth rate) difference between CV101 and CV103

is exactly balanced by the stabilizing effects of their niche differences (differential use of carbon

sources; in general, it is a collective name for all mechanisms that lower interspecific competition

relative to intraspecific competition). When acetate is not leaked (i.e., the acetate leakage fraction

is 0), there is no niche difference (the only available carbon source is glucose) and the fitness

difference is determined by the basal growth advantage of CV103 due to faster glucose uptake rate.

Increasing leakage fraction of acetate leads to higher niche difference since acetate accumulation

in the culture allows CV101 to utilize acetate as alternative carbon source and effectively reduces

inter-population competition with CV103 for glucose. Meanwhile, increased acetate leakage also

causes CV101 to grow faster, first reducing the fitness difference between the two strains to 0 (by

overcoming its basal growth disadvantage) and increasing the difference afterwards. As the acetate

leakage fraction increases, the lines of niche and fitness difference can possibly have two

intersection points (Supplementary Fig. 5), between which CV101 and CV103 coexist stably

because their fitness difference is smaller than their niche difference.

Example 2: bilateral amino-acid-mediated cross-feeding. The second community is

characterized by a synthetic cross-feeding mutualism between lysine and leucine auxotrophies of

E. coli[21] (Supplementary Texts 1.3.1). The two mutants differ only by single gene deletions in the

lysine ($\Delta lysA$) and leucine ($\Delta leuA$) biosynthesis pathways. Neither mutant can grow in

monoculture, but their coculture can survive by exporting essential amino acids that are needed by

their partners to the extracellular environment and developing a bidirectional, obligate relationship.

For simplicity, we assumed (1) leucine or lysine does not limit growth of the strain that synthesizes

it *de novo* (i.e., its producing strain); (2) environment leucine or lysine is not assimilated by its

producing auxotrophic strain (Supplementary Texts 1.3.2). Using MCMC algorithm to estimate

parameter values of the model that does not take these assumptions (Supplementary Fig. 6), we

justified the second assumption by showing that the amino acid uptake rates by their producing

strains are 1-2 orders of magnitude lower than the rates by their non-producing strains

(Supplementary Fig. 7), suggesting that the majority of amino acids in the environment are

assimilated by their auxotrophies. However, it is important to note that the assumption is specific

to nutrient auxotrophies and may not be generalized to non-auxotrophic, wild-type cells. For

example, wild-type *E. coli* cells that are able to synthesize all amino acids *de novo* still grow faster

when supplemented with additional amino acids. Using parameters obtained through manual

fitting (Materials and methods, Supplementary Table 2), we show that the simplified model (Fig.

3A) remains effective for quantitatively reproducing the growth and nutrient dynamics in both

monoculture and coculture conditions (Fig. 3B,C).

The simplified model has 15 parameters, including 9 free parameters, 4 parameters fixed

at literature values, and 2 biological constants (Supplementary Table 2). MCMC simulations

confirmed that the posterior median of the free parameters and their values obtained from manual

fitting are close to each other (Supplementary Fig. 8, Supplementary Table 2), except that we

underestimated the mortality rate constant of the leucine auxotroph ($\eta_{\Delta l}$). Relative to other free

parameters, the distributions of K_g (half-maximal concentration for glucose uptake), $\eta_{\Delta k}$

(mortality rate constant of the lysine auxotroph), and $\eta_{\Delta l}$ are much wider and span orders of

magnitudes, suggesting that they are loosely constrained by experimental data. In addition, strong

negative correlations between the maximum uptake rate and yield of the two amino acids (PCC =

-86.9% and -65.5% for leucine and lysine respectively) were found. Finally, the engineered

interaction between the lysine and leucine auxotrophies is much weaker with only 0.66%

(interquartile range [0.52%, 0.85%]) leucine and 1.13% (interquartile range [0.91%, 1.41%])

lysine released back to the environment (their corresponding values obtained through manual

fitting are 0.32% and 1.39% respectively), compared to the evolved acetate-mediated cross-

feeding interaction (~30% acetate leakage) we studied in Example 1.

Coexistence of the lysine and leucine auxotrophies. We sought to explore when the two

auxotrophic strains coexist in chemostat. Fig. 3D shows the phase diagram at different

combinations of the lysine and leucine leakage fraction via simulations. We did not see competitive

exclusion, which is expected because the interdependence between the two strains is mutually

obligate. It is important to note from Fig. 3D that the minimum leakage fraction of leucine (5.50%)

and lysine (9.50%) required by coexistence at dilution rate 0.1 h^{-1} are far larger than the actual

secreted percentages that we fit from experimental data (posterior median 0.66% and 1.13% for

leucine and lysine leakage respectively), suggesting that the two engineered strains may not be

able to coexist in such condition (but they may coexist at lower dilution rate). Interestingly, the

bottom left boundary of the coexistence region describes a negative interaction between the

minimum of the two leakage fractions, suggesting that decreasing leakage of one amino acid must

be compensated by increasing leakage of the other in order to satisfy the minimum requirement of

coexistence.

Coexistence is possible in the majority of the phase space, suggesting that the community

is insensitive to the changes in the leakage rates. A striking feature of the diagram is that, increasing

the fraction of lysine leakage fraction may trigger a discontinuous, abrupt switch from a steady

state dominated by the leucine auxotroph (regime R1) to a steady state dominated by the lysine

auxotroph (regime R2). Such abrupt, discontinuous regime shifts are a common feature of

microbial communities limited by several essential nutrients[31]. What accompanies with the

compositional shift is the qualitative change in the nutrient utilization strategies adopted by the

two strains (Fig. 3E). Before the switch, growth of the lysine auxotroph is limited by shared

glucose while that of the leucine auxotroph is limited by leucine secreted by the lysine auxotroph.

When the lysine leakage fraction increases over the threshold of the shift, the lysine auxotroph is

limited by lysine secreted by the leucine auxotroph while the leucine auxotroph is limited by shared

glucose. Our results indicate that the cellular metabolic strategies that are needed to maintain stable

coexistence of the two amino acid auxotrophies vary in a discontinuous manner with continuous

changes in amino acid leakage fractions.

Supplementation of cross-fed metabolites can reverse the sign of microbial social interactions.

Cross-feeding interactions within a microbial community may be described as social interactions

with costs and benefits to the members involved[32,33]. Those costs and benefits can be altered by

environmental perturbations that supply or remove the cross-fed metabolites from the environment.

Using the bilateral amino-acid-mediated cross-feeding model, we investigated how the

supplementation of amino acids affected ecological relationships between cross-feeders at the

steady state (Material and methods).

The phase space that spans a wide range of the leucine and lysine concentrations in the

feed medium suggest four possible ecological relationships, including competition, amensalism,

mutualism and parasitism (Fig. 4A). Mutualism was maintained over a broad range of supplied

amino acid concentrations, even though amino acid supplementation releases the dependence of

one auxotroph on the other and is hence detrimental to the mutualistic relationship. In the

mutualism regime, glucose is in excess and both amino acid auxotrophies are limited by the

essential amino acids they cannot produce (Fig. 4B, left column). Further addition of amino acids

leads to compositional dominance of one auxotrophic strain, but not necessarily competitive

exclusion. Supplementation of leucine destabilizes the community by excluding the lysine

auxotroph (Fig. 4B, middle column), whereas adding lysine only reduced the relative abundance

of the leucine auxotroph, rather than leading to the loss of its entire population (Fig. 4B, right

column). These results suggest that adding cross-fed nutrients can induce competition between

community members that previously interacted mutualistically, and shift positive interactions to

negative interactions.

Why supplementation of lysine and leucine cause such asymmetrical long-term effects on

the community's composition and stability? We found that the outcome may be dependent on

whether one or both auxotrophies is limited by glucose. When glucose limits both auxotrophies

(Fig. 4B, middle column), competitive exclusion occurs and the leucine auxotroph wins because

it has the same glucose uptake kinetics as the lysine auxotroph but lower mortality rate

(Supplementary Table 2). When only the lysine auxotroph is limited by glucose (Fig. 4B, right

column), the leucine auxotroph can sustain its population by growing on leucine released by its

competitor. Whether coexistence of the two auxotrophies remains stable with increased level of

amino acids supplementation can also be understood from the conditions when the net growth rate

(growth rate minus mortality rate) of both populations equal to the dilution rate in the nutritional

space (Fig. 4C). Coexistence requires that the steady state leucine must be equal to 5.25×10^{-4}

mM, suggesting that supplementing too much leucine would devastate the ability of the system to

self-regulate and reach that level at steady state. By contrast, a solution with high lysine

concentration is always feasible, which explains why coexistence can be maintained at very high

level of lysine supplementation.

Example 3: multilateral cross-feeding between 14 amino acid auxotrophies. To further

demonstrate the utility of our modeling framework, we studied cross-feeding interactions within

communities of more than two members. We modeled a community of 14 amino acid auxotrophies

engineered from *E. coli* by genetic knockout[22] (Fig. 5A). The 14-auxotroph model was directly

extended from the 2-auxotroph model developed above by considering each auxotroph can

potentially release all other 13 amino acids to the shared environment (Supplementary Texts 1.4.1).

Although all feeding possibilities are known, the consumer feeding preferences are not. By fitting

experimental data on the population compositions we aimed to infer the unknown feeding

pattern—what amino acids and how much they are released by each auxotrophic strain to feed

each other.

The model has 269 parameters, including 219 free parameters, 36 parameters fixed at

literature values, and 14 biological constants. Parameter values were obtained through both

automatic (amino acid leakage fractions) and manual (the rest) data fitting (Material and methods,

Supplementary Table 3). We show that the fit gave an excellent match to the observed population

density fold changes in pairwise cocultures (Fig. 5B, PCC = 94%), except for cross-feeding pairs

whose fold change values are less than 1. The observed reduction in population density may be

caused by cell death in the absence of nutrients but it is difficult to know because the measurement

of optical density at low inoculation amount (10^7 cells/mL) is highly noisy. For simplicity, we

assumed no cell death and set mortality rates to zero in the simulation, which explains why the

simulated population density fold changes are always non-decreasing. To compare our model with

higher-level models that do not include explicit nutrients, we adopted a Lotka-Volterra (LV) type

model used in the literature[22,33], which guarantees that cross-feeding is obligate for growth

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = C_{1,2}x_2 \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1 + b}\right)\left(1 - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{k}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = C_{2,1}x_1 \left(\frac{x_2}{x_2 + b}\right)\left(1 - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{k}\right) \tag{5}$$

x_1 and x_2 are cell densities of any two amino acid auxotrophies, $C_{1,2}$ and $C_{2,1}$ are their cooperative

coefficients, b is a constant that tunes the saturation concentration of x_1 and x_2, and k is another

constant that represents carrying capacity. We show that the LV-type model can at best achieve a

PCC of 33%, using parameters optimized by MCMC algorithm (i.e., parameters from the MCMC

sample with the highest PCC). Although this LV-type model has a smaller number of parameters

than ours (198 vs. 269), the number of free parameters between the two models is of similar size

and comparable (198 vs. 205; note that 14 mortality rates in our model were set to zero).

Fig. 5C reports the estimated leakage fractions of 14 amino acids by their amino acid

auxotrophies in a matrix form. Although the 14 auxotrophies were derived from the same parent

strain, they showed very different profiles of amino acid leakage: some auxotrophies such as the

methionine auxotroph ΔM (36.41% total carbon loss) are highly cooperative whereas others such

as the tryptophan auxotroph ΔW (1.37% total carbon loss) have very low cooperativity. These

differences may be attributed to how metabolic network structure was disrupted to generate the

auxotrophies and the concomitant changes in metabolic fluxes. One such example is the strong

release (13.32%) of threonine by the methionine auxotroph. Since methionine and threonine

biosynthesis pathways branch off from the same precursor homoserine, block of one pathway may

lead to increased fluxes of another pathway and leakage of corresponding amino acids. However,

the leakage fraction of methionine by the threonine auxotroph is very low (0.1%), suggesting that

network topology is not the only factor that affects leakage flux. Since methionine is the most

expensive amino acid to produce in terms of ATP consumption[34], its biosynthesis and leakage rates

may be tightly regulated and only loosely depend on the level of its precursors.

The 14-member community converges to a stable coexisting subset at steady state. Besides

the pairwise coculture data (Fig. 5B), our model also reproduced the population dynamics of

serially diluted cocultures of all 14 auxotrophies and four selected 13-auxotroph combinations (Fig.

6A). The fit is reasonably good at the log scale, except for the methionine-auxotroph-absent

community which seems to undergo non-ecological processes that rescue the threonine auxotroph

(ΔT) from the brink of extinction between day 2 and day 3. Quantitatively, the PCCs between

observed and predicted values on the log scale are 88.71% (all 14 auxotrophies), 75.30% (lysine-

auxotroph-absent), 78.34% (arginine-auxotroph-absent), 52.93% (threonine-auxotroph-absent),

and 8.90% (methionine-auxotroph-absent).

As shown in Fig. 6A, most amino acid auxotrophies were diluted away very quickly but

some, such as the isoleucine auxotroph (ΔI), exhibited transient recovery dynamics after the initial

decay. To understand the transient dynamics, we used the same model to infer the concentration

dynamics of glucose and all amino acids, which are hidden states (not yet observed) that are

relatively costly and inaccurate to measure in experiments. Supplementary Fig. 9 shows that the

population density of the isoleucine auxotroph had an initial drop because the isoleucine pool had

not been accumulated to a critical size that allows the actual growth to compensate for its mortality

and system dilution. As the pool size increases, its net growth rate (growth minus mortality)

surpasses the dilution rate and recovers its population density, which eventually levels off when

the positive and negative effects are balanced.

By simulating the 14-auxotroph community model to steady state, we further predicted that

the initial 14-strain mixture converges to a stable coexisting subset that contains 4 amino acid

auxotrophies that are deficient in biosynthesis of isoleucine (ΔI), lysine (ΔK), methionine (ΔM),

and threonine (ΔT) (Fig. 6B). The predicted coexistence state was successfully validated by two

independent observations over 50-day serial dilution[22], a much longer period of time than the

duration of the training dataset (7-day serial dilution; Fig. 6A). The resource-consumer

relationships of the 4-member community are shown in a bipartite network (Fig. 7A), where 3

amino acid secretion fluxes were identified as essential (solid arrows) as their deletions resulted in

community member loss (Supplementary Fig. 10). These essential fluxes suggest that the primary

feeders for ΔK, ΔM, ΔT are ΔT, ΔI, ΔM respectively; however, none of ΔK, ΔM, ΔT dominates

the feeding of ΔI and their contributions to the isoleucine pool in the environment are substitutable.

Mutualistic cross-feeding network is prone to collapse after external perturbations. Using the

model developed above, we computationally tested how external perturbations, including nutrient

downshift, the addition of antibiotics, and invasion of cheating phenotypes (the same auxotrophic

dependence but no amino acid leakage) affect the stability of coexistence among the 4 auxotrophic

strains that would otherwise be stable (Material and methods). The 4-member community was able

to cope with these disturbances to a certain extent and remained integrated. Beyond the thresholds,

all three perturbation types resulted in community collapse as a result of domino effect (Fig. 7B-

D), implying that tightly coupled cooperative communities are fragile and prone to collapse. Since

antibiotics inhibit growth of individual strains (targeting consumer nodes in the bipartite network)

while cheaters are amino acid sinks (targeting resource nodes in the bipartite network), we

identified that ΔT and methionine as the weakest consumer node (Fig. 7C) and resource node (Fig.

7D) in the bipartite network respectively. Our results suggest that $\Delta T \rightarrow K$ (secretion of lysine by

the threonine auxotroph) and $M \rightarrow \Delta M$ (uptake of methionine by the methionine auxotroph)—the

outgoing links from the two weakest nodes that are also essential to maintain community

integrity—are the weakest metabolic fluxes that may set the resistance level of the community to

external perturbations[35].

Discussion

Predicting population dynamics of a microbial community from interactions between its

members is difficult because interaction happens across multiple scales of biological

organization[36]. Here we propose a mechanistic ecology model based on a coarse-grained

representation of cell metabolism that accurately describes the population dynamics of three

laboratory communities with well-defined metabolic exchanges. Previous studies have used

genome-scale models and metabolic flux analysis, but these studies require flux measurements by

isotope tracing and metabolomics to fit the adjustable flux parameters. Some success was also

achieved by fitting the time series data with coarser-grained ecological models[37–41] such as the

gLV equations; however, in gLV-type models, interspecific interactions are phenomenologically

defined based on density dependency, which gives little mechanistic understanding of the

underlying mechanism[15]. By contrast, our model has explicit formulations of context dependency

by representing the chemical flows within and between microbes and thus can explain the

metabolic part of microbe-microbe interactions.

When we have limited prior knowledge and data on a given community it becomes critical

to choose the right level of details. However, by applying our approach to well-defined laboratory

systems, we show that a highly detailed metabolic network is not necessary for developing useful

ecological models. In single-bacteria studies, coarse-grained metabolic models have been

employed to understand the design principles of metabolic networks and their regulation[42], as well

as to predict metabolic flux distributions useful for synthetic biology[43] and industrial[44] applications.

Compared to genome-scale models, using coarse-grained models linking ecology and metabolism

is simple and has recently become popular[26,45,46]. Depending on the research question, a coarse-

grained metabolic network can be created at any level of granularity from a single reaction to the

complete whole genome-scale reconstruction. The choice of granularity and how to derive a

simpler model from the more complex one are usually empirical but can be facilitated by more

systematic approaches to reduce dimensionality.

Our model could extract new insights from those previously published empirical data on

well-defined laboratory systems. The analysis shows that unidirectional cross-feeding is

equivalent to a commensalism and bidirectional cross-feeding is equivalent to a mutualism. As

shown by our study (Fig. 2-4) and previous work[27,32], the actual relationship between cross-feeders,

however, can be diverse in simple environments (e.g., glucose minimal medium) with constant

resource supply due to a combination of positive effects of cross-feeding with negative effects of

competition and toxicity of cross-fed metabolites, suggesting that the exact outcome cannot be

precisely delineated by the cross-feeding type alone. For example, we predicted that, without

supplementation of amino acids, coexistence of the leucine and lysine auxtrophies can only be

achieved when one strain is limited in growth by glucose while the other strain is limited by the

amino acid it is auxotrophic for (Fig. 3E). Although it is theoretically possible that growth of the

two auxotrophies is simultaneously limited by the amino acids they are auxotrophic for (i.e., the

lysine auxotroph limited by lysine and the leucine auxotroph limited by leucine), this interaction

pattern does not occur in the phase diagram because glucose will always be sufficiently depleted

to a level that becomes growth limiting to at least one strain. The control of resource pool

availability via population dynamics has been demonstrated to be a key mechanism for microbial

community to optimize the metabolic strategy of its members to yield resistance to invasions and

to achieve maximum biomass[46].

Mechanistic models including explicit nutrients and other realistic features, such as the

models presented in this study, can help identify knowledge gaps[47]. For example, recent

experiments have demonstrated that the coexistence of two carbon source specialists in the

unilateral cross-feeding example is mutualistic in the sense that the consortium is fitter than the

individuals[48]. The syntropy can be explained by a null expectation from theoretical ecology

models[49]: the glucose specialist provides acetate in an exchange for a service provided by the

acetate specialist which scavenges the acetate down to a level at which growth inhibition is

insignificant. Although the mechanism of resource-service exchange has been considered in our

model, the coexistence regime in the phase diagram (Fig. 2G) is competitive, rather than

mutualistic. Since mutualism occurs when the reciprocal benefits associated with cross-feeding

outweigh competitive costs[50], our model may predict either or both of lower benefits and higher

costs than needed to achieve mutualistic coexistence. Overall, the cost-benefit nature of the cross-

feeding interaction between polymorphic *E. coli* strains is more complex than thought and warrants

further research.

Our modeling framework explains well the three published experiments but has noteworthy

limitations. For example, we assume that the leakage flux is proportional to the conversion rate

from substrate to metabolite (proportionality assumption), rather than proportional to the internal

metabolite concentration. When does this assumption remain valid and how does it break down?

By leveraging our previous experiences in modeling *E. coli* growth and resource allocation[43,51],

we developed a coarse-grained single-strain model that explicitly assumes a linear dependency of

leakage rate on metabolite concentration (Supplementary Texts 1.5). We found that the

proportionality assumption remains valid for an internal metabolite when its concentration was

perturbed at the upstream, rather than the downstream of the metabolite (Supplementary Fig. 11).

This makes sense because the proportionality assumption couples metabolite leakage with

upstream biosynthesis but does not take feedback regulation from downstream reactions and

metabolites into accounts. When a perturbation is imposed from the downstream side, the

proportionality assumption can lead to undesired behavior such as high leakage flux at low

metabolite concentration. Although the assumption remains valid in the context of the current

study where resource availability is the only varying external condition, it may prevent us from

generalizing our modeling framework to different types of perturbations. Future studies may

correct this limitation by incorporating metabolite concentration and associated reaction kinetics.

So far, the current framework has been applied to well-characterized communities with

known chemicals and associated interactions which provided a ground through to assess our model.

Can the same approach be applied to infer community structure of complex microbiomes (e.g.,

human gut microbiome) where most of the metabolic exchanges involved in microbe-microbe

interactions are still unknown? Our model has the potential if some technical challenges can be

solved. First, direct modeling of a real-world microbiome with hundreds of species would be

hurdled by too many unknown model parameters. One way to solve this problem is to simply

ignore the rare species[38]. Another—arguably better—approach might be by grouping species

composition into functional guilds using unsupervised methods that infer those groups from the

data alone[52], or to use prior knowledge from genomics or taxonomy to create such functional

groups. Second, inferring chemical mediators within a community of interacting populations is a

nontrivial task. It can be facilitated by prior knowledge such as searching the literature or

leveraging systems biology tools such as community-level metabolic network reconstruction[53].

Finally, our model is nonlinear, so that an efficient and robust nonlinear regression approach for

parameter estimation is essential. For a model with similar size to the 14-auxotroph community

we analyzed here, non-linear optimization algorithms may fail to converge to a realistic set of

parameters and manual parameter selection is often the only feasible approach. Although we

primarily chose the manual method to calibrate our models in this proof-of-concept study, manual

fitting is a subjective and time-consuming process, requires an expert operator with prior

knowledge to choose physically and biologically realistic values, and perhaps more importantly,

is unable to infer correlations among parameters. These downsides of manual parameter fitting has,

at least for now, precluded it from being applied to large-scale microbial communities. On the

positive side, the process of trial-and-error was greatly improved by the speed at which the

intermediate-scale model runs simulations on a regular desktop computer. Beyond these technical

issues, the model itself can be extended in multiple ways such as incorporating mechanisms of

resource allocation[46]. Despite any present limitations, we anticipate that network inference using

mechanism-explicit models can open new avenues for microbiome research towards more

quantitative, mechanistic, and predictive science.

Materials and methods

512   **Cross-feeding models.** The modeling framework presented in this study was developed by

513   integrating a classical ecology model for population and nutrient dynamics with a coarse-grained

514   description of cell metabolism. Custom MATLAB R2018a (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA,

515   USA) codes were developed to perform computational simulations and analyses of all three cross-

516 feeding communities. Please refer to Supplementary Texts for a detailed description of the general

517 modeling framework and its applications to each cross-feeding community.

519 **Parameter estimation**. Our goal was to manually parameterize cross-feeding models directly

520 from experimental data, which are typically cell density and metabolite concentrations in the

521 culture. The manual process of parameter estimation began with initial values of parameters

522 selected to be either equal to their previously reported values or assumed to be of the same order

523 of magnitude based on the literature data. This was followed by the iterative evaluation of model

524 outputs and refinement until sufficient concordance between the model predictions and the

525 experimental data is achieved.

526   The only exception of parameters that were fit automatically are the amino acid leakage

527 fractions of the 14 amino acid auxotrophies. Under a few assumptions, our model can be simplified

528 and exactly solved for steady state population density in pairwise cocultures (Supplementary Texts

529 1.4.2). The values of these parameters were then estimated by minimizing the least square error

530 between observed and calculated fold changes of population density across all pairwise batch

531 cocultures. Once obtained, these values were fixed in the process of manually fitting the other

532 parameters of the model.

534 **Parameter sensitivity analysis.** To estimate parameter uncertainty and identify their potential

535 correlations, we used an adaptive MCMC (Markov-Chain-Monte-Carlo) method for sampling the

536 posterior distribution of parameters under constraints of experimental data. We obtained the

537 MATLAB code for this method from https://github.com/mjlaine/mcmcstat. Briefly, this method

538 constructs a sequence of random samples in the parameter space by the Metropolis-Hastings

algorithm: at each iteration, the algorithm randomly picks a candidate of the next sample (i.e.,

parameter set) based on the current sample value. The candidate is accepted with a probability

determined by the ratio of the likelihood of the new sample to that of the current sample and the

likelihood is given by a negative exponential function where the exponent is the prediction error

of our model using a given parameter set. Please refer to the original publication[54] for details of

the method.

We ran MCMC simulations for both 2-membebr communities with unilateral and bilateral

cross-feeding relationships. The posterior distribution of each parameter was estimated from

100,000 MCMC samples after a burn-in period of 10,000 samples. We assumed a Gaussian prior

with standard deviation 0.01. We used symmetric mean absolute percentage error as the cost

function that is minimized by the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm:

Unilateral cross-feeding: $\frac{1}{N_{data}}\left(0.1\sum_{i\in Fig.2\text{B,C}}\frac{|y_{obs,i}-y_{sim,,i}|}{|y_{obs,i}|+|y_{sim,,i}|}+\sum_{i\in Fig.2D,E}\frac{|y_{obs,i}-y_{sim,,i}|}{|y_{obs,i}|+|y_{sim,,i}|}\right)$

Bilateral cross-feeding: $\frac{1}{N_{data}}\sum_{i\in Fig.3B,C}\frac{|y_{obs,i}-y_{sim,,i}|}{|y_{obs,i}|+|y_{sim,,i}|}$

where $y_{obs,i}$ is the observed datum i, $y_{sim,i}$ is its simulated value, and N_{data} is the total number of

data points. Note that the data from different experiments have unequal weights in the unilateral

cross-feeding example.

Simulation of batch, continuous, and serially diluted culture. Deterministic trajectories and

their steady states in batch and chemostat conditions were simulated by solving the differential

equations from the beginning to the end. Simulations of serial dilution transfer were slightly

different in the aspect that the equations were only integrated within each day. The initial condition

at the beginning of a day was obtained by dividing all population densities and nutrient

concentrations at the end of the previous day by the dilution factor and resetting the feed medium

nutrient concentrations to their initial values at day 0.

Classification of interspecific ecological relationship. We simulated chemostat cocultures of the

lysine and leucine auxotrophies at increasing levels of amino acid supplementation in the feed

medium, and computed the net effect (+,0,-) of one population on the other by comparing to

monoculture simulation. The pairwise ecological relationship between the two populations can

then be determined by the signs of their reciprocal impacts[55]: (+,+): mutualism; (-,-): competition;

(+,0) and (0,+): commensalism; (-,0) and (0,-): amensalism; (+,-) or (-,+): parasitism; (0,0): no

effect.

Network perturbation. External perturbations were exerted upon the steady state of the 4-

auxotroph community. Nutrient downshift was simulated by decreasing the feed medium

concentration of glucose at the beginning of simulations. The effects of an antibiotic that inhibit

growth of the amino acid auxotroph i was simulated by multiplying the growth rate of the

auxotroph by an inhibitory term, i.e., $J_i^{grow} \rightarrow J_i^{grow}/(1 + [A]/K_i)$, where $[A]$ is the antibiotic

concentration and K_i is the inhibition constant. We assumed antibiotic concentration remains

constant and chose $K_i = 1\ \mu M$. The cheaters of each amino acid auxotroph were simulated by

turning off all amino acid leakages of the auxotroph. They were mixed with the resident

community in varying ratios at the beginning of simulations. For all three perturbation types, the

feed medium glucose concentration is 0.2 wt.% in the unperturbed condition and serial dilution

was run to steady state at 60 days.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Michael Mee, Dr. Harris Wang, and Dr. Jennifer Reed for provision and clarification of their experimental data. We also thank Dr. Jinyuan Yan for proofreading early drafts. This work was supported by NIH grants U01 AI124275 and R01 AI137269-01 to J.B.X. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data availability

The simulation data that support the conclusions of this study are available from the main text and Supplementary Information. The source codes for generating the figures of this study are available from https://github.com/liaochen1988/Source_code_for_cross_feeding_paper.

References

1. Kent, A. D. & Triplett, E. W. Microbial communities and their interactions in soil and rhizosphere ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **56,** 211–236 (2002).

2. Cho, I. & Blaser, M. J. The human microbiome: at the interface of health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13,** 260–270 (2012).

3. Li, H. Microbiome, Metagenomics, and High-Dimensional Compositional Data Analysis. *Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl.* **2,** 73–94 (2015).

4. Shank, E. A. Considering the lives of microbes in microbial communities. *mSystems* **3,** (2018).

5. Schmidt, R., Ulanova, D., Wick, L. Y., Bode, H. B. & Garbeva, P. Microbe-driven chemical ecology: past, present and future. *ISME J.* (2019).

6. Morris, J. J. Black Queen evolution: the role of leakiness in structuring microbial communities.

Trends Genet. **31,** 475–482 (2015).

7.   Basan, M. *et al.* Overflow metabolism in *Escherichia coli* results from efficient proteome

allocation. *Nature* **528,** 99–104 (2015).

8.   Paczia, N. *et al.* Extensive exometabolome analysis reveals extended overflow metabolism in

various microorganisms. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **11,** 122 (2012).

9.   Hao, T. *et al.* The Genome-Scale Integrated Networks in Microorganisms. *Front. Microbiol.*

9, 296 (2018).

10.   Morris, B. E. L., Henneberger, R., Huber, H. & Moissl-Eichinger, C. Microbial syntrophy:

interaction for the common good. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **37,** 384–406 (2013).

11.   Hibbing, M. E., Fuqua, C., Parsek, M. R. & Peterson, S. B. Bacterial competition: surviving

and thriving in the microbial jungle. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8,** 15–25 (2010).

12.   Hanemaaijer, M. *et al.* Systems modeling approaches for microbial community studies: from

metagenomics to inference of the community structure. *Front. Microbiol.* **6,** 213 (2015).

13.   Zomorrodi, A. R. & Segrè, D. Synthetic ecology of microbes: mathematical models and

applications. *J. Mol. Biol.* **428,** 837–861 (2016).

14.   Karr, J. R. *et al.* A whole-cell computational model predicts phenotype from genotype. *Cell*

150, 389–401 (2012).

15.   Momeni, B., Xie, L. & Shou, W. Lotka-Volterra pairwise modeling fails to capture diverse

pairwise microbial interactions. *Elife* **6,** (2017).

16.   Coyte, K. Z., Schluter, J. & Foster, K. R. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks,

competition, and stability. *Science* **350,** 663–666 (2015).

17.   Niehaus, L. *et al.* Microbial coexistence through chemical-mediated interactions. *Nat.*

Commun. **10,** 2052 (2019).

18. Mahadevan, R., Edwards, J. S. & Doyle, F. J. Dynamic flux balance analysis of diauxic growth
in *Escherichia coli*. *Biophys. J.* **83,** 1331–1340 (2002).

19. Nadell, C. D., Foster, K. R. & Xavier, J. B. Emergence of spatial structure in cell groups and
the evolution of cooperation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6,** e1000716 (2010).

20. Rosenzweig, R. F., Sharp, R. R., Treves, D. S. & Adams, J. Microbial evolution in a simple
unstructured environment: genetic differentiation in *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics* **137,** 903–917
(1994).

21. Zhang, X. & Reed, J. L. Adaptive evolution of synthetic cooperating communities improves
growth performance. *PLoS One* **9,** e108297 (2014).

22. Mee, M. T., Collins, J. J., Church, G. M. & Wang, H. H. Syntrophic exchange in synthetic
microbial communities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111,** E2149-56 (2014).

23. MacArthur, R. Species packing and competitive equilibrium for many species. *Theor Popul*
Biol **1,** 1–11 (1970).

24. Marsland, R. *et al.* Available energy fluxes drive a transition in the diversity, stability, and
functional structure of microbial communities. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **15,** e1006793 (2019).

25. Tilman, D. Resource competition and community structure. *Monogr Popul Biol* **17,** 1–296
(1982).

26. Brunner, J. D. & Chia, N. Metabolite-mediated modelling of microbial community dynamics
captures emergent behaviour more effectively than species-species modelling. *J. R. Soc.*
Interface **16,** 20190423 (2019).

27. LaSarre, B., McCully, A. L., Lennon, J. T. & McKinlay, J. B. Microbial mutualism dynamics
governed by dose-dependent toxicity of cross-fed nutrients. *ISME J.* **11,** 337–348 (2017).

28. Liebig, J. & Playfair, L. Chemistry in its application to agriculture and physiology. (1842).

29. Enjalbert, B., Millard, P., Dinclaux, M., Portais, J.-C. & Létisse, F. Acetate fluxes in
Escherichia coli are determined by the thermodynamic control of the Pta-AckA pathway. *Sci.*
Rep. **7,** 42135 (2017).

30. Barabás, G., D'Andrea, R. & Stump, S. M. Chesson's coexistence theory. *Ecol. Monogr.* **88,**
277–303 (2018).

31. Dubinkina, V., Fridman, Y., Pandey, P. P. & Maslov, S. Multistability and regime shifts in
microbial communities explained by competition for essential nutrients. *Elife* **8,** (2019).

32. Hammarlund, S. P., Chacón, J. M. & Harcombe, W. R. A shared limiting resource leads to
competitive exclusion in a cross-feeding system. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21,** 759–771 (2019).

33. Hoek, T. A. *et al.* Resource Availability Modulates the Cooperative and Competitive Nature
of a Microbial Cross-Feeding Mutualism. *PLoS Biol.* **14,** e1002540 (2016).

34. Kaleta, C., Schäuble, S., Rinas, U. & Schuster, S. Metabolic costs of amino acid and protein
production in *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnol. J.* **8,** 1105–1114 (2013).

35. Adamowicz, E. M., Flynn, J., Hunter, R. C. & Harcombe, W. R. Cross-feeding modulates
antibiotic tolerance in bacterial communities. *ISME J.* **12,** 2723–2735 (2018).

36. Widder, S. *et al.* Challenges in microbial ecology: building predictive understanding of
community function and dynamics. *ISME J.* **10,** 2557–2568 (2016).

37. Bucci, V. *et al.* MDSINE: Microbial Dynamical Systems INference Engine for microbiome
time-series analyses. *Genome Biol.* **17,** 121 (2016).

38. Stein, R. R. *et al.* Ecological modeling from time-series inference: insight into dynamics and
stability of intestinal microbiota. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **9,** e1003388 (2013).

39. Buffie, C. G. *et al.* Precision microbiome reconstitution restores bile acid mediated resistance
to *Clostridium difficile*. *Nature* **517,** 205–208 (2015).

40. Venturelli, O. S. *et al.* Deciphering microbial interactions in synthetic human gut microbiome

communities. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **14,** e8157 (2018).

41. Goldford, J. E. *et al.* Emergent simplicity in microbial community assembly. *Science* **361,**

469–474 (2018).

42. Kraft, B. *et al.* Nitrogen cycling. The environmental controls that govern the end product of

bacterial nitrate respiration. *Science* **345,** 676–679 (2014).

43. Liao, C., Blanchard, A. E. & Lu, T. An integrative circuit-host modelling framework for

predicting synthetic gene network behaviours. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2,** 1658–1666 (2017).

44. Liao, C. *et al.* Integrated, systems metabolic picture of acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation

by *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112,** 8505–8510 (2015).

45. Muscarella, M. E. & O'Dwyer, J. P. Species dynamics and interactions via metabolically

informed consumer-resource models. *BioRxiv* (2019). doi:10.1101/518449

46. Taillefumier, T., Posfai, A., Meir, Y. & Wingreen, N. S. Microbial consortia at steady supply.

Elife **6,** (2017).

47. Hanemaaijer, M., Olivier, B. G., Röling, W. F. M., Bruggeman, F. J. & Teusink, B. Model-

based quantification of metabolic interactions from dynamic microbial-community data. *PLoS*

One **12,** e0173183 (2017).

48. Yang, D.-D. *et al.* Fitness and productivity increase with ecotypic diversity among *E. coli*

evolved in a simple, constant environment. *BioRxiv* (2019). doi:10.1101/679969

49. Estrela, S., Trisos, C. H. & Brown, S. P. From metabolism to ecology: cross-feeding

interactions shape the balance between polymicrobial conflict and mutualism. *Am. Nat.* **180,**

566–576 (2012).

50. Wu, F. *et al.* A unifying framework for interpreting and predicting mutualistic systems. *Nat.*

Commun. **10,** 242 (2019).

51. Liao, C. Integrated modeling of bacterial metabolism. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at

Urbana-Champaign (2018).

52. Gibson, T. E. & Gerber, G. K. Robust and scalable models of microbiome dynamics. *arXiv*

preprint arXiv:1805.04591 (2018).

53. Levy, R. & Borenstein, E. Metabolic modeling of species interaction in the human

microbiome elucidates community-level assembly rules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110,**

12804–12809 (2013).

54. Haario, H., Laine, M., Mira, A. & Saksman, E. DRAM: Efficient adaptive MCMC. *Stat*

Comput **16,** 339–354 (2006).

55. Faust, K. & Raes, J. Microbial interactions: from networks to models. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*

10, 538–550 (2012).

56. Gudelj, I., Kinnersley, M., Rashkov, P., Schmidt, K. & Rosenzweig, F. Stability of Cross-

Feeding Polymorphisms in Microbial Communities. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **12,** e1005269 (2016).

Figure Legends:

Figure 1 | Schematic diagram illustrating our model and its potential applications in microbial ecology research. A distinguishing feature of our microbial community model is that each community member harbors a coarse-grained metabolic network. Briefly, the metabolic network transforms growth substrates (**S**) to non-substitutable building block metabolites (**M₁, M₂**) and then to biomass whose production rate is set by the supply flux of the most limiting resource among all substrates and metabolites. The intracellularly synthesized metabolites can be secreted to the environment and then utilized by the community as public goods. For simplicity, the network is visually illustrated using one substrate and two metabolites but it can be extended to any number of nutrients. Enabled by the simplified metabolic network, different community members can interact through a variety of mechanisms, including exploitative competitions for shared substrates, cooperative exchanges of nutritional metabolites, and direct inhibition by secreting toxic compounds. Using training data from batch, chemostat or serial dilution cultures, our model can be parameterized to infer microbial processes underlying the data and then used to explore ecological questions and generate testable predictions. Pointed arrows denote the material flow and blunt-end arrows represent growth inhibition.

Figure 2 | Unilateral acetate-mediated cross-feeding between evolved *E. coli* isolates. (A)

Schematic diagram of the model. The glucose specialist (CV103) and acetate specialist (CV101)

are two *E. coli* mutants with different metabolic strategies[20]: the glucose specialist has improved

glucose uptake kinetics while the acetate specialist is able to use acetate as an additional carbon

source. At high concentration, acetate inhibits growth of both strains and its uptake by the acetate

specialist strain is weakly repressed by glucose. Since glucose and acetate are substitutable, all

glucose is converted to acetate which serves as the sole limiting factor for cell growth. (B-E)

Manual model calibration. Circles: experimental data; lines: simulations. (B,C) 0.1% glucose-

limited batch monoculture without supplementing acetate[20]. (D) 0.0125% glucose-limited batch

monoculture supplemented with different concentrations of acetate[56]. (E) 0.00625% glucose-

limited chemostat (dilution rate: D=0.2 h^{-1}) coculture with (1 mM) and without acetate

supplementation[20]. The time for one generation is defined as log(2)/D. (F) Growth rate ratio of

CV101 to CV103 in the nutritional space. The gray shading indicates when CV101 grows faster

than CV103 and the gray circles mark when their growth rates are both equal to the dilution rate

0.2 h^{-1}. (G) The simulated steady-state phase diagram.

Figure 3 | Bilateral cross-feeding between engineered *E. coli* amino acid auxotrophies. (A) Schematic diagram of the model. The *E. coli* lysine auxotroph (ΔK) and leucine auxotroph (ΔL) compete for glucose while additionally acquiring essential amino acids from each other. Growth of each auxotroph is determined by the more limiting resource between glucose and the amino acid it needs to grow. (B,C) Manual model calibration. Circles: data; lines: simulation. (B) 2 g/L glucose-limited batch monoculture supplemented with 10 mg/L amino acids[21]. (C) 2 g/L glucose-limited batch coculture without amino acid supplementation[21]. (D) The simulated steady-state phase diagram. The feed medium glucose concentration is 10 mM. (E) The metabolic strategies

755   adopted by ΔK and ΔL in the coexistence regime. All chemostat simulations were run at dilution

756   rate of 0.1 h^{-1}.

Figure 4 | Impacts of amino acid supplementation on ecological relationships between two amino acid cross-feeders. (A) The simulated steady-state phase diagram for different levels of amino acid supplementation. (B) Representative system dynamic trajectories of specific phases in (A). ΔGR: the difference between growth rate when set by amino acid as the sole limiting factor and when set by glucose as the sole limiting factor (the minimum of the two determines the actual growth rate). A positive or negative value of ΔGR indicates that cell growth is limited by glucose or amino acid respectively. (C) The isosurface of equal net growth rate (growth rate minus mortality rate) between the lysine and leucine auxotrophies. The dashed lines (blue and green) indicate when their net growth rates are equal to 0.1 h^{-1} (the dilution rate used throughout the figure). Abbreviations: glucose (G); lysine (K); leucine (L); lysine auxotroph (ΔK); leucine auxotroph (ΔL).

Figure 5 | Modeling a consortium of 14 amino acid auxotrophies. (A) Schematic diagram of the model. Each labeled empty circle represents one amino acid auxotroph and each filled circle with the same color corresponds to the amino acid that it is auxotrophic for. Gray arrows indicate production and release of amino acids to the environment and black arrows indicate the uptake of amino acids by their auxotrophies. (B) Scatter plot (upper panel) and Pearson correlation (bottom panel) between observed[22] and predicted cell density fold changes across all pairwise batch coculture of 14 *E. coli* amino acid auxotrophies. Orange circles: our model with manually curated parameters; Blue circles: a Lotka-Volterra-type model with parameters adopted from Mee *et al.*[22]; Green circles: the same Lotka-Volterra-type model with parameters optimized by Markov-Chain-Monte-Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. (C) Predicted amino acid leakage profiles (converted to percentage of carbon loss) for the 14 amino acid auxotrophies. Each value in the matrix describes the fraction of carbon loss due to release of the amino acid in the row by the auxotroph in the

783   column. Abbreviations: cysteine auxotroph (ΔC), phenylalanine auxotroph (ΔF), glycine

784   auxotroph (ΔG), histidine auxotroph (ΔH), isoleucine auxotroph (ΔI), lysine auxotroph (ΔK),

785   leucine auxotroph (ΔL), methionine auxotroph (ΔM), proline auxotroph (ΔP), arginine auxotroph

786   (ΔR), serine auxotroph (ΔS), threonine auxotroph (ΔT), tryptophan auxotroph (ΔW), and tyrosine

787   auxotroph (ΔY).

Figure 6 | Prediction of the long-term steady state of the community of 14 amino acid auxotrophies. (A) Parameters other than the amino acid leakage fractions (obtained from fitting pairwise coculture data in Fig. 5) were manually optimized from the observed population density during a 7-day 100-fold serial dilution of one 14-auxotroph and four 13-auxotroph communities. Filled circles: experiments[22]; Lines: simulation. (B) Simulation of the trained 14-member model over 50 daily passages of the community into fresh medium. The observed long-term stable coexistence of a four-auxotroph subset (ΔI, ΔK, ΔM, ΔT) was correctly predicted. The two

796   replicates of experimental observations were adopted from Mee *et al.*[22]. See Fig. 5 legend for

797   abbreviations of the names of amino acid auxotrophies.

Figure 7 | Collapse of mutualistic cross-feeding network following external perturbations. (A) Bipartite interaction network of the subset of amino acid auxotrophies that stably coexist over long-term serial dilution (see also Fig. 6B). The network contains resource nodes (I, K, M, T for isoleucine, lysine, methionine, and threonine respectively) and consumer nodes (ΔI, ΔK, ΔM, ΔT are their corresponding auxotrophies). Each directed link indicates the presence of a resource-consumer relationship whose corresponding parameter value is not zero. An directed link is essential if its removal leads to loss of community members. (B-D) External perturbations,

807   including decreasing nutrient concentration (B), increasing antibiotic concentration (C), and

808   introducing noncooperative cheaters (D), result in an abrupt collapse of the community when the

809   perturbation level exceeds a certain threshold.