Reduced expression of PD-L1 and IDO1 characterises early response to antimonial therapy in cutaneous leishmaniasis patients.

Nidhi S. Dey[1‡], Sujai Senarathna[2‡], Vijani Somaratne[3], Nayani Madarasinghe[4], Bimalka Seneviratne[5], Luiza Campos Reis[6], Srija Moulik[7], Pegine Walrad[8], Mitali Chatterjee[7], Hiro Goto[6], Renu Wickremasinghe[2], Dimitris Lagos[1], Paul M. Kaye[1*] and Shalindra Ranasinghe[2*].

[1]York Biomedical Research Institute, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK.

[2]Department of Parasitology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka.

[3]Dermatology Unit, District General Hospital Hambantota, Sri Lanka

[4]Dermatology Unit, Teaching Hospital Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka.

[5]Deparment of Pathology, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

[6]Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.

[7]Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Kolkata, India.

[8]York Biomedical Research Institute, Dept. of Biology, University of York, UK.

‡ NSD and SS contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: paul.kaye@york.ac.uk; ishalindra@sjp.ac.lk

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is a disfiguring disease caused by infection with

Leishmania parasites and is characterised by parasitism of the dermis and chronic

inflammation. Whilst T cell responses to _Leishmania_ are essential for both parasite

clearance and disease resolution they also drive inflammation, and clinical presentation

reflects the balance of these opposing activities[1]. Pentavalent antimonials (e.g. sodium

stibogluconate; SSG) remain the first line drugs for CL, even though treatment may be

protracted and painful. Although evidence from animal models indicates that an

effective clinical response to antimonials requires immune-drug synergy[2], little is known

about how this operates in human disease. Here, we studied formalin fixed paraffin

embedded (FFPE) skin biopsies from patients in Sri Lanka with CL, at presentation

and during intra-lesional SSG treatment. Immune-targeted transcriptomics in a test

patient cohort indicated heightened immune checkpoint pathway expression at

presentation. We confirmed reduced PD-L1 and IDO1 protein expression on treatment

in a second validation cohort, using digital spatial profiling and quantitative

immunohistochemistry. PD-L1 and IDO1 expression on CD68$^+$ monocytes /

macrophages was positively correlated with the degree of intracellular parasitism, as

determined by parasite-specific RNA FISH. Our data support a model whereby the

initial anti-leishmanial activity of antimonial drugs alleviates checkpoint inhibition of T

cell immunity, thus facilitating immune-drug synergism and clinical cure. We suggest a

need to evaluate shorter course SSG treatment and/or the use of checkpoint inhibition

as an adjunct host directed therapy (HDT) in CL.

One billion people are thought to be at risk of leishmaniasis, a group of diseases caused by

infection with protozoan parasites of the genus _Leishmania_ and transmitted by phlebotomine

sand flies[3-5]. Approximately 600,000 – 1 million new cases of CL occur, with a broad global

distribution, often leading to stigma and reduced life chances and placing a burden on health

services[6,7]. Treatment options for CL have changed little in over 70 years, since pentavalent

antimonial drugs were first introduced, and there are scant new treatments on the horizon[8,9].

Sri Lanka is endemic for CL [10] with the first autochthonous case being reported in 1992[11].

Sri Lankan CL is caused by *Leishmania donovani* zymodeme MON-37[12-14], usually

associated with visceral leishmaniasis. Current treatment for CL in Sri Lanka involves

weekly intra-lesional or daily intra-muscular administration of SSG, with or without

cryotherapy, based on the site and size of the lesion and response to treatment. Cure often

takes many months, and some patients may fail to respond completely or withdraw from

treatment[15]. It is widely proposed that immune-drug synergy is required for fully effective

treatment in leishmaniasis and that host directed therapy (HDT) may have an important future

role in patient management [16,17,18], but few validated targets have emerged.

We reasoned that examination of the intra-lesional response early after the onset of therapy

might reveal potential mechanisms underpinning immune-drug synergy and / or targets for

HDT. We conducted a targeted transcriptomic analysis of the lesion site in a test cohort of 6

patients with typical homogeneous nodulo-ulcerative CL lesions (3 females, 3 males; mean

age ± standard deviation, 34.00 ± 11.05 years; (**Extended Data Fig. 1-3** and **Extended Data**

Table 1). Patients had 1-3 lesions with a mean time of diagnosis of 6.75 ± 5.8 months

(**Extended Data Table 1)** and received intra-lesional SSG weekly (0.5-2ml/cm^2). At

presentation, the amastigote density grading, based on slit skin smears (SSS) ranged from 0-6

(median =3) and was 0-3 after two weekly doses of SSG (median =2.5; **Extended Data**

Table 1). Based on amastigote detection in H&E stained biopsy sections, 5/6 patients

(**Extended Data Fig. 3**) showed improvement in amastigote grade[19] after two rounds of SSG.

One patient was lost to follow up (patient P1). 14.4 ± 4.15 doses of SSG were required to

reach clinical cure (defined as complete re-epithelialization and flattening of edges;

Extended Data Table 1) in the 5 patients followed to completion.

To define CL-associated immune gene expression signatures, RNA was extracted from FFPE

lesion biopsies at baseline and after 2 weeks of treatment (**Fig. 1a and Extended Data Table**

1) and analysed using a Nanostring PanCancer Immunology Panel 770 gene code set.   We

compared the transcriptomic profile pre- and on-treatment (**Methods and Extended Data**

Fig. 4) and identified 120 transcripts that were significantly differentially expressed (DE)

(adjusted p-value<0.01; 105 downregulated, 15 upregulated; **Fig. 1a-d** and **Extended Data**

Table 2). Amongst the top 20 down regulated DE transcripts STRING[20] identified cell

migration (FDR= 6.33E-11; including interferon inducible chemokines like *CXCL9,*

CXCL10, CXCL11, *CCL19, CCL8)*) and regulators of immune response (FDR=8.55E-10;

including *IDO1, LAG3* and *CD274/PD-L1*) as highly enriched pathways (**Fig. 1c**).

Surprisingly, common effector and regulatory cytokines associated with human CL[1],

including *IFN-γ*, *IL-10*, *TNF*, *IL-7*, *IL-6*, *IL-4*, *TGFB1,* were not significantly different at this

early time point after the start of treatment (**Extended Data Table 3**). Transcripts of

inflammatory mediators like *CXCL10*, *GZMB*, *CCL2* and *CCR7* (receptor for CCL19),

previously shown to be associated with other forms of murine[21-23] and/or human CL[24-26] were

also found to be downregulated with initiation of treatment.

We next conducted multiplexed antibody digital spatial profiling[27] for 59 immune targets,

selecting regions of interest (ROIs) based on CD3^{+} and/or CD68^{+} expression (**Fig. 2**). A total

of 33 ROIs were analysed from three patients (P4, P6 and P7) at presentation and on-

treatment (**Extended Data Fig. 5** and **Fig. 2a-f**), confirming that IDO1 and PD-L1 were

selectively reduced in expression upon treatment (**Fig. 2g-h, j**). Other immune checkpoints

(LAG3, CTLA4, OX40/TNFRSF4) and immune regulators (e.g. TGFB1, FOXP3) showed no

change on-treatment (**Fig 2g, i**).

Next, we sought to validate these findings by evaluating IDO1 and PD-L1 expression in an

independent validation cohort of 25 CL patients (5 females, 20 males; mean age ± standard

deviation, 44.12 ± 11.25 years; time to diagnosis 6.76 ± 8.2 months; **Extended Data Fig. 6**

and 7 and **Extended Data Table 4**). Using an accepted cut-off of >5% of cells being

positive[28], 24/25 of the patients expressed IDO1 (Histochemical (H)-score [29] median = 97.5;

range 0.40 - 168) and 23/25 of patients had a reduction in the abundance of IDO1$^+$ cells on

treatment (H-score median = 17.8; range 0.05 – 181; p<0.001; **Fig. 2k**). All patients were

PD-L1 positive at presentation (**Fig. 2l**; H-score median = 133.6; range 53.6-196.8) and 20/25

patients exhibited a reduction in the number of PD-L1 expressing cells on treatment (**Fig. 2l**;

H-score median = 78.1; range 3.5-145.3; p <0.0001). Collectively, these data indicate that

IDO1 and PD-L1 are highly expressed in the lesions of Sri Lankan CL patients and that

reduction in expression of these two checkpoint pathways represents an early response to

SSG.

Although *in vitro* studies had indicated that intracellular parasitism by *Leishmania* parasites

could affect the expression of PD-L1[30] and IDO1[31], this has not been validated in human

disease. To address this we combined IHC with RNA-FISH [32] for *Amastin* transcripts (as a

surrogate for viable amastigotes) and developed a StrataQuest image analysis pipeline

(**Extended Data Fig. 8a-f**). IDO1 was extensively co-localised with CD68$^+$ cells (**Fig. 3a**),

though CD68$^-$IDO1$^+$ cells were also observed (**Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 9a-b**).

Intracellular amastigotes were detected in both IDO1$^+$CD68$^+$ and IDO1$^-$CD68$^+$ cells (**Fig. 3a;**

Extended Data Fig. 9a). We binned the *Amastin*$^+$ IDO1$^+$ and *Amastin*$^-$ IDO1$^+$ cells based on

IDO1 mean fluorescent intensity (**Fig. 3b-d**; for gating strategy, see **Methods** and **Extended Data Fig. 8a-f**). In all three patients studied (that showed positive *Amastin* expression; **Methods**; **Extended Data Table 1**), we found that cells with abundant *Amastin* transcripts expressed more IDO1^{+} than those with fewer or no *Amastin* transcripts (**Fig. 3b-f** and **Extended Data Fig. 8g-l**).

Similarly, in 7 patients studied from a validation cohort that were *Amastin*^{+} at presentation (**Methods, Extended Data Table 5**), PD-L1 expression co-localised with CD68^{+} macrophages (**Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. 9c**) and parasitized cells were both PD-L1^{+} and PD-L1^{-} (**Fig. 4a**). Using a similar gating strategy (**Extended Data Fig. 10a-f**), we found that cells containing abundant *Amastin* transcripts expressed more PD-L1 than cells with less or no *Amastin* transcripts (**Fig. 4b-f, Extended Data Fig. 10g-l** and **Extended Data Fig. 11**).

These data show that, although a notable population of uninfected CD68^{+} cells contributes to PD-L1 and IDO-1 expression within the CL lesion, intracellular parasitism leads to heightened expression of checkpoint molecules by lesional monocytes and macrophages.

Both IDO1 and PD-L1 are important determinants of T cell activation and tolerance [33], with well-defined mechanisms of action [34, 35] and both IDO1 [36, 34] and PD-L1 [28, 37, 38, 39, 40,41] have well-established therapeutic pipelines. Furthermore, there is already strong pre-clinical evidence supporting both an inhibitory role of these checkpoint pathways in various forms of leishmaniasis and that checkpoint inhibition leads to accelerated cure [42,43, 44, 45]. The elevated expression of negative immune regulators on infected macrophages, as shown here, extends our understanding of how *Leishmania* parasites influence the function of their host cell[46], with clear parallels to tumour associated macrophages[47]. More importantly, our demonstration that a rapid reduction of expression of IDO1 and PD-L1 occurs early after the

onset of SSG treatment in human CL, has important clinical implications. First, it suggests a

model for drug-immune synergy, whereby early rounds of SSG treatment reduce intracellular

parasite burden and as a consequence there is reduced expression of the checkpoint molecules

IDO1 and PD-L1 and re-engagement of T cell effector function (**Extended Data Fig. 12**).

Importantly, this model suggests that continuation of SSG treatment until clinical cure is

achieved may be unnecessary. Second, adjunct HDT targeting these checkpoint pathways

may accelerate such changes in the lesional microenvironment and help minimise drug

dosage and treatment duration. With patients facing up to several months of intralesional

SSG treatment, there appears a compelling case to evaluate these hypotheses in future clinical

trials.

Methods

Patients and patient derived samples:

Ethics statement

The study was conducted in accords with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and

was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the Faculty of Medical Sciences,

University of Jayewardenepura (Ref: 780/13 & 52/17) and the Department of Biology,

University of York. Two study groups were included in the study consisting of 6 patients

(pilot study) and 25 patients (validation study) aged 18 to 62 years who were willing and had

given written informed consent, and who had fulfilled the entry criteria. Patients were

enrolled from the Dermatology Unit, Teaching Hospital, Anuradhapura (THA) (Pilot study),

and District Base Hospital Embilipitiya (validation study), Sri Lanka. Exclusion criteria were

patients with travel history to a leishmaniasis endemic country, immunosuppression, diabetes

mellitus, HIV, chronic fever with hepatosplenomegaly, infected CL lesions, iatrogenic

infections following sample collection or chemotherapy and children below the age of 18. All

patients were physically examined by a dermatologist at every visit to exclude or treat

infected CL lesions, iatrogenic infections following sample collection or drug therapy.

Test cohort

All participants except one (P1; not contactable) completed the treatment course. All patients

were assessed on basis of SSS/ histopathology and/or clinical evaluation. In case of poor

correlation between SSS and histopathology, judgement was based on clinical assessment, as

it has been previously shown to be >90% accurate for diagnosis[48]. P4 was included as it fit

the clinical criteria for CL despite having no discernible amastigotes present[49].

All patients received weekly (0.5-2ml/cm2) intra-lesional SSG (including at the time of

sample collection), while 3/6 of patients also received adjunct cryotherapy at a later stage of

treatment when they were not responding sufficiently to intralesional SSG.

Validation cohort

Lesions were either papular (n=2), nodular (n=3), plaque (n=6) or ulcerated (dry n=12; wet

n=2) with mean ulcerated area of 51.13 mm^2 (± SD, 81.1) and area of induration ranging up

to 8000 mm^2 (mean ± SD, 1430.2 ± 1966.9). In addition to clinical assessment, CL was

diagnosed by slit skin smears (SSS) (18/25) and PCR (25/25). Punch biopsies were taken

from these patients at baseline and after 4 weeks of treatment with weekly dose of 1 ml/cm^2

intralesional SSG. 24/25 patients cured within 6 months of the start of treatment.

Slit skin smears (SSS): Tissue scrapings from a 3mm superficial nick from the active edge of

the lesions were used to prepare smears on slides, stained with Giemsa and examined under

oil immersion microscopy for the presence of amastigotes. Parasite density was graded from

0 to 6+ according to WHO guidelines for VL[19]: 0—no parasites per 1000 high power fields

(HPF: x 1000 magnification); 1+: 1–10 parasites per 1000 HPFs; 2+: 1–10 parasites per 100

HPFs; 3+: 1–10 parasites per 10 HPFs; 4+: 1–10 parasites per HPF; 5+: 10–100 parasites per

HPF; and 6+: > 100 parasites per HPF.

Punch Biopsy: A 3mm diameter full thickness punch biopsy was taken from the edge of the

lesion under local anaesthesia, transported in formol saline and then fixed in paraffin blocks

and used for H&E and IF studies.

PCR:

From each lesion, another 2 mm diameter full thickness punch biopsy sample was taken from

the active edge, stored in RNA later at -20˚C and was subjected to DNA extraction using

QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit. A volume of 2μl of this extracted DNA was

amplified with 100 pmols of previously described[50] set of primers LITSR/L5.8S that

amplifies a 320 bp fragment of ITS1 region of Leishmania genus-specific DNA in the

presence of 1.5 mM MgCl2, 25 mM Tris-HCL (pH 9.0), 25 mM NaCl, 200 μM each

deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 50 units/ml Taq DNA polymerase (Promega) in a final volume

of 10 μL. The PCR amplification cycles consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 2

min, followed by 34 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, annealing at 53°C for 30 s, and

extension at 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72°C for 6 min[50,51]. Extracted DNA

from a pure culture was the positive control and no DNA sample was the negative control. A

volume of 5 μL from the PCR product was run at 100 V for 45 min on a 1.75% (w/v) wide

range agarose gel stained with 0.2 μg/mL ethidium bromide (EtBr) in 1X tris-acetate-EDTA

buffer. The image was visualised under UV light and captured by a computerised gel

documentation unit (Quantum ST5; Vilber Lourmat, Germany).

LITSR (forward): 5'-CTGGATCATTTTCCGATG-3' L 5.8S (reverse): 5'-

TGATACCACTTATCGCACTT-3'

RNA isolation

The total RNA was extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) patient samples

using RNeasy FFPE Kit (Qiagen) as per manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 2 10μm sections

were cut from each block and put into deparaffinization buffer (Qiagen). Sample lysis was

done with Proteinase K digestion for 15 minutes. After lysis, samples were incubated at 80ºC

for 15 minutes followed by 15 minutes of DNase treatment. Finally, concentrated RNA was

purified using RNeasy MinElute spin columns, and eluted in a volume of 14–30 μl.

NanoString nCounter assay

RNA quality and size of RNA fragments for nanostring assay was assessed using the Agilent

2100 Bioanalyser at Technology Facility, University of York. One-hundred nanograms of

RNA was used for the analysis using the nCounter PanCancer Immune Profiling Panel (XT-

CSOMIP1-12, NanoString Technologies[52]). Processing of samples and data collection were

done at Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool. Data analysis was performed

using the nSolver Advanced Analysis Software (NanoString Technologies) v2.0. Filtering of

samples using quality control criteria was performed and data was normalized by scaling with

the geometric mean of the built-in control gene probes for each sample according to the

manufacturer's recommendations.

Bioinformatics analysis of the NanoString nCounter assay

Log2-transformed normalised data was used to plot volcano plot of differentially expressed

genes in R using graphics package. Volcano Plot filtering (fold change ≥1.3, P<0.05) was

used to identify differentially expressed genes with statistical significance between the two

groups. Pair-wise correlation was calculated using Pearson's coefficients in R using stats

package to compare log2-transformed normalised data sets acquired from nsolver software

and then plotted in GraphPad. Student's t test was applied to compare normalized expression

values between groups. Physical and functional interactions between proteins were

determined using the STRING platform at a medium confidence score of 0.4.

Nanostring DSP

4μm thick sections were used for DSP analysis of 59 immune parameters (**Fig. 2g**) through

Nanostring Technology Access Program (TAP) on FFPE skin biopsies. Slides were stained

with CD3 and CD68 as morphological markers and with 62 oligo-nucleotide conjugated

antibodies on three patients P4, P6, P7 at presentation and on treatment. Amongst 12 ROIs

per sample, 11 ROIs were created and distributed uniformly on CD68+ macrophage and CD3

rich T cell areas and one was created outside the tissue on glass. Data were normalised to

geomean of area of all ROIs and to spike in controls. Glass values were deducted and data

distribution was normalised by taking log2 of the counts. Data was plotted from all 33 ROIs

on pre- and on-treatment sections and two-tailed unpaired Student's t test was performed to

calculate significance score**.**

IF and FISH

4 μm sections from FFPE blocks of skin biopsies were used for all analyses. Skin sections

were stained with H&E (Sigma Aldrich) and were imaged using Zeiss AxioScan.Z1 slide

scanner. For immunofluorescence and RNAScope FISH assay, paraffin was removed from

the formalin-fixed sections using Histo-clear followed by washes in alcohol and water.

Antigens were unmasked by mild boiling in RNAscope® Target Retrieval Reagent (15

minutes), peroxidase treatment followed by treatment with RNAscope® Protease Plus

Reagent for 30 minutes at 40^0C. Parasites were detected by FISH using a 13ZZ probe against

L.Infantum-amastin targeting 2-635 of LinJ.34.1010 (having 85% sequence homology with

LmjF.34.0500) for 2 hours at 40^0C and developed using manufacturer's protocol. For IF-

FISH dual staining, FISH was done first, followed by IF.

For IF, following a few washes with TBST (0.05% TBS Tween20), blocking was done with

5% (v/v) normal donkey serum, 5% (v/v) normal goat serum and 5% BSA (w/v) for 1 hr at

RT. Sections were then probed with, mouse anti-human CD3 (1:10, Abcam USA, ab17143),

rabbit anti-IDO1 (1:80, Cell Signaling Tech., D5J4E); rabbit anti-PD-L1 (1:150, Cell

Signaling Tech., E1L3N), rabbit anti-human CD68 (1:800, Abcam USA, ab213363), mouse

anti-human CD68 (1:100, Abcam USA, ab955), rabbit IgG isotype control (concentration

same as the primary, Abcam USA, ab172730, mouse IgG1 isotype control (BioLegend USA,

401401) overnight at 4^0C. Primary antibodies were detected by goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L)

cross-adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, and

A11001) and goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) highly cross-adsorbed secondary antibody, Alexa

Fluor 555 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, A21429). Sections were counterstained with

DAPI and mounted in Fluoroshield™ histology mounting medium.

Image acquisition and analysis.

Images were acquired using Zeiss AxioScan.Z1 slide scanner, Zeiss LSM 880 with Airyscan

on an Axio Observer.Z1 invert and Zeiss LSM 710 on an AxioImager. M2. Identical

exposure times and threshold settings were used for each channel on all sections of similar

experiments.

Quantification of IDO1, PD-L1, CD3 *Amastin*, and CD68 was performed using StrataQuest

Analysis Software (TissueGnostics). In brief, for IDO1, CD68 and PD-L1 cells, the software

segmented nuclei on the basis of the signal from the DAPI channel, then built and expanded a

mask over staining of IDO-1/ PD-L1. A cut off was applied on IDO-1/PDL-1 mean

intensities based on visual inspection of the tissue to delineate IDO1/ PD-L1 $^{+/-}$ cells. On the

IDO1 generated mask, algorithm searched for colocalization of a similar mask for CD68

signal for IDO1 CD68 double positive cells as well as IDO1$^+$CD68$^-$ cells.

A separate layer was created to extract the information of *Amastin* positive dots in all

patients. *Amastin*$^+$ dots were detected in 3/6 (test cohort) and 7/25 (validation cohort) of

patients (within the limits of detection in thin sections; 4μ). Number of *Amastin* dots in

IDO1$^+$ and PD-L1$^+$ cells were computed and plotted in histograms (**Extended data Fig. 8f**

and **Extended Data Fig. 10f**). A cut-off of one was applied to number of *Amastin* dots to

look at IDO1$^+$*Amastin*$^+$/ PD-L1$^+$*Amastin*$^+$ nuclei. More histograms were plotted for PD-L1

and IDO1 mean intensity but gated onto the right and left quadrant of histograms for *Amastin*

dots to get information of parasite positive and negative cells, respectively (**Fig. 3c-d, 4c-d,**

Extended Data Fig. 8f g-l, Extended Data Fig. 11). Gates were then generated on

histograms of IDO1 and PD-L1 mean intensities to look at low, medium and highly labelled

cells and were coloured as cyan, red and orange/green, respectively in separate scattergrams

for *Amastin*$^+$/*Amastin*$^-$ PD-L1 and *Amastin*$^+$/*Amastin*$^-$ IDO1 cells.

Histochemical score (H-score[29]) was used to assay expression levels of IDO1 and PD-L1. H-

score was calculated on the basis of the formula = 0 x (% of IDO1/PD-L1$^-$) + 1 X (% of

weakly labelled IDO1/PD-L1$^+$) + 2 X (% of moderately labelled IDO1/PD-L1$^+$) + 3 X (% of

strongly labelled cells). Positive expression of PD-L1 and IDO1 was defined by positive

staining in >5% of cells[28].

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism (version 8) using paired and unpaired

2-tailed Student's t test, as indicated in the legends. A P value less than 0.05 (*) was

considered significant.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Pushpa Ilanngasinghe, histopathologist at University of Sri

Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka, staff at BioSciences Technology Facility Imaging and

Cytometry Laboratory for assistance with Strataquest and confocal analysis, technical support

at Nanostring Technologies for DSP data acquisition, TissueGnostics for assistance with

Strataquest, Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool for processing samples

for Nanostring transcriptomics and all members of the laboratory for their useful comments

and suggestions.

This work was supported by funding from the UK Medical Research Council / UK Aid

Global Challenges Research Fund (MR/P024661/1 to PMK, SR, HG and MC) and Wellcome

Trust Senior Investigator Award (WT104726 to PMK). The funders had no role in the design

or conduct of the study of the decision to publish.

Author Contributions

NSD design, experimental data analysis writing ms

SS design, experimental, data analysis

VS experimental

NM experimental

BS experimental

LR experimental

SM experimental

PW, conceptualisation design, funding

MC, HG, conceptualisation, design, funding

RW conceptualisation, design of study

DL conceptualisation design, data analysis, funding

PMK[1] conceptualisation design, data analysis, funding, supervision

SR conceptualisation design, experimental, data analysis, funding, supervision

Competing Interests statement

Authors declare no conflict of interests.

Data availability statement

Source data for **Fig. 1a** is in **Extended Data Table 2. Extended Data Table 3** shows Log2

(fold change) and adjusted p-value of DE transcripts of common regulators/effectors of

human leishmaniasis analysed in this study. Rest of the data that support the findings of this

study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Digital Whole

Slide Image files will be made available at https://leishpathnet.org.

References

1. Scott, P. & Novais, F.O. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: immune responses in protection and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**, 581-592 (2016).
2. Murray, H.W., Oca, M.J., Granger, A.M. & Schreiber, R.D. Requirement for T cells and effect of lymphokines in successful chemotherapy for an intracellular infection. Experimental visceral leishmaniasis. *J Clin Invest* **83**, 1253-1257 (1989).
3. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis. (2019, March 14).
4. Alvar, J.*, et al.* Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. *PLoS One* **7**, e35671 (2012).
5. Drugs for Neglected Diseases initiative. About leishmaniasis. (2019,).
6. Alvar, J., Yactayo, S. & Bern, C. Leishmaniasis and poverty. *Trends Parasitol* **22**, 552-557 (2006).
7. Pires, M., Wright, B., Kaye, P.M., da Conceicao, V. & Churchill, R.C. The impact of leishmaniasis on mental health and psychosocial well-being: A systematic review. *PLoS One* **14**, e0223313 (2019).
8. de Menezes, J.P., Guedes, C.E., Petersen, A.L., Fraga, D.B. & Veras, P.S. Advances in Development of New Treatment for Leishmaniasis. *Biomed Res Int* **2015**, 815023 (2015).
9. Singh, K., Garg, G. & Ali, V. Current Therapeutics, Their Problems and Thiol Metabolism as Potential Drug Targets in Leishmaniasis. *Curr Drug Metab* **17**, 897-919 (2016).
10. World Health, O. Leishmaniasis country profile – priority countries. (2019).
11. Athukorale, D.N., Seneviratne, J.K., Ihalamulla, R.L. & Premaratne, U.N. Locally acquired cutaneous leishmaniasis in Sri Lanka. *J Trop Med Hyg* **95**, 432-433 (1992).
12. Karunaweera, N.D., Pratlong, F., Siriwardane, H.V., Ihalamulla, R.L. & Dedet, J.P. Sri Lankan cutaneous leishmaniasis is caused by Leishmania donovani zymodeme MON-37. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **97**, 380-381 (2003).
13. Ranasinghe, S.*, et al.* Leishmania donovani zymodeme MON-37 isolated from an autochthonous visceral leishmaniasis patient in Sri Lanka. *Pathog Glob Health* **106**, 421-424 (2012).
14. Zhang, W.W.*, et al.* Genetic analysis of Leishmania donovani tropism using a naturally attenuated cutaneous strain. *PLoS Pathog* **10**, e1004244 (2014).
15. Refai, F.W., Madarasingha, N.P., Fernandopulle, R. & Karunaweera, N. Nonresponsiveness to standard treatment in cutaneous leishmaniasis: A case series from Sri Lanka. *Trop Parasitol* **6**, 155-158 (2016).
16. Dalton, J.E. & Kaye, P.M. Immunomodulators: use in combined therapy against leishmaniasis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* **8**, 739-742 (2010).
17. Sbaraglini, M.L.*, et al.* Neglected Tropical Protozoan Diseases: Drug Repositioning as a Rational Option. *Curr Top Med Chem* **16**, 2201-2222 (2016).
18. Rao, S.P.S.*, et al.* Drug Discovery for Kinetoplastid Diseases: Future Directions. *ACS Infect Dis* **5**, 152-157 (2019).
19. WHO Expert Committee on the Control of the Leishmaniases and World Health Organization. Control of the leishmaniases: report of a meeting of the WHO Expert Commitee on the Control of Leishmaniases, Geneva, 22-26 March 2010. (World Health Organization, Geneva, 2010).
20. Szklarczyk, D.*, et al.* STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D607-D613 (2019).
21. Goncalves, R., Zhang, X., Cohen, H., Debrabant, A. & Mosser, D.M. Platelet activation attracts a subpopulation of effector monocytes to sites of Leishmania major infection. *J Exp Med* **208**, 1253-1265 (2011).

22. Leon, B., Lopez-Bravo, M. & Ardavin, C. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells formed at the
infection site control the induction of protective T helper 1 responses against Leishmania.
Immunity **26**, 519-531 (2007).

23. Ives, A*., et al.* Leishmania RNA virus controls the severity of mucocutaneous leishmaniasis.
Science **331**, 775-778 (2011).

24. Novais, F.O*., et al.* Genomic profiling of human Leishmania braziliensis lesions identifies
transcriptional modules associated with cutaneous immunopathology. *J Invest Dermatol*
135, 94-101 (2015).

25. Santos Cda, S*., et al.* CD8(+) granzyme B(+)-mediated tissue injury vs. CD4(+)IFNgamma(+)-
mediated parasite killing in human cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Invest Dermatol* **133**, 1533-
1540 (2013).

26. Boussoffara, T*., et al.* Activated cytotoxic T cells within zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis
lesions. *Immun Inflamm Dis* **7**, 95-104 (2019).

27. Beechem, J.M. High-Plex Spatially Resolved RNA and Protein Detection Using Digital Spatial
Profiling: A Technology Designed for Immuno-oncology Biomarker Discovery and
Translational Research. *Methods Mol Biol* **2055**, 563-583 (2020).

28. Powles, T*., et al.* MPDL3280A (anti-PD-L1) treatment leads to clinical activity in metastatic
bladder cancer. *Nature* **515**, 558-562 (2014).

29. Igarashi, T., Teramoto, K., Ishida, M., Hanaoka, J. & Daigo, Y. Scoring of PD-L1 expression
intensity on pulmonary adenocarcinomas and the correlations with clinicopathological
factors. *ESMO Open* **1**, e000083 (2016).

30. Roy, S., Saha, S., Gupta, P., Ukil, A. & Das, P.K. Crosstalk of PD-1 signaling with the
SIRT1/FOXO-1 axis during the progression of visceral leishmaniasis. *J Cell Sci* **132**(2019).

31. Donovan, M.J*., et al.* Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) induced by Leishmania infection of
human dendritic cells. *Parasite Immunol* **34**, 464-472 (2012).

32. Wang, F*., et al.* RNAscope: a novel in situ RNA analysis platform for formalin-fixed, paraffin-
embedded tissues. *J Mol Diagn* **14**, 22-29 (2012).

33. Pardoll, D.M. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev*
Cancer **12**, 252-264 (2012).

34. Liu, M*., et al.* Targeting the IDO1 pathway in cancer: from bench to bedside. *J Hematol Oncol*
11, 100 (2018).

35. Sharpe, A.H. & Pauken, K.E. The diverse functions of the PD1 inhibitory pathway. *Nat Rev*
Immunol **18**, 153-167 (2018).

36. Soliman, H.H*., et al.* A phase I study of indoximod in patients with advanced malignancies.
Oncotarget **7**, 22928-22938 (2016).

37. Rosenberg, J.E*., et al.* Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic
urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based
chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* **387**, 1909-1920 (2016).

38. Powles, T*., et al.* Efficacy and Safety of Durvalumab in Locally Advanced or Metastatic
Urothelial Carcinoma: Updated Results From a Phase 1/2 Open-label Study. *JAMA Oncol* **3**,
e172411 (2017).

39. Heery, C.R*., et al.* Avelumab for metastatic or locally advanced previously treated solid
tumours (JAVELIN Solid Tumor): a phase 1a, multicohort, dose-escalation trial. *Lancet Oncol*
18, 587-598 (2017).

40. Xu, J.-M*., et al.* Phase I study of KN035, the first subcutaneously administered, novel fusion
anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced solid tumors in China. *Journal of Clinical*
Oncology **37**, 2608-2608 (2019).

41. Akinleye, A. & Rasool, Z. Immune checkpoint inhibitors of PD-L1 as cancer therapeutics.
Journal of hematology & oncology **12**, 92-92 (2019).

42. Joshi, T., Rodriguez, S., Perovic, V., Cockburn, I.A. & Stager, S. B7-H1 blockade increases
survival of dysfunctional CD8(+) T cells and confers protection against Leishmania donovani
infections. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000431 (2009).
43. Makala, L.H.*, et al.* Leishmania major attenuates host immunity by stimulating local
indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase expression. *J Infect Dis* **203**, 715-725 (2011).
44. Alessandra M. da Fonseca-Martins, T.D.R., Juliana E.S. Pratti, Luan Firmino-Cruz, Daniel
Claudio Oliveira Gomes, Lynn Soong, Elvira M. Saraiva, Herbert L. de Matos Guedes,.
Protection induced by anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 treatment in Leishmania amazonensis-
infected BALB/c mice. *bioRxiv* (2019).
45. Liang, S.C.*, et al.* PD-L1 and PD-L2 have distinct roles in regulating host immunity to
cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Eur J Immunol* **36**, 58-64 (2006).
46. Kaye, P. & Scott, P. Leishmaniasis: complexity at the host-pathogen interface. *Nat Rev
Microbiol* **9**, 604-615 (2011).
47. Cassetta, L. & Pollard, J.W. Targeting macrophages: therapeutic approaches in cancer. *Nat
Rev Drug Discov* **17**, 887-904 (2018).
48. Ranawaka, R.R., Abeygunasekara, P.H. & Weerakoon, H.S. Correlation of clinical,
parasitological and histopathological diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis in an endemic
region in Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Med J* **57**, 149-152 (2012).
49. Thilakarathne, I.K., Ratnayake, P., Vithanage, A. & Sugathadasa, D.P. Role of Histopathology
in the Diagnosis of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis: A Case-Control Study in Sri Lanka. *Am J
Dermatopathol* **41**, 566-570 (2019).
50. el Tai, N.O., Osman, O.F., el Fari, M., Presber, W. & Schonian, G. Genetic heterogeneity of
ribosomal internal transcribed spacer in clinical samples of Leishmania donovani spotted on
filter paper as revealed by single-strand conformation polymorphisms and sequencing. *Trans
R Soc Trop Med Hyg* **94**, 575-579 (2000).
51. Ranasinghe, S.*, et al.* Polymerase chain reaction detection of Leishmania DNA in skin biopsy
samples in Sri Lanka where the causative agent of cutaneous leishmaniasis is Leishmania
donovani. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* **110**, 1017-1023 (2015).
52. Goytain, A. & Ng, T. NanoString nCounter Technology: High-Throughput RNA Validation.
Methods Mol Biol **2079**, 125-139 (2020).

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Differential expression and network analysis of genes regulated by drug

treatment a, Volcano plot of differential expressed genes in patients on SSG treatment. A

fold change (FC) of 1.3 and a p<0.05 threshold were applied. Black dots indicate no

significance, red points indicate significant genes (p< 0.05), and blue points indicate

significantly altered genes that have a log2 (fold change) >1.3 **b,** List of top 14 genes that

changed expression in SSG treated patients. **c-d,** STRING protein-protein interaction

network[20](https://string-db.org) analysis was performed on genes listed in **Extended Data**

Table 2 that changed as a consequence of two rounds of SSG treatment. Pathway analysis of

genes downregulated on treatment (**c**). Top 20 genes are shown (Log2fold change >1.25) for

clarity. Genes upregulated upon treatment are shown in (**d**)

Fig. 2. Digital Spatial Profiling of CL lesions

a-f, Regions of selection on sections from pre and on-treatment biopsies from patients P4, P6

and P7 (green: CD68 and red: CD3). ROIs were created on CD3 and/or CD68$^+$ rich areas. **g,**

List of all proteins used in the DSP panel and their significance scores when comparing

33ROIs at presentation and on treatment. Cells are highlighted in green to show decreasing

significance score from 0.055. Unpaired Students two-tailed t-test was applied to calculate

significance scores **h-j**, on-treatment ROIs have lower counts of IDO1 and PD-L1 but not

LAG3. Unpaired two-tailed Students t-test was applied to calculate significance scores. P-

values as indicated on graphs (n=33). **k-l**, Patients in validation cohort have lower IDO1 (**k**)

and PD-L1 (**l**) protein expression on treatment (n=25). Paired two-tailed Students t-test was

applied to calculate significance scores. p-values as indicated on graphs. Error bars represent

SD.

Fig. 3 Immunofluorescence analyses of IDO1 in infected and uninfected cells

a, Confocal image showing expression of IDO1 (red), CD68 (blue) proteins and *Amastin*

RNA probe. Both IDO1$^+$CD68$^+$ (arrow) and IDO1$^-$CD68$^+$ (arrowhead) cells are infected.

Scale bar, 10u. **b,** Representative scattergram (from patient P7 at presentation) colour coded

to show low, medium and high labelled IDO1 cells in cyan, red and green with respect to

Amastin dots. More *Amastin* dots containing cells correspond to medium and highly labelled

IDO1 cells. Each dot represents a single cell. **c-d,** Histograms showing fluorescence intensity

distributions of infected (**c**) and uninfected IDO1$^+$ cells (**d**). **e,** Representative violin plot

(from patient P7) showing significant reduction of mean fluorescent intensity of IDO1

labelled cells in *Amastin*- cells when compared to *Amastin*$^+$ cells. Dotted lines show median,

upper and lower quantile for each group. N=791 and 3872 for parasite positive and negative

cells, respectively. Significance score was generated using Students two tailed unpaired t-test.

P-value as indicated on the graph. **f,** Mean intensity of *Amastin*$^+$IDO1$^+$ cells is significantly

higher than *Amastin*$^-$IDO1$^+$ cells in all patients of test cohort, in which we could detect

parasites. Significance score was generated using Students two tailed paired t-test. P-value as

indicated on the graph.

Fig. 4 Immunofluorescence analyses of PD-L1 in infected and uninfected cells

a, A representative zoomed in confocal image showing protein expression of CD68, PD-L1

and *Amastin* RNA probe. Both PD-L1$^+$CD68$^+$ (arrow) and PD-L1$^-$CD68$^+$ (arrowhead) cells

are infected. Scale bar, 50 pixels **b,** Representative scattergram (from patient P24 at

presentation) showing *Amastin*$^+$ low (cyan), medium (red) and high (green) PD-L1

expressers with respect to *Amastin* dots. More *Amastin* dots containing cells correspond to

medium and highly labelled PD-L1 cells. **c-d,** Histograms showing fluorescence intensity

distributions of infected (**c**) and uninfected (**d**) PD-L1 cells. **e,** Representative violin plot

(from patient P24) showing significant reduction of mean fluorescent intensity of PD-L1

labelled cells in *Amastin*$^-$ cells when compared to *Amastin*$^+$ cells. Dotted lines show median,

upper and lower quantile for each group. N=9159 for parasite positive cells and 41520 for

parasite negative cells. Significance score was generated using Students two tailed unpaired t-

test. P-value as indicated on the graph.   **f,** Mean intensity of *Amastin*$^+$PD-L1$^+$ cells is

significantly higher than *Amastin*$^-$PD-L1$^+$ cells in all patients (n=7). Significance score was

generated using Students two tailed paired t-test. P-value as indicated on the graph.

Supplementary Figure Legends and Tables

Extended Data Fig. 1 CONSORT flow diagram Flow chart shows number of patients who were screened, treated, followed up in early assessment, completed the study and included in the final analysis. For more details on the patients refer to Extended Data Table 1. PBMCs: Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells; SSG: Sodium Stibogluconate; Cryo: Liquid nitrogen cryotherapy.

Extended Data Fig. 2 CL lesions from test cohort patients Lesions in patients were photographed at presentation and 3mm tissue biopsy were taken from the border of the lesion. **a-l,** Lesion photographs from patients P1 (**a**), P4 (**b**), P5 (**c**), P6 (**d**), P7 (**e**) and -P8 (**f**) at presentation**)** and during treatment (**g-l**).

Extended Data Fig. 3. Histopathology of test cohort

 a-l, Hematoxylin and eosin stains on whole 4u tissue sections and magnified areas from patients P1 (**a**), P4 (**b**), P5 (**c**), P6 (**d**), P7 (**e**) and P8 (**f**) at presentation and on treatment (**g-l**). Scale bar is 100u in whole sections and 20u in zoomed in areas.

Extended Data Fig. 4 Heterogeneity of patient response to treatment

a, For each patient, Pearsons correlation (r) was computed using R studio from 770 genes in the nCounter PanCancer Immunology Panel codeset at presentation and on-treatment and plotted as a heat map. While pre-treatment shows positive linear correlations, pre- and on-treatment samples do not, indicating that despite a limited sample size, the data sets are robust enough to reveal differences between matched pre- and on-treatment samples. On-

treatment samples are not as highly correlated amongst themselves as the pre-treatment

cohort suggesting a degree of heterogeneity in patient response to treatment.

Extended Data Fig. 5: ROI strategy for DSP

12 ROIs were generated in each pre-treatment and on-treatment section from each patient. **a,**

Representative images from patient P4 of each ROI selected from at presentation showing

ROI strategy. ROIs were created on both CD3$^+$ and/or CD68$^+$ immune infiltrates. **b-c,** Bar

graphs show housekeeping (HK) normalised CD68 (**b**) and CD3 (**c**) counts in each ROI. **d,**

Representative images from patient P4 of each ROI selected on-treatment. **e-f,** Bar graphs

show housekeeping (HK) normalised CD68 (**e**) and CD3 (**f**) counts in each ROI.

Extended Data Fig. 6 Consort diagram of validation cohort patients

Flow chart shows number of patients who were screened, treated, followed up in early

assessment, completed the study and included in the final analyses SSG: Sodium

Stibogluconate; Cryo: Liquid nitrogen cryotherapy

Extended Data Fig. 7 Pateint photographs and histology of validation cohort

a-c, Representative patient photograph at baseline (**a**) and showing improvement after 4

weeks (**b**) and 3 month (**c**). **d-e,** H & E staining on tissue biopsy sections taken at

presentation (**d**) and after 4 weeks of treatment (**e**).

Extended Data Fig. 8 Gating strategy for low, medium and highly labelled IDO1 cells

a, Grey image of zoomed in heterogenous IDO1 expression in skin tissue biopsy. **b,** IDO1$^+$

cells as detected using Strataquest image analysis software. **c-e,** Cells were binned based on

mean fluorescence intensities of each cell into high (**c**), moderate (**d**) and low (**e**) expression,

respectively. **f,** Representative histogram showing distribution of *Amastin* dots in IDO1$^+$

cells. *Amastin*$^+$ and *Amastin*$^-$ are defined by an *Amastin* count of 1, as shown by vertical bar.

Some data points are off scale **g-i,** Histograms (**g-h**) and violin plot (**i**) showing fluorescence

intensity distribution of *Amastin*$^+$IDO1$^+$ cells (**g, i**) and *Amastin*$^-$ IDO1$^+$ cells (**h, i**) in patient

P5 at presentation. N=7404 and 16610 for parasite positive and negative cells, respectively.

j-l, Histograms (**j-k**) and violin plot (**l**) showing fluorescence intensity distribution of

Amastin$^+$IDO1$^+$ cells (**j**) and *Amastin*$^-$ IDO1$^+$ cells (**k**) in patient P8. N=672 and 2758 for

parasite positive and negative cells, respectively Significance score was generated using

Students two-tailed, unpaired t-test. P-value as indicated on the graph.

Extended Data Fig 9. Co-expression of IDO1/PD-L1 and CD68

a-b, Representative confocal image of a pre-treatment section showing infection in

(*Amastin*$^+$, green) IDO1$^+$ (red) CD68$^-$ (blue) cells. Scale bar, 50 pixels **b,** Trend line graph

from patients P4-P8 showing changes in number of IDO1$^+$CD68$^-$ cells with treatment. Each

dot represents average of 2 biological replicates for each patient. *p = 0.0481, Two tailed,

Paired Student's t test. (**c**) Representative zoomed in confocal image of a pre-treatment

section showing co-expression of PD-L1 and CD68. *Amastin*$^+$ parasites are shown in green.

Scale bar, 50 pixels.

Extended Data Fig 10 Gating strategy for low, medium and high PD-L1 expressing cells

a, Grey image of zoomed in heterogenous PD-L1 expression in skin tissue biopsy. **b,** PD-L1$^+$

cells as detected using Strataquest image analysis software **c-e,** Cells were binned based on

mean fluorescence intensities of each cell into high (**c**), moderate (**d**) and low (**e**) expression,

respectively. **f,** Representative histogram showing distribution of *Amastin* dots in PD-L1$^+$

cells. Axis scale was adjusted to demonstrate the cut off (1 *Amastin* dot) used for PD-L1$^+$

cells containing *Amastin* dots. Some data points are off scale. **g-l,** Scattergram from *Amastin*[+]

at presentation sections from P9 (**g**), P13 (**h**), P16 (**i**), P17 (**j**), P23 (**k**) and P29 (**l**)

respectively, showing higher number of *Amastin* dots in medium (red) and high (dark yellow)

PD-L1 expressers than those cells showing low expression of PD-L1 (cyan).

Extended Data Fig 11 Infected PD-L1^{+} cells have greater fluorescence intensity than

uninfected PD-L1^{+} cells

a-f, Histograms and violin plots showing fluorescence intensity distributions of all infected

and uninfected PDL1 cells of P9 (**a**), P13 (**b**), P16 (**c**), P17 (**d**), P23 (**e**) and P29 (**f**) at

presentation respectively. Dotted lines show median, upper and lower quantile for each

group. N>240 and >9000 were analysed for parasite positive and negative cells respectively

from each patient.

Extended Data Fig 12 Model for SSG-T cell synergy during CL treatment

Lesions in patients with readily detectable numbers of viable parasites have higher expression

of PD-L1 and IDO1 at presentation contributing to T cell exhaustion (Tex; top most panel).

Within 2-4 weeks of treatment, SSG-dependent reduction in amastigote load facilitates

decreased expression of PD-L1 and IDO1 by intralesional CD68^{+} cells, helping to restore

effector T cell (Teff) function and synergy with SSG. Whether restored T cell function alone

would be sufficient to clear residual parasite burden and accomplish re-epithelialization

remains to be tested.

Extended Data Table 1 Demographics of patients in test cohort

Extended Data Table 2 Details of total DE genes on treatment

Extended Data Table 3 Log2 (fold change) and adjusted p-value of DE transcripts of

common regulators/effectors of human leishmaniasis analysed in this study.

Extended Data Table 4 Demographics of patients in validation cohort

a

RX: Differential expression On treatment vs the base line of Pre

b

	Log2 fold change	P-value	adjusted P-value	Gene.sets
IDO1	-1.7	4.96E-07	0.00029	Cytokines, T-Cell Functions
CCL19	-1.64	2.64E-06	0.000542	Chemokines, Regulation
HSD11B1	-1.52	2.45E-05	0.00189	Cell Functions
CXCL10	-1.4	2.77E-05	0.00207	Chemokines, Cytokines, Pathogen Defense, Regulation, T-Cell Functions
CCL8	-1.37	4.97E-05	0.00319	Chemokines, Regulation
TFRC	-1.35	2.94E-08	0.000124	T cell regulation
CXCL11	-1.32	0.00011	0.00543	Chemokines, NK Cell Functions, T-Cell Functions
CCL22	-1.31	7.31E-07	0.00029	Chemokines, Pathogen Defense
GZMB	-1.3	6.26E-05	0.00377	Cell Functions, Cytotoxicity
LAG3	-1.28	9.92E-05	0.005	Regulation, T-Cell Functions
CD274	-1.25	4.15E-06	0.000661	B-Cell Functions, Cell Functions, T-Cell Functions
COL3A1	1.39	1.80E-05	0.00155	Regulation
CXCL14	1.44	0.000122	0.00594	Chemokines
CD36	1.53	0.000152	0.00688	Transporter Functions

c

Genes down-regulated on treatment
(Adjusted p-value<0.01; Log2Fold change>1.25)

pathway ID	pathway description	count in gene set	false discovery rate
GO:0002687	positive regulation of leukocyte migration	8	6.33E-11
GO:0002684	positive regulation of immune system process	12	8.55E-10

d

Genes up-regulated on treatment
(Adjusted p-value<0.01; Log2Fold change>0.8)

pathway ID	pathway description	count in gene set	false discovery rate
GO:0001819	positive regulation of cytokine production	5	0.006

g Rx: Significant scores of differentially expressed proteins On-treatment vs Pre

Proteins	p-value	Proteins	p-value	Proteins	p-value
CD40L	1.0	TGFB1	0.4	CD45	0.043
ER alpha	1.0	NY-ESO-1	0.3	PD-L2	0.028
PanCk	1.0	LAG3	0.3	CD45RO	0.026
CD20	1.0	MART1	0.3	PD-L1	0.020
S100B	0.9	FOXP3	0.3	ARG1	0.020
B7-H3	0.8	4-1BB CD137	0.2	ICOS	0.019
CD56	0.8	PD-1	0.2	CD25	0.014
CD27	0.8	CD163	0.2	CD44	0.013
PR	0.7	Rb IgG	0.2	STING	0.010
Ms IgG1	0.6	FAPalpha	0.2	VISTA	0.010
EpCAM	0.6	CD8	0.2	IDO1	0.0094
SMA	0.6	CD68	0.2	CD40	0.0089
Ms IgG2a	0.5	OX40L	0.1	HLA-DR	0.0028
S6	0.5	GAPDH	0.1	CD86	0.0024
CTLA4	0.5	CD80	0.1	CD14	0.0012
GITR	0.5	PTEN	0.099	CD66b	0.0011
Histone H3	0.4	Bcl-2	0.071	Tim-3	0.00029
Fibronectin	0.4	Beta-2-microglobulin	0.070	GZMB	0.00007
CD11c	0.4	CD4	0.055	Ki-67	0.00006
Her2/ErbB2	0.4	CD34	0.047		
CD127	0.4	CD3	0.047		