"**Polar mutagenesis of bacterial transcriptional units using Cas12a**"

Antoine Graffeuil[1], Bernt Eric Uhlin[1] and David A. Cisneros[1]*

[1]Department of Molecular Biology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.

*Corresponding author david.cisneros@umu.se

Abstract

Bacterial genes are often organized in functionally related transcriptional units or operons. One

such example is the *fimAICDFGH* operon, which codes for type I fimbriae in *Escherichia coli*. We

tested the hypothesis that markerless polar mutations could be efficiently engineered using

CRISPR/Cas12a in the *fim* operon. Cas12a-mediated engineering of a terminator sequence inside

the *fimA* gene occurred with efficiencies between 10 and 30%, whilst other types of mutations,

such as a 97 bp deletion, occurred with 100% efficiency. Our results showed that some of the

obtained mutants, including a single base substitution at the *fim* locus, had decreased mRNA

levels of *fimA*, suggesting that the regulation of the *fim* operon was disrupted. On top, we

corroborated the polar effect of these mutants by phenotypic assays and quantitative PCR,

showing up to a 43 fold decrease in expression of genes downstream *fimA*. We believe this

strategy could be useful in engineering the transcriptional shut-down of multiple genes in one

single step.

Short title: Polar mutagenesis with Cas12a

Introduction

The evolutionary success of operons has been attributed to their contribution to the organization of metabolic pathways, which ultimately allowed organisms to become less dependent on exogenous sources of organic compounds [1]. Importantly this relationship was maintained during evolution for many metabolic pathways and was probably enhanced by horizontal gene transfer [1]. Genes within operons are co-transcribed from a single promoter but it has been shown that the mRNA of each open reading frame (ORF) has a distinct folding from their neighbouring genes and this feature directly influences its translation efficiency [2]. Other features regulating operon expression and function in *cis* include attenuators, terminators and processive aniterminators (reviewed in [3,4]). All these elements of regulation depend on the formation of RNA secondary structures. We asked ourselves if sequences with such a tendency to generate secondary structures could be easily engineered in the *E. coli* chromosome using CRISPR/Cas technologies. CRISPR/Cas genome editing in *E. coli* [5], opened the possibility of marker-less genetic engineering with single base resolution. Therefore, it is possible to now generate multi-scale libraries of mutants in a few days [6]. We chose to engineer a completely synthetic terminator sequence [7] in the *fim* operon of *E. coli*.

Escherichia coli is a research and synthetic biology work-horse. Thanks to the extent of the knowledge available on its metabolism, it provides a playground in which bottom-up and top-down approaches have the potential to intertwine. The possibility of easily controlling whole transcriptional units would serve multiple purposes. For example one aspect poorly appreciated in synthetic biology is metabolic burden [8]. Protein synthesis has been calculated to be the most expensive step (ATP-wise) involved in cell growth, compared to the biosynthesis of its building blocks which uses only a small fraction of ATP [9]. Therefore shutting down the synthesis of unnecessary operons during specific growth conditions could contribute significantly to balance the

burden while expressing heterologous operons. Another example is shutting down or the re-

direction of competing metabolic routes (10). In this known issue, the bioinformatic analysis of

competing or converging metabolic routes will be essential (11). Alternative approaches to shut

down multiple genes, such as CRISPR/Cas9 multiplex gene repression or large fragment deletions

are available and have different advantages (12,13) to our approach. Therefore, the strategy

presented in this study opens another possibility to investigate, with one step, the effect of

competing transcriptional units arranged in operons on metabolic burden and compound

biosynthesis. Finally, virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes in bacteria tend to cluster in

so called pathogenicity islands, and their removal or shutting down using CRISPR/Cas

technologies is a potential strategy to use as antibiotics (14).

Type one fimbriae are adhesive surface appendages present mainly in Enterobacteriaceae that

were first shown to agglutinate red-blood cells (15). They are composed of a main pilin subunit

repeated in the order of hundreds to thousands and are assembled at the surface by an outer

membrane usher. At the tip of the filament the so called minor pilins are assembled in a specific

order. The most distal pilin to the cell (FimH) functions as an adhesin (16,17). In the case of *E. coli*

type I fimbriae the FimH adhesin is inhibited by D-mannose residues and α-methyl-mannoside and

they recognize mannosylated receptors (16–18). Its role in virulence in uropathogenic strains of *E.*

coli has been established for a long time (19,20). However, it's role in mammalian persistent

intestinal colonization has only been recently studied. Indeed, it has been shown that the FimH

adhesin is essential for intestinal colonization of uropathogenic *E. coli* (21). How each fimbrial

system present in symbiotic *E. coli* (22) strains contributes to intestinal colonization of symbiotic

strains has not been yet studied *in vivo*.

The *fim* operon is a good system to test an engineered polar effect, for various reasons: Firstly, the

architecture of the *fim* operon is such that the gene coding for the major and most abundant

                                                                 Graffeuil *et al.*

component of the pilus is the first to be transcribed followed by the assembly machinery. This gives

the advantage that disruption of this gene inactivates its functionality and any polar effect would

disrupt the function of the subunits important for assembly. Secondly, although the regulation of its

transcription is complex, it is chiefly determined by an invertible element upstream of the promoter

(fimAp), which acts as an ON/OFF switch.

The regulation of the mannose-dependent adhesion type I fimbriae is complex but has been

studied *in vitro* for decades. It has been shown to be regulated by various genes including NanR

and NagC (trough sialic acid and GlcNAc) (23), Lrp, (24,25), H-NS (26) and IHF (27). All these

elements mainly regulate the frequency of inversion of the invertible switch. The molecule

(p)ppGpp also regulates the *fim* switch (28).

In this study we introduce a terminator sequence with a strong secondary structure to disrupt the

transcription of the *f i m* operon. We showed that this sequence is easy to introduce by

CRISPR/Cas12a engineering and that the engineered strains have a predictable phenotypic

outcome.

Results

Design of *fimA-targeting* crRNA and donor oligos

We wanted to disrupt the *fim* operon using Cas12a by introducing a range of different markerless

mutations in the *fimA* gene including the insertion of a terminator sequence (Fig. 1). We designed a

crRNA targeting the *E. coli fimA* gene. To introduce polar and nonpolar mutations in the *fim* operon

we designed two complementary oligos for each mutation to form dsDNA donor molecules for

homologous recombination. The recombination of these oligos disrupts the binding site of the

Cas12a-crRNA complex and permits the positive selection of mutant cells (29).

To introduce a premature stop codon in the *fimA* gene, we designed dsDNA donor oligos in which

a single bp change disrupted the PAM sequence for crRNA1 and introduced a stop codon (TAA)

after 106 bp (*fimA_{A106T}*) of the start codon. To introduce a deletion in the *fimA (ΔfimA)* gene, we

designed dsDNA donor oligos containing homology recombination sequences upstream and

downstream of the crRNA recognition site to delete 97 bp introducing a stop codon after 75 bp of

the start codon.

To engineer a polar mutagenesis strategy, we designed two different donor oligos to introduce a

terminator sequence in the *fimA* gene. The first oligo contained the sequences for homologous

recombination exactly as the deletion donor oligos but with the L3S2P56 terminator sequence in

between at nucleotide position 75 (*fimA_{75}::L3S2P56*, called here Term1). The second donor oligos

were similar but the upstream homologous region corresponded to the leader of the *fimA* gene.

Therefore, these oligos introduce a terminator sequence at the start codon (*fimA_{1}::L3S2P56*, called

here Term2).

Graffeuil *et al.*

Mutagenesis of the *fimA gene*

Terminator sequences have a strong tendency to form secondary structures and therefore we asked ourselves if such sequences could be readily used as DNA donors for CRISPR/Cas12a mutagenesis. To test this hypothesis we simply electroporated *E. coli* cells carrying the plasmid pKD46-Cas12a (29) with the annealed dsDNA donor oligos and a plasmid encoding crRNA1. We analysed transformants by colony PCR using oligos that amplify a segment of the *fimA* gene surrounding the site of crRNA targeting. For the stop codon mutant (*fimA$_{A106T}$*) using crRNA1, we picked five colonies and performed colony PCR. The size of the PCR fragment did not appear to change as compared to wild type (WT) *E. coli* (Fig 2). We purified these PCR products and submitted them for Sanger sequencing. Four of the products showed the specific T->A substitution that introduces a premature stop codon. For the deletion mutant, the PCR fragment showed a noticeable change in migration compared to WT (Fig 2). Ten out of ten colonies picked showed the deletion (S1 Fig.). For the insertion of a terminator sequence only three out of ten and one out of ten colony PCRs showed a change in migration for the Term1 and Term2 mutations, respectively (Fig. 1 and S1 Fig). All together these results show that the engineering of terminator sequences is possible but with lower efficiencies compared to the engineering of single base substitutions and deletions which had high efficiencies.

Phenotypic analysis of *fimA* mutants

To characterise the effect of the different mutations, we verified the functionality of the *fim* operon by a phenotypic assay. We performed agglutination assays of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells (Fig 3A-C). Overnight cultures of WT and *fimA* mutants *E. coli* strains were mixed with a drop of a yeast suspension over a glass plate. Mixing WT *E. coli* leads *to* agglutination of the yeast cells, which appeared as white, macroscopically visible aggregates (Fig 3D). Contrastingly, mixing *Shigella*

flexneri M90T, which is known not to express fimbrial genes <u>(30)</u>, produced no yeast aggregates (Fig 3E). Mixing each of our *fimA* mutants with the yeast suspension produced no agglutination (Fig 3F-I). There was no difference between the stop codon mutant (*fimA_{A106T}*), deletion and terminator mutants (Ter1 and Ter2), which suggests that the disruption of even one base introducing a stop codon was enough to disrupt the functionality of the *fim* operon.

Plasmid trans-complementation of the *fimA* mutants

To verify the efficiency of the different mutations in exerting a polar effect, we verified the functionality of the *fim* operon by a phenotypic assay. We repeated the agglutination assays in WT and mutant strains carrying a plasmid expressing *fimA* (Fig 4). Each strain was transformed by the trans-complementing plasmid and an empty vector as negative control. WT *E. coli* carrying the empty vector agglutinated *S. cerevisiae* as expected and the expression of plasmid encoded *fimA* did not change the appearance of the agglutination reaction (Fig 4A-B), suggesting that neither of the plasmids cause an effect on agglutination. Trans-complementation of both the stop codon (*fimA_{A106T}*) and deletion *fimA* mutants resulted in yeast agglutination (Fig 4C-D and Fig 4E-F), suggesting that the mutations did not introduce any effect on genes downstream of *fimA*, which code for the assembly machinery, and that expression of a functional copy of this gene rendered these strains agglutination-positive. In contrast, the strains carrying the empty vector were agglutination-negative. Trans-complementation of both Term1 and Term2 mutants with the empty vector or the plasmid expressing *fimA,* resulted in no yeast agglutination (Fig 4G-H and Fig 4I-J). This suggests that the functionality of the *fim* operon was completely disrupted downstream of *fimA* in these two mutants and suggested that the transcription of genes downstream *fimA:: L3S2P56* terminators was disrupted.

Measurement of mRNA levels of *fimA* and *fimI*

We wanted to quantify the extent of a polar effect in all the mutants obtained. We used qPCR to measure the levels of *fimA* and *fimI*. We designed two sets of primers that allowed for the estimation of the levels of these two genes (Fig 1B). We performed qPCR using as template cDNA derived from mRNA obtained from *E. coli* cultures grown in the same conditions as those used for the phenotypic assays. First, we estimated the amount of the *fimI* mRNA relative to *fimA*. This represents an unbiased measurement that does not depend on the ON/OFF state of the fimAp. Relative expression of *fimI* in WT *E. coli* was ~2.5 times lower to *fimA* (Table 1). The median relative expression of *fimI* in the stop and deletion mutants was lower but in the same order of magnitude (Fig 5A). The median relative expression of *fimI* in the Term1 and Term2 mutants was considerably lower (Fig 5A). The relative expression fold change for the Term1 and Term2 mutants was 9 and 43 fold, respectively (Table 1). These results suggest that at least an estimated 9 fold change in expression is necessary to disrupt the functionality of the *fim* operon downstream of *fimA*.

We wanted to measure the levels of *fimI* and *fimA* mRNA levels with respect to housekeeping genes. We designed sets of primers for the housekeeping genes *hcaT* and *cysG*, which have been shown to have stable expression (31). We obtained the average Δct with respect to these two genes and normalized it to the WT control performed the day of the experiment. The levels of *fimA* varied in all the mutants as well as the levels of *fimI* (Fig 5B). However for three out of four mutants the expression of *fimA* and *fimI* was lower.

We were puzzled by the small but measurable changes of mRNA levels in the stop and deletion mutants (Fig. 5B). To further investigate this phenomenon we decided to create two different stop codon mutants. We co-introduced in *E. coli* plasmids encoding crRNA2 and crRNA3 (Fig 1) with donor template oligos introducing a stop codon instead of the PAM sequence containing the plasmid pKD46-Cas12a. For crRNA2 the donor oligos introduced the mutations T103A, T104A

Graffeuil *et al.*

($fimA_{T103A,T104A}$) to disrupt the PAM sequence. For crRNA3 the donor oligo introduced the mutations

A158T,A159T, A160T,C161A, C162A ($fimA_{A158T,A159T,A160T,C161A,C162A}$). Two clones of each of these

mutants were selected and analysed by qPCR measurements of $fimI$ and $fimA$. The median

relative expression of $fimI$ to $fimA$ in these two new mutants was also lower but in the same order

of magnitude of mutants carrying the allele $fimA_{A106T}$ (Fig 5A, orange and yellow circles). This result

suggests that the reduced levels of $fimA$ expression are consistent in all three stop codon mutants.

Observation of a *fimA* terminator mutant by AFM

We wanted to observe the formation of fimbriae by Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) and

agglutination in previously described favourable conditions. Fluorescence microscopy

measurements have shown an increase of fimbriae in static cultures of *E. coli* strain MG1655 [32].

We grew WT *E. coli* in and the Ter2 mutant expressing *fimA* from a plasmid on static cultures to

observe if there was any formation of fimbriae. To prepare the AFM samples in a way that it

introduced the least amount of manipulations, we diluted the static culture in deionized water to an

OD600 of 0.05 and we immobilized a drop of this suspension on freshly cleaved mica for 20

minutes. After the incubation period the mica was gently washed with more deionized water. In the

wild type strain expressing plasmid-encoded *fimA*, we observed bacteria showing the classical type

I fimbriae (Fig. 6A and 6A inset). However in the Ter2 mutant expressing plasmid-encoded *fimA* we

did not observe any formation of fimbriae (Fig. 6A). In some cells, only the formation of flagella

could be observed and (Fig.6A inset). To confirm the absence of functional fimbriae in this mutant,

we repeated the yeast agglutination assay after static growth conditions (Fig. 6B). As a negative

control we incubated this time the wild type strain with α-methyl-mannoside. The wild type strain

expressing plasmid-encoded *fimA* was agglutination-positive as shown in Fig. 4. However using

the same strain pre-incubated with α-methyl-mannoside completely abolished agglutination. This

confirms that agglutination is mediated by the mannose-dependent type I fimbriae encoded in the

fim operon. Agglutination by the Ter2 mutant expressing plasmid-encoded *fimA* did not

complement agglutination after having grown in static conditions and the agglutination reaction

appeared as the α-methyl-mannoside negative control. All these results confirm that the Ter2

mutant appears to have a *fim* negative phenotype even when *fimA* is expressed in *trans*.

Table 1. Relative levels of *fimI* to *fimA* mRNA.

Strain	Median Δct (*fimI - fimA*)*	Median Δct (*fimA - fimI*)	~Fold change inhibition
WT	0.39	2.6	1
Stop codon	0.10	9.8	4
ΔfimA	0.17	5.8	2
Term1	0.04	23.3	9
Term2	0.009	111.4	43

229 Table1. Relative levels of *fimI* transcript with respect to *fimA*. The median Δct values are

230 shown as in *Fig 5A. The fold change was calculated by dividing the median Δct (*fimI –*

231 *fimA*) of each mutant by the median value in the wild type.

Discussion

CRISPR/Cas technologies have become part of routine work in many laboratories. For the synthetic biology field it opened the possibility of quickly engineer chromosomal loci. For biotechnological purposes, it also opens the possibility to decrease the use of plasmids to produce heterologous enzymes. Plasmids can be lost and impose extra metabolic burdens and regulatory burdens that have to be taken into account when fine-tuning bio-production (reviewed in (8)). One proposed approach is to iteratively test the effect of engineered mutations in strains to optimize this process (33). However the effect of a mutation in a system often carries unpredictable or poorly controlled consequences. In *E. coli*, 63% of its genes are organized in operons (34) and 40% are organised in uber operons (35). In both of these organisation levels, genes tend to be related by functional conservation across bacterial genomes (35). The possibility to transcriptionally shut-down with one step a whole operon could contribute to the quick design and testing of strains with more predictable outcomes if regulons and uber operons are taken into account.

In this study we used an artificial terminator sequence to shut down the transcription of the *fim* operon. This designed artificial sequence has an extended secondary structure that has been shown to improve transcriptional termination (7). We did not know whether such secondary structures could impede the recombination process in the context of the donor homology recombination oligonucleotides used for CRISPR/Cas genome engineering. The predictable outcome from inserting this sequence in the *fim* operon is the premature transcriptional termination of the genes coding for the type I fimbriae assembly machinery. Our results showed that indeed a reduction of up to 43 fold in the expression of the *fim* machinery as measured by the expression of *fimI.*

The efficiency of genome engineering strains has been shown to depend on the efficiencies of

gRNAs to direct Cas9 DNA cleavage and donor oligos (6). Using the same crRNA and target

sequence we saw efficiencies ranging from 10% to 100%. However the donor oligonucleotides

varied in homology arms and secondary structure. Therefore our results suggest that, as

suspected, these two parameters are important for bacterial genome engineering. Despite these

differences we could readily find mutants with inserted terminator sequences. Among the 582

terminator sequences characterised by Chen *et al.*, we decided to use an artificial terminator

sequence (L3S2P56) that showed to have low recombination rates (7), which would ensure more

stability within the *E. coli* chromosome. However we did not know whether this would decrease the

recombination mediated by homology arms upstream and downstream of the terminator sequence.

Our results show that this sequence was easily engineered using the Cas12a-encoding plasmids

designed by Yan *et al.* (29). These plasmids are easy to use and allow multiple rounds of

mutagenesis similar to previously reported Cas9 approaches (6). Cas12a also brings the

advantage that it processes its own crRNAs, which we think will allow certain flexibility in the future

when dealing with organisms with poorly characterised promoter sequences. All together this study

shows that it is possible to shut down the expression of a whole operon using an artificial

terminator. This approach could be complementary to other approaches that allow the

transcriptional repression of multiple genes such as the use of multiple gRNAs for transcriptional

repression (12) or the deletion of large genomic stretches (13). The use of Cas12a also facilitated

genome engineering, because its PAM sequence NTTT (AAAN in the bottom strand) can be easily

mutated to introduce a stop codon. Indeed here we showed a single base substitution (*fimA$_{A106T}$*)

disrupted PAM recognition and allowed the selection of *fimA* mutant strains.

The *fim* operon was an ideal study case because the phenotype is macroscopically observable and

the characterisation of the *fimA* mutants by yeast agglutination yielded predictable results.

Moreover, the extent of fimbriation is directly correlated to the state of the switch inside fimAp (32),

therefore for every *fimA* transcript observed the presence of a *fimI* transcript reflects the polarity of

the mutants generated here. Indeed, insertion of a terminator sequence abolished the trans-

complementation by a plasmid-encoded *fimA* gene. Less predictable phenotypes were obtained by

measuring the relative expression of *fimI* to *fimA* in typical laboratory conditions. Three out the four

mutants that were generated in this study, both polar and nonpolar, had reduced median levels of

fimA transcript and of the ratio *fimI/fimA* transcripts. This includes a single base substitution that

introduces a stop codon *(fimA$_{A106T}$)*. Several possible explanations exist to accommodate this fact.

For example, it is known that ribosome binding protects mRNAs from RNase E degradation (36).

Therefore, one possible explanation would be that the premature stop codon introduced in

fimA$_{A106T}$ and in the deletion mutant induces the premature release of ribosome (37,38) and at the

same time exposes the *fimA* transcript to degradation. Another more complicated involves the

stalling of ribosomes during translation, which may induce mRNA cleavage next to or at a stop

codon (39). An initial cleavage event could lead to further degradation by classical mRNA decay

pathways. However this could only make sense if the folding of the *fimA* mRNA would be

compromised and stalling would be induced by the mutations designed here. This can also be put

in the context of the recent discovery that the mRNA of individual genes within operons have a

unique secondary structure (2). Deletions smaller than a whole gene could affect the folding of an

individual genes' mRNA within an operon. Another possibility would be that there would be some

kind of positive feedback on type I fimbriae expression or functionality. On the conditions that we

assayed *fimA* expression, that is rich medium at 37 C, switching off dominates on fimAp (40).

Therefore, any kind of feedback would quickly result in *fimA* expression inhibition. Another

possibility would be that the mutation introduced in this study interferes with a differential stability

within *fim* genes' transcripts, which is an important element for other fimbrial systems (e.g, the *pap*

fimbrial operon from uropathogenic *E. coli*) (41). One final possibility is that expression of a

functional type I fimbriae system confers a growth advantage (32,42), although this has only been

proposed for static cultures. Alternatively, the peptide products of the stop codon mutants (~35

amino acids long and hydrophobic) could also be partially toxic and induce the selection of cells

with the *fim* switch in the off state. Although this would not apply to the terminator insertion at

position 1 (Ter2) mutant for which there should not be any partial *fimA* peptide product. Further

Graffeuil *et al.*

studies will be needed to clarify the role of the three stop codon mutants and deletion on the

expression of *fimA.* However, our results show that even a single nucleotide substitution can have

subtle but measurable changes at the transcriptional level within an operon when measured in

typical laboratory conditions.

The chromosome of *E. coli* encodes multiple fimbrial systems. However, in the conditions used in

this study, only the *fim* type I fimbriae are functional (22). The results shown in this study open the

possibility of quickly generating *E. coli* strains in which each fimbrial operon is inactivated. This

could be useful to see if any of these systems is essential under a particular growth condition.

Another future direction of interest is to characterise the effect of other *fimA* stop codon mutants on

the stability of its transcript. It would be crucial to determine if the effects observed here are gene

specific or can occur in many other premature stop codon mutants. This is important in the light

that introducing a premature stop codon is the easiest form to disrupt a gene using markerless

techniques such as Cas9- or Cas12a-mediated mutagenesis.

In summary, in this study we show that Cas12a can be used to introduce markerless insertions in

E. coli in one step and that this insertion can have strong secondary structures such as terminator

sequences without compromising too much the efficiency of the process. This approach allows the

transcriptional shut-down of whole operons as demonstrated for the case of the *fim* type I fimbriae

system. More importantly, this approach can be used to easily test the importance of whole

operons for the physiology of *E. coli* or its effect in the design of novel or artificial biosynthetic

pathways.

Materials and Methods

Cas12a Mutagenesis. To design Cas12a crRNA targeting *fimA* with no off-targets in *E. coli* MG1655 (43), we used the webtool Chop-Chop (44) and crRNA ranking 1, 2 and 4 were selected due to its closeness to the start codon. To introduce all the mutations designed in this study we used the plasmids designed by Yan *et al.* (29) and followed their protocol with some modifications. Cloning of crRNAs was done by annealing forward and reverse oligos at 95 °C and ramping the temperature down at 2.55°C/minute. The oligos were then phosphorylated using polynucleotide kinase (ThermoFisher). The plasmid pAC-crRNAred (29) was digested with BsaI-HF (New England Biolabs), dephosphorylated with FastAP (ThermoFisher) and ligated to the annealed oligos. Donor oligos were treated in the same way as crRNA oligos and their annealing was verified as suggested by König *et al.* (45). The night before the experiment we grew from a glycerol stock *E. coli* MG1655 carrying plasmid pKD46-Cas12a at 30 °C. The day of the experiment, 100 µl of overnight culture was transferred to a new agar plate with 0.2% arabinose and four hours later electrocompetent cells were prepared using the "rapid" protocol (46). These cells were co-transformed by plasmids carrying one crRNA and an annealed pair of donor oligos. As a control transformation we used a crRNA targeting the gene coding for GFP. Colony PCR was then performed on transformants for genotyping. Each colony was resuspended in 20 µl water and boiled for 5 minutes. One µl of this sample was used as template for PCR. Agarose gel electrophoresis or Sanger sequencing was followed to determine the number of mutants. At least two independent colonies of each mutant were selected for further analysis. After mutagenesis was confirmed by sequencing, the strains were cured from the plasmids following the recommendations of Yan *et al.* (29). We observed that this process had a 100% efficiency by growing the cells at 37 °C in 5% sucrose in very rich medium such as YT2X. Glycerol stocks were then prepared for each clone. The structure of the L3S2P56 terminator was calculated with the Vienna websuite (47) and visualised with Forna (48).

Graffeuil *et al.*

Agglutination assay. To observe yeast agglutination, wild type or mutant MG1655 was grown

overnight for 16 hours on Luria agar plates as described before (49). A 1 ul plastic loop was used

to take a colony from the plate and mixed with 200 µl of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* suspension

at OD 5 prepared from refrigerated baker's yeast. The loop was used to gently mix the two cell

populations and the appearance of white flocculent aggregates became clear for the wild type

strain in less than 30 seconds. *Shigella flexneri* 5A M90T *was* used as a negative control (50). To

perform this assay from static cultures, we repeated the same procedure but instead of using a

plastic loop we used 10 µl from strains grown overnight in 20 ml tryptic soy broth (TSB). The

agglutination took approximately 2-5 minutes in this case of the wild type strain expressing

plasmid-encoded *fimA*. As a negative control, 10 µl of a 3% (w/v) solution of α-methyl-mannoside

was added to a 10 µl suspension of wild type *E. coli* and pre incubated for 1 minute before

agglutination.

RNA isolation. To isolate RNA we used the modified method described by Blomberg *et al.* (51)

with one further modification. Namely, we grew cells in the same conditions assayed for

agglutination assays (overnight on Luria agar plates) on a lawn. The equivalent to half a plate was

scraped and dissolved immediately in DEPC treated water with 10% SDS, 20 mM sodium acetate

pH 4.8 and 10 mM EDTA and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen cells were thawed and lysed

by incubating the samples for 5 min at 65 °C. Total RNA was extracted by the hot-phenol method

(51). Residual DNA was digested by DNase I (ThermoFisher; 1 U/µg RNA, 60 min, 37 °C) in the

presence of RNase inhibitor (Ribolock, ThermoFisher Scientific; 0.1 U/µl) followed by a clean-up

step of RNA by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and precipitation with ethanol, 0.1 M sodium

acetate pH 5.5 and 20 µg of glycogen (ThermoFisher). Removal of residual DNA was verified by

PCR using *fimA* oligos. Complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were prepared from each RNA sample

using the RevertAid kit (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer instructions. As a control of

DNA contamination we prepare for each sample a sample without reverse transcriptase. (-RT

sample).

Graffeuil *et al.*

qPCR analysis. To perform qPCR we used SYBR Green master mix (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer instructions. To analyse the expression of *fimA*, *fimI*, *hcaT* and *cysG*, we designed specific oligos using primer BLAST (Table 2). We used an iCycler iQ5 (Bio-Rad) and for each gene cDNAs generated from bacteria grown on plates and as a control we produced a sample without reverse transcriptase (-RT) using the exact same RNA. We verified that for each qPCR experiment we did not find any detectable signal in the -RT sample. Denaturation curves were also used to verify the presence of a single product. We performed these controls for every single biological replicate. To verify the linearity of each primer we diluted the cDNA obtained from wild type *E. coli*, which always contained the highest amounts of *fimA and fimI* and verified that 10 times dilutions steps had a slope close to -3.3. We performed this calibration for many of our experiments but not for all. Once we learned that the oligos showed a linear behaviour we trusted our primers. To analyse qPCR data we used the iQ5 optical system software (Bio-Rad) obtained the Δct from the suggested values of the software. We verified in any case manually that changes in the threshold did not affect or bias the results. Each measurement was quantified using the following formula: $\Delta ct = 2^{\wedge}(ct1-ct2)$. Where ct is the threshold crossing value where the SYBR green fluorescent signal appears. In the case of the *fimI* to *fimA* value reported in figure 5A we simply report the Δct without any transformation. Despite the fact that ct values varied across experiments, the *fimI* to *fimA* Δct was, in our hands, consistent across experiments within one order of magnitude. To normalize the data of *fimA* and *fimI* against housekeeping genes we obtained the Δct of each sample against the ct value of *cysG* and *hcaT* and the average of the two values was obtained. The average Δct values of each sample was normalized against the Δct of the wild type strain as a percentage. The ratio of the *fimI* to *fimA* expression was obtained by dividing their normalized Δct values and expressed as a percentage.

AFM. To prepare AFM samples, punch-holed 1 cm pieces of muscovite mica were immobilized on

metal supports using double sided tape. The static cultures were diluted ten times on milliQ water. A drop of 100 µl from the diluted bacterial suspension was afterwards placed on the mica right after cleaving it with laboratory tape. The suspension was incubated for 20 minutes and the liquid was exchanged three times with ultrapure milli Q water. The samples were placed in the chemical hood and left to dry for a minimum of five minutes. The presence of bacteria on the mica was verified using an optical system mounted on the AFM and a random area was selected for imaging. The AFM imaging was performed in a MultiMode8 (Bruker) in force tapping mode using the ScanAsyst software at a rate of 1 Hz using SCANAYST tips with a nominal spring constant of 0.4 N/m. Images shown in figure 6 show the error signal generated by the piezo (J scanner).

Acknowledgements

We thank the support of the Carl Tryggers Stiftelse för Vetenskaplig Forskning (projects CTS 15-96 and CTS18-65 awarded to David A. Cisneros), the Kempestiftelserna (projects JCK-1724 awarded to Bernt Eric Uhlin and SMK 1860 awarded to David A. Cisneros). We also want to thank Ramon Cervantes Rivera for helping with RNA purifications. We also thank Aster Assefa for her technical assistance in qPCR and the creation of deletion mutants.

References

1. Fondi M, Emiliani G, Fani R. Origin and evolution of operons and metabolic pathways. Research in Microbiology. 2009 Sep 1;160(7):502–12.

2. Burkhardt DH, Rouskin S, Zhang Y, Li G-W, Weissman JS, Gross CA. Operon mRNAs are organized into ORF-centric structures that predict translation efficiency. Elife. 2017;6:e22037.

3. Merino E, Yanofsky C. Transcription attenuation: a highly conserved regulatory strategy used by bacteria. Trends in Genetics. 2005 May 1;21(5):260–4.

4. Goodson JR, Winkler WC. Processive Antitermination. Microbiology Spectrum. 2018 Oct; 2020;6(5).

5. Jing W, Bikard D, Cox D, Zhang F, Marraffini LA. RNA-guided editing of bacterial genomes using CRISPR-Cas systems. Nature biotechnology. 2013 Mar;31(3):233–239.

6. Zerbini F, Zanella I, Fraccascia D, König E, Irene C, Frattini LF, et al. Large scale validation of an efficient CRISPR/Cas-based multi gene editing protocol in *Escherichia coli*. Microbial cell factories. 2017;16(1):68.

7. Chen Y-J, Liu P, Nielsen AAK, Brophy JAN, Clancy K, Peterson T, et al. Characterization of 582 natural and synthetic terminators and quantification of their design constraints. Nature Methods. 2013 Jun;10(7):659–664.

8. Wu G, Yan Q, Jones JA, Tang YJ, Fong SS, Koffas MAG. Metabolic Burden: Cornerstones in Synthetic Biology and Metabolic Engineering Applications. Trends in Biotechnology. 2016 Aug 1;34(8):652–64.

9. Russell JB, Cook GM. Energetics of bacterial growth: balance of anabolic and catabolic reactions. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 1995 Mar 1;59(1):48–62.

10. Solomon KV, Moon TS, Ma B, Sanders TM, Prather KLJ. Tuning Primary Metabolism for Heterologous Pathway Productivity. ACS Synth Biol. 2013 Mar 15;2(3):126–35.

11. Kuwahara H, Alazmi M, Cui X, Gao X. MRE: a web tool to suggest foreign enzymes for the

Graffeuil *et al.*

biosynthesis pathway design with competing endogenous reactions in mind. Nucleic Acids

Res. 2016 Jul 8;44(W1):W217–25.

12. Cress BF, Toparlak ÖD, Guleria S, Lebovich M, Stieglitz JT, Englaender JA, et al.

CRISPathBrick: modular combinatorial assembly of type II-A CRISPR arrays for dCas9-

mediated multiplex transcriptional repression in *E. coli*. ACS synthetic biology.

2015;4(9):987–1000.

13. Su T, Liu F, Gu P, Jin H, Chang Y, Wang Q, et al. A CRISPR-Cas9 Assisted Non-

Homologous End-Joining Strategy for One-step Engineering of Bacterial Genome.

Scientific Reports. 2016 Nov 24;6(1):1–11.

14. Bikard D, Euler CW, Jiang W, Nussenzweig PM, Goldberg GW, Duportet X, et al. Exploiting

CRISPR-Cas nucleases to produce sequence-specific antimicrobials. Nat Biotechnol. 2014

Nov;32(11):1146–50.

15. Duguid JP, Smith IW, Dempster G, Edmunds PN. Non-flagellar filamentous appendages

("fimbriae") and haemagglutinating activity in *Bacterium coli*. The Journal of pathology and

bacteriology. 1955;70(2):335–348.

16. Jones CH, Pinkner JS, Roth R, Heuser J, Nicholes AV, Abraham SN, et al. FimH adhesin

of type 1 pili is assembled into a fibrillar tip structure in the Enterobacteriaceae.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1995;92(6):2081–2085.

17. Krogfelt KA, Bergmans H, Klemm P. Direct evidence that the FimH protein is the mannose-

specific adhesin of *Escherichia coli* type 1 fimbriae. Infection and immunity.

1990;58(6):1995–1998.

18. Collier WA, De Miranda JC. [Bacterial hemagglutination. III. Mannose inhibition of *E. coli*

hemagglutination]. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 1955;21(2):133–40.

19. Hultgren SJ, Porter TN, Schaeffer AJ, Duncan JL. Role of type 1 pili and effects of phase

variation on lower urinary tract infections produced by *Escherichia coli*. Infection and

immunity. 1985;50(2):370–377.

20. Wright KJ, Seed PC, Hultgren SJ. Development of intracellular bacterial communities of

Graffeuil *et al.*

uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* depends on type 1 pili. Cellular microbiology.

2007;9(9):2230–2241.

21. Spaulding CN, Klein RD, Ruer S, Kau AL, Schreiber HL, Cusumano ZT, et al. Selective

depletion of uropathogenic *E. coli* from the gut by a FimH antagonist. Nature. 2017

22;546(7659):528–32.

22. Korea C-G, Badouraly R, Prevost M-C, Ghigo J-M, Beloin C. *Escherichia coli* K-12

possesses multiple cryptic but functional chaperone–usher fimbriae with distinct surface

specificities. Environmental Microbiology. 2010;12(7):1957–77.

23. Sohanpal BK, El-Labany S, Lahooti M, Plumbridge JA, Blomfield IC. Integrated regulatory

responses of fimB to N-acetylneuraminic (sialic) acid and GlcNAc in *Escherichia coli* K-12.

PNAS. 2004 Nov 16;101(46):16322–7.

24. Gally DL, Rucker TJ, Blomfield IC. The leucine-responsive regulatory protein binds to the

fim switch to control phase variation of type 1 fimbrial expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12.

Journal of Bacteriology. 1994 Sep 1;176(18):5665–72.

25. Kroner GM, Wolfe MB, Freddolino PL. *Escherichia coli* Lrp regulates one-third of the

genome via direct, cooperative, and indirect routes. Journal of bacteriology.

2019;201(3):e00411–18.

26. O′gara JP, Dorman CJ. Effects of local transcription and H-NS on inversion of the fim

switch of *Escherichia coli*. Molecular Microbiology. 2000;36(2):457–66.

27. Eisenstein BI, Sweet DS, Vaughn V, Friedman DI. Integration host factor is required for the

DNA inversion that controls phase variation in *Escherichia coli*. PNAS. 1987 Sep

1;84(18):6506–10.

28. Aberg A, Shingler V, Balsalobre C. (p) ppGpp regulates type 1 fimbriation of *Escherichia*

coli by modulating the expression of the site-specific recombinase FimB. Molecular

microbiology. 2006;60(6):1520–1533.

29. Yan M-Y, Yan H-Q, Ren G-X, Zhao J-P, Guo X-P, Sun Y-C. CRISPR-Cas12a-Assisted

Recombineering in Bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017 Sep 1;83(17).

            Graffeuil *et al.*

30. Bravo V, Puhar A, Sansonetti P, Parsot C, Toro CS. Distinct mutations led to inactivation of
type 1 fimbriae expression in *Shigella spp.* PLoS ONE. 2015;10(3):e0121785.

31. Zhou K, Zhou L, Lim Q 'En, Zou R, Stephanopoulos G, Too H-P. Novel reference genes for
quantifying transcriptional responses of Escherichia coli to protein overexpression by
quantitative PCR. BMC Mol Biol. 2011 Apr 23;12:18.

32. Blomfield IC, McClain MS, Princ JA, Calie PJ, Eisenstein BI. Type 1 fimbriation and fimE
mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Journal of Bacteriology. 1991 Sep 1;173(17):5298–307.

33. Van Dien S. From the first drop to the first truckload: commercialization of microbial
processes for renewable chemicals. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2013 Dec
1;24(6):1061–8.

34. Cao H, Ma Q, Chen X, Xu Y. DOOR: a prokaryotic operon database for genome analyses
and functional inference. Brief Bioinform. 2019 Jul 19;20(4):1568–77.

35. Che D, Li G, Mao F, Wu H, Xu Y. Detecting uber-operons in prokaryotic genomes. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2006 Apr 1;34(8):2418–27.

36. Richards J, Belasco JG. Obstacles to Scanning by RNase E Govern Bacterial mRNA
Lifetimes by Hindering Access to Distal Cleavage Sites. Molecular Cell. 2019 Apr
18;74(2):284-295.e5.

37. Laurberg M, Asahara H, Korostelev A, Zhu J, Trakhanov S, Noller HF. Structural basis for
translation termination on the 70S ribosome. Nature. 2008 Aug;454(7206):852–7.

38. Scolnick E, Tompkins R, Caskey T, Nirenberg M. Release factors differing in specificity for
terminator codons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1968 Oct;61(2):768–74.

39. Hayes CS, Sauer RT. Cleavage of the A Site mRNA Codon during Ribosome Pausing
Provides a Mechanism for Translational Quality Control. Molecular Cell. 2003 Oct
1;12(4):903–11.

40. Gally DL, Bogan JA, Eisenstein BI, Blomfield IC. Environmental regulation of the fim switch
controlling type 1 fimbrial phase variation in *Escherichia coli* K-12: effects of temperature
and media. Journal of bacteriology. 1993;175(19):6186–6193.

41. Båga M, Göransson M, Normark S, Uhlin BE. Processed mRNA with differential stability in
the regulation of *E. coli* pilin gene expression. Cell. 1988 Jan 29;52(2):197–206.

42. Old DC, Duguid JP. Selective Outgrowth of Fimbriate Bacteria in Static Liquid Medium.
Journal of Bacteriology. 1970 Aug 1;103(2):447–56.

43. Guyer MS, Reed RR, Steitz JA, Low KB. Identification of a sex-factor-affinity site in *E. coli*
as γδ. In: Cold Spring Harbor symposia on quantitative biology. Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory Press; 1981. p. 135–140.

44. Labun K, Montague TG, Gagnon JA, Thyme SB, Valen E. CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool for
the next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jul
8;44(W1):W272–6.

45. König E, Zerbini F, Zanella I, Fraccascia D, Grandi G. Multiple Stepwise Gene Knockout
Using CRISPR/Cas9 in *Escherichia coli*. BIO-PROTOCOL. 2018;8(2).

46. Gonzales MF, Brooks T, Pukatzki SU, Provenzano D. Rapid Protocol for Preparation of
Electrocompetent *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae*. JoVE (Journal of Visualized
Experiments). 2013 Oct 8;(80):e50684.

47. Gruber AR, Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Neuböck R, Hofacker IL. The Vienna RNA Websuite.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Jul 1;36(suppl_2):W70–4.

48. Kerpedjiev P, Hammer S, Hofacker IL. Forna (force-directed RNA): Simple and effective
online RNA secondary structure diagrams. Bioinformatics. 2015 Oct 15;31(20):3377–9.

49. Müller CM, Åberg A, Straseviçiene J, Em\Hody L, Uhlin BE, Balsalobre C. Type 1 fimbriae,
a colonization factor of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, are controlled by the metabolic
sensor CRP-cAMP. PLoS pathogens. 2009;5(2).

50. Sansonetti PJ, Kopecko DJ, Formal SB. Involvement of a plasmid in the invasive ability of
Shigella flexneri. Infection and immunity. 1982;35(3):852–860.

51. Blomberg P, Wagner EG, Nordström K. Control of replication of plasmid R1: the duplex
between the antisense RNA, CopA, and its target, CopT, is processed specifically in vivo
and in vitro by RNase III. The EMBO journal. 1990;9(7):2331–2340.

Table 2 Strains used in this study.

Name	Strain	Reference
Wild type	*Escherichia coli* MG1655	Guyer *et al.*
S. flexneri	*Shigella flexneri 5A M90T*	Sansonetti *et al.*
Stop1	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{106T}$*	This study
Stop2	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{T103A,T104A}$*	This study
Stop3	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{A158T,A159T,A160T,C161A,C162A}$*	This study
ΔfimA	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{Δ76-172}$*	This study
Term1	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{75}$::L3S2P56*	This study
Term2	*Escherichia coli fimA$_{1}$::L3S2P56*	This study

 Table 3. Oligos used in this study.

Name	Sequence	Annotation
17-0001	GGCAATCGTTGTTCTGTCGG	FimA forward
17-0002	GAAGGTCGCATCCGCATTAG	FimA reverse
17-0003	GGCTCTGTCCCTCAGTTCTACAGCGGCTCTGGCCGCTGCCtaaGACAGGTTCGTACCGCATCGCTGGCACAGGAAGGAGCAAC	FimaA deletion donor oligo forward
17-0004	GTTGCTCCTTCCTGTGCCAGCGATGCGGTACGAACCTGTCttaGGCAGCGGCCAGAGCCGCTGTAGAACTGAGGGACAGAGCC	FimA deletion donor oligo reverse
17-0005	TGCCACGACGGTTAATGGTGGGACCGTTCACTTTTAAGGGGAAGTTGTTAACGCCGCTTGCGCAGTTGAT	fimA mutant 1 stop codon crRNA1
17-0006	ATCAACTGCGCAAGCGGCGTTAACAACTTCCCCTTAAAAGTGAACGGTCCCACCATTAACCGTCGTGGCA	fimA mutant 1 stop codon crRNA1
17-0007	CTGCCACGACGGTTAATGGTGGGACCGTTCACTAAAAAGGGGAAGTTGTTAACGCCGCTTGCGCAGTTGA	fimA mutant 2 stop codon crRNA2
17-0008	TCAACTGCGCAAGCGGCGTTAACAACTTCCCCTTTTTAGTGAACGGTCCCACCATTAACCGTCGTGGCAG	fimA mutant 2 stop codon crRNA2
17-0009	GCCGCTTGCGCAGTTGATGCAGGCTCTGTTGATCTTTAAGTTCAGTTAGGACAGGTTCGTACCGCATCGC	fimA mutant 3 stop codon crRNA3
17-0010	GCGATGCGGTACGAACCTGTCCTAACTGAACTTAAAGATCAACAGAGCCTGCATCAACTGCGCAAGCGGC	fimA mutant 3 stop codon crRNA3
17-0013	TTAGAAATAGTTTTTTGAAAGGAAAGCAGCCTCGGTACCAAATTTTCGAAAAAAGACGCTGAAAAGCGTCTTTTTTCGTTTTGGTCCGACAGGTTCGTACCGCATCGCTGGCACAGG	fimA terminator2 at ATG forward
17-0014	CCTGTGCCAGCGATGCGGTACGAACCTGTCGGACCAAAACGAAAAAAGACGCTTTTCAGCGTCTTTTTTCGAAAATTTGGTACCGAGGCTGCTTTCCTTTCAAAAAACTATTTCTAA	fimA terminator2 at ATG reverse
17-0015	CCTGTGCCAGCGATGCGGTACGAACCTGTCGGACCAAAACGAAAAAAGACGCTTTTCAGCGTCTTTTTTCGAAAATTTGGTACCGAGGGCAGCGGCCAGAGCCGCTGTAGAACTGAG	fimA terminator2 inside forward
17-0016	CCTGTGCCAGCGATGCGGTACGAACCTGTCGGACCAAAACGAAAAAAGACGCTTTTCAGCGTCTTTTTTCGAAAATTTGGTACCGAGGGCAGCGGCCAGAGCCGCTGTAGAACTGAG	fimA terminator2 inside reverse
17-0017	tagatAAGGGGAAGTTGTTAACGCCGCTT	fimA crRNA2 BsaI fw
17-0018	agacAAGCGGCGTTAACAACTTCCCCTTa	fimA crRNA2 BsaI rev
17-0019	tagatATCAACAGAGCCTGCATCAACTGC	fimA crRNA3 BsaI fw
17-0020	agacGCAGTTGATGCAGGCTCTGTTGATa	fimA crRNA3 BsaI rev
17-0021	tagacAAGTGAACGGTCCCACCATTAACC	fimA crRNA1BsaI fw
17-0022	agacGGTTAATGGTGGGACCGTTCACTTa	fimA crRNA1 BsaI rev
17-0042	CTGGAACCGTAACGCAGACT	FimA 2 Fw for terminator genotyping
17-0043	AGGCAACAGCGGCTTTAGAT	FimA 2 Rv for terminator genotyping
18-0012	ATCTTTTGGGGGAAAACTGTGC	qPCR fimA Fw 90 bp recognizes all mutants
18-0013	ATTTCTAAATCGACATGGGCAGT	qPCR fimA Rv 90 bp recognizes all mutants
17-0067	TGCTGCCAATGTTTGCTCTG	fimI qPCR Fwd 233pb
17-0068	TTCACTCACCACCGTGCTAC	fimI qPCR Rev
17-0073	tacgCCAAGCTTTGAAAGGAAAGCAGCATGAAAATTAAAAC	fimA-FW cloning pSU19 HindIII EcoRI
17-0074	gccagtGAATTCTTATTGATACTGAACCTTGAAGGTCG	fimA-rv cloning pSU19 HindIII EcoRI
17-0077	tagatCGTCGCCGTCCAGCTCGACCAGGA	crRNA BsaI GFP control fw
17-0078	agacTCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGa	crRNA BsaI GFP control rv

                                                                      Graffeuil *et al.*

Figure 1

Fig 1. Design of Cas12a mutagenesis of the *fimAICDFGH* operon. A) The *Escherichia coli fim* operon is transcribed from a promoter (fimAp) containing an invertible element (grey dotted line) and *fimA* codes for the major fimbrial subunit, which was targeted by Cas12a. B) Enhanced view of the *fim* transcript from the +1 transcriptional start site. The regions amplified for qPCR analysis in the *fimA* and *fimI* genes are shown together with the homologous positions to the three crRNAs used in this study. A stop codon was introduced to substitute the PAM sequence to generate a stop codon mutant (*fimA$_{A106T}$*). C) Scheme of the 97 bp *fimA* deletion (*ΔfimA*) generated with a dsDNA donor oligo bearing 40 bp of homology. D) Scheme of the 54 bp *fimA* terminator insertion generated with a dsDNA donor oligo bearing a terminator sequence surrounded by 30 bp of homology. Two different insertions were generated: the first was generated at the site of the deletion shown in C (*fimA$_{75}$::L3S2P56*) and the second at the position of the start codon (*fimA$_1$::L3S2P56*) of the *fimA* gene. E) Secondary structure prediction of the L3S2P56 terminator used to create the mutants shown in D.

Figure 2

Fig. 2. Detection of *fimA* mutants by PCR. Lanes 1, 3, 5, show a 514 bp PCR product generated by primers that amplify a segment of the wild type *fimA* gene. Lane 2 shows the unchanged PCR product when amplifying the stop codon mutant generated with crRNA1 (*fimA$_{A106T}$*). Lanes 4 and 6 show the PCR product generated after amplifying DNA from the deletion mutant (*ΔfimA,* 420 bp) and the internal terminator insertion (Ter1, 474 bp), respectively. Lanes 7 show a 988 bp PCR product generated by primers that amplify a segment of wild type *fimA* including the leader sequence and lane 8 shows the 870 bp PCR product generated with DNA from the second terminator insertion (Ter2), which is at the start codon position.

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Fig 1. Efficiency of *fimA* mutant generation with Cas12a. A) 10/10 *E. coli* colonies co-transformed with crRNA1 and the donor oligo carrying a 97 bp *fimA* deletion tested positive as detected by colony PCR. B) 3/10 *E. coli* colonies co-transformed with crRNA1 and the donor oligo carrying a 54 bp *fimA* terminator insertion tested positive as detected by colony PCR (marked with *). C) 1/10 *E. coli* colonies co-transformed with crRNA1 and the donor oligo carrying a 54 bp terminator insertion at the *fimA* start codon tested positive as detected by colony PCR (marked with *).

Figure 3

Fig 3. Agglutination assay of *fimA* mutants. A) A suspension of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is spread on glass plate. B) Using a loop the strain to be tested is mixed and the fimbriae subunit FimH recognizes mannose residues on the surface of the cells. C) The polyvalent binding of fimbriae to cells causes an agglutination chain reaction, which is macroscopically visible. D-I) Agglutination reaction for the following strains: D) WT *E. coli*, E) *Shigella flexneri* (negative control), F) Stop codon mutant (*fimA$_{A106T}$*) G) Δ*fimA* H) *fimA$_{75}$::L3S2P56* I) *fimA$_{1}$::L3S2P56.* Scale bar 2 cm.

Figure 4

Fig 4. Plasmid complementation of *fimA* mutants. *fimA* was expressed from a plasmid with a P15 origin of replication and lac promoter (orange) without IPTG induction. The empty vector, pSU19, was used as negative control. A-J) Agglutination reaction for the following strains: A) WT *E. coli* + empty vector. B) WT *E. coli* + *fimA* plasmid. C) $fimA_{A106T}$ + empty vector. D) $fimA_{A106T}$ + *fimA* plasmid. E) Δ*fimA* + empty vector. F) Δ*fimA* + *fimA* plasmid. G) Term1 ($fimA_{75}::L3S2P56$) + empty vector. H) Term1($fimA_{75}::L3S2P56$) + *fimA* plasmid. I) Term2 ($fimA_{1}::L3S2P56$) + empty vector. J) Term2 ($fimA_{1}::L3S2P56$) + *fimA* plasmid. Scale bar 2 cm.

Figure 5

Fig 5. Quantitative PCR analysis. Two PCR product were used to quantify the expression of *fimA* and *fimI* (see scheme in Fig. 1). A) *fimI* expression normalized to *fimA* expression. Each data point represents a biological replicate and the median is shown (–). The error bars show the 25th and 75th percentile. B) Same data as in A) but shown as median ΔCt expression of *fimA* and *fimI* normalized to the housekeeping genes *hcaT* and *cysG* and expressed as percent of the wild type. Orange and yellow data points represent the stop codon mutants generated with crRNA1 and crRNA2 as in A. The median ratio of the normalized *fimI/fimA* expression is shown. The error bars show the 25th and 75th percentile. The labels represent the following mutants: Stop (*fimA*$_{A106T}$), deletion mutant Δ*fimA*), Ter1 (*fimA*$_{75}$*::L3S2P56*), Ter2 (*fimA*$_1$*::L3S2P56*).

Figure 6

Figure 6. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and agglutination of static cultures. A) AFM imaging of wild-type *E. coli* + *fimA* plasmid grown in static conditions. A cell showing fimbriae is shown in the inset. B) AFM imaging of Term2 mutant of *E. coli* (*fimA$_1$::L3S2P56*) + *fimA* plasmid grown in static conditions. A cell showing flagella is shown as an inset. C) Yeast agglutination assay of wild-type *E. coli* + *fimA* plasmid grown in static conditions. D) Yeast agglutination assay of of wild-type *E. coli* + *fimA* plasmid grown in static conditions in the presence of α-methyl-mannoside. E) Yeast agglutination assay of Term2 mutant of *E. coli* (*fimA$_1$::L3S2P56*) + *fimA* plasmid grown in static conditions. Scale bars: A-B) 4 µm. A-B) insets 1 µm. C-E) 2 cm.