Δ-d_N/d_S: New Criteria to Distinguish among Different Selection Modes in Gene Evolution

Xun Gu[1*]

[1]Department of Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology, Iowa State University,

Ames, IA 50011, USA.

*Corresponding author: xgu@iastate.edu

Abstract

One of the most widely-used measures for protein evolution is the ratio of nonsynonymous distance (d_N) to synonymous distance (d_S). Under the assumption that synonymous substitutions in the coding region are selectively neutral, the d_N/d_S ratio can be used to test the adaptive evolution if $d_N/d_S>1$ statistically significantly. However, due to selective constraints imposed on amino acid sites, most encoding genes demonstrate $d_N/d_S<1$. As a result, d_N/d_S of a gene is less than 1, even some sites may have experienced positive selections. In this paper, we develop a new criterion, called Δ-d_N/d_S, for positive selection testing by introducing an index H, which is a relative measure of rate variation among sites. Under the context of strong purifying selection at some amino acid sites, our model predicts that $dN/dS=1-H$ for the neutral evolution, $d_N/d_S<1-H$ for the nearly-neutral selection, and $d_N/d_S>1-H$ for the adaptive evolution. The potential of this new method for resolve the neutral-adaptive debates is discussed.

Introduction

Since the proposal of neutral theory (Kimura 1968; King and Jukes 1969) as well as the more general nearly-neutral theory (Ohta 1973; Kimura 1983), the debate between selectionists and neutralists has continued to the era of genome sciences (Lynch 2007; Hahn 2008; Fay et al. 2011; Lynch et al. 2016; Kern and Hahn 2018; Jensen et al. 2019). This is partly because detection of positive selection at the genome level remains a challenge in germline mutations (Bustamante et al. 2005; Sabeti et al. 2006) or in somatic mutations in cancers (Chen et al. 2019a). One of most widely-used measure for protein sequence evolution is the ratio of nonsynonymous distance (d_N) to synonymous distance (d_S) (Li 1997). The d_N/d_S ratio has been widely used to test the underlying selection mode. Under the assumption that synonymous substitutions in the coding region are selectively neutral, the d_N/d_S ratio is a conventional estimate for the ratio of mean evolutionary $\bar{\lambda}$ to the mutation rate (v) (Kimura 1983). It appears that the ratio $d_N/d_S=1$ means a strict neutral evolution in nonsynonymous substitutions; $d_N/d_S>1$ for positive selection and $d_N/d_S<1$ for negative selection.

However, the null hypothesis of $d_N/d_S=1$ (strictly neutrality) can be confounded by some amino acid sites subject to very strong purifying selection (lethal mutations) (Ohta 1992). Therefore, without knowing the proportion of lethal mutations to make some corrections, the d_N/d_S ratio of a gene could be less than one even when some sites are indeed subject to positive selection. In other words, the application of $d_N/d_S>1$ as a criterion to detect positive selection becomes less powerful. Indeed, the observation of $d_N/d_S<1$ can be explained by either the existence of essential sites plus some sites under (weak) positive selection, or the existence of essential sites in the dominance of strictly neutral evolution, or the nearly nearly-neutral evolution. In this paper, we propose a new criterion to distinguish between these possibilities.

Results and Discussion

The evolutionary rate of an encoding-gene

In the theory of molecular evolution, the evolutionary rate (λ) of a nucleotide is determined by three levels of genetic processes: mutation at the individual level, polymorphism at the population level, and fixation at the species level (Kimura 1983). Let v be the mutation rate, s the coefficient of selection and N_e the effective population size. It has been showed that the evolutionary rate is given by

$$\lambda = v \frac{4N_e s}{1 - e^{-4N_e s}}$$

(1)

Eq.(1) has provided a solid foundation for resolving the debate between adaptive evolution, neutral evolution, and nearly-neutral evolution: it predicts $\lambda/v > 1$ for adaptive evolution ($s > 0$), $\lambda/v = 1$ for neutral evolution ($s = 0$), or $\lambda/v < 1$ for deleterious evolution ($s < 0$), respectively. Usually, $S = 4N_e s$ is called the selection intensity that uniquely determines the rate-mutation ratio λ/v.

In practice, the ratio of nonsynonymous distance (d_N) to synonymous distance (d_S) is widely used in molecular evolution. Under the assumption that synonymous substitutions are selectively neutral, the d_N/d_S ratio can be used as a proxy of the λ/v ratio. Based on the d_N/d_S ratio, numerous statistical methods (Li et al. 1985; Nei and Gojobori 1986; Li 1993; Ina 1995; Cameron 1995; Yang 1997; Yang 2006) were developed to test the neutrality: rejection of the null hypothesis $d_N/d_S = 1$ indicates a positive selection if $d_N/d_S > 1$, or a negative selection if $d_N/d_S < 1$. However, the d_N/d_S ratio is the mean estimated from the nucleotide sites of an encoding gene. Because each site may have different selection intensity (S), without loss of generality we assume that S varies among sites according to a distribution $\Phi(S)$. It follows that the d_N/d_S ratio is actually expected to be

$$\frac{d_N}{d_S} \sim \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{v} = \int \left[\frac{S}{1 - e^{-S}} \right] \Phi(S) dS$$

(2)

While the criteria for detecting different evolutionary modes remain the same, we have realized that the interpretation of d_N/d_S ratio test depends on the structure of $\Phi(S)$.

For instance, with a few exceptions, it has been observed that $d_N/d_S<1$ holds for encoding genes of all organisms, indicating the role of selective constraints imposed on the protein sequence. There are two common interpretations of $d_N/d_S<1$. One is the nearly-neutral model, that is, the range of S in $\Phi(S)$ is negatively continuous, with a range of $(-\infty, 0)$; the other one is the neutral-lethal model, that is, $\Phi(S)$ follow a simple two-state distribution, $S=0$ (strictly neutral) and $S=-\infty$ (lethal).

The Δ-criterion on protein sequence evolution

It appears that additional information is required to develop a new d_N/d_S-based method that can distinguish between more sophisticated selection modes. Noting that the conventional d_N/d_S tests were actually focused on the mean rate-mutation ($\bar{\lambda}/v$) ratio, we consider the second moment of the evolutionary rate, that is,

$$\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{v^2} = \int \left[\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} \right]^2 \Phi(S)dS \tag{3}$$

Next, we introduce a new quantity Δ, the difference between the second-moment and the mean of rate-mutation ratio. From Eq.(2) and Eq.(3), Δ can be written as follows

$$\Delta = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{v^2} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{v} = \int \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} \right) \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} - 1 \right) \Phi(S)dS \tag{4}$$

As shown below, the sign of Δ provides some insights for testing different selection modes.

$\Delta=0$ under the neutral-lethal selection mode

Under the classical neutral model (Kimura 1968; 1983), the distribution $\Phi(S)$ can be constructed as follows: all mutations are classified into two categories: strictly neutral mutations ($S=0$ with a probability of $1-f_L$) or lethal mutations ($S=-\infty$ with the probability of f_L). Since the evolutionary rate is $\lambda=v$ (mutation rate) for strictly neutral mutations and $\lambda=0$ for lethal mutations, respectively, the first (mean) and the second moments of evolutionary rate of a gene under this model is simply given by

$$\bar{\lambda} = (1 - f_L)v$$
$$\bar{\lambda^2} = (1 - f_L)v^2$$

(5)

respectively. We thus conclude that, under the neutral-lethal selection mode, we have $\Delta=0$, regardless of the proportion of lethal mutations.

$\Delta>0$ under the positive selection with neutral-lethal mode

Suppose that all mutations of a gene are classified into three categories: adaptive mutations ($S>0$ with a probability of f_A), lethal mutations ($S=-\infty$ with a probability of f_L), and neutral mutations ($S=0$ with a probability of $1-f_A-f_L$). Moreover, the (positive) selection intensity of adaptive mutations follows a distribution denoted by $\Phi^+(S)$. It follows that Δ can be written as follows

$$\Delta = f_A \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} \right) \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} - 1 \right) \Phi^+(S)dS > 0$$

(6)

which is always larger than 0, regardless of the existence of lethal or neutral mutations.

$\Delta<0$ under negative selection with nearly-neutral mode with lethal mutations

Under the nearly-neutral model with lethal mutations, all mutations of a gene are classified into three categories: nearly-neutral mutations ($S<0$ with a probability of $1-f_L-f_0$), lethal mutations ($S=-\infty$ with a probability of f_L), and neutral mutations ($S=0$ with a probability of f_0). Moreover, the (negative) selection intensity of nearly-neutral mutations follows a distribution denoted by $\Phi^-(S)$. With the argument similar to Eq.(6), one can show

$$\Delta = (1 - f_0 - f_L) \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} \right) \left(\frac{S}{1-e^{-S}} - 1 \right) \Phi^-(S)dS < 0$$

(7)

which is always less than 0

A new d_N/d_S ratio test based on the Δ criterion

An interesting question is whether the Δ criterion is related to the conventional d_N/d_S test. To this end, we invokes the *H*-measure (Gu et al 1995; Gu 2007), which is defined by

$$H = 1 - (\bar{\lambda})^2/\bar{\lambda^2}$$

(8)

Ranging from 0 to 1, a high value of *H* indicates a high degree of rate variation among sites, and *vice versa*. After some rearrangements of Eq.(8), we have

$$\frac{\bar{\lambda^2}}{v^2} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda}/v)^2}{1-H} = \frac{(d_N/d_S)^2}{1-H}$$

(9)

where $\bar{\lambda}/v = d_N/d_S$ is applied according to Eq.(2). It follows that the relationship between Δ, *H* and d_N/d_S is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{d_N}{d_S}\left(\frac{d_N/d_S}{1-H} - 1\right)$$

(10)

Hence, the connection between Δ and d_N/d_S is straightforward: $\Delta<0$ indicates $d_N/d_S<1-H$, $\Delta=0$ indicates $d_N/d_S=1-H$ and $\Delta>0$ indicates $d_N/d_S>1-H$, respectively. Accordingly, we formulate a new d_N/d_S ratio test based on the Δ criterion (Table 1)

(i) The null hypothesis is $d_N/d_S=1-H$, expected by the strictly neutral evolution while some sites are subject to very strong purifying selections (invariable sites).

(ii) Rejection of the null hypothesis because of $d_N/d_S>1-H$ suggests a positive selection whereas some functionally important sites are virtually invariable.

(iii) Rejection of the null hypothesis because of $d_N/d_S<1-H$ provides the evidence for the nearly-neutral model instead of the strictly-neutral model with some invariable sites.

In other words, the new method has two features: (*i*) to detect signal of positive whereas some sites may be subject to very strong constraints (invariable sites); and (*ii*) to distinguish between the nearly neutral model and the strictly-neutral model with invariable sites.

The remaining question is how one can estimate the parameter *H*, which is fundamental in the new d_N/d_S test. Following Gu (2007), we propose a computational based on a protein sequence phylogeny that can be reliably reconstructed. Let $\bar{x}$ and $V(x)$ be the mean and variance of number of changes per amino acid site, respectively. Suppose that amino acid changes at a site follow a Poisson process along the phylogeny, whereas the evolutionary rate (λ) varies among sites. Then one may write $\bar{x}=\bar{\lambda}T$ and $V(x)=V(\lambda)T^2+\bar{\lambda}T$, respectively, where *T* is the total evolutionary time along the phylogeny. These two equations together directly lead to an estimate of *H* by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{V(\lambda)}{V(\lambda) + (\bar{\lambda})^2} = \frac{V(x) - \bar{x}}{V(x) + \bar{x}(\bar{x} - 1)} \quad (11)$$

Given the phylogeny, we implement two methods to infer the number of changes per amino acid site: the first one is the parsimony method to infer the minimum-required number of changes per site, and the second one is the method of Gu and Zhang (1997). To estimate the (bias-corrected) number of amino acid changes at each site.

Case study: Nearly-neutral selection dominates protein evolution

The protein sequences and homology information of the human and other seven vertebrate genomes (mouse, dog, cow, chicken, Xenopus, fugu, zebrafish) were downloaded from Ensembl EnsMart (http://www.ensembl.org/Multi/martview). Though there are several annotated homology relationships between the human and other genomes in Ensembl, we only considered those pairs of genes annotated as UBRH (Unique Best Reciprocal Hit, meaning that they were unique reciprocal best hits in all-against-all BlastZ searches) to be orthologous. In our study, we used genes that have their orthologs across all eight genomes, i.e. V8 ortholog set. Protein sequences of V8 ortholog set were downloaded from Ensembl; if a gene has multiple protein sequences, usually caused by alternative splicing, only the longest sequence was kept. For each V8 ortholog set, homologous proteins were aligned by the program T-coffee using the default parameters (Notredame et al. 2000). The number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (*dS*) and the number of

nonsynonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous site (dN) between human and mouse orthologs were also retrieved form Ensembl EnsMart, which were estimated by PAML package (Yang 1997) using the likelihood method.

Briefly, the estimation procedure was as follows. (*i*) Infer the phylogenetic tree from a multiple alignment of homologous protein sequences. Although there is no methodological preference, we require that the inferred tree topology should be roughly the same over methods. (*ii*) Estimate the nonsynonymous to synonymous ratio (d_N/d_S) from closely related coding sequences that satisfy $d_N/d_S < 1$. (*iii*). Estimate the *H*-measure for rate variation among sites: Use the method of Gu and Zhang (1997) to infer the (corrected) number of changes at each site, under the inferred phylogeny.

We analyzed three independent datasets (Su et al. 2009): (*a*) 342 vertebrate genes from eight species; (*b*) 400 Drosophila genes from 12 species; and (*c*) 380 yeast genes from five species. Impressively, in each dataset, almost all genes satisfy the condition of $d_N/d_S < 1-H$, suggesting that nearly-neutral evolution, rather than neutral evolution plus strong negative selection, explains the pattern of protein evolution. Further, we analyzed 4336 vertebrate genes, while the $d_N/d_S \sim (1-H)$ scatter plotting was shown in Fig.1. Almost all of genes are below the line of $d_N/d_S = 1-H$, or $d_N/d_S < 1-H$. We thus conclude that nearly-neutral model is sufficient to explain the genome-wide pattern of protein evolution in vertebrates.

Further improvements

The new criterion as the null hypothesis of neutrality $d_N/d_S = 1-H$ may have many potential applications because it can be implemented into a variety of existed d_N/d_S-related analyses. Technically, parameter *H* can be treated as a known constant or unknown parameter to be estimated simultaneously. Here we discuss some examples briefly.

Δ-d_N/d_S test in the relative rate analysis

When a relative rate test shows a faster molecular evolution in lineage-1 than lineage-2, using lineage-3 as outgroup, the challenge is to determine whether it is driven by adaptive evolution. Let $[d_N]_k$, $[d_S]_k$ and $[d_N/d_S]_k$ be the nonsynonymous distance, synonymous distance and the ratio in the k-th lineage, respectively, $k=1, 2$ and 3. Under the Δ-d_N/d_S test, the null hypothesis is that lineage-specific fast-evolution follows a strictly neutral evolution whereas some sites are under strong functional constraints, predicting $[d_N/d_S]_1=1-H$, or $[d_N]_1=(1-H)[d_S]_1$, whereas $[d_N/d_S]_2=[d_N/d_S]$, the ancestral ratio of the protein sequence conservation. Therefore, one can establish the connection between Δ-d_N/d_S and the fast-evolving ratio $R_{12}=[d_N]_1/[d_N]_2$ under the assumption of $[d_S]_1=[d_S]_2$, that is,

$$R_{12}=(1-H)/(d_N/d_S) \qquad (12)$$

One can develop a statistical procedure to test the null hypothesis of Eq.(12). Rejection of the null hypothesis may indicate a positive selection if $[d_N]_1/[d_N]_2>R_{12}$, or indicate either functional relaxation or reduced effective population size if $[d_N]_1/[d_N]_2<R_{12}$. In the case when the two species are not closely related so that the estimation of d_S could be subject to a large sampling variance, one may use the estimate of d_N/d_S from reference species.

The radical/conservative replacement rate ratio

A major variety of d_N/d_S-related analysis is the D_r/D_c, the ratio of radical replacement rate (D_r) to conservative replacement rate ratio (D_c) (Dagan et al. 2002; Smith 2003; Hanada et al. 2007; Weber et al. 2014; Figuet et al. 2016; Braun 2018; Chen et al. 2019b). The D_r/D_c ratio analysis postulates that, under positive selection more radical amino acid replacements may occur than conservative replacements, and *vice versa* under negative selection. If we view the D_r/D_c ratio as a proxy to the rate-mutation ration, our Δ-d_N/d_S analysis can be applied to the D_r/D_c with some technical modifications.

Table 1. A summary of Δ-d_N/d_S analysis

Criteria	Interpretations
$d_N/d_S<1$-H	Nearly-neutral evolution, plus some sites under strong selective constraints
$d_N/d_S=1$-H	Neutral evolution, plus some sites under strong selective constraints
$1>d_N/d_S>1$-H	Positive evolution, plus some sites under strong selective constraints
$d_N/d_S=1$	Non-functionalization (being a psedogene without any site under selective constraints)
$d_N/d_S>1$	Dominant positive evolution

References

Braun EL. 2018. An evolutionary model motivated by physicochemical properties of amino acids reveals variation among proteins. Bioinformatics 34(13):i350–i356.

Bustamante CD, et al. 2005. Natural selection on protein-coding genes in the human genome. Nature 437(7062):1153–1157.

Chen B, Shi Z, et al. . 2019a. Tumorigenesis as the paradigm of quasi-neutral molecular evolution. Mol Biol Evol. 36(7):1430–1441.

Chen Q, He Z, et al. . 2019b. Molecular evolution in large steps—codon substitutions under positive selection. Mol Biol Evol. 36(9):1862–1873.

Comeron JM. 1995. A method for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions per site. J Mol Evol. 41:1152–1159.

Dagan T, Talmor Y, Graur D. 2002. Ratios of radical to conservative amino acid replacement are affected by mutational and compositional factors and may not be indicative of positive Darwinian selection. Mol Biol Evol. 19(7):1022–1025.

Fay, J. C. 2011. Weighing the evidence for adaptation at the molecular level. Trends Genet. 27(9):343–9.

Figuet E, et al. . 2016. Life history traits, protein evolution, and the nearly neutral theory in amniotes. Mol Biol Evol. 33(6):1517–1527.

Hahn, M. W. 2008. Toward a selection theory of molecular evolution. Evolution 62:255–65.

Hanada K, Shiu SH, Li WH. 2007. The nonsynonymous/synonymous substitution rate ratio versus the radical/conservative replacement rate ratio in the evolution of mammalian genes. Mol Biol Evol. 24(10):2235–2241.

Ina Y. 1995. New methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions. J Mol Evol. 40(2):190–226.

Kimura, M. 1968. Evolutionary rate at the molecular level. Nature 217:624–626.

Kern, A. D. and M. W. Hahn. 2018. The neutral theory in light of natural selection. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:1366–71

Kimura M. 1983. The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 103–103.

King, J. L., and T. H. Jukes. 1969. Non-Darwinian evolution. Science 164: 788–98.

Li WH. 1993. Unbiased estimation of the rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution. J Mol Evol. 36(1):96–99.

Li, W-H Molecular evolution. (Sinauer associates incorporated, 1997).

Li WH, Wu CI, Luo CC. 1985. A new method for estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous rates of nucleotide substitution considering the relative likelihood of nucleotide and codon changes. Mol Biol Evol. 2(2):150–174.

Lynch, M. 2007. The origins of genome architecture. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.

Lynch, M., M. Ackerman, J.-F. Gout, H. Long, W. Sung, W. K. Thomas, and P. L. Foster. 2016. Genetic drift, selection, and the evolution of the mutation rate. Nat. Rev. Genet. 17:704–714.

Nei M, Gojobori T. 1986. Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Mol Biol Evol. 3(5):418–426.

Ohta, T. 1973. Slightly deleterious mutant substitutions in evolution. Nature 246:96–8.

Ohta T (1992) The nearly neutral theory of molecular evolution. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 23(1):263-286.

Smith NG. 2003. Are radical and conservative substitution rates useful statistics in molecular evolution? J Mol Evol. 57(4):467–478.

Weber CC, Nabholz B, Romiguier J, Ellegren H. 2014. Kr/Kc but not dN/dS correlates positively with body mass in birds, raising implications for inferring lineage-specific selection. Genome Biol. 15(12):542.

Sabeti, P.C., Schaffner, S.F., Fry, B., Lohmueller, J., Varilly, P., Shamovsky, O., Palma, A., Mikkelsen, T.S., Altshuler, D. and Lander, E.S. (2006) Positive Natural Selection in the Human Lineage, *Science*, **312**, 1614-1620.

Yang, Z. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Bioinformatics 13, 555–556 (1997).

Yang Z. 2006. Computational Molecular Evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 48–49.

Fig.1. The d_N/d_S ~ (1-H) scatter plotting, showing that almost all of genes are below the line of d_N/d_S=1-H, or d_N/d_S<1-H.