A simple magnetic nanoparticles-based viral RNA extraction method for efficient detection of SARS-CoV-2
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1 Abstract

The ongoing outbreak of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) originating from Wuhan, China, draws worldwide concerns due to its long incubation period and strong infectivity. Although RT-PCR-based molecular diagnosis techniques are being widely applied for clinical diagnosis currently, timely and accurate diagnosis are still limited due to labour intensive and time-consuming operations of these techniques. To address the issue, herein we report the synthesis of poly (amino ester) with carboxyl groups (PC)-coated magnetic nanoparticles (pcMNPs), and the development of pcMNPs-based viral RNA extraction method for the sensitive detection of COVID-19 causing virus, the SARS-CoV-2. This method combines the lysis and binding steps into one step, and the pcMNPs-RNA complexes can be directly introduced into subsequent RT-PCR reactions. The simplified process can purify viral RNA from multiple samples within 20 min using a simple manual method or an automated high-throughput approach. By identifying two different regions (ORFlab and N gene) of viral RNA, a 10-copy sensitivity and a strong linear correlation between 10 and \(10^5\) copies of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus particles are achieved. Benefitting from the simplicity and excellent performances, this new extraction method can dramatically reduce the turnaround time and operational requirements in current molecular diagnosis of COVID-19, in particular for the early clinical diagnosis.

2 Introduction

In December, 2019, an unknown pathogen-mediated pneumonia emerged in Wuhan, China. Confirmed cases had been soon diagnosed in other cities in China, as well as in other countries. On 11 February 2020, as reported by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC), this unknown pneumonia was confirmed to be caused by a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2, previously named as 2019-nCoV). SARS-CoV-2 is etiologically related to the well-known severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), belonging to the Coronaviridae family, a type of positive-sense, single-stranded RNA coronavirus with an outer envelope. Although the genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 have been fully revealed and various RT-PCR-based detection kits have been developed, clinical diagnosis of COVID-19 is still highly challenging.

Due to its high sensitivity in exponentially amplifying RNA molecules, reverse
transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is identified as a standard and routinely used technique for the analysis and quantification of various pathogenic RNA in laboratories and clinical diagnosis. For example, it has been successfully applied for the detection of SARS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and various other viral pathogens including Zika virus (ZIKV), Influenza A virus, Dengue virus (DENV). After the outbreak of COVID-19, several methods and kits based on RT-PCR for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 genomic RNA have been reported. While RT-PCR-based methods have been widely used in COVID-19 diagnosis, their application in accurate diagnosis of viral infection and epidemic control is severely hampered by their laborious and time-consuming sample processing steps.

Quantitative extraction of nucleic acids with high purity from complex samples are the prerequisite for efficient RT-PCR assays. Low extraction efficiency might give poor signals during exponential amplification and thus result in false negative results. Low extraction quality, on the other hand, may contain a variety of PCR inhibitors, which gives unreliable readouts during amplification.

In the control and diagnosis towards SARS-CoV-2 currently, silica-based spin column RNA extraction methods are widely used, in which a silica membrane or glass fiber is applied to bind nucleic acids. In these traditional methods, the samples need pre-lysis in an appropriate buffer to release nucleic acids from viral particles before binding to the column membrane and multiple centrifugation steps are required to enable binding, washing and elution of extracted nucleic acids. Additionally, as various corrosive chaotropic salts and toxic organic solvents are involved in the lysis and binding steps, several sequential washing steps are required to eliminate possible PCR inhibitory effects in the eluted products. The whole process comprises multiple centrifuging and column-transferring steps, which is laborious, time-consuming, and vulnerable to contamination or column clogging. More importantly, spin column-based approaches are not suitable for a high-throughput, automated operation. In the monitoring and control of sudden outbreaks, such as SARS-CoV-2, these traditional methods consume a large number of operators, but giving low diagnosis efficiency and high risk of cross infection. Thus, fast, convenient and automated nucleic acids extraction methods are highly desirable not just in the molecular diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2, but also in the monitoring and prevention of other infectious diseases.

As an alternative, magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs)-based extraction methods are centrifuge-free and has proven to be easy to operate and compatible to automation and
high-throughput operation. In conventional MNPs-based methods, nucleic acids in the lysed samples can be specifically absorbed on MNPs due to various surface-modified functional groups. In the presence of magnetic fields, nucleic acids are rapidly separated from most impurities in the supernatant. After fast washing steps to eliminate trace impurities, purified nucleic acids can be further released from the surface of MNPs by elution buffer with altered ionic strength. Although much simpler and faster than spin column-based methods, most MNPs-based extraction strategies still contain multiple processing steps such as lysis, binding, washing and elution, which increases operational difficulties in real clinical diagnosis.

Herein, a further simplified and updated MNPs-based viral RNA extraction method is described for highly sensitive extraction and RT-PCR detection of viral RNA using SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses as models. The MNPs is synthesized via a simple one-pot approach, and functionalized with polymers carrying multi-carboxyl groups by following one-step incubation. Due to the strong interaction between carboxyl groups and nucleic acids, the poly carboxyl-functionalized MNPs (pcMNPs) enables rapid and efficient absorption of RNA molecules. In RNA extraction, one lysis/binding step and one washing step are required for nucleic acids extraction and purification from complex samples. More importantly, extracted RNA can be directed introduced into subsequent RT-PCR together with MB without elution step, which dramatically reduce operating time and risk of contamination. The fast extraction method was verified by both manual operation and automated system. Due to its simplicity, satisfactory performances and robustness, this method provides a promising alternative to decrease the labour-intensity and reduce possibility of false-negative results in current RT-PCR-based SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis.

3 Material and methods

Chemicals

Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate, Iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate, ammonium hydroxide, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), (3-Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were bought from Aladdin Industrial Corporation (Shanghai, China). Ammonium hydroxide solution, isopropanol, ethylenediamine and ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 1,4-butanediol diacrylate, 6-amino caproic acid, sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium iodide (NaI), tri(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
(EDTA) and polyethylene glycol 8000 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA).

**Preparation of amino-modified magnetic nanoparticles (NH$_2$-MNPs)**

Bare magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) were prepared based on a simple co-precipitation protocol as previously reported.$^{18}$ Briefly, 3.0 g of Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate and 2.5 g of Iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate were dissolved separately in 100 mL of deionized water and degassed with nitrogen for 20 min to remove the oxygen in the solutions. Both iron solutions were then mixed in a 500 mL round-bottom flask, and 10 mL of ammonium hydroxide was added into the mixture with vigorous stirring under a nitrogen atmosphere. A rapid change of solution colour was observed from orange to black, indicating the formation of co-precipitated bare MNPs. The solution mixture was continuously stirred for another 4 h, and the resulting black products (bare MNPs) were collected with a magnet and dispersed into ethanol after washing several times with deionized water and ethanol. Subsequently, 0.3 g of as-prepared bare MNPs, 15 mL of deionized water and 12 mL of ammonium hydroxide were added into 150 mL of ethanol, followed by a continuous sonication for 30 min at room temperature. 1.2 mL of TEOS was then added dropwise into the solution mixture after sonication, and vigorously stirred for another 4 h at room temperature to allow the formation of silica layers on the surface of MNPs. Afterwards, the silica-coated MNPs were collected with a magnet and the rinsed with deionized water and ethanol for several times to remove residual TEOS. After that, 0.2 g of silica-coated MNPs were dispersed into 50 mL isopropanol, and 0.2 mL of APTES was dropwise mixed with MNPs solution. The mixture was incubated under continuous sonication for 6 h at room temperature, followed by the collection of amino-modified MNPs (NH$_2$-MNPs) with a magnet and washing with deionized water and ethanol to remove free APTES. The final prepared NH$_2$-MNPs were preserved in ethanol at 4 °C and the loading of -NH$_2$ group on the surface was verified by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (FigureS1A).

**Synthesis and characterization of poly (amino ester) with multiple carboxyl groups (PC)**

As shown in Scheme1 A, polymers were prepared based on the protocol reported previously with minor modification.$^{19}$ In brief, 3.5 g of 1,4-butanediol diacylate (17.7 mmol) and 1.5 g of 6-amino caproic acid (11.4 mmol) were firstly mixed in 10 mL of
50% (v/v) DMSO aqueous solution, followed by vigorously stirring for 12 h at 90 °C in a safe dark place. Subsequently, end-capping reaction was performed by the addition of 10% (v/v) amino solution, ethylenediamine, into the polymer/DMSO solution. The as-prepared PC polymers was final preserved at 4 °C with lightproof package. PCs were further characterized by FTIR spectroscopy (FigureS1B).

**Preparation and characterization of PC-coated NH$_2$-MNPs (pcMNPs)**

25 mg of NH$_2$-MNPs were dispersed into 25 mL of 50% (v/v) DMSO aqueous solution and then mixed with 1.25 g of PC under sonication for 10 min. Subsequently, 2.5 mL of NaOH solution (1 M) was introduced to the mixture and sonicated for another 20 min, followed by vigorously shaking for 4 h at 37 °C and rinsing with DMSO and deionized water for several times. The obtained polymer-coated MNPs (pcMNPs) were stored in deionized water at 4 °C. The size and morphology of the pcMNPs were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

**Common nucleic acid extraction**

The pseudovirus samples were obtained from Zeesan Biotech (Xiamen, China) and the standard samples were freshly prepared by step-wise dilution of pseudovirus in fetal calf serum purchased from Thermofisher (Massachusetts, USA) before nucleic acid extraction experiments. 200 μL of as-prepared standard samples with a known copy number of viral particles (down to 10 copies) were incubated with 400 μL lysis/binding buffer (1 M NaI, 2.5 M NaCl, 10% Triton X-100, 40% polyethylene glycol 8000, 25 mM EDTA) and 40 μg pcMNPs for 10 min at room temperature on a rotating shaker. Then, the pcMNPs-RNA complex was collected magnetically for 1 min and the supernatant was discarded. Afterwards, the complex was washed once or twice with 400 μL washing buffer (75% ethanol v/v). The purified nucleic acids were released from MNPs by incubating the complex in 50 μL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0), vortexed at 55 °C bath for 5 min, and 15 μL of supernatant was transferred to subsequent RT-PCR reaction.

**Conventional Real-time reverse-transcription PCR**

To quantify the amount of viral RNA captured, Real-time reverse-transcription PCR using VetMAX™-Plus One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Massachusetts, USA) and Bio-Red PCR detection system (California, USA) was applied. For conventional RT-PCR, a 30 μL of
reaction solution was set up containing 15 μL of eluted supernatant, 7.5 μL of 4 × reaction buffer, 1.5 μL enzyme mixture, and optimized concentrations of primers (from 0.25 μM to 1 μM) and probe (from 0.25 μM to 1 μM). Subsequent thermal cycling was performed at 55°C for 15 min for reverse transcription, followed by 95°C for 30 s and then 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 35 s. Fluorescence readout were taken after each cycle, and the threshold cycle (Ct) was calculated by the Bio-Red analysis system based on plotting against the log10 fluorescence intensity. The oligonucleotide primers and probes were synthesized by GENEWIZ (Suzhou, China), and corresponding sequences are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sequences of primers and probes for RT-PCR.

| Target   | Oligonucleotide | Sequence (5‘-3’)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORF1b</td>
<td>RT-F-ORFab1</td>
<td>CCCTGTGGGTTTTACACTTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT-R-ORFab1</td>
<td>ACGATTGTGCATCAGCTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT-P-ORFab1</td>
<td>FAM-CCGTCTGCGGTATGTGGAAAGGTTATGG-BHQ1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>RT-F-N</td>
<td>GGGGAACCTTCTCTCTGCTAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT-R-N</td>
<td>CAGACATTTTGTCTCTCAAGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT-P-N</td>
<td>FAM-TTGCTGCTGTTGACAGATT-TAMRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) FAM: 6-Carboxyfluorescein; BHQ1: Black Hole quencher1; TAMRA: 5-Carboxytetramethylrhodamine.

Direct RT-PCR amplification and detection of the extracted pcMNP-RNA complex

To investigate whether the extracted pcMNPs-RNA complexes can be directly used for RT-PCR, an identical extraction was carried out, in which the whole complex was transferred to the PCR tube without the elution step. Specifically, 30 μL of reaction solution composed of 15 μL of TE buffer, 7.5 μL of 4 × reaction buffer, 1.5 μL enzyme mixture, and optimal concentrations of primers (1 μM each) and probe (1 μM) was mixed with pcMNP-RNA complexes by brief vortexing, and directly transferred to the PCR tube for RT-PCR using the same procedure as abovementioned.

Development of an automated protocol for RNA extraction

A high throughput automated RNA extraction method was adapted using a commercial NP968-C automatic nucleic acid extraction system (TIANLONG, Xi'an, China), which could simultaneously process up to 32 parallel samples in 96-well sample plates. In detail, 400 μL of lysis/binding buffer, 40 μg pcMNPs and 200 μL of the sample containing a specific number of pseudovirus particles was sequentially
dispensed into Column 1 of the sample plate. Then the washing buffer and elution buffer
was added separately into Column 2 or 4. Finally, the 96-well sample plate was plugged
onto the matrix and RNA extraction was performed by following an optimized program
(TableS1). Once the program was finished, the 96-well sample plate was removed and
the 15 μL of the eluted product was analysed using the conventional RT-PCR protocol
as described above.

4 Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of pcMNPs

The pcMNPs were prepared by two steps as depicted in Scheme1B. It started with
one-pot synthesis of NH₂-MNPs using co-precipitation reaction and hydrolysis of
TEOS/APTES. Once PC polymer was successfully synthesized, its reaction with NH₂-
MNPs would form pcMNPs by the Michael addition to efficiently give pcMNPs. To
investigate the morphology of prepared pcMNPs, transmission electron microscopy
(TEM) was used and the representative image was shown in Figure1A, suggesting a
spherical morphology of the prepared pcMNPs. In addition, enlarged TEM image of
cMNPs revealed a thin silica-layer on the particle surfaces with a thickness around 3
nm, implied the successful preparation of silica-coating. By using the dynamic light
scattering technique, it is observed that the prepared pcMNPs have an average diameter
of 10.22 ± 2.8 nm without apparent aggregation (Figure1B). To verify the successful
functionalization of synthesized PC polymers, the average zeta potentials of bare MNPs,
silica-coated MNPs and pcMNPs were measured by DLS. As shown in Fig 1C, the
average zeta potential changed from -4.82 mV ± 0.54 of bare MNPs to 20.57 mV ± 0.71
of silica-coated MNPs due to the NH₂- groups introduced during silica-coating.
However, functionalization of PC polymers resulted in a decrease of average zeta
potential of pcMNPs to -38.67 mV ± 0.84, indicating successful polymer coating and
more importantly, good dispersion of pcMNPs in the solution. Subsequently, the
magnetic response property of bare MNPs, NH₂-MNPs and pcMNPs were tested
(Figure1D). The magnetically capture process was completely finished within 30 s,
implying excellent paramagnetic property of the prepared pcMNPs.
Scheme 1 Schematic illustration for (A) the synthesis of PC polymer, and (B) the preparation of pcMNP.

Figure 1 Characterization of pcMNPs. (A) TEM image and (B) size distribution of pcMNPs; The average size of nanoparticles is represented as mean ± SD of over 250 individual particles. (C) Zeta potentials of bare MNPs, NH₂-MNPs and pcMNPs in water. (D) The magnetic response property of bare MNPs, NH₂-MNPs and MBs in 30 s.
RNA binding property of pxMNPs

To investigate the RNA binding property of prepared pxMNPs, RNA binding assays were performed by incubating 2 μg RNA molecules with 20 μg pcMNPs in 200 μL lysis/binding buffer. As shown in Figure 2A, more than 90% of RNA was absorbed by the pcMNPs within 10 min. In contrast, significant amount RNA molecules have been observed using a commercialized RNA extraction kit under similar conditions. More importantly, no aggregation has been observed when incubating pxMNPs in a high-salt solution (50 mM NaCl), further confirmed their excellent dispersity (Figure 2B).

![Figure 2A: RNA binding affinity of pcMNPs analysed by native PAGE.](image1)

![Figure 2B: Dispersion stability of pcMNPs in a high-salt solution (50 mM NaCl).](image2)

**Figure 2: RNA binding and dispersion property of pcMNPs.** (A) RNA binding affinity of pcMNPs analysed by native PAGE; (B) Dispersion stability of pcMNPs in a high-salt solution (50 mM NaCl).

Optimization of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA extraction and amplification

By following a simple lysis/binding-washing-elution protocol shown in Scheme 2, 10^5 copies of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus in 200 μL serum samples were extracted and subject to RT-PCR analysis. We first carried out a concentration optimization of the primer and probe to maximize the efficiency and sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 detection. The results showed that the optimal concentrations were 1 μM and 1 μM for the primer
pairs and probes respectively (FigureS2). Subsequently, the optimal amount of pcMNPs in viral RNA extraction were evaluated. Considering the high viral load in clinical samples, although 20 μg of pcMNPs were enough to extract $10^5$ copies of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus RNA in 200 μL serum samples (FigureS3), 40 μg pcMNPs was used in subsequent experiments.

Applications of pcMNPs in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA using Direct RT-PCR

![Figure3 RT-PCR assays towards viral RNA extracted by different pcMNPs-based methods.](image)

RT-PCR assays amplifying (A) the ORF1ab region and (B) the N gene in pseudoviral RNA extracted by a manual protocol.

Due to the excellent water dispersity of pcMNPs, we hypothesized that they can stay dispersed in the first few cycles of RT-PCR reaction, without shielding the extracted RNA molecules in aggregates from primer binding and elongation. Thus, by following the optimized conditions, performances of the pcMNPs-based RNA extraction and Direct RT-PCR was investigated. $10^5$ copies of pseudoviruses were spiked into 200 μL of serum and the extracted viral RNA were analysed by RT-PCR using eluted products (Conventional RT-PCR) or pcMNPs-RNA complexes without elution (Direct RT-PCR). In these experiments, the serum sample without pseudoviruses was used as a negative control, while the PCR reaction mixture directly spiked with $10^5$ copies of pseudoviruses was regarded as a positive control. As shown in Figure3A, in the detection of ORF1ab (Open Reading Frame 1ab) region, the direct RT-PCR without elution step exhibited a slightly smaller cycle threshold (Ct) value than that measured using Conventional RT-PCR after elution. This is possibly because all extracted viral RNA was introduced to the amplification in the Direct RT-PCR, while only half of the
eluted products was added for the Conventional RT-PCR. This phenomenon suggests that one advantage of the pcMNPs-based Direct RT-PCR protocol is to reduce possible false negative results, since all extracted viral RNA has been directed to the subsequent amplification without potential lost in the elution and transfer steps. Additionally, there is no detectable difference between amplification efficiencies of the positive control and Direct RT-PCR (Figure 3A red and purple lines). This result suggests that our pcMNPs-based viral RNA extraction protocol not only exhibits nearly 100% RNA extraction efficiency in serum samples, but also provides high-purity products without PCR inhibitors.

**Automated viral RNA extraction based on pcMNPs**

As previously described, one of the most serious disadvantages of traditional column-based extraction approaches is the difficulties in automation. Because no centrifugation steps are required, MNPs-based methods allow fully automated nucleic acid purification, which is highly important in current SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis. Therefore, the feasibility of automating viral RNA extraction procedure based on our pcMNPs was subsequently evaluated. A commercialized magnetic rods-based nucleic acid purification system was used. An automated programme was set according to the manual protocol (Figure 4A). During the extraction process, although the shaking pattern of magnetic rods was set at the most vigorous level, no breakage or leakage of pcMNPs were observed, since the eluted solution are colourless and transparent (Figure S4). As shown in Figure 4B, the amplification curve of the automated sample is very close to that of the positive control and manually performed Direct RT-PCR samples, which suggests that our pcMNPs-based method is highly suitable for automated high throughput viral RNA extraction.
Figure 4 Automated viral RNA extraction based on pcMNPs. (A) A schematic diagram of automated extraction protocol. (B) RT-PCR assays amplifying the ORF1ab region in pseudoviral RNA extracted by automated protocol, and (B) RT-PCR assays amplifying the N gene in pseudoviral RNA extracted by an automated protocol.

Then, the performances of the pcMNPs-based method in extracting and detecting the Nucleocapsid (N) gene were evaluated. In agreement with the results of ORF1ab region, the N gene assays also confirmed the high extraction efficiency and robustness of pcMNPs-based method in both manually operated Direct RT-PCR and automated protocol (Figure 4C).
Sensitivity and dynamic range of pcMNPs-based viral RNA detection

![Graph A](image1.png) ![Graph B](image2.png) ![Graph C](image3.png) ![Graph D](image4.png)

**Figure 5** Sensitivity and dynamics range of pcMNPs-based viral RNA detection. (A) RT-PCR assays amplifying the $N$ gene in pseudoviral RNA following the Conventional RT-PCR protocol, and (B) corresponding calibration curve. The red line is the linear regression fit ($R^2 = 0.999$). (C) RT-PCR assays amplifying the $N$ gene in pseudoviral RNA following the Direct RT-PCR protocol, and (D) corresponding calibration curve. The red line is the linear regression fit ($R^2 = 0.998$).

Then, the sensitivity and dynamic range of pcMNPs-based viral RNA detection method was evaluated and compared by following the Conventional and Direct RT-PCR protocols, using $N$ gene carrying pseudovirus as a model. A series of standard samples containing $10^5$ to 10 copies of pseudovirus were freshly prepared by step-wise 10-fold dilution of in serum. A control serum sample (no pseudovirus) was also included as a negative control to monitor the presence of false positive signals. As shown in Figure 5A, a detection limit of 10 copies of pseudovirus was achieved using Conventional RT-PCR protocol. A strong linear relationship between the logarithm of pseudovirus particle numbers and the corresponding Ct values was found crossing over 5 orders of magnitude ranging from 10 to $10^5$ copies with a high correlation coefficient ($R^2 = 0.999$) (Figure 5B). Parallelly, similar detection limit and linear relationship were observed in experiments following the pcMNPs-based Direct RT-PCR protocol.
(Figure5C and D). Meanwhile, the differences of Ct values between neighbouring curves are approximately 3 cycles, which is very close to the theoretical 3.3 cycles for a 10-fold dilution. These results indicated that the RT-PCR amplification is highly efficient both in the presence or absence of pcMNPs. However, it is also important to note that in both cases, the negative controls sometimes gave observable amplification signals. Although their Ct values are lagged too far behind 40 cycles to be regarded as a valid positive result, this phenomenon raises concerns about possible false-positive issues, in which further optimization of primer pairs and probe might be necessary.

Overall, the updated MB-based extraction method had highly extraction efficiency and compatibility of PCR amplification in any of the patterns, which dramatically simplified laborious sample processing work and was ideally suitable for RT-PCR assay of SARS-CoV-2 with a sensitivity of 10 copies at least.

5 Conclusions

Table 2. A comparison of spin column- and pcMNPs-based extraction method in SARS-CoV-2 virus RNA extraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Spin column 1)</th>
<th>pcMNPs 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Multi-step and assistant with a high-speed centrifuge</td>
<td>One-step and assistant with a magnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Manual only</td>
<td>Manual and automated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Require toxic reagents (chloroform/phenol, chaotropic salts)</td>
<td>No toxic reagents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality and productivity</td>
<td>High purity but limited productivity</td>
<td>High purity and high productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elution</td>
<td>Require large-scale elution buffer</td>
<td>RNA elution products or MB adsorbed RNA products without elution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For RT-PCR</td>
<td>RNA elution products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction Time for Multiple Samples</td>
<td>&gt; 2 h</td>
<td>~ 30 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Commercial RNA Purification: QIAGEN 52906 QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit; Real-time RT-PCR instrument: Roche LightCycler 480. 2) This work: functional pcMNPs-based RNA extraction and real-time PCR amplification (Bio-Red).

Efficient and robust nucleic acids extraction from complex clinical samples is the first and the most important step for subsequent molecular diagnosis, but currently it is still highly labour intensive and time-consuming. For example, although the genome
sequences of SARS-CoV2 have been fully revealed and various RT-PCR-based
detection kits have been developed, timely diagnosis of COVID-19 is still highly
challenging partially due to the lack of satisfactory viral RNA extraction strategy. In
this study, a carboxyl polymer-coated MNPs, namely pcMNPs, was developed and a
simple but efficient viral RNA extraction system was established for sensitive detection
of SARS-CoV-2 RNA via RT-PCR. As compared with traditional column-based nucleic
acids extraction methods, our pcMNPs-based method has several advantages (Table 2).
Firstly, pcMNPs-based method combines the virus lysis and RNA binding steps into
one, and the pcMNPs-RNA complexes can be directly introduced into subsequent RT-
PCR reactions (Direct RT-PCR), which gives a dramatically simplified RNA extraction
protocol. Secondly, pcMNPs have excellent viral RNA binding performances, which
results in 10-copy sensitivity and the high linearity over 5 logs of gradient in SARS-
CoV-2 viral RNA detection using RT-PCR. Thirdly, this method can be easily adopted
in fully automated nucleic acid extraction systems without laborious optimization.
Furthermore, the pcMNPs-RNA complexes obtained by this method is also compatible
with various isothermal amplification methods, such as RPA and LAMP, and thus could
be used in the development of POCT devices. In conclusion, due to its simplicity,
robustness, and excellent performances, our pcMNPs-based method may provide a
promising alternative to solve the laborious and time-consuming viral RNA extraction
operations, and thus exhibits a great potential in the high throughput SARS-CoV-2
molecular diagnosis.
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