Control of endoplasmic reticulum membrane biogenesis by regulators of lipid metabolism

Peter W. Bircham[1,2,*], Dimitrios Papagiannidis[1,*], Christian Lüchtenborg[3], Giulia Ruffini[1], Britta Brügger[3], Sebastian Schuck[1]

[1] Center for Molecular Biology of Heidelberg University (ZMBH), DKFZ-ZMBH Alliance and CellNetworks Cluster of Excellence, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

[2] present address: Laboratory of Systems Biology, VIB Center for Microbiology / Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, CMPG, KU Leuven, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

[3] Biochemistry Center of Heidelberg University, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

[*] these authors contributed equally

correspondence: Sebastian Schuck (ORCID 0000-0002-6388-0661), s.schuck@zmbh.uni-heidelberg.de, Center for Molecular Biology of Heidelberg University (ZMBH), Im Neuenheimer Feld 282, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

keywords: organelle biogenesis, endoplasmic reticulum, URP, Opi1, lipin, yeast

ABSTRACT

Cells dynamically adapt organelle size to current physiological demand. Organelle growth and proliferation require membrane biogenesis and need to be coordinated with lipid metabolism. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) can undergo massive expansion but the mechanisms that govern ER membrane biogenesis are unclear. Here, we genetically screen for factors mediating ER expansion in budding yeast and identify lipid synthesis enzymes and the ER transmembrane protein Ice2 as strong hits. Ice2 inhibits the conserved phosphatidic acid phosphatase Pah1 by opposing the activity of the Nem1-Spo7 complex. This regulation counteracts the production of storage lipids and directs lipid metabolism towards membrane biogenesis. Furthermore, Ice2 acts in concert with the transcriptional control of lipid synthesis enzymes and cooperates with the unfolded protein response to maintain ER homeostasis. These findings establish the regulation of the lipin ortholog Pah1 as a key determinant of ER membrane biogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Cells reshape and resize their organelles when they undergo differentiation or adapt to changing conditions. An increase in organelle size or number typically involves enhanced membrane biogenesis, which in turn requires an adequate supply of membrane lipids. As a result, organelle biogenesis depends on whether cells employ available lipids as building blocks for new membranes, consume them for energy production or store them for future use. Accordingly, the mechanisms that control lipid synthesis and utilization are fundamental for organelle biogenesis and, consequently, for cell differentiation and adaptation.

The ER is a morphologically complex organelle with essential functions in protein folding and lipid synthesis (Westrate et al., 2015). It forms the nuclear envelope and extends into the cytoplasm as an interconnected network called the peripheral ER. The principal structural elements of the ER are tubules and sheets (Shibata et al., 2010). In addition, intermediate structures exist, such as tubular matrices and sheets with fenestrations or nanoholes (Puhka et al., 2012; Nixon-Abell et al., 2016; Schroeder et al., 2019). A variety of organelle morphologies can arise according to physiological demand, ranging from mainly tubular ER in lipid hormone-producing cells of the testis to mainly cisternal ER in secretory cells of the pancreas (Fawcett, 1981). ER size is also tuned to cellular need. The ER expands several-fold when B lymphocytes differentiate into antibody-secreting plasma cells or when cells face protein folding stress in the ER (Wiest et al., 1990; Bernales et al., 2006). Stress-induced ER expansion is mediated by signaling through the unfolded protein response (UPR), which induces genes encoding ER-resident protein folding enzymes to restore homeostasis (Walter and Ron, 2011). Besides determining the abundance of protein folding enzymes, the UPR also regulates the biogenesis of the ER membrane. It does so, at least in part, through the induction of genes that encode lipid synthesis enzymes (Sriburi et al., 2007; Bommiasamy et al., 2009; Schuck et al., 2009).

Yeast synthesize membrane phospholipids primarily from phosphatidic acid (PA)

through the CDP-DAG pathway (Henry et al., 2012). Many enzymes of this pathway

are controlled transcriptionally by the activators Ino2/4 and the repressor Opi1. Ino2

and Ino4 form a heterodimer that binds to promoter elements of lipid synthesis

genes. Opi1 inhibits Ino2/4 by binding to Ino2 (Heyken et al., 2005). Repression of

Ino2/4 by Opi1 is relieved when accumulating PA tethers Opi1 to the ER membrane

and sequesters it away from the nucleoplasm (Loewen et al., 2004). The PA-Opi1-

Ino2/4 system thus forms a feedback loop and matches PA availability to the cellular

capacity for converting PA into other phospholipids. Removal of Opi1 results in

activation of lipid synthesis and ER membrane expansion, even in cells lacking the

UPR (Schuck et al., 2009). This membrane expansion without a corresponding

upregulation of the protein folding machinery increases resistance to ER stress,

highlighting the physiological importance of ER membrane biogenesis. However, it is

unknown whether activation of Ino2/4 is the only mechanism relevant for ER

membrane biogenesis. Furthermore, Ino2/4 and Opi1 are not conserved in

metazoans. Lipid metabolism could therefore be regulated in unique ways in yeast.

Alternatively, conserved lipid metabolism regulators unrelated to Ino2/4 and Opi1

could determine ER size in both yeast and higher eukaryotes.

Here, we systematically search for genes involved in ER membrane expansion in

budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, to better define the regulatory circuitry

that connects lipid metabolism and organelle biogenesis.

RESULTS

An inducible system for ER membrane biogenesis

Removal of Opi1 induces Ino2/4-driven lipid synthesis genes and thereby leads to expansion of the ER (Schuck et al., 2009). To improve experimental control over ER membrane biogenesis, we developed an inducible system using ino2(L119A), which is an Ino2 variant that cannot be inhibited by Opi1 (Heyken et al., 2005). We placed ino2(L119A), here termed ino2*, under the control of the *GAL1* promoter and employed an expression system that activates this promoter upon addition of the metabolically inert sterol ß-estradiol (Pincus et al., 2014). High-level expression of ino2* is expected to displace endogenous Ino2 from the promoters of its target genes, stimulate lipid synthesis and drive ER membrane biogenesis (Figure 1A; Schuck et al., 2009). Fluorescence microscopy confirmed that expression of ino2* triggered pronounced ER expansion (Figure 1B). In untreated cells, the peripheral ER mostly consisted of tubules, which appeared as short membrane profiles along the cell cortex in optical mid sections and as a network in cortical sections. In contrast, estradiol-treated cells had a peripheral ER that predominantly consisted of ER sheets, as evident from long membrane profiles in mid sections and solid membrane areas in cortical sections.

To test whether ino2*-induced ER expansion causes ER stress, we measured UPR activity by means of a transcriptional reporter. This reporter is based on the UPR response element controlling expression of GFP (Jonikas et al., 2009). Treatment with the ER stressor DTT activated the UPR reporter, as expected, whereas expression of ino2* from the *GAL1* promoter did not (Figure 1C). Furthermore, expression of ino2* or removal of Opi1 did not alter the abundance of the chromosomally tagged ER proteins Sec63-mNeon or Rtn1-mCherry, even though Sec63 is encoded by a UPR-regulated gene (Figure 1D; Pincus et al., 2014). These observations indicate that ino2*-induced ER expansion is not an indirect consequence of ER stress but directly arises from enhanced lipid synthesis.

To assess ER membrane biogenesis quantitatively, we developed three metrics for

the size of the peripheral ER at the cell cortex, as visualized in mid sections. These

metrics are: (1) total area of the peripheral ER relative to cell periphery length, (2)

mean length of individual ER profiles relative to cell periphery length and (3) number

of gaps between ER profiles per micrometer cell periphery (Figure 1E). These new

metrics are less sensitive to variation in image quality than the index of expansion

we used previously (Schuck et al., 2009). Measurements of ER size upon induction

of ino2* expression with different concentrations of estradiol showed a dose-

dependent increase in total area and mean profile length, and a decrease in the

number of gaps. At 800 nM estradiol, ER size was indistinguishable from that in

Δopi1 cells and we used this concentration in subsequent experiments. These

results show that this inducible system allows titratable control of ER membrane

biogenesis without causing ER stress.

A genetic screen for regulators of ER membrane biogenesis

To identify genes involved in ER expansion, we introduced the inducible ER

biogenesis system and the ER markers Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry into the

knockout strain collection. This collection contains single deletion mutants for most of

the approximately 4800 non-essential genes in yeast (Giaever et al., 2002). We

induced ER expansion by ino2* expression and acquired images by high-throughput

microscopy. Based on inspection of Sec63-mNeon images of optical mid sections,

we defined six phenotypic classes. Mutants were grouped according to whether their

ER was (1) underexpanded, (2) properly expanded and hence morphologically

normal, (3) overexpanded, (4) overexpanded with extended cytosolic sheets, (5)

overexpanded with disorganized cytosolic structures, or (6) clustered. Figure 2A

shows two examples of each class. To refine the search for mutants with an

underexpanded ER, we applied the three ER size metrics described above (Figure

2B and Table S1A). This computational analysis confirmed the underexpansion

mutants identified visually and retrieved a number of additional, weaker hits. In total,

we found 141 mutants that fell into at least one phenotypic class other than

morphologically normal (Table S1B).

134 Hits included mutants lacking the ER-shaping gene *LNP1*, which had an

135 overexpanded cortical ER with large gaps, and mutants lacking the *SEY1* gene

136 important for homotypic ER fusion, which displayed ER clusters (Figure 2C; Hu et

137 al., 2009; Chen et al., 2012). The re-identification of these known ER morphogenesis

138 genes validated our screening approach. About two thirds of the identified mutants

139 had an overexpanded ER, one third had an underexpanded ER and some showed

140 ER clusters together with an otherwise underexpanded, normal or overexpanded ER

141 (Figure 2D). Overexpansion mutants were significantly enriched in gene deletions

142 that cause UPR activation (Table S1C; Jonikas et al., 2009). This enrichment

143 suggested that ER expansion in these mutants results from ER stress and not

144 enforced lipid synthesis. Indeed, re-imaging of the overexpansion mutants revealed

145 that their ER was expanded also in the absence of ino2* (data not shown).

146 Underexpansion mutants included those lacking *INO4*, as expected, or the known

147 lipid synthesis genes *OPI3, CHO2* and *DGK1*. In addition, mutants lacking *ICE2*

148 showed a particularly strong underexpansion phenotype (Figure 2B).

150 Overall, our screen showed that a large number of genes impinge on ER membrane

151 biogenesis, as might be expected for a complex biological process. The functions of

152 many of these genes in ER biogenesis remain to be uncovered. We here follow up

153 on *ICE2* because of its apparent key role in building an expanded ER. In addition,

154 Ice2 is a polytopic ER membrane protein and has previously been implicated in the

155 formation or maintenance of the peripheral ER network (Estrada de Martin et al.,

156 2005). Ice2 may thus have an immediate role in ER membrane biogenesis.

158 *Ice2 promotes ER membrane biogenesis*

160 To more precisely define the contribution of *ICE2* to ER membrane biogenesis, we

161 analyzed optical sections of the cell cortex. Well-focused cortical sections are more

162 difficult to acquire than mid sections but provide more morphological information.

163 Qualitatively, deletion of *ICE2* had little effect on ER structure at steady state but

164 severely impaired ER expansion upon ino2* expression (Figure 3A).

To describe ER morphology quantitatively, we developed a semi-automated

algorithm that classifies ER structures as tubules or sheets based on images of

Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry in cortical sections (Figure 3B). First, the image of

the general ER marker Sec63-mNeon is used to segment the total ER. Second,

morphological opening, that is the operation of erosion followed by dilation, is applied

to the segmented image to remove narrow structures. The structures removed by

this step are defined as tubules and the remaining structures are provisionally

classified as sheets. Third, the same procedure is applied to the image of Rtn1-

mCherry, which marks high-curvature ER (Westrate et al., 2015). Rtn1 structures

that remain after morphological opening and overlap with persistent Sec63 structures

are termed tubular clusters. These structures appear as sheets in the Sec63 image

but the overlap with Rtn1 identifies them as tubules. Tubular clusters made up only a

minor fraction of the total ER and may correspond to so-called tubular matrices

observed in mammalian cells (Nixon-Abell et al., 2016). Last, for a simple two-way

classification, tubular clusters are added to the tubules and any remaining Sec63

structures are defined as sheets. This analysis using a general and a high-curvature

ER marker allows densely packed tubules to be distinguished from sheets.

Application of this algorithm showed that the ER covered approximately 50% of the

cell cortex in untreated wild-type cells and consisted mostly of tubules, in agreement

with previous studies (Figure 3C; Schuck et al., 2009; West et al., 2011). Expression

of ino2* triggered ER expansion by inducing the formation of sheets. *Δice2* cells had

a defect in sheet formation already at steady state and membrane expansion upon

ino2* expression failed almost completely. Importantly, activation of the prototypic

Ino2/4 target gene *INO1* upon ino2* expression was intact in *Δice2* cells, ruling out

that *ICE2* deletion disrupts the inducible ER biogenesis system (Figure 3D). In

addition, *ICE2* deletion also abrogated the constitutive ER expansion in *Δopi1* cells,

excluding that the expansion defect in *Δice2* cells merely reflects a delay (Figure 3E).

Next, we tested whether Ice2 is also required for ER expansion induced by ER

stress. DTT treatment of wild-type cells triggered rapid ER expansion, which was

again driven by ER sheet formation (Figures 4A). Expansion appeared to be

retarded in *Δice2* cells. Furthermore, induction of the UPR reporter by ER stress was

reduced in *Δice2* cells, showing slowed UPR activation (Figure 4B). However,

images of DTT-treated wild-type and *Δice2* cells revealed that ER expansion in *ice2*

mutants was aberrant rather than delayed. Specifically, DTT induced the appearance

of striking puncta positive for Rtn1-mCherry but not Sec63-mNeon, and these puncta

were much more abundant in *Δice2* than in wild-type cells (Figure 4C-E). Hence,

removal of Ice2 also impairs ER expansion during ER stress.

Finally, we asked whether raising Ice2 levels is sufficient to expand the ER. Indeed,

ICE2 overexpression caused ER expansion, and this still occurred in UPR-deficient

Δhac1 cells (Figure 4F; Emmerstorfer et al., 2015). In addition, *ICE2* overexpression

did not activate the UPR (Figure 4G). These data show that Ice2 can drive ER

membrane biogenesis independently of the UPR. However, the UPR could still

function upstream of Ice2 and induce ER expansion by activating it.

Collectively, these data show that Ice2 is required for and promotes ER membrane

biogenesis. These effects do not result from disrupted Ino2/4 target gene induction in

the absence of Ice2 or from activation of the UPR upon *ICE2* overexpression.

Ice2 acts in the same pathway as Nem1, Spo7 and Pah1

Ice2 has been implicated in ER morphogenesis and lipid metabolism (Estrada de

Martin et al., 2005; Tavassoli et al., 2013; Markgraf et al., 2014). In particular, Ice2

has been suggested to channel diacylglycerol (DAG) from lipid droplets (LDs) to the

ER for membrane lipid synthesis (Markgraf et al., 2014). We therefore tested

whether defective ER membrane biogenesis in *Δice2* cells could result from an

insufficient supply of lipids from LDs. Deletion of *ICE2* causes a growth defect

(Markgraf et al., 2014). Abolishing LD formation by combined deletion of *ARE1*,

ARE2, *LRO1* and *DGA1* (Sandager et al., 2002) did not affect growth under our

assay conditions, and deletion of *ICE2* still impaired growth in the absence of LDs

(Figure S1A). Therefore, Ice2 must have functions that are independent of LDs.

Furthermore, lack of LDs had no effect on ER expansion after ino2* expression or

DTT treatment, and deletion of *ICE2* still impaired ER expansion in the absence of

LDs (Figure 5A, B). Hence, the role of Ice2 in ER membrane biogenesis cannot be

explained by LD-related functions. These experiments additionally show that ER

expansion can occur without lipid mobilization from LDs.

To define the functional context of Ice2, we carried out protein proximity screens

using split-DHFR (Tarassov et al., 2008). An amino- or carboxy-terminal fragment of

a methotrexate-resistant dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR*) was fused to the carboxy-

terminus of Ice2. These Ice2 fusion proteins were functional as judged by growth

assays (Figure S1B). The two Ice2-DHFR* variants were introduced into genome-

wide strain collections in which the respective other DHFR* fragment was fused to

every open reading frame. The resulting strains were grown in the presence of

methotrexate, which blocks endogenous DHFR and prevents cell proliferation.

Proximity of Ice2 and a protein fused to the other DHFR* fragment reconstitutes

dihydrofolate reductase activity and restores growth (Figure 5C). To remove spurious

hits, we performed identical screens with Hmg2, a polytopic ER membrane protein

with similar abundance and distribution as Ice2 (Tkach et al., 2012). Proximity hits

specific for Ice2 predominantly localized to the ER and included many proteins

involved in lipid metabolism, including Cho1, Pis1, Spo7 and Ice2 itself (Figure 5C).

High-throughput studies have identified numerous genetic interactions between *ICE2*

and lipid synthesis genes (Schuldiner et al., 2005; Costanzo et al., 2010; Surma et

al., 2013). An interesting pattern emerged from mapping these genetic data onto the

biochemical pathways for membrane biogenesis and lipid storage (Figure 5D and

Table S2). *ICE2* displays negative interactions with genes for membrane lipid

synthesis but positive interactions with *NEM1*, *SPO7* and *PAH1*, which together

control the conversion of PA into DAG for lipid storage. Negative genetic interactions

typically indicate involvement in parallel pathways relevant for the same biological

process, whereas positive genetic interactions often reflect involvement in the same

pathway (Costanzo et al., 2019).

In light of these data, we focused on *NEM1*, *SPO7* and *PAH1*. To validate the

positive genetic interactions between these three genes and *ICE2*, we performed

growth assays. Remarkably, the growth defect caused by *ICE2* deletion was

completely suppressed by deletion of *NEM1*, *SPO7* or *PAH1*, confirming strong

positive genetic interactions (Figures 5E and S2A, C). Next, we analyzed ER

morphology. Deletion of *NEM1*, *SPO7* or *PAH1* drastically expands the ER and

causes nuclear morphology defects (Siniossoglou et al., 1998; Santos-Rosa et al.,

2005; Campbell et al., 2006). Additional deletion of *ICE2* in *Δnem1*, *Δspo7* or *Δpah1*

cells had no effect on ER morphology, consistent with *ICE2* acting in the same

pathway as the other three genes (Figures 5F and S2B, D). In contrast, deletion of

DGK1 rescued the aberrant ER morphology of *Δnem1*, *Δspo7* and *Δpah1* cells

(Figure S2E). This was expected because Dgk1 opposes Nem1, Spo7 and Pah1

through a distinct pathway, namely the conversion of DAG into PA (Figure 5D; Han

et al., 2008). In conclusion, *ICE2, NEM1, SPO7* and *PAH1* likely control ER

membrane biogenesis as part of the same pathway.

Ice2 promotes ER membrane biogenesis by inhibiting Pah1

Pah1 is a phosphatidic acid phosphatase that converts PA into DAG (Figure 5D; Han

et al. 2006). In yeast, PA is a precursor of all phospholipids, whereas DAG is the

precursor of the storage lipid triacylglycerol (Henry et al., 2012). Pah1 thus promotes

LD biogenesis (Figure 5D; Adeyo et al., 2011). Pah1 activity is regulated by

reversible membrane association, which in turn depends on the phosphorylation

status of Pah1 (Figure 6A). Phosphorylated Pah1 is cytosolic and inactive. Activation

of Pah1 requires its dephosphorylation by the ER-localized phosphatase Nem1 in

complex with Spo7. Pah1 can then associate with the ER membrane and gain

access to its substrate, PA (O'Hara et al., 2006; Karanasios et al., 2010).

Even though Ice2 and Pah1 appear to act in the same pathway, deletion of *ICE2*

impairs ER biogenesis whereas deletion of *PAH1* promotes it. These contrasting

phenotypes raised the possibility that Ice2 inhibits Pah1. To test this idea, we

separated different phospho-forms of Pah1 on Phos-tag gels and used the

phosphorylation status of Pah1 as a readout for its activity (Dubots et al., 2014).

Removal of Ice2 strongly stimulated dephosphorylation of Pah1, and this effect was

dependent on Nem1 (Figure 6B). These result suggests that Ice2 opposes the

activity of the Nem1-Spo7 complex to restrain Pah1 activity.

We challenged this model in two ways. First, we compared the lipidome of wild-type,

Δice2, *Δnem1* and *Δspo7* cells to determine whether they showed the expected shifts

in the relative abundance of storage and membrane lipids. Compared with wild-type

cells, *ice2* mutants had increased levels of ergosterol esters and triacylglycerol, the

two lipid classes that make up LDs (Figure 6C, D). In contrast, the levels of the major

phospholipids phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylcholine were decreased.

These changes agree with earlier data (Markgraf et al., 2014) and indicate that

deletion of *ICE2*, and hence activation of Pah1, shifts lipid metabolism towards lipid

storage. In contrast, *Δnem1* and *Δspo7* cells showed shifts in the opposite direction.

These data confirm that removal of Nem1 or Spo7, and hence inactivation of Pah1,

shifts lipid metabolism towards membrane biogenesis. The lipidomes of *Δnem1*

Δice2 and *Δspo7 Δice2* double mutants were indistinguishable from those of *Δnem1*

and *Δspo7* single mutants. These observations are consistent with the prediction that

removal of Nem1 or Spo7 should abrogate the effects of their inhibitor Ice2.

As a second test of the above model, we asked whether the impact of Ice2 on ER

biogenesis depends on inactivation of Pah1. We employed pah1(7A), which carries

mutations in seven of the residues that are phosphorylated by various kinases and

dephosphorylated by Nem1-Spo7 (O'Hara et al., 2006; Carman and Han, 2019). As

a result, pah1(7A) displays constitutive activity, although some phospho-regulation

by Nem1-Spo7 remains (Su et al., 2012). We quantified ER expansion upon *ICE2*

overexpression in wild-type and pah1(7A)-expressing cells. Overexpression of *ICE2*

in wild-type cells expanded the ER, as before (Figure 6E, also see Figure 4F).

Replacement of Pah1 with pah1(7A) caused a slight shrinkage of the ER at steady

state, consistent with reduced membrane biogenesis. Moreover, pah1(7A) almost

completely blocked ER expansion upon *ICE2* overexpression. Similarly, pah1(7A)

impaired ER expansion upon DTT treatment, almost completely phenocopying the

effects of *ICE2* deletion (Figures 6F, G and 4A, E). These data further support the

idea that Ice2 contributes to ER membrane biogenesis by restricting Pah1 activity.

In summary, Ice2 inhibits Pah1 dephosphorylation by the Nem1-Spo7 complex,

thereby promoting ER membrane biogenesis and opposing Pah1-mediated

channeling of lipids towards LDs.

Ice2 cooperates with the PA-Opi1-Ino2/4 system and the UPR

Deletion of *OPI1* and overexpression of *ICE2* both cause ER expansion. These

effects could be independent of each other or they could be linked. For instance,

ICE2 overexpression could inactivate Opi1 by causing an accumulation of PA

(Loewen et al., 2004). However, combined deletion of *OPI1* and overexpression of

ICE2 produced an extreme ER expansion, which exceeded that in *Δopi1* mutants or

ICE2-overexpressing cells (Figures 7A, B). This hyperexpanded ER covered most of

the cell cortex and contained an even greater proportion of sheets than the ER in

DTT-treated wild-type cells (Figures 7B and 4A). Therefore, the PA-Opi1-Ino2/4

system and Ice2 make independent contributions to ER membrane biogenesis.

Last, to gain insight into the physiological significance of Ice2, we analyzed the

possible interplay between Ice2 and the UPR. UPR-deficient *Δhac1* mutants

behaved like wild-type cells under standard growth conditions (Sidrauski et al., 1996)

and *Δice2* cells showed a modest growth defect. However, *Δhac1 Δice2* cells grew

worse than *Δice2* cells (Figure 7C). This synthetic phenotype indicates that Ice2 and

the UPR cooperate to maintain ER homeostasis.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identify factors involved in ER membrane expansion upon enforced lipid synthesis in yeast. Among these are the DAG kinase Dgk1, which generates PA for membrane biogenesis. Furthermore, we find that Ice2 is essential for proper ER expansion, both upon enforced lipid synthesis and during ER stress. We show that Ice2 inhibits the phosphatidic acid phosphatase Pah1 by opposing the activity of the Nem1-Spo7 phosphatase complex, thereby promoting membrane lipid synthesis. Finally, we provide evidence that Ice2 cooperates with the PA-Opi1-Ino2/4 system and the UPR to regulate ER membrane biogenesis and maintain ER homeostasis.

Together with earlier findings, our results help delineate a regulatory network controlling ER membrane biogenesis (Figure 7D). At the core of this network is the interconversion of DAG and PA by Dgk1 and Pah1. The activity of Pah1 depends on its dephosphorylation by the Nem1-Spo7 complex, which is opposed by Ice2. The Pah1-regulated production of the critical metabolic precursor PA is coordinated with the production of lipid synthesis enzymes that convert PA into other phospholipids. Active Pah1 directly represses Ino2/4-controlled lipid synthesis genes (Santos-Rosa et al., 2005). In addition, PA sequesters Opi1 and thereby derepresses Ino2/4 target genes (Loewen et al., 2004). Hence, Pah1 inactivation favors net PA production and both directly and indirectly induces lipid synthesis genes. Figuratively speaking, Pah1 is a shunt that diverts lipids to LDs, and Ice2 restricts the flow through this shunt to direct lipids towards ER membrane biogenesis.

The above model readily accounts for the available data on Ice2. The fact that *ICE2* deletion disrupts ino2*-driven ER expansion may be explained by the need to coordinate the production of lipid metabolic precursors with the expression of lipid synthesis genes. As we show, ino2* still induces genes encoding lipid synthesis enzymes in *ice2* mutants. Nevertheless, ER expansion fails, likely because the supply of substrates for these enzymes is insufficient. The same reasoning can explain the additive effects of *OPI1* deletion and *ICE2* overexpression on ER membrane biogenesis. *ICE2* overexpression favors PA production and presumably

releases some repression of Ino2/4 target genes. However, *OPI1* deletion likely

further boosts the abundance of lipid synthesis enzymes. Conversely, constitutive

Pah1 activation upon *ICE2* deletion can explain the increase in LD abundance in

ice2 mutants (Markgraf et al., 2014), although our data do not exclude additional

roles of Ice2 in lipid mobilization from LDs. It now needs to be determined exactly

how Ice2 inhibits Pah1. A possibility consistent with our protein proximity data is that

Ice2 inhibits Spo7. However, we currently cannot exclude more indirect explanations,

for example that Ice2 activates a Pah1 kinase.

Changing physiological conditions impose an additional layer of control onto the

regulatory network outlined above. For instance, entry into stationary phase, when

cell division ceases, promotes LD formation at the expense of ER proliferation. In

contrast, growth resumption upon stationary phase exit is accompanied by LD

consumption and the biogenesis of new ER. These changes are brought about, at

least in part, through the phosphorylation of Pah1, Nem1 and possibly Dgk1 by

nutrient-responsive kinases (Kwiatek et al., 2020). Whether Ice2 activity is regulated

under these conditions needs to be explored. Another relevant setting is ER

membrane expansion during ER stress. Since ER expansion is unaffected in LD

mutants, it does not require lipid mobilization from LDs and can be fueled exclusively

by *de novo* lipid synthesis. This notion is supported by the upregulation of Ino2/4

target genes by ER stress (Schuck et al., 2009). In addition, *DGK1* is a UPR target

gene (Pincus et al., 2014) and the resulting increase in Dgk1 protein abundance

during ER stress presumably contributes to ER expansion. In contrast, the UPR

does not control the *ICE2* gene and it is a question for future investigation whether

and how ER stress regulates Ice2 and Pah1 activity. Interestingly, ER stress upon

inhibition of protein N-glycosylation can stimulate not only ER expansion but also LD

formation (Schuck et al., 2009; Fei et al., 2009; James et al., 2019). This may,

however, depend on exactly how N-glycosylation is perturbed (James et al., 2016).

The effects of ER stress on lipid droplet biogenesis clearly warrant further study.

Bioinformatic analysis using HHpred suggests mammalian SERINC proteins as

distant Ice2 orthologs (unpublished results). SERINC1 was initially proposed to be at

the ER, but later work detected SERINC proteins only at endosomes and the plasma

membrane (Inuzuka et al., 2005; Rosa et al., 2015; Davies et al., 2018). Whether

SERINC proteins have similar roles as Ice2 remains to be tested. In contrast, Nem1,

Spo7 and Pah1 are known to be conserved (Han et al., 2012). The Pah1 orthologs

lipin-1/2/3 are phospho-regulated in a similar manner as Pah1 and function in lipid

storage in mice and humans (Harris and Fink, 2011; Zhang and Reue, 2017). Like in

yeast, removal of lipins causes ER expansion in protozoa, plants, worms and flies

(Golden et al., 2009; Eastmond et al., 2010; Bahmanyar et al., 2014; Grillet et al.,

2016; Pillai et al., 2017). However, an important difference is that metazoans

synthesize phospholipids mainly through the Kennedy pathway, which uses DAG

and not PA as a precursor (McMaster, 2018). Therefore, DAG is a precursor for both

LD and membrane biogenesis, seemingly ruling out the possibility that the balance

between DAG and PA could determine whether LD or ER biogenesis is favored. This

incongruence may be resolved by the finding from *A. thaliana* that CCT, the rate-

limiting enzyme for phosphatidylcholine synthesis by the Kennedy pathway, is

allosterically activated by PA (Craddock et al., 2015). Activation of CCT by PA may

also exist in mice (Zhang et al., 2019). Thus, accumulation of PA may increase flux

through the Kennedy pathway and channel DAG towards phospholipid synthesis

(Jacquemyn et al., 2017). This model raises the unifying possibility that lipins

inversely govern LD and ER biogenesis in all eukaryotes.

The regulation of lipid metabolism determines whether lipids are consumed, stored

or used to build membranes. Elucidating how this decision is made will yield a

deeper understanding of differentiation processes, for example the massive

expansion of the ER during plasma cell development or the huge increase in LD

abundance during adipogenesis. Moreover, it may enable therapeutic intervention in

diseases associated with ER overload and aberrant lipid metabolism, such as

diabetes and obesity. Besides organelle biogenesis, lipin activity has an impact on

autophagy, axon regeneration, myopathy, dystonia and neurodegeneration (Zhang

et al., 2014; Grillet et al., 2016; Zhang and Reue, 2017; Fanning et al., 2019; Yang et

al., 2019; Schäfer et al., 2020). Unraveling the regulation of lipin will therefore have

implications for a wide variety of cellular processes and associated diseases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3. To generate pNH605-P_{ADH1}-GEM-P_{GAL1}-ino2(L119A), the *ino2(L119A)* coding sequence was first subcloned from pRS415$_{MET25}$-ino2(L119A) into pRS416-P_{GAL1}. The P_{GAL1}-ino2(L119A)-T_{CYC1} cassette was then transferred into pNH605-P_{ADH1}-GEM. Similarly, pRS306-P_{ADH1}-GEM-P_{GAL1}-ino2(L119A) was generated by transferring the P_{GAL1}-ino2(L119A) cassette from pRS416-P_{GAL1}-ino2(L119A) into pRS306-P_{ADH1}-GEM. To generate pFA6a-mNeon-kanMX6 and pFA6a-mNeon-HIS3MX6, mNeonGreen (Shaner et al., 2013) was amplified from pFA6a-mNeon-kanMX4 and inserted into pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-kanMX6 and pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-HISMX6, replacing GFP(S65T). To generate pRS415-P_{ADH1}-Ice2, the *ICE2* coding sequence was amplified from yeast W303 genomic DNA and inserted into pRS415-P_{ADH1}. To generate expression plasmids for HA-tagged Pah1, YCplac111-Pah1-PrtA was linearized by inverse PCR and religated using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswitch, Massachusetts) such that the sequence encoding Protein A was replaced with a triple HA tag. A segment of the *pah1(7A)* coding sequence containing the seven alanine substitutions was amplified from YCPlac111-pah1(7A)-PrtA and inserted into YCPlac111-Pah1-3HA to yield YCplac111-pah1(7A)-3HA.

Yeast strain generation and growth

Strains used in this study are listed in Table S4. Strains generated for screening procedures were in the S288C background. All other strains were in the W303 background. Chromosomal modifications were introduced using PCR products or linearized plasmids (Longtine et al., 1998; Janke et al., 2004). The marker-free strains SSY2836 and SSY2837 were derived from SSY2809 by transformation with the *pah1(7A)-3HA* sequence amplified from plasmid pSS1047, followed by counterselection on 5-fluoroorotic acid.

Strains were grown at 30°C on YPD, SCD-MSG or SCD medium as indicated. YPD medium consisted of 1% yeast extract (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany), 2% peptone (Becton Dickinson) and 2% glucose (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). SCD-

478 MSG medium consisted of 0.17% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and
479 ammonium sulfate (Formedium, Norfolk, UK), 0.1% monosodium glutamate, amino
480 acids and 2% glucose. SCD medium consisted of 0.7% yeast nitrogen base without
481 amino acids (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany), amino acids and 2% glucose. Media
482 contained antibiotics or lacked certain amino acids as appropriate for selection.
483

Light microscopy
Precultures were grown in liquid SCD medium during the day, diluted into fresh
medium and grown overnight for 16 h so that they reached mid log phase (OD_{600} =
0.5 - 1). For induction of the GEM-GAL system, overnight cultures were diluted to
OD_{600} = 0.05 in fresh medium and treated with the indicated concentrations of ß-
estradiol (Sigma) for up to 6 h. For DTT treatment, overnight cultures were diluted to
OD_{600} = 0.1 and treated with 8 mM DTT (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) for up to 2 h.
Immediately before imaging, cells were harvested by centrifugation, mounted on
coverslips and covered with a 1% (w/v) agarose pad. Images were acquired with a
DMi8 inverted microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a CSU-X1
spinning-disk confocal scanning unit (Yokogawa, Musashino, Japan) and an ORCA-
Flash 4.0 LT camera (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu, Japan). A HC PL APO 63x/1.40-
0.60 or a HC PL APO 100x/1.4 CS2 oil objective lens (Leica) was used.
497

UPR assays
UPR activity was measured by flow cytometry as described in Schmidt et al., 2019.
Cells expressing cytosolic BFP and the 4xUPRE-GFP transcriptional reporter for
UPR activity (Jonikas et al., 2009) were grown to mid log phase. Where indicated,
cells were treated with estradiol or DTT as above. Fluorescence was measured with
a FACS Canto flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey)
equipped with a high-throughput sampler. Background autofluorescence was
determined with identically grown isogenic control strains not harboring the UPR
reporter. Background-subtracted GFP fluorescence was divided by BFP
fluorescence to account for differences in protein translation capacity. GFP/BFP
ratios were normalized to untreated wild-type cells.
509

Cell lysis and western blotting

For standard western blotting, cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed once with water, resuspended in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5 containing 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF and complete protease inhibitors (Roche), and disrupted with glass beads using a FastPrep 24 (MP Biomedicals). SDS was added to 1.5% (w/v) and proteins were solubilized by incubation at 65°C for 5 min. Equal protein amounts, as determined with the BCA assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Pierce, Waltham, Massachusetts), were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were probed with primary and HRP-coupled secondary antibodies and developed with homemade ECL. Chemiluminescence was detected with an ImageQuant LAS 4000 imaging system (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK). Primary antibodies were rabbit anti-Sec63 (Feldheim et al., 1992), mouse anti-mCherry 1C51 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), mouse anti-Pgk1 22C5 (Abcam) and rat anti-HA 3F10 (Roche). To assess the phosphorylation status of Pah1, cells were lysed by alkaline extraction and incubation in a modified SDS-PAGE sample buffer at 65°C for 3 min (Kushnirov, 2000). Proteins were resolved on Zn^{2+}-containing Phos-tag gels according to Nagy et al., 2018 with minor modifications. Gels contained 8% acrlylamide/bisacrylamide (29:1), 25 μM Phos-tag acrylamide (Fujifilm Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany) and 50 μM $ZnCl_2$ in 350 mM Bis-Tris-HCl pH 6.8. Gels were run at 200 V at room temperature, washed 3 x 10 min in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol) containing 1 mM EDTA and 2 x 20 min in transfer buffer. Blotting was done at 100 V at 4°C for 3 h.

Construction of diploid ER marker knockout library

Using strains SSY2589 and SSY2590, the ER marker proteins Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry along with the GEM-P_{GAL1}-ino2* cassette were integrated into the yeast knockout collection through modified SGA methodology (Giaever et al., 2002; Tong and Boone 2007). SSY2589 and SSY2590 were independently mated to the knockout collection on YPD medium using a Singer RoToR robot. For each library, diploids were selected on SCD-MSG lacking uracil and containing G418. Cells were pinned onto enriched sporulation medium (1% potassium actetate, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.05% glucose, 0.01% amino acid supplement consisting of only histidine,

leucine, lysine and uracil) and kept at 23°C for 5 days. Haploids were selected by

two rounds of pinning onto SCD-MSG lacking histidine/arginine/lysine with

canavanine and thialysine or SCD-MSG lacking leucine/arginine/lysine with

canavanine and thialysine to select for MATa (Sec63-mNeon library) and MATα

(Rtn1-mCherry library) cells, respectively. Haploid cells harboring the required

markers were selected by sequential pinning onto appropriate media. The two

libraries were then mated together and diploids were selected on YPD containing

nourseothricin and hygromycin to generate the final library with the genotype:

xxxΔ::kan/xxxΔ::kan SEC63-mNeon::HIS3/SEC63 RTN1-mCherry::nat/RTN1

can1Δ::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2*-URA3/can1Δ::P$_{STE2}$-HIS3 lyp1Δ::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2*-

URA3/lyp1Δ::P$_{STE3}$-LEU2 his3Δ::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph/his3Δ0. This diploid library

afforded two benefits compared with a haploid library. The larger size of diploid cells

facilitated acquisition of informative images and the fact that cells were heterozygous

for the fluorescently tagged ER marker proteins reduced the risk that specious

morphological phenotypes arose from impaired functionality of Sec63 or Rtn1.

High-throughput microscopy

Cells were grown to saturation overnight in 100 μl cultures in SCD medium in regular

96 well microtiter plates. Prior to imaging, 7 μl of culture was transferred into 1 ml

fresh SCD medium containing 800 nM estradiol and grown for 5 h in 96 deep-well

microtiter plates to reach logarithmic growth phase. One-hundred μl of each sample

was transferred into 96 well glass bottomed microtiter plates (Brooks Life Sciences,

Chelmsford, Massachusetts) coated with concanavalin A and allowed to attach.

Medium was refreshed after 1 h to remove non-attached cells. Samples were

imaged with a Nikon Ti-E wide-field microscope equipped with a motorized stage, a

Nikon perfect focus system, a Flash4 Hamamatsu sCMOS camera and a 60x/1.49

oil immersion lens. For each sample, two fields of view were acquired consisting of

five optical slices spaced 1 μm apart. Untreated wild-type control strains were

included in duplicate on each plate as a reference for unexpanded ER.

Automated cell segmentation and peripheral ER size measurement

Image analysis was conducted in MATLAB using custom scripts. Initial cell objects

were identified based on the cytoplasmic BFP. The best overall mid-section image

was selected by assessing the standard deviation in the BFP image, which is highest

when the image is in focus. Next, a fast Fourier transformation and bandpass filter

were used to enhance contrast at the cell border. A Frangi filter (based on the

implementation by D.J. Kroon, "Hessian based Frangi Vesselness filter", MATLAB

Central File Exchange. Retrieved May 2017) followed by Otsu thresholding was then

used to generate a mask of apparent cell borders. Morphological opening followed

by a minimum size filter was used to remove false labeling created by yeast

vacuoles. The resulting image highlighted the cell borders. Because the borders of

many cells touched each other, the internal space was used to identify and separate

the individual cell objects. The intensity of these initial cell objects was measured

and only objects brighter than two median absolute deviations below the median

were kept. Finally, any remaining touching cells, including connected mother cells

and buds, were separated by watershedding. The segmentation of individual cell

objects obtained through this procedure was then optimized to generate more

accurate cell boundaries and peripheral ER segmentation. For this, cells were

cropped and the best mid-section was reassessed on a per cell basis using the

standard deviation of the Rtn1-mCherry image. The BFP images were re-segmented

using the above procedure based on the new mid-section. To accurately define the

cell periphery for image quantification, object borders were expanded but contained

within watershed boundaries. The ER was segmented in both the Sec63-mNeon and

Rtn1-mCherry images using a Frangi tubeness filter. A more accurate cell border

was defined by fitting a minimum volume ellipse (based on the implementation by N.

Moshtagh, "Minimum Volume Enclosing Ellipsoid", MATLAB Central File Exchange.

Retrieved July 2017) to the combined masks of the segmented ER. Based on this

segmentation, cell area, mean Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry fluorescence, and

cell roundness were calculated. An area five pixels from the border was used to

define the peripheral ER. From this, total ER area (peripheral ER area divided by cell

periphery length), ER profile length (mean length of ER profiles divided by cell

periphery length) and ER gaps (number of gaps in the peripheral ER mask per

micrometer) were calculated. Finally, to remove false cell objects, poorly segmented

cells and dead cells, all of these measurements were used to limit the cell population

to values within 2.5 standard deviations of the population mean. On average, 248

cells were analyzed per mutant, with the minimum being 25.

Visual ER morphology analysis

To identify mutants with aberrant ER morphology, images were assessed visually

using a custom image viewer application made in MATLAB. Segmented cells were

arrayed in a montage displaying 7 x 15 cells at a time. Any strains with abnormal ER

morphology were re-imaged as described above to ensure that the phenotype was

robust. ER morphologies were independently annotated by two individuals with one

or more of the following features: underexpanded, overexpanded, extended sheets,

disorganized and clustered.

Computational ER expansion analysis

Since most gene deletions did not affect ER expansion, mutants from the same

imaging plate can serve as a plate-specific background population for comparison to

individual deletion strains. Sec63-mNeon intensity was used to define this

background population and exclude the influence of extreme outliers. First, to

remove plate effects, mNeon intensities were normalized by subtracting the plate

means. Next, values were corrected for cell size (bigger cells being brighter) and cell

count (densely crowded areas having an overall higher fluorescence) by local

regression. Finally, the background population (BP) was defined for each plate as

mutants that were within 1.5 standard deviations of the mean. To normalize the ER

expansion measurements, a Z score was calculated as (sample - BP mean)/BP

standard deviation, thereby removing plate effects. The time spent imaging each

plate (approximately 50 minutes) was accounted for by correcting for well order by

local regression. Similarly, cell density effects were corrected for by local regression

against cell count. Scores were calculated separately for each field of view and the

maximum value was taken for each sample. False positives were removed by visual

inspection, which were often caused by an out of focus field of view. Strains passing

arbitrary thresholds of significance (Z score less than -2 for total ER area and ER

profile length, and greater than 2 for ER gaps) in at least two of the measurements

and no overall morphology defects as defined above were re-imaged in triplicate

along with wild-type control strains under both untreated and estradiol-treated

conditions. Images were inspected visually as a last filter to define the final list of

strains with ER expansion defects.

Semi-automated cortical ER morphology quantification

For cell segmentation, bright field images were processed in Fiji to enhance the

contrast of the cell periphery. For this, a Gaussian blur (sigma = 2) was applied to

reduce image noise, followed by a scaling down of the image (x = y = 0.5) to reduce

the effect of small details on cell segmentation. A tubeness filter (sigma = 1) was

used to highlight cell borders and the images were scaled back up to the original

resolution. Cells were segmented using CellX (Dimopoulos et al., 2014) and out of

focus cells were removed manually. A user interface in MATLAB was then used to

assist ER segmentation. Users input images of Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry

from cortical sections (background subtracted in Fiji using the rolling ball method with

a radius of 50 pixels) and the cell segmentation file generated in CellX. Adjustable

parameters controlled the segmentation of ER tubules and sheets for each image.

These parameters were tubule radius, tubule strength and sheet background.

Manual fine-tuning of these parameters was important to ensure consistent ER

segmentation across images with different signal intensities. These parameters were

set independently for Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry images together with one

additional parameter called 'trimming factor', which controls the detection of ER

sheets. ER masks were calculated across entire images and were assigned to

individual cells based on the CellX segmentation. For each channel, the background

(BG) levels were automatically calculated using Otsu thresholding and fine tuned by

multiplying the threshold value by the 'tubule BG' (Rtn1 channel) or 'sheet BG'

(Sec63 channel) adjustment parameters. A 3x3 median filter was applied to

smoothen the images and reduce noise that is problematic for segmentation. Two

rounds of segmentation were passed for each image channel (Sec63 or Rtn1) with

one optimised for finding smaller features (i.e. ER tubules) and the other for larger

features (i.e. ER sheets). Firstly, convolution kernels were calculated for small and

large features, respectively, defined as a ring of radius +1, where the radius is given

in the 'tubule radius' (small feature) or 'sheet radius' (large feature) parameters.

These convolution kernels were applied pixel-wise to determine if a pixel is brighter

than the mean intensity of the surrounding ring of pixels. The strength of this filter

was fine-tuned by adjusting the 'tubule strength' or 'sheet strength' parameters.

Additionally, segmented pixels had to be brighter than the background levels defined

above. This procedure generated two segmented images per channel, which were

then combined to generate the 'total ER' mask for that channel. To define which

regions in each channel represented sheets or tubules a morphological opening was

applied, the degree of which was controlled by the parameter 'trimming factor'.

Features that remained in the Sec63 segmentation mask after morpholical opening

were provisionally designated as sheets, whereas the remainder of the ER mask

was designated tubules. Finally, areas that were sheet-like in the Rtn1 segmentation

mask and overlapped with the Sec63 mask were designated tubular clusters.

Tubular clusters were subtracted from provisonal sheets to obtain the final

designation of sheets. The median size measurements of each class were taken

from the whole cell population for further analyses.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was done exactly as described (Schmidt et al., 2019).

Ice2 protein proximity screen

Ice2 proximity was screened by split DHFR protein complementation assay

(Tarassov et al., 2008). Hmg2 served as a control for non-specific hits. *MATa ICE2-*

DHFR[1,2] and *MATα ICE2-DHFR[3]* strains as well as the control strains *MATa*

HMG2-DHFR[1,2] and *MATα HMG2-DHFR[3]* were mated to the library of the

opposite mating type in 1536 colony format. Diploid cells were pinned onto SCD

medium containing 200 μg/ml methotrexate (Merck). Colonies were grown for 4 days

at 30°C before imaging using a Singer PhenoBooth with bottom illumination. Since

methotrexate is light sensitive, plate preparation and cell growth were carried out in

the dark. Data analysis was conducted in R. Colony size was measured using the

Gitter package (Wagih and Parts, 2014). Raw colony size measurements were

median polished to remove row and column effects. Z scores were calculated to

remove plate effects. Scores were converted into p-values and subjected to

Benjamini Hochberg multiple testing correction with corrected p-values below 0.05

being considered significant. The Ice2 screen was conducted twice and the Hmg2

screen once. Proteins were considered hits if they were significant in three out of

four possible outcomes (MATa and MATα libraries in duplicate). Proteins that were

only present in one of the MAT type libraries were also considered hits if they were

significant in both repeats. Finally, any proteins that were also hits in either of the

Hmg2 libraries (MATa or MATα) were excluded.

Growth assays

For growth assays in liquid culture, cells were grown to saturation and diluted to

OD_{600} = 0.05. For each strain, 500 μl culture was transferred into 48-well plates in

triplicate and absorbance at 600 nm was measured at room temperature in 5 minute

intervals for 24 hours using a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader. The area under

the curve was calculated with the R package Growthcurver (Sprouffske and Wagner,

2016) and used as a measure for cell growth. For the growth assays shown in Figure

7C, cells were grown to mid log phase, diluted as above and absorbance was

measured at 30°C using a Tecan Spark Cyto plate reader. This experimental regime

ensured that *Δhac1* mutants grew as well as wild-type cells.

Lipidomics

For each sample, approximately 2×10^8 cells (10 ODs) were harvested from cultures

grown to mid log phase and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were disrupted with

glass beads as above in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5 containing 0.5 mM EDTA. Aliquots

were subjected to acidic Bligh and Dyer lipid extractions in the presence of internal

lipid standards added from a master mix containing 40 pmol d7-PC mix (15:0/18:1-

d7, Avanti Polar Lipids), 25 pmol PI (17:0/20:4, Avanti Polar Lipids), 25 pmol PE and

15 pmol PS (14:1/14:1, 20:1/20:1, 22:1/22:1, semi-synthesized as described in

Özbalci et al., 2013), 20 pmol DAG (17:0/17:0, Larodan), 20 pmol TAG (D5-TAG-

Mix, LM-6000 / D5-TAG 17:0,17:1,17:1, Avanti Polar Lipids), 20 pmol PA (PA

17:0/20:4, Avanti Polar Lipids), 5 pmol PG (14:1/14:1, 20:1/20:1, 22:1/22:1, semi-

synthesized as described in Özbalci et al., 2013), 40 pmol ergosteryl ester (15:0 and

19:0, semi-synthesized as described in Gruber et al., 2018) and 20 pmol t-Cer (18:0,

Avanti Polar Lipids). Lipids recovered in the organic extraction phase were
evaporated by a gentle stream of nitrogen. Prior to measurements, lipid extracts
were dissolved in 10 mM ammonium acetate in methanol and transferred into
Eppendorf twin.tec 96-well plates. Mass spectrometric measurements were
performed in positive ion mode on an AB SCIEX QTRAP 6500+ mass spectrometer
equipped with chip-based (HD-D ESI Chip, Advion Biosciences) nano-
electrospray infusion and ionization (Triversa Nanomate, Advion Biosciences) as
described (Özbalci et al., 2013). The following precursor ion scanning (PREC) and
neutral loss scanning (NL) modes were used for the measurement of the various
lipid classes: +PREC 184 (PC), +PREC282 (t-Cer), +NL141 (PE), +NL185 (PS),
+NL277 (PI), + NL189 (PG), +NL115 (PA), +PREC 77 (ergosterol), +PREC379
(ergosteryl ester). Ergosterol was quantified following derivatization to ergosterol
acetate in the presence of 200 pmol of the internal standard (22E)-Stigmasta-5,7,22-
trien-3-beta-ol (Aldrich, R202967) using 100 μl acetic anhydride/chloroform (1:12 v/v)
overnight under argon atmosphere (Ejsing et al., 2009). Mass spectrometry settings:
Resolution: unit, low mass configuration; data accumulation: 400 MCA; curtain gas:
20; Interface heater temperature: 60; CAD: medium; DR: open; separation voltage:
3800; DMO: -3; compensation voltage: 10; DMS temperature: 60°C. Data evaluation
was done using LipidView (Sciex) and a software developed in house, ShinyLipids.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Randy Schekman, Maya Schuldiner and Symeon Siniossoglou for reagents, Michael Knop for access to screening equipment, the ZMBH imaging facility for assistance, Oliver Pajonk, Dorottya Polos and Julia Schessner for contributions to early stages of this project, Iris Leibrecht for help with lipidomics analyses, and Rose Goodchild, Daniel Markgraf, Nicolai Karcher, Robin Klemm, Savvas Paragkamian, Sophie Winter and all members of the Schuck lab for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a PhD fellowship from the Heidelberg International Graduate School to DP and grant EXC 81 from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation). BB was funded by projects 319506281 - TRR 186 and 112927078 - TRR 83 from the DFG. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Peter Bircham, Dimitrios Papagiannidis, Sebastian Schuck; Formal analysis: Peter Bircham; Investigation: Peter Bircham, Dimitrios Papagiannidis, Christian Lüchtenborg, Giulia Ruffini; Software: Peter Bircham; Supervision: Britta Brügger, Sebastian Schuck; Writing - original draft: Dimitrios Papagiannidis, Sebastian Schuck; Writing - review and editing: all authors.

REFERENCES

Adeyo, O., P.J. Horn, S. Lee, D.D. Binns, A. Chandrahas, K.D. Chapman, and J.M.

Goodman. 2011. The yeast lipin orthologue Pah1p is important for biogenesis of

lipid droplets. *J Cell Biol*. 192:1043–1055.

Bahmanyar, S., R. Biggs, A.L. Schuh, A. Desai, T. Muller-Reichert, A. Audhya, J.E.

Dixon, and K. Oegema. 2014. Spatial control of phospholipid flux restricts

endoplasmic reticulum sheet formation to allow nuclear envelope breakdown.

Genes Dev. 28:121–126.

Bernales, S., K.L. McDonald, and P. Walter. 2006. Autophagy Counterbalances

Endoplasmic Reticulum Expansion during the Unfolded Protein Response. *PLoS*

Biol. 4:e423.

Bommiasamy, H., S.H. Back, P. Fagone, K. Lee, S. Meshinchi, E. Vink, R. Sriburi,

M. Frank, S. Jackowski, R.J. Kaufman, and J.W. Brewer. 2009. ATF6 induces

XBP1-independent expansion of the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Cell Sci*. 122:1626–

1636.

Campbell, J.L., A. Lorenz, K.L. Witkin, T. Hays, J. Loidl, and O. Cohen-Fix. 2006.

Yeast nuclear envelope subdomains with distinct abilities to resist membrane

expansion. *Mol Biol Cell*. 17:1768–1778.

Carman, G.M., and G.S. Han. 2019. Fat-regulating phosphatidic acid phosphatases:

a review of its roles and regulation in lipid homeostasis. *J Lipid Res*. 60:2–6.

Chen, S., P. Novick, and S. Ferro-Novick. 2012. ER network formation requires a

balance of the dynamin-like GTPase Sey1p and the Lunapark family member

Lnp1p. *Nat Cell Biol*. 14:707–716.

Costanzo, M., A. Baryshnikova, J. Bellay, Y. Kim, E.D. Spear, C.S. Sevier, H. Ding,

J.L.Y. Koh, K. Toufighi, S. Mostafavi, et al. 2010. The genetic landscape of a cell.

Science. 327:425–431.

Costanzo, M., E. Kuzmin, J. van Leeuwen, B. Mair, J. Moffat, C. Boone, and B.

Andrews. 2019. Global Genetic Networks and the Genotype-to-Phenotype

Relationship. *Cell*. 177:85–100.

Craddock, C.P., N. Adams, F.M. Bryant, S. Kurup, and P.J. Eastmond. 2015.

PHOSPHATIDIC ACID PHOSPHOHYDROLASE Regulates Phosphatidylcholine

Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis by Phosphatidic Acid-Mediated Activation of

CTP:PHOSPHOCHOLINE CYTIDYLYLTRANSFERASE Activity. *Plant Cell*.

27:1251–1264.

Davies, A.K., D.N. Itzhak, J.R. Edgar, T.L. Archuleta, J. Hirst, L.P. Jackson, M.S.

Robinson, and G.H.H. Borner. 2018. AP-4 vesicles contribute to spatial control

ofautophagy via RUSC-dependent peripheraldelivery of ATG9A. *Nat Commun*.

9:3958.

Dimopoulos, S., C.E. Mayer, F. Rudolf, and J. Stelling. 2014. Accurate cell

segmentation in microscopy images using membrane patterns. *Bioinformatics*.

30:2644–2651.

Dubots, E., S. Cottier, M.P. Péli-Gulli, M. Jaquenoud, S. Bontron, R. Schneiter, and

C. De Virgilio. 2014. TORC1 Regulates Pah1 Phosphatidate Phosphatase Activity

via the Nem1/Spo7 Protein Phosphatase Complex. *PLoS ONE*. 9:e104194.

Eastmond, P.J., A.-L. Quettier, J.T.M. Kroon, C. Craddock, N. Adams, and A.R.

Slabas. 2010. PHOSPHATIDIC ACID PHOSPHOHYDROLASE1 and 2 Regulate

Phospholipid Synthesis at the Endoplasmic Reticulum in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*.

22:2796–2811.

Ejsing, C.S., J.L. Sampaio, V. Surendranath, E. Duchoslav, K. Ekroos, R.W. Klemm,

K. Simons, and A. Shevchenko. 2009. Global analysis of the yeast lipidome by

quantitative shotgun mass spectrometry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 106:2136–

2141.

Emmerstorfer, A., M. Wimmer-Teubenbacher, T. Wriessnegger, E. Leitner, M.

Müller, I. Kaluzna, M. Schürmann, D. Mink, G. Zellnig, H. Schwab, and H. Pichler.

2015. Over-expression of ICE2 stabilizes cytochrome P450 reductase in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pichia pastoris. *Biotechnol J*. 10:623–635.

Estrada de Martin, P., Y. Du, P. Novick, and S. Ferro-Novick. 2005. Ice2p is

important for the distribution and structure of the cortical ER network in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Cell Sci*. 118:65–77.

Fanning, S., A. Haque, T. Imberdis, V. Baru, M.I. Barrasa, S. Nuber, D. Termine, N.

Ramalingam, G.P.H. Ho, T. Noble, et al. 2019. Lipidomic Analysis of a-Synuclein

Neurotoxicity Identifies Stearoyl CoA Desaturase as a Target for Parkinson

Treatment. *Mol Cell*. 73:1001–1014.

Fawcett, D. 1981. Endoplasmic Reticulum. *In* The Cell. W. B. Saunders,

Philadelphia. 303–309.

Fei, W., H. Wang, X. Fu, C. Bielby, and H. Yang. 2009. Conditions of endoplasmic

reticulum stress stimulate lipid droplet formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

Biochem J. 424:61–67.

Feldheim, D., J. Rothblatt, and R. Schekman. 1992. Topology and functional

domains of Sec63p, an endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein required for

secretory protein translocation. *Mol Cell Biol*. 12:3288–3296.

Giaever, G., A.M. Chu, L. Ni, C. Connelly, L. Riles, S. Véronneau, S. Dow, A. Lucau-

Danila, K. Anderson, B. André, et al. 2002. Functional profiling of the

Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. *Nature*. 418:387–391.

Golden, A., J. Liu, and O. Cohen-Fix. 2009. Inactivation of the C. elegans lipin

homolog leads to ER disorganization and to defects in the breakdown and

reassembly of the nuclear envelope. *J Cell Sci*. 122:1970–1978.

Grillet, M., B.D. Gonzalez, A. Sicart, M. Pöttler, A. Cascalho, K. Billion, S.H. Diaz, J.

Swerts, T.V. Naismith, N.V. Gounko, et al. 2016. Torsins Are Essential Regulators

of Cellular Lipid Metabolism. *Dev Cell*. 38:235–247.

Gruber, A., D. Hornburg, M. Antonin, N. Krahmer, J. Collado, M. Schaffer, G.

Zubaite, C. Lüchtenborg, T. Sachsenheimer, B. Brügger, et al. 2018. Molecular

and structural architecture of polyQ aggregates in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*.

115:E3446–E3453.

Han, G.S., L. O'Hara, G.M. Carman, and S. Siniossoglou. 2008. An unconventional

diacylglycerol kinase that regulates phospholipid synthesis and nuclear

membrane growth. *J Biol Chem*. 283:20433–20442.

Han, G.S., W.I. Wu, and G.M. Carman. 2006. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Lipin

homolog is a Mg2+-dependent phosphatidate phosphatase enzyme. *J Biol Chem*.

281:9210–9218.

Han, S., S. Bahmanyar, P. Zhang, N. Grishin, K. Oegema, R. Crooke, M. Graham, K.

Reue, J.E. Dixon, and J.M. Goodman. 2012. Nuclear envelope phosphatase 1-

regulatory subunit 1 (formerly TMEM188) is the metazoan Spo7p ortholog and

functions in the lipin activation pathway. *J Biol Chem*. 287:3123–3137.

Harris, T.E., and B.N. Finck. 2011. Dual function lipin proteins and glycerolipid

metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 22:226–233.

Henry, S.A., S.D. Kohlwein, and G.M. Carman. 2012. Metabolism and Regulation of

Glycerolipids in the Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics*. 190:317–349.

Heyken, W.T., A. Repenning, J. Kumme, and H.J. Schüller. 2005. Constitutive

expression of yeast phospholipid biosynthetic genes by variants of Ino2 activator

defective for interaction with Opi1 repressor. *Mol Microbiol*. 56:696–707.

Hu, J., Y. Shibata, P.-P. Zhu, C. Voss, N. Rismanchi, W.A. Prinz, T.A. Rapoport, and

C. Blackstone. 2009. A Class of Dynamin-like GTPases Involved in the

Generation of the Tubular ER Network. *Cell*. 138:549–561.

Inuzuka, M., M. Hayakawa, and T. Ingi. 2005. Serinc, an activity-regulated protein

family, incorporates serine into membrane lipid synthesis. *J Biol Chem*.

280:35776–35783.

Jacquemyn, J., A. Cascalho, and R.E. Goodchild. 2017. The ins and outs of

endoplasmic reticulum-controlled lipid biosynthesis. *EMBO Rep*. 18:1905–1921.

James, A.W., R. Gowsalya, and V. Nachiappan. 2016. Dolichyl pyrophosphate

phosphatase-mediated N -glycosylation defect dysregulates lipid homeostasis in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1861:1705–1718.

James, A.W., C. Ravi, M. Srinivasan, and V. Nachiappan. 2019. Crosstalk between

protein N-glycosylation and lipid metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Sci*

Rep. 9:14485.

Janke, C., M.M. Magiera, N. Rathfelder, C. Taxis, S. Reber, H. Maekawa, A.

Moreno-Borchart, G. Doenges, E. Schwob, E. Schiebel, and M. Knop. 2004. A

versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins,

more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. *Yeast*. 21:947–962.

Jonikas, M.C., S.R. Collins, V. Denic, E. Oh, E.M. Quan, V. Schmid, J. Weibezahn,

B. Schwappach, P. Walter, J.S. Weissman, and M. Schuldiner. 2009.

Comprehensive characterization of genes required for protein folding in the

endoplasmic reticulum. *Science*. 323:1693–1697.

Karanasios, E., G.S. Han, Z. Xu, G.M. Carman, and S. Siniossoglou. 2010. A

phosphorylation-regulated amphipathic helix controls the membrane translocation

and function of the yeast phosphatidate phosphatase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*

107:17539–17544.

Kushnirov, V.V. 2000. Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. *Yeast.*

16:857–860.

Kwiatek, J.M., G.S. Han, and G.M. Carman. 2020. Phosphatidate-mediated

regulation of lipid synthesis at the nuclear/endoplasmic reticulum membrane.

Biochim Biophys Acta.

Loewen, C.J.R., M.L. Gaspar, S.A. Jesch, C. Delon, N.T. Ktistakis, S.A. Henry, and

T.P. Levine. 2004. Phospholipid metabolism regulated by a transcription factor

sensing phosphatidic acid. *Science.* 304:1644–1647.

Longtine, M.S., A. McKenzie, D.J. Demarini, N.G. Shah, A. Wach, A. Brachat, P.

Philippsen, and J.R. Pringle. 1998. Additional modules for versatile and

economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in Saccharomyces

cerevisiae. *Yeast.* 14:953–961.

Markgraf, D.F., R.W. Klemm, M. Junker, H.K. Hannibal-Bach, C.S. Ejsing, and T.A.

Rapoport. 2014. An ER Protein Functionally Couples Neutral Lipid Metabolism on

Lipid Droplets to Membrane Lipid Synthesis in the ER. *Cell Rep.* 6:44–55.

McMaster, C.R. 2018. From yeast to humans – roles of the Kennedy pathway for

phosphatidylcholine synthesis. *FEBS Lett.* 592:1256–1272.

Mumberg, D., R. Müller, and M. Funk. 1994. Regulatable promoters of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae: comparison of transcriptional activity and their use for

heterologous expression. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22:5767–5768.

Mumberg, D., R. Müller, and M. Funk. 1995. Yeast vectors for the controlled

expression of heterologous proteins in different genetic backgrounds. *Gene.*

156:119–122.

Nagy, Z., S. Comer, and A. Smolenski. 2018. Analysis of Protein Phosphorylation

Using Phos-Tag Gels. Curr Protoc Protein Sci. 93:e64.

Nixon-Abell, J., C.J. Obara, A.V. Weigel, D. Li, W.R. Legant, C.S. Xu, H.A. Pasolli, K.

Harvey, H.F. Hess, E. Betzig, et al. 2016. Increased spatiotemporal resolution

reveals highly dynamic dense tubular matrices in the peripheral ER. *Science.*

354:aaf3928.

O'Hara, L., G.S. Han, S. Peak-Chew, N. Grimsey, G.M. Carman, and S.

Siniossoglou. 2006. Control of phospholipid synthesis by phosphorylation of the

yeast lipin Pah1p/Smp2p Mg2+-dependent phosphatidate phosphatase. *J Biol*

Chem. 281:34537–34548.

Özbalci, C., T. Sachsenheimer, and B. Brügger. 2013. Quantitative Analysis of

Cellular Lipids by Nano-Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *In* Methods in

Molecular Biology. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ. 3–20.

Pillai, A.N., S. Shukla, and A. Rahaman. 2017. An evolutionarily conserved

phosphatidate phosphatase maintains lipid droplet number and endoplasmic

reticulum morphology but not nuclear morphology. *Biol Open*. 6:1629–1643.

Pincus, D., A. Aranda-Diaz, I.A. Zuleta, P. Walter, and H. El-Samad. 2014. Delayed

Ras/PKA signaling augments the unfolded protein response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

USA. 111:14800–14805.

Pincus, D., M.W. Chevalier, T. Aragón, E. van Anken, S.E. Vidal, H. El-Samad, and

P. Walter. 2010. BiP Binding to the ER-Stress Sensor Ire1 Tunes the Homeostatic

Behavior of the Unfolded Protein Response. *PLoS Biol*. 8:e1000415.

Puhka, M., M. Joensuu, H. Vihinen, I. Belevich, and E. Jokitalo. 2012. Progressive

sheet-to-tubule transformation is a general mechanism for endoplasmic reticulum

partitioning in dividing mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell*. 23:2424–2432.

Rosa, A., A. Chande, S. Ziglio, V. De Sanctis, R. Bertorelli, S.L. Goh, S.M.

McCauley, A. Nowosielska, S.E. Antonarakis, J. Luban, F.A. Santoni, and M.

Pizzato. 2015. HIV-1 Nef promotes infection by excluding SERINC5 from virion

incorporation. *Nature*. 526:212–217.

Sandager, L., M.H. Gustavsson, U. Ståhl, A. Dahlqvist, E. Wiberg, A. Banas, M.

Lenman, H. Ronne, and S. Stymne. 2002. Storage Lipid Synthesis Is Non-

essential in Yeast. *J Biol Chem*. 277:6478–6482.

Santos-Rosa, H., J. Leung, N. Grimsey, S. Peak-Chew, and S. Siniossoglou. 2005.

The yeast lipin Smp2 couples phospholipid biosynthesis to nuclear membrane

growth. *EMBO J*. 24:1931–1941.

Schäfer, J.A., J.P. Schessner, P.W. Bircham, T. Tsuji, C. Funaya, O. Pajonk, K.

Schaeff, G. Ruffini, D. Papagiannidis, M. Knop, et al. 2020. ESCRT machinery

mediates selective microautophagy of endoplasmic reticulum in yeast. *EMBO J*.

Schmidt, R.M., J.P. Schessner, G.H. Borner, and S. Schuck. 2019. The proteasome

biogenesis regulator Rpn4 cooperates with the unfolded protein response to

promote ER stress resistance. *eLife*. 8:e43244.

Schroeder, L.K., A.E.S. Barentine, H. Merta, S. Schweighofer, Y. Zhang, D.

Baddeley, J. Bewersdorf, and S. Bahmanyar. 2019. Dynamic nanoscale

morphology of the ER surveyed by STED microscopy. *J Cell Biol*. 218:83–96.

Schuck, S., W.A. Prinz, K.S. Thorn, C. Voss, and P. Walter. 2009. Membrane

expansion alleviates endoplasmic reticulum stress independently of the unfolded

protein response. *J Cell Biol*. 187:525–536.

Schuldiner, M., S.R. Collins, N.J. Thompson, V. Denic, A. Bhamidipati, T. Punna, J.

Ihmels, B. Andrews, C. Boone, J.F. Greenblatt, et al. 2005. Exploration of the

Function and Organization of the Yeast Early Secretory Pathway through an

Epistatic Miniarray Profile. *Cell*. 123:507–519.

Shaner, N.C., G.G. Lambert, A. Chammas, Y. Ni, P.J. Cranfill, M.A. Baird, B.R. Sell,

J.R. Allen, R.N. Day, M. Israelsson, et al. 2013. A bright monomeric green

fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. *Nat Methods*.

10:407–409.

Shibata, Y., T. Shemesh, W.A. Prinz, A.F. Palazzo, M.M. Kozlov, and T.A. Rapoport.

2010. Mechanisms Determining the Morphology of the Peripheral ER. *Cell*.

143:774–788.

Sidrauski, C., J.S. Cox, and P. Walter. 1996. tRNA ligase is required for regulated

mRNA splicing in the unfolded protein response. *Cell*. 87:405-413.

Siniossoglou, S., H. Santos-Rosa, J. Rappsilber, M. Mann, and E. Hurt. 1998. A

novel complex of membrane proteins required for formation of a spherical

nucleus. *EMBO J*. 17:6449–6464.

Sprouffske, K, and A. Wagner. 2016. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining

interpretable metrics from microbial growthcurves. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 17:172.

Sriburi, R., H. Bommiasamy, G.L. Buldak, G.R. Robbins, M. Frank, S. Jackowski,

and J.W. Brewer. 2007. Coordinate regulation of phospholipid biosynthesis and

secretory pathway gene expression in XBP-1(S)-induced endoplasmic reticulum

biogenesis. *J Biol Chem*. 282:7024–7034.

Su, W.-M., G.-S. Han, J. Casciano, and G.M. Carman. 2012. Protein kinase A-
mediated phosphorylation of Pah1p phosphatidate phosphatase functions in
conjunction with the Pho85p-Pho80p and Cdc28p-cyclin B kinases to regulate
lipid synthesis in yeast. *J Biol Chem*. 287:33364–33376.

Surma, M.A., C. Klose, D. Peng, M. Shales, C. Mrejen, A. Stefanko, H. Braberg, D.E.
Gordon, D. Vorkel, C.S. Ejsing, et al. 2013. A Lipid E-MAP Identifies Ubx2 as a
Critical Regulator of Lipid Saturation and Lipid Bilayer Stress. *Mol Cell*. 51:519–
530.

Szoradi, T., K. Schaeff, E.M. Garcia-Rivera, D.N. Itzhak, R.M. Schmidt, P.W.
Bircham, K. Leiss, J. Diaz-Miyar, V.K. Chen, D. Muzzey, et al. 2018. SHRED Is a
Regulatory Cascade that Reprograms Ubr1 Substrate Specificity for Enhanced
Protein Quality Control during Stress. *Mol Cell*. 70:1025–1037.

Tarassov, K., V. Messier, C.R. Landry, S. Radinovic, M.M. Serna Molina, I. Shames,
Y. Malitskaya, J. Vogel, H. Bussey, and S.W. Michnick. 2008. An in vivo map of
the yeast protein interactome. *Science*. 320:1465–1470.

Tavassoli, S., J.T. Chao, B.P. Young, R.C. Cox, W.A. Prinz, A.I.P.M. de Kroon, and
C.J.R. Loewen. 2013. Plasma membrane-endoplasmic reticulum contact sites
regulate phosphatidylcholine synthesis. *EMBO Rep*. 14:434–440.

Tkach, J.M., A. Yimit, A.Y. Lee, M. Riffle, M. Costanzo, D. Jaschob, J.A. Hendry, J.
Ou, J. Moffat, C. Boone, et al. 2012. Dissecting DNA damage response pathways
by analysing protein localization and abundance changes during DNA replication
stress. *Nat Cell Biol*. 14:966–976.

Tong, A.H.Y., and C. Boone. 2007. High-Throughput Strain Construction and
Systematic Synthetic Lethal Screening in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *In* Methods
in Microbiology. Elsevier. 36:369–386.

Velázquez, A.P., T. Tatsuta, R. Ghillebert, I. Drescher, and M. Graef. 2016. Lipid
droplet–mediated ER homeostasis regulates autophagy and cell survival during
starvation. *J Cell Biol*. 212:621–631.

Wagih, O., and L. Parts. 2014. gitter: A Robust and Accurate Method for
Quantification of Colony Sizes From Plate Images. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 4:547–552.

Walter, P., and D. Ron. 2011. The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to
homeostatic regulation. *Science*. 334:1081–1086.

West, M., N. Zurek, A. Hoenger, and G.K. Voeltz. 2011. A 3D analysis of yeast ER
structure reveals how ER domains are organized by membrane curvature. *J Cell*
Biol. 193:333–346.

Westrate, L.M., J.E. Lee, W.A. Prinz, and G.K. Voeltz. 2015. Form Follows Function:
The Importance of Endoplasmic Reticulum Shape. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 84:791–
811.

Wiest, D.L., J.K. Burkhardt, S. Hester, M. Hortsch, D.I. Meyer, and Y. Argon. 1990.
Membrane biogenesis during B cell differentiation: most endoplasmic reticulum
proteins are expressed coordinately. *J Cell Biol*. 110:1501–1511.

Yang, C., X. Wang, J. Wang, X. Wang, W. Chen, N. Lu, S. Siniossoglou, Z. Yao, and
K. Liu. 2019. Rewiring Neuronal Glycerolipid Metabolism Determines the Extent of
Axon Regeneration. *Neuron*.

Zhang, P., and K. Reue. 2017. Lipin proteins and glycerolipid metabolism: Roles at
the ER membrane and beyond. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1859:1583–1595.

Zhang, P., L.S. Csaki, E. Ronquillo, L.J. Baufeld, J.Y. Lin, A. Gutierrez, J.R. Dwyer,
D.N. Brindley, L.G. Fong, P. Tontonoz, et al. 2019. Lipin 2/3 phosphatidic acid
phosphatases maintain phospholipid homeostasis to regulate chylomicron
synthesis. *J Clin Invest*. 129:281–295.

Zhang, P., M.A. Verity, and K. Reue. 2014. Lipin-1 Regulates Autophagy Clearance
and Intersects with Statin Drug Effects in Skeletal Muscle. *Cell Metab*. 20:267–
279.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. An inducible system for ER membrane biogenesis. **(A)** Schematic of the control of lipid synthesis by estradiol-inducible expression of ino2*. **(B)** Sec63-mNeon images of mid and cortical sections of cells harboring the estradiol-inducible system (SSY1405). Cells were untreated or treated with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h. Estradiol induces expansion of the peripheral ER. **(C)** Flow cytometric measurement of GFP levels in cells containing the UPR reporter. WT cells containing the UPR reporter (SSY2306), cells additionally harboring an estradiol-inducible *GAL* promoter (SSY2307) and cells additionally harboring the system for estradiol-inducible expression of ino2* under the *GAL* promoter (SSY2308) were untreated, treated with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h or treated with 8 mM DTT for 1 h, as indicated. Data are normalized to untreated wild-type cells. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. WT, wild-type. **(D)** Western blot of Sec63, mCherry and Pgk1 from WT cells (SSY1404), cells harboring the estradiol-inducible system (SSY1405) or *Δopi1* cells (SSY1607), all of which expressed Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry. Cells were untreated or treated with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h. Pgk1 served as a loading control. **(E)** Quantification of ER size in estradiol-treated cells harboring the inducible system (SSY1405), untreated *Δopi1* cells (SSY1607) and WT cells (SSY1404) treated with 8 mM DTT for 1 h. The three metrics for the size of the peripheral ER at the cell cortex show dose-dependent ER expansion upon estradiol treatment, reaching the constitutive expansion in *Δopi1* cells. Mean ± SEM, n = 3.

Figure 2. A genetic screen for factors involved in ER membrane biogenesis. **(A)** Sec63-mNeon images of cells of the indicated genotypes harboring the inducible system. Cells were treated with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h. Two examples of each phenotypic class are shown. WT, wild-type. **(B)** Violin plots of Z scores from the three metrics for ER size determined for each of the 4800 mutants strains in the yeast knockout collection. The untreated WT is shown for reference. **(C)** Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry images of *Δlnp1* and *Δsey1* cells harboring the inducible system and treated with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h. **(D)** Classification of hits. Numbers

1079 in brackets indicate the number of mutants in each class. Striped areas indicate

1080 mutants falling into two classes.

1081

Figure 3. Ice2 is required for ER membrane biogenesis upon activation of Ino2/4.

(A) Sec63-mNeon images of the cortical ER of WT and *Δice2* cells harboring the

inducible system (SSY1405 and 1603). Cells were untreated or treated with 800 nM

estradiol for 6 h. WT, wild-type. **(B)** Classification of peripheral ER structures from

cortical sections of cells expressing Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry as tubules

(purple), sheets (green) or tubular clusters (yellow). Tubular clusters are added to

the tubules in the final classification, as illustrated by the overlay. **(C)** Quantification

of peripheral ER structures in WT and *Δice2* cells harboring the inducible system

(SSY1405 and 1603) and treated with 800 nM estradiol for the times indicated.

Plotted is the percentage of cell cortex covered by tubules (purple) or sheets (green).

Error bars show the SEM for tubules and sheets, respectively. n = 3. ER expansion

is driven by sheet formation, which fails in *Δice2* cells. **(D)** Activity of the Ino2/4 target

gene *INO1* before and after ino2* expression in WT and *Δice2* cells harboring the

inducible system (SSY1405 and 1603) as judged by mRNA levels measured by

quantitative real-time PCR. Data are normalized to untreated WT cells. Mean ± SEM.

n = 3. **(E)** Quantification of peripheral ER structures in untreated WT, *Δice2, Δopi1*

and *Δice2 Δopi1* cells (SSY1404, 2356, 2595 and 2811). Deletion of *ICE2* impaires

ER expansion caused by deletion of *OPI1*.

1100

Figure 4. Ice2 is required for ER membrane biogenesis upon ER stress and *ICE2*

overexpression is sufficient to induce ER expansion. **(A)** Quantification of peripheral

ER structures in WT and *Δice2* cells (SSY1405 and 1603) treated with 8 mM DTT for

the times indicated. Plotted is the percentage of cell cortex covered by tubules

(purple) or sheets (green). Error bars show the SEM for tubules and sheets,

respectively. n = 3. WT, wild-type. **(B)** Flow cytometric measurement of GFP levels

of WT and *Δice2* cells containing the UPR reporter (SSY2306 and 2312). Cells were

treated with 8 mM DTT for the times indicated. Data are normalized to untreated WT

cells. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. **(C)** Fluorescence images of cortical sections of untreated

WT and *Δice2* cells expressing Sec63-mNeon and Rtn1-mCherry (SSY1405 and

1603). **(D)** As in panel C, but after treatment with 8 mM DTT for 1 h. **(E)**

Quantification of WT and *Δice2* cells with Rtn1-mCherry puncta after treatment with 8

mM DTT for the times indicated. Mean ± SEM. n = 3. **(F)** Quantification of peripheral

ER structures in untreated WT and UPR-deficient *Δhac1* cells (SSY2228 and 2331),

overexpressing *ICE2* from a centromeric plasmid where indicated. **(G)** Flow

cytometric measurement of GFP levels of WT and *Δhac1* cells containing the UPR

reporter (SSY2306 and 2314) and overexpressing *ICE2* from a centromeric plasmid

where indicated. Data are normalized to untreated WT cells. Mean ± SEM, n = 3.

1119

Figure 5. Ice2 cooperates with Nem1, Spo7 and Pah1. **(A)** Quantification of

peripheral ER structures in WT, *Δice2*, *ΔLD* and *ΔLD Δice2* cells harboring the

inducible system (SSY2598, 599, 2600 and 2601), which were untreated or treated

with 800 nM estradiol for 6 h. Plotted is the percentage of cell cortex covered by

tubules (purple) or sheets (green). Error bars show the SEM for tubules and sheets,

respectively. n = 3. WT, wild-type; *ΔLD, Δlipid droplet*. The absence of lipid droplets

has no effect on ER expansion or the expansion defect caused by deletion of *ICE2*.

(B) As in panel A but after treatment with 8 mM DTT for 1 h. **(C)** Principle of the Ice2

protein proximity screen (left) and table of the hits obtained (right). DHFR*$_N$ and

DHFR*$_C$ are the amino-terminal and carboxy-terminal fragments of methotrexate-

resistant dihydrofolate reductase. **(D)** Genetic interactions between *ICE2* and

selected lipid synthesis genes. CDP, cytidine diphosphate; DAG, diacylglycerol; PA,

phosphatidic acid; PI/PS/PE/PC, phosphatidyl-inositol/serine/ethanolamine/choline.

(E) Growth assays of untreated WT, *Δice2, Δpah1* and *Δpah1Δice2* cells (SSY1404,

2356, 2807 and 2808). Numbers represent the areas under the curves and serve as

growth indices. Mean ± SEM. n = 3. Deletion of *PAH1* suppresses the growth

phenotype caused by deletion of *ICE2*. **(F)** Fluorescence images of WT, *Δpah1* and

Δpah1Δice2 cells expressing Sec63-mNeon (SSY1404, 2807 and 2808). *ICE2*

deletion has no effect on nuclear or peripheral ER morphology in *Δpah1* cells.

1139

Figure 6. Ice2 promotes ER membrane biogenesis by opposing Pah1 activity. **(A)**

Schematic of Pah1 phospho-regulation. Phosphorylated Pah1 is cytosolic and

inactive. Interaction between Pah1 and the ER-localized Nem1-Spo7 complex

results in Pah1 dephosphorylation and activation, leading to conversion of PA into

DAG. **(B)** Western blot of HA from WT, *Δice2*, *Δnem1* and *Δnem1 Δice2* cells

expressing endogenously tagged Pah1-3HA (SSY2592, 2593, 2595 and 2718).

SDS-PAGE (top) and Phos-tag PAGE (bottom) gels are shown. WT, wild-type. Loss

of Ice2 results in dephosphorylation and hence activation of Pah1 by Nem1,

indicating that Ice2 opposes Pah1 activity. **(C)** Lipidomic analysis of WT, *Δice2*,

Δnem1 and *Δice2 Δnem1* cells (SSY1404, 2356, 2482 and 2484). Mean ± SEM. n =

4. TAG, triacylglycerol; DAG, diacylglycerol; PA, phosphatidic acid; PI/PS/PE/PC,

phosphatidyl-inositol/serine/ethanolamine/choline. **(D)** As in panel C, but of WT,

Δice2, Δspo7 and *Δice2 Δspo7* cells (SSY1404, 2356, 2481 and 2483). Data for WT

and *Δice2* cells are the same as in panel C. **(E)** Quantification of peripheral ER

structures in WT cells and cells in which *PAH1* was replaced by *pah1(7A)*, without

and with overexpression of *ICE2* (SSY2841, 2842, 2843 and 2844). Plotted is the

percentage of cell cortex covered by tubules (purple) or sheets (green). Error bars

show the SEM for tubules and sheets, respectively. n = 3. The constitutively active

pah1(7A) prevents ER membrane expansion upon *ICE2* overexpression. **(F)**

Quantification of peripheral ER structures in WT cells and cells in which *PAH1* was

replaced by *pah1(7A)* (SSY2841 and 2842), treated with 8 mM DTT for 1 h. **(G)**

Quantification of WT and *Δice2* cells with Rtn1-mCherry puncta after treatment with 8

mM DTT for the times indicated. Mean ± SEM. n = 3.

1163

Figure 7. Ice2 cooperates with the PA-Opi1-Ino2/4 system and the UPR. **(A)** Sec63-

mNeon images of mid and cortical sections of untreated WT and *Δopi1* cells,

overexpressing *ICE2* where indicated (SSY1404, 2595, 2588 and 2596). WT, wild-

type. **(B)** Quantification of peripheral ER structures in the strains shown in panel A.

Plotted is the percentage of cell cortex covered by tubules (purple) or sheets (green).

Error bars show the SEM for tubules and sheets, respectively. n = 3. **(C)** Growth

assays of untreated WT, *Δhac1*, *Δice2*, and *Δhac1Δice2* cells (SSY1404, 2356,

2805 and 2806). Numbers represent the areas under the curves and serve as growth

indices. Mean ± SEM. n = 3. **(D)** Model for the regulation of ER membrane

biogenesis. High Pah1 activity promotes conversion of phosphatidic acid (PA) into

diacylglycerol (DAG) for lipid droplet biogenesis. Low Pah1 activity allows net DAG-

to-PA conversion by Dgk1 and derepression of Ino2/4-driven lipid synthesis genes,

resulting in phospholipid production and ER membrane biogenesis. These

mechanisms coordinate the production of lipid metabolic precursors and lipid

synthesis enzymes.

1179

Figure S1. (A) Growth assays of untreated WT, *Δice2*, *ΔLD* and *ΔLD Δice2* cells

(SSY2228, 2229, 2230 and 2256). Numbers represent the areas under the curves

and serve as growth indices. WT, wild-type. Mean ± SEM. n = 3. *ΔLD, Δlipid droplet*.

The absence of lipid droplets has no effect on ER expansion or the expansion defect

caused by deletion of *ICE2*. **(B)** Growth assay of untreated S288C WT cells mating

type a or alpha, the corresponding cells harboring Ice2 fused to either fragment of

DHFR*, and *Δice2* cells. Numbers represent the areas under the curves and serve

as growth indices. n = 1. Ice2-DHFR fusion proteins support normal growth,

indicating that they are functional.

1189

Figure S2. Ice2 but not Dgk1 acts in the same pathway as Nem1, Spo7 and Pah1.

(A) Growth assays of untreated WT, *Δice2*, *Δnem1* and *Δnem1Δice2* cells

(SSY1404, 2356, 2482 and 2484). Numbers represent the areas under the curves

and serve as growth indices. Mean ± SEM. n = 3. WT, wild-type. Deletion of *NEM1*

suppresses the growth phenotype caused by deletion of *ICE2*. **(B)** Sec63-mNeon

images of untreated WT, *Δice2*, *Δnem1* and *Δnem1Δice2* cells (SSY1404, 2356,

2482 and 2484). *ICE2* deletion has no effect on nuclear or peripheral ER morphology

in *Δnem1* cells. **(C)** As in panel A but for WT, *Δice2*, *Δspo7* and *Δspo7Δice2* cells

(SSY1404, 2356, 2481 and 2483). Data for WT and *Δice2* cells are the same as in

panel A. **(D)** As in panel B but for WT, *Δice2*, *Δspo7* and *Δspo7Δice2* cells

(SSY1404, 2356, 2481 and 2483). **(E)** Sec63-mNeon images of untreated cells of

the indicated genotypes (SSY1404, 2482, 2481, 2807, 2480, 2485, 2486 and 2822).

DGK1 deletion rescues the nuclear envelope defects and constitutive ER expansion

in *Δnem1*, *Δspo7* and *Δpah1* cells.

Table S3. Plasmids used in this study. GEM = GAL4DBD-EstR-Msn2TAD.

Plasmid	Alias	Source
pRS415-P$_{MET25}$-ino2(L119A)	pSS108	Heyken et al., 2005
pRS416-P$_{GAL1}$	pSS031	Mumberg et al., 1994
pRS415-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)	pSS448	this study
pNH605-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM	pDEP151	David Pincus
pNH605-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM-P$_{GAL1}$	pSS474	Schmidt et al., 2019
pNH605-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)	pSS475	this study
pRS306-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM	pDEP001	Pincus et al., 2014
pRS306-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM-P$_{GAL1}$	pSS476	Schmidt et al., 2019
pRS306-P$_{ADH1}$-GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)	pSS477	this study
pRS303H-P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP	pMaM245	Szoradi et al., 2018
pFA6a-mNeon-kanMX4	pMaM375	Michael Knop
pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-kanMX6	pSS037	Longtine et al., 1998
pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-HISMX6	pSS039	Longtine et al., 1998
pFA6a-mNeon-kanMX6	pSS445	Schäfer et al., 2020
pFA6a-mNeon-HIS3MX6	pSS447	this study
pRS415-P$_{ADH1}$	pSS022	Mumberg et al., 1995
pRS415-P$_{ADH1}$-Ice2	pSS761	this study
pRS304-4xUPRE-GFP	pDEP017	Pincus et al., 2010
YCplac111-Pah1-PrtA	pSS1005	O'Hara et al., 2006
YCplac111-pah1(7A)-PrtA	pSS1006	O'Hara et al., 2006
YCplac111-Pah1-3HA	pSS1045	this study
YCplac111-pah1(7A)-3HA	pSS1047	this study

Table S4. Yeast strains used in this study. mNeon = mNeonGreen. GEM = P_{ADH1}-GAL4DBD-EstR-Msn2TAD.

Strain	Relevant genotype	Source
SSY122	*ADE2 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 MATa*	Szoradi, 2018
SSY1404	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3*	this study
SSY2328	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 leu2::GEM-LEU2*	this study
SSY1405	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 leu2::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-LEU2*	this study
SSY2306	*his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph trp1::4xUPRE-GFP-TRP1*	this study
SSY2307	*his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph trp1::4xUPRE-GFP-TRP1 ura3::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-URA3*	this study
SSY2308	*his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph trp1::4xUPRE-GFP-TRP1 ura3::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-URA3*	this study
SSY1607	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 leu2::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-LEU2 opi1Δ::hph*	this study
Y8205	*can1Δ::P$_{STE2}$-Sp_his5 lyp1Δ::P$_{STE3}$-LEU2 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 MATα*	Tong, 2007
SSY2589	*Y8205 Sec63-mNeon::HIS3 his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph can1::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-URA3*	this study
SSY2590	*Y8205 Rtn1-mCherry::nat lyp1::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-URA3*	this study
SSY1603	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 leu2::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-LEU2 ice2Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2356	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2595	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 opi1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2811	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 opi1Δ::hph ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2312	*his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph trp1::4xUPRE-GFP-TRP1 ice2Δ::nat*	this study
YMG1	*ade2-1 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 his3-11,15 can1-100 MATa*	Velazquez, 2016
SSY2228	*YMG1 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan*	this study
SSY2331	*YMG1 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan hac1Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2314	*his3::P$_{GPD}$-TagBFP-hph trp1::4xUPRE-GFP-TRP1 hac1Δ::nat*	this study
YMG5	*YMG1 dga1Δ::TRP1 lro1Δ::HIS3 are1Δ::TRP1 are2Δ::HIS3*	Velazquez, 2016
SSY2598	*YMG1 ADE2 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan leu2::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-LEU2*	this study
SSY2599	*SSY2598 ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2600	*YMG5 ADE2 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan leu2::GEM-P$_{GAL1}$-ino2(L119A)-LEU2*	this study
SSY2601	*SSY2600 ice2Δ::nat*	this study
BY4741	*his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 MATa*	Michael Knop
BY4742	*his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 MATα*	Michael Knop
	BY4741 Ice2-DHFR$^{*}_{1,2}$ (from DHFR fusion collection)	Tarassov, 2008
	BY4742 Ice2-DHFR$^{*}_{3}$ (from DHFR fusion collection)	Tarassov, 2008
	BY4741 Hmg2-DHFR$^{*}_{1,2}$ (from DHFR fusion collection)	Tarassov, 2008
	BY4742 Hmg2-DHFR$^{*}_{3}$ (from DHFR fusion collection)	Tarassov, 2008
	BY4741 ice2Δ::kan (from knockout strain collection)	Giaever, 2002
SSY2807	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 pah1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2808	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat pah1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2229	*YMG1 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2230	*YMG5 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2256	*YMG5 Sec63-mNeon::URA3 Rtn1-mCherry::kan*	this study
SSY2482	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 nem1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2484	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat nem1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2481	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 spo7Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2483	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat spo7Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2480	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 dgk1Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2485	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 spo7Δ::hph Δdgk1::nat*	this study
SSY2486	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 nem1Δ::hph Δdgk1::nat*	this study
SSY2822	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 dgk1Δ::nat Δpah1::hph*	this study
SSY2592	*Pah1-3HA::HIS3*	this study
SSY2593	*Pah1-3HA::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2594	*Pah1-3HA::HIS3 nem1Δ::nat*	this study
SSY2718	*Pah1-3HA::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat nem1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2809	*pah1Δ::URA3*	this study
SSY2836	*Pah1-3HA*	this study
SSY2837	*pah1(7A)-3HA*	this study
SSY2841	*Pah1-3HA Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3*	this study
SSY2842	*pah1(7A)-3HA Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3*	this study
SSY2843	*Pah1-3HA Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ura3::P$_{ADH1}$-Ice2-LEU2*	this study
SSY2844	*pah1(7A)-3HA Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ura3::P$_{ADH1}$-Ice2-LEU2*	this study
SSY2588	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ura3::Padh-Ice2-LEU2*	this study

SSY2596	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ura3::Padh-Ice2-LEU2 opi1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2805	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 hac1Δ::hph*	this study
SSY2806	*Sec63-mNeon::kan Rtn1-mCherry::HIS3 ice2Δ::nat hac1Δ::hph*	this study

Figure 1

Figure 2

A ER expansion phenotypes

underexpanded	normal	overexpanded	extended sheets	disorganized	clustered
Δdgk1	WT	Δerd1	Δarv1	Δpsd1	Δopi3
Δspe3	WT	Δbre1	Δfyv6	Δcog1	Δgga1

2 μm

B Computational identification of underexpansion mutants

Z score — total area of peripheral ER
WT untreated
Δino4
Δice2

Z score — mean length of ER profiles
WT untreated
Δino4
Δice2

Z score — number of ER gaps
Δice2
Δino4
WT untreated

C

Sec63-mNeon   Rtn1-mCherry   merge

Δlnp1

Δsey1

2 μm

D Classification of hits (141 total)

overexpanded (38)

overexpanded & extended sheets (30)

overexpanded & disorganized (24)

clustered (4) (8) (4)

under-expanded (33)

Figure 3

A untreated   + estradiol
WT
Δ*ice2*
2 μm

B Classification of ER structures at the cell cortex
Sec63-mNeon → tubules sheets → final classification
Rtn1-mCherry → tubular clusters

C ■ tubules ■ sheets
WT   Δ*ice2*
cell cortex covered (%)
0 2 4 6   0 2 4 6
estradiol treatment (h)

D Ino2/4 activity
WT   Δ*ice2*
relative *INO1* mRNA levels
1   97   0.6   152
0 6   0 6
estradiol treatment (h)

E ■ tubules ■ sheets
WT Δ*ice2* Δ*opi1* Δ*opi1*
Δ*ice2*
cell cortex covered (%)
steady state

Figure 4

Figure 5

C Ice2 protein proximity screen

category	proteins
lipid metabolism	Cho1, Csg2, Elo1, Erg25, Ice2, Orm1, Phs1, Pis1, Sac1, Scs7, Spo7, Tsc13
protein targeting	Emc1, Emc3, Erp1, Got1, Shr3, Srp102, Yip3
protein glycosylation	Alg5, Ost1, Ost4, Wbp1
ER morphogenesis	Rtn1, Yop1
other/unknown function	Irc22, Ist2, Per33, Pmr1, Ubp1, Ypr114w
not an ER protein	Ccw12, Dfg5, Rpl22A, Rpl38, Zeo1, Ynl190w

D *ICE2* genetic interactions

E

F

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

	WT	ICE2 o/e	Δopi1	Δopi1 + ICE2 o/e

mid section

cortical section

2 μm

B

■ tubules ■ sheets

cell cortex covered (%)

WT   Δopi1

- ● - ●

ICE2 overexpression

C

cell growth log₂(absorbance)

26.4±0.2
25.7±0.0
23.2±0.2
19.3±0.7

— WT
— Δhac1
— Δice2
— Δhac1Δice2

time (h)   0   5   10   15   20   25

steady state

D

production of lipid
metabolic precursors

→ = activation
⊣ = inhibition
→ = conversion

Ice2 ⊣ Nem1-Spo7 → Pah1

production of lipid
synthesis enzymes

DAG ⇄ PA   Opi1   Ino2/4

Dgk1

lipid droplets

phospholipids → ER membrane

Figure S1

Figure S2