Inflammatory state of lymphatic vessels and miRNA profiles associated with relapse in ovarian cancer patients.

Sarah C Johnson[1], Sanjukta Chakraborty[2], Anastasios Drosou[3], Paula Cunnea[4], Dimitrios Tzovara[3], Katherine Nixon [4], David C Zawieja [2], Mariappan Muthuchamy [2], Christina Fotopoulou[4]¶ and James E Moore jr. [1] ¶ *.

[1] Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London

[2] College of Medicine, Texas A&M University

[3] Parhelia Analytics

[4] Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London.

* Corresponding author

Email. james.moore.jr@imperial.ac.uk (JM)

¶ *Christina Fotopoulou and Prof. James E Moore jr. should be considered as joint senior authors.*

Abstract

Lymphogenic spread is associated with poor prognosis in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC), yet little is known regarding roles of non-peri-tumoural lymphatic vessels (LVs) outside the tumour microenvironment that may impact relapse. We hypothesize that inflammatory status of the LVs and/or changes in the miRNA profile of the LVs, could potentially have a prognostic and predictive value for overall outcome and risk of relapse. Samples of macroscopically normal human lymph LVs (n=10) were isolated from the external iliac vessels draining the pelvic region of patients undergoing debulking surgery. This was followed by quantification of the inflammatory state (low, medium and high) and presence of cancer-infiltration of each LV using immunohistochemistry. LV miRNA expression profiling was also performed, and analysed in the context of high versus low inflammation, and cancer-infiltrated versus non-cancer-infiltrated. Results were correlated with clinical outcome data including relapse with an average follow-up time of 13.3 months. The presence of high inflammation correlated significantly with patient relapse (p=0.033). Cancer-infiltrated LVs showed a moderate but non-significant association with relapse (p=0.07). Differential miRNA profiles were identified in cancer-infiltrated LVs and LVs with high versus low inflammation. In particular, the let-7 family was consistently downregulated < 1.8-fold in highly inflamed LVs (p<0.05) as opposed to the less inflamed ones. Down-regulation of the let-7 family appears to be associated with inflammation, but whether inflammation contributes to or is an effect of cancer-infiltration requires further investigation.

Introduction

Epithelial Ovarian cancer (EOC) accounts for over 4000 deaths in the UK every year [1]. Lymph nodes metastases are very common in EOC-patients and also associated with a more dismal overall prognosis [2-3]. Total macroscopic tumour clearance, is one of the most important prognostic factors for survival post-surgery in EOC, including also the removal of bulky lymph nodes [3-4]. There is no therapeutic value in the systematic removal of clinically normal appearing LN in the advanced disease setting, as shown in prospective randomised trials [5]. The involvement and changes in the connecting lymphatic vessels (LVs) and how they are related to the future risk of metastasis and relapse have never been investigated, to our knowledge.

LVs are specialised in the uptake and transport of macromolecules from tissue fluid, consequently permitting lymphogenic spread of cancer cells. Within the tumour microenvironment, intra-tumoural LVs are present, however functional tests in mice have indicated that intra-tumoural lymphatics are non-functional [6,7]. The collapse of intra-tumoural LVs is potentially due to the high interstitial pressure observed in multiple types of tumours, a lack of lymphatic valves, or induced mechanical pressure of growing tumour cells [6]. However, in both mice and humans, functional peri-tumoural lymphatics remain [6]. LVs can facilitate metastases both passively and through active changes in lymphatic endothelial cell (LEC) expression, that aid cancer-cell infiltration, allowing subsequent drainage to LNs [8]. Tumour cells can also arrest within the draining LVs and form in-transit metastases, and in some cases escape the LVs, contributing to loco-regional metastasis, potentially aided by altered LV barrier integrity [8].

Lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) that line the LVs can also modulate immune cell

function, in both anti-tumour immune response and cancer-induced immunosuppression [9].

For example, LEC expression of Protein-Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1), the ligand for T cell

inhibitory receptor, PD-1, can contribute to peripheral tolerance in LNs and tumours [10].

Tumour associated LECs can scavenge and cross-present tumour-antigen to cognate CD8$^+$

TCs, displaying the ability to endogenise allergen ovalbumin, then present the exogenous

antigen on Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class I molecules, and contribute to

inducing tolerance of tumour-specific CD8$^+$ TCS [11]. LECs of the LN can acquire peptide

MHCII-from DCs and induce tolerance of CD4$^+$ TCs via subsequent presentation, in addition

to up-regulating MHCII in response to viral-induced inflammation or Interferon-gamma

(IFN-γ) [12]. The LVs are also sensitive to inflammation-induced signalling molecules which

can promote lymphangiogenesis, and induce further secretion of inflammatory molecules that

may drain to the LNs [13]. Chronic inflammation in the collecting LVs induce changes

including LV dilation and inhibition of contractile ability but the effects on metastasis are

unknown [14].

MicroRNA provide vital post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression, a function that is

often disrupted by cancer [15]. The ability of a single miRNA to inhibit multiple target genes

has led to several studies of altered tumour miRNA expression in ovarian cancer and

response to chemotherapy. This includes comparison of miRNA expression in different

tumour subsets, in healthy versus diseased ovaries, in patient versus healthy serum, benign

versus malignant tumours, expression associated with reduced patient survival, and

expression associated with drug-resistance [16]. For example, a study using both EOC cell

lines and EOC tumour tissue showed highly elevated miR-221, decreased miR-21 expression,

and down-regulation of several members of the let-7 family [17].

Expression of miRNA in LVs and LECs is altered during inflammation and by nearby

tumours. In inflamed LECs, upregulated miR-1236 reduced expression of vascular-

endothelial growth factor-3 receptor, inhibiting lymphangiogenesis [18]. A separate study

showed that inflamed dermal LECs increased miR-155 expression, a miRNA with known

involvement in PD-L1 expression which may contribute to peripheral tolerance changes [19].

Furthermore, a panel of differentially expressed miRNAs was identified in inflamed rat LV

and human LEC culture, indicating effects on inflammation, angiogenesis, endothelial

mesenchymal transition, cell proliferation and senescence pathways [13]. Tumour expression

profiling has identified changes in lymphangiogenesis-inducing miRNAs, such as miR-126 in

oral cancer and miR-128 in non-small cell lung cancer, that could influence metastasis

[20,21]. A study using human LEC co-culture models of gastric cancer, representing

tumoural or draining LVs, identified changes in differential miRNAs expression associated

with lymphangiogenesis and with positive lymphatic metastasis [22]. Further miRNA

expression studies of draining LVs are lacking but many gene dysregulations were identified

in LECs isolated from afferent LVs that drained a metastatic gastric tumour in rats, including

up-regulation of chemokine CXCL1 [23].

The aim of this study was to provide insight into the changes in the inflammatory state and

miRNA expression of the transporting LVs in EOC. It is expected that these changes will be

indicative of the immune response in the LVs and the downstream LN, and therefore could

be predictive of patient prognosis.

Methods

LV sample collection

LVs were collected from patients undergoing debulking surgery for advanced stage (FIGO IIC, III or IV) epithelial ovarian cancer at Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom (Tables 1 and S1). Informed consent was provided from each patient. Ethics committee approval was obtained from Hammersmith and Queen Charlotte's and Chelsea Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 05/Q0406/178), and tissue samples were provided by the Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust Tissue Bank (ICHTB). The following LV processing steps are summarised in S1 Fig.

Macroscopically normal appearing LVs along the external iliac vessels with surrounding fibro-fatty tissue were removed with the nearby LN as part of the debulking procedure. Vessels were ligated with VIcyl03 or PDS-4-0, avoiding bipolar coagulation and other thermic energy to limit vessel damage. All patients had no macroscopic residual disease after surgery.

Table 1. Summary of the LV microscopic state and clinical data.

Vessel Sample	Type of marker			Stage	Histology	LN histology	Relapse*
	MPO	WT1	Pax-8				
1	+++	+++	++	IVB	HGS	-	y
2	+++	+++	+++	IVA	HGS	n/a	y
3	+++	-	-	IIC	HGS	n/a	y
4	+	++	+	IV	HGS	n/a	y
5	-	-	-	IIIB	Clear Cell	n/a	n
6	-	-	-	IIIC	HGS	n/a	n
7	-	-	-	IIIC	HGS	+	n
8	-	-	-	IIIC	HGS	n/a	n
9	+	-	-	IVB	HGS	n/a	n
10	+	+	++	IIC	HGS	-	n

Key	MPO	WT1/Pax-8
	+++ high	+>=15 cells
	+ medium	++ 15> cells >=5
	- low	+ < 5 cells

Sections of the LVs were stained with antibodies for the inflammatory marker

myeloperoxidase (MPO), ovarian cancer markers Wilms-Tumour-1 (WT1) and Paired Box

Gene 8 (Pax-8), and the lymphatic endothelial marker, Podoplanin (PDPN).

*Mean time since surgery = 13.2 ±3.6 months

Vessel isolation and storage

Tissue specimens were transported directly from surgical theatre to the laboratory in ice-cold

sterile Phosphate Buffered Saline (VWR, UK). LVs were identified and isolated along with a

small amount of surrounding tissue under a dissection microscope within a sterile laminar

flow cabinet (Fig 1A-C). Each vessel was divided into two sections. One half of each vessel

was further cleaned of surrounding fatty tissue, placed in RNA stabilising solution

(RNAlater, ThermoFisher, UK), frozen on dry ice and stored at -20°C. The other half was

fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (VWR, UK) for 45 minutes, followed by submersion in 15%

sucrose for 3 hours, then 30% sucrose for 6-12 hours. This was followed by embedding in

OCT (Scigen, UK) using dry ice and isopropyl alcohol (ThermoFisher, UK) and stored at -

80°C.

Fig 1. Lymphatic vessel isolation and imaging. A. LV isolation technique. B. Tissue

sample containing LV. C. Isolated and cleaned vessel for RNA extraction. D&E,

Quantification of LVs inflammation was determined using the ratio of MPO staining to cell

nuclei (DAPI), in this example 1.0%/6.3%= 0.16, to divide samples into low, medium and

high inflammatory states with thresholds of <3%, 3-10% and >10% respectively. F. H & E

stained sections of the LVs, show that the nuclei were sufficiently preserved for subsequent

Paired-Box-Gene 8 (Pax-1) and WT1 (Wilms-Tumour-1) staining. G-I. LVs with no/low,

medium and high inflammatory state determined with MPO staining. J. Confirmation of LV

status was carried out using LEC marker Podoplanin (PDPN) that stained around the LV

lumen. K-L. OC marker WT1 negative/positive LV. N. MPO positive control. O-P. OC

marker Pax8 LV negative, LV positive and positive control. M, Q. An Ovarian tumour

sample was used as a positive control and stained positively for both WT1 and Pax8.

Scale=140μm.

MiRNA extraction and analysis

Samples in RNAlater were individually defrosted and homogenized in 700μL of QIAzol

(Qiagen, UK), using a PT 1300 D homogenisor with a 3mm dispersing aggregate head

(Kinematica, Switzerland) at 20,000 RPM in a sterile 1.5 ml tube. RNA was extracted using a

RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, UK) following the standard protocol and quality was verified

using a NanoDropTM 2000 at A260/280nm and A260/230nm absorbance (Thermofisher,

UK). Each sample was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using a miScript II RT Kit (Qiagen),

then qPCR was carried out using a miScriptSybrGreen real-time PCR kit (Qiagen) and pre-

defined miScript miRNA PCR Array (Qiagen, UK). The array contained primer pairs for 8

housekeeping genes and controls plus 88 human miRNAs that have previously been

identified or predicted to target genes involved in the regulation of inflammatory responses

and autoimmunity. One well was populated with a negative control sample. The array was

run using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems), according to the

manufacturer's instructions.

Immunofluorescence staining and Imaging

Samples embedded in OCT were sectioned at 10-16μm and stored at -80°C. Both standard

Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) and immunofluorescent staining were subsequently

performed. For immunofluorescent staining, slides were washed with Tris Buffered Saline

(TBS) (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and 0.05% Triton-X (Sigma) and blocked for 1hour with 10%

goat serum/TBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary

antibodies diluted in 5% goat serum/TBS at ratios of 1:200 for inflammatory marker

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) (ab9535), 1:200 for ovarian carcinoma marker Wilms Tumour-1

(WT1) (ab89901), 1:50 for ovarian carcinoma marker Paired-Box-Gene 8 (Pax-8)

(ab189249), and 1:200 for LEC marker Podoplanin (PDPN) (ab10288). Alexa-488

conjugated secondary antibody, anti-Mouse (ab150113) or anti-Rabbit (ab150077) were

applied at a dilution of 1:250 in 5% goat serum/TBS at room temperature for 1 hour.

Samples were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) solution (Sigma-Aldrich)

and mounted with FluoroshieldTM (Sigma-Aldrich). Confocal Z-stacks and multi-channel

images were processed and analysed using FIJI imaging software [24].

Cryo-sections of LV samples stored at -80°C maintained sufficient morphology to quantify

inflammation and cancer cell presence (Fig 1F). The identification of LVs was confirmed by

the positive staining with Podoplanin (Fig 1J). The LV were subsequently classified into a

low, medium or high inflammatory group with thresholds of <3%, 3-10% and >10% of MPO

staining to nuclei (DAPI) staining ratio (Fig 1 D and E). The level of cancer-cell infiltration

was determined by the number of cancer cells detected in a LV cross-section, as shown by

positive staining with WT1 and Pax-8.

Statistical Analysis

Clinical correlation between different combinations of LV state (inflammation, high-

inflammation, cancer-infiltration), and patient relapse, and cancer-stage were assessed by

applying Fishers exact test, to identify significant non-random associations, and calculation

of Cohen's Kappa coefficient of inner agreement (κ), interpreted according to the methods of

Vierra and Garett 2005 [25]. A P value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant

and indicated with asterisk: *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$.

Prior to further analysis, the miRNA expression of each LV (ΔCT) was normalized to the

expression of a stably expressed gene in each array, confirmed with NormFinder

(http://moma.dk/normfinder-software) and GeNorm (http://www.biogazelle.com/qbaseplus)

software. SNORD96 was selected, due to prior designation, as a housekeeping gene. The fold

change between imaging-informed groups of LVs was calculated as ΔΔCT Group2/ΔΔCT

Group1 where ΔΔCT=$2^{ΔCT}$. Fold regulation was normalised to values greater than 1, noting

up- or down-regulation. A principle component analysis (PCA) was applied to separate

samples based on miRNA expression across two principle components (PC) that account for

the greatest sources of miRNA variation within samples, using online software ClustVis

(https://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis/) [26]. Pathway analysis of the up-regulated and down-regulated

genes identified was carried out using Diana-MiRPath v3 [27]. A student-t-test, assuming

equal variance, was applied to further confirm variation between groups. To visualise the

distributions of the individual miRNA for which statistical significant difference was

identified between groups, the probability density functions for miRNA expression in each

group were estimated using Kernel Density Estimated distributions.

Supervised learning classification algorithms were then implemented to classify the samples'

states into groups (inflamed/cancer-infiltrated/relapsed/stageIV) based on miRNA

expression. The methods used were Logistic Regression Model, k-Nearest Neighbours,

Support Vector Machine, Random Forest Classifier and Gaussian Naïve Bayes (See

supplement for further details). Training of models was achieved using Leave One Out Cross

Validation with accuracy defined as the percentage of correct classifications. A McNemar's

test of homogeneity of the predicted probabilities between the inner groups was applied and

Cohen's Kappa coefficient (κ) calculated between predicted and actual results. The ability of

a miRNA to be distinguished between groups, was identified using a t-test. A set of only the

miRNA that were significantly differentially expressed between groups was then used to train

new classifiers. Whether use of the new classifier could improve predictions was then

assessed, compared to performance of classifiers built using the entire miRNA expression set.

Data availability

Further analytical results are available in the supplementary material. All raw and normalised

expression data files are available from the [tbc] database (accession number(s) [tbc], [tbc].).

Results

Post-operative assessment of patients

Ten patients were included in the present analysis. Nine of those patients had a high grade serous histology, and one patient had a clear cell carcinoma (Table 1). 60% of the lymph vessels were harvested at primary debulking surgery and 40% at interval debulking surgery (S1 Table). Only one positive para-aortic LN was detected at final histopathology. In the 13.2±3.6 months follow up period, 4 of the 10 patients had relapsed. Of the four patients that relapsed, three vessels demonstrated high inflammation and one vessel showed medium inflammation. Vessels from patients that did not relapse showed low inflammation in 4 cases and medium inflammation in the remaining 2 cases. Of the four relapse cases, cancer-cell infiltration was detected in three vessels (two with high inflammation and one showing medium inflammation).

Clinical correlation of LV inflammatory state or cancer-cell infiltration and patient relapse

Of the four cancer-infiltrated LVs identified, 2 presented with high inflammation and the remaining 2 samples presented with medium inflammation. Cancer-free LVs presented with generally lower degrees of inflammation (Table 1). Tumour cells were detected in 50% of LVs with medium inflammation and two-thirds of LVs displaying high inflammation. The LVs presented with high inflammation in 3 of 10 samples, two of which were also positive for cancer cells**.** Three LV samples presented with medium inflammation, two of which contained cancer cells, and the remaining 4 LV samples presented with low inflammation and no detectable cancer cell infiltration (Table 1). The samples were macroscopically normal in appearance, however positive staining for the ovarian tumour markers WT1 and Pax8 was

observed in four of the 10 samples collected (Fig 1 L,P). The LVs with cancer cells showed a

moderate agreement (κ=0.583) with patient relapse but this was not significant (Table 2)

(p=0.114). A moderate but statistically non-significant agreement was found between cancer-

infiltrated LVs and Stage IV and inflammation (κ =0.583, 0.61 respectively).

Table 2: Cohen's Kappa Coefficient for inner-agreement between different sample

states (1=perfect agreement) and Fisher's exact test for identification of significant non-

random association (p<0.05) (n=10).

Cohen's Kappa Co-efficient					
	Relapse*	**Inflam.**	**High Inflam.**	**Cancer-cell inf.**	**Stage IV**
Relapse	x	**0.615**	**0.783**	0.583	0.583
Inflam.	**0.615**	x	x	**0.615**	**0.615**
High Inflam.	**0.783**	x	x	0.348	0.348
Cancer-cell inf.	0.583	**0.615**	0.348	x	0.583
Stage IV	0.583	**0.615**	0.348	0.583	x

Fishers Exact Test (p-value)					
	Relapse*	**Inflam.**	**High Inflam.**	**Cancer-cell inf.**	**Stage IV**
Relapse	x	**0.071**	**0.033**	0.114	0.114
Inflam.	**0.071**	x	x	**0.071**	**0.071**
High Inflam.	**0.033**	x	x	0.300	0.300
Cancer-cell inf.	0.114	**0.071**	0.300	x	**0.114**
Stage IV	0.114	**0.071**	0.300	0.114	x

287 Inflam = comparison of LVs with high or medium inflammation to low inflammation. High

288 Inflam. = comparison of LVs with high inflammation to low or medium inflammation.

289 Cancer-cell inf. = cancer-cell infiltration.

290 *Mean time since surgery= 13.2 months ±3.6*

As the degree of inflammation in the LVs increased, so did correlation with patient relapse. The presence of LVs showing high inflammation significantly correlated with patient relapse (κ= 0.783, p=0.03) (Table 3). The presence of medium or high inflammation within LVs showed a moderate agreement with patient relapse (κ= 0.615, p=0.07) and patients with Stage IV, relapse and cancer-cell infiltration (κ=0.615, p=0.07) (Table 2). Omitting the LVs with medium inflammation resulted in a stronger correlation involving high inflammation status. LVs exhibiting high degrees of inflammation showed near perfect agreement with patient relapse compared to those with low inflammatory state (n=7, κ=1.0, p = 0.029). Additionally, there were indications of a relationship between highly inflamed vessels with cancer-infiltration and Stage IV cancer (κ=0.695), but this lacked statistical significance (p=0.143). These results therefore support the earlier identified correlation between high inflammation and relapse. Of note, the low, medium and high inflammatory state of the LVs was determined based on relative thresholds amongst the vessels, but all vessels showed less inflammation than similarly stained ovarian tumour tissue (Fig 1N).

Table 3: Assessment of Cohen\s Kappa Coefficient and Fisher's exact test, as described in Table 2, comparing LVs with high inflammation to LVs with low inflammation only (n-7).

	Relapse	Cancer	Stage IV
Cohen's Kappa Co-efficient	**1**	0.695	0.695
Fishers Exact Test	**0.029**	0.143	0.143

Lymphatic Vessel miRNA expression during EOC

Expression analysis of a panel of miRNA involved in auto-immunity and inflammation was

carried out. This showed that let-7 was differentially expressed in the highly-inflamed LVs.

Significant differential expression of 8 miRNA was identified when comparing miRNA

expression of LVs presenting with high inflammation versus low inflammation ($p<0.05$,

n=7). Of the 8 miRNA, 6 belonged to the let-7 family with miR-23a-3p and miR-23b-3p

completing the set. During analysis, equal variance of each group was assumed, which was

supported by the results of analyses of unequal variance (Welches test) and Levene's test

under a significance test of 0.05 (S4 Table**).** Differential expression can also be clearly

visualised when the estimated probability density functions, calculated using kernel density

estimation for inner groups, for the differentially expressed individual miRNA are compared

(S2 Fig).

An overlap was observed between the differential miRNA expression identified in cancer-

cell-infiltrated and inflamed LVs and the differential miRNA expression in LVs collected

from patients who underwent relapse post-surgery during the study. Four significantly

differentially expressed miRNA were identified in relapse LVs (let-7c-5p, let-7b-5p, miR-

23b-3p and miR-186-5p), with three of these (let-7c-5p, let-7b-5p, miR-186-5p), also

significantly differentially expressed in inflamed and cancer-infiltrated LVs (Fig 2, S2 & S4

Tables). Of the 15 miRNA that were down-regulated >1.8 fold in relapse vessels, 13 were

also down-regulated in inflamed LVs, including 6 members of the let-7 family and miR-23a-

3p and miR-23b-3p.

Fig 2: The overlap in differential expression of miRNA in inflamed, cancer-infiltrated

and relapse-associated LVs. The (a) up-regulated and (b) down-regulated miRNA identified

in three separate comparisons. In the first group cancer-infiltrated LVs were compared to

non-cancer-infiltrated LVs. In the second group LVs presenting with high inflammation were

compared to those with low inflammation. In the 3rd group miRNA expression in LVs from

patients who relapsed during the study duration was compared to miRNA expression in LVs

from those who did not relapse.

In the cancer-infiltrated LVs, most miRNA expression changes involved miRNA up-

regulation such as miR-16-5p, miR-93-5p and miR-497-5p, miR-381-3p, miR-144-3p and

miR-181a-5p. In contrast, inflamed LVs presented with primarily down-regulated miRNA, in

particular, significant down-regulation of members of the let-7 family along with miR-23a-

3p, miR-23b-3p and tumour suppressor miR-15-5p [28]. In cancer-containing LVs, 70% of

the miRNA that were down-regulated >1.8 fold were also down-regulated in the LVs of

patients who relapsed post-surgery during the study period and the inflamed LVs, of which

all were members of the let-7 family.

An overlap in the differential miRNA expression in cancer-infiltrated LVs and inflamed LVs

was identified, as 18 miRNA were found to undergo an expression change of >1.8 fold (up-

or down-regulated) in both of the comparisons (Table 4, Fig 2). 6 of the 7 miRNA that were

down-regulated in both groups belonged to the let-7 family. Of the miRNA that showed

increased expression, miR-381-3p, miR-93-5p, miR-144-3p and miR-497-5p showed

significant differential expression in both inflamed and cancer-infiltrated LVs. The overlap in

fold-change difference in the inflamed and cancer-infiltrated LVs may be reflective of the

fact that 50% of the cancer-infiltrated LVs also presented with high inflammation. More

significant differences were identified between vessels with high or low inflammation than

vessels with or without cancer-infiltration. Expression of several miRNA were consistently

not detected by qPCR and omitted from further analysis.

Table 4: Differential expression of miRNA between LV groups.

	Inflamed compared to Non-Inflamed			Cancerous compared to Non-Cancerous	
miRNA	**Fold Regulation**	**p value**	**miRNA**	**Fold Regulation**	**p value**
miR-301a-3p	13.657	0.34	**miR-144-3p**	**14.02**	**0.001**
miR-186-5p	6.327	0.104	**miR-181c-5p**	**10.629**	**0.017**
miR-410-3p	5.817	0.167	miR-301a-3p	7.3	0.309
miR-19a-3p	5.74	0.385	miR-19a-3p	6.896	0.197
miR-144-3p	4.933	0.193	miR-19b-3p	6.055	0.147
miR-19b-3p	4.423	0.343	miR-101-3p	5.083	0.074
miR-101-3p	4.18	0.34	**miR-381-3p**	**4.07**	**0.014**
miR-29b-3p	2.528	0.348	miR-181a-5p	3.875	0.274
miR-590-5p	2.453	0.792	miR-130a-3p	3.853	0.115
miR-497-5p	2.442	0.289	miR-186-5p	3.214	0.131
miR-15a-5p	2.301	0.405	let-7a-5p	2.92	0.287
miR-381-3p	2.175	0.24	**miR-497-5p**	**2.902**	**0.026**
miR-195-5p	-1.945	0.132	miR-590-5p	2.78	0.521
miR-29a-3p	-2.013	0.724	miR-15a-5p	2.767	0.182
let-7i-5p	-2.132	0.078	**miR-93-5p**	**2.252**	**0.001**
miR-301b-3p	-2.605	0.43	miR-29a-3p	2.166	0.525
miR-23a-3p	**-2.889**	**0.018**	miR-29b-3p	2.098	0.32
let-7g-5p	-3.122	0.121	**miR-16-5p**	**2.077**	**0.031**
let-7e-5p	**-3.163**	**0.04**	**let-7i-5p**	**-2.08**	**0.021**
let-7f-5p	**-3.462**	**0.041**	let-7b-5p	-2.274	0.07
miR-98-5p	-3.79	0.168	let-7e-5p	-2.505	0.432
miR-15b-5p	**-4.167**	**0.047**	let-7c-5p	-2.556	0.078
let-7d-5p	**-4.907**	**0.004**	let-7d-5p	-2.672	0.122
miR-202-3p	-5.95	0.236	let-7f-5p	-3.239	0.27
miR-181d-5p	-6.426	0.131	miR-202-3p	-4.8	0.299
let-7c-5p	**-6.666**	**0.001**			
miR-130a-3p	-6.752	0.652			
let-7b-5p	**-11.026**	**0.003**			
miR-23b-3p	**-13.016**	**0.01**			
let-7a-5p	**-222.405**	**0.024**			

up-regulated	down-regulated	**Bold= p < 0.05**

366 Listed are miRNA that showed a fold-change ±1.8 and/ or a significant difference between

367 groups (t-test p>0.05)

Pathway Analysis of miRNA found to be dysregulated in inflamed or cancer-

infiltrated LVs

Pathway analysis indicated that significantly dysregulated miRNAs are involved in

maintaining LV integrity. The miRNA that were significantly upregulated in cancer-

infiltrated LVs, and those significantly down-regulated in inflamed LVs have known

involvement in various pathways related to the TGFβ-pathway, fatty acid biosynthesis,

proteoglycans in cancer, glycosaminoglycan synthesis and glycan biosynthesis as well as the

extracellular matrix receptor interactions pathway and adherens junction pathway (Table 5).

393 **Table 5: Pathway analysis using miRNA.**

	Upregulated miRNA				Down-regulated miRNA		
KEGG pathway	p-value	Genes	miRNA	KEGG pathway	p-value	Genes	miRNA
TARBASE							
Proteoglycans in cancer	3.02E-15	96	5	Adherens junction	5.86E-13	52	8
Adherens junction	3.09E-09	44	5	Proteoglycans in cancer	5.86E-13	107	8
Prion diseases	3.09E-09	14	5	TGF-β signaling pathway	3.29E-09	49	8
Viral carcinogenesis	1.64E-08	91	5	Viral carcinogenesis	5.77E-09	99	8
Hippo-signaling pathway	2.37E-08	68	5	Cell cycle	2.79E-08	74	8
TGF-β signaling pathway	9.56E-08	41	5	Hippo signaling pathway	1.24E-07	74	8
TARGETSCAN							
Fatty acid biosynthesis	7.90E-38	2	2	Fatty acid biosynthesis	2.92E-53	3	1
Fatty acid metabolism	8.15E-15	3	2	Fatty acid metabolism	1.03E-21	4	1
Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	7.48E-06	2	2	Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	2.58E-09	17	7
Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	6.26E-05	11	3	Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	8.99E-07	3	3
TGF-β signaling pathway	0.0387	5	3	N-Glycan biosynthesis	0.00466	5	3
Micro-CT-DS							
Fatty acid biosynthesis	7.90E-38	5	2	Fatty acid biosynthesis	7.39E-26	6	9
Fatty acid metabolism	8.15E-15	11	2	ECM-receptor interaction	3.21E-07	17	9
Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	3.74E-12	47	3	Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	1.01E-06	43	9
Hippo signaling pathway	2.06E-06	29	2	Mucin type O-Glycan biosynthesis	5.38E-06	9	9
Proteoglycans in cancer	2.70E-06	59	4	Proteoglycans in cancer	2.34E-05	53	9
				p53 signaling pathway	3.13E-05	27	9

MiRNA that were significantly up-regulated in cancer-infiltrated LVs (left-hand side) and

miRNA significantly down-regulated >1.8 fold (right-hand side) in highly inflamed LVs.

Principle component analysis of miRNA expression in LV samples.

The use of principle component analysis showed that differential miRNA expression

identified in inflamed and cancer-infiltrated LVs could also be identified without grouping

the samples. This can be seen when assessing the top 16 miRNA whose expression

contributed the most principle components, PC1 and PC2, that account for the most variation

between samples (S3 Fig). Twelve miRNA identified in the fold-change analysis of highly

inflamed or cancer-infiltrated LVs, were among the top 20 miRNA that contributed to PC1,

with 5 of those showing significant differential expression. Furthermore, 8 miRNAs of the

top 20 miRNA that contributed to PC2 were all identified as significantly downregulated

>1.8 fold in highly inflamed LVs. The remaining miRNA varied greatly between the patient

samples, e.g., miR-20, but were not identified by analysis of fold change between groups.

The expression levels of these miRNA were therefore spread equally between the compared

groups. Overall, variation over PC2 differentiates between highly-inflamed and non-inflamed

LVs, supporting the suggestion that miRNA expression could distinguish LV states (S3 Fig).

Application of machine-learning algorithms to predict LV state or clinical

outcome based on miRNA expression

Patterns of miRNA expression showed some potential in predicting clinical outcomes by

applying classification algorithms. When predicting patient relapse, all of the classification

methods presented with quite high accuracy values and McNemar's probabilities of $p<0.05$,

meaning that no significant difference was found between the predicted grouping, based on

miRNA expression, and the grouping based on the known clinical data. Of the methods

applied, use of k-Nearest Neighbours and logistic regression produced the best classification

with similar prediction accuracy achieved between methods (Table 6). Classification of

cancer-cell infiltrated LVs based on miRNA expression showed a similar prediction accuracy

compared to classification of relapse LVs, but the Random Forest classifier achieved the best

accuracy (Table 7). Classification of inflamed and <=Stage IV samples did not show a good

accuracy (S3 Table). The effects of significantly differentially expressed miRNAs ($p<0.05$)

between groups were further assessed by building classifiers using only significant miRNA.

Prediction of relapse based on miR-23b-3p and miR-186-5p expression, improved the

accuracy of classification with all classification methods by 10% except when using Support

Vector Machines, where no improvement was observed (see S5 Table & S1 File). Addition

of miR-let-7b-5p and let-7c-5p improved the accuracy of Support Vector Machines from

80% to 90% but decreased the accuracy of logistic regression from 80% to 70%. However,

use of miR-23b and miR-186-5p only showed a bias towards classifying the samples as non-

relapsed when using Logistic Regression which decreased when using all 4 miRNA.

Table 6: Classification analysis performance for various supervised classification

algorithms predicting patient relapse based on LV miRNA expression. Displays the

accuracy of the algorithm, Cohen's Kappa of inner agreement between predicted and actual

values and the accuracy for inner groups during the relapse case. Also, there is McNemar's

test significance of equality of predicted probability (inner accuracy) between groups for each

state.

Method	Accuracy	McNemar's Test-pval	Cohen's Kappa	No Relapse	Yes Relapse
Logistic Regression	70%	0.999	0.375	67.0%	75.0%
K-Nearest Neighbours	80%	0.289	0.583	100.0%	50.0%
Support Vector Machines	80%	0.289	0.583	100.0%	50.0%
Random Forests Classifier	50%	0.375	-0.042	67.0%	25.0%
Gaussian Naive Bayes	60%	0.031	0.167	100.0%	0.0%

Table 7: The classification accuracy when building classifiers (as described above) to

predict cancer-infiltration based on the LV miRNA expression.

| Method | Cancer-cell infiltration | | | | |
	Accuracy	McNemar's Test-pval	Cohen's Kappa	No Cancer	Yes Cancer
Logistic Regression	70%	0.44	0.374	83.3%	50.0%
K-Nearest Neighbours	50%	0.37	-0.04	67.0%	25.0%
Support Vector Machines	70%	0.13	0.37	100.0%	25.0%
Random Forests Classifier	100%	1	1	100.0%	100.0%
Gaussian Naive Bayes	50%	0.37	-0.04	67.0%	25.0%

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first analysis of inflammatory and miRNA alterations in tumour draining LVs in ovarian cancer. We aimed to provide insights into LV features that might be associated with patient relapse. Our results may contribute to a better prediction of patient relapse, and could guide future experimental work seeking to improve patient outcome via therapeutic targeting of miRNA.

Macroscopically normal LVs, remote from the tumour site that exhibited high or medium inflammation correlated with cancer relapse. Despite cancer-cell infiltration in 66% of these vessels, a moderate but non-significant association was identified between inflamed and cancer-infiltrated LVs (p=0.07). The staging of LNs is routinely used to quantify cancer progression but the status of the connecting LVs has not previously been investigated. While case numbers are small, the presence of high inflammation in the LVs showed the strongest significant correlation with cancer relapse (p=0.03 κ=0.783). Whether inflammation is a

consequence of factors that contribute to relapse, or the inflammation-induced changes

directly affect relapse, is an area for future work.

Analysis of the effect of cancer cell infiltration of the LVs, without considering LV

inflammatory state, identified a trend towards a non-significant association with relapse

(p=0.07, κ=0.783). This suggests that cancer-infiltration of LVs alone may not necessarily

predict relapse, but rather accompanying changes in vessel inflammation may increase the

likelihood of relapse. Furthermore, cancer-cell infiltration did not show a significant

association with high inflammation. The lack of a significant correlation in both cases may

also be simply due to the possible scenario that cancer cells are just transiting through these

vessels, so detecting their presence becomes a matter of timing. Overall, this study suggests

that nearby LVs appear to play an important role in the immune response to cancer and the

association between inflammatory lymphatic changes and relapse deserves further

exploration while potentially representing a therapeutic target.

The use of miRNA expression classification algorithms also showed potential to predict

relapse, with relatively high accuracy for these 10 patients when applying a k-Nearest

Neighbours algorithm or a Support Vector Machine model (80%) (Table 6, S5). Further

studies spanning a larger number of patients should therefore be performed. Clinically, the

prediction of a patient's outcome based on miRNA expression of tissue collected during

surgery could be of considerable value. Assessment of individual miRNAs showed that in

cancer-infiltrated LVs, the differentially expressed miRNAs enhanced the accuracy of the

predictive algorithm, reaching 80-100% accuracy (S5 Table). Prediction of relapse was also

improved in four of the five classification methods, reaching a maximum of 90% accuracy,

when using expression of the significantly differentially expressed miRNA only (let-7b-5pk,

let-7c-5p, miR-23b-3p and miR-186-5p). However, despite the presence of inflammation

showing a moderate agreement with relapse and high inflammation displaying a significant

correlation with relapse, a classifier based on miRNA expression in high or medium inflamed

LVs did not show a high accuracy (Tables 2 & 3, S3 & S5 Table). Furthermore, due to the

limited number of highly inflamed LVs, it was not possible to build a reliable classifier based

on miRNA expression in LVs with high inflammation versus miRNA expression in LVs with

low or medium inflammation. Indeed, all the classifiers are limited by the number of samples

required to train the predictive algorithms. Therefore, whilst providing insight, these

observations require increased sample input to maintain confidence in miRNA classification.

Analysis of miRNA expression of highly inflamed LVs compared to LVs with no or low

inflammation, showed down-regulation of 6 members of the let-7 family. The change in let-7

miRNA expression was large enough that without designating groups, the overall variability

in sample miRNA expression due to let-7 expression could be detected using PCA (S3 Fig).

In ovarian tumour tissue, let-7 expression is down-regulated and associated with poor

prognosis and chemo-resistance [17,29,30]. Let-7 family members target oncogenes HMAG2

and RAS, and loss of let-7 is also associated with the development of aggressive cancers [31].

Chemo-sensitivity of tumours may also be affected by let-7 expression. Manipulation of let-

7e in EOC cells *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* confirmed that expression is reduced in cisplatin-resistant

cell lines and application of let-7e mimics alongside cisplatin, reduced tumour growth in

mice more than with cisplatin alone [32]. Furthermore, chimeric-mediated delivery of let-7i

miRNA to EOC cells *in-vitro* reversed paclitaxel-induced chemo-resistance [33]. Expression

of let-7 is also associated with control of the Cdk-dependent cell cycle network, that drives

the cell transformation network, allowing cells to undergo an epigenetic switch between non-

transformed and a malignant transformed state [34].

The implications of let-7 downregulation in LVs include changes in immune response and

LV permeability. Members of the let-7 family can target inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-

6 by let-7a and IL-13 by let-7f, shown to contribute to cancer-cell apoptosis and anti-

inflammatory action respectively [35,36]. In addition to affecting immune cells in transit,

drainage of cytokines to LNs could impact immune response at the LN, potentially

contributing to the loss of immuno-suppression observed in draining LNs [37]. Cytokines

may also affect LV transport, as IL-6 can increase LV permeability while intradermal

administration of cytokines IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6 have been shown to decrease lymphatic

pulsing frequency [38,39]. Down-regulation of let-7 in vascular endothelial cells is

associated with increased TGF-β signalling leading to promotion of epithelial-to-

mesenchymal transition and thus increased vessel permeability, but the effects in LVs are

currently unknown [40]. The observed up-regulation of several miRNA (miR-381-3p, miR-

15a-5p, miR-93-5p, miR-16-5p and miR-497-5p) in cancer-infiltrated LVs may inhibit

Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) expression or VEGF receptors, both directly

and indirectly via Bcl-2 inhibition [41-44]. The inhibition of VEGF secretion in tumours has

been a target of several drug trials but manipulation of VEGF secretion in connecting LVs

may present as a future therapeutic area [45]. Expression of miR-181a-5p is also known to

regulate the expression of PROX-1 in LECs, a gene whose expression is required to maintain

LEC phenotypes [46,47].

The pathway analysis of the dysregulated miRNA identified involvement in transforming-

growth factor TGF-β2 signalling, as well as glycoproteins in cancer and molecules that

contribute to the glycocalyx of the LV lumen (Table 5). LECs present with a thick glycocalx

composed of glycoprotein side chains, a backbone that includes adhesion molecules, and a

proteoglycan core. Therefore, changes to glycoproteins or adherens junctions may affect

integrity of the LV wall [48]. Furthermore, a study by Zolla *et al* showed aged collecting LVs

in rats presented with a thinner endothelial cell glycocalyx and a loss of gap junction proteins

[49]. Functionally this resulted in hyper-permeability of the LVs that allowed pathogens to

escape into surrounding tissue. This may suggest that the miRNA changes that accompany

cancer cell infiltration and inflammation may also alter LV permeability and barrier function.

This in turn could aid cancer cell infiltration but may also impact similar movements of

immune cells such as macrophages and dendritic cells [50]. Further studies to assess the

impact of inflammation-induced miRNA expression changes on LV integrity may identify

new avenues to prevent transmigration of cancer cells across LV walls.

A notable limitation to this study is the lack of samples from healthy controls. However, this

means that the changes in LV status are directly relevant to identification of factors that aid

prognosis, rather than identifying systemic EOC changes compared to healthy subjects.

Although our results support a link between systemic inflammation and cancer cell

dissemination, a larger study is warranted to validate our findings and also allow further

analysis to better identify miRNA patterns using predictive algorithms with greater

confidence.

554   Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that LVs appear to show quantifiable inflammatory and

infiltrative alterations in patients with advanced EOC and that a high inflammatory LV state

significantly correlates with higher risk of post-surgical relapse. Macroscopically normal

lymphatic LVs show accompanying alterations in miRNA expression that may impact LV

integrity and secretion of VEGF and inflammatory cytokines. Whether LV inflammation is a

cause or consequence of cancer-cell infiltration is yet to be determined, but our results

suggest systemic inflammation as a new area to target.

Acknowledgements

Tissue samples were provided by the Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust Tissue Bank.

Other investigators may have received samples from these same tissues. The research was

supported by the Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre (ECMC, Hammersmith Campus) and

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial

College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London. The views expressed are those

of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

References

1. UK CR. Cancer Research UK. 2018. Available from:

https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics- by-

cancer-type/ovarian-cancer.

2. Bachmann C, Bachmann R, Kraemer B, Brucker SY, Staebler A, Fend F, et al.

Prevalence and distribution pattern of nodal metastases in advanced ovarian cancer.

Molecular and Clinical Oncology. 2016;5(4):483–487.

3. Bachmann C, Bachmann R, Fend F, Wallwiener D. Incidence and Impact of Lymph

Node Metastases in Advanced Ovarian Cancer: Implications for Surgical Treatment.

Journal of Cancer. 2016;7(15):2241–2246.

4. Wimberger P, Lehmann N, Kimmig R, Burges A, Meier W, Bois AD. Prognostic

factors for complete debulking in advanced ovarian cancer and its impact on survival.

An exploratory analysis of a prospectively randomized phase III study of the

582  Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäekologische Onkologie Ovarian Cancer Study Group

583  (AGO-OVAR). Gynecologic Oncology. 2007;106(1):69 - 74.

584 5. Harter P, Sehouli J, Lorusso D, Reuss A, Vergote I, Marth C, et al. A Randomized

585  Trial of Lymphadenectomy in Patients with Advanced Ovarian Neoplasms. N Engl

586  J Med. 2019;380(9):822-832

587 6. Padera TP, Kadambi A, Tomaso E, Carreira CM, Brown EB, Boucher Y, et al.

588  Lymphatic Metastasis in the Absence of Functional Intratumor Lymphatics. Science.

589  2002;296(5574):1883–1886.

590 7. Leu AJ, Berk DA, Lymboussaki A, Alitalo K, Jain RK. Absence of Functional

591  Lymphatics within a Murine Sarcoma: A Molecular and Functional Evaluation.

592  Cancer Research. 2000;60(16):4324–4327.

593 8. Jones D, Pereira ER, Padera TP. Growth and Immune Evasion of Lymph Node

594  Metastasis. Frontiers in oncology. 2018;8:36;36–36.

595 9. Stachura J, Wachowska M, Kilarski WW, Güc E, Golab J, Muchowicz A. The dual

596  role of tumor lymphatic vessels in dissemination of metastases and immune response

597  development. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(7): e1182278.

598 10. Dieterich LC, Ikenberg K, Cetintas T, Kapaklikaya K, Hutmacher C, Detmar M.

599  Tumor- Associated Lymphatic Vessels Upregulate PDL1 to Inhibit T-Cell Activation.

600  Frontiers in immunology. 2017;8:66.

601 11. Lund A, Duraes F, Hirosue S, Raghavan V, Nembrini C, Thomas S, et al. VEGF-C

602  Promotes Immune Tolerance in B16 Melanomas and Cross-Presentation of Tumor

603  Antigen by Lymph Node Lymphatics. Cell Reports. 2012;1(3):191-199.

604 12. Dubrot J, Duraes FV, Potin L, Capotosti F, Brighouse D, Suter T, et al. Lymph node

605  stromal cells acquire peptide–MHCII complexes from dendritic cells and induce

antigen-specific CD4+ T cell tolerance. Journal of Experimental Medicine.

2014;211(6):1153–1166.

13. Chakraborty S, Zawieja DC, Davis MJ, Muthuchamy M. MicroRNA signature of

inflamed lymphatic endothelium and role of miR-9 in lymphangiogenesis and

inflammation. American Journal of Physiology - Cell Physiology.

2015;309(10):C680–C692.

14. Liao S, Cheng G, Conner DA, Huang Y, Kucherlapati, RS, Munn, LL, et al. Impaired

lymphatic contraction associated with immunosuppression. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011;108(46):18784–

18789.

15. Calin GA, Croce CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nature Reviews

Cancer. 2006;6: 857-866.

16. Deb B, Uddin A, Chakraborty S. miRNAs and ovarian cancer: An overview. Journal

of Cellular Physiology. 2018;233(5):3846-3854.

17. Dahiya N, Sherman-Baust CA, Wang TL, Davidson B, Shih I, Zhang Y, et al.

MicroRNA Expression and Identification of Putative miRNA Targets in Ovarian

Cancer. PLOS ONE. 2008;3(6):1-11.

18. Jones D, Li Y, He Y, Xu Z, Chen H, Min W. Mirtron MicroRNA-1236 Inhibits

VEGFR- 3 Signaling during Inflammatory Lymphangiogenesis. Arteriosclerosis,

Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology. 2012;32(3):633–642.

19. Yee D, Shah KM, Coles MC, Sharp TV, Lagos D. MicroRNA-155 induction via

TNF- and IFN-suppresses expression of programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) in

human primary cells. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2017;292(50):20683–

20693.

20. Sasahira T, Kurihara M, Bhawal UK, Ueda N, Shimomoto T, Yamamoto K, et al.

Downregulation of miR-126 induces angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis by

activation of VEGF-A in oral cancer. British Journal of Cancer. 2012;107:700-706.

21. Hu J, Cheng Y, Li Y, Jin Z, Pan Y, Liu G, et al. microRNA-128 plays a critical role in

human non-small cell lung cancer tumourigenesis, angiogenesis and

lymphangiogenesis by directly targeting vascular endothelial growth factor-C.

European Journal of Cancer. 2014;50(13):2336-2350.

22. Yang B, Jing C, Wang J, Guo X, Chen Y, Xu R, et al. Identification of microRNAs

associated with lymphangiogenesis in human gastric cancer. 2013;16.

23. Xu J, Zhang C, He Y, Wu H, Wang Z, Song W, et al. Lymphatic endothelial cell-

secreted CXCL1 stimulates lymphangiogenesis and metastasis of gastric cancer.

International Journal of Cancer. 2012;130(4):787-797.

24. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al.

Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods.

2012;9:676-682.

25. Vierra A, Garret J. Understanding Interobserver Agreement: The Kappa Statistic.

Research Series. 2005;37(5).

26. Metsalu T, Vilo J. ClustVis: a web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data

using Principal Component Analysis and heatmap. Nucleic Acids Research.

2015;43(W1):W566-W570.

27. Vlachos I.S, Hatzigeorgiou AG. DIANA-miRPath v3. 0: deciphering microRNA

function with experimental support. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43:W460–W466.

28. Bhattacharya R, Nicoloso M, Arvizo R, Wang E, Cortez A, Rossi S, et al. MiR-15a

and MiR-16 Control Bmi-1 Expression in Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Research.

2009;69(23):9090–9095.

29. Petrillo M, Zannoni GF, Beltrame L, Martinelli E, DiFeo A, Paracchini L, et al.

Identification of high-grade serous ovarian cancer miRNA species associated with

survival and drug response in patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy: a

retrospective longitudinal analysis using matched tumor biopsies. Annals of

Oncology. 2016;27(4):625-634.

30. Sorrentino A, Liu CG, Addario A, Peschle C, Scambia G, Ferlini C. Role of

microRNAs in drug-resistant ovarian cancer cells. Gynecologic Oncology.

2008;111(3):478 - 486.

31. Boyerinas B, Park SM, Hau A, Murmann AE, Peter ME. The role of let-7 in cell

differentiation and cancer. MicroRNA. 2012;1(1):34-39.

32. Cai J, Yang C, Yang Q, Ding H, Jia J, Guo J, et al. Deregulation of let-7e in epithelial

ovarian cancer promotes the development of resistance to cisplatin. Oncogenesis.

2013;2:e75

33. Liu N, Zhou C, Zhao J, Chen Y. Reversal of Paclitaxel Resistance in Epithelial

Ovarian Carcinoma Cells by a MUC1 Aptamer-let-7i Chimera. Cancer Investigation.

2012;30(8):577-582.

34. Gérard C, Lemaigre F, Gonze D. Modeling the Dynamics of Let-7-Coupled Gene

Regulatory Networks Linking Cell Proliferation to Malignant Transformation.

Frontiers in Physiology. 2019;10:848.

35. Meng F, Henson R, Wehbe-Janek H, Smith H, Ueno Y, Patel T. The MicroRNA let-

7a Modulates Interleukin-6-dependent STAT-3 Survival Signaling in Malignant

Human Cholangiocytes. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2007;282(11):8256-8264.

35. Kumar M, Ahmad T, Sharma A, Mabalirajan U, Kulshreshtha A, Agrawal A, Ghosh

B. Let-7 microRNA-mediated regulation of IL-13 and allergic airway inflammation.

Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2011;128(5):1077–1085.e10.

36. Choudhury S, Li Y. miR-21 and let-7 in the Ras and NF-IκBPathways. MicroRNA.

2012;1(1):65-69.

37. Cromer WE, Zawieja SD, Tharakan B, Childs EW, Newell MK, Zawieja DC. The

effects of inflammatory cytokines on lymphatic endothelial barrier function.

Angiogenesis. 2014;17(2):395–406.

38. Aldrich MB, Sevick-Muraca EM. Cytokines are systemic effectors of lymphatic

function in acute inflammation. Cytokine. 2013;64(1):362–369.

39. Chen W, Tang Z, Sun Y, Zhang Y, Wang X, Shen Z, et al. miRNA expression profile

in primary gastric cancers and paired lymph node metastases indicates that miR-10a

plays a role in metastasis from primary gastric cancer to lymph nodes. Experimental

and Therapeutic Medicine. 2012;3(2):351-356.

40. Sun CY, She XM, Qin Y, Chu ZB, Chen L, Ai LS, et al. miR-15a and miR-16 affect

the angiogenesis of multiple myeloma by targeting VEGF. Carcinogenesis. 2012;34.

41. Metsalu T, Vilo J. MicroRNA miR-93-5p regulates expression of IL-8 and VEGF in

neuroblastoma SK-N-AS cells.. Oncol Rep. 2016;35(5):2866-72.

42. Tzeng HE, Chang AC, Tsai CH, Wang SW, Tang CH. Basic fibroblast growth factor

promotes VEGF-C-dependent lymphangiogenesis via inhibition of miR-381 in human

chondrosarcoma cells. Oncotarget. 2016;7(25):38566–38578.

43. Yang G, Xiong G, Cao Z, Zheng S, You L, Zhang T, et al. miR-497 expression,

function and clinical application in cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7(34):55900–55911.

45. Giacca M, Zacchigna S. VEGF gene therapy: therapeutic angiogenesis in the clinic

and beyond. Gene Therapy. 2012;19:622-629.

46. Kazenwadel J, Michael MZ, Harvey NL. Prox1 expression is negatively regulated by

miR-181 in endothelial cells. Blood. 2010;116(13):2395–2401.

47. Johnson NC, Dillard ME, Baluk P, McDonald DM, Harvey NL, Frase SL. Lymphatic

endothelial cell identity is reversible and its maintenance requires Prox1 activity.

Genes & Development. 2008;22(23):3282– 3291.

48. Reitsma S, Slaaf DW, Vink H, Zandvoort MAMJ, Egbrink MGA. The endothelial

glycocalyx: composition, functions, and visualization. European journal of

physiology. 2007;454(3):345–359.

49. Zolla V, Nizamutdinova IT, Scharf B, Clement CC, Maejima D, Akl T, et al. Aging-

related anatomical and biochemical changes in lymphatic collectors impair lymph

transport, fluid homeostasis, and pathogen clearance. Aging cell. 2015;14(4):582–

594.

50. Chakraborty S, Zawieja SD, Wang W, Lee Y, Wang YJ, von der Weid P, et al.

Lipopolysaccharide modulates neutrophil recruitment and macrophage polarization on

lymphatic vessels and impairs lymphatic function in rat mesentery. American journal

of physiology. Heart and circulatory physiology. 2015;309(12):H2042–H2057.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Supplementary information regarding building supervised classification algorithms. The techniques and assumptions when building classification algorithms and assessing the impact of individual miRNA.

S1 Table. Additional clinical data (patient age and surgery type).

S1 Fig. Experimental design for LV miRNA analysis from sample collection to analysis. Each vessel dissected from one sample is divided into 2 and processed for IHC and miRNA expression analysis.

S2 Table. Differential expression of miRNA between LVs from patients that relapsed within 13.2±6 months. Listed are miRNA that showed a fold-change ±1.8 and/ or a significant difference between groups (t-test p>0.05)

S2 Fig. The KDE estimations of the distributions of inner groups for the individual miRNA expressed at statistically significant levels (p<0.05) between LVs with high inflammation and LVs with low inflammation.

S3 Fig. A. Partial component analysis showed that inflamed and non-inflamed samples show variance across Principle Component (PC) 2. Samples with most similar miRNA expression profile cluster together. Unit variance scaling was applied to rows; SVD with imputation was used to calculate principal components. Prediction ellipses are such that with probability 0.95, a new observation from the same group will fall inside the ellipse. N = 10 data points. A scree plot confirmed that PC1 & PC2 accounted for much of the variation (S4

Fig). Figures produced with ClustVis[1] B. The miRNA expression driving PC1 & 2

contributed to the greatest variation between sample groups with several miRNA identified as

differentially regulated when comparing inflamed or cancer-infiltrated LVs (bold =>±1.8,

*=p<0.05).

S4 Fig. A Principle Component Analysis scree plot for all samples analysed to ensure

the two primary principle components accounted for much of the variation. PCA figures

produced with ClustVis [26].

S3 Table. Table of Classification analysis for various Supervised Classification

Algorithms. The accuracy of the algorithm is shown, Cohen's Kappa of inner agreement

between predicted and actual values, the accuracy for inner groups and McNemar's test

significance of equality of predicted probability (inner accuracy) between groups for each

state.

S4 Table. Significance values for the t-test between the inner distributions of High

Inflammation and Low Inflammation when equality of variances is assumed or not

assumed. Levene's Test is the test for the equality of the variances between the groups.

S5 Table. Classifier predictions on the experimental data (on Monte Carlo simulation

results) based on the expression of significantly dysregulated miRNA, on prediction of

the examined states (e.g. Inflam, Cancer etc). Accuracy, Cohen's Kappa, Mc Nemar p-

value of equality of inner grouped probabilities and classification are reported for the

predicted classes. The expression of the most significantly differentially expressed miRNA

were added one by one, or in pairs if significance was equal, into the parameter set used to

build the classifiers. When only significantly differentially expressed miRNA in the inflamed

state (high and medium inflammation versus low) were used to build the classifier, the

accuracy of subsequent predictions increased by 20-40% compared to classifiers based on all

available miRNA expression (S3 Table). Similar improvements were found in the accuracy

of classifiers predicting relapse and LV cancer-infiltration (Tables 6 and 7).

Figure 1

A

Cancerous

let-7e-5p+
let-7i-5p*
miR-202-3p

Inflamed

miR-195-5p
miR-29a-3p
miR-513b-5p
miR-875-3p
let-7g-5p

let-7c-5p+^
let-7d-5p+
let-7f-5p+
let-7b-5p+^

let-7a-5p+
miR-15b-5p+
miR-23a-3p+
miR-23b-3p+^
miR-130a-3p

miR-181d-5p
miR-98-5p
miR-301b-3p

hsa-miR-449a

hsa-miR-656-3p

Relapse

Bold = T-test p<0.05
* in cancer-infiltrated LVs
+ in highly-inflamed LVs
^ in relapse LVs

B

Cancerous   **Inflamed**

let-7a-5p
miR-16-5p*
miR-130a-3p
miR-181a-5p
miR-181c-5p*
miR-29a-3p
miR-511-5p
miR-590-5p

miR-381-3p*
miR-93-5p*

miR-410-3p

miR-19a-3p
miR-19b-3p **miR-144-3p***
miR-29b-3p **miR-186-5p^**
miR-15a-5p
miR-301a-3p
miR-101-3p
miR-497-5p*

miR-548d-3p

hsa-miR-29c-3p   hsa-miR-34a-5p
hsa-miR-30e-5p
hsa-miR-548e-3p

Relapse

Bold = T-test p<0.05
* = in cancer-infiltrated LVs
^ = in relapse LVs

Figure 2