An NKX2-1/ERK/WNT feedback loop modulates gastric identity and response to targeted

therapy in lung adenocarcinoma

Rediet Zewdu[1,2]; Elnaz Mirzaei Mehrabad[1,3]; Kelley Ingram[1,4]; Pengshu Fang[1,4]; Katherine L.

Gillis[1,4]; Soledad A. Camolotto[1,2]; Grace Orstad[1,4]; Alex Jones[1,2]; Michelle C. Mendoza[1,4];

Benjamin T. Spike[1,4]; Eric L. Snyder[1,2,4]

1. Huntsman Cancer Institute,

2. Department of Pathology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

3. School of Computing, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

4. Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Corresponding author: eric.snyder@hci.utah.edu

Key words: Lung adenocarcinoma, Targeted therapy, Lineage switching, NKX2-1, ERK, WNT

16
17

ABSTRACT

Cancer cells undergo lineage switching during natural progression and in response to therapy.

NKX2-1 loss in human and murine lung adenocarcinoma leads to invasive mucinous

adenocarcinoma (IMA), a lung cancer subtype that exhibits gastric differentiation and harbors a

distinct spectrum of driver oncogenes. NKX2-1 is required for optimal tumor initiation, but

dispensable for growth of established tumors, in BRAF^{V600E} driven disease. NKX2-1-deficient,

BRAF^{V600E} driven tumors resemble human IMA and exhibit a distinct response to BRAF/MEK

inhibitors. Whereas BRAF/MEK inhibitors drive NKX2-1-positive tumor cells into quiescence,

NKX2-1-negative cells fail to exit the cell cycle after the same therapy. BRAF/MEK inhibitors also

induce cell identity switching in NKX2-1-negative lung tumors within the gastric lineage, which is

driven in part by WNT signaling and FoxA1/2. These data elucidate a complex, reciprocal

relationship between lineage specifiers and oncogenic signaling pathways in the regulation of

lung adenocarcinoma identity that is likely to impact lineage-specific therapeutic strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), the most common cause of cancer death worldwide, exhibits significant heterogeneity in tumor cell identity and overall differentiation state (Travis et al., 2011). The state of LUAD differentiation correlates closely with prognosis, intrinsic therapeutic sensitivity, and drug resistance (Campos-Parra et al., 2014; Rotow and Bivona, 2017; Russell et al., 2011). Recent work by our lab and others has shown that the pulmonary lineage specifier NKX2-1/TTF1 is a central regulator of LUAD growth and identity (Maeda et al., 2012; Snyder et al., 2013). NKX2-1 is expressed in ~75% of human LUAD, and NKX2-1 negative tumors confer a worse prognosis than NKX2-1-positive tumors (Barletta et al., 2009). The specific role of NKX2-1 in LUAD depends, in part, on the driver oncogene (Maeda et al., 2012; Skoulidis and Heymach, 2019; Snyder et al., 2013). The majority of LUADs harbor mutually exclusive mutations in driver oncogenes that signal through the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, including *EGFR* (14% of cases), *KRAS* (29%) and *BRAF* (7%) (early stage cases, reviewed in (Skoulidis and Heymach, 2019). In this disease, active forms of the RAS family of small GTPases stimulate the RAF/MEK/ERK kinase cascade to drive proliferation, survival and invasion.

Treatment-naïve *EGFR*-mutant LUADs almost always express NKX2-1, and genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) suggest that NKX2-1 is required for optimal growth of *EGFR*-mutant LUAD (Maeda et al., 2012). Intriguingly, case reports suggest NKX2-1 expression can be lost when *EGFR*-mutant LUADs acquire drug resistance during a lineage switch to squamous cell carcinoma (Levin et al., 2015). In contrast to *EGFR* mutant LUAD, NKX2-1 expression is lost in a subset of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutant tumors (Skoulidis et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). In a *Kras^{G12D}*-mutant LUAD GEMM, *Nkx2-1* deletion enhances tumor growth and causes pulmonary to gastric transdifferentiation (Snyder et al., 2013), which is driven by the transcription factors FoxA1 and FoxA2 (Camolotto et al., 2018). Stochastic NKX2-1 loss has a similar effect on a distinct *KRas*-mutant LUAD GEMM (Maeda et al., 2012). *Nkx2-1*-deficient murine tumors closely resemble invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma (IMA), a subtype of NKX2-

1-negative human LUAD that also expresses gastric markers.   Human IMAs often harbor

mutations in *KRAS* (62% of cases), occasionally *BRAF* (3%, including point mutations and

fusions), but rarely *EGFR* (0.6%) (Cha and Shim, 2017). These studies suggest that there can

be selective pressure for LUAD to either retain or downregulate NKX2-1 expression depending

on the specific signaling networks activated by a given driver oncogene.

MAPK signaling is generally considered to drive proliferation and survival in LUAD, and

has become a therapeutic target in some contexts (Ferrara et al., 2020). For example, small

molecule inhibitors of BRAF and its downstream kinase MEK have recently shown clinical efficacy

in *BRAF*-mutant LUAD (Khunger et al., 2018). However, mechanisms underlying heterogeneous

responses remain to be identified. MAPK signaling also regulates differentiation state in a variety

of tissues, including the stomach, depending on the magnitude and context of its activity

(Mendoza et al., 2011; Osaki and Gama, 2013). We have previously shown that *Nkx2-1* deletion

in KRAS^{G12D} driven LUAD leads to IMA with high levels of MAPK activity, as assessed by levels

of active (phosphorylated) ERK1/2 (pERK). In contrast, *Nkx2-1* deletion in alveolar type-2

pneumocytes causes hyperplasia with low levels of pERK (Snyder et al., 2013). IMA and NKX2-

1-negative hyperplasia both express some common pan-gastric markers (e.g., HNF4A),

demonstrating that loss of NKX2-1 causes pulmonary to gastric transdifferentiation independent

of elevated ERK activity. However, the cells in each lesion have a discrete morphology and form

lesions with distinct architectures, suggesting that oncogenic signaling downstream of KRAS^{G12D}

dictates the specific identity adopted by an NKX2-1-deficient lung cell.

We have sought to dissect the role of NKX2-1 in *BRaf*-mutant LUAD using a GEMM of

this disease. Here, we show that NKX2-1 regulates cellular identity, oncogenic signaling and

response to MAPK pathway inhibition in *BRaf*-mutant adenocarcinoma. Our data show that the

level of MAPK pathway activity dictates the specific identity adopted by NKX2-1-negative tumor

cells within the gastric lineage. This identity shift is mediated in part by WNT signaling, which is

increased after MAPK inhibition in IMA models.

RESULTS

Activation of oncogenic BRAF in the absence of NKX2-1 from the pulmonary alveolar epithelium

leads to the development of invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma

To dissect the role of NKX2-1 in mutant BRAF-induced lung adenocarcinoma, we utilized

two established mouse strains bearing recombinase-activatable alleles of $BRaf^{V600E}$. In the first

mouse model, Cre-sensitive conditional alleles of *BRaf* (*CA/+*) (Dankort et al., 2007), *Trp53* (*f/f*)

(Jonkers et al., 2001), $Rosa26^{LSL-tdTomato}$ (Madisen et al., 2010), and *Nkx2-1* (*f/+* or *f/f*) (Kusakabe

et al., 2006) were recombined in the mouse lung epithelium upon intratracheal delivery of virus

expressing Cre recombinase. Recombination of these alleles simultaneously activates BRAF^{V600E}

and tdTomato expression while eliminating p53 and NKX2-1 expression. Mice of the genotype

$BRaf^{CA/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2-1^{f/+};Rosa26^{LSL-tdTomato}$ are hereafter referred to as BP mice and mice with

the genotype $BRaf^{CA/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{LSL-tdTomato}$ are hereafter referred to as BPN mice.

Initial evaluation of histological (H&E) and molecular features revealed mucin production and

gastrointestinal differentiation state in *Nkx2-1*-deficient tumors, including expression of HNF4A,

PDX1, Gastrokine 1, Cathepsin E, and Galectin-4 (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Figure 1a). In lung

tumors, NKX2-1 is required for sustained expression of pulmonary state marker genes such as

those encoding the surfactant proteins (*Sftpb* and *Sftpc* (Snyder et al., 2013)) and the cell surface

protein CD36 (Camolotto et al., 2018). Accordingly, immunohistochemical analysis showed that

mucinous NKX2-1-negative tumors in BPN mice lack expression of pro-surfactant proteins B and

C as well as CD36 (Supplementary Figure 1b). Overall, NKX2-1-negative tumors in the $BRaf^{CA}$

model bore a close resemblance to those previously seen in the KRAS^{G12D} GEMM and human

IMA (Snyder et al., 2013).

Despite similar morphologic phenotypes in the BRAF^{V600E} and KRAS^{G12D} models, *Nkx2-1*

deletion had a profoundly distinct effect on tumor initiation when combined with either of these

oncogenes. Whereas *Nkx2-1* deletion augmented tumor initiation by KRAS^{G12D} (Snyder et al.,

2013), it significantly impaired tumor initiation by BRAF^{V600E}. *Nkx2-1* deletion led to a lower tumor

burden 8 weeks after initiation (Fig. 1b) and significantly prolonged overall survival (Fig. 1c). We

also quantitated tumor grade to better characterize tumor progression in this study and determine

the predominant tumor type at the time of euthanasia. For BP tumors, we utilized the grading

criteria described in (Winslow et al., 2011). Almost all tumors were grade 1-2 (average ~98% of

overall tumor burden), and grade 3 tumors were rare (Fig. 1d). As BPN tumors are morphologically

distinct from BP tumors, we needed to develop a grading scheme specifically for the cellular

morphologies observed in this genotype. Accordingly, we characterize endpoint BPN tumors as

'low grade' if they are essentially identical to BPN tumors at early timepoints: tumor cells contain

abundant intracellular mucin, low nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio, and small nuclei with minimal

pleomorphism (Fig. 1a). In contrast, we consider BPN tumors to be 'high grade' if they have

diminished or absent mucin production, increased nucleus to cytoplasm ratio, and increased

nuclear size and pleomorphism (Supplementary Figure 1c). Based on these grading criteria, we

found that high grade BPN tumors comprised a much greater proportion of the NKX2-1-negative

tumor burden than low grade BPN tumors (~74% vs. 26%) (Fig. 1d). Thus, in this model, loss of

NKX2-1 is compatible with tumor progression to a high grade state, despite its impairment of

tumor initiation. These data suggest that BP mice succumb primarily to an abundance of low

grade tumors. In contrast, the lower initial tumor burden in BPN mice provides more time for

tumors to grow and progress to a high grade state before compromising pulmonary function.

In the KRAS^{G12D} model, we previously showed that inducing loss of lineage specifiers in

established neoplasms can have distinct consequences when compared to lineage specifier loss

at the time of tumor initiation (Camolotto et al., 2018). Models that enable gene manipulation in

established tumors may be more physiologically relevant to tumor progression than models in

which all genetic perturbations are present during tumor initiation. We therefore used a dual

recombinase system in the present study to assess the role of NKX2-1 in the established

BRAF^{V600E} lung adenocarcinomas. Mice harboring FlpO-recombinase sensitive alleles of *BRaf*

(*FA/+*) (Shai et al., 2015), *Trp53* (*frt/frt*) (Lee et al., 2012), and *Rosa26* (*FSF-Cre^{ERT2}*) (Schönhuber

et al., 2014) were transduced with FlpO expressing adenovirus to recombine the above Flp-

sensitive alleles and establish BRAF^{V600E}-induced lung lesions. After 3 or 6 weeks of tumor

growth, *Nkx2-1$^{f/f}$* alleles underwent Cre-based recombination upon injection of mice with

tamoxifen. To generate NKX2-1-positive controls, a cohort of mice with the same genotype were

injected with vehicle (corn oil). In contrast to vehicle controls, *Nkx2-1* deletion drove transition of

established tumors to invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma (IMA) (Fig. 2a and Supplementary

Figure 2a). Collectively, these results and previous work from other labs indicate that *Nkx2-1*

deletion promotes a cell lineage switch in LUAD driven by either KRAS^{G12D} or BRAF^{V600E} (Maeda

et al., 2012; Snyder et al., 2013).

We next evaluated the effect of NKX2-1 loss on the growth of established BRAF^{V600E} -

driven tumors. At early timepoints (3 weeks after tamoxifen treatment), *Nkx2-1* deletion had no

significant effect on tumor burden (Fig. 2b). In contrast, *Nkx2-1* deletion in established KRAS^{G12D}-

expressing cells at the same time point greatly enhanced tumor burden, as described previously

(Fig. 2c) (Snyder et al., 2013; Young et al., 2011). At this same timepoint, we also compared

proliferation rates using MCM2 positivity in tumors and found that depletion of NKX2-1 did not

impact cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure 2b, c). Long term survival analysis revealed no

significant difference between tumor-bearing mice treated with tamoxifen and controls (Fig. 2d).

Histopathologic analysis of the survival study revealed that control mice harbored predominantly

non-mucinous NKX2-1-positive tumors, whereas tamoxifen-treated mice harbored predominantly

NKX2-1-deficient tumors, many of which were high grade, further supporting the notion that

NKX2-1 loss is permissive for malignant progression in BRAF-driven lung neoplasia (images and

quantitation in Supplementary Figure 2d, e). These data show that loss of NKX2-1 in established

BRAF^{V600E} lung adenocarcinoma is tolerated but does not augment tumor growth, in contrast to

NKX2-1 loss KRAS^{G12D}-driven tumors. This likely explains why *KRAS* mutations are enriched in

IMA (relative to LUAD overall), whereas *BRAF* mutations are diminished in frequency, but not

excluded altogether like *EGFR* mutations.

Loss of NKX2-1 stimulates MAPK signaling downstream of mutant BRAF

We have previously shown that *Nkx2-1* deletion augments ERK activity in KRAS^{G12D}-

driven lung adenocarcinoma (Snyder et al., 2013), and feedback inhibition of the MAPK pathway

is known to be rate-limiting for the growth of KRAS^{G12D}-driven tumors in vivo (Shaw et al., 2007).

We therefore asked whether the *Nkx2-1* deletion also alters MAPK activity in BRAF^{V600E}-driven

lung tumors. We initially hypothesized that pERK levels would be similar in BP and BPN tumors,

thus explaining the fact that *Nkx2-1* deletion does not augment BRAF^{V600E}-driven lung

tumorigenesis. Surprisingly, *Nkx2-1* deletion in both the concomitant and sequential tumor models

led to increased MAPK signaling downstream of oncogenic BRAF as assessed by IHC. Whereas

NKX2-1-positive (BP) tumors generally exhibited weak, patchy staining for phosphorylated ERK1

and ERK2 (pERK), we observed strong pERK staining in NKX2-1-negative (BPN) tumors (Fig. 3a

and Supplementary Figure 3a). In contrast, phosphorylated levels of MEK1/2 were similar in BP

and BPN tumors, suggesting that activation of ERK1/2 in BPN tumors is largely due to regulation

downstream of MEK1/2 activity (Fig. 3a). Moreover, in BPN tumors, we found increased

phosphorylation of p90RSK (a direct target of active ERK) and 4E-BP1 and S6 proteins -

downstream targets of mTORC1, through which mTORC1 stimulates protein synthesis and cell

growth (Carriere et al., 2011; Mendoza et al., 2011; Roux et al., 2007) (Fig. 3a, b and

Supplementary Figure 3b). We confirmed differences in degree of pathway activation between

BP and BPN tumors by IHC quantitation (Fig. 3c, and Supplementary Figure 3b). Therefore, BPN

tumors exhibit hyperactivation of both MAPK and mTORC1 oncogenic pathways. Importantly,

several of these distinguishing features observed in vivo, such as the association between low

NKX2-1 and high pERK levels are conserved in vitro in primary tumor spheroid cultures from

autochthonous BP and BPN tumors (Supplementary Figure 3c).

Finally, we performed IHC for pERK on a panel of mucinous (n=17, see Methods for

inclusion criteria) and NKX2-1-positive primary human lung adenocarcinomas (n=51). Strong

pERK staining was detectable in the majority of mucinous tumors (14/17). Among positive cases,

seven tumors exhibited diffuse staining (>90% of tumor cells positive), and another seven

exhibited partial positivity (20-90% of tumor cells positive). In contrast, NKX2-1-positive LUAD

showed a trend toward lower pERK staining than the mucinous adenocarcinomas. Only 20% of

NKX2-1-positive tumors were diffusely positive (vs. 41% of mucinous tumors), and 35% of NKX2-

1-positive tumors were negative for pERK (vs. 18% of mucinous tumors). Quantitation and

representative pictures are shown in Fig. 3d and Supplementary Figure 3d. The ERK

phosphorylation site is more labile than epitopes recognized by antibodies that detect total protein

levels. Therefore, staining patterns likely reflect both biologic heterogeneity and variable

processing of clinical specimens. Nevertheless, these data show that the high levels of pERK in

IMA mouse models can be observed in many cases of human IMA.

Response of NKX2-1-positive and NKX2-1-negative tumors to RAF/MEK inhibition

The increase in MAPK activity we observed in both in vivo and in vitro models led us to

ask whether NKX2-1 status influenced response to MAPK pathway inhibition. To investigate this,

we directly compared the effect of RAF/MEK inhibitors on the growth and proliferation of

autochthonous BP and BPN lung tumors. We chose dual inhibition of MEK and BRAF because

this combination is the standard of care for BRAF^{V600E}-mutant human lung adenocarcinoma

(Planchard et al., 2016) and because in other genetically engineered mouse models driven by

BRAF^{V600E} e.g., thyroid cancer (McFadden et al., 2014), the combination of the two inhibitors is

more effective than either one alone at inhibiting the MAPK pathway in vivo. We found that

administration of BRAF^{V600E} inhibitor (PLX4720) in combination with MEK inhibitor (PD0325901)

effectively suppressed ERK phosphorylation in lung tumors of both genotypes (Fig. 4a).

Combined BRAF/MEK inhibition led to a dramatically lower tumor burden in both BP and BPN

mice when assessed after two weeks (Fig. 4b) and four weeks (Fig. 4c) of drug treatment. The

decrease in tumor burden in BPN mice was greater by two-fold compared to that in BP mice,

suggesting that BPN tumors were overall more sensitive to MAPK inhibition. In fact, we noted that

residual MAPK-inhibited BPN tumors resembled hyperplasia induced by *Nkx2-1* deletion alone

with respect to both morphology and low pERK levels (Fig. 4a). NKX2-1-positive, BRAF^{V600E}-

driven lung tumors have been shown to regress when treated with MEK inhibitor, but regrow

rapidly after drug cessation (Trejo et al., 2012). We therefore asked whether drug-treated residual

BP and BPN cells retain tumorigenic potential. Histopathologic analysis of BP and BPN tumors

treated for four weeks with BRAF/MEK inhibitor followed by drug removal showed that the residual

cells readily grew back over the course of 1-4 weeks (Supplementary Figure 4a).

To gain insights into the mechanisms of drug response in each model, we performed

transcriptome profiling by bulk and single cell RNA sequencing. Using the Cre-activated *tdTomato*

reporter (Madisen et al. 2010) in the *BRaf^{CA}* model, we enriched tdTomato-positive tumor cells

via fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). Tumor cells were isolated from mice of both

genotypes (BP and BPN) that had been placed on BRAF/MEK inhibitor-diet or control chow for

one week.

We first performed Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on bulk RNA sequencing data

using the top 500 most variable genes. This analysis revealed that replicate samples cluster

together but that the four groups (control and drug treated BP and BPN tumors) could be

distinguished from each other at the transcriptomic level. As represented in Fig. 4d, the greatest

source of transcriptome diversity was related to deletion of *Nkx2-1* and is reflected by PC1. PC2

was related to MAPK inhibition-imposed transcriptomic changes, which appeared to more strongly

distinguish BPN tumors than BP tumors. Regardless of treatment, BP tumors expressed higher

levels of pulmonary genes (e.g. *Sftpb* and *Sftpc,* Supplementary Figure 4b and Supplementary

Table 1) and BPN tumors expressed higher levels of gastric genes (e.g., *Pdx1* as well as a

significant subset of HNF4A-target genes as revealed by the Illumina Correlation Engine

(Supplementary Figure 4c and Supplementary Table 1)). Furthermore, *Dusp6*, a transcriptional

readout of active ERK, was significantly downregulated in MAPK-inhibitor treated BP and BPN

tumors compared to their respective controls (Supplementary Figure 4d and Supplementary Table

2).

Despite these differences, NKX2-1 targets (e.g. *Sftpc)* were detected at higher levels than

expected in sorted BPN tumor cells. We had previously observed that a minority of tumors in BPN

mice were non-mucinous and retain NKX2-1 expression by IHC. We therefore inferred that a

subset of these tumor cells may retain NKX2-1 due to incomplete recombination (Figure 1 and

data not shown). Since the presence of incomplete recombinants could compromise our ability to

accurately analyze the transcriptional consequences of NKX2-1 deficiency, we performed

additional single cell RNAseq analysis of FACS-sorted BPN tumors (following one week of drug

or vehicle treatment) using the 10X Genomics platform. We predicted that this would enable us

to circumvent the technical difficulty of resolving complete from incomplete recombinants and also

investigate therapy response at the single cell level.

We characterized the transcriptome of 5,065 control and 5,563 drug-treated single BPN

cells (Supplementary Figure 4e). In our initial analysis, rare non-tumor cells clustered separately

from tumor cells, and their identity was further validated by the expression of well-known stromal

markers including *Vim*, *Ptprc*, *Trpm5*, *Pecam1*, *Mgp*, *Cd79a*, *Itgam*, *Adgre1*, *Cd3g*, and *Marco*.

Filtering against contaminating stromal, endothelial, hematopoietic and low-quality cells reduced

the dataset to a total of 6,807 high quality tumor cells for further analysis (Supplementary Figure

4e). We visualized these cells in reduced dimensionality using UMAPs and identified eight major

tumor clusters (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Table 3). The majority of these cells (70%) fell into

three NKX2-1-low clusters (1-3) and expressed multiple gastric markers (Fig. 4f and

Supplementary Table 3, 4). Examination of bam files confirmed that the rare *Nkx2-1* transcript

counts in Clusters 1-3 correspond to residual flanking sequences from complete recombinants at

the *Nkx2-1* locus and did not contain reads mapping to splice junctions of Cre-deleted exons in

the *Nkx2-1* gene (Supplemental Figure 4f). Cluster 3 exhibited high expression of *AurkB*, *Plk1*,

and other genes that suggest that these cells are in G2/M phase (Fig. 4f and see Fig. 5 for more

detail). Cluster 1 and cluster 2 overwhelmingly contain cells from control and drug-treated mice,

respectively.

In contrast, the remaining cells (30%) exhibited frequent and abundant *Nkx2-1*

expression, including splicing from Cre-target exons indicating a failure to recombine (Fig. 4f and

Supplemental Figure 4f). These cells also frequently expressed the NKX2-1 target *Sftpc* (Fig. 4f).

We interpret these cells, which fall into clusters 4-8, to be the incomplete recombinants that we

have directly observed microscopically and inferred from bulk RNAseq data. The variable levels

of *Nkx2-1* and *Sftpc* in clusters 4-8 (Fig. 4f) suggests that some of these cells represent higher

grade tumor cells that stochastically lose NKX2-1 activity and expression, as has been

documented in KRAS^{G12D}-driven GEMMs (Snyder et al., 2013; Winslow et al., 2011). For example,

we noted that cluster 5 cells express high levels of the embryonic marker *Hmga2*, a previously

characterized marker of high grade tumor cells (Supplementary Figure 4g). Similar to cluster 3,

cluster 8 exhibited high expression of *AurkB*, *Plk1*, and other genes that suggest that these NKX2-

1-positive tumor cells are in G2/M phase. In contrast to cluster 3, we note that cluster 8 is

comprised almost exclusively of untreated cells, consistent with cell cycle exit of treated cells that

retain NKX2-1 (Fig. 4e, f and see Fig. 5 for further analysis). We quantified differences in MAPK

pathway activation between BP and BPN tumors in our single cell sequencing data by applying

the MEK activity transcriptional signature published in (Dry et al., 2010). This analysis

substantiates our conclusions that BPN tumors display higher MAPK signaling than BP tumors

(Supplementary Figure 4h). Furthermore, using the Dry et al. signature, we find that RAF/MEK

inhibition reduces pathway output in both genotypes (Supplementary Figure 4h).

NKX2-1 status influences cell cycle response to RAF/MEK inhibition

The MAPK pathway regulates proliferation by multiple mechanisms, including activating

expression of the D-type cyclins, which can drive cells out of quiescence and into the cell division

cycle (Lavoie et al., 1996; Tuveson et al., 2004). We therefore evaluated the impact of drug

treatment on cell cycle status of BP and BPN lung tumors using IHC for cell cycle markers as well

as analysis of RNAseq datasets. We first evaluated drug response by IHC for MCM2, a helicase

detectable throughout the cell cycle but not in quiescence (G0). In BP tumors, two weeks of drug

treatment led to a significant decline in the percentage of MCM2-positive cells (Fig. 5a and b).

Additional IHC analysis showed a decline in the percentage of BP cells positive for BrdU

incorporation and phospho-histone H3 (pHH3, M phase marker) (Supplementary Figure 5a-c).

Taken together, these data show that drug treatment of BP tumors blocks proliferation and

induces cell cycle exit, thereby increasing the proportion of cells in quiescence.

In contrast to BP tumors, drug treatment of BPN tumors led to a paradoxical increase in

the percentage of MCM2-positive cells (Fig. 5a and b) despite decreased tumor burden (Fig. 4b).

In contrast, the percentage of BPN cells positive for BrdU and pHH3 declined to the same extent

as BP cells after drug treatment (Supplementary Figure 5a-c). These data suggest that even

though BPN cell proliferation is impaired by drug treatment, most residual BPN cells fail to exit

the cell cycle and enter quiescence in the absence of MAPK activity.

Analysis of bulk RNA sequencing further demonstrated that BP and BPN tumor cells

exhibit a differential cell cycle response to MAPK inhibition. Drug-induced transcriptomic changes

were highly distinct between genotypes. Pathway analysis (via Illumina Correlation Engine)

demonstrated key differences between BP and BPN drug treated lung tumors in the most

significant Gene ontology (GO) terms. Specifically, multiple cell cycle-related pathways decline in

treated BP tumors, but not in treated BPN tumors, relative to their respective untreated controls

(Fig. 5c and Supplementary Table 5, 6). Instead, the top GO pathway terms in treated BPN tumors

relative to vehicle controls included pathways related extracellular reorganization and cell motility

(Fig. 5d and Supplementary Table 6).

We calculated cell cycle scores of control and treated tumor cells in scRNA-seq data using

the Seurat package and phased cell cycle gene signatures previously defined in mouse cells

(Mizuno et al., 2009). The results of this analysis are consistent with the general conclusion that

BP and BPN cells have distinct cell cycle responses to RAF/MEK inhibition (Fig. 5e). However,

the Seurat cell cycle score approach is not well adapted to distinguish G0 from G1 phase cells.

We therefore developed a novel methodology for analyzing quiescence in scRNA-seq data using

diffusion mapping (Haghverdi et al., 2015) and the complete set of fine-scale cell cycle phase

signatures from (Mizuno et al., 2009), which includes both quiescent and cycling cells

(Supplementary Figure 5d). Using this novel approach, cells with high expression of S-phase or

G2/M-phase signatures mapped to successive extremes at the right of the cyclic graph (Fig. 5f),

while the majority of cells (>80%) mapped to the left-hand portion corresponding to G0 and G1

signature enrichments (Fig. 5f). Remarkably, these scRNA-seq analyses also revealed NKX2-1

dependent effects of drug treatment on cell cycle status. Treatment of BP cells caused a marked

redistribution toward the left hand extreme of the graph, which is most distal from cycling cells

and is enriched for the G0 signature, while the distribution of BPN cells was minimally affected by

treatment (Fig. 5g).

Given the ability of the MAPK pathway to drive cell cycle entry by activation of D-type

cyclin expression, we evaluated the expression patterns of *Ccnd1-3* in bulk and single cell RNA

sequencing data. Suppression of MAPK activity led to decreased *Ccnd1* transcript and protein

levels in both BP and BPN tumors (Fig. 5h, Supplementary Figure 5e, f) suggesting that the MAPK

pathway is a major activator of *Ccnd1* expression in tumors of both genotypes. In contrast, *Ccnd2*

mRNA levels were significantly higher in BPN tumors than BP tumors, and drug treatment of BPN

tumors led to a further increase in *Ccnd2* levels (Fig. 5h, Supplementary Figure 5e). At the protein

level, we have only been able to detect Cyclin D2 by IHC in treated BPN tumors (Supplementary

Figure 5g). *Ccnd3* levels were low and relatively stable across all four conditions (Supplemental

Table 1 and 4).

These data raise the possibility that increased Cyclin D2 levels in treated BPN tumors

might maintain CDK4/6 activity, thereby preventing cells from entering quiescence despite their

MAPK-low state. To test this idea further, we used IHC to evaluate RB phosphorylation at

S807/S811, two sites that can be phosphorylated by CDK4/6 (Fig. 5i). Two weeks of MAPK

inhibition significantly decreased the percent of phospho-RB positive cells in BP tumors (Fig. 5i).

In contrast, there was no change in phospho-RB S807/S811 levels in treated BPN tumors.

To evaluate specifically the potential role of Cyclin D2 in the cell cycle response to MAPK

inhibitors, we knocked down Cyclin D2 in BPN organoids using two different shRNAs

(Supplementary Figure 5h). We then transplanted these lines into NSG mice subcutaneously,

allowed tumors to form and grow for eight weeks, and treated mice with RAF/MEK inhibitor for

four weeks. Histopathologic analysis revealed that organoid-derived tumors exhibited a triphasic

morphology in vivo, including large cystic structures, glandular adenocarcinoma, and small foci of

poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. Cystic structures appeared to accumulate fluid at a

variable rate, precluding the use of tumor size as a metric of drug response. We quantitated

MCM2 levels in the glandular adenocarcinomas, the component with the greatest similarity to the

autochthonous model. We found that MAPK inhibition failed to reduce the MCM2 rate in control

adenocarcinomas, whereas the MCM2 rate declined in tumors with Cyclin D2 knockdown upon

drug treatment (Fig. 5j). Although organoid-derived subcutaneous tumors do not perfectly mimic

the autochthonous BPN model, these data provide additional orthogonal evidence that Cyclin D2

plays a role in the response of NKX2-1-negative lung adenocarcinoma to MAPK inhibition.

Taken together, these data show that NKX2-1 status has a profound effect on the cell

cycle response of BRAF^{V600E}-driven lung tumors to targeted therapy. We propose that Cyclin D2

helps maintain CDK4/6 activity and RB phosphorylation in treated BPN tumors, thus preventing

cell cycle exit. The inability of residual BPN cells to exit the cell cycle suggests that distinct

therapeutic strategies may be needed to eliminate BP versus BPN tumor cells after MAPK

inhibition.

MAPK pathway regulates identity of NKX2-1-negative mucinous adenocarcinoma within the

gastric lineage

Further analysis of gene expression changes in BPN tumors revealed surprising switch-

like changes in the expression of lineage markers associated with specific gastric cell types. BPN

tumor cells normally express high levels of transcripts that mark surface mucous cells in the

stomach, such as *Muc5ac* and *Gkn1*. We find these surface mucous cell markers decline

significantly upon MAPK pathway inhibition (Fig. 6a). In parallel, two of the most highly

upregulated genes in drug-treated BPN tumor cells were *Pgc* and *Cym* (Fig. 6a and

Supplementary Figure 4d), which encode digestive enzymes normally expressed in chief cells

found at the base of the stomach gland (Han et al., 2019; Leushacke et al., 2017; McCracken et

al., 2017). Additional markers of murine gastric chief cells including *Pga5* and *Clps* were also

induced by drug treatment in these tumors (Fig. 6a). *Cym* is expressed predominantly during the

neonatal phase of mouse stomach development (Chen et al., 2001; Vallone et al., 2016),

suggesting that these treated tumor cells may adopt an immature chief cell-like phenotype. Drug-

treated NKX2-1-negative tumor cells also exhibited induction of several markers of chemosensory

tuft cells, including the lineage specifier *Pou2f3* (Kaji and Kaunitz, 2017) as well as *Gfi1b* and

Gnat3 (Fig. 6a). We next utilized gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to compare the

transcriptome of drug treated BPN tumors to published/curated gene expression datasets for

gastric epithelial cell types and tuft cells (Haber et al., 2017; Leushacke et al., 2017; Montoro et

al., 2018; Ting and von Moltke, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019) (Supplementary Table 7 and 8). GSEA

showed that signatures of both *Lgr5*-expressing gastric chief cells as well as tuft cells were

significantly enriched in MAPK-inhibited BPN cells (Fig. 6b).

A shift in expression of gastric lineage markers was also evident at the single cell level.

Our single cell transcriptomics confirmed that gastric surface mucous markers like *Gkn1* and *Tff1*

are downregulated by drug treatment in NKX2-1-negative cells (Fig. 6c), whereas chief markers

were induced. Many treated BPN cells have higher levels of chief markers (*Cym, Pgc, Pga5*) than

the control BPN cells. This suggests true induction of transcription rather than simple selection

for a pre-existing population of chief-high cells (Fig. 6c). In contrast, MAPK inhibition appears to

increase the fraction of cells positive for the tuft cell marker *Pou2f3*, rather than the absolute levels

of transcript per cell (though expression of this marker is low and somewhat sporadically detected

in our single cell RNAseq data) (Fig. 6c). *Tff2* transcripts, which mark progenitors located in the

isthmus of the normal stomach (Quante et al., 2010), were also increased in drug-treated BPN

cells (Fig. 6c).

Given this apparent lineage switch, we used IHC to evaluate a subset of lineage markers

at the protein level in vivo. GKN1 protein (surface mucous marker) was abundant in control BPN

tumors, but was depleted in inhibitor-treated BPN tumors (Supplementary Figure 6a). Control

BPN tumors were entirely negative for Pepsinogen C, whereas a subset of treated BPN cells were

Pepsinogen C-positive (Fig. 6d, upper row). In contrast, a small subset of control BPN tumor cells

were POU2F3 positive, and the fraction of positive cells was higher in treated BPN tumors (Fig.

6d, lower row). These data are concordant with our single cell analysis of these two markers in

terms of changes in proportion of positive cells vs. induction of absolute levels on a per-cell basis.

Both Pepsinogen C and POU2F3-positive cells were found in alveolar hyperplasia induced by

Nkx2-1 deletion, consistent with the microscopic similarity between these hyperplasia and

residual treated BPN tumor cells. As expected, all three gastric lineage markers evaluated (GKN1,

Pepsinogen C and POU2F3) were undetectable in BP tumors.

We also examined POU2F3 by IHC in a panel of primary human LUAD tissues

(Supplementary Figure 6b). We found that almost all IMA tumors (15/16) harbor a minority

population of POU2F3-positive cells (Supplementary Figure 6b). Like in BPN tumors, these

POU2F3-positive cells were relatively rare (~5% or less of tumor cells overall). In contrast, most

NKX2-1-positive human lung adenocarcinomas were entirely POU2F3-negative (44/51)

(Supplementary Figure 6b, c). Interestingly, we detected a minor population of POU2F3-positive

tumor cells in seven NKX2-1-positive cases (Supplementary Figure 6b). This expands upon other

recent observations that POU2F3 can be upregulated in specific subtypes of lung cancer (Huang

et al., 2018). Thus, a rare population of POU2F3-positive tumors cells is readily detectable in both

human IMA and murine models.

We next asked whether regulation of gastric lineage by the MAPK pathway is a general

feature of IMA, or limited to BRAF^{V600E}-driven tumors. To address this question, we generated

organoids from autochthonous IMA models driven by KRAS^{G12D} (KPN) or BRAF^{V600E} (BPN). IHC

for the tdTomato reporter on sections of primary organoid cultures (Supplementary Figure 6d)

showed that all cultures examined are ~90-100% positive for tdTomato. Rare tdTomato-negative

organoids have an exclusively epithelial morphology, and thus are unlikely to represent stromal

cell contamination in these cultures. We treated BPN and KPN organoids with a MEK inhibitor

(Cobimetinib) for 3 days and found that this was sufficient to stimulate the expression of chief

(*Cym*, *Gif*, *Pgc*) and tuft (*Pou2f3* and *Gfi1b*) cell markers in both KPN and BPN organoid cultures

(Supplementary Figure 6e and f). Furthermore, induction of chief cell markers in BPN organoids

occurred rapidly following MEK inhibition (2hrs for *Cym* and 6hrs for *Pgc*), before any change in

cell number occurred (Fig. 6e). Thus, the kinetics of *Cym* induction in vitro are more consistent

with a true lineage switch rather than selection for a pre-existing *Cym*-high cells, and are also

consistent with our interpretation of single cell data from tumors in vivo. Finally, we treated BPN

organoids with additional drugs that target MAPK signaling including the ERK-inhibitor GDC-0994,

MEK inhibitor PD0325901, and the BRAF inhibitor PLX4720, all of which induce *Cym*

(Supplementary Figure 6g). In aggregate, our data show that drug-induced tumor phenotypic

changes are not dependent on the non-specific effects of any one inhibitor. Thus, the MAPK

pathway modulates gastric lineage in IMA cells driven by distinct oncogenes (KRAS and BRAF)

and in distinct settings (i.e. in vivo and in vitro).

MAPK inhibition leads to an increase in canonical WNT activity in NKX2-1 negative lung

adenocarcinoma

LGR5 marks a subset of normal murine chief cells that can function as facultative stem

cells (Leushacke et al., 2017). *Lgr5* is also a canonical WNT target gene, and WNT signaling is

thought to promote the regenerative capacity of LGR5-positive chief cells. Given that drug

treatment led to an enrichment for the signature of LGR5-positive chief cells in BPN mice, we

evaluated our gene expression data to determine whether this was indicative of a general

increase in WNT signaling.

We examined a set of WNT pathway genes derived from the 'WNT signaling' ontology

category on AmiGO (Carbon et al., 2008) in our bulk RNA sequencing of BP and BPN tumors.

Several of these genes have previously been identified as direct transcriptional targets of

canonical WNT signaling, including *Axin2*, *Lgr5*, *Wnt11*, *Fzd*, *Fgf9*, *Notum*, *Sox9*, *Lrp1*, *Sox4*,

Znrf3, *Wnt3A* ('positive regulation of canonical WNT signaling' category on AmiGO, (Carbon et

al., 2008) and were significantly activated in treated BPN tumors (Fig. 7a). Indeed, applying

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) Upstream Regulator detection to bulk RNAseq data identified

β-catenin as the second top upstream regulator underlying the gene expression changes between

control and drug treated BPN tumors (Supplementary Figure 7a). The activation z-score suggests

an activated state of β-catenin in treated BPN tumors and a more inhibited state in control BPN

tumors. Consistent with this, Regulatory Motif analysis in Illumina Correlation Engine uncovered

significant differences in TCF1 binding site genesets between control and treated BPN tumors

(Supplementary Figure 7b). WNT targets such as *Axin2* (Jho et al., 2002) and *Lgr5* (Barker et al.,

2007) were also induced in our single cell analysis (Supplementary Figure 7c). Although their raw

values are at the detection limit in our scRNA-seq data, imputation analysis to recover false

negatives further supports a robust increase in *Axin2* and *Lgr5* levels after drug treatment

(Supplementary Figure 7d).

Intriguingly, the pattern of induction was distinct for these two WNT target genes

(Supplementary Figure 7c, d). Cells with the highest levels of *Lgr5* are found only in treated BPN

tumors, a pattern consistent with our observations of chief cell markers (Fig. 6c). In contrast, we

identified cells with high levels of *Axin2* in both control and treated BPN groups, despite the fact

that overall *Axin2* levels are higher in treated BPN. This raises the question of whether WNT-high

cells pre-exist in control BPN tumors, or whether MAPK inhibition leads to induction of WNT target

genes in WNT-low cells. We therefore developed a WNT13 transcriptional signature (see

Methods for derivation) that integrates the WNT target genes induced by MAPK inhibitors in BPN

tumors. Scoring of cells in scRNA-seq using this WNT13 signature showed a pattern more similar

to *Axin2* than *Lgr5* (Fig. 7b). Taken together, these data suggest that WNT-high cells can be

detected in control BPN tumors, at least as defined by a WNT13 signature or *Axin2* levels.

However, *Lgr5*-high cells are not detectable pre-treatment, showing that even WNT-high cells

lack high level expression of certain target genes. This further supports our observations from

analysis of gastric lineage markers that MAPK inhibition modulates the transcriptional cell state

of BPN tumor cells (including WNT target genes), and does not purely select for pre-existing

populations.

To further investigate this question, we performed in vitro time course experiments, which

showed that MEK inhibition induces *Lgr5* and *Axin2* within 4 hours of treatment before changes

in cell number or viability take place (Fig. 7c, 6e). In a different approach to study the emergence

of WNT-high cells, we stably transduced a BPN organoid line with a WNT-reporter construct,

7TGP, that contains seven TCF binding sites driving eGFP expression (Fig. 7d, e). When cultured

in standard WNT-pathway activating 50% L-WRN media, we found that these cells consisted of

a mixture of high and low reporting cells (WNT$_{rep}$-high and WNT$_{rep}$-low cells respectively). In

contrast, most cells were WNT$_{rep}$-low when cultured in 5% L-WRN media. We then sorted the

WNT$_{rep}$-low subpopulation from standard culture conditions (50% L-WRN media) and found that

these cells re-equilibrated to a mix of WNT$_{rep}$-high and WNT$_{rep}$-low cells when cultured in 50% L-

WRN media (Fig. 7e). Furthermore, culture of the sorted cells in 5% L-WRN media reduced the

WNT$_{rep}$-high percentage, whereas MEK inhibitor increased the WNT$_{rep}$-high percentage. Taken

together, these experiments indicate that WNT signaling can be induced in WNT$_{rep}$-low cells with

rapid kinetics (i.e., prior to a change in cell viability or selection). Although we cannot exclude the

possibility that WNT$_{rep}$-high cells tolerate MEK inhibition to a greater extent than WNT$_{rep}$-low cells,

these data argue that pure selection for a WNT$_{rep}$-high subpopulation (in the absence of changes

in gene expression on a per cell basis) is unlikely to account for our observations on the overall

state change of tumors.

Previous studies in other cancer types have documented negative feedback loops

between the MAPK and WNT signaling pathways. Mechanistically, these have been reported to

be mediated by changes in AXIN1 (Zhan et al., 2019), TCF4 isoform levels (Heuberger et al.,

2014) or phosphorylation of FAK (Chen et al., 2018) after MAPK inhibition. However, we have not

been able to detect consistent changes in any of these parameters after treatment of our

organoids with MEK inhibitors (Supplementary Figure 7e).

WNT signaling and FoxA1/2 contribute to lineage switching and cell cycle response in NKX2-1-

negative lung adenocarcinoma treated with RAF/MEK inhibitor

WNT/β-catenin signaling plays an essential role in gastric epithelial patterning during

embryogenesis and promotes chief cell differentiation (McCracken et al., 2017). We therefore

asked whether the WNT pathway played a role in the lineage switch induced by MAPK inhibition

in IMA. We used two different approaches to modulate WNT signaling in organoid cultures. First,

we cultured organoids in 5% conditioned media (L-WRN), corresponding to a 10 fold reduction in

the amount of exogenous WNT3A and R-spondin 3 in the culture media relative to standard, 50%

L-WRN, conditions. Second, we used the small molecule LGK-974, which blocks Porcupine-

mediated posttranslational modification of WNT ligands that is required for their secretion and

paracrine signaling. Levels of *Axin2* and *Lgr5* were lower in organoids cultured in 5% L-WRN than

50% L-WRN, and treatment with LGK-974 led to a further reduction in these transcripts

suggesting endogenous WNT ligand production and signaling complements L-WRN media in

these cultures (Supplementary Figure 8a). Consistent with prior analyses (Fig. 7c), MEK inhibitor

stimulated canonical WNT signaling in BPN and KPN organoids as indicated by increased

expression of the *Axin2* and *Lgr5* transcripts within the first 24 hours (Supplementary Figure 8a).

The relative effect of this stimulation (i.e. fold induction) was similar whether the organoid media

composition contained high or low levels of exogenous WNT3A. Induction of chief markers (*Cym*,

Pgc, *Gif*) by Cobimetinib was partially impaired when WNT signaling was reduced by using 5%

L-WRN media alone or in combination with LGK-974 (Fig. 8a). Levels of some chief markers (e.g.

Cym) were responsive to WNT signaling levels even in the absence of MEK inhibitor. In contrast,

tuft cell markers (*Pou2f3* and *Gfi1b*) were largely unaffected by modulation of WNT signaling.

These data show that one component of lineage switching (induction of chief cell markers) is

partially WNT-dependent, whereas another component (tuft cell marker induction) is largely WNT-

independent.

Previously, our lab reported that FoxA1 and FoxA2 are required for gastric differentiation

in mouse models of IMA, including surface mucous cell marker expression (Camolotto et al.,

2018). Here, using a novel NKX2-1-negative organoid line that harbors conditional alleles of

Foxa1 and *Foxa2*, we show that *Foxa1/2* deletion abrogates the induction of chief cell markers

(but not tuft cell markers) upon MEK inhibition (Fig. 8b, Supplementary Figure 8b). Thus, the

surface to chief gastric lineage switch is driven by both WNT signaling and FoxA1/2 activity.

Finally, we asked whether WNT signaling might play a role in failure of BPN tumors to exit

the cell cycle after RAF/MEK inhibition. WNT signaling contributes to oncogenesis in a variety of

settings (Zhan et al., 2017), including in NKX2-1-positive LUAD GEMMs driven by BRAF[V600E]

(Juan et al., 2014) or KRAS[G12D] (Tammela et al., 2017). Further, β-catenin activation upon *Apc*

deletion in the murine intestinal epithelium specifically upregulates cyclin D2 to augment

proliferation and tumorigenesis (Cole et al., 2010). This raises the possibility that activation of the

WNT pathway might be sufficient to induce *Ccnd2* in treated BPN tumors and thereby prevent

cell cycle exit, despite the lack of MAPK activity.

To investigate this possibility, we first correlated known signatures of MEK (Dry et al.,

2010) and WNT activity ('positive regulation of canonical WNT signaling' category on AmiGO,

(Carbon et al., 2008)) with levels of *Ccnd1* and *Ccnd2* in our single cell data. MEK activity was

positively correlated to *Ccnd1* levels across the single cell data set. Conversely, *Ccnd2* levels

were inversely correlated to the MEK signature score and positively correlated to the signature

for WNT activity (Supplemental Figure 8c). This suggests that *Ccnd1* levels may be primarily

dependent on MAPK activity, whereas *Ccnd2* levels may be more directly dependent on WNT

activity in this specific context.

Given the relationship between WNT activity and *Ccnd2* levels, we asked whether

pharmacological WNT inhibition would be sufficient to drive RAF/MEK-inhibited BPN cells into

quiescence. To address this question, we initiated lung tumors in a cohort of BPN mice and

assigned mice to four groups at six weeks after initiation (vehicle; MAPKi; LGK-974; or MAPKi +

LGK-974). MAPK inhibitor was administered for four weeks (i.e., 6-10 weeks after tumor initiation)

and LGK-974 was administered for the last two weeks of MAPKi treatment (i.e., 8-10 weeks after

tumor initiation). Four weeks of treatment with RAF/MEK inhibitor led to a significant increase in

the percentage of MCM2 positive cells (Fig. 8c), consistent with the effects we observed after 1-

2 weeks of treatment. In contrast, treatment with LGK-974 alone had no effect on MCM2 in BPN

tumors. Strikingly, additional treatment with LGK-974 was sufficient to block the induction of

MCM2 by RAF/MEK inhibition in BPN tumors, resulting in an MCM2-positive rate similar to

controls (Fig. 8c). This demonstrates that induction of WNT signaling after RAF/MEK inhibition

acts to prevent residual BPN tumor cells from entering quiescence. Although the addition of short-

term LGK-974 to RAF/MEK inhibition did not reduce tumor burden beyond RAF/MEK inhibition

alone (Supplementary Figure 8d), our data show that the biology of residual tumor cells can be

manipulated by modulating WNT signaling.

To expand upon these results, we performed a larger experiment in which we combined

RAF/MEK inhibition with either WNT inhibition (LGK-974) or CDK4/6 inhibition (Palbociclib) for

four weeks. We analyzed a subset of mice at the end of 4 weeks of drug treatment and performed

a survival study on the remaining mice. In mice analyzed immediately after the final dose of drug,

we found that adding either LGK-974 or Palbociclib to RAF/MEK inhibitors increased the number

of cells in quiescence compared to RAF/MEK inhibitor alone (Fig. 8d). Addition of Palbociclib to

the RAF/MEK inhibitor also reduced the amount of phospho-RB (S807/811) in the tumors (Fig.

8e). These data suggest a model in which elevated WNT signaling in treated BPN tumors prevents

cell cycle exit by maintaining higher levels of CDK4/6 activity than in BP tumors treated with the

same drug. Our gene expression data suggest that Cyclin D2 is the CDK4/6 partner that is most

likely to maintain its activity in treated BPN tumors.

Despite the short term effects of adding a third drug, we did not see a significant increase

in survival when either LGK-974 or Palbociclib was added to RAF/MEK inhibitor (Supplementary

Figure 8e). This indicates that driving residual BPN cells further into quiescence is not sufficient

to prevent tumor rebound after drug cessation.

DISCUSSION

LUAD progression is driven not only by activation of MAPK signaling but also by changes

in cellular identity. However, the direct impact of dysregulated MAPK activity on LUAD identity

itself remains an unexplored problem in lung cancer. This problem is important not only because

of the correlation between cellular identity and intrinsic malignant potential, but also because

lineage switching has become an increasingly recognized, common mechanism of resistance to

therapies targeting the MAPK pathway. A better understanding of this phenomenon would provide

new insights into the natural history of LUAD progression as well as the changes in cellular identity

that occur as a result of targeted therapy.

Analyses of the histologic spectrum of LUAD in patients and mouse models have identified

two cellular differentiation programs that impose barriers to lung tumor progression (Camolotto et

al., 2018; Snyder et al., 2013; Winslow et al., 2011). Initially, NKX2-1/FOXA1/2 transcriptional

networks maintain a well-differentiated pulmonary identity. We have previously shown that

downregulation of NKX2-1 and subsequent relocalization of FOXA1 and FOXA2 to the regulatory

elements of gastrointestinal lineage genes allows for loss of surfactant proteins, aberrant

upregulation of HNF4A and conversion to gastric cell identity. Loss of both differentiation

programs can lead to poorly differentiated tumors containing high levels of HMGA2.

Human IMAs, which are associated with adverse clinical outcomes, most commonly

contain *KRAS* mutations (Cha and Shim, 2017). *BRAF* mutations are also detectable in IMAs, but

at reduced frequency compared to LUAD overall. GEMMs have been valuable in explaining the

distinct role of NKX2-1 in LUAD driven by *KRAS* and *EGFR* mutations (Maeda et al., 2012; Snyder

et al., 2013). In the present study, we show that NKX2-1 is required for optimal LUAD initiation by

BRAF^{V600E}, but it is dispensable for growth and progression to high grade states in established

tumors. In contrast, loss of NKX2-1 consistently promotes tumorigenesis in KRAS^{G12D}-driven

GEMMs. Taken together, these data explain why *KRAS* mutations are enriched in IMA, whereas

BRAF mutations are not. It remains to be determined whether co-mutations can render NKX2-1

loss advantageous (rather than neutral) in *BRAF*-mutant LUADs. For example, activating codon

201 mutations in *GNAS*, which are predicted to drive cAMP-mediated Protein Kinase A (PKA)

activity, occur more frequently in IMA than other LUAD subtypes (Ritterhouse et al., 2017).

Although functional studies of GNAS mutations in IMA have not been reported, codon 201 GNAS

mutants function as oncogenes in KRAS-driven GEMMs of pancreatic cancer, which expresses

some of the same foregut markers as IMA (Patra et al., 2018; Taki et al., 2016). In our RNA

sequencing, several mRNAs encoding negative regulators of cAMP-mediated signaling to PKA,

including *Prkar2b*, *Rgs7*, and *Adra2a* (Garg et al., 2016; Ghavami et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2008),

were significantly upregulated in BPN cells compared to BP. Interestingly, these transcripts were

not elevated in *Nkx2-1* deleted KRAS-driven tumor cells (Camolotto et al., 2018) and decreased

cAMP-dependent PKA activity may be one reason why tumor initiation is impaired in BPN tumors.

We have previously shown that the gastric differentiation state of IMA is driven by

transcription factors such as FoxA1/2 and HNF4α. Here, we show that MAPK and WNT signaling

provide an additional layer of regulation, modulating the specific cell type that IMA cells most

closely resemble within the gastric lineage. Our data reveal that high MAPK activity drives a gene

expression program characteristic of the surface mucous cells of the stomach. In contrast, low

ERK levels, in concert with high WNT activity, activate gene expression signatures associated

with other gastric cell types, including LGR5-positive chief cells and tuft cells. We also show that

FoxA1/2 are required for expression of the chief cell markers. Interestingly, hyperactivation of

MAPK signaling (via TGF-α mediated activation of EGFR) is observed in Menetrier's disease, a

hypertrophic gastropathy characterized by hyperproliferation of isthmus progenitors and the

preferential differentiation of these progenitors into surface mucous cells at the expense of parietal

and chief cells (Fiske et al., 2009). Blockade of EGFR results in regression of overgrown surface

epithelium and restoration of lineage fidelity in progenitors.

Importantly, our results identify crosstalk between the MAPK and WNT pathways in IMA

tumors, as suppression of MAPK pathway rapidly activates WNT target gene expression. In the

mammalian intestine, reciprocal gradients of WNT and phosphorylated ERK1/2 control the

balance between proliferation and differentiation of intestinal stem cells (Kabiri et al., 2018). Some

molecular mechanisms driving the crosstalk between WNT and ERK signaling have been

reported. In one study, reduction of MEK activity via gut-specific ablation of *Ptpn11* decreased

abundance of TCF4M/S isoforms while favoring the binding of β-catenin to TCF4E variants, which

are more efficient drivers of WNT target gene activation (Heuberger et al., 2014). Alternatively,

treatment of BRAF mutant colorectal cancer models with MAPK inhibitors stimulated WNT

pathway through the activation of cytoplasmic focal adhesion kinase (FAK) (Chen et al., 2018) or

depletion of AXIN1 protein (Zhan et al., 2019), resulting in the stabilization of β-catenin. Although

we find no evidence for the above three mechanisms as mediators of ERK/WNT cross-regulation

in our lung tumor model, we did identify three candidate genes induced by MAPK inhibition (bulk

and single cell RNA-seq) that might account for the increased WNT signaling: *Dixdc1*, *Sox4* and

Sox9 (Fig. 7a). DIXDC1 (aka CCD1) is a scaffolding protein that promotes assembly of

Dishevelled into its biologically active, trimeric, form capable of interacting with AXIN and

recruiting the destruction complex to the plasma membrane (Liu et al., 2011). SOX4 is a

transcription factor that can interact with AXIN-APC destruction complex and interfere with the

phosphorylation of β-catenin, thereby increasing its stability (Bhattaram et al., 2014). On the other

hand, SOX9 can directly transactivate WNT target genes in specific contexts (Ma et al., 2016).

Our preliminary in vitro data indicates that these transcripts are induced by at least 1.5 fold within

one hour of Cobimetinib treatment, preceding the upregulation of *Axin2*/*Lgr5*, and continue to

accumulate over the course of 24 hours. However, establishing whether any of these factors are

required for the interplay between ERK/WNT signaling axes in drug treated IMAs requires further

experimental investigation.

Our results also have translational implications for LUAD treatment. *BRAF*-mutant LUADs

exhibit a heterogenous response to BRAF/MEK inhibition in clinical trials (Planchard et al., 2016;

Planchard et al., 2017). Here we identify NKX2-1 as a determinant of treatment response in a

GEMM of this disease. In both NKX2-1-positive and NKX2-1-negative tumors, combined

BRAF/MEK inhibition leads to substantial tumor regression, but drug-tolerant cells persist with the

potential to relapse. In the absence of NKX2-1, residual tumor cells fail to exit the cell cycle. The

different cell cycle positions of residual BP and BPN tumor cells suggest that different therapeutic

approaches will be needed to eradicate these cells. Indeed, we were able to identify factors

mediating these differences, including elevated Cyclin D2-CDK4/6 activity and sustained

phosphorylation of RB. We verified that the cell cycle position of drug treated tumor cells can be

manipulated by downregulating Cyclin D2 or blocking CDK4/6 activity via Palbociclib. However,

these tripartite drug combination strategies were not efficacious in achieving durable tumor

regression or survival benefit. It is interesting to consider these results in the context of two recent

studies where the combinatorial effect of Palbociclib and MEK inhibitor (Trametinib) was tested in

models of KRAS-driven NKX2-1 positive LUAD (Ruscetti et al., 2018) and in KRAS-driven

pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) (Ruscetti et al., 2020). Consistent with our findings,

Trametinib/Palbociclib co-administration in both types of mice elicited a potent inhibition of RB

phosphorylation. In addition, combination therapy in KRAS-driven lung tumors resulted in

prominent induction of cellular senescence and infiltration of tumors with activated NK cells, which

drove tumor cell clearance, and markedly increased survival in dual-treatment mice compared to

single agent treated mice (Ruscetti et al., 2018). In PDAC models, however, dual treatment

elicited tumor senescence but not NK cell recruitment and failed to elicit robust tumor regression

(Ruscetti et al., 2020). Importantly, BPN tumors have a gastric/foregut-like differentiation state

that is much closer to PDAC than to KRAS-driven NKX2-1 positive LUAD. Thus, our results

expand upon these published observations that lineage state can influence the response to

combined inhibition of MAPK and CDK4/6 activity. It appears that immune cell composition of

NKX2-1$^+$ and NKX2-1$^-$ LUAD GEMMs can be distinct (Mollaoglu et al., 2018). Thus, investigations

into the tumor microenvironment of IMAs and how it is impacted by combination therapies may

also provide important clues as to the mechanisms that maintain drug tolerant states.

Additional work is clearly needed to identify specific vulnerabilities of residual IMA cells

after MAPK inhibition. The lineage switch we observe in both KRAS and BRAF-driven IMA models

is likely to be relevant to the identification of such vulnerabilities. MAPK inhibition causes IMA

cells to undergo an identity shift from a surface mucous cell-like phenotype toward a more gastric

stem cell-like state or tuft cell identity. These observations support the broader notion that tumor

cell plasticity includes the capacity to explore myriad cell states from the developmental repertoire.

Lineage switching may be particularly beneficial for tumor cells under the selective pressure of

targeted therapy, as diverse lineage programs have distinct dependencies on specific growth and

survival mechanisms. We speculate that cell identity changes within the gastric lineage may

render residual IMA cells susceptible to specific therapeutic interventions. This would be

reminiscent of prior studies of basal cell carcinoma of the skin showing that an identity switch

confers resistance to inhibition of the Hedgehog pathway while rendering the cells susceptible to

blockade of WNT signaling (Biehs et al., 2018; Sanchez-Danes et al., 2018).

In summary, this study characterizes the context-dependent role of NKX2-1 in LUAD and

identifies novel mechanisms of cell identity regulation and therapeutic response in this disease

(Figure 8g). The data provide new insights into the complex interplay between lineage specifiers,

oncogenic signaling pathways and the susceptibility of lung cancer cells to targeted therapy. Thus,

in the interest of developing fully effective therapies, these studies call for deep cataloguing of cell

states sampled by tumor cells as well as their state-specific vulnerabilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice, tumor initiation and drug treatment in vivo

All animal work was done in accordance with a protocol approved by the University of

Utah Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The following mouse strains were used.

Genotype designation	Reference	Identifiers	Additional Information
$BRaf^{CA/+}$	Dankort et al. 2007 PMID 17299132	MGI:3711771	Dr. Martin McMahon (HCI, Salt Lake City, Utah)
$BRaf^{FA/+}$	Shai et al. 2015 PMID 26001956	MGI:	Dr. Martin McMahon (HCI, Salt Lake City, Utah)
$Trp53^{F/F}$	Jonkers et al. 2001 PMID 11694875	MGI:1931011	Dr. Anton Berns, University of Amsterdam; Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine)

Trp53^{frt/frt}	Lee et al. 2012 PMID 22228755	MGI:5306612	Dr. David G Kirsch (Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina)
KRas^{LSL-G12D/+}	Jackson et al. 2001 PMID 11751630	MGI:2429948	Dr. Tyler Jacks (MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts)
KRas^{FSF-G12D/+}	Young et al. 2011 PMID 21512139	MGI:5007794	Dr. Tyler Jacks (MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts)
Nkx2-1^{f/f}	Kusakabe et al. 2006 PMID 16601074	MGI: 3653706	Dr. Shioko Kimura (NCI, NIH, Bethseda, Maryland)
Rosa26 LSL-tdTomato	Madisen et al. 2010 PMID 20023653	MGI: 4436847	Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine)
Rosa26 FSF-Cre^{ERT2}	Schonhuber et al. 2014 PMID 25326799	MGI: 5616874	Dr. Dieter Saur (Technische Universitat Munchen, Munchen, Germany)
Foxa1^{F/F}	PMID 19141476	MGI: 3831163	Dr. Klaus H. Kaestner (Univ. of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA)
Foxa2^{F/F}	PMID 10866673	MGI: 2177357	Dr. Klaus H. Kaestner (Univ. of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA)

Tumors were generated by administering mice with PGK-Cre lentivirus at 5×10^3 plaque

forming units (pfu)/mouse, Ad5CMVFlpO at 2×10^7 pfu/mouse; Ad5CMVCre 2.5 x 10^7 pfu/mouse,

Ad5SpcCre 5-8 x 10^8 pfu/mouse or pCDH-CRE 2×10^4 pfu/mouse. Adenovirus was obtained from

University of Iowa Viral Vector Core.

Rodent Lab Diet (AIN-76A) was formulated with the vemurafenib-related compound

PLX4720 at 200 mg/kg (Tsai et al., 2008) and PD0325901 7 mg/kg (Trejo et al., 2012). Drug

formulation was by Plexxikon and chow manufacture was by Research Diets. AIN-76A was used

as control chow. Mice were maintained on the indicated drug pellets for the indicated time periods.

BrdU incorporation was performed by injecting mice at 50 mg/kg (Sigma) intraperitoneally 1 hour

prior to necropsy. Mice in survival studies were monitored for lethargy and respiratory distress, at

which time animals were euthanized.

LGK-974 and Palbociclib (Selleck Chemicals) were delivered to mice via oral gavage.

LGK-974 was formulated in 0.5% (w/v) methylcellulose/0.5% (v/v) Tween-80 solution and given

at 7.5mg/kg dose with 5 days ON/ 2 days OFF schedule for 2 weeks (Figures 8C, S8D) or 4

weeks (Figures 8D, 8E, S8E). Weights were monitored once or twice weekly and no toxicity was

observed in mice. Palbociclib was formulated in 50mM Sodium Lactate and initially given at

120mg/kg dose with 5 days ON/ 2 days OFF schedule. Weights were monitored once or twice

weekly. Due to weight loss in female mice receiving Palbociclib + MAPK-inhibitor chow

combination, the following modifications were made for all mice receiving Palbociclib as single or

dual agent. On week 2: 120mg/kg dose with 4 days ON/3 days OFF schedule; week 3: Palbociclib

was skipped altogether; week 4: 100mg/kg dose with 4 days ON/3 days OFF schedule.

Tamoxifen and Doxycycline administration

Tumor-specific activation of CreER^{T2} nuclear activity was achieved by intraperitoneal

injection of tamoxifen (Sigma) dissolved in corn oil at a dose of 120 mg/kg. Mice received a total

of 6 injections over the course of 9 days. For survival experiments, mice were additionally given

pellets supplemented with 500 mg/kg tamoxifen (Envigo).

Cell lines and cell culture

HEK-293T cells were cultured in DMEM/High Glucose medium (Gibco). L-WRN cells

(ATCC) were cultured in DMEM/High Glucose medium containing G418 (Sigma) and Hygromycin

(InvivoGen) media. All media contained 10% FBS (Sigma). To eliminate mycoplasma

contamination, cell lines were treated with 25 µg/mL Plasmocin (InvivoGen) for 2-3 weeks. To

maintain cultures mycoplasma free, media were supplemented with 2.5 µg/mL Plasmocin. Cell

line identity was authenticated using STR analysis at the University of Utah DNA Sequencing

Core.

Establishing primary lung organoids

8-10 weeks after tumor initiation with PGK-Cre, tumor bearing mice were euthanized and

lungs were isolated. Lungs were then minced under sterile conditions and digested at 37°C for 30

mins with continuous agitation in a solution containing the enzymes, Collagen Type I (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, at 450 U/ml final); Dispase (Corning, at 5 U/ml); DNaseI (Sigma, at 0.25 mg/ml)

and Antibiotic-Antimycotic solution (Gibco). The digested tissue was passed through an 18 or 20-

gauge syringe needle. Enzyme reactions were then stopped by addition of cold DMEM/F-12 with

10% FBS and the cell suspension was dispersed through 100 µm, 70 µm and 40 µm series of cell

strainers to obtain single cell suspension. Subsequently, cell pellets were treated with erythrocyte

lysis buffer (eBioscience). Finally, cell pellets were reconstituted in Advanced DMEM/F-12 (Gibco)

supplemented with L-glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, and Antibiotic-Antimycotic. Thereafter, 100,000

tumor cells were mixed with 50 µl of Matrigel (Corning) at 1 to 10 ratio and plated in 24-well plates.

For the first week of organoid initiation, Matrigel droplets were overlaid with recombinant organoid

medium (Advanced DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 1X B27 (Gibco), 1X N2 (Gibco), 1.25 mM

nAcetylcysteine (Sigma), 10 mM Nicotinamide (Sigma), 10 nM Gastrin (Sigma) and containing

growth factors (100 ng/ml EGF [Peprotech], 100 ng/ml R-spondin1 [Peprotech], 100 ng/ml Noggin

[Peprotech], 100 ng/ml FGF10 [Peprotech], as well as the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 [R&D Systems]

and the TGF-b type I receptor-inhibitor SB431542 [R&D Systems]) as described in (Barker et al.,

2010; Sato et al., 2009).

After the initial spheroid culture propagation, organoids were switched to 50% L-WRN

conditioned media generated as detailed in (Miyoshi and Stappenbeck, 2013). Briefly, L-WRN

cells (ATCC) seeded and maintained in 10% FBS, DMEM high glucose containing 500 µg/ml

G418 (Sigma) and 500 µg/ml Hygromycin (InvivoGen) media until confluency. Once confluent, L-

WRN cells were switched to Advanced DMEM/F-12 containing 20% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100

U/ml penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin. Daily, conditioned supernatant medium was collected

from L-WRN cultures, filtered through 0.2 µm vacuum filters and saved at -20°C as stock (100%)

L-WRN medium. To use for culturing spheroids, stock L-WRN was diluted with equal volume

Advanced DMEM/F-12 (final concentration 50%). Where specified, stock L-WRN media was

diluted with Advanced DMEM/F-12 to a final concentration of 5% while still keeping FBS

concentration at 10% as described in (VanDussen et al., 2015). For drug studies, organoid media

was supplemented with Cobimetinib, GDC-0994 (Genentech), LGK-974, PD0325901, PLX-4720

(Selleck Chemicals) at indicated concentrations. Drug containing media was refreshed every 48-

72hrs.

Lentiviral production and transduction

HEK293T cells were transfected with CRE/FLPO-encoding lentiviral vector, d8.9

packaging vector and VSV-G envelope vector mixed with TransIT-293 (Mirus Bio). Virus

containing supernatant was collected at 36, 48, 60 and 72 hrs after transfection.

Ultracentrifugation at 25,000 r.p.m. for 2 hrs was necessary to concentrate virus for in vivo

infection.

For stable transduction of organoids, organoid cultures were first prepared into single cell

suspensions by subjecting them to successive incubations with Cell Recovery Solution (Corning)

and TrypLE (Gibco). Cells were then resuspended in a 1:1 mixture, by volume, of 50% L-WRN

and lentivirus containing supernatant. After supplementation with 8 µg/ml polybrene, cells were

incubated for 2 hours. Cells were then pelleted, mixed back with Matrigel, and seeded. 72 hours

later, Puromycin selection for 1 week was performed to achieve stable lines.

Flank tumor transplantation

For subcutaneous allograft experiments, $3x10^5$ single cell suspension of 988 BPN

organoid cells stably expressing either the Mission® pLKO.1-puro Non Target shRNA control

(shscr) or one of the validated *Ccnd2* Mission® shRNAs, TRCN0000054764 (#64) or

TRCN0000054766 (#66), were mixed with in 1:1 volume with 50µl Matrigel. Cells were injected

subcutaneously into the right or left flanks of NOD/SCID-gamma chain deficient (NSG) mice.

Tumor dimensions were measured with calipers. Tumor volume was calculated using the (L x

W^2)/2 formula. When the majority of the tumors reached ~$200mm^3$, mice were randomized into

control or drug groups, the latter of which received RAF/MEK inhibitor compounded chow for 4

weeks. Tumor volume measurements were not reliable as the tumors formed from organoid

cultures became fluid-filled cysts rather than solid. At end of treatment period, tumors were

removed and processed for histological analyses.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol followed by PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). RNA was treated with RNase-Free DNAse I (Invitrogen) on-column. For low cell

numbers (e.g. after FACS), Animal Tissue RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek) was used instead.

RNA was converted to cDNA using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix (BioRad). cDNA was

analyzed either by SYBR green real-time PCR with SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green

Supermix (BioRad) or by Taqman real-time PCR with SsoAdvanced Universal Probes Supermix

(BioRad) using a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad). Gene expression

was calculated relative to *Ppia* (loading control) using the $2^{-(dCt.x-average(dCt.control))}$ method and

normalized to the control group for graphing. Primer sequences and TaqMan Assays used in this

study are listed below.

Ppia (F), TGTGGTCTTTGGGAAGGTGAA; *Ppia* (R), AAATGGTGATCTTCTTGCTGGT

Muc5ac (F), GTGGTTTGACACTGACTTCCC; *Muc5ac* (R), CTCCTCTCGGTGACAGAGTCT

Ppia Mm02342429_g1; *Muc5ac* Mm01276718_m1; *Gkn1* Mm01183898_m1

Pgc Mm00482488_m1; *Cym* Mm01204823_m1; *GIF* Mm00433596_m1

Pou2f3 Mm00478293_m1; *Gfi1b* Mm00492318_m1; *Lgr5* Mm00438890_m1

Axin2 Mm00443610_m1; *Ccnd1* Mm00432359_m1; *Ccnd2* Mm00438070_m1

Cell viability and growth assay

For organoids, cells were seeded at a density of 2000 cells per 15 µl Matrigel dome, 4

domes per line per time point, each dome in a single well of a 96-well plate. After overnight culture,

organoids were treated with different concentrations of the indicated inhibitors and incubated at

37°C for indicated times. Subsequently, the relative number of viable cells was measured by by

CellTiter-Glo 3D Cell Viability Assay (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Luminescence was then measured by a microplate reader (EnVision 2105 Multimode Plate

Reader, PerkinElmer). Replicate values for each experimental group were averaged and all

values were normalized to control treatment group for each line.

Immunoblot Analysis

Cells were lysed on ice using RIPA buffer (50 mM HEPES, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA,

1% triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS) supplemented with protease and

phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche). Protein extracts were clarified and concentrations were

measured with Pierce Coomassie Plus Protein Assay reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Lysates

were then resolved on SDS-PAGE gels (BioRad), and transferred to Nitrocellulose blots.

Membranes were probed with primary antibodies against AXIN1 (2087, CST), TCF4 (2569, CST)

pFAK Y397 (SAB4504403, Sigma), DUSP6 (EPR129Y, Abcam), SPRY2 (EPR4318(2)(B),

Abcam), pERK (4370, CST), tERK (4696, CST), Vinculin (EPR8185, Abcam) and β-tubulin (E7,

DSHB). Blots were subsequently incubated with HRP conjugated secondary antibodies. For

signal development, Supersignal West Femto Substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used,

followed by image acquisition using darkroom development. ImageJ was used for band intensity

quantitation.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Lung tissues were fixed overnight in 10% neutral buffered formalin, processed through

70% ethanol, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4 μm thickness. Staining of hematoxylin and

eosin, as well as detection of mucin by Alcian Blue were carried out at the HCI Research Histology

Shared Resource. Immunohistochemistry was performed manually as detailed in (Camolotto et

al., 2018). The following primary antibodies were used. BrdU (BU1/75, Abcam), Cathepsin E (LS-

B523, Lifespan Biosciences), Galectin 4 (AF2128, R and D Systems), Gastrokine 1 (2E5,

Abnova), Histone H3 pSer10 (Abcam), HNF4A (C11F12, CST), MCM2 (ab31159, Abcam),

Muc5AC (SPM488, Abnova), NKX2-1 (EP1584Y, Abcam), PDX1 (F109-D12, DSHB), RFP

(Rockland Immunochemicals), pERK1/2 (D13.14.4E, CST), p4EBP1 T37/46 (2855, CST), pS6

S235/236 (D57.2.2E, CST), pS6 S240/244 (D68F8, CST), pMEK1/2 S221 (166F8, CST),

Pepsinogen C (HPA031718, Sigma), POU2F3 (HPA019652, Sigma), pRB S807/811 (8516,

CST). Pictures were taken on a Nikon Eclipse Ni-U microscope with a DS-Ri2 camera and NIS-

Elements software. Tumor quantitation was performed on hematoxylin and eosin-stained or IHC-

stained slides using NIS-Elements software. All histopathologic analysis was performed by a

board-certified anatomic pathologist (E.L.S.).

Primary human tumors

De-identified formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumors were obtained from the

Intermountain Biorepository, which collects samples in accordance with protocols approved by

the Intermountain Healthcare Institutional Review Board. Mucinous tumors were included in the

study based on the following criteria: 1. Diagnosis of invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma; OR 2.

Diagnosis of mucinous bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (older diagnostic term for IMA); OR 3.

Mucinous lung adenocarcinoma with strong morphologic features of IMA, such as abundant

intracellular mucin. Colloid adenocarcinomas were excluded, as these are considered to be a

discrete subtype of lung cancer.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for in vivo tumors and in vitro organoid cultures

Tumor bearing lungs were isolated in ice-cold PBS. Lungs were enzymatically digested

as described above in the procedure for establishing primary organoids. Once single cell

suspensions were obtained, cells were reconstituted in phenol red-free DMEM/F-12 with HEPES

containing 2% FBS, 2% BSA and DAPI. Cells were sorted using BD FACS Aria for tdTomato-

positive and DAPI-negative cells into DMEM/F-12 and 30% serum. After sorting, cells were

pelleted and flash frozen or resuspended TRIzol then frozen at -80°C.

To study the dynamics of WNT signaling in vitro, BPN organoids were stably transduced

with the β-catenin/TCF-dependent GFP reporter lentiviral construct 7TGP (Addgene plasmid

#24305). Single cells were isolated from Matrigel using Cell Recovery Solution and TrypLE

incubations. Cells were analyzed on BD LSRFortessa or BD FACSAria and sorted on BD

FACSAria. Events first passed through a routine light-scatter and doublet discrimination gates,

followed by exclusion of DAPI-positive dead cells. Viable tumor organoids were further identified

as tdTomato-high cells. 7TGP organoids grown in 5% L-WRN media for 2 – 3 days were used as

control for setting the GFP-negative gate. This gating strategy was applied to 7TGP organoids

that were cultured in standard 50% L-WRN media in order to identify and sort for the WNT-

reporter-low fraction. This fraction was collected in 50% L-WRN and expanded for ~1 week. After

expansion, sorted 7TGP organoids were seeded and treated with 50% L-WRN (± Cobimetinib) or

5% L-WRN (± Cobimetinib) for 24h, 48h and 72h. Then, the fraction of WNT-reporter-high

population under the above four conditions was analyzed. Flow cytometric data analyses was

performed using FlowJo software.

Bulk RNA sequencing

Library preparation was performed using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation

Kit with poly(A) selection (Illumina). Purified libraries were qualified on an Agilent Technologies

2200 TapeStation using a D1000 ScreenTape assay. The molarity of adapter-modified molecules

was defined by quantitative PCR using the Kapa Biosystems Kapa Library Quant Kit. Individual

libraries were normalized to 5nM and equal volumes were pooled in preparation for Illumina

sequence analysis. Libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2500 (50 cycle single-read

sequencing v4).

Bulk RNAseq analysis

Adapters in raw FASTQ files containing 50-bp single-end reads were trimmed with

cutadapt. QC metrics were generated for each sample with FastQC and Picard's

CollectRnaSeqMetrics after aligning reads to genome with STAR (Dobin et al., 2013). QC metrics

were summarized by MultiQC. From this QC analysis, one sample (id 14489X13) did not group

with other samples in the MultiQC report. It was later confirmed that very limited starting material

was a contributing factor to its difference from the others. This sample was removed from

downstream analysis because the noise in the sample was likely a larger negative trade-off than

the gains from increasing biological replicates for that condition.

Next, Salmon v0.9.1 quantified RNA transcript expression with two steps (Patro et al.,

2017); first, the mouse transcriptome downloaded from Gencode (release 26) was indexed using

k-mers of length 19 with type 'quasi'; second, Salmon quasi-mapped reads and corrected for

sequence-specific bias (see option –seqBias). Salmon-based transcript expression estimates

were converted to gene expression estimates with R package tximport (Soneson et al., 2015).

Differential gene expression modeling with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) and EdgeR (Robinson et

al., 2010) evaluated 4 distinct contrasts testing (i) genotype effects within control groups [BP C

vs. BPN C]; (ii) genotype effects within treatment groups [BP Tx vs. BPN Tx]; (iii) treatment effects

within BP genotype [BP C vs. BP Tx]; (iv) treatment effects within BPN genotype [BPN C vs. BPN

Tx]. Prior to fitting a single-factor DESeq2 regression (4 factor levels: BP C, BPN C, BP Tx, BPN

Tx), genes were filtered if there were less than 5 total counts across all samples. PCA was done

on the top 500 most variable genes subject to a regularized log transform to confirm within-group

variation was similar. A Wald test was applied to the fitted model for each of the 4 contrasts

specified above, where the null hypothesis was gene expression differences less than or equal to

$\log2(2)$ fold change in absolute value and the alternative hypothesis was that differences exceed

$\log2(2)$. Significance of gene-wise differences was controlled by a false discovery rate of 10%

(Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

Differential gene expression modeling with EdgeR for volcano plots used package

edgeR_3.24.3 with ggplot2_3.1.1. Significance of gene-wise differences was calculated by tag-

wise exact test. WNT signaling genes were delineated in the bulk sequencing matrix using AmiGO

pathway annotations (http://amigo.geneontology.org) for "Wnt Signaling" (Carbon et al., 2008).

WNT genes were restricted to those annotated for *mus musculus* with direct experimental

evidence. The most differentially expressed genes between treated and untreated sample groups

(Bonferonni corrected Student's t-test) were thresholded at >2 fold change between means of

treated and untreated samples. The 13 genes meeting this criterion and showing upregulation in

RAF/MEK inhibitor treated cells (BPN Tx) was designated as the WNT13 signature in this study.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was carried out on bulk data (and single cell data

(below)) by comparing gene expression profiles with archived gene sets from Hallmarks

[ftp.broadinstitute.org://pub/gsea/gene_sets/h.all.v7.0.symbols.gmt] and Oncogenic signatures

[ftp.broadinstitute.org://pub/gsea/gene_sets/c6.all.v7.0.symbols.gmt] as well as with the cell type

specific genes sets described in (Haber et al., 2017; Leushacke et al., 2017; Montoro et al., 2018;

Zhang et al., 2019).

Single-cell RNA sequencing

All protocols to generate scRNA-seq data on 10x Genomics Chromium platform

including library prep, instrument and sequencing setting can be found at:

https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression

The Chromium Single Cell Gene Expression Solution with 3' chemistry, version 3 (PN-1000075)

was used to barcode individual cells with 16 bp 10x Barcode and to tag cell specific transcript

molecules with 10 bp Unique Molecular Identifier (UMI) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The following protocol was performed at High-Throughput Genomics Shared

Resources at Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah. First, FACS sorted single cell

suspensions of tdTomato-positive lung tumors were resuspended in phosphate buffered saline

with 0.04% bovine serum albumin. The cell suspension was filtered through 40 micron cell

strainer. Viability and cell count were assessed on Countess I (Thermo Scientific). Equilibrium to

targeted cell recovery of 6,000 cells, along with 10x Gel Beads and reverse transcription reagents

were loaded to Chromium Single Cell A (PN-120236) to form Gel Beads-in emulsions (GEMs),

the nano-droplets. Within individual GEMs, cDNA generated from captured and barcoded mRNA

was synthesized by reverse transcription at the setting of 53°C for 45 min followed by 85°C for 5

min. Subsequent A tailing, end repair, adaptor ligation and sample indexing were performed in

bulk according to the manufacturer's instructions. he resulting barcoding libraries were qualified

on Agilent D1000 ScreenTape on Agilent Technology 2200 TapeStation system and quantified

by quantification PCR using KAPA Biosystems Library Quantification Kit for Illumine Platforms

(KK4842). Multiple libraries were then normalized and sequenced on NovaSeq 6000 with 2x150

PE mode.

Single-cell RNAseq analysis

Demultiplexing and data alignment: Single cell RNAseq data were demultiplexed with 10x

cellranger mkfastq version 3.1.0 to create fastq files with the I1 sample index, R1 cell

barcode+UMI and R2 sequence. Reads were aligned to the mouse genome (mm10 with custom

tdTomato reference) and feature counts were generated using cellranger count 3.1.0 with

expected-cells set to 6000 per library. QC reporting, clustering, dimension reduction, and

differential gene expression analysis were performed in 10x Genomics' Cell Loupe Browser

(v3.1.1). For the BPN control sample, we captured 5,065 cells and obtained 46,894 mean reads

per cell; 3,544 median genes per cell. For the BPN treated sample, we captured 5,563 cells and

obtained 37,488 mean reads per cell; 2,771 median genes per cell. For further details of the

primary Cell Ranger data processing, see https:// support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-

expression/software/pipelines/latest/algorithms/.

Visualization and expression analysis: Single cell expression data was analyzed using

Seurat (3.1.0) (Butler et al., 2018; Stuart et al., 2019) and visualized in Loupe browser (3.1.1).

Alternatively, single cell data was processed mostly in R (3.5.1). Cells with unique feature counts

over 7,500 or less than 200 and more than 20% mitochondrial counts have been filtered out. For

visualization, the umap-learn package, from Seurat (McInnes et al., 2018), was used to reduce

the dimensionality of the data.

Clustering: The Seurat package clustering method was used to designate cluster

membership of single cell transcriptomics profiles. Seurat clustering is heavily inspired by (Levine

et al., 2015; Xu and Su, 2015), which has 2 steps in the first step, the findNeighbors function is

employed to embed single cell profiles in a K-nearest neighbor (KNN) graph based euclidean

distance in a (20 PCs) PCA space, followed by refining the edge weights based on jaccard

similarity (https://satijalab.org/seurat/v3.0/pbmc3k_tutorial.html). In the second step, the

FindClusters function employs Louvain algorithm modularity optimization techniques to iteratively

group cells together (https://satijalab.org/seurat/v3.0/pbmc3k_tutorial.html).

Stromal cell designations: Clusters of cells sharing highly similar positions in

dimensionality reduced UMAP-space were examined for differential expression using Loupe

browser's built-in differential expression calculator, and genes showing significant cluster specific

expression were screened manually against the literature for markers of known cell types. Those

clusters representing minority populations that were highly distinct in Umap-space from the bulk

of cells in the analysis and which bear distinctive expression of stromal marker genes were

considered as stromal contaminants and removed from subsequent, tumor-specific Umap data

visualization and cluster specific gene expression level analysis.

Differential Gene Expression using Seurat: For the DEG test the "FindMarkers" function

from the Seurat package with default setting was used, including Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, and

Bonferroni multi-testing correction based on the total number of genes in the dataset.

Cell cycle phase modeling: We calculated cell cycle scores of control and treated tumor

cells in scRNA-seq data using the Seurat package and the cell cycle genes defined by (Mizuno

et al., 2009). The Seurat package uses the scoring strategy described in (Tirosh et al., 2016) and

assigns scores for cells based on the expression of G2/M and S phase marker genes, with low

scoring cells defaulting to G1. In an alternative approach, we modeled cell cycle phase by

determining mean expression per cell of each phased cell cycle signature described in (Mizuno

et al., 2009). A matrix of these values was then graphed as a diffusion map using the Destiny

package (Angerer et al., 2015) in R. A coherent cell cycle loop through three dimensional space

using the first three diffusion components was projected onto two dimensions using an heuristic

arithmetic, diffusion component combination. To this graph a principal curve was fit using

Princurve (Hastie and Stuetzle, 1989) and tangents for each point (lambdas) were used as cellular

positions along a trajectory designated as Q-depth based on the observed position of G0-

signature enriched cells (an indicator related to quiescence) at the extreme distal to cells enriched

in G1 signatures or the S and G2/M signatures.

MEK activation signature and WNT signature expression: Average expression of an 18

gene signature from Dry et al. (Dry et al., 2010) was determined per cell across the single cell

data set to model MEK activation state. Enrichment for the WNT13 signature described above

was similarly examined across the single cell dataset.

Data Imputation: We used a novel data imputation approach to model the expression of

low-detection genes in single cell data (https://github.com/elnazmirzaei/Fiesta; Mirzaei

Merhabad and Spike et al, *unpublished*). Briefly, a weighted non-negative matrix factorization

was carried out on the single cell data matrix using machine learning based factor optimization.

Subsequently, optimized factors were used to reconstruct an idealized completed matrix with

values recommended for many gene nodes that were originally below the detection threshold.

Recombination fidelity: Cluster specific BAM files were parsed from the concatenated

scRNA-Seq BAM files using subset-bam tool (https://github.com/10XGenomics/subset-bam). The

presence of select splice junctions was visualized using IGV (version 2.7.2) (Robinson et al.,

2011) against mouse genome version (mm10).

1046

1047 *Statistics*

1048 p-Values were calculated using the unpaired two-tailed t-test. RNAseq statistics are

1049 described above.

1050

1051 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

1052 All sequencing data generated in this study are available at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO:

1053 GSE145152).

1054

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to members of the Snyder lab for suggestions and comments. We acknowledge

Plexxikon for providing PLX4720 and PD0325901; Research Diets for compounding. We thank

Brian Dalley and Opal Allen for sequencing expertise, Chris Conley for RNA sequencing data

analysis expertise, James Marvin for FACS expertise and David H Lum and members of the

Preclinical Research Shared Resource core for in vivo drug administration. Work in the flow

cytometry core was supported by the National Center for Research Resources of the National

Institutes of Health under Award Number 1S20RR026802-1. Research reported in this publication

utilized shared resources (including Flow Cytometry, High Throughput Genomics, Bioinformatics,

Biorepository and Molecular Pathology, and Preclinical Research Resource) at the University of

Utah and was supported by Huntsman Cancer Foundation and the National Cancer Institute of

the National Institutes of Health under Award Number P30CA042014. E.L.S. was supported in

part by a Career Award for Medical Scientists from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund, a V Scholar

Award, the NIH (R01CA212415, R01CA240317), a Pilot Project Award from the Lung Cancer

Center of the Huntsman Cancer Institute, and institutional funds (Department of Pathology and

Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah). GO was supported by the NIH (F31CA243427

and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development of the

National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32HD007491). The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

1074

1075 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

1076 None.

1077

1078   **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

1079   Conception and design: ELS, RZ; Acquisition of data: RZ, ELS, PF, KG; Computational analysis:

1080   BS, EMM; Technical/material support: KI, SAC, GO, AJ, MCM; Writing, review of manuscript: all;

1081   Study supervision: ELS

1082

REFERENCES

Angerer, P., Haghverdi, L., Büttner, M., Theis, F. J., Marr, C., and Buettner, F. (2015). destiny: diffusion maps for large-scale single-cell data in R. Bioinformatics *32*, 1241-1243.

Barker, N., Huch, M., Kujala, P., van de Wetering, M., Snippert, H. J., van Es, J. H., Sato, T., Stange, D. E., Begthel, H., and van den Born, M. (2010). Lgr5+ ve stem cells drive self-renewal in the stomach and build long-lived gastric units in vitro. Cell stem cell *6*, 25-36.

Barker, N., Van Es, J. H., Kuipers, J., Kujala, P., Van Den Born, M., Cozijnsen, M., Haegebarth, A., Korving, J., Begthel, H., and Peters, P. J. (2007). Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. Nature *449*, 1003.

Barletta, J. A., Perner, S., Iafrate, A. J., Yeap, B. Y., Weir, B. A., Johnson, L. A., Johnson, B. E., Meyerson, M., Rubin, M. A., Travis, W. D.*, et al.* (2009). Clinical significance of TTF-1 protein expression and TTF-1 gene amplification in lung adenocarcinoma. J Cell Mol Med *13*, 1977-1986.

Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological) *57*, 289-300.

Bhattaram, P., Penzo-Méndez, A., Kato, K., Bandyopadhyay, K., Gadi, A., Taketo, M. M., and Lefebvre, V. (2014). SOXC proteins amplify canonical WNT signaling to secure nonchondrocytic fates in skeletogenesis. Journal of Cell Biology *207*, 657-671.

Biehs, B., Dijkgraaf, G. J. P., Piskol, R., Alicke, B., Boumahdi, S., Peale, F., Gould, S. E., and de Sauvage, F. J. (2018). A cell identity switch allows residual BCC to survive Hedgehog pathway inhibition. Nature *562*, 429-433.

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E., and Satija, R. (2018). Integrating single-cell

transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nature biotechnology

36, 411.

Camolotto, S. A., Pattabiraman, S., Mosbruger, T. L., Jones, A., Belova, V. K., Orstad, G., Streiff,

M., Salmond, L., Stubben, C., and Kaestner, K. H. (2018). FoxA1 and FoxA2 drive gastric

differentiation and suppress squamous identity in NKX2-1-negative lung cancer. Elife *7*, e38579.

Campos-Parra, A. D., Aviles, A., Contreras-Reyes, S., Rojas-Marin, C. E., Sanchez-Reyes, R.,

Borbolla-Escoboza, R. J., and Arrieta, O. (2014). Relevance of the novel IASLC/ATS/ERS

classification of lung adenocarcinoma in advanced disease. Eur Respir J *43*, 1439-1447.

Carbon, S., Ireland, A., Mungall, C. J., Shu, S., Marshall, B., Lewis, S., Hub, A., and Group, W.

P. W. (2008). AmiGO: online access to ontology and annotation data. Bioinformatics *25*, 288-289.

Carriere, A., Romeo, Y., Acosta-Jaquez, H. A., Moreau, J., Bonneil, E., Thibault, P., Fingar, D.

C., and Roux, P. P. (2011). ERK1/2 phosphorylate Raptor to promote Ras-dependent activation

of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1). Journal of Biological Chemistry *286*, 567-577.

Cha, Y. J., and Shim, H. S. (2017). Biology of invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma of the lung.

Translational lung cancer research *6*, 508.

Chen, G., Gao, C., Gao, X., Zhang, D. H., Kuan, S.-F., Burns, T. F., and Hu, J. (2018). Wnt/β-

catenin pathway activation mediates adaptive resistance to BRAF inhibition in colorectal cancer.

Molecular cancer therapeutics *17*, 806-813.

Chen, X., Rosenfeld, C. S., Roberts, R. M., and Green, J. A. (2001). An aspartic proteinase

expressed in the yolk sac and neonatal stomach of the mouse. Biology of reproduction *65*, 1092-

1101.

Cole, A. M., Myant, K., Reed, K. R., Ridgway, R. A., Athineos, D., Van den Brink, G. R., Muncan,

V., Clevers, H., Clarke, A. R., and Sicinski, P. (2010). Cyclin D2–cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 is

required for efficient proliferation and tumorigenesis following Apc loss. Cancer research *70*,

8149-8158.

Dankort, D., Filenova, E., Collado, M., Serrano, M., Jones, K., and McMahon, M. (2007). A new

mouse model to explore the initiation, progression, and therapy of BRAFV600E-induced lung

tumors. Genes & development *21*, 379-384.

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M.,

and Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15-21.

Dry, J. R., Pavey, S., Pratilas, C. A., Harbron, C., Runswick, S., Hodgson, D., Chresta, C.,

McCormack, R., Byrne, N., and Cockerill, M. (2010). Transcriptional pathway signatures predict

MEK addiction and response to selumetinib (AZD6244). Cancer research *70*, 2264-2273.

Ferrara, M. G., Di Noia, V., D'Argento, E., Vita, E., Damiano, P., Cannella, A., Ribelli, M., Pilotto,

S., Milella, M., and Tortora, G. (2020). Oncogene-Addicted Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer:

Treatment Opportunities and Future Perspectives. Cancers *12*, 1196.

Fiske, W. H., Tanksley, J., Nam, K. T., Goldenring, J. R., Slebos, R. J., Liebler, D. C., Abtahi, A.

M., La Fleur, B., Ayers, G. D., and Lind, C. D. (2009). Efficacy of cetuximab in the treatment of

Menetrier's disease. Science translational medicine *1*, 8ra18-18ra18.

Garg, A., Sankella, S., Xing, C., and Agarwal, A. K. (2016). Whole-exome sequencing identifies

ADRA2A mutation in atypical familial partial lipodystrophy. JCI Insight *1*.

Ghavami, A., Hunt, R. A., Olsen, M. A., Zhang, J., Smith, D. L., Kalgaonkar, S., Rahman, Z., and

Young, K. H. (2004). Differential effects of regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) proteins on

serotonin 5-HT1A, 5-HT2A, and dopamine D2 receptor-mediated signaling and adenylyl cyclase

activity. Cell Signal *16*, 711-721.

Haber, A. L., Biton, M., Rogel, N., Herbst, R. H., Shekhar, K., Smillie, C., Burgin, G., Delorey, T.

M., Howitt, M. R., and Katz, Y. (2017). A single-cell survey of the small intestinal epithelium.

Nature *551*, 333.

Haghverdi, L., Buettner, F., and Theis, F. J. (2015). Diffusion maps for high-dimensional single-

cell analysis of differentiation data. Bioinformatics *31*, 2989-2998.

Han, S., Fink, J., Jörg, D. J., Lee, E., Yum, M. K., Chatzeli, L., Merker, S. R., Josserand, M.,

Trendafilova, T., and Andersson-Rolf, A. (2019). Defining the identity and dynamics of adult

gastric isthmus stem cells. Cell stem cell.

Hastie, T., and Stuetzle, W. (1989). Source: Journal of the American Statistical Association, Vol.

84, No. 406,(Jun., 1989), pp. 502. Journal of the American Statistical Association *84*, 502.

Heuberger, J., Kosel, F., Qi, J., Grossmann, K. S., Rajewsky, K., and Birchmeier, W. (2014).

Shp2/MAPK signaling controls goblet/paneth cell fate decisions in the intestine. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences *111*, 3472-3477.

Huang, Y.-H., Klingbeil, O., He, X.-Y., Wu, X. S., Arun, G., Lu, B., Somerville, T. D., Milazzo, J.

P., Wilkinson, J. E., and Demerdash, O. E. (2018). POU2F3 is a master regulator of a tuft cell-

like variant of small cell lung cancer. Genes & development *32*, 915-928.

Jho, E.-h., Zhang, T., Domon, C., Joo, C.-K., Freund, J.-N., and Costantini, F. (2002). Wnt/β-

catenin/Tcf signaling induces the transcription of Axin2, a negative regulator of the signaling

pathway. Molecular and cellular biology *22*, 1172-1183.

Jonkers, J., Meuwissen, R., van der Gulden, H., Peterse, H., van der Valk, M., and Berns, A.

(2001). Synergistic tumor suppressor activity of BRCA2 and p53 in a conditional mouse model for

breast cancer. Nature genetics *29*, 418.

Juan, J., Muraguchi, T., Iezza, G., Sears, R. C., and McMahon, M. (2014). Diminished WNT ->

beta-catenin -> c-MYC signaling is a barrier for malignant progression of BRAFV600E-induced

lung tumors. Genes Dev *28*, 561-575.

Kabiri, Z., Greicius, G., Zaribafzadeh, H., Hemmerich, A., Counter, C. M., and Virshup, D. M.

(2018). Wnt signaling suppresses MAPK-driven proliferation of intestinal stem cells. Journal of

Clinical Investigation *128*, 3806-3812.

Kaji, I., and Kaunitz, J. D. (2017). Luminal chemosensing in the gastroduodenal mucosa. Current

opinion in gastroenterology *33*, 439-445.

Khunger, A., Khunger, M., and Velcheti, V. (2018). Dabrafenib in combination with trametinib in

the treatment of patients with BRAF V600-positive advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung

cancer: clinical evidence and experience. Therapeutic advances in respiratory disease *12*,

1753466618767611.

Kusakabe, T., Kawaguchi, A., Hoshi, N., Kawaguchi, R., Hoshi, S., and Kimura, S. (2006).

Thyroid-specific enhancer-binding protein/NKX2. 1 is required for the maintenance of ordered

architecture and function of the differentiated thyroid. Molecular endocrinology *20*, 1796-1809.

Lavoie, J. N., L'Allemain, G., Brunet, A., Muller, R., and Pouyssegur, J. (1996). Cyclin D1

expression is regulated positively by the p42/p44MAPK and negatively by the p38/HOGMAPK

pathway. J Biol Chem *271*, 20608-20616.

Lee, C.-L., Moding, E. J., Huang, X., Li, Y., Woodlief, L. Z., Rodrigues, R. C., Ma, Y., and Kirsch,

D. G. (2012). Generation of primary tumors with Flp recombinase in FRT-flanked p53 mice.

Disease models & mechanisms *5*, 397-402.

Leushacke, M., Tan, S. H., Wong, A., Swathi, Y., Hajamohideen, A., Tan, L. T., Goh, J., Wong,

E., Denil, S. L., and Murakami, K. (2017). Lgr5-expressing chief cells drive epithelial regeneration

and cancer in the oxyntic stomach. Nature cell biology *19*, 774.

Levin, P. A., Mayer, M., Hoskin, S., Sailors, J., Oliver, D. H., and Gerber, D. E. (2015). Histologic

Transformation from Adenocarcinoma to Squamous Cell Carcinoma as a Mechanism of

Resistance to EGFR Inhibition. J Thorac Oncol *10*, e86-e88.

Levine, J. H., Simonds, E. F., Bendall, S. C., Davis, K. L., El-ad, D. A., Tadmor, M. D., Litvin, O.,

Fienberg, H. G., Jager, A., and Zunder, E. R. (2015). Data-driven phenotypic dissection of AML

reveals progenitor-like cells that correlate with prognosis. Cell *162*, 184-197.

Liu, Y.-T., Dan, Q.-J., Wang, J., Feng, Y., Chen, L., Liang, J., Li, Q., Lin, S.-C., Wang, Z.-X., and

Wu, J.-W. (2011). Molecular basis of Wnt activation via the DIX domain protein Ccd1. Journal of

Biological Chemistry *286*, 8597-8608.

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology *15*, 550.

Ma, F., Ye, H., He, H. H., Gerrin, S. J., Chen, S., Tanenbaum, B. A., Cai, C., Sowalsky, A. G., He,

L., and Wang, H. (2016). SOX9 drives WNT pathway activation in prostate cancer. The Journal

of clinical investigation *126*, 1745-1758.

Madisen, L., Zwingman, T. A., Sunkin, S. M., Oh, S. W., Zariwala, H. A., Gu, H., Ng, L. L., Palmiter,

R. D., Hawrylycz, M. J., and Jones, A. R. (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and

characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nature neuroscience *13*, 133.

Maeda, Y., Tsuchiya, T., Hao, H., Tompkins, D. H., Xu, Y., Mucenski, M. L., Du, L., Keiser, A. R.,

Fukazawa, T., and Naomoto, Y. (2012). Kras G12D and Nkx2-1 haploinsufficiency induce

mucinous adenocarcinoma of the lung. The Journal of clinical investigation *122*, 4388-4400.

McCracken, K. W., Aihara, E., Martin, B., Crawford, C. M., Broda, T., Treguier, J., Zhang, X.,

Shannon, J. M., Montrose, M. H., and Wells, J. M. (2017). Wnt/β-catenin promotes gastric fundus

specification in mice and humans. Nature *541*, 182.

McFadden, D. G., Vernon, A., Santiago, P. M., Martinez-McFaline, R., Bhutkar, A., Crowley, D.

M., McMahon, M., Sadow, P. M., and Jacks, T. (2014). p53 constrains progression to anaplastic

thyroid carcinoma in a Braf-mutant mouse model of papillary thyroid cancer. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences *111*, E1600-E1609.

McInnes, L., Healy, J., and Melville, J. (2018). Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and

projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:180203426.

Mendoza, M. C., Er, E. E., and Blenis, J. (2011). The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways: cross-

talk and compensation. Trends in biochemical sciences *36*, 320-328.

Miyoshi, H., and Stappenbeck, T. S. (2013). In vitro expansion and genetic modification of

gastrointestinal stem cells in spheroid culture. Nature protocols *8*, 2471.

Mizuno, H., Nakanishi, Y., Ishii, N., Sarai, A., and Kitada, K. (2009). A signature-based method

for indexing cell cycle phase distribution from microarray profiles. BMC genomics *10*, 137.

Mollaoglu, G., Jones, A., Wait, S. J., Mukhopadhyay, A., Jeong, S., Arya, R., Camolotto, S. A., Mosbruger, T. L., Stubben, C. J., and Conley, C. J. (2018). The lineage-defining transcription factors SOX2 and NKX2-1 determine lung cancer cell fate and shape the tumor immune microenvironment. Immunity *49*, 764-779. e769.

Montoro, D. T., Haber, A. L., Biton, M., Vinarsky, V., Lin, B., Birket, S. E., Yuan, F., Chen, S., Leung, H. M., and Villoria, J. (2018). A revised airway epithelial hierarchy includes CFTR-expressing ionocytes. Nature *560*, 319.

Osaki, L., and Gama, P. (2013). MAPKs and signal transduction in the control of gastrointestinal epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation. International journal of molecular sciences *14*, 10143-10161.

Patra, K. C., Kato, Y., Mizukami, Y., Widholz, S., Boukhali, M., Revenco, I., Grossman, E. A., Ji, F., Sadreyev, R. I., Liss, A. S.*, et al.* (2018). Mutant GNAS drives pancreatic tumourigenesis by inducing PKA-mediated SIK suppression and reprogramming lipid metabolism. Nature cell biology *20*, 811-822.

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature methods *14*, 417.

Planchard, D., Besse, B., Groen, H. J., Souquet, P.-J., Quoix, E., Baik, C. S., Barlesi, F., Kim, T. M., Mazieres, J., and Novello, S. (2016). Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously treated BRAFV600E-mutant metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: an open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. The Lancet Oncology *17*, 984-993.

Planchard, D., Smit, E. F., Groen, H. J., Mazieres, J., Besse, B., Helland, Å., Giannone, V., D'Amelio Jr, A. M., Zhang, P., and Mookerjee, B. (2017). Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients

with previously untreated BRAFV600E-mutant metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: an open-

label, phase 2 trial. The Lancet Oncology *18*, 1307-1316.

Quante, M., Marrache, F., Goldenring, J. R., and Wang, T. C. (2010). TFF2 mRNA transcript

expression marks a gland progenitor cell of the gastric oxyntic mucosa. Gastroenterology *139*,

2018-2027. e2012.

Ritterhouse, L. L., Vivero, M., Mino-Kenudson, M., Sholl, L. M., Iafrate, A. J., Nardi, V., and Dong,

F. (2017). GNAS mutations in primary mucinous and non-mucinous lung adenocarcinomas. Mod

Pathol *30*, 1720-1727.

Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz, G., and

Mesirov, J. P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. Nature biotechnology *29*, 24.

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139-140.

Rotow, J., and Bivona, T. G. (2017). Understanding and targeting resistance mechanisms in

NSCLC. Nature reviews Cancer *17*, 637-658.

Roux, P. P., Shahbazian, D., Vu, H., Holz, M. K., Cohen, M. S., Taunton, J., Sonenberg, N., and

Blenis, J. (2007). RAS/ERK signaling promotes site-specific ribosomal protein S6 phosphorylation

via RSK and stimulates cap-dependent translation. Journal of Biological Chemistry *282*, 14056-

14064.

Ruscetti, M., Leibold, J., Bott, M. J., Fennell, M., Kulick, A., Salgado, N. R., Chen, C.-C., Ho, Y.-

j., Sanchez-Rivera, F. J., and Feucht, J. (2018). NK cell–mediated cytotoxicity contributes to tumor

control by a cytostatic drug combination. Science *362*, 1416-1422.

Ruscetti, M., Morris IV, J. P., Mezzadra, R., Russell, J., Leibold, J., Romesser, P. B., Simon, J.,

Kulick, A., Ho, Y.-j., and Fennell, M. (2020). Senescence-induced vascular remodeling creates

therapeutic vulnerabilities in pancreas cancer. Cell.

Russell, P. A., Wainer, Z., Wright, G. M., Daniels, M., Conron, M., and Williams, R. A. (2011).

Does lung adenocarcinoma subtype predict patient survival?: A clinicopathologic study based on

the new International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic

Society/European Respiratory Society international multidisciplinary lung adenocarcinoma

classification. J Thorac Oncol *6*, 1496-1504.

Sanchez-Danes, A., Larsimont, J. C., Liagre, M., Munoz-Couselo, E., Lapouge, G., Brisebarre,

A., Dubois, C., Suppa, M., Sukumaran, V., Del Marmol, V*., et al.* (2018). A slow-cycling LGR5

tumour population mediates basal cell carcinoma relapse after therapy. Nature *562*, 434-438.

Sato, T., Vries, R. G., Snippert, H. J., Van De Wetering, M., Barker, N., Stange, D. E., Van Es, J.

H., Abo, A., Kujala, P., and Peters, P. J. (2009). Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt–villus structures

in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature *459*, 262.

Schönhuber, N., Seidler, B., Schuck, K., Veltkamp, C., Schachtler, C., Zukowska, M., Eser, S.,

Feyerabend, T. B., Paul, M. C., and Eser, P. (2014). A next-generation dual-recombinase system

for time-and host-specific targeting of pancreatic cancer. Nature medicine *20*, 1340.

Shai, A., Dankort, D., Juan, J., Green, S., and McMahon, M. (2015). TP53 silencing bypasses

growth arrest of BRAFV600E-induced lung tumor cells in a two-switch model of lung

tumorigenesis. Cancer research *75*, 3167-3180.

Shaw, A. T., Meissner, A., Dowdle, J. A., Crowley, D., Magendantz, M., Ouyang, C., Parisi, T.,

Rajagopal, J., Blank, L. J., Bronson, R. T*., et al.* (2007). Sprouty-2 regulates oncogenic K-ras in

lung development and tumorigenesis. Genes Dev *21*, 694-707.

Skoulidis, F., Byers, L. A., Diao, L., Papadimitrakopoulou, V. A., Tong, P., Izzo, J., Behrens, C.,

Kadara, H., Parra, E. R., Canales, J. R*., et al.* (2015). Co-occurring genomic alterations define

major subsets of KRAS-mutant lung adenocarcinoma with distinct biology, immune profiles, and

therapeutic vulnerabilities. Cancer Discov *5*, 860-877.

Skoulidis, F., and Heymach, J. V. (2019). Co-occurring genomic alterations in non-small-cell lung

cancer biology and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer *19*, 495-509.

Snyder, E. L., Watanabe, H., Magendantz, M., Hoersch, S., Chen, T. A., Wang, D. G., Crowley,

D., Whittaker, C. A., Meyerson, M., and Kimura, S. (2013). Nkx2-1 represses a latent gastric

differentiation program in lung adenocarcinoma. Molecular cell *50*, 185-199.

Soneson, C., Love, M. I., and Robinson, M. D. (2015). Differential analyses for RNA-seq:

transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Research *4*.

Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck III, W. M., Hao, Y.,

Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P., and Satija, R. (2019). Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data.

Cell.

Taki, K., Ohmuraya, M., Tanji, E., Komatsu, H., Hashimoto, D., Semba, K., Araki, K., Kawaguchi,

Y., Baba, H., and Furukawa, T. (2016). GNAS(R201H) and Kras(G12D) cooperate to promote

murine pancreatic tumorigenesis recapitulating human intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm.

Oncogene *35*, 2407-2412.

Tammela, T., Sanchez-Rivera, F. J., Cetinbas, N. M., Wu, K., Joshi, N. S., Helenius, K., Park, Y.,

Azimi, R., Kerper, N. R., and Wesselhoeft, R. A. (2017). A Wnt-producing niche drives proliferative

potential and progression in lung adenocarcinoma. Nature *545*, 355.

Taylor, S. S., Kim, C., Cheng, C. Y., Brown, S. H., Wu, J., and Kannan, N. (2008). Signaling
through cAMP and cAMP-dependent protein kinase: diverse strategies for drug design. Biochim
Biophys Acta *1784*, 16-26.

Ting, H.-A., and von Moltke, J. (2019). The immune function of tuft cells at gut mucosal surfaces
and beyond. The Journal of Immunology *202*, 1321-1329.

Tirosh, I., Izar, B., Prakadan, S. M., Wadsworth, M. H., Treacy, D., Trombetta, J. J., Rotem, A.,
Rodman, C., Lian, C., and Murphy, G. (2016). Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic
melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. Science *352*, 189-196.

Travis, W. D., Brambilla, E., Noguchi, M., Nicholson, A. G., Geisinger, K., Yatabe, Y., Powell, C.
A., Beer, D., Riely, G., Garg, K.*, et al.* (2011). International Association for the Study of Lung
Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society: international multidisciplinary
classification of lung adenocarcinoma: executive summary. Proceedings of the American
Thoracic Society *8*, 381-385.

Trejo, C. L., Juan, J., Vicent, S., Sweet-Cordero, A., and McMahon, M. (2012). MEK1/2 inhibition
elicits regression of autochthonous lung tumors induced by KRASG12D or BRAFV600E. Cancer
research *72*, 3048-3059.

Tsai, J., Lee, J. T., Wang, W., Zhang, J., Cho, H., Mamo, S., Bremer, R., Gillette, S., Kong, J.,
and Haass, N. K. (2008). Discovery of a selective inhibitor of oncogenic B-Raf kinase with potent
antimelanoma activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *105*, 3041-3046.

Tuveson, D. A., Shaw, A. T., Willis, N. A., Silver, D. P., Jackson, E. L., Chang, S., Mercer, K. L.,
Grochow, R., Hock, H., and Crowley, D. (2004). Endogenous oncogenic K-rasG12D stimulates
proliferation and widespread neoplastic and developmental defects. Cancer cell *5*, 375-387.

Vallone, V. F., Leprovots, M., Strollo, S., Vasile, G., Lefort, A., Libert, F., Vassart, G., and Garcia,

M.-I. (2016). Trop2 marks transient gastric fetal epithelium and adult regenerating cells after

epithelial damage. Development *143*, 1452-1463.

VanDussen, K. L., Marinshaw, J. M., Shaikh, N., Miyoshi, H., Moon, C., Tarr, P. I., Ciorba, M. A.,

and Stappenbeck, T. S. (2015). Development of an enhanced human gastrointestinal epithelial

culture system to facilitate patient-based assays. Gut *64*, 911-920.

Winslow, M. M., Dayton, T. L., Verhaak, R. G., Kim-Kiselak, C., Snyder, E. L., Feldser, D. M.,

Hubbard, D. D., DuPage, M. J., Whittaker, C. A., and Hoersch, S. (2011). Suppression of lung

adenocarcinoma progression by Nkx2-1. Nature *473*, 101.

Xu, C., and Su, Z. (2015). Identification of cell types from single-cell transcriptomes using a novel

clustering method. Bioinformatics *31*, 1974-1980.

Young, N. P., Crowley, D., and Jacks, T. (2011). Uncoupling cancer mutations reveals critical

timing of p53 loss in sarcomagenesis. Cancer research *71*, 4040-4047.

Zhan, T., Ambrosi, G., Wandmacher, A. M., Rauscher, B., Betge, J., Rindtorff, N., Häussler, R.

S., Hinsenkamp, I., Bamberg, L., and Hessling, B. (2019). MEK inhibitors activate Wnt signalling

and induce stem cell plasticity in colorectal cancer. Nature communications *10*, 1-17.

Zhan, T., Rindtorff, N., and Boutros, M. (2017). Wnt signaling in cancer. Oncogene *36*, 1461-

1473.

Zhang, P., Yang, M., Zhang, Y., Xiao, S., Lai, X., Tan, A., Du, S., and Li, S. (2019). Dissecting

the Single-Cell Transcriptome Network Underlying Gastric Premalignant Lesions and Early

Gastric Cancer. Cell reports *27*, 1934-1947. e1935.

Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Li, Y., Pan, Y., Hu, H., Zhang, Y., Li, H., Shen, L., Yu, Y., Sun, Y., and Chen,

H. (2015). Negative Thyroid Transcription Factor 1 Expression Defines an Unfavorable Subgroup

of Lung Adenocarcinomas. Journal of Thoracic Oncology *10*, 1444-1450.

1365

1366

1367

FIG 1

Suppl FIG S1

A

GKN1   CTSE   Galectin-4

BP

BPN

B

Pro-SPB   Pro-SPC   CD36

BP

BPN

C

High grade BPN

H & E   NKX2-1

Figure 1. *Nkx2-1* deletion induces mucinous adenocarcinoma but impairs tumor

initiation in BRAF^{V600E}-driven lung adenocarcinoma.

(A) Representative photomicrographs of lung neoplasia arising 8 weeks after initiation

with PGK-Cre lentivirus (5x10^3 pfu/mouse). BP mice are *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-*

1$^{f/+}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$. BPN mice are *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$*.

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Alcian blue stain for mucin production, and

immunohistochemistry (IHC) for NKX2-1, plus, markers of gastrointestinal differentiation.

Scale bar: 100 µm. (B) Quantitation of lung tumor burden 8 weeks after initiation with

PGK-Cre lentivirus (5x10^3 pfu/mouse) in indicated genotypes of mice: BP (n=8), BPN

(n=9). *P=0.019 by Student's *t*-test. (C) Long-term survival after tumor initiation with Ad5-

CMV-Cre adenovirus (2.5x10^7 pfu/mouse) in mice of indicated genotypes. *P*< 0.0001 by

Log-rank test. (D) Histopathologic assessment of the percentage of tumors of indicated

grade for mice enrolled in survival study (C).

1383

1384 The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

1385

Figure S1. *Nkx2-1* deletion induces mucinous adenocarcinoma but impairs tumor

initiation in BRAF^{V600E}-driven lung adenocarcinoma.

(A, B) Histologic phenotype of lung neoplasia arising 8 weeks after initiation with PGK-

Cre lentivirus (5x10^3 pfu/mouse) in *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/+}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$* (BP)

and *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$* (BPN) mice. Immunostaining for

markers of gastrointestinal (A) and pulmonary (B) differentiation. (C) H&E and NKX2-1

IHC of a representative high grade BPN lung tumor. Scale bar: 100 µm.

FIG 2

1393

1394

1395

Suppl FIG 2

Figure 2. *Nkx2-1* deletion in established BRAF-mutant LUAD induces mucinous

adenocarcinoma without altering tumor growth.

(A, B) All mice are $BRaf^{FA/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2\text{-}1^{f/f};Rosa26^{CreERT2/CreERT2}$. Viral FlpO-

recombinase expression simultaneously activated BRAF^{V600E} and Cre^{ERT2} and deleted

Trp53 by excision of *Frt* sites. 3 weeks post-tumor initiation, mice were injected with corn

oil (as vehicle control) or with tamoxifen to recombine *LoxP*-sites within *Nkx2-1* alleles by

Cre^{ERT2} recombinase. Lungs were harvested 3 weeks thereafter. (A) H&E, Alcian blue,

NKX2-1 and HNF4A staining of lung neoplasia arising 6 weeks after initiation with Ad-

FlpO adenovirus (2×10^7 pfu/mouse). Scale bar: 100 µm. (B) Quantitation of tumor burden

at 3 weeks (n=4 mice), and at 6 weeks after initiation, following corn oil treatment (n=10

mice) or tamoxifen injections (n=8 mice). Tamoxifen administration consisted of 6

intraperitoneal doses over 9 days. Graphs represent mean $\pm$ S.D. *P* values are not

statistically significant. (C) Mice are $KRas^{FSF\text{-}G12D/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2\text{-}1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF\text{-}}$

$^{CreERT2/CreERT2}$ and were administered with corn oil (n=6 mice) or tamoxifen (n=6 mice)

injections as described in (B). Shown is the quantitation of tumor burden at 3 weeks and

at 6 weeks post-initiation. Graphs represent mean $\pm$ S.D. **P=0.0014 by Student's *t*-test.

(D) Survival of $BRaf^{FA/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2\text{-}1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF\text{-}CreERT2/CreERT2}$ mice that were

treated with tamoxifen or vehicle starting at 3 weeks following tumor initiation. Tamoxifen

administration consisted of 6 intraperitoneal doses over 9 days, followed by tamoxifen-

containing chow for 1 month.

1419

1420 The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

1421

Figure S2. *Nkx2-1* deletion in established BRAF-mutant LUAD induces mucinous

adenocarcinoma without altering tumor growth.

(A) Immunostaining for indicated mucin/gastric markers in NKX2-1-positive and -negative

LUADs. Lung tumor sections were obtained from corn oil or tamoxifen treated

$BRaf^{FA/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF-CreERT2/CreERT2}$ mice 6 weeks post-initiation with Ad-

FlpO adenovirus ($2x10^7$ pfu/mouse). Scale bar: 100 µm. (B, C) Quantitation of MCM2-

positive cells in lung tumors at 6 weeks after initiation, and following corn oil/tamoxifen

injections at 3 weeks. Lung neoplasia was initiated with Ad-FlpO adenovirus ($2x10^7$

pfu/mouse) in $BRaf^{FA/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF-CreERT2/CreERT2}$ and $KRas^{frtSfrt-}$

$^{G12D/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF-CreERT2/CreERT2}$ mice. Graphs represent mean $\pm$ S.D.

Multiple tumors in $BRaf^{FA}$ oil (n=7 mice); tamoxifen administered (n=5 mice); $KRas^{FA}$ oil

(n=4 mice) and tamoxifen administered (n=5 mice) were analyzed. (D) Representative

images of high grade tumors obtained from a BRaf FA mouse that had received tamoxifen

injections. Scale bar: 100 µm. (E) Grades for individual tumors based on criteria described

for Fig. 1d. Histopathologic assessment was performed for mice enrolled in survival study

(Fig. 2d).

FIG 3

Suppl FIG 3

1441

Figure 3. NKX2-1 regulates MAPK pathway in BRAF^{V600E}-driven LUAD.

(A, B) IHC for indicated proteins in lung neoplasia present at 8 weeks post-initiation in

BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/+}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ and *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-*

1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ mice. (C) IHC quantitation of phosphoproteins in indicated tumor

types (n=2-4 mice/genotype). Each dot represents one tumor. Error bars indicate mean

$\pm$ S.D. ****P<0.0001, ***P<0.001, ns= not significant by Mann-Whitney test. (D)

Representative staining of phospho-ERK in human non-mucinous (NKX2-1-positive,

n=51) and mucinous (NKX2-1-negative, n=17) lung tumors. Scale bar: 500 μm.

1450

1451 The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

1452

Figure S3: NKX2-1 regulates MAPK pathway in BRAF^{V600E}-driven LUAD.

(A) IHC for indicated phospho-proteins in NKX2-1-positive and -negative LUADs. Lung

tumor sections were obtained from corn oil or tamoxifen treated *BRaf$^{FA/+}$;Trp53$^{frt/frt}$;Nkx2-*

1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{FSF-CreERT2/CreERT2}$ mice 6 weeks post-initiation with Ad-FlpO. (B) IHC

quantitation of phosphoproteins in indicated tumor types. Error bars indicate mean $\pm$ S.D.

****P< 0.0001, ***P<0.001, *P<0.05 by Mann-Whitney test. At least 10 tumors across 2-

3 mice were analyzed. (C) Long-term 3D cultures of primary mouse LUAD cells isolated

from *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/+}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$* (BP) and *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-*

1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ (BPN) mice 8 weeks post-initiation. Shown are representative

IHC photomicrographs. Scale bar: 100 μm. (D) Quantitation of phospho-ERK levels in

human lung tumors relative to NKX2-1 expression/histologic subtype.

FIG 4

1464

1465

Suppl FIG 4

Figure 4. NKX2-1 status modulates response to MAPK pathway inhibitors.

(A) Representative H&E and phospho-ERK1/2 immunostaining photomicrographs of paraffin-embedded lung sections from $BRaf^{CA/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2-1^{f/+};Rosa26^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ and $BRaf^{CA/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ mice that were treated with control chow (BP C/BPN C) or chow containing PLX4720 (200 mg/kg) and PD0325901 (7 mg/kg) inhibitors (BP Tx/BPN Tx) for two weeks starting at 6 weeks post-tumor initiation with PGK-Cre lentivirus ($5x10^3$ pfu/mouse). Scale bar: 100 μm. (B, C) Quantitation of tumor burden in BP and BPN mice that were fed control chow or chow containing MAPK inhibitors starting at 6 weeks post-tumor initiation with PGK-Cre lentivirus ($5x10^3$ pfu/mouse). Graphs represent mean $\pm$ S.D. (B) Chow treatment lasted 2 weeks and lungs were harvested at the 8 week timepoint. BP C (n=8), BP Tx (n=8), BPN C (n=9), BPN Tx (n=9). *P<0.05 by Student's t-test. Numbers indicated above graphs represent the fold reduction in tumor burden upon inhibitor-chow administration. (C) Chow treatment lasted 4 weeks and lungs were harvested at the 10 week timepoint. BP C (n=6), BP Tx (n=9), BPN C (n=8), BPN Tx (n=8). **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Numbers indicated above graphs represent the fold reduction in tumor burden with inhibitor-chow administration. (D) Global gene expression analyses were performed on RNAs from FACS-sorted tdTomato$^+$ BP C (n=5), BP Tx (n=3), BPN C (n=4) and BPN Tx (n=5) murine lung tumor cells isolated at 7 weeks following initiation with Ad5-Spc-Cre adenovirus ($5x10^8$ pfu/mouse for BP and $8x10^8$ pfu/mouse for BPN mice). Control and MAPK-inhibitor chow treatments were given for 1 week at 6 weeks post-adenoviral instillation. Shown is the principal-component analysis (PCA) plot of the top 500 most variable genes showing that the four experimental groups of lung tumors, BP C, BP Tx, BPN C and BPN Tx, had distinct global patterns of

gene expression. (E) UMAP plots showing relatedness of high quality, tumor cell scRNA-

seq profiles from BPN control (n=2) and BPN MAPKi-treated (n=2) mice. Tumor cluster

designations are indicated (left). Control and treated cells indicated (middle). *Nkx2-1*

expression in scRNA-seq data indicating that clusters 4-8 represent incomplete

recombinants (right). Single tumor cells were obtained by FACS-sorting tdTomato⁺ cells

isolated at 7 weeks following initiation with Ad5-Spc-Cre adenovirus (8×10^8 pfu/mouse).

Control and MAPK-inhibitor chow treatments were given for 1 week at 6 weeks post-

adenoviral instillation. (F) Beeswarm plots of single cell sequencing data showing

expression levels of *Nkx2-1*, *Sftpc* and *AurkB* transcripts in tumor clusters 1-8.

1501

1502   The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

1503

Figure S4. NKX2-1 status modulates response to MAPK pathway inhibitors.

(A) Representative histology of BP and BPN tumors after 4 weeks of combined BRAF^V600E

and MEK inhibitor chow treatment, as well as at different timepoints following drug

withdrawal demonstrating rapid tumor relapse. (B) Graphs comparing absolute

expression levels of direct NKX2-1 targets (*Sftpc* and *Sftpb*) as well as the gastrointestinal

marker *Pdx1* in BP (n=8) and BPN (n=9) tumors, data obtained from bulk RNA

sequencing. (C) Bulk RNAseq analyses of BP and BPN tumors via Illumina Correlation

Engine indicating that HNF4α binding site geneset 3 becomes significantly enriched upon

loss of *Nkx2-1*. (D) Volcano plots of bulk RNA sequencing data from control and MAPK

inhibitor-treated BP tumors (left) and BPN tumors (right) indicating differentially expressed

genes (EdgeR) (see also Supplementary Tables 2, 3). Select highly differentially

expressed genes are highlighted. (E) Left- UMAP visualization of single cell transcriptome

relatedness indicating 14 distinct clusters. Right- Relative expression of stromal marker

genes and feature complexity score used in selecting high complexity tumor clusters that

lack stromal marker gene expression for further analysis (red box). * Lower than average

complexity. (F) Sashimi plots visualizing raw RNAseq densities along *Nkx2-1* exons and

splice junction for *Nkx2-1*-positive and *Nkx2-1*-negative single tumor cells from their

alignment data. Exon 1a-2 junctions and exon 2 reads are only detected in cells

expressing *Nkx2-1* to variable degree indicating that these are incomplete recombinants

(BP cells). (G) UMAP visualization of *Hmga2* expression in tumor clusters 1-8. (H)

Quantitation of MAPK activation levels in single cell RNA sequencing data using Mek18

transcriptional signature (Dry et al., 2010).   BP indicates cells from clusters 4-8; BPN

indicates cells from clusters 1-3 (see Fig. 4e-f). C: control, Tx= drug treatment.

FIG 5

BP C vs BP Tx: Gene Ontology analysis by Illumina Correlation Engine				
BIOGROUP NAME	SCORE	COMMON GENES	DIRECTION	P-VALUE
cell division	105.76	41	up	1.20E-46
mitosis	104.37	36	up	4.70E-46
nuclear division	104.37	36	up	4.70E-46
kinetochore	51.24	16	up	5.60E-23
spindle	49.21	17	up	4.30E-22

BPN C vs BPN Tx: Gene Ontology analysis by Illumina Correlation Engine				
BIOGROUP NAME	SCORE	COMMON GENES	DIRECTION	P-VALUE
extracellular matrix	46.96	24	up	4.00E-21
proteinaceous extracellular matrix	46.76	23	up	4.90E-21
vascular development	23.87	16	up	4.30E-11
tissue morphogenesis	23.28	16	up	7.70E-11
regulation of vascular development	19.58	10	up	3.10E-09

1527

Suppl FIG 5

1528

1529

1530

Figure 5. Differential impact of MAPK inhibitors on the cell cycle in NKX2-1-positive and NKX2-1-negative tumors.

(A, B) Immunostaining for and quantitation of the proliferation marker MCM2 in tumors from BP and BPN mice at 8 weeks post-initiation. Control or MAPK-inhibitor infused chow feeding started at 6 weeks and was maintained for 2 weeks. BP C (25 tumors from 4 mice), BP Tx (15 tumors from 3 mice), BPN C (20 tumors from 3 mice), BPN Tx (20 tumors from 3 mice). Scale bar: 100 µm. Graphs represent mean $\pm$ S.D. ****$P<0.0001$ by Student's *t*-test. (C, D) Lists of the top-scoring Gene Ontology pathway terms of differentially expressed genes between control- and drug-chow treated BP (C) or BPN (D) tumors, as determined by Illumina Correlation Engine analyses of bulk RNAseq data. UP = enriched in control relative to treated samples. (E) Analysis of cell cycle score in single cell RNA sequencing data using Seurat package. Utilized cell cycle genes as defined by Mizuno et al., 2009. ****$P<0.0001$ by Wilcox test. BP C= clusters 4, 5, 8; BP Tx= clusters 6, 7; BPN C= clusters 1, 3control; BPN Tx= clusters 2, 3treated (see Fig. 4e). (F) Diffusion map of cell cycle phase signatures in scRNA-seq data showing coherent enrichment for phase specific signatures at specific graphical regions. >80% of cells are disturbed in the box that correlates with G0 and G1 signatures and along which we fit a principle curve to model likely depth of quiescence (Q-depth). (G) Positioning of scRNA-seq profiles from the indicated cell clusters along the Q-depth curve. BPN control = cluster 1; BPN treated = cluster 2; BP control = cluster 4+5; BP treated = cluster 6+7. (H) Graph comparing absolute expression levels of *Ccnd1* and *Ccnd2*, data obtained from bulk RNA sequencing. (I) Quantitation of phospho-RB-positive tumor cells in the indicated tumor types at 8 weeks post-initiation. Control or MAPK-inhibitor chow feeding started at 6

weeks and was maintained for 2 weeks. Graphs represent mean ± S.D. Multiple lesions

per mouse, for five mice in each cohort, were analyzed. ***P<0.001. (J) Effect of knocking

down CyclinD2 on MCM2 marker expression. A BPN organoid line was stably transduced

with control or *Ccnd2*-targeting shRNA constructs followed by subcutaneous

transplantation and MAPK-inhibitor chow treatment after 8 weeks of growth.

Subcutaneous tumors were harvested after 1 month of drug treatment. MCM2

quantitation was confined to glandular structures that most closely resemble

autochthonous BPN tumors. Graphs are mean ± S.D. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.01$. N=5 mice

per cohort.

The following figure supplement is available for figure 5:

Figure S5. Differential impact of MAPK inhibitors on the cell cycle in NKX2-1-positive and NKX2-1-negative tumors.

(A) Detection of proliferation markers, BrdU incorporation and phospho-histone H3 Ser10,

by IHCs in neoplasia arising 8 weeks after tumor initiation with PGK-Cre lentivirus (5×10^3

pfu/mouse). At the 6 week timepoint, BP and BPN mice were given control or PLX4720

plus PD0325901 inhibitor chow for 2 weeks. Scale bar: 100 µm. (B) Quantitation of BrdU-

positive tumor cells from mice treated as in (A). Graphs represent mean ± S.D. BP C (34

tumors from 3 mice), BP Tx (23 tumors from 3 mice), BPN C (39 tumors from 3 mice),

BPN Tx (40 tumors from 3 mice) were analyzed. ****$P < 0.0001$. (C) Quantitation of

phospho-HH3-positive tumor cells from mice treated as in (A). Graphs represent mean ±

S.D. BP C (23 tumors from 2 mice), BP Tx (24 tumors from 2 mice), BPN C (34 tumors

from 2 mice), BPN Tx (34 tumors from 2 mice) were analyzed. **P = 0.0058. (D) Graphs

showing how the trajectory for Q-depth was set. Top is a flattened version of the diffusion

map based on arithmetic combination of the first three diffusion components with a

principal curve to the resulting two dimensional graph and bottom plot is a pseudotime

trajectory based on lambda values of the principal curve referred to here as Quiescence

depth and shown on the x-axis. (E) Relative abundance of *Ccnd1* and *Ccnd2* transcripts

in scRNA-seq data on a cell-by-cell basis for clusters 1 and 2. (F, G) Representative IHC

images for Cyclin D1 and Cyclin D2 immunostaining on tumors from BP and BPN mice

at 8 weeks post-initiation. Control or MAPK-inhibitor chow was administered for 2 weeks.

Scale bar: 100 μm. (H) Efficiency of CyclinD2 knockdown by control versus *Ccnd2*-

targeting short hairpins in stably transduced 988 organoids as assessed by qRT-PCR.

1588

FIG 6

1589

Suppl FIG 6

Figure 6. MAPK activity regulates cellular identity in NKX2-1-negative tumors.

(A) Transcriptome analysis comparing control BPN cells with drug-treated BPN tumor cells. Heatmap depicts gastric surface mucous cell markers whose expression diminished versus gastric chief cell or tuft cell markers whose expression increased in MAPK-inhibitor treated BPN cells relative to untreated. *adjP*<0.05 for each comparison. RNAseq data was derived from tdTomato-expressing tumor cells FACS-sorted from BPN mice. 6 weeks after tumor initiation, mice were treated for 1 week with control chow (n=4) or chow containing BRAF^{V600E} inhibitor plus MEK inhibitor (n=5). (B) GSEA against chief and tuft cell signatures. (C) Violin plots of single cell sequencing data showing expression levels of *Gkn1*, *Tff1*, *Tff2*, *Cym*, *Pgc*, *Pga5* and *Pou2f3* transcripts in BPN tumor clusters 1-3 and highlighting key drug-mediated cell-identity changes. (D) IHC for a gastric chief cell marker (Pepsinogen C) and a tuft cell marker (POU2F3) on LUAD sections derived 7 weeks following tumor initiation with PGK-Cre lentivirus (5x10^3 pfu/mouse). At 6 weeks, *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/+}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$* and *BRaf$^{CA/+}$;Trp53$^{f/f}$;Nkx2-1$^{f/f}$;Rosa26$^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$* mice were given control or MAPK-inhibitor chow for 1 week. Also shown are sections of normal stomach epithelium as positive controls. Note that in hyperplasia that arise from *Nkx2-1* deletion in distal lung without concomitant oncogenic activation also upregulate these lineage-restricted markers. *Nkx2-1$^{f/f}$* mice were infected with Ad5-Spc-Cre (10^9 pfu/mouse). Lungs were harvested 16 weeks later. Scale bar: 100 μm. (E) Chief cell markers are rapidly induced in tumor organoids treated with 50nM Cobimetinib. qRT-PCR analysis of chief cell markers *Cym* and *Pgc* on RNA isolated from drug/DMSO treated organoids for the indicated times. Data are mean ± S.D. ****P< 0.0001, ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, ns= not significant. A representative experiment of three

1615   is shown. Bottom plot: Measurement of viability in organoid cultures treated with vehicle

1616   or Cobimetinib using 3D CellTiter-Glo Luminescent cell viability assay at the indicated

1617   timepoints. Data are mean $\pm$ S.D. A representative experiment of three is shown.

1618

1619   The following figure supplement is available for figure 6:

1620

Figure S6. MAPK activity regulates cellular identity in NKX2-1-negative tumors.

A) IHC for detection of the surface neck mucous marker, GKN1, in control BPNs and BPN

tumors that were treated with drug chow for 2 weeks. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B) IHC for the

tuft cell marker, POU2F3, in human non-mucinous lung adenocarcinoma and IMA tumors.

Scale bar: 100 μm. (C) Percentage of NKX2-1$^+$ and NKX2-1$^-$ human lung

adenocarcinomas that contain POU2F3-positive tumor cells. (D) Immunostaining for

tdTomato on BPN and KPN organoid FFPE sections indicating that primary spheroid

cultures contain only tumor-derived epithelial cells. (E-G) qRT-PCR determination of the

expression levels of select stomach epithelium lineage markers in multiple lung tumor

organoid lines. Spheroid cultures were established from $BRaf^{CA/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2$-

$1^{f/f};Rosa26^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ mice (for BPN lines: 988, 989, 1242 and 1243), or for the KPN

lines from $KRas^{LSL-G12D/+};Trp53^{f/f};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{tdTomato/tdTomato}$ mice (for 1268), and from

tamoxifen treated $KRas^{FSF-G12D/+};Trp53^{frt/frt};Nkx2-1^{f/f};Rosa26^{FSF-CreERT2/CreERT2}$ mice (for

577). Spheroids were subsequently cultured long term in 50% L-WRN conditioned media.

For experiments, organoids were seeded (day 0) and allowed to recover overnight in the

absence of DMSO/inhibitors. In each case, data are presented as fold change (mean $\pm$

S.D.) compared with DMSO control values. (E, F) RNA derived from indicated BPN and

KPN organoid lines that had been treated with DMSO or Cobimetinib for 72 hours. (E)

qRT-PCR for detection of markers of gastric gland base cell types. (F) qRT-PCR for

detection of tuft cell-specific transcripts. Data shown for each marker are representative

of least 3 independent experiments. (G) Chief cell marker, *Cym*, is significantly induced

in organoids treated with additional MAPK-pathway inhibitors for 24 hours: GDC-0994

(ERKi), PD0325901 (MEKi), and PLX4720 (BRAFi). Data is representative of two

independent experiments. (E – G) ****$P < 0.0001$, ***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, ns= not

significant.

FIG 7

1646
1647
1648

Suppl FIG 7

Figure 7. MAPK inhibition activates WNT signaling in NKX2-1-negative tumors.

(A) Transcriptome analysis of genes comprising the canonical WNT pathway gene

ontology (AmiGO) in RNA purified from FACS-sorted BPN tumor cells 1 week post-

treatment with PLX4720+PD0325901 or control chow. *adjP*<0.05 for each comparison.

Color key indicates normalized expression levels (Log10). (B) Analysis of WNT pathway

activity in scRNAseq data using a WNT activation signature. BP indicates cells from

clusters 4-8; BPN indicates cells from clusters 1-3 (see Fig. 4e-f). C: control, Tx= drug

treatment. (C) Kinetics of WNT signaling induction following MAPK-inhibitor treatment as

indicated by qRT-PCR analysis of the canonical WNT signaling markers, *Axin2* and *Lgr5*,

on RNA isolated from drug/DMSO treated organoids for the indicated times. Data are

mean $\pm$ S.D. A representative experiment of three is shown. *********P*< 0.0001, ***P<0.001,

**P<0.01, *P<0.05. (D, E) WNT-low cells can give rise to WNT-high cells. BPN organoid

lines, 988 shown here, were stably transduced with a WNT-reporter construct (7TGP,

Addgene Plasmid #24305) and FACS-sorted to isolate cells with undetectable WNT

activity (pink gate) (D). (E) Dynamics of sorted WNT-low cells when cultured in WNT rich

spheroid media (50% LWRN vs. 5% LWRN) or in the presence of MEK-inhibitor. Numbers

shown are the percentage of live tdTomato[+]GFP^{+} cells in each culture as quantified by

flow cytometry (FlowJo). Data shown is a representative of two independent sorting

experiments.

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675   The following figure supplement is available for figure 7:

1676

Figure S7. MAPK inhibition activates WNT signaling in NKX2-1-negative tumors.

(A) List of the top-scoring genes in IPA Upstream Regulator analysis when comparing

differentially expressed genes between control- and drug-chow treated BPN tumors in

bulk RNA sequencing data. (B) Regulator Motif analysis by Illumina Correlation Engine

identifies significant differences in TCF1 binding site genesets between control and

treated BPN tumors. (C, D) Plots of normalized raw and imputed expression values for

Lgr5 and *Axin2* transcripts in scRNA-seq data as readouts of canonical WNT activation

levels in indicated clusters. (E) Candidate mechanisms by which a cross-talk between

ERK and WNT pathways can occur as evaluated by immunoblotting. Representative

western blot image and quantitation of band intensity (ImageJ) from two independent

experiments is provided. None of the changes in protein abundance between control and

drug treated (24 hours) organoid samples are statistically significant. Graphs indicate

mean $\pm$ S.D.

FIG 8

Suppl FIG 8

Figure 8. WNT signaling and the transcription factors FoxA1/FoxA2 are partially required for lineage switching induced by MAPK inhibition.

(A) Analyses of indicated gene expression levels in BPN (1243 and 988) and KPN (1268) tumor organoid lines by qRT-PCR at 24 hours and under different treatment conditions. Organoids were cultured in 50% L-WRN (a, b) or reduced 5% L-WRN media (c, d, e, f) and treated with DMSO (a, c), single agent Cobimetinib (b, d) or the Porcupine inhibitor, LGK-974 (e), and both inhibitors (f). Graphs indicate mean $\pm$ S.D. [*P*values are for *a-b*; *c-d*; or *e-f* comparisons]. Experiment was reproducibly performed 3 times. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of indicated cell identity markers in the 22E organoid line under four different conditions. 22E, a KF1F2 organoid line, lacks NKX2-1 expression and harbors conditional alleles of *Foxa1* and *Foxa2*. Tamoxifen treatment induces Cre^{ERT2}-mediated *Foxa1*/*Foxa2* deletion. Data are mean $\pm$ S.D and a pool of two independent experiments. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.01$. (C) Quantitation of the proliferation marker MCM2 in 10 week autochthonous BPN lung tumors under four different treatment conditions: 1) control (n=7); 2) MAPK-inhibitor chow (n=7); 3) LGK-974 (n=7); and 4) MAPK-inhibitor chow and LGK-974 (n=8). (D, E) Abundance of MCM2 or phospho-RB positive cells in 10 week autochthonous lung tumors from BPN mice under the indicated conditions. Vehicle or drug treatments were administered at 6 weeks post tumor initiation for 4 weeks. Multiple tumors from n=3 mice/group were quantitated. (A – E) ****$P< 0.0001$, ***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, ns= not significant. (F) Graphical depiction of the molecular and pharmacological regulation of differentiation programs in LUAD (BioRender). Here, we investigated genotype-specific drug response. Cancer therapy triggers two alternative fates in drug-treated tumors of both genotypes: either regression or survival in a drug

tolerant state. Molecularly, the drug tolerant state appears distinct in BP versus BPN

tumors and can be exploited pharmacologically by targeting the cell cycle and/or drug-

induced signaling pathways.

The following figure supplement is available for figure 8:

Figure S8. WNT signaling and the transcription factors FoxA1/FoxA2 are partially

required for lineage switching induced by MAPK inhibition.

(A) Analyses of indicated gene expression levels in BPN (1243 and 988) and KPN (1268)

tumor organoid lines by qRT-PCR at 24 hours and under different treatment conditions.

Organoids were cultured in 50% L-WRN (a, b) or reduced 5% L-WRN media (c, d, e, f)

and treated with DMSO (a, c), single agent Cobimetinib (b, d) or the Porcupine inhibitor,

LGK-974 (e), and both inhibitors (f). Graphs indicate mean±S.D. ****$P<$ 0.0001,

***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, ns= not significant. [Pvalues are for *a-b*; *c-d*; or *e-f*

comparisons]. Experiment was reproducibly performed 3 times. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of

indicated gene expression in a KPNF1F2 organoid line under MEK inhibition

(Cobimetinib) and/or tamoxifen induced *Foxa1* and *Foxa2* deletion. Data are mean ± S.D

and a representative of two independent experiments. ****$P < 0.0001$, ***$P < 0.001$, ns=

not significant. (C) Density plots and correlation values for a MEK activation signature (x-

axis left two panels) and a WNT signature (x-axis right two panels) versus *Ccnd1* and

Ccnd2 transcript levels as indicated based on scRNA-seq. (D) Quantitation of lung tumor

burden 10 weeks post-initiation in BPN mice under four different treatment conditions: 1)

control (n=7); 2) MAPK-inhibitor chow (n=7); 3) LGK-974 (n=7); and 4) MAPK-inhibitor

1739   chow and LGK-974 (n=8). (E) Overall survival of BPN tumor bearing mice that were

1740   enrolled in 6 different treatment conditions for 4 weeks. Vehicle (n=5), MAPK-inhibitor

1741   chow (n=5), Palbociclib (n=5), LGK-974 (n=4); MAPK-inhibitor chow + Palbociclib (n=7),

1742   and MAPK-inhibitor chow + LGK-974 (n=7). **P=0.0017 by Log-rank test.

1743