Hypoxia-inducible lipid droplet-associated interacts with DGAT1 to promote lipid storage in hepatocytes
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ABSTRACT

Lipid droplets (LD) are dynamic organelles that can expand and shrink, driven by fluctuations in the rate of triglyceride synthesis and degradation. Triglyceride synthesis, storage in LD, and degradation are governed by a complex set of LD-associated proteins. One of these LD-associated proteins, hypoxia-inducible lipid droplet-associated (HILPDA), was found to impair LD breakdown by inhibiting adipose triglyceride lipase. Here we characterized the physiological role and mechanism of action of HILPDA in hepatocytes. Expression of HILPDA was induced by fatty acids in several hepatoma cell lines. Fluorescence microscopy showed that HILPDA partly colocalizes with LD and with the endoplasmic reticulum, is especially abundant in perinuclear areas, and mainly associates with newly added fatty acids. Real-time fluorescence live-cell imaging revealed that HILPDA preferentially localizes to LD that are being remodelled. Deficiency of HILPDA in mouse precision-cut liver slices and primary hepatocytes reduced lipid storage and accumulation of fluorescently-labelled fatty acids in LD, respectively, which was independent of adipose triglyceride lipase. Confocal microscopy and Förster resonance energy transfer-fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy analysis indicated that HILPDA colocalizes and physically interacts with DGAT1. In human hepatoma HepG2 cells, HILPDA overexpression increased lipid storage concomitant with an increase in DGAT activity and DGAT1 protein levels. Finally, hepatocyte-specific deficiency of HILPDA in mice modestly but significantly reduced hepatic triglyceride and plasma alanine aminotransferase levels in mice with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Overall, our data indicate that HILPDA physically interacts with DGAT1 and increases DGAT activity and DGAT1 protein levels. These findings provide a rational biochemical explanation for the stimulation of triglyceride storage by HILPDA in hepatocytes.
INTRODUCTION

Fatty acids are an important fuel for many cell types. When the supply of fatty acids exceeds the demand for oxidation, excess fatty acids can be stockpiled by converting them to triglycerides. Triglycerides are synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and are stored in specialized organelles called lipid droplets (LD) (16). With the exception of adipocytes, most cell types have tiny LD that collectively only take up a very small portion of the total cell volume. However, in certain pathological conditions, LD may become enlarged and occupy considerable cell volume, potentially interfering with important cellular functions (15).

The liver plays a central role in the regulation of lipid metabolism. Under conditions of obesity and insulin resistance, storage of lipids in the liver is often elevated (36). A chronic increase in intra-hepatic fat is referred to as steatosis and is a key feature of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NALFD) (25). In many high-income countries, NAFLD has become the most common liver disorder and a growing clinical concern (25).

Fatty acids in hepatocytes can originate from several different sources: from triglycerides taken up as chylomicron-remnants, from endogenous synthesis (de novo lipogenesis), and from circulating non-esterified fatty acids released by adipose tissue (17). A major portion of the incoming fatty acids is oxidized to provide energy to hepatocytes. The remainder is esterified into triglycerides, part of which is incorporated and secreted in very low-density lipoprotein, and part of which is stored in LD in hepatocytes. Accordingly, excess storage of lipids in the liver can be due to changes in several metabolic pathways, including defective fatty acid oxidation, enhanced lipogenesis, impaired triglyceride secretion, and increased uptake of fatty acids from the circulation (18).

LD are dynamic organelles that can rapidly expand and shrink, driven by fluctuations in the rate of triglyceride synthesis and degradation (15). The synthesis of triglycerides, their storage
in LD, and the subsequent breakdown of triglycerides into fatty acids are governed by a complex set of enzymes and LD-associated proteins. LD-associated proteins encompass a large group of proteins that physically and functionally interact with LD. According to proteomic profiling, the number of LD-associated proteins easily runs into hundreds (1, 5, 30, 35). This group includes lipid synthesis and degradation enzymes, proteins involved in membrane trafficking, lipid signaling proteins, and proteins involved in protein degradation (35). An important group of LD-associated proteins is the perilipin family, composed of PLIN1-PLIN5 (29). Other known LD-associated proteins include CIDEA, CIDEB, CIDEC, FITM1, FITM2, G0S2, and ABHD5 (6, 13, 39).

A relatively poorly characterized LD-associated protein is HILPDA. The first identification of HILPDA as LD-associated protein was in Hela cells, where its overexpression was found to increase intracellular lipid accumulation (14). HILPDA raised our attention when trying to identify novel target genes of the transcription factors PPARα and PPARγ in hepatocytes and adipocytes, respectively, and when screening for novel genes induced by fatty acids in peritoneal macrophages (10, 21, 31). In mouse liver, HILPDA overexpression via adeno-associated viral delivery raised intrahepatic triglyceride levels by approximately 4-fold, likely by suppressing very-low-density lipoprotein triglyceride secretion (21). Consistent with these data, deficiency of HILPDA in cultured hepatocytes lowered hepatic lipid accumulation, which was explained by a combination of decreased fatty acid uptake, increased fatty acid beta-oxidation, and increased triglyceride lipolysis (11). Somewhat surprisingly, hepatocyte-specific HILPDA deficiency did not influence liver triglyceride content in mice chronically fed a high fat diet (11).

Recently, we and others found that HILPDA is able to bind the intracellular triglyceride hydrolase ATGL and inhibit ATGL-mediated triglyceride hydrolysis (24, 31, 32, 40). Although the relatively low inhibitory capacity of HILPDA towards ATGL in cell-free systems raised
questions about the physiological relevance of this interaction (24), studies in HILPDA-deficient macrophages and cancer cells firmly established the functional dependence between HILPDA and ATGL (31, 32, 40). Currently, very little is known about the molecular mechanism of action of HILPDA in hepatocytes. Accordingly, the present study was aimed at better characterizing the molecular role of HILPDA in hepatocytes.
METHODS

Mice experiments

Hilpda\textsubscript{flox/flox} mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME; Hilpdatm1.1Nat, #017360) were acquired and crossed with C57Bl/6J mice for at least 5 generations. Thereafter, the Hilpda\textsubscript{flox/flox} mice were crossed with Albumin-Cre transgenic mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME; B6.Cg-Speer6-ps1\textsuperscript{Tg(Alb-cre)21Mgn/J}, #003574) to generate mice with hepatocyte-specific Cre-mediated deletion of Hilpda (Hilpda\textsubscript{\textDelta hep}). Mice were group housed under normal light-dark cycles in temperature- and humidity-controlled specific pathogen-free conditions. Mice had ad libitum access to regular chow and water.

Male Hilpda\textsubscript{\textDelta hep} mice aged 4-5 months and their Hilpda\textsubscript{flox/flox} littermates were fasted from 11:00h onwards and euthanized the next day between 11:00h and 12:00h (fasted group). Alternatively, mice were fasted from 11:00h onwards with reintroduction of chow the next morning at 07:30h, followed by euthanasia between 11:00h and 12:00h (refed group). The number of mice per group was 9-13.

Male Hilpda\textsubscript{\textDelta hep} mice aged 3-4 months and their Hilpda\textsubscript{flox/flox} littermates were given a semi-purified low fat diet (10% kcal fat, A08051501) or high fat diet lacking choline and methionine (45% kcal fat, A06071309)(Research Diets, Inc. New Brunswick, NJ). During the dietary intervention, the mice were housed individually. After 11 weeks, mice were euthanized in the ad libitum fed state between 8:15h and 10.00h. The number of mice per group was 12.

Prior to euthanasia, mice were anaesthetised with isoflurane and blood was collected via orbital puncture in tubes containing EDTA (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Immediately thereafter, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, after which tissues were excised, weighed, and frozen in liquid nitrogen or prepared for histology. Frozen samples were stored at -80°C. Liver tissue was fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution in PBS. All animal experiments were approved by the local animal welfare committee of Wageningen University (AVD104002015236,
2016.W-0093.007 and 2016.W-0093.017). The experimenter was blinded to group assignments during all analyses.

**Plasma measurements**

Blood collected in EDTA tubes (Sarstedt, Numbrecht, Germany) was spun down for 10 minutes at 2000 g at 4°C. Plasma was aliquoted and stored at -80°C until further measurements. The plasma concentration of various metabolites was determined using specialized kits: cholesterol (Liquicolor, Human GmbH, Wiesbaden, Germany), triglycerides (Liquicolor), glucose (Liquicolor), NEFAs (NEFA-HR set R1, R2 and standard, WAKO Diagnostics, Instruchemie, Delfzijl, The Netherlands), Alanine Transaminase Activity Assay Kit (Abcam ab105134), following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Liver triglycerides**

2% liver homogenates were prepared in a buffer (10 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA and 0.25 M sucrose, pH 7.5) by homogenising in a Tissue Lyser II (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Liver triglyceride content was then quantified using Triglyceride liquoric color mono from HUMAN Diagnostics (Wiesbaden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Cell treatments and gene expression**

Human HepG2, mouse Hepa 1-6 and rat Fao hepatoma cells at 75% confluency were incubated with a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 1.2 mM) coupled to FA-free Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Roche Applied Sciences). All fatty acid stocks were initially reconstituted in absolute ethanol. Sub-stocks of fatty acids at 25 mM were prepared in filter-sterilised KOH at 70 mM. Fatty acids were diluted in DMEM containing 3% FA-free
BSA to obtain the desired final concentrations. After treatment, cells were washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Lonza) and stored at -20°C for further analysis.

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol® Reagent (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng RNA using the iScript cDNA kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed with the CFX96 or CFX384 Touch™ Real-Time detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories), using a SensiMix™ (BioLine, London, UK) protocol for SYBR green reactions. Mouse 36b4 expression was used for normalization.

Liver slices

Precision cut liver slices were prepared from HilpdaΔhep and Hilpdafl/fl mice as described previously (28). Briefly, 5 mm cylindrical liver cores were obtained with a surgical biopsy punch and sectioned to 200 μm slices using a Krumdieck tissue slicer (Alabama Research and Development, Munford, AL, USA) filled with carbonated Krebs-Henseleit buffer (pH 7.4, supplemented with 25 mM glucose). At this time point, some liver slices were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for RNA isolation. The rest was incubated in William’s E Medium (Gibco, Paisley, Scotland) supplemented with pen/strep in 6-well plates at 37°C/5% CO₂/80% O₂ under continuous shaking (70 rpm). 3 liver slices were incubated per well. After 1 h, medium was replaced with either fresh William’s E Medium 1% BSA in the presence or absence of a mix of 0.8 mM oleic acid and 0.02 mM BODIPY FL C12 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Breda, Netherlands) for imaging, or William’s E Medium 1% BSA in the presence or absence of a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 0.8 mM) for RNA and protein isolation. After overnight incubation, liver slices were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in −80°C for RNA and protein isolation. Alternatively, liver slices were fixed for 1h in 3.7% formaldehyde, transferred into an 8-well removable chamber (ibidi, GmbH, Martinsried,
Germany) and coated with vectashield. Slices were imaged on a Leica TCS SP8 X confocal. BODIPY FL C12 was excited at 488 nm and detected using HyD in a spectral window of 505-550 nm.

**Primary Hepatocytes**

Buffers: Hanks:112 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.9 mM KH2PO4, 0.7 mM Na2HPO4.12H2O. Hanks I: Hanks supplemented with 25 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM D-glucose, 0.5 mM EGTA at pH 7.42. Hanks II: same as Hanks I with the addition of 5 mM CaCl2. Krebs: 25 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM D-glucose, 10 mM Hepes. Hepatocyte culture medium: Williams E without phenol red (Fisher) supplemented with Primary hepatocyte maintenance supplement (Fisher). All buffers were saturated with carbogen before use.

Primary hepatocytes were prepared from Hilpda<sup>Δhep</sup> and Hilpda<sup>flx/flx</sup> mice. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane. Livers were infused through the portal vein with Hanks buffer I for 10 min and with 100 mL of Hanks buffer II. Next, livers were infused with 200 mL Liver Digest Medium (Fisher). Livers were excised and washed in Krebs Buffer. Primary hepatocytes were passed through a 100 µm mesh and centrifuged at 450 rpm for 4 min at 4°C. Supernatant was discarded and cells were washed again in cold Krebs medium. Supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in hepatocyte culture medium with 5% FCS and seeded on collagen-coated 8-well µ-slide glass bottoms (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). After 2h medium was refreshed with hepatocyte culture medium with 10% FCS and left overnight. Next day, cells were treated with 20 µM Atglistatin (Sigma-Aldrich) or DMSO control and left overnight. Next morning, treatments were refreshed for 2h before adding a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 0.8 mM). Cells were lipid loaded for 6h and then fixed for 20 min in 3.7% paraformaldehyde. Lipid droplets were stained with 3 µg/mL BODIPY® 493/503 and mounted with vectashield for imaging. Cells were imaged on a Leica TCS SP8 X confocal.
BODIPY was excited at 488 nm and detected using HyD in a spectral window of 505-550 nm. Images were acquired 1024 × 1024 pixels with pinhole set at 1 airy unit (AU), pixel saturation was avoided. Images were processed and analyze with Fiji. Briefly, images were converted to binary images, watershed, and LD size and number was measured with particle analysis set 0.07 µm²-infinity.

**TG quantification in HepG2 cells**

HepG2 cells were seeded in 24-well plates. Next day, cells were transduced with Adenovirus-GFP (AV-Gfp) or Adenovirus-mHilpda (AV-Hilpda) at 5x10⁶ IFU/mL media in DMEM (Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Lonza) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza), from now on referred as complete DMEM, and left overnight. Recombinant adenoviruses were generated by cloning GFP or mouse Hilpda cDNA in human adenovirus type5 (dE1/E3). Expression regulated by CMV promoter. Viruses were produced and titrated by Vector Biolabs (Philadelphia, PA, USA). Cells were then incubated with DMEM 3% BSA and a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 1 mM) for 3 hours. Cells were washed twice with PBS and frozen in 25 mM Tris/HCl, 1mM EDTA, pH 7.5. TG quantification plates were thawed and a mixture of 4:1 tertiary butanol:methanol was added to cells, followed by an incubation of 10 minutes on a shaking platform. Plates were left to evaporate on a hot plate at 50°C. Next, 300 µL of triglyceride Liquicolor reagent (Human Diagnostics, Wiesbaden, Germany) was added to the cells and incubated for 10 minutes while shaking. 100 µL was transferred to a 96 well plate and absorption measured at 492 nm. A calibration curve of a standard solution was used to determine the TG content of the cells. The triglyceride content relative to protein content was then calculated. For protein quantification Pierce BCA kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used according to manufacturer’s protocol.
**LD count**

HepG2 and Hepa1-6 cells were plated on collagen-coated 8-well μ-slide glass bottoms (ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). The next day, cells were transduced with AV-Hilpda in complete DMEM at 5x10⁶ IFU/mL media and left overnight. HepG2 cells were incubated with a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 0.8 mM) for 8h to promote LD formation. Hepa 1-6 cells were incubated with 1 mM oleate:palmitate for 24h. Cells were washed with PBS, fixed for 15 min with 3.7% formaldehyde, stained with 3 μg/mL BODIPY® 493/503 and Hoechst for 45 min, and mounted with Vectashield-H (Vector Laboratories). Cells were imaged on a Leica confocal TCS SP8 X system equipped with a 63× 1.20 NA water-immersion objective lens. BODIPY® 493/503 was excited at 488 nm and fluorescence emission was detected using internal Hybrid (HyD) in a spectral window of 505nm - 578nm. Images were acquired 1024 × 1024 pixels with pinhole set at 1 AU, pixel saturation was avoided. Images were processed and analyse with Fiji. Briefly, images were converted to binary, watershed and LD size and number was measured with particle analysis set 0.07 μm²-infinity.

**Western blot**

Cell or tissue protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE on pre-cast 8-16% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using a Trans-Blot® Semi-Dry transfer cell (all purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories), blocked in non-fat milk and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody for HILPDA (1:750, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-137518), ACTIN (Cell Signaling Technology), ATGL (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), DGAT1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-271934) or HSP90 (1:5000, Cell Signaling Technology, #4874). Membranes were incubated with a secondary antibody (Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody, 7074, Cell
Signaling Technology) and developed using Clarity ECL substrate (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Images were captured with the ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Plasmid constructs**

Plasmids for *Plin2*, *Plin3*, *Gpat1*, *Gpat4*, *Dgat1*, *Dgat2* and *Hilpda* were constructed by fusing the full-length mouse cDNA into pEGFP-N2 (Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA) and substituting the EGFP sequence by the sequence of the fluorescent proteins (FP) mCherry, sYFP2 or mEGFP. Briefly, RNA from mouse WAT or liver was reverse transcribed with First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific) and amplified with Phusion High fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific) using gene-specific primers. The PCR products were cloned into pEGFP-N2 vector using the XhoI and KpnI-HF or NheI and BamHI (New England Biolabs Inc.) restriction enzyme sites. Afterwards, MAX Efficiency ® DH5α™ Competent Cells (Invitrogen) were transformed by heat-shock and grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates with kanamycin (Sigma-Aldrich). The vector was isolated using Qiagen plasmid maxi kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer instructions. The EGFP sequence was then excised from the pEGFP-N2 parent vector by enzyme digestion with KpnI-HF and NotI-HF. The vector was gel-purified with QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and the fragments of mCherry, sYFP2 or mEGFP were ligated into KpnI and NotI restriction enzyme site using T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific). For plasmids of mGPAT1 and mGPAT4 the original pEGFP-N2 plasmid was used.

**Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy**

HepG2 cells were plated on collagen coated 8 well μ-slide glass bottom (ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Next day cells were transfected with 750 ng of *Hilpda_sYFP2* complexed to polyethylenimine (PEI) (Polyscience Inc., PA, USA) in serum free medium. After 6h, the transfection medium was changed to DMEM 1% FA-free BSA with 0.8 mM OA and 15 μM
BODIPY C12 558/568. Cells were fixed after 18h lipid loading for 20 min in 3.7% PFA. Images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP8 STED microscope. A 100x 1.4 N.A. oil immersion objective was used in combination with a 5x optical zoom resulting in a pixel size of 23x23 nm. The pinhole was set at 0.9 AU and imaging speed at 700 Hz. For excitation of the fluorescent probes a white light laser line was used. HILPDA-sYFP2 and BODIPY-558 were excited at 470 nm and 558 nm respectively, and fluorescence emission was detected using HyD in a spectral window of 480-540 nm and 570-650 nm, respectively. The HILPDA-sYFP2 emission was partly depleted with the 592 depletion laser set at a 40% laser intensity with a power output of 1.3530 W. For both fluorophores the gating was set at 0.3-6.5 ns. Images were corrected for chromatic aberration and deconvolved using the Deconvolution Express modus in Huygens Professional Software (Scientific Volume Imaging B.V., Hilversum, the Netherlands).

**HILPDA and fluorescently labeled fatty acid colocalization**

HepG2 cells were plated on collagen coated 8 well µ-slide glass bottom (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Next day cells were transfected with Hilpda_mTurquoise2 plasmid complexed to PEI in serum-free DMEM. After 6 h, the medium was replaced by complete DMEM and left overnight. Cells were then incubated 16h with 0.6 mM oleate and 15 µM BODIPY C12 558/568 and next day for 20 min with QBT fatty acid uptake solution, which uses a BODIPY FL ®-dodecanoic acid fluorescent fatty acid analogue (BODIPY FL C12), prepared according to manufacturer’s protocol (Molecular Devices, California, USA). Cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 30 min, and mounted with vectashield (Molecular Devices, California, USA). Imaging was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 X system equipped with a 63x 1.20 NA water-immersion objective lens. Images were acquired sequentially 1024x1024 pixel scans with pinhole set at 1 AU. mTurquoise2 was excited at 440 nm and fluorescence emission was detected using internal HyD in a spectral window of 450-480 nm.
BODIPY C12 558/568 was excited at 561 nm and fluorescence emission was detected using internal Hybrid (HyD) in a spectral window of 570-620 nm. BODIPY FL C12 was excited at 488 nm and fluorescence emission was detected using internal HyD in a spectral window of 505-558 nm. During image acquisition, fluorescence bleed-through and pixel saturation were avoided. All images were deconvolved using Deconvolution Express modus with Huygens Essential version 18.10 (Scientific Volume Imaging, The Netherlands). Further images were process with ImageJ. Briefly, channels were split, the entire cell was selected as a ROI, colocalization threshold was used to obtain colocalized pixels image and Mander’s and Pearson’s was measured using Coloc2 plugin.

**2D Time Lapse**

HepG2 cells were seeded on 15 µ-8 well glass bottom slide (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany) and let grown overnight before transfection. Cells were transfected with 800 ng of mHilpda_mCherry plasmid complexed to polyethylenimine (PEI) (Polyscience Inc., PA, USA) in serum-free DMEM. After 6 h, the medium was replaced by complete medium. Next day cells were starved for 1h with HBSS 0.2% FA-free BSA. Medium was then replaced with QBT fatty acid uptake assay kit and after 4h incubation cells were imaged on a Leica TCS SP8 X system equipped with a 63x 1.20 NA water-immersion objective lens. Images were acquired sequentially using 512 x 512 pixels, and a total of 491 frames were acquired with a frame interval of ± 5 seconds. All images were deconvolved using Deconvolution Express modus with Huygens Essential version 18.10 (Scientific Volume Imaging, The Netherlands, http://svi.nl). Further images were processed with Fiji to assign different coloring LUTs for visualization.

**Lipophagy assay**

HepG2 cells were transduced with AV-GFP or AV-Hilpda in complete DMEM at 5x10^6 IFU/mL media and left overnight. Next day, HepG2 cells were incubated with a mixture of 8
mM oleate:palmitate (ratio 2:1) for 8h to promote LD formation. 2h before collection, cells were treated with lysosomal inhibitor cocktail 20 mM ammonium chloride and 100 uM leupeptin. Cells were then lysed in RIPA buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors, and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min.

**FRET-FLIM analysis**

FRET is a process in which the excitation energy is transferred from a donor fluorophore to an acceptor chromophore in very close proximity (<10 nm). FRET determined using FLIM is independent of protein concentration, but very sensitive to the local microenvironment of the fluorophores. In FRET-FLIM, the fluorescence lifetime of the donor molecule is reduced in the presence of a nearby acceptor molecule, because energy transfer to the acceptor will introduce an additional relaxation path from the excited to the ground state of the donor (34).

HepG2 cells were cultivated in complete DMEM (at standard conditions (37 °C, 5% CO2, 95% humidified atmosphere). Cells were seeded on a rat tail collagen coated (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany) 15 µ-8 well glass bottom slide (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany) and let to grow for 24h before transfection. Transfections were performed with 800 ng of single or 1600 ng of mixed plasmid DNA complexed to polyethylenimine (PEI) (Polyscience Inc., PA, USA) in serum-free DMEM. After 5 h, the medium was replaced by serum free DMEM supplemented with 1% fatty acid free BSA (Roche Applied Sciences) and a mixture of oleate and palmitate (ratio 2:1, total concentration 0.8 mM) and left overnight. For imaging, medium was replaced with FluoroBrite DMEM supplemented with 1% BSA and 0.8 mM fatty acid mix.

Colocalization imaging was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 X system equipped with a 63x 1.20 NA water-immersion objective lens. Images were acquired sequentially 512x512 pixels with pinhole set at 1 AU. mEGFP was excited at 488 nm and fluorescence emission was detected using internal HyD in a spectral window of 505-550 nm. mCherry was excited at 561 nm and detected using HyD in a spectral window of 580-650 nm. All images were deconvolved using
Deconvolution Express modus with Huygens Essential version 18.10 (Scientific Volume Imaging, The Netherlands, http://svi.nl). Further images were process with Fiji. Briefly, brightness and contrast levels were adjusted and images were merged.

Förster resonance energy transfer-Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FRET-FLIM) was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 X confocal microscope. Donor and acceptor (mEGFP and mCherry, respectively) molecules were excited using a 40 MHz tunable supercontinuum laser at 488 nm and 561 nm, respectively. Fluorescence emission was detected using HyD detectors with 100 ps time resolution and collected in a spectral window of 505-550 nm for the donor (mEGFP) and 580-650 nm for the acceptor (mCherry). The signal output from the HyD detector was coupled to an external time-correlated single photon counting module (Becker&Hickl) for acquiring FLIM data. Typical images had 256 x 256 pixels (pixel size ± 300 nm), and the analogue to digital converter (ADC) was set to 256 time channels and FLIM images were acquired by imaging for 120 seconds per image. From the time resolved fluorescence intensity images, the fluorescence decay curves were calculated for each pixel and fitted with a double-exponential decay model using the SPCImage v7.1 software (Becker & Hickl). Fitting was performed without fixing any parameters. FRET-FLIM analysis provided fluorescence intensity as well as false-colored fluorescence lifetime images. The raw data was subjected to the following criteria to analyze and omit false positive negatives in the fluorescence lifetime scoring: minimum photon count per pixel of 1000 photons, 2 component analysis, goodness of fit ($\chi^2<2$) and fluorescence lifetime range of 500–3500 ps. For data analysis, we set pixel binning at 1 to have sufficient number of photons per pixel required for accurate fluorescence lifetime analysis.
DGAT assay

Our protocol is a modification of the method described by McFie and Stone (23). HepG2 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of $4 \times 10^5$ cells/well or in 60x15mm round cell culture dishes at a cell density of $3.5 \times 10^6$ cells/dish in DMEM supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (PS). Next day, cells were transduced with AV-\textit{Gfp} or AV-\textit{Hilpda} at $5 \times 10^6$ PFU/mL medium. After 6h, the medium was changed to complete DMEM with 40 µM Atglistatin (3-(4’-(Dimethylamino)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-yl)-1,1-dimethylurea, Sigma-Aldrich) or control, and incubated overnight. Atglistatin is a specific high-affinity inhibitor of ATGL (22). For the samples treated with Atglistatin, Atglistatin was added again the next morning 2 h prior to cell lysate isolation. In addition, Atglistatin was added to the resuspension buffer during the fluorescence assay. Cells were detached with trypsin, washed, and resuspended in 100 µL of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)/250 mM sucrose buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Cells were disrupted by 20 passages through a 27-gauge needle. Prepared cell lysate samples were placed on a spinning wheel for 20 min at 4°C. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and used for the assay. Protein concentration was determined using a Pierce BCA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A master mix containing 20 µL of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 4 µL of 1 M MgCl2, 10 µL of 4 mM DOG (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 µL of 12.5 mg/mL BSA, 10 µL of 500 µM NBD-palmitoyl CoA (Avanti Polar Lipids), and 96 µL of water per reaction, was prepared. Volumes were scaled up proportionally to accommodate the desired number of reactions. The master mix was protected from direct light during the entire experiment by wrapping the glass test tubes in aluminium foil. Assays were performed in 13 × 100 mm glass KIMAX Test Tubes with Teflon Liner Caps (DWK Life Sciences, Kimble) in a final reaction volume of 250 µL. A master mix volume of 150 µL was aliquot per test tube, and tubes pre-incubated in a 37°C water bath for 2 min. The reaction was started by
adding 300 μg in 100 μL of protein sample and incubated at 37°C Shaking Water Bath (GFL Gesellschaft für Labortechnik mbH, Product No. 1086) for 30, 90 and/or 180 min with steady shaking at 60 rpm. For Atglistatin treatment, incubation was carried on for 180 min. The reaction was terminated by adding 4 mL CHCl₃/methanol (2:1, v/v) and 800 μL of water mixed by vortex. After 1 h, the test tubes containing samples were re-vortexed and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min to separate aqueous and organic phases. The upper aqueous phase was aspirated, and the organic phase dried under stream of nitrogen. To help the solvents evaporate faster, the test tubes were placed in a thermal block pre-warmed to 54°C. Lipids were finally resuspended in 50 μL CHCl₃/methanol (2:1) and stored at -20°C overnight. Samples were vortexed and re-centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 2 min, before being spot on channelled 20 × 20 cm TLC plates with pre-adsorbent silica gel HLF zone (Analtech). The TLC plates were developed in the solvent system containing hexane/ethyl ether/acetic acid (80:20:1, v/v/v). The plates were air dried for 1 h before quantification of reaction products.

The newly synthesized NBD-TG was analysed with a ChemiDocTM MP molecular imaging system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.), and fluorescence was quantified with Quantity One software 4.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The excitation and emission wavelengths of NBD are 465 nm and 535 nm, respectively. Extinction source UV Trans illumination and Standard Emission Filter, together with Application SYBER Green and Applied (UV Trans Orange) Flat Field, were used. Data is presented as arbitrary fluorescence intensity units.

**Microarray analysis**

Microarray analysis was performed on Hepa1-6 hepatoma cells incubated with different fatty acids. RNA was purified with RNeasy Minikit columns (Qiagen) and analysed for quality with RNA 6000 Nano chips on the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). One microgram of RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the First Strand
cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific). Purified RNA (100 ng) was labeled with the Ambion WT expression kit (Invitrogen) and hybridized to an Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.1 ST array plate (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Hybridization, washing, and scanning were carried out on an Affymetrix GeneTitan platform. Scans of the Affymetrix arrays were processed using packages from the Bioconductor project. Arrays were normalized using the robust multi-array average method (3, 19). Probe sets were defined by assigning probes to unique gene identifiers, e.g., Entrez ID (9). The total gene set (24,973 probe sets) was filtered to only include genes with mean signal > 20, yielding 10,379 genes. Microarray data were submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number pending).

**Statistical analysis**

Details of statistical analyses are given in the figure legends. Statistical analyses were carried out using an unpaired Student’s t test or two-way ANOVA. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

*Hilpda expression is induced by fatty acids in hepatoma cells*

To examine the regulation of *Hilpda* expression in hepatoma cells by fatty acids, we treated mouse Hepa1-6 cells for 6h with fatty acids from different classes: cis-unsaturated (oleate), trans-unsaturated (elaidate), or saturated (palmitate). Besides *Plin2*, *Hilpda* was one of the 65 genes that was induced at least 1.5 fold by palmitate (3.3 fold), oleate (1.5 fold) and elaidate (3.9 fold) (Figure 1a). The regulation of *Hilpda* strongly resembled the pattern observed for *Plin2* (Figure 1b). To further investigate the induction of *Hilpda* by fatty acids, we treated different hepatoma cell types with a mixture of oleate and palmitate. In mouse Hepa1-6, rat FAO, and human HepG2 cells, a mixture of oleate and palmitate significantly induced *Hilpda* mRNA, along with *Plin2* (Figure 1c). Similarly, oleate treatment significantly induced *Hilpda* mRNA in primary mouse hepatocytes (Figure 1d). These data indicate that *Hilpda* expression is induced by fatty acids in liver cells.

*HILPDA preferentially associates with newly synthesised lipid droplets and active lipid droplets*

Previously, HILPDA protein was shown to partly localize to LD and to the LD-ER interface (10, 11, 14, 20). To better zoom in on the intracellular localization of HILPDA, we used stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy, which generates images of very high spatial resolution (± 70 nm). HepG2 cells were transfected with HILPDA fused to sYFP2 and the LD were visualized by lipid loading the cells with a mix of oleate and BODIPY C12 558/568. Interestingly, while some HILPDA was observed around LD, most of the HILPDA was localized in the perinuclear area, presumably representing the ER, where triglyceride synthesis occurs (Figure 2a). Interestingly, many LD were not surrounded by HILPDA. Visualization of
the ER via co-transfection with pDsRed2-ER verified the partial localization of HILPDA in the ER (Figure 2b).

To examine the dynamics of the association of HILPDA with LD, we performed time-lapse fluorescence imaging in HepG2 cells transfected with HILPDA-sYFP2 and incubated with BODIPY FL C12 (Supplemental Video 1). Intriguingly, HILPDA was mainly present around LD that are being lipolyzed (disappear) and remodelled (form new LD). Little to no HILPDA was observed around stable LD. These data suggest a role of HILPDA in LD remodelling in liver cells.

To better characterize the functional role of HILPDA in LD homeostasis in hepatocytes, we transfected HepG2 cells with HILPDA fused to mTurquoise2 and treated the cells with two labelled fatty acids that could be visualized separately using different channels. One labelled fatty acid (BODIPY C12 558/568) was added for 16 hours, while the other labelled fatty acid (BODIPY FL C12) was added for 20 min, after which cells were fixed (Figure 2c). Colocalization was evaluated by Manders Colocalization Coefficients and Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Table 1). Manders Colocalization Coefficients are measurements of co-occurrence, which is the spatial overlap of two probes. Pearson Correlation Coefficient is a measurement of correlation, which evaluates the spatial overlap and signal proportionality. Analysis of the confocal images showed that HILPDA colocalizes almost entirely with the old and newly added fatty acids (M2: 96-97% respectively), and that the proportion of fatty acids that colocalized with HILPDA is greater for the newly added fatty acids than for the fatty acids added the day before (new M1:91% vs. old M1:80%). In line with this, the Pearson Correlation Coefficient was significantly higher for the newly added fatty acids than for the fatty acids added the day before (Table 1). A schematic depiction of the set-up and outcomes of the above experiments is presented in Figure 2d. These data indicate that HILPDA more strongly correlates with newly...
added fatty acids, which in turn may suggest that HILPDA preferentially colocalizes with newly synthesized triglycerides.

**HILPDA promotes lipid storage at least in part independently of ATGL**

Next, we further studied the functional role of HILPDA in liver cells by using precision cut liver slices and primary hepatocytes. These model systems were chosen because they both express very high levels of Hilpda compared to mouse liver (Figure 3a). Liver slices were prepared from Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} and Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} mice and incubated overnight with a mixture of oleate and palmitate, as well as with BODIPY FL C12, followed by visualization of the stored fatty acids using fluorescence confocal microscopy. Levels of Hilpda mRNA were about 60\% lower in Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} than Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} liver slices, and HILPDA protein levels were also markedly reduced (Figure 3b). Consistent with a stimulatory effect of HILPDA on lipid storage, hepatocyte-specific deficiency of Hilpda led to a marked reduction in BODIPY FL accumulation in LD (Figure 3c).

In a similar experiment, primary hepatocytes of Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} and Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} mice were incubated overnight with a mixture of oleate and palmitate, followed by visualization of lipid storage by BODIPY 493/503 staining and fluorescence confocal microscopy. Again, consistent with a stimulatory effect of HILPDA on lipid storage, LD were considerably smaller in Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} than Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} primary hepatocytes (Figure 3d). Quantification of the images revealed a significantly lower LD area in the Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} than Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} hepatocytes (Figure 3e). Previously, we and others found that HILPDA inhibits ATGL (24, 40). To investigate if the effect of loss of HILPDA on lipid storage in hepatocytes is mediated by hyperactivation of ATGL, we cotreated Hilpda\textsuperscript{\textDelta hep} and Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} hepatocytes with the ATGL inhibitor Atglistatin. While Atglistatin and Hilpda genotype significantly increased the LD area, no statistical interaction was observed between Atglistatin treatment and Hilpda genotype (Figure
3d,e), suggesting no functional interaction between ATGL and HILPDA. These data suggest that HILPDA promotes lipid storage at least partly via an ATGL-independent mechanism.

**HILPDA increases DGAT activity and DGAT1 levels**

To be able to further study the mechanism of action of HILPDA in liver cells, we switched to HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were transduced with an adenoviral vector expressing *Hilpda*, which effectively raised HILPDA protein levels (Figure 4a), and was associated with a significantly increase in triglyceride levels (Figure 4b). BODIPY staining confirmed a significant increase in LD in HepG2 transduced with AV-*Hilpda* (Figure 4c). Quantification analysis showed that AV-*Hilpda* significantly increased the volume of the LD in both HepG2 and Hepa1-6 cells (Figure 4d). These data indicate that HILPDA overexpression promotes lipid storage in HepG2 cells.

Based on the finding that HILPDA increases lipid accumulation partly independent of ATGL, we considered the possibility that HILPDA may target the lipophagy pathway (27). However, accumulation of the autophagosome marker LC3-II in presence of lysosomal inhibitors was comparable between control and AV-*Hilpda* cells indicating that increased LD content in AV-*Hilpda* cells is not due to stimulation of lipophagy (Figure 4e). Alternatively, we considered that HILPDA may promote the synthesis and/or storage of triglycerides. Interestingly, lipidomics indicated that AV-*Hilpda* significantly decreased levels of diacylglycerols (Figure 4f), but did not significantly affect levels of other major lipid species (data not shown). Accordingly, we hypothesized that HILPDA might stimulate the activity of diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT), which catalyzes the last and purportedly the rate-limiting step in the formation of triglycerides, using diacylglycerol and acyl-CoA as substrates. To determine a possible stimulatory effect of HILPDA on DGAT activity, we measured the synthesis of
fluorescently labelled triglycerides from fluorescent NBD-palmitoyl-CoA and 1,2 dioleoyl-sn-glycerol in lysates of HepG2 cells transduced with AV-Hilpda or AV-Gfp. Strikingly, the DGAT-mediated incorporation of fluorescent NBD-palmitoyl-CoA into triglycerides, as determined by quantification of TLC plates, was markedly increased by HILPDA overexpression (Figure 4g). This increase in triglyceride synthesis in HepG2 cells was unaltered in the presence of Atglistatin, suggesting it is independent of ATGL. DGAT activity is catalyzed by two different isozymes: DGAT1 and DGAT2 (2). Whereas DGAT2 has a preference for endogenously synthesized fatty acids, DGAT1 mainly esterifies exogenous fatty acids to diacylglycerol (26, 33, 34). Inasmuch as HILPDA overexpression did not increase triglyceride accumulation in the absence of exogenous fatty acids (Figure 4b), we focused our studies on DGAT1. Induction of DGAT activity in HepG2 cells by HILPDA overexpression was accompanied by a significant increase in DGAT1 protein levels (Figure 4h). This effect was not associated with any change in mRNA levels of DGAT1, DGAT2 or other relevant proteins (Figure 4i), and was independent of ATGL activity (Figure 4j). Consistent with these data, AAV-mediated HILPDA overexpression, which was previously shown to promote fatty liver (21) increased DGAT1 protein levels in mouse liver (Figure 4k). These data suggest that HILPDA may promote triglyceride storage by raising DGAT1 protein and activity.

**HILPDA physically interacts with DGAT1**

To investigate if HILPDA may physically interact with DGAT1 in cells, we performed FRET quantified by FLIM. In live HepG2 cells transfected with HILPDA-mEGFP and DGAT1-mCherry, HILPDA partially colocalized with DGAT1 (Figure 5a). Because confocal microscopy is diffraction limited to ~250 nm, our colocalization results do not directly demonstrate that HILPDA and DGAT1 are physically interacting. To determine protein
interactions, we performed FRET quantified by FLIM. The mean fluorescence lifetime of the donor fluorophore HILPDA-EGFP was significantly decreased by the presence of the acceptor fluorophore DGAT1-mCherry compared to the donor fluorescence lifetime in the absence of acceptor (Figure 5b-c). This result indicates that HILPDA and DGAT1 are in very close proximity, demonstrating a direct interaction between these two proteins. Transfection of HepG2 cells with HILPDA-mEGFP and DGAT2-mCherry showed that HILPDA also partially colocalizes with DGAT2 (Figure 5d). As for DGAT1, the mean fluorescence lifetime of the donor HILPDA-EGFP was significantly decreased upon co-transfection of the acceptor DGAT2-mCherry (Figure 5e-f) compared to the donor fluorescence lifetime in the absence of acceptor. These data demonstrate that HILPDA is able to physically interact with both DGAT1 and DGAT2. By contrast, although GPAT4-EFGP and HILPDA-mCherry showed substantial colocalization, FRET-FLIM analysis did not reveal a significant change in donor lifetime, indicating that these proteins do not interact (Supplemental figure 1a-c). Also, no significant change in donor lifetime was observed for HILPDA-mEGFP in combination with PLIN3-mCherry or GPAT1-EGFP in combination with HILPDA-mCherry (Supplemental figure 1d). Finally, HILPDA-mEGFP did not colocalize with PLIN2-mCherry (Figure 5g-h).

We repeated the FRET-FLIM experiments in fixed HepG2 and obtained similar outcomes. Specifically, co-expression of HILPDA-mEGFP with DGAT1-mCherry (Supplemental figure 2a-c) and DGAT2-mCherry (Supplemental figure 2d-f) led to a significant reduction in donor fluorescence lifetime. Collectively, these data indicate that HILPDA physically interacts with DGAT1 and DGAT2, but not with any of the other proteins studied.

HILPDA deficiency modestly decreases liver triglyceride storage in mice with NASH
Finally, we studied the role of HILPDA in hepatic triglyceride storage in mice. Previously, it was found that hepatocyte-specific deficiency of HILPDA reduced hepatic triglyceride levels under chow-fed conditions, although not after chronic high fat feeding (11). Since *Hilpda* mRNA and protein expression in liver are induced by fasting (11, 21), we hypothesized that HILPDA may contribute to the elevation in liver triglycerides in the fasted state. Interestingly, we found that the association of HILPDA with lipid droplet in mouse liver was reduced by fasting (Figure 6a). The fractionation of the liver was confirmed by immunoblot of marker genes, showing the pronounced activation of autophagy by fasting in the LD fraction (Supplemental figure 3). To study the role of HILPDA in hepatic triglyceride storage during fasting, we determined the effect of hepatocyte-specific HILPDA deficiency in mice in the fasted or refed state. Hepatocyte-specific HILPDA-deficient mice were generated by crossing *Hilpda* flox/flox mice with mice expressing Cre-recombinase driven by the albumin promoter. Although *Hilpda* expression was significantly lower in *Hilpda*Δhep compared to *Hilpda* flox/flox mice (Figure 6b), the magnitude of the reduction in *Hilpda* mRNA was relatively modest (about 50% in fasted state). No compensatory changes in expression of *G0s2* was observed (Figure 6b). Also, expression levels of *Pnpla2, Dgat1, Dgat2,* and *Plin3* were not significantly different between *Hilpda*Δhep and *Hilpda* flox/flox mice (Figure 6c). Bodyweight and liver weight were similar in *Hilpda*Δhep and *Hilpda* flox/flox, regardless of nutritional status (Figure 6d). Importantly, liver triglyceride levels were not significantly different between *Hilpda*Δhep and *Hilpda* flox/flox mice (Figure 6e), nor were there any differences in lipid storage based on oil red O (Figure 6f) and H&E staining (Figure 6g). Plasma triglyceride, cholesterol, non-esterified fatty acids, and glucose levels were also not significantly different between the two genotypes, regardless of nutritional status (Figure 6h). Together, our data do not support a role for HILPDA in *in vivo* hepatic lipid metabolism in the fasted or refed state.
A possible reason for the lack of an effect of HILPDA deficiency on liver triglycerides is the low Hilpda expression in liver. To identify conditions where deficiency of HILPDA may be expected to have a larger effect, we screened mouse liver transcriptome data for upregulation of Hilpda. Interestingly, hepatic Hilpda mRNA levels were increased during non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) caused by feeding mice a methionine and choline deficient diet (Figure 7a, based on GSE35961). Accordingly, we hypothesized that the effect of HILPDA deficiency may be more pronounced during NASH. To induce NASH, Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice were fed a high-fat diet deficient in methionine and choline for 11 weeks (HF\(mcd\)), using a low-fat (LF) diet as control. After 11 weeks, hepatic expression of Hilpda was significantly higher in mice fed the HF\(mcd\) than the LF diet, and was significantly lower in Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep than in Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice, which was accompanied by a compensatory increase in G0s2 mRNA (Figure 7b). Mice fed HF\(mcd\) were significantly lighter than the mice fed LFD, but no differences were observed between the genotypes (Figure 7c). Similarly, weight of the epididymal fat pad was significantly lower in the mice fed HF\(mcd\), but no differences were observed between Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice (Figure 7d). Intriguingly, in mice fed HF\(mcd\) but not the LF diet, the weight of the liver was modestly but significantly lower in Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep than in Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice (Figure 7e). Consistent with a stimulatory effect of HILPDA on liver fat, hepatic triglyceride levels were modestly but significantly lower in Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep compared to Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice, both on the HF\(mcd\) and LF diet (Figure 7f).

HILPDA deficiency did not have any significant effect on mRNA levels of Pnpla2, Dgat1, Dgat2, and Plin3 (Figure 7g). Also, despite a marked induction by HF\(mcd\) of the expression of macrophage/inflammatory markers Cd68 and Ccl2, and fibrosis markers Timp1 and Colla1, no significant differences were observed between Hilpda\(^{\Delta}\)hep and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice (Figure 7g). Histological analysis by H&E (Figure 7h) and Syrius Red (Figure 7i) staining indicated that mice fed HF\(mcd\) exhibited classical features of NASH, including ballooning, inflammation,
steatosis, and fibrosis. However, no clear and consistent differences were visible between
\( \text{Hilpda}^{\Delta \text{hep}} \) and \( \text{Hilpda}^{\text{flox/flox}} \) mice. By contrast, and in agreement with the liver triglyceride levels, plasma ALT levels were modestly but significantly lower in \( \text{Hilpda}^{\Delta \text{hep}} \) compared to \( \text{Hilpda}^{\text{flox/flox}} \) mice, both on the HFmed and LF diet (Figure 7j). Finally, no significant differences in plasma cholesterol, triglycerides and glucose were observed between \( \text{Hilpda}^{\Delta \text{hep}} \) and \( \text{Hilpda}^{\text{flox/flox}} \) mice on either diet (Figure 7k). Overall, these data indicate that hepatocyte-specific HILDPA deficiency causes a modest decrease in hepatic triglyceride storage, liver weight, and plasma ALT levels, without having a clear impact on features of NASH and various metabolic parameters.
DISCUSSION

The purpose of this research was to better define the role and mechanism of action of HILPDA in liver cells. Consistent with previous studies (11, 21), we found that HILPDA stimulates lipid storage in hepatocytes. Interestingly, HILPDA mainly associates with active LD that are being remodelled. The stimulation of lipid storage by HILPDA appears to be independent of ATGL and lipophagy. Instead, HILPDA directly interacts with DGAT enzymes, stimulates DGAT activity, and increases DGAT1 protein levels. Our data suggest a mechanism accounting for the elevation in lipid storage in hepatocytes overexpressing HILPDA and for the decrease in hepatic triglyceride levels and plasma ALT levels in hepatocyte-specific HILPDA-deficient mice.

We and others previously showed that similar to the homologous G0S2 protein, HILPDA inhibits ATGL via a direct physical interaction, leading to suppression of triglyceride hydrolysis (24, 40). In macrophages and cancer cells, the stimulatory effect of HILPDA on lipid storage was almost entirely dependent on ATGL, suggesting that ATGL is the primary target of HILPDA in certain cell types (31, 32, 40). By contrast, in hepatocytes, even though ATGL inhibition effectively increased lipid storage, the stimulatory effect of HILPDA on lipid storage was independent of ATGL. In adipocytes, physiological levels of HILPDA do not seem to have an impact on lipolysis, although at supra-physiological levels, HILPDA was able to reduce ATGL protein levels and inhibit lipolysis (10, 12). These data strongly suggest that HILPDA not only interacts with ATGL but also with other proteins involved in triglyceride turnover. These different interactions are likely cell type-specific. In fact, HILPDA may be part of a larger triglyceride turnover complex (“lipolysome”) that includes enzymes involved in triglycerides synthesis and triglyceride breakdown, including ATGL, as well as regulatory proteins such as ABHD5 and G0S2 (2, 8, 38).
As alluded to above, HILPDA and G0S2 share extensive homology, and both proteins are able to inhibit ATGL. Recently, evidence was provided that G0S2 not only suppresses lipolysis but also promotes triglyceride synthesis by carrying GPAT (LPAAT/AGPAT) enzymatic activity (41). Given the very small size of HILPDA (63 amino acids), it is unlikely that HILPDA can function as a fatty acid esterification enzyme. Rather, our data suggest that HILPDA serves as a small-protein activator of the DGAT1 enzyme. DGAT1 has been found to mediate esterification of exogenous fatty acids and fatty acids released from LD (7, 33). Interestingly, we observed that HILPDA localizes with active lipid droplets that are being lipolyzed (disappear) and remodelled (form new LD). In addition, we found that HILPDA directly interacts with DGAT1, increases DGAT1 protein levels, and stimulates DGAT activity. These data are most compatible with a model in which HILPDA functions as a stabilizer of DGAT1, reducing the rate of DGAT1 degradation. Currently, very little is known about DGAT1 degradation, although in mouse adipocytes there is evidence for posttranscriptional regulation of DGAT1 protein (37). Interestingly, DGAT2 is ubiquitinated and degraded by the 26S proteasome (4). Whether DGAT1 is degraded via similar mechanisms is unclear. Future studies will have to further clarify the precise molecular mechanism underlying the increase in DGAT1 protein by HILPDA overexpression.

In this paper, we show that deficiency of HILPDA in mouse liver did not influence hepatic triglyceride storage in the refeed and fasted state, but caused a modest reduction in triglyceride storage after inducing NASH. Previous studies found that HILPDA deficiency did not significantly influence hepatic triglyceride levels in mice fed chow or a high fat diet (11). The reason for the divergent results is unclear but could be related to the different types of diets used. In any case, the magnitude of the effect of HILPDA deficiency on hepatic triglyceride levels in mice was small, which is likely explained by the low expression of Hilpda in mouse
liver. By contrast, raising liver HILPDA levels by adeno-associated virus markedly elevates triglyceride storage (21).

Whereas HILPDA deficiency only had a modest effect on triglyceride storage in mouse liver, deficiency of HILPDA markedly reduced lipid storage in primary hepatocytes. This finding is consistent with the much higher Hilpda expression in primary hepatocytes compared to mouse liver. Accordingly, the effect of HILPDA deficiency and overexpression seem to depend on the baseline Hilpda expression. Whereas the role of HILPDA in normal mouse liver appears to be limited, specific physiological, pathological, and pharmacological stimuli may elevate HILPDA levels, thereby rendering HILPDA more important.

Currently, hardly anything is known about HILPDA in human liver. If the expression level of HILPDA in human liver is sufficiently high, inactivation of HILPDA could in theory be a promising strategy to treat non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Whether NAFLD is associated with a change in the expression of HILPDA in human liver is unknown. Because loss-of-function variants in HILPDA would be expected to lead to reduced hepatic lipid storage, HILPDA is unlikely to emerge from any genome-wide association screens on NAFLD. Using multiple tools, we searched for SNP missense variants in the protein-coding region of the HILPDA gene. We identified several missense variants, a number of which was predicted to have a negative impact on protein structure. All of the identified missense variants are rare or very rare with MAF<0.1%. Accordingly, human genetic studies are unlikely to clarify the role of HILPDA in human liver.

In our study, expression of Hilpda in liver cells was induced by fatty acids. This finding is consistent with the very sensitive regulation of Hilpda by fatty acids in macrophages and with Hilpda being a target of the PPAR transcription factors (20, 21, 31). The marked upregulation of Hilpda by fatty acids is likely part of a feed forward mechanism to properly dispose of the
fatty acids by promoting their storage as triglycerides, either by activating the last step in triglyceride synthesis and/or inhibiting the first step in triglyceride breakdown (Figure 8).

In conclusion, our data indicate that HILPDA physically interacts with DGAT1 and increases DGAT activity and DGAT1 protein levels, which may underlie the stimulatory effect of HILPDA on lipid storage in hepatocytes.
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### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BODIPY C12 558/568</th>
<th>BODIPY C12 FL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Pearson’s R value</td>
<td>0.50±0.23</td>
<td>0.76±0.09***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Manders M1</td>
<td>0.80±0.16</td>
<td>0.91±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Manders M2</td>
<td>0.96±0.07</td>
<td>0.97±0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=10

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>FRET efficiency (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLIN2</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-3.59 – 3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLIN3</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>-1.17 – 3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGAT1</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>4.25 – 11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGAT2</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.29 – 5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPAT1</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-3.68 – 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPAT4</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>-2.59 – 0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Hilpda expression is induced by fatty acids in various hepatoma cell lines. a) Venn diagram of upregulated genes (fold change > 1.5) in murine Hepa1-6 hepatoma cells treated with different fatty acids (500 µM) for 6h. b) Relative changes in Hilpda and Plin2 mRNA in Hepa1-6 cells treated with different fatty acids (500 µM) for 6h. c) Relative changes in Hilpda and Plin2 mRNA in Hepa1-6, Fao and HepG2 hepatoma cells treated for 24h with a 2:1 mixture of oleate and palmitate (total concentration 1.2 mM). d) Hilpda mRNA expression in wildtype mouse primary hepatocytes treated with oleate (500 µM) for 24h. Bar graphs are presented as mean ±SD. Asterisk indicates significantly different from control-treated cells according to Student’s t test; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
Figure 2: HILPDA is primarily localized to the perinuclear area and preferentially associated with new fat. a) STED microscopy of HepG2 cells transfected with HILPDA fused to sYFP2 and lipid loaded with 0.8 mM oleate and 15 µM BODIPY C12 558/568 for 18h. λ<sub>ex</sub>: 470 nm (YFP) and 558 nm (BODIPY-558/568). λ<sub>em</sub>: 480-540 nm (sYFP2) and 570-650 nm (BODIPY 558/568). Left panel: HILPDA-sYFP2; middle panel: BODIPY-558, right panel: overlay. b) HepG2 cells cotransfected with HILPDA fused to Turquoise2 and with ER marker pDsRed2-ER (ClonTech) followed by incubation with 0.8mM oleate overnight. Left panel: HILPDA-Turquoise2; middle panel: ER marker pDsRed2-ER, right panel: overlay. c) Confocal microscopy of HepG2 cells transfected with HILPDA fused to Turquoise2 and lipid loaded with 0.6 mM oleate and 15 µM BODIPY C12 558/568 for 16h, followed by incubation for 20 min with BODIPY FL C12 and fixed with 3.7% PFA. λ<sub>ex</sub>: 440 nm (mTurquoise2), 561 nm (BODIPY 558/568), and 488 nm (BODIPY FL). λ<sub>em</sub>: 450-480 nm (mTurquoise2), 570-620 nm (BODIPY 558/568), and 505-558 nm (BODIPY FL). Colocalized pixels of HILPDA and Fluorescent fatty acids are represented on gray scale, higher colocalization is depicted with lighter pixels; non-colocalized HILPDA pixels are coloured green; whereas non-colocalized fluorescent fatty acid pixels are coloured red. d) Schematic depiction of the set-up and outcomes of the above experiments.
Figure 3: HILPDA stimulates lipid droplet formation partly independently of ATGL. a) Relative Hilpda mRNA levels in mouse precision cut liver slices, mouse primary hepatocytes, and mouse liver. b) HILPDA protein levels (top panel) and relative Hilpda mRNA levels (lower panel) in liver slices prepared from Hilpda\(^{\text{Ahep}}\) and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice. Bar graphs are presented as mean ±SD. Asterisk indicates significantly different from Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice according to Student’s t test; *P < 0.05. c) Confocal microscopy of liver slices prepared from Hilpda\(^{\text{Ahep}}\) and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice and incubated overnight with 800 µM oleate and 20 µM BODIPY FL C12. \(\lambda_{\text{ex}}\): 488nm, \(\lambda_{\text{em}}\): 550-595 nm. d) BODIPY 493/503 staining of primary hepatocytes prepared from Hilpda\(^{\text{Ahep}}\) and Hilpda\(^{\text{flox/flox}}\) mice and incubated overnight with 0.8 mM oleate:palmitate mix (2:1) acid in the presence or absence of Atglistatin (20 µM). \(\lambda_{\text{ex}}\): 488nm, \(\lambda_{\text{em}}\): 550-595 nm. e) Quantification of the lipid droplet area. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant effects for Atglistatin (p<0.001) and genotype (p<0.001), but not for an interaction effect.
Figure 4: HILPDA promotes LD storage and increases DGAT1 levels in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were transduced with AV-Hilpda, AV-GFP, or non-transduced and treated with oleate:palmitate (2:1 ratio). a) HILPDA protein levels. b) Triglyceride content in cells incubated with serum free DMEM or 3h in 1 Mm oleate:palmitate. c) GFP fluorescence and BODIPY 493/503 staining. d) Quantification of LD size in HepG2 treated with 0.8mM oleate:palmitate for 8h and Hepa 1-6 cells treated with 1mM for 24h. e) LC3-I and LC3-II protein levels in HepG2 cells lipid loaded with 0.8mM oleate:palmitate for 8h, in the presence and absence of lysosomal inhibitors cocktail. f) Total DAG levels as determined by lipidomics in HepG2 cells incubated with 0.8mM oleate:palmitate for 5h. g) DGAT activity in HepG2 cells the presence and absence of the ATGL inhibitor Atglistatin. h) DGAT1 and HILPDA protein levels. i) mRNA levels of selected genes. j) DGAT1 protein levels in presence and absence of Atglistatin. j) DGAT1 and HILPDA protein levels in livers of mice infected with AAV-Gfp or AAV-Hilpda (21).
HepG2 cells were transfected with HILPDA_mEGFP and DGAT1_mCherry or DGAT2_mCherry under lipid loaded conditions. Microscopy was carried out on live cells. a) HILPDA_EGFP and mDGAT1_mCherry partially colocalize in HepG2 cells. b) Fluorescence lifetime (τ) of HILPDA_EGFP in absence and presence of acceptor DGAT1_mCherry. c) Intensity image and LUT coloured lifetime image from red (1300 ps) to blue (2800 ps) from HILPDA_EGFP lifetime (τ) in the absence (left) or presence (right) of DGAT1_mCherry indicating where interaction occurs. d) HILPDA_EGFP and DGAT2_mCherry partially colocalize in HepG2 cells. e) Fluorescence lifetime (τ) of HILPDA_EGFP in absence and presence of acceptor DGAT2_mCherry. f) Intensity image and LUT coloured lifetime image from red (1300 ps) to blue (2800 ps) from HILPDA_EGFP lifetime (τ) in the absence (left) or presence (right) of DGAT2_mCherry indicating where interaction occurs. g) HILPDA_EGFP and PLIN2_mCherry do not colocalize in HepG2 cells. h) Fluorescence lifetime (τ) of HILPDA_EGFP in absence and presence of acceptor PLIN2_mCherry. Asterisk indicates significantly different from donor only according to Student’s t test; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
Figure 6: Effect of hepatocyte-specific HILPDA deficiency in fasted and refed mice. a) Enrichment of HILPDA in the lipid droplet fraction of fasted and fed wildtype livers. b) Hilpda and G0s2 mRNA levels in livers of fasted and refed Hilpda\textsuperscript{Δhep} and Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} mice. c) mRNA levels of various LD-associated proteins. d) Body and liver weight. e) Liver triglyceride levels. f) Oil Red O staining. g) H&E staining. h) Plasma levels of various metabolites. Data are mean ± SEM; N=9-13 mice/group. Asterisk indicates significantly different from Hilpda\textsuperscript{flox/flox} mice according to Student’s t test; *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001.
Figure 7: Effect of hepatocyte-specific HILPDA deficiency in mice with NAFLD. a) Upregulation of hepatic Hilpda mRNA by methionine and choline-deficient diet (GSE35961). b) Hilpda and G0s2 mRNA levels in livers of Hilpda<sup>Δhep</sup> and Hilpda<sup>floxflox</sup> mice fed a low fat diet (LF) or high fat diet deficient in methionine and choline (HFmcd). c) Body weight. d) Gonadal adipose tissue weight. e) Liver weight. f) Liver triglyceride levels. g) mRNA levels of various LD-associated proteins, inflammatory markers, and fibrosis markers. h) H&E staining. i) Syrius Red staining. j) Plasma ALT levels. k) Plasma levels of various metabolites. Data are mean ± SEM; N=12 mice/group. Asterisk indicates significantly different from Hilpda<sup>floxflox</sup> mice according to Student’s t test; *P < 0.05; **P<0.01; ***P < 0.001.
Figure 8: Role of HILPDA in lipid metabolism in cells. Fatty acids increase HILPDA levels in cells via a transcriptional mechanism. Induction of HILPDA suppresses lipolysis via inhibition of ATGL and induces triglyceride synthesis via activation of DGAT. Induction of HILPDA by fatty acids represents a feed forward mechanism to ensure the efficient storage of excess fatty acids.
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