How to make a dial not a switch: Control of histidine kinase conformation by an ABC-transporter facilitates need-based activation of antibiotic resistance

Alan Koh[1,2], Marjorie J. Gibbon[1,2], Marc W. Van der Kamp[3], Christopher R. Pudney[1], Susanne Gebhard[1,2]*

[1]Department of Biology and Biochemistry, [2]Milner Centre for Evolution, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom; [3]School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol, BS8 1TD, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author. Phone: +44 1225 386421; E-mail: s.gebhard@bath.ac.uk

Running title: Kinase control by an ABC-transporter

Keywords: Signal transduction, flux-sensing, antimicrobial peptide, cell envelope stress

ABSTRACT

Bacteria exert close control over gene expression to ensure optimal physiological responses to their environment, balancing metabolic costs against physiological gain. Classically we consider such regulatory responses as being switch-like, with gene expression on or off. Is it possible for such responses to be more analog and act as graded dials rather than digital switches? If it is, how might this work? We previously described a novel regulatory logic that produces an analog response, where the signaling output is gradually dialed up over an input dynamic range of several orders of magnitude. This extraordinarily fine-tuned response is achieved via a two-component regulatory system that forms a sensory complex with an ABC-transporter, where signaling is proportional to transport activity. The resulting gradual tuning of gene expression leads to a very cost-effective activation of antibiotic resistance in response to the cell's need for detoxification capacity. Here, we show how such analog signaling behavior can be achieved mechanistically. Using experimentation and computation we show that the histidine kinase within the sensory complex is activated by piston-like displacements in the membrane, which are converted to helical rotations in the catalytic core via an intervening HAMP-like domain. Intriguingly, the transporter was found not only to be required for kinase activation, but also to actively maintain the kinase in its inactive state in the absence of the drug. Such slaving of kinase activity to that of the transporter will allow the level of complete control required to achieve transport flux-dependent analog signaling. Moreover, we show that the transporter has evolved for sub-maximal signaling sensitivity, highlighting yet another layer of fine-tuning and energy-conservation in signaling. These results provide the first mechanistic overview of the design principles of an analog dial, which has importance in energy-efficient decision making in bacteria more broadly, and antibiotic resistance and synthetic biology more specifically.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria have exquisite abilities to physiologically respond to environmental conditions to ensure their survival and proliferation. Decision-making has to balance the benefits of a particular response against the metabolic cost of the associated changes in gene expression. To achieve this, bacteria employ regulatory systems that can detect extracellular or intracellular information and modulate the expression of a defined set of target genes. In the simplest case, regulation is dependent on the presence or absence of a ligand, and this is often thought of as a digital response, where gene expression is either switched ON or OFF. However, some regulatory systems display a much more analog behavior, where the output is gradually dialed up in response to the strength of the input information. While it is straightforward to explain digital switch behavior, e.g. DNA-binding of a regulator in the presence of a ligand, it is much harder to envision what molecular mechanisms may realize a gradated, analog response.

We previously described a new sensory strategy that produces an unprecedented degree of fine-tuning, with three orders of magnitude of output modulation over an input dynamic range of three orders of magnitude [1]. This regulatory logic is achieved by a two-component system whose histidine kinase works in a sensory complex with an ABC-transporter [1,2] (Fig. 1). Such systems are wide-spread among low G+C content Gram-positive bacteria, such as bacilli, lactobacilli, staphylococci, streptococci, enterococci and clostridia [3-8]. They are collectively referred to as Bce-like systems and impart resistance against a broad range of cell wall-active peptide antibiotics [9,10]. Based on computational modelling of the response dynamics, we have established that signaling is proportional to transport activity [1]. Because the transporter mediates the actual resistance, presumably by removal of the antibiotic from its target in the cell envelope [1,11-13], increased transporter production acts a negative feedback

Figure 1. Function of the BceRS-BceAB resistance system of *B. subtilis.* BceS and its domains are shown in shades of pink and blue, with the HAMP-like domain in dark pink, and DHp domain in dark blue; BceAB is in green with the 8th transmembrane helix marked in dark green. The left section of the schematic shows the system in its inactive (OFF) state; the right shows the active (ON) state in the presence of antibiotics, exemplified by bacitracin. ATP hydrolysis reactions and antibiotic removal are indicated by grey arrows; for simplicity ATP hydrolysis is only shown for one ATPase each. The motions of kinase domains upon activation are shown as red block arrows.

on signaling, which creates the characteristic gradual, fine-tuned response [1]. This flux-

sensing mechanism effectively allows the bacterium to adjust its response according to its

need for additional detoxification capacity, implementing a cost-effective 'produce-to-

demand' strategy. However, the molecular mechanism of this new signaling strategy, i.e. how

the histidine kinase is activated within the sensory complex and how this creates the observed

analog response behavior, remains unknown.

Histidine kinases typically relay extracellular information to the cytoplasm via

autophosphorylation at a conserved histidine residue. The phosphoryl group is then

transferred to a cytoplasmic response regulator, usually a DNA-binding protein that elicits

changes in gene expression [14]. Despite their importance in bacterial signaling, we still have

limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which histidine kinases transmit

information through the cytoplasmic membrane. This is in part due to the modular

architecture of the kinases, which allows a plethora of different input domains to be coupled

to a conserved catalytic core. The core consists of a DHp (also known as HisKA) domain that

facilitates dimerization and histidine phosphotransfer, and a C-terminal ATPase domain [14].

The extracellular input and cytoplasmic core domains are separated by transmembrane

segments and usually at least one cytoplasmic signal transfer domain (e.g. HAMP or PAS

domains) [14,15]. While we still have no knowledge of the complete set of conformational

changes during transmembrane signaling by histidine kinases, recent studies of domains in

isolation or in context with an adjacent domain suggest a combination of rotating and

scissoring motions and piston-like helical displacements [15-18].

Most histidine kinases respond directly to an environmental cue via their input domains, but

some are controlled by accessory proteins, which are often transporters [19,20]. The majority of

transporters with a signaling role act as repressors of kinase activity, and deletion of the

transporter leads to constitutive activation of signaling [21-23]. While the molecular mechanisms

are unknown, it is conceivable that such transporters act as a physical block on their

respective kinases, and that this block is disrupted during active transport, allowing signaling

to proceed. In the flux-sensing Bce-like systems, however, the histidine kinases fully rely on

their cognate transporters for activation, and remain inactive when the transporter is deleted

[9,24]. Moreover, implementing the reported flux-sensing strategy requires kinase activity to be

directly proportional to transport activity [1]. To our knowledge, no information is available on

how accessory proteins may achieve such positive and precise control over a histidine kinase.

In the present study, we sought to understand the mechanistic basis of histidine kinase

activation in the Bce system of *Bacillus subtilis* and how this links to the system's analog

signaling behavior. Through computational predictions, detailed mutagenesis and cysteine

cross-linking and accessibility analyses, we provide evidence that the kinase BceS is

activated via helical rotations in the DHp domain, accompanied by a piston movement in the

second transmembrane domain. Physical motions are most likely relayed via a poorly-

conserved intervening HAMP-like domain. To our knowledge this is the first report of a

complete set of conformational changes *in vivo* during activation of a membrane-bound

histidine kinase. Artificial activation of the kinase through charge substitutions in the DHp

domain revealed that the transporter not only acts as the activator of the kinase, but also

controls its inactive state. We propose it is this complete control over kinase conformation

that slaves its activity to that of the transporter. Surprisingly, mutagenesis of the putative

signaling domain of the transporter, BceAB, highlighted that the system has not evolved for

maximal signaling sensitivity, which likely represents an additional layer of fine-tuning and

minimizing energy expenditure in control of antibiotic resistance.

RESULTS

Generation and validation of a BceS homology model. Analysis of the domain architecture of BceS using SMART [25] showed two N-terminal transmembrane helices, predicted to end at residue F55, and a prototypical cytoplasmic kinase core with a DHp domain from residues E115 to I172 and ATPase domain from S216 to N326. The 60 amino acid linker between the transmembrane and DHp domains contained no predicted domains. A recent NMR structure of the homologous kinase NsaS from *Staphylococcus aureus* reported the existence of a coiled-coil conformation in this region of the protein [17]. However, with 23 amino acids the linker in NsaS is much shorter than that in BceS. To investigate the cytoplasmic composition of BceS in more detail, we used I-TASSER [26] to predict the tertiary structure of the linker region and DHp domain (residues R56 to N174; Fig. 2a). The closest structural analog was the hybrid kinase Af1503-EnvZ from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* and *E. coli*, respectively (PDB 3ZRW). BceS showed the typical DHp domain fold of two antiparallel helices (DHp1 and DHp2), joined by a short loop. In the functional kinase dimer, this will form the four-helix bundle characteristic for most histidine kinases [14] (Fig.2c). Interestingly, the linker between the membrane interface and DHp domain adopted a HAMP-like conformation with two short parallel helices (HAMP1 and HAMP2), where HAMP2 continues into DHp1 as a single continuous helix (Fig. 2a). The relevance of this domain, which had not been predicted in previous analyses, is discussed in more detail below.

To test the accuracy of the homology model, we probed the relative orientation of helices within the DHp domain four-helix bundle, based on proximity of individual residues, using oxidative cysteine crosslinking. These experiments were done *in vivo* using cells deleted for the native copy of *bceS* and carrying a single-copy ectopic construct for expression of *bceS* with a C-terminal His$_8$-tag, allowing slight over-production of the kinase to facilitate

Figure 2: BceS DHp domain forms a four-helix bundle. a, Homology model of the BceS HAMP-like and DHp domains generated by I-TASSER (C-score = -0.76). The HAMP-like domain is shown in purple and the DHp domain in blue. The residues that form Cys-crosslinks in panel b are highlighted, E115 (red), E118 (purple) and L119 (green). Residue K167, which sits opposite E115, is shown in orange. **b**, Percentage of crosslinking for each BceS Cys variant was calculated from relative band intensities between monomer and dimer within each lane using Fiji [42]. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*[WT]-His$_8$ (SGB369) and BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 2-5 independent repeats. **c, d**, Schematic diagram of the BceS DHp dimer configuration. Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Left, top down view of the helices in the structural model; right, helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp dom ain; residues that form crosslinks are highlighted as before.

detection by Western blot analysis. BceS contains three native Cys residues, C45 in the

second transmembrane helix and C198 and C259 in the ATPase domain. Based on their

physical distance from each other and the DHp domain, we did not expect these residues to

interfere with our analysis, and indeed no crosslinking product was observed upon oxidation

with iodine in wild-type BceS (Fig. 2b). Next, single cysteine substitutions were introduced

in the first two helical turns of the helix DHp1 (N114 to M120), and in equivalent positions

near the end of the helix DHp2 (Q166 to R168). Disulfide bond formation in these variants

should only occur if the substituted residues come into close proximity in the kinase dimer [27].

The only residues that consistently formed detectable crosslinks were at positions 115, 118

and 119, with the highest degree of crosslinking at position 115 (Fig. 2b). This is in good

agreement with their positioning at the core of the four-helix bundle and shows that the

structural model closely reflects the conformation of BceS in the cell (Fig. 2c).

To ensure that the introduction of cysteines had not affected the integrity of the protein, we

tested the activity of each BceS variant *in vivo*. This was based on their ability to induce

expression from the system's target promoter fused to a reporter gene (P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* or

P$_{bceA}$-*lacZ*), following exposure to the antibiotic bacitracin [1]. Only the K116C and K167C

substitutions led to a complete loss of activity (Fig. S1a). It is therefore possible that the lack

of crosslinking observed for the latter, which also faces the core of the DHp domain (Fig. 2c),

could be explained by defects in the protein rather than the distance between DHp2 helices in

the kinase dimer. However, the majority of BceS variants retained at least partial signaling

activity, confirming structural integrity of the kinase during the crosslinking analyses.

Charge substitutions in the DHp core suggest a rotation mechanism of kinase

activation. Closer inspection of the DHp domain revealed a striking concentration of charged

residues at the membrane-proximal end of the four-helix bundle (Fig. 3). In the wild-type

kinase, E115 and K167 create a net neutral charge in the bundle core. As described above and

previously [1], cells harbouring wild-type BceS strongly activate expression of the P_{bceA}-*lux*

reporter in the presence of bacitracin, while in the absence of the antibiotic the activity of the

reporter is below the detection limit (Fig. 3a, WT). Interestingly, creation of an 'all negative'

(K167D substitution) or 'all positive' (E115K substitution) DHp core resulted in a massive

increase in the basal level of the kinase, while the activity in the presence of the inducer

remained unaffected (Fig. 3a). To test whether this effect was indeed due to the changes in

core charge and not to substitutions at this position *per se*, we introduced a series of other

amino acids into position 115. Exchanging E115 for a different positively charged amino

acid, Arg, again resulted in an increase in basal activity, although less pronounced (Fig. S1c).

Substitution for Ala or Cys had no detectable effect on activity, showing that the presence of

charged amino acids was not in itself important for kinase function. Moreover, the

constitutive kinase activity could be returned to near wild-type levels by restoring the net

neutral charge through combining the E115K and K167D substitutions (Fig. 3a). We

therefore concluded that the high constitutive activity in the absence of the inducer was

indeed due to the presence of four residues with the same charge in the DHp domain core.

In the current model for histidine kinase activation, the DHp domain undergoes a helical

rotation [15]. The strong activating effect we observed upon introduction of four residues of the

same charge at the core of the domain made it tempting to speculate that charge repulsion

may force BceS to undergo such a rotating motion, placing it in its activated state. According

to our homology model, rotation of the helix DHp1 in a counter-clockwise direction and

DHp2 in clockwise should create two new points of contact between charged residues: point I

between E118 and K167, and point II between K116 and R168 (Fig. 3b). The opposing

charges in point I should have a stabilizing effect on the rotated state, and removing this in

the K167D variant may explain its lower basal activity compared to E115K (Fig. 3a). In

Figure 3: Charges within the BceS DHp domain core affect kinase activity. a, BceS signaling activity in strains harbouring P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* and P*xyl*-*bceS*. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*[WT]-His₈ (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1μg ml⁻¹ of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 4-22 biological repeats. The schematic below shows the location of individual and net charges in the core and stabilisation points within the four-helix bundle, as illustrated in panel b. Red, positive charge; orange, negative charge. **b,** Helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp dimer configuration showing location of charges and stabilisation points (pink and cyan). Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Black curve arrows denote the direction of helical rotation. **c,** 2D plots of potential of mean force (PMF) obtained in GaMD simulations of the wild type (WT, left) and E115K/K116E variant (right) protein. Inter-monomer distances shown are between the Cα carbon atoms of residues at positions 115 (x-axes) and 167 (y-axes). The PMFs are projected onto the surface in kcal mol⁻¹ indicated by the heat scale.

contrast, the proximity of two positive charges in point II should have a de-stabilizing effect.

Replacing one of these with a negative charge (K116E) by itself had no effect on kinase

activity. However, combining K116E with the activating effect of the E115K substitution led

to a further increase of basal kinase activity compared to E115K alone (Fig. 3a). This effect is

entirely consistent with kinase activation through helical rotations in the DHp domain, where

we could achieve the highest artificial activation of the protein through creating charge

repulsion in the kinase core, while stabilizing the rotated state through opposing charges in

the two new points of contact.

Attempts were made to detect this DHp domain rotation *in vivo* using cysteine crosslinking,

either following induction with bacitracin or using the constitutively active E115K/K116E

variant. No quantitative conclusions could be drawn, however, due to the very large

experimental errors (Fig. S2). To provide further evidence of conformational change in the

DHp domain, we turned to molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. As discussed above, the

homology model (Fig. 2) shows excellent agreement with experimental validation, indicating

that it is a reasonable starting point for MD simulations. Given the relatively large putative

rotation, we applied Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) to more completely sample

conformational space. This approach applies a harmonic boost potential to effectively

'flatten' the free energy landscape, thereby enhancing the conformational sampling achieved

during MD simulation [28].

To assess conformational differences in the DHp domain between the wild-type kinase and

the constitutively active E115K/K116E variant, GaMD simulations were performed on both

for a total of 2 μs each (four replicas of 500 ns; Figure S3a). From our simulations, we

calculated the potential of mean force (PMF) for a series of internuclear distances for both

wild-type and variant residues. These values can serve as a proxy for the energetic barrier

between conformational sub-states. To best illustrate the relative orientation of helices in the

DHp domain bundle, we focused on positions 115 and 167, facing the bundle core (Fig. 3).

The PMF plots for the internuclear pairs of these residues between monomeric units of the

DHp domain are shown in Figure 3c. The lower the PMF value, the more likely the helices

will occupy the conformational state defined by the internuclear distances shown. In the wild-

type DHp domain, the PMF plot for distances between monomers at amino acids 115 and 167

shows a broad minimum across most of conformational space (Fig. 3c, left). This suggest that

there is a relatively high propensity for the domain to explore a diversity of conformational

space. Figure 3c (right) shows the same data for the variant DHp. Instead of the broad

minimum that characterizes the wild-type domain, the variant now shows two distinct, more

restricted minima. More specifically, the tendency towards a longer K167 distance in the

variant DHp would seem consistent with our model for helix rotation (Figure 3b). To more

clearly explore the differences between conformational space accessed by the wild-type and

variant DHp domain, we performed principal components analysis (PCA) of the data from

Figure 3c. This indicates that there is a significant difference in the principal component

space sampled by the wild-type and variant DHp (Fig. S3b). That is, the variant DHp

occupies a conformational space that is not accessible to the wild-type domain. Based on the

high constitutive activity of the variant, it is plausible to assume that this new conformational

space represents the active state of the kinase. Importantly, our simulations show that the

wild-type kinase is unable to adopt this conformation on the simulated time scales. This is

consistent with our *in vivo* experimental data that shows no detectable kinase activity without

activation through the sensory transporter BceAB.

BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. Contrary to previous predictions, the

BceS homology model showed a domain with structural similarity to a HAMP domain

between the second transmembrane helix and the DHp domain. HAMP domains adopt a

parallel four-helix bundle arrangement with two alternative states of helix packing that

transmit a conformational change from an upstream input to a downstream output domain

[15,29,30]. While there is some argument over the exact motions within HAMP domains, there

seems to be agreement that they turn multiple input movements consistently into a helical

rotation [15,30]). The four-helix bundle is stabilized through multiple packing layers, forming

both inter- and intramolecular interactions, predominantly mediated by hydrophobic residues

[30,31]. A protein sequence alignment of known HAMP domains and the HAMP-like domain of

BceS is shown in Fig. 4a, with the positions of the critical packing points highlighted. The

hydrophobicity at these positions is largely conserved in BceS.

Previous investigations of HAMP domains have highlighted one particular residue with key

influence on the signaling state. This residue corresponds to L67 in BceS, a position most

commonly occupied by small hydrophobic residues that favour bundle packing (A or V,

occasionally I, L; Fig. 4a, black arrow) [30]. Based on extensive structural work on the HAMP

domain of the *A. fulgidus* protein Af1503, this residue (A291 in Af1503) has a crucial role in

controlling the conformational state of the HAMP domain. Specifically, it is thought that

increasing residue size at this position decreases the threshold for conversion between

packing states of the HAMP domain [30,32]. In Af1503, larger residues at this position increased

the signal responsiveness of a hybrid Tsr-HAMP-adenylate cyclase protein or of the HAMP-

EnvZ chimera our BceS homology model is most similar to [32,33]. Similarly, increasing the

size of the corresponding amino acid (V228I) in the HAMP domain of the histidine kinase

PhoQ of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium led to elevated activity of the kinase,

while decreasing residue size had the opposite effect and reduced the PhoQ response to signal

[34].

Figure 4: BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer. a, Sequence alignment of known HAMP domains and the BceS HAMP-like domain generated with ClustalO. Residues in red form the packing layers in the Af1503 HAMP domain. The black solid arrow indicates the packing residue that influences signaling state in some HAMP domains. White open arrows indicate E60 and F63 critical for BceS function. Proteins included and their GenBank identifiers are Af1503 (O28769), BceS (BSU30390), PhoQ (P23837), CpxA (P0AE82), EnvZ (P0AEJ4), NarX (P0AFA2), Tsr (P02942), Tar (P07017), Aer (P50466). **b**, Schematic showing amino acids and their sizes in MW used in size substitutions. **c**, BceS signaling activity following L67 amino acid size substitution harbouring P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* and P_{xyl}-*bceS*. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in the parent construct *bceS*WT-His$_8$ (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1µg ml⁻¹ of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-18 biological repeats. **d**, Helical wheel diagram of the HAMP-like domain. Helices labelled HAMP1' and HAMP2' show the second protomer . Residues essential for BceS activity are E60 (red) and F63 (yellow); the key packing residue L67 is shown in blue; red/blue semicircles are used to indicate positioning of residues in subsequent helical layers. **e**, BceS signaling activity of the constitutive ON variant (BceS^{E115K}) combined with loss-of-function substitutions at positions 60 and 63. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*WT-His$_8$ (SGB401). Signaling in cells harbouring P_{bceA}-*lacZ* and P_{xyl}-*bceS* was induced with 1µg ml⁻¹ of bacitracin, BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 3-7 biological repeats. The inset shows an anti-His Western blot analysis to confirm membrane localisation and protein levels of wild-type (WT) BceS and its variants.

To test if the HAMP-like domain discovered in BceS fulfils an equivalent function to other

HAMP domains, we therefore investigated the role of amino acid size at this position by

changing L67 to the smaller Gly and Cys, as well as the larger Phe side chain (Fig. 4b).

Indeed, smaller size substitutions reduced BceS activity, up to a near-complete loss of

induction in the Gly variant (Fig. 4c). Increasing the size to Phe, however, did not lead to an

increase of signaling nor changed the basal activity of BceS. Our data are thus consistent with

the notion that small residues at this position may increase the threshold for conversion

between HAMP-domain conformations [30,32], but we could not find a stimulating effect

through a larger residue. The latter was also found in *E. coli* NarX, where an A189V

substitution at the equivalent position to L67 in BceS led to a decrease of signal output [35].

The precise effect of changing this residue may therefore depend on the specific context in

different protein. We nevertheless conclude from our data that the HAMP-like domain in

BceS appears to be a functional equivalent to conventional HAMP domains in other proteins

and likely contributes to signal transfer within the histidine kinase.

For further functional investigation, we carried out Cys scanning mutagenesis of both helices

within the HAMP-like domain. The majority of variants maintained at least partial signaling

activity (Fig. S4), and in contrast to other studies [34,35], we did not find any substitutions that

led to a constitutively active state of BceS. The only two substitutions that caused a complete

loss of function were E60C and F63C (Fig. 4 and S4). Interestingly, these fall on the first two

positions of the packing motif (Fig. 4a, white arrows and 4d), with E60 located one packing

layer above the critical L67 discussed earlier, and are therefore likely of structural

importance. Both variant proteins are present in the cytoplasmic membrane at the same levels

as the wild type protein according to Western blot analysis (Fig. 4e inset), showing that the

lack of signaling is not caused by general protein misfolding. To test if the defect of the two

variants was due to a loss of signal transfer from either the TM region of the protein or the

transporter, we combined each of the LOF mutations with the E115K substitution that

activates BceS in the absence of a stimulus. All resulting variants remained completely

inactive (Fig. 4e), which showed that the substitutions in the HAMP-like domain had a

dominant effect and thus likely caused substantial structural disruptions in the protein, rather

than specifically affecting signal transfer.

Activation of BceS involves a piston-like displacement in transmembrane helix 2. We

next investigated the conformation of the second transmembrane helix in BceS. In many

histidine kinases, conformational changes in an extracellular sensory domain upon ligand

binding or signal perception are transmitted to the cytoplasmic region of the protein through

physical movement of the intervening transmembrane helix [14]. In the case of BceS, however,

signaling is entirely reliant on the BceAB transport system and therefore does not involve

canonical transmembrane information transfer. To investigate if BceS activation nevertheless

involved transmembrane helix movements, we carried out a cysteine accessibility scan (Scan-

SCAM, [18]) of its second transmembrane helix. This analysis requires a cysteine-free

background, and we therefore replaced the three native Cys residues mentioned earlier by

serine. The C45S/C198S/C259S BceS variant showed comparable signaling activity to the

wild-type kinase and was therefore deemed fully functional (Fig. S5). Transmembrane

topology predictions of BceS place the membrane interface approximately at residues W54 or

F55. We therefore individually replaced each residue from positions 51 to 65 with Cys, with

the exception of E60 and F63, which were essential for BceS activity (see above). Again,

none of the resulting variants showed any defects in signaling (Fig. S5). The position relative

to the membrane was then tested for each Cys residue based on its solvent accessibility, using

a modified version of the established *E. coli* protocol [18]. In brief, treatment of whole cells

with N-ethyl-maleimide (NEM) should block any solvent-exposed Cys residue through

reaction with the sulfhydryl group, whereas in untreated cells these groups should remain

available for later reactions. Following isolation of membranes, any unblocked Cys residues

are then reacted with methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal), resulting in a 10

kDa increase in size, detectable by Western Blot analysis. For cytoplasmic Cys residues in

BceS, we therefore expected to see a shift in size only in samples that had not been treated

with NEM (Fig. 5, blue labels). In the established methodology used for *E. coli*, membrane-

embedded Cys residues are protected by their membrane environment from blocking by

NEM, but become available for PEGylation upon solubilisation in SDS [18]. However, in *B.*

subtilis membranes we could not observe PEGylation of membrane-embedded Cys residues

regardless of the presence of SDS, possibly due to differences in membrane composition. We

therefore interpreted those residues as being membrane embedded that did not show a size

shift in either presence or absence of NEM treatment (Fig. 5, red labels). The results from the

Scan-SCAM analysis showed that residues up to position 56 resided in the solvent-

inaccessible membrane environment, while residues from Y57 onwards were accessible and

therefore cytoplasmic (Fig. 5, 'OFF state'). The apparent inaccessibility of Y64 was

disregarded based on its distance from the likely membrane interface. We then repeated the

same analysis in the background of the E115K/K116E substitutions that place the kinase in a

constitutively active conformation. Here, residues from F55 onwards became consistently

solvent-accessible (Fig. 5, 'ON state'). Comparison of the two states therefore shows that

BceS activation involved an inward piston-like displacement by two amino acids of the

second transmembrane helix.

We next sought to test whether BceS could be activated by artificially inducing a shift

through introduction of arginine residues near the membrane interface. Due to their positive

charge, Arg residues prefer to move towards a hydrophilic environment. When introduced in

a transmembrane segment, this can induce a shift towards the nearest solvent interface, which

has been shown to cause signal-independent activation of the *E. coli* histidine kinase DcuS [18].

Figure 5: Accessibility of Cys residues at the membrane interface shows a piston-like displacement upon BceS activation. a, Schematic representation of transmembrane helix 2 in the OFF and ON states, respectively. Relevant native amino acids are indicated in one-letter code. Solvent-accessible (PEGylated) Cys replacements are shown in blue, inaccessible (unPEGylated) Cys replacements in red and residues not tested in white. Residues circled in black were tested in triplicate, those circled in grey in duplicate. **b**, Anti-His Western blot analysis of solvent-accessibility of Cys replacements (Scan-SCAM). Bands corresponding to PEGylated (S-PEG) and unmodified (S) BceS are indicated; individual lanes are outlined in the same colour code as used in panel a. 'Cys-less' indicates the parent variant of BceS lacking its native Cys residues. OFF state: amino acids substituted in parent construct $bceS^{C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB936). ON state: amino acids substituted in parent construct $bceS^{E115K/K116E/C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB951).

We therefore substituted three of the residues near the cytoplasmic end of transmembrane

helix 2 of BceS (W54, F55 and Y57) with Arg, individually and in pairs. (Fig. S6). Variants

containing the W54R substitution had approximately 60% higher activities upon stimulation

with bacitracin (Fig. S6), which may indicate a stabilisation of or lowered transition threshold

to the activated state. However, none of the variants showed a detectable change of basal

activity in the presence or absence of the input transporter BceAB, leading us to conclude

that Arg substitution alone was not sufficient to activate the kinase, which still relied on

BceAB for signaling.

The transporter BceAB has complete control over kinase conformation. It was

previously shown for Bce of *B. subtilis* and several other examples of the same type of

resistance system that activation of signaling is completely reliant on the ABC transporter

[3,4,7,8,24]. We further showed through computational modelling that the signaling output via

BceS is directly proportional to the activity of BceAB and does not follow the switch-like

on/off behaviour seen in most other systems using transporters as accessory sensing proteins

[1,20]. This led us to hypothesise that BceAB completely controls the conformation of BceS and

thus slaves kinase activity directly to its own transport activity. Our discovery of the DHp

domain variants that cause artificial activation of BceS now for the first time enabled us to

test this hypothesis experimentally by investigating the role of BceAB in controlling the OFF

state of the kinase.

In cells harbouring the wild-type sequence of BceS, the OFF-state activity in un-stimulated

cells is below the detection limit of our assays, and deletion of the transporter therefore has

no measurable effect (Fig. 6a). However, in the E115K or the E115K/K116E variants, basal

kinase activity was high, allowing us to test the effect of *bceAB* deletion in the absence of

bacitracin. Removing the transporter in this background caused a striking further increase in

Figure 6: Control of BceS activity by the transporter BceAB. a, Signaling activity of BceS measured in strains harbouring P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* and challenged with 10µg ml⁻¹ of bacitracin (grey) or left untreated (black). Amino acid substitutions were introduced into parent construct P*xyl*-*bceS*-His₈, in a strain background carrying a Δ*bceS* deletion (BceAB +; SGB792), or a Δ*bceSAB* deletion (BceAB -; SGB818). Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-12 biological repeats. **b,** Signaling phenotype of BceAB variants. Amino acid substitutions were introduced into the P*xyl*-*bceAB*-FLAG₃ parent construct, and signaling assessed using either a P*bceA*-*lacZ* (SGB370 and derivatives) or P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* (SGB731 and derivatives) reporter. Cells were challenged with a series of bacitracin concentrations, and the lowest concentration giving signaling above background is shown by circles in the plot (see Fig. S7 for complete datasets). Analyses were based on mean values from at least three independent repeats. The first line of x-axis labels gives native amino acids, the lines below indicate substitutions tested. The bar above the graph shows positions in the BceB sequence and areas within the membrane (grey bar) or in the cytoplasm (black line). Circle colours are assigned green, wild-type (WT) signaling threshold; red, increased signaling threshold; blue, reduced signaling threshold.

activity, rendering the kinase fully activated irrespective of the presence of the inducing

antibiotic (Fig. 6a). This shows that in the absence of its substrate bacitracin, the inactive

transporter can inhibit the activity of the constitutively active DHp domain variants, which

manifests as an approximately ten-fold reduction in basal activity compared to the

transporter-free state. Our data are therefore consistent with a model where inactive BceAB

exerts close control over BceS keeping the kinase in its inactive state. This reveals a further

layer of kinase control in addition to the transporter's known activating effect in the presence

of the antibiotic [1].

BceAB has not evolved for maximal signaling sensitivity. Recent structural biology work

has provided new insights into the functional mechanism of the Type VII superfamily of

ABC transporters, of which BceAB is a member. This group of proteins, exemplified by

MacB of *E. coli*, is thought to act as mechanotransmitters, using cytoplasmic ATP hydrolysis

to provide energy for periplasmic processes, such as removal of antibiotics [36]. In MacB,

mechanotransmission is achieved by the transmembrane helix linking the cytoplasmic

ATPase interaction site to the periplasmic domain [36]. In BceAB, the equivalent region is its

eighth transmembrane helix, which connects the transporter's extracellular domain to a

cytoplasmic loop containing one of the ATPase contact interfaces (Fig. 1) [11]. Strikingly, in a

previous random mutagenesis analysis we had discovered that this region of BceB contained

a particularly high density of mutations leading to a loss of signaling [37]. It was therefore

tempting to speculate that this region was crucial for the communication between transporter

and kinase. To investigate this further, we carried out another Cys scan, individually

replacing each residue from positions 533 to 556 in BceB, encompassing approximately half

of transmembrane helix 8 and the first alpha-helical segment of the adjacent cytoplasmic

region. The native Cys at position 544 was replaced by Ser. The resulting variants were tested

for their ability to complement a *bceAB* deletion strain for signaling and resistance activities.

With the exception of the strain harbouring the G535C variant, all displayed comparable

bacitracin resistance to the control containing the wild-type sequence of *bceAB* on the same

genetic construct (Table S4), showing that the resulting transporters were fully functional.

Signaling was tested using our standard reporter gene assays (P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* or P*bceA*-*lacZ*).

All variants except G543C reached a similar amplitude of reporter induction as strains

containing the wild-type construct (Fig. S7 a&b). However, we observed some clear

difference in the sensitivity of signaling, i.e. the minimal concentration of antibiotic required

to elicit a response. In cells with the wild-type construct, the lowest bacitracin concentration

to trigger signaling was 3 µg ml^{-1}, while a number of variants had a higher threshold of 10 µg

ml^{-1} (Fig. 6b, green vs red dots; Fig. S7 a&b). The majority of these fell into the C-terminal

part of the scanned region, indicating potential defects in coupling to the ATPase. To our

surprise, we also found several variants that showed a marked increase in signaling

sensitivity and responded already at 0.3 µg ml^{-1} or even 0.03 µg ml^{-1} bacitracin (Fig. 6b, dark

blue dots; Fig. S7). We had previously seen that a reduction of BceAB transport activity can

cause such a shift in signaling threshold, because the negative feedback created by removal of

the antibiotic is missing [1]. However, as none of these variants showed any change in

bacitracin resistance (Table S4), loss of antibiotic removal cannot explain the increased

signaling sensitivity. Mapping of these residues showed that they occurred with a regular

periodicity of every four amino acids (Fig. 6b), suggesting they are positioned along the same

face of the transmembrane helix. Figure S7c shows a homology model from I-TASSER of

the final three transmembrane helices of BceB, for which MacB was the closest structural

analog, to illustrate the potential arrangement of these residues. Substitutions with the

relatively small amino acid Cys may have enabled tighter helix packing, potentially

contributing to more effective signaling. To test this, we replaced two of the positions, L546

and Q550, with a series of other amino acids, including small Ala; charged Glu or Asp; and

large Phe. Regardless of the substitution made, deviation from the wild-type sequence at

these positions consistently led to an increase in signaling sensitivity (Fig. 6b). It is difficult

to envisage that all these substitutions caused tighter interactions with the kinase. An

alternative explanation may be that they are involved in tightly coupling ligand binding by

the extracellular domain to ATP hydrolysis, and that substitutions weaken this coupling,

allowing ATP hydrolysis and therefore signaling to occur at lower substrate concentrations. It

is beyond the scope of this study to investigate the mechanistic details of the transporter

further to answer this question. However, our findings clearly show that the transporter

contains a series of residues that likely form a continuous face on transmembrane helix 8,

which prevent signaling from occurring at lower bacitracin concentrations. Given that any

replacement we tested led to more sensitive signaling, we therefore conclude that the

transporter has evolved to avoid hyper-sensitive signaling, and that this likely constitutes a

further layer in minimizing the fitness cost of resistance.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we set out to investigate the molecular mechanisms of the flux-sensing strategy

employed by Bce-like antibiotic resistance systems. Their hallmark feature is that histidine

kinase activity not only fully depends on the presence of its cognate transporter, but is

directly proportional to transport activity across a large input dynamic range of antibiotic

concentrations [1]. This careful adaptation of signaling activity ensures the resistance

transporter is produced precisely to the cell's demand for detoxification capacity, a very cost-

efficient strategy to control gene expression. The results presented here now provide

molecular level understanding of how such close control over kinase activity, implementing

regulation akin to an analog dial, can be achieved.

Analyses of BceS conformation in the inactive and active state showed a piston-like inward

displacement of the second transmembrane helix and helical rotation within the DHp domain

upon kinase activation. The intervening linker region is not sufficiently conserved in

sequence for *in silico* identification of any specific domains. However, tertiary structure

predictions and experimental validation indicate that BceS possesses a HAMP-like domain

that likely fulfils the signal transfer function. Based on crystallographic evidence from a

truncated kinase, it has been proposed that in HAMP-containing histidine kinases ligand

binding typically induces piston-displacements in the membrane, and these are converted by

the HAMP domain into rotational motion of the DHp domain [15]. Kinases without a HAMP

domain instead directly experience rotational motions in their transmembrane segments upon

ligand binding [15]. Despite its unusual input mechanism via the BceAB transporter, the

conformational changes we observed within BceS are therefore consistent with this proposed

mode of activation of HAMP-containing histidine kinases.

A recent NMR study reported on the structure of the BceS-like kinase NsaS from *S. aureus*,

which possesses a much shorter linker region between its transmembrane and DHp domains.

This linker region adopts a coiled-coil conformation instead of the HAMP-like fold of BceS

[17]. Inspection of kinase sequences in an existing collection of Bce-like resistance systems [5]

showed that the vast majority of these proteins contained the larger version of the linker

(approximately 60 amino acids), and only a small number possessed short (around 20 amino

acid) linkers with a predicted coiled-coil motif. BceS therefore appears to be the more typical

subtype of this group of kinases than NsaS. Interestingly however, akin to the alternative

helix packing mechanism proposed for HAMP domains [30], activation of NsaS was also

proposed to involve switching between helical packing states of the coiled-coil [17]. The

molecular principles of activation may therefore be conserved between both subtypes of

BceS-like kinases.

To further investigate the activation mechanism of BceS, we sought to force each of the

conformational changes via amino acid substitutions. Introduction of residues with the same

charge at the core of the DHp domain to cause helical rotation consistently led to kinase

activation without the need for the physiological stimulus (Fig. 3). However, we were unable

to artificially trigger activation through substitutions that should have induced piston

displacements in the membrane (Fig. S6) or induced the active conformation of the HAMP

domain (Fig. 4 and S4). This is in contrast to studies of other kinases where such

substitutions were able to at least partially raise the basal kinase activity [18,34,35]. In canonical

histidine kinases, the input signal is detected directly, e.g. through ligand binding. One could

therefore speculate that in these proteins, conformational changes have to be smoothly

transmitted from each domain to the next, and that this is reflected in the relative ease with

which kinase activity can be artificially induced through changes in each individual domain.

In contrast, BceS-like proteins are subject to control by the transporter. We do not yet know

with which part of the kinase the transporter interacts, although the transmembrane or

HAMP-like domains are the most likely. In a kinase that relies on such external control, it is

conceivable that small changes in upstream domains are insufficient to trigger rotation of the

DHp domain helices, and only manipulation of the DHp domain itself can cause artificial

activation. Some support for such a hypothesis may be found in the MD simulations. Our

analyses showed that the wild-type DHp domain does not by itself sample the conformational

space observed in the active variant (Fig. S3), implying that the transporter is likely required

to provide the necessary force for transition to the active state.

The use of transporters in accessory control of signaling proteins is not uncommon in

bacteria, but BceAB is unusual in that it is an activator of signaling, while most other

transporters act as repressors [20]. Repression of signaling and its relief are easily envisaged via

complex formation and dissociation between transporter and histidine kinase. For the Bce

system, we previously showed that transporter and kinase constitutively form a signaling

complex in the membrane, irrespective of the presence or absence of the inducer, bacitracin [2].

Considering its activating role and that signaling is proportional to transport activity, the

transporter must therefore be able to directly influence kinase activity within this complex.

When this was first tested *in vitro*, it was noted that BceAB had a negative effect on the

kinase autophosphorylation rate in the OFF state, although we could not explain the

observation at the time [2]. In the present study, we now show that the transporter indeed not

only controls the ON but also the OFF state of BceS *in vivo* and is able to restore partial

control over the high constitutive activity of the DHp domain variant (Fig. 6a). We propose

that through controlling both states of the kinase, the transporter is able to exert the level of

close control that is needed to explain the enormous dynamic range of the system, where

signaling is maintained in proportion to transport activity over more than three orders of

magnitude in antibiotic concentrations [1]. A crucial feature of the flux-sensing mechanism is

that signaling is immediately reduced if transport activity is low, either because the antibiotic

threat is over or because the cell has reached sufficient detoxification capacity [1]. Importantly,

the ability of BceAB to actively switch off BceS activity described here explains how this

energy-conserving signaling strategy is realized on the molecular level. Moreover, control

over the OFF state also allows the transporter to actively prevent any spurious signaling by

the two-component system in the absence of the antibiotic. Finally, our mutagenesis analysis

of BceAB revealed that the transporter itself has evolved to avoid signaling at very low

antibiotic concentrations, highlighting yet another level of cost reduction.

Taken together, we here present a molecular level model for activation of antibiotic

resistance based directly on the cell's need for protection, using a flux-sensing signaling

strategy that employs multiple layers of active energy conservation to minimize any fitness

costs of resistance. The system studied here is centered on two-component signaling, one of

the most important regulatory features of bacteria, and employs a histidine kinase of rather

typical domain composition. Our findings should therefore have wide relevance and serve as

a model for understanding, in general, how analog dials can control fine-tuned gene

expression in bacteria to achieve an optimal cost-benefit balance. In turn, the design

principles of an analog dial, we suggest, could usefully be employed in the context of

synthetic biology.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All strains used in this study are listed in table S1

and were routinely grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB; 10 g l^{-1} tryptone, 5 g l^{-1} yeast extract, 5 g l^{-}

^{1} NaCl) at 37 ºC with aeration. Solid media contained 15 g l^{-1} agar. Selective media contained

chloramphenicol (5 µg ml^{-1}), macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (erythromycin; 1 µg

ml^{-1}, lincomycin; 25 µg ml^{-1}), spectinomycin (100 µg ml^{-1}), kanamycin (10 µg ml^{-1}), or

ampicillin (100 µg ml^{-1}).

DNA manipulation and strain construction. All primers and plasmids used in this study

are given in tables S2 and S3, respectively, with the use of each primer specified in table S3.

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions for the

QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies), or by PCR-overlap

extension [38]. *Bacillus subtilis* transformations were carried out via natural competence as

previously described [39]. DNA for transformation was either provided as linearised plasmid

DNA, or as genomic DNA from a *B. subtilis* strain already carrying the desired genetic

construct.

To allow manipulation of both *bceS* and *bceAB* sequences, an unmarked deletion strain

lacking all three genes ($\Delta bceSAB$, SGB377) was constructed as described previously [40],

employing plasmid pAK102. Strains lacking *bceS* only (Δ*bceS*; TMB1036) or *bceAB* only

(*bceAB*::kan; TMB035 [24]) were available from previous work. Signaling activity was

assessed in strains harbouring a chromosomally integrated transcriptional reporter construct

of the target promoter P$_{bceA}$, fused to either *luxABCDE* (pSDlux101 [37]) or *lacZ* (pER603 [24]).

Details of the assays used are given below.

Genetic manipulation of *bceS* was performed using previously constructed plasmids as

template. For Western blot detection, the construct pSD2E01 encoding BceS with a C-

terminal octa-histidine tag (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$ [2]) was employed. Un-tagged constructs were

derived from pAS719 (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}). Genetic manipulation of *bceAB* was performed using the

previously constructed plasmid pFK727, encoding BceAB with a C-terminal triple FLAG-tag

on the permease (P$_{xyl}$-*bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ [37]). All constructs used in this study integrate stably and

as a single copy into the *B. subtilis* W168 chromosome.

Signaling activity assays. In strains carrying the P$_{bceA}$-*lacZ* reporter, signaling was assessed

following challenge of exponentially growing cells with Zn^{2+}-bacitracin (bacitracin) and

measured as β-galactosidase activity (expressed in Miller Units), as described previously [24].

For strains carrying the P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* reporter, luciferase activities were assayed using a

Tecan® Spark® microplate reader controlled by SparkControl^{TM} V2.1 software. Starter

cultures were grown at 37 ºC in 2 ml of LB medium overnight, then diluted 1:1000 into fresh

medium before adding 100 µl into 96-well plates (black, clear flat bottoms; Corning®).

Samples were grown at 37 ˚C with orbital agitation at 180 rpm and allowed to achieve early

exponential growth (OD$_{600}$ 0.3 – 0.4), before adding Zn^{2+}-bacitracin to a final concentration

of 1 µg ml^{-1} unless otherwise indicated. OD$_{600}$ and relative luminescence units (RLU;

integration time 1 s) were measured every 5 min and were background-corrected using values

from LB-only wells. RLU was normalised for cell density (RLU OD^{-1}). The reported values

are steady-state activities achieved 60-75 min after bacitracin addition.

Cells were grown without the addition of xylose, as the basal activity of the promoter P_{xylA} [41],

used to drive expression of the ectopic copies of *bceS* and/or *bceAB*, was sufficient to achieve

full signaling activity. In strains containing the native copy of *bceAB* (Δ*bceS* background)

signaling was induced with 10 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. In strains containing only the

ectopic construct for BceAB production (Δ*bceSAB* background), signaling was induced with

1 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. These concentrations were established in our earlier work as

eliciting maximum signaling activity in the respective genetic backgrounds [1].

Bacitracin sensitivity assays. The sensitivity of *B. subtilis* strains to bacitracin was

determined as described [37], with minor modifications. Serial two-fold dilutions of Zn^{2+}-

bacitracin from 32 µg ml^{-1} to 0.5 µg ml^{-1} were prepared in Mueller-Hinton medium

containing 0.2 % (w/v) xylose to ensure maximal production of BceAB. For each

concentration, 100 µl volumes in 96-well plates were inoculated 1:400 from overnight

cultures grown in Mueller-Hinton medium with xylose and selective antibiotics. Each culture

was scored for growth after 20 to 24 h of incubation at 37°C with aeration. The MIC was

determined as the lowest bacitracin concentration where no visible growth was detected.

Cysteine cross-linking *in vivo*. Cysteine cross-linking was assessed in strains carrying the

Δ*bceSAB* deletion complemented with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ and

bceS-His$_8$ with single-cysteine substitutions and the P_{bceA}-*lux* reporter (SGB369 and

derivatives). Starter cultures were grown in 2 ml of LB medium overnight at 37 ºC with

agitation, then diluted 1:500 into 55 ml of fresh medium supplemented with 0.02% (w/v)

xylose. In mid-exponential growth (OD_{600} 0.5 – 0.7), oxidative cross-linking of cysteine

residues was triggered by addition of 250 µM of iodine for 15 min under continued

incubation. Where required, cells were induced with 60 µg ml^{-1} Zn^{2+}-bacitracin for 45 min

prior to cross-linking. Cross-linking reactions were stopped with 20 mM N-ethylmaleimide

(NEM) for 15 min under continued incubation. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (3,000

× g, 20 min, 4 ºC) and resuspended in 5 ml of ice cold lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH

8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 10% (w/v) glycerol, 20 mM NEM). Cell disruption was achieved by at

least three cycles of 15s sonication on ice with 15s rest. Debris was removed by

centrifugation (3,000 × g, 20 min, 4 ºC), and membranes were collected from supernatants by

ultracentrifugation (150,000 × g, 1 h, 4 ºC). Membranes were resuspended in 50 µl lysis

buffer, and approximately 150 mg protein per sample was mixed with non-reducing sample

buffer (1× final concentration; 1.5 M Tris/HCl [pH 6.8], 30 % (w/v) glycerol, 350 mM SDS,

0.12 mg ml^{-1} bromophenol blue) for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis (see below). For

quantification of band intensities Fiji [42] was used to obtain a profile plot of each lane, and the

relative intensity of each band (monomer and dimer BceS) within the lane was expressed as a

percentage of the total band intensities.

Solvent-accessibility of Cysteine residues *in vivo* (Scan-SCAM). For solvent-accessibility

tests in the second transmembrane helix of BceS, the Δ*bceSAB* deletion strain, complemented

with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ and *bceS*-His$_8$ and the P$_{bceA}$-*lux*

reporter was employed. The *bceS* complementation construct was made cysteine-free via

C45S/C198S/C259S substitutions, followed by introduction of single cysteines to be tested

(strain SGB936 and derivatives). The same was done in the E115K/K116E variant to assess

transmembrane topology in the active state (strain SGB951 and derivatives). Cultures were

grown to mid-exponential phase as described above. Cells were harvested, washed twice with

50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8) and resuspended in 5 ml of the same buffer. Water exposed

(i.e. cytoplasmic) cysteine residues were masked with 40 mM N-Ethylmaleimide (NEM) for

1.5 h at room temperature with shaking or left untreated. Masking reactions were stopped by

three washes in cold (4 ˚C) 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8). Cells were resuspended in 5 ml

of ice cold lysis buffer, sonicated and membranes collected as above. Membranes were

resuspended in 40 µl accessibility buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 6.8], 1.3% (w/v) SDS).

Cysteines that had not been masked with NEM in the first step were labelled with 5 mM

methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1.5 h at room

temperature with agitation. The reaction was stopped with $1\times$ LDS sample buffer (Expedeon)

and 250 mM DTT and rested for 5 min. PEG-mal accessible and non-accessible BceS were

analyzed by Western Blot.

Western blot analysis. Protein samples (~150 µg) from *in vivo* cross-linking and Scan-

SCAM were subjected to SDS-PAGE on RunBlue[TM] TEO-Tricine SDS mini gel in either

Tris-Tricine-SDS (Sigma-Aldrich) or Teo-Tricine-SDS (Expedeon) buffer, run for 50 min at

120V. Samples were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck

Millipore, activated in 100 % (v/v) methanol) in $1 \times$ RunBlue SDS Running Buffer

(Expedeon), 20 % (v/v) methanol at 75 mA for 70 minutes using a PerfectBlue[TM] Sedec[TM]

Semi-Dry Electroblotter (Peqlab). Membranes were blocked with 7.5 % (w/v) skimmed milk

powder in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4 [pH7.4],

0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20), washed three times in PBST, and incubated with primary antibody

(Penta·His Antibody, QIAGEN®, 1:2000 dilution) in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBST for

2h at room temperature. Following three washes in PBST, incubation with secondary

antibody (Peroxidase-AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG, Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe

Ltd, 1:4000 dilution) was carried out in milk-PBST for 1h at room temperature, followed by

three final washes in PBST. Detection of proteins was by chemiluminescence using Pierce[TM]

ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and a Qiagen CCD camera

with Fusion software.

Homology Modelling. Homology models for the BceS HAMP and DHp domains (positions

56 to 174), and for the C-terminal domain of BceAB (from position 507) were generated

using I-TASSER with default parameters [26]. The respective homology model with the highest

C-score was selected, and the top ranking structural analog from PDB identified by I-

TASSER was noted for reference.

Gaussian-accelerated molecular dynamics (GaMD) Simulations. A homology model

the cytoplasmic region of BceS consisting of the DHp and ATPase domains was constructed

using I-TASSER as before [43]. The HAMP-like domain was excluded due to low sequence

homology with conventional HAMP domains. The approximate orientation of each BceS

monomer with respect to one another was predicted based on cysteine cross-linking data (Fig.

2) and further refined using Z-Dock to perform rigid body protein-protein docking [44], with

subsequent side chain rotamers optimised using SCWRL4 [45]. The N-terminus of the DHp

domain of each monomer was acetylated to cap the α-helix and prevent having a positive

charge at the termini. ATP was then docked into each ATP binding site using AutoDock Vina

(exhaustiveness set to 32) [46]. The generated model of BceS was then manually modified to

construct the E115K/K116E variant. All residues were modelled in their standard protonation

states, with His108 and His124 singly protonated on the Nδ1 nitrogen, and all other His

singly protonated on the Nε2 nitrogen (as indicated by hydrogen bonding networks). Both

systems were solvated in an octahedral water box such that no protein/substrate atom was

within 10 Å of the box boundaries, with Na^+ or Cl^- counter ions added as necessary to ensure

an overall neutral charge. MD simulations were performed using GPU accelerated Amber16,

with the ff14SB force field and TIP3P water model used to describe protein and water

molecules respectively. Parameters for ATP were taken from a study by Meagher and

colleagues [47]. Following a minimisation, heating, NVT and NPT equilibration procedure

(described below), Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) simulations were done in the NVT

ensemble at 300 K [28], with a boost potential applied to both the total system potential energy

as well as the dihedral potential energies (see also GaMD settings in Table S5). To prepare

for production GaMD simulations, a 12 ns long conventional MD run was used to obtain

maximum, minimum, average and standard deviation of the system potential energies.

Following this, a 66 ns long run was used to update the above parameters (updated every 600

ps) to ensure converged GaMD production parameters. Production GaMD simulations were

then run for 4×500 ns, using different random seeds for each replica. MD simulations were

run using a 2 fs time step with the SHAKE algorithm applied to any bond containing a

hydrogen atom. An 8 Å direct space non-bonded cut-off was applied with long-range

electrostatics evaluated using the particle mesh Ewald algorithm [48]. Temperature was

regulated using Langevin temperature control (collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}). All MD and

GaMD simulations were performed with a 10 kcal mol^{-1} restraint on the Phi and Psi dihedrals

between the acetyl and first N-terminal residue, to prevent the α-helix fraying. Principal

component analysis (PCA) and other trajectory analyses were performed within CPPTRAJ [49],

on the Cα atoms of the DHp domain residues. PCA was performed on the trajectories after

constructing an average structure of all four simulations combined. Reweighting of PCA and

distance measurements (to obtain PMFs) was performed using the 'PyReweighting' toolkit [50].

To equilibrate structures for GaMD simulations, the following procedure was performed.

Minimisation of all hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules was done using 500 steps of

steepest descent followed by 500 steps of conjugate gradient. To keep all other atoms (i.e. the

protein heavy atoms) in place during the minimisation, 10 kcal mol^{-1} $Å^{-1}$ positional restraints

were applied. Retaining the positional restraints on all protein heavy atoms, the system was

then heated rapidly from 50 K to 300 K in the NVT ensemble over the course of 200 ps. This

system was again minimised for a further 500 steps of steepest descent followed by 500 steps

of conjugate gradient, this time only applying positional restraints (of size 5 kcal mol^{-1} $Å^{-1}$)

to the Cα carbon atoms. These Cα restraints were retained as the system was again heated

from 25 K to 300 K over the course of 50 ps in the NVT ensemble. Simulations were then

performed in the NPT ensemble (1 atm, 300 K), first gradually reducing the 5 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}

Cα carbon restraints over the course of 50 ps of simulation time. This was done in five steps

(5, 4, 3, 2, 1 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}) of 10 ps each. A final 20 ns long MD simulation was then

performed, in which no restraints were used, to equilibrate the box size. All dynamics steps

used the SHAKE algorithm. Simulations performed in the NVT ensemble used Langevin

temperature control (with a collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a simulation time-step of 1 fs.

Simulations performed in the NPT ensemble again used Langevin temperature control

(collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a Berendsen barostat (1 ps pressure relaxation time), with

a simulation time-step of 2 fs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Roger Draheim for helpful advice in establishing the

cysteine crosslinking assays. We are also grateful to our undergraduate research students

Jessica Lancaster and Adam McCartan for construction of plasmids pJL705 and pAM703,

respectively. We thank Jean van den Elsen and Laurence Hurst for critical reading of and

feedback on the manuscript.

Work in SG's lab was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research

Council (BBSRC; BB/M029255/1). MVdK is a BBSRC David Phillips Fellow

(BB/M026280/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AK and MJG carried out all laboratory work and data analysis; MVdK and CRP performed

and analyzed the MD simulations; SG supervised all laboratory work and coordinated the

research project; SG, CRP and AK wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1.   Fritz, G. *et al.* A new way of sensing: need-based activation of antibiotic resistance by
702   a flux-sensing mechanism. *mBio* **6,** e00975–15 (2015).
703   2.   Dintner, S., Heermann, R., Fang, C., Jung, K. & Gebhard, S. A sensory complex
704   consisting of an ATP-binding cassette transporter and a two-component regulatory
705   system controls bacitracin resistance in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Biol Chem* **289,** 27899–
706   27910 (2014).
707   3.   Staroń, A., Finkeisen, D. E. & Mascher, T. Peptide antibiotic sensing and
708   detoxification modules of *Bacillus subtili*s. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **55,** 515–
709   525 (2011).
710   4.   Hiron, A., Falord, M., Valle, J., Débarbouillé, M. & Msadek, T. Bacitracin and nisin
711   resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: a novel pathway involving the BraS/BraR two-

component system (SA2417/SA2418) and both the BraD/BraE and VraD/VraE ABC
transporters. *Mol. Microbiol.* **81,** 602–622 (2011).

5.   Dintner, S. *et al.* Coevolution of ABC transporters and two-component regulatory
systems as resistance modules against antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria. *J.*
Bacteriol. **193,** 3851–3862 (2011).

6.   Revilla-Guarinos, A. *et al.* Characterization of a regulatory network of peptide
antibiotic detoxification modules in *Lactobacillus casei* BL23. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **79,** 3160–3170 (2013).

7.   Gebhard, S. *et al.* Identification and Characterization of a Bacitracin Resistance
Network in *Enterococcus faecalis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **58,** 1425–1433
(2014).

8.   Ouyang, J., Tian, X. L., Versey, J., Wishart, A. & Li, Y. H. The BceABRS Four-
Component System Regulates the Bacitracin-Induced Cell Envelope Stress Response
in *Streptococcus mutans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **54,** 3895–3906 (2010).

9.   Gebhard, S. & Mascher, T. Antimicrobial peptide sensing and detoxification modules:
unravelling the regulatory circuitry of *Staphylococcus aureus. Mol. Microbiol.* **81,**
581–587 (2011).

10.   Revilla-Guarinos, A., Gebhard, S., Mascher, T. & Zúñiga, M. Defence against
antimicrobial peptides: different strategies in Firmicutes. *Environ Microbiol* **16,** 1225–
1237 (2014).

11.   Greene, N. P., Kaplan, E., Crow, A. & Koronakis, V. Antibiotic Resistance Mediated
by the MacB ABC Transporter Family: A Structural and Functional Perspective.
Front. Microbiol. **9,** 1923–17 (2018).

12.   Gebhard, S. ABC transporters of antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria -
phylogeny, function and regulation. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86,** 1295–1317 (2012).

13.   Kobras, C. M. *et al.* BceAB-type antibiotic resistance transporters appear to act by
target protection of cell wall synthesis. *bioRxiv* **7,** 15058–36 (2019).

14.   Gao, R. & Stock, A. M. Biological insights from structures of two-component
proteins. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **63,** 133–154 (2009).

15.   Gushchin, I. *et al.* Mechanism of transmembrane signaling by sensor histidine kinases.
Science **356,** eaah6345–9 (2017).

16.   Bhate, M. P., Molnar, K. S., Goulian, M. & DeGrado, W. F. Signal Transduction in
Histidine Kinases: Insights from New Structures. *Structure/Folding and Design* **23,**
981–994 (2015).

17.   Bhate, M. P. *et al.* Structure and Function of the Transmembrane Domain of NsaS, an
Antibiotic Sensing Histidine Kinase in *Staphylococcus aureus. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140,**
7471–7485 (2018).

18.   Monzel, C. & Unden, G. Transmembrane signaling in the sensor kinase DcuS of
Escherichia coli: A long-range piston-type displacement of transmembrane helix 2.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **112,** 11042–11047 (2015).

19.   Tetsch, L. & Jung, K. The regulatory interplay between membrane-integrated sensors
and transport proteins in bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **73,** 982–991 (2009).

20.   Piepenbreier, H., Fritz, G. & Gebhard, S. Transporters as information processors in
bacterial signalling pathways. *Mol. Microbiol.* **104,** 1–15 (2017).

21.   Witan, J. *et al.* Interaction of the *Escherichia coli* transporter DctA with the sensor
kinase DcuS: presence of functional DctA/DcuS sensor units. *Mol. Microbiol.* **85,**
846–861 (2012).

22.   Unden, G., Wörner, S. & Monzel, C. Cooperation of secondary transporters and sensor
kinases in transmembrane signalling: the DctA/DcuS and DcuB/DcuS sensor
complexes of *Escherichia coli. Adv. Microb. Physiol.* **68,** 139–167 (2016).

23. Hsieh, Y.-J. & Wanner, B. L. Global regulation by the seven-component P_i signaling
system. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **13,** 198–203 (2010).
24. Rietkötter, E., Hoyer, D. & Mascher, T. Bacitracin sensing in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **68,** 768–785 (2008).
25. Letunic, I., Doerks, T. & Bork, P. SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain
annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40,** D302–5 (2012).
26. Zhang, Y. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics*
9, 76–8 (2008).
27. Pakula, A. A. & Simon, M. I. Determination of transmembrane protein structure by
disulfide cross-linking: the *Escherichia coli* Tar receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
89, 4144–4148 (1992).
28. Miao, Y., Feher, V. A. & McCammon, J. A. Gaussian Accelerated Molecular
Dynamics: Unconstrained Enhanced Sampling and Free Energy Calculation. *J Chem*
Theory Comput **11,** 3584–3595 (2015).
29. Lai, R.-Z. & Parkinson, J. S. Functional Suppression of HAMP Domain Signaling
Defects in the *E. coli* Serine Chemoreceptor. *J. Mol. Biol.* **426,** 3642–3655 (2014).
30. Hulko, M. *et al.* The HAMP Domain Structure Implies Helix Rotation in
Transmembrane Signaling. *Cell* **126,** 929–940 (2006).
31. Zhou, Q., Ames, P. & Parkinson, J. S. Biphasic control logic of HAMP domain
signalling in the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor. *Mol. Microbiol.* **80,** 596–611
(2011).
32. Ferris, H. U. *et al.* The Mechanisms of HAMP-Mediated Signaling in Transmembrane
Receptors. *Structure/Folding and Design* **19,** 378–385 (2011).
33. Ferris, H. U. *et al.* Mechanism of Regulation of Receptor Histidine Kinases.
Structure/Folding and Design **20,** 56–66 (2012).
34. Matamouros, S., Hager, K. R. & Miller, S. I. HAMP Domain Rotation and Tilting
Movements Associated with Signal Transduction in the PhoQ Sensor Kinase. *mBio* **6,**
e00616–15 (2015).
35. Appleman, J. A. & Stewart, V. Mutational Analysis of a Conserved Signal-
Transducing Element: the HAMP Linker of the *Escherichia coli* Nitrate Sensor NarX.
J. Bacteriol. **185,** 89–97 (2003).
36. Crow, A., Greene, N. P., Kaplan, E. & Koronakis, V. Structure and
mechanotransmission mechanism of the MacB ABC transporter superfamily. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **23,** 201712153–6 (2017).
37. Kallenberg, F., Dintner, S., Schmitz, R. & Gebhard, S. Identification of regions
important for resistance and signalling within the antimicrobial peptide transporter
BceAB of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **195,** 3287–3297 (2013).
38. Ho, S. N., Hunt, H. D., Horton, R. M., Pullen, J. K. & Pease, L. R. Site-directed
mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene* **77,** 51–
59 (1989).
39. Harwood, C. R. & Cutting, S. M. *Molecular biological methods for Bacillus*. (John
Wiley & Sons, 1990).
40. Arnaud, M., Chastanet, A. & Débarbouillé, M. New vector for efficient allelic
replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, Gram-positive bacteria.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70,** 6887–6891 (2004).
41. Radeck, J. *et al.* The *Bacillus* BioBrick Box: generation and evaluation of essential
genetic building blocks for standardized work with *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of*
Biological Engineering **7,** 29 (2013).
42. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature*
Publishing Group **9,** 676–682 (2012).

43.   Yang, J. *et al.* The I-TASSER Suite: protein structure and function prediction. *Nature*
Publishing Group **12,** 7–8 (2015).
44.   Pierce, B. G. *et al.* ZDOCK server: interactive docking prediction of protein-protein
complexes and symmetric multimers. *Bioinformatics* **30,** 1771–1773 (2014).
45.   Krivov, G. G., Shapovalov, M. V. & Dunbrack, R. L. Improved prediction of protein
side-chain conformations with SCWRL4. *Proteins* **77,** 778–795 (2009).
46.   Trott, O. & Olson, A. J. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking
with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J Comput*
Chem **31,** 455–461 (2010).
47.   Meagher, K. L., Redman, L. T. & Carlson, H. A. Development of polyphosphate
parameters for use with the AMBER force field. *J Comput Chem* **24,** 1016–1025
(2003).
48.   Darden, T., York, D. & Pedersen, L. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for
Ewald sums in large systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **98,** 10089–10092
(1993).
49.   Roe, D. R. & Cheatham, T. E., III. PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing
and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. *J Chem Theory Comput* **9,**
3084–3095 (2013).
50.   Miao, Y. *et al.* Improved Reweighting of Accelerated Molecular Dynamics
Simulations for Free Energy Calculation. *J Chem Theory Comput* **10,** 2677–2689
(2014).
833

LIST OF SUPPLEMENTAL FILES

Figure S1. Cysteine scanning and site-directed mutagenesis of the BceS DHp domain.

Figure S2. Cysteine cross-linking following activation of signaling.

Figure S3. Equilibration and principle component analysis of Gaussian accelerated molecular

dynamics simulations of BceS DHp domain conformation.

Figure S4. Cysteine scanning mutagenesis of the BceS HAMP-like domain.

Figure S5. Cysteine scanning mutagenesis of the second transmembrane helix of BceS.

Figure S6. Arginine substitutions in transmembrane helix 2 of BceS.

Figure S7. Signaling phenotypes of BceAB variants.

Table S1. Strains used in this study

Table S2. Primers used in this study

Table S3. Plasmids used in this study

Table S4. Minimal inhibitory concentration of bacitracin in strains carrying BceAB variants

Table S5. Parameters used for GaMD simulations