Conformation control of the histidine kinase BceS of *Bacillus subtilis* by its cognate ABC-transporter facilitates need-based activation of antibiotic resistance

Alan Koh[1,2], Marjorie J. Gibbon[1,2], Marc W. Van der Kamp[3], Christopher R. Pudney[1], Susanne Gebhard[1,2]*

[1]Department of Biology and Biochemistry, [2]Milner Centre for Evolution, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom; [3]School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol, BS8 1TD, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author. Phone: +44 1225 386421; E-mail: s.gebhard@bath.ac.uk;

Running title: Kinase control by an ABC-transporter

Keywords: Signal transduction, flux-sensing, antimicrobial peptide, cell envelope stress

Data accessibility statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

SUMMARY

Bacteria closely control gene expression to ensure optimal physiological responses to their

environment. Such careful gene expression can minimize the fitness cost associated with

antibiotic resistance. We previously described a novel regulatory logic in *Bacillus subtilis*

enabling the cell to directly monitor its need for detoxification. This cost-effective strategy is

achieved via a two-component regulatory system (BceRS) working in a sensory complex

with an ABC-transporter (BceAB), together acting as a flux-sensor where signaling is

proportional to transport activity. How this is realized at the molecular level has remained

unknown. Using experimentation and computation we here show that the histidine kinase is

activated by piston-like displacements in the membrane, which are converted to helical

rotations in the catalytic core via an intervening HAMP-like domain. Intriguingly, the

transporter was not only required for kinase activation, but also to actively maintain the

kinase in its inactive state in the absence of antibiotics. Such coupling of kinase activity to

that of the transporter ensures the complete control required for transport flux-dependent

signaling. Moreover, we show that the transporter likely conserves energy by signaling with

sub-maximal sensitivity. These results provide the first mechanistic insights into transport

flux-dependent signaling, a unique strategy for energy-efficient decision making.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria have exquisite abilities to respond to environmental conditions carefully balancing the benefits of a response against the associated metabolic cost. The importance of this is particularly evident in the context of antibiotic resistance, where one of the main counteracting forces working against the development and spread of resistance is the associated cost in fitness (Andersson and Hughes, 2010; Waglechner and Wright, 2017; Durão *et al.*, 2018). To minimize this cost, many resistance determinants are tightly regulated, which requires that the bacterium is able to accurately determine the severity of an antibiotic attack and adjust gene expression accordingly. We previously described a new sensory strategy in *Bacillus subtilis* that produces an exquisitely fine-tuned response, with three orders of magnitude of output modulation over an input dynamic range of three orders of magnitude (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). This regulatory logic is achieved by a two-component system (BceRS) whose histidine kinase, BceS, works in a sensory complex with an ABC-transporter, BceAB (Dintner *et al.*, 2014; Fritz *et al.*, 2015) (Fig. 1). BceRS controls expression of the *bceAB* operon, and the resulting increased production of the transporter provides resistance against the peptide antibiotic bacitracin (Ohki *et al.*, 2003). A defining characteristic of this system is that the histidine kinase lacks any apparent sensory domains and instead requires the transporter for activation in response to bacitracin (Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008; Hiron *et al.*, 2011).

Such systems are wide-spread among low G+C content Gram-positive bacteria, including bacilli, lactobacilli, staphylococci, streptococci, enterococci and clostridia (Ouyang *et al.*, 2010; Staroń *et al.*, 2011; Hiron *et al.*, 2011; Dintner *et al.*, 2011; Revilla-Guarinos *et al.*, 2013; Gebhard *et al.*, 2014). They are collectively referred to as Bce-like systems and impart resistance against a broad range of cell wall-active peptide antibiotics (Gebhard and Mascher,

Figure 1. Function of the BceRS-BceAB resistance system of *B. subtilis*. BceS and its domains are shown in shades of blue, with the HAMP-like domain in dark turquoise, and DHp domain in dark blue. Only a single monomer of BceS is shown for clarity. The BceB permease is in bright green with the 8th transmembrane helix marked in dark green and the extracellular domain indicated by a green crescent; two molecules of the ATPase BceA are shown in pale green associated with the BceB monomer, according to the A2B stoichiometry (Dintner *et al*., 2014). The left section of the schematic shows the system in its inactive (OFF) state, and the repressing function of the transporter indicated by a red blunt arrow. The right shows the active (ON) state in the presence of antibiotics, exemplified by bacitracin, with the activating function of the transporter shown as a red lightning bolt. The motions of kinase domains upon activation are shown as red block arrows and BceS autophosphorylation by a 'P'. The positioning of the symbols within the sensory complex for repression/activation is arbitrary. ATP hydrolysis reactions and antibiotic removal are indicated by grey arrows; for simplicity ATP hydrolysis is only shown for one ATPase.

2011; Revilla-Guarinos *et al.*, 2014). Based on computational modelling of the response

dynamics in the *B. subtilis* Bce system, we have established that signaling is proportional to

transport activity (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). Because the transporter mediates the actual resistance,

presumably by removal of the antibiotic from its target in the cell envelope (Gebhard, 2012;

Fritz *et al.*, 2015; Greene *et al.*, 2018; Kobras *et al.*, 2020), increased transporter production

acts a negative feedback on signaling, which creates the characteristic gradual, fine-tuned

response (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). This flux-sensing mechanism effectively allows the bacterium to

adjust its response according to its need for additional detoxification capacity, implementing

a cost-effective 'produce-to-demand' strategy. However, the molecular mechanism of this

new signaling strategy, i.e. how the activity of the histidine kinase is controlled within the

sensory complex and how this creates the observed analog response behavior, remains

unknown.

Histidine kinases typically relay extracellular information to the cytoplasm via

autophosphorylation at a conserved histidine residue, which then leads to phosphorylation of

a cytoplasmic response regulator that elicits changes in gene expression (Gao and Stock,

2009). Despite their importance in bacterial signaling, we still have limited understanding of

the molecular mechanisms by which histidine kinases transmit information through the

cytoplasmic membrane. This is in part due to the modular architecture of the kinases, which

allows a plethora of different input domains to be coupled to a conserved catalytic core. The

core consists of a DHp (also known as HisKA) domain that facilitates dimerization and

histidine phosphotransfer, and a C-terminal ATPase domain (Gao and Stock, 2009). The

extracellular input and cytoplasmic core domains are separated by transmembrane segments

and usually at least one cytoplasmic signal transfer domain (e.g. HAMP or PAS domains)

(Gao and Stock, 2009; Gushchin *et al.*, 2017). Recent studies of histidine kinase domains in

isolation or together with an adjacent domain suggest that transmembrane signaling can occur

via rotating and scissoring motions and piston-like helical displacements of transmembrane helices (Bhate, Molnar, Goulian, and DeGrado, 2015a; Monzel and Unden, 2015; Gushchin *et al.*, 2017; Bhate *et al.*, 2018). The nature of transmembrane motions largely appears to depend on their context with sensory and signal transfer domains, although the individual motions are not mutually exclusive (Gushchin and Gordeliy, 2017; Jacob-Dubuisson *et al.*, 2018).

Additionally, several kinases are controlled by accessory proteins. In many cases, these accessory proteins are transporters (Tetsch and Jung, 2009; Piepenbreier *et al.*, 2017), and most act as repressors of kinase activity, with deletion of the transporter causing constitutive activation of signaling (Hsieh and Wanner, 2010; Witan *et al.*, 2012; Unden *et al.*, 2016). The flux-sensing Bce-like systems are unique in that the histidine kinases fully rely on their cognate transporters for activation, and remain inactive when the transporter is deleted (Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008; Gebhard and Mascher, 2011). Moreover, implementing the reported flux-sensing strategy requires kinase activity to be directly proportional to transport activity (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). To our knowledge, no information is available on how accessory proteins may achieve such positive and precise control over a histidine kinase.

In the present study, we sought to understand the mechanistic basis of histidine kinase activation in the Bce system of *Bacillus subtilis* and how this links to the system's analog signaling behavior. Through computational predictions, detailed mutagenesis and cysteine cross-linking and accessibility analyses, we provide evidence that the kinase BceS is activated via helical rotations in the DHp domain, accompanied by a piston movement in the second transmembrane domain. Physical motions are most likely relayed via a poorly conserved intervening HAMP-like domain. We also show that the transporter not only acts as the activator of the kinase, but also controls its inactive state. We propose it is this complete

control over kinase conformation that couples its activity to that of the transporter.

Surprisingly, mutagenesis of the putative signaling domain of the transporter, BceAB,

highlighted that the system has not evolved for maximal signaling sensitivity, which likely

represents an additional layer of fine-tuning and energy conservation.

RESULTS

Generation and validation of a BceS homology model. Analysis of the domain architecture

of BceS using SMART (Letunic *et al.*, 2012) showed two N-terminal transmembrane helices,

predicted to end at residue F55, and a prototypical cytoplasmic kinase core with a DHp

domain from residues E115 to I172 and ATPase domain from S216 to N326. The 60 amino

acid linker between the transmembrane and DHp domains contained no predicted domains.

To investigate the cytoplasmic composition of BceS in more detail, we used I-TASSER

(Zhang, 2008) to predict the tertiary structure of the linker region and DHp domain (residues

R56 to N174; Fig. 2a). The closest structural analog was a hybrid protein consisting of the

HAMP domain of Af1503[A291V] from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* and the DHp domain of *E. coli*

EnvZ (PDB 3ZRW; see methods for quality scores), which shares 20% sequence identity and

40% similarity with the BceS fragment used for structure prediction. The homology model of

BceS showed the typical DHp domain fold of two antiparallel helices (DHp1 and DHp2),

joined by a short loop. In the functional kinase dimer, this will form the four-helix bundle

characteristic for most histidine kinases (Gao and Stock, 2009) (Fig.2c). Interestingly, the

linker between the membrane interface and DHp domain adopted a HAMP-like conformation

with two short parallel helices (HAMP-like 1 and HAMP-like 2), where HAMP-like 2

continues into DHp1 as a single continuous helix (Fig. 2a). The relevance of this domain,

which had not been predicted in previous analyses (Mascher, 2006; Dintner *et al.*, 2011), is

Figure 2: BceS DHp domain forms a four-helix bundle. a, Homology model of the BceS HAMP-like and DHp domains generated by I-TASSER (C-score = -0.76). The HAMP-like domain is shown in purple and the DHp domain in blue. The residues that form Cys-crosslinks in panel b are highlighted, E115 (red), E118 (purple) and L119 (green). Residue K167, which sits opposite E115, is shown in orange. b, Percentage of crosslinking for each BceS Cys variant was calculated from relative band intensities between monomer and dimer within each lane using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct bceSWT-His8 (SGB369) and BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 2-5 independent repeats. c, d, Schematic diagram of the BceS DHp dimer configuration. Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Left, top down view of the helices in the structural model; right, helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp domain; residues that form crosslinks are highlighted as before.

discussed in more detail below. It should be noted that confidence in the exact conformation

of the loops linking the two helices in the HAMP-like domain, and the short loop linking the

two DHp domain helices is low in the homology model due to the presence of Ramachandran

outliers (see Methods). However, the overall model still provided a plausible illustration of

the BceS structure and was used to inform further experimental design.

To test the accuracy of the homology model, we probed the relative orientation of helices

within the DHp domain four-helix bundle, based on proximity of individual residues, using

oxidative cysteine crosslinking. These experiments were done *in vivo* using cells deleted for

the native copy of *bceS* and carrying a single-copy ectopic construct for expression of *bceS*

with a C-terminal His_8-tag*,* allowing slight over-production of the kinase to facilitate

detection by Western blot analysis. BceS contains three native Cys residues, C45 in the

second transmembrane helix and C198 and C259 in the ATPase domain. Based on their

physical distance from each other and the DHp domain, we did not expect these residues to

interfere with our analysis, and indeed no crosslinking product was observed upon oxidation

with iodine in wild-type BceS (Fig. 2b). Next, single cysteine substitutions were introduced

in the first two helical turns of the helix DHp1 (N114 to M120), and in equivalent positions

near the end of the helix DHp2 (Q166 to R168). Disulfide bond formation in these variants

should only occur if the substituted residues come into close proximity in the kinase dimer

(Pakula and Simon, 1992). The only residues that consistently formed detectable crosslinks

were at positions 115, 118 and 119, with the highest degree of crosslinking at position 115

(Fig. 2b). This is in good agreement with their positioning at the core of the four-helix bundle

and shows that the structural model closely reflects the conformation of BceS in the cell (Fig.

2c).

To ensure that the introduction of cysteines had not affected the integrity of the protein, we

tested the activity of each BceS variant *in vivo*. This was based on their ability to induce

expression from the system's target promoter fused to a reporter gene (P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* or

P_{bceA}-*lacZ*), following exposure to the antibiotic bacitracin (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). Only the

K116C and K167C substitutions led to a complete loss of activity (Fig. S1a). It is therefore

possible that the lack of crosslinking observed for the latter, which also faces the core of the

DHp domain (Fig. 2c), could be explained by defects in the protein rather than the distance

between DHp2 helices in the kinase dimer.

Charge substitutions in the DHp core suggest a rotation mechanism of kinase

activation. Inspection of the DHp domain revealed a striking concentration of charged

residues at the membrane-proximal end of the four-helix bundle (Fig. 3). In the wild-type

kinase, E115 and K167 create a net neutral charge in the bundle core. As described above and

previously (Fritz *et al.*, 2015), cells harbouring wild-type BceS strongly activate expression

of the P_{bceA}-*lux* reporter in the presence of bacitracin, while in the absence of the antibiotic

the activity of the reporter is below the detection limit (Fig. 3a, WT). Interestingly, creation

of an 'all negative' (K167D substitution) or 'all positive' (E115K substitution) DHp core

resulted in a strong increase in the basal level of the kinase, while the activity in the presence

of the inducer remained unaffected (Fig. 3a). To test whether this effect was indeed due to the

changes in core charge and not to substitutions at this position *per se*, we introduced a series

of other amino acids into position 115. Exchanging E115 for a different positively charged

amino acid, Arg, again resulted in an increase in basal activity, although less pronounced

(Fig. S1c). Substitution for Ala or Cys had no detectable effect on activity, showing that the

presence of charged amino acids was not in itself important for kinase function. This is

consistent with the high density of charged residues in this part of BceS not being conserved

among its homologues ((Dintner *et al.*, 2011) and data not shown). Moreover, the constitutive

Figure 3: Charges within the BceS DHp domain core affect kinase activity. a, BceS signaling activity in strains harbouring PbceA-luxABCDE and Pxyl-bceS. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct bceSWT-His8 (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1µg ml-1 of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 4-22 biological repeats. The schematic below shows the location of individual and net charges in the core and stabilisation points within the four-helix bundle, as illustrated in panel b. Red, positive charge; orange, negative charge. Unpaired t-test comparison to WT showed significant differences (p<0.0001) in the absence of bacitracin for all variants except K116E (p=0.8), and no significant differences (p>0.1) in the presence of bacitracin for all variants, except K116E (p=0.04). Differences with vs without bacitracin were significant (p<0.005) in all strains. b, Helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp dimer configuration showing location of charges and stabilisation points (pink and cyan). Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Grey curved arrows denote the direction of helical rotation. For orientation, the distance between residues at position 115 is likely to be in the range of 4-12 Å based on our cysteine cross-linking results. c, 2D plots of potential of mean force (PMF) obtained in GaMD simulations of the wild type (WT, left) and E115K/K116E variant (right) protein. Inter-monomer distances shown are between the Cα carbon atoms of residues at positions 115 (x-axes) and 167 (y-axes). The PMFs are projected onto the surface in kcal mol−1 indicated by the heat scale.

kinase activity could be returned to near wild-type levels by restoring the net neutral charge

through combining the E115K and K167D substitutions (Fig. 3a). We therefore concluded

that the high constitutive activity in the absence of the inducer was indeed due to the presence

of four residues with the same charge in the DHp domain core.

In the current model for histidine kinase activation, the DHp domain undergoes a helical

rotation (Gushchin *et al.*, 2017). The strong activating effect we observed upon introduction

of four residues of the same charge at the core of the domain made it tempting to speculate

that charge repulsion may force BceS to undergo such a rotating motion, placing it in its

activated state. According to our homology model, rotation of the helix DHp1 in a counter-

clockwise direction and DHp2 in clockwise should create two new points of contact between

charged residues: point I between E118 and K167, and point II between K116 and R168 (Fig.

3b). The opposing charges in point I should have a stabilizing effect on the rotated state, and

removing this in the K167D variant may explain its lower basal activity compared to E115K

(Fig. 3a). In contrast, the proximity of two positive charges in point II should have a de-

stabilizing effect. Replacing one of these with a negative charge (K116E) by itself had no

effect on kinase activity. However, combining K116E with the activating effect of the E115K

substitution led to a further increase of basal kinase activity compared to E115K alone (Fig.

3a). This effect is entirely consistent with kinase activation through helical rotations in the

DHp domain, where we could achieve the highest artificial activation of the protein through

creating charge repulsion in the kinase core, while stabilizing the rotated state through

opposing charges in the two new points of contact.

Attempts were made to detect this DHp domain rotation *in vivo* using cysteine crosslinking,

either following induction with bacitracin or using the constitutively active E115K/K116E

variant. No quantitative conclusions could be drawn, however, due to the very large

experimental errors (Fig. S2). To provide further evidence of conformational change in the

DHp domain, we turned to molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. As discussed above, the

homology model (Fig. 2) shows excellent agreement with experimental validation, indicating

that it is a reasonable starting point for MD simulations. Given the relatively large putative

rotation, we applied Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) to more completely sample

conformational space (Miao *et al.*, 2015).

To assess conformational differences in the DHp domain between the wild-type kinase and

the constitutively active E115K/K116E variant, GaMD simulations were performed on both

for a total of 2 μs each (four replicas of 500 ns; Figure S3a). From our simulations, we

calculated the potential of mean force (PMF) for a series of internuclear distances for both

wild-type and variant residues, to serve as a proxy for the energetic barrier between

conformational sub-states. To best illustrate the relative orientation of helices in the DHp

domain bundle, we focused on positions 115 and 167, facing the bundle core (Fig. 3). The

PMF plots for the internuclear pairs of these residues between monomeric units of the DHp

domain are shown in Figure 3c. The lower the PMF value, the more likely the helices will

occupy the conformational state defined by the internuclear distances shown. In the wild-type

DHp domain, the PMF plot for distances between monomers at amino acids 115 and 167

shows a broad minimum across most of conformational space (Fig. 3c, left). This suggest that

there is a relatively high propensity for the domain to explore a diversity of conformational

space. Figure 3c (right) shows the same data for the variant DHp, which, instead of the broad

minimum, now shows two distinct, more restricted minima. More specifically, the tendency

towards a longer K167 distance in the variant would seem consistent with our model for helix

rotation (Figure 3b). To more clearly explore the differences between conformational space

accessed, we performed principal components analysis (PCA) of the data from Figure 3c.

This indicates that there is a significant difference in the principal component space sampled

(Fig. S3b), meaning the variant DHp occupies a conformational space that is not accessible to

the wild-type domain. Based on the high constitutive activity of the variant, it is plausible to

assume that this new conformational space represents the active state of the kinase.

Importantly, our simulations show that the wild-type kinase is unable to adopt this

conformation on the simulated time scales. This is consistent with our *in vivo* data that shows

no detectable kinase activity without activation through the transporter.

BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. Contrary to previous predictions

(Mascher, 2006; Dintner *et al.*, 2011), the BceS homology model showed a domain with

structural similarity to a HAMP domain between the second transmembrane helix and the

DHp domain. HAMP domains adopt a parallel four-helix bundle arrangement with two

alternative states of helix packing that transmit a conformational change from an upstream

input to a downstream output domain by turning various input movements consistently into

helical rotation (Hulko *et al.*, 2006; Lai and Parkinson, 2014; Gushchin *et al.*, 2017). The

four-helix bundle is stabilized through multiple packing layers, predominantly mediated by

hydrophobic residues (Hulko *et al.*, 2006; Zhou *et al.*, 2011). A sequence alignment of known

HAMP domains and the HAMP-like domain of BceS is shown in Fig. 4a, with the positions

of the critical packing points highlighted. The hydrophobicity at these positions is largely

conserved in BceS, with the exception of two positions occupied by negatively charged Glu

instead. Additionally, the conserved Pro residue in helix 1, and Glu in helix 2, typical for

HAMP domains, are not present in BceS (Fig. 4a).

Previous investigations of HAMP domains have highlighted one particular residue with key

influence on the signaling state, most commonly occupied by small hydrophobic residues that

favour bundle packing (Hulko *et al.*, 2006). This residue corresponds to L67 in BceS (Fig. 4a,

black arrow). Based on structural work on the HAMP domain of the *A. fulgidus* protein

254 Af1503, this residue (A291 in Af1503) is crucial in controlling the domain's conformational

255 state. Specifically, it is thought that increasing residue size at this position decreases the

256 threshold for conversion between packing states and can cause increased signal

257 responsiveness or elevated kinase activity, while smaller residues decrease activity (Hulko *et*

258 *al.*, 2006; Ferris *et al.*, 2011; Ferris *et al.*, 2012) (Matamouros *et al.*, 2015).

259 To test if the HAMP-like domain in BceS fulfils an equivalent function to *bona fide* HAMP

260 domains, we therefore investigated the role of amino acid size at this position by changing

261 L67 to the smaller Gly and Cys, as well as the larger Phe (Fig. 4b). Indeed, smaller size

262 substitutions reduced BceS activity, up to a near-complete loss of induction in the Gly variant

263 (Fig. 4c). Increasing the size to Phe, however, did not lead to an increase of signaling nor

264 basal activity of BceS. This is consistent with small residues potentially increasing the

265 threshold for conversion between domain conformations (Hulko *et al.*, 2006; Ferris *et al.*,

266 2011), but we could not find a stimulating effect through a larger residue. We nevertheless

267 conclude that the HAMP-like domain in BceS is likely a functional equivalent to

268 conventional HAMP domains in other proteins contributing to signal transfer within the

269 histidine kinase.

270 For further functional investigation, we carried out Cys scanning mutagenesis of the HAMP-

271 like domain. The majority of variants maintained at least partial signaling activity (Fig. S4),

272 and in contrast to other studies (Appleman and Stewart, 2003; Matamouros *et al.*, 2015), we

273 did not find any substitutions that led to constitutive activation. The only two substitutions

274 that caused a complete loss of function were E60C and F63C (Fig. 4 and S4). Interestingly,

275 these fall on the first two positions of the packing motif (Fig. 4a, white arrows and 4d), with

276 E60 located one packing layer above the critical L67 discussed earlier, and are therefore

277 likely of structural importance. Both variant proteins are present in the cytoplasmic

Figure 4: BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. a, Sequence alignment of known HAMP domains and the BceS HAMP-like domain generated with ClustalO. Residues in red form the packing layers in the Af1503 HAMP domain. The black solid arrow indicates the packing residue that influences signaling state in some HAMP domains. White open arrows indicate E60 and F63 critical for BceS function. Proteins included and their GenBank identifiers are Af1503 (O28769), BceS (BSU30390), PhoQ (P23837), CpxA (P0AE82), EnvZ (P0AEJ4), NarX (P0AFA2), Tsr (P02942), Tar (P07017), Aer (P50466). b, Schematic showing amino acids and their sizes in MW used in size substitutions. c, BceS signaling activity following L67 amino acid size substitution harbouring PbceA-luxABCDE and Pxyl-bceS. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in the parent construct bceSWT-His8 (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1µg ml-1 of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 3-18 biological repeats. **, significant difference (p<0.01) in activity compared to WT construct, determined by un-paired t-test; ns, not significant; in the absence of bacitracin, no significant differences were detected. d, Helical wheel diagram of the HAMP-like domain. Individual helices are abbreviated HL-1 and HL-2; the labels HL-1' and HL-2' show the second protomer. Residues essential for BceS activity are E60 (red) and F63 (yellow); the key packing residue L67 is shown in blue; red/blue semicircles are used to indicate positioning of residues in subsequent helical layers. e, BceS signaling activity of the constitutive ON variant (BceSE115K) combined with loss-of-function substitutions at positions 60 and 63. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct bceSWT-His8 (SGB401). Signaling in cells harbouring PbceA-lacZ and Pxyl-bceS was induced with 1µg ml-1 of bacitracin, BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Signalling is reported as -galactosidase activity in Miller units, and data are shown as mean ± SD from 3-7 biological repeats. The inset shows an anti-His Western blot analysis to confirm membrane localisation and protein levels of wild-type (WT) BceS and its variants.

membrane at the same levels as the wild type protein according to Western blot analysis (Fig.

4e inset), showing that the lack of signaling is not caused by general protein misfolding. To

test if the defect of the two variants was due to a loss of signal transfer from either the

membrane region of the protein or the transporter, we combined each of the LOF mutations

with the E115K substitution that constitutively activates BceS. All resulting variants

remained inactive (Fig. 4e), suggesting that the substitutions in the HAMP-like domain likely

caused structural disruptions, rather than specifically affecting signal transfer.

Activation of BceS involves a piston-like displacement in transmembrane helix 2. We

next investigated the conformation of the second transmembrane helix in BceS. In many

histidine kinases, conformational changes in an extracellular sensory domain are transmitted

to the cytoplasmic region through physical movement of the intervening transmembrane helix

(Gao and Stock, 2009). In the case of BceS, however, signaling is entirely reliant on the

BceAB transport system and therefore does not involve canonical transmembrane

information transfer. To investigate if BceS activation nevertheless involved transmembrane

helix movements, we carried out a cysteine accessibility scan (Scan-SCAM, (Monzel and

Unden, 2015)) of its second transmembrane helix. This analysis requires a cysteine-free

background, and we therefore replaced the three native Cys residues mentioned earlier by

serine and confirmed that he C45S/C198S/C259S BceS variant was still fully functional (Fig.

S5). Individual cysteine replacements were done from positions 51 to 65, surrounding the

predicted membrane interface at W54 or F55. The essential residues E60 and F63 were not

replaced. All variants were signaling competent (Fig. S5).

The position relative to the membrane was then tested for each Cys residue based on its

solvent accessibility, using a modified version of the established *E. coli* protocol (Monzel and

Unden, 2015). In brief, treatment of whole cells with N-ethyl-maleimide (NEM) should block

any solvent-exposed Cys residue, whereas in untreated cells the sulfhydryl groups should

remain available for later reactions. Following isolation of membranes, any unblocked Cys

residues are then reacted with methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal), resulting

in a 10 kDa increase in size, detectable by Western Blotting. For cytoplasmic Cys residues in

BceS, we therefore expected to see a shift in size only in samples that had not been treated

with NEM (Fig. 5, blue labels). In contrast to the established *E. coli* methodology (Monzel

and Unden, 2015), we could not observe PEGylation of membrane-embedded Cys residues in

B. subtilis, regardless of the presence of SDS, possibly due to differences in membrane

composition. We therefore interpreted those residues as being membrane embedded that did

not show a size shift in either presence or absence of NEM treatment (Fig. 5, red labels).

Our results showed that residues up to position 56 resided in the solvent-inaccessible

membrane environment, while residues from Y57 onwards were accessible and therefore

cytoplasmic (Fig. 5, 'OFF state'). We then repeated the same analysis in the background of

the E115K/K116E substitutions that place the kinase in a constitutively active conformation.

Here, residues from F55 onwards became consistently solvent-accessible (Fig. 5, 'ON state'),

showing that BceS activation involved an inward piston-like displacement by two amino

acids of the second transmembrane helix. An interesting observation was residue Y64, which

labelled as inaccessible in the OFF state, despite being surrounded by solvent-exposed

positions. It is likely that this residue is involved in protein-protein interactions, e.g. within

BceS or potentially with BceB, that in the inactive state prevent its labelling through steric

effects, as has been proposed before (Monzel and Unden, 2015).

We next sought to test whether BceS could be activated by artificially inducing a shift

through introduction of positively charged arginine residues near the membrane interface.

This approach has been shown to cause signal-independent activation of the *E. coli* histidine

Figure 5: Accessibility of Cys residues at the membrane interface shows a piston-like displacement upon BceS activation. a, Schematic representation of transmembrane helix 2 in the OFF and ON states, respectively. Relevant native amino acids are indicated in one-letter code. Solvent-accessible (PEGylated) Cys replacements are shown in blue, inaccessible (unPEGylated) Cys replacements in red and residues not tested in white. Residues circled in black were tested in triplicate, those circled in grey in duplicate. b, Anti-His Western blot analysis of solvent-accessibility of Cys replacements (Scan-SCAM). Bands corresponding to PEGylated (S-PEG) and unmodified (S) BceS are indicated; individual lanes are outlined in the same colour code as used in panel a. 'Cys-less' indicates the parent variant of BceS lacking its native Cys residues. OFF state: amino acids substituted in parent construct bceSC45S/C198S/C259S-His8 (SGB936). ON state: amino acids substituted in parent construct bceSE115K/K116E/C45S/C198S/C259S-His8 (SGB951).

kinase DcuS (Monzel and Unden, 2015). We therefore substituted three of the residues near

the cytoplasmic end of transmembrane helix 2 of BceS (W54, F55 and Y57) with Arg,

individually and in pairs. (Fig. S6). Variants containing the W54R substitution had

approximately 60% higher activities upon stimulation with bacitracin (Fig. S6), which may

indicate a stabilisation of or lowered transition threshold to the activated state. However,

none of the variants showed a detectable change of basal activity in the presence or absence

of the input transporter BceAB, leading us to conclude that Arg substitution alone did not

remove the strict reliance on BceAB for signaling.

The transporter BceAB has complete control over kinase conformation. It was

previously shown for several Bce-like sytems that signaling is completely reliant on the

transporter (Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008; Ouyang *et al.*, 2010; Staroń *et al.*, 2011; Hiron *et al.*,

2011; Gebhard *et al.*, 2014). We further showed through computational modelling that the

signaling output via BceS is directly proportional to the activity of BceAB and does not

follow the switch-like on/off behaviour seen in most other systems using transporters as

accessory sensing proteins (Fritz *et al.*, 2015; Piepenbreier *et al.*, 2017). This led us to

hypothesise that BceAB completely controls the conformation of BceS within the sensory

complex and thus couples kinase activity directly to its own transport activity. Our discovery

of the DHp domain variants that cause artificial activation of BceS now for the first time

enabled us to test this hypothesis experimentally by investigating the role of BceAB in

controlling the OFF state of the kinase.

In cells harbouring the wild-type sequence of BceS, the OFF-state activity was below the

detection limit of our assays, and deletion of the transporter therefore had no measurable

effect (Fig. 6). However, in the E115K or the E115K/K116E variants, basal kinase activity

was high, allowing us to test the effect of *bceAB* deletion in the absence of bacitracin.

Figure 6: Control of BceS activity by the transporter BceAB. Signaling activity of BceS was measured in strains harbouring PbceA-luxABCDE and challenged with 10μg ml-1 of bacitracin (grey) or left untreated (black). Amino acid substitutions were introduced into parent construct Pxyl-bceS-His8, in a strain background carrying a ΔbceS deletion (BceAB +; SGB792), or a ΔbceSAB deletion (BceAB -; SGB818). Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-12 biological repeats. Results from unpaired t-test are shown by brackets across the strains and conditions compared, analyzing basal and induced activities separately. ****, p<0.0001; ***, p <0.001; ns, p>0.05. Differences with versus without bacitracin were significant (p<0.005) in all strains.

Removing the transporter in this background caused a striking further increase in activity,

rendering the kinase fully activated irrespective of the presence of the inducing antibiotic

(Fig. 6). Therefore, the inactive transporter can inhibit the activity of the constitutively active

DHp domain variants approximately ten-fold. Our data are consistent with a model where

inactive BceAB exerts close control over BceS keeping the kinase in its inactive state (Fig. 1,

left). This reveals a further layer of kinase control in addition to the transporter's known

activating effect, e.g. preventing spurious signaling in the absence of antibiotics.

BceAB has not evolved for maximal signaling sensitivity. Recent structural work has

provided new insights into the functional mechanism of the Type VII superfamily of ABC

transporters, to which BceAB belongs. They act as mechanotransmitters, using cytoplasmic

ATP hydrolysis to provide energy for periplasmic processes, such as removal of antibiotics

(Crow *et al.*, 2017). In *E. coli* MacB, mechanotransmission is achieved by the transmembrane

helix linking the cytoplasmic ATPase interaction site to the periplasmic domain (Crow *et al.*,

2017). In BceAB, the equivalent region is its eighth transmembrane helix (Fig. 1, dark green)

(Greene *et al.*, 2018). Based on our earlier discovery that this region of BceB contained a

particularly high density of mutations leading to a loss of signaling (Kallenberg *et al.*, 2013),

we speculated that this region was crucial for the communication between transporter and

kinase. We therefore carried out another Cys scan of residues 533 to 556 in BceB,

encompassing approximately half of transmembrane helix 8 and the first alpha-helical

segment of the adjacent cytoplasmic region. The native Cys at position 544 was replaced by

Ser. Expression of *bceAB* was from a xylose-inducible promoter for consistent transporter

production irrespective of signaling activity. The resulting variants, except G535C, displayed

comparable bacitracin resistance to the isogenic progenitor strain (Table S2), confirming full

functionality of the transporters.

Signaling assays showed that all variants except G543C reached a similar amplitude of

reporter induction as strains containing the wild-type construct (Fig. S7). However, we

observed some clear difference in the sensitivity of signaling, i.e. the minimal concentration

of antibiotic required to elicit a response. In cells with the wild-type construct, the lowest

bacitracin concentration to trigger signaling was 3 µg ml^{-1}, while a number of variants had a

higher threshold of 10 µg ml^{-1} (Fig. 7, green vs pink dots; Fig. S7). The majority of these fell

into the C-terminal part of the scanned region, indicating potential defects in coupling to the

ATPase. Surprisingly, we found several variants that showed a marked increase in signaling

sensitivity and responded already at 0.3 µg ml^{-1} or even 0.03 µg ml^{-1} bacitracin (Fig. 7, dark

blue dots; Fig. S7). We had previously seen that a reduction of BceAB transport activity can

cause such a shift in signaling threshold, because the negative feedback created by removal of

the antibiotic is missing (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). However, as none of these variants showed any

change in resistance (Table S2), loss of antibiotic removal cannot explain the increased

signaling sensitivity.

Residue mapping showed that they occurred with a regular periodicity of every four amino

acids (Fig. 7b), suggesting positions along the same face of the transmembrane helix. Figure

7c shows a homology model from I-TASSER of the final three transmembrane helices of

BceB for illustration. Substitutions with the relatively small amino acid Cys may have

enabled tighter helix packing, potentially contributing to more effective signaling. To test

this, we replaced two of the positions, L546 and Q550, with a series of other amino acids,

including small Ala; charged Glu or Asp; and large Phe. Regardless of the substitution,

deviation from the wild-type sequence at these positions consistently led to an increase in

signaling sensitivity (Fig. 7b). It is difficult to envisage that all substitutions caused tighter

protein interactions. An alternative explanation may be that they are involved in coupling

extracellular ligand binding to ATP hydrolysis, and that weakened coupling through the

Figure 7: BceAB signals with sub-maximal sensitivity. Amino acid substitutions were introduced into the Pxyl-bceAB-FLAG3 parent construct and signaling assessed using either a PbceA-lacZ (SGB370 and derivatives) or PbceA-luxABCDE (SGB731 and derivatives) reporter. Cells were challenged with a series of bacitracin concentrations for 45 minutes, before signalling activities were determined. a, Signaling phenotype of wild-type (WT) BceAB and representative variants (see Fig. S7 for complete datasets). The colored dots indicate the type of signaling behavior as depicted in panel b (green, WT; blue, hypersensitive signaling; pink, defective signaling). Data are mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. b, Distribution of signaling phenotypes along the transmembrane helix 8 of BceB. The same color symbols are used as in panel a, and the y-axis indicates the threshold bacitracin concentration giving signaling above background. For clarity, threshold concentrations below 0.3 g ml-1 are not resolved . The first line of x-axis labels gives native amino acids, the lines below indicate substitutions tested. The bar above the graph shows positions in the BceB sequence and areas within the membrane (grey bar) or in the cytoplasm (black line). c, Homology model of the C-terminal three transmembrane helices of BceB (from amino acid 507, C-score -0.66) generated using I-TASSER; the top structural analog was MacB of Acinetobacter baumanii (PDB 5WS4). Positions where amino acid substitutions caused increased signaling sensitivity are highlighted in blue. The approximate predicted membrane boundaries are indicated by a white dashed line.

substitutions allowed signaling to occur at lower concentrations. Despite numerous genetic

and biochemical attempts, the precise residues with which BceS and BceB interact remain

unknown, likely due to an extensive interface between the proteins involving multiple

contacts.

While it was beyond the scope of this study to pursue a further functional characterization of

the transporter, our findings clearly show that BceB contains a series of residues that prevent

signaling from occurring at lower bacitracin concentrations. Given that any replacement we

tested led to more sensitive signaling, we therefore concluded that evolution of the

transporter has actively avoided such hyper-sensitive signaling, constituting a further layer in

minimizing the fitness cost of resistance. Under laboratory conditions, we have not yet been

able to detect a competitive disadvantage from harbouring hyper-sensitive variants of

BceAB. However, fast growth in carefully controlled conditions is not representative of the

complex microbial interactions in natural habitats, where energy-conserving regulatory

strategies will likely contribute to the competitive success of a microorganism.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we set out to investigate the molecular mechanisms of the flux-sensing strategy

employed by Bce-like antibiotic resistance systems. Their hallmark feature is that histidine

kinase activity is directly proportional to transport activity across a large input dynamic range

of antibiotic concentrations (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). This careful adaptation of signaling ensures

the resistance transporter is produced precisely to the cell's demand for detoxification

capacity, a very cost-efficient strategy to control gene expression. The results presented here

now provide molecular level understanding of how such close control over kinase activity

can be achieved.

Analyses of BceS conformation in the inactive and active state showed a piston-like inward

displacement of the second transmembrane helix upon kinase activation, associated with

helical rotation within the DHp domain as inferred from mutagenesis analysis and GaMD

simulations. Tertiary structure predictions and experimental validation indicate that BceS

possesses an intervening HAMP-like domain that likely fulfils a signal transfer function.

While bacterial transmembrane histidine kinases have been studied for decades and we have

a very good understanding of the function of the individual domains (reviewed in Gao and

Stock, 2009; Jacob-Dubuisson *et al.*, 2018)), a complete understanding of how extracellular

events (e.g. ligand binding) are transmitted to the cytoplasmic catalytic domains to trigger

autophosphorylation is only beginning to emerge (Gushchin and Gordeliy, 2017; Jacob-

Dubuisson *et al.*, 2018). In a canonical transmembrane histidine kinase, extracellular ligand

binding causes conformational changes in the sensory domain, which will directly influence

the transmembrane segments. Due to the diversity of sensory domains, there is also a

diversity in the conformational changes received by the transmembrane helices. In the nitrate

sensor NarQ of *E. coli*, for example, nitrate binding by the sensory domain causes a complex

combination of a helical break between sensory and transmembrane domains with scissoring

and twisting motions at the periplasmic end of the membrane helices. The net result of this is

a piston-shift displacement perpendicular to the membrane, which in turn elicits

conformational changes in the adjacent cytoplasmic HAMP domain (Gushchin *et al.*, 2017).

In the *E. coli* kinases DcuS and CitA, binding of fumarate or citrate, respectively, by an

extracellular PAS domain causes compaction of the sensory domain, which displaces the

adjacent transmembrane helix towards the periplasm, again resulting in a piston-shift

(Monzel and Unden, 2015; Salvi *et al.*, 2017). A piston-shift in the opposite direction, i.e.

towards the cytoplasm, is seen in activation of *E. coli* chemoreceptors like Tar (reviewed in

Parkinson *et al.*, 2015).

Alternative motions in transmembrane signalling are diagonal scissoring displacements, for

example in the antimicrobial peptide and cation sensor PhoQ (Molnar *et al.*, 2014), or helical

rotations in kinases such as the quorum sensor AgrC of *Staphylococcus aureus* (Wang *et al.*,

2014). Due to the diversity of conformational changes, there is currently no consensus model

for transmembrane signaling by histidine kinase, and it is likely that the different motions can

work together within a single protein (Gushchin and Gordeliy, 2017; Jacob-Dubuisson *et al.*,

2018). Our data for BceS suggest that despite its unusual input mechanism via the BceAB

transporter, transmembrane signaling is consistent with existing models of kinase activation

and occurs via an inward piston displacement. It is of course possible that further structural

changes of higher complexity occur in BceS, or indeed within BceS-BceB sensory complex.

The unusual behavior of residue Y64 in the Scan-SCAM analyses may provide a first

glimpse of such, but this is not currently resolved by our experimental data.

To complete signaling and kinase activation, the transmembrane motions will have to be

translated into changes within the conserved kinase core to cause autophosphorylation, the

common theme for which is helical rotation (Gushchin and Gordeliy, 2017; Jacob-Dubuisson

et al., 2018). In kinases where transmembrane signaling already occurs via rotation, the

conformational change can likely be directly propagated to the core (Gushchin and Gordeliy,

2017). Kinases that signal via piston-displacements, however, require a means of converting

this motion into a helical rotation. Recent crystallographic evidence has provided detailed

molecular insights into how HAMP domains act as such converters of conformational change

(Gushchin *et al.*, 2017). While BceS lacks a *bona fide* HAMP domain, our results are in good

agreement with such a mode of signaling, where the piston-shift of transmembrane helix 2 is

translated into helical rotations within the DHp domain via the intervening HAMP-like

domain.

An earlier NMR study reported on the structure of the BceS-like kinase NsaS from *S. aureus*,

which possesses a much shorter linker region between its transmembrane and DHp domains

(Bhate, Molnar, Goulian, and DeGrado, 2015b). This linker region adopts a coiled-coil

conformation instead of the HAMP-like fold of BceS. Inspection of kinase sequences in an

existing collection of Bce-like resistance systems (Dintner *et al.*, 2011) showed that the vast

majority of these proteins contained the larger version of the linker (approximately 60 amino

acids), and only a small number possessed short (around 20 amino acid) linkers with a

predicted coiled-coil motif. BceS therefore appears to be the more typical subtype of this

group of kinases than NsaS. Interestingly however, akin to the alternative helix packing

mechanism proposed for HAMP domains (Hulko *et al.*, 2006), activation of NsaS was also

proposed to involve switching between helical packing states of the coiled-coil (Bhate,

Molnar, Goulian, and DeGrado, 2015b). The molecular principles of activation may therefore

be conserved between both subtypes of BceS-like kinases.

To further investigate the activation mechanism of BceS, we sought to force each of the

conformational changes via amino acid substitutions. Charge repulsion at the core of the DHp

domain to trigger helical rotation consistently led to kinase activation without a physiological

stimulus (Fig. 3). However, we were unable to artificially trigger activation through

substitutions that should have induced piston displacements in the membrane (Fig. S6) or

induced the active conformation of the HAMP domain (Fig. 4 and S4). This is in contrast to

studies of other kinases where such substitutions least partially raises the basal activity

(Appleman and Stewart, 2003; Matamouros *et al.*, 2015; Monzel and Unden, 2015). In

canonical histidine kinases, the input signal is detected directly, e.g. through ligand binding,

and it might be speculated that this might be relatively easy to mimic through amino acid

substitutions. In contrast, BceS-like proteins are subject to control by the transporter. It is

conceivable that in such a kinase small changes in individual domains are insufficient for

artificial activation, unless the DHp domain is directly manipulated. This may be supported

by the MD simulations, which showed that the wild-type DHp domain does not by itself

sample the conformational space observed in the active variant (Fig. S3), implying the

transporter may be required to provide the necessary force for transition to the active state.

Transporters are commonly used for accessory signaling control, but BceAB is unusual in

that it is an activator of signaling, while most others act as repressors (Piepenbreier *et al.*,

2017). We previously showed that transporter and kinase constitutively form a signaling

complex in the membrane, irrespective of the inducer, bacitracin (Dintner *et al.*, 2014). To

activate the kinase proportionally to transport activity, the transporter must therefore be able

to directly influence kinase activity within this complex. When we first tested this *in vitro*, we

noted that BceAB reduced kinase autophosphorylation in the OFF state, but could not explain

the observation at the time (Dintner *et al.*, 2014). Here, we now showed that the transporter

indeed not only controls the ON but also the OFF state of BceS (Fig. 6). We propose that

through controlling both states of the kinase, the transporter is able to exert the close control

needed to explain how signaling is maintained in proportion to transport activity over more

than three orders of magnitude in antibiotic concentrations (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). A crucial

feature of the flux-sensing mechanism is an immediate reduction of signaling if transport

activity is low, either because the antibiotic threat is over or because of sufficient

detoxification capacity (Fritz *et al.*, 2015). The active switching off of BceS by BceAB

described here explains how this is realized on the molecular level. Complete control also

allows the transporter to actively prevent spurious signaling by the two-component system.

Finally, our mutagenesis analysis of BceAB revealed that evolution of the transporter itself

appears to have actively avoided signaling at very low antibiotic concentrations, highlighting

yet another level of cost reduction.

Taken together, we here present a molecular level model for activation of antibiotic

resistance based directly on the cell's need for protection, using a flux-sensing signaling

strategy that employs multiple layers of active energy conservation to minimize fitness costs

of resistance. The system is centered on two-component signaling, one of the most important

regulatory features of bacteria. Our findings should therefore have wide relevance and serve

as a model for understanding how fine-tuned gene expression in bacteria can achieve an

optimal cost-benefit balance.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All strains used in this study are listed in table S1

and were routinely grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB; 10 g l^{-1} tryptone, 5 g l^{-1} yeast extract, 5 g l^{-1}

^{1} NaCl) at 37 ºC with aeration. Solid media contained 15 g l^{-1} agar. Selective media contained

chloramphenicol (5 µg ml^{-1}), macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (erythromycin; 1 µg

ml^{-1}, lincomycin; 25 µg ml^{-1}), spectinomycin (100 µg ml^{-1}), kanamycin (10 µg ml^{-1}), or

ampicillin (100 µg ml^{-1}).

DNA manipulation and strain construction. All primers and plasmids used in this study

are given in table S1. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out according to the

manufacturer's instructions for the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent

Technologies), or by PCR-overlap extension (Ho *et al.*, 1989). *Bacillus subtilis*

transformations were carried out via natural competence as previously described (Harwood

and Cutting, 1990). DNA for transformation was either provided as linearised plasmid DNA,

or as genomic DNA from a *B. subtilis* strain already carrying the desired genetic construct.

To allow manipulation of both *bceS* and *bceAB* sequences, an unmarked deletion strain

lacking all three genes (Δ*bceSAB*, SGB377) was constructed as described previously (Arnaud

et al., 2004), employing plasmid pAK102. Strains lacking *bceS* only (Δ*bceS*; TMB1036) or

bceAB only (*bceAB*::kan; TMB035 (Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008)) were available from previous

work. Signaling activity was assessed in strains harbouring a chromosomally integrated

transcriptional reporter construct of the target promoter P$_{bceA}$, fused to either *luxABCDE*

(pSDlux101 (Kallenberg *et al.*, 2013)) or *lacZ* (pER603 (Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008)). Details of

the assays used are given below.

Genetic manipulation of *bceS* was performed using previously constructed plasmids as

template. For Western blot detection, the construct pSD2E01 encoding BceS with a C-

terminal octa-histidine tag (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$ (Dintner *et al.*, 2014)) was employed. Un-tagged

constructs were derived from pAS719 (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}). Genetic manipulation of *bceAB* was

performed using the previously constructed plasmid pFK727, encoding BceAB with a C-

terminal triple FLAG-tag on the permease (P$_{xyl}$-*bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ (Kallenberg *et al.*, 2013)). All

constructs used in this study integrate stably and as a single copy into the *B. subtilis* W168

chromosome.

Signaling activity assays. In strains carrying the P$_{bceA}$-*lacZ* reporter, signaling was assessed

following challenge of exponentially growing cells with Zn^{2+}-bacitracin (bacitracin) and

measured as β-galactosidase activity (expressed in Miller Units), as described previously

(Rietkötter *et al.*, 2008). For strains carrying the P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* reporter, luciferase

activities were assayed using a Tecan$^{®}$ Spark$^{®}$ microplate reader controlled by

SparkControl^{TM} V2.1 software. Starter cultures were grown at 37 ºC in 2 ml of LB medium

overnight, then diluted 1:1000 into fresh medium before adding 100 μl into 96-well plates

(black, clear flat bottoms; Corning$^{®}$). Samples were grown at 37 ˚C with orbital agitation at

180 rpm and allowed to achieve early exponential growth (OD$_{600}$ 0.3 – 0.4), before adding

Zn^{2+}-bacitracin to a final concentration of 1 μg ml^{-1} unless otherwise indicated. OD$_{600}$ and

relative luminescence units (RLU; integration time 1 s) were measured every 5 min and were

background-corrected using values from LB-only wells. RLU was normalised for cell density

(RLU OD^{-1}). The reported values are steady-state activities achieved 60-75 min after

bacitracin addition.

Cells were grown without the addition of xylose, as the basal activity of the promoter P_{xylA}

(Radeck *et al.*, 2013), used to drive expression of the ectopic copies of *bceS* and/or *bceAB*,

was sufficient to achieve full signaling activity. In strains containing the native copy of

bceAB ($\Delta bceS$ background) signaling was induced with 10 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. In

strains containing only the ectopic construct for BceAB production ($\Delta bceSAB$ background),

signaling was induced with 1 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. These concentrations were

established in our earlier work as eliciting maximum signaling activity in the respective

genetic backgrounds (Fritz *et al.*, 2015).

Bacitracin sensitivity assays. The sensitivity of *B. subtilis* strains to bacitracin was

determined as described (Kallenberg *et al.*, 2013), with minor modifications. Serial two-fold

dilutions of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin from 32 µg ml^{-1} to 0.5 µg ml^{-1} were prepared in Mueller-Hinton

medium containing 0.2 % (w/v) xylose to ensure maximal production of BceAB. For each

concentration, 100 µl volumes in 96-well plates were inoculated 1:400 from overnight

cultures grown in Mueller-Hinton medium with xylose and selective antibiotics. Each culture

was scored for growth after 20 to 24 h of incubation at 37°C with aeration. The MIC was

determined as the lowest bacitracin concentration where no visible growth was detected.

Cysteine cross-linking *in vivo*. Cysteine cross-linking was assessed in strains carrying the

$\Delta bceSAB$ deletion complemented with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-$FLAG_3$ and

bceS-His_8 with single-cysteine substitutions and the P_{bceA}-*lux* reporter (SGB369 and

derivatives). Starter cultures were grown in 2 ml of LB medium overnight at 37 ºC with

agitation, then diluted 1:500 into 55 ml of fresh medium supplemented with 0.02% (w/v)

xylose. In mid-exponential growth (OD_{600} 0.5 – 0.7), oxidative cross-linking of cysteine

residues was triggered by addition of 250 µM of iodine for 15 min under continued

incubation. Where required, cells were induced with 60 µg ml^{-1} Zn^{2+}-bacitracin for 45 min

prior to cross-linking. Cross-linking reactions were stopped with 20 mM N-ethylmaleimide

(NEM) for 15 min under continued incubation. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (3,000

$\times g$, 20 min, 4 ºC) and resuspended in 5 ml of ice cold lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH

8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 10% (w/v) glycerol, 20 mM NEM). Cell disruption was achieved by at

least three cycles of 15s sonication on ice with 15s rest. Debris was removed by

centrifugation (3,000 $\times g$, 20 min, 4 ºC), and membranes were collected from supernatants by

ultracentrifugation (150,000 $\times g$, 1 h, 4 ºC). Membranes were resuspended in 50 µl lysis

buffer, and approximately 150 mg protein per sample was mixed with non-reducing sample

buffer (1× final concentration; 1.5 M Tris/HCl [pH 6.8], 30 % (w/v) glycerol, 350 mM SDS,

0.12 mg ml^{-1} bromophenol blue) for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis (see below). For

quantification of band intensities Fiji (Schindelin *et al.*, 2012) was used to obtain a profile

plot of each lane, and the relative intensity of each band (monomer and dimer BceS) within

the lane was expressed as a percentage of the total band intensities.

Solvent-accessibility of Cysteine residues *in vivo* (Scan-SCAM). For solvent-accessibility

tests in the second transmembrane helix of BceS, the Δ*bceSAB* deletion strain, complemented

with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ and *bceS*-His$_8$ and the P$_{bceA}$-*lux*

reporter was employed. The *bceS* complementation construct was made cysteine-free via

C45S/C198S/C259S substitutions, followed by introduction of single cysteines to be tested

(strain SGB936 and derivatives). The same was done in the E115K/K116E variant to assess

transmembrane topology in the active state (strain SGB951 and derivatives). Cultures were

grown to mid-exponential phase as described above. Cells were harvested, washed twice with

50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8) and resuspended in 5 ml of the same buffer. Water exposed

(i.e. cytoplasmic) cysteine residues were masked with 40 mM N-Ethylmaleimide (NEM) for

1.5 h at room temperature with shaking or left untreated. Masking reactions were stopped by

three washes in cold (4 °C) 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8). Cells were resuspended in 5 ml

of ice cold lysis buffer, sonicated and membranes collected as above. Membranes were

resuspended in 40 µl accessibility buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 6.8], 1.3% (w/v) SDS).

Cysteines that had not been masked with NEM in the first step were labelled with 5 mM

methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1.5 h at room

temperature with agitation. The reaction was stopped with 1× LDS sample buffer (Expedeon)

and 250 mM DTT and rested for 5 min. PEG-mal accessible and non-accessible BceS were

analyzed by Western Blot.

Western blot analysis. Protein samples (~150 µg) from *in vivo* cross-linking and Scan-

SCAM were subjected to SDS-PAGE on RunBlue™ TEO-Tricine SDS mini gel in either

Tris-Tricine-SDS (Sigma-Aldrich) or Teo-Tricine-SDS (Expedeon) buffer, run for 50 min at

120V. Samples were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck

Millipore, activated in 100 % (v/v) methanol) in 1 × RunBlue SDS Running Buffer

(Expedeon), 20 % (v/v) methanol at 75 mA for 70 minutes using a PerfectBlue™ Sedec™

Semi-Dry Electroblotter (Peqlab). Membranes were blocked with 7.5 % (w/v) skimmed milk

powder in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4 [pH7.4],

0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20), washed three times in PBST, and incubated with primary antibody

(Penta·His Antibody, QIAGEN®, 1:2000 dilution) in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBST for

2h at room temperature. Following three washes in PBST, incubation with secondary

antibody (Peroxidase-AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG, Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe

Ltd, 1:4000 dilution) was carried out in milk-PBST for 1h at room temperature, followed by

three final washes in PBST. Detection of proteins was by chemiluminescence using Pierce™

ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and a Qiagen CCD camera

with Fusion software.

Homology Modelling. Homology models for the BceS HAMP and DHp domains (positions

56 to 174), and for the C-terminal domain of BceAB (from position 507) were generated

using I-TASSER with default parameters (Zhang, 2008; Yang *et al.*, 2015). The respective

homology model with the highest C-score was selected, and the top-ranking structural analog

from PDB identified by I-TASSER was noted for reference. For quality control, the resulting

homology model for BceS was compared to its closest structural analog, PDB 3RZW, using

the TM-align structural alignment programme implemented in I-TASSER (Zhang, 2008;

Yang *et al.*, 2015). The obtained TM-score was 0.771 (where >0.5 assumes the same fold),

with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 1.68 Å between the structurally aligned

residues. The homology model was analysed for Ramachandran outliers using MolProbity

(Williams *et al.*, 2017). Eight outliers were identified, all within unstructured loop regions of

the model: six fall in the loop connecting the two helices in the HAMP-like domain, and two

in the loop connecting the DHp domain helices. The homology model was therefore regarded

as a plausible representation of the BceS structure, but with some uncertainty in the linking

loops between helical segments.

Gaussian-accelerated molecular dynamics (GaMD) Simulations. A homology model of

the cytoplasmic region of BceS consisting of the DHp and ATPase domains was constructed

using I-TASSER as before (Zhang, 2008; Yang *et al.*, 2015). The HAMP-like domain was

excluded due to low sequence homology with conventional HAMP domains. The

approximate orientation of each BceS monomer with respect to one another was predicted

based on cysteine cross-linking data (Fig. 2) and further refined using Z-Dock to perform

rigid body protein-protein docking (Pierce *et al.*, 2014), with subsequent side chain rotamers

optimised using SCWRL4 (Krivov *et al.*, 2009). After MD equilibration of the dimer model

(see below), no Ramachandran outliers were present in the loop connecting the DHp domain

helices or elsewhere (only Gly262, located in a flexible loop in the ATPase domain, was just

outside the 'allowed region'). The N-terminus of the DHp domain of each monomer was

acetylated to cap the α-helix and prevent having a positive charge at the termini. ATP was

then docked into each ATP binding site using AutoDock Vina (exhaustiveness set to 32)

(Trott and Olson, 2010). The generated model of BceS was then manually modified to

construct the E115K/K116E variant. All residues were modelled in their standard protonation

states, with His108 and His124 singly protonated on the Nδ1 nitrogen, and all other His

singly protonated on the Nε2 nitrogen (as indicated by hydrogen bonding networks). Both

systems were solvated in an octahedral water box such that no protein/substrate atom was

within 10 Å of the box boundaries, with Na^+ or Cl^- counter ions added as necessary to ensure

an overall neutral charge. MD simulations were performed using GPU accelerated Amber16,

with the ff14SB force field and TIP3P water model used to describe protein and water

molecules respectively. Parameters for ATP were taken from a study by Meagher and

colleagues (Meagher *et al.*, 2003). Following a minimisation, heating, NVT and NPT

equilibration procedure (described below), Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) simulations

were done in the NVT ensemble at 300 K (Miao *et al.*, 2015), with a boost potential applied to

both the total system potential energy as well as the dihedral potential energies (see also

GaMD settings in Table S3). To prepare for production GaMD simulations, a 12 ns long

conventional MD run was used to obtain maximum, minimum, average and standard

deviation of the system potential energies. Following this, a 66 ns long run was used to

update the above parameters (updated every 600 ps) to ensure converged GaMD production

parameters. Production GaMD simulations were then run for 4 × 500 ns, using different

random seeds for each replica. MD simulations were run using a 2 fs time step with the

SHAKE algorithm applied to any bond containing a hydrogen atom. An 8 Å direct space

non-bonded cut-off was applied with long-range electrostatics evaluated using the particle

mesh Ewald algorithm (Darden *et al.*, 1993). Temperature was regulated using Langevin

temperature control (collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}). All MD and GaMD simulations were

performed with a 10 kcal mol^{-1} restraint on the Phi and Psi dihedrals between the acetyl and

first N-terminal residue, to prevent the α-helix fraying. Principal component analysis (PCA)

and other trajectory analyses were performed within CPPTRAJ (Roe and Cheatham, 2013), on

the Cα atoms of the DHp domain residues. PCA was performed on the trajectories after

constructing an average structure of all four simulations combined. Reweighting of PCA and

distance measurements (to obtain PMFs) was performed using the 'PyReweighting' toolkit

(Miao *et al.*, 2014).

To equilibrate structures for GaMD simulations, the following procedure was performed.

Minimisation of all hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules was done using 500 steps of

steepest descent followed by 500 steps of conjugate gradient. To keep all other atoms (i.e. the

protein heavy atoms) in place during the minimisation, 10 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1} positional restraints

were applied. Retaining the positional restraints on all protein heavy atoms, the system was

then heated rapidly from 50 K to 300 K in the NVT ensemble over the course of 200 ps. This

system was again minimised for a further 500 steps of steepest descent followed by 500 steps

of conjugate gradient, this time only applying positional restraints (of size 5 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1})

to the Cα carbon atoms. These Cα restraints were retained as the system was again heated

from 25 K to 300 K over the course of 50 ps in the NVT ensemble. Simulations were then

performed in the NPT ensemble (1 atm, 300 K), first gradually reducing the 5 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}

Cα carbon restraints over the course of 50 ps of simulation time. This was done in five steps

(5, 4, 3, 2, 1 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}) of 10 ps each. A final 20 ns long MD simulation was then

performed, in which no restraints were used, to equilibrate the box size. All dynamics steps

used the SHAKE algorithm. Simulations performed in the NVT ensemble used Langevin

temperature control (with a collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a simulation time-step of 1 fs.

Simulations performed in the NPT ensemble again used Langevin temperature control

(collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a Berendsen barostat (1 ps pressure relaxation time), with

a simulation time-step of 2 fs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Roger Draheim for helpful advice in establishing the cysteine crosslinking

assays. We also thank our undergraduate research students Jessica Lancaster and Adam

McCartan for constructing plasmids pJL705 and pAM703, respectively. We thank Jean van

den Elsen and Laurence Hurst for critical reading of and feedback on the manuscript.

Work in SG's lab was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research

Council (BBSRC; BB/M029255/1). MWK is a BBSRC David Phillips Fellow

(BB/M026280/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AK and MJG carried out all laboratory work and data analysis; MWK and CRP performed

and analyzed the MD simulations; SG supervised all laboratory work and coordinated the

research project; SG, CRP and AK wrote the manuscript.

ABBREVIATED SUMMARY

One of the main counteracting forces working against the development and spread of

antibiotic resistance is the associated cost in fitness, which can be minimized through careful

gene regulation. We here investigated fine-tuned signalling via a sensory complex consisting

of a transporter and a histidine kinase. We show how the kinase is activated upon antibiotic

attack, that the transporter exerts complete control over the kinase, and also has itself evolved

to conserve energy.

REFERENCES

Andersson, D.I., and Hughes, D. (2010) Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to
reverse resistance? *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**: 260–271.

Appleman, J.A., and Stewart, V. (2003) Mutational Analysis of a Conserved Signal-
Transducing Element: the HAMP Linker of the *Escherichia coli* Nitrate Sensor NarX. *J*
Bacteriol **185**: 89–97.

Arnaud, M., Chastanet, A., and Débarbouillé, M. (2004) New vector for efficient allelic
replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, Gram-positive bacteria. *Appl*
Environ Microbiol **70**: 6887–6891.

Bhate, M.P., Lemmin, T., Kuenze, G., Mensa, B., Ganguly, S., Peters, J.M., *et al.* (2018)
Structure and Function of the Transmembrane Domain of NsaS, an Antibiotic Sensing
Histidine Kinase in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Am Chem Soc* **140**: 7471–7485.

Bhate, M.P., Molnar, K.S., Goulian, M., and DeGrado, W.F. (2015a) Signal Transduction in
Histidine Kinases: Insights from New Structures. *Structure/Folding and Design* **23**: 981–994.

Bhate, M.P., Molnar, K.S., Goulian, M., and DeGrado, W.F. (2015b) Signal Transduction in
Histidine Kinases: Insights from New Structures. *Structure/Folding and Design* **23**: 981–994.

Crow, A., Greene, N.P., Kaplan, E., and Koronakis, V. (2017) Structure and
mechanotransmission mechanism of the MacB ABC transporter superfamily. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci USA **23**: 201712153–6.

Darden, T., York, D., and Pedersen, L. (1993) Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for
Ewald sums in large systems. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **98**: 10089–10092.

Dintner, S., Heermann, R., Fang, C., Jung, K., and Gebhard, S. (2014) A sensory complex
consisting of an ATP-binding cassette transporter and a two-component regulatory system
controls bacitracin resistance in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Biol Chem* **289**: 27899–27910.

Dintner, S., Staroń, A., Berchtold, E., Petri, T., Mascher, T., and Gebhard, S. (2011)
Coevolution of ABC transporters and two-component regulatory systems as resistance
modules against antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria. *J Bacteriol* **193**: 3851–3862.

Durão, P., Balbontín, R., and Gordo, I. (2018) Evolutionary Mechanisms Shaping the
Maintenance of Antibiotic Resistance. *Trends Microbiol* **26**: 677–691.

Ferris, H.U., Dunin-Horkawicz, S., Hornig, N., Hulko, M., Martin, J., Schultz, J.E., *et al.*
(2012) Mechanism of Regulation of Receptor Histidine Kinases. *Structure/Folding and*
Design **20**: 56–66.

Ferris, H.U., Dunin-Horkawicz, S., Mondéjar, L.G., Hulko, M., Hantke, K., Martin, J., *et al.*
(2011) The Mechanisms of HAMP-Mediated Signaling in Transmembrane Receptors.
Structure/Folding and Design **19**: 378–385.

Fritz, G., Dintner, S., Treichel, N.S., Radeck, J., Gerland, U., Mascher, T., and Gebhard, S.
(2015) A new way of sensing: need-based activation of antibiotic resistance by a flux-sensing
mechanism. *mBio* **6**: e00975–15.

Gao, R., and Stock, A.M. (2009) Biological insights from structures of two-component
proteins. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **63**: 133–154.

Gebhard, S. (2012) ABC transporters of antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria -
phylogeny, function and regulation. *Mol Microbiol* **86**: 1295–1317.

Gebhard, S., and Mascher, T. (2011) Antimicrobial peptide sensing and detoxification
modules: unravelling the regulatory circuitry of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Mol Microbiol* **81**:
581–587.

Gebhard, S., Fang, C., Shaaly, A., Leslie, D.J., Weimar, M.R., Kalamorz, F., *et al.* (2014)
Identification and Characterization of a Bacitracin Resistance Network in *Enterococcus*
faecalis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**: 1425–1433.

Greene, N.P., Kaplan, E., Crow, A., and Koronakis, V. (2018) Antibiotic Resistance
Mediated by the MacB ABC Transporter Family: A Structural and Functional Perspective.
Front Microbiol **9**: 1923–17.

Gushchin, I., and Gordeliy, V. (2017) Transmembrane Signal Transduction in Two-
Component Systems: Piston, Scissoring, or Helical Rotation? *BioEssays* **40**: 1700197–10.

Gushchin, I., Melnikov, I., Polovinkin, V., Ishchenko, A., Yuzhakova, A., Buslaev, P., *et al.*
(2017) Mechanism of transmembrane signaling by sensor histidine kinases. *Science* **356**:
eaah6345–9.

Harwood, C.R., and Cutting, S.M. (1990) *Molecular biological methods for Bacillus*. John
Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.

Hiron, A., Falord, M., Valle, J., Débarbouillé, M., and Msadek, T. (2011) Bacitracin and
nisin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: a novel pathway involving the BraS/BraR two-
component system (SA2417/SA2418) and both the BraD/BraE and VraD/VraE ABC
transporters. *Mol Microbiol* **81**: 602–622.

Ho, S.N., Hunt, H.D., Horton, R.M., Pullen, J.K., and Pease, L.R. (1989) Site-directed
mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene* **77**: 51–59.

Hsieh, Y.-J., and Wanner, B.L. (2010) Global regulation by the seven-component P_i signaling
system. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **13**: 198–203.

Hulko, M., Berndt, F., Gruber, M., Linder, J.U., Truffault, V., Schultz, A., *et al.* (2006) The
HAMP Domain Structure Implies Helix Rotation in Transmembrane Signaling. *Cell* **126**:
929–940.

Jacob-Dubuisson, F., Mechaly, A., Betton, J.-M., and Antoine, R. (2018) Structural insights
into the signalling mechanisms of two-component systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **16**: 1–9.

Kallenberg, F., Dintner, S., Schmitz, R., and Gebhard, S. (2013) Identification of regions
important for resistance and signalling within the antimicrobial peptide transporter BceAB of
Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 3287–3297.

Kobras, C.M., Piepenbreier, H., Emenegger, J., Sim, A., Fritz, G., and Gebhard, S. (2020)
BceAB-Type Antibiotic Resistance Transporters Appear To Act by Target Protection of Cell
Wall Synthesis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **64**: 321–15.

Krivov, G.G., Shapovalov, M.V., and Dunbrack, R.L. (2009) Improved prediction of protein
side-chain conformations with SCWRL4. *Proteins* **77**: 778–795.

Lai, R.-Z., and Parkinson, J.S. (2014) Functional Suppression of HAMP Domain Signaling
Defects in the *E. coli* Serine Chemoreceptor. *J Mol Biol* **426**: 3642–3655.

Letunic, I., Doerks, T., and Bork, P. (2012) SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain
annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**: D302–5.

Mascher, T. (2006) Intramembrane-sensing histidine kinases: a new family of cell envelope
stress sensors in Firmicutes bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **264**: 133–144.

Matamouros, S., Hager, K.R., and Miller, S.I. (2015) HAMP Domain Rotation and Tilting
Movements Associated with Signal Transduction in the PhoQ Sensor Kinase. *mBio* **6**:
e00616–15.

Meagher, K.L., Redman, L.T., and Carlson, H.A. (2003) Development of polyphosphate
parameters for use with the AMBER force field. *J Comput Chem* **24**: 1016–1025.

Miao, Y., Feher, V.A., and McCammon, J.A. (2015) Gaussian Accelerated Molecular
Dynamics: Unconstrained Enhanced Sampling and Free Energy Calculation. *J Chem Theory*
Comput **11**: 3584–3595.

Miao, Y., Sinko, W., Pierce, L., Bucher, D., Walker, R.C., and McCammon, J.A. (2014)
Improved Reweighting of Accelerated Molecular Dynamics Simulations for Free Energy
Calculation. *J Chem Theory Comput* **10**: 2677–2689.

Molnar, K.S., Bonomi, M., Pellarin, R., Clinthorne, G.D., Gonzalez, G., Goldberg, S.D., *et*
al. (2014) Cys-scanning disulfide crosslinking and bayesian modeling probe the
transmembrane signaling mechanism of the histidine kinase, PhoQ. *Structure* **22**: 1239–1251.

Monzel, C., and Unden, G. (2015) Transmembrane signaling in the sensor kinase DcuS of *Escherichia coli*: A long-range piston-type displacement of transmembrane helix 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**: 11042–11047.

Ohki, R., Giyanto, Tateno, K., Masuyama, W., Moriya, S., Kobayashi, K., and Ogasawara, N. (2003) The BceRS two-component regulatory system induces expression of the bacitracin transporter, BceAB, in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* **49**: 1135–1144.

Ouyang, J., Tian, X.L., Versey, J., Wishart, A., and Li, Y.H. (2010) The BceABRS Four-Component System Regulates the Bacitracin-Induced Cell Envelope Stress Response in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **54**: 3895–3906.

Pakula, A.A., and Simon, M.I. (1992) Determination of transmembrane protein structure by disulfide cross-linking: the *Escherichia coli* Tar receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89**: 4144–4148.

Parkinson, J.S., Hazelbauer, G.L., and Falke, J.J. (2015) Signaling and sensory adaptation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptors: 2015 update. *Trends Microbiol* **23**: 257–266.

Piepenbreier, H., Fritz, G., and Gebhard, S. (2017) Transporters as information processors in bacterial signalling pathways. *Mol Microbiol* **104**: 1–15.

Pierce, B.G., Wiehe, K., Hwang, H., Kim, B.-H., Vreven, T., and Weng, Z. (2014) ZDOCK server: interactive docking prediction of protein-protein complexes and symmetric multimers. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1771–1773.

Radeck, J., Kraft, K., Bartels, J., Cikovic, T., Dürr, F., Emenegger, J., *et al.* (2013) The *Bacillus* BioBrick Box: generation and evaluation of essential genetic building blocks for standardized work with *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Biological Engineering* **7**: 29.

Revilla-Guarinos, A., Gebhard, S., Alcántara, C., Staroń, A., Mascher, T., and Zúñiga, M. (2013) Characterization of a regulatory network of peptide antibiotic detoxification modules in *Lactobacillus casei* BL23. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **79**: 3160–3170.

Revilla-Guarinos, A., Gebhard, S., Mascher, T., and Zúñiga, M. (2014) Defence against antimicrobial peptides: different strategies in Firmicutes. *Environ Microbiol* **16**: 1225–1237.

Rietkötter, E., Hoyer, D., and Mascher, T. (2008) Bacitracin sensing in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* **68**: 768–785.

Roe, D.R., and Cheatham, T.E., III (2013) PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. *J Chem Theory Comput* **9**: 3084–3095.

Salvi, M., Schomburg, B., Giller, K., Graf, S., Unden, G., Becker, S., *et al.* (2017) Sensory domain contraction in histidine kinase CitA triggers transmembrane signaling in the membrane-bound sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**: 3115–3120.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., *et al.* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**: 676–682.

Staroń, A., Finkeisen, D.E., and Mascher, T. (2011) Peptide antibiotic sensing and
detoxification modules of *Bacillus subtili*s. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **55**: 515–525.

Tetsch, L., and Jung, K. (2009) The regulatory interplay between membrane-integrated
sensors and transport proteins in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **73**: 982–991.

Trott, O., and Olson, A.J. (2010) AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of
docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J Comput*
Chem **31**: 455–461.

Unden, G., Wörner, S., and Monzel, C. (2016) Cooperation of secondary transporters and
sensor kinases in transmembrane signalling: the DctA/DcuS and DcuB/DcuS sensor
complexes of *Escherichia coli*. *Adv Microb Physiol* **68**: 139–167.

Waglechner, N., and Wright, G.D. (2017) Antibiotic resistance: it's bad, but why isn't it
worse? *BMC Biol* **15**: 1–8.

Wang, B., Zhao, A., Novick, R.P., and Muir, T.W. (2014) Activation and inhibition of the
receptor histidine kinase AgrC occurs through opposite helical transduction motions.
Molecular Cell **53**: 929–940.

Williams, C.J., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., Prisant, M.G., Videau, L.L., Deis, L.N., *et al.*
(2017) MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom structure
validation. *Protein Science* **27**: 293–315.

Witan, J., Bauer, J., Wittig, I., Steinmetz, P.A., Erker, W., and Unden, G. (2012) Interaction
of the *Escherichia coli* transporter DctA with the sensor kinase DcuS: presence of functional
DctA/DcuS sensor units. *Mol Microbiol* **85**: 846–861.

Yang, J., Yan, R., Roy, A., Xu, D., Poisson, J., and Zhang, Y. (2015) The I-TASSER Suite:
protein structure and function prediction. *Nature Methods* **12**: 7–8.

Zhang, Y. (2008) I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics*
9: 76–8.

Zhou, Q., Ames, P., and Parkinson, J.S. (2011) Biphasic control logic of HAMP domain
signalling in the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor. *Mol Microbiol* **80**: 596–611.