Control of histidine kinase conformation by an ABC-transporter facilitates need-based activation of antibiotic resistance

Alan Koh[1,2], Marjorie J. Gibbon[1,2], Marc W. Van der Kamp[3], Christopher R. Pudney[1], Susanne Gebhard[1,2]*

[1]Department of Biology and Biochemistry, [2]Milner Centre for Evolution, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom; [3]School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol, BS8 1TD, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author. Phone: +44 1225 386421; E-mail: s.gebhard@bath.ac.uk

Running title: Kinase control by an ABC-transporter

Keywords: Signal transduction, flux-sensing, antimicrobial peptide, cell envelope stress

ABSTRACT

Bacteria exert close control over gene expression to ensure optimal physiological responses

to their environment, balancing metabolic costs against physiological gain. Such careful gene

expression can be employed to minimize the fitness cost associated with antibiotic resistance,

one of the main counteracting forces working against the spread of resistance. We previously

described a novel regulatory logic that enables the cell to directly monitor its need for

detoxification capacity. This cost-effective strategy for controlling resistance is achieved via

a two-component regulatory system working in a sensory complex with an ABC-transporter,

which together act as a flux-sensor where signaling is proportional to transport activity. How

this is realized at the molecular level, however, has remained unknown. Using

experimentation and computation we here show that the histidine kinase is activated by

piston-like displacements in the membrane, which are converted to helical rotations in the

catalytic core via an intervening HAMP-like domain. Intriguingly, the transporter was not

only required for kinase activation, but also to actively maintain the kinase in its inactive

state in the absence of antibiotics. Such slaving of kinase activity to that of the transporter

will ensure the complete control required for transport flux-dependent signaling. Moreover,

we show that the transporter has evolved to conserve energy by signaling with sub-maximal

sensitivity. These results provide the first mechanistic insights into transport flux-dependent

signaling to control antibiotic resistance, which also has importance in energy-efficient

decision making in bacteria more broadly.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria have exquisite abilities to physiologically respond to environmental conditions to ensure their survival and proliferation. Decision-making has to balance the benefits of a particular response against the metabolic cost of the associated changes in gene expression. The importance of this is particularly evident in the context of antibiotic resistance, where one of the main counteracting forces working against the development and spread of resistance is the associated cost in fitness (1-3). To minimize this cost, many resistance determinants are tightly regulated and only expressed when the cell experiences an antibiotic attack. This in turn requires that the bacterium is able to accurately determine the severity of this attack and adjust the level of gene expression accordingly. Bacteria employ a host of regulatory systems that can detect extracellular or intracellular information and modulate the expression of a defined set of target genes. In the simplest case, regulation is dependent on the presence or absence of a ligand, e.g. an antibiotic, and this is often thought of as a digital response, where gene expression is either switched ON or OFF. However, many regulatory systems display a much more analog behavior, where the output is gradually dialed up in response to the strength of the input information, allowing a very fine-tuned response.

We previously described a new sensory strategy that produces an exquisitely fine-tuned antibiotic resistance response, with three orders of magnitude of output modulation over an input dynamic range of three orders of magnitude (4). This regulatory logic is achieved by a two-component system whose histidine kinase works in a sensory complex with an ABC-transporter (4, 5) (Fig. 1). Such systems are wide-spread among low G+C content Gram-positive bacteria, such as bacilli, lactobacilli, staphylococci, streptococci, enterococci and clostridia (6-11). They are collectively referred to as Bce-like systems and impart resistance against a broad range of cell wall-active peptide antibiotics (12, 13). Based on computational

Figure 1. Function of the BceRS-BceAB resistance system of *B. subtilis.* BceS and its domains are shown in shades of blue, with the HAMP-like domain in dark turquoise, and DHp domain in dark blue. Only a single monomer of BceS is shown for clarity. BceAB is in green with the 8th transmembrane helix marked in dark green; the A_2B stoichiometry is shown (5), and the extracellular domain indicated by a U-shaped black line. The left section of the schematic shows the system in its inactive (OFF) state, and the repressing function of the transporter indicated by a red blunt arrow. The right shows the active (ON) state in the presence of antibiotics, exemplified by bacitracin, with the activating function of the transporter shown as a red lightning bolt. The motions of kinase domains upon activation are shown as red block arrows and BceS autophosphorylation by a 'P'. The positioning of the symbols within the sensory complex for repression/activation is arbitrary. ATP hydrolysis reactions and antibiotic removal are indicated by grey arrows; for simplicity ATP hydrolysis is only shown for one ATPase.

modelling of the response dynamics, we have established that signaling is proportional to

transport activity (4). Because the transporter mediates the actual resistance, presumably by

removal of the antibiotic from its target in the cell envelope (4, 14-16), increased transporter

production acts a negative feedback on signaling, which creates the characteristic gradual,

fine-tuned response (4). This flux-sensing mechanism effectively allows the bacterium to

adjust its response according to its need for additional detoxification capacity, implementing

a cost-effective 'produce-to-demand' strategy. However, the molecular mechanism of this

new signaling strategy, i.e. how the activity of the histidine kinase is controlled within the

sensory complex and how this creates the observed analog response behavior, remains

unknown.

Histidine kinases typically relay extracellular information to the cytoplasm via

autophosphorylation at a conserved histidine residue. The phosphoryl group is then

transferred to a cytoplasmic response regulator, usually a DNA-binding protein that elicits

changes in gene expression (17). Despite their importance in bacterial signaling, we still have

limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which histidine kinases transmit

information through the cytoplasmic membrane. This is in part due to the modular

architecture of the kinases, which allows a plethora of different input domains to be coupled

to a conserved catalytic core. The core consists of a DHp (also known as HisKA) domain that

facilitates dimerization and histidine phosphotransfer, and a C-terminal ATPase domain (17).

The extracellular input and cytoplasmic core domains are separated by transmembrane

segments and usually at least one cytoplasmic signal transfer domain (e.g. HAMP or PAS

domains) (17, 18). While we still have no knowledge of the complete set of conformational

changes during transmembrane signaling by histidine kinases, recent studies of domains in

isolation or in context with an adjacent domain suggest a combination of rotating and

scissoring motions and piston-like helical displacements (18-21).

In addition to the diversity of input domains, several kinases are controlled by accessory

proteins, providing further levels of control and opportunities for integration of multiple

stimuli. In many cases, these accessory proteins are transporters (22, 23). The majority of

transporters with a signaling role act as repressors of kinase activity, and deletion of the

transporter leads to constitutive activation of signaling (24-26). The paradigm example is the

control of the phosphate starvation response in *E. coli* and many other bacteria by the

phosphate uptake system PstSCAB, which prevents signaling by the PhoBR two-component

system under high-phosphate conditions (26). The flux-sensing Bce-like systems are unique

in that the histidine kinases fully rely on their cognate transporters for activation, and remain

inactive when the transporter is deleted (12, 27). Moreover, implementing the reported flux-

sensing strategy requires kinase activity to be directly proportional to transport activity (4).

To our knowledge, no information is available on how accessory proteins may achieve such

positive and precise control over a histidine kinase.

In the present study, we sought to understand the mechanistic basis of histidine kinase

activation in the Bce system of *Bacillus subtilis* and how this links to the system's analog

signaling behavior. Through computational predictions, detailed mutagenesis and cysteine

cross-linking and accessibility analyses, we provide evidence that the kinase BceS is

activated via helical rotations in the DHp domain, accompanied by a piston movement in the

second transmembrane domain. Physical motions are most likely relayed via a poorly-

conserved intervening HAMP-like domain. To our knowledge this is the first report of a

complete set of conformational changes *in vivo* during activation of a membrane-bound

histidine kinase. Artificial activation of the kinase through charge substitutions in the DHp

domain revealed that the transporter not only acts as the activator of the kinase, but also

controls its inactive state. We propose it is this complete control over kinase conformation

that slaves its activity to that of the transporter. Surprisingly, mutagenesis of the putative

signaling domain of the transporter, BceAB, highlighted that the system has not evolved for

maximal signaling sensitivity, which likely represents an additional layer of fine-tuning and

minimizing energy expenditure in control of antibiotic resistance.

RESULTS

Generation and validation of a BceS homology model. Analysis of the domain architecture

of BceS using SMART (28) showed two N-terminal transmembrane helices, predicted to end

at residue F55, and a prototypical cytoplasmic kinase core with a DHp domain from residues

E115 to I172 and ATPase domain from S216 to N326. The 60 amino acid linker between the

transmembrane and DHp domains contained no predicted domains. To investigate the

cytoplasmic composition of BceS in more detail, we used I-TASSER (29) to predict the

tertiary structure of the linker region and DHp domain (residues R56 to N174; Fig. 2a). The

closest structural analog was the hybrid kinase Af1503-EnvZ from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*

and *E. coli*, respectively (PDB 3ZRW). BceS showed the typical DHp domain fold of two

antiparallel helices (DHp1 and DHp2), joined by a short loop. In the functional kinase dimer,

this will form the four-helix bundle characteristic for most histidine kinases (17) (Fig.2c).

Interestingly, the linker between the membrane interface and DHp domain adopted a HAMP-

like conformation with two short parallel helices (HAMP-like 1 and HAMP-like 2), where

HAMP-like 2 continues into DHp1 as a single continuous helix (Fig. 2a). The relevance of

this domain, which had not been predicted in previous analyses, is discussed in more detail

below.

To test the accuracy of the homology model, we probed the relative orientation of helices

within the DHp domain four-helix bundle, based on proximity of individual residues, using

oxidative cysteine crosslinking. These experiments were done *in vivo* using cells deleted for

Figure 2: BceS DHp domain forms a four-helix bundle. a, Homology model of the BceS HAMP-like and DHp domains generated by I-TASSER (C-score = -0.76). The HAMP-like domain is shown in purple and the DHp domain in blue. The residues that form Cys-crosslinks in panel b are highlighted, E115 (red), E118 (purple) and L119 (green). Residue K167, which sits opposite E115, is shown in orange. **b**, Percentage of crosslinking for each BceS Cys variant was calculated from relative band intensities between monomer and dimer within each lane using Fiji (45). Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*^WT-His$_8$ (SGB369) and BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 2-5 independent repeats. **c, d**, Schematic diagram of the BceS DHp dimer configuration. Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Left, top down view of the helices in the structural model; right, helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp domain; residues that form crosslinks are highlighted as before.

the native copy of *bceS* and carrying a single-copy ectopic construct for expression of *bceS*

with a C-terminal His$_8$-tag*,* allowing slight over-production of the kinase to facilitate

detection by Western blot analysis. BceS contains three native Cys residues, C45 in the

second transmembrane helix and C198 and C259 in the ATPase domain. Based on their

physical distance from each other and the DHp domain, we did not expect these residues to

interfere with our analysis, and indeed no crosslinking product was observed upon oxidation

with iodine in wild-type BceS (Fig. 2b). Next, single cysteine substitutions were introduced

in the first two helical turns of the helix DHp1 (N114 to M120), and in equivalent positions

near the end of the helix DHp2 (Q166 to R168). Disulfide bond formation in these variants

should only occur if the substituted residues come into close proximity in the kinase dimer

(30). The only residues that consistently formed detectable crosslinks were at positions 115,

118 and 119, with the highest degree of crosslinking at position 115 (Fig. 2b). This is in good

agreement with their positioning at the core of the four-helix bundle and shows that the

structural model closely reflects the conformation of BceS in the cell (Fig. 2c).

To ensure that the introduction of cysteines had not affected the integrity of the protein, we

tested the activity of each BceS variant *in vivo*. This was based on their ability to induce

expression from the system's target promoter fused to a reporter gene (P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* or

P$_{bceA}$-*lacZ*), following exposure to the antibiotic bacitracin (4). Only the K116C and K167C

substitutions led to a complete loss of activity (Fig. S1a). It is therefore possible that the lack

of crosslinking observed for the latter, which also faces the core of the DHp domain (Fig. 2c),

could be explained by defects in the protein rather than the distance between DHp2 helices in

the kinase dimer.

Charge substitutions in the DHp core suggest a rotation mechanism of kinase

activation. Closer inspection of the DHp domain revealed a striking concentration of charged

residues at the membrane-proximal end of the four-helix bundle (Fig. 3). In the wild-type

kinase, E115 and K167 create a net neutral charge in the bundle core. As described above and

previously (4), cells harbouring wild-type BceS strongly activate expression of the P_{bceA}-*lux*

reporter in the presence of bacitracin, while in the absence of the antibiotic the activity of the

reporter is below the detection limit (Fig. 3a, WT). Interestingly, creation of an 'all negative'

(K167D substitution) or 'all positive' (E115K substitution) DHp core resulted in a massive

increase in the basal level of the kinase, while the activity in the presence of the inducer

remained unaffected (Fig. 3a). To test whether this effect was indeed due to the changes in

core charge and not to substitutions at this position *per se,* we introduced a series of other

amino acids into position 115. Exchanging E115 for a different positively charged amino

acid, Arg, again resulted in an increase in basal activity, although less pronounced (Fig. S1c).

Substitution for Ala or Cys had no detectable effect on activity, showing that the presence of

charged amino acids was not in itself important for kinase function. Moreover, the

constitutive kinase activity could be returned to near wild-type levels by restoring the net

neutral charge through combining the E115K and K167D substitutions (Fig. 3a). We

therefore concluded that the high constitutive activity in the absence of the inducer was

indeed due to the presence of four residues with the same charge in the DHp domain core.

In the current model for histidine kinase activation, the DHp domain undergoes a helical

rotation (18). The strong activating effect we observed upon introduction of four residues of

the same charge at the core of the domain made it tempting to speculate that charge repulsion

may force BceS to undergo such a rotating motion, placing it in its activated state. According

to our homology model, rotation of the helix DHp1 in a counter-clockwise direction and

DHp2 in clockwise should create two new points of contact between charged residues: point I

between E118 and K167, and point II between K116 and R168 (Fig. 3b). The opposing

charges in point I should have a stabilizing effect on the rotated state, and removing this in

Figure 3: Charges within the BceS DHp domain core affect kinase activity. a, BceS signaling activity in strains harbouring P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* and P*xyl*-*bceS*. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*[WT]-His[8] (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1µg ml^{-1} of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 4-22 biological repeats. The schematic below shows the location of individual and net charges in the core and stabilisation points within the four-helix bundle, as illustrated in panel b. Red, positive charge; orange, negative charge. **b,** Helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp dimer configuration showing location of charges and stabilisation points (pink and cyan). Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Black curve arrows denote the direction of helical rotation. **c,** 2D plots of potential of mean force (PMF) obtained in GaMD simulations of the wild type (WT, left) and E115K/K116E variant (right) protein. Inter-monomer distances shown are between the Cα carbon atoms of residues at positions 115 (x-axes) and 167 (y-axes). The PMFs are projected onto the surface in kcal mol⁻¹ indicated by the heat scale.

the K167D variant may explain its lower basal activity compared to E115K (Fig. 3a). In

contrast, the proximity of two positive charges in point II should have a de-stabilizing effect.

Replacing one of these with a negative charge (K116E) by itself had no effect on kinase

activity. However, combining K116E with the activating effect of the E115K substitution led

to a further increase of basal kinase activity compared to E115K alone (Fig. 3a). This effect is

entirely consistent with kinase activation through helical rotations in the DHp domain, where

we could achieve the highest artificial activation of the protein through creating charge

repulsion in the kinase core, while stabilizing the rotated state through opposing charges in

the two new points of contact.

Attempts were made to detect this DHp domain rotation *in vivo* using cysteine crosslinking,

either following induction with bacitracin or using the constitutively active E115K/K116E

variant. No quantitative conclusions could be drawn, however, due to the very large

experimental errors (Fig. S2). To provide further evidence of conformational change in the

DHp domain, we turned to molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. As discussed above, the

homology model (Fig. 2) shows excellent agreement with experimental validation, indicating

that it is a reasonable starting point for MD simulations. Given the relatively large putative

rotation, we applied Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) to more completely sample

conformational space. This approach applies a harmonic boost potential to effectively

'flatten' the free energy landscape, thereby enhancing the conformational sampling achieved

during MD simulation (31).

To assess conformational differences in the DHp domain between the wild-type kinase and

the constitutively active E115K/K116E variant, GaMD simulations were performed on both

for a total of 2 μs each (four replicas of 500 ns; Figure S3a). From our simulations, we

calculated the potential of mean force (PMF) for a series of internuclear distances for both

wild-type and variant residues. These values can serve as a proxy for the energetic barrier

between conformational sub-states. To best illustrate the relative orientation of helices in the

DHp domain bundle, we focused on positions 115 and 167, facing the bundle core (Fig. 3).

The PMF plots for the internuclear pairs of these residues between monomeric units of the

DHp domain are shown in Figure 3c. The lower the PMF value, the more likely the helices

will occupy the conformational state defined by the internuclear distances shown. In the wild-

type DHp domain, the PMF plot for distances between monomers at amino acids 115 and 167

shows a broad minimum across most of conformational space (Fig. 3c, left). This suggest that

there is a relatively high propensity for the domain to explore a diversity of conformational

space. Figure 3c (right) shows the same data for the variant DHp. Instead of the broad

minimum that characterizes the wild-type domain, the variant now shows two distinct, more

restricted minima. More specifically, the tendency towards a longer K167 distance in the

variant DHp would seem consistent with our model for helix rotation (Figure 3b). To more

clearly explore the differences between conformational space accessed by the wild-type and

variant DHp domain, we performed principal components analysis (PCA) of the data from

Figure 3c. This indicates that there is a significant difference in the principal component

space sampled by the wild-type and variant DHp (Fig. S3b). That is, the variant DHp

occupies a conformational space that is not accessible to the wild-type domain. Based on the

high constitutive activity of the variant, it is plausible to assume that this new conformational

space represents the active state of the kinase. Importantly, our simulations show that the

wild-type kinase is unable to adopt this conformation on the simulated time scales. This is

consistent with our *in vivo* experimental data that shows no detectable kinase activity without

activation through the sensory transporter BceAB.

BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. Contrary to previous predictions, the

BceS homology model showed a domain with structural similarity to a HAMP domain

between the second transmembrane helix and the DHp domain. HAMP domains adopt a

parallel four-helix bundle arrangement with two alternative states of helix packing that

transmit a conformational change from an upstream input to a downstream output domain

(18, 32, 33). While there is some argument over the exact motions within HAMP domains,

there seems to be agreement that they turn multiple input movements consistently into a

helical rotation (18, 33). The four-helix bundle is stabilized through multiple packing layers,

forming both inter- and intramolecular interactions, predominantly mediated by hydrophobic

residues (33, 34). A protein sequence alignment of known HAMP domains and the HAMP-

like domain of BceS is shown in Fig. 4a, with the positions of the critical packing points

highlighted. The hydrophobicity at these positions is largely conserved in BceS, with the

exception of two positions occupied by negatively charged Glu instead. Additionally, the Pro

residue at the N-terminus of helix 1, and Glu at the N-terminus of helix 2, which are

conserved in HAMP domains, are not present in BceS (Fig. 4a). Due to these key differences

to the canonical domain, we decided to refer to this domain as 'HAMP-like'.

Previous investigations of HAMP domains have highlighted one particular residue with key

influence on the signaling state. This residue corresponds to L67 in BceS, a position most

commonly occupied by small hydrophobic residues that favour bundle packing (A or V,

occasionally I, L; Fig. 4a, black arrow) (33). Based on extensive structural work on the

HAMP domain of the *A. fulgidus* protein Af1503, this residue (A291 in Af1503) has a crucial

role in controlling the conformational state of the HAMP domain. Specifically, it is thought

that increasing residue size at this position decreases the threshold for conversion between

packing states of the HAMP domain and can cause increased signal responsiveness or

elevated kinase activity, while smaller residues decrease activity (33, 35, 36)·(37).

Figure 4: BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. a, Sequence alignment of known HAMP domains and the BceS HAMP-like domain generated with ClustalO. Residues in red form the packing layers in the Af1503 HAMP domain. The black solid arrow indicates the packing residue that influences signaling state in some HAMP domains. White open arrows indicate E60 and F63 critical for BceS function. Proteins included and their GenBank identifiers are Af1503 (O28769), BceS (BSU30390), PhoQ (P23837), CpxA (P0AE82), EnvZ (P0AEJ4), NarX (P0AFA2), Tsr (P02942), Tar (P07017), Aer (P50466). **b**, Schematic showing amino acids and their sizes in MW used in size substitutions. **c**, BceS signaling activity following L67 amino acid size substitution harbouring P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* and P_{xyl}-*bceS*. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in the parent construct *bceS*WT-His8 (SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1µg ml-1 of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-18 biological repeats. **d**, Helical wheel diagram of the HAMP-like domain. Individual helices are abbreviated HL-1 and HL-2; the labels HL-1' and HL-2' show the second protomer. Residues essential for BceS activity are E60 (red) and F63 (yellow); the key packing residue L67 is shown in blue; red/blue semicircles are used to indicate positioning of residues in subsequent helical layers. **e**, BceS signaling activity of the constitutive ON variant (BceSE115K) combined with loss-of-function substitutions at positions 60 and 63. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*WT-His8 (SGB401). Signaling in cells harbouring P_{bceA}-*lacZ* and P_{xyl}-*bceS* was induced with 1µg ml-1 of bacitracin, BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 3-7 biological repeats. The inset shows an anti-His Western blot analysis to confirm membrane localisation and protein levels of wild-type (WT) BceS and its variants.

To test if the HAMP-like domain discovered in BceS fulfils an equivalent function to *bona*

fide HAMP domains, we therefore investigated the role of amino acid size at this position by

changing L67 to the smaller Gly and Cys, as well as the larger Phe side chain (Fig. 4b).

Indeed, smaller size substitutions reduced BceS activity, up to a near-complete loss of

induction in the Gly variant (Fig. 4c). Increasing the size to Phe, however, did not lead to an

increase of signaling nor a change in basal activity of BceS. Our data are thus consistent with

the notion that small residues at this position may increase the threshold for conversion

between HAMP-domain conformations (33, 35), but we could not find a stimulating effect

through a larger residue. The precise effect of changing this residue may therefore depend on

the specific context in different proteins. We nevertheless conclude from our data that the

HAMP-like domain in BceS is likely to be a functional equivalent to conventional HAMP

domains in other proteins and thus contributes to signal transfer within the histidine kinase.

For further functional investigation, we carried out Cys scanning mutagenesis of both helices

within the HAMP-like domain. The majority of variants maintained at least partial signaling

activity (Fig. S4), and in contrast to other studies (37, 38), we did not find any substitutions

that led to a constitutively active state of BceS. The only two substitutions that caused a

complete loss of function were E60C and F63C (Fig. 4 and S4). Interestingly, these fall on

the first two positions of the packing motif (Fig. 4a, white arrows and 4d), with E60 located

one packing layer above the critical L67 discussed earlier, and are therefore likely of

structural importance. Both variant proteins are present in the cytoplasmic membrane at the

same levels as the wild type protein according to Western blot analysis (Fig. 4e inset),

showing that the lack of signaling is not caused by general protein misfolding. To test if the

defect of the two variants was due to a loss of signal transfer from either the TM region of the

protein or the transporter, we combined each of the LOF mutations with the E115K

substitution that activates BceS in the absence of a stimulus. All resulting variants remained

completely inactive (Fig. 4e), which showed that the substitutions in the HAMP-like domain

had a dominant effect and thus likely caused substantial structural disruptions in the protein,

rather than specifically affecting signal transfer.

Activation of BceS involves a piston-like displacement in transmembrane helix 2. We

next investigated the conformation of the second transmembrane helix in BceS. In many

histidine kinases, conformational changes in an extracellular sensory domain are transmitted

to the cytoplasmic region through physical movement of the intervening transmembrane helix

(17). In the case of BceS, however, signaling is entirely reliant on the BceAB transport

system and therefore does not involve canonical transmembrane information transfer. To

investigate if BceS activation nevertheless involved transmembrane helix movements, we

carried out a cysteine accessibility scan (Scan-SCAM, (21)) of its second transmembrane

helix. This analysis requires a cysteine-free background, and we therefore replaced the three

native Cys residues mentioned earlier by serine and confirmed that he C45S/C198S/C259S

BceS variant was still fully functional (Fig. S5). Individual cysteine replacements were done

from positions 51 to 65, surrounding the predicted membrane interface at W54 or F55. The

essential residues E60 and F63 were not replaced. All variants were signaling competent (Fig.

S5). The position relative to the membrane was then tested for each Cys residue based on its

solvent accessibility, using a modified version of the established *E. coli* protocol (21). In

brief, treatment of whole cells with N-ethyl-maleimide (NEM) should block any solvent-

exposed Cys residue, whereas in untreated cells the sulfhydryl groups should remain

available for later reactions. Following isolation of membranes, any unblocked Cys residues

are then reacted with methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal), resulting in a 10

kDa increase in size, detectable by Western Blotting. For cytoplasmic Cys residues in BceS,

we therefore expected to see a shift in size only in samples that had not been treated with

NEM (Fig. 5, blue labels). In contrast to the established *E. coli* methodology (21), we could

Figure 5: Accessibility of Cys residues at the membrane interface shows a piston-like displacement upon BceS activation. a, Schematic representation of transmembrane helix 2 in the OFF and ON states, respectively. Relevant native amino acids are indicated in one-letter code. Solvent-accessible (PEGylated) Cys replacements are shown in blue, inaccessible (unPEGylated) Cys replacements in red and residues not tested in white. Residues circled in black were tested in triplicate, those circled in grey in duplicate. **b**, Anti-His Western blot analysis of solvent-accessibility of Cys replacements (Scan-SCAM). Bands corresponding to PEGylated (S-PEG) and unmodified (S) BceS are indicated; individual lanes are outlined in the same colour code as used in panel a. 'Cys-less' indicates the parent variant of BceS lacking its native Cys residues. OFF state: amino acids substituted in parent construct $bceS^{C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB936). ON state: amino acids substituted in parent construct $bceS^{E115K/K116E/C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB951).

not observe PEGylation of membrane-embedded Cys residues in *B. subtilis*, regardless of the

presence of SDS, possibly due to differences in membrane composition. We therefore

interpreted those residues as being membrane embedded that did not show a size shift in

either presence or absence of NEM treatment (Fig. 5, red labels). Our results showed that

residues up to position 56 resided in the solvent-inaccessible membrane environment, while

residues from Y57 onwards were accessible and therefore cytoplasmic (Fig. 5, 'OFF state').

It is not clear why residue Y64 was consistently mapped as inaccessible, however, given its

distance from the likely membrane interface this was considered an artifact and not further

interpreted. We then repeated the same analysis in the background of the E115K/K116E

substitutions that place the kinase in a constitutively active conformation. Here, residues from

F55 onwards became consistently solvent-accessible (Fig. 5, 'ON state'). Comparison of the

two states therefore shows that BceS activation involved an inward piston-like displacement

by two amino acids of the second transmembrane helix.

We next sought to test whether BceS could be activated by artificially inducing a shift

through introduction of positively charged arginine residues near the membrane interface.

This approach has been shown to cause signal-independent activation of the *E. coli* histidine

kinase DcuS (21). We therefore substituted three of the residues near the cytoplasmic end of

transmembrane helix 2 of BceS (W54, F55 and Y57) with Arg, individually and in pairs.

(Fig. S6). Variants containing the W54R substitution had approximately 60% higher

activities upon stimulation with bacitracin (Fig. S6), which may indicate a stabilisation of or

lowered transition threshold to the activated state. However, none of the variants showed a

detectable change of basal activity in the presence or absence of the input transporter BceAB,

leading us to conclude that Arg substitution alone was not sufficient to activate the kinase,

which still relied on BceAB for signaling.

The transporter BceAB has complete control over kinase conformation. It was

previously shown for Bce of *B. subtilis* and several other examples of the same type of

resistance system that activation of signaling is completely reliant on the ABC transporter (6,

7, 10, 11, 27). We further showed through computational modelling that the signaling output

via BceS is directly proportional to the activity of BceAB and does not follow the switch-like

on/off behaviour seen in most other systems using transporters as accessory sensing proteins

(4, 23). This led us to hypothesise that BceAB completely controls the conformation of BceS

within the sensory complex and thus slaves kinase activity directly to its own transport

activity. Our discovery of the DHp domain variants that cause artificial activation of BceS

now for the first time enabled us to test this hypothesis experimentally by investigating the

role of BceAB in controlling the OFF state of the kinase.

In cells harbouring the wild-type sequence of BceS, the OFF-state activity in un-stimulated

cells was below the detection limit of our assays, and deletion of the transporter therefore has

no measurable effect (Fig. 6a). However, in the E115K or the E115K/K116E variants, basal

kinase activity was high, allowing us to test the effect of *bceAB* deletion in the absence of

bacitracin. Removing the transporter in this background caused a striking further increase in

activity, rendering the kinase fully activated irrespective of the presence of the inducing

antibiotic (Fig. 6a). Therefore, in the absence of its substrate, the inactive transporter can

inhibit the activity of the constitutively active DHp domain variants, which manifests as an

approximately ten-fold reduction in basal activity compared to the transporter-free state. Our

data are consistent with a model where inactive BceAB exerts close control over BceS

keeping the kinase in its inactive state (Fig. 1, left). This reveals a further layer of kinase

control in addition to the transporter's known activating effect (4), and would prevent any

spurious signaling in the absence of antibiotics.

Figure 6: Control of BceS activity by the transporter BceAB. a, Signaling activity of BceS measured in strains harbouring P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* and challenged with 10µg ml^{-1} of bacitracin (grey) or left untreated (black). Amino acid substitutions were introduced into parent construct P*xyl*-*bceS*-His$_8$, in a strain background carrying a Δ*bceS* deletion (BceAB +; SGB792), or a Δ*bceSAB* deletion (BceAB -; SGB818). Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-12 biological repeats. **b,** Signaling phenotype of BceAB variants. Amino acid substitutions were introduced into the P*xyl*-*bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ parent construct, and signaling assessed using either a P*bceA*-*lacZ* (SGB370 and derivatives) or P*bceA*-*luxABCDE* (SGB731 and derivatives) reporter. Cells were challenged with a series of bacitracin concentrations, and the lowest concentration giving signaling above background is shown by circles in the plot (see Fig. S7 for complete datasets). Analyses were based on mean values from at least three independent repeats. The first line of x-axis labels gives native amino acids, the lines below indicate substitutions tested. The bar above the graph shows positions in the BceB sequence and areas within the membrane (grey bar) or in the cytoplasm (black line). Circle colours are assigned green, wild-type (WT) signaling threshold; red, increased signaling threshold; blue, reduced signaling threshold.

BceAB has not evolved for maximal signaling sensitivity. Recent structural work has

provided new insights into the functional mechanism of the Type VII superfamily of ABC

transporters, of which BceAB is a member. This group of proteins is thought to act as

mechanotransmitters, using cytoplasmic ATP hydrolysis to provide energy for periplasmic

processes, such as removal of antibiotics (39). In *E. coli* MacB, mechanotransmission is

achieved by the transmembrane helix linking the cytoplasmic ATPase interaction site to the

periplasmic domain (39). In BceAB, the equivalent region is its eighth transmembrane helix,

which connects the transporter's extracellular domain to a cytoplasmic loop containing one of

the ATPase contact interfaces (Fig. 1, dark green) (15). Based on our earlier discovery that

this region of BceB contained a particularly high density of mutations leading to a loss of

signaling (40), we speculated that this region was crucial for the communication between

transporter and kinase. We therefore carried out another Cys scan, individually replacing

each residue from positions 533 to 556 in BceB, encompassing approximately half of

transmembrane helix 8 and the first alpha-helical segment of the adjacent cytoplasmic region.

The native Cys at position 544 was replaced by Ser. Expression of *bceAB* was from a xylose-

inducible promoter to ensure consistent transporter production irrespective of signaling

activity. The resulting variants, except G535C, displayed comparable bacitracin resistance to

the isogenic progenitor strain (Table S4), confirming full functionality of the transporters.

When signaling was tested using our standard reporter gene assays, all variants except

G543C reached a similar amplitude of reporter induction as strains containing the wild-type

construct (Fig. S7 a&b). However, we observed some clear difference in the sensitivity of

signaling, i.e. the minimal concentration of antibiotic required to elicit a response. In cells

with the wild-type construct, the lowest bacitracin concentration to trigger signaling was 3 µg

ml^{-1}, while a number of variants had a higher threshold of 10 µg ml^{-1} (Fig. 6b, green vs red

dots; Fig. S7 a&b). The majority of these fell into the C-terminal part of the scanned region,

indicating potential defects in coupling to the ATPase. To our surprise, we also found several

variants that showed a marked increase in signaling sensitivity and responded already at 0.3

µg ml^{-1} or even 0.03 µg ml^{-1} bacitracin (Fig. 6b, dark blue dots; Fig. S7). We had previously

seen that a reduction of BceAB transport activity can cause such a shift in signaling

threshold, because the negative feedback created by removal of the antibiotic is missing (4).

However, as none of these variants showed any change in bacitracin resistance (Table S4),

loss of antibiotic removal cannot explain the increased signaling sensitivity. Mapping of these

residues showed that they occurred with a regular periodicity of every four amino acids (Fig.

6b), suggesting they are positioned along the same face of the transmembrane helix. Figure

S7c shows a homology model from I-TASSER of the final three transmembrane helices of

BceB, for which MacB was the closest structural analog, to illustrate the potential

arrangement of these residues. Substitutions with the relatively small amino acid Cys may

have enabled tighter helix packing, potentially contributing to more effective signaling. To

test this, we replaced two of the positions, L546 and Q550, with a series of other amino acids,

including small Ala; charged Glu or Asp; and large Phe. Regardless of the substitution made,

deviation from the wild-type sequence at these positions consistently led to an increase in

signaling sensitivity (Fig. 6b). It is difficult to envisage that all these substitutions caused

tighter interactions with the kinase. An alternative explanation may be that they are involved

in tightly coupling ligand binding by the extracellular domain to ATP hydrolysis, and that

substitutions weaken this coupling, allowing ATP hydrolysis and therefore signaling to occur

at lower substrate concentrations. Despite numerous genetic and biochemical attempts, the

precise residues with which BceS and BceB interact remain unknown, and we believe this is

likely due to an extensive interface between the proteins involving multiple contacts. While it

was beyond the scope of this study to pursue a further functional characterization of the

transporter, our findings clearly show that BceB contains a series of residues that likely form

a continuous face on transmembrane helix 8, which prevent signaling from occurring at lower

bacitracin concentrations. Given that any replacement we tested led to more sensitive

signaling, we therefore conclude that the transporter has evolved to avoid such hyper-

sensitive signaling, constituting a further layer in minimizing the fitness cost of resistance.

Under laboratory conditions, we have so far not been able to detect a competitive

disadvantage in strains that harboured hyper-sensitive variants of BceAB. However, fast

growth in carefully controlled conditions is not representative of the complex microbial

interactions in natural habitats, where energy-conserving regulatory strategies will likely

contribute to the competitive success of a microorganism.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we set out to investigate the molecular mechanisms of the flux-sensing strategy

employed by Bce-like antibiotic resistance systems. Their hallmark feature is that histidine

kinase activity not only fully depends on the presence of its cognate transporter, but is

directly proportional to transport activity across a large input dynamic range of antibiotic

concentrations (4). This careful adaptation of signaling activity ensures the resistance

transporter is produced precisely to the cell's demand for detoxification capacity, a very cost-

efficient strategy to control gene expression. The results presented here now provide

molecular level understanding of how such close control over kinase activity can be

achieved.

Analyses of BceS conformation in the inactive and active state showed a piston-like inward

displacement of the second transmembrane helix and helical rotation within the DHp domain

upon kinase activation. Tertiary structure predictions and experimental validation indicate

that BceS possesses an intervening HAMP-like domain that likely fulfils a signal transfer

function. Based on crystallographic evidence from a truncated kinase, it has been proposed

that in HAMP-containing histidine kinases ligand binding typically induces piston-

displacements in the membrane, and these are converted by the HAMP domain into rotational

motion of the DHp domain (18). Despite its unusual input mechanism via the BceAB

transporter, the conformational changes we observed within BceS are therefore consistent

with this proposed mode of activation.

A recent NMR study reported on the structure of the BceS-like kinase NsaS from *S. aureus*,

which possesses a much shorter linker region between its transmembrane and DHp domains.

This linker region adopts a coiled-coil conformation instead of the HAMP-like fold of BceS

(20). Inspection of kinase sequences in an existing collection of Bce-like resistance systems

(8) showed that the vast majority of these proteins contained the larger version of the linker

(approximately 60 amino acids), and only a small number possessed short (around 20 amino

acid) linkers with a predicted coiled-coil motif. BceS therefore appears to be the more typical

subtype of this group of kinases than NsaS. Interestingly however, akin to the alternative

helix packing mechanism proposed for HAMP domains (33), activation of NsaS was also

proposed to involve switching between helical packing states of the coiled-coil (20). The

molecular principles of activation may therefore be conserved between both subtypes of

BceS-like kinases.

To further investigate the activation mechanism of BceS, we sought to force each of the

conformational changes via amino acid substitutions. Charge repulsion at the core of the DHp

domain to trigger helical rotation consistently led to kinase activation without a physiological

stimulus (Fig. 3). However, we were unable to artificially trigger activation through

substitutions that should have induced piston displacements in the membrane (Fig. S6) or

induced the active conformation of the HAMP domain (Fig. 4 and S4). This is in contrast to

studies of other kinases where such substitutions least partially raises the basal activity (21,

37, 38). In canonical histidine kinases, the input signal is detected directly, e.g. through

ligand binding. One could therefore speculate that in these proteins, conformational changes

have to be smoothly transmitted from each domain to the next, and that this is reflected in the

relative ease with which kinase activity can be artificially induced through changes in each

individual domain. In contrast, BceS-like proteins are subject to control by the transporter.

While we do not yet know with which part of the kinase the transporter interacts, it is

conceivable that in a kinase that relies on such external control, small changes in upstream

domains are insufficient to trigger rotation of the DHp domain, and only genetic

manipulation of the domain itself can cause artificial activation. Some support for such a

hypothesis may be found in the MD simulations, which showed that the wild-type DHp

domain does not by itself sample the conformational space observed in the active variant

(Fig. S3), implying that the transporter is likely required to provide the necessary force for

transition to the active state.

The use of transporters in accessory control of signaling proteins is not uncommon in

bacteria, but BceAB is unusual in that it is an activator of signaling, while most other

transporters act as repressors (23). Repression of signaling and its relief are easily envisaged

via formation and dissociation of a repressive complex between transporter and histidine

kinase. For the Bce system, we previously showed that transporter and kinase constitutively

form a signaling complex in the membrane, irrespective of the presence or absence of the

inducer, bacitracin (5). Considering its activating role and that signaling is proportional to

transport activity, the transporter must therefore be able to directly influence kinase activity

within this complex. When this was first tested *in vitro*, it was noted that BceAB had a

negative effect on the kinase autophosphorylation rate in the OFF state, although we could

not explain the observation at the time (5). In the present study, we now show that the

transporter indeed not only controls the ON but also the OFF state of BceS *in vivo* and is able

to restore partial control over the high constitutive activity of the DHp domain variant (Fig.

6a). We propose that through controlling both states of the kinase, the transporter is able to

exert the level of close control that is needed to explain the enormous dynamic range of the

system, where signaling is maintained in proportion to transport activity over more than three

orders of magnitude in antibiotic concentrations (4). A crucial feature of the flux-sensing

mechanism is that signaling is immediately reduced if transport activity is low, either because

the antibiotic threat is over or because the cell has reached sufficient detoxification capacity

(4). Importantly, the ability of BceAB to actively switch off BceS activity described here

explains how this energy-conserving signaling strategy is realized on the molecular level.

Control over the OFF state also allows the transporter to actively prevent any spurious

signaling by the two-component system in the absence of the antibiotic. Finally, our

mutagenesis analysis of BceAB revealed that the transporter itself has evolved to avoid

signaling at very low antibiotic concentrations, highlighting yet another level of cost

reduction.

Taken together, we here present a molecular level model for activation of antibiotic

resistance based directly on the cell's need for protection, using a flux-sensing signaling

strategy that employs multiple layers of active energy conservation to minimize any fitness

costs of resistance. The system studied here is centered on two-component signaling, one of

the most important regulatory features of bacteria. Our findings should therefore have wide

relevance and serve as a model for understanding, in general, how analog dials can control

fine-tuned gene expression in bacteria to achieve an optimal cost-benefit balance.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All strains used in this study are listed in table S1

and were routinely grown in Lysogeny Broth (LB; 10 g l^{-1} tryptone, 5 g l^{-1} yeast extract, 5 g l^{-}

$^{-1}$ NaCl) at 37 ºC with aeration. Solid media contained 15 g l^{-1} agar. Selective media contained

chloramphenicol (5 µg ml^{-1}), macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (erythromycin; 1 µg

ml^{-1}, lincomycin; 25 µg ml^{-1}), spectinomycin (100 µg ml^{-1}), kanamycin (10 µg ml^{-1}), or

ampicillin (100 µg ml^{-1}).

DNA manipulation and strain construction. All primers and plasmids used in this study

are given in tables S2 and S3, respectively, with the use of each primer specified in table S3.

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions for the

QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies), or by PCR-overlap

extension (41). *Bacillus subtilis* transformations were carried out via natural competence as

previously described (42). DNA for transformation was either provided as linearised plasmid

DNA, or as genomic DNA from a *B. subtilis* strain already carrying the desired genetic

construct.

To allow manipulation of both *bceS* and *bceAB* sequences, an unmarked deletion strain

lacking all three genes (Δ*bceSAB*, SGB377) was constructed as described previously (43),

employing plasmid pAK102. Strains lacking *bceS* only (Δ*bceS*; TMB1036) or *bceAB* only

(*bceAB*::kan; TMB035 (27)) were available from previous work. Signaling activity was

assessed in strains harbouring a chromosomally integrated transcriptional reporter construct

of the target promoter P$_{bceA}$, fused to either *luxABCDE* (pSDlux101 (40)) or *lacZ* (pER603

(27)). Details of the assays used are given below.

Genetic manipulation of *bceS* was performed using previously constructed plasmids as

template. For Western blot detection, the construct pSD2E01 encoding BceS with a C-

terminal octa-histidine tag (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$ (5)) was employed. Un-tagged constructs were

derived from pAS719 (P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*^{WT}). Genetic manipulation of *bceAB* was performed using the

previously constructed plasmid pFK727, encoding BceAB with a C-terminal triple FLAG-tag

on the permease (P_{xyl}-$bceAB$-FLAG$_3$ (40)). All constructs used in this study integrate stably

and as a single copy into the *B. subtilis* W168 chromosome.

Signaling activity assays. In strains carrying the P_{bceA}-*lacZ* reporter, signaling was assessed

following challenge of exponentially growing cells with Zn^{2+}-bacitracin (bacitracin) and

measured as β-galactosidase activity (expressed in Miller Units), as described previously

(27). For strains carrying the P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* reporter, luciferase activities were assayed

using a Tecan® Spark® microplate reader controlled by SparkControl™ V2.1 software.

Starter cultures were grown at 37 ºC in 2 ml of LB medium overnight, then diluted 1:1000

into fresh medium before adding 100 µl into 96-well plates (black, clear flat bottoms;

Corning®). Samples were grown at 37 ˚C with orbital agitation at 180 rpm and allowed to

achieve early exponential growth (OD_{600} 0.3 − 0.4), before adding Zn^{2+}-bacitracin to a final

concentration of 1 µg ml^{-1} unless otherwise indicated. OD_{600} and relative luminescence units

(RLU; integration time 1 s) were measured every 5 min and were background-corrected using

values from LB-only wells. RLU was normalised for cell density (RLU OD^{-1}). The reported

values are steady-state activities achieved 60-75 min after bacitracin addition.

Cells were grown without the addition of xylose, as the basal activity of the promoter P_{xylA}

(44), used to drive expression of the ectopic copies of *bceS* and/or *bceAB*, was sufficient to

achieve full signaling activity. In strains containing the native copy of *bceAB* (Δ*bceS*

background) signaling was induced with 10 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. In strains containing

only the ectopic construct for BceAB production (Δ*bceSAB* background), signaling was

induced with 1 µg ml^{-1} of Zn^{2+}-bacitracin. These concentrations were established in our

earlier work as eliciting maximum signaling activity in the respective genetic backgrounds

(4).

Bacitracin sensitivity assays. The sensitivity of *B. subtilis* strains to bacitracin was

determined as described (40), with minor modifications. Serial two-fold dilutions of Zn^{2+}-

bacitracin from 32 µg ml^{-1} to 0.5 µg ml^{-1} were prepared in Mueller-Hinton medium

containing 0.2 % (w/v) xylose to ensure maximal production of BceAB. For each

concentration, 100 µl volumes in 96-well plates were inoculated 1:400 from overnight

cultures grown in Mueller-Hinton medium with xylose and selective antibiotics. Each culture

was scored for growth after 20 to 24 h of incubation at 37°C with aeration. The MIC was

determined as the lowest bacitracin concentration where no visible growth was detected.

Cysteine cross-linking *in vivo*. Cysteine cross-linking was assessed in strains carrying the

Δ*bceSAB* deletion complemented with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ and

bceS-His$_8$ with single-cysteine substitutions and the P$_{bceA}$-*lux* reporter (SGB369 and

derivatives). Starter cultures were grown in 2 ml of LB medium overnight at 37 ºC with

agitation, then diluted 1:500 into 55 ml of fresh medium supplemented with 0.02% (w/v)

xylose. In mid-exponential growth (OD$_{600}$ 0.5 – 0.7), oxidative cross-linking of cysteine

residues was triggered by addition of 250 µM of iodine for 15 min under continued

incubation. Where required, cells were induced with 60 µg ml^{-1} Zn^{2+}-bacitracin for 45 min

prior to cross-linking. Cross-linking reactions were stopped with 20 mM N-ethylmaleimide

(NEM) for 15 min under continued incubation. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (3,000

$\times$ *g*, 20 min, 4 ºC) and resuspended in 5 ml of ice cold lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH

8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 10% (w/v) glycerol, 20 mM NEM). Cell disruption was achieved by at

least three cycles of 15s sonication on ice with 15s rest. Debris was removed by

centrifugation (3,000 $\times$ *g*, 20 min, 4 ºC), and membranes were collected from supernatants by

ultracentrifugation (150,000 $\times$ *g*, 1 h, 4 ºC). Membranes were resuspended in 50 µl lysis

buffer, and approximately 150 mg protein per sample was mixed with non-reducing sample

buffer (1$\times$ final concentration; 1.5 M Tris/HCl [pH 6.8], 30 % (w/v) glycerol, 350 mM SDS,

0.12 mg ml^{-1} bromophenol blue) for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis (see below). For

quantification of band intensities Fiji (45) was used to obtain a profile plot of each lane, and

the relative intensity of each band (monomer and dimer BceS) within the lane was expressed

as a percentage of the total band intensities.

Solvent-accessibility of Cysteine residues *in vivo* (Scan-SCAM). For solvent-accessibility

tests in the second transmembrane helix of BceS, the Δ*bceSAB* deletion strain, complemented

with xylose-inducible ectopic copies of *bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ and *bceS*-His$_8$ and the P$_{bceA}$-*lux*

reporter was employed. The *bceS* complementation construct was made cysteine-free via

C45S/C198S/C259S substitutions, followed by introduction of single cysteines to be tested

(strain SGB936 and derivatives). The same was done in the E115K/K116E variant to assess

transmembrane topology in the active state (strain SGB951 and derivatives). Cultures were

grown to mid-exponential phase as described above. Cells were harvested, washed twice with

50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8) and resuspended in 5 ml of the same buffer. Water exposed

(i.e. cytoplasmic) cysteine residues were masked with 40 mM N-Ethylmaleimide (NEM) for

1.5 h at room temperature with shaking or left untreated. Masking reactions were stopped by

three washes in cold (4 ˚C) 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8). Cells were resuspended in 5 ml

of ice cold lysis buffer, sonicated and membranes collected as above. Membranes were

resuspended in 40 µl accessibility buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 6.8], 1.3% (w/v) SDS).

Cysteines that had not been masked with NEM in the first step were labelled with 5 mM

methoxypolyethylene glycol maleimide (PEG-mal; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1.5 h at room

temperature with agitation. The reaction was stopped with 1× LDS sample buffer (Expedeon)

and 250 mM DTT and rested for 5 min. PEG-mal accessible and non-accessible BceS were

analyzed by Western Blot.

Western blot analysis. Protein samples (~150 μg) from *in vivo* cross-linking and Scan-SCAM were subjected to SDS-PAGE on RunBlue™ TEO-Tricine SDS mini gel in either Tris-Tricine-SDS (Sigma-Aldrich) or Teo-Tricine-SDS (Expedeon) buffer, run for 50 min at 120V. Samples were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore, activated in 100 % (v/v) methanol) in 1 × RunBlue SDS Running Buffer (Expedeon), 20 % (v/v) methanol at 75 mA for 70 minutes using a PerfectBlue™ Sedec™ Semi-Dry Electroblotter (Peqlab). Membranes were blocked with 7.5 % (w/v) skimmed milk powder in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4 [pH7.4], 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20), washed three times in PBST, and incubated with primary antibody (Penta·His Antibody, QIAGEN®, 1:2000 dilution) in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBST for 2h at room temperature. Following three washes in PBST, incubation with secondary antibody (Peroxidase-AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG, Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd, 1:4000 dilution) was carried out in milk-PBST for 1h at room temperature, followed by three final washes in PBST. Detection of proteins was by chemiluminescence using Pierce™ ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and a Qiagen CCD camera with Fusion software.

Homology Modelling. Homology models for the BceS HAMP and DHp domains (positions 56 to 174), and for the C-terminal domain of BceAB (from position 507) were generated using I-TASSER with default parameters (29). The respective homology model with the highest C-score was selected, and the top ranking structural analog from PDB identified by I-TASSER was noted for reference.

Gaussian-accelerated molecular dynamics (GaMD) Simulations. A homology model of the cytoplasmic region of BceS consisting of the DHp and ATPase domains was constructed using I-TASSER as before (46). The HAMP-like domain was excluded due to low sequence

homology with conventional HAMP domains. The approximate orientation of each BceS

monomer with respect to one another was predicted based on cysteine cross-linking data (Fig.

2) and further refined using Z-Dock to perform rigid body protein-protein docking (47), with

subsequent side chain rotamers optimised using SCWRL4 (48). The N-terminus of the DHp

domain of each monomer was acetylated to cap the α-helix and prevent having a positive

charge at the termini. ATP was then docked into each ATP binding site using AutoDock Vina

(exhaustiveness set to 32) (49). The generated model of BceS was then manually modified to

construct the E115K/K116E variant. All residues were modelled in their standard protonation

states, with His108 and His124 singly protonated on the Nδ1 nitrogen, and all other His

singly protonated on the Nε2 nitrogen (as indicated by hydrogen bonding networks). Both

systems were solvated in an octahedral water box such that no protein/substrate atom was

within 10 Å of the box boundaries, with Na^+ or Cl^- counter ions added as necessary to ensure

an overall neutral charge. MD simulations were performed using GPU accelerated Amber16,

with the ff14SB force field and TIP3P water model used to describe protein and water

molecules respectively. Parameters for ATP were taken from a study by Meagher and

colleagues (50). Following a minimisation, heating, NVT and NPT equilibration procedure

(described below), Gaussian accelerated MD (GaMD) simulations were done in the NVT

ensemble at 300 K (31), with a boost potential applied to both the total system potential

energy as well as the dihedral potential energies (see also GaMD settings in Table S5). To

prepare for production GaMD simulations, a 12 ns long conventional MD run was used to

obtain maximum, minimum, average and standard deviation of the system potential energies.

Following this, a 66 ns long run was used to update the above parameters (updated every 600

ps) to ensure converged GaMD production parameters. Production GaMD simulations were

then run for 4×500 ns, using different random seeds for each replica. MD simulations were

run using a 2 fs time step with the SHAKE algorithm applied to any bond containing a

hydrogen atom. An 8 Å direct space non-bonded cut-off was applied with long-range

electrostatics evaluated using the particle mesh Ewald algorithm (51). Temperature was

regulated using Langevin temperature control (collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}). All MD and

GaMD simulations were performed with a 10 kcal mol^{-1} restraint on the Phi and Psi dihedrals

between the acetyl and first N-terminal residue, to prevent the α-helix fraying. Principal

component analysis (PCA) and other trajectory analyses were performed within CPPTRAJ

(52), on the Cα atoms of the DHp domain residues. PCA was performed on the trajectories

after constructing an average structure of all four simulations combined. Reweighting of PCA

and distance measurements (to obtain PMFs) was performed using the 'PyReweighting'

toolkit (53).

To equilibrate structures for GaMD simulations, the following procedure was performed.

Minimisation of all hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules was done using 500 steps of

steepest descent followed by 500 steps of conjugate gradient. To keep all other atoms (i.e. the

protein heavy atoms) in place during the minimisation, 10 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1} positional restraints

were applied. Retaining the positional restraints on all protein heavy atoms, the system was

then heated rapidly from 50 K to 300 K in the NVT ensemble over the course of 200 ps. This

system was again minimised for a further 500 steps of steepest descent followed by 500 steps

of conjugate gradient, this time only applying positional restraints (of size 5 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1})

to the Cα carbon atoms. These Cα restraints were retained as the system was again heated

from 25 K to 300 K over the course of 50 ps in the NVT ensemble. Simulations were then

performed in the NPT ensemble (1 atm, 300 K), first gradually reducing the 5 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}

Cα carbon restraints over the course of 50 ps of simulation time. This was done in five steps

(5, 4, 3, 2, 1 kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-1}) of 10 ps each. A final 20 ns long MD simulation was then

performed, in which no restraints were used, to equilibrate the box size. All dynamics steps

used the SHAKE algorithm. Simulations performed in the NVT ensemble used Langevin

temperature control (with a collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a simulation time-step of 1 fs.

Simulations performed in the NPT ensemble again used Langevin temperature control

(collision frequency of 1 ps^{-1}) and a Berendsen barostat (1 ps pressure relaxation time), with

a simulation time-step of 2 fs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Roger Draheim for helpful advice in establishing the cysteine crosslinking

assays. We also thank our undergraduate research students Jessica Lancaster and Adam

McCartan for constructing plasmids pJL705 and pAM703, respectively. We thank Jean van

den Elsen and Laurence Hurst for critical reading of and feedback on the manuscript.

Work in SG's lab was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research

Council (BBSRC; BB/M029255/1). MWK is a BBSRC David Phillips Fellow

(BB/M026280/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AK and MJG carried out all laboratory work and data analysis; MWK and CRP performed

and analyzed the MD simulations; SG supervised all laboratory work and coordinated the

research project; SG, CRP and AK wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1.   **Waglechner N**, **Wright GD**. 2017. Antibiotic resistance: it's bad, but why isn't it
worse? BMC Biol **15**:1–8.

2.   **Durão P**, **Balbontín R**, **Gordo I**. 2018. Evolutionary Mechanisms Shaping the
Maintenance of Antibiotic Resistance. Trends Microbiol **26**:677–691.

3.   **Andersson DI**, **Hughes D**. 2010. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to
reverse resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol **8**:260–271.

4.   **Fritz G**, **Dintner S**, **Treichel NS**, **Radeck J**, **Gerland U**, **Mascher T**, **Gebhard S**.
2015. A new way of sensing: need-based activation of antibiotic resistance by a flux-
sensing mechanism. mBio **6**:e00975–15.

5.   **Dintner S**, **Heermann R**, **Fang C**, **Jung K**, **Gebhard S**. 2014. A sensory complex
consisting of an ATP-binding cassette transporter and a two-component regulatory
system controls bacitracin resistance in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Biol Chem **289**:27899–
27910.

6.   **Staroń A**, **Finkeisen DE**, **Mascher T**. 2011. Peptide antibiotic sensing and
detoxification modules of *Bacillus subtili*s. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **55**:515–
525.

7.   **Hiron A**, **Falord M**, **Valle J**, **Débarbouillé M**, **Msadek T**. 2011. Bacitracin and nisin
resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: a novel pathway involving the BraS/BraR two-
component system (SA2417/SA2418) and both the BraD/BraE and VraD/VraE ABC
transporters. Mol Microbiol **81**:602–622.

8.   **Dintner S**, **Staroń A**, **Berchtold E**, **Petri T**, **Mascher T**, **Gebhard S**. 2011.
Coevolution of ABC transporters and two-component regulatory systems as resistance
modules against antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria. J Bacteriol **193**:3851–
3862.

9.   **Revilla-Guarinos A**, **Gebhard S**, **Alcántara C**, **Staroń A**, **Mascher T**, **Zúñiga M**.
2013. Characterization of a regulatory network of peptide antibiotic detoxification
modules in *Lactobacillus casei* BL23. Appl Environ Microbiol **79**:3160–3170.

10. **Gebhard S**, **Fang C**, **Shaaly A**, **Leslie DJ**, **Weimar MR**, **Kalamorz F**, **Carne A**,
Cook GM. 2014. Identification and Characterization of a Bacitracin Resistance
Network in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **58**:1425–1433.

11. **Ouyang J**, **Tian XL**, **Versey J**, **Wishart A**, **Li YH**. 2010. The BceABRS Four-
Component System Regulates the Bacitracin-Induced Cell Envelope Stress Response
in *Streptococcus mutans*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **54**:3895–3906.

12. **Gebhard S**, **Mascher T**. 2011. Antimicrobial peptide sensing and detoxification
modules: unravelling the regulatory circuitry of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Mol Microbiol
81:581–587.

13. **Revilla-Guarinos A**, **Gebhard S**, **Mascher T**, **Zúñiga M**. 2014. Defence against
antimicrobial peptides: different strategies in Firmicutes. Environ Microbiol **16**:1225–
1237.

14. **Gebhard S**. 2012. ABC transporters of antimicrobial peptides in Firmicutes bacteria -
phylogeny, function and regulation. Mol Microbiol **86**:1295–1317.

15. **Greene NP**, **Kaplan E**, **Crow A**, **Koronakis V**. 2018. Antibiotic Resistance Mediated
by the MacB ABC Transporter Family: A Structural and Functional Perspective. Front
Microbiol **9**:1923–17.

16.   **Kobras CM**, **Piepenbreier H**, **Emenegger J**, **Sim A**, **Fritz G**, **Gebhard S**. 2020.
BceAB-Type Antibiotic Resistance Transporters Appear To Act by Target Protection
of Cell Wall Synthesis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **64**:321–15.

17.   **Gao R**, **Stock AM**. 2009. Biological insights from structures of two-component
proteins. Annu Rev Microbiol **63**:133–154.

18.   **Gushchin I**, **Melnikov I**, **Polovinkin V**, **Ishchenko A**, **Yuzhakova A**, **Buslaev P**,
Bourenkov G, **Grudinin S**, **Round E**, **Balandin T**, **Borshchevskiy V**, **Willbold D**,
Leonard G, **Büldt G**, **Popov A**, **Gordeliy V**. 2017. Mechanism of transmembrane
signaling by sensor histidine kinases. Science **356**:eaah6345–9.

19.   **Bhate MP**, **Molnar KS**, **Goulian M**, **DeGrado WF**. 2015. Signal Transduction in
Histidine Kinases: Insights from New Structures. Structure/Folding and Design
23:981–994.

20.   **Bhate MP**, **Lemmin T**, **Kuenze G**, **Mensa B**, **Ganguly S**, **Peters JM**, **Schmidt N**,
Pelton JG, **Gross CA**, **Meiler J**, **DeGrado WF**. 2018. Structure and Function of the
Transmembrane Domain of NsaS, an Antibiotic Sensing Histidine Kinase in
Staphylococcus aureus. J Am Chem Soc **140**:7471–7485.

21.   **Monzel C**, **Unden G**. 2015. Transmembrane signaling in the sensor kinase DcuS of
Escherichia coli: A long-range piston-type displacement of transmembrane helix 2.
Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **112**:11042–11047.

22.   **Tetsch L**, **Jung K**. 2009. The regulatory interplay between membrane-integrated
sensors and transport proteins in bacteria. Mol Microbiol **73**:982–991.

23.   **Piepenbreier H**, **Fritz G**, **Gebhard S**. 2017. Transporters as information processors in
bacterial signalling pathways. Mol Microbiol **104**:1–15.

24.   **Witan J**, **Bauer J**, **Wittig I**, **Steinmetz PA**, **Erker W**, **Unden G**. 2012. Interaction of
the *Escherichia coli* transporter DctA with the sensor kinase DcuS: presence of
functional DctA/DcuS sensor units. Mol Microbiol **85**:846–861.

25.   **Unden G**, **Wörner S**, **Monzel C**. 2016. Cooperation of secondary transporters and
sensor kinases in transmembrane signalling: the DctA/DcuS and DcuB/DcuS sensor
complexes of *Escherichia coli*. Adv Microb Physiol **68**:139–167.

26.   **Hsieh Y-J**, **Wanner BL**. 2010. Global regulation by the seven-component P_i signaling
system. Curr Opin Microbiol **13**:198–203.

27.   **Rietkötter E**, **Hoyer D**, **Mascher T**. 2008. Bacitracin sensing in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol
Microbiol **68**:768–785.

28.   **Letunic I**, **Doerks T**, **Bork P**. 2012. SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain
annotation resource. Nucleic Acids Res **40**:D302–5.

29.   **Zhang Y**. 2008. I-TASSER server for protein 3D structure prediction. BMC
Bioinformatics, 2nd ed. **9**:76–8.

30.   **Pakula AA**, **Simon MI**. 1992. Determination of transmembrane protein structure by
disulfide cross-linking: the *Escherichia coli* Tar receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
89:4144–4148.

31.   **Miao Y**, **Feher VA**, **McCammon JA**. 2015. Gaussian Accelerated Molecular
Dynamics: Unconstrained Enhanced Sampling and Free Energy Calculation. J Chem
Theory Comput **11**:3584–3595.

32.   **Lai R-Z**, **Parkinson JS**. 2014. Functional Suppression of HAMP Domain Signaling
Defects in the *E. coli* Serine Chemoreceptor. J Mol Biol **426**:3642–3655.

33.   **Hulko M**, **Berndt F**, **Gruber M**, **Linder JU**, **Truffault V**, **Schultz A**, **Martin J**,
Schultz JE, **Lupas AN**, **Coles M**. 2006. The HAMP Domain Structure Implies Helix
Rotation in Transmembrane Signaling. Cell **126**:929–940.

34.   **Zhou Q**, **Ames P**, **Parkinson JS**. 2011. Biphasic control logic of HAMP domain
signalling in the *Escherichia coli* serine chemoreceptor. Mol Microbiol **80**:596–611.

35.   **Ferris HU**, **Dunin-Horkawicz S**, **Mondéjar LG**, **Hulko M**, **Hantke K**, **Martin J**,
Schultz JE, **Zeth K**, **Lupas AN**, **Coles M**. 2011. The Mechanisms of HAMP-
Mediated Signaling in Transmembrane Receptors. Structure/Folding and Design
19:378–385.

36.   **Ferris HU**, **Dunin-Horkawicz S**, **Hornig N**, **Hulko M**, **Martin J**, **Schultz JE**, **Zeth**
K, **Lupas AN**, **Coles M**. 2012. Mechanism of Regulation of Receptor Histidine
Kinases. Structure/Folding and Design **20**:56–66.

37.   **Matamouros S**, **Hager KR**, **Miller SI**. 2015. HAMP Domain Rotation and Tilting
Movements Associated with Signal Transduction in the PhoQ Sensor Kinase. mBio
6:e00616–15.

38.   **Appleman JA**, **Stewart V**. 2003. Mutational Analysis of a Conserved Signal-
Transducing Element: the HAMP Linker of the *Escherichia coli* Nitrate Sensor NarX.
J Bacteriol **185**:89–97.

39.   **Crow A**, **Greene NP**, **Kaplan E**, **Koronakis V**. 2017. Structure and
mechanotransmission mechanism of the MacB ABC transporter superfamily. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA **23**:201712153–6.

40.   **Kallenberg F**, **Dintner S**, **Schmitz R**, **Gebhard S**. 2013. Identification of regions
important for resistance and signalling within the antimicrobial peptide transporter
BceAB of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol **195**:3287–3297.

41.   **Ho SN**, **Hunt HD**, **Horton RM**, **Pullen JK**, **Pease LR**. 1989. Site-directed
mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. Gene **77**:51–
59.

42.   **Harwood CR**, **Cutting SM**. 1990. Molecular biological methods for *Bacillus*. John
Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.

43.   **Arnaud M**, **Chastanet A**, **Débarbouillé M**. 2004. New vector for efficient allelic
replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, Gram-positive bacteria.
Appl Environ Microbiol **70**:6887–6891.

44.   **Radeck J**, **Kraft K**, **Bartels J**, **Cikovic T**, **Dürr F**, **Emenegger J**, **Kelterborn S**,
Sauer C, **Fritz G**, **Gebhard S**, **Mascher T**. 2013. The *Bacillus* BioBrick Box:
generation and evaluation of essential genetic building blocks for standardized work
with *Bacillus subtilis*. Journal of Biological Engineering **7**:29.

45.   **Schindelin J**, **Arganda-Carreras I**, **Frise E**, **Kaynig V**, **Longair M**, **Pietzsch T**,
Preibisch S, **Rueden C**, **Saalfeld S**, **Schmid B**, **Tinevez J-Y**, **White DJ**, **Hartenstein**
V, **Eliceiri K**, **Tomancak P**, **Cardona A**. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. Nature Publishing Group **9**:676–682.

46.   **Yang J**, **Yan R**, **Roy A**, **Xu D**, **Poisson J**, **Zhang Y**. 2015. The I-TASSER Suite:
protein structure and function prediction. Nature Publishing Group **12**:7–8.

47.   **Pierce BG**, **Wiehe K**, **Hwang H**, **Kim B-H**, **Vreven T**, **Weng Z**. 2014. ZDOCK
server: interactive docking prediction of protein-protein complexes and symmetric
multimers. Bioinformatics **30**:1771–1773.

48.   **Krivov GG**, **Shapovalov MV**, **Dunbrack RL**. 2009. Improved prediction of protein
side-chain conformations with SCWRL4. Proteins **77**:778–795.

49.   **Trott O**, **Olson AJ**. 2010. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of
docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J
Comput Chem **31**:455–461.

50.   **Meagher KL**, **Redman LT**, **Carlson HA**. 2003. Development of polyphosphate
parameters for use with the AMBER force field. J Comput Chem **24**:1016–1025.

51.   **Darden T**, **York D**, **Pedersen L**. 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method
for Ewald sums in large systems. The Journal of Chemical Physics **98**:10089–10092.

52.   **Roe DR**, **Cheatham TE III**. 2013. PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing
and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. J Chem Theory Comput
9:3084–3095.

53.   **Miao Y**, **Sinko W**, **Pierce L**, **Bucher D**, **Walker RC**, **McCammon JA**. 2014.
Improved Reweighting of Accelerated Molecular Dynamics Simulations for Free
Energy Calculation. J Chem Theory Comput **10**:2677–2689.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Figure 1. Function of the BceRS-BceAB resistance system of *B. subtilis*. BceS and its

 domains are shown in shades of blue, with the HAMP-like domain in dark turquoise, and

 DHp domain in dark blue. Only a single monomer of BceS is shown for clarity. BceAB is in

 green with the 8th transmembrane helix marked in dark green; the A_2B stoichiometry is

 shown (5), and the extracellular domain indicated by a U-shaped black line. The left section

 of the schematic shows the system in its inactive (OFF) state, and the repressing function of

 the transporter indicated by a red blunt arrow. The right shows the active (ON) state in the

 presence of antibiotics, exemplified by bacitracin, with the activating function of the

 transporter shown as a red lightning bolt. The motions of kinase domains upon activation are

 shown as red block arrows and BceS autophosphorylation by a 'P'. The positioning of the

 symbols within the sensory complex for repression/activation is arbitrary. ATP hydrolysis

 reactions and antibiotic removal are indicated by grey arrows; for simplicity ATP hydrolysis

 is only shown for one ATPase.

 Figure 2: BceS DHp domain forms a four-helix bundle. a, Homology model of the BceS

 HAMP-like and DHp domains generated by I-TASSER (C-score = -0.76). The HAMP-like

 domain is shown in purple and the DHp domain in blue. The residues that form Cys-

 crosslinks in panel b are highlighted, E115 (red), E118 (purple) and L119 (green). Residue

 K167, which sits opposite E115, is shown in orange. **b**, Percentage of crosslinking for each

 BceS Cys variant was calculated from relative band intensities between monomer and dimer

 within each lane using Fiji (45). Amino acid substitutions were introduced in parent construct

 *bceS*WT-His8 (SGB369) and BceS production was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v)

 xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 2-5 independent repeats. **c, d**, Schematic diagram

of the BceS DHp dimer configuration. Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second

protomer. Left, top down view of the helices in the structural model; right, helical wheel

diagram of the top two helical turns in the DHp domain; residues that form crosslinks are

highlighted as before.

Figure 3: Charges within the BceS DHp domain core affect kinase activity. a, BceS

signaling activity in strains harbouring P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* and P_{xyl}-*bceS*. Amino acid

substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$ (SGB369). Signaling was

induced with 1μg ml^{-1} of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 4-22 biological

repeats. The schematic below shows the location of individual and net charges in the core and

stabilisation points within the four-helix bundle, as illustrated in panel b. Red, positive

charge; orange, negative charge. **b,** Helical wheel diagram of the top two helical turns in the

DHp dimer configuration showing location of charges and stabilisation points (pink and

cyan). Helices labelled DHp1' and DHp2' show the second protomer. Black curve arrows

denote the direction of helical rotation. **c,** 2D plots of potential of mean force (PMF) obtained

in GaMD simulations of the wild type (WT, left) and E115K/K116E variant (right) protein.

Inter-monomer distances shown are between the Cα carbon atoms of residues at positions

115 (x-axes) and 167 (y-axes). The PMFs are projected onto the surface in kcal mol^{-1}

indicated by the heat scale.

Figure 4: BceS contains a HAMP-like signal transfer domain. a, Sequence alignment of

known HAMP domains and the BceS HAMP-like domain generated with ClustalO. Residues

in red form the packing layers in the Af1503 HAMP domain. The black solid arrow indicates

the packing residue that influences signaling state in some HAMP domains. White open

arrows indicate E60 and F63 critical for BceS function. Proteins included and their GenBank

identifiers are Af1503 (O28769), BceS (BSU30390), PhoQ (P23837), CpxA (P0AE82),

EnvZ (P0AEJ4), NarX (P0AFA2), Tsr (P02942), Tar (P07017), Aer (P50466). **b**, Schematic

showing amino acids and their sizes in MW used in size substitutions. **c**, BceS signaling

activity following L67 amino acid size substitution harbouring P_{bceA}-*luxABCDE* and P_{xyl}-

bceS. Amino acid substitutions were introduced in the parent construct *bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$

(SGB369). Signaling was induced with 1μg ml^{-1} of bacitracin. Data are shown as mean ± SD

from 5-18 biological repeats. **d**, Helical wheel diagram of the HAMP-like domain. Individual

helices are abbreviated HL-1 and HL-2; the labels HL-1' and HL-2' show the second

protomer. Residues essential for BceS activity are E60 (red) and F63 (yellow); the key

packing residue L67 is shown in blue; red/blue semicircles are used to indicate positioning of

residues in subsequent helical layers. **e**, BceS signaling activity of the constitutive ON variant

(BceS^{E115K}) combined with loss-of-function substitutions at positions 60 and 63. Amino acid

substitutions were introduced in parent construct *bceS*^{WT}-His$_8$ (SGB401). Signaling in cells

harbouring P_{bceA}-*lacZ* and P_{xyl}-*bceS* was induced with 1μg ml^{-1} of bacitracin, BceS production

was controlled by addition of 0.02 % (w/v) xylose. Data are shown as mean ± SD from 3-7

biological repeats. The inset shows an anti-His Western blot analysis to confirm membrane

localisation and protein levels of wild-type (WT) BceS and its variants.

Figure 5: Accessibility of Cys residues at the membrane interface shows a piston-like

displacement upon BceS activation. a, Schematic representation of transmembrane helix 2

in the OFF and ON states, respectively. Relevant native amino acids are indicated in one-

letter code. Solvent-accessible (PEGylated) Cys replacements are shown in blue, inaccessible

(unPEGylated) Cys replacements in red and residues not tested in white. Residues circled in

black were tested in triplicate, those circled in grey in duplicate. **b**, Anti-His Western blot

analysis of solvent-accessibility of Cys replacements (Scan-SCAM). Bands corresponding to

PEGylated (S-PEG) and unmodified (S) BceS are indicated; individual lanes are outlined in

the same colour code as used in panel a. 'Cys-less' indicates the parent variant of BceS

lacking its native Cys residues. OFF state: amino acids substituted in parent construct

bceS$^{C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB936). ON state: amino acids substituted in parent construct

bceS$^{E115K/K116E/C45S/C198S/C259S}$-His$_8$ (SGB951).

Figure 6: Control of BceS activity by the transporter BceAB. a, Signaling activity of

BceS measured in strains harbouring P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* and challenged with 10μg ml^{-1} of

bacitracin (grey) or left untreated (black). Amino acid substitutions were introduced into

parent construct P$_{xyl}$-*bceS*-His$_8$, in a strain background carrying a Δ*bceS* deletion (BceAB +;

SGB792), or a Δ*bceSAB* deletion (BceAB -; SGB818). Data are shown as mean ± SD from 5-

12 biological repeats. **b,** Signaling phenotype of BceAB variants. Amino acid substitutions

were introduced into the P$_{xyl}$-*bceAB*-FLAG$_3$ parent construct, and signaling assessed using

either a P$_{bceA}$-*lacZ* (SGB370 and derivatives) or P$_{bceA}$-*luxABCDE* (SGB731 and derivatives)

reporter. Cells were challenged with a series of bacitracin concentrations, and the lowest

concentration giving signaling above background is shown by circles in the plot (see Fig. S7

for complete datasets). Analyses were based on mean values from at least three independent

repeats. The first line of x-axis labels gives native amino acids, the lines below indicate

substitutions tested. The bar above the graph shows positions in the BceB sequence and areas

within the membrane (grey bar) or in the cytoplasm (black line). Circle colours are assigned

green, wild-type (WT) signaling threshold; red, increased signaling threshold; blue, reduced

signaling threshold.