Increased population density depresses activity but does not influence
emigration in the snail *Pomatias elegans*

Maxime Dahirel[1,2], Loïc Menut[1], Armelle Ansart[1]

[1]Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution) - UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes,
France

[2]INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, ISA, France

Abstract

Dispersal is a key trait linking ecological and evolutionary dynamics, allowing organisms to optimize
fitness expectations in spatially and temporally heterogeneous environments. Some organisms can
either actively disperse or reduce activity in response to challenging conditions, and both responses
may be under a trade-off. To understand how such organisms respond to changes in environmental
conditions, we studied emigration (the first step of dispersal) and activity behaviour in the
gonochoric land snail *Pomatias elegans*, a litter decomposer that can reach very high local densities,
over most of the range of ecologically relevant densities. We found that crowding had no detectable
effect on emigration tendency in this species, contrary to previous results in many hermaphroditic
snails. *Pomatias elegans* is nonetheless able to detect population density; we show they reduce
activity rather than increase dispersal in response to crowding. We propose that limiting activity may
be more advantageous than moving away in species with especially poor movement abilities, even by
land mollusc standards, like *P. elegans*. Interestingly, emigration and activity were positively
correlated independently of density; this dispersal syndrome may reflect an underlying pace-of-life
syndrome, and is compatible with a dispersal-dormancy trade-off, which would require further
investigation. Additionally, we found snails with heavier shells relative to their size tended to be less
mobile, which may reflect physical and metabolic constraints on movement and/or survival during
inactivity. We finally discuss how the absence of density-dependent dispersal may explain why *P.*
elegans is often found at very high local densities, and the possible consequences of this behaviour
for ecosystem functioning and litter decomposition.

Keywords

28 Defence, dispersal syndromes, ecosystem functioning, gastropod, sex-biased dispersal

Introduction

Dispersal, i.e. movement potentially leading to gene flow in space, is a key organismal trait influencing and linking ecological and evolutionary dynamics in sometimes complex ways (Ronce, 2007; Clobert *et al.*, 2012; Bonte & Dahirel, 2017). As the cost-benefit balance of dispersal is expected to vary depending on individual phenotype and experienced conditions, dispersal is often highly context- and phenotype-dependent (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Clobert *et al.*, 2012; Baines, Ferzoco & McCauley, 2019; Endriss *et al.*, 2019). Complexity is even added when one consider that dispersal can be seen as a three-step process (emigration, transfer, immigration), and that different factors can have different levels of influence at each step (Matthysen, 2012). It has been hypothesized (e.g. Matthysen, 2012; Bonte & Dahirel, 2017), that this multicausality of dispersal is why even well-studied environmental factors may lead to very different dispersal responses depending on populations and species. To understand the context-dependency of dispersal, it is thus necessary to study a broader range of life histories and contexts, including organisms that may have alternative strategies to escape negative environmental conditions.

The effects of population density on dispersal, and especially emigration, are likely among the most studied of all dispersal drivers (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Matthysen, 2005; Harman *et al.*, 2020). However, while emigration increasing with density and competition would be a straightforward expectation, and is supported by theory (Travis, Murrell & Dytham, 1999; Rodrigues & Johnstone, 2014), empirical results are actually much more idiosyncratic both within and among species: positive density-dependent, negative density-dependent and density-independent emigration seem to occur at broadly similar frequencies (Jacob *et al.*, 2019; Harman *et al.*, 2020). Explanations for this variability typically invoke multicausality and interactions with other traits, such as sociality (with more social species/individuals in a species less likely to leave large groups, leading to negative density-dependent dispersal; Serrano *et al.*, 2003; Cote & Clobert, 2007), body size (as larger and smaller individuals do not feel competition with the same intensity; e.g. Baines *et al.*, 2019), or sex and sex-ratio (as competition for resources and for partners interact; De Meester & Bonte, 2010; Hovestadt, Mitesser & Poethke, 2014), among many others. Despite the large amount of studies, we are still far from integrating them into a general understanding of density-dependent dispersal: a possible reason is that invertebrates and especially non-arthropod invertebrates are under-represented in the published literature (Harman *et al.*, 2020), meaning we have only explored a narrow part of the trait combinations that may interact with, and shape, the density-dependence of dispersal.

The land winkle *Pomatias elegans* (Müller 1774)(**Fig. 1A**), is a common gastropod species in forests

and hedgerows across Europe (Kerney & Cameron, 1999; Falkner *et al.*, 2001; Welter-Schultes, 2012).

As a caenogastropod, it has separate sexes, contrary to the majority of land molluscs which are

hermaphrodite (Barker, 2001). Its active dispersal capacities are poorly studied but expected to be

very low, even by molluscan standards (Kramarenko, 2014); average dispersal distances after 42 days

are below 20 cm, according to one of the only (indirect) records available in the peer-reviewed

literature (Pfenninger, 2002). Like many other land snails (Cook, 2001; Falkner *et al.*, 2001), it is able

to survive up to several months under unfavourable conditions by strongly reducing its activity

(sometimes down to dormancy; Kilian, 1951; Falkner *et al.*, 2001). *P. elegans* is often distributed in a

very aggregated way across landscapes, and when a population is present, local densities can vary by

several orders of magnitude, from less than one to several hundred individuals.m^{-2} (Pfenninger,

2002). When it is present, *P. elegans* plays a key role in ecosystem functioning, by decomposing litter

and altering its chemical and physical characteristics (Coulis *et al.*, 2009; De Oliveira, Hättenschwiler

& Handa, 2010).

We here tested the hypothesis that emigration (the first step of dispersal) and activity were density-

dependent in *P. elegans*. In addition, we expected dispersers to be more active than residents,

density being equal, in agreement with general predictions about the pace-of-life hypothesis (Cote *et*

al., 2010; Réale *et al.*, 2010). If activity merely is a correlate of dispersal, or vice-versa (for instance if

dispersal is just a "by-product" of routine movement; Van Dyck & Baguette, 2005), we would expect

activity to respond to density in the same way than emigration. If reduced activity is an alternative

coping strategy in the face of stress, we may expect instead a depression of activity in response to

density (Cameron & Carter, 1979). In accordance with the phenotypic compensation hypothesis,

which predicts that risk-taking behaviour should be associated with morphological traits limiting

vulnerability to predators (e.g. Ahlgren *et al.*, 2015), we also expected dispersers/active individuals to

be better defended, by having heavier shells. Finally, we accounted for sex differences, given sex-

biased dispersal is often observed in other taxa with separated sexes (Trochet *et al.*, 2016).

Material and methods

We collected *Pomatias elegans* snails in spring 2017 in leaf litter and at the bottom of calcareous

walls (Falkner *et al.*, 2001) in Arcais, France (approximate location: 46°17'60"N, 0°41'21"W). They

were then kept under controlled conditions (20°C, L:D 16:8) in plastic boxes (25 × 25 × 9 cm, about

500 snails.m^{-2}) with a 1 cm layer of soil and a roughly 2-3 cm thick layer of leaf litter collected in

urban and suburban woodlots and hedgerows on and close to the University of Rennes Beaulieu

campus (predominantly oaks *Quercus robur* L. 1753 and *Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. 1784, and beech

Fagus sylvatica L. 1753). We provided humidity by watering soil and litter every 10 to 15 days.

Dispersal experiment

In June 2017, we created two-patch systems by adapting the system we developed for slugs in

Fronhofer et al. (2018), but with a shorter inter-patch gap and longer dispersal period to account for

the more limited dispersal capacities of *P. elegans* (**Fig. 1B**). We divided 32 × 12 cm^2 transparent

plastic boxes (height: 9 cm) in two 12 × 12 cm^2 patches ("release" and "arrival") and one central 10 ×

12 cm matrix, using plastified cardboard walls. A 2 × 12 cm^2 slot was left open in each wall to allow

snails to leave and enter patches. Both patches were filled with watered soil and litter as in

maintenance boxes (see above), while the matrix was kept dry and empty. Experiments were done

under controlled conditions (20°C, L:D 16:8).

Figure 1. (A) *Pomatias elegans* shell showing the measurements used in the present study. (B)

Diagram of the experimental two-patch system, showing (to scale) the release and arrival patches

containing litter and soil, and the empty matrix in-between. Credits: snail photograph – Armelle

Ansart; derived snail silhouette – Maxime Dahirel (deposited in phylopic.org); *Quercus robur* leaves

silhouette – Ferran Sayol, available in phylopic.org under Public Domain Dedication 1.0 license.

We then placed between 1 and 30 snails in each "release" patch, and first closed the patches for 24 h

to let the snails habituate. We then let them free to move for 4 days, and recorded which snails

stayed in their release patch (hereafter "residents") and which snails emigrated to the arrival patch.

No snails were found in the matrix between the two patches, and no snails died during the

experiment. We tested the following group sizes: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 snails per box, with

respectively 30, 20, 10, 9, 6, 4, 3, 3, and 2 replicates (total: 371 snails in 87 replicates). We chose

these replicate numbers to have at least 30 individuals and 2 replicates per group size. We focused

our replication effort more on individuals than boxes (although we did both to some extent), as we
were interested in individual traits both as responses and covariates (see Statistical analyses), so a
substantial number of individuals at each density was needed. The selected group sizes correspond
to initial densities ranging from 69.4 to 2083.3 snails.m^{-2} (taking only release patch surface area into
account), and likely provide a good coverage of natural densities. Indeed, densities of up to 1072
detected snails.m^{-2} have been found using 25 × 25 cm^2 quadrats in natural populations (Pfenninger,
2002), and a separate study indicates this species has a field detectability in the 0.5 to 0.9 range
when hand collected (Albano *et al.*, 2015), possibly because many individuals may have buried
themselves in the substrate at any one time (Falkner *et al.*, 2001). We aimed and managed to ensure
balanced sex ratios across densities (in line with natural populations; Boycott, 1916); see
Supplementary Material 1 for a description of the sexing procedure, including both (shell
morphology-based) putative sexing before the experiment and (dissection-based) confirmatory
sexing after. Tests were spread haphazardly over the month due to logistical constraints (start dates
ranging from June 2 to June 26); no reproduction was observed during this interval.

Post-dispersal phenotyping

Within 24h after dispersal tests, we placed each snail on a small (8 cm diameter) clean patch of wet
substrate (synthetic upholstery foam) and recorded the latency to activity, snails being defined as
active if their operculum was open and both their foot and tentacles were visible out of the shell.
Experiments were done under controlled (20°C) temperature conditions, always during the "light"
period. All snails that had not moved after 20 minutes remained inactive, operculum closed, for at
least two hours, indicating they were not simply slower individuals, but actually behaved differently
from the active ones. Indeed, a limited number was further monitored and remained fully inactive
even after 7 hours. We therefore analysed activity as a binary variable (active within 20 minutes/ not
active for at least two hours). After sexing by dissection (see **Supplementary Material 1**), shells were
dried at room temperature before being weighed twice (to the nearest 0.1 mg; CP224S scale,
Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Twenty-four out of 371 shells were partly broken during dissection
and could not be weighed.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were done in a Bayesian framework, using the probabilistic language Stan, which uses a
variant of Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (NUTS) as an MCMC method to obtain satisfactory posterior
samples in a much lower number of iterations than other methods (Carpenter *et al.*, 2017; Stan
Development Team, 2018). We created our Stan models using R (R Core Team, 2020) and the *brms*
package (Bürkner, 2017) as front-ends; this allows models to be specified using R formula syntax.

Scripting, analysis and plotting relied on the *tidybayes*, *bayesplot*, and *patchwork* packages, as well as
the *tidyverse* family of packages (Gabry *et al.*, 2019; Kay, 2019; Pedersen, 2019; Wickham *et al.*,
2019).

We used a multivariate generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) framework (Dingemanse &
Dochtermann, 2013), which allowed us not only to draw inferences on dispersal and activity, but also
on their correlations with each other and with (relative) shell mass, while handling missing shell mass
data by in-model imputation (McElreath, 2020). A full write-up of the model is given in
Supplementary Material 2. Briefly, we analysed the probability of dispersing and the probability of
activity as individual-level binary variables (dispersed/did not disperse; active/not active) using
Bernoulli GLMMs with a logit link. We tested whether dispersal or activity state depended on
experienced population density, sex and body size (defined as ln(shell height); **Fig. 1**). The models
also included random effects of date, experimental box, as well as a random effect of individual
identity. Importantly, the latter was set to be identical (same values) in both the dispersal and
activity models. In effect, this means it corresponds to a latent individual "behaviour" variable that
would influence both dispersal and activity. This allowed us to estimate the effect of dispersal on
activity (and vice-versa), while accounting for the fact both are estimated with uncertainty and the
causal path from one to the other is unknown. We can then easily obtain the (logit) activity
probability for, for instance, an average disperser by adding (on the logit scale) the average value of
the latent behavioural variable for a disperser to the overall average logit(activity probability). The
same principle applies to get the average dispersal probability of an active individual. Note that using
instead dispersal status as a covariate in a univariate activity model leads to the exact same
inferences (**Supplementary Material 3**); it however prevents us from doing the analyses described in
the next few sentences. To be able to separate the effects of relative shell mass from those of body
size, we used a third submodel. We fitted a linear mixed model in which ln(Shell mass) was a function
of ln(Shell height), sex, and a random effect of individual identity. The use of repeated measures (see
"Post-dispersal phenotyping") of shell mass allowed us here to separate individual variation from
residual error, and facilitated the estimation of the former. Finally, individual-level random effects
were included in a variance-covariance matrix, allowing us to evaluate the correlation between
"relative" shell mass (i.e. after accounting for body size and sex) and the latent behavioural variable.
All continuous variables were centred and scaled to unit standard deviation (**Supplementary
Material 4**), and the "sex" variable was converted to a centred dummy numerical variable (-0.5 =
"Male"; +0.5 = "Female")(Schielzeth, 2010).

We set weakly informative priors by following McElreath (2020)'s suggestions for continuous and
proportion variables. We used Normal($\mu = 0$, $\sigma = 1.5$) priors for the dispersal and activity fixed effects

intercepts, and Normal(0, 1) priors for all the other fixed effects. We set half-Normal(0, 1) priors for

all standard deviations (random effects as well as residual variance of shell mass), and LKJ(η = 2)

priors for the random effects correlation matrices.

We ran four chains for 25000 iterations, with the first 5000 of each chain used for warmup. We

checked mixing graphically and confirmed chain convergence using the improved $\hat{R}$ statistic (Vehtari

et al., 2020). The chains were run longer than the default number of iterations (2000), to ensure

effective sample size was satisfactory for all parameters (bulk- and tail-ESS sensu Vehtari *et al.*, 2020

> 1000). All posterior summaries are given as: mean [95% Higher Posterior Density Intervals].

Results

144 snails out of 371 (38.81%) dispersed across all test boxes. There was no detectable effect of box

population density (**Fig. 2A**), sex or shell height on emigration probability (**Table 1**).

Figure 2. Effect of population density on *Pomatias*

elegans dispersal rate (A) and probability of activity

(B) (*N* = 371 snails). Grey points are observed

proportions for each test box, black points averages

for each density; black curves give posterior

predicted means, grey bands 66, 84 and 95%

credible intervals.

Snails exposed to higher population densities were less likely to be active afterwards (**Table 1**, **Fig.**
2B). There were no clear effects of sex or size on activity probability (**Table 1**). The latent behavioural
variable was positively associated with both emigration and activity (**Supplementary Material 5**):
accordingly, dispersers were 1.23 [1.02; 1.47] times more likely to be active on average, and
conversely active individuals were 1.54 [1.04; 2.16] times more likely to have emigrated (**Fig. 3**). This
activity-emigration correlation was confirmed by analysing activity in a univariate model with
dispersal status as a covariate (**Supplementary Material 3**). After accounting for shell length, sex and
measurement error, individual shell mass was negatively correlated with the behavioural latent
variable: dispersers/active snails tended to have lighter shells, given their size and sex, than
residents/inactive individuals (**Fig. 4, Table 1**).

Figure 3. Relationship between dispersal status and activity post-dispersal. White bars correspond to
observed frequencies, grey "eyes" to posterior predictions based on model intercepts and individual-
level random effects, i.e. averaging out the effects of sex, size and population density (black dots:
posterior means; segments: 95% credible intervals). The 95% credible interval for the difference
between categories is additionally plotted in both cases, aligned on the mean of the lower category
for reference.

	Dispersal probability	Activity probability	Shell mass (ln-transformed then scaled)
Fixed effects			
Intercept	-0.54 [-1.04 ; -0.26]	0.74 [0.05 ; 1.49]	-0.01 [-0.09 ; 0.06]
Population density (scaled)	0.04 [-0.38; 0.46]	-0.55 [-1.01 ; -0.10]	--
Sex (effect of being female)	-0.16 [-0.79 ; 0.46]	0.23 [-0.42 ; 0.86]	0.18 [-0.02 ; 0.38]
Body size (shell height, ln-transformed then scaled)	0.01 [-0.31 ; 0.33]	0.16 [-0.17 ; 0.51]	0.62 [0.52 ; 0.72]
Random effects (see Table 2 for individual-level effects)			
Date-level variance	0.13 [0.00 ; 0.59]	0.56 [0.00; 1.75]	--
Box-level variance	0.52 [0.00; 1.33]	0.38 [0.00; 1.04]	--
Date-level dispersal-activity correlation	0.13 [-0.69 ; 0.90]		--
Box-level dispersal-activity correlation	0.03 [-0.74 ; 0.73]		--
Residual variance	--	--	8.00×10^{-4} [6.85×10^{-4} ; 9.23×10^{-4}]

	Behaviour latent variable	Shell mass
Behaviour latent variable	0.90 [0.08 ; 1.81]	
Shell mass	-0.39 [-0.67 ; -0.12]/ -0.25 [-0.41 ; -0.09]	0.54 [0.46 ; 0.63]

Figure 4. Posterior predicted relationship between dispersal status, activity post-dispersal, and mean predicted shell mass, that is relative to the shell mass predicted for a snail of same shell height and sex (black dots: posterior means; segments: 95% credible intervals). Posterior pairwise mean differences are presented in **Supplementary Material 6**.

Discussion

We studied the relationship between *Pomatias elegans* dispersal and population density over most of the known range of natural densities (Pfenninger, 2002; Albano *et al.*, 2015), yet found no evidence of density-dependent emigration. There was however a negative relationship between density and activity. Furthermore, we found that emigration, activity and (relative) shell mass co-vary in this species, once density variation is accounted for.

We additionally found no evidence of sex bias in dispersal probability or activity. While sex-biased dispersal is abundantly documented in non-molluscan groups (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Trochet *et al.*, 2016), there are numerous species with no bias. Broadly, sex-dependent dispersal is predicted to arise when there are sex-differences in spatio-temporal fitness variation due to e.g. sex differences in resource use and/or in local mate competition (Hovestadt *et al.*, 2014; Trochet *et al.*, 2016; Li & Kokko, 2019). Our results, in light of dispersal theory, imply that male and female *P. elegans* use resources similarly and that they do not present polygyny or any mating system leading to predictable sex differences in spatio-temporal fitness variation. While sex ratio is, overall, balanced in this species (Boycott, 1916), the other predictions remain to be tested by the collection of further

natural history data. We should additionally note that our experimental setup (one measure of
dispersal, after 4 days, and groups that were sex-balanced on average) may not have allowed us to
detect finer sex differences in dispersal, i.e. in emigration timing (Li & Kokko, 2019), or conditional
strategies dependent on locally experienced sex-ratio (Hovestadt *et al.*, 2014).

The absence of density-dependent emigration was more surprising, as many other land mollusc
species have been shown to increase emigration and/or dispersal distances in response to population
density (Cain & Currey, 1968; Oosterhoff, 1977; Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Livshits, 1985; Baur,
1993; Shachak, Leeper & Degen, 2002; Dahirel *et al.*, 2016). In addition, our experimental design
included a (supposedly) costly matrix, which should have made the detection of a positive
emigration-density link easier, if it exists (as the dispersal-density reaction norm is predicted to
become steeper as costs of dispersal increase; Travis *et al.*, 1999). The costs of competition, which
can trigger density-dependent dispersal, are well-documented in land molluscs (Oosterhoff, 1977;
Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Dan & Bailey, 1982). However, density-independent emigration also
exists in some mollusc species, in at least some contexts (e.g. Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Baur,
1993). The traditionally expected positive relationship between density and dispersal can be
dampened, or even reversed, if for instance species/individuals benefit from group-living (Serrano *et*
al., 2003; Bowler & Benton, 2005; Cote & Clobert, 2007). In terrestrial gastropods, individuals may
aggregate actively in shelters (and get benefits from this aggregation that go beyond the shelter
itself, as the presence of conspecifics may create a favourable microclimate), sometimes following
trails to do so (Cook, 2001). However, it is unknown whether *Pomatias elegans* follows trails, and this
aggregation behaviour is anyway common to many land molluscs, including species we know show
positive density-dependent emigration (Cook, 2001), so it is unlikely to explain alone the density-
independence seen in the present study. *P. elegans*, contrary to most land molluscs has separate
sexes, and differences in reproductive systems may drive the evolution of different dispersal
strategies (Eppley & Jesson, 2008). Indeed, all things equal, hermaphrodites are *a priori* more likely
than species with separate sexes to find a mate in small populations (Tomlinson, 1966). This means
the density threshold at which the costs of competition outweigh the benefits of grouping (Kim,
Torres & Drummond, 2009; Fronhofer, Kropf & Altermatt, 2015) and the costs of dispersal (Bonte *et*
al., 2012) is likely lower for hermaphrodites. We hypothesize that context being equal (including e.g.
movement costs, or group shelter benefits), this may make hermaphrodites more likely than
gonochoric species to exhibit positive density-dependent dispersal over a range of natural densities.
In any case, our study shows that further comparisons between hermaphroditic and gonochoric
species sharing ecological and life-history characteristics are crucially needed to clarify the role of
reproductive system in shaping context-dependent dispersal.

Alternatively (but not exclusively), the absence of density response in dispersal may reflect the fact

Pomatias snails possess other mechanisms to respond to unfavourable densities. Indeed, by contrast

with dispersal, activity propensity did decrease with increasing population density (**Fig. 2B**). Like

many other shelled land gastropods, *P. elegans* can reduce its activity and even enter dormancy

when context is unfavourable (Cook, 2001; Falkner *et al.*, 2001). Activity reduction in response to

increased competition has been observed in several species (Oosterhoff, 1977; Cameron & Carter,

1979; Dan & Bailey, 1982). This is generally thought to result from interference (mediated through

mucus and/or faeces) by the most competitive individuals, which remain active. However, when the

experimental/observational setup also allowed for dispersal, species that reduced activity in

response to density (e.g. *Cepaea nemoralis, Cornu aspersum, Xerocrassa seetzenii*) did however also

exhibit density-dependent dispersal (Oosterhoff, 1977; Shachak *et al.*, 2002; Dahirel *et al.*, 2016).

Given the very limited dispersal capacities of *P. elegans* (Pfenninger, 2002) even compared to other

snails (Kramarenko, 2014), the least competitive *Pomatias* snails may well be more successful

reducing activity to wait out more favourable conditions, than by attempting to find better by

moving away. Indeed, in snails, starvation, a possible result of inactivity, may trigger entry into actual

dormancy (Wells, 1944), which can be seen as a form of "dispersal in time" (Buoro & Carlson, 2014).

The fact there was no effect of body size on activity suggests the loss of activity is not simply an

inhibition of activity by competitive individuals, leading to competitive exclusion. Indeed, in that case

we would have expected larger individuals to stay active at high densities while smaller less

competitive snails are inhibited (Dan & Bailey, 1982). Given this, and while we need more

information to conclude that strongly reduced activity in short-term tests is correlated with long-

term dormancy propensity, it is possible that *Pomatias* snails use dormancy as a general "dispersal in

time" mechanism, rather than moving away in response to high densities. Note however that we

only studied emigration, the first step of dispersal, and density-dependent responses may also

happen during transience (Shachak *et al.*, 2002; Poethke, Gros & Hovestadt, 2011; Dahirel *et al.*,

2016). In addition, we set within-patch conditions to be standard and favourable; food availability

may interact with density and shape dispersal (e.g. Endriss *et al.*, 2019).

All three phenotypic traits we studied were linked. First, density being held equal, dispersers were

more likely to be active post-dispersal test, and vice versa (**Fig. 3**, **Supplementary Material 3**). These

results line up with predictions on dispersal syndromes and the pace-of-life hypothesis (Cote *et al.*,

2010; Réale *et al.*, 2010), which predicts a metabolically-underpinned link between activity and risk

taking behaviour (such as dispersal). While, again, more extended assessments of activity are needed

to confirm that low activity is correlated to true dormancy, the observed syndrome can also be

interpreted as a trade-off between dispersal in space and in time (Buoro & Carlson, 2014), in which

individuals that do not disperse are more likely to be dormant at any point in time, even after
accounting for density effects. Second, we found that more mobile snails (higher activity and/or
dispersal) had on average lighter shells (**Fig. 4**, **Table 1**), compared to inactive/resident snails. This
result goes against our predictions based on the phenotypic compensation hypothesis, which states
that risk-taking individuals should have better morphological defences against predators (Hulthén *et*
al., 2014; Ahlgren *et al.*, 2015; Kuo, Irschick & Lailvaux, 2015). However, phenotypic compensation is
not a hard rule (De Winter *et al.*, 2016), and while thicker/heavier shells are harder to break (see e.g.
Rosin *et al.*, 2013), variation in shell characteristics depends on many abiotic and biotic parameters
(Goodfriend, 1986). Indeed, thicker shells may also better conserve humidity (Goodfriend, 1986),
which may be adaptive for snails more prone to be inactive for extended periods of time. Heavier
shells are likely to increase the costs of movement (Herreid & Full, 1986); given the already high costs
of movement in land molluscs (Denny, 1980), this may explain why mobile individuals have lighter
shells, despite the potentially increased predation risk. Experimental manipulations of the costs and
benefits of heavier shells (by e.g. testing snails under varying humidity conditions, and/or by adding
weights to shells), may help disentangle the underpinnings of this dispersal/shell mass syndrome.

Although *P. elegans* is overall much less abundant than other macroinvertebrate decomposers at the
landscape scale (David, 1999), it can locally reach very high densities (Pfenninger, 2002). Our study
shows that increased densities do not trigger increased emigration from crowded into empty
habitats in this species. This helps explain the maintenance of this strong spatial heterogeneity and
high local densities, as dispersal cannot play its "equalizing" role as well. Given decomposition by *P.*
elegans can have marked effects on litter characteristics (Coulis *et al.*, 2009; De Oliveira *et al.*, 2010),
and facilitate decomposition by other co-occurring macroinvertebrates (De Oliveira *et al.*, 2010), this
absence of a common context-dependency in dispersal may have broader implications for local
heterogeneity in soil ecosystem functioning and soil communities.

Acknowledgements

We thank Valérie Briand for her help in obtaining some of the cited scientific articles, as well as the
editor and two anonymous reviewers, for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

Data availability

Data and analysis script are archived on GitHub (https://github.com/mdahirel/pomatias-dispersal-
2017) and Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3691977) (latest release at time of submission: v1.1)

References

References

Ahlgren, J., Chapman, B.B., Nilsson, P.A. & Brönmark, C. (2015). Individual boldness is linked to
protective shell shape in aquatic snails. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150029.

Albano, P.G., Strazzari, G., D'Occhio, P. & Succetti, F. (2015). Field estimates of detectability and site
occupancy show that northern Italy forest molluscs are spatially rare and poorly detectable.
Ital. J. Zool. **82**, 592–608.

Baines, C.B., Ferzoco, I.M.C. & McCauley, S.J. (2019). Phenotype-by-environment interactions
influence dispersal. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **88**, 1263–1274.

Barker, G.M. (2001). Gastropods on land: phylogeny, diversity and adaptive morphology. In *The
biology of terrestrial molluscs*: 1–146. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.

Baur, A. (1993). Effects of food availability and intra-and interspecific interaction on the dispersal
tendency in the land snail *Chondrina clienta*. *J. Zool.* **230**, 87–100.

Bonte, D. & Dahirel, M. (2017). Dispersal: a central and independent trait in life history. *Oikos* **126**,
472–479.

Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J.M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M., Lehouck, V., Matthysen, E.,
Mustin, K., Saastamoinen, M., Schtickzelle, N., Stevens, V.M., Vandewoestijne, S., Baguette,
M., Barton, K., Benton, T.G., Chaput-Bardy, A., Clobert, J., Dytham, C., Hovestadt, T., Meier,
C.M., Palmer, S.C.F., Turlure, C. & Travis, J.M.J. (2012). Costs of dispersal. *Biol. Rev.* **87**, 290–
312.

Bowler, D.E. & Benton, T.G. (2005). Causes and consequences of animal dispersal strategies: relating
individual behaviour to spatial dynamics. *Biol. Rev.* **80**, 205–225.

Boycott, A.E. (1916). On sexual characteristics in the shell and radula of *Pomatias elegans* (Müller).
Proc. Malacol. Soc. Lond. **v.12-13 (1916-1919)**, 127–132.

Buoro, M. & Carlson, S.M. (2014). Life-history syndromes: Integrating dispersal through space and
time. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 756–767.

Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat. Softw.*
80, 1–28.

Cain, A.J. & Currey, J.D. (1968). Ecogenetics of a population of *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.) subject to strong
area effects. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **253**, 447–482.

Cameron, R.A.D. & Carter, M.A. (1979). Intra- and interspecific effects of population density on
growth and activity in some helicid land snails (Gastropoda: Pulmonata). *J. Anim. Ecol.* **48**,
237–246.

Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M.D., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., Brubaker, M., Guo,
J., Li, P. & Riddell, A. (2017). Stan: a probabilistic programming language. *J. Stat. Softw.* **76**, 1–
32.

Clobert, J., Baguette, M., Benton, T.G. & Bullock, J.M. (Eds.). (2012). *Dispersal ecology and evolution*.
Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Cook, A. (2001). Behavioural ecology: on doing the right thing, in the right place at the right time. In
The biology of terrestrial molluscs: 447–488. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.

Cote, J. & Clobert, J. (2007). Social personalities influence natal dispersal in a lizard. *Proc. Royal Soc. B*
274, 383–390.

Cote, J., Clobert, J., Brodin, T., Fogarty, S. & Sih, A. (2010). Personality-dependent dispersal:
characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations. *Phil.*
Trans. R. Soc. B **365**, 4065–4076.

Coulis, M., Hättenschwiler, S., Rapior, S. & Coq, S. (2009). The fate of condensed tannins during litter
consumption by soil animals. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **41**, 2573–2578.

Dahirel, M., Vardakis, M., Ansart, A. & Madec, L. (2016). Density-dependence across dispersal stages
in a hermaphrodite land snail: insights from discrete choice models. *Oecologia* **181**, 1117–
1128.

Dan, N. & Bailey, S.E.R. (1982). Growth, mortality, and feeding rates of the snail *Helix aspersa* at
different population densities in the laboratory, and the depression of activity of helicid
snails by other individuals, or their mucus. *J. Mollus. Stud.* **48**, 257–265.

David, J.-F. (1999). Abundance, biomass and functional structure of the saprophagous macrofauna in
the litter and soil of Mediterranean oak forests. *Pedobiologia* **43**, 319–327.

De Meester, N. & Bonte, D. (2010). Information use and density-dependent emigration in an
agrobiont spider. *Behav. Ecol.* **21**, 992–998.

De Oliveira, T., Hättenschwiler, S. & Handa, I.T. (2010). Snail and millipede complementarity in
decomposing Mediterranean forest leaf litter mixtures: Snail and millipede interactions.
Funct. Ecol. **24**, 937–946.

De Winter, G., Ramalho Martins, H., Trovo, R.A. & Chapman, B.B. (2016). Knights in shining armour
are not necessarily bold: defensive morphology correlates negatively with boldness, but
positively with activity, in wild threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus. Evol. Ecol.*
Res. **17**, 1–12.

Denny, M. (1980). Locomotion: the cost of gastropod crawling. *Science* **208**, 1288–1290.

Dingemanse, N.J. & Dochtermann, N.A. (2013). Quantifying individual variation in behaviour: mixed-
effect modelling approaches. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **82**, 39–54.

Endriss, S.B., Vahsen, M.L., Bitume, E.V., Monroe, J.G., Turner, K.G., Norton, A.P. & Hufbauer, R.A.
(2019). The importance of growing up: juvenile environment influences dispersal of
individuals and their neighbours. *Ecol. Lett.* **22**, 45–55.

Eppley, S.M. & Jesson, L.K. (2008). Moving to mate: the evolution of separate and combined sexes in
multicellular organisms. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 727–736.

Falkner, G., Obrdlik, P., Castella, E. & Speight, M.C.D. (2001). *Shelled Gastropoda of western Europe*.
München, Germany: Friedrich Held Gesellschaft.

Fronhofer, E.A., Kropf, T. & Altermatt, F. (2015). Density-dependent movement and the
consequences of the Allee effect in the model organism *Tetrahymena*. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **84**, 712–
722.

Fronhofer, E.A., Legrand, D., Altermatt, F., Ansart, A., Blanchet, S., Bonte, D., Chaine, A., Dahirel, M.,
Laender, F.D., Raedt, J.D., Gesu, L. di, Jacob, S., Kaltz, O., Laurent, E., Little, C.J., Madec, L.,
Manzi, F., Masier, S., Pellerin, F., Pennekamp, F., Schtickzelle, N., Therry, L., Vong, A.,
Winandy, L. & Cote, J. (2018). Bottom-up and top-down control of dispersal across major
organismal groups. *Nature Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 1859–1863.

Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M. & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization in Bayesian
workflow. *J. Royal Stat. Soc. A* **182**, 389–402.

Goodfriend, G.A. (1986). Variation in land-snail shell form and size and its causes: a review. *Syst. Zool.*
35, 204–223.

Hamilton, P.A. & Wellington, W.G. (1981). The effects of food and density of the movement of *Arion*
ater and *Ariolimax columbianus* (Pulmonata: Stylommatophora) between habitats. *Res.*
Popul. Ecol. **23**, 299–308.

Harman, R.R., Goddard, J., Shivaji, R. & Cronin, J.T. (2020). Frequency of occurrence and population-
dynamic consequences of different forms of density-dependent emigration. *Am. Nat.* **195**,
851–867.

Herreid, C.F. & Full, R.J. (1986). Energetics of hermit crabs during locomotion: the cost of carrying a
shell. *J. Exp. Biol.* **120**, 297–308.

Hovestadt, T., Mitesser, O. & Poethke, H.-J. (2014). Gender-specific emigration decisions sensitive to
local male and female density. *Am. Nat.* **184**, 38–51.

Hulthén, K., Chapman, B.B., Nilsson, P.A., Hollander, J. & Brönmark, C. (2014). Express yourself: bold
individuals induce enhanced morphological defences. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20132703.

Jacob, S., Chaine, A.S., Huet, M., Clobert, J. & Legrand, D. (2019). Variability in dispersal syndromes is
a key driver of metapopulation dynamics in experimental microcosms. *Am. Nat.* **194**, 613–
626.

Kay, M. (2019). *tidybayes: Tidy Data and Geoms for Bayesian Models*.

Kerney, M.-P. & Cameron, R.-A.-D. (1999). *Guide des escargots et limaces d'Europe*. Lonay (Suisse):
Delachaux et Niestlé.

Kilian, E.F. (1951). Untersuchungen zur Biologie von *Pomatias elegans* (Müller) und ihrer
'Konkrementdrüse.' *Arch. Molluskenkd.* **80**, 1–16.

Kim, S.-Y., Torres, R. & Drummond, H. (2009). Simultaneous positive and negative density-dependent
dispersal in a colonial bird species. *Ecology* **90**, 230–239.

Kramarenko, S. (2014). Active and passive dispersal of terrestrial mollusks: a review. *Ruthenica* **24**, 1–
14.

Kuo, C.-Y., Irschick, D.J. & Lailvaux, S.P. (2015). Trait compensation between boldness and the
465   propensity for tail autotomy under different food availabilities in similarly aged brown anole
466   lizards. *Funct. Ecol.* **29**, 385–392.

467   Li, X.-Y. & Kokko, H. (2019). Intersexual resource competition and the evolution of sex-biased
468   dispersal. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 111.

469   Livshits, G.M. (1985). Ecology of the terrestrial snail *Brephulopsis bidens* (Pulmonata: Enidae):
470   mortality, burrowing and migratory activity. *Malacologia* **26**, 213–223.

471   Matthysen, E. (2005). Density-dependent dispersal in birds and mammals. *Ecography* **28**, 403–416.

472   Matthysen, E. (2012). Multicausality of dispersal: a review. In *Dispersal ecology and evolution*: 3–18.
473   Clobert, J., Baguette, M., Benton, T.G. & Bullock, J.M. (Eds.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University
474   Press.

475   McElreath, R. (2020). *Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan*. 2nd
476   edition. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC.

477   Oosterhoff, L.M. (1977). Variation in growth rate as an ecological factor in the landsnail *Cepaea*
478   *nemoralis* (L.). *Neth. J. Zool.* **27**, 1–132.

479   Pedersen, T.L. (2019). *patchwork: The Composer of Plots.*

480   Pfenninger, M. (2002). Relationship between microspatial population genetic structure and habitat
481   heterogeneity in *Pomatias elegans* (O.F. Müller 1774) (Caenogastropoda, Pomatiasidae). *Biol.*
482   *J. Linn. Soc.* **76**, 565–575.

483   Poethke, H.J., Gros, A. & Hovestadt, T. (2011). The ability of individuals to assess population density
484   influences the evolution of emigration propensity and dispersal distance. *Theor. Biol.* **282**,
485   93–99.

486   R Core Team. (2020). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R
487   Foundation for Statistical Computing.

488   Réale, D., Garant, D., Humphries, M.M., Bergeron, P., Careau, V. & Montiglio, P.-O. (2010).
489   Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level.
490   *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **365**, 4051–4063.

491   Rodrigues, A.M.M. & Johnstone, R.A. (2014). Evolution of positive and negative density-dependent
492   dispersal. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20141226.

493   Ronce, O. (2007). How does it feel to be like a rolling stone? Ten questions about dispersal evolution.
494   *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **38**, 231–253.

495   Rosin, Z.M., Kobak, J., Lesicki, A. & Tryjanowski, P. (2013). Differential shell strength of *Cepaea*
496   *nemoralis* colour morphs—implications for their anti-predator defence. *Naturwissenschaften*
497   **100**, 843–851.

498   Schielzeth, H. (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients.
499   *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 103–113.

Serrano, D., Tella, J.L., Donázar, J.A. & Pomarol, M. (2003). Social and individual features affecting
natal dispersal in the colonial lesser kestrel. *Ecology* **84**, 3044–3054.

Shachak, M., Leeper, A. & Degen, A. (2002). Effect of population density on water influx and
distribution in the desert snail *Trochoidea seetzenii*. *Ecoscience* **9**, 287–292.

Stan Development Team. (2018). *RStan: the R interface to Stan*.

Tomlinson, J. (1966). The advantages of hermaphroditism and parthenogenesis. *J. Theor. Biol.* **11**, 54–
58.

Travis, J.M.J., Murrell, D.J. & Dytham, C. (1999). The evolution of density–dependent dispersal. *Proc.*
R. Soc. B **266**, 1837–1842.

Trochet, A., Courtois, E.A., Stevens, V.M., Baguette, M., Chaine, A., Schmeller, D.S., Clobert, J. &
Wiens, J.J. (2016). Evolution of sex-biased dispersal. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **91**, 297–320.

Van Dyck, H. & Baguette, M. (2005). Dispersal behaviour in fragmented landscapes: Routine or
special movements? *Basic Appl. Ecol.* **6**, 535–545.

Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., Simpson, D., Carpenter, B. & Bürkner, P.-C. (2020). Rank-normalization,
folding, and localization: An improved \widehat{R} for assessing convergence of MCMC.
Bayesian Anal.

Wells, G.P. (1944). The water relations of snails and slugs: III. Factors determining activity in *Helix*
pomatia L. *J. Exp. Biol.* **20**, 79–87.

Welter-Schultes, F. (2012). *European non-marine molluscs, a guide for species identification.*
Göttingen: Planet Poster Editions.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A.,
Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S., Müller, K., Ooms, J.,
Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan, D., Wilke, C., Woo, K. & Yutani, H.
(2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* **4**, 1686.

A

Shell height

Aperture height

Shell width

1 mm

B

release patch

arrival patch

inter-patch gap

5 cm

Density (snails.m^{-2} of release patch)

Density (snails per box)