Combined analysis of single cell RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq data reveals putative regulatory

toggles operating in native and iPS-derived retina.

Anouk Georges[1,2#], Haruko Takeda[3#], Arnaud Lavergne[4], Michiko Mandai[5], Fanny Lepiemme[1],

Latifa Karim[4], Loic Demeulenaere[3], Michael Schyns[6], Laurent Nguyen[1], Jean-Marie Rakic[2],

Masayo Takahashi[7$] & Michel Georges[3$].

[1]GIGA Stem Cells, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, Belgium. [2]Department of

Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine and CHU University Hospital, University of Liège,

Belgium. [3]GIGA Medical Genomics, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, Belgium. [4]GIGA

Genomics Platform, GIGA Institute, University of Liège, Belgium. [5]Laboratory for Retinal

Regeneration, Center for Developmental Biology, RIKEN, Japan. [6]Digital Business, HEC

Management School, University of Liège, Belgium. [7]Senior Visiting Scientist, Laboratory for

Retinal Regeneration, Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, RIKEN, Japan.

[#]Co-first authors. [$]Co-senior author. Correspondence: michel.georges@uliege.be

Abstract

Background: It has recently become possible to recapitulate retinal development from

induced pluripotent stem cells, opening new investigative and therapeutic opportunities.

Single cell RNA sequencing allows comparison of transcriptome unfolding during in vivo and

in vitro development at single cell resolution, which can be integrated with information about

accessible regulatory elements identified by ATAC-Seq.

Results: We report the generation and analysis of single-cell RNA-Seq data (> 38,000 cells)

from native and iPSC-derived murine retina at four matched developmental stages spanning

the emergence of the major retinal cell types.   We combine information from temporal

sampling, visualization of 3D UMAP manifolds, and RNA velocity to show that iPSC-derived 3D

retinal aggregates broadly recapitulate the native developmental trajectories with evidence

supporting re-specification from amacrine cells to horizontal and photoreceptor precursor

cells, as well as a direct differentiation of Tbr1^{+} retinal ganglion cells from neuro-epithelium

cells.   We show relaxation of spatial and temporal transcriptome control, premature

emergence and dominance of photoreceptor precursor cells, and susceptibility of dynamically

regulated pathways and transcription factors to culture conditions in iPSC-derived retina.   We

generate bulk ATAC-Seq data for native and iPSC-derived murine retina identifying ~125,000

peaks. We combine single-cell RNA-Seq with ATAC-Seq information and obtain evidence that

approximately halve the transcription factors that are dynamically regulated during retinal

development may act as repressors rather than activators. We propose that sets of activators

and repressors with cell-type specific expression control "regulatory toggles" that lock cells in

distinct transcriptome states underlying differentiation, with subtle but noteworthy

differences between native and iPSC-derived retina.

Conclusions: Combined analysis of single-cell RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq information has refined

the comparison of native and iPS-derived retinal development.

Keywords: retina, iPSC, single cell RNA-Seq, ATAC-Seq

Introduction

It has recently become possible to recapitulate retinal development from induced pluripotent

stem cells (iPSCs) in human and mice [1,2]. This has opened new avenues to explore the

molecular mechanisms underlying developmental competence, commitment and

differentiation for each of the major cell types during retinal neurogenesis. It offers hope to

improve therapies for retinal degenerative diseases which afflict hundreds of million people

in the US and Europe alone where they account for approximately 50% of all cases of

blindness. Stem cells derived from patient-specific somatic cells also offer unique

opportunities to study and model the effects of gene defects on human retinal development

in vitro and to test small molecules or other therapies for the corresponding disorders [3].

How faithfully iPSC-derived 3D retinal aggregates recapitulate specific developmental

programs has typically been assessed by monitoring the expression of limited numbers of cell-

type specific markers and examining the spatial patterning of the corresponding groups of

cells [4]. Interrogating the expression of a handful of marker genes/proteins does not fully

inform about the proper temporal and spatial execution of the epigenetic program, nor does

it inform about the presence of aberrant cell types. Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq)

now enables the profiling of samples of the transcriptome (typically between 3% and 15% of

mRNAs present in a cell depending on the methodology) of individual cells. This permits the

clustering of cells based on the similarity of their transcriptome and the identification of

cellular subtypes including some that may not have been recognized before [5]. It allows to

refine developmental trajectories by identifying cells occupying intermediate states

connecting clusters in multidimensional expression space [6] and by predicting the

developmental orientation taken by individual cells based on measured deviations from the

steady-state ratio between spliced and unspliced RNA molecules ("RNA velocity") [7]. Genes

that are defining cellular sub-types can be pinpointed by differential expression analysis

between clusters [8], while genes that drive the differentiation process may be identified by

searching for gene sets that are dynamically regulated across real and/or pseudo-time [9].

Recently, scRNA-Seq has been used to compare transcriptome dynamics during native and

embryonic stem cells (ESC)- or iPSC-derived retinal development [10-12]. This has revealed

comparable cellular composition at equivalent ages with, however, some differences in gene

expression of particular cell types as well as structural differences of inner retinal lamination

that seems disrupted in advanced organoid stages compared with fetal retina [11].

Here we report the generation and use of scRNA-Seq data collected at four matched stages

of native and iPSC-derived retinal development in the mouse to study the dynamics of the

transcriptome and compare it between the two systems. By integrating scRNA-Seq data with

bulk ATAC-seq data (which identifies active gene regulatory elements by virtue of local

chromatin openness [13] collected at three of the four above-mentioned developmental

stages, we provide evidence for the operation of transcription factor (TF)-based regulatory

toggles that lock the transcriptome of distinct cellular sub-types in both native and iPSC-

derived retina thereby underpinning the different cellular identities.

Results

Joint analysis of scRNA-Seq data from native retina and iPSC-derived retinal aggregates

highlights canonical developmental trajectories while supporting the occurrence of re-

specification and the unconventional origin of Tbr1$^+$ RGC.

To improve the comparison of the developmental trajectories of native retina (NaR) and iPSC-

derived 3D retinal aggregates (3D-RA), we performed scRNA-Seq of murine NaR and 3D-RA at

four matched stages of development: embryonic day (E)13 vs differentiation day (DD)13,

postnatal day (P)0 vs DD21, P5 vs DD25 and P9 vs DD29 [14]. NaR were dissected from two

to 11 C57Bl6 mice (of both sexes) per stage. Mouse 3D-RA were generated from the iPSC Nrl-

GFP line [15] following [1] and [16] (Fig. 1A). Immunolabeling revealed the presence of all

major retinal cell types (Fig. 1B&C). Optic vesicle-like structures (OV) were manually dissected

from 3D-RA (Fig. 1D). Cells from NaR and OV were dissociated and subjected to droplet-based

scRNA-Seq using a 10X Genomics Chromium platform (see Methods). We obtained sequence

information for 21,249 cells from NaR and 16,842 cells from 3D-RA, distributed evenly

amongst developmental stages. We generated an average of 74,808 reads per cell,

corresponding to 5,940 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) and 2,471 genes per cell (Suppl.

Table 1).

Figure 1: (A) In vitro differentiation of miPSC-Nrl*GFP*-derived retinal aggregates (3D-RA). Morphology of iPSCs
five days post-thawing. Cells in undifferentiated state are circumferential, "domed shaped" and surrounded by
a luminous halo (white arrow). Some unstable colonies tend to differentiate; they have a fibroblastic
morphology instead of round shape (red arrow). 3D-RA differentiating retinal aggregates from differentiation
DD1 to DD28 obtained following the modified SFEBq protocol [1]: (DD1) Rapid re-aggregation of iPSCs after
dissociation and passage in 96-well plate, (DD5) Appearance of retinal neuro-epithelium on the retinal
aggregates (light edges), (DD7) Evagination of retinal neuro-epithelium, (DD8-DD20) Growing of evaginating OV
like structures, (DD22-DD28) GFP expression under influence of the *Nrl* promotor in the photoreceptor layer of
retinal aggregates. Scale: 400 µm (miPSCs d5), 1000 µm (others). **(B) Schematic of anatomy of retinal layers.**
(C) **Expected layered expression of cell-type specific immunochemical markers.**   Immuno-histochemical
markers of cellular subtypes in native retina (E13, P5 and P9) and Nrl*GFP* iPSCs-derived 3D-RA at DD21 and DD29.
Photoreceptor cells: GFP (green), recoverine (white) and rhodopsine (red) are specific for rod photoreceptor
cells; DAPI (blue) marks the nucleus of all cells. INL = inner nuclear layer; ENL = external nuclear layer; SI = internal
segment; SE = external segment; GCL = ganglion cell layer. Bipolar cells: Chx10 (red) and Pkc-α (white) are specific
for bipolar cells; Nrl-GFP (green) and DAPI (blue) as above; INL,ENL,GCL = as above; IPL = inner plexiform layer;
EPL = external plexiform layer. Amacrine cells: calretinine (white) is specific for amacrine cells; Chx10 (red) and
DAPI (blue) as above; the white arrows show two amacrine cells in the internal nuclear layer, while the green
arrow shows two amacrine cells probably delocalized during the experiment; ENL = external nuclear layer; INL =
inner nuclear layer; GCL = ganglionic cell layer. Retinal ganglionic cells: Pax6 (white) and Isl1 (white or red) are
specific for retinal ganglion cells; DAPI (blue) as above; the white arrows show Isl1 positive retinal ganglion cells.
(C) Dissection of OV-like structures and dissociation of cells into viable single cell suspension. Manual
dissection of 3D-retinal aggregates at stage 2 (DD21). After dissection, the retinal neuro-epithelium/evaginating
tissue is isolated from the dark/pigmented inner cell mass. Cells from retinal neuro-epithelium are dissociated
into a homogenous suspension of single cells.

We first analyzed all sequencing data jointly (i.e. NaR and 3D-RA) to cover a maximum of

intermediate developmental stages and hence generate the most continuous manifold

possible. We used Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) implemented with Seurat[17] to align

the NaR and 3D-RA datasets based on the expression profiles of 1,253 selected "most

variable" genes (Suppl. Table 2). We projected the corresponding 30-dimensional distances

(based on the 30 first CCA) between cells in 2D- and 3D-space using UMAP [18]. We assigned

all 38,091 cells jointly (i.e. NaR and 3D-RA) to 70 clusters by k-means clustering (Fig. 2A). We

defined gene expression signatures for 13 previously recognized retinal cell types using

published information [19] (Suppl. Fig. 1 and Suppl. Table 3), and regrouped the clusters

accordingly in 13 cell types corresponding to neuroepithelium (NE), retinal pigmented

epithelium (RPE), early (ERPC), late (LRPC), and neurogenic retinal progenitor cells (NRPC),

retinal ganglion cells (RGC), horizontal cells (HC), amacrine cells (AC), photoreceptor

precursor cells (PRP), cones (C), rods (R), bipolar cells (BC), and Müller cells (MC) (Fig. 2B).

Using previously defined expression signatures specific for the S and G2-M phases of the cell

cycle [20], we defined cellular subtypes of actively dividing ERPC, LRPC and NRPC (Suppl. Fig.

2). Clusters 69 (NE) and 60 (ERPC) form a branch that clearly separates from the rest of NE

and ERPC. The two clusters share enhanced expression of *Ccnd2* and *Hmga2,* typical of the

NE/ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) [21] to which they were therefore assigned (Fig. 2B and Suppl.

Fig. 1). One cluster (55) did not light up with any of the 14 signatures. Differential expression

analysis (see hereafter) revealed that the *Tbr1* transcription factor is exclusively expressed in

this cluster hence identifying it as a recently described subgroup of Tbr1+ RGC cells located in

the inner plexiform layer [22]. We combined information from (i) the cells' developmental

stage (Fig. 2C), (ii) RNA velocity analyses [7] (Fig. 2D), and (iii) the 3D UMAP manifold (Fig. 2E

and Suppl. Video: http://www.sig.hec.ulg.ac.be/giga), to deduce developmental trajectories

based on our data (Fig. 2F and Suppl. Fig. 3). Briefly, NE generates both RPE and ERPC. ERPC

(detectable during stage 1) mature into LRPC (detectable during stage 2 and 3). Both ERPC

and LRPC are actively dividing, and spawn post-mitotic NRPC who sequentially differentiate

in RGC (stage 1), HC (stage 1 and 2), AC (stage 2 and 3), and PRP (stage 2 and 3). PRP complete

maturation in C, R and BC, while remaining LRPC transform in MC, at stage 4. Our data suggest

the occurrence of "re-specification" [23] of differentiated AC into both HC (cluster 65) and

PRP (cluster 70). A cellular bridge clearly connects NE with Tbr1+ RGC (cluster 63) suggesting

that this peculiar cell population may directly derive from the neuroepithelium (Fig. 2E). The

average number of UMIs in clusters 63, 65 and 70 was within normal range (p ≥ 0.19)

precluding doublets as alternative explanation. Thus, the joint analysis of serial NaR and 3D-

RA scRNA-seq largely recapitulates previously described canonical developmental routes of

the mammalian retina and their timing [24,25], yet reveals evidence for re-specification and

the unconventional origin of Tbr1+RGC.

Figure 2: (A) 2D UMAP manifold showing NaR and 3D-RA cells jointly and their assignment to 70 clusters by k-
means clustering. **(B)** Merging of the clusters in 14 cliques corresponding to neuroepithelium (NE), retinal
pigmented epithelium (RPE), early (ERPC), late (LRPC), neurogenic retinal progenitor cells (NRPC), retinal
ganglionic cells (RGC), Tbr1 positive retinal ganglionic cells (Tbr1+RGC), horizontal cells (HC), amacrine cells (AC),
photoreceptor precursor cells (PRP), cones (C), rods (R), bipolar cells (BC), and Müller cells (MC), on the basis of
the expression of known marker genes (Suppl. Table 3 and Suppl. Figure 1). Cluster 69 (NE) and 60 (ERPC)
(marked by asterisks) correspond to the ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) which forms a branch that clearly separates
from the rest of NE and ERPC. **(C)** Cells colored by developmental stage: 1. blue = DD13 + E13, 2. green = DD21
+ P0, 3. orange = DD25 + P5, 4. red = DD29 + P9. **(D)** Local RNA velocities [7] embedded in 2D UMAP. **(E)** Preview
of virtual reality visit of 3D UMAP manifold (http://www.sig.hec.ulg.ac.be/giga/) showing the cellular bridge
directly connecting NE and Trb1+RGC (white arrow). **(F)** Summary of inferred developmental trajectories. Red
arrows mark presumed re-specification events.

Comparison of NaR and 3D-RA cell fates in UMAP space highlights commonalities and

differences in developmental trajectories.

We then focused on the comparison between the behavior of NaR and 3D-RA derived cells.

Global comparison of the distribution of NaR and 3D-RA cells across the manifold indicates

that in vitro neuroretinal differentiation from iPSCs largely recapitulates native development

(Fig. 3A-D). This is substantiated by noting that 82% of clusters and 86% of cell types contain

at least 10% of the least represented cell origin (NaR or 3D-RA) (Fig. 3E-F).   More granular

examination, however, reveals noteworthy differences. The first one is the occurrence of

NaR- or 3D-RA specific clusters and cell types: (i) the RPE cell type is almost exclusively

composed of 3D-RA cells as a result of RPE elimination from NaR by dissection (which cannot

be done with 3D-RA as self-patterning of the NE into presumptive RPE occurs at a molecular

but not structural level in 3D-RA [4]; (ii) the CMZ is absent in 3D-RA as expected (only recently

were culture conditions established for inducing selective CM retinal differentiation in human

iPSC-derived RA [25]; (iii) AC cluster 65, which seems to drive re-specification to HC cells, is

only present in 3D-RA; (iv) the Tbr1$^+$RGC cluster (55) is largely dominated by 3D-RA cells which

can be explained by a lower proportional representation of Tbr1+ cells in NaR compared to

3D-RA at the analyzed stages as confirmed by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 3G). The second

difference is the apparent relaxation of pseudo-spatial and pseudo-temporal transcriptome

control in 3D-RA versus NaR. The developmental pathways traversed par NaR cells appear

indeed tighter than those of 3D-RA cells, while NaR cells sampled at a specific developmental

stage seem to populate fewer cell types than 3D-RA cells. To quantify the former, we down-

sampled cells to equalize NaR and 3D-RA numbers (within developmental stage) and

computed the average distance from the *n* closest neighbors, which was indeed highly

significantly shorter for NaR than for 3D-RA (Fig. 3H). To quantify the latter, we measured the

diversity of cell types within stages (using a measure of entropy), which was indeed

significantly lower in NaR than in 3D-RA for all four stages (Fig. 3I). The last noteworthy

difference between both systems is the observation that PRP arise earlier in 3D-RA than in

NaR and accumulate at the expense of other cell types (particularly RPC), yet partially fail

terminal differentiation particularly into BC cells (Fig. 3J).

Figure 3: (A-D) Distribution of NaR (A-B) versus 3D-RA (C-D) cells across the UMAP manifold, sorted by cell type (A,C) and developmental stage (B,D). **(E-F)** Proportion of 3D-RA cells (adjusted for number of NaR and 3D-RA cells) in 14 cell types (E) and 70 clusters (F). 86% of cell types and 82% of clusters contain at least 10% of the least represented cell origin (NaR vs 3D-RA). **(G)** Expression of Tbr1 immunochemical marker (red), specific for Tbr1+RGC, in NaR (P0) and in 3D-RA (DD21), showing the lower proportion of Tbr1+RGC cells in NaR (dominated by NBL = Neuroblastic layer) compared to 3D-RA. GCL = ganglion cell layer. **(H)** Larger average distance in 2D UMAP space (Y-axis) from *n* nearest neighbors (X-axis) for 3D-RA (red) than for NaR cells (blue). **(I)** Larger cell type diversity (sampling-based measure of entropy) in the four developmental stages for 3D-RA than for NaR. **(J)** Proportions of cell types within developmental stage for NaR (left) and 3D-RA (right).

3D-RA culture conditions mainly perturb genes and pathways that play key roles in NaR development, including transcription factors.

To identify key retinal differentiation genes, we performed differential expression analysis for each cell type against all others, first considering NaR cells only. We identified a total of 7,292 genes with significantly higher expression in one of the 13 main cell-types (as defined above) compared to all others merged (log-fold change $\geq$ 0.25 and p-value $\leq$ 0.001) hereafter referred to as "cell type-specifying" genes (Fig. 4A and Suppl. Table 4). Searching for genes that were dynamically regulated as a function of pseudo-time along a developmental trajectory inferred with Monocle 2 [9] yielded comparable results (Suppl. Fig. 4 and Suppl. Table 5). We then searched for enriched Reactome pathways [26,27] in the 13 lists of "cell type-specifying" genes. Two hundred sixty-eight pathways were significantly enriched (q $\leq$ 0.01) in at least one cell-type (Fig. 4B and Suppl. Table 6). These corresponded primarily to: (i) accelerated cell division in ERPC, LRPC and NRPCs, (ii) intense post-transcriptional and

translational activity in NE, ERPC, LRPC and NRPCs, (iii) activation of RHO GTPase- and NOTCH-

dependent signaling in ERPC, LRPC, NRPCs, RGC and LRPC, NRPCs, respectively, as well as the

GPCR-dependent phototransduction cascade in C and R, (iv) activation of mitochondrial citric

acid (TCA) cycle and respiratory electron transport in HC, C, R, BC, and MC, of cholesterol

synthesis in ERPC and RGC, and of insulin- and glucagon-dependent metabolic integration in

RGC and AC, (v) enhanced remodeling of the extracellular matrix in NE, RPE and MC, and GAP

junction trafficking in RGC, and (vi) activation of ROBO receptors-dependent axon guidance

in NE, ERPC and LRPC, and of synapse formation in RGC, HC, AC and BC (Fig. 4B). In addition

to the 13 major cell-types, 633 genes were more strongly expressed in Tbr1$^+$RGC (3D-RA)

when compared to all other cell-types (NaR + 3D-RA), with *Tbr1* as top ranking differentially

expressed gene (Suppl. Table 4 and Suppl. Fig. 5). Twelve Reactome pathways were

significantly enriched (q $\leq$ 0.01) in the list of Trb1$^+$RGC-specific genes pertaining to: (i) axon

guidance, (ii) L1CAM interactions, and (iv) membrane trafficking, which are in accordance

with the role of Tbr1+ cells instructing the organization of dendrites of RGCs in the outer

plexiform layer of the developing retina (Suppl. Table 6). Moreover, 426 genes were more

strongly expressed in the CMZ when compared to all other cell types (Suppl. Table 4).

Strikingly 14 members of the family of crystallin genes ranked amongst the top 24 genes

(Suppl. Fig. 6). We noted that the average number of UMIs detected in cluster 60 cells was

nearly twice the experiment-wide average (p = 0.01). We therefore surmise that this cluster

contains a large proportion of doublets of physically connected neuroretinal and posterior

epithelial lens cells.

At first sight, genes that were differentially expressed between cell-types in NaR appeared to

recapitulate their in vivo expression profile remarkably well in 3D-RA (Fig. 4A). Yet, to better

apprehend the differences between in vivo and in vitro retinal differentiation, we performed

differential expression analysis between NaR and 3D-RA within cell-type. For each of the 13

major cell types, we generated lists of genes that were respectively under- and over-

expressed in 3D-RA when compared to NaR (q $\leq$ 0.01; Suppl. Table 7). We then searched for

biological pathways that were over-represented in the corresponding gene lists using

Reactome. This yielded 197 downregulated and 134 upregulated pathways in 3D-RA (Fig. 4B

and Suppl. Table 8). Strikingly, both down- and upregulated pathways showed considerable

overlap with the pathways shown to be dynamically regulated during in vivo retinal

development (115/197, p < 10^{-6} and 67/134, p < 10^{-6}) (Fig. 4C). The differentially expressed

genes that accounted for the overrepresentation of specific pathways ("found entities" in

Reactome) were generally very similar during in vivo development of NaR (Cell type > others)

and when comparing NaR and 3D-RA (NaR > 3D-RA and 3D-RA > NaR) (Fig. 4D). Thus, the

genes and pathways that appear to be the most perturbed by the 3D-RA culture conditions

are also the ones that play key roles in NaR development. More specifically, (i) the rate of cell

division in NE, ERPC, LRPC and NRPC was reduced in 3D-RA when compared to NaR, (ii) post-

transcriptional and translational mechanisms were exacerbated in in 3D-RA ERPC, LRPC,

NRPC, RGC, PRP, C, R, BC and MC, when compared to NaR, (iii) signal transduction via WNT,

TGF-beta, RHO GTPases, Esr, Notch, Hedgehog, MAPK, and Death receptors was diminished

in 3D-RA when compared to NaR, particularly in ERPC and LRPC, while the phototransduction

cascade was less active in 3D-RA-derived R than in NaR-derived R, (iv) mitochondrial citric acid

(TCA) cycle and respiratory electron transport was increased in 3D-RA LRPC, NRPC, AC, PRP

and C (yet increased in BC), cholesterol synthesis increased in 3D-RA C and R, and

gluconeogenesis increased in PCP and R, (v) stress response and apoptosis was reduced in 3D-

RA ERPC, yet increased in 3D-RA C, R, BC and MC (i.e. at the latest stages of 3D-RA culture),

and (vi) vesicle mediated transport and synapse formation was decreased in 3D-RA LRPC, RGC

and PRP (Fig. 4B).

Figure 4: (A) Expression profiles in 12 cell types of 7,292 genes that are dynamically regulated during in vivo
retinal development (i.e. significantly overexpressed in at least one cell type when compared to all other ones
in NaR) in NaR (upper panel) and 3d-RA (lower panel). **(B)** Reactome pathways that are significantly (p ≤ 0.001)
enriched amongst differentially expressed genes ("Cell type > other": when comparing expression levels
between specific cell types and all other cells in NaR only; "NaR > 3D-RA" and "3D-RA> NaR": when comparing

expression levels between NaR and 3D-RA cells within cell type). Y-axis: Reactome pathways are colored by "top
level" system (cell cycle, gene expression, signal transduction, metabolism, cell biology and development) and
sub-level therein. Tiles mark the pathways that are significantly enriched in the corresponding contrast and cell
type. The colors of the tiles reflect similarity in gene content as described in (C). Last column ("Overlap"): White:
pathways significant in one contrast only, Black: pathways significant in all three contrasts, Grey: pathways
significant in "Cell type > other" and ("NaR > 3D-RA" or "3D-RA> NaR"), Red: pathways significant in "NaR > 3D-
RA" and "3D-RA> NaR". **(C)** Number of unique and shared Reactome pathways between "Cell type > other",
"NaR > 3D-RA" and "3D-RA> NaR". All overlaps are highly significant ($p < 10^{-6}$) assuming random sampling from
2,365 Reactome pathways. **(D)** (Left) tSNE map (dimensions 1 and 2 of 3) of 1,313 gene sets ("found entities")
marking enriched Reactome pathways (each little circle corresponding to a tile in B). Overlapping sets (in terms
of gene content) are close to each other in tSNE space (3D). The gene sets are colored in RGB scale where tSNE
dimension 1 (tSNE1) determines the intensity of red, tSNE2 the intensity of green, and tSNE3 the intensity of
blue. (Right) Same data points as for (Left) but colored by contrast of origin as in B and C: "Cell type > other":
turquoise; "NaR > 3D-RA": magenta; "3D-RA > NaR": lime. One can see that many clusters encompass gene sets
corresponding to distinct contrasts, hence highlighting the strong overlap between Reactome pathways that are
essential for normal retinal development ("Cell type > other"), and those that are perturbed in 3D-RA relative to
NaR ("NaR > 3D-RA" and "3D-RA > NaR").
306

The 7,292 genes that were found to be dynamically regulated during in vivo development (i.e.

NaR only, cfr above) comprised 307 transcription factors (TF), including 110 that were at least

1.5 times more strongly expressed in one cell type (or pair of cell types for C and R) when

compared to any of the other (Fig. 5A&B and Suppl. Table 4). The latter comprised 87 factors

that were previously reported in the context of retinal development, as well as 22 new ones

(NE: Mecom, Klf2, Peg3; LRPC: Lrrfip1; MC: Arid5a, Creb3l2, Csrnp1, Dbp, Nr4a1, Nr4a3; HC:

Zfp618, Zfp804a; AC: Zfp503; PRP: Foxo3, Lcorl; R: Zfp516, Trps1, Ppard, Zc3h3, Mier1, Mier2,

Lyrar; BC: St18) (Suppl. Table 9). Contrary to the overall expression profile (i.e. 7,292 genes;

Fig. 4A), visual examination of the expression profiles of the 110 most differentially expressed

TF indicated considerable loss of cell-type specificity in 3D-RA (Fig. 5A). Indeed, 160 of the

307 (52%) differentially expressed TF were significantly ($q < 0.01$) under-expressed in at least

one cell type in 3D-RA when compared to NaR, while 80/307 (26%) were significantly ($q <$

0.01) over-expressed in at least one cell type (Fig. 5B&C). An additional 31 (non-dynamically

regulated) TF were down-regulated in 3D-RA, while 19 were upregulated (Fig. 5B&C). Thus,

the expression profile of a remarkably high proportion of TF that are dynamically regulated

during normal retinal development in vivo appears perturbed in 3D-RA, and this may in part

drive the differences observed between both systems including with regards to Reactome

pathways (Fig. 4B).

Figure 5: (A) Standardized expression levels of 110 most clique-specific TF across 12 cliques in NaR (upper panel) and 3D-RA (lower panel). **(B)** Transcription factors (TF) that are (i) differentially regulated between cell types (turquoise), (ii) under-expressed in 3D-RA when compared to NaR (magenta), or (iii) over-expressed in 3D-RA when compared to NaR (lime). OVERLAP: TF that are differentially expressed in the three conditions (Clique > others, NaR>3D-RA and 3D-RA> NaR) are marked in black. TF that are differentially expressed during retinal development (Clique > others) and in one of the NaR vs 3D-RA conditions (NaR>3D-RA or 3D-RA> NaR) are marked in grey. Acronyms for cell types are as in the remainder of the manuscript. **(C)** Number of differentially expressed TF in "Clique > others", "NaR > 3D-RA", and "3D-RA>NaR", with corresponding overlaps. The overlaps are highly significant ($p < 10^{-6}$) assuming that TF are sampled randomly from the full collection of ~1,500 TFs [28].

Combined analysis of scRNA-Seq and bulk ATAC-Seq data reveals putative regulatory toggles in NaR and 3D-RA

It is generally assumed that execution of the epigenetic program underlying differentiation is controlled by dynamically regulated TF that activate downstream target genes. To verify this assertion, we performed ATAC-Seq [29] on our bulk NaR (E13, P0, P5) and 3D-RA (DD13, DD21, DD25) samples to identify gene-switch components accessible by TF during retinal development (Fig. 6A). For each sample type, we analyzed two technical replicates of two biological replicates for a total of 24 libraries. We defined a total of 123,482 peaks using MACS2 [30] (Suppl. Table 10). Of these, 93,386 (75.6%) were detected in NaR, 97,333 (78.8%) in 3D-RA. 18,933 (15.3%) were common to all samples, 26,149 (30.0%) NaR-specific, 30,096 (24.4%) 3D-RA-specific, and 4,703 developmental stage-specific (3.8%; stage I: 294, stage II: 82, stage III: 4,327). The number of peaks increased with developmental stage in NaR but not

in 3D-RA (highest number of peaks in DD13) (Fig. 6B). Nevertheless, stage I samples (E13 and

DD13) clustered together, while for subsequent stages samples clustered by origin (NaR vs

3D-RA) (Fig. 6C). DNA binding motifs are reported for 151 of the 307 TF found to be

dynamically regulated by scRNA-Seq (*vide supra*), amounting to a total of 336 motifs (average

number of motifs per TF: 2.3; range: 1 - 14) [31]. We used Homer to annotate our catalogue

of ATAC-Seq peaks for the corresponding motifs [31]. In total Homer identified 7,128,225

putative binding motifs in 98,181 ATAC-seak peaks assigned to 19,171 genes (closest

transcription start site)(Suppl. Table 11).

Figure 6: (A) Example of ATAC-Seq results obtained in NaR and 3D-RA samples in the vicinity of the *Crx* gene.
The intensity of several peaks is increasing with developmental stage in both NaR and 3D-RA as expected for
this TF that is primarily expressed in PRP, C and R. **(B) Numbers and overlap between ATAC-Seq peaks detected**
in different sample types. The number of peaks increases with developmental stage in NaR but not in 3D-RA.
A large proportion of peaks are either DD13- (16.1%) or P5-specific (15.1%), or shared between all samples
(15.3%), or all samples minus E13 (11.9%). **(C) Hierarchical clustering of the samples based on the intensity of**
123,482 ATAC-Seq peaks. Sample types cluster by developmental stage at stage I (E13 and D13), but by origin
(NaR vs 3D-RA) at stages II and II.

To test whether dynamically regulated TF that were overexpressed in a given cell type were

indeed activating downstream targets in that cell type, we searched for an enrichment of the

cognate binding motifs in the ATAC-Seq peaks of genes that were significantly overexpressed

in that cell type, relative to ATAC-Seq peaks of genes that were significantly underexpressed

in the same cell type. We used average number of binding motifs per ATAC-Seq peak as

metric to correct for gene length. We first analyzed NaR. We found 84 instances of binding

motif enrichment (q-value < 0.01) involving 37 TF overexpressed in the corresponding cell

type (Fig. 7A, Suppl. Table 12&13).   Examples of such "activator" TF include Crx (3/3 binding

motifs) operating in PRP, R and C, and Etv5 (1/1 binding motif) acting in LRPC (Fig. 7B).

Intriguingly, we observed 45 instances of binding motif depletion (q-value < 0.01), involving

26 overexpressed TF. Stated otherwise, for 26 TF that were overexpressed in one or more

cell types, binding motifs were significantly more abundant in ATAC-Seq peaks of genes that

were underexpressed than in ATAC-Seq peaks of genes that were overexpressed in the

corresponding cell types (Fig. 7A, Suppl. Table 12&13).   One explanation of this finding is that

these TF act as repressors rather than as activators. Examples of such candidate "repressor"

TF are Arh (1/2 binding motifs) acting in C, and Tgif2 (2/3 binding motifs) acting in LRPC and

NRPC (Fig. 7B). Such repressor TF may be components of regulatory toggles that ensure cell

type-specific gene expression not only by inducing expression of required genes (via activator

TF), but also by precluding expression of undesired genes (via repressor TF) (Fig. 7C). To gain

insights in the nature of the genes targeted by these putative repressor TF, we searched for

the enrichment of the corresponding binding motifs in genes overexpressed in other cell types

(i.e. cell types in which the repressor TF are not overexpressed and in which the target genes

of the repressor are therefore not repressed). Fig. 7D shows the corresponding results for all

repressor TF expressed in a given cell type jointly (average signed (enrichment +, depletion -)

log(1/p) over all repressor TF).   For comparison, similar graphs are shown for the combined

effect of all activator TF expressed in a given cell type.   It appears that the 12 cell types

analyzed in NaR (excluding RPE) form three clusters: (i) NE, ERPC, LRPC and NRPC, (ii) RGC, AC

and HC, and (iii) PRP, C, R, BC and MC. Assuming that the repressor hypothesis is valid,

repressor TF expressed in cluster (i) primarily target genes overexpressed in cluster (iii),

repressor TF expressed in cluster (ii) target both cluster (i) and (iii), and repressor TF expressed

in cluster (iii) primarily target cluster (i). There was considerable overlap between the TF

(activator and repressor) overexpressed in cell types from the same cluster. It is noteworthy,

that there was some evidence that the same mechanisms might underpin differentiation of

cell types within clusters.   As an example, NRPC express activator TF that do not operate in

NE and ERPC, while ERPC express repressor TF that target LRPC and NRPC-specific genes (Fig.

7D).

Six TF overexpressed in more than one cell type, were characterized by the enrichment of

binding motifs in overexpressed genes of some cell type(s), yet the depletion of binding motifs

in overexpressed genes of other cell type(s) (Etv1, Fosb, Otx2, Pax6, Tcf3, Sox11) (Suppl. Table

12&13).   A good example is Otx2, which is significantly overexpressed in PRP and NRPC, and

whose three binding motifs are enriched in genes that are overexpressed in PRP while being

enriched in genes that are underexpressed in NRPC (which include the genes that are

overexpressed in PRP)(Fig. 7B).   One possible explanation of these observations is that the

corresponding TF are necessary but not sufficient to induce expression of target genes.   As

an example, Otx2 may be induced in NRPC that will develop into PRP, but only exert its

transcriptional effects after maturation into PRP.   Consistent with this hypothesis, NRPC

expressing Otx2 tended to cluster in the vicinity of PRP in the UMAP manifold (Suppl. Fig. 7).

We refer to these six TF as "conditional activators".   Three TF were characterized by the

enrichment of one binding motif yet the depletion of another binding motif in the same cell

type (Lhx1, Plagl1, Zic1) (Suppl. Table 12&13).   These will be referred to as "dual TF" yet were

considered with caution.

Figure 7: (A) QQ plot of log(1/p) values of the difference in average number of binding motifs in ATAC Seq
peaks of overexpressed versus underexpressed genes for TF overexpressed in the corresponding cell type.
Enrichments are indicated by red dots, depletions by blue triangles. Symbols are large for instances with q-value
< 0.01, and with small symbols for instances with q-value ≥ 0.01. For the most significant effects the name of
the TF is given, as well as – between brackets - the number of the binding motif, and the cell type. The line
corresponds to expectations assuming that all tests are true null hypotheses. **(B) Examples of activator,**
repressor and conditional activator TF. Upper lines ("TF Expr", white-red color code): standardized expression
pattern of corresponding TF across 12 cell types. Lower lines ("BM Enr/Depl", blue-white-red color code):
standardized enrichment (red) or depletion (blue) of binding site of corresponding TF across 12 cell types. The
red circles and blue triangles mark the instances that would be part of (A). They require that the TF be
overexpressed in the corresponding cell type, and that there is either a significant enrichment of binding motifs
in overexpressed genes (red circles) or a significant depletion (blue triangles). **(C) Components of regulatory**

toggles and principles underlying their detection. Shown are a hypothetical precursor (blue) and derived
differentiated (orange) cell. The genes (horizontal rectangles) are subdivided in genes that define the precursor
state (blue rectangles), genes that define the derived state (orange rectangles), and genes that do not participate
in the differentiation (grey rectangles). Differential expression analysis between precursor cells and
differentiated cells reveals (i) the genes that are upregulated in precursor cells (and consequently
downregulated in differentiated cells)(large blue arrows), and (ii) the genes that are upregulated in
differentiated cells (and consequently downregulated in precursor cells)(large orange arrows). In this work we
focused on the genes that were upregulated in specific cliques. It is assumed that the differentiation between
cell states is "locked" by a toggle comprising mutually exclusive activator-repressor sets of TF. In the example,
we show an activator (A_p) – repressor (R_p) pair (small blue arrows) turned ON in the precursor state (OFF in the
differentiated state), and an activator (A_d) – repressor (R_d) pair (small orange arrows) turned ON in the
differentiated state (OFF in the precursor state). Genes respond to these activator and repressor TF by means
of binding motifs in cis-acting regulatory elements. One can predict that genes activated in the precursor cell
state will be enriched in binding motifs for A_p (labelled as a_p) and depleted in binding motifs for R_p (labelled as
r_p), but (given their expression pattern in differentiated cells) also enriched in binding motifs for R_d (labelled as
r_d) and depleted in binding motifs for A_d (labelled as a_d) (and vice versa). **(D) Combined NaR enrichment profile**
(across all cell types; X-axis) of binding motifs for all activator (upper graph) and repressor (lower graph) TF
expressed in a given cell type (Y-axis). Standardized (across entire array) sum of signed (+ enrichment, -
depletion) log(1/p) values for binding motifs of TF expressed in a given cell type (Y-axis). Positive values are
measured by a white-red color code; values ≤ 0 are in white. For each cell type the number of overexpressed
activator TF (upper graph, red circles on diagonal) and repressor TF (lower graph, blue triangles on diagonal) are
given, and their names provided on the right. **(E)** As in (D) for 3D-RA. **(F) Comparison of the log(1/p) values for**
enrichment (+)/depletion (-) of TF binding motifs across cell types between NaR (X-axis) and 3D-RA (Y-axis).
Red circles: values for activator TF in the cell type in which they are overexpressed. Blue triangles: values for
repressor TF in the cell type in which they are overexpressed. Small grey circles: values for in cell types in which
the corresponding TF is not overexpressed. The identity of outliers is labelled as in (A). "r" = correlation
coefficient.
459

We repeated the same analyses for the 13 major cell types detected in 3D-RA (including RPE).

We identified 18 activator TF, 24 repressor TF, 3 conditional activator TF, and 2 dual TF (Suppl.

Table 12&14). Thirty-eight of these 47 TF overlapped with those found in NaR. Analyzing

the combined effect of TF overexpressed in a given cell type largely confirmed the three

clusters detected in NaR. RPE appear to be part of cluster (i), while 3D-RA MC could not be

classified as no overexpressed TF (whether activator or repressor) could be detected in this

cell type (Fig. 7E). The degree of enrichment/depletion of TF binding motifs (measured by the

signed (enrichment +, depletion -) log(1/p)) in the different cell types was highly correlated

between NaR and 3D-RA (r= 0.82, p < 2.2e-16)(Fig. 7F). The slope of the regression line was

significantly < 1 suggesting that, overall, the TF's activator and repressor effects might be

slightly reduced in 3D-RA when compared to NaR. Outliers included Crx and Otx2 which

appeared to have more pronounced activator effects in PRP of 3D-RA than of NaR. This may

be related to the fact that the 3D-RA culture conditions were designed to "push" PRP

development (see also Fig. 3J). Other outliers were Etv1, Etv5, Hes1 and Zbtb7a which

pointed towards TF-driven differences in the NaR and 3D-RA transcriptomes of HC and MC.

Binding motifs for this squadron of TF were enriched in genes that were underexpressed in

MC of NaR but not of 3D-RA, while being enriched in genes that were underexpressed in HC

of 3D-RA but not of NaR (Suppl. Fig. 8). We identified the corresponding genes, and amongst

those, the ones with the highest density (in ATAC-Seq peaks) of binding motifs for the TF

squadron (one SD above the mean), and submitted them to Reactome. The pathways that

were enriched pertained mainly to RNA stability and translation and to a lesser the cell cycle

(Suppl. Table 15). They coincided remarkably well with the Reactome pathways that were

highlighted by the genes that were more strongly expressed in the MC of 3D-RA than of NaR

(group of genes labelled in orange in Fig. 4B). Taken together, our results suggested that the

differences in the transcriptome of Nar versus 3D-RA MC are at least in part due to perturbed

transcriptional regulations by the Etv1, Etv5, Hes1 and Zbtb7a TF squadron.   .

Discussion

We herein use scRNA-seq to compare the unfolding of the epigenetic program in *in vivo*

versus *in vitro* (from iPS cells) derived murine retina at four match stages of development

encompassing the presumed emergence times of the major retinal cell types.   Results

obtained by combining information from (i) the analysis of four developmental stages (E13 vs

DD13, P0 vs DD21, P5 vs DD25 and P9 vs DD29), (ii) 3D UMAP manifolds visualized in virtual

reality (http://www.sig.hec.ulg.ac.be/giga), and (iii) RNA velocity analysis, are in good

agreement with the previously reported main retinal developmental trajectories (Fig. 2F).

More specifically, we confirm the existence of a recently characterized RGC subpopulation

that specifically expresses the Tbr1 transcription factor. We provide evidence that this

subpopulation may directly derive from NE via a narrow, proprietary developmental bridge.

We provide evidence supporting the occurrence of « respecification » of AC into both HC and

PRP. It is noteworthy that the evidence for both the unconventional origin of Tbr1+ RGC and

respecification of AC cells to HC cells stems from 3D-RA cell populations only. Further work

is needed to confirm that these phenomena also occur in NaR. We identify >7,000 genes

that are dynamically regulated during *in vivo* retinal differentiation corresponding to tens of

biological pathways pertaining to the cell cycle, gene expression, signal transduction,

metabolism, cell biology and development (Fig. 4). Dynamically regulated genes include ~300

TF, of which 110 are at least 1.5x as strongly expressed in one specific retinal cell type when

compared to all other ones. The latter include 22 TF not yet described in the field of retinal

development which could serve as a starting point for functional investigations of the roles of

these genes in retinogenesis and physiology.

By combining scRNA-Seq with ATAC-Seq data generated on bulk samples corresponding to

three of the analyzed developmental stages, we were able to show – for 75 of 151 analyzed

TF - a significant (q-value < 0.01) enrichment (31), depletion (31) or both (13) of the TF's

binding motifs in ATAC-Seq peaks of the genes that are overexpressed in the same cell type

as the TF (considering NaR and 3D-RA results jointly)(Suppl. Table 12). Enrichment is what is

expected for a regular TF that activates the transcription of target genes in the cell type in

which it is (over)expressed. We refer to these as "activator" TF. A depletion is against

expectations, but is what is expected if the TF acts as a repressor in the cell type in which it is

(over)expressed. Although we have no formal proof of their repressing activity, we

tentatively refer to these as "repressor" TF. We propose that combinations of activator and

repressor TF constitute regulatory toggles that help ensure cell type-specific gene expression

and hence cellular identity (Fig. 7C). Our results suggest that the hypothesized regulatory

toggles involve multiple activators and repressors. This may confer robustness to the system,

and enable differentiation of multiple cell types.   It also indicates that perturbing TF one at a

time, whether by overexpression or knock-out/down, may not be effective to dissect such

multifactorial toggles. It may be necessary to perform pooled screens using CRISPR libraries

targeting several candidates at once (at high multiplicity of infection) in Perturb-Seq like

experiments conducted in 3D-RA [32] in order to induce detectable alterations in cellular

behavior. For 10 TF, overexpression was accompanied by binding motif enrichment in some

cell type(s), and depletion in other(s). These may include "conditional activator" TF, meaning

that the presence of the TF is necessary but not sufficient to exert its effect on transcription.

This could for instance reflect the need for post-translational modification of the TF [33], or

for cooperation with other TF or cofactors [34]. Finally, for three TF, enrichment was

observed for one binding motif and depletion for another binding motif, yet in the same cell

type. We refer to these as "dual" TF. Although we consider these results cautiously, context-

dependent TF effects are well documented [35].

We assessed enrichment versus depletion of binding motifs by comparing genes that were

overexpressed with those that were underexpressed in a given cell type. Alternatively, one

can compare the density of binding motif in ATAC-Seq peaks of up- and down-regulated genes

(i.e. over- and underexpressed genes) with that in ATAC-Seq peaks of genes that are stably

expressed across cell types, i.e. genes that are neither significantly over- nor underexpressed

in any given cell type. When doing this for the 84 detected instances of binding motif

enrichment and the 45 instances of binding motif depletion, we observed that the binding

motif density in stably expressed genes was intermediate between that of up- and

downregulated genes in 73% (enrichments) and 71% (depletions) of cases, respectively.

Although we recognize the ascertainment bias, these figures are at least compatible with the

notion that the density of binding motifs for TF is increased by positive selection in target

genes, while being decreased by negative selection in non-target genes.

We show that 3D-RA broadly recapitulate the in vivo developmental program and

trajectories. However, developmental trajectories appear less canalized in 3D-RA when

compared to NaR, PRP to develop earlier and at the expense of other cell types, and terminal

differentiation of BC to be incomplete (Fig. 3). We identify ~3,000 genes that are differentially

regulated between 3D-RA and NaR in at least one cell type, and identify the corresponding

biological pathways pertaining in particular to the rate of cell division which is reduced in 3D-

RA RPCs when compared to NaR, post-transcriptional and translational mechanisms which

appear exacerbated in the majority of 3D-RA cliques when compared to NaR, signal

transduction via WNT and Notch pathways which are diminished in 3D-RA RPCs when

compared to NaR, 3D-RA differentiated cells which appear less functional with less

phototransduction cascade activity and decrease synapse formation, and finally apoptosis

and stress response which are increased at the latest stages of 3D-RA culture. Strikingly, the

perturbed pathways show a highly significant overlap with those that were shown to be

dynamically regulated during the development of the native retina. We show that

transcription factors that are dynamically regulated during in vivo retinal development are

particularly sensitive to the iPSC culture conditions.   This is likely to drive the perturbations

of the above-mentioned biological pathways.

We show how scRNA-Seq and bulk ATAC-Seq can be combined to gain preliminary insights

into what may underpin differences observed between the NaR and 3D-RA transcriptomes.

As an example, the comparison between MC from NaR and 3D-RA revealed 418 genes that

were more strongly expressed in NaR when compared to 3D-RA, and 424 that were more

strongly expressed in 3D-RA (Suppl. Table 7).   The first list of genes (NaR>3D-RA) was not

enriched for any Reactome pathway, but the second (3D-RA) highlighted 53 of these (Suppl.

Table 8), corresponding to five subsets of genes (five colors in MC column (3D-RA>NaR) in Fig.

4B). One of these subsets (orange set, rich in genes encoding ribosomal proteins) highlighted

pathways related to RNA stability (NMD), RNA translation, selenocystein metabolism and

signaling by ROBO receptors.   Combined scRNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq data indicated that the

transcriptome of MC is depleted in genes with high density of binding motifs for Etv1, Etv5,

Hes1 and Zbtb7a in their ATAC-Seq peaks in NaR but not so in 3D-RA (Fig. 7F and Suppl. Fig.

8). These four TF are expressed at relatively high and comparable levels in both NaR and 3D-

RA. We identified the corresponding genes and subjected them to Reactome analysis. They

identified – to a large extend – the same pathways as the orange gene subset defined above.

Thus, the differences between NaR and 3D-RA MC with regards to the corresponding

pathways are most likely driven by perturbations of the Etv1, Etv5, Hes1 and Zbtb7a TF

squadron.   Examination of their transcriptional and binding motif enrichment/depletion

profile across cell types (Suppl. Fig. 8) suggests that Etv1, Etv5 and Hes1 operate as

"conditional TF" (as defined above) in NaR: despite still being present in MC they do not have

the activator effect in these cells as seen in retinal progenitor cells (hence the observed

depletion in the NaR MC transcriptome). In 3D-RA MC, they may still have "residual" activator

activity which would explain why the depletion is not seen. Zbtb7a is clearly distinct from the

other three: it most strongly expressed in PRP, C and R, yet its binding motifs are primarily

found in genes expressed in retinal progenitor cells. How and why genes rich in Zbtb7a motifs

would be underexpressed in NaR but not 3D-RA MC remains unclear. Yet these examples

show how studying the regulatory toggle landscape may become a valuable approach to

monitor how closely organoids recapitulate native development.

Materials and methods

Generation of iPSC-derived retinal aggregates. <u>*Maintenance of iPSCs:*</u> The mouse iPSC-

NrlGFP line was obtained from the laboratory for retinal regeneration from the Center for

Bioloy and Development (CDB) RIKEN (Kobe, Japan). These iPSCs were generated from

fibroblasts [36] of C57BL/6 Nrl-eGFP transgenic mice [15]. The cells were cultivated in 60-mm

Petri dishes (0,6 x 10^5 cells total per dish) coated with gelatine 0,1% (G2625, Sigma-Aldrich)

in Glasgow's Minimum Essential Medium (GMEM, Thermo Fisher Scientific) / 10% Fetal

Bovine Serum (FBS, Biological Industries) sodium pyruvate 1 mM (Sigma-Aldrich) / MEM Non-

Essential Amino Acids Solution 0,1 mM (NEAA, Thermo Fisher Scientific) / 2-mercaptoethanol

0,1 mM (2-ME, Wako) / penicilline-streptomycine 100 U/mL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 1000

U/mL of Leukemia inhibitory factor (Esgro LIF, Merck), 3 µM of CHIR99021 (BioVision) and 1

µM of PD0325901 (Stemgent) were added to the culture medium. These culture conditions

for iPSC maintenance are according to [37]. *Generation of iPSC-derived retinal aggregates :*

Differentiation of iPSCs into retinal aggregates was done using the SFEBq (serum-free floating

culture of embryoid body-like aggregates with quick re-aggregation) method according to [1]

with some modifications following [37] and [38]. The iPSCs were dissociated after 4-5 days of

maintenance using trypsine 0,25% / 1 mM EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C during 2

minutes. Embryoid body-like aggregates were formed by adding 5000 cells/dish in a low

binding 96 dish-plat (174925 Nunclon™ Sphera™, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 100 µL of

differentiating medium containing 0,1 mM AGN193109 (Toronto Research Chemicals). The

differentiating medium is composed of GMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 5% of Knock-out

Serum Replacement (KSR, Thermo Fisher Scientific), Sodium Pyruvate 1 mM (Sigma-Aldrich),

NEAA 0,1 mM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 2-ME 0,1 mM (Wako). Dissociation of iPSCs

corresponds to differentiating day 0 (DD0). At DD1, 20 µL of matrigel reduced in growth

factors (Corning) was added to obtain a final concentration equal to 2%. The cells were left in

this medium till DD8. At DD8, retinal aggregates were picked up and transfered in Petri dishes

60-mm in maturation medium composed of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/F-

12 with glutamax (Thermo Fisher Scientific) completed with 1% of N2 supplement (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and penicilline-streptomycine 100 U/mL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Some

AR 0,5 µM (from DD13 to DD18, Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM of L-taurine (from DD13 to DD29,

Sigma-Aldrich) and FBS 1% (from DD21 to DD29, Biological Industries) were added to this

maturation medium. Taurine and AR promote rod photoreceptors differentiation [39]. From

DD8 to DD29 cultures were maintained in hyperoxic conditions (37°C, 40% O_2 / 5% CO_2). The

development of retinal aggregates was monitored and GFP expression was confirmed from

DD18 using a digital inverted fluorescence microscope EVOS FL (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Immunofluorescence. Retinal aggregates were fixed for 20 minutes at room temperature in

paraformaldehyde (PFA) 4% diluted in phosphate saline (PBS) at pH 7.4. They were then

washed in PBS before cryoprotection in sucrose 30% (diluted in PBS) at 4°C overnight.

Eyeballs from wild type C57BL/6 mice, used as positive controls, were enucleated and

punctured in the center of the cornea before fixation for 1 hour in PFA 4%, at room

temperature, then washed in PBS and added in sucrose 30% at 4° overnight. Samples were

embedded in Richard-Allan Scientific™ NEG-50™ medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Slices of

10 à 15 µm were collected from cryostat on slides Superfrost Ultra Plus (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). For immunofluorescence slides were first incubated in blocking solution Blocking

One (Nacalai Tesque) for 1 hour at room temperature, then at 4°C overnight with primary

antibodies diluted in Dako REAL Antibody Diluent (Agilent). We used the following primary

antibodies: rabbit antibody against protein kinase Cα diluted 1:500 (anti-PKCα, Sigma-Aldrich

AB_477345), rabbit antibody against recoverine 1:1000 (Merck AB_2253622), rabbit antibody

against calretinine 1:500 (Swant AB_2313763), rabbit antibody against Pax6 1:100 (BioLegend

AB_2313780), mouse antibody against RET-P1 1:1000 (anti-rhodopsine, Sigma-Aldrich

AB_260838), sheep antibody against Chx10 1:1000 (Exalpha Biologicals AB_2314191). After

24 hours, slides were washed three times for 5 minutes in PBS-Tween 0,05% then incubated

with appropriate secondary antibodies in the dark at room (anti-IgG rabbit A488 et A647, anti-

IgG mouse A555 et anti-IgG sheep A555 all from Thermo Fisher Scientific) and some 4',6-

diamidino-2-phénylindole (DAPI) 1:1000 diluted in Dako REAL Antibody Diluent. After another

wash in PBS-Tween, slides were mounted with FluorSave™ Reagent (Merck). Images were

taken with confocal microscope Nikon Eclipse T$_i$.

Single cell RNA Seq. *Dissociation of native retinal tissue and 3D-culture retinal aggregates:*

The dissociation of mouse retinas and 3D retinal aggregates was inspired by the protocol of

Macosko et al. [40]. Eyeballs of C57BL/6 wild type mice were enucleated at time points E13,

P0, P5 and P9. Dissected retinas were placed in Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS,

Thermo Fisher Scientific). Optic vesicle (OV)-like structures of the iPSCs derived 3D retinal

aggregates were cut at DD13, DD21, DD25 and DD29 and transferred in DPBS as well. Papaïne

4 U/mL (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) was added to the samples. The solution

containing the retinas and the OV-like structures was maintained at 37°C for 45 and 30

minutes, respectively. Ovomucoïde 0,15% (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) was

added for papaïne inhibition. Samples were centrifuged in order to eliminate the supernatant

and cells were resuspended again in DPBS. The cell suspension was then manipulated for the

different steps of single cell RNA sequencing. Cell numbers and proportion of life cells were

estimated by Trypan Blue staining and using a Countess II cell counter (ThermoFisher). *scRNA-*

Seq: We generated two biological replicates for stages 1 to 3 (NaR and 3D-RA) and one

biological replicate for stage 4 (NaR and 3D-RA). We loaded ~15,700 cells for biological

replicate 1 (stage 1-4) and ∼10,000 cells for biological replicate 2 (stage 1-3) in a Chromium

Controller instrument (10X Genomics, CA). Sequencing libraries were generated using

Chromium Single Cell 3' reagent kits v2.0 following the recommendations of the

manufacturer (10X Genomics, CA). Actual sequencing was conducted on an Illumina NextSeq

500 instrument (Illumina, CA). *Bioinformatic analyses:* Demultiplexing, alignment, filtering,

barcode counting, UMI counting, and aggregation of multiple runs were conducted using Cell

Ranger v2.1.1 (10X Genomics, CA). Further filtering, k-means clustering, UMAP projection

were conducted using the Seurat software suite (https://satijalab.org/seurat/)[17]. Velocity

analysis was performed using the Velocyto R package [7]. Single- cell trajectory inference and

pseudo-time   analysis   was   conducted   with   Monocle2   (http://cole-trapnell-

lab.github.io/monocle-release/)[9].

ATAC-Seq. *Data generation:* ATAC-seq libraries were constructed on NaR (E13, P0, P5) and

3D-RA (DD13, DD21, DD25) samples with biological replicates following the Omni ATAC

protocol [29]. We used 50,000 cells per reaction taken from the cell suspensions prepared for

the scRNA-seq. We tested two different amounts of the Tagment DNA TDE1 enzyme (1 and 2

µl in a 50 µl reaction volume) (Illumina, San Diego, California) per sample. Genomic DNA

(gDNA) libraries were also prepared using 50 ng of gDNA isolated from NaR P5 and 3D-RA

DD25 cells by following the Nextera DNA Sample Preparation Guide (Illumina). The libraries

were purified using the MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) followed

by 13 and 5 cycles of PCR-amplifications for ATAC-seq and gDNA libraries, respectively. After

validating library size distribution using the QIAxcel capillary electrophoresis (Qiagen), the

libraries were further purified using the SPRIselect reagent to remove large DNA molecules (a

right-side size selection with 0.55X followed by 1.5X ratios of beads) (Beckman Coulter, Brea,

California). On average 10.6 millions of 38-nucleotide paired-end sequences were obtained

using a NextSeq 500 sequencer (Illumina). *Data analyses:* Data was analyzed by following the

ENCODE   Kundaje   lab   ATAC-seq   pipeline

(https://www.encodeproject.org/pipelines/ENCPL792NWO/).   Sequences   were   trimmed

using Trimmomatic [41] and aligned on the Mus musculus genome assembly mm10 using

Bowtie2 [42]. After filtering out low quality, multiple mapped, mitochondrial, and duplicated

reads using SAMtools [43] and the Picard Toolkit (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/),

fragments with map length ≤146 bp were kept as nucleosome-free fraction. Genomic loci

targeted by TDE1 were defined as 38-bp regions centered either 4 (plus strand reads) or 5-bp

(negative strand reads) downstream of the read's 5'-end. ATAC-seq peaks were called using

the MACS2 software (narrowPeak; q-value ≤ 0.01) [30]. FRiP scores were calculated as the

fraction of TDE1 targeted loci falling into the called peaks. Overlapping peaks across samples

were merged and annotated for the occurrence of TF binding motifs of interest (Suppl. Table

10) and the closest gene using Homer [31]. TDE1 targeted loci overlapping the merged peaks

were extracted and converted to a bedgraph file with a scaling factor to one million reads

using BEDTools [44], and further to tdf format to visualize peaks on the Integrative Genomics

Viewer [45].   The total number of TDE1 targeted loci overlapping the merged peaks were

counted using BEDOPS [46], normalized for peak lengths and a sequencing depth with per

one million scaling factor, standardized and used for hierarchical cluster analysis using R

hclust [47] and gplots (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots). The detailed analysis

pipeline is provided in the ATAC_seq_analysis_pipeline.docx file.   The overall mapping rate

with Bowtie2 averaged 98.6%, the mapping rate to the mitochondrial genome 4.1%, the

duplicate fragment rate 6.0%, the proportion of usable reads after filtration 83.4%, and the

FRiP score 34.1%. The FRiP score was significantly lower for E13 samples (reminiscent of the

E14.5 samples in [48]), yet not so in the equivalent DD13 samples (Suppl. Table 16).

Downstream analyses*. Percentage of 3D-RA cells in cliques and clusters:* The number and

proportion of 3D-RA cells in cliques and clusters were computed using a Perl script

(Dev_path_width.pl) and the corresponding graphs generated in R (Perc_3D_RA_in_cl.R).

Width of developmental trajectories in 2D UMPA space: To test whether the developmental

trajectories were more tightly regulated in NaR than in 3D-RA we computed the average

distance   (computed   as   the   Euclidian   distance   in   2D-UMAP   space,

i.e. $\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$) between 500 randomly selected NaR and 500 randomly

selected 3D-RA cells and their n nearest neighbors (with n ranging from 1 to 50). The number

of cells per developmental stage was adjusted between NaR and 3D-RA by down sampling to

the number of the least populated source. The corresponding calculations were performed

five times. The curves shown in Fig. 3H correspond to the averages across the five replicates.

The grey confidence zone in Fig. 3H is bounded by the maxima and minima across the five

replicates. The corresponding script was written in Perl (Dev_path_width.pl) and the graph

generated in R (Path_width.R). *Within developmental stage clique entropy:* To compare

clique diversity within developmental stage between NaR and 3D-RA, we first equalized the

number of cells with developmental stage between NaR and 3D-RA by randomly dropping

cells from the most populated source. We then sampled two cells within cell source (NaR and

3D-RA) and developmental stage and checked whether they were from the same clique or

not. This was repeated 1,000 times yielding a measure of clique diversity akin to (1-entropy).

Down-sampling of cells was repeated 100 times. Each data point in Fig. 3I corresponds to (1-

Entropy) for one such random sample. The corresponding script was written in Perl

(entropy.pl) and the graph generated in R (Entropy.R). *Pathway analyses:* Pathway

enrichment analyses were conducted using the on-line Reactome analysis tools [26,27].

Mouse gene identifiers were converted to human counterparts. Pathway analysis results

were downloaded as flat files. A total of 392 pathways with enrichment p-value $\leq$ 0.01 in at

least one analysis were kept and manually sorted according to Reactome hierarchy

(Man_processed_reactome_output.txt). A pathway is enriched in a list of genes if it contains

more components of the pathway than expected by chance (given the number of genes in

the list). The overlapping genes ("Found entities") hence define the enrichment. The same

pathway can be enriched in two gene lists due to the same, distinct or partially overlapping

sets of "found entities".   We quantified the degree of overlap between sets of "found

entities" for the 1,313 pathway enrichments using principal component (PC) analysis in a

space defined by the presence/absence of 1,335 genes. The distance between sets of "found

entities" in a space consisting of the 20 first PCs was projected in 3D space using t-distributed

stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) implemented with the *Rtsne* R function.   3D tSNE

coordinates were converted to hexadecimal RGB code and used to color the sets of "found

entities" (corresponding to the enrichment of a pathway in a specific gene list) when

generating 2D tSNE graphs (Fig. 4D), or when generating a tile showing the pathways enriched

in specific analyses (CLIQUE>OTHER, NaR > 3D-RA or 3D-RA > NaR) and cliques within analysis

(NE, RPE, ERPC, LRPC, NRPC, RGC, HC, AC, PRP, C, R, BC or MC) (Fig. 4B). The corresponding

scripts were written in Perl (Reactome_analysis.pl) and R (Reactome_analysis.R). *Analysis of*

dynamically regulated ("CLIQUES>OTHERS") and differentially regulated ("NaR>3D-RA" and

"3D-RA>NaR") TF: TF were extracted from Suppl. Tables 4 ("CLIQUES>OTHERS") and Suppl.

Table 7 ("NaR>3D-RA" and "3D-RA>NaR") using a list of mouse TF obtained from the

PHANTOM consortium [28] (mouse_TF.txt), and a file formatted using a Perl script

(TF_prep.pl) to generate the tile shown in Fig. 5B in R (TF_tile.R).   *Identifying regulatory*

toggles: We used Homer [31] to compile the number of occurrences of 336 binding motifs

for 151 of 307 dynamically regulated TF in 98,181 ATAC-Seq peaks assigned to 19,170 genes.

For each gene, the data were summarized as (i) the total number of occurrences, and (ii) the

mean number of occurrences per peak (i.e. density), for each of the 336 binding motifs (Suppl.

Table 11). We then checked - for each of the 336 binding motifs separately - whether the

number ("total" in Suppl. Table 11) and density ("mean" in Suppl. Table 11) of motifs differed

significantly between genes that were upregulated versus downregulated in every one of the

13 cliques. Differential expression analyses to identify genes that are up- and downregulated

in   specific   cliques   were   performed   with   the   *Findmarkers*   function   in   Seurat

(https://satijalab.org/seurat/). The corresponding results are summarized in a series of files

labelled,   respectively,   "NaR/RET_<CELL_TYPE>_markers.txt"   for   NaR,   and

"3D_RA/IPS_<CELL_TYPE>_markers.txt" for 3D_RA.   We used a threshold q-value of 0.05 to

declare a gene as significantly up- or down-regulated in a given clique. The statistical

significance of the difference in number and density of binding motifs between up- and down-

regulated genes was computed using Wilcoxon rank-based test implemented with the

wilcox.test R function.   Differences were deemed significant if the q-value (computed with

the qvalue R function) was $\leq$ 0.01. Corresponding results are provided as Suppl. Table 13 for

NaR and Suppl. Table 14 for 3D-RA.   The graphs for figure 7 were generated using the

Comb_scRNA_ATAC_seq R script.   All corresponding scripts and datasets are freely available

from http://web.giga.ulg.ac.be/pubdata/UAG/Georges_A_2020.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: AG, MT, MM, HT, MG. Performed the experiments:

AG,   HT,   FL,   LK.   Analyzed   the   data: AG,   AL,   HT,   MS,   LD,   MG.   Contributed

reagents/materials/analysis tools/supervision: AG, AL, LN, JMR, LD, MS, MT, MG. Wrote the

paper: AG, HT, MG.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Tomoyo Hashiguchi for teaching the 3D-RA culture protocol and to

Tomohiro Masuda and Akishi Onishi for their comments and suggestions. We thank the GIGA

Genomics platform for their help both with scRNA-seq experiments and early bio-informatic

analyses (especially Wouter Coppieters and Benoit Charloteaux). This work was financially

supported by the "King Baudouin Foundation", the "Global Ophthalmology Awards Program

(GOAP) from Bayer", and the "Fonds Leon Fredericq". LD and LN are respectively postdoctoral

researcher and senior associate researcher of the FRS-FNRS.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated as part of this work are available without restrictions. They have been

deposited under accession numbers E-MTAB-9440 and E-MTAB-9395. All data and analysis

pipelines are available at http://web.giga.ulg.ac.be/pubdata/UAG/Georges_A_2020. The

authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Eiraku M, Sasai Y. Mouse embryonic stem cell culture for generation of three-dimensional

retinal and cortical tissues. Nat Prot. 2012;7: 69–79.

2. Nakano T, Ando S, Takata N, Kawada M, Muguruma K, Sekiguchi K, Saito K, Yonemura

S, Eiraku M, Sasai Y. Self-formation of optic cups and storable stratified neural retina

from human ES cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2012;10: 771–785.

3. Jin ZB, Okamoto S, Osakada F, Homma K, Assawachananont J, Hirami Y, Iwata T, Takahashi

M. Modeling retinal degeneration using patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells.

PLoS One. 2011;6:e17084.

4. Völkner M, Zschätzsch M, Rostovskaya M, Overall RW, Busskamp V, Anastassiadis K, O

Karl M. Retinal organoid from pluripotent stem cells efficiently recapitulate retinogenesis.

Stem Cells Rep. 2016;6: 525-538.

5. Shekhar K, Lapan SW, Whitney IE, Tran NM, Macosko EZ, Kowalczyk M, Adiconis X, Levin

JZ, Nemesh J, Goldman M, McCarroll SA, Cepko CL, Regev A, Sanes JR. Comprehensive

classification of retinal bipolar neurons by single-cell transcriptomics. Cell. 2016;166:

1308-1323.

6. Saelens W, Cannoodt R, Todorov H & Saeys Y. A comparison of single cell trajectory

inference methods. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 547–554.

7. La Manno G, Soldatov R, Zeisel A, Braun E, Hochgerner H, Petukhov V, Lidschreiber K,

Kastriti ME, Lonnerberg P, Furlan A, Fan J, Borm LE, Liu Z, Van Bruggen D, Guo J, He X,

Barker R, Sundstrom E, Castelo-Branco G, Cramer P, Adameyko I, Linnarsson S,

Kharchenko P. RNA velocity of single cells. Nature. 2018;560: 494-510.

8. Camara PG. Methods and challenges in the analysis of single-cell RNA-sequencing data.

Curr Opin Syst Biol. 2018; 7:47-53.

9. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, Livak KJ,

Mikkelsen TS, Rinn JL. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by

pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2014;32:381-386.

10. Collin J, Queen R, Zerti D, Dorgau B, Hussain R, Coxhead J, Cockell S, Lako M.

Deconstructing retinal organoids: single cell RNA-Seq reveals the cellular components of

human pluripotent stem cell-derived retina. Stem Cells. 2019;37: 593-598.

11. Sridhar A, Hoshino A, Finkbeiner CR, Chitsazan A, Dai L, Haugan AK, Eschenbacher KM,

Jackson DL, Trapnell C, Bermingham-McDonogh O, Glass I, Reh TA. Single Cell

Transcriptomic Comparison of human fetal retina, hPSC-derived retinal organoids, and

long-term retinal cultures. Cell Rep. 2020;30:1644-1659.

12. Cowan CS, Renner M, GrossScherf B, Goldblum D, Munz M, Krol J, Szikra T, Papasaikas

P, Cuttat R, Waldt A, Diggelmann R, Patino-Alvarez CP, Gerber-Hollbach N, Schuierer

S, Hou Y, Srdanovic A, Balogh M, Panero R, Hasler PW, Kusnyerik A, Szabo A, Stadler

MB, Orgül S, Hierlemann A, Scholl HPN, Roma G, Nigsch F, Roska B. Cell types of the

human retina and its organoids at single-cell resolution: developmental convergence,

transcriptomic identity, and disease map. 2020;bioRxiv :

https://doi.org/10.1101/703348.

13. Yan F, Powell DR, Curtis D & Wong NC. From reads to insight: a hitchhiker's guide to ATAC-

Seq data analysis. Genome Biol. 2020;21: 22.

14. Gonzalez-Cordero A, West EL, Pearson RA, Duran Y, Carvalho LS, Chu CJ, Naeem A,

Blackford SJ, Georgiadis A, Lakowski J, Hubank M, Smith AJ, Bainbridge JWB, Sowden JC,

Ali RR. Photoreceptor precursors derived from three-dimensional embryonic stem cell

cultures integrate and mature within adult degenerate retina. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31:

741- 747.

15. Akimoto M, Cheng H, Zhu D, Brzezinski JA, Khanna R, Filippova E, C T Oh E, Jing Y, Linares

JL, Brooks M, Zareparsi S, Mears AJ, Hero A, Glaser T, Swaroop A. Targeting of GFP to

newborn rods by Nrl promoter and temporal expression profiling of flow-sorted
photoreceptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103: 3890-3895.

16. Assawachananont J, Mandai M, Okamoto S, Yamada C, Eiraku M, Yonemura S, Sasai Y,
Takahashi M. Transplantation of embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cell-derived
3D retinal sheets into retinal degenerative mice. Stem Cell Rep. 2014;2: 662–674.

17. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic
data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotech. 2018;36: 411-
420.

18. McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection. J.
Open Source Software. 2018;3: 861.

19. Clark BS, Stein-O'-Brien GL, Shiau F, Cannon GH, Davis-Marcisak E, Sherman T, Santiago
CP, Hoang TV, Rajaii F, James-Esposito RE, Gronostajski RM, Fertig EJ, Goff LA, Blackshaw
S. Comprehensive analysis of retinal development at single cell resolution identifies NFI
factors as essential for mitotic exit and specification of late-born cells. Neuron. 2019;102:
1111-1126.

20. Tiroshi I, Izar B, Prakadan SM, Wadsworth MH, Treacy D, Trombetta JJ, Rotem A, C
Rodman, Lian C, Murphy G, Fallahi-Sichani M,Dutton-Regester K, Lin JR, Cohen O, Shah P,
Lu D, Genshaft A, Hughes TK, Ziegler CGK, Kazer SW, Gaillard A, Kolb KE, Villani AC,
Johannessen CM, Andreev AY, Van Allen EM, Bertagnolli M, Sorger PK, Sullivan RJ,Flaherty
RT,Frederick DT, Jané-Valbuena J, Yoon CH, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Shalek AK, Regev A,
Garraway LA. Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metatastic melanoma by single-
cell RNA-seq. Science. 2016;352:189-196.

21. Trimarchi JM, Cho SH, Cepko CL. Identification of genes expressed preferentially in the
developing peripheral margin of the optic cup. Dev Dyn. 2009;238: 2327.

22. Liu J, Reggiani JDS, Laboulaye ME, Pandey S, Chen B, Rubenstein JLR, Krishnaswamy A,
Sanes JR. Tbr1 instructs laminar pattering of retinal ganglion cell dendrites. Nat Neurosci.
2018;21: 659-670.

23. Belliveau MJ, Cepko CL. Extrinsic and intrinsic factors control the genesis of amacrine cells
and cone cells in the rat retina. Development. 1999; 126: 555-556.

24. Reese B. Development of the retina and optic pathway. Vision Res. 2011;51: 613–632.

25. Kuwahara A, Ozone C, Nakano T, Saito K, Eiraku M, Sasai Y. Generation of a ciliary margin-
like stem cell niche from self-organizing human retinal tissue. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6286.

26. Fabregat A, Jupe S, Matthews L, Sidiropoulos K, Gillespie M, Garapati P, Haw R, Jassal B,
Korninger F, May B, Milacic M, Roca CD, Rothfels K, Sevilla C, Shamovsky V, Shorser S,
Varusai T, Viteri G, Weiser J, Wu G, Stein L, Hermjakob H, D'Eustachio P. The reactome
pathway knowledgebase. Nucl Ac Res. 2018 ;44: D481-487.

27. Jassal B, Matthews L, Viteri G, Gong C, Lorente P, Fabregat A, Sidiropoulos K, Cook J,
Gillespie M, Haw R, Loney F, May B, Milacic M, Rothfels K, Sevilla C, Shamovsky V, Shorser
S, Varusai T, Weiser J, Wu G, Stein L, Hermjakob H, D'Eustachio P. The reactome pathway
knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48: D498-D503.

28. Kanamori M, Konno H, Osato N, Kawai J, Hayashizaki Y, Suzuki H. A genome-wide and
nonredundant mouse transcription factor database. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.
2004;322:787-793.

29. Corces MR, Trevino AE, Hamilton EG, Greenside PG, Sinnott-Armstrong NA, Vesuna S,
Satpathy AT, Rubin AJ, Montine KS, Wu B, Kathiria A, Cho SW, Mumbach MR, Carter AC,
Kasowski M, Orloff LA, Risca VI, Kundaje A, Khavari PA, Montine TJ, Greenleaf WJ & Chang
HY. An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of
frozen tissues. Nat Methods. 2017;14:959-962.

30. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM,
Brown M, Li W & Liu XS. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol.
2008;9:R137.

31. Heinz S, Benner C, span N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass
CK. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory
elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010; 38:576-589.

32. Dixit A, Parnas O, Li B, Fulco CP, Jerby-Arnon L, Marjanovic ND, Dionne D, Burks T,
Raychowdhury R,Adamson B, Norman TM, Lander ES, Weissman JS, Friedman N, Regev A.
Perturb-Seq: dissecting molecular circuits with scalable single-cell RNA profiling of pooled
genetic screens. Cell. 2016;167: 1853-1866.

33. Filtz TM, Vogel WK, Leid M. Regulation of transcription factor activity by interconnected,
post-translational modifications. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2014;35: 76-85.

34. Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, Das PK, Yin Y, Albu M, Chen X, Taipale J, Hughes TR,
Weirauch MT. The human transcription factors. Cell. 2018;172: 650-665.

35. Ernst J, Melnikov A, Zhang X, Wang L, Rogov P, Mikkelsen TS, Kellis M. Genome-scale high-
resolution mapping of activating and repressive nucleotides in regulatory regions. Nat
Biotechnol. 2016;34:1180-1190.

36. Homma K, Okamoto S, Mandai M, Gotoh N, Rajasimha HK, Chang YS, Chen S, Cogliati T,
Swaroop A, Takahashi M. Developing rods transplanted into the degenerating retina of
Crx-knockout mice exhibit neural activity similar to native photoreceptors. Stem Cells.
2013;31:1149–1159.

37. Iwasaki Y, Sugita S, Mandai M, Yonemura S, Onishi A, Ito SI, Mochizuki M, Ohno-Matsui K,
Takahashi M. Differentiation/purification protocol for retinal pigment epithelium from
mouse induced pluripotent stem cells as a research tool. PLoS One. 2016;11: 1–20.

38. Eiraku M, Takata N, Ishibashi H, Kawada M, Sakakura E, Okuda S, Sekiguchi K, Adachi T &
Sasai Y. Self-organizing optic-cup morphogenesis in 3D culture. Nature. 2011;472: 51-56.

39. Osakada F, Ikeda H, Mandai M, Wataya T, Watanabe K, Yoshimura N, Akaike A, Sasai Y &
Takahashi M. Toward the generation of rod and cone photoreceptors from mouse,
monkey and human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2008;26: 215–224.

40. Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, Nemesh J, Shekhar K, Goldman M, Tirosh I, Bialas AR,
Kamitaki N, Martersteck, Trombetta JJ, Weitz DA, Sanes JR, Shalek AK, Regev A, McCarroll
SA. Highly parallel genome wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter
droplets. Cell. 2015;161: 1202:1214.

41. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30: 2114-2120.

42. Langmead B & Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
2012;9: 357-359.

43. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. The Sequence Alignment/Map format
and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 2078-2079.

44. Quinlan AR & Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 841-842.

45. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G & Mesirov JP.

Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29:24-26.

46. Neph S, Kuehn MS, Reynolds AP, Haugen E, Thurman RE, Johnson AK, Rynes E, Maurano

MT, Vierstra J, Thomas S, Sandstrom R, Humbert R, Stamatoyannopoulos JA. BEDOPS: high

performance genomic feature operations. Bioinformatics. 2012;28: 1919-1920.

47. Murtagh F, Legendre P. Ward's hierarchical agglomerative clustering method: whihc

algorithms implement Ward's criterion ? J Classification. 2014;31:274-295.

48. Aldiri I, Ajioka I, Xu B, Zhang J, Chen X, Benavente C, Finkelstein D, Johnson D, Akiyama J,

Pennacchio LA, Dyer MA. Brg1 coordinates multiple processes during retinogenesis and is

a tumor suppressor in retinoblastoma. Development. 2015;142: 4092-4106.

955