Histone modifications during the life cycle of the brown alga *Ectocarpus*

Simon Bourdareau[1], Leila Tirichine[2], Bérangère Lombard[3], Damarys Loew[3], Delphine

Scornet[1], Yue Wu[2], Susana M. Coelho[1,*], J. Mark Cock[1,*]

[1]CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UPMC University Paris 06, Algal Genetics Group, UMR 8227,

Integrative Biology of Marine Models, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, F-29688,

Roscoff, France, [2]Université de Nantes, CNRS, UFIP, UMR 6286, F-44000 Nantes, France,

[3]Institut Curie, PSL Research University, Centre de Recherche, Laboratoire de Spectrométrie

de Masse Protéomique, 26 rue d'Ulm, 75248 Cedex 05 Paris, France.

*Authors for correspondence (cock@sb-roscoff.fr; coelho@sb-roscoff.fr)

Abstract

Background: Brown algae evolved complex multicellularity independently of the animal and

land plant lineages and are the third most developmentally complex phylogenetic group on the

planet. An understanding of developmental processes in this group is expected to provide

important insights into the evolutionary events necessary for the emergence of complex

multicellularity. Here we have focused on mechanisms of epigenetic regulation involving post-

translational modifications (PTMs) of histone proteins.

Results: A total of 47 histone PTMs were identified, including a novel mark H2AZR38me1,

but *Ectocarpus* lacks both H3K27me3 and the major polycomb complexes. ChIP-seq identified

PTMs associated with transcription start sites (TSSs) and gene bodies of active genes, and with

transposons. H3K79me2 exhibited an unusual pattern, often marking large genomic regions

spanning several genes. TSSs of closely spaced divergently transcribed gene pairs shared a

common nucleosome depleted region and exhibited shared histone PTM peaks. Overall,

patterns of histone PTMs were stable through the life cycle. Analysis of histone PTMs at

generation-biased genes identified a correlation between the presence of specific chromatin

marks and the level of gene expression.

Conclusions: The overview of histone PTMs in the brown algae presented here will provide a

foundation for future studies aimed at understanding the role of chromatin modifications in the

regulation of brown algal genomes.

Keywords: brown algae, ChIP-seq, chromatin, *Ectocarpus*, gametophyte, histone modification, life cycle, multicellularity, polycomb complex, sporophyte

Introduction

Very few eukaryotic lineages have independently evolved complex multicellularity and the brown algae are of particular interest as the third most developmentally complex lineage after animals and land plants. The size and complexity attained by some brown algae is illustrated by the giant kelp *Macrocystis,* which can reach up to 50 metres in length.

The deployment of developmental programs and the establishment of different cell fates in complex multicellular organisms implies the acquisition of different epigenetic states in different cell types. A number of mechanisms underlie the establishment and maintenance of epigenetic states. These include, for example, cell signalling and regulation of gene transcription but another important factor is chemical modification of chromatin. In particular, histones have been shown to undergo a broad range of different post-translational modifications (PTMs) involving the addition of various chemical moieties to multiple amino acid residues, particularly within the unstructured amino-terminal "tails" of these proteins [1]. These modifications affect chromatin function either by directly modifying interactions between the different components of the nucleosome or via the action of proteins that bind to specific histone modifications and effect specific tasks. In this manner, histone PTMs act as a "histone code", mediating the establishment and maintenance of different epigenetic states across the genome.

Epigenetic processes not only allow cells that carry the same genomic information to assume different functions in different parts of a multicellular organism but they also allow temporal changes, i.e. establishment of different cellular functions at different stages of the life history. This latter aspect is particularly important in organisms that have complex life cycles. For example, many brown algae have haploid-diploid life cycles involving an alternation between two different organisms, the sporophyte and the gametophyte, often with very distinct bodyplans [2]. These life cycles imply complex regulation of chromatin but, at present, very little is known about the role of histone PTMs, for example, in the regulation of developmental and life cycle processes in the brown algae.

The filamentous alga *Ectocarpus* is being used as a model system to study brown algal developmental biology [3–7]. *Ectocarpus* has a haploid-diploid life cycle involving an alternation between a gametophyte, which is usually haploid, and a sporophyte, which is usually diploid. However, there is clear evidence that the identity of each life cycle generation is not

determined by its ploidy because haploid sporophytes (partheno-sporophytes) can be produced
by parthenogenetic development of haploid gametes [8,9] and diploid gametophytes can be
constructed using mutants that are unable to deploy the sporophyte developmental pathway
[3,7]. These observations indicate that epigenetic processes play an important role during the
Ectocarpus life cycle. Recent work has shown that the deployment of the sporophyte program
requires two different three amino acid loop extension homeodomain transcription factors
(TALE HD TFs), OUROBOROS (ORO) and SAMSARA (SAM) [7]. Remarkably, TALE HD
TFs appear to have been recruited convergently to regulate sporophyte development in both the
brown algal and the land plant lineages [7]. In land plants, the PRC2 polycomb complex has
also been implicated in life cycle regulation [10–17], indicating that chromatin modification
processes play an important role in life cycle regulation in that lineage. Chromatin modification
has been proposed to play a similar role in the brown algae [2] but this hypothesis has not been
investigated experimentally.

In this study, we have carried out a broad census of histone PTMs in *Ectocarpus*
chromatin and have developed a method to evaluate the genome-wide distribution of specific
histone PTMs in this species. Application of this method allowed the identification of histone
PTMs associated with transcriptional start sites (H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and
H3K27ac) and gene bodies (H3K36me3) of actively transcribed genes and a histone PTM
associated with transposons and repetitive sequences (H4K20me3). We also show that
H3K79me2 often marks extensive regions spanning several genes and suggest some possible
functions for this PTM. Overall, genome-wide histone PTM patterns were found to remain
stable following transition between the sporophyte and gametophyte generations of the life
cycle, consistent with the observation that only 4% of genes exhibited a significant level of
generation-biased expression. Analysis of generation-biased genes allowed changes in
chromatin state (combinations of histone PTMs) to be correlated with changes in gene
expression.
93
94 **Results**
95
***Ectocarpus* histones and histone modifier enzymes**
Analysis of the *Ectocarpus* genome sequence [18] identified 34 core histone and nine H1
histone genes (Additional file 5: Table S1). Four of the core histone genes are predicted to
encode variant forms, including probable CenH3, H2A.X and H2A.Z proteins. All nine H1
histone genes appear to encode *bona fide* H1 proteins and are not members of related families

such as the plant GH1-HMGA or GH1-Myb families [19]. All but eight of the histone genes
were located in five gene clusters on chromosomes 4, 7 and 26 and on an unmapped scaffold
(sctg_442). The organisation of the clusters suggests multiple duplication, rearrangement and
fragmentation of an ancestral cluster with the organisation H4, H1, H3, H2B, H2A (Additional
file 2: Figure S1).

A search for genes encoding histone modifying enzymes identified both putative histone
acetyltransferases and methyltransferases, and predicted deacetylase and demethylase enzymes
(Additional file 6: Table S2). Most of the acetyltransferases were sufficiently similar to well-
characterised homologues to allow prediction of their target residues but the methyltransferases
tended to be less conserved at the sequence level and, in many cases, had novel domain
structures. Direct functional information, for example based on mutant analysis, will therefore
be necessary to investigate the specificity of the *Ectocarpus* methyltransferases.

Identification of histone PTMs in *Ectocarpus*
Histone PTMs were detected using mass spectrometry of enzyme-digested histone
preparations. In addition, a broad range of commercially available antibodies were tested
against *Ectocarpus* histone preparations on immunoblots to further confirm the presence of a
subset of the PTMs identified by mass spectrometry. A total of 47 PTMs of core and variant
histones were detected in *Ectocarpus* (Fig. 1a, Additional file 3: Figure S2). Six of these marks
were only detected by immunoblotting and should therefore be treated with caution (marked
with an asterisk in Fig. 1a, Additional file 7: Table S3). Note that two of these PTMs, H3K9me2
and H3K9me3, were also not detectable using mass spectrometry in *Arabidopsis* but have been
detected using immunoblotting [20,21]. Figure S3 (Additional file 4) shows immunoblots that
detected a weak signal for these two PTMs in *Ectocarpus* chromatin.

Most of the histone PTMs detected in *Ectocarpus* have been reported previously in
species from one or more of the land plant, animal or fungal lineages, either at exactly the same
position or at an equivalent position in the corresponding protein (Fig. 1b, Additional file 7:
Table S3). However, a number of marks have only been described so far in stramenopiles. Of
these, some PTMs such as acetylation of lysines nine and 15 of H2A.Z were detected in both
Ectocarpus and the diatom *P. tricornutum* [22], whereas others were detected only in the
diatoms (e.g. acetylation of lysine 59 on H4) or only in *Ectocarpus* (methylation of arginine 38
on H2A.Z; Fig. 1b).

Genome-wide distribution of selected histone PTMs

To investigate the functions of histone PTMs in *Ectocarpus*, we analysed the distribution of eight selected marks across the genome using chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq). The PTMs analysed were H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 (Fig. 2). Five of these PTMs, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac, were preferentially associated with the transcription start sites (TSSs) of genes but tended to be depleted from gene bodies (Fig. 2a,b,c,d). Genome-wide patterns of these five PTMs exhibited a range of levels of positive correlation (Pearson's r 0.13 to 0.86; Fig. 2e), indicating that they tended to be co-localised in the genome. Similar PTM patterns were detected at protein-coding and lncRNA genes (Fig. 2c), suggesting that histone PTMs may be used in a similar manner to regulate these two types of gene. For each of the five TSS-associated PTMs a peak was detected within 500 bp of the TSS for between 77% and 83% of the genes in the genome. For H3K4me2, a pair of adjacent peaks was detected, one on each side of the TSS (Fig. 2b,c). Genome-wide, peaks of H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac marks were associated with between 13, 416 and 14,423 of the 17,406 genes. We noted a strong positive correlation between gene expression level, measured in transcripts per million (TPM) and the strength of mapping of each mark to TSSs (Fig. 3). Taken together, these observations indicate a strong association of H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac with transcriptionally active genes in *Ectocarpus*.

The three remaining PTMs, H3K36me3, H3K79me2 and H4K20me3, were depleted from TSSs (Fig. 2). H3K36me3, which was detected at 12,863 genes (74% of the genome), was most strongly associated with gene bodies and was depleted from both TSSs and transcription end sites (TESs). As observed for the TSS-localised PTMs discussed above, presence of H3K36me3 on gene bodies was positively correlated with expression, indicating that this mark is also associated with gene activation (Fig. 3).

H4K20me3 peaks were detected principally in the non-coding part of the genome (intergenic regions and introns; Fig. 2a) and occurred preferentially in intergenic regions or introns that also contained a transposon (Pearson's χ^2 test with Yates' continuity correction p-value < 2.2e-16 for both introns and intergenic regions). Indeed, almost all (94.6%) of the H4K20me3 peaks co-localised with either a transposon or a region of short repeated sequence. The distribution of H4K20me3 was therefore consistent with it playing a role in the regulation of inserted transposons, possibly in the silencing of these elements. H4K20me3 peaks were associated with all types of transposon, including both class I (RNA) and class II (DNA) transposons (Additional file 5: Table S4). The occurrence of H4K20me3 in introns was not unexpected because introns make up approximately 40% of the *Ectocarpus* genome [23], and

therefore represent a significant proportion of the non-coding fraction of the genome.
Moreover, 44.0% of the transposons (repeated elements ≥400 bp) in the genome are located in
introns.

Genes marked with H4K20me3 tended to have fewer exons, to be longer and to have
lower levels of expression than genes without a H4K20me3 peak (Fig. 4a,b,c). The inverse
relationship between the presence of H4K20me3 and gene expression level (Fig. 3) could be
interpreted as indicating a role in gene regulation but, given the co-localisation of this PTM
with transposon sequences, a more likely explanation is that the observed effect on gene
expression was an indirect effect of silencing of intronic transposon sequences. Interestingly,
genes that were marked with H4K20me3 were significantly less strongly marked with the TSS-
associated PTMs H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac (Fig. 4d). Several
hypotheses could be proposed to explain this observation. If the presence of H4K20me3 within
a gene directly causes a reduction in the abundance of TSS-associated PTMs, this may
constitute part of the mechanism that mediates the repressive effect of H4K20me3 on gene
expression. Alternatively, it is also possible that the reduction in the abundance of TSS-
associated PTMs is an indirect consequence of H4K20me3-induced repression of gene
expression.
186

The genome is partitioned into H3K79me2-marked and H3K79me2-depleted regions
H3K79me2 exhibited an unusual distribution pattern. This PTM was detected throughout the
genome, often in large, discrete, continuously marked regions of several kilobases separated by
H3K79me2-depleted regions (Fig. 5a, Additional file 4: Figure S4). Together the H3K79me2
regions covered 74.5 Mbp, 37.2% of the genome. About a third (36.6%) of the H3K79me2
regions were longer than 5 kbp and many of these regions spanned more than one gene (on
average, H3K79me2 regions of >5 kbp contained 1.85 genes). The borders of the long
H3K79me2 regions tended to be localised near a TSS or a TES. When H3K79me2 regions of
>5 kbp were considered, 49.3% of the borders were located within a kilobase of a TSS or a TES
and a Bonferonni-adjusted Wilcoxon test indicated that the borders were significantly closer to
the nearest TSS or TES than they were to random anchor points in the genome (Additional file
4: Figure S5).

Comparison of ChIP-seq data for the sporophyte and gametophyte generations of the
life cycle indicated that the H3K79me2 regions were stably maintained throughout the life
cycle. Only 0.3% or 1.2% of H3K79me2 regions longer than 5 kbp were detected uniquely in
the sporophyte or the gametophyte generation, respectively.

The H3K79me2 regions were strongly associated with peaks of H4K20me3 (Fig. 5a,b;

Additional file 4: Figure S4). Consistent with the co-localisation of the two PTMs, genes within

H3K79me2 regions were significantly shorter, possessed fewer introns and their transcripts

were less abundant on average than genes that were outside H3K79me2 regions (Fig. 4c-e).

The TSSs of genes within the H3K79me2 regions were also significantly less strongly marked

by H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac (Fig. 4f) and there was a negative

correlation between gene expression level (measured in TPM) and the quantitative presence of

H3K79me2 over genes (Fig. 3). To determine whether the association of H3K79me2 with

reduced levels of gene expression and reduced deposition of TSS-localised PTMs was an

indirect consequence of the co-localisation of this mark with H4K20me3, we analysed loci (Fig.

5b) where the two PTMs occurred independently of each other (Additional file 4: Figure S6).

This analysis indicated that both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 were associated with reduced

levels of gene expression and reduced deposition of TSS-localised PTMs, even when one PTM

was present independently of the other. Moreover, the effects of H3K79me2 and H4K20me3

on gene expression and on the deposition of TSS-localised PTMs were additive when both

PTMs were present at the same locus, i.e. levels of gene expression and TSS-localised PTMs

were even lower when both PTMs were present. Therefore, like H4K20me3, H3K79me2

appears to be associated with the repression of gene expression. However, based on a similar

argument to that proposed for H4K20me3 above, the organisation of deposition of H3K79me2

across blocks of contiguous genes (Fig. 5) does not appear to be consistent with a direct role in

gene regulation and we therefore favour the hypothesis that, as proposed for H4K20me3, the

effect of H3K79me2 on gene regulation is an indirect consequence of the involvement of this

PTM in another, currently unknown, role in chromatin homeostasis.

Chromosome six contains an integrated copy of a large DNA virus (spanning 0.3 Mbp

from approximately 4,244,200 to 4,547,200) that is closely related to the *Ectocarpus* phaeovirus

EsV-1. The inserted virus has been shown to be transcriptionally silent [18,23]. A large

H3K79me2 region of about 0.42 Mbp was detected that spanned the entire inserted viral

genome (Additional file 4: Figure S7). Chromatin within this H3K79me2 region was also

marked with H4K20me3 but the other histone PTMs assayed were depleted from the inserted

viral genome (Additional file 4: Figure S7).

Overlapping TSS regions of divergently transcribed gene pairs

One unusual feature of the *Ectocarpus* genome, compared to genomes of similar size, is that

there is a relatively strong tendency for adjacent genes to be transcribed from opposite strands

of the DNA helix [23]. Consequently, the genome contains many divergently transcribed gene
pairs. To investigate the effect of this pattern of gene organisation on the chromatin
characteristics of TSSs, we searched for pairs of adjacent genes located on the same sequence
scaffold. This search identified 10,399 TSS-adjacent intergenic regions and 61.7% of the genes
flanking these regions were part of a divergently transcribed gene pair. The intergenic regions
of divergently transcribed gene pairs were significantly shorter than those of tandem gene pairs
(median 409 and 2293, respectively, Wilcoxon test, p-value$<2e^{-16}$; Fig. 6a). When the
intergenic regions of divergent gene pairs were shorter than about 600 bp, the two TSS
chromatin regions overlapped and shared the same nucleosome depleted region (NDR) based
on micrococcal nuclease digestion data (Fig. 6a). This overlap correlated with the presence of
double peaks for the PTMs H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac, one on each side of
the TSS (Fig. 6a,b). For H3K4me2, which was detected as a double peak at most individual
TSSs (Fig. 2b), the two peaks were further apart at the overlapping TSSs of divergent gene
pairs than they were at the single intergenic TSSs of tandem gene pairs (Fig. 6a,b). Therefore,
the pattern of PTMs also indicated that the TSSs of proximate divergent genes are located
within a shared chromatin domain. Signals for all five of the above PTMs were significantly
stronger for divergent than for tandem gene pairs (Fig. 6c, measured at the TSS of the second
gene of the gene pair, see schema in Fig. 6a). This difference appeared to be due to the overlap
of the two divergent TSS regions as the signal markedly increased for intergenics of divergent
gene pairs that were shorter than 1 kbp (Fig. 6c). Consistent with this observation, no significant
difference was detected between the median expression levels of divergent and tandem genes
(Fig. 6d). Note that transcript abundances were not correlated for the two genes of a divergent
gene pair (Pearson coefficients were 0.042 for all the divergent pairs and 0.054 for divergent
pairs closer than 600 bp; Additional file 4: Figure S8), despite the presence of a shared
chromatin domain at the TSSs and correlated histone PTM signals immediately downstream of
each TSS when divergent genes were closer than 600 bp (Additional file 4: Figure S8).
263

Histone PTM patterns during the *Ectocarpus* life cycle
To relate patterns of histone modification to changes in gene expression during the *Ectocarpus*
life cycle, ChIP-seq analysis was used to compare the distributions of histone PTMs during the
sporophyte and gametophyte generations. Overall, the distribution of PTMs was stable between
the two life cycle generations. For example, the six PTMs associated with actively expressed
genes, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac, H3K27ac and H3K36me3, were either stably
present or stably absent for between 82.7% and 97.1% of the 17,406 genes genome-wide,

depending on the PTM. This analysis indicated that there were not any major, genome-wide
changes in patterns of histone PTMs associated with alternation between life cycle generations.
To focus more specifically on changes in patterns of histone PTMs associated with life-cycle-
related changes in gene expression, we analysed the presence and absence of marks at genes
that were differentially regulated between the two life cycle generations (Fig. 7a).

A comparison of gene expression patterns in the sporophyte and gametophyte, based on
RNA-seq data generated using the same biological samples as were used for the ChIP-seq
analysis, identified 774 genes that exhibited a generation-biased pattern of expression
(padj<0.05, fold change>2, TPM>1; Fig. 7a, Additional file 5: Table S5). We will refer to these
774 genes as generation-biased genes (GBGs). Analysis of the predicted functions of the GBGs
using a system of manually-assigned functional categories [7], indicated significant enrichment
in several functional categories, in particular in cell wall and extracellular proteins and proteins
of unknown function (χ^2 test padj $3.73e^{-40}$ and $1.56e^{-5}$, respectively; Table S6). Prediction of
subcellular location using Hectar [24], indicated that the GBG protein set was also significantly
enriched in secreted proteins (χ^2 test, $2.20e^{-27}$; Table S7). These observations are consistent with
a recent analysis using an independently identified set of GBGs [7] and suggest possible
important roles for the cell wall and intercellular interactions in the specific functions of the
two generations of the life cycle. Interestingly, this set of differentially expressed genes was
significantly enriched in long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs; Additional file 5: Table S8; χ^2 test,
$1.52e^{-15}$) and 19 of the 72 differentially expressed lncRNAs were adjacent to a differentially
expressed protein-coding GBG (including four lncRNA/protein-coding gene pairs with
overlapping transcripts; Additional file 5: Table S8). In most cases (84%), the lncRNA and its
adjacent protein-coding gene were co-ordinately upregulated during the same generation of the
life cycle (Table S9). These observations suggest a possible role for lncRNAs in the regulation
of adjacent genes in *Ectocarpus*.

To analyse changes in histone PTMs at GBGs between life cycle generations, 16
chromatin states were defined based on different combinations of four TSS-localised PTMs that
exhibited clear patterns of presence and absence: H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac and H3K27ac
(Fig. 7b). In most cases when GBGs changed chromatin state during the transition between the
two generations, increased transcript abundance was correlated with acquisition of additional
activation-associated PTMs (76.1% of the GBGs that changed chromatin state). However, the
majority of GBGs (61.1%) did not change chromatin state between generations, although we
noted that most of these genes (81.4%) were marked with all four activation-associated PTMs
during both generations. Taken together, these observations are consistent with a correlation

between the presence of the four TSS-localised marks and gene activation but indicate that additional mechanisms may be necessary to induce differential gene expression. Therefore, if the histone PTMs have role in regulating transcription, this role would most likely be to facilitate or potentiate transcription rather than directly activating gene transcription. Note, however, that it is also possible that some or all of the observed histone PTM modifications were a consequence, rather than a cause, of transcription.

We detected more sporophyte-biased (503) than gametophyte-biased (271) genes (χ^2 test, $7.49e^{-17}$) and the former were significantly more strongly upregulated than the latter (4.2 and 2.8 mean log2TPM fold changes, respectively; Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test p-value = $4.0e^{-11}$). Overall, sporophyte-biased and gametophyte-biased genes showed similar patterns of transitions to new chromatin states (Spearman's rank correlation rho 0.61, p-value = 0.011). However, the sporophyte-biased genes exhibited a stronger tendency to switch to chromatin state 1 (presence of all four activation-associated PTMs) than the gametophyte-biased genes (74.8% compared with 26.4% of chromatin state transitions, respectively; z score 7.7857, p<0.00001). This observation is consistent with the larger fold changes in transcript abundance (TPM) observed for sporophyte-biased genes as transitions to chromatin state 1 were associated with significantly larger fold changes than transitions to other states (Wilcoxon test, p-value <0.0078 for all GBGs). We also noted that the GBGs that exhibited the highest fold changes also exhibited a stronger tendency to change chromatin state between generations (Fig. 7c). This was true for both sporophyte-biased and gametophyte-biased genes.

Ectocarpus lacks polycomb repressive complexes

Polycomb repressive complexes have been shown to play important roles in the regulation of a broad range of developmental processes in both animals and land plants [25,26] and a key role has been proposed for Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) in the regulation of life cycle transitions in both *Arabidopsis* [10,12–15] and the moss *Physcomitrella patens* [16,17]. However, in contrast to the conservation and functional importance of these complexes in the land plant and animal lineages, a homology search failed to identify the core proteins of PRC2 in the *Ectocarpus* genome, and a similar result was obtained for PRC1 ([2]; Fig. 8, Additional file 5: Table S10). This analysis did identify an orthologue of the WD domain protein RbAp48 but this protein is also known to be a key component of other regulatory complexes in the cell, such as CAF-1, NuRD and NURF [27]. Moreover, analysis of the *Cladosiphon okamuranus* [28], *Nemacystus decipiens* [29] and *Saccharina japonica* [30] genomes indicated that they too lacked all the PRC2 and PRC1 core proteins except RbAp48, which is consistent with absence

of PRC complexes being a general feature of the brown algae (Table. S10). We cannot rule out

the possibility that the brown algae possess highly diverged versions of the PRC2 and PRC1

complexes but this would seem unlikely as the evolutionary time separating brown algae from

plants and animals is similar to that separating the latter two lineages. Moreover, orthologues

of all the PRC2 and PRC1 core components were found in the genome of the diatom

Phaeodactylum tricornutum, which also belongs to the stramenopile lineage ([31]; Additional

file 5: Table. S10). Analysis of the limited number of complete genomes available for the

stramenopiles indicated that PRC1 was lost after divergence from the diatoms and PRC2 at a

later stage after divergence from the Pelagophyceae (Fig. 8b). Absence of PRC2 in *Ectocarpus*

was supported by the fact that the mass spectrometry analysis did not detect any evidence of

tri-methylation of H3K27. Histone immunoblots with an antibody raised against H3K27me3

(Additional file 5: Table S11) weakly detected a protein of the expected size but the signal was

only detected after a lengthy exposure (see legend to Additional file 4: Figure S3) and when

this antibody was employed in a ChIP-seq experiment we did not detect any specific chromatin

immunoprecipitation (data not shown). Similarly, an antibody raised against H2AK119ub did

not detect this histone PTM in *Ectocarpus* chromatin (Additional file 3: Figure S9). Therefore,

taken together, these analyses indicated that *Ectocarpus* lacks the PRC2 and PRC1 polycomb

complexes and, consequently, the histone modifications mediated by these complexes, tri-

methylation of H3K27 and mono-ubiquitination of H2AK119.

Discussion

Histone post-translational modifications in a multicellular brown alga

Brown algae are the third most developmentally complex phylogenetic group on the planet and

include members whose bodyplans rival those of land plants in their cellular and developmental

complexity. However, compared to animals and land plants, many aspects of brown algal

developmental biology are still very poorly understood, including epigenetic regulatory

mechanisms. The objective of this study was to provide a comprehensive overview of histone

PTMs in the model brown alga *Ectocarpus* and to investigate the relationship between patterns

of key PTMs across the genome and the developmental programs that mediate alternation

between the sporophyte and gametophyte generations during this seaweed's life cycle.

Mass spectrometry analysis of *Ectocarpus* histone preparations demonstrated that

brown algal histones are subject to a broad range of PTMs. Most of the modifications detected

had been reported previously for histones of organisms from other eukaryotic supergroups, such

as the land plants within the Archaeplastida or animals and fungi from the Opisthokonta.

However, the analysis also confirmed some stramenopile-specific histone PTMs such as

H2A.ZK9ac and H2A.ZK15ac and detected a previously unreported (and therefore possibly

brown-algal-specific) modification, H2A.ZR38me1. Overall, however, this study confirmed

the conclusion, based on an analysis of diatom histone PTMs [22], that a large number of

histone PTMs detected in other eukaryotic supergroups are conserved in the stramenopiles. This

conclusion is consistent with the observation that histone molecules have been highly conserved

during eukaryotic evolution [32,33] and with evidence that many of the proteins involved in

post-translational modification of histones can be traced back to the last eukaryotic common

ancestor [34]. Indeed, genes encoding histone modifying enzymes with highly conserved

domain structures and domain sequences, such as the histone acetyltransferases, were detected

in the *Ectocarpus* genome. For other families, it was difficult to assign predicted proteins to

specific functions due to sequence divergence. Experimental analysis of protein function will

therefore be necessary to identify the specific writers and readers of many histone PTMs in

brown algae.

The *Ectocarpus* genome does not appear to encode the core components of the

polycomb complexes PRC1 and PRC2. Absence of polycomb complexes in a complex

multicellular organism may seem surprising from the perspective of animal and land plant

model systems but the components of PRC2 are known to exhibit a patchy distribution across

the diverse eukaryotic supergroups [35], indicating that they have been repeatedly lost over

evolutionary time. In this respect, the absence of polycomb complexes in brown algae

represents a striking example of how comparative analysis of groups that have evolved complex

multicellularity independently of plants and animals can be used to test the degree to which

specific genetic systems (in this case polycomb regulation) have been essential for the evolution

of complex multicellularity.

Analysis of ChIP-seq data allowed inferences to be made about the possible functional

roles of eight histone PTMs in brown algae. H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and

H3K27ac were all detected predominantly at TSSs and the degree to which genes were marked

with these PTMs was proportional to their level of expression (transcript abundance),

suggesting a role in promoting or facilitating gene transcription. Note, however, that we cannot

exclude the opposite hypothesis, that deposition of these PTMs is promoted by gene

transcription.

Further evidence for a correlation between gene expression and the presence of the five

TSS PTMs was provided by a comparative analysis of chromatin states at GBGs between life

cycle generations, which indicated a broad correlation between accumulation of H3K4me2,

H3K4me3, H3K9ac and H3K27ac at the TSS and increased expression of GBGs during the life

cycle. However, patterns of histone PTMs at TSSs were not strictly correlated with gene

expression indicating that, if these marks do facilitate transcription, they are not sufficient alone

to induce gene expression. This conclusion was supported by a genome-wide analysis of closely

spaced (<600 bp) pairs of divergently transcribed genes, which showed that the TSS regions of

gene pairs overlapped but the two genes were nonetheless independently regulated. At present,

it is not clear what processes might be necessary, in addition to the deposition of histone PTMs

at the TSS, to induce gene expression in *Ectocarpus*, but these could include, for example, the

presence of specific transcription factors and the transcription machinery or the presence of

additional histone PTMs, including alternative acyl marks such as propionylation, butyrylation

and crotonylation which have been shown to stimulate transcription in cell-free assays [36].

Peaks of H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac are detected near the

TSSs of active genes in both animals and land plants and all of these marks have been associated

with active gene expression in both lineages ([37–48]; with the possible exception of

H3K4me2, which may represent a repressive mark on plants; [48,49]). Overall, therefore, these

five histone PTMs appear to have similar roles associated with gene activation at promoters in

animals, land plants and brown algae, indicating that at least part of the "histone code" may be

universal and therefore have a very deep evolutionary origin.

H3K36me3 marked gene bodies and was absent from TSSs and TESs but its presence

was also positively correlated with the level of gene expression. H3K36me3 also marks the

bodies of active genes in both animals and land plants, although its distribution along the gene

body differs in the two lineages. In *Arabidopsis* and rice a strong peak was observed at the 5'

end of the gene [44,47,50], whereas strong signals have been reported at the 3' ends [51] and

over exons [52] of animal genes. H3K36me3 is catalysed by SETD2, which is associated with

Pol II and is therefore directly linked to transcript elongation [53]. H3K36me3 has also been

shown to be involved in alternative splicing in animals and plants [54,55]. The position of

H3K36me3 within *Ectocarpus* genes and the positive correlation with transcript abundance are

consistent with an evolutionarily conserved role associated with transcript elongation.

H4K20me3, was associated with transposons and repeat sequences, both between and

within genes. The presence of this mark at intronic transposons was inversely correlated with

levels of gene expression but its effect on gene expression may be indirect, for example as a

consequence of silencing intronic transposons. The distribution of H4K20me3 suggests a

similar role to that observed in animals, where H4K20me3 is enriched at transposons and in

heterochromatin [56–58] and where it has been shown to repress transposons [58]. Note that

H4K20me3 appears to have a different role in land plants as it localises to euchromatin and is

associated with transcriptional activation [59].

Heterochromatin is marked by methylation of lysine 9 of histone H3 in animals and land

plants [60]. H3K9me3 is the most abundant heterochromatin marker in animals [61,62]. In

plants, heterochromatin is silenced by a feedback loop involving H3K9me2 and DNA

methylation [63], whereas H3K9me3 is associated with euchromatin and appears to have a

different function [50]. H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 were detected in *Ectocarpus* but appear to be

present at low abundance and ChIP-seq profiling did not provide any exploitable information

about the distribution of these PTMs (unpublished results, data available at NCBI Gene

Expression Omnibus accession GSE146369). More sensitive analysis methods will be

necessary to investigate the roles of H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 in brown algae but it is possible

that other PTMs, such as H4K20me3 and H3K79me3, carry out at least some of the functions

that have been attributed to these PTMs in other lineages.

H3K79me2 exhibited an unusual distribution pattern, marking discrete regions of the

genome that often spanned multiple genes. The function of the H3K79me2 is not clear, although

H3K79me2-marked regions were strongly correlated with the presence of H4K20me3 and

commonly included transposons (88.6% of H3K79me2 regions of >5 kbp included a ≥400 bp

repeated element). As observed for H4K20me3, the presence of H3K79me2 was correlated with

repression of gene expression (lower levels of transcript abundance). Interestingly, genes

marked with both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 were expressed at significantly lower levels than

genes marked with only one of the two PTMs, indicating that the mechanisms linking

H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 with gene repression act independently.

Taken together, the above observations would be consistent with a role for H3K79me2

in the silencing of repeated elements (based on a similar argument to that proposed above for

H4K20me3). If H3K79me2 does have a role in the silencing of transposons, it is not clear why

the H3K79me2 regions often cover much larger regions than the transposon(s) itself. One

possible explanation may be that the regions extend to neighbouring TSSs in order to regulate

transcriptional activity in a genomic region containing a transposon. We also noted that

H3K79me2 tended to mark convergent gene pairs, even in regions that contained little repeated

sequence. A possible additional role of H3K79me2 at these loci may be to regulate transcription

in some manner to limit or respond to the formation of double-stranded RNA due to transcript

overlap. Such double-stranded RNA could be problematic within the cell if it activates small

RNA pathways but note that an earlier analysis did not find any evidence that convergent gene

pairs were a preferential source of sRNAs in *Ectocarpus* [64].

The distribution pattern observed for H3K79me2 in *Ectocarpus* is very different from

the pattern observed in animals, where H3K79me2 marks the 5' part of the gene body as part

of a mutually exclusive pattern with H3K36me3, which marks the 3' part [52,65,66]. The

H3K79me2/H3K36me3 boundary corresponds to the first internal exon [52]. In animals, both

H3K79me2 and H3K36me3 are considered to be associated with active transcription [65–67],

and more specifically transcript elongation, although conflicting evidence has been reported

[51]. In addition, a role has been proposed for H3K79me2 in the regulation of alternative

splicing [68], although again there is evidence to the contrary [52]. In fungi H3K79me2 has

also been found to be associated with euchromatin and to be excluded from heterochromatin

[69]. H3K79 methylation has not been detected in land plants [21]. Based on the different

distribution patterns, H3K79me2 would appear to have different roles in animals and in brown

algae.

We also observed that a region of chromosome six, containing a large, inserted DNA

virus genome, was extensively marked with both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. The viral genes

at this locus have been shown to be transcriptionally silent in a range of tissues and life cycle

stages [18], suggesting possible roles for H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 in defending the alga

against lysogenic viral infections by silencing inserted viral genomes.

Histone PTM patterns during the *Ectocarpus* life cycle

To our knowledge this is the first study to have compared patterns of histone PTMs across the

two generations of a haploid-diploid life cycle (although previous studies have looked at PTMs

associated with the repression of germline genes during the sporophyte generation; [70,71]).

Overall, genome-wide patterns of histone PTM were found to be stable between the *Ectocarpus*

sporophyte and gametophyte generations, with the marks deposited at most genes remaining

unchanged. The *Ectocarpus* sporophyte and gametophyte are very similar morphologically

(near-isomorphy) and it is possible that more marked changes in histone PTM patterns occur

during the life cycles of brown algal species (such as kelps) that exhibit greater differences

between the sporophyte and gametophyte generations. However, note that there does not appear

to be a strong correlation between the degree of morphological heteromorphy between life cycle

generations and the proportion of GBGs in the genome [72]. Analysis of the epigenetic status

of GBGs during the life cycle indicated that the differential expression patterns of these genes

were correlated with modifications of multiple TSS-localised histone PTMs. Again, as stated

above, is not clear at present whether these histone PTM modifications are involved in

facilitating or potentiating gene upregulation or whether, on the contrary, changes in the

transcription status of these genes leads to changes in the pattern of histone PTMs at the TSS.

Conclusion

This study provides a first overview of the nature and functions of histone PTMs in the brown

algae, a group that has evolved complex multicellularity independently of the animal and land

plant lineages. The general, emerging picture is that epigenetic regulatory mechanisms are

broadly conserved with those of other eukaryotic supergroups with some key differences that

will potentially provide important insights into the epigenetic regulation of developmental

processes, including novel histone modifications, unusual patterns of deposition of known

PTMs such as H3K79me2 and the absence of polycomb complexes. The data and resources

generated by this study will provide a foundation for future studies aimed at understanding

epigenetic processes in the brown algae.

Material and Methods

Strains and growth conditions

The *Ectocarpus* sp. strain used in this study was Ec32 [73]. *Ectocarpus* was cultivated as

described previously [74]. Cultures were grown at 13°C with a 12h/12h day/night cycle and 20

μmol photons.m^{-2}.s^{-1} irradiance. Gametophytes were obtained from germinating meio-spores,

the sporophyte generation corresponded to partheno-sporophytes derived from parthenogenetic

gamete development.

Detection of histone PTMs using mass spectrometry

Ectocarpus histone proteins were isolated using the method described by Tirichine *et al*. [75].

Briefly, histones were extracted from isolated nuclei in 1 M CaCl$_2$, 20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4 in

the presence of a cocktail of protease inhibitors. After precipitation of the acid-insoluble

fraction in 0.3 M HCl, the histones were precipitated by dropwise addition of TCA, centrifuged

and the pellet washed with 20% TCA and 0.2% HCl.

Gel purification and digestion of histones and mass spectrometry analysis were carried

out essentially as described by Veluchamy *et al*. [22]. Briefly, histone proteins, excised from a

14% SDS-polyacryamide gel, were digested overnight with endoproteinase (12.5 ng/μl), trypsin

(Promega), chymotrypsin (12.5 ng/µl, Promega), ArgC (12.5 ng/µl, Promega) or elastase (20

ng/µl Sigma-Aldrich). Peptides were analysed using a Q Exactive HF-X (Thermo Scientific) or

a TripleTOF™ 6600 (ABSciex) mass spectrometer coupled to a RSLCnano system (Ultimate

3000, Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Spectra were generated using Xcalibur (version

2.0.7) and analysed with Mascot™ (version 1.4, Thermo Scientific) using an in-house database

consisting of the complete *Ectocarpus* proteome available at Orcae [76]. The mass spectrometry

proteomics data have been deposited in the PRIDE database [77] via ProteomeXchange with

identifier PXD013535. Details of the liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

parameters are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

Detection of histone PTMs using immunoblots

Commercially available antibodies (Additional file 5: Table S11) for a broad range of histone

PTMs were tested against *Ectocarpus* chromatin preparations using immunoblots as described

previously [78]. For antibodies that gave no signal or a weak signal with *Ectocarpus* extracts,

human HeLa cell extracts were used as a positive control. Briefly, HeLa cells were harvested

and centrifuged at room temperature at 1500 rpm for 5 min and then lysed in ice for 20 minutes

using lysis buffer A (5 mM PIPES pH8.0, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% IGEPAL® CA-630). This cell

extract was used for the immunoblot. An anti-histone H4 antibody (Millipore 05-858) was used

as a loading control.

Genome-wide detection of histone PTMs

The ChIP-seq experiments were carried out in two batches, the first for H3K4me3, H3K9ac,

and H3K27ac, the second for H3K4me2, H3K14ac, H3K36me3, H4K20me3, H3K79me2 and

H3K27me3. RNA-seq data (see below) was generated for both batches to ensure that the histone

PTM and gene expression data were fully compatible. For ChIP-seq, *Ectocarpus* tissue was

fixed for five minutes in seawater containing 1% formaldehyde and the formaldehyde

eliminated by rapid filtering followed by incubation in PBS containing 400 mM glycine. Nuclei

were isolated by grinding in liquid nitrogen and in a Tenbroeck Potter in nuclei isolation buffer

(0.1% triton X-100, 125 mM sorbitol, 20 mM potassium citrate, 30 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EDTA,

5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 55 mM HEPES at pH 7.5 with cOmplete ULTRA protease

inhibitors), filtering through Miracloth and then washing the precipitated nuclei in nuclei

isolation buffer with, and then without, triton X-100. Chromatin was fragmented by sonicating

the purified nuclei in nuclei lysis buffer (10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8

with cOmplete ULTRA protease inhibitors) in a Covaris M220 Focused-ultrasonicator (duty

25%, peak power 75, cycles/burst 200, duration 900 sec at 6°C). The chromatin was incubated

with an anti-histone PTM antibody (Additional file 5: Table S11) overnight at 4°C and the

immunoprecipitation carried out using Dynabeads protein A and Dynabeads protein G.

Following immunoprecipitation and washing, a reverse cross-linking step was carried out by

incubating for at least six hours at 65°C in 200 mM NaCl and the samples were then digested

with Proteinase K and RNAse A. Purified DNA was analysed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000

platform with a single-end sequencing primer over 50 cycles. At least 20 million reads were

generated for each immunoprecipitation (Additional file 5: Table S12).

Nuclei were prepared for MNase digestion using the same procedure as that used for

ChIP samples except that the isolation buffer did not contain EDTA. Each sample of nuclei was

digested with 400 agarose gel units of MNase for 10 min at 37°C in MNase reaction buffer (5

mM $CaCl_2$, 60 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 15 mM NaCl, 125 mM sorbitol, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH

7.5). Following lysis of the nuclei, reverse cross-linking and digestion with Proteinase K and

RNAse A, 120-210 bp fragments were excised from a 2% agarose gel and sequenced on a

HiSeq 4000 platform (Additional file 5: Table S12).

The ChIP-seq and MNase digestion datasets have been deposited in the NCBI Gene

Expression Omnibus database, along with the associated RNA-seq data, under the accession

number GSE146369.

Quality control of the sequence data was carried out using FASTQC [79]. Poor quality

sequence was removed and the high quality sequence was trimmed with Flexcat [80]. Illumina

reads were mapped onto the *Ectocarpus* genome ([18]; available at Orcae; [76]) using Bowtie

[81]. Peaks corresponding to regions enriched in PTMs were identified using the MACS2

(version 2.1.1) callpeak module (minimum FDR of 0.01) and refined with the MACS2

bdgpeakcall and bdgbroadcall modules [82]. Additional file 5: Table S13 summarises the

correspondence between PTM peaks and genes across the *Ectocarpus* genome. Co-localised

peaks corresponding to regions enriched in several PTMs were detected using MACS2 outputs

in BedTools multiinter [83]. Overlaps of PTM peaks with other genomic features were analysed

using BedTools intercept [83]. The analysis of association of H3K79me2 domain borders with

TSSs and TESs was carried out in R, using the function gr.rand from the package gUtils to

generate the random anchors. Heatmaps, average tag graphs and coverage tracks were plotted

using   EaSeq   software   [84],   deepTools   [85]   or   pyGenomeTracks

(https://pypi.org/project/pyGenomeTracks/). Circos graphs were generated using Circos

software [86]. These analyses were carried out for two biological replicates for each PTM

during both the sporophyte and gametophyte generations of the life cycle. Pearson correlation

analysis between replicates was performed with deepTools 3.2.0 [85]. Replicate samples were

strongly correlated (Pearson correlations of 0.87 to 0.99; Additional file 4: Figure S10).

Normalisation was carried out using the input chromatin data (Additional file 5: Table S12).

Genome-wide analyses (e.g. Fig. 2) used data from sporophytes unless stated otherwise.

Comparisons of sporophyte and gametophyte transcriptomes using RNA-seq

RNA for transcriptome analysis was extracted from the same duplicate sporophyte and

gametophyte cultures that were analysed for each of the two ChIP-seq experiments using the

Qiagen RNeasy plant mini kit with an on-column Deoxyribonuclease I treatment. RNA quality

and concentration was then analysed on a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer using Qubit RNA BR assay

kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Between 49 and 107 million sequence

reads were generated for each sample on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform with a single-end

sequencing primer over 150 cycles (Additional file 5: Table S12). Quality trimming of raw

reads was carried out with Flexcat [80] and reads of less than 50 nucleotides after trimming

were removed. Tophat2 [87] was used to map reads to the *Ectocarpus* genome [18] and the

mapped sequencing data was processed with featureCounts [88] to obtain counts for sequencing

reads mapped to exons. Expression values were represented as TPM. Differential expression

was detected using the DESeq2 package (Bioconductor; [89]) using an adjusted *p*-value cut-off

of 0.05 and a minimal fold-change of 2. The set of GBGs corresponded to genes that were

significantly differentially regulated between life cycle generation in both of the ChIP-seq

experiments.

Searches for histone and histone modifying enzyme encoding genes in *Ectocarpus*

Histone and histone modifier genes were detected in the *Ectocarpus* genome [18] using Blast

[90] and manually reannotated when necessary, using GenomeView for structural reannotation

[91].

Supplementary information

Additional file 1: Supplementary methods

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Histone gene clusters in the *Ectocarpus* genome.

Additional file 3: Figure S2. Representative MS/MS spectra showing the identification of histone modifications.

Additional file 4: Figure S3. Immunoblots of histone PTMs. **Figure S4.** Genomic distribution of the histone PTMs H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. **Figure S5.** Boundaries of H3K79me2 regions are preferentially located near TSSs and TESs. **Figure S6.** Comparison of genes marked with H3K79me2 alone, H4K20me3 alone or both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. **Figure S7.** H3K79me2, H4K20me3, H3K36me3, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac signals for a region of chromosome 6 spanning an inserted viral genome. **Figure S8.** Correlations of transcript abundances and histone PTM signals for divergently transcribed pairs of genes. **Figure S9.** The histone PTM H2AK119ub1 was not detected in *Ectocarpus*. **Figure S10.** Pearson correlation scores for comparisons of the genomic distributions of ChIP-seq signal peaks for duplicate assays of the eight histone PTMs during both the sporophyte and gametophyte generations.

Additional file 5: Table S1. Histone proteins in *Ectocarpus*. **Table S4.** H4K20me3 peaks associated with different transposon families. **Table S5.** Genes with significantly different transcript abundances during the gametophyte and sporophyte generations. **Table S6**. Identification of enriched functional categories in the proteins encoded by the generation-biased gene set. **Table S7.** The generation-biased gene set is enriched in secreted proteins. **Table S8.** Analysis of long non-coding RNA genes that were differentially expressed during the gametophyte and sporophyte generations. **Table S9**. lncRNA GBGs with an adjacent protein-coding GBG. **Table S10.** Presence and absence of polycomb complex proteins in animals, land plants and stramenopiles. **Table S11**. Anti-histone-PTM antibodies used in this study. **Table S12.** Sequence data generated by this study. **Table S13**. Presence or absence of histone PTMs and RNA-seq TPMs for all *Ectocarpus* genes in the sporophyte and the gametophyte generations.

Additional file 6: Table S2. Putative PTM writers and erasers in *Ectocarpus* sp.

Additional file 7: Table S3. Presence or absence of histone post-translational modifications in seven species from diverse eukaryotic supergroups.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Institut Français de Bioinformatique and the Roscoff Analysis and Bioinformatics

for Marine Science platform ABiMS (http://abims.sb-roscoff.fr) for providing computing and

data storage resources and Houda Benhelli-Mokrani for providing us with HeLa cells.

Funding

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Sorbonne

University (including a PhD grant for SB), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche projects

Epicycle and Idealg (ANR-19-CE20-0028-01 and ANR-10-BTBR-04-01) and the European

Research Council (grant agreement 638240). DL acknowledges support from the Région Ile-

de-France and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.

Availability of data and materials

All sequence data used in this manuscript (Additional file 5: Table S12) have been deposited in

the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under the accession

number GSE146369. The *Ectocarpus* genome assembly is available through Orcae

(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/orcae/overview/EctsiV2). The mass spectrometry

proteomics data is available in the PRIDE database under accession number PXD013535.

Authors' contributions

SB prepared histones for mass spectrometry analysis and developed the ChIP-seq protocol with

help from LT, SB carried out the ChIP-seq analysis, BL and DL carried out the mass

spectrometry analysis of histone PTMs and analysed the data from these experiments, YW, SB

and LT carried out the immunoblots, SB and JMC analysed the ChIP-seq data with input from

LT and SMC, DS carried out additional experimental work, SB and JMC wrote the manuscript

with input from the other authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Lawrence M, Daujat S, Schneider R. Lateral Thinking: How Histone Modifications
Regulate Gene Expression. Trends Genet. 2016;32:42–56.

2. Cock JM, Godfroy O, Macaisne N, Peters AF, Coelho SM. Evolution and regulation of
complex life cycles: a brown algal perspective. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2014;17:1–6.

3. Coelho SM, Godfroy O, Arun A, Le Corguillé G, Peters AF, Cock JM. *OUROBOROS* is a
master regulator of the gametophyte to sporophyte life cycle transition in the brown alga
Ectocarpus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108:11518–23.

4. Cock JM, Coelho SM. Algal models in plant biology. J Exp Bot. 2011;62:2425–30.

5. Macaisne N, Liu F, Scornet D, Peters AF, Lipinska A, Perrineau M-M, et al. The
Ectocarpus IMMEDIATE UPRIGHT gene encodes a member of a novel family of cysteine-
rich proteins with an unusual distribution across the eukaryotes. Development. 2017;144:409–
18.

6. Godfroy O, Uji T, Nagasato C, Lipinska AP, Scornet D, Peters AF, et al.
DISTAG/TBCCd1 Is Required for Basal Cell Fate Determination in *Ectocarpus*. Plant Cell.
2017;29:3102–22.

7. Arun A, Coelho SM, Peters AF, Bourdareau S, Pérès L, Scornet D, et al. Convergent
recruitment of TALE homeodomain life cycle regulators to direct sporophyte development in
land plants and brown algae. eLife. 2019;8:e43101.

8. Müller DG. Generationswechsel, Kernphasenwechsel und Sexualität der Braunalge
Ectocarpus siliculosus im Kulturversuch. Planta. 1967;75:39–54.

9. Bothwell JH, Marie D, Peters AF, Cock JM, Coelho SM. Role of endoreduplication and
apomeiosis during parthenogenetic reproduction in the model brown alga *Ectocarpus*. New
Phytol. 2010;188:111–21.

10. Ohad N, Margossian L, Hsu YC, Williams C, Repetti P, Fischer RL. A mutation that
allows endosperm development without fertilization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U A. 1996;93:5319–
24.

11. Ohad N, Yadegari R, Margossian L, Hannon M, Michaeli D, Harada JJ, et al. Mutations in
FIE, a WD polycomb group gene, allow endosperm development without fertilization. Plant
Cell. 1999;11:407–16.

12. Chaudhury AM, Ming L, Miller C, Craig S, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ. Fertilization-
independent seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U A.
1997;94:4223–8.

13. Köhler C, Hennig L, Bouveret R, Gheyselinck J, Grossniklaus U, Gruissem W.
Arabidopsis MSI1 is a component of the MEA/FIE Polycomb group complex and required for
seed development. EMBO J. 2003;22:4804–14.

14. Guitton AE, Page DR, Chambrier P, Lionnet C, Faure JE, Grossniklaus U, et al.
Identification of new members of Fertilisation Independent Seed Polycomb Group pathway
involved in the control of seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Development.
2004;131:2971–81.

15. Guitton AE, Berger F. Loss of function of *MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA 1*
produces nonviable parthenogenetic embryos in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol. 2005;15:750–4.

16. Mosquna A, Katz A, Decker EL, Rensing SA, Reski R, Ohad N. Regulation of stem cell
maintenance by the Polycomb protein FIE has been conserved during land plant evolution.
Development. 2009;136:2433–44.

17. Okano Y, Aono N, Hiwatashi Y, Murata T, Nishiyama T, Ishikawa T, et al. A polycomb
repressive complex 2 gene regulates apogamy and gives evolutionary insights into early land
plant evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U A. 2009;106:16321–6.

18. Cormier A, Avia K, Sterck L, Derrien T, Wucher V, Andres G, et al. Re-annotation,
improved large-scale assembly and establishment of a catalogue of noncoding loci for the
genome of the model brown alga *Ectocarpus*. New Phytol. 2017;214:219–32.

19. Kotliński M, Knizewski L, Muszewska A, Rutowicz K, Lirski M, Schmidt A, et al.
Phylogeny-Based Systematization of *Arabidopsis* Proteins with Histone H1 Globular
Domain. Plant Physiol. 2017;174:27–34.

20. Johnson L, Mollah S, Garcia BA, Muratore TL, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, et al. Mass
spectrometry analysis of *Arabidopsis* histone H3 reveals distinct combinations of post-
translational modifications. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:6511–8.

21. Zhang K, Sridhar VV, Zhu J, Kapoor A, Zhu J-K. Distinctive core histone post-
translational modification patterns in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS One. 2007;2:e1210.

22. Veluchamy A, Rastogi A, Lin X, Lombard B, Murik O, Thomas Y, et al. An integrative
analysis of post-translational histone modifications in the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum*
tricornutum. Genome Biol. 2015;16:102.

23. Cock JM, Sterck L, Rouzé P, Scornet D, Allen AE, Amoutzias G, et al. The *Ectocarpus*
genome and the independent evolution of multicellularity in brown algae. Nature.
2010;465:617–21.

24. Gschloessl B, Guermeur Y, Cock J.M. HECTAR: a method to predict subcellular
targeting in heterokonts. BMC Bioinf. 2008;9:393.

25. Mozgova I, Hennig L. The polycomb group protein regulatory network. Annu Rev Plant
Biol. 2015;66:269–96.

26. Schuettengruber B, Bourbon H-M, Di Croce L, Cavalli G. Genome Regulation by
Polycomb and Trithorax: 70 Years and Counting. Cell. 2017;171:34–57.

27. Philpott A, Krude T, Laskey RA. Nuclear chaperones. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2000;11:7–
14.

28. Nishitsuji K, Arimoto A, Iwai K, Sudo Y, Hisata K, Fujie M, et al. A draft genome of the
brown alga, *Cladosiphon okamuranus*, S-strain: a platform for future studies of "mozuku"
biology. DNA Res. 2016;23:561–70.

29. Nishitsuji K, Arimoto A, Higa Y, Mekaru M, Kawamitsu M, Satoh N, et al. Draft genome
of the brown alga, *Nemacystus decipiens*, Onna-1 strain: Fusion of genes involved in the
sulfated fucan biosynthesis pathway. Sci Rep. 2019;9:4607.

30. Ye N, Zhang X, Miao M, Fan X, Zheng Y, Xu D, et al. *Saccharina* genomes provide
novel insight into kelp biology. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6986.

31. Zhao X, Rastogi A, Deton Cabanillas AF, Mohamed OA, Cantrel C, Lombard B, et al.
H3K27me3 natural variation selectively marks genes predicted to be important for
differentiation in unicellular algae. bioRxiv. 2019;2019.12.26.888800.

32. Waterborg JH. Evolution of histone H3: emergence of variants and conservation of post-
translational modification sites. Biochem Cell Biol. 2012;90:79–95.

33. Talbert PB, Ahmad K, Almouzni G, Ausió J, Berger F, Bhalla PL, et al. A unified
phylogeny-based nomenclature for histone variants. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2012;5:7.

34. Iyer LM, Anantharaman V, Wolf MY, Aravind L. Comparative genomics of transcription
factors and chromatin proteins in parasitic protists and other eukaryotes. Int J Parasitol.
2008;38:1–31.

35. Shaver S, Casas-Mollano JA, Cerny RL, Cerutti H. Origin of the polycomb repressive
complex 2 and gene silencing by an E(z) homolog in the unicellular alga *Chlamydomonas*.
Epigenetics. 2010;5:301–12.

36. Barnes CE, English DM, Cowley SM. Acetylation & Co: an expanding repertoire of
histone acylations regulates chromatin and transcription. Essays Biochem. 2019;63:97–107.

37. Santos-Rosa H, Schneider R, Bannister AJ, Sherriff J, Bernstein BE, Emre NCT, et al.
Active genes are tri-methylated at K4 of histone H3. Nature. 2002;419:407–11.

38. Guenther MG, Levine SS, Boyer LA, Jaenisch R, Young RA. A chromatin landmark and
transcription initiation at most promoters in human cells. Cell. 2007;130:77–88.

39. Akkers RC, van Heeringen SJ, Jacobi UG, Janssen-Megens EM, Françoijs K-J,
Stunnenberg HG, et al. A hierarchy of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 acquisition in spatial gene
regulation in *Xenopus* embryos. Dev Cell. 2009;17:425–34.

40. Charron J-BF, He H, Elling AA, Deng XW. Dynamic landscapes of four histone
modifications during deetiolation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 2009;21:3732–48.

41. Karlić R, Chung H-R, Lasserre J, Vlahovicek K, Vingron M. Histone modification levels
are predictive for gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:2926–31.

42. Dong X, Greven MC, Kundaje A, Djebali S, Brown JB, Cheng C, et al. Modeling gene
expression using chromatin features in various cellular contexts. Genome Biol. 2012;13:R53.

43. Karmodiya K, Krebs AR, Oulad-Abdelghani M, Kimura H, Tora L. H3K9 and H3K14
acetylation co-occur at many gene regulatory elements, while H3K14ac marks a subset of
inactive inducible promoters in mouse embryonic stem cells. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:424.

44. Yang H, Howard M, Dean C. Antagonistic roles for H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 in the
cold-induced epigenetic switch at *Arabidopsis* FLC. Curr Biol CB. 2014;24:1793–7.

45. Zhang F, Qi B, Wang L, Zhao B, Rode S, Riggan ND, et al. EIN2-dependent regulation of
acetylation of histone H3K14 and non-canonical histone H3K23 in ethylene signalling. Nat
Commun. 2016;7:13018.

46. Jurkowska RZ, Qin S, Kungulovski G, Tempel W, Liu Y, Bashtrykov P, et al. H3K14ac is
linked to methylation of H3K9 by the triple Tudor domain of SETDB1. Nat Commun.
2017;8:2057.

47. Wu Z, Tang J, Zhuo J, Tian Y, Zhao F, Li Z, et al. Chromatin Signature and Transcription
Factor Binding Provide a Predictive Basis for Understanding Plant Gene Expression. Plant
Cell Physiol. 2019;60:1471–86.

48. Liu Y, Liu K, Yin L, Yu Y, Qi J, Shen W-H, et al. H3K4me2 functions as a repressive
epigenetic mark in plants. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2019;12:40.

49. Zhang X, Bernatavichute YV, Cokus S, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE. Genome-wide
analysis of mono-, di- and trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
Genome Biol. 2009;10:R62.

50. Roudier F, Ahmed I, Bérard C, Sarazin A, Mary-Huard T, Cortijo S, et al. Integrative
epigenomic mapping defines four main chromatin states in *Arabidopsis*. EMBO J.
2011;30:1928–38.

51. Barski A, Cuddapah S, Cui K, Roh T-Y, Schones DE, Wang Z, et al. High-resolution
profiling of histone methylations in the human genome. Cell. 2007;129:823–37.

52. Huff JT, Plocik AM, Guthrie C, Yamamoto KR. Reciprocal intronic and exonic histone
modification regions in humans. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17:1495–9.

53. Krogan NJ, Kim M, Tong A, Golshani A, Cagney G, Canadien V, et al. Methylation of
Histone H3 by Set2 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Is Linked to Transcriptional Elongation by
RNA Polymerase II. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23:4207.

54. Luco RF, Pan Q, Tominaga K, Blencowe BJ, Pereira-Smith OM, Misteli T. Regulation of
alternative splicing by histone modifications. Science. 2010;327:996–1000.

55. Pajoro A, Severing E, Angenent GC, Immink RGH. Histone H3 lysine 36 methylation
affects temperature-induced alternative splicing and flowering in plants. Genome Biol.
2017;18:102.

56. Mikkelsen TS, Ku M, Jaffe DB, Issac B, Lieberman E, Giannoukos G, et al. Genome-
wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. Nature.
2007;448:553–60.

57. Balakrishnan L, Milavetz B. Decoding the histone H4 lysine 20 methylation mark. Crit
Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 2010;45:440–52.

58. Xu J, Kidder BL. H4K20me3 co-localizes with activating histone modifications at
transcriptionally dynamic regions in embryonic stem cells. BMC Genomics. 2018;19:514.

59. de la Paz Sanchez M, Gutierrez C. *Arabidopsis* ORC1 is a PHD-containing H3K4me3
effector that regulates transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:2065–70.

60. Allshire RC, Madhani HD. Ten principles of heterochromatin formation and function. Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19:229–44.

61. Peters AHFM, Kubicek S, Mechtler K, O'Sullivan RJ, Derijck AAHA, Perez-Burgos L, et
al. Partitioning and plasticity of repressive histone methylation states in mammalian
chromatin. Mol Cell. 2003;12:1577–89.

62. Rice JC, Briggs SD, Ueberheide B, Barber CM, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, et al. Histone
methyltransferases direct different degrees of methylation to define distinct chromatin
domains. Mol Cell. 2003;12:1591–8.

63. Jiamu Du, Zhong X, Bernatavichute YV, Stroud H, Feng S, Caro E, et al. Dual binding of
chromomethylase domains to H3K9me2-containing nucleosomes directs DNA methylation in
plants. Cell. 2012;151:167–80.

64. Tarver JE, Cormier A, Pinzón N, Taylor RS, Carré W, Strittmatter M, et al. microRNAs
and the evolution of complex multicellularity: identification of a large, diverse complement of
microRNAs in the brown alga *Ectocarpus*. Nucl Acids Res. 2015;43:6384–98.

65. Steger DJ, Lefterova MI, Ying L, Stonestrom AJ, Schupp M, Zhuo D, et al.
DOT1L/KMT4 recruitment and H3K79 methylation are ubiquitously coupled with gene
transcription in mammalian cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28:2825–39.

66. Bu J, Chen A, Yan X, He F, Dong Y, Zhou Y, et al. SETD2-mediated crosstalk between
H3K36me3 and H3K79me2 in MLL-rearranged leukemia. Leukemia. 2018;32:890–9.

67. Schübeler D, MacAlpine DM, Scalzo D, Wirbelauer C, Kooperberg C, van Leeuwen F, et
al. The histone modification pattern of active genes revealed through genome-wide chromatin
analysis of a higher eukaryote. Genes Dev. 2004;18:1263–71.

68. Li T, Liu Q, Garza N, Kornblau S, Jin VX. Integrative analysis reveals functional and
regulatory roles of H3K79me2 in mediating alternative splicing. Genome Med. 2018;10:30.

69. Ng HH, Ciccone DN, Morshead KB, Oettinger MA, Struhl K. Lysine-79 of histone H3 is
hypomethylated at silenced loci in yeast and mammalian cells: A potential mechanism for
position-effect variegation. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2003;100:1820.

70. Hoffmann RD, Palmgren MG. Epigenetic repression of male gametophyte-specific genes
in the *Arabidopsis* sporophyte. Mol Plant. 2013;6:1176–86.

71. Jung CH, O'Brien M, Singh MB, Bhalla PL. Epigenetic landscape of germline specific
genes in the sporophyte cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Front Plant Sci. 2015;6:328.

72. Lipinska AP, Serrano-Serrano ML, Cormier A, Peters AF, Kogame K, Cock JM, et al.
Rapid turnover of life-cycle-related genes in the brown algae. Genome Biol. 2019;20:35.

73. Peters AF, Scornet D, Ratin M, Charrier B, Monnier A, Merrien Y, et al. Life-cycle-
generation-specific developmental processes are modified in the *immediate upright* mutant of
the brown alga *Ectocarpus siliculosus*. Development. 2008;135:1503–12.

74. Coelho SM, Scornet D, Rousvoal S, Peters NT, Dartevelle L, Peters AF, et al. How to
cultivate *Ectocarpus*. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2012;2012:258–61.

75. Tirichine L, Lin X, Thomas Y, Lombard B, Loew D, Bowler C. Histone extraction
protocol from the two model diatoms *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Thalassiosira*
pseudonana. Mar Genomics. 2014;13:21–5.

76. Sterck L, Billiau K, Abeel T, Rouzé P, Van de Peer Y. ORCAE: online resource for
community annotation of eukaryotes. Nat Methods. 2012;9:1041.

77. Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et al.
The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for
quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:D442–50.

78. Lin X, Tirichine L, Bowler C. Protocol: Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
methodology to investigate histone modifications in two model diatom species. Plant
Methods. 2012;8:48.

79. Andrews S. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. 2016.

80. Hansen P, Hecht J, Ibn-Salem J, Menkuec BS, Roskosch S, Truss M, et al. Q-nexus: a
comprehensive and efficient analysis pipeline designed for ChIP-nexus. BMC Genomics.
2016;17:873.

81. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment
of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. 2009;10:R25.

82. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based
analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9:R137.

83. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2010;26:841–2.

84. Lerdrup M, Johansen JV, Agrawal-Singh S, Hansen K. An interactive environment for
agile analysis and visualization of ChIP-sequencing data. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2016;23:349–
57.

85. Ramírez F, Ryan DP, Grüning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, et al. deepTools2: a
next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res.
2016;44:W160-165.

86. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: an
information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res. 2009;19:1639–45.

87. Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate
alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome
Biol. 2013;14:R36.

88. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30:923–30.

89. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:550.

90. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped
BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic
Acids Res. 1997;25:3389–402.

91. Abeel T, Van Parys T, Saeys Y, Galagan J, Van de Peer Y. GenomeView: a next-
generation genome browser. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:e12.

92. Brown JW, Sorhannus U. A molecular genetic timescale for the diversification of
autotrophic stramenopiles (Ochrophyta): substantive underestimation of putative fossil ages.
PLoS One. 2010;5:e12759.

Figures

a

 Fig. 1 a Post-translational modifications of *Ectocarpus* histones. Acetylation, methylation,
 ubiquitylation and propionylation modifications of core and variant histones identified in this
 study. Coloured boxes indicate globular core domains and grey boxes N- and C-terminal tails.

Amino acid positions are indicated below the protein sequence. Asterisks indicate histone

modifications that were only detected using antibodies. All other modifications were detected

by mass spectrometry. **b** Comparison of the histone PTMs detected in *Ectocarpus* with PTMs

reported for diatoms (combined data for *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Thalassiosira*

pseudonana, upper panel) and for humans and the land plant *Arabidopsis* (lower panel). See

Additional file 5: Table S3 for details.

Fig. 2 Distribution of histone PTMs in the *Ectocarpus* genome. **a** Distribution of eight histone PTMs across six genome feature classes. Intergenic, all intergenic regions except the TSS

regions; Upstream TSS 500 bp, 500 bp region 5' to the transcription start site; Exon, all exon
sequence except first exons; Intron, all intron sequence except first introns. The sectors for
intergenic, intron and first intron regions have been separated in two to indicate values for
regions that contain TEs (white stripes) and regions that do not (full colour). **b** Distribution of
the eight histone PTMs across the complete set of *Ectocarpus* genes (17,447) sorted by gene
body size and centred on the gene body. The black brackets correspond to 15 kilobases (kb). **c**
Enrichment profiles of the eight histone PTMs for all protein-coding (top panel) and all lncRNA
(bottom panel) genes. Gene bodies are plotted as proportional lengths, upstream and
downstream intergenic regions in kb. **d** Representative region of the chromosome 4 showing
profiles of mapped ChIP-seq reads for the eight histone PTMs in the sporophyte (SP) and
gametophyte (GA) generations. Light blue boxes represent transposons (TEs). Grey boxes and
arrows represent exons superimposed on genes represented by a black line. **e** Pearson
correlation scores for comparisons of the genomic distributions of ChIP-seq signal peaks for
the eight histone PTMs.
984

987
988

Fig. 3 Enrichment profiles for the histone PTMs H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K79me2 and H4K20me3 across genes expressed at different levels (TPM deciles). Gene bodies are plotted as proportional lengths, upstream and downstream intergenic regions in kilobases. TSS, transcription start site; TES, transcription end site, kb, kilobases.

996
997
Fig. 4 Comparison of genes that contained or did not contain a H4K20me3 peak. **a** Genes with
at least one H4K20me3 peak (yes) have fewer exons than genes without a H4K20me3 peak
(no). **b** Lengths of genes with or without a H4K20me3 peak. **c** Abundances of transcripts for
genes with or without a H4K20me3 peak. **d** Histone PTMs on genes with or without a
H4K20me3 peak. SP, sporophyte; GA, gametophyte. Asterisks indicate significant differences
(Bonferonni-adjusted Wilcoxon tests; ***, p < 0.0001; *, p < 0.05).
1004
1005
1006

1007

1008
1009

Fig. 5 Genomic distribution of the histone PTM H3K79me2. **a** Representative region of 0.4
Mbp from chromosome 4 (spanning the genes with LocusIDs Ec-04_005800 to Ec-04_006210)
showing alternating regions marked or not with H3K79me2 (blue peaks) during both the
sporophyte and gametophyte generations of the life cycle. Blue bars indicate H3K79me2
regions. The distribution of H4K20me3 (purple peaks) is also shown for comparison (peaks
called by MACS2 are indicated by purple bars). Light blue boxes represent transposons (TEs).

Grey boxes and arrows represent exons superimposed on genes represented by a black line.
Vertical dotted lines indicate the positions of TSSs. **b** Genome-wide overlap between peaks of
H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. **c** Genes in H3K79me2 regions have fewer exons. **d** Lengths of
genes within (In) or outside (Out) H3K79me2 regions. **e** Abundances of transcripts for genes
within (In) or outside (Out) H3K79me2 regions. **f** Histone PTMs on genes within (In) or outside
(Out) H3K79me2 regions. A gene was considered to be "In" if more than 50% of the gene body
(TSS-TES) was inside a H3K79me2 region. SP, sporophyte; GA, gametophyte; kb, kilobase
pairs. Asterisks indicate significant differences (Bonferonni-adjusted Wilcoxon tests; ***, $p <$
0.0001; **, $p < 0.001$; *, $p < 0.05$).
1025

1026

1027

Fig. 6 Histone PTM distributions in intergenic regions between divergent and tandemly
organised pairs of genes. **a** Distribution of eight histone PTMs and MNase-sensitive sites in
regions of 10 kb surrounding the TSS of the right-hand gene for pairs of either divergently
(upper panel) or tandemly (lower panel) organised genes. The lengths of the intergenic regions
are plotted on the right in bp. **b** Signal distributions for five TSS-localised histone PTMs and
MNase-sensitive sites in regions of 5 kb surrounding the TSS of the right-hand gene for pairs
of either divergently (upper plots) or tandemly (lower plots) organised genes on chromosome
4. **c** Abundance of five histone PTMs at the TSS of Gene 2 (see schema in A) in the intergenic
regions of divergently or tandemly organised gene pairs together with plots indicating
abundance in relation to the size of the intergenic region. **d** Transcript abundances for Gene 2.
For **c** and **d**, heat score (count) indicates the number of genes with both the same PTM or
transcript abundance and the same size intergenic region. MNase, micrococcal nuclease; kb,
kilobases; bp, base pairs.
1041

1042

Fig. 7 Alterations of histone PTM patterns at generation-biased genes during the two generations of the life cycle. **a** Patterns of histone PTMs over 10 kb regions surrounding the TSSs of sporophyte-biased (upper panel) and gametophyte-biased (lower panel) genes during the sporophyte (left) and gametophyte (middle) generations. The heatmaps on the right show transcript abundance during the two generations and log2 fold-change between generations. **b** Circos plots comparing chromatin states (combinations of histone PTMs) at the transcription start sites (TSS) of sporophyte-biased (left) and gametophyte-biased (left) genes in chromatin from the sporophyte (mauve) and gametophyte (green) generations of the life cycle. Colours correspond to chromatin states one to 16 as indicated in the key. **c** Plots of fold change against transcript abundance (TPM) for sporophyte- and gametophyte-biased genes during the two generations (left panel) along with ranked plots of fold change (right panel). Colour coding corresponds to chromatin states.

1057

1058
1059
Fig. 8 Loss of polycomb complexes from brown algae. **a** Core components of the polycomb
complexes PRC2 (mauve) and PRC1 (blue) in *Homo sapiens, Arabidopsis thaliana,*
Phaeodactylum tricornutum and *Ectocarpus* sp.. Mauve or blue circles indicate the presence of
a PRC2 or PRC1 gene, respectively. RbAp48 is indicated in pink when it probably represents
a component of other cellular complexes (see text for details). Alternative proteins are shown

for each component. Empty, dotted circles indicate components that are absent from
Ectocarpus. All *P. tricornutum* and *Ectocarpus* sp. protein names should be prefixed with
Phatr3 or Ec-, respectively, e.g. Phatr3_J17948, Ec-21_004560. **b** Schematic phylogenetic tree
indicating PRC2 and PRC1 genes present in *Homo sapiens, Arabidopsis thaliana* and four
selected stramenopiles. Red bars indicate predicted approximate timepoints for loss of the
PRC1 and PRC2 complexes during stramenopile evolution. Approximate divergence times
(±100 My) are based on Brown and Sorhannus [92].

1072
1073

1074
1075 **Supplementary figures**
1076
1077

1078
1079
1080 **Fig. S1** Histone gene clusters in the *Ectocarpus* genome. Only regions with two or more histone
1081 genes are shown. Coding regions from the start to the stop codon are shown as boxes. Histone
1082 genes are colour coded, flanking, non-histone genes are in grey. Genes above the line are
1083 transcribed to the right, genes below the line to the left. Dotted lines indicate chromosomal or
1084 scaffold coordinates.
1085

1086
1087
1088   (see additional file 3 for figure)
1089
1090
Fig. S2 Representative MS/MS spectra showing the identification of histone modifications.
Trypsin-peptide-derived LC-ESI MS/MS fragmentation spectra for modified H2, H3 and H4
peptides. The inset shows the peptide sequence and the observed ions. Tandem mass spectra
are labelled to show singly and/or doubly charged b and y ions, as well as ions corresponding
to neutral loss of water (°) or NH_3 (*). M is the parent ion mass and Im the immonium ion mass.
Me, methylation; DiMe, dimethylation: TriMe, trimethylation, Prop, propionylation; Ac,
Acetylation, Ub, ubiquitination; N-ter, N-terminal.
1098

Fig. S3 Immunoblots of histone PTMs. Detection of histone PTMs H3K4me2 (4me2), H3K4me3 (4me3), H3K27me1 (27me1), H3K27me2 (27me2), H3K27me3 (27me3), H3K9me1 (9me1), H3K9me2 (9me2) and H3K9me3 (9me3) in either 30 µg or 40 µg of *Ectocarpus* chromatin extract (Ecto) or in 25 µg of human HeLa cell chromatin extract (HeLa) as a positive control. Histone H4 (H4) was detected as a loading control. Note that a weak, but probably non-specific, signal was obtained for H3K27me3 in *Ectocarpus* extracts following long exposure times (10 min rather than the standard 50 sec).

1112

1113
1114
Fig. S4 Genomic distribution of the histone PTMs H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. Representative
regions of chromosomes 7 and 13 (spanning the genes with LocusIDs Ec -07_003700 to Ec-
07_004180 and Ec-13_000140 to Ec-13_000350, respectively) showing alternating regions
marked or not with H3K79me2 (blue peaks) during both the sporophyte and gametophyte
generations of the life cycle. Dark blue bars indicate H3K79me2 regions. The distribution of
H4K20me3 (purple peaks) is also shown for comparison (peaks called by MACS2 are indicated
by purple bars). Light blue boxes represent transposons (TEs). Grey boxes and arrows represent
exons superimposed on genes represented by a black line. Vertical dotted lines indicate the
positions of TSSs.

1124

1125
1126
1127 **Fig. S5** Boundaries of H3K79me2 regions are preferentially located near TSSs and TESs. The
1128 asterisks indicate a significant difference (Bonferonni-adjusted Wilcoxon test; ***, p < 0.0001).
1129

1130
1131

1132
1133
Fig. S6 Comparison of genes marked with H3K79me2 alone, H4K20me3 alone or both
H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. **a** Abundances of transcripts for genes marked with H3K79me2
alone, H4K20me3 alone or both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. **b** Histone PTMs on genes marked
with H3K79me2 alone, H4K20me3 alone or both H3K79me2 and H4K20me3. SP, sporophyte;
GA, gametophyte. Asterisks indicate significant differences (Bonferonni-adjusted Wilcoxon
tests; ***, p < 0.0001; **, p < 0.001; *, p < 0.05).
1140

Fig. S7 H3K79me2, H4K20me3, H3K36me3, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac and H3K27ac signals for a region of chromosome 6 spanning an inserted viral genome (orange box). Coloured boxes indicate histone PTM peaks, grey boxes and arrows represent exons superimposed on genes represented by a black line.

1152
1153

1154
1155
Fig. S8 Correlations of transcript abundances and histone PTM signals for divergently
transcribed pairs of genes. **a** Pairwise comparisons of transcript abundances (TPM) and signal
for the five TSS-localised histone PTMs for pairs of divergently transcribed genes (gene 1 and
gene 2). **b** Pearson correlation scores for comparisons of transcript abundances (TPM) and
signal for the five TSS-localised histone PTMs for pairs of divergently transcribed genes.
Histone PTM signals were measured for the regions 500 bp downstream of each TSS. The
schema in Fig. 5a indicates the relative organisation of gene 1 and gene 2.
1163
1164

1165

chromatin extract (*µg*)   80   30   30
anti-H2AK119ub1   +   +   +
anti-histone H4   +   +   +

1166
1167
1168   **Fig. S9** The histone PTM H2AK119ub1 was not detected in *Ectocarpus*. H2AK119ub1
1169   (K119ub1) was detected in a human HeLa cell chromatin extract (HeLa) as a positive control.
1170   Histone H4 (H4) was detected as a loading control. The *Ectocarpus* chromatin extracts (Ecto)
1171   were the same as those used for the immunoblots shown in Figure S3.
1172

1173
1174

1175
1176
1177 **Fig. S10** Pearson correlation scores for comparisons of the genomic distributions of ChIP-seq
1178 signal peaks for duplicate assays of the eight histone PTMs during both the sporophyte and
1179 gametophyte generations.
1180