Development of Reverse Transcription Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (RT-LAMP) Assays Targeting SARS-CoV-2

Gun-Soo Park[1, 2]*, Keunbon Ku[1], Seung-Hwa Baek[1, 3], Seong Jun Kim[1], Seung Il Kim[1, 4], Bum-Tae Kim[1], Jin-Soo Maeng[1, 2]*

[1] *Center for Convergent Research of Emerging Virus Infection, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejeon 34114, Republic of Korea*

[2] *Research Group of Food Processing, Korea Food Research Institute, Wanju-gun, Jeollabuk-do 55365, Republic of Korea*

[3] *Department of Predictive Toxicology, Korea Institute of Toxicology, Daejeon 34114, Republic of Korea*

[4] *Research Center for Bioconvergence Analysis, Korea Basic Science Institute, Cheongju 28119, Republic of Korea*

* Corresponding authors: Gun-Soo Park (pcdhmk@krict.re.kr), and Jin-Soo Maeng (maengjs@krict.re.kr)

Abstract

Epidemics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) now have more than 100,000 confirmed cases worldwide. Diagnosis of COVID-19 is currently performed by RT-qPCR methods, but the capacity of RT-qPCR methods is limited by its requirement of high-level facilities and instruments. Here, we developed and evaluated RT-LAMP assays to detect genomic RNA of SARS-CoV-2, the causative virus of COVID-19. RT-LAMP assays in this study can detect as low as 100 copies of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Cross-reactivity of RT-LAMP assays to other human Coronaviruses was not observed. We also adapted a colorimetric detection method for our RT-LAMP assay so that the tests potentially performed in higher throughput.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, LAMP, RT-LAMP

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 is the causative viral pathogen of COVID-19 of which the outbreaks resulted in 106,893 confirmed cases involving 3,639 deaths over 103 countries, areas or territories as of 07 March 2020.[1] As the name suggests, SARS-CoV-2 is closely related to a group of severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronaviruses (SARSr-CoV), namely subgenus Sarbecovirus, showing 96% identity to a bat coronavirus [2, 3].

Diagnosis of COVID-19 can be done through CT scan of suspicious patients and confirmatory lab test is performed using RT-qPCR methods [4-8]. Although RT-qPCR methods are used as the gold-standard for detection of pathogens due to its high sensitivity and specificity, it still have some caveats. Briefly, lab-level facility such as reliable supply of electricity, expensive instruments and trained personnel are required to properly perform RT-qPCR tests. These restrictions hinder to utilize RT-qPCR methods for various point-of-care where pathogen detection might be required [9].

To overcome such cost-restriction of RT-qPCR and still detect pathogens' nucleic acids, isothermal amplification methods have been developed [10]. Among such methods, Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method has some advantages to be applied for point-of-care test (POCT) [11]. Well optimized LAMP assay shows sensitivity comparable to that of PCR, less than 10 copies per reaction [12]. Intercalating fluorescent dyes are compatible with LAMP reaction so that we can observe amplification in real-time [13]. Because amplification efficiency of LAMP reaction is very high, changes in reaction mixture components made it possible to detect the result with colorimetric detection methods [14-16]. Moreover, unpurified sample can be directly used for LAMP [17-19]. This indicates that high-throughput test is possible when use of unpurified specimen is combined with non-instrumental (*e.g.* colorimetric) detection.

In this study, we designed and evaluated one-step reverse transcription LAMP (RT-LAMP) methods to detect SARS-CoV-2. We provide a pair of LAMP primer sets that is specific to SARS-CoV-2 and accompanying optimized reaction conditions. To achieve colorimetric detection of LAMP reaction, leuco crystal violet (LCV) method is applied [15].

Materials and Methods

Viral RNA preparation

SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was prepared as previously described [20]. hCoV-229E and hCoV-OC43 viral RNA were isolated from culture media of infected MRC-5 cells (ATCC® CCL-171™). MERS-CoV RNA was isolated from cell pellet lysate of infected Vero cells (ATCC® CCL-81™).

Viral RNA titration

In vitro transcribed standard RNA for SARS-CoV-2 was prepared as previously described [20]. To prepare standard RNA for hCoV-229E, first amplicon of PCR (Forward primer: 5' – GCTAGTGGATGATCATGCTTTG – 3', Reverse primer: 5' – TGGGGCCATAAACTGTTCTATTAC – 3') was cloned to pBluescript II KS (+) plasmid with *BamH*I and *Xho*I. Then, *in vitro* transcription template was prepared by restriction enzyme cut with *Bgl*I and *Xho*I and purification after agarose gel electrophoresis. For hCoV-OC43 and MERS-CoV, amplicons of PCR (OC43 forward primer: 5' – AGCAACCAGGCTGATGTCAATACC – 3', OC43 reverse primer: 5' – AGCAGACCTTCCTGAGCCTTCAAT – 3', MERS-CoV: UpE region [21]) were synthesized and cloned to pBIC-A plasmid (Bioneer). *In vitro* transcription template for hCoV-OC43 and MERS-CoV were prepared by restriction enzyme cut with *BamH*I-*Xho*I or *Ssp*I-*Xho*I, respectively. *In vitro* transcriptions were done with EZ™ T7 High Yield In Vitro Transcription kit (Enzynomics) as manufacturer's instructions. RNA products were then purified using Agencourt RNAClean XP (Beckman Coulter). Standard RNA copy numbers were calculated from concentration measured by NanoDrop Lite (Thermo Scientific). All restriction enzymes were purchased from Enzynomics.

To evaluate genomic copy number of viral RNAs, dilutions of standard RNAs and viral RNAs in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA) are subjected to one-step RT-qPCR. RT-qPCR reactions were carried out using LightCycler 96 instrument and following reagents: Luna Universal One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs, NEB) for hCoV-229E and hCoV-OC43, THUNDERBIRD

Probe qPCR Master Mix (Toyobo) for MERS-CoV and Luna Universal Probe One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (NEB) for SARS-CoV-2.

Reverse transcription

cDNA of SARS-CoV-2 was made using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions with modifications. Briefly, 10 pmol of random hexamer was used as reverse transcription primer and reaction was performed as follow: 20 minutes at 25℃, 30 minutes at 55℃ and 10 minutes at 80℃.

LAMP and RT-LAMP reaction

LAMP reaction was performed with reaction mixture containing following components: 1.6 μM FIP/BIP primers, 0.2 μM F3/B3 primers, 0.4 μM LF/LB primers, 1x Isothermal Amplification Buffer II (NEB, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 10 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.1% Tween® 20), 6 mM $MgSO_4$ (NEB, final 8mM Mg^{2+}), 1.4 mM each dNTP (Enzynomics), 0.4 μM SYTO-9 (Invitrogen) and 6 U Bst3.0 DNA polymerase (NEB) in total 15 μl reaction volume. For RT-LAMP, 10 U of SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) was added. For end-point colorimetric detection of LAMP reaction, tweaked version of 5x stock LCV solution[15] containing 0.5 mM Crystal Violet (Sigma, C0775), 60 mM Sodium Sulfite (Sigma, S0505) and 5 mM β-Cyclodextrin (Sigma, C4767) was directly added to LAMP reaction mixture to 1x concentration. When using WarmStart Colorimetric LAMP 2X Master Mix (NEB), same concentrations of primers and 0.4 μM SYTO-9 were added. Isothermal incubation and fluorescence signal measurement was performed using LightCycler 96 instrument (Roche) at 69℃ with additional heat inactivation (5 minutes at 95℃) and melting curve analysis steps. Fluorescence signals were measured for every minute during 60 (screening and optimization) or 30 (Limit of Detection and cross-reactivity) minutes of incubation. Any changed conditions are specified for each experiment.

Results

LAMP Primer Design

Five SARS-CoV-2 sequences (MN908947, MN938384, MN988713, MN985325, and MN975262) and seven SARS-CoV sequences (NC_004718, AY613947, AY313906, AY559094, AY502924, AY278491, and AY502927) were aligned by MEGA7 software.[22] SARS-CoV-2 specific regions for LAMP primer design are manually selected: two regions from *Nsp3* (3055 – 3591, 6172 – 7273), two regions from *Spike* (S) (21540 – 22549, 22890 – 23779), and one region from *Orf8* (27824 – 28396). Whole *Nucleocapsid* (N) gene region is also included as *N* is usual target of molecular diagnosis due to abundance of its mRNA.[23] Two to five basic LAMP primer sets are designed and selected with PrimerExplorer V5 (http://primerexplorer.jp/lampv5e/index.html) for each target region. Loop primers are designed by PrimerExplorer V5 or manually selected. 16 LAMP primer sets with proper both of Loop Forward (LF) primer and Loop Backward (LB) primer are selected and subjected to further screening.

LAMP Primer Screening

First round of screening was done using WarmStart Colorimetric LAMP 2X Master Mix with cDNA of which corresponding RNA concentration is 8.3×10^4 copies/reaction. Nine out of 16 primer sets were selected by threshold time from the results of 40 minutes incubation at 65℃ and subjected to further screening.

Second round of screening was done using *Bst*3.0. The same amount of cDNA as the first round of screening is used. Two primer sets that showed relatively early non-specific amplification are discarded and remaining seven primer sets are subjected to further screening.

Third and fourth rounds of screening were done by checking sensitivity to dilutions of cDNA and RNA, respectively. Five out of seven primer sets showed specific amplification for at least one replicate of

duplicate with cDNA concentration corresponding to 1.7×10^1 copies of input RNA (Supplementary

Figure 1). Next, we evaluated sensitivity of the five primer sets to RNA dilutions in RT-LAMP using

*Bst*3.0 and SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase. Two primer sets, both targeting *Nsp3*, showed best

sensitivity that showed specific amplification at 10^{-6} dilution of RNA (Figure 1). We also added two

primer sets, one targeting *S* and the other targeting *N*, for reaction optimization experiments as they

showed fast threshold time for cDNA and to keep ranges of target genes. Primer sequences are

represented in Table 1.

LAMP Reaction Optimization

   To optimize RT-LAMP reaction with *Bst*3.0, we first evaluated optimal concentration of dNTP

and Mg^{2+} for each primer set. Three dNTP- Mg^{2+} concentration combinations are tested at 69℃: dNTP

1.4 mM and Mg^{2+} 8 mM, dNTP 1.4 mM and Mg^{2+} 6 mM, dNTP 1 mM and Mg^{2+} 6 mM. The copy number

of RNA template was 1,000 copies per reaction. Best concentration combination was selected for each

primer set by whether both of duplicate show specific amplification and by threshold time. Average

threshold time (Tt_av) and corresponding dNTP and Mg^{2+} concentrations are as follows: dNTP 1 mM

and Mg^{2+} 6 mM for "Nsp3_1-61" (Tt_av = 11.93), dNTP 1.4 mM and Mg^{2+} 8 mM for "Nsp3_2-24" (Tt_av

= 7.74), dNTP 1.4mM and Mg^{2+} 6mM for "S_1-2-2" and "N_21" (Tt_av = 11.08 and 5.50, respectively).

   Next, we checked if amplification is improved at lower temperature (65℃) because optimal

reaction temperature of SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase is 50-55℃ and manufacturer

recommend 65-72℃ for optimal performance of *Bst*3.0. Notably, "Nsp3_1-61" and "S_1-2-2" primer

sets show improved threshold time (Tt_av = 8.92 and 8.49, respectively).

Assessing Limit of Detection and Cross-Reactivity

The Limit of Detection (LoD) of optimized RT-LAMP assays were assessed through 5 to 1000 RNA copies/reaction in triplicate. Among four primer sets subjected to reaction optimization, "S_1-2-2" and "N_21" sets showed relatively poor sensitivity. For "Nsp3_1-61" and "Nsp3_2-24" primer sets, we additionally evaluated LoD with 2-fold SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (20U/reaction). LoD and T_{t_av} were improved by increasing reverse transcriptase amount. (Table 2, Supplementary Figure 2) As a result, both "Nsp3_1-61" and "Nsp3_2-24" RT-LAMP assays could detect as low RNA concentration as 100 copies per reaction.

The cross-reactivity of two SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP assays targeting *Nsp3* to other human Coronaviruses was not found for hCoV-229E, hCoV-OC43 and MERS-CoV (Figure 2).

Discussions and Conclusion

In this study, we designed and screened LAMP primer sets targeting SARS-CoV-2. Reaction optimization was also performed for selected primer sets. In summary, we designed and evaluated a pair of RT-LAMP assays for detection of SARS-CoV-2 with limit of detection of 100 copies per reaction. Our RT-LAMP assays showed specificity to SARS-CoV-2 versus alphacoronavirus (hCoV-229E), betacoronavirus (hCoV-OC43) and MERS-CoV. Although we could not test specificity to SARS-CoV because proper sample was not in our hand, specificity of the RT-LAMP assays are easily expectable from the mismatching bases in primer binding sites (Figure 1). Especially, both of F1/B1 sites of "Nsp3_1-61" primer set is in SARS-CoV-2 specific region of which aligning SARS-CoV sequence is not exist.

About the sensitivity of LAMP assays, note that average threshold time is not well correlated with limit of detection. In fact, average threshold time of "S_1-2-2" and "N_21" primer sets for 1000 copies were faster than that of "Nsp3_1-61": 7.33 (RPR: 2/3) and 5.06 (RPR: 1/3) minutes, respectively. This dis-relation is previously reported [24].One peculiar observation is that both "S_1-2-2" and "N_21" showed good sensitivity to cDNA template (Supplementary Figure 1) unlike to RNA. Observed difference of sensitivity to RNA and cDNA seems significant even we account slight amplification that

might happened during reverse transcription. The reason may include stochastic nature of forming proper LAMP amplification intermediate - "dumbbell" structure [24], higher stability of DNA:RNA double strand than DNA:DNA double strand and more.

The limit of detection of RT-LAMP assays suggested in this study, 100 copies per reaction, may not enough for sensitive screening of suspicious patients. This relatively high limit of detection would be from the target sequences used for primer design that are selected by specificity versus SARS-CoV. Indeed, target GC percentage and Tm of primers had to be adjusted to get enough number of starting sets for primer screening during LAMP primer design to give less-optimal primer sets. Therefore, there might be better target sequences for LAMP assay of SARS-CoV-2 in the view point of sensitivity. However, expected high specificity of RT-LAMP assays suggested in this study would be a good feature for a confirmatory test. In addition, considering high viral load of SARS-CoV-2 at early stage after symptom onset [25], suggested RT-LAMP assays may still be usable for screening tests.

In conclusion, we developed highly specific RT-LAMP assays for detection of SARS-CoV-2. The results of these RT-LAMP assays can be detected within 30 minutes after amplification reaction begin. In addition, we provided optimized reaction conditions to which LCV colorimetric detection method is applied that can be used for point-of-care tests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Research Council of Science and Technology (NST) grant by the Ministry of Science and ICT (Grant No. CRC-16-01-KRICT).

References

1. WHO. *Coronavirus Disease 2019*. 2020; Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019.

2. Zhou, P., et al., *A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.* Nature, 2020.

3. Wu, F., et al., *A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China.* Nature, 2020.

4. Zhu, N., et al., *A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019.* N Engl J Med, 2020.

5. Huang, C., et al., *Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China.* Lancet, 2020. **395**(10223): p. 497-506.

6. WHO. *Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) technical guidance: Laboratory testing for 2019-nCoV in humans*. 2020; Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/laboratory-guidance.

7. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR.* Euro Surveill, 2020. **25**(3).

8. Chu, D.K.W., et al., *Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia.* Clin Chem, 2020.

9. Nayak, S., et al., *Point-of-Care Diagnostics: Recent Developments in a Connected Age.* Anal Chem, 2017. **89**(1): p. 102-123.

10. Niemz, A., T.M. Ferguson, and D.S. Boyle, *Point-of-care nucleic acid testing for infectious diseases.* Trends Biotechnol, 2011. **29**(5): p. 240-50.

11. Notomi, T., et al., *Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2000. **28**(12): p. E63.

12. Shirato, K., et al., *Detection of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus using reverse*

transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP). Virol J, 2014. **11**: p. 139.

13. Oscorbin, I.P., et al., *Comparison of fluorescent intercalating dyes for quantitative loop-mediated isothermal amplification (qLAMP).* Biotechniques, 2016. **61**(1): p. 20-5.

14. Goto, M., et al., *Colorimetric detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by using hydroxy naphthol blue.* Biotechniques, 2009. **46**(3): p. 167-72.

15. Miyamoto, S., et al., *Method for colorimetric detection of double-stranded nucleic acid using leuco triphenylmethane dyes.* Anal Biochem, 2015. **473**: p. 28-33.

16. Tanner, N.A., Y. Zhang, and T.C. Evans, Jr., *Visual detection of isothermal nucleic acid amplification using pH-sensitive dyes.* Biotechniques, 2015. **58**(2): p. 59-68.

17. Nie, K., et al., *Evaluation of a direct reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification method without RNA extraction for the detection of human enterovirus 71 subgenotype C4 in nasopharyngeal swab specimens.* PLoS One, 2012. **7**(12): p. e52486.

18. Nyan, D.C., et al., *Rapid detection of hepatitis B virus in blood plasma by a specific and sensitive loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay.* Clin Infect Dis, 2014. **59**(1): p. 16-23.

19. Lee, D., et al., *Simple and Highly Sensitive Molecular Diagnosis of Zika Virus by Lateral Flow Assays.* Anal Chem, 2016. **88**(24): p. 12272-12278.

20. Jung, Y.J., et al., *Comparative analysis of primer-probe sets for the laboratory confirmation of SARS-CoV-2.* BioRxiv, 2020.

21. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of a novel human coronavirus by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction.* Euro Surveill, 2012. **17**(39).

22. Kumar, S., G. Stecher, and K. Tamura, *MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets.* Mol Biol Evol, 2016. **33**(7): p. 1870-4.

23. Hiscox, J.A., D. Cavanagh, and P. Britton, *Quantification of individual subgenomic mRNA species during replication of the coronavirus transmissible gastroenteritis virus.* Virus Res, 1995. **36**(2-3): p. 119-30.

24. Khorosheva, E.M., et al., *Lack of correlation between reaction speed and analytical sensitivity in*

isothermal amplification reveals the value of digital methods for optimization: validation using digital real-time RT-LAMP. Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(2): p. e10.

25.   Zou, L., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients.* N Engl J Med, 2020.

Table 1. LAMP primers of which assays optimized and LoD evaluated

Set Name	Primer	Sequence (5' - 3')
Nsp3_1-61	F3	GGAATTTGGTGCCACTTC
	B3	CTATTCACTTCAATAGTCTGAACA
	FIP	CTTGTTGACCAACAGTTTGTTGACTTCAACCTGAAGAAGAGCAA
	BIP	CGGCAGTGAGGACAATCAGACACTGGTGTAAGTTCCATCTC
	LF	ATCATCATCTAACCAATCTTCTTC
	LB	TCAAACAATTGTTGAGGTTCAACC
Nsp3_2-24	F3	TGCAACTAATAAAGCCACG
	B3	CGTCTTTCTGTATGGTAGGATT
	FIP	TCTGACTTCAGTACATCAAACGAATAAATACCTGGTGTATACGTTGTC
	BIP	GACGCGCAGGGAATGGATAATTCCACTACTTCTTCAGAGACT
	LF	TGTTTCAACTGGTTTTGTGCTCCA
	LB	TCTTGCCTGCGAAGATCTAAAAC
S_1-2-2	F3	CTGACAAAGTTTTCAGATCCTCAG
	B3	AGTACCAAAAATCCAGCCTCTT
	FIP	TCCCAGAGACATGTATAGCATGGAATCAACTCAGGACTTGTTCTTACC
	BIP	TGGTACTAAGAGGTTTGATAACCCTGTTAGACTTCTCAGTGGAAGCA
	LF	CCAAGTAACATTGGAAAAGAAA
	LB	GTCCTACCATTTAATGATGGTGTTT
N_21	F3	GCCAAAAGGCTTCTACGCA
	B3	TTGCTCTCAAGCTGGTTCAA
	FIP	TCCCCTACTGCTGCCTGGAGGCAGTCAAGCCTCTTCTCG
	BIP	TCTCCTGCTAGAATGGCTGGCATCTGTCAAGCAGCAGCAAAG
	LF	TGTTGCGACTACGTGATGAGGA
	LB	ATGGCGGTGATGCTGCTCT

Table 2. LoD of RT-LAMP assays targeting SARS-CoV-2

RNA copies	SSIV, 10U/rxn				SSIV, 20U/rxn			
	Nsp3_1-61		Nsp3_2-24		Nsp3_1-61		Nsp3_2-24	
	Tt_av	RPR*	Tt_av	RPR*	Tt_av	RPR*	Tt_av	RPR*
1000	13.11	3/3	9.31	3/3	10.7	3/3	7.53	3/3
500	13.47	3/3	8.16	2/3	10.63	3/3	7.59	3/3
200	13.65	2/3	11.19	2/3	11.34	2/3	7.78	3/3
100	14.62	3/3	-	-	12.26	2/3	8.47	2/3
50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	13.88	1/3	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* RPR : Ratio of Positive Replicates

Figure Legends

Figure 1. LAMP primer positions on aligned sequences of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV

Primer binding sites of (A) "Nsp3_1-61" and (B) "Nsp3_2-24" LAMP primer sets are depicted on aligned sequences of five SARS-CoV-2 (from up, MN908947, MN938384, MN988713, MN985325, MN975262) and seven SARS-CoV (from up, NC_004718, AY613947, AY313906, AY559094, AY502924, AY278491, AY502927). Conserved sites are toggled at 50% level by MEGA7 software so that SARS-CoV-2 specific residues versus SARS-CoV are background colored.

Figure 2. Cross-reactivity to other Coronaviruses tested for RT-LAMP assay targeting SARS-CoV-2

Real-time amplification fluorescence signal and end-point LCV colorimetric detection results of cross-reactivity test for (A) "Nsp3_1-61" and (B) "Nsp3_2-24" LAMP primer sets. Reactions are performed with 20U/reaction of reverse transcriptase and optimized temperature, dNTP concentration and Mg^{2+} concentration for each primer set. RNA copy number of each viral RNAs are as follow: hCoV-229E – 1.6×10^6, hCoV-OC43 – 1.6×10^6, MERS-CoV – 4.5×10^6, SARS-CoV-2 – 2.5×10^3.

Supplementary Figure 1. Sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 LAMP assays to cDNA dilutions

(A) Real-time amplification fluorescence signal and (B) end-point LCV colorimetric detection results of four SARS-CoV-2 LAMP primer sets that were subjected to reaction optimization. Color of amplification signal curve and LCV detection label for each cDNA dilution are matched. Designated copy number is corresponding reverse transcription input RNA copy number. Some low fluorescence of amplification signal plateaus are due to baseline correction process of LightCycler 96 software.

Supplementary Figure 2. Colorimetric detection of SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP LoD tests for "Nsp3_1-61" and "Nsp3_2-24"

LCV colorimetric detection results of LoD tests for "Nsp3_1-16" and "Nsp3_2-24". 20U/reaction of reverse transcriptase were used. See main text for detailed reaction condition.

Figure 1

Figure 2

(A)

(B)

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 2

SARS-CoV-2 RNA

NTC

1000 500 200 100 50 20 5

Nsp3_1-61

Nsp3_2-24