Dopamine pathway characterization during the reproductive mode switch in the pea aphid

Gaël Le Trionnaire¹, Sylvie Hudaverdian, Gautier Richard, Sylvie Tanguy, Florence Gleonnec, Nathalie Prunier-Leterme, Jean-Pierre Gauthier, Denis Tagu¹

Affiliations: IGEPP, Agrocampus Ouest, INRAE, Université de Rennes 1, 35650 Le Rheu, France

¹Authors for correspondence: gael.le-trionnaire@inrae.fr and denis.tagu@inrae.fr

Abstract

Aphids are major pests of most of the crops worldwide. Such a success is largely explained by the remarkable plasticity of their reproductive mode. They reproduce efficiently by viviparous parthenogenesis during spring and summer generating important damage on crops. At the end of the summer, viviparous parthenogenetic females perceive the photoperiod shortening and transduce this signal to their embryos that change their reproductive fate to produce sexual individuals: males and oviparous females. After mating, those sexual oviparous females lay cold-resistant eggs. Previous studies based on large-scale transcriptomic analyses suggested dopamine pathway might be a key player in the integration of the autumnal photoperiod shortening signal to promote the reproductive mode switch. In this study, we investigated the role of dopamine pathway in the photoperiodic response of the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum. We first analysed the level of expression of ten genes of this pathway in embryos and larval heads of aphids reared under long days (asexual producers) or short days (sexual producers) conditions. We then performed in situ hybridization experiments to localize in embryos the ddc and pale transcripts that are coding for two key enzymes in dopamine synthesis. Finally, Using CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis in eggs produced after the mating of sexual individuals, we targeted the ddc gene. We could observe strong melanization defaults in ddc mutated eggs, which confidently mimicked the Drosophila ddc phenotype. Nevertheless, such a lethal phenotype did not allow us to investigate the precise role of ddc in photoperiod shortening signal integration leading to the reproductive mode switch.
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Introduction

Aphids are hemipteran insects that adapt their reproductive mode to seasonal variation. They are capable of alternating between asexual and sexual reproduction during their annual life cycle. In spring and summer, they reproduce by viviparous parthenogenesis: each asexual female can produce nearly a hundred of clonal progeny. When autumn starts, asexual females perceive the shortening of the photoperiod, which triggers a switch of the germline fate of their embryos ending up with the production of clonal oviparous sexual females and males (which lack one X chromosomes compared to females, thus not truly clonal) in their offspring. After mating with males, oviparous sexual females lay fertilized eggs that enter an obligate 3-month diapause over winter before hatching in early spring. All the individual hatching from those eggs are new genetic individuals with the viviparous parthenogenetic female phenotype. Thus, it is at least four different clonal morphs that are produced within the annual life cycle: males, oviparous sexual females, parthenogenetic viviparous females producing a progeny of parthenogenetic viviparous females (under long days, dubbed “virginoparae”), and parthenogenetic viviparous females producing a progeny of males and oviparous sexual females (under short days,
dubbed “sexuparae”). This peculiar adaptation to seasonality for poikilothermous animals involves several trans-generational complex physiological and molecular mechanisms: sensing of the photoperiod, neuro-endocrine transduction of the signal to the ovaries and orientation of developmental programs for embryos (reviewed in Le Trionnaire et al., 2013 and Ogawa and Miura, 2014). Development of embryos in viviparous parthenogenetic aphid ovaries is continuous: each ovariole contains several developing embryos at different stages of development. Viviparity in aphids thus implies a telescoping of generations: grandmothers contain daughter embryos that already enclose (at least for the most developed) the germ cells of the granddaughters. Therefore, sensing of photoperiod by the adult females needs a continuous transduction of the signal towards the embryos, across three generations. It has been strongly suggested that embryos can directly sense the changes in photoperiod (Lees, 1964 and Le Trionnaire et al., 2009), which reduces (at least under controlled conditions) to two generations the transduction effect. For several years, our and other groups are involved in deciphering these mechanisms (see Le Trionnaire et al., 2013 for a review). Transcriptomic analyses allowed the identification of the active pathways that differ between aphids reared under short or long photoperiod. Most of the analyses were performed on the pea aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum) which was the first aphid species to present a large set of available genomic resources (IAGC, 2010 and Legeai et al., 2010). One of the unexpected observations derived from those transcriptomic analyses was a wide regulation of the expression of cuticular protein genes: several cuticular protein mRNAs were down regulated in the heads of pea aphid sexuparae females under short days (Le Trionnaire et al., 2009 and Cortes et al., 2008). Most of these cuticular proteins belong to the RR2 family, known to be involved (at least in Tribolium castaneum and other insects, Arakane et al., 2009) in the sclerotization of the cuticle, a process that contributes to cuticle structure stabilization (Andersen, 2010); they are often accumulated in the inner part of the cuticle. The cuticle of the pea aphid has been described as made of three layers: the outer epicuticle, the inner epicuticle and the procuticle (Brey et al., 1985). Despite several trials, we did not succeed in localizing regulated-cuticular proteins in the cuticle and we did not notice any clear modification of the structure or width of head cuticles in sexuparae (unpublished observation). Sclerotization involves different molecules, including dopamine. We previously demonstrated that two genes involved in dopamine synthesis (pale and ddc) were also down regulated in heads of sexuparae under short days, as were the cuticular proteins (Gallot et al., 2010). At that stage, we made several hypotheses on the putative roles of dopamine in the regulation of different downstream pathways such as i) synaptic function, ii) sclerotization of the cuticle, and iii) melanization of the cuticle (Figure 2), involving for each pathway various proteins and genes. Dopamine is formed after two enzymatic reactions that first convert L-tyrosine into L-DOPA (by a tyrosine hydroxylase encoded by the pale gene in Drosophila melanogaster) and second, convert L-DOPA into dopamine (by a DOPA decarboxylase encoded by the ddc gene in D. melanogaster). Dopamine can be transported in synaptic vesicles by different proteins such as the vesicular monoamine transporters Vmat, the vesicle amine transporter vat1 or the monoamine transmembrane transporter prt. For cuticle sclerotization, two acyldopamines (N-β-alanine-dopamine (NBAD) and N-acetyldopamine (NADA)) are formed and incorporated into the cuticular matrix (Andersen, 2010). This involves several enzymes such as an arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (encoded by the aacNAT gene - also known as Dat in D. melanogaster) that converts dopamine into NADA, an aspartate 1-decarboxylase (the black gene in D. melanogaster) that converts aspartate into a β-alanine and the ebony enzyme that finally links β-alanine with dopamine to form NBAD. The consequence is the formation of a hard layer of cuticle often at the outer part of the exoskeleton, covering internal softer cuticle layers. Dopamine can also be oxidized by laccases (multicopper oxidases) and/or phenoloxidases (PO) to form the dopamine melanin involved in cuticle
pigmentation, in conjunction in some instances with structural proteins such as the Yellow protein (Arakane et al., 2010).

In this paper, we went further into the description of the dopamine pathway regulation of the pea aphid phenotypic plasticity of the reproductive mode. We localized pale and ddc transcripts in embryos, we analyzed the expression of most of the genes involved in the dopamine-derived pathways in embryos and heads of larvae under short and long days. We finally targeted the ddc gene in fertilized eggs with CRISPR-Cas9 in order to investigate its precise role in the photoperiodic response of aphids.

Materials and Methods

1. Aphid rearing and production of sexuparae and virginoparae embryos

Stocks of virginoparae individuals of Acrithosiphon pisum strain LSR1 (IAGC, 2010) are maintained on broad bean (Vicia fabae) plants in growth chambers at 18°C under long days conditions (16h of light, 8h of night), at low density (5 individuals per plant) to prevent the induction of winged morphs. In order to induce the production of sexual individuals, we used an already well-established protocol consisting in transferring individuals at precise developmental stages from long days to short days conditions (Le Trionnaire et al., 2009). Briefly, virginoparae L3 individuals were collected from the initial stock to produce in two different batches future sexuparae (under short days) or virginoparae (under long days). Future sexuparae are produced on broad bean at 18°C when placed at 12h of light (12h of night) while virginoparae are produced when maintained at 18°C under 16h of light (8h of night). Those individuals - when they become adult - represent generation 0 (G0). The embryos contained in those G0 are either future virginoparae (under long days) or sexuparae (under short days) and after having been laid on the plant until they reach adulthood, they correspond to generation 1 (G1). G1 virginoparae lay down virginoparae females at generation 2 (G2), while G1 sexuparae lay down sexual individuals (oviparous sexual females first and then males) at G2. This experimental design, as well as the developmental stages where biological material was collected for subsequent molecular analyzes (qPCR, RNA-seq and in situ hybridization) is summarized in Figure 1.

2. Dopamine pathway gene annotation and PCR primers definition

In order to find pea aphid homologues for Drosophila genes functionally characterized for their involvement in dopamine pathway (as described in Figure 2), the amino acid sequences of pale, ddc, vat1, prt, vamt, aaNAT, black, ebony, laccase and yellow genes were retrieved from Flybase. A Blastp analysis was then performed on AphidBase (https://bipaa.genouest.org/is/aphidbase/) on the NCBI v2.1 annotation of Acrithosiphon pisum genome in order to find the closest homologues for these genes. These genes were then manually annotated to discriminate exon and intron sequences. Coding sequences for these 10 genes are available in Table S1. Primers for quantitative RT-PCR were then searched on these sequences using the Primer 3 software (http://primer3.ut.ee/) with default parameters and a maximum size of 150 nt for amplicon length. Primers sequences are listed in Table S2.

3. Quantitative RT-PCR analyzes

For Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of dopamine pathway gene expression levels, both virginoparae and sexuparae embryos (see above) were dissected from 25 adult G0 aphids (Figure 1). The 6/7 most developed embryos were dissected on ice for each individual, then pooled into liquid nitrogen and finally stored at -80°C before RNA extraction. Approximately 180 embryos were collected in both conditions (short and long days). Three biological replicates were performed. Total RNAs were extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The optional DNase treatment
was carried out with the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). RNA quality was checked and quantified by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop Technologies). Before reverse transcription, a second round of DNase digestion was added using the RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega), in order to remove any putative residual DNA. One microgram of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and a poly-T oligonucleotide primer (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNAs were used for the quantitative PCR assay on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System using the SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A standard curve was performed for each gene (pale, ddc, vat1, Vmat, prt, laccase, yellow, black, ebony, aaNAT, and RpL7 used as a reference gene) using serial dilutions of cDNA products in order to assess PCR primers efficiency. A dissociation curve was produced at the end of each run in order to check for non-specific amplifications. Each Q-RT-PCR was performed on three technical replicates. Thus, for each condition, data were obtained from three biological replicates with three technical replicates for a total of nine measurements per condition and gene. Relative quantification was performed using the standard curve method with normalization to the A. pisum ribosomal protein L7 transcript (RpL7, Nakabachi et al., 2005). In our conditions, RpL7 expression was stable across samples (less than 1 Ct of difference between samples), thus confirming its invariant status. Absolute measures for each of the ten target genes (averaged among three replicates) were divided by the absolute measure of RpL7 transcript. For each of the ten genes involved in the dopamine pathway, the normalized (to RpL7 invariant gene) expression values (the average of the three technical replicates) calculated for embryos dissected from virginoparae and sexuparae mothers (three biological replicates) were compared using a one-way ANOVA. A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 was applied to identify differentially expressed genes between the two conditions. All raw data are accessible here: https://data.inrae.fr/privateurl.xhtml?token=d41cb434-97c3-400c-9d89-cb7c32299055.

4. RNA-seq data
In a previous study, we compared the transcriptomes of virginoparae (under long days or LD) and sexuparae (under short days or SD) head samples at two stages of larval development (L2-G1 and L4-G1, see Figure 1) using a custom-made cDNA microarray (Le Trionnaire et al., 2009). We recently used the exact same RNA samples (two biological replicates per time point) to perform RNA-seq analyses, for eight datasets (two for L2-G1 LD, two for L2-G1 SD, two for L4-G1 LD and two for L4-G1 SD). Raw reads from RNA-seq data were mapped onto the NCBI v2.1 annotation of Acyrthosiphon pisum using STAR with default parameters (Dobin et al., 2015). Reads were then counted by genes using FeatureCounts (Liao et al., 2014) with default parameters. Counts normalisation and differential expression analyses have been performed using the scripts described in Law et al. (2016), which is based on EdgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Genes with an adjusted p-value <0.05 were considered as differentially expressed between LD and SD conditions. From these data, the expression values and the p-value associated with the LD/SD comparisons were retrieved for the ten pea aphid homologues of Drosophila genes involved in the dopamine pathway (see above). Raw data are available on Sequence Read Archive (SRA) from NCBI under the SRP201439 accession number.

5. mRNA in situ hybridization
Riboprobe synthesis. Templates for riboprobes synthesis were amplified by RT-PCR, cloned and then transcribed into RNA. For this, total RNAs were extracted from adult parthenogenetic females (virginoparae) using the RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen). A DNase digestion step was carried out using RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) in order to remove any residual DNA. One microgram of total RNA was reversed transcribed with AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) and a poly-T oligonucleotide
primer (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA produced was used as a template for PCR amplification with specific primers for the two genes *pale* and *ddc*. Sequences of gene-specific primers and length of probes are given in Table S3. Amplified fragments were then cloned into StrataClone PCR Cloning Vector pSC-A-amp/kan (Stratagene) and sequenced in order to check for the identity and orientation of the inserted PCR fragment. Inserts containing the RNA polymerase promoters were obtained from the recombinant plasmids by PCR with universal primers. These PCR products (at least 500 ng per probe) were used as a template for synthesis of sense and antisense riboprobes using digoxigenin-labelled dNTPs (Dig RNA Labelling Mix (Roche)) and the appropriate RNA polymerase T7, T3 or SP6 (Roche). After synthesis, DNA was removed with RQ1 RNase-free DNAse treatment (Promega) and labelled riboprobes were purified with the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen). Riboprobe quality and quantity was checked on an agarose gel containing SybrSafe (Invitrogen) and quantified with Nanodrop (ThermoFicherScientific).

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization on aphid ovaries.** We adapted the *in situ* hybridization protocol previously described in Gallot *et al.* (2012). Ovaries containing the ovarioles of developing embryos were dissected from virginoparae adult individuals, maintained under long photoperiod (see Figure 1). For this, caudae were removed with clamps and ovaries chains were slightly disrupted from conjunctive tissues under a glass microscope slide within fixation solution (4% paraformaldehyde in PBS buffer). Dissected ovarioles were incubated with the probes of interest: 630 ng/ml for *ddc* and 1000 ng/ml for *pale* in the same conditions as described in Gallot *et al.* (2012). Detection was performed with anti-DIG-alkaline phosphatase (AP) Fab fragments (Roche) diluted 1:2000 in blocking solution. Signal was revealed with 4 μL of NitroBlue Tetrazolium/5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indolyl Phosphate (NBT/BCIP) Stock Solution (Roche)/ml of AP reaction buffer. Ovarioles were then observed under a microscope Nikon 90i connected to a Nikon type DS-Ri1 camera to allow images capture. Controls were performed using the corresponding sense probes.

6. CRIPSR-Cas9 editing of *ddc* gene

In order to analyze the function of *ddc* gene in the context of aphid reproductive mode switch, we aimed at generating stable mutant lineages for this gene. The step-by-step protocol for CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis in the pea aphid is detailed in Le Trionnaire *et al.* (2019). Briefly, we designed two single guide RNAs (sg1 and sg2) predicted to target the fifth exon of *ddc* gene using the CRISPOR software (Figure 7a). We then performed *in vitro* cleavage assays to confirm the *in vitro* efficiency of these guide RNAs to cut - when complexed to Cas9 - a PCR product from *ddc* genomic sequence boarding the fifth exon (Figure 7b). The primers used to amplify these genomic regions are the following: F- ACTTTGGTGGCGTTTGTTG and R-GGACGGAGGCACAGACTAAG. We then induced the production of sexual females from L9Ms10 clone and males from L7Tp23 clone to obtain fertilized eggs and inject them with a sg1/sg2/Cas9 mix and waited until melanisation before placing them at 4°C for 85 days for obligate diapause.

**Results**

**Dopamine pathway genes are expressed in the heads of sexuparae and virginoparae.** In the past, our group demonstrated a decrease of the steady-state level of the *pale* and *ddc* mRNAs in the heads of pea aphid sexuparae under short days (SD) when compared with virginoparae aphids reared under long days (LD). This down regulation was detected at the third larval stage (L3) of sexuparae (Gallot *et al.*, 2010). These two genes encode two key enzymes involved in the formation of dopamine from L-tyrosine. In order to test whether the various downstream genes of dopamine pathway signaling (Figure
2) were regulated, we used transcriptomic data generated in aphid heads from LD (virginoparae) and SD (sexuparae) reared aphids at L2 and L4 larval stages. We performed RNA-seq on samples already used for cDNA arrays (Le Trionnaire et al. 2009) and extracted the expression information specifically for the dopamine pathway genes (Figure 3). Statistical analyses revealed that ddc and pale transcript were significantly down regulated under SD conditions at L2 and L4 stages thus confirming the trend observed at L3 stage. Regarding the genes involved in the synaptic release of dopamine, only prt transcript was down regulated at L4 stage under SD conditions while vat1 and vmat were not regulated by photoperiod shortening. All the genes involved in the use of dopamine-derived molecules as an uptake for cuticle melanization and sclerotization were regulated between LD and SD conditions. More precisely, aaNAT, black, ebony and yellow were significantly down-regulated under SD conditions at L2 and L4 stages while laccase transcript was up-regulated at L2 stage and down-regulated at L4 stage. These data thus indicate that in sexuparae heads, photoperiod shortening signal regulates genes involved in cuticle sclerotisation and melanisation but do not seems to have an impact on the expression of genes associated with dopamine synaptic function.

Localization of pale and ddc transcripts in virgnoparae embryos. In order to test whether pale and ddc are also expressed prenatally, we performed in situ localization of the pea aphid pale and ddc mRNAs in the embryos (and ovarioles) of adult aphids, just before larvae birth. pale mRNAs were detected in one early stage of embryogenesis (stage 1, as defined by Miura et al., 2003), in a very restricted zone (Figure 4). This pattern was reproducible, but difficult to interpret. As it was not detectable in subsequent early stages, we propose the hypothesis that it might correspond to a residual signal of maternal pale mRNAs. pale mRNAs were also detected at later embryogenesis stages (from stage 13 to 17/18), at the anterior part of the embryo, probably in the protocerebrum, and more particularly in discrete pairs of cells that might correspond to neurosecretory cells. We could however not clearly assign the specific type of neurosecretory cells they could correspond to (Steel, 1977). No labeling was detected in the downstream ganglion chain, but a lateral labeling was detectable within unknown structures that might be mushroom bodies (Kollmann et al., 2011). ddc mRNAs were detected in the latest stages (from stages 16 to 18) of embryogenesis (Figure 5). Labeled cells were located within the anterior part of the embryo, in neuronal cells of the protocerebrum that are likely to correspond to neurosecretory Cells from Group I, II, III or IV, which are located in a median position of the brain (Steel, 1977). Labeled cells were also detectable posteriorly, along the ganglion chain of the nervous system. Thus, pale and ddc genes are both expressed in the embryonic central nervous system but have distinct spatial patterns of expression. As expected, no labeled structures were detected in control samples hybridized with sense probes (Figures 4 and 5).

Dopamine pathway genes expression in sexuparae and virgnoparae embryos. We checked whether the dopamine pathway genes were prenatally regulated by photoperiod in embryos (Figure 1). We compared by qRT-PCR the expression of the corresponding mRNAs between late stages (see methods) of sexuparae and virgnoparae embryos (Figure 6). vat1, vmat and prt which are genes involved in the synaptic release of dopamine were consistently not regulated (with a p-value close to 1) between the two types of embryos. Then ddc and pale appeared to be more expressed in virgnoparae embryos compared with sexuparae embryos, but because of an important variability between replicates, these differences were not significant. Regarding the genes involved in cuticle melanization and sclerotization, laccase and yellow were significantly down regulated in sexuparae embryos. aaNAT, black and ebony also appeared to be more expressed in virgnoparae embryos. The observed differences, while not in all cases statistically significant (p < 0.05), were in a consistent direction (down regulation in sexuparae).
These analyses show that cuticle-related genes (especially \textit{laccase} and \textit{yellow}) are already regulated in embryos subjected to photoperiod shortening while the expression of the others are probably in the process of being modified by this signal.

**CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis of \textit{ddc} gene in fertilized eggs.**

Based on a recent protocol of CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis developed in the pea aphid (Le Trionnaire \textit{et al.}, 2019), we aimed at generating mutant lineages for \textit{ddc} gene. We injected fertilized eggs with two single guide RNAs designed to target the fourth exon and some recombinant Cas9 protein (Figure 7a).

These guides were validated \textit{in vitro} prior to injection in order to maximise the possibility to generate genome editing events (Figure 7b). Finally, we injected 851 fertilized eggs less than 4 hours after being laid on the plant by the sexual females. Among them, 470 (55\%) of the eggs were damaged by the micro-injection procedure, a percentage closed to what we observed in previous experiments. Among the remaining eggs, 84 (10\%) completed melanisation, indicating their survival. Surprisingly, 297 eggs (35\%) appeared to be intact (not damaged by the injection) but did not complete melanisation (Figure 7c). Indeed, melanisation in aphid eggs is associated with a gradual transition from green to black colour and usually lasts 5 days, which corresponds to the early steps of embryogenesis until melanin synthesis is completed. The colour defaults we could observed ranged from green-spotted dark eggs, black-spotted green eggs and finally that remained almost entirely green. As these colour patterns are absent in non-injected or water-injected eggs, these observations are likely to correspond melanisation default phenotype due to the genome editing of \textit{ddc} gene. In order to confirm that CRISPR-Cas9 system had effectively generated mutations, we collected 63 eggs showing this various colour phenotypes (Figure 7d).

We then extracted the DNA of each of these eggs and amplified by PCR the \textit{ddc} genomic region. A gel electrophoresis analysis showed that at least 63\% (40/63) of the eggs displayed the wild-type band but also some additional bands of smaller size. These products are the result of the combined action of the two sgRNAs that provoked some large deletion events around the two target sites. This analysis thus revealed that these eggs with melanisation defaults are mutated for the \textit{ddc} gene. A focus (Figure 7e) on nine eggs with colour defaults clearly shows the presence of extra bands and their absence in non-injected eggs (NI). This genome editing experiment suggests that \textit{ddc} gene in the pea aphid is involved in cuticle melanisation. Nevertheless, this phenotype is lethal since eggs with incomplete melanisation did not survive. Consequently, those eggs could not hatch and we were unable to test the photoperiodic response after \textit{ddc} directed mutation.

**Discussion**

Dopamine is a central regulator of various pathways in insects, including synaptic function and cuticle structure. Previous works proposed the hypothesis that dopamine could be involved in the regulation of the plasticity of the reproductive mode in aphids (Le Trionnaire \textit{et al.}, 2009 and Gallot \textit{et al.}, 2010). This was based on the differential expression between short days and long days conditions of genes involved in dopamine synthesis as well as dopamine conjugation with other components to form cuticle polymers. Dopamine pathway (or more generally catecholamine pathways) has been recently demonstrated to regulate the phase polyphenism in locust, another case of discrete phenotypic plasticity where solitary and gregarious morphs alternate upon population density changes (reviewed in Wang and Kang, 2014). More precisely, it was shown that three genes involved in dopamine synthesis and synaptic release (pale, henna and vat1) were differentially expressed between the alternative morphs of \textit{Locusta migratoria} (Ma \textit{et al.}, 2011). Artificial modification of dopamine concentration clearly demonstrated the role of this pathway in the control of phase polyphenism. The switch of reproductive mode in aphids...
being another striking case of insect polyphenism, we made the hypothesis that dopamine is also
involved in the regulation of this process.

In this work, we analysed the expression within *A. pisum* embryos and heads of larvae of most of the
genes involved in dopamine-related pathways. When comparing RNA-seq expression levels of
dopamine pathways genes between the heads of long days and short days-reared aphids, it clearly
appeared that the key enzymes involved in dopamine synthesis (*pale* and *ddc*) and the genes involved
in cuticle structure changes (*aANAT, black, ebony, laccase* and *yellow*) were down-regulated in
sexuparae individuals. On the contrary, genes involved in dopamine release in synapses (*vat1, vmat*
and *prt*) were globally not regulated, apart from the *prt* homologue that was down-regulated under SD
conditions but only at a specific stage (L4). Altogether, these data confirm previous observations that
photoperiod shortening in sexuparae individuals probably results in cuticle structure modifications
triggered by a potential decrease in dopamine synthesis levels (*Le Trionnaire et al., 2009*). We then
tested whether these changes were initiated prenatally as a result of an early perception of photoperiod
shortening in embryos. We compared the expression levels of these genes in virginoparae embryos
(reared under long days) and sexuparae embryos (reared under short days). Some of these genes –
including *pale, ddc, aANAT, black* and *ebony* - showed a tendency of being more expressed (although
not significant) in asexual embryos while some others were significantly up-regulated (e.g. *laccase*
and *yellow*) in comparison with sexuparae embryos. Again, no differences in expression could be observed
for genes involved in dopamine release in synapse. These expression data thus suggest that the putative
modifications of dopamine concentration (and cuticle structure) are likely to occur prenatally, or are in
the process of being regulated, as an early response to the external stimulus (the shortening of the
photoperiod) responsible for the plasticity.

Transcripts coding for key dopamine synthesis enzymes (*pale* and *ddc*) are expressed and specifically
localized in embryos, more precisely in paired structures and cells in the central nervous system and
associated neuronal ganglia. However, due to the lack of a precise description of the anatomy of aphid
embryonic central nervous system, it is difficult to identify with accuracy the cell types expressing those
transcripts. We detected a *ddc* mRNA signal in cells that could correspond to neurosecretory cells
described in adult aphids (*Steel, 1977*). These labelled cells putatively belonging to Group I, II, III or
IV of neurosecretory cells. Some of them consist in five neurons located in the *pars intercerebralis* of
the protocerebrum (Group I), and one to two cells at the posterior part of the protocerebrum (Group IV).
Interestingly, Group I cells have been showed to be involved in the photoperiodic response in the aphid
*Megoura vicieae* (*Steel and Lees, 1977*). Specific lesions of these cells abolished the response to the
photoperiod. However, the nature of the material secreted by these cells is not known. They resemble
neurosecretory cells of other insects such as *D. melanogaster* known to produce insulin peptides (*Cao
and Brown, 2001*). There is thus no clear evidence that such cells might synthesize dopamine and more
detailed analyses of the localization of *ddc* mRNAs in the central nervous system of aphid embryos are
required.

The down-regulation of dopamine synthesis pathway observed in the embryos is correlated with cuticle
structure modifications. The hypothesis we made is that the head cuticle of sexuparae is less sclerotized
(*Galot et al., 2010*, this study) and less melanized (this study) than in virginoparae. Whether this fact is
a cause or a consequence of the production of alternative reproductive morphs in aphids in not solved
yet. In one hand, cuticle structure could modify brain light perception by the sexuparae, but whether or
not this has a direct incidence on the plasticity is not known. On the other hand, sexuparae have a very
similar morphology to the virginoparae, but to our knowledge, no deep scanning of possible differences has been performed (except for the type of embryos). Cuticle structure could be one of these general phenotypical differences.

In order to functionally validate the role of ddc in the photoperiodic response, we applied a gene knock-out experiment on ddc. We did not succeed to knock-down the ddc transcript in the pea aphid by RNAi (data not shown), probably because of the specific expression of these genes in the brain and central nervous system which are tissues/organisms difficult to target by RNAi in aphids. We thus moved to a knock-out approach to edit the ddc gene with CRISPR-Cas9 by injecting fertilized eggs with some Cas9 protein together with a pair of single guide RNAs designed to target one specific exon of the ddc gene. Surprisingly, the mortality of injected eggs was particularly high in comparison with previous experiments of gene editing on another candidate (Le Trionnaire et al., 2019). Indeed, only a few eggs reached complete melanization while the remaining proportion of the eggs (that were not damaged by the injection procedure) displayed various patterns of melanization, from nearly absent to almost complete. It is known in Drosophila that ddc (alongside with pale) is required for melanin synthesis (True et al., 1999). Molecular analyzes revealed the presence of mutations in those eggs so that mutants for ddc gene display some body color defects, especially a clearer color due to the absence of melanin. The phenotype observed in aphid eggs thus resembles the Drosophila phenotype. This indicates that CRISPR-Cas9 system generated knock-out of the ddc gene in eggs that show an altered melanin synthesis in various locations. It is likely that these eggs harbor distinct somatic mutations in various embryonic tissues responsible for a mosaicism materialized by the variety of color patterns observed. Since the addition of melanin at the surface of the egg is necessary to protect the embryo against winter environmental conditions this explains why these eggs could not hatch after diapause and why this mutation had a lethal effect in our conditions. This experiment thus revealed that ddc gene was involved in melanization in aphids. Nevertheless, as we needed to inject early-fertilized eggs to get a successful genome editing, the lethal effect of the ddc knockout did not allow us to investigate its function in the photoperiodic response.

In conclusion, further functional analyses are required to dissect precisely the specific role of dopamine pathway in the regulation of reproductive polyphenism in aphids. Nevertheless, our study already demonstrated that various dopamine pathways genes were regulated prenatally between long-days and short-days reared embryos. This trend was confirmed in larvae where several of these genes were differentially expressed in the head between asexual and sexuparae (sexual-producers) individuals. This suggests that the setting of the genetic programs involved in the production of seasonal alternative reproductive morphs in the pea aphid takes place early in a long trans-generational process, which is correlated with the development and differentiation of neuronal structures and cells within the embryos. Our results also indicate that photoperiod shortening is associated with a reduction in levels of the enzymes involved in dopamine synthesis that might affect cuticle structure rather than neurotransmission. The melanization defaults observed for ddc mutated eggs also confirm that dopamine has a major role in aphid cuticle composition and synthesis. Altogether, this work suggests that dopamine pathway mainly affects cuticle sclerotization and melanization during the reproductive mode switch in response to photoperiod in aphids.
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Figures

**Figure 1** – Experimental design used to collect biological material for molecular experiments. Briefly, under long photoperiod conditions only virginoparae females are produced (G0, G1 and G2). When transferred from long to short photoperiod at L3 stage, the embryos (G1) for these virginiparae females (G0) start to perceive changes in day length. Once born, these females continue to integrate this cumulative signal until it triggers the switch of the germline fate of their embryos (G2): these virginoparae females that produce sexual individuals (oviparous females and males) in their offspring are called “sexuparae”. In this study, we analysed the level of expression of dopamine pathway genes in virginoparae and sexuparae embryos (by qPCR) and L2 and L4 heads (extracted from RNA-seq data). In situ hybridization of *pale* and *ddc* transcripts was performed in virginoparae embryos chains.
Figure 2 – Dopamine biosynthesis and mode of action pathway. L-tyrosine is first hydroxylised by *pale* (tyrosine hydroxylase) into L-DOPA which is then subsequently decarboxylised by *ddc* (dopa-decarboxylase) to produce dopamine. Dopamine can then directly be released within synapses and act as a neurotransmitter. Vesicle amine transporters such as *Vmat, Prb* and *vat1* are likely to play a key role in this process. Dopamine can also be used as a structural component of the cuticle: either as DOPA melanin (black cuticle) when processed by *laccase* and *yellow* enzymes, or as NADA sclerotin (clear cuticle) through the action of *aaNAT* enzyme, or as NBAD sclerotin (clear cuticle) when conjugated with β-alanin through the activity of *ebony* and *black* (responsible for metabolization of aspartate into β-alanin) enzymes. In bold are indicated the names of the key enzymes functionally characterized in *Drosophila*; just behind are indicated in italic type the name of the closest pea aphid homologue from the latest release of the pea aphid genome (v2.1). PO: enzymes from the phenoloxidase family.
Figure 3 – Expression levels of dopamine pathway genes in L2 and L4 larvae heads of long day (LD) and short day-reared aphids. Based on a previous microarray analysis of the transcriptomic response in the heads of aphids when submitted to photoperiod shortening (Le Trionnaire et al., 2009), the same RNA samples were used for RNA-sequencing. The level of expression (expressed as RPKM) of dopamine pathway genes was extracted from this dataset and statistically analyzed using Edger package to identify differentially expressed genes between the two photoperiod conditions (the p-value of the test is indicated between brackets). *: Significant, NS: Non-Significant.
Figure 4 – *In situ* hybridization of pale transcripts within virginoparae embryos. Ovaries were dissected from virginoparae morphs and hybridized with antisense (a, b and c) or sense (d and e) probe.
Figure 5 – *In situ* hybridization of *ddc* transcripts within virginoparae embryos. Ovaries were dissected from virginoparae morphs and hybridized with antisense (a, b and c) or sense (d) probe.
Figure 6 – Expression levels of dopamine pathway genes in virginoparae and sexuparae embryos.

Most developed embryos from adult aphids placed under long days (virginoparae embryos) and short days (sexuparae embryos) were collected for the quantification of the level of expression of dopamine pathway genes. Relative quantification was performed using RpL7 as an invariant gene. A t-test was performed to estimate if the genes were significantly regulated between the two conditions (the p-value of the test is indicated between brackets). *: Significant, NS: Non-Significant.
Figure 7 – CRISPR-Cas9 editing of ddc gene and phenotypic defaults observed in injected fertilized eggs. 

a) The ddc coding sequence comprises 9 exons that are spread over a 8,75 kb genomic DNA region. Single guide RNAs were defined to target two regions (target site 1 and 2) within the 5th exon of the gene. On the 5th exon sequence are underlined the Forward and Reverse primers used to amplify the corresponding genomic DNA.

b) In vitro cleavage assays were performed by mixing each sgRNA, the cas9 protein and the PCR product of the 5th exon. The sizes of the expected cleavage products are indicated with white arrows.

c) This table compiles the results from the micro-injection within fertilized eggs of a sg1/sg2/Cas9 mix in terms of dead eggs, melanised eggs but also eggs with incomplete melanisation. Post-diapause hatching rates are also indicated, including the non-injected control eggs.

d) 63 eggs with melanisation defaults were collected and their ddc genomic location was amplified. Gel electrophoresis analysis reveals the presence of extra bands that are the product of the combined action of the two sgRNAs and confirms the efficiency of genome editing (red stars).

e) A focus on nine mutated eggs and one non-injected egg confirms the efficiency of CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate contrasted melanisation phenotypes.
### Table S2 - Primers used for Q-RT-PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Amplicon length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pale-1F</td>
<td>LOC100167369</td>
<td>CTCGGTTTACCGTGCTCTTGG</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pale-1R</td>
<td></td>
<td>CATGGATGAAACCCATTTCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddc-1F</td>
<td>LOC100168964</td>
<td>AATTTGTTGTCGCAAACCTTTC</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddc-1R</td>
<td></td>
<td>CCGGCTTCATACATCCTTTGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl7-F</td>
<td>RpL7</td>
<td>GCCGCAGGAGCCTATAT</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl7-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>CCGAGTTCTTCCCTTTCTTGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vat1-F</td>
<td>LOC100161473</td>
<td>GAACCTCGTTGACTTGGAA</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vat1-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACCGAGTGAATAGGCTGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prt-F</td>
<td>LOC100163225</td>
<td>GTAGACTCTGCTCTGGTCCC</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prt-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>TTGACGGCCAAAGATAGGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmat-F</td>
<td>LOC100161228</td>
<td>TTCACGAAACGTTGACGT</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmat-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>AAACCCCTAACCTACTGGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaNAT-F</td>
<td>AANAT1</td>
<td>TCCGGCATGAAACATGGG</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aaNAT-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACCAGTTTGAGATACCCGCA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black-F</td>
<td>LOC100169332</td>
<td>CATAGACAAACCTGTTGACG</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>AACGTTGACACTTGTTGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebony-F</td>
<td>LOC100167028</td>
<td>CCAAAACAAAGAGACGCT</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ebony-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATCGGTGATCTGCCCTGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>laccase-F</td>
<td>LOC100164049</td>
<td>GAGACCAAAACGCCACGAA</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>laccase-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>GTGCAGATGACGGGATGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yellow-F</td>
<td>Y-y</td>
<td>GTACGCCGCTGATGAGCTTG</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yellow-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>CTCGCTAGTGCGTGAAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S3 - Primer used for in situ hybridization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Probe length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pale-F</td>
<td>LOC100167369</td>
<td>GCAGACCAAAACATTTCCGGT</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pale-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCAGTGGGTGTTTAGATGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddc-F</td>
<td>LOC100168964</td>
<td>TGGTCGCTGACATACGAC</td>
<td>973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddc-R</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGATCCCCCTAAGTCCGGAAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>