1   TNPO3-mediated nuclear entry of the Rous sarcoma virus Gag protein is independent

2   of the cargo-binding domain

Breanna L. Rice[a], Matthew S. Stake[a*], and Leslie J. Parent[a,b,#]

[a]Division of Infectious Diseases and Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Penn State

College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA

[b]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Penn State College of Medicine,

Hershey, PA, USA

Running Head: TNPO3-mediated nuclear entry of alpharetrovirus Gag
12

13   #Address correspondence to Leslie Parent, lparent@psu.edu.

14   *Present address:

15   Matthew S. Stake

16   UPMC Hanover Medical Group, Hanover, PA, USA

18   B.L.R and M.S.S. contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Retroviral Gag polyproteins orchestrate the assembly and release of nascent

virus particles from the plasma membranes of infected cells. Although it was traditionally

thought that Gag proteins trafficked directly from the cytosol to the plasma membrane,

we discovered that the oncogenic avian alpharetrovirus Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) Gag

protein undergoes transient nucleocytoplasmic transport as an intrinsic step in virus

assembly. Using a genetic approach in yeast, we identified three karyopherins that

engage the two independent nuclear localization signals (NLS) in Gag. The primary

NLS is in the nucleocapsid (NC) domain of Gag and binds directly to importin-α, which

recruits importin-β to mediate nuclear entry. The second NLS, which resides in the

matrix (MA) domain, is dependent on importin-11 and transportin-3 (TNPO3), known as

MTR10p and Kap120p in yeast, although it is not clear whether these import factors are

independent or additive. The functionality of importin α/β and importin-11 has been

verified in avian cells, whereas the role of TNPO3 has not been studied. In this report,

we demonstrate that TNPO3 mediates nuclear entry of Gag and directly binds to Gag.

To our surprise, this interaction did not require the cargo-binding domain of TNPO3,

which typically mediates nuclear entry for other binding partners of TNPO3 including

SR-domain containing splicing factors and tRNAs that re-enter the nucleus. These

results suggest that RSV hijacks the host nuclear import pathway using a unique

mechanism, potentially allowing other cargo to bind TNPO3 simultaneously.

Importance

RSV Gag nuclear entry is facilitated using three distinct host import factors that

interact with nuclear localization signals in the Gag MA and NC domains. Here we

show that the MA region is required for nuclear import of Gag through the TNPO3

pathway. Gag nuclear entry does not require the cargo binding domain of TNPO3.

Understanding the molecular basis for TNPO3-mediated nuclear trafficking of the RSV

Gag protein may lead to a deeper appreciation for whether different import factors play

distinct roles in retrovirus replication.

Introduction

The retrovirus structural protein Gag is a multi-domain protein that is responsible

for packaging the viral genome and directing the assembly and budding of virus

particles from the plasma membrane of infected cells. The MA (matrix) domain of Gag

facilitates membrane targeting and binding. The CA (capsid) domain is important for

Gag protein-protein interactions, as well forming the virus particle capsid. The NC

(nucleocapsid) binds to the viral RNA genome (vRNA) for packaging and is involved in

protein-protein interactions that promote muiltimerization and virus assembly (34, 53).

It has been found that the Gag proteins from various retroviruses undergo

nuclear trafficking [reviewed in (49)]. The mechanisms of Gag nuclear trafficking are not

completely understood. For Rous sarcoma virus (RSV), it is hypothesized that the

reason for Gag nuclear trafficking is binding vRNA for packaging (13). The nuclear

export of RSV Gag was first discovered to be dependent on the CRM1 nuclear export

protein, which interacts with a nuclear export signal (NES) mapped to the p10 domain

(Figure 1A) (42, 44). The MA and NC domains were later found to be involved in the

nuclear import of Gag through their NLSs. Studies utilizing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

mutants deficient in members of the Importin-β protein superfamily found that the NC

domain undergoes nuclear entry through the Kap60p/Kap95p (importin-α/β) pathway,

while MA uses either Kap120p (importin 11) or Mtr10p, also known as transportin-SR,

transportin 3 or TNPO3 in higher eukaryotes (5). The interactions between the NC

domain and the importin-α/β (Impα/β) complex, as well as the MA domain and importin

11 (Imp11) were confirmed via affinity-tagged purifications (14).

TNPO3 is a member of the Importin-β family of karyopherins (24, 29) and serves

as a nuclear import receptor for a class of evolutionarily conserved, essential splicing

factors and pre-mRNA processing proteins known as serine and arginine-rich (SR)

proteins. SR proteins derive their names from the serine/arginine enriched motifs in their

C-terminal regions, and they contain RNA recognition domains located at their N termini

(47, 54). The RS domain of splicing factors interact directly with the C-terminal CBD of

TNPO3, mediating nuclear entry of the splicing factor (17, 23, 31). TNPO3 also

mediates nuclear import of the pre-mRNA splicing factor RBM4 through its interaction

with stretches of alanine residues, termed polyalanine domains (22) and has been

implicated in mediating nucleocytoplasmic tRNA transport in yeast and human cells (35,

46, 55).

TNPO3 has also been shown to be important for the replication of several

retroviruses, including human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) (4, 7, 19). TNPO3

is involved in early events of HIV infection, primarily at the level of pre-integration

complex (PIC) nuclear entry (55). *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies have shown direct

interactions between TNPO3 and HIV integrase (9, 25, 27, 30, 51). In cells depleted of

TNPO3 by small hairpin RNA (shRNA), HIV-1 PIC nuclear entry is impaired and

integration is reduced (11, 45, 52). Further, the cargo-binding domain of TNPO3 is

required to rescue HIV infection in cells depleted of TNPO3 by shRNA (29). Proviruses

that do manage to integrate in TNPO3 knockdown cells do so in regions with lower

gene density than the integration sites in control cells (38, 41). There is also evidence

that HIV CA governs TNPO3 sensitivity. Amino acid substitutions in CA, such as the

N74D mutation, permit PIC nuclear entry in TNPO3 knockdown cells through an altered

import pathway (10, 26, 45, 55). The actual mechanism by which TNPO3 depletion

impairs HIV infectivity is not well understood but is thought to involve the cleavage and

polyadenylation specificity factor subunit 6 (CPSF6), an SR protein (3, 11, 12, 16,

39).Other studies have demonstrated that TNPO3 is important for the nuclear import of

the foamy virus (FV) PIC. When TNPO3 expression is decreased in cells, the

concentration of FV integrase in the nucleus was reduced, although the nuclear

localization of FV Gag was not affected (2). TNPO3 has also been shown to be

important during infection of simian immunodeficiency virus mac239, equine infectious

anemia virus (21, 29), HIV-2 (7), and bovine immunodeficiency virus (21). Although it

appears that TNPO3 is important for a number of retroviruses during early infection, the

interaction of TNPO3 with the Gag polyprotein has yet to be investigated in depth and is

the subject of this work.

Results

Previous studies examining the role of host importins in RSV Gag nuclear import

identified three import factors: Impβ, Imp11, and TNPO3 (5). Imp11 binds directly to the

MA domain of Gag whereas the and Impβ protein binds to the NC NLS and recruits

Impα to mediate Gag nuclear entry. The interaction of RSV Gag with TNPO3 was not

further explored until this time (14).

The domain organization of the RSV Gag polyprotein, which consists of MA, p2,

p10, CA, SP, and NC is shown in Figure 1A. TNPO3 consists of three domains: the

RanGTP binding domain (RBD), the nuclear pore complex (NPC) interaction domain,

and the cargo binding domain (CBD) (Fig. 1A). RSV Gag.GFP is normally distributed in

the nucleus, cytoplasm, and along the plasma membrane of avian cells [Fig. 1B; (13,

18, 36, 42-44)]. Expression of mCherry.TNPO3 resulted in an increase in the amount of

Gag protein located within the nucleus (Fig. 1C, D). Quantitation of the amount of

nuclear Gag fluorescence demonstrated an increase from 18.5% to 25% with co-

expression of mCherry.TNPO3 ($p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 1D). Cells representative of the mean

fluorescence intensity of the population of cells analyzed are shown in Figure 1B and C.

Based on the finding that RSV MA nuclear entry depends on TNPO3/Mtr10p in

Saccharyomyces cerevisae (5), we examined whether this interaction was functionally

relevant in avian cells. To this end, two mutants were utilized that contain deletions in

MA (Fig. 1A). One deletion mutant is missing the N-terminal portion of MA containing

the previously-identified NLS (Gag.ΔMA$_{5-86}$), and the other has a large internal deletion

of MA extending from residues 5-148 (Gag.ΔMA$_{5-148}$). Nuclear localization of both MA

mutants, Gag.ΔMA$_{5-86}$ and Gag.ΔMA$_{5-148}$, was slightly reduced compared to full-length

Gag (18.5%), with quantitative analysis showing that 15.5% and 12% of the mutant Gag

proteins were nuclear localized, respectively (Fig. 1B, D). When mCherry.TNPO3 was

co-expressed, the amount of nuclear Gag did not change significantly (16.5% and 13%

for Gag.ΔMA$_{5-86}$ and Gag.ΔMA$_{5-148}$, respectively) (Fig. 1C, D). These results suggested

that the activity of TNPO3 in mediating nuclear entry of Gag is dependent on the MA

domain.

By contrast, when the NC domain of Gag was deleted (Gag.ΔNC, Fig. 1A), a

result similar to wild-type Gag was observed with co-expression of mCherry.TNPO3,

with an increase in the baseline nuclear localization of Gag.ΔNC, from 17% to 22% (p <

0.0001; Fig. 1B-D). This result was anticipated based on our previous results indicating

that nuclear import of RSV NC is mediated by the importin α/β complex (5, 14) but not

TNPO3, and validated our previous findings. To examine whether the Gag constructs

expressed in cells produced full-length proteins, SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis

was performed of the lysates expressing each Gag protein (Figure 1E), demonstrating

that all of the constructs were of the expected molecular weight.

The next goal was to identify which domain of TNPO3 was required for Gag

nuclear import in avian cells. We anticipated that the cargo-binding domain (CBD) would

be necessary because of previous findings demonstrating SR proteins bind directly to

the CBD for nuclear import (17, 23). To test this hypothesis, the

mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo mutant was co-expressed in cells with Gag.YFP (Fig. 2A).

Unexpectedly, there was an increase in the amount of nuclear Gag from 19% to 26% (p

< 0.0001) (Fig. 2B, C), indicating the the TNPO3 CBD was dispensable for Gag nuclear

entry. To examine Gag.YFP and mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo proteins expressed in cells,

SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis were performed (Fig 2D).

To determine whether there was direct binding of Gag to TNPO3, in vitro affinity-

tagged purification was performed with recombinant Gag, GST-tagged TNPO3, and

deletion mutants of each, which were were expressed in *E. coli* and purified (Fig. 3A).

RSV Gag was incubated with GST-TNPO3, and protein complexes were affinity purified

using GST beads, separated by SDS-PAGE, and detected by western blot. Wild-type

Gag was strongly associated with GST-TNPO3 but not with the GST protein alone (Fig.

3B). To determine whether the Gag-TNPO3 interaction was dependent on the presence

of the MA domain, a Gag mutant containing a deletion of the first 82 amino acids of MA

(ΔMBD.Gag) was tested (5, 42). ΔMBD.Gag showed reduced binding to GST-TNPO3

compared to full-length Gag (Fig. 3B). Additional Gag mutants were used to further

define Gag-TNPO3 interaction sites, including a truncation at the end of the CA domain

(Gag.ΔSPΔNC), a deletion of the C-terminal domain of CA through the end of NC

(MA.p2.p10.CA-NTD), and a mutant expressing only CA and NC (CA.NC). Each Gag

mutant associated to a small extent with GST-TNPO3, albeit much less efficiently

compared to full-length Gag (Fig. 3B).

Next, we examined which domain of TNPO3 was required for binding to Gag. We

utilized the mutant that lacked the CBD (GST-TNPO3.ΔCargo; Fig. 3A). We incubated

TNPO3.ΔCargo with wild-type Gag, and found that Gag bound very strongly to

TNPO3.ΔCargo (Fig. 3B, C). By contrast, there were only very weak interactions

between TNPO3.ΔCargo and each of the Gag truncation mutants (Fig. 3B). A mutant of

TNPO3 containing the NPC binding domain in the central region of TNPO3 (GST-

TNPO3.NPC; Fig. 3A) was also tested for binding to Gag. As with full-length TNPO3

and the TNPO3.ΔCargo mutant, Gag bound strongly to the TNPO3.NPC protein (Fig.

3C).

To determine whether the mature MA protein was sufficient to mediate binding of

full-length TNPO3 or the TNPO3 deletion mutants, similar GST-affinity pulldowns were

performed. The MA protein bound full-length TNPO3, although much less efficiently

compared to full-length Gag, with little to no binding to TNPO3.ΔCargo (Fig. 3C) or

TNPO3.NPC (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that the requirement for robust binding

requires the full-length Gag protein interacting with a TNPO3 construct that contains the

NPC binding domain, but the CBD is dispensable. In addition, we conclude that the

mature MA protein nor any of the Gag deletion mutants efficiently bind TNPO3,

suggesting that the conformation of the intact Gag protein is required to mediate binding

to TNPO3. Figure 3E demonstrates that that the purified Gag proteins used were single

populations of the expected sizes as analyzed by western blot analysis.

To explore the interrelationship between TNPO3 and the other host factors

involved in Gag nuclear import, the TNPO3 overexpression experiments were expanded

to include other karyopherins known to interact with the Gag MA and NC NLSs. We

predicted that overexpression of two import factors that bind distinct Gag NLSs would

cooperate, resulting in enhanced import of Gag into the nucleus. Conversely,

overexpression of two import factors that bind the same NLS could compete for binding

sites on Gag, resulting in no further increase in nuclear entry. To test this hypothesis,

each individual import factor was co-expressed with Gag, and the percentage of Gag in

the nucleus increased significantly, as shown previously: co-expression with TNPO3

increased nuclear Gag from 15% to 21%; Imp11 co-expression increased nuclear Gag

from 15% to 21%; and the percentage of nuclear Gag increased from 15% to 25% with

Impβ co-expression (each $p < 0.0001$; Fig. 4A, C). When TNPO3 and Imp11 were co-

expressed with Gag, the amount of nuclear Gag increased to 21%, which was not

significantly different compared to TNPO3 or Imp11 expression alone. However, when

TNPO3 and Impβ were both co-expressed with Gag, there was an increase in nuclear

Gag from 21% (TNPO3 alone) to 28% (TNPO3 + Impβ; $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4B, C). These

data demonstrate that Impβ and TNPO3 enhanced nuclear entry of Gag in an additive

fashion, suggesting they have different binding sites in the MA region of Gag. By

contrast, TNPO3 and Imp11 may compete for the same NLS in the MA domain of Gag

and therefore are unable to increase the amount of Gag nuclear import when co-

expressed.

Discussion

Nuclear trafficking of RSV Gag is important for efficient encapsidation of the viral

genome (13, 42). To accomplish this vital step in virus replication, Gag uses multiple

NLSs and host import pathways to gain entry into the nucleus. Previous studies

revealed that Impα/β binds directly to a classical NLS in the NC domain of RSV Gag

whereas Imp11 interacts directly with a noncanonical NLS in the MA domain of RSV

Gag (14). Although we had previously identified TNPO3 (Mtr10p) as mediating MA

nuclear entry in yeast (5), we wondered whether this finding would be verified in avian

cells higher eukaryotic cells given that the MA sequence does not contain an RS-rich

motif or a polyalanine domain characteristic of known TNPO3 cargoes (21, 22). In this

report, we found that there is indeed a direct binding event between TNPO3 and RSV

Gag that is is functionally relevant for the nuclear entry of RSV Gag in cellular import

studies.

We demonstrated that overexpression of TNPO3 resulted in increased

accumulation of Gag in the nucleus (Fig. 1) in an MA-dependent fashion. In cells, the

normal function of TNPO3 is to import splicing factors into the nucleus through direct

interactions between the C-terminal cargo-binding domain (CBD) and the RS or

polyalanine domain of splicing factor cargoes (22, 23). We anticipated that Gag would

bind the cargo domain of TNPO3, being a potential cargo itself; however, we found that

the CBD was dispensable (TNPO3.ΔCargo) for the nuclear import of Gag based on the

finding that TNPO3.ΔCargo expression in cells led to a significant increase in nuclear

localization of Gag.

Our studies demonstrated that Gag binds directly to full length TNPO3 and the

isolated NPC domain (Figure 3D), yet the interaction in vitro did not require the CBD

(Figure 3C), which was consistent with the nuclear import data obtained in cells (Fig. 2).

Finding that the TNPO3 CBD was dispensable for binding to Gag was somewhat

surprising because nuclear import of splicing factors including SR proteins ASF/SF2,

SC35, and CPSF6 are dependent on the TNPO3 CBD for import (17, 23). However, a

recent study demonstrated that the N-terminus of TNPO3 (containing the RanGTP

binding domain and part of the NPC interaction domain) interacts with the catalytic core

domain and C-terminal domain of HIV-1 integrase, suggesting that other retroviral

cargoes of TNPO3 bind to sites outside of the CBD (51). Based on our binding data, we

conclude that RSV Gag binds primarily to the NPC domain of TNPO3 rather than to the

CBD. The structural basis of this interaction will be interesting to explore in future

studies.

We also found that the mature MA domain of Gag only bound to TNPO3 weakly

in vitro, yet full length Gag bound TNPO3 strongly. Taken together with the in vivo

experiments showing that MA is required for TNPO3-mediated import of Gag, we

propose that MA must be present in the context of the full length Gag polyprotein to bind

TNPO3. Interestingly, there was a small amount of TNPO3 pulled down with all of the

deletion mutants of Gag tested in Fig. 3B, suggesting that regions of Gag in addition to

MA contribute to the interaction with TNPO3. This finding is consistent with the structure

of TNPO3, which is made of multiple HEAT repeats that encircle cargo (31), implying

that multiple points of contact are important for TNPO3-Gag binding.

In previous studies, we examined RSV Gag nuclear import mediated by one

import factor at a time, even though Gag contains two separate NLSs and binds three

diffierent karyopherins (5, 14). Therefore, we wondered whether there was cooperation

or competition between different host import factors responsible for Gag nuclear entry.

Data presented in Fig. 4 indicates that TNPO3 can cooperate with Impβ but not Imp11

to drive Gag into the nucleus. This finding implies that factors interacting with different

NLSs of Gag (i.e., TNPO3 with MA and Impα/Impβ with NC) are able to function in an

additive manner to mediate Gag nuclear import. These findings also suggest that

factors binding to the same domain of Gag (i.e. TNPO3 and Imp11 both bind to MA)

cannot cooperate for Gag nuclear import, potentially because they bind to the same site

or to sites in close proximity to one another.

A remaining question is why RSV Gag utilizes three different nuclear import

pathways. One possibility is that each import pathway is used for Gag to reach a

particular location in the nucleus or perform a specialized function. For example, Impβ

transports a variety of proteins into the nucleus including transcription factors, cell cycle

regulators, histones, and ribosomal proteins [reviewed in (6)]. Imp11 transports

ribosomal proteins and E2-ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes [reviewed in (6)]. TNPO3

transports SR splicing factors to splicing speckles (22-24), which are adjacent to

transcription sites (48). Splicing factors are activated through phosphorylation, triggering

them to traffic to sites of active transcription to process newly transcribing RNAs (33,

37), with rapid exchange of splicing factors between sites of transcription and speckles

(20, 50). We previously showed that a nuclear-localized mutant of RSV Gag

(Gag.L219A) has a high degree of colocalization with the phosphorylated forms of SR

protein splicing factors SF2 and SC35 (40). Thus, it is possible that Gag enters the

nucleus using the TNPO3 pathway to reach nuclear speckles adjacent to sites of

nascent mRNA transcription (17, 50). Further experiments will need to be performed to

dissect the precise role of TNPO3 in Gag nuclear trafficking and RSV biology.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Plasmids

QT6 quail fibroblast cells were maintained as described in (8) and were

transfected with the calcium phosphate precipitation method.

Tissue culture expression: Gag.GFP was described in (42). Gag.ΔMA$_{5-86}$.GFP

and Gag.ΔMA$_{5-148}$.GFP were described previously (5). Gag.YFP and Gag.ΔNC.YFP

were previously described (18). GFP-TNPO3 and GFP-TNPO3.ΔCargo (29) were gifts

of Nathaniel Landau (NYU Langone Medical Center). Ceruleun.TNPO3.CBD was a

generous gift from Yaron Shav-Tal (Bar Ilan University). mCherry.TNPO3 was created

by PCR amplifying the TNPO3 coding sequence from GFP.TNPO3 with flanking *Xho*l

and *Sal*l restriction sites and inserting into mCherry.N2 digested with those same

enzymes. pKH3.Importin 11 and pKH3.Importin β, encoding HA.Importin 11 and

HA.Importin β, respectively, were described in (14).

E. coli protein expression: pET28.TEV-Gag.3h encoding RSV Gag with an N-

terminal cleavable 6-histidine tag (Gag) is described in (14). Gag.ΔNC.ΔSP was created

by inserting two consecutive, in-frame stop codons into pET28.TEV.Gag.3h preceding

the SP coding sequence. Gag.ΔMBD was created by PCR amplification of the Gag

coding region starting at amino acid 83 and terminating at the N-terminus of NC with the

insertion of stop codons. This product was ligated into appropriately digested pET24a+.

CA.NC was created by PCR amplification of CA and NC inserting a NdeI site at the N-

terminus and a HindIII site at the C-terminus. The amplicon was inserted into the

digested pET28(-His).Gag.ΔPR using the same sites. MA.p2.p10.CA-NTD was created

similarly as CA.NC with the NdeI site at the N-terminus of MA and two STOP codons

followed by the HindIII site after the 444 nt of CA. MA was described previously (14).

pGEX6P3.hTNPO3 (21) encoding GST.TNPO3 for bacterial expression was a gift from

Alan Engelman (Dana Farber Cancer Institute). GST.TNPO3.ΔCargo$_{1-501}$ was created

from pGEX6P3.hTNPO3 by inserting three in frame, consecutive stop codons following

the sequence encoding amino acid 501 of TNPO3 by Quikchange PCR mutagenesis

(28). GST.TNPO3.NPC was created by deleting most of the Ran binding domain using

primers: 5' – GGA GAA AAC CTT TAC TTC CAG GG-3' and 5' – AAT GGA TCC CAG

GGG CCC-3' using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis protocol according to the

manufacturer guidelines (New England Biolabs). Michael Malim (King's College

London) kindly provided the bacterial expression construct encoding GST-Importin β.

Cell fixation and immunofluorescence

QT6 cells were grown on glass coverslips and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde

(PFA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (supplemented with 5 mM EGTA and 4 mM

MgCl$_2$, and adjusted to pH 7.2-7.4 with HCl) or 3.7% PFA in 2x PHEM buffer (3.6%

PIPES, 1.3% HEPES, 0.76%EGTA, 0.198% MgSO4, pH to 7.0 with 10M KOH) (32) for

15 minutes at room temperature. Cells expressing HA.Importin β or 11 were then

permeabilized with ice cold 100% methanol for 2 minutes on ice and blocked in 5% goat

serum (Rockland) diluted into PBS for at least 2 hours at room temperature. Cells were

stained with mouse anti-HA antibody (Genscript) diluted 1:500 in PBS supplemented

with 0.5% goat serum and 0.01% Tween-20 (Sigma) for at least one hour in a

humidified chamber.

After primary antibody incubation, the cells were incubated with goat anti-mouse

antibody conjugated to Cy5 (Molecular Probes) diluted 1:500 or Alexa 647 (Life

Technologies) diluted 1:1000 in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were stained

with DAPI at 5 µg/ml and mounted with Slow-Fade mounting medium (Molecular

Probes) or Prolong Diamond (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Microscopy

Images were captured either using a DeltaVision DV Elite (Applied Precision)

wide field deconvolution microscope with a 60x oil immersion objective or a Leica SP8

TCS scanning confocal microscope equipped with a 63X oil immersion objective and

White Light Laser (WLL). For the DeltaVision, 0.2 µm optical slices encompassing the

entire cell were captured and deconvolved with softWoRx 5.0 (Applied Precision). From

the deconvolved image stack, a single slice encompassing the widest section of the

nucleus was exported for each channel as an uncompressed TIFF file for subsequent

display and analysis. For images acquired using the SP8, we employed sequential

scanning between frames, averaging four frames per image. The 405 nm UV laser was

used to image the DAPI signal 10% laser power with an emission detection window of

415 – 466 nm using the PMT detector. GFP was imaged using the WLL excited with the

489 nm laser line and a hybrid detector window of 495 – 559 nm. YFP was imaged

using the WLL with a laser line excitation of 514 nm and a hybrid detector window of

519 – 569 nm. mCherry was imaged using the WLL with a laser line excitation of 587

nm and a hybrid detector window of 592 – 656 nm. All channels using the hybrid

detectors had a time gating of 0.3 to 6.0 ns.

Quantitation of nuclear localization

ImageJ software version 1.46m was used to analyze cells for the amount of Gag

present in the nucleus, as determined by fluorescence signal (1). The sum of all the

pixel intensities in the Gag channel, expressed in ImageJ as the "Integrated Density,"

for a region encompassing the entire cell was divided by the integrated density of the

nucleus to calculate the percentage of the total cellular Gag pool residing in the nucleus.

Outliers with a *p* value less than 0.05 as determined by Grubbs' test (GraphPad

Software Inc, <http://graphpad.com/quickcalcs/Grubbs1.cfm>) were removed from

subsequent analyses. GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) was used to create

all graphs, perform linear regression analysis, calculate the mean, and determine *p*

values. *p* values were calculated by unpaired *t*-test as only pairs (i.e. no more than two)

of conditions are analyzed simultaneously.

Expression and purification of recombinant RSV Gag proteins

All constructs for protein expression and purification were transformed into BL21

Gold DE3 pRIL *E. coli*. The purity of all protein preps was verified with Coomassie

staining following SDS-PAGE and/or western blot analysis with appropriate antibodies.

All sonications were performed on ice with an S-4000 sonicator (Misonix, Inc.) using a

½" tip. All Gag constructs were expressed in ZYP-5052 autoinduction medium, lysed in

BugBuster Primary Amine Free Protein Extraction Reagent (Novagen) supplemented

with recombinant lysozyme. PEI was added to a final concentration of 0.15% and lysate

was centrifuged at 21,000 RCF for 30 minute to remove cell debris. The protein

remaining in the soluble fraction was precipitated for 30 minute at room temperature

with concentrated ammonium sulfate. The pellet containing the Gag protein was

resuspended and clarified by centrifugation prior to chromatographic separation and

elution with a sulfopropyl cation exchange column. Peak eluted fractions were dialyzed

against 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM TCEP, and 0.01

mM $ZnSO_4$ prior to concentration, aliquoting, and storage at $-80^{\circ}C$.

Expression and purification of recombinant GST-tagged proteins

Purified GST protein was a gift from John Flanagan (Penn State College of

Medicine). GST.TNPO3 was grown in two 250 ml cultures of ZYP-5052 supplemented

with ampicillin and incubated at $37^{\circ}C$ for 19.5 hours. Cell pellets were harvested by

centrifugation and stored at $-20^{\circ}C$ prior to purification. A batch purification protocol

adapted from (15) was used to purify GST.TNPO3. Cell pellets were thawed on ice,

homogenized into PBS containing Roche Complete EDTA free protease inhibitors

(Roche). Ready-lyse lysozyme and Omnicleave nuclease (Epicentre) were added and

the mixture was permitted to rock on ice for 15 minutes. The homogenate was then

sonicated three times at 80% power, with a one-minute recovery between each

sonication. Lysate was clarified for 30 min at 21,000 RCF at $4^{\circ}C$ and passed through a

0.45 μm filter. The soluble portion was incubated with gentle end over end mixing for 3

hours at $4^{\circ}C$ with Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow Beads (GE) prewashed with PBS.

Following binding, the beads were washed three times with PBS to remove unbound

proteins. Bound proteins were eluted with a 30 minute incubation at $4^{\circ}C$ with Elution

Buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 40 mM reduced glutathione, and Roche Complete protease

inhibitors). At the end of the incubation, beads were pelleted and the supernatant

removed to a prechilled tube. Two additional elution steps were performed. All elutions

were pooled and dialyzed against TNPO3 storage buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150

mM NaCl, 10% Glycerol, and 2 mM DTT). Following dialysis, purified GST.TNPO3 was

concentrated with an Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter Device (Millipore), aliquoted, and

stored at -80°C prior to use. GST.TNPO3.ΔCargo and GST.TNPO3.NPC were

expressed at 30°C, but otherwise expressed and purified identically to GST.TNPO3.

In vitro GST affinity purification protein-protein interactions assays

The protocol for GST affinity purification assays was adapted from (21). All

proteins used in purification assays were performed at equimolar of 185 nM in 540 µl

pull-down buffer (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM DTT, 0.1% NP-40, 25 mM Tris-Cl

pH 7.4). For the input gel, 40 µl was removed. Proteins were incubated for 1 hour at

room temperature with gentle end over end rotation. 60 µl of a 50% slurry of glutathione

beads (Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow, GE) prewashed four times in pull-down

buffer were then added to the complexes and incubated for 2 hours at room

temperature with gentle end over end rotation. The beads were pelleted by

centrifugation at 800x*g* for 2 minutes. The supernatant containing unbound proteins was

removed and the beads containing the bound protein complexes were washed four

times with 10 packed bead volumes of pull down buffer. Following the final wash, one

packed bead volume of elution buffer (25 mM Tris-Cl and 40 mM reduced glutathione,

pH 8) was added, mixed with the beads, and placed on ice for 10 minutes to elute the

bound complexes. Following elution, beads were pelleted by centrifugation at 800x*g* for

2 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant containing bound complexes was then removed to a

clean microcentrifuge tube and 4x SDS-PAGE loading buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8,

40% glycerol, 0.4% bromophenol blue, 8% SDS, and 8% β-mercaptoethanol) was

added to 1x final concentration. The samples were then heated for 5 minutes at 85°C

and separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot using rabbit anti-GST

antibody (Genscript), rabbit α-RSV CA, rabbit α-RSV MA.p2, rabbit α-RSV MA.MDB,

rabbit α-RSV polyclonal antibody and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies

(Invitrogen). RSV antibodies were generous gifts from Rebecca Craven (Penn State

College of Medicine). The input gels were visualized with Acquastain dye (Bulldog Bio).

mCherry tagged proteins were analyzed by Western blot using mouse anti-mCherry

(Abcam ab125096).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following scientists for their generosity in supplying

reagents, Dr. Nathaniel Landan (NYU Langone Medical Center), Dr. Alan Engelman

(Dana Farber Cancer Institute), Dr. Michael Malim (King's College London), Dr. Yaron

Shav-Tal (Bar Ilan University), Dr. John Flanagan and Dr. Rebecca Craven (PSU

College of Medicine). Special thanks to Malgorzata Sudol in the Department of Medicine

at the Penn State College of Medicine for technical assistance. We would like to

acknowledge the Microscopy Imaging Core Facility at PSU College of Medicine for use

of the confocal [Leica SP8- 1S10OD010756-01A1 (CB)] and deconvolution microscopes

and the Imaris (Bitplane imaging analysis software. This project was funded in part by

NIH R01 CA076534 (LJP) and F31 CA196292 (BLR).

References

1.   **Abramoff, M. D., P. J. Magalhaes, and S. J. Ram.** 2004. Image Processing with

ImageJ. Biophotonics International **11:**36-42.

2.   **Ali, M. K., J. Kim, F. B. Hamid, and C.-G. Shin.** 2015. Knockdown of the host cellular

protein transportin 3 attenuates prototype foamy virus infection. Bioscience,

Biotechnology, and Biochemistry **79:**943-951.

3.   **Bhattacharya, A., S. L. Alam, T. Fricke, K. Zadrozny, J. Sedzicki, A. B. Taylor, B.**

Demeler, O. Pornillos, B. K. Ganser-Pornillos, F. Diaz-Griffero, D. N. Ivanov, and M.

Yeager. 2014. Structural basis of HIV-1 capsid recognition by PF74 and CPSF6.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111:**18625-18630.

4.   **Brass, A. L., D. M. Dykxhoorn, Y. Benita, N. Yan, A. Engelman, R. J. Xavier, J.**

Lieberman, and S. J. Elledge. 2008. Identification of Host Proteins Required for HIV

Infection Through a Functional Genomic Screen. Science **319:**921-926.

5.   **Butterfield-Gerson, K. L., L. Z. Scheifele, E. P. Ryan, A. K. Hopper, and L. J. Parent.**

2006. Importin-β Family Members Mediate Alpharetrovirus Gag Nuclear Entry via

Interactions with Matrix and Nucleocapsid. J Virol **80:**1798-1806.

6.   **Chook, Y. M., and K. E. Süel.** 2011. Nuclear import by karyopherin-βs: Recognition and

inhibition. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research **1813:**1593-

1606.

7.   **Christ, F., W. Thys, J. De Rijck, R. Gijsbers, A. Albanese, D. Arosio, S. Emiliani, J.**

C. Rain, R. Benarous, A. Cereseto, and Z. Debyser. 2008. Transportin-SR2 imports

HIV into the nucleus. Curr Biol **18:**1192-1202.

8.   **Craven, R. C., A. E. Leure-duPree, R. A. Weldon JR., and J. W. Wills.** 1995. Genetic

Analysis of the Major Homology Region of the Rous Sarcoma Virus Gag Protein. Journal

of Virology **69:**4213-4227.

9.   **De Houwer, S., J. Demeulemeester, W. Thys, O. Taltynov, K. Zmajkovicova, F.**

Christ, and Z. Debyser. 2012. Identification of Residues in the C-terminal Domain of

HIV-1 Integrase That Mediate Binding to the Transportin-SR2 Protein. Journal of

Biological Chemistry **287:**34059-34068.

10.   **De Iaco, A., and J. Luban.** 2011. Inhibition of HIV-1 infection by TNPO3 depletion is

determined by capsid and detectable after viral cDNA enters the nucleus. Retrovirology

8:98.

11.   **De Iaco, A., F. Santoni, A. Vannier, M. Guipponi, S. Antonarakis, and J. Luban.**

2013. TNPO3 protects HIV-1 replication from CPSF6-mediated capsid stabilization in the

host cell cytoplasm. Retrovirology **10:**20.

12.   **Fricke, T., J. C. Valle-Casuso, T. E. White, A. Brandariz-Nuñez, W. J. Bosche, N.**

Reszka, R. Gorelick, and F. Diaz-Griffero. 2013. The ability of TNPO3-depleted cells

to inhibit HIV-1 infection requires CPSF6. Retrovirology **10:**46-46.

13.   **Garbitt-Hirst, R., S. P. Kenney, and L. J. Parent.** 2009. Genetic evidence for a

connection between Rous sarcoma virus gag nuclear trafficking and genomic RNA

packaging. J.Virol. **83:**6790-6797.

14.   **Gudleski, N., J. M. Flanagan, E. P. Ryan, M. C. Bewly, and L. J. Parent.** 2010.

Directionality of nucleocytoplasmic transport of the retroviral gag protein depends on

sequential binding of karyopherins and viral RNA. Proceedings of the National Academy

of Sciences **107:**9358-9363.

15.   **Harper, S., and D. W. Speicher.** 2002. Expression and Purification of GST Fusion

Proteins, vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

16.   **Hori, T., H. Takeuchi, H. Saito, R. Sakuma, Y. Inagaki, and S. Yamaoka.** 2013. A

Carboxy-Terminally Truncated Human CPSF6 Lacking Residues Encoded by Exon 6

Inhibits HIV-1 cDNA Synthesis and Promotes Capsid Disassembly. J Virol **87:**7726-

7736.

17.   **Kataoka, N., J. L. Bachorik, and G. Dreyfuss.** 1999. Transportin-SR, a Nuclear Import

Receptor for SR Proteins. The Journal of Cell Biology **145:**1145-1152.

18.   **Kenney, S. P., T. L. Lochmann, C. L. Schmid, and L. J. Parent.** 2008. Intermolecular

Interactions between Retroviral Gag Proteins in the Nucleus. Journal of Virology **82:**683-

691.

19.   **König, R., Y. Zhou, D. Elleder, T. L. Diamond, G. M. C. Bonamy, J. T. Irelan, C.-y.**

Chiang, B. P. Tu, P. D. De Jesus, C. E. Lilley, S. Seidel, A. M. Opaluch, J. S.

Caldwell, M. D. Weitzman, K. L. Kuhen, S. Bandyopadhyay, T. Ideker, A. P. Orth, L.

J. Miraglia, F. D. Bushman, J. A. Young, and S. K. Chanda. 2008. Global Analysis of

Host-Pathogen Interactions that Regulate Early-Stage HIV-1 Replication. Cell **135:**49-

60.

20.   **Kornblihtt, A. R., M. de la Mata, J. P. Fededa, M. J. Munoz, and G. Nogues.** 2004.

Multiple links between transcription and splicing. Rna **10:**1489-1498.

21.   **Krishnan, L., K. A. Matreyek, I. Oztop, K. Lee, C. H. Tipper, X. Li, M. J. Dar, V. N.**

KewalRamani, and A. Engelman. 2009. The Requirement for Cellular Transportin 3

(TNPO3 or TRN-SR2) during Infection Maps to Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1

Capsid and Not Integrase. Journal of Virology **84:**397-406.

22.   **Lai, M.-C., H.-W. Kuo, W.-C. Chang, and W.-Y. Tarn.** 2003. A novel splicing regualtor

shares a nuclear import pathway with SR proteins. The EMBO Journal **22:**1359-1369.

23.   **Lai, M.-C., R.-I. Lin, S.-Y. Huang, C.-W. Tsai, and W.-Y. Tarn.** 2000. A Human

Importin-ß Family Protein, Transportin-SR2, Interacts with the Phosphorylated RS

Domain of SR Proteins. Journal of Biological Chemistry **275:**7950-7957.

24.   **Lai, M. C., R. I. Lin, and W. Y. Tarn.** 2001. Transportin-SR2 mediates nuclear import of

phosphorylated SR proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **98:**10154-10159.

25.   **Larue, R., K. Gupta, C. Wuensch, N. Shkriabai, J. J. Kessl, E. Danhart, L. Feng, O.**

Taltynov, F. Christ, G. D. Van Duyne, Z. Debyser, M. P. Foster, and M.

Kvaratskhelia. 2012. Interaction of the HIV-1 Intasome with Transportin 3 Protein

(TNPO3 or TRN-SR2). Journal of Biological Chemistry **287:**34044-34058.

26. **Lee, K., Z. Ambrose, T. D. Martin, I. Oztop, A. Mulky, J. G. Julias, N. Vandegraaff, J.**
G. Baumann, R. Wang, W. Yuen, T. Takemura, K. Shelton, I. Taniuchi, Y. Li, J.
Sodroski, D. R. Littman, J. M. Coffin, S. H. Hughes, D. Unutmaz, A. Engelman, and
V. N. KewalRamani. 2010. Flexible Use of Nuclear Import Pathways by HIV-1. Cell Host
& Microbe **7:**221-233.

27. **Levin, A., Z. Hayouka, A. Friedler, and A. Loyter.** 2010. Transportin 3 and importin α
are required for effective nuclear import of HIV-1 integrase in virus-infected cells.
Nucleus **1:**422-431.

28. **Liu, H., and J. H. Naismith.** 2008. An efficient one-step site-directed deletion, insertion,
single and multiple-site plasmid mutagenesis protocol. BMC Biotechnology **8:**91.

29. **Logue, E. C., K. T. Taylor, P. H. Goff, and N. R. Landau.** 2011. The Cargo-Binding
Domain of Transportin 3 Is Required for Lentivirus Nuclear Import. J Virol **85:**12950-
12961.

30. **Luban, J.** 2008. HIV-1 Infection: Going Nuclear with TNPO3/Transportin-SR2 and
Integrase. Current Biology **18:**R710-R713.

31. **Maertens, G. N., N. J. Cook, W. Wang, S. Hare, S. S. Gupta, I. Öztop, K. Lee, V. E.**
Pye, O. Cosnefroy, A. P. Snijders, V. N. KewalRamani, A. Fassati, A. Engelman,
and P. Cherepanov. 2014. Structural basis for nuclear import of splicing factors by
human Transportin 3. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111:**2728-
2733.

32. **Matic, I., M. van Hagen, J. Schimmel, B. Macek, S. C. Ogg, M. H. Tatham, R. T. Hay,**
A. I. Lamond, M. Mann, and A. C. O. Vertegaal. 2008. In vivo identification of human
small ubiquitin-like modifier polymerization sites by high accuracy mass spectrometry
and an in vitro to in vivo strategy. Mol Cell Proteomics **7:**132-144.

33. **Misteli, T., J. F. Cáceres, J. Q. Clement, A. R. Krainer, M. F. Wilkinson, and D. L.**

Spector. 1998. Serine Phosphorylation of SR Proteins Is Required for Their Recruitment

to Sites of Transcription In Vivo. The Journal of Cell Biology **143:**297.

34. **Muriaux, D., and J. L. Darlix.** 2010. Properties and functions of the nucleocapsid

protein in virus assembly. RNA Biol **7:**744-753.

35. **Murthi, A., H. H. Shaheen, H. Y. Huang, M. A. Preston, T. P. Lai, E. M. Phizicky, and**

A. K. Hopper. 2010. Regulation of tRNA bidirectional nuclear-cytoplasmic trafficking in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biol Cell **21:**639-649.

36. **Nadaraia-Hoke, S., D. V. Bann, T. L. Lochmann, N. Gudleski-O'Regan, and L. J.**

Parent. 2013. Alterations in the MA and NC domains modulate phosphoinositide-

dependent plasma membrane localization of the Rous sarcoma virus Gag protein. J Virol

87:3609-3615.

37. **Ngo, J. C. K., S. Chakrabarti, J.-H. Ding, A. Velazquez-Dones, B. Nolen, B. E.**

Aubol, J. A. Adams, X.-D. Fu, and G. Ghosh. 2005. Interplay between SRPK and

Clk/Sty Kinases in Phosphorylation of the Splicing Factor ASF/SF2 Is Regulated by a

Docking Motif in ASF/SF2. Molecular Cell **20:**77-89.

38. **Ocwieja, K. E., T. L. Brady, K. Ronen, A. Huegel, S. L. Roth, T. Schaller, L. C.**

James, G. J. Towers, J. A. Young, S. K. Chanda, R. Konig, N. Malani, C. C. Berry,

and F. D. Bushman. 2011. HIV integration targeting: a pathway involving Transportin-3

and the nuclear pore protein RanBP2. PLoS Pathog **7:**e1001313.

39. **Price, A. J., A. J. Fletcher, T. Schaller, T. Elliott, K. Lee, V. N. KewalRamani, J. W.**

Chin, G. J. Towers, and L. C. James. 2012. CPSF6 Defines a Conserved Capsid

Interface that Modulates HIV-1 Replication. PLoS Pathog **8:**e1002896.

40. **Rice, B., R. Kaddis, M. Stake, T. Lochmann, and L. Parent.** 2015. Interplay between

the alpharetroviral Gag protein and SR Proteins SF2 and SC35 in the nucleus. Frontiers

in Microbiology **6**.

41.   **Schaller, T., K. E. Ocwieja, J. Rasaiyaah, A. J. Price, T. L. Brady, S. L. Roth, S. Hué,**

A. J. Fletcher, K. Lee, V. N. KewalRamani, M. Noursadeghi, R. G. Jenner, L. C.

James, F. D. Bushman, and G. J. Towers. 2011. HIV-1 Capsid-Cyclophilin Interactions

Determine Nuclear Import Pathway, Integration Targeting and Replication Efficiency.

PLoS Pathog **7:**e1002439.

42.   **Scheifele, L. Z., R. Garbitt, J. D. Rhoads, and L. J. Parent.** 2002. Nuclear entry and

CRM1-dependent nuclear export of the Rous sarcoma virus Gag polyprotein.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **99:**3944-3949.

43.   **Scheifele, L. Z., S. P. Kenney, T. M. Cairns, R. C. Craven, and L. J. Parent.** 2007.

Overlapping Roles of the Rous Sarcoma Virus Gag p10 Domain in Nuclear Export and

Virion Core Morphology. Journal of Virology **81:**10718.

44.   **Scheifele, L. Z., E. P. Ryan, and L. J. Parent.** 2005. Detailed Mapping of the Nuclear

Export Signal in the Rous Sarcoma Virus Gag Protein. Journal of Virology **79:**8732-

8741.

45.   **Shah, V. B., J. Shi, D. R. Hout, I. Oztop, L. Krishnan, J. Ahn, M. S. Shotwell, A.**

Engelman, and C. Aiken. 2012. The Host Proteins Transportin SR2/TNPO3 and

Cyclophilin A Exert Opposing Effects on HIV-1 Uncoating. Journal of Virology **87:**422-

432.

46.   **Shaheen, H. H., and A. K. Hopper.** 2005. Retrograde movement of tRNAs from the

cytoplasm to the nucleus in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

102:11290-11295.

47.   **Shepard, P. J., and K. J. Hertel.** 2009. The SR protein family. Genome Biology **10:**242-

242.249.

48.   **Spector, D. L., and A. I. Lamond.** 2010. Nuclear Speckles. Cold Spring Harbor

Perspectives in Biology **3:**a000646-a000646.

49.   **Stake, M., D. Bann, R. Kaddis, and L. Parent.** 2013. Nuclear Trafficking of Retroviral

588   RNAs and Gag Proteins during Late Steps of Replication. Viruses **5:**2767.

589   50.   **Sutherland, H., and W. A. Bickmore.** 2009. Transcription factories: gene expression in

590   unions? Nature Reviews Genetics **10:**457-466.

591   51.   **Tsirkone, V. G., J. Blokken, F. De Wit, J. Breemans, S. De Houwer, Z. Debyser, F.**

592   **Christ, and S. V. Strelkov.** 2017. N-terminal half of transportin SR2 interacts with HIV

593   integrase. Journal of Biological Chemistry **292:**9699-9710.

594   52.   **Valle-Casuso, J. C., F. Di Nunzio, Y. Yang, N. Reszka, M. Lienlaf, N. Arhel, P. Perez,**

595   **A. L. Brass, and F. Diaz-Griffero.** 2012. TNPO3 Is Required for HIV-1 Replication after

596   Nuclear Import but prior to Integration and Binds the HIV-1 Core. J Virol **86:**5931-5936.

597   53.   **Wills, J. W., and R. C. Craven.** Form, function, and use of retroviral gag proteins. AIDS.

598   1991 Jun;5(6):639-54. doi: 10.1097/00002030-199106000-00002.

599   54.   **Zahler, A. M., W. S. Lane, J. A. Stolk, and M. B. Roth.** 1992. SR proteins: a conserved

600   family of pre-mRNA splicing factors. Genes & Development **6:**837-847.

601   55.   **Zhou, L., E. Sokolskaja, C. Jolly, W. James, S. A. Cowley, and A. Fassati.** 2011.

602   Transportin 3 Promotes a Nuclear Maturation Step Required for Efficient HIV-1

603   Integration. PLoS Pathog **7:**e1002194.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Effects of TNPO3 expression on nuclear accumulation of Gag. (A)

Schematic representation of RSV Gag constructs used, including the Gag domains MA

(matrix), p2, p10, CA (capsid), SP (spacer), and NC (nucleocapsid) with an in frame

fusion of GFP. Gag deletion mutants have YFPor GFP fusions, as indicated. TNPO3

consists of a three domain structure including an N-terminal RanGTP binding domain

(RBD), a nuclear pore complex (NPC) binding domain, and C-terminal cargo-binding

domain (CBD). (B) The localization of wildtype Gag.GFP (a) Gag.ΔNC.YFP (b),

Gag.ΔMA$_{5-86}$.GFP (c) and Gag.ΔMA$_{5-148}$.GFP (d) expressed in QT6 cells are shown with

DAPI-stained images included to show the nucleus of the cell. Panel (e) shows the

localization of mCherry.TNPO3. (C) Cells co-expressing the Gag wild-type or mutant

proteins with mCherry.TNPO3 are shown. (D) A scatter plot showing the percentage of

Gag localized to the nucleus (% nuclear compared to the signal in the entire cell) for

each cell analyzed, with or without co-expression of mCherry.TNPO3. At least 60 cells

were analyzed from three independent experiments for each condition, with the

standard error of the mean represented by the error bars. Statistical analysis was

performed using unpaired Student's t-test and significance ($p < 0.0001$) is indicted by an

asterisk. In cases where mCherry.TNPO3 and Gag constructs were co-transfected, only

cells expressing both Gag and mCherry.TNPO3 were analyzed quantitatively and

shown in the graph. Images were chosen for display that are representative of the mean

fluorescence intensity of the population analyzed. (E) Western blot of cell lysates

transfected with the indicated plasmids were performed using anti-GFP or α-mCherry

antibodies, as appropriate, to show that full-length proteins were expressed at the

expected sizes with minimal proteolysis for Gag.GFP, Gag variants, and

mCherry.TNPO3. The vertical line between Gag.GFP and Gag. ΔMA$_{5-86}$ .GFP

represents the removal of an irrelavant lane in the gel. Gag.ΔNC.YFP was expressed

on a separate blot, as was mCherry.TNPO3 (mCh.TPO3).

Figure 2: Gag nuclear accumulation with TNPO3 mutants. (A) Schematic

representation of the constructs used, including Gag containing a C-terminal YFP fusion

and TNPO3.ΔCargo, in which the entire cargo domain and a small portion of the NPC

domain was deleted. (B) Top row shows Gag.YFP expressed alone in QT6 cells. The

bottom row displays the accumulation of Gag.YFP with co-expression of

mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo. The image chosen is representative of the mean nuclear

flouorescence intensity of the population examined. (C) A scatter diagram plotting the

percentage of nuclear Gag in each expressing Gag alone or co-expressed with

TNPO3.ΔCargo indicates a significant increase in the nuclear population of Gag. At

least 43 cells were analyzed from at least 3 independent experiments for each

condition. The mean and standard error of the mean are shown with analysis using an

unpaired Student's t-test. The asterisk signifies $p < 0.0001$. Only cells expressing both

Gag and mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo were analyzed. (D) Western blot detecting RSV

Gag.YFP using an α-RSV antibody and mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo, which appears as a

doublet, using an α-mCherry antibody indicate that proteins of the expected size were

expressed.

Figure 3: *In vitro* affinity-tagged purifications of Gag and TNPO3 protein

complexes. (A) Schematic representation of constructs used (see Materials and

Methods for details). CA-NTD contains the N-terminal domain of CA. GST was fused to

the N terminus of TNPO3, with the ΔCargo mutant having the entire CBD and a small

portion of the NPC domain deleted. The GST-TNPO3.NPC construct contains most of

the NPC domain and a portion of the RanGTP binding domain. (B) In the affinity

purifications, various recombinant Gag proteins were incubated with GST-TNPO3, GST-

TNPO3.ΔCargo, or GST alone. In the left panel, one-tenth of the volume of the assay

mixture was removed before the incubation step, separated by SDS-PAGE gel, and

stained with Acquastain to directly visualize the Gag proteins put into into the binding

assay (Input). In the right panel, the bound protein elutes were separated by SDS-

PAGE and analyzed by western blot using α-RSV antibodies. (C and D) The same

assay was used as in (B). Gag was detected in the linear range using a short exposure,

whereas detection of MA required a longer exposure. At least 3 independent GST-

tagged purifications were performed for each condition and a representative image is

shown. (E) Western blot detecting purified Gag proteins using an α-RSV antibody to

show full-length proteins of the expected molecular weights.

Figure 4: Effects of multiple import factors on Gag nuclear accumulation. (A)

Visualization of subcellular localization of Gag.YFP (green) alone (first column) and with

co-expression of individual import factors, mCherry.TNPO3 (red: second column), HA-

importin-11 (magenta: third column), and HA-importin-β (magenta: fourth column). (B)

Visualization of subcellular distribution of Gag.YFP with co-expression of importin-11

and TNPO3 (left column), and importin-β and TNPO3 (right column). (C) Scatter plot

displaying the percentage of nuclear Gag alone, co-expressed with TNPO3, importin-11

or importin-β individually, and then co-expressed with the combination of TNPO3 +

importin-11 or TNPO3 + importin-β. At least 27 cells were analyzed from two

independent experiments for each condition. Group comparisons were analyzed using

unpaired Student's t-tests. The mean and the standard error of the mean are

represented and an asterisk signifies statistically significant difference ($p < 0.0001$)

between each compared group. For conditions of Gag co-expressed with an import

factor, only cells expressing both tagged proteins (Gag and the import factors) were

analyzed, and the images shown are representative of the mean fluorescence intensity

of the population.

A.

Gag.YFP

| MA | p2 | p10 | CA | SP | NC | YFP |

mCherry.TNPO3.ΔCargo

| mCherry | RBD | NPC |

B.

Gag alone

Gag | Gag + DAPI

Gag +
TNPO3.ΔCargo

Gag | TNPO3.ΔCargo | Merge | Merge + DAPI

C.

% Nuclear Gag

*

Gag Alone | Gag + TNPO3.ΔCargo

D.

Gag.YFP
(90kD)

100kD —
75kD —

mCh.TNPO3.
ΔCargo (92kD)

150kD —
100kD —
75kD —