Cropping systems that improve richness convey greater resistance and resilience to soil fungal, relative to prokaryote, communities.

D.R. Finn[1,2], S. Lee[2], A. Lanzén[3*], M. Bertrand[4], G.W. Nicol[2], C. Hazard[2]

[1]School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia 4072;
[2]Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Écully, France 69134;
[3]NEIKER-Basque Institute of Agricultural Research and Development, c/ Berreaga 1, Spain 48160;
[4]INRAE UMR Agronomie INRAE AgroParisTech Université Paris-Saclay, Thiverval-Grignon, France 78850

*Present address : IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science ; AZTI, Marine Research Division, Herrera Kaia, Portualdea z/g, Spain 20110

Author for Correspondence:
Christina Hazard
Christina.Hazard@ec-lyon.fr
Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Écully, France 69134

Abstract

Resistance is the capacity for a community to remain unchanged, and resilience the capacity to return to an original state, in response to disturbance. Increasing species richness may increase both dynamics. In a long-term agricultural field experiment incorporating conventional (CON), conservation (CA), organic (ORG) and integrated (INT) cropping systems, the effects of crop harvest and fallow period on the disturbance of prokaryote, fungal and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) communities were investigated. Prokaryote community structure shifted over the growing season, forming distinct saprotroph- and rhizosphere-dominated communities, and composition was primarily affected by time than cropping system. Species-rich prokaryotes demonstrated the highest resistance/resilience. Cropping system was more important for fungal communities, with resistance highest under CA. CON was particularly detrimental to AMF resistance and resilience. Prokaryote plant-growth promoters and saprotrophs, but not ammonia oxidisers and methylotrophs, were stable functional groups. Cosmopolitan soil fungal genera were stable, but most were not. *Glomus* AMF were stable, while most other genera were most stable under CA and ORG. These results demonstrate that practices promoting richness increase the stability of soil microbial communities in response to crop removal after harvest, with this effect being more pronounced in fungal (and AMF) communities.

Keywords

Biodiversity; Community Dynamics; Conservation Agriculture; Conventional Agriculture; Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi; Functional Niche

1. Introduction

Due to the global scale of anthropogenic impacts on natural ecosystems, the capacity for microbial communities to resist disturbance or return to an 'original' state is topical in microbial ecology. These concepts have been defined as: 1) resistance, which describes the capacity for a community to remain unchanged despite a disturbance event; and 2) resilience, which describes a dynamic process that considers the time it takes for a community to return to its original state after a disturbance event (Grimm and Wissel, 1997). Both of these concepts are entwined with the insurance hypothesis – communities that display greater species richness should also demonstrate greater resistance and resilience (Ives *et al.*, 2000). Interestingly, despite the high diversity of microbial communities, most appear to be sensitive to disturbance and can take years or even decades to return to an original state (Allison and Martiny, 2008). In the spatially and temporally variable *in situ* environment, communities that display high richness, resilience and resistance may be more likely to ensure a stable rate of ecosystem processes.

The soil microbial community is primarily controlled by both plant-dependent factors and soil physico-chemistry (Philippot *et al.*, 2013). It is a complex assembly including bacteria, archaea, obligate plant-symbiont arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and saprotrophic, non-mycorrhizal fungi. Bacteria regulate many essential processes, including nitrogen fixation (Rosswall, 1982), nitrification (Kowalchuk and Stephen, 2001), decomposition of complex organic matter (*i.e.* cellulose, hemicellulose, chitin) (Schimel and Schaeffer, 2012) and regulation of atmospheric greenhouse gases methane and nitrous oxide (Hanson and Hanson, 1996, Barnard *et al.*, 2005). In the soil environment, Archaea produce methane and members of the phylum Thaumarchaeota oxidise ammonia (but with ammonia source) and pH often resulting in niche differentiation from their bacterial counterparts (Prosser and Nicol, 2012). AMF are vital for growth of many plant species by facilitating nutrient (*e.g.* phosphorus and nitrogen) uptake from soil and transfer to roots (Koide and Kabir, 2000; Hodge and Fitter, 2010), but also improve soil aggregation and carbon sequestration via hyphal growth (Borie *et al.*, 2006; Morris *et al.*, 2019). Finally, saprotrophic fungi also assist in decomposition and recycling of complex organic matter (Setala and McLean, 2004). The combined activity of these microorganisms benefit plant-growth, often in exchange for root exudates as an organic carbon source (Cordovez *et al.*, 2019).

In cropping systems, management practices have the potential to shape the composition of microbial communities and improve species richness. For example, tillage has a particularly detrimental effect on AMF community richness (Sale *et al.*, 2015, Banerjee *et al.*, 2019) and shift communities to favour Proteobacteria over Acidobacteria (Souza *et al.*, 2013, de Vries *et al.*, 2015). Mineral nitrogen fertilisation favours Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and ammonia oxidising bacteria (AOB) over ammonia oxidising archaea (AOA) (Fierer *et al.*, 2012). Organic fertilisation increases richness of Verrucomicrobia and Acidobacteria (Wessen *et al.*, 2010). Pesticides have the potential to decrease nitrogen-fixing and nitrifying bacteria, among others (Johnsen *et al.*, 2001). Permanent cover crops structure the community in many ways: a) their root systems provide stable soil moisture content that increases overall richness (Vukicevich *et al.*, 2016); b) AMF-associated with cover crops remain stable in the soil, and interestingly can even transfer nutrients to commercial crops when they are present (Cheng and Baumgartner, 2006); and c) the rhizosphere of cover crops can promote root disease-suppressive Pseudomonads and fungal *Trichoderma* spp. (Vukicevich *et al.*, 2016). A common practice in perennial agricultural systems is cultivation of the crop followed by a fallow, intercrop period between sowing. Due to the importance of plant-microbe interactions in shaping the soil microbial community, the cultivation (*i.e.* removal) of an active root system likely acts as a dramatic disturbance event. Depending on the extent of community change (resistance) and the time it takes for the community to recover upon sowing for the next season (resilience) such a disturbance may have negative consequences for functional groups of microorganisms involved in various processes. Conversely, management practices that increase species richness may provide increased resistance and resilience to avoid detrimental effects of disturbance.

The La Cage long-term experimental agricultural site was established by the Institut National de la Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement (INRAE) in 1998 in Versailles, France. Its purpose is to compare the performance of four cropping systems; conventional (CON) verses those with lower amounts of inputs - integrated (INT), conservation (CA) and organic (ORG). CA has been shown to increase soil organic carbon stocks, without increasing soil respiration rate, relative to other cropping systems (Autret *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, CA improved bacterial, fungal, earthworm and arthropod biomass relative to CON, while only ORG

increased bacterial biomass relative to other cropping systems (Henneron *et al.*, 2015). The benefits of CA are thought to be primarily derived from the incorporation of a permanent cover crop, red fescue (*Festuca rubra*) followed by alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). The La Cage site therefore represents a valuable model system to compare how various agricultural practices affect the resistance and resilience of soil microbial communities in response to crop cultivation. To address this, microbial community composition analyses were performed for prokaryotes, fungi and specifically for AMF on CON, CA, INT and ORG soils over winter (December), half-way through the cropping season (May) and immediately prior to harvest (July). Specifically, it was hypothesised that: 1) the change in composition observed over time due to disturbance would differ between cropping systems; 2) species rich prokaryotes would demonstrate the greatest resistance and resilience, and species poor AMF would demonstrate the least, in response to crop harvest as a disturbance event; and 3) cropping systems that promote richness would provide increased resistance and resilience to key microbial functional groups in response to crop harvest as a disturbance event. Figure 1 is a conceptual diagram of how microbial community composition, measured as Jaccard Similarity (JS) to the harvest community, was hypothesised to change over time. By measuring the JS after disturbance (December) and half-way through the cropping season (May) we sought whether communities under certain practices, such as CA, would be more similar to the harvest community than other practices, such as CON.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. La Cage experimental site

A detailed presentation of the La Cage long term trial has been reported previously (Debaeke *et al.*, 2009). The site is located 15 km southwest of Paris (48◦48’ N, 2◦08’ E). The soil is a deep loamy, well-drained Luvisol (WRB, 2015). Texture includes: 15 – 18.4% clay (< 2 μm particle size diameter), 16.5 – 20.2% fine silt (2 – 20 μm), 30.3 – 43.2% coarse silt (20 – 50 μm) and 18.4 – 31.2% sand (50 – 200 μm). Table 1 outlines specific practices, crop production (wheat, pea and rapeseed t ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) and soil properties (total organic carbon TOC, total nitrogen TN, C:N ratio, pH and cation exchange capacity CEC) that differ between the cropping systems. Briefly, CON is typified by frequent tillage, pesticide application and high level of mineral N

fertilisation. CA is no-till, infrequent pesticide application, has a permanent cover crop and receives N from mineral sources and a legume rotation. INT involves reduced tillage, infrequent pesticide application, and receives a reduced level of mineral N fertilisation. Finally, ORG involves frequent tillage, no pesticide application, and receives N from a legume rotation. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) were grown on independent subplots (0.56 ha) under each cropping system. For CON, INT and CA, a yearly rotating plot (12 m x 20 m) did not receive a mineral N application. Rapeseed was sown in August and wheat in October, prior to any soil sampling, mineral fertiliser was applied in February, and crops were harvested in July. Wheat and rapeseed undergo annual rotations in these systems.

2.2. Soil sampling

Soil samples were collected in December 2015, May 2016 and July 2016 from the wheat and rapeseed plots and no mineral N plots of the four cropping systems. Five replicate soil samples were randomly taken in each plot, at a diameter and depth of 4 and 20 cm, respectively, using a hand auger, resulting in a total of 70 samples per collection date, and 210 samples overall. Soil samples were stored at -20°C.

2.3. Molecular analyses

DNA was extracted from 0.5 g soil using the MoBio PowerSoil DNA Kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Prokaryotic and AMF specific amplification of fragments of the Small Subunit rRNA gene (16S and 18S respectively) were carried out by using the primer pairs 515F/806R (Walters *et al*., 2015) and AMV4.5NF/AMDGR (Sato *et al*., 2005), respectively. Amplification of the fungal internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) region was carried out with the primer pair ITS1F/ITS2 (Walters *et al*., 2015). Primers had Illumina adaptor sequences attached. PCR was performed in 27 µl reactions with 22.5 µl of Invitrogen Platinum PCR SuperMix (Thermo Fisher, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1.0 µl of forward and reverse primers (10 µM), and 2.5 µl of template DNA (5 ng/µl) on a Biometra T1 thermocycler (Biomentra GmbH, Göttingen, Germany). PCR conditions for 16S and ITS were as follows: 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 50°C for 1 min, 72°C for 90 s; and 72°C for 10 min. For 18S, 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 95°C for 45 s, 56°C for 45 s,

72°C for 1 min; and 72°C for 7 min was used. Amplicons were bead purified using Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France), followed by indexing PCR using the Nextera XT Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following manufacture's recommendations. Indexed amplicons were bead purified using Agencourt AMPure XP and quantified using a µDrop Plate (Thermo Fisher, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Equimolar concentrations of samples were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer with V2 2x150 bp paired-end chemistry. The raw sequences, with associated metadata, are available at NCBI BioProject under accession PRJN609408.

2.4. Bioinformatic analyses

Sequencing data was manually inspected using FastQC (Andrews, 2014), and processed using an established workflow, modified for fungal ITS and AMF 18S analyses. Sequence read pairs were first merged, using *vsearch* (Rognes *et al*., 2016) and thereafter trimmed to remove forward and reverse primers using *cutadapt* (Martin, 2015). Sequences lacking the correct primer sequences were discarded. Paired 16S sequences were then trimmed to a length of 252 and shorter sequences were discarded. For fungal ITS and AMF 18S sequences, with a more variable amplicon length, no trimming was carried out but sequences shorter than 100 or 200 bp, respectively, were discarded. Further, for all amplicons, sequences with more than one expected error were discarded, using *vsearch.* Clustering and denoising was performed using Swarm v2 with default parameters (Mahé *et al*., 2015), followed by reference based and *de novo* chimera checking using *vsearch* (UCHIME algorithm; Edgar, 2012), with the RDP Gold reference sequences for 16S, SilvaMod v106 for 18S (Lanzén *et al*. 2012) and UNITE (Köljalg *et al*., 2005) for ITS. SWARM OTUs were then further subjected to clustering using *vsearch* with a cut-off of 97% minimum similarity, and singletons retained. Taxonomic classification of representative OTU sequences was then carried out using CREST (Lanzén *et al*., 2012) with the Silva v123 reference database (Quast *et al*., 2013), except for ITS for which the UNITE database was used (Köljalg *et al*., 2005). OTUs not identified as bacteria or archaea, fungi and Glomeromycota were removed from the Prokaryote 16S, fungal ITS and AMF 18S datasets, respectively. The MaarjAM database was

used for AMF taxa identification of the OTUs to genera (similarity ≥ 97%, query coverage ≥ 90 %, E-value ≤ $1e^{-100}$) (Öpik *et al*., 2010).

2.5. Statistical analyses

All statistics were performed in R v3.5.2 (Team, 2013). Initially Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test was performed to test for differences in soil TOC, TN, C:N ratio, pH and CEC across cropping systems (n = 4 each).

Heatmaps showing the relative abundance (%) of Phyla within prokaryotes, fungi and AMF across time and cropping system were generated with the package 'gplots' (Warnes *et al.*, 2019). Due to their extensive taxonomic diversity, Proteobacteria were displayed at the Class level. Analysis of variance was used to compare effects of time and management on the relative abundance (%) of each Phylum or Class. Benjamin-Hochberg adjustments were made to p values to account for false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

Samples with less than 4000 prokaryote or fungal sequences, and less than 200 AMF sequences, were removed from the datasets prior to the following analyses (1, 4 and 19 samples were removed, respectively). Species richness and Shannon indices were visualised with box and whisker plots across time and between management. Analysis of variance was performed to test for changes in richness and Shannon index across time and between management. Principle components analysis (PCoA) was performed on Bray-Curtis transformed dissimilarity OTU matrices, and Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) performed on Bray-Curtis transformed matrices with the *anosim* function, with 999 permutations, via the 'vegan' package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2013).

For network analyses, prokaryote, fungi and AMF OTU matrices were subsetted based on individual management practice to compare OTU changes over time. Weighted networks were visualised from Spearman covariance matrices, with non-significant edge weights below the 95th quantile trimmed, performed with the 'igraph' package (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006). Nodes that lacked at least one edge were filtered out. Weighted networks were visualised with the force-directed Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm. Total nodes, edges, betweenness scores, clustering coefficients and diameter of each network was calculated as described (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006).

Jaccard Similarity was calculated for each sample over time, as a measure of resistance (July versus December) and resilience (July versus May). Jaccard Similarity (JS) was calculated as:

$$JS = \frac{\sum s_{ij}}{t_i + t_j} \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where *s* was the sum of shared OTUs in samples *i* and *j*, while t_i and t_j were the total OTUs in samples *i* and *j*, respectively. JS was calculated at the genus level for prokaryotes and fungi, and at the individual OTU level for AMF due to their relatively low richness. The July (crop cultivation) time point for each sample was considered *i*, while *j* iterated through the December (over winter) and May (half-way through cropping) time points. The JS of wheat *versus* rapeseed crop were not compared, as each sample was only compared to its corresponding management/crop sample over time. Also of note is that JS compares similarity of species detection unweighted by relative abundance. A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to confirm whether cropping system affected JS at December and May time points, with Tukey's HSD post hoc test to show which cropping systems differed from each other. Finally, the proportional similarity of each prokaryote, fungal and AMF genus was calculated via Levins' niche breadth (B_N) (Levins, 1968) to identify what species were stable or unstable, within each cropping system, over time. Here we consider each time point during community succession to be a separate niche. Specifically, B_N was calculated as:

$$B_N = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{i=1} {p_i}^2 \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

where *R* was the number of independent time points (3), and *p* was the proportional abundance of each genus in the ith sample. A value of 1 indicates equal distribution of the taxon across time, whereas 1/*R* indicates the taxon is present at only one time point. For significance testing of proportional similarity values, null model testing of 999 randomly permuted OTU distributions was performed. The B_N of each genus was compared to this null distribution to derive a *p* value, which was Benjamin-Hochberg adjusted to account for false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Further information regarding the use of B_N to test for proportional similarity can be found at 'github.com/DamienFinn/MicroNiche_Vignette', and the functions are available through the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) as the R package 'MicroNiche'.

3. Results

3.1. Soil properties and microbial community composition

Table 1 lists soil properties that differed between the four cropping systems. TOC was greatest under CA and lowest under ORG. Only CA had significantly greater TN than the other schemes. The C:N ratio, soil pH and CEC did not differ based on management.

Time was considered as a categorical variable with three levels: December sampling during winter with sown crops, May sampling half-way through the cropping season and July sampling just prior to crop harvest. Time primarily affected prokaryote phyla and proteobacterial classes, although cropping system also affected several relatively abundant phyla including the Alphaproteobacteria, Thaumarchaeota and Verrucomicrobia (Figure 2). Time was also the predominant effector of changes in fungal phyla abundance, with management affecting Basidiomycota and Glomeromycota. Time and cropping system affected AMF orders differently – time was important for the Diversisporales, whereas cropping system was important for the Glomerales, Diversisporales and Paraglomerales. Prokaryote richness and Shannon indices followed the trend Dec < May < July regardless of cropping system (Figure 3a). Time affected richness ($p < 0.001$) but not Shannon index ($p > 0.05$), while cropping system had a slight effect on Shannon ($p = 0.02$). Fungal richness was strongly affected by time and cropping system ($p < 0.001$) with July and the CA system tending toward higher richness. This was reflected in the Shannon index ($p < 0.001$ for both time and cropping system) (Figure 3b). The AMF richness and Shannon differed within cropping system by CON < INT < CA < ORG and across time as Dec < May < July (Figure 3c). All time and cropping system effects on AMF diversity indices were significant ($p < 0.001$). Figure 4 displays the PCoA of prokaryote (a), fungal (b) and AMF (c) community compositions. Prokaryotes were primarily affected by time (ANOSIM, R = 0.59, $p < 0.001$) and secondarily by cropping system (R = 0.14, $p < 0.001$). This was evident by a clear separation of December communities (circles) versus May and July communities (triangles and squares). Fungi were also primarily affected by time (R = 0.36, $p < 0.001$) than by cropping system (R = 0.07, $p < 0.001$). Finally, AMF were primarily affected by cropping system (R = 0.17, $p < 0.001$) more than time (R = 0.12, $p < 0.001$). This was evident by the CON communities (black) separating from other communities under the other three cropping systems. Wheat and rapeseed crops

had different communities but contributed almost no variance compared to time and cropping system (R = 0.01 – 0.03, $p < 0.001$ for all groups), and the with and without mineral N plots did not have different communities (R = -0.002 – -0.004, $p < 0.47$ for all groups).

3.2. Network analyses

Weighted networks of prokaryote, fungal and AMF communities were performed to support comparisons of relative abundances, diversity indices and ordination. Each node represents an OTU and each edge represents significant covariance between nodes based on Spearman covariance above the 95th quantile of edge weights. Separate networks were constructed for each cropping system and include the three sampling times per network to visualise the effect of time on community covariance. Table 3 lists node and edge numbers, mean and standard deviation of edge betweenness scores, clustering coefficients and the diameter of each network. Figure 5a are prokaryote networks for CON, CA, INT and ORG, respectively. Nodes and edges varied between cropping systems with lowest in ORG and highest in CON. Edge betweenness was similar between all networks. The clustering coefficients were high under all cropping systems (0.65 – 0.69) due to the formation of two distinct communities over time. Notably, one cluster tended to be dominated by Actinobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria, whilst the opposite cluster tended to host a diversity of Bacteroidetes, Gammaproteobacteria and other phyla. Figure 5b are fungal networks for CON, CA, INT and ORG, respectively. Nodes and edges were lowest in ORG and highest in CON. Edge betweenness was lowest in INT and ORG and highest in CON and CA. Clustering coefficients were all low (0.4 – 0.46) due to a central core of fungal OTUs that did not vary much over time, surrounded by peripheral OTUs that did vary. Ascomycota and Basidiomycota dominated all fungal networks, indicating that only few Zygomycota OTUs covaried strongly with other OTUs. Figure 5c are AMF networks for CON, CA, INT and ORG, respectively. The least species rich AMF gave the sparsest networks with particularly low nodes in ORG and INT relative to CA. Similarly, ORG and INT had the lowest edges while CA was the most connected and had the highest edge betweenness values. The diameter of CON was high despite its low node number, indicating particularly sparse connectivity between nodes. Finally, the clustering coefficient of CON was also relatively high at 0.61, further suggestive of a less stable network over time

compared to the low clustering coefficient (yet high node and edge numbers) of CA at 0.404.

3.3. Resistance and resilience of communities and functional groups over time

Figure 6a, b and c show JS indices for prokaryote, fungal and AMF communities over time. Table 3 shows Kruskal-Wallis results of JS differences between cropping systems at December and May timepoints. The mean and Tukey's HSD outcomes for each cropping system are included in Table 3. Resistance was considered as the JS between July and December, while resilience was considered as the JS between July and May. For prokaryotes, resistance differed between cropping systems from the lowest CON and INT (mean of 0.53) to the highest CA and ORG (0.59). Resilience showed the same pattern with the lowest CON and INT (0.59 – 0.6) to the highest CA and ORG (0.63). Resistance for fungi was lowest in CON (0.44) and highest in CA (0.47). Resilience for fungi was lowest in ORG (0.48) and highest in CA (0.52). Finally, AMF resistance was lowest in CON (0.19) and high in CA, INT and ORG (0.21 – 0.29). Resilience was lowest in CON (0.27) and high in CA, INT and ORG (0.36 – 0.37).

3.4. Proportional similarity changes of functional groups

Table 4 lists B_N values for select genera involved in nitrogen fixation, plant-growth promotion, ammonia oxidation, methylotrophy and saprotrophy. A significantly low B_N indicates a genus that has a highly dissimilar distribution across time, which suggests its niche is strongly impacted at one or more time points during community succession. A significantly high B_N approaching 1 indicates a genus that is equally distributed across time points, which suggests its niche is not impacted during community succession. Nitrogen fixers and plant-growth promoters were largely stable excepting for *Rhizobacter*. This genus was only present at one time points under CON and INT. Abundances of AOA were affected by time. *Candidatus* Nitrosoarchaeum was only stable in ORG. *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera was stable under CA and ORG. The AOB *Nitrosospira* was transient under all practices, and absent from CA. The abundance of the AOB *Nitrospira* persisted over time under all cropping systems, while Nitrosomonas followed the trend of *Can*. Nitrososphaera. For methylotrophs, only methylotrophic *Methylobacterium* was stable in CON, CA and INT systems. Methanotrophic *Methylobacter* was stable under ORG, while

Methylomicrobium was stable under CON. *Methylocaldum* was unstable under all systems, and absent under ORG. Methylotrophic *Methylobacillus* and *Methylotenera* were transient under all cropping systems. For saprotrophs, *Actinomyces* was only transiently present under CA, while *Chitinivorax* was only present under CA. In general, however, the majority of prokaryote saprotrophs persisted stably through time regardless of cropping system.

Table 5 lists B_N values for saprotrophic and pathogenic fungal members of Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Zygomycota. The fungi demonstrated less stability than the prokaryotes. Only two Ascomycota (*Articulospora* and *Dendryphion*), one Basidiomycota (*Cryptococcus*) and one Zygomycota (*Ramicandelaber*) were unaffected by time or cropping system. Finally, Table 6 lists B_N values for AMF genera. Populations of *Glomus* remained stable across time under all cropping systems. *Claroideoglomus* and *Pacispora* were stable under INT, CA and ORG. *Diversispora* and *Scutellospora* were stable under ORG, while *Archaeospora* was stable under CON. *Paraglomus* and *Gigaspora* were only present in CA and ORG and differed markedly over time.

4. Discussion

4.1. General trends in high taxonomic ranks across time and cropping system

The removal of a crop during perennial harvesting is likely to act as a disturbance event for soil and rhizosphere communities in agricultural systems. This may result in significant disturbance to microbial groups that perform important functions in soils. It was hypothesised that: 1) management practice would affect how microbial community composition changed over time, as December (over-wintering with sown crop), May (mid-cropping season) and July (prior to harvest) in response to crop harvest as a disturbance event; 2) species rich prokaryotes would demonstrate greater resistance and resilience than species poor AMF in response to the removal of the crop; and 3) cropping systems that promote species richness would increase resistance and resilience of microbial communities in response to the removal of the crop.

In general, the dominant prokaryotic phyla in these soils reflected what is known of abundant phyla in agricultural systems. Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria are dominant phyla in the wheat and rapeseed rhizosphere (Ai *et al.*, 2015, Gkarmiri *et al.*, 2017). Unsurprisingly, Bacteroidetes, Gammaproteobacteria and

Betaproteobacteria decreased markedly in December in the absence of a mature plant host. These phyla tend to include copiotrophic taxa that are enriched by amino acids and monosaccharides (Fierer *et al.*, 2007, Goldfarb *et al.*, 2011, Ho *et al.*, 2017) which are common root exudates that tend to be less available in bulk soils (Dennis *et al.*, 2010, Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya, 2015). Conversely, the Actinobacteria thrived in December (Figure 2). While this phylum is also present in both the wheat and rapeseed rhizosphere (Ai *et al.*, 2015, Gkarmiri *et al.*, 2017) it includes many saprotrophs that excel at degrading and consuming dead microbial and plant biomass (Barka *et al.*, 2015). Thus, while the removal of the mature plant host proved detrimental to phyla that include many plant-microbe symbionts, it was of benefit to saprotrophs. The Thaumarchaeota and Verrucomicrobia were the only dominant phyla affected by both time and cropping system (Figure 2). Here they were enriched under CA, ORG and INT practices. Both phyla are notably enriched under organic practices (Wessen *et al.*, 2010, Ding *et al.*, 2016, Li *et al.*, 2017) while simultaneously decreasing under practices that involve adding mineral nitrogen (Fierer *et al.*, 2012, Ramirez *et al.*, 2012). Similar to the copiotrophic rhizosphere phyla, the abundance of Acidobacteria decreased marginally in December. The Acidobacteria are also present in the wheat and rapeseed rhizosphere but may not necessarily grow directly on plant root exudates, as shown through isotope tracing (Ai *et al.*, 2015, Gkarmiri *et al.*, 2017). It was hypothesised that the Acidobacteria are specialised to utilise recalcitrant plant organic matter in the rhizosphere, such as sloughed root cells. Taken together, almost all dominant prokaryote phyla here were affected by the removal of the plant, with rhizosphere phyla decreasing in the absence of a mature crop and potential saprotrophs increasing under these circumstances.

The most abundant fungal phylum was the Ascomycota (Figure 2). This phylum frequently dominates cropping and pasture systems (de Castro *et al.*, 2008, Klaubauf *et al.*, 2010, Ma *et al.*, 2013). Unlike the prokaryote phyla affected by time, here the abundance of Ascomycota decreased in May – July, prior to harvest, when the community was expected to be at its climax stage. The Ascomycota are a highly diverse phylum (Lutzoni *et al.*, 2004). It includes saprotrophs (Voriskova and Baldrian, 2013), symbiotic endophytes of wheat and rapeseed (Abdellatif *et al.*, 2009, Behie and Bidochka, 2014, Gkarmiri *et al.*, 2017), and a number of pathogens of wheat and other crops, including the genera *Phaesophaeria*, *Alternaria* and

Fusarium (Berbee, 2001). Fungal succession patterns during decomposition demonstrate preferential enrichment of the Ascomycota at the beginning of plant degradation, which decrease over time (Voriskova and Baldrian, 2013, Vivelo and Bhatnagar, 2019). Presumably, endophytes and pathogens would both increase in abundance over time, and so it is tempting to speculate that the decrease of Ascomycota observed in July is reflective of saprotrophs being limited by the availability of specific plant substrates present in December and May. The second most abundant fungal phyla here were the Zygomycota. This differs from other agricultural systems that show Basidiomycota as the second most dominant group (de Castro *et al.*, 2008, Klaubauf *et al.*, 2010). The Zygomycota have been noted as dominant saprotrophs when plant organic matter is depleted of lignin relative to cellulose, toward the latter stages of decomposition (Osono and Takeda, 2001, Vivelo and Bhatnagar, 2019). Here, the effect of time on Zygomycota was inversely proportional to Ascomycota – that is, when Ascomycota decreased in relative abundance in July, the Zygomycota increased (Figure 2). Thus, fungal saprotroph succession may have primarily involved Ascomycota, followed by Zygomycota in these soils.

Finally, a more in-depth look at the AMF Glomeromycota identified Glomerales and Diversisporales to be the dominant orders. The overwhelming dominance of Glomerales in agricultural soils has been noted previously where three geographically separate soils under three different crops were dominated by > 90% Glomerales (Helgason *et al.*, 1998). Woodlands were found to have much more even communities that included members of the Archaeosporales, Gigasporales and Diversisporales (Helgason *et al.*, 1998). In arid agricultural soils the community can reflect roughly 50% Glomerales / 50% Diversisporales (Li *et al.*, 2007) and abiotic stress (as fungicides, pollutants) also drive communities to be dominated by Glomerales and Diversisporales (Lenoir *et al.*, 2016). However, it should be noted that certain studies have found Glomerales and Paraglomerales to dominate agricultural systems (Sale *et al.*, 2015, Banerjee *et al.*, 2019). Interestingly, brief ‘blooms’ of Diversisporales were apparent in December CON, INT, ORG and May INT and ORG (Figure 2). These small shifts may be a technical artefact arising from slight variation in relative abundance (%) being more obvious within the low species rich (~ 250 OTUs) AMF community.

4.2. Time and cropping system effects on diversity and community composition

Firstly, it was hypothesised that cropping system would affect how communities changed through time in response to crop harvest. Only time, and not cropping system, affected prokaryote richness, with low biodiversity in December during the absence of a mature plant host and high richness in July near the culmination of harvesting (Figure 3a). Although this study did not separately sequence the rhizosphere and bulk soil microenvironments, the presence of a mature plant clearly increased the biodiversity, which further increased from May to July prior to harvest. Time was also the greatest determiner of prokaryote community composition, with December communities distinct from May and July (Figure 4a). Interestingly, the prokaryote network analyses show the formation of two distinct clusters of significantly co-varying prokaryote taxa (Figure 5a) with high clustering coefficients. Regardless of cropping system, one cluster tended toward low biodiversity dominated by potentially saprotrophic Actinobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria (the December cluster), while the other cluster tended toward higher biodiversity with all Proteobacteria classes, Bacteroidetes and Acidobacteria (the rhizosphere July and May cluster). Taken together, these three analyses indicate that while the absence of a mature plant decreased the overall biodiversity, the prokaryote communities were flexible in that a novel community was established. Then, in the presence of a mature plant, a distinct rhizosphere community emerged that increased in biodiversity over time until harvest in July. It is unclear whether prokaryote biodiversity is generally greater in the rhizosphere than bulk soil (Berg and Smalla, 2009, Philippot *et al.*, 2013) with some studies suggesting selection for specific rhizosphere taxa reduces overall biodiversity (Ai *et al.*, 2015) and others showing enriched biodiversity relative to bulk soil (McPherson *et al.*, 2018). Here, the presence of a mature crop was clearly beneficial to prokaryote biodiversity and in shaping the community composition. Of note, however, is that the presence of the alfalfa cover crop in the CA system was not sufficient to maintain the biodiversity, community composition or network clustering in the absence of a mature commercial crop.

Fungi, in general, did not have the same time-dependent relationship as the prokaryotes. While biodiversity was high in both December and July, cropping system played a greater role in fungal richness ($p = 0.002$) and Shannon ($p = 0.006$) than with prokaryotes (Figure 3b). Unlike the prokaryotes, the fungal community did

not form distinct clusters of significantly covarying taxa across time – rather a central core of taxa was unchanged while other taxa at the periphery varied through time (Figure 5b). This central core was dominated by Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. Despite being the second most dominant phylum, only few individual Zygomycota nodes were in the networks, scattered across the central core and periphery, indicating that only few Zygomycota covaried significantly with other taxa across time. This may be a consequence of the successional dynamics affecting the strength of taxon covariance across time. Interestingly the ORG practice consistently had the lowest fungal biodiversity regardless of time, and demonstrated the lowest nodes (taxa), and edges (significant covariance) in community networks. The ORG practice had significantly lower TOC than the other practices and produced the lowest crop biomass t ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$. Conversely, the TOC-rich CA practice tended toward the highest fungal biodiversity. As soil TOC is predominantly plant C (Dungait *et al.*, 2012) and assuming the majority of the Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Zygomycota are saprotrophs reliant on plant debris from crops, the low TOC may be responsible for the decreased biodiversity and network complexity observed in the ORG practice. At the global scale, climate and plant diversity are the most important drivers of fungal biodiversity (Tedersoo *et al.*, 2014). However, at more local scales, for example within northern China and within the Mediterranean, TOC is the most important driver of fungal biodiversity (Persiani *et al.*, 2008, Liu *et al.*, 2015). The abundance of Ascomycota and Zygomycota phyla were also shown to be particularly dependent on TOC (Liu *et al.*, 2015). Thus, crop productivity, TOC and no-till likely influenced overall fungal biodiversity, composition and network properties.

The biodiversity of AMF followed clear trends of increasing from December, through May to July prior to harvest, with the CON system demonstrating consistently poor richness and Shannon indices. Furthermore, community composition under the CON practice was dissimilar to the other practices and the structure of the CON network sparser with a high diameter and clustering co-efficient. Tillage, and frequent pesticide application in the case of CON, have the potential to severely limit the biodiversity of AMF (Sale *et al.*, 2015, Gottshall *et al.*, 2017, Banerjee *et al.*, 2019). Recently, Banerjee *et al*., (2019) found a negative relationship between increasingly intensive agricultural management practices and node, edge and edge betweenness values within AMF community networks. Here the CA network had the greatest nodes, edges and edge betweenness values and

corresponded to the least intense practice as no-till, whilst also incorporating an alfalfa cover crop that would further benefit AMF biodiversity (Vukicevich *et al.*, 2016, Hontoria *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, the biodiversity, community composition and network properties of the AMF were in strong agreement with previous research on positive and negative drivers of AMF communities.

4.3. Resistance and resilience of prokaryotes, fungi and AMF

The factors that confer resistance and resilience to soil microbial communities have received a great deal of attention due to the role of microbes as drivers of ecosystem processes (Griffiths and Philippot, 2012, and references therein). Disturbance can come in many forms – drought (Manzoni *et al.*, 2012), fire (Banning and Murphy, 2008), metal (Philippot *et al.*, 2008) and organic pollutants (Girvan *et al.*, 2005). Increasing biodiversity of a community can strengthen its capacity for resistance and resilience in response to a disturbance event, termed the 'insurance hypothesis' (Ives *et al.*, 2000). Here we considered crop harvesting followed by a fallow period to be a disturbance, with four cropping systems that may promote resistance and resilience of microbial communities. This was measured as JS. As hypothesised, the species rich prokaryotes demonstrated the highest resistance (53 - 59% similarity to July) and resilience (59 - 63% similarity to July) in response to crop removal over summer-autumn. The CA and ORG systems demonstrated improved resistance/resilience. Fungi were less resistant (44 - 47%) and resilient (48 - 52%), with soils under CA and INT showing better capacity for resistance, and ORG showing the least capacity for resilience. Finally, as hypothesised, the species poor AMF demonstrated the lowest resistance (19 - 29%) and resilience (27 - 37%), with soils under CON having a particularly poor capacity for both resistance and resilience. Thus, the resistance and resilience of prokaryotes, fungi and AMF agreed with the insurance hypothesis. In addition to the richness of a community, several other factors are important contributors to resistance and resilience. For example, sampling time during rhizosphere community development is particularly important, and it can take over 16 months for a disturbed community to return to its undisturbed state (Orwin and Wardle, 2005). Furthermore, soil aggregation and TOC can provide isolated microhabitats and/or support growth of micro-organisms post-disturbance, and these edaphic properties have been strongly linked to resilience (Griffiths *et al.*, 2005, Zhang *et al.*, 2010). As soils under CA were no-till, and likely had better soil

aggregation than annually tilled CON and ORG (West and Post, 2002), this may explain the generally higher resistance and resilience observed. Similarly, annual tillage and frequent pesticide application may have significantly reduced the resistance and resilience of AMF communities under CON. Finally, it is possible that the resistance and resilience of all communities measured here are high relative to other forms of disturbance because of adaptation after previous crop harvesting and fallow period (Griffiths and Philippot, 2013). With the exception of the cover crop in CA soils, each practice endures an annual fallow period which has been ongoing for approximately 20 years. This may act as a form of pressure to strictly select for microbial species that can survive during this fallow period in the absence of an active rhizosphere. Thus, one could reasonably suppose that the communities here are somewhat adapted to recovering after crop removal. Even so, crop removal had dramatic effects on the composition of all communities, particularly the AMF.

4.4. Cropping system effects on stability of key microbial functional groups

Finally, we hypothesised that certain microbial functional groups would demonstrate less resistance and resilience than others. This was measured with Levins' proportional similarity index (B_N), where a value approaching 1 indicated the abundances of a taxon were 'stable' across the three time points, a value approaching 0.333 indicated a taxon was unique to a single time point, and values between indicated an uneven distribution across time. Where B_N was significantly low, we interpreted this as a taxon with an 'unstable' distribution across time.

Functions can be broadly classified as 'broad' or 'narrow' (Schimel and Schaeffer, 2012). Broad functions are carried out by a relatively large proportion of the community, such as decomposition, whereas narrow functions are carried out by a small subset of the community as part of an obligate metabolic pathway, such as ammonia oxidation or methylotrophy (Graham *et al.*, 2016). In the prokaryotes, stable community members whose abundances persisted over time, regardless of cropping system, included numerous nitrogen-fixers, plant-growth promoters and saprotrophs, with a notable exception of *Actinomyces* of the Actinobacteria, that was only detectable in the December CA system. Populations of ammonia oxidisers and methylotrophs differed markedly over time, indicating that narrow functions could be more affected by crop harvest. Furthermore, the CA and ORG soils uniquely supported stable populations of the AOA *Ca*. Nitrosoarchaeum and *Ca*.

Nitrososphaera (Schleper and Nicol, 2010), whilst also selecting against *Nitrosospira* (Lourenco *et al.*, 2018). Cropping system had a profound impact on methano- and methylotrophs, and methanotrophs have been shown to be sensitive to anthropogenic impacts previously (Ho *et al*., 2013). Therefore, while cropping system did not affect overall community resistance and resilience in prokaryotes, a closer look at the stability of ammonia oxidisers, methano- and methylotrophs indicated that the CA and ORG practice was more beneficial to these narrow functional groups.

The fungi in Table 5 are a subset of saprotrophs (Voriskova and Baldrian, 2013) and potential wheat pathogens, such as *Alternaria*, *Phaeosphaeria*, *Mycosphaerella* and *Septoria* (Shipton *et al*., 1971, Berbee, 2001). Although saprotrophs can be considered a broad functional group, populations of most fungal genera shifted markedly over time under one or more cropping systems. Those that remained mostly stable, such as *Mycosphaerella*, *Helotiaceae* spp. and *Cryptococcus*, are highly diverse genera that are cosmopolitan across diverse soils (H.S., 1995, Crous *et al.*, 2007, Zheng and Zhuang, 2015). Other mostly stable genera, such as *Coemansia*, are typical of the wheat rhizosphere (Kwasna *et al.*, 1999). Genera that were specific to certain cropping systems and/or affected by time may have been: a) saprotrophs involved in the Ascomycota-to-Zygomycota succession; b) genera involved in specialist functions such as *Actinomucor* producers of unique aminopeptidases (Ito *et al.*, 2003); or c) pathogens such as *Septoria* and *Phaeospaeria* that were absent under systems that promoted high biodiversity, which can result in disease suppression (Penton *et al.*, 2014).

Lastly, Table 6 lists how time and cropping system affected each AMF genus. The only stable genus across time and system was *Glomus*, a large, diverse and polyphyletic group of AMF (Schwarzott *et al.*, 2001). *Glomus* includes multiple endophytic species of wheat (Al-Karaki *et al.*, 2004) involved in the hydrolysis and transfer of organic and inorganic phosphorus to host plants (Koide and Kabir, 2000), and so the persistence of this genus across time, regardless of cropping system, is likely to be valuable to the crop. While rapeseed is known for not associating with AMF, specific species such as *Glomus caledonium* can infect rapeseed roots (but with poor germination, appressorial formation and hyphal growth) (Tommerup, 1984) and so crop rotations between wheat and rapeseed over time may be another factor in selecting for *Glomus* spp. with broad host range. The stability of other AMF genera was linked to the cropping systems that demonstrated the greatest AMF resilience –

Claroideoglomus and *Pacispora* were stable under INT, CA and ORG, *Diversispora* and *Scutellospora* were stable under ORG. *Scuttelospora* have been identified as specialist AMF genera that prefer less intensive agricultural practices (Sale *et al.*, 2015, Gottshall *et al.*, 2017, Banerjee *et al.*, 2019). Here *Paraglomus* varied greatly over time and was absent from CON despite having been shown to be largely unaffected by tillage (Sale *et al.*, 2015). The specific role that these less stable AMF taxa may play in promoting plant growth is unclear, however multiple AMF genera can infect the same host simultaneously, and indeed the composition of the endophytes can contribute to greater or lesser plant growth (Chen *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, the INT, CA and ORG systems that promoted stable populations of diverse AMF genera may be beneficial relative to the CON system.

While specific measurements for decomposition, ammonia oxidation, methanotrophy, methylotrophy and AMF nutrient transfer were not measured in this study, the link between richness and a functionally redundant community that can provide a stable rate of an ecosystem process under varying environmental conditions has been described (Allison and Martiny, 2008). Increasing biodiversity is associated with broad functions such as increasing plant productivity, nitrification and decomposition (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.*, 2016, Graham *et al.*, 2016; Hazard and Johnson, 2018). In response to disturbance and species loss, diversity-poor communities are unable to maintain rates of cellulose hydrolysis, 2,4-dichlorophenol metabolism or fungal-driven decomposition (Setala and McLean, 2004, Wohl *et al.*, 2004, Girvan *et al.*, 2005). Conversely, methane oxidation, nitrite oxidation and denitrification rates have been shown to be maintained despite species loss (Wertz *et al.*, 2007, Ho *et al.*, 2011). The vast majority of experimental evidence to support linking biodiversity, disturbance and an ecosystem process have been conducted *in vitro*, and consequently may underrepresent the importance of richness in the spatially and temporally heterogeneous soil environment *in situ*. Therefore, the broader relevance of this study is that certain narrow prokaryote functions may be most stable under CA and ORG, fungal saprotrophy may be more stable under CA, and AMF plant symbioses most stable under CA, INT or ORG.

5. Conclusions

Presented here is a thorough investigation of prokaryote, fungal and AMF soil communities that demonstrated markedly different responses to crop harvest as a

disturbance event under four agricultural cropping systems. Prokaryotes were flexible and formed a distinct saprotroph-dominated community in the absence of a mature crop, and a rhizosphere-dominated community in the presence of a mature crop. This relatively species rich group demonstrated the highest resistance and resilience, although narrow functional groups were affected by cropping system and were less stable over time. The CA system was associated with the most structurally diverse fungal community, which also increased resistance and resilience. While some cosmopolitan soil genera remained stable, the majority of fungi were unstable between managements and across time. Finally, the CON practice had a detrimental effect on AMF richness, resistance and resilience. *Glomus* spp. were stable between cropping systems and across time, while CA, INT and ORG systems promoted richness and stability of other genera. In conclusion, cropping systems that promoted richness led to increased stability of microbial communities, with this effect more pronounced in fungal (and AMF) communities.

Funding

This work was supported by the AXA Research Fund.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank INRAE, Versailles-Grignon for use of the La Cage field trial, and Gilles Grandeau for assistance with soil sampling.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest in regard to the outcomes of this study.

References

Abdellatif L, Bouzid S, Kaminskyj S et al. Endophytic hyphal compartmentalization is required for successful symbiotic Ascomycota association with root cells. *Mycol Res.* 2009;113:782-791.

Ai C, Liang GQ, Sun JW et al. Reduced dependence of rhizosphere microbiome on plant-derived carbon in 32-year long-term inorganic and organic fertilized soils. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2015;80:70-78.

Al-Karaki G, McMichael B, Zak J. Field response of wheat to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and drought stress. *Mycorrhiza.* 2004;14:263-269.

Allison SD, Martiny JBH. Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2008;105:11512-11519.

Autret B, Mary B, Chenu C et al. Alternative arable cropping systems: A key to increase soil organic carbon storage? Results from a 16 year field experiment. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 2016;232:150-164.

Banerjee S, Walder F, Büchi L et al. Agricultural intensification reduces microbial network complexity and the abundance of keystone taxa in roots. *ISME J.* 2019;13:1722-1736.

Banning NC, Murphy DV. Effect of heat-induced disturbance on microbial biomass and activity in forest soil and the relationship between disturbance effects and microbial community structure. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2008;40:109-119.

Barka EA, Vatsa P, Sanchez L et al. Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of Actinobacteria. *Microbiol and Mol Biol R.* 2015;80:1-43.

Barnard R, Leadley PW, Hungate BA. Global change, nitrification, and denitrification: A review. *Global Biogeochem Cy.* 2005;19.

Behie SW, Bidochka MJ. Nutrient transfer in plant-fungal symbioses. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2014;19:734-740.

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B.* 1995;57:289-300.

Berbee ML. The phylogeny of plant and animal pathogens in the Ascomycota. *Physiol Mol Plant P.* 2001;59:165-187.

Berg G, Smalla K. Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of microbial communities in the rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2009;68:1-13.

Borie F, Rubio R, Rouanet JL et al. Effects of tillage systems on soil characteristics, glomalin and mycorrhizal propagules in a Chilean Ultisol. *Soil Till Res.* 2006;88:253-261.

Chen SC, Zhao HJ, Zou CC*, et al.* Combined Inoculation with Multiple Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Improves Growth, Nutrient Uptake and Photosynthesis in Cucumber Seedlings. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8.

Cheng XM, Baumgartner K. Effects of mycorrhizal roots and extraradical hyphae on N-15 uptake from vineyard cover crop litter and the soil microbial community. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2006;38:2665-2675.

Cordovez V, Dini-Andreote F, Carrion et al. Ecology and Evolution of Plant Microbiomes. *Ann Rev Microbiol*. 2019;73:69-88.

Crous PW, Braun U, Groenewald JZ. Mycosphaerella is polyphyletic. *Stud Mycol.* 2007;1-32.

Csardi G, Nepusz T. The igraph software package for complex network research. *Intern J Complex Syst. 2006;*1695.

de Castro AP, Quirino BF, Pappas G et al. Diversity of soil fungal communities of Cerrado and its closely surrounding agriculture fields. *Arch Microbiol.* 2008;190:129-139.

de Vries M, Scholer A, Ertl J et al. Metagenomic analyses reveal no differences in genes involved in cellulose degradation under different tillage treatments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2015;91.

Debaeke P, Munier-Jolain N, Bertrand M et al. Iterative design and evaluation of rule-based cropping systems: methodology and case studies. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev.* 2009;29:73-86.

Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Reich PB et al. Microbial diversity drives multifunctionality in terrestrial ecosystems. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7.

Dennis PG, Miller AJ, Hirsch PR. Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2010;72:313-327.

Ding JL, Jiang X, Ma MC et al. Effect of 35 years inorganic fertilizer and manure amendment on structure of bacterial and archaeal communities in black soil of northeast China. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2016;105:187-195.

Dungait JA, Hopkins DW, Gregory AS et al. Soil organic matter turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. *Glob Change Biol.* 2012;18:1781-1796.

Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology.* 2007;88:1354-1364.

Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS et al. Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. *ISME J.* 2012;6:1007-1017.

Girvan MS, Campbell CD, Killham K et al. Bacterial diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environ Microbiol.* 2005;7:301-313.

Gkarmiri K, Mahmood S, Ekblad A et al. Identifying the active microbiome associated with roots and rhizosphere soil of oilseed rape. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2017;83:e01938-01917.

Goldfarb KC, Karaoz U, Hanson CA et al. Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of varying chemical recalcitrance. *Front Microbiol.* 2011;2.

Gottshall CB, Cooper M, Emery SM. Activity, diversity and function of arbuscular mycorrhizae vary with changes in agricultural management intensity. *Agric Ecosyst Environ.* 2017;241:142-149.

Graham EB, Knelman JE, Schindlbacher A, et al. Microbes as Engines of Ecosystem Function: When Does Community Structure Enhance Predictions of Ecosystem Processes? *Front Microbiol. 2016*;7.

Griffiths BS, Philippot L. Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil microbial community. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2013;37:112-129.

Griffiths BS, Hallett PD, Kuan HL et al. Biological and physical resilience of soil amended with heavy metal-contaminated sewage sludge. *Eur J Soil Sci.* 2005;56:197-205.

Grimm V, Wissel C. (1997) Babel, or the ecological stability discussions: An inventory and analysis of terminology and a guide for avoiding confusion. *Oecologia.* 1997;109:323-334.

H.S. V. Simulated in situ competitive ability and survival of a representative soil yeast, Cryptococcus albidus. *Microb Ecol.* 1995;30:309-320.

Hanson RS, Hanson TE. Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol Rev.* 1996;60:439-71.

Hazard C, Johnson D. Does genontypic and species diversity of mycorrhizal plant and fungi affect ecosystem functioning? *New Phytol.* 2018;220:1122-1128.

Helgason T, Daniell TJ, Husband R et al. Ploughing up the wood-wide web? *Nature.* 1998;394: 431-431.

Henneron L, Bernard L, Hedde M et al. Fourteen years of evidence for positive effects of conservation agriculture and organic farming on soil life. *Agron Sustain Dev.* 2015;35:169-181.

Ho A, Luke C, Frenzel P. Recovery of methanotrophs from disturbance: population dynamics, evenness and functioning. *ISME J.* 2011;5:750-758.

Ho A, Paolo Di Lonardo D, Bodelier PL. Revisiting life strategy concepts in environmental microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2017;93:1-14.

Ho A, Kerckhof FM, Luke C et al. Conceptualizing functional traits and ecological characteristics of methane-oxidizing bacteria as life strategies. *Environ Microbiol Rep.* 2013;5:335-345.

Hodge A, Fitter AH. Substantial nitrogen acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from organic material has implications for N cycling. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2010;107:13754-13759.

Hontoria C, Garcia-Gonzalez I, Quemada M et al. The cover crop determines the AMF community composition in soil and in roots of maize after a ten-year continuous crop rotation. *Sci Total Environ.* 2019;660:913-922.

Ito K, Ma XH, Azmi N et al. Novel aminopeptidase specific for glycine from Actinomucor elegans. *Biosci Biotech Bioch.* 2003;67:83-88.

Ives AR, Klug JL, Gross K. Stability and species richness in complex communities. *Ecol Lett.* 2000;3:399-411.

Johnsen K, Jacobsen CS, Torsvik V et al. Pesticide effects on bacterial diversity in agricultural soils - a review. *Biol Fertil Soils.* 2001;33:443-453.

Klaubauf S, Inselsbacher E, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S et al. Molecular diversity of fungal communities in agricultural soils from Lower Austria. *Fungal Divers. 2010;*44:65-75.

Koide RT, Kabir Z. Extraradical hyphae of the mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices can hydrolyse organic phosphate. *New Phytol.* 2000;148:511-517.

Kowalchuk GA, Stephen JR. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: A model for molecular microbial ecology. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2001;55:485-529.

Kuzyakov Y, Blagodatskaya E. Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. *Soil Biol Biochem. 2015*;83:184-199.

Kwasna H, Bateman GL, Dawson WAJM. Coemansia species from the rhizospheres of wheat and barley in the United Kingdom. *Mycol Res.* 1999;103:896-900.

Lenoir I, Fontaine J, Sahraoui ALH. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal responses to abiotic stresses: A review. *Phytochemistry.* 2016;123:4-15.

Levins R. *Evolution in changing environments*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1968.

Li F, Chen L, Zhang JB et al. Bacterial Community Structure after Long-term Organic and Inorganic Fertilization Reveals Important Associations between Soil Nutrients and Specific Taxa Involved in Nutrient Transformations. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8.

Li LF, Li T, Zhao ZW. Differences of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and community between a cultivated land, an old field, and a never-cultivated field in a hot and arid ecosystem of southwest China. *Mycorrhiza.* 2007;17:655-665.

Liu JJ, Sui YY, Yu ZH et al. Soil carbon content drives the biogeographical distribution of fungal communities in the black soil zone of northeast China. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2015;83:29-39.

Lourenco KS, Cassman NA, Pijl AS et al. Nitrosospira sp Govern Nitrous Oxide Emissions in a Tropical Soil Amended With Residues of Bioenergy Crop. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9.

Lutzoni F, Kauff F, Cox CJ et al. Assembling the fungal tree of life: Progress, classification and evolution of subcellular traits. *Am J Bot.* 2004;91:1446-1480.

Ma AZ, Zhuang XL, Wu JM et al. Ascomycota Members Dominate Fungal Communities during Straw Residue Decomposition in Arable Soil. *Plos One.* 2013;8.

Manzoni S, Schimel JP, Porporato A. Responses of soil microbial communities to water stress: results from a meta-analysis. *Ecology.* 2012;93:930-938.

McPherson MR, Wang P, Marsh EL et al. Isolation and analysis of microbial communities in soil, rhizosphere, and roots in perennial grass experiments. *J Vis Exp.* 2018;137:e57932, DOI:57910.53791/57932.

Morris EK, Morris DJP, Vogt S et al. Visualizing the dynamics of soil aggregation as affected by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J*. 2019;13:1639-1646.

Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R et al. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.0-10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. 2013

Orwin KH, Wardle DA. Plant species composition effects on belowground properties and the resistance and resilience of the soil microflora to a drying disturbance. *Plant Soil.* 2005;278:205-221.

Osono T, Takeda H. Organic chemical and nutrient dynamics in decomposing beech leaf litter in relation to fungal ingrowth and succession during 3-year decomposition processes in. a cool temperate deciduous forest in Japan. *Ecolog Res.* 2001;16:649-670.

Penton CR, Gupta VVSR et al. Fungal community structure in disease suppressive soils assessed by 28S LSU gene sequencing. *Plos One.* 2014;9: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093893.

Persiani AM, Maggi O, Montalvo J, et al. Mediterranean grassland soil fungi: Patterns of biodiversity, functional redundancy and soil carbon storage. *Plant Biosystems.* 2008;142:111-119.

Philippot L, Raaijmakers JM, Lemanceau P et al. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2013;11:789-800.

Philippot L, Cregut M, Cheneby D et al. Effect of primary mild stresses on resilience and resistance of the nitrate reducer community to a subsequent severe stress. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2008;285:51-57.

Prosser JI, Nicol GW. Archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers in soil: the quest for niche specialisation and differentation. *Trends Microbiol*. 2012;20:523-531.

Ramirez KS, Craine JM, Fierer N. Consistent effects of nitrogen amendments on soil microbial communities and processes across biomes. *Glob Change Biol.* 2012;18:1918-1927.

Rosswall T. Microbiological regulation of the biogeochemical nitrogen cycle. *Plant Soil.* 1982;67:15-34.

Sale V, Aguilera P, Laczko E et al. Impact of conservation tillage and organic farming on the diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2015;84:38-52.

Schimel JP, Schaeffer SM. Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. *Front Microbiol.* 2012;3.

Schleper C, Nicol GW. Ammonia-Oxidising Archaea - Physiology, Ecology and Evolution. *Adv Microb Physiol*. 2010;57:1-41.

Schwarzott D, Walker C, Schüssler A. Glomus, the largest genus of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomales), is nonmonophyletic. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2001;21:190-197.

Setala H, McLean MA. Decomposition rate of organic substrates in relation to the species diversity of soil saprophytic fungi. *Oecologia.* 2004;139:98-107.

Shipton WA, Boyd WRJ, Rosielle AA et al. The common Septoria diseases of wheat. *Bot Rev.* 1971;37:231-262.

Souza RC, Cantao ME, Vasconcelos ATR et al. Soil metagenomics reveals differences under conventional and no-tillage with crop rotation or succession. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2013;72:49-61.

Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria*. 2013.

Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Polme S et al. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science*. 2014;346:1256688.

Tommerup IC. Development of infection by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in Brassica napus L. and Trifolium subterraneum L. *New Phytol.* 1984;98:487-495.

Vivelo S, Bhatnagar JM. An evolutionary signal to fungal succession during plant litter decay. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2019;95.

Voriskova J, Baldrian P. Fungal community on decomposing leaf litter undergoes rapid successional changes. *ISME J.* 2013;7:477-486.

Vukicevich E, Lowery T, Bowen P et al. Cover crops to increase soil microbial diversity and mitigate decline in perennial agriculture. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev.* 2016;36.

Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L et al. Package 'gplots'. Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/gplots.pdf. 2019.

Wertz S, Degrange V, Prosser JI et al. Decline of soil microbial diversity does not influence the resistance and resilience of key soil microbial functional groups following a model disturbance. *Environ Microbiol.* 2007;9:2211-2219.

Wessen E, Hallin S, Philippot L. Differential responses of bacterial and archaeal groups at high taxonomical ranks to soil management. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2010;42:1759-1765.

West TO, Post WM. Soil organic carbon sequestration rates by tillage and crop rotation: A global data analysis. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2002;66:1930-1946.

Wohl DL, Arora S, Gladstone JR. Functional redundancy supports biodiversity and ecosystem function in a closed and constant environment. *Ecology.* 2004;85:1534-1540.

WRB IWG. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, Update 2015. Food and Agriculture Organisation, FAO. ISBN 978-92-5-108369-7. 2015.

Zhang B, Deng H, Wang HL, et al. Does microbial habitat or community structure drive the functional stability of microbes to stresses following re-vegetation of a severely degraded soil? *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2010;42:850-859.

Zheng HD, Zhuang WY. Five new species of Hymenoscyphus (Helotiaceae, Ascomycota) with notes on the phylogeny of the genus. *Mycotaxon.* 2015;130:1017-1038.

Table 1: Management practices, crop production and soil properties of the La Cage cropping systems. Superscript letters indicate Tukey's HSD outcomes between Cropping Systems at the $p < 0.05$ level.

	CON	CA	INT	ORG
Tillage	Annual	None	Biannual	Annual
N fertilisation	Mineral-high	Mineral-reduced	Mineral-reduced	None
Pesticides	Frequent	When nec.	When nec.	None
Cover crop	No	Yes	No	No
Legume rotation	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wheat (t ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	9.7	6.7	8.9	5.4
Pea (t ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	4.2	3.7	4.5	2.6
Rapeseed (t ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	4.5	-	3.8	0.8
TOC	$11.1 \pm 0.9^{a,b,c,d}$	$13.2 \pm 2.8^{a,b,c}$	$11 \pm 1.6^{a,b,c,d}$	$10.4 \pm 0.5^{a,b,d}$
TN	0.9 ± 0.1^{a}	1.1 ± 0.2^{b}	0.9 ± 0.1^{a}	0.9 ± 0.1^{a}
C:N ratio	12.4 ± 1^{a}	11.5 ± 0.1^{a}	11.8 ± 0.2^{a}	11.6 ± 0.3^{a}
pH	7.3 ± 0.3^{a}	6.9 ± 0.2^{a}	7.1 ± 0.4^{a}	7 ± 0.2^{a}
CEC	9.9 ± 1^{a}	9.6 ± 0.3^{a}	9.5 ± 1^{a}	9.2 ± 0.3^{a}

Soil properties were measured in all subplots in 2014 at INRAE.

Table 2: Network properties separated by cropping system.

		CON	CA	INT	ORG
Prokaryotes	Nodes	421	399	420	398
	Edges	13097	11905	12864	9925
	Betweenness	291 ± 313	275 ± 323	292 ± 355	292 ± 303
	Clustering coef.	0.66	0.67	0.69	0.65
	Diameter	459	463	465	149
Fungi	Nodes	234	232	203	216
	Edges	3840	3881	3256	2705
	Betweenness	135 ± 128	135 ± 116	113 ± 101	134 ± 150
	Clustering coef.	0.46	0.4	0.46	0.4
	Diameter	168	177	191	65
AMF	Nodes	60	115	50	52
	Edges	411	964	379	244
	Betweenness	41 ± 57	76 ± 80	22 ± 21	22 ± 25
	Clustering coef.	0.61	0.46	0.56	0.46
	Diameter	189	163	176	52

Table 3: Kruskal-Wallis tests of Cropping System effects on JS at December and May time points for Prokaryote, Fungal and AMF communities. Also shown are the mean JS of each treatment, with superscript letters indicating results of Tukey HSD outcomes comparing Cropping Systems, at the $p < 0.05$ level.

	DF	Chi.Sq	*p* value	CON	CA	INT	ORG
Prokaryotes							
December	3	21.49	< 0.001	0.53^{a}	0.59^{b}	0.53^{a}	0.59^{b}
May	3	12.08	0.007	0.59^{a}	0.63^{b}	0.6^{a}	0.63^{b}
Fungi							
December	3	12.9	0.005	0.44^{a}	0.47^{b}	$0.46^{b,c}$	$0.45^{c,a}$
May	3	11.15	0.01	0.51^{a}	0.52^{a}	0.51^{a}	0.48^{b}
AMF							
December	3	8.41	0.03	0.19^{a}	0.25^{b}	$0.21^{a,b}$	0.29^{b}
May	3	11.64	0.009	0.27^{a}	0.37^{b}	0.36^{b}	0.36^{b}

Table 4: Proportional similarity (B_N) differences across time, within cropping system, for prokaryote genera involved in nitrogen fixation, plant-growth promotion, ammonia oxidation, methylotrophy and saprotrophy. Significance is marked as: $p < 0.05$ (*); $p = 0.001$ (**); $p < 0.001$ (***).

	CON		CA		INT		ORG	
Prokaryote genera	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val
Nitrogen fixers and plant-growth promoters								
Azospirillum	0.600	0.060	0.667	0.221	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Bacillus	0.879	0.494	0.870	0.557	0.778	0.827	0.917	0.303
Bradyrhizobium	0.778	0.841	0.850	0.685	-	-	0.921	0.283
Burkholderia-Paraburkholderia	0.790	0.921	0.913	0.325	0.848	0.687	0.595	0.061
Mesorhizobium	0.787	0.905	0.871	0.549	0.834	0.780	0.875	0.532
Phyllobacterium	0.687	0.292	0.966	0.145	0.902	0.358	0.756	0.693
Pseudomonas	0.561	0.024*	0.695	0.337	0.553	0.016*	0.829	0.822
Rhizobacter	0.333	0.000***	-	-	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Rhizobium	0.889	0.439	0.708	0.401	0.733	0.529	0.772	0.803
Rhizocola	0.686	0.286	0.708	0.402	0.729	0.502	0.612	0.084
Rhizomicrobium	0.926	0.257	0.971	0.133	0.930	0.231	0.955	0.171
Rhizorhapis	0.551	0.018*	0.513	0.007	0.689	0.290	0.333	0.000***
Ammonia oxidisers								
Ca. Nitrosoarchaeum	0.470	0.001**	0.333	0.000***	-	-	0.600	0.066
Ca. Nitrososphaera	0.582	0.040*	0.641	0.148	0.504	0.004**	0.672	0.233
Nitrosomonas	0.534	0.011*	0.749	0.642	0.462	0.001**	0.732	0.543
Nitrosospira	0.333	0.000***	-	-	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***
Nitrospira	0.931	0.241	0.997	0.085	0.971	0.112	0.999	0.073
Methylotrophs								
Methylobacillus	-	-	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Methylobacter	0.547	0.016*	-	-	0.423	0.000***	0.667	0.214
Methylobacterium	0.860	0.612	0.791	0.917	0.643	0.132	0.490	0.003**
Methylocaldum	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Methylomicrobium	0.933	0.231	-	-	0.447	0.001**	-	-
Methylotenera	0.333	0.000***	-	-	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***
Saprotrophs								
Actinomyces	-	-	0.333	0.000***	-	-	-	-
Bryobacter	0.965	0.138	0.984	0.102	0.997	0.068	0.973	0.122
Ca. Solibacter	0.997	0.072	0.996	0.085	0.995	0.070	0.983	0.101
Ca. Koribacter	0.956	0.162	0.859	0.625	0.986	0.085	0.977	0.113
Cellulomonas	0.850	0.680	0.700	0.355	0.644	0.133	0.727	0.513
Chitinibacter	0.333	0.000***	0.396	0.000***	0.926	0.246	0.754	0.686
Chitinivorax	-	-	0.896	0.404	-	-	-	-
Chitinophaga	0.806	0.977	0.858	0.632	0.912	0.309	0.776	0.820
Polyangium	0.947	0.189	0.961	0.157	0.820	0.878	0.958	0.162
Sorangium	0.679	0.257	0.710	0.413	0.641	0.128	0.674	0.242
Streptomyces	0.737	0.554	0.868	0.563	0.708	0.386	0.682	0.276

Terribacillus	0.680	0.260	0.727	0.508	0.333	0.000***	0.649	0.165
Terrimicrobium	0.754	0.671	0.968	0.141	0.565	0.022*	0.792	0.938
Terrimonas	0.961	0.147	0.990	0.095	0.974	0.107	0.937	0.218
Verrucomicrobium	0.842	0.731	0.728	0.510	0.478	0.002**	0.593	0.059

Table 5: Proportional similarity (B_N) differences across time, within cropping system, for saprotrophic and pathogenic fungal genera. Significance is marked as: $p < 0.05$ (*); $p = 0.001$ (**); $p < 0.001$ (***).

	CON		CA		INT		ORG	
Fungal genera	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val
Ascomycota								
Capnodiales								
Capnodium	-	-	0.381	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	0.600	0.049*
Cladosporium	0.821	0.865	0.836	0.764	0.575	0.028*	0.333	0.000***
Mycosphaerella	0.556	0.014*	0.754	0.653	0.688	0.293	0.689	0.286
Ramularia	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	0.509	0.004**	0.333	0.000***
Septoria	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Sphaerulina	-	-	0.600	0.048	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Stigmina	0.333	0.000***	0.343	0.000***	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Helotiales								
Articulospora	0.801	0.987	0.783	0.863	0.440	0.000***	0.608	0.061
Helotiaceae sp.	0.458	0.001**	0.800	0.978	0.642	0.133	0.533	0.008**
Hymenoscyphus	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Neobulgaria	0.719	0.418	0.584	0.033*	0.897	0.388	0.482	0.001**
Pleosporales								
Corynespora	0.570	0.021*	0.926	0.236	0.333	0.000***	0.505	0.003**
Leptosphaeria	0.590	0.035*	0.735	0.529	0.742	0.602	0.501	0.003**
Lophiostoma	0.333	0.000***	0.441	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Ophiosphaerella	0.333	0.000***	0.737	0.548	0.944	0.189	0.965	0.123
Paraphaeosphaeria	0.804	0.987	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phaeosphaeria	0.354	0.000***	0.425	0.000***	0.484	0.002**	0.359	0.000***
Alternaria	0.394	0.000***	0.370	0.000***	0.520	0.006**	0.354	0.000***
Bipolaris	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.997	0.060
Chalastospora	0.462	0.001**	0.600	0.048*	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***
Curvularia	0.600	0.044*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dendryphion	0.809	0.950	0.838	0.744	0.699	0.341	0.957	0.143
Drechslera	0.333	0.000***	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Epicoccum	0.404	0.000***	0.407	0.000***	0.553	0.015*	0.484	0.001**
Pleospora	-	-	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	-	-
Basidiomycota								
Tremellales								
Bandoniozyma	0.543	0.009**	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Bullera	0.667	0.181	0.396	0.000***	0.333	0.000***	0.333	0.000***
Bulleromyces	0.389	0.000***	0.631	0.097	0.333	0.000***	0.472	0.001**
Cryptococcus	0.707	0.351	0.626	0.087	0.906	0.345	0.838	0.755
Dioszegia	0.675	0.210	0.638	0.111	0.356	0.000***	0.699	0.333
Hannaella	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Zygomycota								
Mucorales								

Mucor	0.603	0.048*	0.417	0.000***	0.447	0.000***	0.553	0.014*
Rhizopus	0.333	0.000***	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kickxellales								
Coemansia	0.760	0.691	0.563	0.018*	0.968	0.122	0.767	0.751
Linderina	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Ramicandelaber	0.671	0.195	0.640	0.116	0.636	0.121	0.777	0.814

Table 6: Proportional similarity (B_N) differences across time, within cropping system, for AMF genera. Significance is marked as: $p < 0.05$ (*); $p = 0.001$ (**); $p < 0.001$ (***).

	CON		CA		INT		ORG	
AMF genera	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val	B_N	BH *p* val
Archaeospora	0.982	0.080	0.333	0.000***	0.439	0.001**	0.333	0.000***
Claroideoglomus	0.360	0.000***	0.839	0.779	0.984	0.081	0.981	0.099
Diversispora	0.404	0.000***	0.438	0.000***	0.541	0.014*	0.873	0.572
Gigaspora	-	-	0.333	0.000***	-	-	0.333	0.000***
Glomus	0.680	0.245	0.683	0.297	0.989	0.080	0.594	0.069
Pacispora	0.395	0.000***	0.827	0.797	0.715	0.395	0.670	0.223
Paraglomus	-	-	0.409	0.000***	-	-	0.338	0.000***
Scutellospora	0.411	0.000***	0.574	0.027*	0.561	0.019*	0.992	0.086
unclassified	-	-	0.429	0.000***	-	-	-	-



Figure 1: A conceptual diagram of how Jaccard Similarity (JS), relative to the microbial community at time of harvest, is hypothesised to change over time. Shown are hypothesised changes in JS under CON and CA Cropping Systems. Resistance was considered as the decrease in JS after the previous harvest during over wintering. Resilience was considered as the JS half-way through the cropping system, with a relatively higher JS indicating a more resilient community.



Figure 2: Heatmap comparing relative abundances of prokaryote and fungal phyla, and AMF orders, across time and between cropping system. Where phyla differed over time (T) or by cropping system (C) ANOVA results are shown as: $p < 0.05$ (*); $p = 0.001$ (**); $p < 0.001$ (***).



Figure 3: Observed OTU richness and Shannon indices for: a) prokaryotes, b) fungi and c) AMF.



Figure 4: PCoA ordinations of community composition for: a) prokaryotes, b) fungi and c) AMF. Variance explained by axes 1 and 2 are noted on *x* and *y* axes, respectively.



Figure 5: Weighted networks of a) prokaryotes under CON, CA, INT and ORG; b) fungal networks under CON, CA, INT and ORG; and c) AMF networks under CON, CA, INT and ORG. Nodes of major taxonomic groups have been coloured and enlarged.



Figure 6: Plots of JS over time and between cropping system for: a) prokaryotes, b) fungi and c) AMF.