Is *Oculudentavis* a bird or even archosaur?

Zhiheng Li[1], Wei Wang[2], Han Hu[3], Min Wang[1,*], Hongyu Yi[1,*], Jing Lu[1,*]

1 Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, Beijing, China.

2 Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

3 University of New England, Armidale, Australia

Abstract

Recent finding of a fossil – *Oculudentavis khaungraae* Xing et al. 2020, entombed in

a Late Cretaceous amber – was claimed to represent a humming bird-sized dinosaur[1].

Regardless of the intriguing evolutionary hypotheses about the bauplan of Mesozoic

dinosaurs (including birds) posited therein, this enigmatic animal demonstrates various

morphologies resembling lizards. If *Oculudentavis* was a bird, it challenges several

fundamental morphological differences between Lepidosauria and Archosauria. Here we

reanalyze the original computed tomography scan data of *Oculudentavis*. Morphological

evidences demonstrated here highly contradict the avian or even archosaurian

phylogenetic placement of *Oculudentavis*. In contrast, our analysis revealed multiple

synapomorphies of the Squamata in this taxon, including pleurodont marginal teeth and

an open infratemporal fenestra, which suggests a squamate rather than avian or

dinosaurian affinity of *Oculudentavis*.

Instead of demonstrating synapomorphies of the Aves, *Oculudentavis* show multiple

characters that have never been found in any previously known birds or non-avian

dinosaurs. One of the most bizarre characters is the absence of an antorbital fenestra (Fig.

1a, b). Xing et al.[1] argued the antorbital fenestra fused with the orbit, but they reported the

lacrimal is present at the anterior margin of the orbit[1]. This contradicts the definition of

the lacrimal in all archosaur including birds since lacrimal always forms the caudal

margin of the antorbital fenestra[2]. In addition, closure of the antorbital fenestra is rare in

archosaurs[3-5], and most birds and all the known Cretaceous birds do have a separate

antorbital fenestra[6].

Another highly questionable feature in *Oculudentavis* is the maxilla extending

caudally to the level of mid-orbit and forming half of the ventral margin of the orbit (Fig.

1b), which is extremely unusual in Aves. In most crown birds, the maxilla terminates

anterior to the orbit. The ventral margin of the orbit is formed by the jugal[2,7]. This is also

the condition among Mesozoic birds, including *Archaeopteryx*[8,9], *Sapeornis*[10],

enantiornithines[6] and ornithuromorphs[6]. In *Ichthyornis*, maxilla is elongate and extends

further caudally beneath the jugal[11] which means the ventral margin of the orbit is still

mostly composed by the jugal, different from *Oculudentavis*. In addition, we need to note

that the skull of *Jeholornis* was incorrectly reconstructed with a maxilla extending most

of the orbit, followed by a shortened jugal[1], which present a mislead similarity between

the skull of *Oculudentavis* and *Jeholornis*. However, the maxilla of *Jeholornis* is short

and most of the ventral margin of the orbit is formed by the elongate jugal followed by

the quadratojugal[6], in stark contrast with *Oculudentavis*.

In *Oculudentavis*, the maxillary tooth row extends caudally to the rostral half of the

orbital. Among most Mesozoic birds, maxillary tooth row ends well cranially to the

cranial margin of the orbit[6]. In contrast, at least four teeth are located beneath the ventral

margin of the orbital, and the last one even ends below the rostral third point of the orbit

in *Oculudentavis*.

Although Xing et al. mentioned that the scleral ring and dentition of *Oculudentavis*

resemble lizards[1], they failed to recognize that pleurodont dentition is diagnostic for

squamates[12]. The maxillary and dentary teeth are ankylosed to the jaw with their labial

side (Fig. 1e), and replacement teeth develop posterolingual to the functional teeth. The

authors also stated that the tooth implantation appears to be acrodont to pleurodont.

However, there is no evidence for acrodonty based on our reexamination of the original

CT scan data.

In comparison, dinosaurs have thecodont teeth that develop in tooth sockets, with

replacement teeth developing beneath the functional teeth. Although the Late Cretaceous

ornithuromorph bird *Hesperornis* retain teeth in a groove (tooth sockets fused together)[13],

it is clearly distinguishable from the pleurodont dentition in *Oculudentavis*. Non-

archosaurian dentition of *Oculudentavis* has also been interpreted as the result of

miniaturization[1]. To our best knowledge, there is no concrete evidence suggesting such a

drastically change of dentition in miniaturized archosaurs. Pleurodont dentition falsifies

the dinosaurian or even archosaurian affinity of *Oculudentavis* — instead it supports the

squamate affinity of this new species.

Another unambiguous squamate synapomorphy in *Oculudentavis* is the loss of the

lower temporal bar. In the original publication[1], a complete orbit was illustrated on the

left side of the skull with an unnamed piece of bone between the jugal and

postorbitofrontal[1]. In addition, the anterior margin of the quadrate articulates with an

unlabeled bone. The misleading illustration suggests that the quadratojugal might be

present in *Oculudentavis*. On the basis of the original CT scan data, we demonstrate that

the orbit on the left side of the skull is crushed. The left jugal is not preserved. The right

side of the skull preserves a complete orbital region, which shows the jugal has a smooth

posterior margin, lacking contact with the quadrate. The quadratojugal is absent (Fig. 1a

and b), which means the infratemporal fenestra is open in *Oculudentavis* – a condition

shared with all squamates but not dinosaurs or birds[12,14].

Additional morphologies of *Oculudentavis* that contradict its avian affinity include

the presence of the parietal foramen (Fig. 1i), the separate ventral down growths of

frontal (Fig.1j), as well as palatal teeth present on palatine and pterygoid (Figs. 1d, k, and

l)

Oculudentavis means "eye-tooth bird", yet neither the eyes (scleral ring) nor the teeth

suggest this new species was a bird. Xing et al[1] assigned this enigmatic animal to Aves

based on superficial appearances, such as the exterior contour of the dome-shaped

cranium and slender rostrum[1]. However, all the extended discussions, including the

morphological changes related to miniaturization and the ocular morphology, lost their

foundation with a problematic phylogenetic placement of this animal. In addition,

multiple unambiguous characters support the squamate affinity of *Oculudentavis*,

including the loss of quadratojugal, pleurodont marginal teeth, and presence of palatal

teeth (Figs. 1 and 2). The original phylogenetic analysis by Xing et al. suffers from biased

sampling of taxa[1]. Our new morphological discoveries suggest that lepidosaurs should be

included in the phylogenetic analysis of *Oculudentavis.*

References

Xing, L. et al. Hummingbird-sized dinosaur from the Cretaceous period of Myanmar. *Nature* **579**, 245–249 (2020).

Baumel, J. J. & Witmer, L. M. in *Handbook of Avian anatomy: Nomina Anatomica Avium* (eds. J. J. Baumel, A. S. King, J. E. Breazile, H. E. Evans, and V. B. J. C.), 45–132 (Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge, 1993).

Turner A. H. A Review of *Shamosuchus* and *Paralligator* (Crocodyliformes, Neosuchia) from the Cretaceous of Asia. *PLoS One* **10**, e0118116. (2015)

Carpenter, K. Redescription of *Ankylosaurus magniventris* Brown 1908 (Ankylosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of the Western Interior of North America. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **41,** 961–986 (2011).

Xing H., Mallon J. C. & Currie M. L. Supplementary cranial description of the types of *Edmontosaurus regalis* (Ornithischia: Hadrosauridae), with comments on the phylogenetics and biogeography of Hadrosaurinae. *PLoS One* **12**, e0175253 (2017).

Wang, M. & H. Hu. A comparative morphological study of the jugal and quadratojugal in early birds and their dinosaurian relatives. *Anat. Rec.* **300**, 62–75, (2017).

Livezey, B. C. & Zusi, R. L. Higher-order phylogeny of modern birds (Theropoda, Aves: Neornithes) based on comparative anatomy: I. methods and characters. *Bull Carnegie Mus. Nat. Hist.* **37**, 1–544 (2006).

Elzanowski, A. & Wellnhofer, P. Cranial morphology of *Archaeopteryx*: evidence

from the seventh skeleton. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* **16**, 81–94 (1996).

9   Mayr, G., Pohl, B. & Peters, D. S. A well-preserved *Archaeopteryx* specimen

with theropod features. *Science* **310**, 1483–1486 (2005).

10   Zhou, Z. & Zhang, F. Anatomy of the primitive bird *Sapeornis chaoyangensis*

from the Early Cretaceous of Liaoning, China. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **40**, 731–747

(2003).

11   Field, D. J. et al. Complete *Ichthyornis* skull illuminates mosaic assembly of the

avian head. *Nature* **557**, 96–100 (2018).

12   Estes, R., Kevin, Q. & Gauthier, J. Phylogenetic relationships within Squamata.

Phylogenetic Relat. Llizard Fam. 119–281 (1988).

13   Dumont, M. et al.. Synchrotron imaging of dentition provides insights into the

biology of *Hesperornis* and *Ichthyornis*, the "last" toothed birds. *BMC Evol. Biol.*

16, 1–28 (2016).

14.   Gauthier, J. A. et al. Assembling the Squamate Tree of Life: perspectives from the

phenotype and the fossil record. *Bull. Peabody Mus. Natural Hist.* **53**, 3–308

(2012).

15.   Hu, Y., Limaye, A. & Lu, J. A new tool for 3D segmentation of computed

tomography data: Drishti Paint and its applications.

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.09.997965v2 (preprint)

Figure legends

Figure 1. Figure 1. Reanalysis of the cranial anatomy of *Oculudentavis khaungraae*

Xing et al. 2020[1] (holotype, HPG-15-3) based on the original computed tomography (CT)

scan data. **a,** Three-dimensional CT reconstruction of the skull in right lateral view. **b,**

Line drawing of the skull in right lateral view, showing the absence of quadratojugal in

Oculudentavis. **c**, Skull in ventrolateral view. **d** and **e**, Two-dimensional CT slices

through the palatine (**d**, showing a palatine tooth) and the dentary (**e**, showing a typical

pleurodont tooth). **f** and **g**, Pterygoid tooth shown in three-dimensional reconstruction of

the skull (**f**) and in a coronal plane through of the skull (**g**). **h**, Skull in dorsal view. **i**, A

coronal CT slice through the skull roof showing the pineal foramen. **j**, Skull in ventral

view, with the lower jaw and palate removed to show the ventral surface of the frontal. **a-**

c, scale bar: 2 mm; **d-j**, not to scale.

Figure 2. Simplified reptile family tree, illustrative drawings showing the comparison of

the skull in *Oculudentavis*, squamate (green lizard *Lacerta bilineata*) and bird

(Cretaceous bird *Sapeornis*).

Methods and Data availability

The original CT scan data was obtained upon request from the authors of original

paper[1]. Two 3D format files (9.5G in total) were combined into one and re-rendered in

Drishti 2.6.6 (https://github.com/nci/drishti/releases)[15]. Scan data were analyzed in Avizo

(www.thermofisher.com) and imaged in Adobe photoshop (www.adobe.com). For more

scanning, 3D reconstruction and data information see ref 1.

Acknowledgement

We thank G.L. for providing the original CT scan data for reanalyzing. We thank

colleagues at Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese

Academy of Sciences for their great help during preparing and writing the manuscript.

Author Contributions

All authors designed the project, analyzed and discussed the data, and wrote the

manuscript. All authors contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials

should be addressed to M.W. (email: wangmin@ivpp.ac.cn) or to H.Y.Y. (email:

yihongyu@ivpp.ac.cn) or to J.L. (email: lujing@ivpp.ac.cn).

Competing Interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

a

b
postorbitofrontal
supratemporal fenestra
quadrate
jugal
maxillary
narial opening

i
pineal foramen

h

c

d
palatine tooth

e
dorsal
pleurodont tooth
lingual
dentary

j
anterior
frontal

f
pterygoid tooth

g
lower jaw
pterygoid tooth