1

Identification of an antiretroviral small molecule that appears to be a host-targeting inhibitor of HIV-1

assembly

Jonathan C. Reed[a], Dennis Solas[b], Anatoly Kitaygorodskyy[b], Beverly Freeman[b], Dylan T. B. Ressler[a],

Daryl J. Phuong[a], J. Victor Swain[a], Vishwanath R. Lingappa[b], and Jaisri R. Lingappa[a]#

[a]Dept. of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 98109

[b]Prosetta Biosciences, San Francisco, CA 94107

Running Head: Host-targeting inhibitor of HIV-1 assembly

#Address correspondence to Jaisri R. Lingappa, jais@uw.edu.

Abstract

There is an urgent need for development of antiretrovirals that act by novel mechanisms allowing treatment of multidrug resistant HIV-1. Host-targeting drugs are of special interest because they can offer a high barrier to resistance. Here we report identification of potent antiretroviral small molecules that inhibit viral late events, a stage of the HIV-1 life cycle for which potent and specific inhibitors are lacking. These drugs were discovered using cell-free protein synthesis and assembly systems that recapitulate intracellular host-catalyzed viral capsid assembly pathways. The drugs inhibit HIV-1 replication in human T cell lines and PBMCs with nanomolar EC50 values, and are effective against an HIV-1 primary isolate. Drug treatment dramatically reduces virus production, and appears to inhibit a post-translation step HIV-1 Gag assembly. Notably, the drugs colocalize with HIV-1 Gag *in situ*. However, unexpectedly, drug selection failed to identify drug-specific resistance mutations in Gag or any other viral gene product, despite development of resistance mutations in a parallel Nelfinavir selection control. Thus, we hypothesized that instead of binding to Gag directly, this drug might localize to assembly intermediates, the intracellular multiprotein complexes containing Gag and host factors that are formed during immature HIV-1 capsid assembly. Indeed, imaging of infected cells showed colocalization of the drug with ABCE1 and DDX6, two host enzymes found in assembly intermediates. While the exact target and mechanism of action of the drugs remain to be determined, these findings suggest that they may represent first-in-class, host-targeting inhibitors of intracellular events in HIV-1 assembly.

Introduction

In an era in which HIV-1 vaccine trial results have been disappointing, antiretroviral (ARV) drugs stand out as a stunning success story, both for treatment and prevention of HIV-1 infection. Treatment with combined antiretroviral therapy (ART) over the past three decades has resulted in enormous reductions in morbidity and mortality from HIV-1 infection, with 62% of the 38 million people living with HIV receiving antiretroviral drugs in 2018 (1). ART is also the mainstay of PREP, a remarkably successful approach to preventing HIV-1 infection (1, 2). Additionally, ART will be important for future HIV cure strategies. Unfortunately, the success of HIV ART is at risk of being undermined by the increasingly serious problem of HIV-1 drug resistance (3). Virologic failure is seen in up to 20% of individuals receiving first-line ART in low and middle-income countries (4), with up to half of first-line ART failures in sub-Saharan Africa involving resistance to all three drugs in Tenofivir-containing regimens (5). Moreover, the prevalence of drug-resistant HIV-1 is predicted to increase substantially over time as more people receive treatment (6). Indeed, experts have drawn analogies between the future of ART and the current crisis of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (7). For this reason, identifying novel targets in the HIV-1 life cycle and candidate small molecules that inhibit these targets is of high priority, with the goal of driving development of new ARV drugs.

Identification of new druggable targets in the poorly understood stages of the viral life cycle will be particularly important for development of drugs aimed at HIV-1 strains resistant to currently available drugs. Mapping the targets of drugs currently in use onto the HIV-1 life cycle reveals a striking lack of drugs that target intracellular events of assembly (8). Currently available ARV drugs target steps in the entry and post-entry stages of the viral life cycle as well as maturation, the final step required to form an infectious virus. In contrast, no approved ARV drugs target intracellular viral late events (Fig 1A), which include post-translational events of immature capsid assembly. Formation of a single infectious virus requires assembly of ~3000 copies of the HIV-1 Gag protein, which are synthesized and oligomerize in the cytoplasm and subsequently target to the plasma membrane, where they encapsidate

the HIV-1 genome while multimerizing to form the immature capsid. The immature capsid then

undergoes envelopment, budding, release, and maturation (Fig 1A). Interest in targeting HIV-1

assembly has been high, leading to numerous drug screens in recent years (9, 10). Of the compounds

identified in these screens, the most successful have been those that bind to the capsid protein (CA). CA

is critical at multiple stages of the viral life cycle including immature capsid assembly, maturation,

infectivity, and post-entry events (Fig 1A). Interestingly, potent small molecules that target CA do not

selectively inhibit immature capsid assembly (reviewed in (9)– instead they either act preferentially on

the mature capsid, targeting virion infectivity and post-entry events (e.g. PF74 (11-15)), or act broadly

on Gag synthesis, assembly, and post-entry events (e.g. GS-CA1, (16)). Indeed, to our knowledge, there

are no reports of a potent small molecule identified in any screen that selectively inhibits intracellular

HIV-1 late events.

We hypothesized that one reason for the failure to identify selective inhibitors of HIV-1 assembly

might be that previous screens were either very broad or very narrow – i.e. they encompassed the entire

viral life cycle (e.g.(17)) and therefore selected for the most easily inhibited stages of the life cycle, or

they were based solely on multimerization of recombinant CA-derived peptides *in vitro* and therefore

lacked other critical interfaces (e.g.(18)). We further hypothesized that a screen that focuses on post-

translational events of Gag assembly and includes known cellular facilitators of immature HIV-1 capsid

assembly could be more successful than other screens in identifying a selective inhibitor of intracellular

events in HIV-1 assembly. Specifically, while recombinant Gag is able to assemble into immature

capsid-like particles in the absence of host proteins (reviewed in (19)), two decades of studies support a

different model for HIV-1 assembly in cells – one in which Gag assembles into immature capsids via a

pathway of assembly intermediates containing viral proteins, as well as host proteins that act

catalytically to promote HIV-1 capsid assembly (e.g.(20-30); Fig 1B). This model suggests that to

succeed in the hostile environment of the cytoplasm, Gag may have evolved to utilize host proteins to

catalyze Gag multimerization, promote RNA packaging, and sequester assembly within host complexes

where nascent virions would be less vulnerable to host defenses. If this host-catalyzed model of capsid

assembly in the cytoplasm is valid, a screen that recapitulates this host-catalyzed assembly pathway

might succeed in identifying novel antiviral compounds.

Indeed, a precedent exists for the success of such screens that recapitulate host-catalyzed assembly

pathways. Previously our group in collaboration with the CDC used a cell-extract-based screen that

recapitulated an intracellular assembly pathway for rabies virus to identify the first reported small

molecule inhibitor of rabies replication in cell culture (31). Notably, this small molecule binds to a

multiprotein complex that contains ABCE1, a host enzyme identified in HIV-1 assembly intermediates

as noted above, suggesting that similar host complexes may be involved in the assembly of diverse

viruses.

Motivated by the rabies virus precedent and the failure of selective HIV-1 assembly inhibitors to be

identified to date, we developed cell-extract-based assembly pathway screens and used them to identify

a novel and potent antiretroviral small molecule inhibitor of HIV-1replication, PAV117, and its analog

PAV206. Here we present evidence suggesting that PAV117 inhibits HIV-1 replication by interfering

with HIV-1 assembly. We found that a tagged analog of these compounds colocalizes with Gag *in situ*.

However, notably, non-synonymous mutations did not arise in Gag or Pol after 37 weeks of selection in

HIV-1-infected human cells, in stark contrast to Nelfinavir selection examined in parallel, suggesting a

high barrier for resistance to this compound. Additional imaging studies shed more light on this

apparent barrier to development of viral protein mutations by demonstrating that PAV117 also

colocalizes with two host proteins found in HIV-1 capsid assembly intermediates, the enzymes ABCE1

and DDX6. While the exact target and mechanism of action of PAV117/PAV206 remain unclear, these

studies raise the possibility that these compounds represent a class of novel host-targeting ARV small

molecules that inhibits HIV-1 virus production by acting on host-protein-containing capsid assembly

intermediates.

Results

Development of a novel screen for capsid assembly inhibitors based on the host catalyzed assembly pathway

The first evidence that immature HIV-1 capsids assemble via a host-catalyzed pathway of intermediates was generated in a cell-free system. Adapted from the *in vitro* translation systems that were used to identify signal sequences (32), this cell-free assembly system supports *de novo* synthesis of HIV-1 Gag from a Gag mRNA using energy substrates, amino acids, and a cellular extract that provides host factors required for Gag translation as well as post-translational events of Gag assembly. When programmed with wild-type Gag mRNA, this system produces particles that closely resemble completed immature HIV-1 capsids produced by HIV-1 produced by provirus-expressing cells in their ultrastructural details as well as in their size and shape (as defined by a sedimentation value of ~750S; (24)). Pulse-chase studies of this cell-free assembly system revealed sequential progression of Gag through complexes of increasing size (~10S to ~80S/150S to ~500S to ~750S), consistent with these smaller complexes being intermediates in the formation of the ~750S completely assembled immature capsid. Moreover, Gag mutants that had been defined by others to be assembly-defective in cells are arrested at specific steps of the cell-free assembly pathway, with assembly-competent Gag mutants progressing through the entire pathway (24, 33). Notably, biochemical analysis demonstrated that post-translational events in this assembly pathway required ATP, indicating that HIV-1 immature capsid assembly in cells is energy-dependent (24).

In the past two decades, the HIV-1 capsid assembly pathway has been largely studied in cellular systems. Key features of the assembly pathway were identified in cells expressing the HIV-1 provirus (reviewed in (28)), including the sequential progression of Gag through the pathway of assembly intermediates (22), the energy dependence (21), and the arrest of known assembly-defective Gag mutants at specific steps in the pathway (21-24, 28, 29, 34). The energy dependence of this assembly pathway was subsequently explained by the finding that the assembly intermediates contain two host

enzymes that facilitate assembly - the ATPase ABCE1 and the Dead Box RNA helicase DDX6 (26, 30).

Other studies suggest that packaging of the HIV-1 genome appears to occur in the assembly

intermediates (20), and that other lentiviruses utilize analogous assembly pathways (21, 27).

Immunoprecipitation and imaging studies confirmed the association of ABCE1 and DDX6 with

assembling Gag and identified two other enzymes in assembly intermediates, AGO2 and DCP2 (20, 22,

23, 26-29). The finding that assembly intermediates contain at least three proteins typically found in

host RNA granules, DDX6, AGO2, and DCP2, supports a model in which assembling Gag co-opts a

unique subclass of host RNA granules during assembly. RNA granules are involved in all aspects of

host RNA metabolism except translation, and therefore could allow assembling capsids to be

sequestered from the innate immune system while at the same time accessing the viral genome, which

behaves like a host mRNA, and accessing enzymes that likely facilitate packaging and assembly (Fig

1B).

While the cellular studies described above demonstrated the physiological relevance of the HIV-1

assembly pathway, the cell-free system in which it was identified holds great promise as a drug screen.

A cell-free assembly drug screen would have the advantage of recapitulating evolutionarily conserved

viral-host interactions critical for assembly while being much less expensive than drug screens that

utilize infected cell lines in culture. Additionally, the cell-free system can be adapted for a moderately

high-throughput format. Together, these features of a cell-free assembly pathway drug screen allow a

large number of compounds to be screened in a cost-effective manner.

For these reasons, we adapted the HIV-1 cell-free assembly reaction to generate a drug screen (Fig

1C). Cell free reactions, upon completion, contain assembly intermediates and fully assembled

immature capsid-like particles, unless performed in the presence of an inhibitor of assembly, in which

case Gag will be largely unassembled (i.e. monomers and dimers). Plate-bound anti-Gag antibodies

capture monomeric, dimeric, and multimerized Gag from the reaction. To determine how much Gag

multimerization occurred during the reaction, a soluble anti-Gag detection antibody is added. The

detection antibody does not bind Gag monomers (since the monomer antigenic site is already bound by

capture antibody) but would be expected to bind to unoccupied Gag proteins in multimers. Signal from

bound detection antibody is quantified using a fluorescent detection system, with more signal being

generated by Gag multimers. Thus, an effective inhibitor of Gag multimerization will be recognized by

reduction in detection antibody signal (Fig 1C). Because we are interested in inhibitors of assembly

rather than protein synthesis, we utilized a counter screen to detect all small molecules that inhibit

translation of GFP in cell-free reactions. Compounds that inhibited GFP translation were eliminated

from consideration.

Identification of a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 replication

Once this cell-free HIV-1 assembly screen and counter screen were successfully established and

validated, similar cell-free screens were established for quantifying assembly of the capsids of seven

other viruses (Fig 1D). A compound library of ~150,000 small molecules with drug-like characteristics

was screened against these eight cell-free assembly assays, resulting in a master hit collection of 249

small molecules that inhibited assembly in one or more virus assembly screen(s), but did not

significantly inhibit GFP translation.

Compounds in the master hit collection were further screened for their ability to inhibit replication of

HIV-1 in the MT2 human T cell line. The compound with the most favorable characteristics in this

assay was PAV117, a tetrahydroisoquinolone derivative with excellent drug-like properties (Fig 2A).

PAV117 inhibits replication of HIV-1 NL4-3 in MT-2 cells with a 50% reduction in virus replication at

48 nM (EC50) and a 50% reduction in cell viability at 1.1 mM (CC50; Fig 2B). The nanomolar EC50

and a selectivity index (CC50/EC50) of 23 make PAV117 an excellent compound for further

optimization. Notably, PAV117 was not active against influenza virus (Fig 2C) or feline

immunodeficiency virus, a non-primate lentivirus that is related to HIV-1 (Fig 2D), suggesting that it is

not a broadly acting antiviral but instead acts with some specificity on a subset of viruses. Additionally,

we found that PAV117 was active against a primary isolate of HIV-1 (Fig 2E).

Defining the step in the viral life cycle at which the novel small molecule acts

To determine whether PAV117 acts on entry or post-entry events in the HIV-1 life cycle, MT-2 cells

were infected in the presence of PAV117 or DMSO with a luciferase-encoding HIV-1 reporter virus that

undergoes only one round of replication (Fig 3A, diagram). PAV117 did not reduce luciferase activity

in this assay at non-toxic concentrations (Fig 3A, graph; EC50 of 0.93 mM » CC50 of 1.1 mM),

indicating that it does not act early in the HIV-1 life cycle. The effect of PAV117 on viral late events

(events that occur after integration) was assayed using chronically infected H9 T cells, which produce

infectious virus from integrated HIV-1 provirus (Fig 3B, diagram). In this assay, PAV117 inhibited

production of infectious virus, with an EC50 of 104 nM (Fig 3B, black dose-response curve in graph).

Thus, all of the activity of PAV117 can be attributed to inhibition of viral late events. To determine

whether PAV117 results in production of non-infectious virus, we quantified production of p24 Gag in

virus-like particles (VLPs) pelleted from cell culture supernatants of chronically infected H9 cells

treated with PAV117 or DMSO. If PAV117 reduced infectivity but not p24 Gag in virus pellets, that

would indicate production of non-infectious virus particles. However, we found that inhibition of p24

production (Fig 3B, blot) was similar to inhibition of supernatant infectivity (EC50 of 113 nM vs. 104

nM, respectively; compare blue vs. black curve in Fig 3B). Western blots of virus-like particles in

supernatants also showed no change in the ratio of p55 to p24 with increasing PAV117 concentration

relative to DMSO treatment (Fig 3B, blot), indicating that it does not inhibit Gag cleavage required for

virus maturation. Thus, the entire effect of PAV117 is due to inhibition of virus production at an

intracellular step during the viral late events.

The finding that PAV117 does not inhibit Gag cleavage, which occurs during and after budding of

immature virus from cells, but does inhibit virus production indicated that PAV117 likely acts on an

intracellular late event that precedes maturation. Intracellular events required for virus particle

production include transcription of the full-length HIV-1 mRNA, translation of this mRNA to produce

Gag and GagPol, assembly of Gag to produce immature capsids, and budding at the plasma membrane

to release immature virus. We hypothesized that PAV117 likely acts on post-translational events in

assembly of immature HIV-1 capsids for two reasons: first, because a counterscreen was utilized to

eliminate inhibitors of translation; and second, because the cell-free screens that identified PAV117

recapitulate capsid protein assembly but not virus budding and release. To test this hypothesis, we

analyzed acutely infected MT-2 cells treated with DMSO or PAV117 at 0.25 nM vs. 0.75 nM (~EC70

and ~EC90). As expected, we observed a dramatic, dose-dependent reduction in p24 release into the

supernatant (Fig 4A). Consistent with PAV117 not being an inhibitor of translation, steady-state levels

of actin and GAPDH were minimally affected (Fig 4B). At the highest PAV117 concentration tested,

some reduction in steady-state Gag levels was observed (Fig 4A), but it was much less than the

reduction in VLP production raising the possibility that it is due to degradation of Gag-containing

complexes that are bound to PAV117. To further define where PAV117 acts, we also examined steady

state levels of HIV-1 capsid assembly intermediates, whose distinct S values allow them to be separated

by velocity sedimentation. PAV117 had little to no effect on levels of the ~10S and ~80S/150S

intermediates, as % of total Gag (Fig 4C). However, PAV117 resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in

the steady-state level of the ~500S intermediate. While these data provide a snapshot of Gag levels at

only one point in time, taken together with the dramatic reduction in VLP production, they suggest that

PAV117 acts during virus assembly, most likely inhibiting formation of the ~500S assembly

intermediate.

Generation of analogs of the novel small molecule inhibitor that are more potent and tagged

If PAV117 acts during assembly, one might expect it to be associated with assembling HIV-1 Gag.

To test if this is the case, we needed an analog of PAV117 that contains a tag, such as biotin, to allow

detection while retaining its antiviral activity. To identify positions that could be used for a biotin tag,

structure-activity relationships were analyzed with various combinations of methyl, methoxy, or

benzoyloxy substitutions in the R1, R2, and R3 positions of the pendant benzene ring (Fig 5A).

Interestingly, we observed that the presence of a methyl in the R1 position and a and a methoxy in the

R2 position (PAV218 and PAV206) resulted in reduced toxicity (higher CC50) and a higher selectivity

index when analyzed in HIV-1 infected MT-2 T cells (Fig 5A). PAV206 was particularly notable since

it had a lower EC50 and much higher CC50 than PAV117, resulting in an excellent SI of 62-82 in MT-2

cells (Fig 5A, B) and ~48 in HIV-1 infected PBMCs (Fig 5B).

The structure-activity relationship analysis also led to identification of a strategy for introducing a

biotin tag into PAV206 (or PAV117), by revealing that the R3 position on the dependent benzene ring is

not critical for activity. Specifically, this position can tolerate either a bulky group (as in PAV117) or a

hydrogen (as in PAV218) without loss of activity (Fig 5A). For this reason, a biotin was introduced at

this position, generating the PAV818 analog (Fig 5C). PAV818 is identical to PAV117 and PAV206

except at the R3 position, which is a biotin in PAV818. PAV818 has a higher EC50 (951 nM) than the

PAV117 or PAV206 analogs, but also had a remarkably high CC50 (~30 mM), giving it a respectable SI

of ~32 (Fig 5C). Thus, PAV818 represents a biotinylated analog of PAV117 and PAV206 that can be

utilized to visualize where these compounds localize within infected cells.

A tagged analog of PAV117 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ* in infected cells

Next we utilized the biotinylated antiviral analog PAV818 to ask if this family of compounds

colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag as one would expect if they inhibit assembly, as suggested by data in Fig 4.

For this purpose, we used the proximity ligation assay (PLA), a technique which marks two proteins that

are in close proximity with fluorescent spots. Briefly, in PLA, primary antibodies from two species are

detected by species-specific secondary antibodies that are tagged with oligonucleotides. These

oligonucleotides hybridize to connector oligonucleotides only if the antigens detected by the primary

antibodies are within ~40 nm of each other. Ligation of connector oligonucleotides and addition of a

polymerase results in rolling circle amplification to generate a sequence that can be detected by a

complementary oligonucleotide conjugated to a fluorescent probe (35). To determine whether PAV818

colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag, we performed biotin-Gag PLA on 293T cells that were chronically infected

with HIV-1 and treated with either PAV818, a biotinylated compound that lacks antiviral activity

(PAV543), or the DMSO vehicle (Fig 6A). Quantification of red fluorescent spots, which represent sites

where biotinylated compound and Gag are colocalized, revealed 5.8-fold more spots in cells treated with

the antiviral PAV818 than in cells treated with an equivalent concentration of the control compound

PAV543, and an even greater difference relative to DMSO treated cells (Fig 6B, C). Moreover, the

number of biotin-Gag spots observed with PAV818 treatment displayed a striking dose response

relationship (Fig 6B, C). Controls revealed that only a background level of spots was observed when

uninfected 293T cells were treated with PAV818, as would be expected given that uninfected cells lack

Gag (Fig 6B, C). Additionally, as expected, when antibody to biotin was replaced with a non-immune

control antibody only a few spots were observed; similar results were observed when antibody to Gag

was replaced with a non-immune control (Suppl Fig 1). Together these data indicate that PAV818

colocalizes with Gag in HIV-1 infected human cells.

Drug-specific mutations were not observed in *gag* or *gagpol* after 37 weeks of selection with

PAV206

The colocalization of PAV818 with HIV-1 Gag suggested a straightforward model in which Gag is

the target of this drug that appears to inhibit Gag assembly. If this is the case, then drug selection should

result in rapid acquisition of resistance mutations in the *gag* gene, analogous to what has been observed

for all antiretrovirals that target viral gene products. To determine if drug selection could identify a viral

target for this drug, we infected MT-2 T cells and passaged them under selection with PAV206 for 37

weeks, increasing the drug concentration by two-fold whenever evidence of resistance was observed,

and sequencing virus to score for dominant mutations periodically (Fig 7A, sequencing indicated by red

arrows). We also selected with the well-studied protease inhibitor Nelfinavir in parallel, as a positive

control.

Sequencing of virus under Nelfinavir selection revealed the emergence of three dominant non-

synonymous substitutions in HIV-1 protease: L90M, V77I, and L10F (indicated in red in Fig 7B; using

HXB2 genome numbering). All three are well-known Nelfinavir resistance mutations, with L90M being

a primary resistance mutation and V77I and L10F being common secondary mutations that further boost

resistance (36-40). The first two of these dominant resistance mutations emerged prior to passage 27,

just before the virus developed a high level of resistance, defined here as replication in a concentration

of Nelfinavir that is 16-fold higher than the EC50 (Nelfinavir P27 in Fig 7A, B). Two other

substitutions in Gag became dominant during Nelfinavir selection, A224T and H219Q (indicated in blue

in Fig 7B), at passage 21 and 29. H219Q and other substitutions in the cyclophilin A binding loop,

amino acids 217-225 in Gag, are polymorphisms found in the Los Alamos Database (41, 42)that are

known to reduce incorporation of cyclophilin A into virions when virus is passaged in cyclophilin-A-

rich immortalized CD4+T cells even in the absence of drugs, thereby resulting in virion cyclophilin A

levels that are optimal for replication in cyclophilin-A-rich cell lines (43, 44). Thus, from these data, we

infer that in the Nelfinavir selection group, tissue-culture-adaptive cyclophilin A binding loop

polymorphisms initially emerged in Gag and likely led to improved fitness and low-level resistance

(replication in a drug concentration 8-fold greater than the EC50); these were followed later by

Nelfinavir-specific resistance mutations, which likely led to high level Nelfinavir resistance.

Selection with PAV206 also led to emergence of dominant tissue-culture adaptive mutations. At

passage 19, virus from these cells had a V218M substitution in the cyclophilin A binding loop of Gag

(PAV206 P19 in Fig 7A, B). Like the cyclophilin A binding loop substitutions H219Q and A224T that

arose at passage 21 of Nelfinavir selection, V218M improves viral fitness in cyclophilin-A-rich CD4+ T

cells through reducing cyclophilin binding (41). However, unlike with Nelfinavir selection, no other

dominant non-synonymous mutations were observed in the *gag* or *gagpol* genes during 37 weeks of selection with PAV206; moreover, high level resistance was also not observed (PAV206 in Fig 7A, B), with passage in a PAV206 concentration that was 16-fold higher than the EC50 failing (J. Reed, unpublished observations). Thus, selection with PAV206 did not result in drug-specific resistance mutations in Gag, GagPol, or other viral genes, contrary to what might be expected for an assembly inhibitor that directly targets a viral gene product.

Interestingly, dominant non-synonymous mutations in *env* were observed in both selection groups (A541V, Q550H, and S144R in the Nelfinavir selection group, and N302Y, D547G, and Q550H in the PAV206 selection group in Fig 7A, B). One of these mutations, A541V (observed at Nelfinavir P29 in Fig 7A, B) was recently shown to confer broad escape from defects in virus replication defects caused by either virus mutations or antiretroviral drugs, most likely by increasing cell-to-cell transmission in T cell lines (45). The other dominant non-synonymous *env* mutations observed in our two selection groups are likely to also be in this general category. Thus, while these *env* mutations are of interest, they are not specific to PAV206 or any other drug – indeed, one of these mutations (Q550H) arose in both the PAV206 and Nelfinavir selections (Fig 7A, B), underscoring that it is not drug-specific. Therefore, we concluded that replication in PAV206 for 37 weeks failed to select for any drug-specific viral mutations and therefore differed markedly from the Nelfinavir selection control, which demonstrated the classic pattern of multiple resistance mutations emerging in the viral target of the drug. Consistent with this conclusion, we observed a high level of resistance in the Nelfinavir selection group at passage 33 that correlated with emergence of Nelfinavir-specific mutations in Pro, while resistance to PAV206 failed to rise above the moderate level that is likely due to tissue-culture adaptation and mutations that confer and global replication advantage in T cells.

PAV206 colocalizes with host components of assembly intermediates, suggesting a host-targeting mechanism

Our studies to this point had shown that PAV206 colocalizes with Gag *in situ* (Fig 6) and appears to

inhibit Gag assembly (Fig 4), but unexpectedly does not appear to target Gag or GagPol based on

resistance studies (Fig 7). This led us to hypothesize that PAV206 and its analogs colocalizes with host

proteins as well as Gag, which would raise the possibility that PAV206 targets a host protein or viral-

host interface that is critical for assembly. This possibility is made more plausible by the fact that these

compounds were identified through assembly screens that recapitulate host-catalzyed capsid assembly

pathways. In the case of HIV-1 immature capsid assembly, two host proteins, ABCE1 and DDX6, are

known to promote assembly and are associated with Gag, serving as markers of Gag-containing

assembly intermediates (22, 26-30). Thus, we next used PLA to ask whether PAV206 colocalizes with

these Gag-associated host proteins.

293T cells chronically HIV-1 infected were treated with either PAV818, the biotinylated

antiretroviral compound, or PAV543, the biotinylated inactive compound, or DMSO and subjected to

biotin-ABCE1 PLA (Fig 8A). Quantification of red fluorescent spots representing sites where

biotinylated compound and ABCE1 are colocalized *in situ*, revealed 12.8-fold more spots in cells treated

with the antiviral PAV818 than in cells treated with an equivalent concentration of PAV543 or with

DMSO only (Fig 8B, C, 10 µM). Additionally, the number of biotin-ABCE1 spots observed with

PAV818 treatment displayed a striking dose response relationship (Fig 8B, C). Interestingly, PAV818

colocalized with ABCE1 even in uninfected 293T cells as well as HIV-1 infected human cells (Fig 8B,

C). As expected, controls in which antibody to biotin or to ABCE1 were individually replaced with a

non-immune control antibody revealed very few spots (Suppl Fig 2), as expected. PAV818 was also

found to colocalize with DDX6 in chronically HIV-1 infected 293T cells by PLA, with 5.2-fold more

spots observed in PAV818- vs. DMSO-treated cells (Fig 9). Thus, this novel antiretroviral compound

colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag as well as the host proteins ABCE1, and DDX6, all which are thought to be

components of HIV-1 assembly intermediates.

Discussion

Here we report our discovery of a family of small molecules, PAV206 and its analogs, that potently

inhibit HIV-1 replication by acting on intracellular viral late events, a stage of the viral life cycle that is

not specifically targeted by potent inhibitors currently in use or in development. Importantly, the exact

target and mechanism of action of this compound remain to be determined; however, the data from

virologic, resistance, and imaging studies presented here raise the intriguing possibility that this

compound does not specifically target a viral protein, but instead may target a host component of a

multi-protein complex that plays an important role in assembly of the immature HIV-1 capsid. While

further studies will be needed to test this hypothesis, PAV206 and its analogs should be of great interest

even at this early stage given that all existing antiretroviral drugs except one (CCR5 antagonists) target

viral proteins (direct-acting antivirals) and that host-targeting antivirals are highly desirable because they

offer a high genetic barrier to resistance that could allow us to win the drug resistance race (46, 47).

Most exciting in this regard was our observation that after selection with increasing concentrations

of PAV206 for 37 weeks in MT-2 T cells, sequence of replicating virus revealed no drug specific

mutations in Gag, GagPol, or any other viral gene product (Fig 7). Additionally, high level resistance to

PAV206 was not observed during this time (Fig 7). Such behavior is distinctly unusual, since all

existing classes of direct-acting antiretroviral drugs result in rapid development of resistance upon single

drug treatment. The unusual nature of this finding was emphasized by our finding that selection in

parallel with the protease inhibitor Nelfinavir resulted in three known resistance mutations in protease

during the 37-week selection as well as high-level resistance (allowing growth in drug concentrations

upto 16X higher than the EC50), as would be expected. Known tissue-culture adaptations were

observed in Gag, involving cyclophilin A loop polymorphisms, during PAV206 and Nelfinavir

selection. These polymorphisms are known to reduce the amount of cyclophilin A incorporated into

virions when virus is passaged in cyclophilin A-rich immortalized CD4+ T cells, in the presence or

absence of antiretroviral drugs (43, 44). Additionally, a second set of mutations was observed in

PAV206 and Nelfinavir. These mutations were in *env*, are not drug-specific, and have been shown to confer global replication advantage most likely by increasing cell-to-cell transmission in T cell lines (45). The modest resistance observed in the PAV206 selection experiment (allowing virus replication in a PAV206 concentration 8X higher than the EC50) is likely explained by non-dominant cyclophilin A binding loop polymorphisms and the *env* mutations that may have been emerging. In support of that explanation, the appearance of modest resistance (to 8X EC50) in the Nelfinavir selection experiment occurred around passage 20, correlated with emergence of a dominant cyclophilin A binding loop polymorphism, and preceded the time when the drug-specific protease mutations became dominant.

While additional studies will be required to identify the target of PAV206, the surprising lack of drug-specific viral resistance mutations raises the possibility that this compound acts on a host protein. Two other observations are consistent with that hypothesis. First, this compound was identified using novel screens that recapitulate host-catalyzed pathways in which assembling capsid proteins form a series of host-protein containing assembly intermediates, culminating in formation of the completed immature capsid. Such an assembly pathway screen was previously shown to identify a host-targeting small molecule that potently inhibits rabies virus in cell culture (31). Second, our imaging studies show that PAV206 colocalizes with Gag as well as two host enzymes, ABCE1 and DDX6 (Figs 6, 8, 9). Gag, ABCE1, and DDX6 are components of intracellular assembly intermediates, which appear to be formed when assembling HIV-1 Gag co-opts a host RNA-granule-like complex (20, 26-29). Future target identification studies will be required to identify the direct target of PAV206, but based on data presented here, we hypothesize that it may be a component of the RNA-granule-like assembly intermediates.

PAV206 and its analogs are also of great interest because, to our knowledge, they are the first potent small molecules shown to selectively inhibit late events in the HIV-1 life cycle. Unlike previously-reported compounds that target CA, PAV117, a PAV206 analog, has no effects on viral early events, and acts entirely on late events (Fig 3). Moreover, we showed that PAV117 dramatically reduces virus

production (Figs 3, 4), indicating that it acts on intracellular late events. PAV117 did not reduce steady

state levels of two cellular proteins, but did result in a modest reduction in steady state Gag levels. At

this point, we cannot rule out some effect on Gag synthesis; however, such an effect would be modest at

best given the significant levels of steady state Gag in our biochemical and imaging studies. We favor

the alternate hypothesis that the modest reductions in steady state Gag levels are due to degradation of

assembly intermediates that are associated with compound and therefore arrested. Consistent with this,

we observed a dose-dependent reduction in the proportion of Gag present in the final (~500S) assembly

intermediate but not in earlier assembly intermediates (~10S and ~80S/150S) (Fig 4). Nevertheless,

additional studies will be needed to determine the exact mechanism of action of PAV206 and its

analogs.

Finally, it should be noted that identification of these analogs constitutes an important validation of

the importance of HIV-1 RNA-granule-like assembly intermediates in virus production.   remains

controversial. Surprisingly, the existence of assembly intermediates containing RNA granule proteins

continues to be questioned, even though numerous groups in addition to ours have implicated RNA

granule proteins as being important for assembly of diverse retroviruses and retroelements. For

example, knockout studies by the Sandmeyer group demonstrated that RNA granule proteins are

required for Ty3 retrotransposition in yeast and likely act during assembly (48-50). Additionally the

Linial group found that the RNA granule protein DDX6 is required for foamy virus packaging (51), and

the Mouland group showed that the RNA granule protein Stau1 plays an important role in HIV-1 Gag

assembly and packaging (52-55). Finally, the Kewalramani and Pathak groups demonstrated that RNA

granule protein MOV10 influences immature HIV-1 assembly (56, 57). Our finding of a potential first-

in-class family of small molecules that colocalize with host proteins in assembly intermediates and

likely act during immature capsid assembly supports the view that assembly intermediates not only exist

but are highly relevant to development of antiretroviral compounds that can be used to treat multidrug

resistant HIV-1 in the future.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids: The FIV-34TF10 ORFA+ proviral clone is described elsewhere. The NL4-3 clone was obtained from NIH AIDs reagent program. The NL4-3 RLuc proviral clone was generated by replacing *nef* with *Renilla* luciferase. We also generated a NL4-3 Rluc clone that is *env* deleted. FLUV WSN-33 isolate was obtained from Prosetta Biosciences. The NL4-3 Env construct used for psuedotyping was codon optimized by IDT. The HIV-1 Q23.BG505 proviral clone (58)was obtained from Julie Overbaugh.

Cells and Transfection. The feline astrocyte cell line G355-5 was obtained from ATCC (CRL-2033), and maintained in McCoy 5A (modified) medium (Life Technologies, 16600-108) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher, 26140079). Cells were transfected with 1 to 6 µg DNA in 6 cm dishes using X-treameGENE 9 (Roche, 6365787001). The 293T/17 cell line was obtained from ATCC (CRL-11268), and maintained in DMEM media (Life Technologies, 10566-024) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher, 26140079). Chronically infected 293T are maintained with DMEM supplemented with 0.5 µg/mL puromycin. Chronically infected H9 T cells are maintained with cRPMI supplemented with 0.5 ug/mL puromycin. MT-2 cells (obtained from NIH AIDs reagents) and SupT1.CCR5 cells (obtained from Julie Overbaugh) were maintained with cRPMI. MDCK.2 cells (obtained from ATCC) are maintained in cMEM.

Virus infectivity measurements. Infectivity was measured by titering stocks on TZM-bl cells (obtained from J.C. Kappes and X. Wu [University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL] and Tranzyme, Inc. through the National Institutes of Health AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases). Infection of TZM-bl cells was facilitated by the addition of 20 µg/ml DEAE dextran. Infectious units were determined by X-Gal staining and counting blue cells. To determine relative infectivity, instead of X-Gal staining, a MUG (4-

methylumbelliferone β-D-galactopyranoside) substrate was used, and the change in MUG fluorescence over time per volume of media was measured on a plate reader (Synergy; BioTek Instruments, Inc.) and used to compare infectivity between samples.

PBMC activation. Thawed PBMC cells were pelleted by centrifugation 228xg for 8 minutes (Beckman Allegra). Pellets were resuspended in stimulation media (cRPMI supplemented with 21 ng/mL hIL-2 [Peprotech] and 1.5 µg/mL PHA [Remel]) at a density of 2x10e6 cells/mL and transferred to T75 flask. Cell were incubated for 3 days at 37°C in tissue culture incubator. After 3 day incubation cells were collected and resuspended in iRPMI/20% FBS/10% DMSO and frozen down in 50x10e6 cells per vial.

HIV virus production. 293T/17 cells were seeded at 10x10e6 cells in T175 flask. Proviral constructs were transfected with PEI. The next day cells were washed and cDMEM replenshied and return to 37°C tissue cuture incubator. Media was collected at 48 and 72 hours post transfection. Media was filtered 0.45 micron PES membrane stored at -80°C as individual use aliqouts.

FLUV virus production. MDCK.2 cells were transferred with FLUV WSN-33 and incubated 37°C for 3 days. Media was collected tittered on MDCK.2 cells and stored at -80°C in individual use aliqouts.

FIV virus production and RT assay. G355-5 cells were transfected as described above but in 6-well plates. Briefly, each well was transfected with 2 µg per well of FIV 34TF10 ORFA+ proviral plasmid. At 24 hours post transfection cells were washed with media twice and media was replaced with 4 ml of complete McCoy 5A. At 144 hours post transfection media was filtered with a 0.45 micron syringe filter, aliquoted, and stored at -80C. Reverse transcriptase activity in media from FIV or mock transfected cells was estimated using SG-PERT (59). A set of recombinant RT standards (EMD

Millipore catalog# 382129) ranging from 5.12e3 nU/mL to 5.12e9 nU/mL was used to estimate the

nU/mL of reverse transcriptase activity in the FIV stock.

FIV inhibition assay. Inhibition of FIV viral replication was assayed in a spreading infection using

G355-5 cells. G355-5 cells are seeded at 8000 cells/well in a 96-well polystyrene flat bottom tissue

culture plate one day before initiating assay to allow cells to adhere. Preparation and addition of

compounds followed the same procedure as the HIV-1 inhibition assay described above with the

following differences. For infection, 1954 nU RT activity of the FIV-34TF10 ORFA+ stock was used

per well. The spreading infection assays proceed for 144 hours. Infectious virus production was

measured by using the RT assay described above and cytotoxicity was determined following the same

procedure described under the HIV-1 inhibition assays.

Inhibition of HIV-1 replication. Inhibition of HIV-1 viral replication was assayed in a spreading

infection using MT-2 cells and NL4-3 *Renilla* Luciferase reporter virus or SupT1.CCR5 cells and HIV-1

Q23.BG505 virus. To obtain the compound dose-response curve, compounds are initially diluted with

DMSO to 100-times the starting concentration. Compounds are then further diluted 3-fold in DMSO

using 96-well round bottom polystyrene plates for a total of eight or nine dilutions. If a single

compound concentration was tested compound was diluted to 100-times the desired concentration in

DMSO. For the MT-2 assay, compound dilutions prepared above are then further diluted 50-fold with

cRPMI cRPMI with 400 IU/100 μL NL4-3 RLuc reporter virus. Then 100 μL of 50-fold diluted

compound is transferred to 20,000 MT-2 cells that were pre-seeded 96-well polystyrene flat bottom

tissue culture plates in 100 uL cRPMI for a final volume of 200 uL. The final MOI in infected plates is

0.02 and the final DMSO concentration in all wells is 1%. For each replicate one well receives DMSO

only and one well receives media only for normalization and background correction. All assays are run

with three replicates. Plates are then incubated for 96 hours 37°C in a tissue culture incubator. To assay

inhibition of HIV-1 replication 100 μL of media was removed and discarded and 10 μL of 15 μM

EnduRen stock was added to each well. Plates were then incubated for 1.5 hours at 37°C in a tissue

culture incubator. Following incubation plates were mixed well and read on Luminescence plate reader

(Synergy; BioTek Instruments, Inc.). The HIV-1 inhibition assay using SupT1.CCR5 cells and

Q23.BG505 virus stock, followed a similar protocol with the following exceptions. First, 12.5 uL of

Q23.BG505 virus stock was used per well, an amount optimized to provide linear signal over a 96-hour

spreading infection. Second, inhibition of HIV-1 replication was determined by measuring the relative

infectivity produced form infected cells by MUG assay (see virus infectivity measurements) instead of

the luciferase endpoint. The HIV-1 inhibition assay using PBMC cells, followed a similar protocol with

the following exceptions. Frozen activated PBMC cells were thawed and resuspended in PBMC media

(cRPMI supplemented with 21 ng/mL hIL-2 [Peprotech]) at 0.5x10e6 cells/mL for 3 days in 37°C tissue

culture incubator. Cells were collected and adjusted to 2x10e6 cells/mL in PBMC media and bulk

infected for 2 hours at a MOI 0.008 with NL4-3 virus stock at 37°C. Compound dilutions were prepared

as above in cRPMI and 100 uL of diluted compound was transferred to 96-well U bottom polystyrene

tissue culture plates. Bulk infected cells were pelleted and resuspended in PBMC media at 1x10e6

cells/mL at 100 μL of cell suspension per well was transferred to assay plate for 100,000 cells per well.

96 hpi media was collected for relative infectivity measurements as was done with SupT1.CCR5 assay.

MT-2 acute infection assay. MT-2 cells were diluted to 2x10e6 cells/mL with cRPMI and bulk

infected at an MOI 1 for 2 hours at 37°C in tissue culture incubator. Cells were washed three times with

cRPMI to remove inoculum. Compound and DMSO was diluted in media and added to 12-well

polystyrene tissue culture plate in 1 mL volume. Then 1 mL of 0.5x10e6 infected MT-2 cells were then

added. Forty-eight hours later media was collected for virus pelleting by ultracentrifugation and cells

were washed and harvested with 1xLSM buffer.

Inhibition of HIV-1 early events. To assess inhibition of HIV-1 early events the HIV-1 inhibition procedure for MT-2 described above was followed with the following exceptions. MT-2 cells were infected with 0.5 μL of HIV-1 NL4-3 *Renilla* luciferase reporter virus that was *env* deleted and psuedotyped with NL4-3 Env stock per well, an amount optimized to provide sufficient signal. Lastly, the luciferase endpoint was collected at 48 hours instead of 96 hours.

Inhibition of HIV-1 late events. To assess inhibition of HIV-1 late events the HIV-1 inhibition procedure for MT-2 described above was followed with the following exceptions. Here an H9 chronically infected T cell line was utilized instead of acute infection in MT-2 cells and inhibition of infectious virus production was assayed by collecting media and measuring relative infectivity produced form infected cells by MUG assay (see virus infectivity measurements). Inhibition of virus production was assayed by analyzing PEG pelleted virus by WB with αGag.

FLUV antiviral assay. Inhibition of FLUV was assayed using infection of MDCK.2 cells. First, MDCK.2 cells were seeded in a 96-well polystyrene tissue culture plate at 30,000 cells/well. The next day they were infected with FLUV WSN-33 at an MOI of 0.001 for 1 hour at 37°C in tissue culture incubator. After 1 hour incubation cells were washed three times with PBS and 90 uL of cMEM added to cells. Single compound dilutions were prepared in cMEM at 10x the desired final concentration in the inhibition assay. Either 10 μL of DMSO or 10x compound was added to infected cells for final volume of 100 μL. To prepare for TCID50 measurements the following day MDCK.2 cells are seeded at 30,000 cells/well in a 96-well polystyrene flat bottom tissue culture plate. The following day, ~24 hpi, media is collected from infected treated cells and 10^{-1}-10^{-6} dilutions are prepared and used to infect MDCK.2 cells seeded the day prior. This plate is returned to 37°C tissue culture incubator for 3 days. After 3 days, infected loci are counted and used to calculate TCID50/mL.

Cytotoxicity Assays: For each viral inhibition assay a parallel cytotoxicity assay was setup following

the same procedure except virus was not added. Cytotocity was assessed at the same time the viral

inhibition assays by adding 20 µL of AlamarBlue to the cytotoxicity plates and mixed well with cells.

AlamarBlue treated plates were then incubated for 2-3 hours at 37°C in a tissue culture incubator (timing

was optimized for linear signal), mixed well again, and then read on fluorescence plate reader (Synergy;

BioTek Instruments, Inc.).

Virus Pelleting. For the dose-response assay shown in Fig 3B, culture supernatants were collected at 48

hours and virus pelleted by PEG precipitation. First, 75 µL of 17% PEG 6000/0.8M NaCl/PBS was

added 96-well V-bottom polypropylene plate followed by 75 µL media from the assay plate. PEG and

media are mixed well then incubated on ice for 2 hours or overnight at 4°C. Virus is then pelleted

1847xg in SX4750 rotor in Beckman Allegra Centrifuge 4°C. Media and PEG solution is aspirated and

pellet resuspended in 50 uL loading buffer with DTT. For Fig 4, culture supernatants were collected 48

hours post infection and filtered with 0.45 micron PES syringe filter to remove any remaining cells.

Virus-like particles were centrifuged through a 30% w/v sucrose/PBS cushion (Beckman SW55Ti,

130,000xg, 30 minutes, 4C). The virus pellet was harvested directly for analysis by WB with an

antibody to HIV-1 Gag.

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA) 293T/17 cells or chronically infected 293T cells were plated into 6-

well dishes containing poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips with Grace Biolabs CultureWell silicone

chambers (Sigma-Aldrich) attached to create four chambers on each coverslip. Cells were treated with

compound, DMSO, or left untreated and 16 hours later were fixed for 15 minutes in 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4, permeabilized in 0.5% w/v Saponin in PBS, pH 7.4 for 10 minutes,

and blocked in Duolink blocking solution (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for at least 30 min. Cells were

incubated in primary antibody (described under antibodies), followed by Duolink reagents (Sigma-

Aldrich): oligo-linked secondary antibody, ligation mix, and red amplification/detection mix, with

washes in between, as per the Duolink protocol. For concurrent immunofluorescence (IF), cells were

incubated for 30 minutes at RT with 1:1000 Alexafluor 488 anti-mouse secondary antibody following

the final 1x Buffer B PLA washes. Cover slips were mounted using Duolink In Situ Mounting Media

with DAPI, sealed to the glass slides with clear nail polish, allowed to dry for 24 h at RT, and stored at -

20°C. Imaging was performed with a Zeiss Axiovert 200M deconvolution microscope using Zeiss Plan-

Apochromat 63X/ aperture 1.4 objective with oil immersion, with AxioVision Rel. 4.8 software. For

quantification, five to ten fields containing at least three IF-positive cells were chosen at random and

imaged using identical exposure times for the red and green channels (red/green exposures were 100 ms

second and 500 ms seconds, respectively). Images were captured as a Z-stack of 80-90 0.27-µm slices

to capture the entire cell body (~10 µM). Images were deconvolved using the AxioVision software, then

central Z-stack image was exported as tif files, and Image J was used to outline Gag-positive cells in

each field. Within those IF positive cells, the central Z-stack image was used to count the number of

PLA "spots", and quantify IF intensity where indicated, using Image J. PLA spot number for each field

was then normalized to the average IF intensity within that field, and the results were plotted with error

bars representing the SEM for five fields. Prior to export of the images used in the figures, the gain on

all red channels was adjusted to 3 in the AxioVision software to allow spots to be easily seen by eye.

Images were then imported in 8-bit color into Adobe Illustrator to create the final figure layout, without

further adjustments to color balance or gamma correction. Images shown in figures display an image

from the center slice of a Z-stack that is representative of the mean. Experiment was repeated once, with

similar results.

Acknowledgments

The following reagents were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: anti-HIV-1 p24 hybridoma antibody (183-H12-5C) from Bruce Chesebro and Hardy Chen. HIV-1 Q23.BG505 virus and SupT1.CCR5 cells were obtained from Dr. Julie Overbaugh. We acknowledge Michael Emerman for advice.

Jaisri R. Lingappa and Jonathan C. Reed have shares or stock options in Prosetta Biosciences, and Jaisri R. Lingappa receives some unrestricted research funding from Prosetta Biosciences. VRL is CEO and Scientific Director of Prosetta Biosciences.

These studies were funded by NIH R01AI150457, NIH R56 AI145498, and Prosetta Biosciences. Neither of the funders (NIH or Prosetta Biosciences) had any role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

References

1. Abner E, Jordan A. 2019. HIV "shock and kill" therapy: In need of revision. Antiviral Res

166:19-34.

2. Pham HT, Mesplede T. 2018. The latest evidence for possible HIV-1 curative strategies. Drugs

Context 7:212522.

3. Collier DA, Monit C, Gupta RK. 2019. The Impact of HIV-1 Drug Escape on the Global

Treatment Landscape. Cell Host Microbe 26:48-60.

4. Boender TS, Sigaloff KC, McMahon JH, Kiertiburanakul S, Jordan MR, Barcarolo J, Ford N,

Rinke de Wit TF, Bertagnolio S. 2015. Long-term Virological Outcomes of First-Line

Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV-1 in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review

and Meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 61:1453-61.

5. Gregson J, Kaleebu P, Marconi VC, van Vuuren C, Ndembi N, Hamers RL, Kanki P, Hoffmann

CJ, Lockman S, Pillay D, de Oliveira T, Clumeck N, Hunt G, Kerschberger B, Shafer RW, Yang

C, Raizes E, Kantor R, Gupta RK. 2017. Occult HIV-1 drug resistance to thymidine analogues

following failure of first-line tenofovir combined with a cytosine analogue and nevirapine or

efavirenz in sub Saharan Africa: a retrospective multi-centre cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis

17:296-304.

6. Cambiano V, Bertagnolio S, Jordan MR, Pillay D, Perriens JH, Venter F, Lundgren J, Phillips A.

2014. Predicted levels of HIV drug resistance: potential impact of expanding diagnosis,

retention, and eligibility criteria for antiretroviral therapy initiation. AIDS 28 Suppl 1:S15-23.

7. Llibre JM. 2017. Time to get serious with HIV-1 resistance in sub-Saharan Africa. Lancet Infect

Dis 17:241-243.

8. Dionne B. 2019. Key Principles of Antiretroviral Pharmacology. Infect Dis Clin North Am

33:787-805.

9.   Carnes SK, Sheehan JH, Aiken C. 2018. Inhibitors of the HIV-1 capsid, a target of opportunity.

Curr Opin HIV AIDS 13:359-365.

10.   Thenin-Houssier S, Valente ST. 2016. HIV-1 Capsid Inhibitors as Antiretroviral Agents. Curr

HIV Res 14:270-82.

11.   Balasubramaniam M, Zhou J, Addai A, Martinez P, Pandhare J, Aiken C, Dash C. 2019. PF74

Inhibits HIV-1 Integration by Altering the Composition of the Preintegration Complex. J Virol

93.

12.   Bhattacharya A, Alam SL, Fricke T, Zadrozny K, Sedzicki J, Taylor AB, Demeler B, Pornillos

O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Diaz-Griffero F, Ivanov DN, Yeager M. 2014. Structural basis of HIV-

1 capsid recognition by PF74 and CPSF6. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:18625-30.

13.   Rankovic S, Ramalho R, Aiken C, Rousso I. 2018. PF74 Reinforces the HIV-1 Capsid To Impair

Reverse Transcription-Induced Uncoating. J Virol 92.

14.   Shi J, Zhou J, Shah VB, Aiken C, Whitby K. 2011. Small-molecule inhibition of human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection by virus capsid destabilization. J Virol 85:542-9.

15.   Zhou J, Price AJ, Halambage UD, James LC, Aiken C. 2015. HIV-1 Resistance to the Capsid-

Targeting Inhibitor PF74 Results in Altered Dependence on Host Factors Required for Virus

Nuclear Entry. J Virol 89:9068-79.

16.   Yant SR, Mulato A, Hansen D, Tse WC, Niedziela-Majka A, Zhang JR, Stepan GJ, Jin D, Wong

MH, Perreira JM, Singer E, Papalia GA, Hu EY, Zheng J, Lu B, Schroeder SD, Chou K,

Ahmadyar S, Liclican A, Yu H, Novikov N, Paoli E, Gonik D, Ram RR, Hung M, McDougall

WM, Brass AL, Sundquist WI, Cihlar T, Link JO. 2019. A highly potent long-acting small-

molecule HIV-1 capsid inhibitor with efficacy in a humanized mouse model. Nat Med 25:1377-

1384.

17.   Blair WS, Pickford C, Irving SL, Brown DG, Anderson M, Bazin R, Cao J, Ciaramella G,

Isaacson J, Jackson L, Hunt R, Kjerrstrom A, Nieman JA, Patick AK, Perros M, Scott AD,

Whitby K, Wu H, Butler SL. 2010. HIV capsid is a tractable target for small molecule

therapeutic intervention. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001220.

18. Lemke CT, Titolo S, Goudreau N, Faucher AM, Mason SW, Bonneau P. 2013. A novel

inhibitor-binding site on the HIV-1 capsid N-terminal domain leads to improved crystallization

via compound-mediated dimerization. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 69:1115-23.

19. Bush DL, Vogt VM. 2014. In Vitro Assembly of Retroviruses. Annu Rev Virol 1:561-80.

20. Barajas BC, Tanaka M, Robinson BA, Phuong DJ, Chutiraka K, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2018.

Identifying the assembly intermediate in which Gag first associates with unspliced HIV-1 RNA

suggests a novel model for HIV-1 RNA packaging. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006977.

21. Dooher JE, Lingappa JR. 2004. Conservation of a step-wise, energy-sensitive pathway involving

HP68 for assembly of primate lentiviral capsids in cells. J Virol 78:1645-56.

22. Dooher JE, Schneider BL, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2007. Host ABCE1 is at Plasma Membrane

HIV Assembly Sites and Its Dissociation from Gag is Linked to Subsequent Events of Virus

Production. Traffic 8:195-211.

23. Klein KC, Reed JC, Tanaka M, Nguyen VT, Giri S, Lingappa JR. 2011. HIV Gag-leucine zipper

chimeras form ABCE1-containing intermediates and RNase-resistant immature capsids similar

to those formed by wild-type HIV-1 Gag. J Virol 85:7419-35.

24. Lingappa JR, Hill RL, Wong ML, Hegde RS. 1997. A multistep, ATP-dependent pathway for

assembly of human immunodeficiency virus capsids in a cell-free system. J Cell Biol 136:567-

81.

25. Lingappa JR, Newman MA, Klein KC, Dooher JE. 2005. Comparing capsid assembly of primate

lentiviruses and hepatitis B virus using cell-free systems. Virology 333:114-23.

26. Reed JC, Molter B, Geary CD, McNevin J, McElrath J, Giri S, Klein KC, Lingappa JR. 2012.

HIV-1 Gag co-opts a cellular complex containing DDX6, a helicase that facilitates capsid

assembly. J Cell Biol 198:439-56.

27.   Reed JC, Westergreen N, Barajas BC, Ressler DTB, Phuong DJ, Swain JV, Lingappa VR,

Lingappa JR. 2018. The formation of RNA granule-derived capsid assembly intermediates

appears to be conserved between HIV-1 and the non-primate lentivirus FIV. J Virol

doi:10.1128/JVI.01761-17.

28.   Robinson BA, Reed JC, Geary CD, Swain JV, Lingappa JR. 2014. A temporospatial map that

defines specific steps at which critical surfaces in the Gag MA and CA domains act during

immature HIV-1 capsid assembly in cells. J Virol 88:5718-41.

29.   Tanaka M, Robinson BA, Chutiraka K, Geary CD, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2015. Mutations of

Conserved Residues in the Major Homology Region Arrest Assembling HIV-1 Gag as a

Membrane-Targeted Intermediate Containing Genomic RNA and Cellular Proteins. J Virol

90:1944-63.

30.   Zimmerman C, Klein KC, Kiser PK, Singh ARS, Firestein BL, Riba SC, Lingappa JR. 2002.

Identification of a host protein essential for assembly of immature HIV-1 capsids. Nature

415:88-92.

31.   Lingappa UF, Wu X, Macieik A, Yu SF, Atuegbu A, Corpuz M, Francis J, Nichols C, Calayag

A, Shi H, Ellison JA, Harrell EK, Asundi V, Lingappa JR, Prasad MD, Lipkin WI, Dey D, Hurt

CR, Lingappa VR, Hansen WJ, Rupprecht CE. 2013. Host-rabies virus protein-protein

interactions as druggable antiviral targets. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of

the United States of America 110:E861-8.

32.   Freienstein C, Blobel G. 1974. Use of eukaryotic native small ribosomal subunits for the

translation of globin messenger RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 71:3435-9.

33.   Singh AR, Hill RL, Lingappa JR. 2001. Effect of mutations in Gag on assembly of immature

human immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsids in a cell-free system. Virology 279:257-70.

34.   Lingappa JR, Dooher JE, Newman MA, Kiser PK, Klein KC. 2006. Basic residues in the

748   nucleocapsid domain of Gag are required for interaction of HIV-1 gag with ABCE1 (HP68), a

749   cellular protein important for HIV-1 capsid assembly. J Biol Chem 281:3773-84.

750   35.   Soderberg O, Gullberg M, Jarvius M, Ridderstrale K, Leuchowius KJ, Jarvius J, Wester K,

751   Hydbring P, Bahram F, Larsson LG, Landegren U. 2006. Direct observation of individual

752   endogenous protein complexes in situ by proximity ligation. Nat Methods 3:995-1000.

753   36.   Gupta A, Jamal S, Goyal S, Jain R, Wahi D, Grover A. 2015. Structural studies on molecular

754   mechanisms of Nelfinavir resistance caused by non-active site mutation V77I in HIV-1 protease.

755   BMC Bioinformatics 16 Suppl 19:S10.

756   37.   Perry CM, Frampton JE, McCormack PL, Siddiqui MA, Cvetkovic RS. 2005. Nelfinavir: a

757   review of its use in the management of HIV infection. Drugs 65:2209-44.

758   38.   Rhee SY, Gonzales MJ, Kantor R, Betts BJ, Ravela J, Shafer RW. 2003. Human

759   immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. Nucleic Acids

760   Res 31:298-303.

761   39.   Rhee SY, Taylor J, Wadhera G, Ben-Hur A, Brutlag DL, Shafer RW. 2006. Genotypic predictors

762   of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:17355-

763   60.

764   40.   Weber IT, Agniswamy J. 2009. HIV-1 Protease: Structural Perspectives on Drug Resistance.

765   Viruses 1:1110-36.

766   41.   Gatanaga H, Das D, Suzuki Y, Yeh DD, Hussain KA, Ghosh AK, Mitsuya H. 2006. Altered

767   HIV-1 Gag protein interactions with cyclophilin A (CypA) on the acquisition of H219Q and

768   H219P substitutions in the CypA binding loop. J Biol Chem 281:1241-50.

769   42.   Kuiken C, Foley B, Hahn B, Marx P, McCutchan F, Mellors JW, Mullins J, Wolinsky S, Korber

770   B. 2000. Human Retroviruses and AIDS Los Alamaos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM.

43. Dicker I, Zhang S, Ray N, Beno BR, Regueiro-Ren A, Joshi S, Cockett M, Krystal M, Lataillade

M. 2019. Resistance profile of the HIV-1 maturation inhibitor GSK3532795 in vitro and in a

clinical study. PLoS One 14:e0224076.

44. Gatanaga H, Suzuki Y, Tsang H, Yoshimura K, Kavlick MF, Nagashima K, Gorelick RJ, Mardy

S, Tang C, Summers MF, Mitsuya H. 2002. Amino acid substitutions in Gag protein at non-

cleavage sites are indispensable for the development of a high multitude of HIV-1 resistance

against protease inhibitors. J Biol Chem 277:5952-61.

45. Van Duyne R, Kuo LS, Pham P, Fujii K, Freed EO. 2019. Mutations in the HIV-1 envelope

glycoprotein can broadly rescue blocks at multiple steps in the virus replication cycle. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 116:9040-9049.

46. Garbelli A, Riva V, Crespan E, Maga G. 2017. How to win the HIV-1 drug resistance hurdle

race: running faster or jumping higher? Biochem J 474:1559-1577.

47. Ji X, Li Z. 2020. Medicinal chemistry strategies toward host targeting antiviral agents. Med Res

Rev doi:10.1002/med.21664.

48. Beliakova-Bethell N, Beckham C, Giddings TH, Jr., Winey M, Parker R, Sandmeyer S. 2006.

Virus-like particles of the Ty3 retrotransposon assemble in association with P-body components.

RNA 12:94-101.

49. Bilanchone V, Clemens K, Kaake R, Dawson AR, Matheos D, Nagashima K, Sitlani P, Patterson

K, Chang I, Huang L, Sandmeyer S. 2015. Ty3 Retrotransposon Hijacks Mating Yeast RNA

Processing Bodies to Infect New Genomes. PLoS Genet 11:e1005528.

50. Irwin B, Aye M, Baldi P, Beliakova-Bethell N, Cheng H, Dou Y, Liou W, Sandmeyer S. 2005.

Retroviruses and yeast retrotransposons use overlapping sets of host genes. Genome Res 15:641-

54.

51. Yu S, Lujan P, Jackson D, Emerman M, Linial M. 2011. The DEAD-box RNA helicase DDX6 is

required for efficient encapsidation of a retroviral genome. PloS Pathog 7:e1002303.

52.   Abrahamyan LG, Chatel-Chaix L, Ajamian L, Milev MP, Monette A, Clement JF, Song R,

Lehmann M, DesGroseillers L, Laughrea M, Boccaccio G, Mouland AJ. 2010. Novel Staufen1

ribonucleoproteins prevent formation of stress granules but favour encapsidation of HIV-1

genomic RNA. J Cell Sci 123:369-83.

53.   Chatel-Chaix L, Abrahamyan L, Frechina C, Mouland AJ, DesGroseillers L. 2007. The host

protein Staufen1 participates in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 assembly in live cells by

influencing pr55Gag multimerization. J Virol 81:6216-30.

54.   Chatel-Chaix L, Boulay K, Mouland AJ, Desgroseillers L. 2008. The host protein Staufen1

interacts with the Pr55Gag zinc fingers and regulates HIV-1 assembly via its N-terminus.

Retrovirology 5:41.

55.   Rao S, Hassine S, Monette A, Amorim R, DesGroseillers L, Mouland AJ. 2019. HIV-1 requires

Staufen1 to dissociate stress granules and to produce infectious viral particles. RNA 25:727-736.

56.   Burdick R, Smith JL, Chaipan C, Friew Y, Chen J, Venkatachari NJ, Delviks-Frankenberry KA,

Hu WS, Pathak VK. 2010. P body-associated protein Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 replication at

multiple stages. J Virol 84:10241-53.

57.   Furtak V, Mulky A, Rawlings SA, Kozhaya L, Lee K, Kewalramani VN, Unutmaz D. 2010.

Perturbation of the P-body component Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 infectivity. PloS one 5:e9081.

58.   Dingens AS, Haddox HK, Overbaugh J, Bloom JD. 2017. Comprehensive Mapping of HIV-1

Escape from a Broadly Neutralizing Antibody. Cell Host Microbe 21:777-787 e4.

59.   Vermeire J, Naessens E, Vanderstraeten H, Landi A, Iannucci V, Van Nuffel A, Taghon T,

Pizzato M, Verhasselt B. 2012. Quantification of reverse transcriptase activity by real-time PCR

as a fast and accurate method for titration of HIV, lenti- and retroviral vectors. PLoS One

7:e50859.

Figure Legends

Fig 1: The HIV-1 assembly pathway and HIV-1 assembly drug screen. (A) Diagram of the HIV-1

lifecycle starting with the integrated provirus in an infected cell on the left-hand side of the panel and

proceeding through the late and early phases of the lifecycle ending with integration in a newly infected

cell on the right-hand side of the panel. The different stages of the lifecycle are indicated beneath the

diagram either in black text or in blue text if that step is a target of one of the ART compounds shown.

Select antiretroviral compounds currently used for ART are shown in blue text and where they act on the

HIV-1 lifecycle is indicated. (B) Diagram of the host-catalyzed HIV-1 assembly pathway starting with

synthesis of Gag forming the early ~10S assembly intermediate and ending with formation of the ~750S

completed immature capsid. (C) Diagram showing the cell-free assembly plate screen that was utilized

to identify small compounds that inhibit the host-catalyzed pathway of HIV-1 assembly. Diagram in the

upper part of panel (C) shows detection of both early and late assembly intermediates from an HIV-1

cell-free assembly reaction carried out in the presence of DMSO only, which does not inhibit assembly.

Diagram in the lower part of panel (C) shows the effect of a compound that inhibits HIV-1 capsid

assembly in the cell-free assembly reaction, resulting in reduced detection relative to the DMSO control.

Fig 2: PAV-117, identified in a cell-extract-based assembly screen, inhibits replication of HIV-1,

but not FIV and FLUV, in cell culture. (A) The chemical structure of PAV117. (B) Dose-response

curve for PAV117 inhibition of HIV-1 replication was generated by treatment of MT-2 cells with

various doses of PAV117 followed by infection with HIV-1 NL4-3 *Renilla* luciferase reporter virus at a

MOI 0.02. HIV-1 replication proceeded for 96 hours followed by luciferase activity measurement,

which was used to calculate %inhibition relative to DMSO-treated controls. The 50% effective

concentration (EC50) is shown in blue on the dose-response plot. The 50% cytotoxicity concentration

(CC50), which was determined in parallel using uninfected MT-2 T cells, is shown in black and the

concentration marked by a vertical dashed line on the plot. Standard errors determined from three

replicates are shown. (C) Quantification of FLUV WSN-33 viral titer (TCID50) produced over 24 hours

from MDCK cells treated with 0.8 μM PAV117, 10 μM Tamiflu, or DMSO followed by infection with

FLUV WSN-33 at a MOI 0.001. (D) Dose-response curve for PAV117 inhibition of FIV replication

was generated by treatment of G355-5 cells with various doses of PAV117 followed by infection with

FIV 34TF10 with 1954 nU of RT activity per well. FIV replication proceeded for 144 hours followed

by RT measurement, which was used to calculate %inhibition relative to DMSO controls. The EC50 is

shown in purple on the dose-response plot. The CC50, which was determined in parallel using

uninfected G355-5 cells, is shown in black and the concentration marked by a vertical dashed line on the

plot. Standard errors determined from three replicates are shown. (E) Quantification of HIV-1

replication in SupT1.CCR5 cells treated with 0.37 μM PAV117 or DMSO followed by infection with

HIV-1 Q23. HIV-1 replication proceeded for 96 hours followed HIV-1 infectivity measurement of the

culture supernatant utilizing the MUG assay and the Tzm-bl indicator cell line. Standard errors

determined from three replicates are shown.

Fig. 3: PAV-117 acts late in the HIV-1 life cycle, inhibiting virus production but not specific

infectivity. (A) Schematic of the early assay protocol. The early assay starts with infection of MT-2

cells with HIV-1 NL4-3 *Renilla* Luciferase reporter virus in the presence of compound or DMSO. The

reporter virus used in the early assay is envelope deleted and psuedotyped with HIV-1 NL4-3 Env, thus

is restricted to a single round of infection. After 48 hours luciferase activity is measured and used to

calculate percent inhibition relative to the DMSO control. The assay measures effects only on entry to

early viral gene expression. Below the schematic is a dose-response curve for PAV117 inhibition of the

early events of the HIV-1 lifecycle generated with various doses of PAV117 in the early assay described

above. The 50% effective concentration (EC50) is shown in red on the dose response plot. The 50%

cytotoxic concentration (CC50), which was determined in parallel using uninfected MT-2 T cells, is

shown in black and the concentration marked by a vertical dashed line on the plot. Standard errors

determined from three replicates is shown. (B) Schematic of late assay protocol. The late assay starts

with chronically infected H9 T cells (H9-HIV) that are treated with either compound or DMSO and 48

hours later media is collected. The media is used to titer HIV-1 infectivity on an indicator cell line

(Tzm-bl) or virus was pelleted and assayed by western blot (WB) with an antibody directed against

HIV-1 Gag (αGag). These measurements are used to calculate percent inhibition relative to DMSO.

The late assay measures effects only on early viral gene expression to viral maturation. Below the

schematic is a dose-response curve for PAV117 inhibition of the late events of the HIV-1 lifecycle

generated with various doses of PAV117 in the late assay described above. The blue dose-response

curve shows inhibition of PAV117 on virus production as determined by WB of virus pellets with αGag.

The EC50 of PAV117 on virus production is shown in blue text on the dose-response plot. WB of virus

pellets with αGag is shown beneath the dose-response plot (one representative replicate is shown).

Treatment with DMSO or the concentration of PAV117 is shown above the WB. Percent inhibition

relative to DMSO based on quantification from the WB is shown beneath the WB. The black dose-

response curve shows inhibition of PAV117 on HIV-1 infectivity. The EC50 of PAV117 on HIV-1

infectious virus production is shown in black text on the dose-response curve. The CC50, which was

determined on the H9-HIV cells, is shown in black and the concentration marked by a vertical dashed

line on the plot. For each dose-response plot standard errors determined from three replicates is shown.

Fig. 4: PAV-117 acts during the HIV-1 assembly pathway, after formation of the ~80S

intermediate. Infected MT-2 cells were treated with the PAV117 or DMSO for forty-eight hours and

media was collected to analyze effects on (A, left-hand bar graph) virus production, (A, right-hand bar

graph) intracellular Gag steady-state levels, (B) intracellular level of two housekeeping proteins,

GAPDH and actin, and (C) intracellular steady-state levels of assembly intermediates. To evaluate

effects of PAV117 on virus production, virus was pelleted from media of treated cells and pellets were

analyzed by western blot (WB) with an antibody directed against HIV-1 p24 Gag (αGag).

Quantification of p24 Gag in virus pellets from WB data is shown as percent relative to the DMSO

control in the left-hand bar graph of panel (A) with specific treatments indicated beneath the bar graph.

A representative WB from one replicate is shown beneath the bar graph with the expected migration of

p24 Gag indicated to the right of the WB. To evaluate effect of PAV117 treatments on intracellular

steady state p55 Gag level, cell lysates were analyzed by WB with αGag. Quantification of p55 Gag in

cell lysates from WB data is shown as percent relative to DMSO control in the right-hand bar graph of

panel (A), with specific treatment indicated beneath the bar graph.   A representative WB from one

replicate is shown beneath the bar graph with the expected migration of p55 Gag indicated to the right of

the WB. To evaluate effects of PAV117 on intracellular steady-state levels of GAPDH or Actin, cell

lysates analyzed in panel (A), were also subjected to WB with an antibody directed against GAPDH

(αGAPDH) or actin (αactin). Quantification of GAPDH or actin in cell lysates from WB data is shown

as percent relative to DMSO control in panel (B), with the specific treatment indicated beneath the bar

graph. A representative WB for each housekeeping protein from one replicate is shown beneath the bar

graph with the expected migration of GAPDH or actin indicated to the right of the WB. For bar graphs

in panels (A) and (B), standard error was determined from two replicates. (C) Lysates analyzed in panel

(A and B) were also subjected to velocity sedimentation and gradient fractions were analyzed by WB

with αGag. The fraction numbers, starting with the first fraction taken from the top of the gradient are

indicated above the WB panels. The approximate S-values of the HIV-1 Gag containing complexes are

indicated with brackets above the WB panels. The expected migration of p55 Gag is shown to the right

of each WB. Quantification of p55 Gag in fractions containing the ~10S, ~80S/150S, and ~500S

intermediates shown as the percent of total p55 Gag in the gradient is shown in the bar graphs below the

WB panels.

Fig 5: Analysis of structure-activity relationships identified an analog that potently inhibits HIV-1

replicates in PBMCs and a site for tags. (A) Chemical structure of PAV117 analogs is shown with

names of positions in the lower benzyl ring corresponding with those in the column headings of the

adjacent table. Each compound listed in the adjacent table was tested for inhibition of HIV-1 replication

by treatment of MT-2 cells with various doses of compound followed by infection with HIV-1 NL4-3

Renilla luciferase reporter virus at a MOI 0.02.   HIV-1 replication proceeded for 96 hours followed by

luciferase activity measurement, which was used to calculate percent inhibition relative to DMSO-

treated controls.   These data were used to generate dose-response curves and the results are summarized

in the adjacent table as the average 50% effective concentration (EC50), the average 50% cytotoxicity

concentration (CC50), the selectivity index (SI; ratio of CC50 over EC50). When shown, standard error

was determined using the number of replicates indicated after the individual estimate. (B) The blue

dose-response curve was generated by treatment of MT-2 cells with various doses of PAV206 followed

by infection with HIV-1 NL4-3 *Renilla* luciferase reporter virus at a MOI 0.02. HIV-1 replication was

allowed to proceed for 96 hours followed by luciferase activity measurement, which was used to

calculate percent inhibition relative to DMSO-treated controls. The EC50 is shown in blue text on the

dose-response plot. The CC50, which was determined in parallel using uninfected MT-2 T cells, is

marked by vertical dashed line on the dose-response plot and shown in blue text. The orange dose-

response curve was generated in a similar manner but using PHA-activated PBMC and an unmodified

NL4-3 virus at a MOI of 0.008. After 96 hours, post-infection, media was harvested, and HIV-1

infectivity determined on Tzm-bl indicator cells using MUG substrate. These measurements were used

to determine percent inhibition relative to DMSO-treated controls. The EC50 is shown in orange text on

the dose-response plot. The CC50, which was determined in parallel using uninfected PHA-activated

PBMC, is marked by vertical dashed line on the dose-response plot and shown in orange text on the plot.

Standard errors determined from three replicates are shown. (C) Dose-response curve generated in the

same assay as the blue dose-response curve shown in (B) but using PAV818 instead of PAV206. The

EC50 is shown in blue text on the dose-response plot. The CC50, which was determined in parallel

using uninfected MT-2 T cells, is marked by vertical dashed line on the dose-response plot and shown in

black text.

Fig 6: An antiviral analog of PAV-117 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag in situ. (A) Shown is a

schematic of the proximity ligation assay (PLA). HIV-1 chronically infected 293T cells (293T-HIV) or

uninfected 293T cells where treated as indicated beneath the bar graph shown in (B) for approximately

sixteen hours. Cells were then fixed and incubated with antibodies (diagramed in black and Y-shaped)

to detect biotin-compound (red square), either PAV818 (biotinylated analog of PAV206) or PAV543

(biotinylated analog inactive against HIV-1), and Gag (blue) *in situ* followed by incubation with PLA

probes (species specific secondary antibodies conjugated to specific oligonucleotides). Sites where

biotin-compound and Gag are within 40 nm can undergo the PLA reaction resulting in a strongly

florescent spot at those sites (shown as red spots). The PLA assay is followed by concurrent

immunofluorescence (IF) against Gag to stain the overall cell. (B) The average number of biotin-Gag

PLA spots per cell was determined for each of the tested conditions indicated beneath the bar graph with

HIV infected cells, indicated by +, or uninfected cells, indicated by -. Standard error was determined

using the number of cells examined (see methods). The * indicates a significant difference between

biotin-Gag PLA spots per cell between PAV818 10 µM and PAV543 10 µM treatment ($p < 0.001$). (C)

A representative field for each of the treatments quantified in (B) is shown except for DMSO. The

treatment is indicated to the left of each set of images. The left-hand image is the biotin-Gag PLA

channel shown in grayscale to emphasize the PLA spots, where each spot represents a site where biotin-

compound and Gag are within 40 nM. The right-hand image is a merge of the biotin-Gag PLA channel

(red), Gag IF channel (green), and DAPI stained nuclei channel (blue). Scalebars, 10 µm.

Fig 7: Resistance mutations in Gag or Pol were not observed upon selection in cell culture. (A) A

NL4-3 virus stock was passaged weekly over 37 weeks in the presence of either PAV206 or Nelfinavir

starting at 1 x EC50. The concentration of compound was increased 2-fold when infected and

compound treated cultures reached maximum viral cytopathic effect (CPE) in a timeframe similar to a

DMSO control. Graph plots the fold EC50 concentration vs. week of selection (passage) to illustrate

how the selection changed over time for PAV206 (left) or Nelfinavir (right). Red arrows indicate

passages that were subjected to sequencing and the approximate region of the genome that was

sequenced. (B) For each selection, PAV206 or Nelfinavir, there is a genome map of HIV-1 showing

positions of viral open reading frames (ORFs), 5' LTR, and 3' LTR against a number line indicating

approximate nucleotide position within the HIV-1 genome. In each case, ORFs where dominant non-

synonymous mutations emerged during selection with their respective compound are color coded as

follows: Gag in blue, protease in red, env in brown. Beneath the HIV-1 genome maps, dominant non-

synonymous mutations identified through sequencing of passages indicated with red arrows in panel (A)

from either PAV206 (left) or Nelfinavir (right), are placed according to their position in the genome map

shown at the top of panel (B). The passage where mutations were identified is indicated to the left of

each genome map line. The mutations are color coded according to the ORF in which they were found,

described above. Some mutations have been previously identified as solely culture adaptations and are

marked with a double dagger. The Nelfinavir specific mutations are marked with an asterisks.

Fig 8: An antiviral PAV-117 analog colocalizes in situ with ABCE1, a host component of assembly

intermediates. (A) Shown is a schematic of the proximity ligation assay (PLA). HIV-1 chronically

infected 293T cells (293T-HIV) or uninfected 293T cells where treated as indicated beneath the bar

graph shown in (B) for approximately sixteen hours. Cells were then fixed and incubated with

antibodies (diagramed in black and Y-shaped) to detect biotin-compound (red square), either PAV818

(biotinylated analog of PAV206) or PAV543 (biotinylated analog inactive against HIV-1), and ABCE1

(orange) *in situ* followed by incubation with PLA probes (species specific secondary antibodies

conjugated to specific oligonucleotides). Sites where biotin-compound and ABCE1 are within 40 nm

can undergo the PLA reaction resulting in a strongly florescent spot at those sites (shown as red spots).

The PLA assay is followed by concurrent immunofluorescence (IF) against ABCE1 to stain the overall

cell. (B) The average number of biotin-ABCE1 PLA spots per cell was determined for each of the

tested conditions indicated beneath the bar graph with HIV infected cells, indicated by +, or uninfected

cells, indicated by -. Standard error was determined using the number of cells examined (see methods).

The * indicates a significant difference in biotin-ABCE1 PLA spots per cell between PAV818 10 μM

and PAV543 10 μM treatment ($p < 0.001$). (C) A representative field for each of the treatments

quantified in (B) is shown except for DMSO. The treatment is indicated to the left of each set of

images. The left-hand image is the biotin-ABCE1 PLA channel shown in grayscale to emphasize the

PLA spots, where each spot represents a site where biotin-compound and ABCE1 are within 40 nM.

The right-hand image is a merge of the biotin-ABCE1 PLA channel (red), Gag IF channel (green), and

DAPI stained nuclei channel (blue). Scalebars, 10 μm.

1007

Fig 9. An antiviral PAV-117 analog colocalizes *in situ* with DDX6, an RNA granule protein in

assembly intermediates. (A) Shown is a schematic of the proximity ligation assay (PLA). HIV-1

chronically infected 293T cells (293T-HIV) where treated as indicated beneath the bar graph shown in

(B) for approximately sixteen hours. Cells were then fixed and incubated with antibodies (diagramed in

black and Y-shaped) to detect biotin-compound (red square), PAV818 (biotinylated analog of PAV206;

red square), and DDX6 (green diamond) *in situ* followed by incubation with PLA probes (species

specific secondary antibodies conjugated to specific oligonucleotides). Sites where biotin-compound

and DDX6 are within 40 nm can undergo the PLA reaction resulting in a strongly florescent spot at

those sites (shown as red spots). The PLA assay is followed by concurrent immunofluorescence (IF)

against DDX6 to stain the overall cell. (B) The average number of biotin-DDX6 PLA spots per cell was

determined for each of the tested conditions indicated beneath the bar graph. Error bars show SEM

determined using the number of cells examined (see methods). The * indicates a significant difference

in the number of biotin-DDX6 PLA spots between PAV818 10 μM and DMSO treatment (p < 0.01). (C)

A representative field for each of the treatment quantified in (B) is shown. The treatment is indicated to

the left of each set of images. The left-hand image is the biotin-DDX6 PLA channel shown in grayscale

to emphasize the PLA spots, where each spot represents a site where biotin-compound and DDX6 are

within 40 nM. The right-hand image is a merge of the biotin-DDX6 PLA channel (red), DDX6 IF

channel (green), and DAPI stained nuclei channel (blue). Scalebars, 10 μm.

1026

Fig 10: A model for the action of PAV-117, a possible first-in-class selective inhibitor of HIV-1

assembly. Upper diagram shows the model of the host-catalyzed assembly pathway, without inhibition,

that starts with synthesis of HIV-1 Gag to form the early ~10S assembly intermediate. Newly

synthesized ~10S HIV-1 Gag co-opts a preexisting RNA granule to form the ~80S cytosolic

intermediate. In this intermediate HIV-1 Gag is found associated with ABCE1, DDX6, HIV-1 gRNA,

and other host factors. The cytosolic ~80S assembly intermediate targets to host plasma membrane

where continued Gag multimerization leads to the formation of the ~500S assembly intermediate.

Finally, continued HIV-1 Gag multimerization followed by budding results in release of the completed

immature capsid. The lower diagram shows one model for PAV117 activity based on the findings in

this study. Based on our PLA colocalization studies we hypothesis that PAV117 is associated with the

HIV-1 assembly intermediates. Furthermore, it is possible that PAV117 is also associated with the

RNA-granule that is coopted by ~10S Gag, since we find PAV117 colocalized with ABCE1 in the

absence of Gag. Lastly, since we observed a reduction of ~500S assembly intermediate and virus

production in PAV117 treated infected cells, PAV117 may act by blocking progression past the

~80S/150S assembly intermediate. It is also possible that PAV117 acts early to inhibit progression past

the ~80S cytosolic assembly intermediate.

1043

Fig 1: The HIV-1 assembly pathway and HIV-1 assembly drug screen

Fig. 2 PAV-117, identified in a cell-extract-based assembly screen, inhibits replication of HIV-1, but not FLUV and FIV, in cell culture.

Fig 3: PAV-117 acts late in the HIV-1 life cycle, inhibiting virus production but not specific infectivity.

Fig 4: PAV-117 acts during the HIV-1 assembly pathway, after formation of the ~80S intermediate.

A

	R₁	R₂	R₃	CC50 (μM)	EC50 (nM)	SI
PAV117	CH₃	O–CH₃	benzyl-O	1.4 ×/÷ 1.5 (n = 6)	102 ×/÷ 2.1 (n = 5)	14
PAV218	CH₃	O–CH₃	H	9.7 ×/÷ 1.2 (n = 2)	148 ×/÷ 1.1 (n = 3)	66
PAV220	H	O–CH₃	benzyl-O	3.8 (n = 1)	163 (n = 1)	23
PAV494	H	H	benzyl-O	4.1 (n = 1)	244 (n = 1)	17
PAV493	H	O–CH₃	H	21.0 (n = 1)	565 ×/÷ 1.3 (n = 2)	37
PAV245	CH₃	H	H	3.6 (n = 1)	755 (n = 1)	4.8
PAV492	H	H	H	> 10 (n = 1)	1540 (n = 1)	> 6
PAV206	CH₃	O–CH₃	O–CH₃	2.2 ×/÷ 1.4 (n = 4)	36 ×/÷ 1.1 (n = 3)	61

B

PAV206

virus: HIV-1 NL4-3 RLuc
cells: MT-2
virus: HIV-1 NL4-3
cells: PBMC

CC50: 2811 nM
CC50: 3591 nM

EC50$_{MT-2}$: 34 nM
EC50$_{PBMC}$: 75 nM

C

PAV818

virus: HIV-1 NL4-3
cells: MT-2

EC50$_{MT-2}$: 951 nM

CC50: ~30 μM

Fig 5: Analysis of structure-activity relationships identified an analog that potently inhibits HIV-1 replication in PBMCs and a site for tags.

FIG. 6: An antiviral analog of PAV-117 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ*.

Fig. 7: Resistance mutations in Gag or Pol were not observed upon selection in cell culture

Fig 8: An antiviral PAV-117 analog colocalizes *in situ* with ABCE1, a host component of assembly intermediates.

Fig 9. An antiviral PAV-117 analog colocalizes *in situ* with DDX6, an RNA granule protein in assembly intermediates.

FIG 10: A model for the action of PAV-117, a possible first-in-class selective inhibitor of HIV-1 assembly.

Supplementary Fig 1: Non-immune controls for the biotin-Gag proximity ligation assay

Supplementary Fig 2: Non-immune controls for the biotin-ABCE1 proximity ligation assay