1

Identification of an antiretroviral small molecule that appears to be a host-targeting inhibitor of HIV-1

assembly

Jonathan C. Reed[a], Dennis Solas[b], Anatoliy Kitaygorodskyy[b], Beverly Freeman[b], Dylan T. B. Ressler[a],

Daryl J. Phuong[a], J. Victor Swain[a], Kent Matlack, Clarence R. Hurt, Vishwanath R. Lingappa[b], and

Jaisri R. Lingappa[a]#

[a]Dept. of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 98109

[b]Prosetta Biosciences, San Francisco, CA 94107

Running Head: Host-targeting inhibitor of HIV-1 assembly

#Address correspondence to Jaisri R. Lingappa, jais@uw.edu.

Word count in abstract: 249

Word count in text: 6079

ABSTRACT

Given the projected increase in multidrug resistant HIV-1, there is an urgent need for development of antiretrovirals that act on virus life-cycle stages that are not targeted by antiretrovirals currently in use. Host-targeting drugs are of particular interest because they can offer a high barrier to resistance. Here we report identification of two related small molecules that inhibit HIV-1 late events, a stage of the HIV-1 life cycle for which potent and specific inhibitors are lacking. This chemotype was discovered using cell-free protein synthesis and assembly systems that recapitulate intracellular host-catalyzed viral capsid assembly pathways. These compounds inhibit replication of HIV-1 in human T cell lines and PBMCs and are effective against a primary isolate. They reduce virus production, likely by inhibiting a post-translational step in HIV-1 Gag assembly. Notably, the compound colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ*; however, unexpectedly, selection experiments failed to identify compound-specific resistance mutations in *gag* or *pol*, even though known resistance mutations developed in a parallel nelfinavir selection. Thus, we hypothesized that instead of binding to Gag directly, these compounds might localize to assembly intermediates, the intracellular multiprotein complexes containing Gag and host factors that are formed during immature HIV-1 capsid assembly. Indeed, imaging of infected cells showed colocalization of the compound with two host enzymes found in assembly intermediates, ABCE1 and DDX6. While the exact target and mechanism of action of this chemotype remain to be determined, these findings suggest that these compounds represent first-in-class, host-targeting inhibitors of intracellular events in HIV-1 assembly.

IMPORTANCE

The success of antiretroviral treatment for HIV-1 is at risk of being undermined by the growing problem

of drug resistance. Thus, there is a need to identify antiretrovirals that act on viral life cycle stages not

targeted by drugs in use, such as the events of HIV-1 Gag assembly. To address this gap, we developed

a compound screen that recapitulates the intracellular events of HIV-1 assembly, including viral-host

interactions that promote assembly. This effort led to identification of a new chemotype that inhibits

HIV-1 replication at nanomolar concentrations by inhibiting virus production. This compound

colocalized with Gag and two host enzymes that facilitate capsid assembly but resistance selection did

not result in compound-specific mutations in *gag,* suggesting that the chemotype does not directly target

Gag. We hypothesize that this chemotype may represent a first-in-class inhibitor of virus production

that acts by targeting a viral-host complex important for HIV-1 Gag assembly.

INTRODUCTION

In an era in which HIV-1 vaccine trial results have been disappointing, antiretroviral (ARV) drugs stand out as a stunning success story. Treatment with combined antiretroviral therapy (ART) over the past three decades has resulted in enormous reductions in morbidity and mortality from HIV-1 infection, with 62% of the 38 million people living with HIV receiving antiretroviral drugs in 2018 (1). ART is also the mainstay of PREP, a remarkably successful approach to preventing HIV-1 infection (1, 2). Additionally, ART will be important for future HIV cure strategies. Unfortunately, the success of HIV ART is at risk of being undermined by the increasingly serious problem of HIV-1 drug resistance (3). Virologic failure is seen in up to 20% of individuals receiving first-line ART in low and middle-income countries (4), with up to half of first-line ART failures in sub-Saharan Africa involving resistance to all three drugs in tenofovir-containing regimens (5). Moreover, the prevalence of drug-resistant HIV-1 is predicted to increase substantially over time as more people receive treatment (6). Indeed, experts have drawn analogies between the future of ART and the current crisis of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (7). For this reason, identifying novel targets in the HIV-1 life cycle and candidate small molecules that inhibit these targets is a high priority, with the goal of driving development of new ARV drugs.

Identification of new druggable targets in the poorly understood stages of the viral life cycle will be particularly important for development of drugs aimed at HIV-1 strains resistant to currently available drugs. Mapping the targets of drugs currently in use onto the HIV-1 life cycle reveals a striking lack of drugs that target intracellular events of assembly (8). Currently available ARV drugs target the maturation, entry, and post-entry stages of the viral life cycle. In contrast, no approved ARV drugs target intracellular viral late events (purple bar in Fig. 1A), which include post-translational events of immature capsid assembly. Formation of a single infectious virus requires assembly of ~3000 copies of the HIV-1 Gag protein, which are synthesized and oligomerize in the cytoplasm and subsequently target to the plasma membrane, where they encapsidate the HIV-1 genome while multimerizing to form the immature capsid. The immature capsid then undergoes budding, release, and maturation (Fig. 1A).

Interest in targeting HIV-1 assembly has been high, leading to numerous drug screens in recent years (9,

10). Of the compounds identified in these screens, the most successful have been those that bind to the

capsid protein (CA). CA is critical at multiple stages of the viral life cycle including immature capsid

assembly, maturation, infectivity, and post-entry events. Interestingly, potent small molecules that

target CA do not selectively inhibit immature capsid assembly (reviewed in (9)) – instead they either act

preferentially on the mature capsid, targeting virion infectivity and post-entry events (e.g. PF74 (11-

16)), or act broadly on Gag synthesis, assembly, and post-entry events (e.g. GS-CA1 (17)) (Fig. 1A).

Indeed, to our knowledge, there are no reports of a potent small molecule identified in any screen that

selectively inhibits intracellular HIV-1 late events.

We hypothesized that one reason for the failure to identify potent and selective inhibitors of HIV-1

assembly might be that previous screens were either very broad or very narrow – i.e. they encompassed

the entire viral life cycle (e.g.(12, 18)) or they were based solely on multimerization of recombinant CA-

derived peptides *in vitro* (e.g.(19-22)). We further hypothesized that a screen that focuses only on

events of Gag assembly but includes known cellular facilitators of immature HIV-1 capsid assembly

could be more successful than other screens in identifying a potent and selective inhibitor of intracellular

events in HIV-1 assembly. Specifically, while recombinant Gag is able to assemble into immature

capsid-like particles in the absence of host proteins (reviewed in (23)), two decades of studies support a

different model for HIV-1 assembly in cells – one in which Gag assembles into immature capsids via a

pathway of assembly intermediates containing viral proteins as well as host proteins that act catalytically

to promote HIV-1 capsid assembly (e.g. (24-34); Fig. 1B). This model suggests that to succeed in the

hostile environment of the cytoplasm, Gag may have evolved to utilize host proteins to catalyze Gag

multimerization, promote RNA packaging, and sequester assembly within host complexes where

nascent virions would be less vulnerable to host defenses. If this host-catalyzed model of capsid

assembly in the cytoplasm is valid, then a screen that recapitulates this pathway might succeed in

identifying new druggable targets.

Indeed, a precedent exists for a screen that recapitulates a host-catalyzed assembly pathway enabling

identification of a novel antiviral compound and target. Previously our group, in collaboration with

investigators at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, used a cell-extract-based screen that

recapitulated an intracellular assembly pathway for rabies virus (RABV) to identify the first reported

small molecule inhibitor of RABV replication in cell culture (35). Notably, this small molecule binds to

a multiprotein complex that contains ATP-binding cassette protein E1 (ABCE1), a host enzyme

identified in HIV-1 assembly intermediates, suggesting that similar host complexes may be involved in

the assembly of diverse viruses.

Motivated by the RABV precedent, we developed cell-extract-based assembly pathway screens for

other viruses and used them to identify a novel and potent antiretroviral chemotype that inhibits HIV-1

replication, represented by the small molecule PAV-117 and its more potent analog PAV-206. Here we

present evidence suggesting that this chemotype inhibits HIV-1 replication by interfering with HIV-1

assembly. We found that a tagged analog of these compounds colocalizes with Gag *in situ*, suggesting

that they may target HIV-1 Gag. However, surprisingly, compound-specific mutations did not arise in

gag or *pol* after 37 weeks of PAV-206 selection in HIV-1-infected human cells, in stark contrast to

nelfinavir selection examined in parallel, arguing against Gag being the direct target of PAV-206.

Additional imaging studies shed more light on our failure to identify viral resistance mutations by

demonstrating that the tagged PAV-206 analog also colocalizes with two host proteins associated with

Gag in intracellular HIV-1 capsid assembly intermediates, the enzymes ABCE1 and DEAD-box helicase

6 (DDX6). While the exact target and mechanism of action of PAV-117/PAV-206 remain unclear, these

studies raise the possibility that they represent a class of novel host-targeting ARV small molecules that

inhibits HIV-1 virus production by acting on host-protein-containing capsid assembly intermediates.

RESULTS

Development of a novel screen for HIV-1 assembly inhibitors based on previous studies of the intracellular HIV-1 capsid assembly pathway

Our interest in using the cell-free assembly system as a drug screen stems from an early study that utilized this system to generate the first evidence that immature HIV-1 capsids assemble via a host-catalyzed pathway of intermediates (28). Adapted from the *in vitro* protein synthesis systems that were used to identify signal sequences (36), the cell-free HIV-1 assembly system supports *de novo* synthesis of HIV-1 Gag polypeptides from a Gag mRNA using energy substrates, amino acids, and a cellular extract that provides host factors required for Gag translation as well as post-translational events of Gag assembly. When programmed with wild-type Gag mRNA, this system produces particles that closely resemble completed immature HIV-1 capsids produced by provirus-expressing cells, judging by their ultrastructural appearance as well as in their size and shape (as defined by a sedimentation value of ~750S; (28)). Pulse-chase studies in cell-free assembly reactions revealed sequential progression of Gag through complexes of increasing size (~10S to ~80S/150S to ~500S to ~750S), consistent with these smaller complexes being intermediates in the formation of the ~750S completely assembled immature capsid. Moreover, Gag mutants that had been defined by others to be assembly-defective in cells are arrested at specific steps of the cell-free assembly pathway, with assembly-competent Gag mutants progressing through the entire pathway (28, 37). Notably, biochemical analysis demonstrated that post-translational events in this assembly pathway required ATP, indicating that HIV-1 immature capsid assembly in cells is energy-dependent (28).

While initially identified in a cell-free system, the HIV-1 capsid assembly pathway has been largely studied in cellular systems in the last two decades. Key features of the assembly pathway were validated in cells expressing the HIV-1 provirus (reviewed in (32)), including the sequential progression of Gag through the pathway of assembly intermediates (26, 32), the energy dependence of the pathway (25), and the arrest of known assembly-defective Gag mutants at specific steps in the pathway (25-28, 32, 33,

38). The energy dependence of immature capsid assembly, which has been confirmed by other groups

(39), was subsequently explained by the finding that the assembly intermediates contain at least two host

enzymes that facilitate assembly - the ATPase ABCE1 and the RNA helicase DDX6 (30, 34). Other

studies suggest that packaging of the HIV-1 genome appears to occur in the assembly intermediates (24,

32), and that other lentiviruses utilize analogous assembly pathways (25, 31). Immunoprecipitation and

imaging studies confirmed the association of ABCE1 and DDX6 with assembling Gag and identified

two other enzymes in assembly intermediates, AGO2 and DCP2 (24, 26, 27, 30-33). The finding that

assembly intermediates contain proteins typically found in host RNA granules, e.g. DDX6, AGO2, and

DCP2, supports a model in which assembling Gag co-opts a unique subclass of host ribonucleoprotein

(RNP) complexes that are related to RNA granules but differ from well-studied RNA granules such as P

bodies and stress granules. RNA granules are a diverse group of ribonucleoprotein complexes involved

in all aspects of host RNA metabolism except protein synthesis. Sequestration of immature HIV-1

capsid assembly events in such host RNP complexes could allow HIV-1 assembly to be protected from

the innate immune system while at the same time allowing assembling Gag access to the viral genome,

which is found in these complexes (24, 32), and to utilize enzymes that could facilitate packaging and

assembly (Fig. 1B).

While the cellular studies described above demonstrated the physiological relevance of the HIV-1

assembly pathway, we reasoned that the cell-free system in which it was identified could hold promise

as a drug screen. A cell-free assembly drug screen would have the advantage of recapitulating

evolutionarily conserved viral-host interactions critical for assembly while being much less expensive

than drug screens that utilize infected cell lines in culture. Additionally, the cell-free system can be

adapted for a moderately high-throughput format. Together, these features of a cell-free assembly

pathway drug screen allow a large number of compounds to be screened in a cost-effective manner.

For these reasons, we adapted the HIV-1 cell-free assembly reaction to generate a drug screen (Fig.

1C) similar to the RABV drug screen (35). Cell free reactions, upon completion, contain assembly

intermediates and fully assembled immature capsid-like particles, unless performed in the presence of an

inhibitor of assembly, in which case Gag will be largely unassembled (i.e. monomers and dimers).

Plate-bound anti-Gag antibodies capture monomeric, dimeric, and multimerized Gag from the reaction.

To determine how much Gag multimerization occurred during the reaction, a soluble anti-Gag detection

antibody is added. The detection antibody does not bind Gag monomers (since the monomer antigenic

site is already bound by capture antibody) but would be expected to bind to unoccupied Gag proteins in

multimers. Signal from bound detection antibody is quantified using a fluorescent detection system,

with more signal being generated by Gag multimers. Thus, an effective inhibitor of Gag

multimerization will be recognized by a reduction in detection antibody signal (Fig. 1C). Because we

are interested in inhibitors of assembly rather than protein synthesis, we utilized a counter screen to

eliminate from further consideration all small molecules that inhibit translation of GFP in cell-free

reactions.

214   Identification of a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 replication

Once this cell-free HIV-1 assembly screen and counter screen were successfully established and

validated, similar cell-free screens were established for quantifying assembly of the capsids of seven

other viruses (Fig. 2A). A compound library of ~150,000 small molecules with drug-like characteristics

was screened against these eight cell-free assembly assays, resulting in a master hit collection of 249

small molecules that inhibited assembly in one or more virus assembly screen(s), but did not

significantly inhibit GFP translation.

Compounds in the master hit collection were further screened for their ability to inhibit replication of

HIV-1 in the MT-2 human T cell line. The compound with the most favorable characteristics in this

assay was PAV-117, a tetrahydroisoquinolone derivative with excellent drug-like properties (Fig. 2B).

PAV-117 inhibits replication of HIV-1 NL4-3 in MT-2 cells with a 50% reduction in virus replication

(EC_{50}) of 48 nM and a 50% reduction in cell viability (CC_{50}) of 1.0 μM (Fig. 2C). The nanomolar

EC50 and a selectivity index (CC50/EC50) of 21 make PAV-117 an excellent compound for further

optimization. Notably, PAV-117 was not active against influenza A virus WSN/33 [H1N1] (FLUV)

suggesting that it is not an antiviral that acts broadly on all enveloped viruses (Fig. 2D). Moreover,

PAV-117 was also relatively inactive against feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV), a non-primate

lentivirus that is related to HIV-1, indicating specificity even among lentiviruses (Fig. 2E). PAV-117

was active against a primary isolate of HIV-1 (Fig. 2F), indicating that its antiretroviral activity is not

restricted to laboratory isolates of HIV-1.

Defining the step in the viral life cycle at which the novel small molecule acts

Since other types of assembly inhibitor screens had identified compounds that act on viral early

events as described above, we next asked whether PAV-117 inhibits HIV-1 replication by acting on

entry or post-entry events in the HIV-1 life cycle. For this assay, we infected MT-2 cells, in the

presence of PAV-117 or DMSO, with a luciferase-encoding HIV-1 reporter virus (HIV-1 pNL4-3 RLuc

Δenv) that is pseudotyped with HIV-1 Env and undergoes only one round of replication (Fig. 3A,

diagram). At non-toxic concentrations, PAV-117 did not reduce luciferase activity in this assay (Fig.

3A, graph; EC50 of 930 nM; CC50 of 1.1 µM), indicating that it does not act on early events in the

HIV-1 life cycle. The effect of PAV-117 on viral late events (events that occur after integration) was

assayed using chronically infected H9 T cells, which produce infectious virus from integrated HIV-1

provirus (Fig. 3B, diagram). In this assay, PAV-117 inhibited production of infectious virus in the

culture supernatant, with an EC50 of 104 nM (Fig. 3B, black dose-response curve in graph). Thus,

PAV-117 activity can be entirely attributed to inhibition of viral late events. To determine whether

PAV-117 results in production of virus-like particles (VLP) that were non-infectious, we quantified

production of p24 Gag in VLP pelleted from cell culture supernatants of chronically infected H9 cells

treated with PAV-117 or DMSO. Finding that PAV-117 reduces virus infectivity without altering the

amount of p24 Gag in virus pellets would indicate that PAV-117 results in production of non-infectious

VLP. However, what we actually observed was the opposite - that inhibition of p24 production was

similar to inhibition of supernatant infectivity (EC50 of 113 nM vs. 104 nM, respectively; compare blue

vs. black curve in Fig. 3B graph), indicating that the compound blocks virus production. Western blots

of VLP in supernatants also showed no obvious change in the ratio of p55 to p24 with increasing PAV-

117 concentration relative to DMSO treatment (Fig. 3B, blot), arguing that it does not act on Gag

cleavage required for virus maturation.

The finding that the entire effect of PAV-117 is due to inhibition of virus production (Fig. 3)

indicated that PAV-117 likely acts on an intracellular viral late event. Such events include transcription

of the full-length HIV-1 mRNA, translation of this mRNA to produce Gag and GagPol, assembly of Gag

to produce immature capsids, and budding at the plasma membrane to release immature virus. We

hypothesized that PAV-117 likely acts on post-translational events in assembly of immature HIV-1

capsids for two reasons: first, because a counter screen had been utilized to eliminate inhibitors of

translation; and second, because the cell-free screens that identified PAV-117 recapitulate capsid protein

assembly but not virus budding and release. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed acutely infected MT-2

cells that had been treated for 48 h with DMSO or PAV-117 at 0.25 nM vs. 0.75 nM (~EC70 and

~EC90). As expected, we observed a dose-dependent reduction in p24 release into the supernatant (Fig.

4A). Consistent with PAV-117 not being an inhibitor of translation, steady-state levels of actin and

GAPDH were minimally affected (Fig. 4B). At the highest PAV-117 concentration tested, some

reduction in steady-state Gag levels was observed (Fig. 4A), but it was much less than the reduction in

VLP production. To further define where PAV-117 acts, we also examined steady-state levels of HIV-1

capsid assembly intermediates, whose distinct S values allow them to be separated by velocity

sedimentation. PAV-117 had little to no effect on steady-state levels, as % of total Gag, of the ~10S and

~80S/150S intermediates but resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the steady-state level of the

~500S intermediate (Fig. 4C). While the steady-state data in Fig. 4 provide a snapshot of intracellular

Gag levels at only one point in time, taken together with the dramatic reduction in cumulative VLP

production (across 48 h) in Fig. 3B, these data suggest that PAV-117 acts during virus assembly, most

likely by inhibiting formation of the ~500S assembly intermediate.

Generation of analogs of the novel small molecule inhibitor that are more potent or contain a tag

If PAV-117 acts during assembly, one might expect it to be associated with assembling HIV-1 Gag.

To test if this is the case, we needed an analog of PAV-117 that contains a tag, such as biotin, to allow

detection while retaining antiviral activity. To identify positions that could be used for a biotin tag,

structure-activity relationships were analyzed with combinations of methyl, methoxy, or benzoyloxy

substitutions in the R1, R2, and R3 positions of the pendant benzene ring (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, we

observed that the presence of a methyl in the R1 position and a methoxy in the R2 position (PAV218

and PAV-206) resulted in reduced toxicity (higher CC50) and a higher selectivity index when analyzed

in HIV-1 infected MT-2 T cells (Fig. 5A). PAV-206, which differs from PAV-117 only because it

contains a methoxy rather than a benzoyloxy group in the R3 position, was particularly notable since it

had a lower EC50 and higher CC50 than PAV-117, resulting in an excellent average SI of 61 in MT-2

cells (Fig. 5A, B) and 48 in HIV-1 infected PBMCs (Fig. 5B).

In addition to identifying PAV-206, a more potent analog of PAV-117, the structure-activity

relationship analysis led to a strategy for introducing a tag into PAV-117 (or PAV-206) by revealing that

the R3 position can tolerate either a bulky group (as in PAV-117) or a hydrogen (as in PAV218) without

significant loss of activity (Fig. 5A). For this reason, we introduced a biotin at this position, generating

the PAV-818 analog (Fig. 5C), which is identical to PAV-117 and PAV-206 except at the R3 position.

PAV-818 has a higher EC50 (951 nM) than the PAV-117 or PAV-206 analogs, but also has a higher

CC50 (~30 μM), generating an SI of ~32 (Fig. 5C), which is slightly better than the SI of PAV-117.

Thus, PAV-818 represents a biotinylated analog of PAV-206 and PAV-117 that can be utilized to

visualize where these compounds localize within infected cells.

A tagged analog of PAV-206 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ* in infected cells

Next we utilized the biotinylated antiviral analog PAV-818 to ask if this family of compounds colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag as one would expect if they inhibit assembly, as suggested by data in Figs. 3 and 4. For this purpose, we used the proximity ligation assay (PLA), a technique which marks two antigens that are in close proximity with fluorescent spots. Briefly, in PLA, primary antibodies from two species are detected by species-specific secondary antibodies that are tagged with oligonucleotides. These oligonucleotides hybridize to connector oligonucleotides only if the antigens detected by the primary antibodies are within ~40 nm of each other. Ligation of connector oligonucleotides and addition of a polymerase results in rolling circle amplification to generate a sequence that can be detected by a complementary oligonucleotide conjugated to a fluorescent probe (40). Thus, the fluorescent spots (in this case, red spots) represent sites where two antigens are colocalized. To determine whether PAV-818 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag, we performed biotin-Gag PLA on 293T cells that were chronically infected with HIV-1 and treated with either the biotinylated antiretroviral PAV-818, a biotinylated compound that lacks antiviral activity (PAV-543; structure in Fig. S1), or the DMSO vehicle (Fig. 6A). Quantification of red fluorescent spots, which represent sites where biotinylated compound and Gag are colocalized, revealed 5.5-fold more spots in cells treated with 10 μM PAV-818 than in cells treated with an equivalent concentration of the control compound PAV-543, and a slightly greater difference relative to DMSO treated cells (Fig. 6B, C). Moreover, the number of biotin-Gag spots observed with PAV-818 treatment displayed a dose-response relationship (Fig. 6B, C). Controls revealed that only a background level of spots was observed when uninfected 293T cells were treated with PAV-818, as would be expected given that uninfected cells lack Gag (Fig. 6B, C). Additionally, as expected, when antibody to biotin was replaced with a nonimmune control antibody only a few spots were observed; similar results were observed when antibody to Gag was replaced with a nonimmune control (Fig. S2). Together these data provide evidence that PAV-818 colocalizes with Gag in HIV-1 infected human cells.

Compound-specific resistance mutations were not observed in *gag* or *pol* after 37 weeks of selection with PAV-206

The colocalization of PAV-818 with HIV-1 Gag suggested a straightforward model in which Gag is the target of this assembly inhibitor. If this is the case, then selection for resistance to the compound should result in rapid acquisition of compound-specific mutations in the *gag* gene, analogous to what has been observed for all antiretrovirals that target viral gene products (41). To determine if development of resistance under selection could identify a viral target for this compound, we infected MT-2 T cells and passaged them under selection with PAV-206 for 37 weeks, increasing the drug concentration by two-fold whenever evidence of resistance was observed, and periodically sequencing virus to identify dominant mutations (Fig. 7A, sequencing events indicated by red arrows). We also selected with nelfinavir, a well-studied protease inhibitor, in parallel, as a positive control.

As would be expected, we observed that 37 passages in the presence of nelfinavir led to the emergence of three well known resistance mutations in HIV-1 protease: L90M, V77I, and L10F (indicated in red in Fig. 7B using HXB2 genome numbering; Table S1), with L90M being a primary resistance mutation and V77I and L10F being common secondary mutations that further boost nelfinavir resistance (42-46). L90M and V77I were first detected as dominant mutations at passage 29, just before the virus developed a high level of resistance, defined here as replication in a concentration of nelfinavir that is 16-fold higher than the EC50. L10F was detected later, becoming dominant at passage 37. In addition to these well described non-synonymous protease-resistance mutations, two non-synonymous substitutions in Gag emerged during nelfinavir selection (indicated in blue in Fig. 7B; Table S1). These substitutions, A224T and H219Q, are in the cyclophilin A binding loop of Gag and were detectable by passage 21 and 29, respectively. H219Q and other substitutions in the cyclophilin A binding loop (amino acids 217-225 in Gag) are polymorphisms in the Los Alamos Database (47, 48) that are known to modulate incorporation of cyclophilin A into virions when virus is passaged in cyclophilin-A-rich immortalized CD4+T cells even in the absence of drugs, thereby resulting in virion cyclophilin A levels

that are optimal for replication in these cell lines (49, 50). From these data, we infer that in the

nelfinavir selection group, a tissue-culture-adaptive cyclophilin A binding loop polymorphism initially

emerged in Gag and likely led to improved fitness and replication in a drug concentration 8-fold greater

than the EC50; this was followed later by nelfinavir-specific resistance mutations, which likely led to

high level nelfinavir resistance.

Given the results with nelfinavir, we were surprised to find no dominant PAV-206-specific

mutations in the *gag* or *pol* genes upon selection with PAV-206 in parallel. Notably, we did detect a

dominant drug-independent, tissue-culture adaptive mutation in the cyclophilin A binding loop of Gag in

the PAV206 selection, as had been observed with nelfinavir. Specifically, at passage 19, a V218M

substitution in the cyclophilin A binding loop (Fig. 7A, B; Table S2). Like the cyclophilin A binding

loop substitutions observed with nelfinavir selection, V218M improves viral fitness in cyclophilin-A-

rich CD4+ T cells through optimizing cyclophilin binding (47). Unlike with nelfinavir selection, no

other dominant non-synonymous mutations were observed in the *gag* or *pol* genes during 37 weeks of

selection with PAV-206; moreover, high level resistance was also not observed (Fig. 7A, B), with an

unsuccessful passage in a PAV-206 concentration that was 16-fold higher than the EC50 (J. Reed,

unpublished observations).

While our primary interest was in dominant non-synonymous mutations in *gag* or *pol*, which include

the most plausible targets of PAV-206, we also looked for mutations elsewhere in the HIV-1 genome.

Interestingly, non-synonymous mutations in *env* were observed in both selection groups (A541V,

Q550H, and S144R in the nelfinavir selection group, and N302Y, D547G, and Q550H in the PAV-206

selection group in Fig. 7A, B; Table S1, S2). These mutations are known to confer global replication

advantage or serve as tissue culture adaptations. Specifically, A541V (observed at nelfinavir passage

29) was recently shown to confer broad escape from defects in virus replication caused by either virus

mutations or antiretroviral drugs, most likely by increasing cell-to-cell transmission in T cell lines (51).

Similarly, N302Y and D547G (observed at PAV-206 passage 27) are thought to increase fusion kinetics

(52) and enhance fusogencity (53), respectively, and likely confer global replication advantages.

Q550H, which arose during both PAV-206 and nelfinavir selection, has been observed in the absence of

drug treatment (54) and is therefore likely to be a tissue culture adaptation. Thus, these *env* mutations

are not specific to PAV-206 selection. Finally, we also observed three synonymous mutations arising in

env upon PAV-206 selection (Table S2). Overall, we concluded from these resistance studies that

replication in PAV-206 for 37 weeks failed to select for any PAV-206-specific resistance mutations in

gag or *pol*, and therefore differed markedly from the nelfinavir selection control, which demonstrated

the classic pattern of multiple resistance mutations emerging in the viral target of the drug. Consistent

with this conclusion, we observed a high level of resistance in the nelfinavir selection group at passage

33 that correlated with emergence of nelfinavir-specific mutations in *pro*, while resistance to PAV-206

failed to rise above the moderate level that is likely due to tissue-culture adaptation and mutations that

confer global replication advantage in T cells (Fig. 7A).

PAV-206 colocalizes with host components of assembly intermediates, suggesting a host-targeting

mechanism

Our studies to this point had shown that the PAV-206 chemotype colocalizes with Gag *in situ* (Fig.

6) and appears to inhibit Gag assembly (Fig. 4), but unexpectedly does not appear to target Gag or

GagPol based on resistance studies (Fig. 7). This led us to hypothesize that PAV-206 and its analogs

colocalize with host proteins as well as Gag, which would raise the possibility that PAV-206 targets a

host protein or viral-host interface that is critical for assembly. This possibility is made more plausible

by the fact that these compounds were identified through assembly screens that recapitulate host-

catalyzed capsid assembly pathways. In the case of HIV-1 immature capsid assembly, two host

proteins, ABCE1 and DDX6, are known to promote assembly and are associated with Gag, serving as

markers of Gag-containing assembly intermediates (26, 30-34). Thus, we used PLA to next ask whether

PAV-206 colocalizes with these Gag-associated host proteins.

293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1 were treated with either the biotinylated antiretroviral

PAV-818, or the biotinylated inactive PAV-543, or DMSO and subjected to biotin-ABCE1 PLA (Fig.

8A). Quantification of red fluorescent spots representing sites where biotinylated compound and

ABCE1 are colocalized *in situ*, revealed 14.3-fold more spots in cells treated with the antiviral PAV-818

(10 μM) than in cells treated with an equivalent concentration of PAV-543 and a similar difference

relative to DMSO treatment (Fig. 8B, C). Additionally, the number of biotin-ABCE1 spots observed

with PAV-818 treatment displayed a dose-response relationship (Fig. 8B, C). Interestingly, PAV-818

colocalized with ABCE1 as strongly in uninfected 293T cells as in HIV-1 infected human cells (Fig. 8B,

C). As expected, controls in which antibody to biotin or to ABCE1 were individually replaced with a

nonimmune control antibody generated very few PLA spots (Fig. S3). PAV-818 was also found to

colocalize with DDX6 in chronically HIV-1 infected 293T cells by PLA, with 5.1-fold more spots

observed in PAV-818- vs. DMSO-treated cells (Fig. 9A). As a control, we also showed that ABCE1 is

colocalized with DDX6 in 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1, as would be expected from our

coimmunoprecipitation studies (30), using PLA with antibodies to DDX6 and ABCE1 (Fig. 9B). Thus,

this novel antiretroviral compound colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag and with the host proteins ABCE1, and

DDX6, all of which are components of HIV-1 assembly intermediates.

DISCUSSION

Here we report our discovery of a family of small molecules, PAV-206 and its analogs, that potently inhibit HIV-1 replication by acting on intracellular viral late events, a stage of the viral life cycle that is not specifically targeted by potent inhibitors currently in use or reported to be in development. Importantly, the exact target and mechanism of action of this compound remain to be determined; however, the data from virologic, resistance, and imaging studies presented here raise the intriguing possibility that this compound does not target a viral protein, but instead may target a host component of a multi-protein complex that plays an important role in assembly of the immature HIV-1 capsid (Fig. 10). While further studies will be needed to test this hypothesis, PAV-206 and its analogs should be of great interest even at this early stage given that all antiretroviral drugs in use except one (CCR5 antagonists) target viral proteins and that host-targeting antivirals offer a high genetic barrier to resistance that will be key as resistance to existing drugs becomes more prevalent (55, 56).

Most exciting in this regard was our observation that after selection with increasing concentrations of PAV-206 for 37 weeks in MT-2 T cells, sequence of replicating virus revealed no compound-specific mutations in *gag* or *pol* (Fig. 7), suggesting that PAV-206 acts by a mechanism that is independent of direct targeting of Gag. Additionally, high level resistance to PAV-206 was not observed during this time (Fig. 7). Such behavior is distinctly unusual, since all existing classes of direct-acting antiretroviral drugs result in rapid development of resistance mutations in their viral targets upon single drug treatment (41). The unusual nature of this finding was emphasized by our contrasting finding that 37-week selection with the protease inhibitor nelfinavir in parallel did result in three known resistance mutations in the HIV-1 protease as well as high-level resistance (allowing growth in drug concentrations 16X higher than the EC50), as would be expected.

We did observe two other categories of mutations during both PAV-206 and nelfinavir selection. The first are known tissue-culture adaptations (Fig. 7; Table S1 and S2). Most of these were in the cyclophilin A loop of Gag and are known to reduce the amount of cyclophilin A incorporated into

virions during passage in cyclophilin A-rich immortalized CD4+ T cells, in the presence or absence of

antiretroviral drugs (49, 50). One known tissue culture adaptation (54) was observed in *env* (Q550H)

and arose in both selection groups. Mutations in a second category were also not compound-specific,

and likely confer global replication advantage, as best demonstrated for A541V (51). The emergence of

the earliest of these various compound-independent mutations, the cyclophilin A binding loop

polymorphism V218M, as a subdominant mutation might explain the modest resistance observed in the

PAV-206 selection experiment (allowing virus replication in a PAV-206 concentration 8X higher than

the EC50). In support of this possibility, the appearance of modest resistance (to 8X EC50) in the

nelfinavir selection control by passage 20 correlated with emergence of a cyclophilin A binding loop

polymorphism and preceded the time when the drug-specific protease mutations became dominant.

Further studies will be needed to test the hypothesis that these mutations allow low level replication in

the presence of compound.

While additional studies will be required to identify the target of PAV-206, the surprising lack of

compound-specific viral resistance mutations in *gag* or *pol* raises the possibility that this compound acts

on a host protein. Two other observations are consistent with that hypothesis. First, this compound was

identified using novel screens that recapitulate host-catalyzed pathways in which assembling capsid

proteins form a series of host-protein containing assembly intermediates, culminating in formation of the

completed immature capsid. Such an assembly pathway screen was previously shown to identify a host-

targeting small molecule that potently inhibits RABV in cell culture (35). Second, our imaging studies

show that PAV-206 colocalizes with Gag as well as ABCE1 and DDX6 (Figs. 6, 8, 9), two host

enzymes known to be associated with Gag in assembly intermediates as shown by PLA and

coimmunoprecipitation (24-27, 30, 32-34); here, we additionally showed that ABCE1 colocalizes with

DDX6 by PLA (Fig. 9), consistent with previous co-immunoprecipitation results (30). Interestingly, our

studies found that PAV-206 colocalizes with at least one of these host enzymes (ABCE1) in the absence

of HIV-1 infection (Fig. 8), suggesting that the compound acts on the host RNA-granule-related RNP

complex that is the precursor of assembly intermediates, even in the absence of Gag. Additional studies

will be required to identify the direct target of this chemotype through crosslinking approaches that

utilize the diazirine group in PAV-818, but based on data presented here, we hypothesize that the target

may be a component of the host-RNP-complex-derived assembly intermediates.

PAV-206 and its analogs are also of great interest because, to our knowledge, they are the first

potent small molecules shown to selectively inhibit late events in the HIV-1 life cycle. Unlike

previously reported compounds that target CA with high potency (i.e. EC50 in the nM range or below,

e.g. (12, 17, 57-59)) the chemotype reported here has no effects on viral early events and acts entirely on

late events (Fig. 3). Moreover, PAV-117 dramatically reduces virus production (Figs. 3, 4), indicating

that it acts on intracellular late events. PAV-117 treatment of infected cells did not reduce steady-state

levels of two cellular proteins suggesting that PAV-117 does not globally inhibit protein synthesis as

would be expected based on the screening strategy. PAV-117 treatment did lead to a modest reduction

in steady-state Gag levels, although it is unlikely this modest reduction in steady-state Gag explains the

reduction in virus production. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that the modest reductions in

steady-state Gag levels are due to PAV-117 specifically inhibiting Gag synthesis, we favor the

hypothesis that the reduced Gag levels are due to degradation of assembly intermediates that are

associated with compound. Consistent with this hypothesis we do observe a reduction in the steady-

state levels of the final (~500S) assembly intermediate (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, additional studies will be

needed to determine the exact mechanism of action of PAV-206 and its analogs.

Finally, it should be noted that discovery of these compounds constitutes a compelling validation of

the importance of HIV-1 RNA-granule-related assembly intermediates in virus production.

Surprisingly, the existence of assembly intermediates containing RNA granule proteins continues to be

questioned, even though numerous groups in addition to ours have implicated RNA granule proteins as

being important for assembly of diverse retroviruses and retroelements. For example, knockout studies

by the Sandmeyer group demonstrated that RNA granule proteins are required for Ty3 retrotransposition

in yeast and likely act during assembly (60-62). Additionally the Linial group found that the RNA

granule protein DDX6 is required for foamy virus packaging (63), and the Mouland group has shown

that the RNA granule protein Stau1 plays an important role in HIV-1 Gag assembly and packaging (64-

67). Finally, the Kewalramani and Pathak groups have demonstrated that the RNA granule protein

MOV10 influences immature HIV-1 assembly (68, 69). Data presented here shows that the study of

host-derived RNA-granule-derived HIV-1 assembly intermediates has led to discovery of the first

compounds that specifically inhibit intracellular HIV-1 late events. Thus, these data add strong support

to the view that such assembly intermediates not only exist but are highly relevant to our understanding

of HIV-1 assembly as well as development of antiretroviral compounds for treatment of multidrug

resistant HIV-1 in the future.

METHODS

Plasmids and proviral constructs.

In this study several variants of the HIV-1 NL4-3 proviral clone were utilized. For infection of PBMC, we utilized the NL4-3 Infectious Molecular Clone (pNL4-3) from Dr. Malcolm Martin obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH (Catalog Number 114; (70)). For infection of MT-2, the pNL4-3 plasmid was modified to express *Renilla* luciferase in place of *nef* to make the HIV-1 pNL4-3 RLuc plasmid. For single round MT-2 cell infections used to assay only HIV-1 early events, we introduced an *env* deletion (KpnI-BglII) into the RLuc plasmid to make pNL4-3 RLuc Δ*env*. We also used several variants of the HIV-1 LAI proviral clone. Chronically infected H9 and 293T cells were generated using HIV-1 pLAI *vp*r- *nef- puro+*, a proviral plasmid that contains a puromycin gene in place of *nef* for selection and two stop codons introduced into the NcoI site within *vpr* to inactivate Vpr (71). For single round MT-2 cell infections used to evaluate viral late events, we used pLAI *pro-* Δ*env* which we have described previously (30). The plasmid pcDNA NL4-3 Env used for pseudotyping was generated by cloning a Geneblock DNA fragment of codon-optimized NL4-3 *env* (Integrated DNA Technologies ((IDT), Coralville, IA) into a pcDNA vector by Gibson assembly (72). For infection of SupT1.CCR5 cells, we utilized a molecular clone of a subtype A primary isolate, Q23-17(73), that was modified to express a subtype A BG505 *env* (74, 75) and was obtained from Julie Overbaugh. Infection of G355-5 cells with FIV utilized the FIV-34TF10 ORFA+ proviral clone, described previously (31). The psPAX2 Gag-Pol helper plasmid was obtained from Didier Trono via Addgene (plasmid # 12260; http://n2t.net/addgene:12260). To evaluate compound activity against FLUV, plasmids required for production of FLUV A/WSN/33 (76) were obtained from Andrew Pekosz.

Cells and transfection. The feline astrocyte cell line G355-5 was obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA; CRL-2033) and maintained in McCoy 5A (modified) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (complete McCoy 5A). G355-5 cells were transfected with 1 to 6 µg DNA in 6 cm dishes using

X-treameGENE 9 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The 293T/17 cell line was obtained from ATCC (CRL-

11268) and maintained in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-

streptomycin (complete DMEM).   293T cells were transfected with 1 mg/mL polyethylenimine (PEI;

Polysciences, Warrington, PA) at a ratio of PEI-to-DNA of 3:1. The MT-2 cell line was obtained from

Dr. Douglas Richman through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH

(Catalog Number 237; (77, 78)). SupT1.CCR5 cells, a SupT1 cell line stably expressing CCR5 HIV-1

coreceptor (79), , were obtained from Julie Overbaugh. MT-2 and SupT1.CCR5 cells were maintained

in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (complete RPMI).

TZM-bl cells were obtained from Dr. John C. Kappes, and Dr. Xiaoyun Wu through the NIH AIDS

Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH (Catalog number 8129; (80-84)). The MDCK.2 cell

line was obtained from ATCC (CRL-2986) and were maintained in MEM media supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 1% non-essential amino acids solution, and 1%

sodium pyruvate (complete MEM).

HIV-1 chronically infected cell lines. The 293T-HIV and H9-HIV lines stably express the provirus

LAI *vpr- nef- puro+*. These lines were generated by infecting either 293T/17 or H9 cells with NL4-3

vpr- nef- puro+ at an MOI of 1, followed by selection with and maintenance in complete media

supplemented with 0.5 μg/mL puromycin.

Antibodies for western blotting and proximity ligation assay. To detect HIV-1 Gag p24 in cell

lysates and virus pellets by WB, we used αGag p24 mouse IgG$_1$ monoclonal antibody isolated from the

hybridoma 183-H12-5C obtained from Bruce Chesebro through the AIDS Reagent Program Division of

AIDS, NIAID, NIH (catalog number 1513; (85)). Commercial antibodies were used to detect GAPDH

(Abcam, Cambridge, MA; ab9485) and actin (Millipore-Sigma, St Louis, MO; A2066) in cell lysates by

WB. Isotype specific secondary antibodies utilized for WB were obtained from Bethyl Laboratories

(Montgomery, TX). For proximity ligation assay (PLA) we utilized the αGag antibody described for

WB above, αbiotin rabbit IgG (Bethyl Laboratories, A150-109A), affinity purified αABCE1 rabbit IgG

which we have previously described (34), αbiotin mouse IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch Labs, West

Grove, PA,; 200-002-211), αDDX6 mouse IgG (Millipore-Sigma; WH0001656M1),

and αDDX6 rabbit IgG (Bethyl Laboratories; A300-461A). Rabbit IgG (Millipore-Sigma; I5006) and

mouse IgG (Millipore-Sigma; I5381) was used for PLA nonimmune controls.

Virus infectivity measurement. Infectivity was measured by titering stocks on TZM-bl cells.   Cells

seeded 7500 cells/well into 96-well polystyrene tissue culture plates were infected 24h later with

dilutions of culture supernatant or virus stock containing 20 µg/ml DEAE dextran in a 50 µL volume for

4 h at 37°C. After infection, 150 µL of complete DMEM was added and plates were incubated at 37°C

for 48 h. Cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde/0.2% glutaraldehyde/PBS for 5 min and washed with

PBS. To determine infectious units, cells were incubated with 50 µL of X-Gal stain (4 mM potassium

ferrocyanide, 4 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.4 mg/mL 5-Bromo-4-Chloro-3-Indolyl β-

D-Galactopyranoside prepared in PBS) for 50 min at 37°C. Following staining cells were washed

several times with PBS and blue cells (only wells with < 100 cells) were counted to determine infectious

units (IU) per mL. To determine relative infectivity, cells were seeded, infected, fixed, and washed as

above, but instead of X-Gal stain, 100 µL of MUG stain (200 µg/mL 4-methylumbelliferone β-D-

galactopyranoside prepared in DMEM) was added and incubated at 37°C for 25 min. After incubation,

the reaction was terminated with 100 µL of 1M Na_2CO_3 and well fluorescence determined using 360 nm

and 449 nm for excitation and emission settings, respectively (Synergy H1; BioTek Instruments, Inc.,

Winooski, VT, USA). The relative fluorescence was used to compare infectivity between samples.

PBMC activation. Thawed, unstimulated PBMC cells (BenTech, Seattle, WA) were pelleted by

centrifugation 228 x g for 8 min in an Allegra centrifuge (Beckman, Brea, CA). Pellets were

resuspended in activation medium containing RPMI supplemented with 21 ng/mL human IL-2

(Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ; #200-02), 1.5 µg/mL PHA (Remel, San Diego, CA; R30852801), 10% fetal

bovine serum, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin, at a density of $2x10^6$ cells/mL. After a three-day

incubation, cells were collected and resuspended in RPMI with 20% FBS and 10% DMSO, and frozen

as $50x10^6$ cells per vial.

HIV-1 virus production. To produce HIV-1 viral stocks 293T/17 cells were seeded at $10x10^6$ cells in a

T175 flask, transfected for 24 h, washed, and incubated in complete DMEM. Media from 48 and 72 h

post transfection collections was pooled, filtered with a 0.45 µm PES membrane, and 0.5 mL aliquots

were stored at -80°C. To produce viral stocks of NL4-3, Q23.BG505 and LAI *vpr- nef- puro+*, 36 µg of

proviral plasmids were used per T175 flask. To produce viral stocks of NL4-3 RLuc Δ*env* pseudotyped

with NL4-3 Env, 36 µg of the proviral plasmid, and 12 µg of pcDNA NL4-3 Env were co-transfected.

To produce viral stocks of LAI pro- Δ*env* pseudotyped with NL4-3 Env, 27 µg of proviral plasmid, 15

µg of pcDNA NL4-3 Env, and 18 µg of psPAX2 (Gag-Pol helper plasmid) were co-transfected.

FLUV virus production. FLUV A/WSN/33 viral stocks were prepared in MDCK.2 cells as described

previously (86).

FIV virus production and RT assay. G355-5 cells were transfected in 6-well plates and each well was

transfected with 2 µg per well of FIV 34TF10 ORFA+ proviral plasmid. At 24 h post transfection, cells

were washed and media replaced with 4 ml of complete McCoy 5A. At 144 h post transfection, media

was filtered with a 0.45 µm syringe filter, aliquoted, and stored at -80C. Reverse transcriptase activity

in media from FIV or mock-transfected cells was estimated using SG-PERT (87). A set of recombinant

RT standards (EMD Millipore, Molsheim, France; catalog# 382129) ranging from 5.12e3 nU/mL to

5.12e9 nU/mL was used to estimate the nU/mL of reverse transcriptase activity in the FIV stock.

Inhibition of HIV-1 replication.

MT-2 spreading infection assay. Inhibition of HIV-1 viral replication was assayed in a spreading

infection using MT-2 cells and NL4-3 RLuc reporter virus. For dose-response curves, compounds were

initially diluted with DMSO to 100-times the starting concentration in a 96-well plate and subjected to a

series of 3-fold dilutions in DMSO for a total of eight or nine dilutions. If a single compound

concentration was tested, the compound was diluted to 100-times the desired concentration in DMSO.

Compounds were then diluted 50-fold with infection media prepared by diluting NL4-3 RLuc virus

stock to 400 IU/100 μL with complete RPMI. Then 100 μL of 50-fold diluted compound was

transferred to 20,000 MT-2 cells that were pre-seeded in 96-well plates in 100 uL complete RPMI for a

final volume of 200 u, followed by incubation at 37°C for 96 h. The final MOI in infected plates was

0.02 and the final DMSO concentration in all wells was 1%. All assays were run with three replicates.

For each replicate, one well received DMSO only and one well received media only for normalization

and background correction. To assay inhibition of HIV-1 replication, 100 μL of media was removed

and discarded and 10 μL of 15 μM EnduRen luciferase substrate was added to each well, followed by

incubation for 1.5 h at 37°C. Plates were read on a luminescence plate reader (Synergy H1; BioTek

Instruments, Inc.).

SupT1.CCR5 spreading infection assay. The SupT1.CCR5 assay was as described for the MT-2

spreading infection assay, with the following exceptions: 12.5 uL of Q23.BG505 virus stock was used

per well, and inhibition of HIV-1 replication was determined by measuring the relative infectivity

produced form infected cells using the MUG assay (see virus infectivity measurements above).

PBMC spreading infection assay. The PBMC assay was as described for the MT-2 spreading

infection assay with the following exceptions. Frozen activated PBMC cells were thawed and

resuspended in complete PBMC media containing RPMI supplemented with 21 ng/mL human IL-2

(Peprotech; #200-02), 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin, at 0.5×10^6 cells/mL for 3

days in 37°C tissue culture incubator. Cells were collected and adjusted to 2×10^6 cells/mL in complete

PBMC media and bulk infected for 2 h with NL4-3 virus stock at a MOI of 0.008 at 37°C. Compound

dilutions were prepared in complete PBMC media as above, but without virus, and 100 uL of diluted

compound was transferred to 96-well U-bottom plates. Bulk infected cells were pelleted and

resuspended in complete PBMC media at $1x10^6$ cells/mL and 100 µL of cell suspension was transferred

to each well at 100,000 cells per well with diluted compound. After 96 h, media was collected for

relative infectivity measurements as with the SupT1.CCR5 spreading infection assay.

FIV inhibition assay. Inhibition of FIV spreading infection was assayed using G355-5 cells, seeded on

the prior day at 8000 cells/well in a 96-well plate. Preparation and addition of compounds were as in the

MT-2 spreading infection assay with the following differences: for infection, 1954 nU RT activity of

the FIV-34TF10 ORFA+ stock was used per well, infection assays proceeded for 144 h, and infectious

virus production was measured using the SG-PERT assay described above.

Virus pelleting. For Fig. 3B, culture supernatants were collected at 48 h and virus was pelleted by

adding 75 µL of 17% PEG 6000/0.8M NaCl/PBS to 75 µL media from the assay in a 96-well V-bottom

polypropylene plate. PEG and media were incubated on ice for 2 h or overnight at 4°C. Virus was

pelleted at 1847 x g for 30 min at 4°C in the plate in an Allegra centrifuge (Beckman) and the pellet was

resuspended in 50 uL of loading buffer with DTT for analysis by WB with αGag. For Fig. 4, culture

supernatants were collected 48 h post infection and filtered through a 0.45 µm PES syringe filter to

remove any remaining cells. Virus-like particles were centrifuged through a 30% w/v sucrose/PBS

cushion at 130,000 x g, 30 min, 4°C in an SW55Ti rotor (Beckman), and the virus pellet harvested for

analysis by WB with αGag.

MT-2 acute infection assay. MT-2 cells were diluted to 2×10^6 cells/mL with complete RPMI and bulk

infected at a MOI of 1 for 2 h at 37°C. Compound was diluted to 100-times the final required

concentration with DMSO. The compound dilutions and DMSO only controls were then further diluted

50-fold with complete RPMI, and 1 mL of the dilutions was added per well in a 12-well plate. Bulk

infected cells were then washed and adjusted to 0.5×10^6 cells/mL, and 1 mL of infected cells was added

to each well containing diluted compound or DMSO. At 48h, media was collected for virus pelleting as

described above and cells were washed and lysed (see cell lysis below).

Cell lysis. MT-2 cells were collected and washed three times by pelleting at 200 x g for 5 min at 4°C in

an Allegra centrifuge (Beckman) and resuspending cells in ice-cold PBS. Cell pellets were lysed with

250 µL lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 14 mM KAc, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.3% NP40) followed by

shearing 20-times with a 20-gauge needle. Cell lysate was then clarified 200 x g for 10 min at 4°C in an

Allegra centrifuge (Beckman). Supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and further clarified by

centrifugation at 18,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C in a microfuge (Beckman), and supernatant transferred to

a fresh tube.

Velocity sedimentation. To prepare gradients, 10%, 15%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, and 80% w/v sucrose

solutions were prepared with water and then each was diluted 1/10 with a 10x stock of the lysis buffer

used to harvest cells (see cell lysis). For each gradient, ~100 µL of cell lysate (~100 µg of total protein)

was layered on a 5-ml step gradient containing equivalent layers of each diluted sucrose solution

prepared and subjected to velocity sedimentation in a Beckman MLS-50 rotor 217,000 x g for 45 min at

4°C. Gradients were fractionated from top to bottom, and pelleted material was harvested for WB.

Aliquots of fractions and pellet were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by WB with αGag. Gag in

pellet, which likely represents denatured Gag, was not included in the quantification of the velocity

sedimentation gradients and represented less than 10% of total Gag signal in the gradient. The method

for estimating the migration of particles with different S values in gradients has been described

previously (28).

Inhibition of HIV-1 early events. For Fig. 3A, the assay described under MT-2 spreading infection

assay was used with the following exceptions: MT-2 cells were infected with 0.5 µL/well of HIV-1

NL4-3 RLuc Δ*env* virus pseudotyped with NL4-3 Env and luciferase was measured at 48 h instead of 96

h.

Inhibition of HIV-1 late events. For these experiments, we used H9-HIV, a chronically infected H9 T

cell line. Compounds were diluted as described under MT-2 spreading infection above. To measure

inhibition of infectious virus production (Fig. 3B, black graph), media were collected and relative

infectivity of virus in the media was measured using the MUG assay (see virus infectivity measurements

above). To measure inhibition of virus production (Fig. 3B, blue graph and blot), PEG pelleted virus

(harvested as described in virus pelleting above) was analyzed by WB with αGag.

FLUV antiviral assay. Inhibition of FLUV replication was assayed using infection of MDCK.2 cells.

A day before the assay, MDCK.2 cells were seeded at 30,000 cells/well in complete MEM in a 96-well

plate. The next day, seeded cells are washed with PBS and incubated for 1 h with 100 µL of FLUV

A/WSN/33 stock diluted in VGM media containing 42 mM HEPES, 0.125% BSA, and 1 µg/mL TPCK-

trypsin (final MOI of 0.001). Cells were then washed with PBS and incubated in 90 uL of complete

MEM. Single compound dilutions were prepared in complete MEM at 10x the desired final

concentration, and 10 µL of DMSO or 10x compound was added to infected cells for final volume of

100 µL. At 24 h post infection, media was aspirated, cells were washed with PBS, and 100 µL of

complete MEM was added to each well. After a 2 h incubation, media were collected for TCID50

determination.

FLUV TCID50 assay. FLUV TCID50 was assessed on MDCK.2 cells. MDCK.2 cells were seeded at 30,000 cells/well in complete MEM in a 96-well plate and the next day the complete MEM was exchanged for 100 µL VGM media (as in the FLUV antiviral assay). Ten-fold serial dilutions of virus-containing supernatant were prepared and seven replicates of 11.1 µL of neat and diluted stocks were added to the MDCK cells (final dilutions in the TCID50 plate ranged from $10^{-1} – 10^{-6}$). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 3 days, and the number of infected wells in the seven replicates for each dilution was determined by visual inspection. These data were used to calculate TCID50/mL using the Reed and Muench method (88).

Cytotoxicity Assays. For each viral inhibition assay, a cytotoxicity assay was performed in parallel on uninfected cells by adding 1/10th volume of AlamarBlue (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA; DAL1199) to the plates and mixing well. AlamarBlue-treated plates were incubated for 2-3 h at 37°C (timing was optimized for linear signal), mixed well, and read using a fluorescence plate reader with 560 nm and 590 nm as excitation and emission settings, respectively (Synergy H1; BioTek Instruments, Inc.).

Resistance Assay. Resistance selections were carried out in 12-well tissue culture plates. First, compound dilutions were prepared by adding 1.25 mL of complete RPMI to each well followed by 25 µL of compound that was diluted to 100x the desired final concentration in DMSO. For the first passage, NL4-3 virus stock was then added to achieve a MOI of 0.01. For subsequent passages, 150 µL of the previous passage was added instead. Finally, 0.2×10^6 MT-2 cells were added in 1.25 mL of complete RPMI. The cultures were incubated at 37°C until they reach maximum viral CPE, as determined by visual inspection for the formation of syncytia (3-7 days). Selection was begun at 1x[EC50] and then increased 2-fold whenever maximum CPE was achieved in a time frame similar to that achieved by virus passaged in DMSO. At the end of each selection cells were pelleted from the media by centrifugation 200 x g for 5 min in an Allegra centrifuge (Beckman) and supernatant was

transferred for storage at -80C. PAV-206 and nelfinavir selections were carried out in parallel for 37

weeks.

Sequencing virus from resistance selection. Passaged media was first titered on TZM-bl cells and

infectious units/ mL determined by X-Gal staining (see Virus infectivity measurement above. Passaged

virus was then used to infect MT-2 cells at a MOI of 1 in complete RPMI supplemented with 100 ug/mL

DEAE dextran for 3 h at 37°C. Cells were pelleted at 200 x g 5 min in Allegra centrifuge (Beckman)

and then resuspended in complete RPMI. At 15 hours post infection, cells were washed three times with

ice-cold PBS and then viral cDNA from the cell pellet was harvested using a Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen,

Venlo, Netherlands). Viral cDNA was amplified by PCR using the Herculase II polymerase (Agilent,

Santa Clara, CA) and amplified viral cDNA was gel purified using a Spin Gel Purification kit (Qiagen).

Two sets of primers were used to amplify viral cDNA in two overlapping fragments. The first half of

the genome from 132 base pairs before the start of *gag* to midway through *vpr* was amplified with the

forward primer 5'-AAGCGAAAGTAAAGCCAGAGG -3' and reverse primer 5'-

AACGCCTATTCTGCTATGTCG-3'. The second half of the genome from *vpr* to 252 base pairs into

nef was amplified with the forward primer 5'-CAGAGGACAGATGGAACAAGC-3' and the reverse

primer 5'AGCTGCCTTGTAAGTCATTGG-3'. The LTRs were not sequenced. Gel purified PCR

products were then subjected to Sanger sequencing. Gel chromatograms were visually inspected to

identify a second chromatogram peak that was equal or larger in height than the peak corresponding to

the reference sequence (defined as a $\geq$ 50% mutation; see Table S1 and S2) or a single chromatogram

peak that differs from the reference sequence (defined as a dominant mutation; see Table S1 and S2).

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA). For each treatment, one-half of a Grace Biolabs Culture Well

silicone chamber (Millipore-Sigma; GBL103380) was attached to a cover glass (Carl Zeiss,

Oberkochen, Germany; #474030-9000-000) to create four chambers on the cover glass. Each

chambered cover glass was placed into a well of a 6-well plate and chambers were coated with poly-L-

lysine and allowed to dry overnight. The next day ~4×10^3 293T/17 or 293T-HIV cells were seeded into

each chamber and incubated at 37°C for 5-6 h. After cells adhered, they were treated with compound or

DMSO. At 16 h post treatment, cells were fixed for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4,

permeabilized in 0.5% w/v Saponin in PBS, pH 7.4 for 10 min, and blocked in Duolink blocking

solution (Millipore-Sigma) at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were incubated in primary antibodies using the

following concentrations: for the Gag-Biotin PLA, mouse αGag p24 was used at 0.2 ug/mL and rabbit

αbiotin was used at 4 ug/mL; for the ABCE1-Biotin PLA, rabbit αABCE1 was used at 0.3 ug/mL and

mouse αbiotin was used at 0.7 ug/mL; for the DDX6-Biotin PLA, rabbit αDDX6 was used at 1 ug/mL

and mouse αbiotin was used at 0.7 ug/mL; for the ABCE1-DDX6 PLA, rabbit αABCE1 was used at 0.3

ug/mL and mouse αDDX6 was used at 0.3 ug/mL. For the nonimmune (NI) control PLA experiments,

NI control antibodies from the relevant species were used at the same concentration as the primary

antibody they replaced. Primary incubation was followed by incubation with Duolink reagents

(Millipore-Sigma): oligo-linked secondary antibody, ligation mix, and red amplification/detection mix,

with washes in between, as per the Duolink protocol. For concurrent immunofluorescence (IF)

following the final 1x PLA Buffer B washes, cells were incubated for 30 min at RT with 1:1000

Alexafluor 488 anti-mouse secondary antibody. Cover glasses were mounted using Duolink In Situ

Mounting Media with DAPI (Millipore-Sigma), sealed to the glass slides with clear nail polish, allowed

to dry for 24 h at RT, and stored at -20°C. Imaging was performed with a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1/7

deconvolution microscope using Zeiss Plan-Apochromat 63X/ aperture 1.4 objective with oil

immersion, with Zen 2.6 (blue edition) software. For quantification, five to ten fields, each containing at

least three IF-positive cells, were chosen at random and imaged using identical exposure times for each

channel (100 milliseconds for the PLA channel in red; 0.5-1 second for the IF channel in green,

depending on IF primary antibody; and 20 milliseconds for the DAPI channel in blue). Images were

captured as a Z-stack of 80-90 0.24-µm slices that included the entire cell body and 5 µm above and

below the cell (~20 μm total). To determine the number of PLA spots per cell, image stacks were

processed as follows in FIJI (89). First, an in-focus slice from the IF channel was selected using the

Find Focused Slices plugin within ImageJ (Tseng, Q (2020), available from

https://sites.google.com/site/qingzongtseng/find-focus) and thresholding was used to generate regions of

interest (ROI) that contained only cells. The ROIs identified in the IF channel were applied to a

maximum intensity Z-projection of the PLA channel and PLA spots within the ROI were counted using

the find maxima function in Fiji, with noise threshold optimized to identify only PLA spots. Nuclei

from each field were counted manually to determine the number of cells. Total PLA spots counted were

divided by total nuclei to obtain the average number of PLA spots per cell, and these results were plotted

with error bars representing SEM, with *n* being the total number of cells counted. The number of fields

and cells analyzed for each PLA were: Gag-biotin PLA (Fig. 6) 20 fields, containing a total of 186 - 316

cells; ABCE1-biotin PLA (Fig. 8) 10 fields, containing a total of 104 - 155 cells; DDX6-biotin PLA

(Fig. 9) 5 fields, containing a total of 60 - 73 cells; DDX6-ABCE1 PLA (Fig. 9) 10 fields, containing a

total of 121 cells; Gag-biotin PLA (Fig. S2) 20 fields, containing a total of 213 - 304 cells; rabbit biotin-

mouse NI PLA (Fig. S2) 10 fields, containing a total of 107 - 118 cells; rabbit NI-mouse Gag PLA (Fig.

S2) 10 fields, containing a total of 131 cells; ABCE1-biotin PLA (Fig. S3) 5 fields, containing a total of

53-66 cells; mouse biotin-rabbit NI PLA (Fig. S3) 5 fields, containing a total of 51 - 59 cells; mouse NI-

rabbit ABCE1 (Fig. S3) 5 fields, containing a total of 44 - 77 cells. For presentation of images in

figures, a central slice of the image stack was chosen, and a region of the field of 1875 pixels x 938

pixels was selected and adjusted as follows. For all channels, background was removed by setting the

intensity minimum above the background signal. For the PLA channel, the gain on PLA spots was

increased for visualization by setting the channel intensity maximum to approximately one-third the

intensity of the most intense pixel in the selected field. For the IF channel, IF signal was reduced to

improve PLA spot visualization by setting the channel intensity maximum to approximately twice the

intensity of the most intense pixel in the selected field. A gray scale image of the PLA channel only and

an RGB image of the merged channels (PLA, red; IF, green; and DAPI, blue) were generated and

imported into Inkscape, an open source vector graphics editor, to create the final figure layout without

further adjustment.

Statistical Analysis. EC50 and CC50 values were determined in Excel using four-parameter logistic

regression analysis of dose-response data generated from the viral inhibition or cytotoxicity assays,

respectively. Solid-lines on dose-response plots represent the fitted logistic regression. Average EC50

and CC50 values were calculated using the geometric mean and average values were reported with the

geometric standard deviation (GSD). The statistical difference between pairs of treatment groups in the

PLA experiments was analyzed in Excel using a two-tailed unpaired Students t test assuming unequal

variance.

Synthesis of compounds. See Supplementary Methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following reagents were obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: αGag, p24 mouse IgG$_1$ monoclonal antibody isolated from the hybridoma 183-H12-5C obtained from Bruce Chesebro (catalog number 1513); HIV-1 NL4-3 Infectious Molecular Clone (pNL4-3) from Malcolm Martin (Catalog Number 114); MT-2 cell line from Douglas Richman (Catalog Number 237); and TZM-bl cells from John C. Kappes and. Xiaoyun Wu (Catalog number 8129). HIV-1 Q23.BG505 virus and SupT1.CCR5 cells were obtained from Dr. Julie Overbaugh. The psPAX2 Gag-Pol helper plasmid was obtained from Didier Trono via Addgene (plasmid # 12260). Plasmids for production of FLUV A/WSN/33 were obtained from Andrew Pekosz.

We acknowledge Michael Emerman for advice and input.

Jaisri Lingappa is a cofounder of Prosetta Biosciences and Jonathan Reed is a consultant for Prosetta Biosciences. Vishwanath Lingappa is a cofounder, CEO, and CTO of Prosetta Biosciences.

These studies were funded by NIH R01AI150457, NIH R56 AI145498, and Prosetta Biosciences. Neither of the funders (NIH or Prosetta Biosciences) had any role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Abner E, Jordan A. 2019. HIV "shock and kill" therapy: In need of revision. Antiviral Res
166:19-34.

2. Pham HT, Mesplede T. 2018. The latest evidence for possible HIV-1 curative strategies. Drugs
Context 7:212522.

3. Collier DA, Monit C, Gupta RK. 2019. The Impact of HIV-1 Drug Escape on the Global
Treatment Landscape. Cell Host Microbe 26:48-60.

4. Boender TS, Sigaloff KC, McMahon JH, Kiertiburanakul S, Jordan MR, Barcarolo J, Ford N,
Rinke de Wit TF, Bertagnolio S. 2015. Long-term Virological Outcomes of First-Line
Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV-1 in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review
and Meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 61:1453-61.

5. Gregson J, Kaleebu P, Marconi VC, van Vuuren C, Ndembi N, Hamers RL, Kanki P, Hoffmann
CJ, Lockman S, Pillay D, de Oliveira T, Clumeck N, Hunt G, Kerschberger B, Shafer RW, Yang
C, Raizes E, Kantor R, Gupta RK. 2017. Occult HIV-1 drug resistance to thymidine analogues
following failure of first-line tenofovir combined with a cytosine analogue and nevirapine or
efavirenz in sub Saharan Africa: a retrospective multi-centre cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis
17:296-304.

6. Cambiano V, Bertagnolio S, Jordan MR, Pillay D, Perriens JH, Venter F, Lundgren J, Phillips A.
2014. Predicted levels of HIV drug resistance: potential impact of expanding diagnosis,
retention, and eligibility criteria for antiretroviral therapy initiation. AIDS 28 Suppl 1:S15-23.

7. Llibre JM. 2017. Time to get serious with HIV-1 resistance in sub-Saharan Africa. Lancet Infect
Dis 17:241-243.

8. Dionne B. 2019. Key Principles of Antiretroviral Pharmacology. Infect Dis Clin North Am
33:787-805.

9.   Carnes SK, Sheehan JH, Aiken C. 2018. Inhibitors of the HIV-1 capsid, a target of opportunity.

Curr Opin HIV AIDS 13:359-365.

10.   Thenin-Houssier S, Valente ST. 2016. HIV-1 Capsid Inhibitors as Antiretroviral Agents. Curr

HIV Res 14:270-82.

11.   Balasubramaniam M, Zhou J, Addai A, Martinez P, Pandhare J, Aiken C, Dash C. 2019. PF74

Inhibits HIV-1 Integration by Altering the Composition of the Preintegration Complex. J Virol

93.

12.   Blair WS, Pickford C, Irving SL, Brown DG, Anderson M, Bazin R, Cao J, Ciaramella G,

Isaacson J, Jackson L, Hunt R, Kjerrstrom A, Nieman JA, Patick AK, Perros M, Scott AD,

Whitby K, Wu H, Butler SL. 2010. HIV capsid is a tractable target for small molecule

therapeutic intervention. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001220.

13.   Bhattacharya A, Alam SL, Fricke T, Zadrozny K, Sedzicki J, Taylor AB, Demeler B, Pornillos

O, Ganser-Pornillos BK, Diaz-Griffero F, Ivanov DN, Yeager M. 2014. Structural basis of HIV-

1 capsid recognition by PF74 and CPSF6. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:18625-30.

14.   Rankovic S, Ramalho R, Aiken C, Rousso I. 2018. PF74 Reinforces the HIV-1 Capsid To Impair

Reverse Transcription-Induced Uncoating. J Virol 92.

15.   Shi J, Zhou J, Shah VB, Aiken C, Whitby K. 2011. Small-molecule inhibition of human

immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection by virus capsid destabilization. J Virol 85:542-9.

16.   Zhou J, Price AJ, Halambage UD, James LC, Aiken C. 2015. HIV-1 Resistance to the Capsid-

Targeting Inhibitor PF74 Results in Altered Dependence on Host Factors Required for Virus

Nuclear Entry. J Virol 89:9068-79.

17.   Yant SR, Mulato A, Hansen D, Tse WC, Niedziela-Majka A, Zhang JR, Stepan GJ, Jin D, Wong

MH, Perreira JM, Singer E, Papalia GA, Hu EY, Zheng J, Lu B, Schroeder SD, Chou K,

Ahmadyar S, Liclican A, Yu H, Novikov N, Paoli E, Gonik D, Ram RR, Hung M, McDougall

WM, Brass AL, Sundquist WI, Cihlar T, Link JO. 2019. A highly potent long-acting small-

molecule HIV-1 capsid inhibitor with efficacy in a humanized mouse model. Nat Med 25:1377-

1384.

18.   Fujioka T, Kashiwada Y, Kilkuskie RE, Cosentino LM, Ballas LM, Jiang JB, Janzen WP, Chen

IS, Lee KH. 1994. Anti-AIDS agents, 11. Betulinic acid and platanic acid as anti-HIV principles

from Syzigium claviflorum, and the anti-HIV activity of structurally related triterpenoids. J Nat

Prod 57:243-7.

19.   Lampel A, Bram Y, Ezer A, Shaltiel-Kario R, Saad JS, Bacharach E, Gazit E. 2015. Targeting

the Early Step of Building Block Organization in Viral Capsid Assembly. ACS Chem Biol

10:1785-90.

20.   Machara A, Lux V, Kozisek M, Grantz Saskova K, Stepanek O, Kotora M, Parkan K, Pavova M,

Glass B, Sehr P, Lewis J, Muller B, Krausslich HG, Konvalinka J. 2016. Specific Inhibitors of

HIV Capsid Assembly Binding to the C-Terminal Domain of the Capsid Protein: Evaluation of

2-Arylquinazolines as Potential Antiviral Compounds. J Med Chem 59:545-58.

21.   Sticht J, Humbert M, Findlow S, Bodem J, Muller B, Dietrich U, Werner J, Krausslich HG.

2005. A peptide inhibitor of HIV-1 assembly in vitro. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12:671-7.

22.   Thenin-Houssier S, de Vera IM, Pedro-Rosa L, Brady A, Richard A, Konnick B, Opp S, Buffone

C, Fuhrmann J, Kota S, Billack B, Pietka-Ottlik M, Tellinghuisen T, Choe H, Spicer T,

Scampavia L, Diaz-Griffero F, Kojetin DJ, Valente ST. 2016. Ebselen, a Small-Molecule Capsid

Inhibitor of HIV-1 Replication. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:2195-208.

23.   Bush DL, Vogt VM. 2014. In Vitro Assembly of Retroviruses. Annu Rev Virol 1:561-80.

24.   Barajas BC, Tanaka M, Robinson BA, Phuong DJ, Chutiraka K, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2018.

Identifying the assembly intermediate in which Gag first associates with unspliced HIV-1 RNA

suggests a novel model for HIV-1 RNA packaging. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006977.

25.   Dooher JE, Lingappa JR. 2004. Conservation of a step-wise, energy-sensitive pathway involving

HP68 for assembly of primate lentiviral capsids in cells. J Virol 78:1645-56.

26. Dooher JE, Schneider BL, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2007. Host ABCE1 is at Plasma Membrane

HIV Assembly Sites and Its Dissociation from Gag is Linked to Subsequent Events of Virus

Production. Traffic 8:195-211.

27. Klein KC, Reed JC, Tanaka M, Nguyen VT, Giri S, Lingappa JR. 2011. HIV Gag-leucine zipper

chimeras form ABCE1-containing intermediates and RNase-resistant immature capsids similar

to those formed by wild-type HIV-1 Gag. J Virol 85:7419-35.

28. Lingappa JR, Hill RL, Wong ML, Hegde RS. 1997. A multistep, ATP-dependent pathway for

assembly of human immunodeficiency virus capsids in a cell-free system. J Cell Biol 136:567-

81.

29. Lingappa JR, Newman MA, Klein KC, Dooher JE. 2005. Comparing capsid assembly of primate

lentiviruses and hepatitis B virus using cell-free systems. Virology 333:114-23.

30. Reed JC, Molter B, Geary CD, McNevin J, McElrath J, Giri S, Klein KC, Lingappa JR. 2012.

HIV-1 Gag co-opts a cellular complex containing DDX6, a helicase that facilitates capsid

assembly. J Cell Biol 198:439-56.

31. Reed JC, Westergreen N, Barajas BC, Ressler DTB, Phuong DJ, Swain JV, Lingappa VR,

Lingappa JR. 2018. The formation of RNA granule-derived capsid assembly intermediates

appears to be conserved between HIV-1 and the non-primate lentivirus FIV. J Virol

doi:10.1128/JVI.01761-17.

32. Robinson BA, Reed JC, Geary CD, Swain JV, Lingappa JR. 2014. A temporospatial map that

defines specific steps at which critical surfaces in the Gag MA and CA domains act during

immature HIV-1 capsid assembly in cells. J Virol 88:5718-41.

33. Tanaka M, Robinson BA, Chutiraka K, Geary CD, Reed JC, Lingappa JR. 2015. Mutations of

Conserved Residues in the Major Homology Region Arrest Assembling HIV-1 Gag as a

Membrane-Targeted Intermediate Containing Genomic RNA and Cellular Proteins. J Virol

90:1944-63.

34.   Zimmerman C, Klein KC, Kiser PK, Singh ARS, Firestein BL, Riba SC, Lingappa JR. 2002.

Identification of a host protein essential for assembly of immature HIV-1 capsids. Nature

415:88-92.

35.   Lingappa UF, Wu X, Macieik A, Yu SF, Atuegbu A, Corpuz M, Francis J, Nichols C, Calayag

A, Shi H, Ellison JA, Harrell EK, Asundi V, Lingappa JR, Prasad MD, Lipkin WI, Dey D, Hurt

CR, Lingappa VR, Hansen WJ, Rupprecht CE. 2013. Host-rabies virus protein-protein

interactions as druggable antiviral targets. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of

the United States of America 110:E861-8.

36.   Freienstein C, Blobel G. 1974. Use of eukaryotic native small ribosomal subunits for the

translation of globin messenger RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 71:3435-9.

37.   Singh AR, Hill RL, Lingappa JR. 2001. Effect of mutations in Gag on assembly of immature

human immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsids in a cell-free system. Virology 279:257-70.

38.   Lingappa JR, Dooher JE, Newman MA, Kiser PK, Klein KC. 2006. Basic residues in the

nucleocapsid domain of Gag are required for interaction of HIV-1 gag with ABCE1 (HP68), a

cellular protein important for HIV-1 capsid assembly. J Biol Chem 281:3773-84.

39.   Tritel M, Resh MD. 2001. The late stage of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 assembly is

an energy-dependent process. J Virol 75:5473-81.

40.   Soderberg O, Gullberg M, Jarvius M, Ridderstrale K, Leuchowius KJ, Jarvius J, Wester K,

Hydbring P, Bahram F, Larsson LG, Landegren U. 2006. Direct observation of individual

endogenous protein complexes in situ by proximity ligation. Nat Methods 3:995-1000.

41.   Shafer RW, Schapiro JM. 2005. Drug resistance and antiretroviral drug development. J

Antimicrob Chemother 55:817-20.

42.   Gupta A, Jamal S, Goyal S, Jain R, Wahi D, Grover A. 2015. Structural studies on molecular

mechanisms of Nelfinavir resistance caused by non-active site mutation V77I in HIV-1 protease.

BMC Bioinformatics 16 Suppl 19:S10.

43.   Perry CM, Frampton JE, McCormack PL, Siddiqui MA, Cvetkovic RS. 2005. Nelfinavir: a

review of its use in the management of HIV infection. Drugs 65:2209-44.

44.   Rhee SY, Gonzales MJ, Kantor R, Betts BJ, Ravela J, Shafer RW. 2003. Human

immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. Nucleic Acids

Res 31:298-303.

45.   Rhee SY, Taylor J, Wadhera G, Ben-Hur A, Brutlag DL, Shafer RW. 2006. Genotypic predictors

of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 drug resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:17355-

60.

46.   Weber IT, Agniswamy J. 2009. HIV-1 Protease: Structural Perspectives on Drug Resistance.

Viruses 1:1110-36.

47.   Gatanaga H, Das D, Suzuki Y, Yeh DD, Hussain KA, Ghosh AK, Mitsuya H. 2006. Altered

HIV-1 Gag protein interactions with cyclophilin A (CypA) on the acquisition of H219Q and

H219P substitutions in the CypA binding loop. J Biol Chem 281:1241-50.

48.   Kuiken C, Foley B, Hahn B, Marx P, McCutchan F, Mellors JW, Mullins J, Wolinsky S, Korber

B. 2000. Human Retroviruses and AIDS Los Alamaos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM.

49.   Dicker I, Zhang S, Ray N, Beno BR, Regueiro-Ren A, Joshi S, Cockett M, Krystal M, Lataillade

M. 2019. Resistance profile of the HIV-1 maturation inhibitor GSK3532795 in vitro and in a

clinical study. PLoS One 14:e0224076.

50.   Gatanaga H, Suzuki Y, Tsang H, Yoshimura K, Kavlick MF, Nagashima K, Gorelick RJ, Mardy

S, Tang C, Summers MF, Mitsuya H. 2002. Amino acid substitutions in Gag protein at non-

cleavage sites are indispensable for the development of a high multitude of HIV-1 resistance

against protease inhibitors. J Biol Chem 277:5952-61.

51.   Van Duyne R, Kuo LS, Pham P, Fujii K, Freed EO. 2019. Mutations in the HIV-1 envelope

glycoprotein can broadly rescue blocks at multiple steps in the virus replication cycle. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 116:9040-9049.

52.   Hermann FG, Egerer L, Brauer F, Gerum C, Schwalbe H, Dietrich U, von Laer D. 2009.

1024   Mutations in gp120 contribute to the resistance of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 to

1025   membrane-anchored C-peptide maC46. J Virol 83:4844-53.

1026   53.   Kinomoto M, Yokoyama M, Sato H, Kojima A, Kurata T, Ikuta K, Sata T, Tokunaga K. 2005.

1027   Amino acid 36 in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 ectodomain controls fusogenic

1028   activity: implications for the molecular mechanism of viral escape from a fusion inhibitor. J

1029   Virol 79:5996-6004.

1030   54.   Nameki D, Kodama E, Ikeuchi M, Mabuchi N, Otaka A, Tamamura H, Ohno M, Fujii N,

1031   Matsuoka M. 2005. Mutations conferring resistance to human immunodeficiency virus type 1

1032   fusion inhibitors are restricted by gp41 and Rev-responsive element functions. J Virol 79:764-70.

1033   55.   Garbelli A, Riva V, Crespan E, Maga G. 2017. How to win the HIV-1 drug resistance hurdle

1034   race: running faster or jumping higher? Biochem J 474:1559-1577.

1035   56.   Ji X, Li Z. 2020. Medicinal chemistry strategies toward host targeting antiviral agents. Med Res

1036   Rev doi:10.1002/med.21664.

1037   57.   Lemke CT, Titolo S, von Schwedler U, Goudreau N, Mercier JF, Wardrop E, Faucher AM,

1038   Coulombe R, Banik SS, Fader L, Gagnon A, Kawai SH, Rancourt J, Tremblay M, Yoakim C,

1039   Simoneau B, Archambault J, Sundquist WI, Mason SW. 2012. Distinct effects of two HIV-1

1040   capsid assembly inhibitor families that bind the same site within the N-terminal domain of the

1041   viral CA protein. J Virol 86:6643-55.

1042   58.   Li F, Goila-Gaur R, Salzwedel K, Kilgore NR, Reddick M, Matallana C, Castillo A, Zoumplis D,

1043   Martin DE, Orenstein JM, Allaway GP, Freed EO, Wild CT. 2003. PA-457: a potent HIV

1044   inhibitor that disrupts core condensation by targeting a late step in Gag processing. Proc Natl

1045   Acad Sci U S A 100:13555-60.

1046   59.   Vozzolo L, Loh B, Gane PJ, Tribak M, Zhou L, Anderson I, Nyakatura E, Jenner RG, Selwood

1047   D, Fassati A. 2010. Gyrase B inhibitor impairs HIV-1 replication by targeting Hsp90 and the

1048   capsid protein. J Biol Chem 285:39314-28.

1049   60.   Beliakova-Bethell N, Beckham C, Giddings TH, Jr., Winey M, Parker R, Sandmeyer S. 2006.

1050   Virus-like particles of the Ty3 retrotransposon assemble in association with P-body components.

1051   RNA 12:94-101.

1052   61.   Bilanchone V, Clemens K, Kaake R, Dawson AR, Matheos D, Nagashima K, Sitlani P, Patterson

1053   K, Chang I, Huang L, Sandmeyer S. 2015. Ty3 Retrotransposon Hijacks Mating Yeast RNA

1054   Processing Bodies to Infect New Genomes. PLoS Genet 11:e1005528.

1055   62.   Irwin B, Aye M, Baldi P, Beliakova-Bethell N, Cheng H, Dou Y, Liou W, Sandmeyer S. 2005.

1056   Retroviruses and yeast retrotransposons use overlapping sets of host genes. Genome Res 15:641-

1057   54.

1058   63.   Yu S, Lujan P, Jackson D, Emerman M, Linial M. 2011. The DEAD-box RNA helicase DDX6 is

1059   required for efficient encapsidation of a retroviral genome. PloS Pathog 7:e1002303.

1060   64.   Abrahamyan LG, Chatel-Chaix L, Ajamian L, Milev MP, Monette A, Clement JF, Song R,

1061   Lehmann M, DesGroseillers L, Laughrea M, Boccaccio G, Mouland AJ. 2010. Novel Staufen1

1062   ribonucleoproteins prevent formation of stress granules but favour encapsidation of HIV-1

1063   genomic RNA. J Cell Sci 123:369-83.

1064   65.   Chatel-Chaix L, Abrahamyan L, Frechina C, Mouland AJ, DesGroseillers L. 2007. The host

1065   protein Staufen1 participates in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 assembly in live cells by

1066   influencing pr55Gag multimerization. J Virol 81:6216-30.

1067   66.   Chatel-Chaix L, Boulay K, Mouland AJ, Desgroseillers L. 2008. The host protein Staufen1

1068   interacts with the Pr55Gag zinc fingers and regulates HIV-1 assembly via its N-terminus.

1069   Retrovirology 5:41.

1070   67.   Rao S, Hassine S, Monette A, Amorim R, DesGroseillers L, Mouland AJ. 2019. HIV-1 requires

1071   Staufen1 to dissociate stress granules and to produce infectious viral particles. RNA 25:727-736.

1072   68.   Burdick R, Smith JL, Chaipan C, Friew Y, Chen J, Venkatachari NJ, Delviks-Frankenberry KA,

1073   Hu WS, Pathak VK. 2010. P body-associated protein Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 replication at

1074   multiple stages. J Virol 84:10241-53.

1075   69.   Furtak V, Mulky A, Rawlings SA, Kozhaya L, Lee K, Kewalramani VN, Unutmaz D. 2010.

1076   Perturbation of the P-body component Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 infectivity. PloS one 5:e9081.

1077   70.   Adachi A, Gendelman HE, Koenig S, Folks T, Willey R, Rabson A, Martin MA. 1986.

1078   Production of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-associated retrovirus in human and

1079   nonhuman cells transfected with an infectious molecular clone. J Virol 59:284-91.

1080   71.   Rogel ME, Wu LI, Emerman M. 1995. The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vpr gene

1081   prevents cell proliferation during chronic infection. J Virol 69:882-8.

1082   72.   Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic

1083   assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343-5.

1084   73.   Poss M, Overbaugh J. 1999. Variants from the diverse virus population identified at

1085   seroconversion of a clade A human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected woman have distinct

1086   biological properties. J Virol 73:5255-64.

1087   74.   Provine NM, Puryear WB, Wu X, Overbaugh J, Haigwood NL. 2009. The infectious molecular

1088   clone and pseudotyped virus models of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 exhibit significant

1089   differences in virion composition with only moderate differences in infectivity and inhibition

1090   sensitivity. J Virol 83:9002-7.

1091   75.   Wu X, Parast AB, Richardson BA, Nduati R, John-Stewart G, Mbori-Ngacha D, Rainwater SM,

1092   Overbaugh J. 2006. Neutralization escape variants of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 are

1093   transmitted from mother to infant. J Virol 80:835-44.

76.   Neumann G, Watanabe T, Ito H, Watanabe S, Goto H, Gao P, Hughes M, Perez DR, Donis R,

Hoffmann E, Hobom G, Kawaoka Y. 1999. Generation of influenza A viruses entirely from

cloned cDNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:9345-50.

77.   Haertle T, Carrera CJ, Wasson DB, Sowers LC, Richman DD, Carson DA. 1988. Metabolism

and anti-human immunodeficiency virus-1 activity of 2-halo-2',3'-dideoxyadenosine derivatives.

J Biol Chem 263:5870-5.

78.   Harada S, Koyanagi Y, Yamamoto N. 1985. Infection of HTLV-III/LAV in HTLV-I-carrying

cells MT-2 and MT-4 and application in a plaque assay. Science 229:563-6.

79.   Boyd DF, Peterson D, Haggarty BS, Jordan AP, Hogan MJ, Goo L, Hoxie JA, Overbaugh J.

2015. Mutations in HIV-1 envelope that enhance entry with the macaque CD4 receptor alter

antibody recognition by disrupting quaternary interactions within the trimer. J Virol 89:894-907.

80.   Derdeyn CA, Decker JM, Sfakianos JN, Wu X, O'Brien WA, Ratner L, Kappes JC, Shaw GM,

Hunter E. 2000. Sensitivity of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 to the fusion inhibitor T-20

is modulated by coreceptor specificity defined by the V3 loop of gp120. J Virol 74:8358-67.

81.   Platt EJ, Bilska M, Kozak SL, Kabat D, Montefiori DC. 2009. Evidence that ecotropic murine

leukemia virus contamination in TZM-bl cells does not affect the outcome of neutralizing

antibody assays with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 83:8289-92.

82.   Platt EJ, Wehrly K, Kuhmann SE, Chesebro B, Kabat D. 1998. Effects of CCR5 and CD4 cell

surface concentrations on infections by macrophagetropic isolates of human immunodeficiency

virus type 1. J Virol 72:2855-64.

83.   Takeuchi Y, McClure MO, Pizzato M. 2008. Identification of gammaretroviruses constitutively

released from cell lines used for human immunodeficiency virus research. J Virol 82:12585-8.

84.   Wei X, Decker JM, Liu H, Zhang Z, Arani RB, Kilby JM, Saag MS, Wu X, Shaw GM, Kappes

JC. 2002. Emergence of resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in patients receiving

fusion inhibitor (T-20) monotherapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:1896-905.

85.   Chesebro B, Wehrly K, Nishio J, Perryman S. 1992. Macrophage-tropic human

immunodeficiency virus isolates from different patients exhibit unusual V3 envelope sequence

homogeneity in comparison with T-cell-tropic isolates: definition of critical amino acids

involved in cell tropism. J Virol 66:6547-54.

86.   Lutz A, Dyall J, Olivo PD, Pekosz A. 2005. Virus-inducible reporter genes as a tool for detecting

and quantifying influenza A virus replication. J Virol Methods 126:13-20.

87.   Vermeire J, Naessens E, Vanderstraeten H, Landi A, Iannucci V, Van Nuffel A, Taghon T,

Pizzato M, Verhasselt B. 2012. Quantification of reverse transcriptase activity by real-time PCR

as a fast and accurate method for titration of HIV, lenti- and retroviral vectors. PLoS One

7:e50859.

88.   Reed LJ, Muench H. 1938. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. Am J Hyg

27:493-497.

89.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,

Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P,

Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods

9:676-82.

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 FIG 1. The HIV-1 life cycle, assembly pathway, and assembly plate screen. (A) Schematic

showing the HIV-1 lifecycle, with expression of the integrated provirus, followed by the late and early

phases of the lifecycle, ending with integration in a newly infected cell. The different stages of the virus

lifecycle are indicated in blue. Examples of ARV drugs currently in use are in red, with black labels

under blockade arrows indicating the targets of these drugs. Pink line indicates late events in the viral

lifecycle that are not targeted by currently approved ARV drugs. (B) Schematic showing the host-

catalyzed HIV-1 assembly pathway, starting with Gag synthesis and formation of the early ~10S

assembly intermediate. Next, the cytosolic ~80S intermediate forms when ~10S Gag co-opts a host

RNP complex that contains ABCE1 and the RNA granule protein DDX6, two host enzymes that have

been shown to facilitate assembly. The ~80S assembly intermediate appears to target to the plasma

membrane where Gag multimerization continues resulting in formation of the ~150S and subsequently

the ~500S late assembly intermediate. When assembly of ~750S immature capsid is completed, the host

RNP complex is released. References are in the text. (C) Schematic showing the cell-free protein

synthesis and assembly plate screen that was utilized to identify small molecule inhibitors of the host-

catalyzed pathway of HIV-1 assembly. Briefly, anti-Gag antibody (capture antibody) binds Gag

monomers, oligomers, and multimers generated in a cell-free assembly reaction. The same Gag

antibody is used as a detection antibody that binds to captured oligomers and multimers, but not

monomers, in proportion to the amount of multimerization, thereby generating a larger fluorescent

signal when multimerization occurs. The upper diagram shows anti-Gag antibodies capturing and

detecting Gag oligomers and multimers in assembly intermediates formed during an HIV-1 cell-free

assembly reaction carried out in the presence of DMSO, which does not inhibit assembly. The lower

diagram shows that adding an assembly inhibitor at the start of the cell-free reaction causes fewer Gag

oligomers and multimers to be produced, thereby reducing the detection antibody signal relative to

signal in the DMSO control.

FIG. 2. PAV-117, identified using cell-extract-based assembly screens, inhibits replication of HIV-

1, but not FIV or FLUV, in cell culture. (A) Schematic showing the cell-free assembly screening

strategy used to identify PAV-117. Small molecules from a library of 150,000 compounds were assayed

in cell-free screens that recapitulate assembly of eight different viral capsids, with each screen being

analogous to the HIV-1 screen in (Fig 1C). Results from the library screens led to generation of a

master hit collection of 249 small molecules that displayed inhibitory activity in one or more of the eight

cell-free assembly screens. Compounds in the master hit collection were assayed for inhibition of HIV-

1 replication in MT-2 T cells, with PAV-117 identified as the compound with optimal EC50, CC50, and

other characteristics in the MT-2 assay. (B) The chemical structure of PAV-117, a

tetrahydroisoquinolone. (C) To determine the EC50 of PAV-117 against HIV-1 replication, a dose-

response curve for inhibition of HIV-1 replication by PAV-117 was generated by treating human MT-2

T cells with indicated doses of PAV-117 followed by infection with a replication-competent HIV-1

NL4-3 RLuc reporter virus (MOI of 0.02). After 96 h of spreading infection, luciferase activity was

measured as an indicator of HIV-1 replication and is displayed as inhibition of replication relative to

DMSO-treated controls (% inhibition). CC50 was determined in parallel using uninfected MT-2 T cells

and is marked by a vertical dashed line. Error bars show standard error of the mean (SEM) determined

from three replicates. (D) The graph shows quantification of infectious FLUV in MDCK cells treated

with DMSO or with either PAV-117 or Tamiflu at a concentration 20-fold higher than the EC50 for

each drug (0.8 μM for PAV-117, 10 μM for Tamiflu). Treated cells were infected with FLUV (strain

WSN/33(H1N1), MOI of 0.001) and viral titer was measured after 24 h by TCID50. (E) To determine

the EC50 of PAV-117 against FIV replication, a dose-response curve for inhibition of FIV replication by

PAV-117 was generated by treatment of G355-5 cells with indicated doses of PAV-117 followed by

infection with FIV 34TF10 (1954 nU of RT activity per well). After 144 h of spreading infection, RT

activity was measured and used to calculate inhibition of FIV replication relative to DMSO controls (%

inhibition). CC50 was determined in parallel using uninfected G355-5 cells and is marked by a vertical

dashed line. Error bars show SEM determined from three replicates. (E) Quantification of replication of

an HIV-1 primary isolate. SupT1.CCR5 cells were treated with 0.37 μM PAV-117 or DMSO followed

by infection with HIV-1 Q23.BG505, a CCR5-tropic subtype A molecular clone. HIV-1 replication

proceeded for 96 h followed by measurement of HIV-1 infectivity in the culture supernatant using the

MUG assay in TZM-bl cells.

1199

FIG. 3. PAV-117 acts late in the HIV-1 life cycle, inhibiting virus production but not specific

infectivity. (A) Schematic of the early assay, which measures effects on viral entry through early viral

gene expression. MT-2 cells were infected with the single-round HIV-1 pNL4-3 RLuc virus (*env*-

deleted and pseudotyped with HIV-1 NL4-3 Env) in the presence of compound or DMSO. After 48 h,

luciferase activity was measured and used to calculate inhibition of HIV-1 replication relative to the

DMSO control (% inhibition). The graph shows the dose-response curve for inhibition of HIV-1 early

events by PAV-117 that was generated using this assay and used to determine the EC50. CC50 was

determined in parallel using uninfected MT-2 T cells and is marked by a vertical dashed line. Error bars

in graph show SEM determined from three replicates. (B) Schematic of the late assays, which measure

effects on viral late events starting with expression of Gag and GagPol through virus release and

maturation. Chronically infected H9 T cells (H9-HIV) were treated with either compound or DMSO,

and media collected 48 h later were used for two assays: 1) to quantify inhibition of HIV-1 infectivity

relative to DMSO control by titering on TZM-bl cells (black curve, used to calculate EC50 for inhibition

of infectivity) and 2) to quantify inhibition of virus production by pelleting virus for western blot (WB)

with antibody to HIV-1 Gag (αGag; blue curve, used to calculate EC50 for inhibition of virus

production). The CC50 was determined in the inhibition assay and is marked by a vertical dashed line.

A representative αGag WB of virus pellets is shown below the dose-response graph, with DMSO

treatment or concentration of PAV-117 indicated above each WB lane and % inhibition of virus

production (relative to the DMSO-treated control) indicated below each lane. Error bars in (B) and (C)

show SEM determined from two replicates.

1220

FIG. 4. PAV-117 appears to act during the HIV-1 assembly pathway, after formation of the ~80S

intermediate. MT-2 cells were infected with HIV-1 LAI *pro- Δenv* (pseudotyped with HIV-1 NL4-3

Env) and treated with DMSO or the indicated concentrations of PAV-117 for 48 h. (A) Media and cell

lysates were harvested to analyze effects on virus production and intracellular steady-state Gag levels, as

indicated, using WB with Gag antibody (αGag) to quantify p24 or p55 Gag. (B) Cell lysates were also

analyzed for intracellular steady-state levels of two cellular proteins, GAPDH and actin, by WB with

αGAPDH and αactin. For (A) and (B), data in graphs are shown as % of DMSO-treated controls, with

error bars showing SEM from two replicates, and representative WBs are shown below graphs, (C) To

quantify intracellular steady-state levels of assembly intermediates, cell lysates from panels A and B

were also analyzed by velocity sedimentation followed by WB of each gradient fraction with αGag.

Fraction numbers are indicated above the WB panels, with migration of specific assembly intermediates

indicated by brackets above. Graphs show quantification of p55 Gag in fractions containing the ~10S,

~80S/150S, and ~500S intermediates as percent of total p55 Gag in the gradient.   Expected migration of

each protein in WB panels is indicated to the right.

1235

FIG. 5. Analysis of structure-activity relationships identified an analog that potently inhibits

HIV-1 replication in PBMCs and a site for tags. (A) The general chemical structure of PAV-117

analogs is shown at left indicating the R1, R2, and R3 positions in the pendant benzene ring. The table

shows results obtained for analogs in which the R1, R2, and R3 positions contain hydrogen, methyl,

methoxy, or benzoyloxy groups as indicated, including the EC50 for inhibition of HIV-1 replication in

MT-2 cells and the CC50 in MT-2 cells (assays described in Fig. 2C). Values are shown as the average

of multiple independent repeats ×/÷ GSD, with *n* = the number of independent repeats. Also shown is

the selectivity index (SI), which is equivalent to CC50/EC50. (B) The structure of PAV-206 is shown at

left. The blue dose-response curve shows inhibition of HIV-1 replication by PAV-206 in MT-2 T cells

(using the assay described in Fig. 2C). The orange dose-response curve shows inhibition of HIV-1

replication by PAV-206 in PHA-activated PBMC infected with unmodified HIV-1 NL4-3 at an MOI of

0.008. (C) Shown on the left is the structure of PAV-818, the biotinylated analog of PAV-206, and on

the right a dose-response curve for inhibition of HIV-1 replication by PAV-818 in MT-2 T cells (assays

as in Fig. 2C). In the PAV-818 structure, the biotin moiety is shown in red. A diazirine group in the

PAV-818 structure is shown in blue; this group was added for future crosslinking studies but is not used

in the current study. For all graphs in (B) and (C), the indicated EC50s were determined from the dose-

response curves; CC50s were determined in uninfected cells in parallel and are marked by vertical

dashed lines. Error bars in (B) and (C) show SEM from three replicates.

1254

FIG. 6. An antiviral analog of PAV-206 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ*. (A) Schematic of the

PLA approach for detecting colocalization of compound with Gag. 293T cells chronically infected with

HIV-1 (293T-HIV) or uninfected 293T cells were treated with indicated amounts of PAV-818 (the

biotinylated active compound), PAV-543 (the biotinylated inactive compound), or DMSO for 16 h.

PLA was performed by incubating with primary antibodies (rabbit anti-biotin and mouse anti-Gag)

followed by PLA secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit IgG coupled to (+) PLA oligo and anti-mouse IgG

coupled to (-) PLA oligo). Addition of other PLA reagents leads to connector oligos linking the (+) and

(-) oligos only if the primary antibodies are colocalized; this in turn results in the PLA amplification

reaction. Addition of an oligo that recognizes a sequence in the amplified regions and is coupled to a

red fluorophore (red star) results in intense spots at sites where biotinylated compound and Gag are

colocalized *in situ*. Following PLA, indirect immunofluorescence (IF) is performed by adding

secondary antibody conjugated to a green fluorophore (green star) to detect any unoccupied Gag

antibody, thus marking Gag-expressing cells with low-level green fluorescence (B) The graph shows

the average number of biotin-Gag PLA spots per cell for each condition, with + indicating HIV-1-

infected cells and – indicating uninfected cells. Twenty fields were analyzed for each group (containing

a total of 186 - 316 cells per group), with error bars showing SEM. * indicates a significant difference

in the number of biotin-Gag PLA spots per cell when comparing treatment with PAV-818 vs. PAV-543,

both at 10 µM ($p < 0.001$). (C) A representative field for each group quantified in (B) is shown, except

for DMSO treatment. Fields on the left show biotin-Gag PLA spots alone in grayscale. To the right are

the same fields shown as a merge of three color-channels: biotin-Gag PLA (red), Gag IF (green), and

DAPI-stained nuclei (blue). Scale bars, 10 µm.

1276

FIG. 7. Resistance mutations in *gag* or *pol* were not observed upon selection with PAV-206 in cell

culture. (A) A NL4-3 virus stock was passaged weekly for 37 weeks in the presence of either PAV-206

or nelfinavir starting at a concentration of 1 x EC50. The concentration of compound was increased

two-fold when compound-treated cultures reached maximum viral cytopathic effect (CPE) in a

timeframe similar to a parallel DMSO control. The graph shows the compound concentration as fold-

EC50 vs. week of selection (passage #) for PAV-206 (left) or nelfinavir (right). Red arrows indicate

passages where virus was amplified, either with sequencing of *gag* alone, *gag & protease*, or the full

genome minus the LTRs (as indicated above red arrows). (B) For each selection (PAV-206 vs.

nelfinavir), an HIV-1 genome map is shown, with positions of viral open reading frames (ORFs), 5'

LTR, and 3' LTR, with a line below indicating their approximate nucleotide positions within the HIV-1

genome. In each case, ORFs in which dominant non-synonymous mutations emerged during selection

are color-coded as follows: *gag*, blue; *pro*, red; *env*, brown. Beneath the HIV-1 genome maps, dominant

non-synonymous amino acid mutations (identified through sequencing of passages indicated with red

arrows in panel (A)) are shown according to their position in the genome map at the top of panel (B).

The passage (P) in which these mutations were identified is indicated to the left of each genome map

line. The amino acid mutations are color-coded according to the ORF in which they were found (colors

described above). As indicated in boxed legend, which applies to both panels, cyclophilin A binding

loop mutations that have been previously identified as tissue culture adaptations are marked with a

dagger symbol and previously described nelfinavir-specific mutations are marked with an asterisk (with

all references for these in the text and Tables S1 and S2).

1297

FIG. 8. An antiviral PAV-206 analog colocalizes _in situ_ with ABCE1, a host component of

assembly intermediates. (A) Schematic of the PLA approach for detecting colocalization of compound

with ABCE1. 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1 (293T-HIV) or uninfected 293T cells were

treated with indicated amounts of PAV-818 (the biotinylated active compound), PAV-543 (the

biotinylated inactive compound), or DMSO for 16 h. PLA was performed by incubating with primary

antibodies (mouse anti-biotin and rabbit anti-ABCE1) followed by PLA secondary antibodies (anti-

mouse IgG coupled to (-) PLA oligo and anti-rabbit IgG coupled to (+) PLA oligo). Addition of other

PLA reagents leads to connector oligos linking the (+) and (-) oligos only if the primary antibodies are

colocalized; this in turn results in the PLA amplification reaction. Addition of an oligo that recognizes a

sequence in the amplified regions and is coupled to a red fluorophore (red star) results in intense red

spots at sites where biotinylated compound and ABCE1 are colocalized _in situ_. Following PLA, indirect

immunofluorescence (IF) is performed by adding secondary antibody conjugated to a green fluorophore

(green star) to detect any unoccupied ABCE1 antibody, thus marking intracellular ABCE1 with low-

level green fluorescence (B) The graph shows the average number of biotin-ABCE1 PLA spots per cell

for each condition, with + indicating HIV-1-infected cells and – indicating uninfected cells. Ten fields

were analyzed for each group (containing a total of 104 - 155 cells per group), with error bars showing

SEM. * indicates a significant difference in the number of biotin-ABCE1 PLA spots per cell when

comparing treatment with PAV-818 vs. PAV-543, both at 10 µM ($p < 0.001$). (C) A representative field

for each group quantified in (B) is shown, except for DMSO treatment. Fields on the left show biotin-

ABCE1 PLA spots alone in grayscale. To the right are the same fields shown as a merge of three color-

channels: biotin-ABCE1 PLA (red), ABCE1 IF (green), and DAPI-stained nuclei (blue). Scale bars, 10

μm.

1320

FIG. 9. An antiviral PAV-206 analog colocalizes *in situ* with DDX6, an RNA granule protein in

assembly intermediates that colocalizes with ABCE1. (A) A schematic of the PLA approach for

detecting colocalization of compound with DDX6 is shown. 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1

(293T-HIV) were treated with 10 μM PAV-818 (the biotinylated active compound) or DMSO for 16 h.

PLA was performed by incubating with primary antibodies (mouse anti-biotin and rabbit anti-DDX6)

followed by PLA secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG coupled to (-) PLA oligo and anti-rabbit IgG

coupled to (+) PLA oligo). Addition of other PLA reagents leads to connector oligos linking the (+) and

(-) oligos only if the primary antibodies are colocalized; this in turn results in the PLA amplification

reaction. Addition of an oligo that recognizes a sequence in the amplified regions and is coupled to a

red fluorophore (red star) results in intense red spots at sites where biotinylated compound and DDX6

are colocalized *in situ*. Following PLA, indirect immunofluorescence (IF) is performed by adding

secondary antibody conjugated to a green fluorophore (green star) to detect any unoccupied DDX6

antibody, thus marking intracellular DDX6 with low-level green fluorescence. The graph shows the

average number of biotin-DDX6 PLA spots per cell for each condition. Five fields were analyzed for

each group (containing a total of 60 - 73 cells per group), with error bars showing SEM. * indicates a

significant difference in the number of biotin-DDX6 PLA spots per cell when comparing treatment with

PAV-818 vs. DMSO (p < 0.001). A representative field for each group quantified in the graph is shown,

with images on the left displaying biotin-DDX6 PLA spots alone in grayscale and images on the right

displaying a merge of three color-channels: biotin-DDX6 PLA (red), DDX6 IF (green), and DAPI-

stained nuclei (blue). Scale bars, 10 μm. (B) A schematic of the PLA approach for detecting

colocalization of ABCE1 with DDX6 is shown. 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1 (293T-

HIV) but not treated with any compounds were analyzed by PLA, as described for (A) above, except the

primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-ABCE1 and mouse anti-DDX6, with red spots representing

sites where ABCE1 and DDX6 are colocalized *in situ*. The graph shows the average number of

ABCE1-DDX6 spots per cell. Ten fields were analyzed (containing a total of 121 cells), with error bars

showing SEM. A representative field is shown, with the image on the left displaying ABCE1-DDX6

PLA spots alone in grayscale and the image on the right displaying a merge of three color-channels:

ABCE1-DDX6 PLA (red), DDX6 IF (green), and DAPI-stained nuclei (blue).

FIG. 10. A model for the action of PAV-206, a possible first-in-class selective inhibitor of HIV-1

assembly. Upper diagram shows the intracellular pathway for HIV-1 immature capsid assembly, in the

presence of an inactive compound, starting with synthesis of HIV-1 Gag and formation of the early

~10S assembly intermediate. The ~80S assembly intermediate is formed when HIV-1 Gag co-opts a

host RNP complex related to an RNA granule to form the ~80S cytosolic intermediate. This and

subsequent intermediates contain Gag associated with ABCE1, DDX6, other host factors, and HIV-1

gRNA. After targeting of the cytosolic ~80S assembly intermediate to the host plasma membrane, Gag

multimerization continues leading to the formation of the ~500S assembly intermediate. The host

proteins are released upon formation of the ~750S completed immature capsid, and budding results in

release of the completed immature capsid. The lower diagram shows one model for PAV-117/PAV-206

activity based on the findings in this study. Our colocalization studies suggest that the compound is

associated with both the HIV-1 assembly intermediates and the host RNA-granule-related complex from

which they are derived, since we find the compound colocalized with a host component of this complex,

ABCE1, in the presence and absence of Gag. Additionally, we observed a reduction of virus production

and the amount of ~500S assembly intermediate in PAV-117-treated infected cells. Thus, we

hypothesize that PAV-117/PAV-206 inhibits virus production by acting during the HIV-1 immature

capsid assembly pathway to inhibit progression past the ~80S/150S assembly intermediate.

FIG 1 The HIV-1 life cycle, assembly pathway, and assembly plate screen.

FIG 2 PAV-117, identified using cell-extract-based assembly screens, inhibits replication of HIV-1, but not FLUV and FIV, in cell culture.

FIG 3 PAV-117 acts late in the HIV-1 life cycle, inhibiting virus production but not specific infectivity.

FIG 4 PAV-117 appears to act during the HIV-1 assembly pathway, after formation of the ~80S intermediate.

A

	R₁	R₂	R₃	CC50 (μM)	EC50 (nM)	SI
PAV-117	CH_3	O-CH_3	benzyl-O	1.4 ×/÷ 1.5 (n = 6)	102 ×/÷ 2.1 (n = 5)	14
PAV-218	CH_3	O-CH_3	H	9.7 ×/÷ 1.2 (n = 2)	148 ×/÷ 1.1 (n = 3)	66
PAV-220	H	O-CH_3	benzyl-O	3.8 (n = 1)	163 (n = 1)	23
PAV-494	H	H	benzyl-O	4.1 (n = 1)	244 (n = 1)	17
PAV-493	H	O-CH_3	H	21.0 (n = 1)	565 ×/÷ 1.3 (n = 2)	37
PAV-245	CH_3	H	H	3.6 (n = 1)	755 (n = 1)	4.8
PAV-492	H	H	H	> 10 (n = 1)	1540 (n = 1)	> 6
PAV-206	CH_3	O-CH_3	O-CH_3	2.2 ×/÷ 1.4 (n = 4)	36 ×/÷ 1.1 (n = 3)	61

B

PAV-206

virus: HIV-1 NL4-3 RLuc
cells: MT-2
virus: HIV-1 NL4-3
cells: PBMC

CC50$_{MT-2}$: 2811 nM
CC50$_{PBMC}$: 3591 nM

EC50$_{MT-2}$: 34 nM
EC50$_{PBMC}$: 75 nM

C

PAV-818

virus: HIV-1 NL4-3
cells: MT-2

CC50$_{MT-2}$: ~30 μM

EC50$_{MT-2}$: 951 nM

FIG 5 Analysis of structure-activity relationships identified an analog that potently inhibits HIV-1 replication in PBMCs and a site for tags.

FIG 6 An antiviral analog of PAV-206 colocalizes with HIV-1 Gag *in situ.*

FIG 7 Resistance mutations in *gag* or *pol* were not observed upon selection with PAV-206 in cell culture.

FIG 8 An antiviral PAV-206 analog colocalizes *in situ* with ABCE1, a host component of assembly intermediates.

FIG 9 An antiviral PAV-206 analog colocalizes *in situ* with DDX6, an RNA granule protein in assembly intermediates that colocalizes with ABCE1.

with inactive compound

with active compound

Gag	ABCE1	PAV-117/PAV-206
cellular RNA	DDX6	inactive compound
gRNA	other RNA granule proteins	

FIG 10 A model for the action of PAV-117 and PAV-206, possible first-in-class selective inhibitors of HIV-1 assembly.

PAV-543

Supplementary FIG 1 Structure of PAV-543, a biotinylated compound that does not have anti-retroviral activity.

A

B

Supplementary FIG 2 Nonimmune controls for the biotin-Gag proximity ligation assay.

Supplementary FIG 3 Nonimmune controls for the biotin-ABCE1 proximity ligation assay.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG. S1. Structure of PAV-543, a biotinylated compound that does not have antiretroviral

activity. The biotin moiety is shown in red. The EC50 of PAV-543 is > 100 µM in the HIV-1 MT-2

cell spread assay shown in Fig 2C as is the CC50 in MT-2 cells (Reed and Lingappa, unpublished

observations), indicating no significant antiretroviral activity.

FIG. S2. Nonimmune controls for the biotin-Gag proximity ligation assay.

(A) Schematic of the PLA approach for testing specificity of the antibodies used to determine

colocalization of compound with Gag. 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1 (293T-HIV) were

treated with 10 µM PAV-818 (the biotinylated active compound) or DMSO for 16 h. For the positive

control, PLA was performed by incubating with primary antibodies (mouse anti-Gag and rabbit anti-

biotin) followed by PLA secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG coupled to (-) PLA oligo and anti-rabbit

IgG coupled to (+) PLA oligo). In the two negative controls, one primary antibody was replaced with a

nonimmune control antibody from the same species, as indicated. Addition of other PLA reagents leads

to connector oligos linking the (+) and (-) oligos only if the primary antibodies are colocalized; this in

turn results in the PLA amplification reaction. Addition of an oligo that recognizes a sequence in the

amplified regions and is coupled to a red fluorophore (red star) results in intense red spots at sites where

the two primary antibodies are colocalized *in situ*. Thus, red spots indicating colocalization of antigens

should be absent when either primary antibody is replaced by a nonimmune antibody. Following PLA,

indirect immunofluorescence (IF) is performed by adding a secondary antibody conjugated to a green

fluorophore (green star) to detect any unoccupied immune antibody, thus marking Gag-expressing or

biotin-containing cells with low-level green fluorescence. (B) A representative field is shown for each

of the three antibody pairs and two treatments (the antibodies used are indicated above images, the

treatments are indicated to the left). Fields are shown as a merge of three color-channels: the red

channel showing biotin-NI PLA, NI-Gag PLA, or biotin-Gag PLA as indicated by red labeling above

images; the green channel showing biotin IF or Gag IF as indicated by green labeling above images; and

the blue channel showing DAPI-stained nuclei. Scale bars, 10 μm. Graphs below each image column

show the average number of PLA spots per cell for each antibody pair and each treatment. Ten to

twenty fields were analyzed for each group (containing a total of 107-304 cells per group), with error

bars showing SEM.

FIG. S3. Nonimmune controls for the biotin-ABCE1 proximity ligation assay. (A) Schematic of

the PLA approach for testing specificity of the antibodies used to colocalization of compound with

ABCE1. 293T cells chronically infected with HIV-1 (293T-HIV) were treated with 10 μM PAV-818

(the biotinylated active compound) or DMSO for 16 h. For the positive control, PLA was performed by

incubating with primary antibodies (rabbit anti-ABCE1 and mouse anti-biotin) followed by PLA

secondary antibodies (anti-rabbit IgG coupled to (+) PLA oligo and anti-mouse IgG coupled to (-) PLA

oligo). In the two negative controls, one primary antibody was replaced with a nonimmune control

antibody from the same species, as indicated. Addition of other PLA reagents leads to connector oligos

linking the (+) and (-) oligos only if the primary antibodies are colocalized; this in turn results in the

PLA amplification reaction. Addition of an oligo that recognizes a sequence in the amplified regions

and is coupled to a red fluorophore (red star) results in intense red spots at sites where the two primary

antibodies are colocalized *in situ*. Thus, red spots indicating colocalization of antigens should be absent

when either primary antibody is replaced by a nonimmune antibody. Following PLA, indirect

immunofluorescence (IF) is performed by adding a secondary antibody conjugated to a green

fluorophore (green star) to detect any unoccupied immune antibody, thus marking ABCE1- or biotin-

expressing cells with low-level green fluorescence. (B) A representative field is shown for each of the

three antibody pairs and two treatments (the antibodies used are indicated above images, the treatments

are indicated to the left). Fields are shown as a merge of three color-channels: the red channel showing

biotin-NI PLA, NI-ABCE1 PLA, or biotin-ABCE1 PLA as indicated by red labeling above images; the

green channel showing biotin or ABCE1 IF as indicated by green labeling above images; and the blue

channel showing DAPI-stained nuclei. Scale bars, 10 μm. Graphs below each image column show the

average number of PLA spots per cell for each antibody pair and each treatment. Five fields were

analyzed for each group (containing a total of 44 - 77 cells per group), with error bars showing SEM.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Nelfinavir Selection Results

Non-synonymous

Appearance in sequencing chromatogram*	Mutation numbered relative to HXB2†	Mutation numbered relative to NL4-3†	Frequency‡ of amino acids at mutation position	Mutation associated with:	Notes
dominant	**H219Q Gag** (H87Q p24 Gag)	H219Q Gag (H87Q p24 Gag)	H 73.31% (6999) Q 22.86% (2182) P 1.73% (165)	culture adaptation to intracellular CypA level (1,2,3); cyclosporin resistance (4)	in the cyclophilin A binding loop
≥ 50%	**A224T Gag** (A92T p24 Gag)	A224T Gag (A92T p24 Gag)	A 67.49% (6443) P 31.35% (2993)	culture adaptation (5)	in the cyclophilin A binding loop
≥ 50%	**L10F Protease** (L66F Pol)	L10F Protease (L66F Pol)	L 85.68% (4451) I 7.10% (369) V 5.47% (284)	nelfinavir resistance (6); other PI resistance (7)	
dominant	**V77I Protease** (V133I Pol)	V77I Protease (V133I Pol)	V 83.10% (4322) I 16.11% (838)	nelfinavir resistance (8,9)	
dominant	**L90M Protease** (L146M Pol)	L90M Protease (L146M Pol)	L 98.77% (5137) M 1.15% (60)	nelfinavir resistance (6); other PI resistance (7)	
≥ 50%	**S144R Env** S114R gp120	S144R Env S114R gp120	S 24.14% (1248) N 21.88% (1131) T 16.12% (833)	fusion inhibitor resistance (10)	in the Env V1 loop
dominant	**A541V Env** A30V gp41	A539V Env A30V gp41	A 94.84% (6728) V 2.93% (208) T 1.97% (140)	culture adaptation (11,12); Rev inhibitor resistance (13)	in the Rev response element
dominant	**Q550H Env** Q39H gp41	Q548H Env Q39H gp41	Q 99.17% (7035)	culture adaptation (11); also arose in PAV-206 selection in current study	in the Rev response element; in the T-20 (Enfuvirtide) drug binding site

* Gel chromatograms were visually inspected to identify a second chromatogram peak that is equal or larger in height than the peak corresponding to the reference sequence (defined here as ≥ 50%) or a single chromatogram peak that differs from the reference sequence (defined here as dominant).

† Indicates viral protein to which numbering refers, either in the HXB2 or NL4-3 HIV strain. Numbering of mutation relative to other relevant proteins or domains is indicated in parentheses. Mutations in bold are shown in Fig. 7.

‡ Determined using AnalyzeAlign (available on Los Alamos HIV databases and compendiums site) using the premade Web Alignment; the top three that are > 1% are listed.

TABLE S1: Mutations that arose upon selection in nelfinavir. Mutations are numbered relative to the HXB2 or NL4-3 genomes, with the frequency of the mutation, the phenotype associated with the mutation, and relevant references indicated.

PAV-206 Selection Results					
Non-synonymous					
Appearance in sequencing chromatogram*	**Mutation numbered relative to HXB2†**	**Mutation numbered relative to NL4-3†**	**Frequency‡ of amino acids at mutation position**	**Mutation associated with:**	**Notes**
dominant	**V218M Gag** (V86M p24 Gag)	V218M Gag (V86M p24 Gag)	V 87.62% (8365) A 4.85% (463) P 3.40% (325)	culture adaptation to intracellular CypA level (14,3)	in the cyclophilin A binding loop
dominant	**N302Y Env** (N272Y gp120)	N300Y Env (N270Y gp120)	N 96.50% (6844) K 1.06% (75)	culture adaptation – CCR5 (15,16,17); fusion inhibitor resistance (18)	in the Env V3 loop; involved in coreceptor binding
dominant	**D547G Env** (D36G gp41)	D545G Env (D36G gp41)	G 99.65% (7069)	replication advantage (19,20); fusion inhibitor resistance (21)	in the Rev response element; in the T-20 (Enfuvirtide) drug binding site; D36 in NL4-3 but G36 in most other strains of HIV-1 (19)
≥ 50%	**Q550H Env** (Q39H gp41)	Q548H Env (Q39H gp41)	Q 99.17% (7035)	culture adaptation (11); also arose in nelfinavir selection in the current study	in the Rev response element; in the T-20 (Enfuvirtide) drug binding site
Synonymous					
≥ 50%	I_{ATT} 205 I_{ATC} Pol (I_{ATT} 50 I_{ATC} Reverse trans.)	I_{ATT} 205 I_{ATC} Pol (I_{ATT} 50 I_{ATC} Reverse trans.)		unknown	
≥ 50%	Q_{CAG} 552 Q_{CAA} Env (Q_{CAG} 41 Q_{CAA} gp41)	Q_{CAG} 550 Q_{CAA} Env (Q_{CAG} 41 Q_{CAA} gp41)		replication advantage (22)	in the Rev response element; in the T-20 (Enfuvirtide) drug binding site
dominant	V_{GTG} 583 V_{GTA} Env (V_{GTG} 72 V_{GTA} gp41)	V_{GTG} 581 V_{GTA} Env (V_{GTG} 72 V_{GTA} gp41)		fusion inhibitor resistance (23)	in the Rev response element

* Gel chromatograms were visually inspected to identify a second chromatogram peak that is equal or larger in height than the peak corresponding to the
reference sequence (defined here as ≥ 50%) or a single chromatogram peak that differs from the reference sequence (defined here as dominant).
† Indicates viral protein to which numbering refers, either in the HXB2 or NL4-3 HIV strain. Numbering of mutation relative to other relevant proteins or domains is
indicated in parentheses. Mutations in bold are shown in Fig. 7.
‡ Determined using AnalyzeAlign (available on Los Alamos HIV databases and compendiums site) using the premade Web Alignment; the top three that are > 1%
are listed.
18
19 **TABLE S2: Mutations that arose upon selection in PAV-206.** Mutations are numbered relative to the HXB2 or NL4-3 genomes, with the
20 frequency of the mutation, the phenotype associated with the mutation, and relevant references indicated.

Supplementary References (for Supplementary Tables)

1. Gatanaga, H., Das, D., Suzuki, Y., Yeh, D., Hussain, K., Ghosh, A., Mitsuya, H. (2005). Altered HIV-1 Gag Protein Interactions with Cyclophilin A (CypA) on the Acquisition of H219Q and H219P Substitutions in the CypA Binding Loop Journal of Biological Chemistry 281(2), 1241-1250.

2. Koh, Y., Amano, M., Towata, T., Danish, M., Leshchenko-Yashchuk, S., Das, D., Nakayama, M., Tojo, Y., Ghosh, A., Mitsuya, H. (2010). In Vitro Selection of Highly Darunavir-Resistant and Replication-Competent HIV-1 Variants by Using a Mixture of Clinical HIV-1 Isolates Resistant to Multiple Conventional Protease Inhibitors Journal of Virology 84(22), 11961-11969.

3. Dicker, I., Zhang, S., Ray, N., Beno, B., Regueiro-Ren, A., Joshi, S., Cockett, M., Krystal, M., Lataillade, M. (2019). Resistance profile of the HIV-1 maturation inhibitor GSK3532795 in vitro and in a clinical study. PloS one 14(10), e0224076.

4. Ptak, R., Gallay, P., Jochmans, D., Halestrap, A., Ruegg, U., Pallansch, L., Bobardt, M., Bethune, M., Neyts, J., Clercq, E., Dumont, J., Scalfaro, P., Besseghir, K., Wenger, R., Rosenwirth, B. (2008). Inhibition of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Replication in Human Cells by Debio-025, a Novel Cyclophilin Binding Agent Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 52(4), 1302-1317.

5. Fadel, H., Saenz, D., Guevara, R., Messling, V., Peretz, M., Poeschla, E. (2011). Productive Replication and Evolution of HIV-1 in Ferret Cells Journal of Virology 86(4), 2312-2322.

6. Patick, A., Mo, H., Markowitz, M., Appelt, K., Wu, B., Musick, L., Kalish, V., Kaldor, S., Reich, S., Ho, D., Webber, S. (1996). Antiviral and resistance studies of AG1343, an orally bioavailable inhibitor of human immunodeficiency virus protease. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 40(2), 292-297.

7. Rhee, S., Taylor, J., Fessel, W., Kaufman, D., Towner, W., Troia, P., Ruane, P., Hellinger, J., Shirvani, V., Zolopa, A., Shafer, R. (2010). HIV-1 Protease Mutations and Protease Inhibitor Cross-Resistance Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 54(10), 4253-4261.

8. Gupta A, Jamal S, Goyal S, Jain R, Wahi D, Grover A. (2015) Structural studies on molecular mechanisms of Nelfinavir resistance caused by non-active site mutation V77I in HIV-1 protease. BMC Bioinformatics. 16 Suppl 19:S10.

9. Chang, M., Torbett, B. (2011). Accessory Mutations Maintain Stability in Drug-Resistant HIV-1 Protease Journal of Molecular Biology 410(4), 756-760.

10. Eggink, D., Bontjer, I., Langedijk, J., Berkhout, B., Sanders, R. (2011). Resistance of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 to a Third-Generation Fusion Inhibitor Requires Multiple Mutations in gp41 and Is Accompanied by a Dramatic Loss of gp41 Function Journal of Virology 85(20), 10785-10797.

53 11. Nameki D, Kodama E, Ikeuchi M, Mabuchi N, Otaka A, Tamamura H, Ohno M, Fujii N,

54 Matsuoka M. (2005) Mutations conferring resistance to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 fusion

55 inhibitors are restricted by gp41 and Rev-responsive element functions. J Virol. 79(2):764-70.

56 12. Duyne, R., Kuo, L., Pham, P., Fujii, K., Freed, E. (2019). Mutations in the HIV-1 envelope

57 glycoprotein can broadly rescue blocks at multiple steps in the virus replication cycle. Proceedings of

58 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 116(18), 9040-9049.

59 13. Shuck-Lee, D., Chang, H., Sloan, E., Hammarskjold, M., Rekosh, D. (2011). Single-

60 Nucleotide Changes in the HIV Rev-Response Element Mediate Resistance to Compounds That Inhibit

61 Rev Function Journal of Virology 85(8), 3940-3949.

62 14. Gatanaga, H., Suzuki, Y., Tsang, H., Yoshimura, K., Kavlick, M., Nagashima, K., Gorelick,

63 R., Mardy, S., Tang, C., Summers, M., Mitsuya, H. (2002). Amino Acid Substitutions in Gag Protein at

64 Non-cleavage Sites Are Indispensable for the Development of a High Multitude of HIV-1 Resistance

65 against Protease Inhibitors Journal of Biological Chemistry 277(8), 5952-5961.

66 15. Lee B, Sharron M, Montaner LJ, Weissman D, Doms RW. (1999) Quantification of CD4,

67 CCR5, and CXCR4 levels on lymphocyte subsets, dendritic cells, and differentially conditioned

68 monocyte-derived macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96(9):5215-20.

69 16. Garg H, Lee RT, Maurer-Stroh S, Joshi A. (2016) HIV-1 adaptation to low levels of CCR5

70 results in V3 and V2 loop changes that increase envelope pathogenicity, CCR5 affinity and decrease

71 susceptibility to Maraviroc. Virology 493:86-99.

72 17. Korber B, Gnanakaran S. (2009) The implications of patterns in HIV diversity for

73 neutralizing antibody induction and susceptibility. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 4(5):408-17.

74 18. Hermann FG, Egerer L, Brauer F, Gerum C, Schwalbe H, Dietrich U, von Laer D. (2009)

75 Mutations in gp120 contribute to the resistance of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 to membrane-

76 anchored C-peptide maC46. J Virol. 83(10):4844-53.

77 19. Kinomoto M, Yokoyama M, Sato H, Kojima A, Kurata T, Ikuta K, Sata T, Tokunaga K.

78 (2005) Amino acid 36 in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 ectodomain controls fusogenic

79 activity: implications for the molecular mechanism of viral escape from a fusion inhibitor. J Virol.

80 79(10):5996-6004.

81 20. Garg, H., Joshi, A., Blumenthal, R. (2009). Altered Bystander Apoptosis Induction and

82 Pathogenesis of Enfuvirtide-Resistant HIV Type 1 Env Mutants AIDS Research and Human

83 Retroviruses 25(8), 811-817.

84 21. Eggink, D., Langedijk, J., Bonvin, A., Deng, Y., Lu, M., Berkhout, B., Sanders, R. (2009). Detailed

Mechanistic Insights into HIV-1 Sensitivity to Three Generations of Fusion Inhibitors Journal of

Biological Chemistry 284(39), 26941-26950.

22. Ueno M, Kodama EN, Shimura K, Sakurai Y, Kajiwara K, Sakagami Y, Oishi S, Fujii N,

Matsuoka M. (2009) Synonymous mutations in stem-loop III of Rev responsive elements enhance HIV-

1 replication impaired by primary mutations for resistance to enfuvirtide. Antiviral Res. 82(1):67-72.

23. Shimane, K., Kawaji, K., Miyamoto, F., Oishi, S., Watanabe, K., Sakagami, Y., Fujii, N.,

Shimura, K., Matsuoka, M., Kaku, M., Sarafianos, S., Kodama, E. (2013). HIV-1 resistance mechanism

to an electrostatically constrained peptide fusion inhibitor that is active against T-20-resistant strains.

Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 57(8), 4035-8.

SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Synthesis of Tetrahydroisoquinolines

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Substituted Phenethyl amines[a]

1   **2**

[0001]   A solution of the Benzaldehyde **1** (0.1 mol), Nitromethane (50 mL, 0.93 mmol) and

Ammonium acetate (0.26 mol) in Acetic acid (200 mL) was refluxed for 1 h. Upon cooling the product

crystallized out of solution. The crystals were filtered out and washed with a small amount of ether to

give a bright yellow nitrostyrene.

[0002]   To a stirred solution of Lithium aluminum hydride (0.21 mol) in Tetrahydrofuran (270 mL)

was added dropwise a solution of the above nitrostyrene (0.11 mol) in Tetrahydrofuran (200 mL). Upon

completion the reaction mixture was refluxed with stirring for 16 h, cooled to RT and the excess hydride

decomposed by the addition of aq. sat. Na_2SO_4. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate rotary

evaporated to an amber–brown oil (the title compound) **2** which was used without further purification.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Substituted Cinammic acids[b]

3

[0003]   A mixture of the Benzaldehyde **3** (30 mmol), Malonic acid (60 mmol), Pyridine (20 mL) and

Piperidine (5 mmol) was stirred at 80°C for 1 h followed by refluxing at 110-115°C for an additional 3

h. The cooled reaction mixture was poured into water (250 mL) and acidified with conc. HCl. The

resulting precipitate was filtered off and washed several times with water. It was redissolved in 2M

NaOH, diluted with water, acidified with conc. HCl. The solid precipitate was filtered washed several

times with water, dried under high vacuum over P$_2$O$_5$ to afford the titled compound **4**.

<u>General Procedure for the Synthesis of Substituted Cinnamides[c]</u>

2 + 4 $\xrightarrow{\text{HATU / DMF / DIEA}}$ **5**

[0004]   To a stirred mixture of the substituted Phenethylamine **2** (0.48 mmol), substituted Cinnamic

acid **4** (0.72 mmol), DIEA [420 μL (2.4 mmol)] & 10 mL of DMF was added HATU (1 mmol). The

reaction was stirred at RT for 1 h and then diluted with 20 mL of EtOAc and washed twice with sat

NaCl. The EtOAc layer was dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent removed yielding the title compound **5**.

<u>General Procedure for the Cyclization aCOnd Reduction of the Substituted Cinnamides:</u>

<u>Synthesis of Substituted Tetrahydroisoquinolines[d]</u>

5 $\xrightarrow[\text{2) NaBH}_4\text{ / EtOH}]{\text{1) ACN / POCl}_3}$ **6**

[0005]   To the substituted Cinnamide **5** (0.563 mmol) in ACN (13 mL) was added, under reflux, POCl$_3$

(3.9 mmol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 30 min and then rotary evaporated to dryness. The

residue was taken up into 10 mL of chloroform and was then treated with 20 mL of 2N KOH and 50 mL

of Et$_2$O. This mixture was rapidly stirred for 30 min at RT and the upper organic layer removed, washed

with water, dried (Na$_2$SO$_4$) and the solvent removed. The resulting dark oil (Substituted

Dihydroisoquinoline) was then dissolved into 8 mL of dry EtOH and then treated with NaBH$_4$ (0.395

mmol). The excess reagent was destroyed by dropwise addition of 2M HCl, basified with 2M KOH and

evaporated to dryness to remove EtOH. The residue obtained was partitioned between water and $CHCl_3$,

the organic layer was washed with brine, dried (K_2CO_3), filtered, concentrated and purified by flash

chromatography using 0.4M NH_3 in MeOH/ $CHCl_3$ gradient (and/or purification via RP C18 Prep HPLC

using 0.1% TFA Acetonitrile /water) to afford the titled compound **6**.

All compounds were confirmed by Mass Spectrometry:

	R_1	R_2	R_3	M+H (exact mass + H)
PAV117	CH_3	O-CH_3	benzyl-O	522
PAV218	CH_3	O-CH_3	H	416
PAV220	H	O-CH_3	benzyl-O	508
PAV494	H	H	benzyl-O	478
PAV493	H	O-CH_3	H	402
PAV245	CH_3	H	H	386
PAV492	H	H	H	372
PAV206	CH_3	O-CH_3	O-CH_3	446

[a] Cava, M.P.; Buck, K.T. *Tetrahedron* 1969, 25, 2795-2805

[b] Nag, Ahindra et al *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic* **2012**, 82, 92-95; Heo, J.N., et al.,

Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 32 (12), 4431, **2011**; Srikrishna, A. et al, *Synthetic Communications*, 37(6),

965-976; **2007**.

[c] Patent reference: WO2010001169, Astrazeneca.

^d Herbert, Richard B. et al *Tetrahedron* **1990**, 46, 7119-7138.

Synthesis of N-[2-[2-[3-[[2-[2-[4-[5-[(E)-2-(6-benzyloxy-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-

yl)vinyl]-2-methoxy-4-methyl-phenoxy]butanoylamino]ethylamino]-1-[(3-methyldiazirin-3-

yl)methyl]-2-oxo-ethyl]amino]-3-oxo-propoxy]ethoxy]ethyl]-5-[(4S)-2-oxo-1,3,3a,4,6,6a-

hexahydrothieno[3,4-d]imidazol-4-yl]pentanamide

Synthesis of 5-(4-bromobutoxy)-4-methoxy-2-methyl-benzaldehyde:

To a solution of Aldehyde **7** [2.7 g (0.016 mol)] in 30 mL of dry DMF was added K_2CO_3 [6.9 g

(0.05 mol)]. While stirring at RT under argon atmosphere 1,4-Dibromobutane [10 g (0.048 mol)] was

added dropwise. After stirring overnight at RT the reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL of EtOAc

and then washed twice with water. The organic layer was dried (Mg_2SO_4), filtered, and rotary

evaporated to dryness to afford 4.1 g the desired Bromide **8**.

Synthesis of 5-(3-azidopropoxy)-4-methoxy-2-methyl-benzaldehyde:

To a solution of Aldehyde **8 [**4.1 g (0.014 mol)] in 30 mL of dry DMF was added NaN$_3$ [1.3 g

(0.02 mol)]. After stirring at 70°C under argon atmosphere for 5 h the reaction mixture was cooled and

then diluted with 100 mL of EtOAc and followed by washing twice with water. The organic layer was

dried (Mg$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness to afford 3.3 g (94%) of the desired Azide **9**.

Synthesis of tert-butyl 1-[(E)-2-[5-(4-azidobutoxy)-4-methoxy-2-methyl-phenyl]vinyl]-6-benzyloxy-7-

methoxy-3,4-dihydro-1H-isoquinoline-2-carboxylate:

10   **9** / 1M Li N(TMSi)

                          THF   -35⁰ 1h   **11**

To a solution of Azide **9 [**315 mg (1.2 mmol)] in 8 mL of dry THF was added the

Tetrahydroisoquinoline **10**ᵉ [236 mg (0.4 mmol)]. The mixture was then cooled and stirred at −35°C

under argon atmosphere. Next 1M LiHMDS in THF [1.2 mL (1.2mmol)] was slowly added dropwise

and upon complete addition the reaction mixture was stirred at −35°C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was

allowed to come to RT and then quenched with 15 mL of saturated NH$_4$Cl and then extracted with 20

mL of EtOAc. The organic layer was dried (Mg$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness to

afford the crude Azide **11**. After flash column chromatography using a gradient of EtOAc / Hexane pure

11 (135 mg) was obtained in 54% yield.

<u>Synthesis of tert-butyl 1-[(E)-2-[5-(4-aminobutoxy)-4-methoxy-2-methyl-phenyl]vinyl]-6-benzyloxy-7-</u>

<u>methoxy-3,4-dihydro-1H-isoquinoline-2-carboxylate:</u>

11 → 10/1 THF / water / Triphenylphosphine / 12h → **12**

To a solution of Azide **11** [135 mg (0.215 mmol)] in 5 mL of a 10/1 THF / water was added

Triphenylphosphine [64 mg (0.25 mmol)]. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 12 h. The reaction

mixture was then diluted with 10 mL of water and extracted with 20 mL of EtOAc. The organic layer

was dried (Mg$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness to afford the crude Amine **12**. After flash

column chromatography using a gradient of 0.4M NH$_3$ in MeOH/ CHCl$_3$ pure **12** (90 mg) was obtained

in 70% yield.

<u>Synthesis of 3-(3-methyldiazirin-3-yl)-2-[3-[2-[2-[5-[(4S)-2-oxo-1,3,3a,4,6,6a-hexahydrothieno[3,4-</u>

<u>d]imidazol-4-yl]pentanoylamino]ethoxy]ethoxy]propanoylamino]propanoic acid:</u>

13 → Water / Acetone / Biotin-C2-NHS / NaHCO$_3$ → **14**

To a mixture of Diazirine **13^f** [25 mg (0.17 mmol)], 1 mL Acetone, 1 mL water and NaHCO$_3$ [43

mg (0.17 mmol)] was added, with vigorous stirring, Biotin-C2-NHS^f [85 mg (0.17 mmol)]. The reaction

mixture was stirred at RT for 12 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with 5 mL of water and

extracted with 15 mL of EtOAc. The organic layer was dried (Mg$_2$SO$_4$), filtered, and rotary evaporated

to dryness to afford the crude Acid **14** (40 mg). This was used as was in the next reaction.

Synthesis of N-[2-[2-[3-[[2-[4-[5-[(E)-2-(6-benzyloxy-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-

yl)vinyl]-2-methoxy-4-methyl-phenoxy]butylamino]-1-[(3-methyldiazirin-3-yl)methyl]-2-oxo-

ethyl]amino]-3-oxo-propoxy]ethoxy]ethyl]-5-[(4S)-2-oxo-1,3,3a,4,6,6a-hexahydrothieno[3,4-

d]imidazol-4-yl]pentanamide:

12 + **14** → (DMF / HATU / DIEA) **15**

To a mixture of Acid **14** [40 mg (0.076 mmol)] and Amine **12** [(45 mg (0.075 mmol)] was added 1

mL of dry DMF and DIEA [35 µl (0.2 mmol)]. Next HATU [38 mg (0.1 mmol)] was added in one

portion and the resulting mixture was stirred at RT for 0.5 h. The reaction mixture then diluted with 5

mL of EtOAc and followed by washing twice with water. The organic layer was dried (Mg$_2$SO$_4$),

filtered, and rotary evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up into 1 mL of Acetonitrile / water (90

/10) and then purified on a RP C18 Prep HPLC using 0.1% TFA Acetonitrile /water to afford 17 mg

(22% overall) of the desired material **15**. M+H=1013

[e] Reddy, R. et al *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2012, 77, 11101-11108

[f] commercially available

7