Title: Identification of a plant kinase that phosphorylates the bacterial effector AvrPtoB

Running Title: Phosphorylation of the AvrPtoB effector by SnrK2.8

Authors and Affiliations: Lei Lei[1], Danielle M. Stevens[1], Gitta Coaker[1*]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

*Corresponding author: Gitta Coaker; Email: glcoaker@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

A critical component controlling bacterial virulence is the delivery of pathogen effectors into

plant cells during infection. Effectors alter host metabolism and immunity for pathogen benefit.

Multiple effectors are phosphorylated by host kinases, and this posttranslational modification is

important for their activity. We sought to identify host kinases involved in effector

phosphorylation. Multiple effector phosphorylated residues matched the proposed consensus

phosphorylation motif of the plant calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) and Snf1-related

kinase (SnRK) superfamily. The conserved *Pseudomonas* effector AvrPtoB acts as an E3

ubiquitin ligase and promotes bacterial virulence. We identified a member of the Arabidopsis

SnRK family, SnRK2.8, that associated with AvrPtoB in yeast and *in planta*. *SnRK2.8* was

required for AvrPtoB virulence functions, including facilitating bacterial colonization,

suppression of callose deposition, and targeting the plant defense regulator NPR1 and flagellin

receptor FLS2. Mass spectrometry revealed AvrPtoB was phosphorylated at multiple serine

residues *in planta,* with S258 phosphorylation reduced in the *snrk2.8* knockout. AvrPtoB

phospho-null mutants exhibited compromised virulence functions and were unable to suppress

NPR1 accumulation, FLS2 accumulation, or inhibit FLS2-BAK1 complex formation upon

flagellin perception. These data identify a conserved plant kinase utilized by a pathogen effector

to promote disease.

Introduction

Plants are exposed to diverse pathogens and rely on both passive and active defenses in order to restrict infection. Passive plant defenses include a waxy cuticle, pre-formed antimicrobial compounds, and the cell wall (Gu et al., 2017). Inducible defenses are often triggered by membrane-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) as well as intracellular nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat proteins (NLRs) (Boutrot and Zipfel, 2017; Lolle et al., 2020). Common plant immune responses after active pathogen perception include the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), callose deposition, activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases, and global transcriptional reprogramming towards defense (Bigeard et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2018).

To colonize their hosts, pathogens rely on the ability to secrete effector proteins. Characterized effectors act to suppress plant immune responses, alter host developmental processes, and affect host metabolism to promote pathogen infection (Toruño et al., 2015). Bacterial pathogens have the most well-characterized effector repertoires. For example, the *Pseudomonas syringae* effectors AvrPto and HopAO1 directly interact with the cytoplasmic domains of the PRRs FLAGELLIN-SENSING 2 (FLS2) and ELONGATION FACTOR-Tu RECEPTOR (EFR), and inhibit PRR kinase activity (Xiang et al., 2008; Macho et al., 2014). Effectors are also capable of manipulating plant hormone processes. The *P. syringae* effector HopX1 and its *Ralstonia solanacearum* homolog RipE1 are cysteine proteases that degrade multiple JASMONATE-ZIM DOMAIN (JAZ) transcriptional repressors to activate jasmonic acid signaling, suppress plant salicylic acid (SA)-mediated defense responses, and open stomatal apertures (Gimenez-Ibanez et al., 2014; Nakano and Mukaihara, 2019). Multiple *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* transcriptional activator-like effectors, PthXo1, TalC, AvrXa7, PthXo3, and Tal5, induce the expression of sucrose transporter genes *OsSWEET11* or *OsSWEET14*, which could alter metabolism or nutrient acquisition (Streubel et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2018). The diverse functions of pathogen effectors make them excellent molecular probes to investigate plant biological processes.

Despite the collective importance of effectors for pathogen virulence, higher-order effector deletions are normally required to observe strong effects. Two *P. syringae* effectors, *AvrPto* and *AvrPtoB*, are conserved in many *P. syringae* pathovars and are collectively required for pathogen

virulence and bacterial growth *in planta* (Lin and Martin, 2005). AvrPto and AvrPtoB are

partially redundant. For example, in *Arabidopsis* both AvrPto and AvrPtoB associate with the

flagellin receptor FLS2 and interfere with downstream defense signaling (Shan et al., 2008). In

tomato, both AvrPto and AvrPtoB interact with the Pto kinase, leading to recognition by the

NLR Prf (Kim et al., 2002; Xiao et al., 2007a). However, compared with AvrPto, AvrPtoB has a

more complex structure. The N-terminus of AvrPtoB comprises multiple target-binding domains

and its C-terminus is a U-box type E3 ubiquitin ligase, which mediates the degradation of target

proteins through the host proteasome (Abramovitch et al., 2006). AvrPtoB associates with both

FLS2 and its co-receptor BAK1, disrupts flagellin 22 (flg22) induced FLS2-BAK1 complex

formation, and mediates the degradation of FLS2 (Göhre et al., 2008; Shan et al., 2008). NPR1

(NON-EXPRESSER OF PR GENES 1) is an essential regulator of salicylic acid (SA)-

dependent defense gene expression (Withers and Dong, 2016; Chen et al., 2019). AvrPtoB

associates with NPR1 and mediates the degradation of NPR1 in the presence of SA (Chen et al.,

2017). In addition, AvrPtoB also targets the protein kinase Fen, the chitin receptor CERK1, and

the exocyst complex protein EXO70B1 (Rosebrock et al., 2007; Gimenez-Ibanez et al., 2009;

Wang et al., 2019). AvrPtoB's diverse targets demonstrate its prominent role in *P. syringae*

infection.

Although effectors are produced in the pathogen, they are activated upon delivery and function

inside their host. For instance, the *P. syringae* effector protease AvrRpt2 requires the plant

protein folding catalyst and chaperone cyclophilin for activation (Coaker et al., 2005). Several

pathogen effectors target plant nuclei and rely upon host importin-α for nuclear translocation,

including the *Xanthomonas* bacterial effector AvrBs3 and the *Hyaloperonospora* oomycete

effector HaRxL106 (Szurek et al., 2001; Bai et al., 2009; Schornack et al., 2013; Wirthmueller et

al., 2014). Collectively, these studies highlight diverse plant proteins that are required for

mediating the activation, localization, and virulence activities of pathogen effectors.

Pathogen effectors also rely upon host phosphorylation to promote their virulence activity (Xing

et al., 2002; Bhattacharjee et al., 2015). Truncated AvrPtoB$_{1-307}$ is phosphorylated in different

plants including tomato, *Nicotiana benthamiana*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The substitution of

the serine 258 phosphorylated residue on AvrPtoB$_{1-307}$ to alanine results in significantly

attenuated virulence on susceptible tomato genotypes (Xiao et al., 2007a). The *P. syringae*

effectors AvrPto, AvrB, and HopQ1 as well as the *Rhizobium* effectors NopL, NopP, and the

cyst nematode effector 10A07 also target and recruit host kinases to promote their virulence

(Desveaux et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013; Hewezi et al., 2015; Tahir et al., 2019). With the

exception of the nematode effector 10A07, the host kinases utilized by these pathogen effectors

remain unknown.

In this study, we investigated the identity of the host kinase that phosphorylates AvrPtoB.

SnRK2.8, a member of sucrose non-fermenting-1(SNF1)-related kinase (SnRK) family in

Arabidopsis, is involved in NPR1 mediated systemic acquired resistance and several abiotic

stress signaling pathways (Umezawa et al., 2004; Fujii et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2015). Here, we

show that SnRK2.8 interacts with and phosphorylates AvrPtoB on three conserved serine

residues *in planta*. AvrPtoB requires these three phosphorylated residues as well as *SnRK2.8* for

virulence. The *snrk2.8* knockout and AvrPtoB phospho-null mutations blocked AvrPtoB

virulence activities, including the ability to inhibit NPR1 and FLS2 protein accumulation as well

as disrupt ligand-induced FLS2-BAK1 complex formation. Taken together, our results identify a

conserved plant kinase recruited by a core *P. syringae* effector to promote virulence.

Results

The *Pseudomonas syringae* effector AvrPtoB interacts with the plant kinase SnRK2.8.

To investigate which plant kinases may be capable of phosphorylating pathogen effectors, we

analyzed the sequence of previously identified effector phosphorylation sites (Supplemental

Table 1). Interestingly, most effector phosphorylation sites, including AvrPtoB's phosphorylated

residues, matched the proposed consensus phosphorylation motif of the sucrose non-fermenting-

1 (SNF1)-related kinases (SnRKs) and calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) (R-X$_{(2-3)}$-S/T

or S/T- X$_{(1-2)}$-R) (Klimecka and Muszyńska, 2007; Vlad et al., 2008) (Supplemental Figure 1).

SnRK-CDPK family is conserved in plants and involved in a range of metabolic and stress

signaling pathways, such as carbohydrate biosynthesis, abscisic acid (ABA)-induced signaling,

salinity tolerance, cold stress, and response to pathogen infection (Coello et al., 2011; Hulsmans

et al., 2016). Transcriptional profiling data from the BAR Expression Angler revealed that

multiple SnRK members are induced upon activation of plant defense, including application of

SA, the immunogenic flagellin epitope flg22, or infection with the *P. syringae* type III secretion

mutant △hrcC (Boudsocq et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2013) (Figure 1A).

Next, we analyzed the ability of diverse SnRK/CDPK members to interact with AvrPtoB by

yeast two-hybrid. The plant protein kinase Pto was used as a positive control (Figure 1B). We

analyzed 32 SnRKs for the ability to interact with AvrPtoB by yeast-two hybrid (Supplemental

Figure 2). SnRK1.1, SnRK2.6, and SnRK2.8 specifically interacted with AvrPtoB (Figure 1B

and C). Some CDPK subgroup I members are involved in flg22 signaling and NLR immune

responses, so we cloned these CDPK members (CPK2, 4, 5, 6, 11 and 26) and three other

members from subgroups which can induce defense gene expression after flg22 application

(Boudsocq et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2013). Auto-active CDPKs were generated by deleting their

C-terminal Ca^{2+} regulatory and auto-inhibitory domains (CPKs△C) for yeast-two hybrid

screening (Klimecka and Muszyńska, 2007). CPK4△C and CPK5△C specifically interacted

with AvrPtoB (Figure 1B, C and Supplemental Figure 2). The expression of AvrPtoB, SnRKs,

and CDPKs in yeast were verified by western blotting (Supplemental Figure 3). AvrPtoB,

SnRKs, and CPKs△C did not induce auto-activity in yeast (Figure 1C).

To validate the association of AvrPtoB with the SnRK/ CDPK members identified by yeast-two

hybrid, we performed co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. To enhance

the transient interaction between kinase and substrate, kinase-dead variants (KD) of five SnRK/

CPK△C members were generated by mutating the lysine residue (K) in their ATP binding

pocket to alanine (A). *AvrPtoB-GFP* under the control of a dexamethasone (Dex)-inducible

promoter was co-expressed with each *35S:: SnRKs-KD-HA/CPKs△C-KD-HA* in *N. benthamiana*

and immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed with anti-GFP agarose beads. Immunoblotting

results demonstrated that SnRK1.1, SnRK2.6, CPK4△CA, and CPK5△C weakly associated

with AvrPtoB (Figure 1D and E). Only SnRK2.8 strongly associated with AvrPtoB (Figure 1D

and E). The strong association of SnRK2.8 with AvrPtoB in yeast and *in planta* suggests that

SnRK2.8 may play an important role in AvrPtoB phosphorylation.

AvrPtoB is phosphorylated by SnRK2.8.

To investigate whether SnRK2.8 phosphorylates AvrPtoB, we tested the phosphorylation by

SnRK2.8 *in vitro*. Recombinant GST-AvrPtoB and GST-SnRK2.8 proteins were purified from *E.*

coli, subjected to kinase activity assays, and protein phosphorylation was detected by

immunoblot with antibodies recognizing pSer/pThr residues. Purified SnRK2.8 is an active

kinase and exhibits strong auto-phosphorylation (Figure 2A). No phosphorylation was detected

in the sample only containing purified AvrPtoB. However, after incubation with SnRK2.8,

AvrPtoB phosphorylation was detected in SnRK2.8 dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A). These

results indicate that SnRK2.8 is able to phosphorylate AvrPtoB *in vitro*.

Next, we investigated AvrPtoB phosphorylation *in vivo*. AvrPtoB-GFP was expressed in

Arabidopsis Col-0 protoplasts, enriched by anti-GFP IP, and samples were subjected to trypsin

digestion and mass spectrometry analyses (LC-MS/MS). Five phosphorylated AvrPtoB residues

(T111, S205, S210, S217, and S258) were identified by LC-MS/MS (Figure 2B). Previously,

AvrPtoB was demonstrated to be phosphorylated at S258 in tomato, indicating that

phosphorylation of this residue is conserved across diverse plant species (Xiao et al., 2007b).

Aside from T111, all other phosphorylated residues map to specific domains required for binding

the target proteins NPR1 (S205, S210, S217, and S258) and BAK1 (S258) (Figure 2C). The

region surrounding S258 matches the proposed consensus phosphorylation motif of the

SnRKs/CDPKs (Supplemental Table 1).

To determine the importance of SnRK2.8 for AvrPtoB phosphorylation *in planta,* AvrPtoB-GFP

was expressed in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts from both wild-type Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout.

AvrPtoB-GFP was then immunoprecipitated after transfection, subjected to trypsin digestion and

LC-MS/MS using parallel reaction monitoring (PRM). Using PRM, we were able to detect

phosphorylation of the five previously identified AvrPtoB residues. The phosphorylation ratios

(phosphorylated/nonphosphorylated peptides) of T111 and S217 were similar between Col-0 and

snrk2.8 (Supplemental Figure 4A and B). However, the phosphorylation ratios of the other three

residues were lower in *snrk2.8* compared to Col-0. Phosphorylation of S258 was significantly

reduced by over 90% in *snrk2.8* and phosphorylation of S205 and S210 were reduced by ∼50%

(Figure 2D). Notably, S205, S210, and S258 are highly conserved as either S or T residues

across 27 *Pseudomonas* AvrPtoB homologs (Supplemental Figure 5). Taken together, these

results indicate that SnRK2.8 can directly phosphorylate AvrPtoB *in vitro* and *in vivo.*

***SnRK2.8* is required for AvrPtoB virulence**

AvrPtoB's phosphorylated residues are located within regions known to bind to plant targets,

which led us to investigate whether *SnRK2.8* is required for AvrPtoB virulence (Figure 2C).

Since AvrPto and AvrPtoB are redundant with respect to virulence, a *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.

tomato DC3000 *avrPto* and *avrPtoB* double knockout strain was used (Lin et al., 2005).

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000 -/-) was transformed with a plasmid expressing *AvrPtoB* or

the empty vector (EV) control. Col-0 and *snrk2.8* plants were inoculated with DC3000 and

DC3000 -/- strain variants by syringe infiltration. Four days post-inoculation DC3000 caused

severe disease symptoms, while DC3000 -/- EV caused weak disease symptoms on both Col-0

and *snrk2.8* (Figure 3A). DC3000 -/- carrying *AvrPtoB* caused much more severe symptoms

compared to DC3000 -/- carrying EV on Col-0, but not the *snrk2.8* knockout (Figure 3A).

Consistent with the disease symptoms, the bacterial titer of DC3000 -/- *AvrPtoB* was

significantly higher than that of DC3000 -/- EV in Col-0, but not in the *snrk2*.8 knockout (Figure

3B). These results indicate that *SnRK2.8* is required for AvrPtoB virulence in *Arabidopsis*.

AvrPtoB suppresses plant defense triggered by PAMP recognition including the deposition of

callose, a β-1–3 linked glucan polymer, that is defense induced and acts as a structural barrier

(Torres et al., 2006). To investigate the impact of *SnRK2.8* on AvrPtoB virulence, we examined

callose deposition after inoculation with DC3000 -/- carrying EV or *AvrPtoB*. DC3000 -/-

carrying EV elicited strong callose deposition in both Col-0 and *snrk2.8* (Figure 3C and D). As

expected, DC3000 -/- carrying *AvrPtoB* did not elicit strong callose deposition in Col-0.

However, *AvrPtoB* was unable to suppress callose deposition in the *snrk2.8* knockout (Figure 3C

and D). These data indicate that AvrPtoB requires the plant kinase *SnRK2.8* for suppressing

callose deposition in *Arabidopsis*.

Phosphorylated AvrPtoB serine residues are required for virulence

To test the functional relevance of AvrPtoB phosphorylation, we analyzed the virulence of

AvrPtoB phospho-null mutants. We focused on S205, S210 and S258 due to their decrease in

phosphorylation in the *snrk2.8* knockout (Figure 2D). These serine residues were replaced by

alanine to create single and triple phospho-null mutations. Previous research demonstrated that

the alanine substitution of S258 resulted in a loss of virulence of AvrPtoB$_{1-307}$ on the susceptible

tomato genotype Rio Grande 76S (lacking the resistance gene Prf) (Xiao et al., 2007b). We first

tested the ability of full-length AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$ to promote bacterial growth on Rio Grande 76S and

Arabidopsis Col-0. The DC3000 -/- strain expressing *AvrPtoB*$_{S258A}$ was able to increase bacterial

growth in both tomato and *Arabidopsis,* but not to the same extent as wild-type *AvrPtoB*

(Supplemental figure 6A and B). In contrast, the DC3000 -/- strain expressing the 1-307

truncation of *AvrPtoB_{S258A}* was unable to increase bacterial growth in both tomato and

Arabidopsis (Supplemental Figure 6A and B). These results indicate that the phosphorylation of

S258 is important, but not sufficient, for the virulence of full-length AvrPtoB.

Next, we tested the virulence of the phospho-null mutant AvrPtoB_{S205AS210A258A} compared to

wild-type AvrPtoB and AvrPtoB_{S258A}. *Arabidopsis* Col-0 was syringe infiltrated with DC3000 -/-

carrying EV, *AvrPtoB, AvrPtoB_{S258A},* and *AvrPtoB_{S205AS210A258A}*. Disease symptoms, bacterial

titers, callose deposition were analyzed. As expected, infection with DC3000 -/- carrying

AvrPtoB resulted in leaf disease symptoms, increased bacterial growth, and suppression of

callose deposition compared to EV (Figure 4). Infection with DC3000 -/- *AvrPtoB_{S258A}* resulted

in an intermediate phenotype, with slight disease symptoms, a partial increase in bacterial growth

compared to EV, and partial suppression of callose compared to EV (Figure 4). However,

DC3000 -/- carrying *AvrPtoB_{S205AS210A258A}* was unable to induce disease symptoms, grew to the

same level as the EV control, and was unable to suppress callose deposition (Figure 4). Western

blot analyses demonstrated that all AvrPtoB variants were equally expressed in DC3000 -/-

(Supplemental Figure 6C). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the combined

phosphorylation of S205, S210, and S258 play a critical role in AvrPtoB virulence.

AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of NPR1 accumulation requires the plant kinase SnRK2.8

AvrPtoB associates with and ubiquitinates NPR1 in the presence of SA (Chen et al., 2017). To

further investigate the relevance of SnRK2.8 for promoting AvrPtoB virulence, we examined

AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of NPR1 accumulation in Col-0 and *snrk2.8*. Both Col-0 and

snrk2.8 were pretreated with 0.5mM SA for 6 hours and then syringe inoculated with DC3000 -/-

EV and DC3000 -/- *AvrPtoB*. NPR1 accumulation was detected by anti-NPR1 immunoblotting.

Infection with DC3000 -/- EV induced high accumulation of NPR1 in both Col-0 and *snrk2.8*

(Figure 5A and B). However, infection with DC3000 -/- *AvrPtoB* inhibited NPR1 accumulation

in Col-0, but not in the *snrk2.8* knockout, indicating that *SnRK2.8* is required for AvrPtoB-

mediated inhibition of NPR1 accumulation (Figure 5A and B).

Next, the importance of AvrPtoB phosphorylated residues for inhibition of NPR1 accumulation

was examined. We co-expressed *35S::NPR1-HA* and DEX inducible *AvrPtoB-FLAG, AvrPtoB*

phospho-null, and *AvrPtoB* phospho-mimetic mutants in *N. benthamiana*. Wild-type AvrPtoB,

AvrPtoB_{S258D}, and AvrPtoB_{S205DS210DS258D} significantly decreased NPR1 accumulation compared

to the EV control (Figure 5C and D). Both AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$ and AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$ were able to

strongly suppress NPR1 accumulation, indicating that mimicking phosphorylation also promotes

virulence of AvrPtoB (Figure 5C and D). AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$ was still able to suppress the

accumulation of NPR1, indicating that the phosphorylation of serine 258 is not sufficient for this

function of AvrPtoB (Figure 5C and D). In contrast, AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210A258A}$ was unable to

suppress NPR1 accumulation (Figure 5C and D). Collectively, these results show that AvrPtoB-

mediated inhibition of NPR1 accumulation requires the plant kinase SnRK2.8 and the SnRK2.8-

mediated phosphorylated residues.

AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of FLS2 accumulation and FLS2-BAK1 complex formation

requires the plant kinase SnRK2.8

The flagellin receptor FLS2 is another host target of AvrPtoB (Gómez-Gómez and Boller, 2000;

Lu et al., 2011). AvrPtoB directly associates with FLS2 and ubiquitinates FLS2 (Göhre et al.,

2008). To investigate the relevance of SnRK2.8 with AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of FLS2

accumulation, we compared FLS2 accumulation after infection with DC3000 -/- carrying EV or

AvrPtoB in different genetic backgrounds. Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout were syringe

inoculated and FLS2 accumulation was detected by anti-FLS2 immunoblotting. FLS2

accumulation was enhanced after infection with DC3000 -/- EV in both Col-0 and *snrk2.8*

(Figure 6A and B). In contrast, *AvrPtoB* was able to suppress FLS2 accumulation in Col-0 but

not in the *snrk2.8* knockout, which demonstrates that *SnRK2.8* is required for AvrPtoB-mediated

suppression of FLS2 accumulation (Figure 6A and B).

To test if AvrPtoB phosphorylated residues are required for inhibition of FLS2 accumulation, we

co-expressed *35S::FLS2-GFP* and DEX inducible *AvrPtoB-FLAG*, *AvrPtoB* phospho-null, and

AvrPtoB phospho-mimetic mutants in *N. benthamiana*. Wild-type AvrPtoB, AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$, and

AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$ significantly decreased FLS2 accumulation compared to the EV control

(Figure 6C and D). AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$ was still able to decrease the accumulation of FLS2, indicating

that the phosphorylation of serine 258 is not sufficient for this AvrPtoB function (Figure 6C and

D). In contrast, the phospho-null mutant AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$ was unable to suppress FLS2

accumulation (Figure 6C and D). Collectively, these results demonstrate that AvrPtoB

phosphorylated residues and the plant kinase SnRK2.8 are required for inhibition of FLS2

accumulation.

FLS2-mediated recognition of flg22 induces the formation of an FLS2-BAK1 complex, which is

required for downstream immune signaling (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Shan et al., 2008). We

investigated the importance of AvrPtoB phosphorylated residues for disrupting FLS2-BAK1

complex formation. We examined flg22-induced FLS2-BAK1 association in the presence of

AvrPtoB and AvrPtoB phospho-null mutants after transient expression in *N. benthamiana*. As

shown in Figure 6E, wild-type AvrPtoB effectively diminished FLS2-BAK1 association in the

presence of flg22, but AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$ and AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$ were unable to suppress FLS2-

BAK1 complex formation. These results suggest that phosphorylation of S258 is required and

sufficient for disrupting FLS2-BAK1 complex formation.

Discussion

Post-translational modification (PTM) is a rapid and specific protein regulation mechanism that

plays important roles in plant growth, development, and disease resistance (Withers and Dong,

2017). Recent comprehensive proteome analyses in Arabidopsis reveal that 47% of the identified

proteins are phosphorylated under specific conditions(Withers and Dong, 2017; Mergner et al.,

2020). Many pathogen effectors require PTM *in planta* for their virulence activity. Effectors

from the bacterial pathogens *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Xanthomonas*, and *Rhizobium* are

phosphorylated in plants (Li et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014; Tahir et al., 2019). The

phosphorylation of effectors by plant kinases, in many instances, enhances their virulence-

promoting activity (Tahir et al., 2019). However, the host kinases involved in effector

phosphorylation are largely unknown. Our study reveals that the AvrPtoB is phosphorylated on

five serine/threonine residues, three of which (S205, S210, and S258) are required for AvrPtoB

virulence in *Arabidopsis* and conserved across *P. syringae* AvrPtoB. One SnRK family member,

SnRK2.8, interacts with AvrPtoB, is primarily responsible for phosphorylating AvrPtoB, and is

required for AvrPtoB virulence promoting activities.

Sucrose non-fermenting-1 (SNF1)-related protein kinases (SnRKs) are the plant homologs of

SNF1 kinase in yeast and AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in mammals, which are key

regulators of carbon metabolism and energy status (Coello et al., 2011; Hardie et al., 2012). The

plant SnRK family can be subdivided into three subfamilies: SnRK1, SnRK2, and SnRK3. The

SnRK2 subfamily members have diverged from Snf1 and AMPK and are plant specific. SnRKs

act as metabolic sensors, integrating multiple developmental processes and very diverse stress

conditions including pathogen infection (Halford and Hey, 2009; Hulsmans et al., 2016) An

increasing number of studies show the key roles of SnRKs in plant responses to different types of

pathogens. Tomato SnRK1 phosphorylates the pathogenic protein βC1 of the tomato yellow leaf

curl virus to limit viral infection (Shen et al., 2011). Tomato SnRK1 is also involved in cell death

elicited by *Xanthomonas* effectors and may be related to Adi3-mediated suppression of cell death

(Szczesny et al., 2010; Avila et al., 2012).

SnRK2.8, an *Arabidopsis* SnRK2 member, is transcriptionally induced by infection with *P.*

syringae DC3000 and mediates SA induced systemic acquired resistance (Lee et al., 2015).

SnRK2.8 phosphorylates NPR1 in the cytoplasm and mediates the nuclear import of NPR1 (Lee

et al., 2015). Since both SnRK2.8 and AvrPtoB associate with NPR1, we assume these two

proteins are likely in close contact with one another. In the *snrk2.8* knockout, the

phosphorylation of S258 is reduced by over 90% and the phosphorylation of S205 and S210 is

reduced by ~50%. These results indicate that SnRK2.8 is one of the main kinases involved in

AvrPtoB phosphorylation. However, since some phosphorylation of S205/S210/S258 remain in

the *snrk2.8* knockout and *SnRK2.8* does not influence phosphorylation of T111 and S217 *in*

planta, other kinases are also involved phosphorylating AvrPtoB. AvrPtoB weakly associates

with SnRK1.1, SnRK2.6 and another two CDPK members in *planta*. These kinases may also be

capable of AvrPtoB phosphorylation.

Previously, only one kinase has been identified that is capable of phosphorylating a plant

pathogen effector. The cyst nematode effector 10A07 interacts with an *Arabidopsis* autophagy

related (Atg) 1 kinase, Atg1C, *in planta* (Hewezi et al., 2015). Atg1C phosphorylates 10A07 on

two serine residues, which are required for nuclear localization of this effector. An inactive

variant of Atg1C reduced the plant susceptibility to cyst nematode (Hewezi et al., 2015).

Similarly, AvrPtoB virulence promoting activities are blocked in the *snrk2.8* knockout and

SnRK2.8 phosphorylated residues on AvrPtoB are required for effector function. Both SnRKs

and Atg kinases are widely conserved among eukaryotes and are involved in plant immune

responses (Hayward et al., 2009; Coello et al., 2011). However, these kinases could be a double-

edged sword as they are also exploited by pathogen effectors during infection.

Phosphorylation can impact protein-protein interactions by creating an intricate binding surface

(Tarrant and Cole, 2009). Effectors from human pathogens, including the *E. coli* effector Tir

(Translocated intimin receptor) and *Helicobacter pylori* effector CagA (Caytotoxin-associated
antigen A) are subjected to host phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of Tir and CagA are required
for the binding of host adaptor proteins for bacterial adhesion (Nougayrede et al., 2003; Higashi
et al., 2004). The plant pathogen effectors HopQ1, XopN, and XopE2 are phosphorylated in
plants, which results in their associations with host 14-3-3 proteins and phosphorylated residues
are required for effector virulence (Taylor et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013; Dubrow et al., 2018). In
our study, the phosphorylation of AvrPtoB is required for the effector-mediated inhibition of
NPR1/FLS2 accumulation and FLS2-BAK1 complex formation. Three critical AvrPtoB
phosphorylated residues are not present in the AvrPtoB E3 ligase domain, so they should not
directly affect the AvrPtoB ubiquitination activity. Thus, the phosphorylation of AvrPtoB may be
required for the interactions with its targets. S258 is located in both the NPR1 and BAK1 binding
domains of AvrPtoB, while S205 and S210 are present in the NPR1 binding domain. The single
phospho-null S258A mutant was still able to inhibit NPR1/FLS2 accumulation. However, the
triple phospho-null mutant completely lost these functions, indicating that the phosphorylation of
S205 and S210 is required for AvrPtoB-mediated target degradation (Figure 5C, D and Figure
6C, D). However, the S258A was unable to disrupt FLS2-BAK1 complex formation. These data
are consistent with previous observations that AvrPtoB is a multifunctional protein with different
interfaces involved in interacting with diverse host proteins (Martin, 2011).

Despite the importance of effector phosphorylation, the plant kinases involved remain largely
elusive. Here, we identified a member of the SnRK-CDPK family, SnRK2.8, whose
phosphorylation of the conserved bacterial effector AvrPtoB promotes effector virulence
activities. The SnRK-CDPK family is conserved in plants and most reported effectors
phosphorylated residues are consistent with the SnRK-CDPK phosphorylation site preferences.
Thus, we hypothesize that different SnRKs and CPDKs may be involved in phosphorylation of
diverse effector proteins. Future investigation of the role of SnRK-CDPKs in phosphorylation of
diverse pathogen effectors will provide a more comprehensive understanding of how pathogen
effectors exploit their hosts to facilitate disease development.

Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana seeds were stratified for two days at 4°C in the dark before sowing. Plants were grown in a controlled environmental chamber at 23°C and 70% relative humidity with a 10-hr-light /14-hr-dark photoperiod (100 μM m^{-2} s^{-1}). Four-to-five-week old plants were used for all experiments. A confirmed *snrk2.8* knockout T-DNA insertion line (SALK_073395) in the Columbia (Col-0) background was obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (ABRC) (Shin et al., 2007).

N. benthamiana was grown in a growth chamber at 26°C with a 16-hr-light/8-hr-dark photoperiod (180 μM m^{-2} s^{-1}). Three-to-four-week old plants were used for Agrobacterium-mediated transient protein expression.

Yeast two-hybrid screen

The GAL4 based Matchmaker yeast two-hybrid system was used for the AvrPtoB-kinase interaction screen (Clonetech). *AvrPtoB* and a *mCherry* negative control were cloned into the pGADT7 vector fused to the GAL4 activation domain and HA tag. *Arabidopsis SnRK*s and *CDPKs* were cloned into the pGBKT7 vector, which contains the GAL4 DNA binding domain and N-terminal Myc epitope tag. In order to detect interactions with CDPKs, their C-terminal Ca^{2+} regulatory and auto-inhibitory domains were removed prior to clone into the pGBKT7 vector. The pGADT7-*AvrPtoB* and pGADT7-*mCherry* plasmids were separately co-transformed with each pGBKT7-*SnRK/CPKΔC* plasmid into the yeast strain AH109, colonies were selected on SD -Leu/-Trp dropout media and tested for interactions on SD -Leu/-Trp/-His dropout media containing X-α-Gal. Yeast transformation and media preparation were performed per manufacturer instructions (Clontech). To confirm protein expression, yeast proteins were extracted as described previously and subjected to anti-HA HRP (Roche #12013819001; 1:2,000) and anti-Myc (Clontech #631206; 1: 2,000) immunoblotting (Zhang et al., 2011). Primers used in all experiments are listed in Table S2.

Phylogeny and expression of *SnRKs*

A total of 38 *Arabidopsis* SnRK protein sequences were obtained from the *Arabidopsis* information resource (TAIR, http://*Arabidopsis*.org). Multiple sequence alignments were performed with MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms (Kumar et al., 2018). The phylogeny was determined by maximum likelihood method (1000 bootstrap replicates). Data of *SnRK* transcriptional expression in leaves were obtained from BAR Expression Angler (Austin et al., 2016).

Co-immunoprecipitation assays

To confirm the association between AvrPtoB and SnRK/CDPKs *in planta*, kinase dead variants were generated and tested for their ability to associate with wild-type AvrPtoB after transient expression in *N. benthamiana. SnRK1.1-KD* (K71A), *SnRK2.6-KD* (K50A), *SnRK2.8-KD* (K33A), *CPK4ΔC-KD* (K54A) and *CPK5ΔC-KD* (K126A) kinase dead variants were generated by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis and fused with a C-terminal HA tag in the binary vector pGWB414 (NAKAGAWA et al., 2007). Primers are listed in Table S2. *AvrPtoB* fused with a C-terminal GFP tag was cloned into the dexamethasone (Dex)-inducible binary vector pTA7001 (Gu and Innes, 2011). Binary vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101. *Agrobacterium* suspensions were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves at an OD600 = 0.4 for AvrPtoB and an OD600 = 0.6 for each kinase. Twenty-four hours post-inoculation, 15 μM DEX and 0.01% Silwet L-77 were sprayed to induce the expression of AvrPtoB-GFP. Two grams of leaf tissue per sample were collected three hours post-DEX application.

For immunoprecipitations, *N. benthamiana* leaf tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and re-suspended in 2 mL IP buffer (50mM Tris-HCL ph7.5, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton, 0.2% NP-40, 1× complete protease inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific #A32963) and 1× phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific #A32957), 1mM DTT, 40uM MG132, 0.5% PVP). Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min and filtered to remove debris using a poly-prep chromatography column (10mL, Bio-Rad). The supernatant was incubated with 25 μL of anti-GFP agarose beads at 4°C for 1.5 hr. Beads were washed once with IP buffer by centrifuging at 3000 rpm for two min and twice by filtration through a pierce centrifuge column (0.8 mL, Bio-Rad). Proteins were eluted from the beads by boiling in 2× Laemmli buffer for five min. Proteins

were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-HA HRP (Roche #12013819001;

1:2,000) and anti-GFP HRP (Miltenyi Biotec #130-091-833; 1:3,000).

To test the ability of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants to disrupt FLS2-BAK1 complex

formation, *AvrPtoB* phospho-null mutants (S258A and S205AS210AS258A) were generated by

PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. Primers are listed in Table S2. *AvrPtoB* variants were

fused with C-terminal 3× FLAG tag in binary vector pTA7001, and *FLS2* and *BAK1* were

separately fused with C-terminal GFP tag and HA tag in binary vector pEarleyGate 103 and

pGWB14 (Earley et al., 2006; NAKAGAWA et al., 2007). The binary vectors were transformed

into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101. *FLS2*, *BAK1*, and *AvrPtoB* variants *Agrobacterium*

suspensions were co-infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves, 15 μM DEX and 0.01% Silwet L-77

were sprayed to induce the expression of AvrPtoB at 24 hpi for three hours, two grams of leaf

tissue for each sample were collected after 15 min treatment with 5 mM $MgCl_2$ or 10 μM flg22.

Immunoprecipitation was performed as described above.

Recombinant protein purification

AvrPtoB and *SnRK2.8* were cloned in *E.coli* expression vector pDEST15 (Invitrogen) fused with

N-terminal GST tag, the constructs were transformed into *E.coli* BL21 (DE3). 200 mL of *E.coli*

culture was grown at 28 °C until OD600 = 0.5. Protein expression was induced with 0.5mM

IPTG at 16 °C for 12 hr. Cells were harvested by centrifuging at 5000 g 4 °C for 10 min and

washed once with buffer A (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 1 × CPI, 10

mM DTT and 10 uM MG132). Cell pellets were resuspended in 3 mL of buffer A with 15

μg/mL lysozyme and incubated on ice for 30 min. Total protein was released by sonication and

incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare #GE17-0756-01) at 4 °C for one hour.

Agarose beads were washed three times with buffer A by centrifuging at 5000 g for 5 min.

Proteins were eluted by incubating with buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, 10 mM reduced

Glutathione) for 10 min at room temperature (RT).

Kinase activity assay

An *in vitro* kinase activity assay was performed with recombinant proteins, 3 μg of GST-

AvrPtoB and 0.3-1 μg GST-SnRK2.8 were mixed in kinase buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 10

mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 100 μM ATP, 1 mM DTT). The kinase reaction was performed at

30 °C for 30 min and stopped by 3 × Laemmli buffer. Protein samples were separated in SDS-

PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-pSer/Thr antibody (Sigma #P3430; 1:1000) and anti-GST

antibody (Sigma; 1: 3000).

Phosphorylation site identification and quantification

To identify AvrPtoB phosphorylation sites *in vivo*, *AvrPtoB-YFP* in the pBluescript vector was

transiently expressed in Col-0 and *snrk2.8* protoplasts, protoplast preparation and transient

transformation were performed as previously described (Yoo et al., 2007). One mL of

protoplasts were transfected with 100 μg of plasmid and collected after 9 hr. Proteins were

released in IP buffer (without 0.5% PVP) and subject to GFP-IP as described above. Protein

peptides were generated by in-solution trypsin digest as previously described and subjected to

LC-MS/MS run by Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at the

UC Davis Proteomics Core (Minkoff et al., 2013). LC-MS/MS data were analyzed in MaxQuant

(Tyanova et al., 2016).

To quantify the phosphorylated peptides, an inclusion list of phosphopeptide and control

peptides, including the Mono-isotopic precursor (m/z) and charge state (z), was generated by

software Skyline based on previous MS data, as shown in Table S3 (MacLean et al., 2010). The

peptide samples were scanned by Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) with a parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) method. The PRM data were analyzed by

MaxQuant and Skyline, the peptides peak areas were exported for the quantification analysis.

Bacterial growth assay

The broad host range plasmid pBAV226 was used to express *AvrPtoB* variants in *Pseudomonas*

syringae. pBAV226 harboring *AvrPtoB* or its derivatives were transformed into the *P. syringae*

pv. *tomato* DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000 -/-) strain by electroporation (Lin and Martin,

2005). DC3000, DC3000 -/-, and DC3000 -/- carrying plasmids expressing *AvrPtoB* variants

were syringe infiltrated into five-week-old *Arabidopsis* Col-0 or *snrk2.8* leaves at a

concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1} (OD600 = 0.0002). Bacterial titers were determined as

colony forming units (CFU) per cm^2 at four days post inoculation as previously described (Liu et

al., 2009).

Callose staining and quantification

Four-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants were inoculated with *Pst* DC3000, DC3000 -/-, or DC3000 -/- carrying plasmids expressing *AvrPtoB* variants at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} (OD600 = 0.1) for 16 hr. The infected leaves were fixated and destained in 95% ethanol until they were cleared of chlorophyll. The cleared leaves were washed twice with 70% ethanol and three times with distilled water and stained with 1% aniline blue in 150 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$ (pH 9.5) for 30 min in dark at RT. Callose deposition was imaged by fluorescence microscope (Leica CMS GmbH) using a DAPI filter. Image J was used for the quantification of the number of callose (Collins, 2007).

NPR1 and FLS2 accumulation

To test the ability of AvrPtoB to inhibit NPR1 and FLS2 accumulation in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout. DC3000 -/- carrying the empty vector (EV) or a plasmid expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB* were syringe infiltrated into Col-0 and *snrk2.8* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and proteins extracted after 4h (NPR1) and 8h (FLS2). The immunoblot was performed by anti-NPR1 (Agrisera #AS12 1854; 1:1000) and anti-FLS2 (Agrisera #AS12 1857; 1:5000) primary antibody followed by anti-rabbit-HRP (BioRad #170-5046; 1:3000) secondary antibody. Bands intensities of immunoblotting and Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1 (BIO-RAD). The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "0h" bands.

To test the ability of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants to inhibit NPR1 and FLS2 accumulation, *AvrPtoB* phospho-null mutants (S258A and S205AS210AS258A) and *AvrPtoB* phospho-mimic mutants (S258D and S205DS210DS258D) were generated by PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. Primers are listed in Table S2. *AvrPtoB* variants were fused with C-terminal 3× FLAG tag in binary vector pTA7001, and *NPR1* and *FLS2* were separately fused with C-terminal HA tag and GFP tag in binary vector pEarleyGate 103 and pGWB14 (Earley et al., 2006; NAKAGAWA et al., 2007). The immunoblot was performed by anti-HA HRP (Roche #12013819001; 1:2,000), anti-FLAG (Sigma #A8592; 1:3000), and anti-GFP HRP (Miltenyi Biotec #130-091-833; 1:3,000). Bands intensities of immunoblotting and Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1 (BIO-RAD). The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "EV" bands.

Plant protein extraction and immunoblotting

Plant tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in Protein Extraction Buffer [(PEB: 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5% IGEPAL, 5% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 3 mM DTT, 1 × CPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1× PPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50 mM MG132 (Sigma-Aldrich)] (Chen et al., 2017). The homogenate was cleared by centrifuging at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 ℃, and boiled with 5 × SDS buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 6% SDS, 0.5 M DTT, 30% glycerol, 0.08% bromophenol blue) for 10 min.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed by Prism 7 software (GraphPad). The data are presented as mean ± SD or ± SEM as indicated in figure legends. For quantification of phosphorylated peptides and quantification of NPR1 or FLS2 band intensity, n represents the number of experimental replicates. For bacterial growth curve assay and callose deposition, n represents the number of individual plants. Student's t test was used to compare means for two groups. One-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple-comparison test was performed to compare means from several groups against a control group mean. Two-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple-comparison test was performed to compare means between groups. Statistical analyses, p-values, and the exact value of n are described in detail in the figures and figure legends.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from United States Department of Agriculture: USDA-NIFA 2015-67013-23082 and National Institutes of Health R01: R01GM092772 awarded to G.C.

Author Contributions

G.C. conceived the study, L.L. and G.C. designed experiments, and L.L. performed most experiments. D.M.S. analyzed the AvrPtoB homologs. L.L. and G.C. wrote the manuscript. All authors approved of the final manuscript.

529   **Acknowledgements**

530   The authors thank Sheng Luan (University of California, Berkeley) for providing clones of

531   different *SnRK* members and Michelle Salemi (University of California, Davis) for assistance in

532   mass spectrometry analyses.

References:

Abramovitch, R. B., Janjusevic, R., Stebbins, C. E., and Martin, G. B. (2006). Type III
effector AvrPtoB requires intrinsic E3 ubiquitin ligase activity to suppress plant cell death and
immunity. *Proc National Acad Sci* **103**:2851–2856.

**Austin, R. S., Hiu, S., Waese, J., Ierullo, M., Pasha, A., Wang, T. T., Fan, J., Foong, C.,
Breit, R., Desveaux, D., et al.** (2016). New BAR tools for mining expression data and
exploring Cis -elements in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* **88**:490–504.

**Avila, J., Gregory, O. G., Su, D., Deeter, T. A., Chen, S., Silva-Sanchez, C., Xu, S., Martin,
G. B., and Devarenne, T. P.** (2012). The β-subunit of the SnRK1 complex is phosphorylated
by the plant cell death suppressor Adi3. *Plant Physiol* **159**:1277–90.

Bai, X., Correa, V. R., Toruño, T. Y., Ammar, E.-D., Kamoun, S., and Hogenhout, S. A.
(2009). AY-WB Phytoplasma Secretes a Protein That Targets Plant Cell Nuclei. *Mol Plant
Microbe In* **22**:18–30.

Bhattacharjee, S., Noor, J. J., Gohain, B., Gulabani, H., Dnyaneshwar, I. K., and Singla, A.
(2015). Post-translational modifications in regulation of pathogen surveillance and signaling
in plants: The inside- (and perturbations from) outside story. *Iubmb Life* **67**:524–532.

Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling Mechanisms in Pattern-Triggered
Immunity (PTI). *Mol Plant* **8**:521–539.

**Boudsocq, M., Willmann, M. R., McCormack, M., Lee, H., Shan, L., He, P., Bush, J.,
Cheng, S.-H., and Sheen, J.** (2010). Differential innate immune signalling via Ca(2+) sensor
protein kinases. *Nature* **464**:418–22.

Boutrot, F., and Zipfel, C. (2017). Function, Discovery, and Exploitation of Plant Pattern
Recognition Receptors for Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*
55:257–286.

**Chen, H., Chen, J., Li, M., Chang, M., Xu, K., Shang, Z., Zhao, Y., Palmer, I., Zhang, Y.,
McGill, J., et al.** (2017). A Bacterial Type III Effector Targets the Master Regulator of
Salicylic Acid Signaling, NPR1, to Subvert Plant Immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* **22**:777-
788.e7.

**Chen, J., Mohan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Chen, H., Palmer, I. A., Chang, M., Qi, G., Spoel, S.
H., Mengiste, T., et al.** (2019). NPR1 Promotes Its Own and Target Gene Expression in Plant
Defense by Recruiting CDK8. *Plant Physiol* **181**:289–304.

**Chinchilla, D., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Kemmerling, B., Nürnberger, T., Jones, J. D. G.,
Felix, G., and Boller, T.** (2007). A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and
BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature* **448**:497–500.

Coaker, G., Falick, A., and Staskawicz, B. (2005). Activation of a Phytopathogenic Bacterial
Effector Protein by a Eukaryotic Cyclophilin. *Science* **308**:548–550.

Coello, P., Hey, S. J., and Halford, N. G. (2011). The sucrose non-fermenting-1-related
(SnRK) family of protein kinases: potential for manipulation to improve stress tolerance and
increase yield. *J Exp Bot* **62**:883–893.

Collins, T. J. (2007). ImageJ for microscopy. *Biotechniques* **43**:S25–S30.

Crooks, G. E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.-M., and Brenner, S. E. (2004). WebLogo: A Sequence
Logo Generator. *Genome Res* **14**:1188–1190.

Desveaux, D., Singer, A. U., Wu, A.-J., McNulty, B. C., Musselwhite, L., Nimchuk, Z.,
Sondek, J., and Dangl, J. L. (2007). Type III Effector Activation via Nucleotide Binding,
Phosphorylation, and Host Target Interaction. *Plos Pathog* **3**:e48.

Dubrow, Z., Sunitha, S., Kim, J.-G., Aakre, C. D., Girija, A. M., Sobol, G., Teper, D., Chen,
Y. C., Ozbaki-Yagan, N., Vance, H., et al. (2018). Tomato 14-3-3 Proteins Are Required for
Xv3 Disease Resistance and Interact with a Subset of Xanthomonas euvesicatoria Effectors.
Mol Plant-microbe Interactions Mpmi **31**:1301–1311.

Earley, K. W., Haag, J. R., Pontes, O., Opper, K., Juehne, T., Song, K., and Pikaard, C. S.
(2006). Gateway-compatible vectors for plant functional genomics and proteomics. *Plant J*
45:616–629.

Fujii, H., Verslues, P. E., and Zhu, J.-K. (2011). Arabidopsis decuple mutant reveals the
importance of SnRK2 kinases in osmotic stress responses in vivo. *Proc National Acad Sci*
108:1717–1722.

Gao, X., Chen, X., Lin, W., Chen, S., Lu, D., Niu, Y., Li, L., Cheng, C., McCormack, M.,
Sheen, J., et al. (2013). Bifurcation of Arabidopsis NLR Immune Signaling via Ca2+-
Dependent Protein Kinases. *Plos Pathog* **9**:e1003127.

Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Hann, D. R., Ntoukakis, V., Petutschnig, E., Lipka, V., and Rathjen, J.
P. (2009). AvrPtoB targets the LysM receptor kinase CERK1 to promote bacterial virulence
on plants. *Curr Biology Cb* **19**:423–9.

Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Boter, M., Fernández-Barbero, G., Chini, A., Rathjen, J. P., and
Solano, R. (2014). The Bacterial Effector HopX1 Targets JAZ Transcriptional Repressors to
Activate Jasmonate Signaling and Promote Infection in Arabidopsis. *Plos Biol* **12**:e1001792.

Göhre, V., Spallek, T., Häweker, H., Mersmann, S., Mentzel, T., Boller, T., Torres, M. de,
Mansfield, J. W., and Robatzek, S. (2008). Plant Pattern-Recognition Receptor FLS2 Is
Directed for Degradation by the Bacterial Ubiquitin Ligase AvrPtoB. *Curr Biol* **18**:1824–
1832.

Gómez-Gómez, L., and Boller, T. (2000). FLS2: An LRR Receptor–like Kinase Involved in the
Perception of the Bacterial Elicitor Flagellin in Arabidopsis. *Mol Cell* **5**:1003–1011.

Gu, Y., and Innes, R. W. (2011). The KEEP ON GOING protein of Arabidopsis recruits the
ENHANCED DISEASE RESISTANCE1 protein to trans-Golgi network/early endosome
vesicles. *Plant Physiol* **155**:1827–38.

Gu, Y., Zavaliev, R., and Dong, X. (2017). Membrane Trafficking in Plant Immunity. *Mol*
Plant **10**:1026–1034.

Halford, N. G., and Hey, S. J. (2009). Snf1-related protein kinases (SnRKs) act within an
intricate network that links metabolic and stress signalling in plants. *Biochem J* **419**:247–59.

Hardie, D. G., Ross, F. A., and Hawley, S. A. (2012). AMPK: a nutrient and energy sensor that
maintains energy homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* **13**:251–262.

Hayward, A. P., Tsao, J., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2009). Autophagy and plant innate
immunity: Defense through degradation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **20**:1041–1047.

Hewezi, T., Juvale, P. S., Piya, S., Maier, T. R., Rambani, A., Rice, J. H., Mitchum, M. G.,
Davis, E. L., Hussey, R. S., and Baum, T. J. (2015). The Cyst Nematode Effector Protein
10A07 Targets and Recruits Host Posttranslational Machinery to Mediate Its Nuclear
Trafficking and to Promote Parasitism in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **27**:891–907.

Higashi, H., Nakaya, A., Tsutsumi, R., Yokoyama, K., Fujii, Y., Ishikawa, S., Higuchi, M.,
Takahashi, A., Kurashima, Y., Teishikata, Y., et al. (2004). Helicobacter pylori CagA
Induces Ras-independent Morphogenetic Response through SHP-2 Recruitment and
Activation. *J Biol Chem* **279**:17205–17216.

Hulsmans, S., Rodriguez, M., Coninck, B. D., and Rolland, F. (2016). The SnRK1 Energy
Sensor in Plant Biotic Interactions. *Trends Plant Sci* **21**:648–61.

Kim, Y. J., Lin, N.-C., and Martin, G. B. (2002). Two Distinct Pseudomonas Effector Proteins
Interact with the Pto Kinase and Activate Plant Immunity. *Cell* **109**:589–598.

Kim, D. S., Choi, H. W., and Hwang, B. K. (2014). Pepper mildew resistance locus O interacts
with pepper calmodulin and suppresses Xanthomonas AvrBsT-triggered cell death and
defense responses. *Planta* **240**:827–839.

Klimecka, M., and Muszyńska, G. (2007). Structure and functions of plant calcium-dependent
protein kinases. *Acta Biochim Pol* **54**:219–233.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular
Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**:1547–1549.

Lee, H.-J., Park, Y.-J., Seo, P. J., Kim, J.-H., Sim, H.-J., Kim, S.-G., and Park, C.-M.
(2015). Systemic Immunity Requires SnRK2.8-Mediated Nuclear Import of NPR1 in
Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **27**:3425–3438.

Li, W., Yadeta, K. A., Elmore, J. M., and Coaker, G. (2013). The Pseudomonas syringae
Effector HopQ1 Promotes Bacterial Virulence and Interacts with Tomato 14-3-3 Proteins in a
Phosphorylation-Dependent Manner. *Plant Physiol* **161**:2062–2074.

Lin, N.-C., and Martin, G. B. (2005). An avrPto/avrPtoB Mutant of Pseudomonas syringae pv.
tomato DC3000 Does Not Elicit Pto-Mediated Resistance and Is Less Virulent on Tomato.
Mol Plant Microbe In **18**:43–51.

Liu, J., Elmore, J. M., Fuglsang, A. T., Palmgren, M. G., Staskawicz, B. J., and Coaker, G.
(2009). RIN4 Functions with Plasma Membrane H+-ATPases to Regulate Stomatal Apertures
during Pathogen Attack. *Plos Biol* **7**:e1000139.

Lolle, S., Stevens, D., and Coaker, G. (2020). Plant NLR-triggered immunity: from receptor
activation to downstream signaling. *Curr Opin Immunol* **62**:99–105.

Lu, D., Lin, W., Gao, X., Wu, S., Cheng, C., Avila, J., Heese, A., Devarenne, T. P., He, P.,
and Shan, L. (2011). Direct Ubiquitination of Pattern Recognition Receptor FLS2 Attenuates
Plant Innate Immunity. *Science* **332**:1439–1442.

Macho, A. P., Schwessinger, B., Ntoukakis, V., Brutus, A., Segonzac, C., Roy, S., Kadota,
Y., Oh, M.-H., Sklenar, J., Derbyshire, P., et al. (2014). A Bacterial Tyrosine Phosphatase
Inhibits Plant Pattern Recognition Receptor Activation. *Science* **343**:1509–1512.

MacLean, B., Tomazela, D. M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G. L., Frewen, B.,
Kern, R., Tabb, D. L., Liebler, D. C., and MacCoss, M. J. (2010). Skyline: an open source
document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinform Oxf*
Engl **26**:966–8.

Martin, G. (2011). Suppression and Activation of the Plant Immune System by Pseudomonas
syringae Effectors AvrPto and AvrPtoB. *Effectors in Plant-Microbe Interactions* Advance
Access published 2011, doi:ch6.

Mergner, J., Frejno, M., List, M., Papacek, M., Chen, X., Chaudhary, A., Samaras, P.,
Richter, S., Shikata, H., Messerer, M., et al. (2020). Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the
Arabidopsis proteome. *Nature* Advance Access published 2020, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-
2094-2.

Minkoff, B. B., Burch, H. L., and Sussman, M. R. (2013). Methods in Molecular Biology.
Methods Mol Biology Clifton N J **1062**:353–379.

NAKAGAWA, T., SUZUKI, T., MURATA, S., NAKAMURA, S., HINO, T., MAEO, K.,
TABATA, R., KAWAI, T., TANAKA, K., NIWA, Y., et al. (2007). Improved Gateway
Binary Vectors: High-Performance Vectors for Creation of Fusion Constructs in Transgenic
Analysis of Plants. *Biosci Biotechnology Biochem* **71**:2095–2100.

Nakano, M., and Mukaihara, T. (2019). Comprehensive Identification of PTI Suppressors in
Type III Effector Repertoire Reveals that Ralstonia solanacearum Activates Jasmonate
Signaling at Two Different Steps. *Int J Mol Sci* **20**:5992.

Nougayrede, J.-P., Fernandes, P. J., and Donnenberg, M. S. (2003). Adhesion of
enteropathogenic Escherichia coli to host cells. *Cell Microbiol* **5**:359–372.

Peng, Y., Wersch, R. van, and Zhang, Y. (2018). Convergent and Divergent Signaling in
PAMP-Triggered Immunity and Effector-Triggered Immunity. *Mol Plant-microbe*
Interactions Mpmi **31**:403–409.

Rosebrock, T. R., Zeng, L., Brady, J. J., Abramovitch, R. B., Xiao, F., and Martin, G. B.
(2007). A bacterial E3 ubiquitin ligase targets a host protein kinase to disrupt plant immunity.
Nature **448**:370–374.

Schornack, S., Moscou, M. J., Ward, E. R., and Horvath, D. M. (2013). Engineering plant
disease resistance based on TAL effectors. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **51**:383–406.

Shan, L., He, P., Li, J., Heese, A., Peck, S. C., Nürnberger, T., Martin, G. B., and Sheen, J.
(2008). Bacterial Effectors Target the Common Signaling Partner BAK1 to Disrupt Multiple
MAMP Receptor-Signaling Complexes and Impede Plant Immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* **4**:17–
27.

Shen, Q., Liu, Z., Song, F., Xie, Q., Hanley-Bowdoin, L., and Zhou, X. (2011). Tomato
SlSnRK1 protein interacts with and phosphorylates βC1, a pathogenesis protein encoded by a
geminivirus β-satellite. *Plant Physiol* **157**:1394–406.

Shin, R., Alvarez, S., Burch, A. Y., Jez, J. M., and Schachtman, D. P. (2007).
Phosphoproteomic identification of targets of the Arabidopsis sucrose nonfermenting-like
kinase SnRK2.8 reveals a connection to metabolic processes. *Proc National Acad Sci*
104:6460–6465.

Streubel, J., Pesce, C., Hutin, M., Koebnik, R., Boch, J., and Szurek, B. (2013). Five
phylogenetically close rice SWEET genes confer TAL effector-mediated susceptibility to
Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. *New Phytol* **200**:808–819.

Szczesny, R., Büttner, D., Escolar, L., Schulze, S., Seiferth, A., and Bonas, U. (2010).
Suppression of the AvrBs1-specific hypersensitive response by the YopJ effector homolog
AvrBsT from Xanthomonas depends on a SNF1-related kinase. *New Phytol* **187**:1058–1074.

Szurek, B., Marois, E., Bonas, U., and Ackerveken, G. V. den (2001). Eukaryotic features of
the Xanthomonas type III effector AvrBs3: protein domains involved in transcriptional
activation and the interaction with nuclear import receptors from pepper. *Plant J* **26**:523–534.

Tahir, J., Rashid, M., and Afzal, A. J. (2019). Post-translational modifications in effectors and
plant proteins involved in host–pathogen conflicts. *Plant Pathol* **68**:628–644.

Tarrant, M. K., and Cole, P. A. (2009). The Chemical Biology of Protein Phosphorylation.
Annu Rev Biochem **78**:797–825.

Taylor, K. W., Kim, J.-G., Su, X. B., Aakre, C. D., Roden, J. A., Adams, C. M., and
Mudgett, M. B. (2012). Tomato TFT1 Is Required for PAMP-Triggered Immunity and
Mutations that Prevent T3S Effector XopN from Binding to TFT1 Attenuate Xanthomonas
Virulence. *Plos Pathog* **8**:e1002768.

Torres, M. de, Mansfield, J. W., Grabov, N., Brown, I. R., Ammouneh, H., Tsiamis, G.,
Forsyth, A., Robatzek, S., Grant, M., and Boch, J. (2006). Pseudomonas syringae effector
AvrPtoB suppresses basal defence in Arabidopsis. *Plant J* **47**:368–382.

Toruño, T. Y., Stergiopoulos, I., and Coaker, G. (2015). Plant Pathogen Effectors: Cellular
Probes Interfering with Plant Defenses in Spatial and Temporal Manners. *Annu Rev*
Phytopathol **54**:1–23.

Tran, T. T., Pérez-Quintero, A. L., Wonni, I., Carpenter, S. C. D., Yu, Y., Wang, L., Leach,
J. E., Verdier, V., Cunnac, S., Bogdanove, A. J., et al. (2018). Functional analysis of
African Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae TALomes reveals a new susceptibility gene in
bacterial leaf blight of rice. *Plos Pathog* **14**:e1007092.

Tyanova, S., Temu, T., and Cox, J. (2016). The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. *Nat Protoc* **11**:2301–2319.

Umezawa, T., Yoshida, R., Maruyama, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K.
(2004). SRK2C, a SNF1-related protein kinase 2, improves drought tolerance by controlling
stress-responsive gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* **101**:17306–
17311.

Vlad, F., Turk, B. E., Peynot, P., Leung, J., and Merlot, S. (2008). A versatile strategy to
define the phosphorylation preferences of plant protein kinases and screen for putative
substrates. *Plant J* **55**:104–117.

Wang, W., Liu, N., Gao, C., Rui, L., and Tang, D. (2019). The Pseudomonas Syringae
Effector AvrPtoB Associates With and Ubiquitinates Arabidopsis Exocyst Subunit
EXO70B1. *Front Plant Sci* **10**:1027.

Wirthmueller, L., Roth, C., Fabro, G., Caillaud, M.-C., Rallapalli, G., Asai, S., Sklenar, J.,
Jones, A. M. E., Wiermer, M., Jones, J. D. G., et al. (2014). Probing formation of
cargo/importin-α transport complexes in plant cells using a pathogen effector. *Plant J* **81**:40–
52.

Withers, J., and Dong, X. (2016). Posttranslational Modifications of NPR1: A Single Protein
Playing Multiple Roles in Plant Immunity and Physiology. *Plos Pathog* **12**:e1005707.

Withers, J., and Dong, X. (2017). Post-translational regulation of plant immunity. *Curr Opin*
Plant Biol **38**:124–132.

Xiang, T., Zong, N., Zou, Y., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., Xing, W., Li, Y., Tang, X., Zhu, L., Chai,
J., et al. (2008). Pseudomonas syringae Effector AvrPto Blocks Innate Immunity by
Targeting Receptor Kinases. *Curr Biol* **18**:74–80.

Xiao, F., He, P., Abramovitch, R. B., Dawson, J. E., Nicholson, L. K., Sheen, J., and Martin,
G. B. (2007a). The N-terminal region of Pseudomonas type III effector AvrPtoB elicits Pto-
dependent immunity and has two distinct virulence determinants. *Plant J* **52**:595–614.

Xiao, F., Giavalisco, P., and Martin, G. B. (2007b). Pseudomonas syringae Type III Effector
AvrPtoB Is Phosphorylated in Plant Cells on Serine 258, Promoting Its Virulence Activity. *J*
Biol Chem **282**:30737–30744.

Xing, T., Ouellet, T., and Miki, B. L. (2002). Towards genomic and proteomic studies of
protein phosphorylation in plant–pathogen interactions. *Trends Plant Sci* **7**:224–230.

Yoo, S.-D., Cho, Y.-H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile
cell system for transient gene expression analysis. *Nat Protoc* **2**:1565–1572.

Zhang, T., Lei, J., Yang, H., Xu, K., Wang, R., and Zhang, Z. (2011). An improved method
for whole protein extraction from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast Chichester Engl*
28:795–8.

Zhou, B., Mural, R. V., Chen, X., Oates, M. E., Connor, R. A., Martin, G. B., Gough, J.,
and Zeng, L. (2016). A Subset of Ubiquitin-Conjugating Enzymes Is Essential for Plant
Immunity. *Plant Physiol* **173**:1371–1390.

Figure legends

Figure 1. The *Pseudomonas syringae* effector AvrPtoB interacts with the plant kinase
SnRK2.8.

(A) Phylogeny of the *Arabidopsis* SnRK family and transcript expression of *SnRK* members after
immune activation and pathogen infection. Phylogeny was determined by maximum likelihood
method (1000 bootstrap replicates). The heat map shows fold change in leaf transcript expression
one-hour post-treatment with SA, one hour post-treatment with flg22, and six hours post-
infiltration with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* strain *hrcC*. The bar graph represents the
SnRK expression in leaf tissue. Data were obtained from BAR Expression Angler. Blue dots
represent the *SnRK* members that were cloned for yeast-two hybrid. hrcC = *P. syringae* pv.
tomato DC3000 *hrcC* mutant defective in Type III secretion system.

(B, C) Yeast two-hybrid assay of AvrPtoB and SnRK-CDPKs. AvrPtoB was co-expressed with
Pto, SnRK3.1, SnRK1.1, SnRK2.6, SnRK2.8, as well as a C-terminal deletion of CPK4
(CPK4△C) and CPK5 (CPK5△C) in yeast. Empty vector (EV) and AD-mCherry were included
as negative controls. Colony growth on SD -Leu/-Trp media confirms the presence of AD and
BD vectors. Growth on SD -Leu/-Trp/-His media indicates protein-protein interaction. AD =
activation domain vector, BD = binding domain vector.

(D, E) Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of AvrPtoB-GFP with SnRK/CPK△C kinase dead
(KD)-HA variants in *N. benthamiana*. *AvrPtoB-GFP* under control of a dexamethasone (Dex)-
inducible promoter was co-expressed with *35S::SnRKs-KD-HA* and *35S::CPKs△C-KD-HA* in *N.*
benthamiana using Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. Expression of *AvrPtoB-GFP*
was induced by 15 μM DEX for three hours at 24 hours post-infiltration. Protein extracts were
subjected to anti-GFP immunoprecipitation (IP). The IP and input proteins were immunoblotted
with anti-HA and anti-GFP antibodies.

Figure 2. AvrPtoB is phosphorylated by SnRK2.8.

(A) *In vitro* kinase activity assay of AvrPtoB and SnRK2.8. Recombinant GST-AvrPtoB and
GST-SnRK2.8 proteins were purified from *E. coli* and incubated with ATP in kinase buffer for

30 min. Phosphorylation was detected by anti-pSer/Thr (anti-pS/T) immunoblot. Coomassie

Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining shows protein loading.

(B) AvrPtoB phosphorylation sites identified *in vivo* by LC-MS/MS. AvrPtoB-GFP was

transiently expressed in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 protoplasts and total proteins were subjected to anti-

GFP IP followed by trypsin digestion. Phosphorylated peptides were detected by LC-MS/MS.

The observed y and b ions are numbered.

(C) Diagram of phosphorylation sites and host protein interaction domains in AvrPtoB. PID

(green) indicates the Pto interaction domain, NID (blue) indicates the NPR1 interaction domain,

BID (black slash) indicates the BAK1 interaction domain and FID (yellow) indicates the Fen

interaction domain. Numbers correspond to amino acid (aa) residues.

(D) Quantification of S258, S210 and S205 phosphorylation. AvrPtoB-GFP was expressed in

Col-0 and *snrk2.8* protoplasts and total proteins were subjected to anti-GFP IP followed by

tryptic digestion. Phosphorylated peptides were detected by LC-MS/MS with the parallel

reaction monitoring method. Peptide phosphorylation ratios (phosphorylated/non-

phosphorylated) were determined using Skyline software. Data are means $\pm$ SE of three

biological replicates (separate transfections). Asterisks indicate significant differences (Student's

t-test, **$p<0.01$).

Figure 3. SnRK2.8 is required for AvrPtoB virulence.

(A) Disease symptoms of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after infection with *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000 (DC3000) variants. DC3000 and DC3000$\Delta avrPto\Delta avrPtoB$ (-/-)

carrying empty vector (EV) or expressing *AvrPtoB* on a plasmid were syringe infiltrated into

Arabidopsis Col-0 and *snrk2.8* at a concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1}. Disease symptoms were

observed 4 days post-inoculation (dpi).

(B) Bacterial populations in Col-0 and *snrk2.8* leaves 4 dpi. Bacterial inoculations were

performed as described in (A). LOG CFU/cm^2 = log colony forming units per cm^2 of leaf tissue.

Data are means $\pm$ SD (n $\geq$ 9 plants for day 0, n $\geq$ 18 plants for day 4). Different letters indicate

significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(C) Callose deposition in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and *snrk2.8* after inoculation with DC3000, -/- EV,

and -/-*AvrPtoB*. Leaves were inoculated with *P. syringae* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1}

and harvested 16h later. Leaves were stained by 1% aniline blue and imaged by fluorescence

microscopy. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(D) Quantification of callose deposits. Data from three independent experiments were used for

statistical analysis. Data are means ± SD (n = 24 images from 24 leaves). Different letters

indicate significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Phosphorylated AvrPtoB serine residues are required for virulence.

(A) Disease symptoms of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 after inoculation with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato*

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying empty vector (EV) or plasmids

expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB*$_{S258A}$, or *AvrPtoB*$_{S205AS210AS258A}$ (*SSSAAA*) were syringe

infiltrated into *Arabidopsis* Col-0 at a concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1}. Disease symptoms

were observed 4 days post-inoculation (dpi).

(B) Bacterial populations in Col-0 leaves 4 dpi. Bacterial inoculations were performed as

described in (A). LOG CFU/cm^2 = log colony-forming units per cm^2 of leaf tissue. Data are

means ± SD (n = 4 plants for day 0, n = 8 plants for day 4). Different letters indicate significant

differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(C) Callose deposition in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 after inoculation with -/- carrying EV, *AvrPtoB*,

S258A, and *SSSAAA*. Leaves were inoculated with *P. syringae* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU

mL^{-1} and harvested 16h later. Leaves were stained by 1% aniline blue and imaged by

fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(D) Quantification of callose deposits. Data are means ± SD (n = 8 images from 8 leaves for -/-

EV, n =18 images from 18 leaves for rest variants). Different letters indicate significant

differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 5. AvrPtoB mediated degradation of NPR1 requires the plant kinase SnRK2.8.

(A) NPR1 accumulation in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after inoculation with *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying the empty

vector (EV) or a plasmid expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB* were syringe infiltrated into Col-0 and

snrk2.8 at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and proteins extracted after four hours. Protein

extracts were subjected to anti-NPR1 immunoblotting. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining

shows equal protein loading.

(B) Quantification of NPR1 band intensity in (A). NPR1 bands intensities were quantified by

Image Lab 6.0.1 (BIO-RAD). The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and

subsequently by the intensities of "0h" bands. Data are means ± SD (n = 14 leaves). Different

letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(C) NPR1 accumulation in the presence of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants in *N. benthamiana*

after Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. *35S::NPR1-HA* was co-expressed with

FLAG-tagged Dex-inducible *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, *AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$* (*SSSAAA*),

AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$ or *AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$* (*SSSDDD*). The Dex inducible EV was used as a control.

The expression of AvrPtoB-FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 μM DEX for 5

hours 24h post-Agrobacterium infiltration. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-HA and anti-

FLAG immunoblotting. CBB staining shows protein loading.

(D) Quantification of NPR1-HA band intensity in (C). NPR1-HA bands intensities were

quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1. The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and

subsequently by the intensities of "EV" treatment. Data are means ± SD (n = 6 leaves). Different

letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 6. AvrPtoB-mediated degradation of FLS2 and inhibition of FLS2 complex

formation requires the plant kinase SnrK2.8 and phosphorylated residues.

(A) FLS2 accumulation in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after inoculation with *P.*

syringae pv. *tomato* DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying the empty

vector (EV) or a plasmid expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB* were syringe infiltrated into Col-0 and

snrk2.8 at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and proteins extracted after 8h. Protein extracts

were subjected to anti-FLS2 immunoblotting. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining shows

equal protein loading.

(B) Quantification of FLS2 band intensity in (A). FLS2 band intensities were quantified by

Image Lab 6.0.1. The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the

intensities of "0h" bands. Data are means ± SD (n = 14 leaves). Different letters indicate

significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(C) FLS2 accumulation in the presence of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants in *N. benthamiana*

after Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. *35S::FLS2-GFP* was co-expressed with

FLAG-tagged Dex-inducible *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, *AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$* (*SSSAAA*),

AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$, or *AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$* (*SSSDDD*). The Dex inducible EV was used as a control.

The expression of AvrPtoB-FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 μM DEX for 5

hours 24h post-Agrobacterium infiltration. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-GFP and anti-

FLAG immunoblotting. CBB staining shows protein loading.

(D) Quantification of FLS2-GFP band intensity in (C). FLS2-GFP bands intensities were

quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1. The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and

subsequently by the intensities of "EV" treatment. The data from two independent experiments

were used for statistical analysis. Data are means ± SD (n = 6 leaves). Different letters indicate

significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(E) Analyses of AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of FLS2 complex formation. AvrPtoB-FLAG

variants, BAK1-HA, and FLS2-GFP were co-expressed in the indicated combinations in *N.*

benthamiana using Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. The expression of AvrPtoB-

FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 μM DEX for 3 hours 24h post-

Agrobacterium infiltration. Leaves were infiltrated with 10 μM flg22 peptide 15 minutes before

harvesting protein extracts for GFP immunoprecipitation and western blotting. Protein inputs and

immunoprecipitated samples were detected by immunoblot.

Supplemental Table and Figure Legends.

Supplemental Table 1. The phosphorylation sites of bacterial type III effectors identified by

mass spectrometry. Related to Figure 1. Data were collected from the references in the table.

Red indicates the phosphorylated residue.

Supplemental Table 2. Primers used in this study. Related to Materials and Methods.

Supplemental Table 3. Isolation list for PRM. Related to Figure 2. Modified and unmodified

peptide sequences used to quantify phosphorylation by PRM are noted. CID = collision induced

dissociation, m/z = mass to charge, z = charge state.

Supplemental Figure 1. Amino acid conservation surrounding known effector

phosphorylation sites. Related to Figure 1.

WebLogo alignment of the effector phosphorylation sites shown in Table S1. Red arrows point

the phosphorylated residues. Amino acid size correlates with degree of conservation. The

sequence logo was created by the WebLogo 3 (Crooks et al., 2004).

Supplemental Figure 2. Yeast-two hybrid screening of interactions between the

***Pseudomonas syringae* effector AvrPtoB and SnRK or CDPK members. Related to Figure**

1.

Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay of AvrPtoB and SnRK-CDPKs in the Matchmaker GaL4 system.

AvrPtoB was expressed from the pGADT7 vector, while SnRKs and C-terminal deletions of

CPKs (CPKs△C) were expressed from the pGBKT7 vector in *Saccharomyces cerevisea.* The

pGBKT7 empty vector (EV) was included as a negative control. Blue colonies on SD -Leu/-

Trp/-His/X-α-gal media indicate protein-protein interactions. AD = activation domain vector

pGADT7, BD = binding domain vector pGBKT7.

Supplemental Figure 3. Protein expression of *SnRKs*, *CPKs*, and *AvrPtoB* used in the Y2H
929
assay. Related to Figure 1.

Yeast proteins were extracted and SnRK and CPK proteins were detected by anti-myc

immunoblot and AvrPtoB was detected by anti-HA immunoblot. Expression of the positive (Pto)

and negative (mCherry) controls used in Figure 1 are shown.

Supplemental Figure 4. AvrPtoB T111 and S217 phosphorylation are not lower in the

***snrk2.8* knockout compared to wild-type Col-0. Related to Figure 2.**

AvrPtoB-GFP was expressed in Col-0 and *snrk2.8* protoplasts, total proteins were subjected to

anti-GFP IP followed by tryptic digestion. Phosphorylated peptides were detected by LC-MS/MS

with the parallel reaction monitoring method. The peptide phosphorylation intensity of T111 (A)

and the phosphorylation ratio of S217 (B) were determined using Skyline software. Data are

means ± SE of three biological replicates (separate transfections). Asterisks indicate significant

differences (Student's t-test, **$p<0.01$).

Supplemental Figure 5. Conservation of phosphorylated residues in different AvrPtoB

homologs. Related to Figure 2.

The AvrPtoB phosphorylated residues identified by mass spectrometry were analyzed for their

conservation across 27 AvrPtoB homologs from *P. syringae.* The translated sequence of

AvrPtoB was used in BLAST searches against the NCBI nr database on January 5th, 2020

(*Pseudomonas syringae* group, taxid: 136849; default parameters otherwise) to identify

homologs. Hits were filtered based on a minimum 65% sequence identity, a minimum 85%

query coverage and an E-value of 0. Sequences were downloaded and aligned using Genious

alignment (default parameters).The percentage of identity is shown by gradient white to black

(white means less than 60% similar, gray means 60-80% similar, dark gray means 80-100%, and

black means 100% similar). AvrPtoB phosphorylation sites identified *in vivo* are shown with
arrows.

Supplemental Figure 6. AvrPtoB S258 is required for virulence in tomato and *Arabidopsis*.
Related to Figure 3.

(A) Bacterial populations in the susceptible tomato genotype Rio Grande 76S five days post-
inoculation with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/-
carrying empty vector (EV) or plasmids expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, *AvrPtoB$_{1-}$*
$_{307}$, and *AvrPtoB$_{1-307S258A}$* were dip inoculated on tomato at a concentration of 2×10^8 CFU mL^{-1}
with 0.005% Silwet. LOG CFU/cm^2 = log colony-forming units per cm^2 of leaf tissue. Data are
means $\pm$ SD (n $\geq$ 6 plants). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA,
Tukey's test, p < 0.05).

(B) Bacterial populations in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 three days post-inoculation with DC3000 -/-
variants. DC3000 -/- variants as described in (A) were syringe infiltrated in Col-0 at a
concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1}. Data are means $\pm$ SD (n = 4 plants for day 0, n = 6 plants for
day 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, p <
0.05).

(C) Expression of AvrPtoB and its derivatives from DC3000 -/- . Bacteria were grown in
minimal media at 18 °C. Anti-HA western blotting was performed to detect the expression of
AvrPtoB in bacterial pellets.

Figure 1. The *Pseudomonas syringae* effector AvrPtoB interacts with the plant kinase SnRK2.8.

(A) Phylogeny of the *Arabidopsis* SnRK family and transcript expression of *SnRK* members after immune activation and pathogen infection. The phylogeny was determined by maximum likelihood method (1000 bootstrap replicates). The heat map shows fold change in leaf transcript expression one-hour post-treatment with SA, one hour post-treatment with and flg22, and six hours post-infiltration with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000. The bar graph represents the leaf gene expression. Data was obtained from BAR Expression Angler. Blue dots represent the SnRK members that were cloned for yeast-two hybrid. hrcC = *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 hrcC mutant defective in Type III secretion system.

(B, C) Yeast two-hybrid assay of AvrPtoB and SnRK-CDPKs. AvrPtoB was co-expressed with Pto, SnRK3.1, SnRK1.1, SnRK2.6, SnRK2.8, as well as a C terminal deletion of CPK4 (CPK4△C) and CPK5 (CPK5△C) in yeast. Empty vector (EV) and AD-mCherry were included as negative controls. Colony growth on SD -Leu/-Trp media confirms the presence of AD and BD vectors. Growth on SD -Leu/-Trp/-His media indicates protein-protein interaction. AD = activation domain vector, BD = binding domain vector.

(D, E) Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of AvrPtoB-GFP with SnRK/ CPK△C kinase dead (KD)-HA variants in *N. benthamiana*. *AvrPtoB-GFP* under control of a dexamethasone (Dex)-inducible promoter was co-expressed with *35S::SnRKs-KD-HA* and *35S::CPKs△C-KD-HA* in *N. benthamiana* using Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. Expression of *AvrPtoB-GFP* was induced by 15 µM DEX for three hours at 24 hours post-infiltration. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-GFP immunoprecipitation (IP). The IP and input proteins were immunoblotted with anti-HA and anti-GFP antibodies.

Figure 2. AvrPtoB is phosphorylated by SnRK2.8.

(A) *In vitro* kinase activity assay of AvrPtoB and SnRK2.8. Recombinant GST-AvrPtoB and GST-SnRK2.8 proteins were purified from *E. coli* and incubated with ATP in kinase buffer for 30 min. Phosphorylation was detected by anti-pSer/Thr (anti-pS/T) immunoblot, Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining shows protein loading.

(B) AvrPtoB phosphorylation sites identified *in vivo* by LC-MS/MS. AvrPtoB-GFP was transiently expressed in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 protoplasts and total proteins were subjected to anti-GFP IP followed by trypsin digestion. Phosphorylated peptides were detected by LC-MS/MS. The observed y and b ions are numbered.

(C) Diagram of phosphorylation sites and host protein interaction domains in AvrPtoB. PID (green) indicates the Pto interaction domain, NID (blue) indicates the NPR1 interaction domain, BID (black slash) indicates the BAK1 interaction domain and FID (yellow) indicates the Fen interaction domain. Numbers correspond to amino acid (aa) residues.

(D) Quantification of S258, S210 and S205 phosphorylation. AvrPtoB-GFP was expressed in Col-0 and *snrk2.8* protoplasts and total proteins were subjected to anti-GFP IP followed by tryptic digestion. Phosphorylated peptides were detected by LC-MS/MS with the parallel reaction monitoring method. Peptide phosphorylation ratios (phosphorylated/non-phosphorylated) were determined using Skyline software. Data are means ± SE of three biological replicates (separate transfections). Asterisks indicate significant differences (Student's t-test, **p<0.01).

Figure 3. SnRK2.8 is required for AvrPtoB virulence.

(A) Disease symptoms of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after infection with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (DC3000) variants. DC3000 and DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) carrying empty vector (EV) or expressing *AvrPtoB* on a plasmid were syringe infiltrated into *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and *snrk2.8* at a concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1}. Disease symptoms were observed 4 days post-inoculation (dpi).

(B) Bacterial populations in Col-0 and *snrk2.8* leaves 4 dpi. Bacterial inoculations were performed as described in (A). LOG CFU/cm^2 = log colony forming units per cm^2 of leaf tissue. Data are means ± SD (n ≥ 9 plants for day 0, n ≥ 18 plants for day 4). Different letters indicate significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, p < 0.05).

(C) Callose deposition in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and *snrk2.8* after inoculation with DC3000, -/- EV, and -/-*AvrPtoB*. Leaves were inoculated with *P. syringae* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and harvested 16h later. Leaves were stained by 1% aniline blue and imaged by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(D) Quantification of callose deposits. Data from three independent experiments were used for statistical analysis. Data are means ± SD (n = 24 images from 24 leaves). Different letters indicate significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, p < 0.05).

Figure 4. Phosphorylated AvrPtoB serine residues are required for virulence.

(A) Disease symptoms of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 after inoculation with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying empty vector (EV) or plasmids expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, or *AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$* (*SSSAAA*) were syringe infiltrated into *Arabidopsis* Col-0 at a concentration of 2×10^5 CFU mL^{-1}. Disease symptoms were observed 4 days post-inoculation (dpi).
(B) Bacterial populations in Col-0 leaves 4 dpi. Bacterial inoculations were performed as described in (A). LOG CFU/cm^2 = log colony-forming units per cm^2 of leaf tissue. Data are means ± SD (n = 4 plants for day 0; n = 8 plants for day 4). Different letters indicate significant differences (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).
(C) Callose deposition in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 after inoculation with -/- carrying EV, *AvrPtoB*, *S258A*, and *SSSAAA*. Leaves were inoculated with *P. syringae* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and harvested 16h later. Leaves were stained by 1% aniline blue and imaged by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar, 100 μm.
(D) Quantification of callose deposits. Data are means ± SD (n = 8 images from 8 leaves for -/- EV, n = 18 images from 18 leaves for rest variants). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 5. AvrPtoB-mediated degradation of NPR1 requires the plant kinase SnRK2.8.

(A) NPR1 accumulation in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after inoculation with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000$\Delta avrPto\Delta avrPtoB$ (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying the empty vector (EV) or a plasmid expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB* were syringe infiltrated into Col-0 and *snrk2.8* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and proteins extracted after four hours. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-NPR1 immunoblotting. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining shows equal protein loading.

(B) Quantification of NPR1 band intensity in (A). NPR1 bands intensities were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1 (BIO-RAD). The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "0h" bands. Data are means ± SD (n = 14 leaves). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, p < 0.05).

(C) NPR1 accumulation in the presence of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants in *N. benthamiana* after Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. *35S::NPR1-HA* was co-expressed with FLAG-tagged Dex-inducible *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, *AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$* (*SSSAAA*), *AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$* or *AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$* (*SSSDDD*). The Dex inducible EV was used as a control. The expression of AvrPtoB-FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 µM DEX for 5 hours 24h post-Agrobacterium infiltration. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-HA and anti-FLAG immunoblotting. CBB staining shows protein loading.

(D) Quantification of NPR1-HA band intensity in (C). NPR1-HA bands intensities were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1 (BIO-RAD). The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "EV" treatment. Data are means ± SD (n = 6 leaves). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, p < 0.05).

Figure 6. AvrPtoB-mediated degradation of FLS2 and inhibition of FLS2 complex formation requires the plant kinase SnrK2.8 and phosphorylated residues.

(A) FLS2 accumulation in *Arabidopsis* Col-0 and the *snrk2.8* knockout after inoculation with *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000ΔavrPtoΔavrPtoB (-/-) variants. DC3000 -/- carrying the empty vector (EV) or a plasmid expressing wild-type *AvrPtoB* were syringe infiltrated into Col-0 and *snrk2.8* at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU mL^{-1} and proteins extracted after 8h. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-FLS2 immunoblotting. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining shows equal protein loading.

(B) Quantification of FLS2 band intensity in (A). FLS2 band intensities were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1. The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "0h" bands. Data are means ± SD (n = 14 leaves). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(C) FLS2 accumulation in the presence of AvrPtoB phosphorylation mutants in *N. benthamiana* after Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. *35S::FLS2-GFP* was co-expressed with FLAG-tagged Dex-inducible *AvrPtoB*, *AvrPtoB$_{S258A}$*, *AvrPtoB$_{S205AS210AS258A}$* (*SSSAAA*), *AvrPtoB$_{S258D}$*, or *AvrPtoB$_{S205DS210DS258D}$* (*SSSDDD*). The Dex inducible EV was used as a control. The expression of AvrPtoB-FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 μM DEX for 5 hours 24h post-Agrobacterium infiltration. Protein extracts were subjected to anti-GFP and anti-FLAG immunoblotting. CBB staining shows protein loading.

(D) Quantification of FLS2-GFP band intensity in (C). FLS2-GFP bands intensities were quantified by Image Lab 6.0.1. The values were normalized first by Rubisco bands and subsequently by the intensities of "EV" treatment. The data from two independent experiments were used for statistical analysis. Data are means ± SD (n = 6 leaves). Different letters indicate significant differences (one-way ANOVA, Tukey's test, $p < 0.05$).

(E) Analyses of AvrPtoB-mediated inhibition of FLS2 complex formation. AvrPtoB-FLAG variants, BAK1-HA, and FLS2-GFP were co-expressed in the indicated combinations in *N. benthamiana* using Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression. The expression of AvrPtoB-FLAG phosphorylation variants was induced by 15 μM DEX for 3 hours 24h post-Agrobacterium infiltration. Leaves were infiltrated with 10 μM flg22 peptide 15 minutes before harvesting protein extracts for GFP immunoprecipitation and western blotting. Protein inputs and immunoprecipitated samples were detected by immunoblot.