(p)ppGpp and malonyl-CoA set the pace for *Staphylococcus aureus* adaptation

to FASII antibiotics and provide a basis for bi-therapy inhibition

Amit Pathania[1*], Jamila Anba-Mondoloni[1], David Halpern[1], Laetitia Dupont[1], Gilles

Lamberet[1], Patrick Trieu-Cuot [2], Karine Gloux[1] and Alexandra Gruss[1*]

[1]Micalis Institute, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy en Josas, France, [2] Unité de

Biologie des Pathogènes à Gram-positif, CNRS UMR 2001, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

* For correspondence: amit.pathania@inrae.fr, alexandra.gruss@inrae.fr

Keywords: FASII adaptation, (p)ppGpp, malonyl-CoA, phospholipids, antibiotic bi-therapy, triclosan,

AFN-1252, mupirocin

14
15
16

Abstract

Fatty acid biosynthesis (FASII) enzymes have been considered as valid targets for antimicrobial drug development against the human pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus*. However, our findings showed that incorporation of compensatory host fatty acids confers FASII antibiotic adaptation that compromises prospective treatments. Adaptation to anti-FASII drugs is preceded by a non-replicative latency period. Here we investigated the factors that dictate the duration of latency prior to adaptation outgrowth, and identify stringent response as a regulator of both FASII and adaptation to FASII inhibition. We first show that (p)ppGpp induction inhibits malonyl-CoA synthesis, and constitutes a second regulator of FASII and phospholipid synthesis in *S. aureus*, in addition to the FapR repressor. We then show that anti-FASII treatment triggers transient (p)ppGpp induction during the anti-FASII latency phase, with a concomitant reduction of FapR regulon expression. This effect is reversed upon adaptive outgrowth. Using three different sensors, we give evidence that anti-FASII treatment shifts the distribution of malonyl-CoA between its interactants, FapR and FabD, increasing expression of phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* during outgrowth. We conclude that (p)ppGpp pools dictate malonyl-CoA availability in *S. aureus* FASII regulation, and contribute to latency prior to anti-FASII adaptation. A combinatory approach, coupling a (p)ppGpp inducer and an anti-FASII, blocks *S. aureus* growth, opening perspectives for bi-therapy treatment.

Introduction

Bacterial infections that fail to respond to antibiotic treatments are on the rise, especially in the immunocompromised or weakened host, underlining the need for novel antimicrobial strategies [1]. The fatty acid synthesis (FASII) enzymes were considered as fail-safe targets for eliminating numerous Gram-positive pathogens. Anti-FASII has been a front-line drug against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, which synthesize very long chain fatty acids that cannot be compensated by the environment [2]. However, firmicute pathogens, including *Staphylococcus aureus* and numerous streptococcaceae, bypass FASII inhibition and satisfy their fatty acid requirements by using host-supplied fatty acids [3-5]. FASII inhibitors like triclosan (Tric), MUT056399, fasamycins A and B, amycomicin and AFN-1252 [6-9], would thus have limited use as stand-alone treatments of infections by numerous Gram-positive pathogens [3-5].

Our recent studies show that *S. aureus* may adapt to FASII inhibitors by two mechanisms, depending on growth conditions. One involves mutations in a FASII initiation gene (usually *fabD*): a FabD mutant with decreased affinity for acyl carrier protein (ACP) would increase ACP availability for incorporation of exogenous fatty acids (eFA) *via* the phosphate acyltransferase PlsX (Fig. S1) [4,10]. The second mode of adaptation occurs without FASII mutations and predominates in serum-supplemented medium. In this case, full adaptation and eFA incorporation in actively growing cells is achieved after a latency phase, whose duration (6-12 h) depends on the strain and pre-growth conditions. Adaptation is associated with greater intracellular retention of eFA and ACP, which both contribute to eFA incorporation in membrane phospholipids to compensate FASII inhibition [5].

The factors regulating *S. aureus* transition between latency and adaptation to anti-FASII remain unknown. We hypothesized that initial fatty acid bacterial starvation in response to anti-FASII might comprise the signal that delays exogenous fatty acid compensation in the latency period prior to outgrowth. The *S. aureus* FapR protein regulates most FASII genes (except *acc*, encoding acetyl-CoA carboxylase, and FabZ, β-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase), and also phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* [11,12]. Interestingly, malonyl-CoA, the first dedicated FASII substrate used by FabD (malonyl-CoA transacylase), also controls the FapR repressor by a feed-forward mechanism [12]. FabD uses malonyl-CoA, together with ACP, to synthesize malonyl-ACP [13]. Malonyl-CoA binding to FapR reverses FapR repression, leading to up-regulation of most FASII genes and phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* [12]. These observations point out roles for malonyl-CoA in both enzymatic and regulatory activities of FASII. In *Escherichia coli*, expression of the malonyl-CoA synthesis enzyme ACC is regulated by (p)ppGpp, which accumulates in slow growing, nutrient-deficient conditions [14,15]; (p)ppGpp also reportedly regulates other FASII, and phospholipid synthesis genes [16,17]. In *B. subtilis*, fatty acid starvation triggers activation of the stringent response [18] and is associated with cell size *via*

regulation of FASII, although the mechanism remains to be elucidated [19]. To our knowledge, no

evidence exists for (p)ppGpp-mediated FASII regulation in *S. aureus*.

Here, we first show that (p)ppGpp exerts a second level of FASII regulation in *S. aureus*. We

report that (p)ppGpp synthesis is induced during FASII-antibiotic-induced latency, prior to

adaptation. The chain of events revealed here indicate that (p)ppGpp and malonyl-CoA regulate the

timing of FASII-antibiotic-induced latency transition to adaptation. Based on these findings, we

suggest a bi-therapy approach that combines FASII inhibitors and a (p)ppGpp inducer to prevent *S.*

aureus adaptation.

Results

(p)ppGpp negatively regulates malonyl-CoA levels in *S. aureus*.

We investigated the potential roles of (p)ppGpp and malonyl-CoA in response to FASII inhibition.

Previous studies reported difficulties in (p)ppGpp measurements in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* [18,20]. Our

initial attempts at measuring (p)ppGpp by HPLC and fluorescent dye PyDPA [21] failed to give reliable

results (data not shown). We therefore constructed and validated tools for *in vivo* (p)ppGpp

detection (Table S1). Stringent-response-sensitive promoters were confirmed to be up-regulated

(P_{oppB}-*lacZ*; NWMN_0856, P_{ilvD}-*lacZ* NWMN_1960) or down-regulated (P_{cshA}-*lacZ*; NWMN_1985) [22], by

mupirocin, which inhibits isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase [22]. As all results with P_{oppB}-*lacZ* were comparable

to those with P_{ilvD}-*lacZ*, only the first is presented in experiments below. The reporter fusion activities

responded to mupirocin as expected (Fig. 1A; Materials and Methods). Nutrient starvation during

stationary phase induces stringent response in *Escherichia coli* [14]. Accordingly, β-galactosidase (β-gal)

activity of the P_{oppB}-*lacZ* sensor was ~7-fold higher in stationary phase compared to exponential

phase cells, while P_{cshA}-*lacZ* activity was ~2-fold lower (Fig. 1B), further validating the *in vivo* (p)ppGpp

sensors.

We asked whether (p)ppGpp blocks malonyl-CoA synthesis in *S. aureus*, as occurs in *E. coli* [23].

Although FASII regulation differs in *S. aureus* [11], (p)ppGpp might have a common effect, as was also

suggested in *B. subtilis* [18]. Total malonyl-CoA was measured in cells treated or not with mupirocin by

ELISA immunoassay. In addition, expression of *accB accC* (NWMN_1432 and NWMN_1431), encoding

the AccB and AccC subunits of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), was followed using *in vivo* promoter

fusion P_{accBC}-*lacZ* (Table S1). Stringent response induction by mupirocin led to decreases in malonyl-

CoA pools (~6-fold) and in P_{accBC}-*lacZ* β-gal activity (~4-fold) (Fig. 1C). Similarly, stationary phase cells

showed lower production of malonyl-CoA and P_{accBC}-*lacZ* β-gal activity compared to exponential

phase cells (Fig. 1D). These results indicate a link between (p)ppGpp and FASII, missing until now in *S.*

aureus.

Expression of (p)ppGpp-regulated genes is transiently increased during FASII-antibiotic-induced

latency and diminished during outgrowth.

We recently showed that host fatty acids can compensate FASII-antibiotic inhibition of *S. aureus* to

promote growth. Adaptation occurs without FASII mutations in conditions of low membrane stress as

in serum, following an initial latency phase ([5]; Fig. 2A). Once adapted to anti-FASII, *S. aureus* fatty acid

profiles are fully exogenous (Fig. S1B). As anti-FASII treatment may provoke fatty acid deprivation

before exogenous fatty acids are incorporated, we asked whether the latency preceding FASII bypass

corresponds to stringent response induction. Using the stringent response sensors, a ~3-fold increase

in P$_{oppB}$-*lacZ* and ~7-fold decrease of P$_{cshA}$-*lacZ* β-gal activities were observed during the latency phase

preceding outgrowth (Fig. 2B), indicating that stringent response is induced probably due to

insufficient incorporation of fatty acids. Importantly, P$_{oppB}$-*lacZ* activity in adaptive exponential

outgrowth cells was similar to that measured in exponential growing cells without triclosan. P$_{cshA}$-*lacZ*

β-gal activity in adaptive exponential outgrowth cells is 2-fold that observed during latency, although

it remains 3.5-fold less than that observed without triclosan.

We examined previously published proteomes of *S. aureus* isolates in mupirocin, and noted

that numerous responses overlapped with those observed in anti-FASII latency ([5]; DOI:

10.17632/9292c75797.2; [22,24], and Table S2). While the strains used in those analyses were different,

the observed responses are in conserved genes and could reasonably be expected to be maintained

across species. Altogether, these data support a role for (p)ppGpp in response to FASII inhibitor

treatment during the latency phase.

FASII antibiotic treatment impacts FapR activity and malonyl-CoA levels.

We considered that malonyl-CoA availability and/or distribution between its two known interactants

FapR and FabD ([25] and Fig. 3A) might be involved in modulating eFA incorporation and anti-FASII

adaptation. To test this, we evaluated malonyl-CoA pools in different ways: ELISA assays and a P$_{accB}$-

lacZ reporter give information on total malonyl-CoA pools (see Fig. 1C,D). We also designed a FapR

sensor (FapR-Trap; Fig. S2A), as previously used to estimate malonyl-CoA pools in bacteria [26-28]

(Materials and Methods, Table S1). As expected, β-gal activity of FapR-Trap was up-regulated (5-fold)

in a *fapR* deletion strain compared to the isogenic WT strain (RN4220; Fig. S2B).

Evaluation of malonyl-CoA pools in non-selective and SerFA-Tric latency and outgrowth

cultures revealed that both the quantity and availability of malonyl-CoA depend upon growth

conditions (Fig. 2). Total cellular malonyl-CoA pools, as measured by ELISA or with the P$_{accBC}$–*lacZ*

fusion, were markedly lower in SerFA-Tric latency cultures when compared to FASII-antibiotic-adapted *S. aureus* and in SerFA control cultures. However, non-selective and adapted cultures showed comparable amounts of malonyl-CoA (Fig. 3C). In sharp contrast, malonyl-CoA estimations by FapR-Trap were around 10-fold higher in SerFA-Tric adapted, compared to non-selective SerFA cultures (compare Fig. 3B and 3C). These findings indicate that unlike control SerFA growth, malonyl-CoA distribution during FASII antibiotic adaptation favors FapR binding. Proportionately greater FapR binding is already detected in the latency phase; while total malonyl-CoA levels were ~4-fold lower in the latency culture than in the SerFA control, FapR-Trap induction levels were equivalent. These observed differences (summarized in Table S3) suggest that malonyl-CoA pools and their distribution between FapR and FabD may be central determinants in regulating adaptation to FASII antibiotics.

We reported that *fabD* mutants often emerge upon FASII-antibiotic selection, but not in serum-supplemented medium as used here [4,5]. Reduced FabD activity would increase malonyl-CoA availability for FapR (Fig. S1). Consistent with this reasoning and previous findings, a *fabD* mutant displayed 5-fold greater FapR-Trap expression than the parental strain in non-selective SerFA (Fig. 3D). However, we ruled out the presence of *fabD* mutations in our conditions by DNA sequencing of 5 independent anti-FASII-adapted cultures (performed using the same strain and SerFA-Tric erythromycin conditions, and a control without triclosan; data not shown). These findings could suggest that FabD is intact, but disabled for its interactions with malonyl-CoA during *S. aureus* growth in the presence of anti-FASII. This possibility is currently under study in our laboratory.

Expression of phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* is up-regulated in FASII-antibiotic-adapted *S. aureus*.

The above results show that malonyl-CoA pools are restored during *S. aureus* adaptation to FASII antibiotics, and preferentially bind FapR. Increased malonyl-CoA de-represses FapR-controlled genes, including *plsX* (NWMN_1139, part of the *fapR* operon) and *plsC* (NWMN_1620) ([11]; Fig. 4A). FASII antibiotic adaptation presumably requires expression of both genes (Fig. S1). We therefore used reporter fusions to *plsX* and *plsC* promoters (respectively P$_{fapR\ plsX}$–*lacZ* and P$_{plsC}$–*lacZ*, Table S1) to follow gene expression during anti-FASII-induced latency and outgrowth. P$_{fapR\ plsX}$–*lacZ* and P$_{plsC}$–*lacZ* expression gradually decreased during latency, followed by abrupt (respectively 4-fold and 2-fold) increases upon restart of active growth of FASII-antibiotic-adapted cells (Fig. 4B and 4C, and data not shown). Expression of *plsX* from P$_{fapR\ plsX}$–*lacZ* was even higher in anti-FASII adaptive outgrowth than in non-selective growth (Fig. 3).

Mupirocin inhibits *S. aureus plsX* and *plsC* expression and eFA incorporation, and acts synergistically with FASII antibiotics to block growth.

A main objective of this study was to determine whether (p)ppGpp induction affects eFA incorporation in phospholipids, a requisite for anti-FASII adaptation. To answer this question, we blocked malonyl-CoA synthesis by inducing the stringent response with mupirocin (0.1 μg/ml), and then followed *plsX* and *plsC* expression, using as controls the known stringent response genes (Table S4). A 4- and 3-fold repression was observed for respectively *plsX* and *plsC* sensor fusions in the presence of mupirocin, which was similar to repression of the *cshA* down-regulated control.

The impact of stringent response induction on fatty acid profiles was then examined. *S. aureus* Newman was grown in SerFA without and with sublethal mupirocin addition (0.05 μg/ml, 5-fold below the MIC; [29]). Fatty acid profiles of the exponential cultures were compared 3 h post-treatment showed 15% lower eFA incorporation in mupirocin-treated compared to non-treated cultures (Fig. 5A). This is consistent with the above results showing that stringent response lowers *plsX* and *plsC* expression (Table S4). We recently reported poor eFA incorporation during anti-FASII latency [5]. (p)ppGpp induction during anti-FASII-induced latency could thus slow or stop eFA incorporation in this transient period.

The above findings led us to hypothesize that FASII inhibitors could be synergistic with a stringent response inducer that prevents compensatory eFA incorporation by repressing phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* (Fig. 4B and Table S4). Addition of a subinhibitory concentration of mupirocin (0.05 μg/ml) to *S. aureus* SerFA-Tric cultures resulted in extended latency, whereas neither mupirocin nor triclosan separately blocked bacterial growth (**Fig. 5B**). Similar results were obtained using an MRSA (USA300 FPR3757) coupled to a pipeline FASII antibiotic AFN-1252 [6] (Table S5). The observed synergistic effect between two flawed antibiotics may offer an effective strategy for development of last-resort treatments against *S. aureus* infection.

Discussion

FapR is to date the main known FASII regulator in *S. aureus*. Here we identify (p)ppGpp as a second *S. aureus* FASII regulator, which represses *accB accC* expression and lowers malonyl-CoA levels. Thus, despite differences in FASII regulation between *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, (p)ppGpp appears to have a common role in these species ([23], this report). Our results further show that (p)ppGpp regulation of FASII impacts the duration of the latency phase preceding adaptation to a FASII block. We propose that (p)ppGpp induction is a response to anti-FASII-induced lipid starvation prior to compensation with eFA, although the specific inducer remains to be identified. By repressing *acc*, stringent response induction sets off a chain of events leading to transient repression of the FapR regulon comprising phospholipid synthesis genes *plsX* and *plsC* (Fig. 4). It also correlates with limited eFA incorporation, which may be directly responsible for latency (Fig. 5A). Upon *S. aureus* adaptive outgrowth, (p)ppGpp levels decrease, allowing eFA incorporation and adaptation to FASII antibiotics. These results suggest a model (Fig. 6) in which (p)ppGpp modulation of malonyl-CoA pools controls expression of phospholipid enzymes and sets the timing of anti-FASII adaptation outgrowth.

This study uncovers a new role for malonyl-CoA in anti-FASII adaptation *via* its increased association with FapR in antibiotic-adapted cultures compared to non-selective cultures (Fig. 3). The FapR-regulon shows ~10-fold greater expression in anti-FASII-adapted cultures than in non-selective cultures, despite comparable total malonyl-CoA pools (Fig. 3, Fig. 6). Increased malonyl-CoA interaction with FapR during anti-FASII adaptation is consistent with increased *plsX* and *plsC* expression (Fig. 4**)**. Malonyl-CoA rerouting in anti-FASII may be explained by FabD inactivation in anti-FASII adaptation conditions, for example by inhibition *via* an intermediate metabolite as suggested in *E. coli* [30], or by post-translational FabD modification, which modulates FabD stability as reported in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [31]. Alternatively, FabD activity may be reversed upon FASII inhibition due to a pile-up of its end-product, malonyl-ACP; however accumulation was not observed in previous study [4]. Finally, an increase in FapR pools might outcompete FabD for malonyl-CoA binding. These hypotheses are now being investigated. These findings indicate limits to the reliability of FapR operon-based sensors to estimate malonyl-CoA pools, for which readouts vary according to growth conditions. This may be important to consider in bioengineering applications that rely on FapR operon-like sensors to optimize malonyl-CoA production [28]**.**

The need for antimicrobial alternatives is urgent and, besides the discovery of new molecules or targets, the development of efficient combinations based on existing but poorly effective drugs remains to be explored. Our findings open perspectives for combinatorial antibiotic strategies using FASII inhibitor, and subinhibitory concentrations of drugs that delay or prevent FASII bypass by the major human pathogen *S. aureus*. The proof of concept demonstrated here using anti-FASII

antibiotics and mupirocin, suggests a useful bi-therapy approach for reducing *S. aureus* survival

during infection.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the cooperation of J. Dairou, Université Paris Descartes, France, for HPLC

determinations done in initial studies. We are also thankful to Jong-In Hong, Seoul National

University, Korea, for the generous gift of PyDPA used in initial studies. Our colleagues P. Bouloc

(I2BC, France), C. Morvan (U. Paris-Descartes, France), and MicrobAdapt team members E. Borezée-

Durant, D. Lechardeur, G. Kénanian, R. Boudjemaa, and P. Gaudu gave valuable advice. G. Dubey and

N. Descoeudres provided kind support. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from DIM-

MalInf (AP fellowship), French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (StaphEscape project ANR-

13001038) and Fondation pour la Recherche Medicale (DBF20161136769). This work is dedicated to

Gilles Lamberet (passed away Dec 23, 2019), knowledgeable lipid biochemist, gentle collaborator and

true scientist.

Author Contributions

Physiology, molecular biology, (p)ppGpp and malonyl-CoA estimation: AP, PTC, DH. Fatty Acid

Profiles: GL, KG and AG. Proteomics analyses: JA-M, LD, JD, AG. Data analyses: AP, JAM, PTC, and AG.

Experimental design and project conception: AP and AG. AG directed the project and AP, KG and AG

wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Fig. 1. Evaluation of (p)ppGpp, malonyl-CoA, and *accBC* activity upon mupirocin treatment (A,C), and in exponential (Exp) and stationary (Stat) phases (B,D). *S. aureus* Newman strains contain reporter systems as indicated. Strains were grown in BHI and BHI containing 0.1 µg/ml mupirocin for 3h (**A,C**), or in SerFA (A_{600} ~4.0, Exp) and stationary phase (A_{600} ~10.0, Stat) (**B** and **D**). P_{oppB}-*lacZ* and P_{cshA}-*lacZ* expression were evaluated by β-gal assays. Total Malonyl-CoA levels were determined by immunoassay (ELISA; green), and deduced from P_{accBC}-*lacZ* expression (yellow). *opp* and *cshA* genes are respectively up-regulated (blue) and down-regulated (pink) by stringent response induction. See Table S4 for other FapR regulon genes repressed by (p)ppGpp.

Fig. 2. Transient *S. aureus* stringent response induction during the latency phase prior to anti-FASII adaptation. A. Growth kinetics of *S. aureus* Newman in BHI (black line) and in SerFA-Tric (green) [5]. In SerFA-Tric, a 10-12 h latency period (Latency) precedes adaptive exponential outgrowth (Adapt-Exp). **B.** Stringent response status according to growth condition in Newman carrying P$_{oppB}$-*lacZ* reporter (blue, left panel) and P$_{cshA}$-*lacZ* (pink, right panel). β-gal activities of samples in SerFA (exponential growth; Exp, A_{600} = ~1.5) and SerFA-Tric (Latency, A_{600} = ~0.3) are measured after 3 h growth. β-gal activities were measured on 17 h samples in SerFA-Tric (exponential growth; Adapt-Exp, A_{600} = ~2.0). Means of three biological replicates with standard errors (SE; not visible for BHI growth) are shown for each experiment.

Fig. 3. Shifts in malonyl-CoA distribution during anti-FASII adaptation. A. The diagram illustrates the two known malonyl-CoA interactants, FapR and FabD. Malonyl-CoA binds FapR (left), leading to FapR derepression. Malonyl-CoA is also a FabD substrate (right). **B.** Assessment of FapR-bound malonyl-CoA. FASII-antibiotic-adapted *S. aureus* display altered malonyl-CoA distribution. Newman expressing FapR-Trap was grown in non-selective (SerFA) and anti-FASII (SerFA-Tric) media. β-gal activities were measured in SerFA at A_{600} = ~2.0 (exponential growth: Exp, white), and in SerFA-Tric (dark grey) during latency after 3 h growth A_{600} = ~0.3 (Latency) and upon adaptive exponential outgrowth at 17 h, A_{600} = ~2 (Adapt-Exp). **C.** Assessment of malonyl-CoA pools. *S. aureus* Newman cultures were grown as in "**B**". Left panel: Sandwich ELISA assay (green) was used to measure total malonyl-CoA (Materials and Methods). Right panel: P$_{accBC}$-*lacZ* reporter activity (yellow). **D.** Assessment of FapR-bound malonyl-CoA in a *fabD* mutant using FapR-Trap. β-gal assays of Newman and *fabD* mutant (CondT^R-17, a point mutant [4]) carrying FapR-Trap were performed in SerFA after 3 h growth with A_{600} = ~1.0 (Exp; light grey). For each panel are shown the means and standard errors of three independent experiments.

A

```
fabH fabF         -70 GAGTTTAAAAAGTAATCTATGATAAATTAATACCTGGTATTAAAAAATTTTATTAAGAAGGTGTTCAACT  -1
fapR plsX fabD fabG  -61 AAAGTGTTATGATATGTGAGGAATGTTTAAGACTAGGTACTAAAAAATGAGGGGTGAGACGTTGAAACTA  +9
fabI             -109 ATCAAACATTTATCGTTGTAATACGTTTAAATAACTTTATTAAAAAGTCATAATAGTGTTAAAATGTATT  -39
plsC              -42 AAATCTAGTTGTTATAAACAAATAAGTTAAGTAGGTGGCACAATGTATTCAGTGATTAGTAAGATTTTGA  +28
Consensus                                          TTAANWCNNGGTAYTAA
```

Fig. 4. Expression of PlsX and PlsC in FASII-antibiotic-induced latency and adaptation. A. Sequence alignment of FapR binding sites in *S. aureus* N315 using the RegPrecise database [11,32]. Positions correspond to the predicted start codon of the first Orf in each operon. Consensus nucleotides in the 17 bp FapR binding sequence are shaded. In the consensus sequence below the alignment: N, any nucleotide; W, A or T; Y, T or C. Putative -10 RNA polymerase binding sites are underlined (from [11]). The PlsC ATG start site is in italics. **B**, **C.** Shifts in *plsX* and *plsC* expression during growth in non-selective (SerFA) and anti-FASII (SerFA-Tric) media. β-gal activities of *S. aureus* Newman expressing P*_fapR plsX_*-*lacZ* and P*_plsC_*-*lacZ* are shown for samples processed in SerFA at OD_{600} = ~2.0 (exponential phase, Exp), and in SerFA-Tric during latency (OD_{600} = ~0.3) and upon adaptive exponential outgrowth at 17 h (OD_{600} = ~2.0; Adapt-Exp). Non-selective growth, white; selective growth, dark grey. For each panel are shown the means and standard errors of three independent experiments.

Fig. 5. Subinhibitory mupirocin addition inhibits *S. aureus* eFA incorporation in membrane phospholipids and synergizes with anti-FASII to inhibit adaptation. A, Left, Fatty acid profiles of *S. aureus* Newman grown in SerFA and SerFA+mupirocin (Mupi). Samples were processed after 3 h growth. Black arrow, position of the main endogenous fatty acid *ai*15:0. eFA are; 1- C14:0; 2- C16:0; 3- C18:1; 4- C20:1 (elongation of C18:1). Dashed arrow, elongation of C18:1 (n+2). Right, percent eFA of Newman grown in SerFA without and with Mupi, derived from integration of fatty acid profiles. **B.** Left, *S. aureus* Newman cultures were grown in SerFA and SerFA+Mupi, or right, in SerFA-Tric and SerFA-Tric+mupi. Growth was monitored by A_{600}. Growth curves at right are shown starting at 10 h. Mupi was used at 0.05 µg/ml. Results are representative of three independent experiments.

Fig.6. Proposed contribution of (p)ppGpp in *S. aureus* adaptation to FASII antibiotics *via* intracellular malonyl-CoA (mal-CoA) pool distribution. New findings of this study are in color: Red, effects of anti-FASII-induced latency phase; green, effects during anti-FASII adaptation outgrowth. Repression, arrows with thick flat-end bar. FapR-mediated repression (flat-end thick arrow, Ч-shape) covers functions indicated at right of the vertical thick flat-end bar. Note that mal-CoA binding to FapR alleviates FapR repression [11]. "X", repression is alleviated. Up and down arrows, respectively increased and decreased products in the color-coded condition. ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; ACP, acyl carrier protein. Grey, functions not measured. Only FASII enzymes relevant to the model are indicated. "FabD ?", based on results, we propose that in adaptation outgrowth, FabD cannot interact with mal-CoA. Anti-FASII induces (p)ppGpp, which leads to *acc* repression. Less mal-CoA is then produced, so that FapR is in repressor mode, and turns off FASII and phospholipid synthesis genes. During adaptation outgrowth, stringent response is reduced, and the increase in mal-CoA alleviates FapR regulon repression, leading to increased incorporation of exogenous fatty acids (eFA).

Supplementary information Pathania *et al*.

Materials and Methods

Strains and media.

Newman [33], RN4220 [34] and USA300 [35] strains of *S. aureus* were used in this study. *E. coli* strains DH5α

[36] and IM08B [37] were used for cloning. BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) and LB (Luria-Bertani) media were

used respectively for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* growth. *S. aureus* precultures were routinely prepared in

BHI medium. Three fatty acids (C14:0; myristic acid, C16:0; palmitic acid and C18:1; oleic acid;,

Larodan Fine Chemicals, Sweden) were prepared as 100 mM stocks in DMSO and used at final

equimolar concentrations of 0.17 mM each in experiments (referred as eFA). Ser-FA (BHI containing

eFA+10% newborn calf serum; Sigma) and SerFA-Tric (SerFA+Triclosan 0.5 μg/ml) were the modified

media used as indicated. Antibiotics kanamycin (50 μg/ml) and erythromycin (5 μg/ml) were used in

E. coli and *S. aureus* respectively to select pTCV-*lac*-based reporter fusion plasmids [38]. Antibiotic

adaptation experiments with plasmid-carrying strains were done in SerFA-Tric containing 2 μg/ml

erythromycin; note that the latency period was extended by about 4-6 h in this condition. Mupirocin

(Sigma), a functional analogue of isoleucyl-AMP and a stringent response inducer of (p)ppGpp [24] was

prepared in DMSO and used at 0.1 μg/ml [29,39] to validate (p)ppGpp sensors, or at 0.05 μg/ml when

used in combination with anti-FASII. Equal volumes of DMSO were added to control samples when

mupirocin was used.

Construction of reporter fusions.

Promoter regions of *oppB*, *ilvD*, *cshA*, as well as *fapR*, *plsC* and *accBC*, were cloned in pTCV-*lac*

plasmid (Table S1) using the appropriate primers (Table S6). PCR-amplified DNA fragments and

plasmid were treated with restriction enzymes EcoRI and BamH1 and ligated products were

transformed into DH5α or IM08B. The obtained constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Plasmids obtained from IM08B were used directly to transform the *S. aureus* Newman strain; clones

obtained in DH5α were first passaged through RN4220. A standard electroporation protocol was

used to transform DNA in *S. aureus* [40].

(p)ppGpp sensors.

oppB (NWMN_0856) and ilvD (NWMN_1960) are up-regulated, and *cshA* (NWMN_1985) genes is

down- regulated upon stringent response induction [22,24,41]. The corresponding stringent response

sensors P$_{oppB}$-*lacZ*, P$_{ilvD}$-lacZ and P$_{cshA}$-*lacZ* (Table S1) were tested in medium containing 0.1 μg/ml

mupirocin [24], and validated as *bone fide* (p)ppGpp sensors (Fig. 1A, Table S4).

FapR activity sensor.

To estimate malonyl-CoA pools bound to FapR, we designed a transcriptional fusion with promoter and operator sequences containing a consensus FapR binding site; FapR-Trap (Fig. S2, Table S1). The construction was based on similar studies done previously to estimate malonyl-CoA pools [27,28].

β-galactosidase (β-gal) assay.

Fresh cultures were prepared at A_{600} = 0.1 from overnight BHI cultures and β-gal activities were measured at the indicated A_{600} times. When mupirocin was used, samples were treated or not at A_{600} = 0.1 and processed 1 h later. All samples of a set were stored at -20°C prior to measurements, which were performed for all samples of a same set. β-gal activities were measured as described [42], except that samples derived from SerFA-containing medium were incubated with lysostaphin (0.1 mg/ml) for 30 min at room temperature prior to processing with β-Glo^{TM} reagents (Promega). β-gal values (mean ± standard error) were determined from three independently performed experiments.

Malonyl-CoA measurement by ELISA assay.

Bacterial cultures were prepared as above, and samples were processed at the indicated A_{600}/time interval according to our test conditions. For each sample, the equivalent of A_{600} = 30 was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm at room temperature for 5 min. Pelleted cells were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and transferred to -80°C overnight. Ice cold PBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline) was used to resuspend cells at 4°C, which were then sonicated in FastPrep (MP Biomedicals). Supernatants were collected by centrifuging the cell slurry at 13,000 rpm at 4°C for 5 min, and stored at -80°C until use. ELISA tests for total malonyl-CoA measurements were performed as per manufacturer's instructions (CUSABIO). Malonyl-CoA standards were run along with test samples. Each experiment was performed on three independent cultures. Mean values ± standard errors are presented.

For malonyl-CoA measurements under stringent response conditions (Fig. 1C, left panel), Newman was first grown in BHI to A_{600} of 0.5 from an initial inoculum of 0.01. Cultures were treated or not with 0.1 µg/ml mupirocin for 30 minutes (A_{600} = ~1 for both samples). ELISA assays were performed as above.

Determination of *S. aureus* fatty acid profiles.

Fatty acid profiles were done as described [4]. Newman pre-cultures prepared from two independent colonies were diluted to A_{600} = 0.1 in SerFA and grown 3 h with and without mupirocin (0.05 µg/ml). A_{600} of SerFA samples were ~2.5 and treated samples ~1.0. Percentage of eFA are shown (mean ± standard errors).

    Supplementary Figures and Tables

Fig. S1. *S. aureus* **bypasses FASII inhibition by exogenous fatty acid (eFA) incorporation in membrane phospholipids. A.** Model for anti-FASII adaptation. FASII and FASII bypass are schematized as characterized; functions whose expression is controlled by FapR repressor are underlined [4,11,43,44]. eFA phosphorylation by Fak (fatty acid kinase) [45] provides an intermediate that may either be incorporated in position 1 of the glycerol-3-phosphate backbone *via* PlsY, or act as a substrate for PlsX to then be incorporated in position 2 via PlsC. In the absence of serum, FabD (malonyl-CoA:ACP transacylase) mutations promote anti-FASII adaptation [4]. In contrast, serum favors FASII antibiotic adaptation without FASII mutations [5]. **B.** Fatty acid profiles of *S. aureus* Newman. Left, BHI grown cells; right, cells grown overnight in SerFA-Tric. Cultures started at A_{600} = 0.01 were harvested at A_{600} = 1. Arrow indicates *anteiso* 15 (*ai*15), the major fatty acid synthesized by *S. aureus*. eFA: 1, C14:0; 2, C16:0; 3, C18:1. Profiles are representative of three independent experiments. FA, fatty acids; FA-ACP, fatty acyl-ACP; FA-PO₄, acyl-phosphate; grey, inhibited pathway. FabD*, mutated or inhibited enzymes.

A

Promoter

FapR binding site
TTAATACCAGGTATTAA

FapR

FapR

malonyl-CoA

lacZ

B   FapR-Trap sensor activity

Fig. S2. FapR-Trap, a malonyl-CoA sensor based on FapR operon *lacZ* fusion. A. Schematic design of FapR-Trap (pJJ004, Table S1). Malonyl-CoA (red diamond) binds FapR (pacman) leading to its release from the FapR binding site (bar code) and expression of *lacZ* (blue) to produce β-gal. The 17 bp FapR consensus binding site used in the construction is shown (based on [11]); converging arrows indicate the 8 bp inverted repeat. **B.** Validation of the FapR-Trap as sensor. β-gal assays were performed with RN4220 and its Δ*fapR* derivative (RN4220$_{\Delta fapR}$,[11] carrying FapR-Trap after 3 h growth in SerFA.

 Table S1. Plasmid constructions*ᵃ*

Plasmid	Description
pTCV-*lac*	Promoter expression vector comprising pACYC184 and pAMβ1 as replication origins functional in respectively Gram- negative and positive bacterial hosts [38].
pJJ004 **(FapR-Trap)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative in which *lacZ* transcription is driven by a synthetic promoter designed from the *fabH fabF* (NWMN_0853 and NWMN_0854) operon. An 81 bp hybrid primer-pair (FapRtrapfd and FapRtraprp) was cloned into pTCV-*lac* into EcoRI and BamHI sites. A consensus FapR binding site is present in the promoter (Fig. S2).
pJJ005 **(P*ilvD*-*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the promoter region of *ilvD-ilvB* (NWMN_1960 to NWMN_1961). A 515 bp fragment from -500 to +15 with respect to the *ilvD* start codon (genome positions 2168952-2169466) is cloned into pTCV-*lac* EcoRI-BamHI sites. The clone carries an A to G substitution at position-277. This nucleotide change is expected not to alter (p)ppGpp regulation.
pJJ006 **(P*oppB*-*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the promoter region of the *oppB oppC oppD oppF oppA* (NWMN_0856 to NWMN_0860) operon. A 514 bp fragment from -499 to +15 with respect to the *oppB* start codon (genome positions 950296-950809) is cloned into pTCV-*lac* EcoRI-BamHI sites.
pJJ008 **(P*cshA*-*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the *cshA* (NWMN_1985) promoter region. A 515 bp fragment from -500 to +15 with respect to the *cshA* start codon (genome positions 2207332-2207846) is cloned into pTCV-*lac* EcoRI-BamHI sites.
pJJ013 **(P*fapR plsX*–*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the promoter region of the *fapR plsX fabD fabG* (NWMN_1138 to NWMN_1141) operon. A 315 bp fragment from -300 to +15 with respect to the start codon (genome positions 1247750-1248064) of the operon is cloned into pTCV-*lac* EcoRI-BamHI sites.
pJJ019 **(P*plsC*–*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the *plsC* (NWMN_1620) promoter region. A 315 bp fragment from -300 to +15 with respect to the *plsC* start codon (genome positions 1799913-1800227) is cloned into pTCV-*lac* EcoRI-BamHI sites.
pJJ027 **(P*accBC*–*lacZ*)**	pTCV-*lac* derivative carrying *lacZ* fused to the promoter region of the *accB accC* (NWMN_1432, NWMN_1431) operon. Hybrid primer-pair of 103 bp (accBCfp and accBCrp), corresponding to genome positions 1604036-1603934**,** was used to clone the promoter region upstream of *accB* into pTCV-*lac* into EcoRI and BamHI sites.

ᵃ Designations of promoter fusions are in bold (in parentheses). See Table S6 for primer pairs used for clonings.

Table S2. Stringent response signature in proteome of USA300 strain during FASII-antibiotic-induced latency.

Protein	Accession Number	Mupirocin transcriptome [a]	Proteome Ratio SerFA-Tric /SerFA [b]	Proteome p values [c]
30S Ribosomal				
S6 rpsF	WP_001261460.1	3-5	1.59	<0.05
S7 rpsG	WP_078055728.1	3-5	1.37	<0.05
S8 rpsH	WP_000178881.1	3-5	1.39	<0.05
S12 rpsL	WP_001142337.1	3-5	1.45	<0.05
S13 rpsM	WP_000090796.1	3-5	1.45	<0.05
S15 rpsO	WP_001018328.1	3-5	1.79	<0.05
S18 rpsR	WP_000897044.1	2-3	1.64	<0.05
S19 rpsS	WP_000124353.1	2-3	2	<0.05
50S Ribosomal				
L5 rplE	WP_001080824.1	3-5	1.6	<0.05
L6 rplF	WP_000091975.1	3-5	1.3	<0.05
L10 rplJ	WP_001273085.1	5-10	1.4	<0.05
L11 rplK	WP_001085792.1	3-5	1.75	<0.05
L13 rplM	WP_001250038.1	5-10	1.67	<0.05
L15 rplO	WP_000766074.1	5-10	1.5	<0.05
L16 rplP	WP_000926310.1	5-10	1.5	<0.05
L18 rplR	WP_000623881.1	3-5	1.37	<0.05
Amino acid synthesis				
ThrC	WP_001255460.1	5-10	2.2	<0.1 [d]
Stress response				
UspA	WP_000634175.1	2-3	2.2	<0.1 [d]
Other				
CshA	WP_001178942.1	5-10	1.42	<0.05
GuaC	WP_000688126.1	10-20	2.6	<0.05
RuvA	WP_000271547.1	3-5	2.3	<0.05

[a] Transcriptional responses to subinhibitory mupirocin (0.03 µg/ml) are as reported [22], and presented here as ratios of mupirocin- treated/non-treated samples. [b] Proteomic responses to triclosan during the anti-FASII-induced latency phase as reported for USA300 *spa::Tn* (JE2) [5,35]. [c] Median values of corresponding peptide reads done in quadruplicate are used to calculate the proteome ratio. p values are calculated by Mann-Whitney method for one-tailed assay by GraphPad Prism. [d] p values not statistically significant but trend with expression pattern. Proteomics data of responses to SerFA-Tric is stored in the Mendeley database (doi:10.17632/9292c75797.2).

Table S3. Total and proportion of FapR-bound malonyl-CoA depends on growth condition.

	Relative proportions[a]		% Malonyl-CoA bound to FapR
	Total Malonyl-CoA pools	FapR-Trap	
Non- selective[b]	100	8	8%
Anti-FASII[c]: Latency	23	10	43 %
Anti-FASII[c]: Adapted- Exponential	93	100	108 %

[a] Relative proportions of total and FapR-bound malonyl-CoA from Fig. 3B and 3C are determined based on the highest obtained value for respective measurements (indicated as 100 %). [b] Medium was SerFA. [c] FASII inhibitor triclosan is added to SerFA (SerFA-Tric).

Table S4. Responses of FapR regulon genes and known stringent response induced genes to mupirocin.

	Promoter fusion	Mupirocin/ No addition [a]	Effect
Control	Pctl [b]	1.1 ± 0.03	None
Stringent response	P_{ilvD}-*lacZ*	2.1 ± 0.42	Stimulation
	P_{oppB}-*lacZ*	1.87 ± 0.69	Stimulation
	P_{cshA}-*lacZ*	0.33 ± 0.06	Repression
FapR regulon	P_{accBC}-*lacZ*	0.25 ± 0.04	Repression
	$P_{fapR\ plsX}$-*lacZ*	0.25 ± 0.03	Repression
	P_{plsC}-*lacZ*	0.34 ± 0.06	Repression

[a] Measurements (standard deviation) were determined on three independent samples in BHI medium containing or not mupirocin 0.1 µg/ml (described in Materials and Methods). Experiments were performed independently from those presented in Fig. 1. [b] Pctl corresponds to the plasmid vector, lacking a *lacZ* promoter.

Table. S5. Subinhibitory mupirocin treatment synergizes with AFN-1252 to inhibits MRSA USA300 growth [a].

Media	A_{600} at 16 h
SerFA	11.4 ±0.3
SerFA+AFN-1252 [b]	11.1 ±0.7
SerFA + Mupirocin	6.3 ±1.7
SerFA-AFN-1252+Mupirocin	0.2 ±0.06

[a] Mupirocin was used at 0.06 μg/ml, AFN-1252 at 0.5 μg/ml. The USA300_FRPR3757 strain is MRSA (methicillin resistant *S. aureus*). It was precultured in SerFA medium, and diluted 1:100 in SerFA containing or not mupirocin and AFN-1252. A_{600} optical densities were determined after 16 h aerobic growth at 37°C. Results shown are the average (range) of 2 independent experiments. [b] AFN-1252 is a pipeline antibiotic that, like triclosan, targets FabI [6].

501 **Table S6.** Primers *a*

Primer ID	Sequence of Primer (5'to 3')
FapRtrapfd	<u>AATTC</u>TCCTACGACAATATCCT**TTGCAT**TTAAAGTATCTAAAATC**TATGAT**AAA*TTAAT* *ACCTGGTATTAA*AAATATTTATTAGAA<u>G</u> *b,c*
	pJJ004 (FapR-Trap)
FapRtraprp	<u>GATCC</u>TTCTAATAAATATTT*TTAATACCAGGTATTAA*TTT**ATCATA**GATTTTAGATACTT TAA**ATGCAA**AGGATATTGTCGTAGGA<u>G</u> *b,c*
	pJJ004 (FapR-Trap)
ilvDfp	TTGCCG<u>GAATTC</u>CCTATATTATGCTTTTCATTCA
	pJJ005 (P_{ilvD}-lacZ)
ilvDrp	CTAGCG<u>GGATCC</u>TTACATGTCGCTTCGCATAGT
	pJJ005 (P_{ilvD}-lacZ)
OppBfp	TTGCCG<u>GAATTC</u>TTGAAAAATGGATCATCAGA
	pJJ006 (P_{oppB}-lacZ)
OppBrp	CAAGCG<u>GGATCC</u>TTAAATATATTTCCCCATCTAA
	pJJ006(P_{oppB}-lacZ)
CshAfp	TTGCCG<u>GAATTC</u>CTTTTACTTATAAAAATGATTTG
	pJJ008 (P_{cshA}-lacZ)
CshArp	CAAGCG<u>GGATCC</u>TTATTTAAAATTTTGCAAAATAATTC
	pJJ008 (P_{cshA}-lacZ)
FapRfp	TTGCCG<u>GAATTC</u>CAGCTGAACTTATTCAATCTGG
	pJJ013 (P_{fapR plsX}-lacZ)
FapRrp	CAAGCG<u>GGATCC</u>TTACGTCTCACCCCTCATTTTTTAGT
	pJJ013 (P_{fapR plsX}-lacZ)
PlsCfp	TTGCCC<u>GAATTC</u>AAGTGCACCAATAATTCCAGCA
	pJJ019 (P_{plsC}-lacZ)
PlsCrp	CAAGCG<u>GGATCC</u>TTAAATCACTGAATACATTGTGCCACC
	pJJ019 (P_{plsC}-lacZ)
AccBCfp	<u>AATTC</u>GTCGCCAGCAATATGAACATGC**TTGAAT**TGAAGAGTTGTCTCAAG**TAAAAT**A GACGGGTAGATGAAAACAAACTGAAGGAGTCAGTAATAATGAACTTTAAA<u>G</u> *b*
	pJJ027 (P_{accBC}-lacZ)
AccBCrp	<u>GATCC</u>TTTAAAGTTCATTATTACTGACTCCTTCAGTTTGTTTTCATCTACCCGTCT**ATTTT A**CTTGAGACAACTCTTCA**ATTCAA**GCATGTTCATATTGCTGGCGAC<u>G</u> *b*
	pJJ027 (P_{accBC}-lacZ)

504 *a* Restriction sites are underlined; *b* putative -10 and -35 motif are in bold; *c* FapR consensus binding
505 site is in italics.

References:

Uchil, R. R., Kohli, G. S., Katekhaye, V. M. & Swami, O. C. Strategies to combat antimicrobial resistance. *J Clin Diagn Res* **8**, ME01-04, doi:10.7860/JCDR/2014/8925.4529 (2014).

Lu, H. & Tonge, P. J. Inhibitors of FabI, an enzyme drug target in the bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis pathway. *Acc Chem Res* **41**, 11-20, doi:10.1021/ar700156e (2008).

Brinster, S. *et al.* Type II fatty acid synthesis is not a suitable antibiotic target for Gram-positive pathogens. *Nature* **458**, 83-86, doi:10.1038/nature07772 (2009).

Morvan, C. *et al.* Environmental fatty acids enable emergence of infectious *Staphylococcus aureus* resistant to FASII-targeted antimicrobials. *Nat Commun* **7**, 12944, doi:10.1038/ncomms12944 (2016).

Kenanian, G. *et al.* Permissive Fatty Acid Incorporation Promotes Staphylococcal Adaptation to FASII Antibiotics in Host Environments. *Cell Rep* **29**, 3974-3982 e3974, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.071 (2019).

Banevicius, M. A., Kaplan, N., Hafkin, B. & Nicolau, D. P. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and efficacy of novel FabI inhibitor AFN-1252 against MSSA and MRSA in the murine thigh infection model. *J Chemother* **25**, 26-31, doi:10.1179/1973947812Y.0000000061 (2013).

Escaich, S. *et al.* The MUT056399 inhibitor of FabI is a new antistaphylococcal compound. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **55**, 4692-4697, doi:10.1128/AAC.01248-10 (2011).

Feng, Z., Chakraborty, D., Dewell, S. B., Reddy, B. V. & Brady, S. F. Environmental DNA-encoded antibiotics fasamycins A and B inhibit FabF in type II fatty acid biosynthesis. *J Am Chem Soc* **134**, 2981-2987, doi:10.1021/ja207662w (2012).

Pishchany, G. *et al.* Amycomicin is a potent and specific antibiotic discovered with a targeted interaction screen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 10124-10129, doi:10.1073/pnas.1807613115 (2018).

Gloux, K. *et al.* Clinical Relevance of Type II Fatty Acid Synthesis Bypass in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **61**, doi:10.1128/AAC.02515-16 (2017).

Albanesi, D. *et al.* Structural basis for feed-forward transcriptional regulation of membrane lipid homeostasis in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003108, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003108 (2013).

Albanesi, D. & de Mendoza, D. FapR: From Control of Membrane Lipid Homeostasis to a Biotechnological Tool. *Front Mol Biosci* **3**, 64, doi:10.3389/fmolb.2016.00064 (2016).

Ruch, F. E. & Vagelos, P. R. The isolation and general properties of *Escherichia coli* malonyl coenzyme A-acyl carrier protein transacylase. *J Biol Chem* **248**, 8086-8094 (1973).

Nazir, A. & Harinarayanan, R. (p)ppGpp and the bacterial cell cycle. *J Biosci* **41**, 277-282 (2016).

Potrykus, K. & Cashel, M. (p)ppGpp: still magical? *Annu Rev Microbiol* **62**, 35-51, doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162903 (2008).

My, L. *et al.* Transcription of the *Escherichia coli* fatty acid synthesis operon fabHDG is directly activated by FadR and inhibited by ppGpp. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 3784-3795, doi:10.1128/JB.00384-13 (2013).

Janssen, H. J. & Steinbuchel, A. Fatty acid synthesis in *Escherichia coli* and its applications towards the production of fatty acid based biofuels. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **7**, 7, doi:10.1186/1754-6834-7-7 (2014).

Pulschen, A. A. *et al.* The stringent response plays a key role in *Bacillus subtilis* survival of fatty acid starvation. *Mol Microbiol* **103**, 698-712, doi:10.1111/mmi.13582 (2017).

Vadia, S. *et al.* Fatty Acid Availability Sets Cell Envelope Capacity and Dictates Microbial Cell Size. *Curr Biol* **27**, 1757-1767 e1755, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.076 (2017).

20   Greenwood, R. C. & Gentry, D. R. The effect of antibiotic treatment on the intracellular
nucleotide pools of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **208**, 203-206,
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2002.tb11082.x (2002).
21   Rhee, H. W. *et al.* Selective fluorescent chemosensor for the bacterial alarmone (p)ppGpp. *J
Am Chem Soc* **130**, 784-785, doi:10.1021/ja0759139 (2008).
22   Geiger, T. *et al.* The stringent response of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its impact on survival
after phagocytosis through the induction of intracellular PSMs expression. *PLoS Pathog* **8**,
e1003016, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003016 (2012).
23   Polakis, S. E., Guchhait, R. B. & Lane, M. D. Stringent control of fatty acid synthesis in
Escherichia coli. Possible regulation of acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase by ppGpp. *J Biol Chem*
248, 7957-7966 (1973).
24   Reiss, S. *et al.* Global analysis of the *Staphylococcus aureus* response to mupirocin.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother **56**, 787-804, doi:10.1128/AAC.05363-11 (2012).
25   Schujman, G. E., Paoletti, L., Grossman, A. D. & de Mendoza, D. FapR, a bacterial
transcription factor involved in global regulation of membrane lipid biosynthesis. *Dev Cell* **4**,
663-672 (2003).
26   Feher, T., Libis, V., Carbonell, P. & Faulon, J. L. A Sense of Balance: Experimental Investigation
and Modeling of a Malonyl-CoA Sensor in *Escherichia coli*. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **3**, 46,
doi:10.3389/fbioe.2015.00046 (2015).
27   Ellis, J. M. & Wolfgang, M. J. A genetically encoded metabolite sensor for malonyl-CoA. *Chem
Biol* **19**, 1333-1339, doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.08.018 (2012).
28   Johnson, A. O. *et al.* Design and application of genetically-encoded malonyl-CoA biosensors
for metabolic engineering of microbial cell factories. *Metab Eng* **44**, 253-264,
doi:10.1016/j.ymben.2017.10.011 (2017).
29   Rajamuthiah, R. *et al.* A Defensin from the Model Beetle Tribolium castaneum Acts
Synergistically with Telavancin and Daptomycin against Multidrug Resistant *Staphylococcus
aureus*. *PLoS One* **10**, e0128576, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128576 (2015).
30   Kizer, L., Pitera, D. J., Pfleger, B. F. & Keasling, J. D. Application of functional genomics to
pathway optimization for increased isoprenoid production. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 3229-
3241, doi:10.1128/AEM.02750-07 (2008).
31   Kumari, R., Saxena, R., Tiwari, S., Tripathi, D. K. & Srivastava, K. K. Rv3080c regulates the rate
of inhibition of mycobacteria by isoniazid through FabD. *Mol Cell Biochem* **374**, 149-155,
doi:10.1007/s11010-012-1514-5 (2013).
32   Novichkov, P. S. *et al.* RegPrecise 3.0--a resource for genome-scale exploration of
transcriptional regulation in bacteria. *BMC Genomics* **14**, 745, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-745
(2013).
33   Herbert, S. *et al.* Repair of global regulators in *Staphylococcus aureus* 8325 and comparative
analysis with other clinical isolates. *Infect Immun* **78**, 2877-2889, doi:10.1128/IAI.00088-10
(2010).
34   Kreiswirth, B. N. *et al.* The toxic shock syndrome exotoxin structural gene is not detectably
transmitted by a prophage. *Nature* **305**, 709-712 (1983).
35   Fey, P. D. *et al.* A genetic resource for rapid and comprehensive phenotype screening of
nonessential *Staphylococcus aureus* genes. *MBio* **4**, e00537-00512, doi:10.1128/mBio.00537-
12 (2013).
36   Sambrook, J. R. D. W. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. *3rd ed, Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.* (2001).
37   Monk, I. R., Tree, J. J., Howden, B. P., Stinear, T. P. & Foster, T. J. Complete Bypass of
Restriction Systems for Major *Staphylococcus aureus* Lineages. *MBio* **6**, e00308-00315,
doi:10.1128/mBio.00308-15 (2015).
38   Poyart, C. & Trieu-Cuot, P. A broad-host-range mobilizable shuttle vector for the construction
of transcriptional fusions to beta-galactosidase in gram-positive bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*
156, 193-198 (1997).

39 Fernandez, C. *et al.* A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate
the safety and efficacy of mupirocin calcium ointment for eliminating nasal carriage of
Staphylococcus aureus among hospital personnel. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **35**, 399-408
(1995).
40 Kraemer, G. R. K. & Iandolo, J. J. High-frequency transformation of *Staphylococcus aureus* by
electroporation. *Current Microbiology* **21**, 373, doi:10.1007/BF02199440 (1990).
41 Anderson, K. L. *et al.* Characterization of the *Staphylococcus aureus* heat shock, cold shock,
stringent, and SOS responses and their effects on log-phase mRNA turnover. *J Bacteriol* **188**,
6739-6756, doi:10.1128/JB.00609-06 (2006).
42 Lechardeur, D. *et al.* Discovery of intracellular heme-binding protein HrtR, which controls
heme efflux by the conserved HrtB-HrtA transporter in *Lactococcus lactis*. *J Biol Chem* **287**,
4752-4758, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.297531 (2012).
43 Zhang, Y. M. & Rock, C. O. Membrane lipid homeostasis in bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 222-
233, doi:10.1038/nrmicro1839 (2008).
44 Fujita, Y., Matsuoka, H. & Hirooka, K. Regulation of fatty acid metabolism in bacteria. *Mol
Microbiol* **66**, 829-839, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05947.x (2007).
45 Parsons, J. B. *et al.* Identification of a two-component fatty acid kinase responsible for host
fatty acid incorporation by *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 10532-
10537, doi:10.1073/pnas.1408797111 (2014).
626