The *Hoja loca1* Mutant and AUX/IAA Function in Maize

Richardson A. E.[1,2,#], Sluis A.[1,2,#], Hake S.*[1,2],

(1)USDA Plant Gene Expression Center, 800 Buchanan Street, Albany, CA 94710.

(2)Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California Berkeley, CA.

*corresponding author

#these authors contributed equally to the article.

Author Contributions:

SH conceived the original project, developed the mapping population and secured funding. AR and AS designed and carried out the experiments. AR and SH wrote the manuscript. SH agrees to serve as the author responsible for contact and ensures communication.

One Line Summary:

The maize AUX/IAA ZmIAA38 is involved in phytomer coordination, aerial organ initiation and mediolateral patterning, and illustrates species specific sub-functionalization of the AUX/IAAs.

Abstract

Auxin plays a vital role in plant organ development, influencing organ initiation and patterning across all axes. The diversity in auxin patterning results from changes in the activities and expression of auxin signaling components, including the AUX/IAA repressors. Higher land plants have multigene AUX/IAA families, which leads to functional redundancy and a lack of phenotype in loss of function mutants. Instead, dominant mutations, which prevent AUX/IAA degradation in response to auxin, have highlighted the importance of these proteins in organ development. Here we report a new dominant AUX/IAA mutant in maize, *Hoja loca1* (*Oja*). *Oja* has a mutation in the degron motif of ZmIAA28 and affects aerial organ initiation and medio-lateral patterning in the leaf. These phenotypes contrast with other maize AUX/IAA mutants that affect the root or inflorescence only. *Oja* illustrates the role of auxin signaling in the tight coordination of phytomer unit development and provides evidence of species-specific sub-functionalization of the AUX/IAAs.

Introduction

The iterative post-embryonic development of plants has led to impressive developmental plasticity, which allows plants to modify their architecture over time and respond to environmental conditions. Organs initiate from meristems, groups of self-organizing totipotent cells, in regular phyllotactic patterns. Initiation of each leaf includes an axillary meristem and an internode. This developmental unit of leaf, axillary meristem, and internode is known as a phytomer. Aerial plant development can therefore be understood as the successive formation of phytomer units (Galinat, 1959), with developmental plasticity manifesting in different amounts of growth in the three components.

The fate of cells within the meristem is dependent on position. Cells in the center of shoot meristems divide slowly, are isodiametric in shape, and are considered indeterminate. As divisions push cells from the center outward, cells enter the peripheral or morphogenetic zone, where organs initiate. It is here that the primordium is specified, forming a leaf at plastochron 0 (P_0) (Bolduc et al., 2012a). The *KNOTTED1-LIKE HOMEOBOX* (*KNOX*) genes mark indeterminate cell fates (Jackson et al., 1994; Smith et al., 1992), and are down-regulated in P_0 cells. *KNOX* gene expression is maintained within the other components of the phytomer, the internode and bud, until they elongate (Tsuda et al., 2017). The downregulation of *KNOX* genes in the P_0 is preceded by the formation of an auxin maximum, coordinated by a convergence point of the auxin transporter PINFORMED1 (PIN1) in the epidermis (Bayer et al., 2009; Benkova et al., 2003; O'Connor et al., 2014; Reinhardt et al., 2003). Auxin acts by triggering the degradation of AUX/IAA proteins, allowing AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS (ARFs) to regulate downstream genes (Lavy and Estelle, 2016; Salehin et al., 2015). These coordinated events comprise the mechanism for organ initiation.

As organs develop and differentiate, asymmetries are established that determine tissue identity across proximal/distal, abaxial/adaxial, and medial/lateral axes (Kuhlemeier and Timmermans, 2016; Richardson and Hake, 2019). In grasses, the leaf initiates as a ring-shaped primordium around the meristem with the separation of the lower leaf (sheath) margins occurring later in development (Johnston et al., 2015; Sharman, 1942). Proximal-

distal patterning in the grass leaf leads to the formation of a distal blade and a proximal

sheath. The ligule and auricle form at the boundary of blade and sheath. For most

grasses, the medial/lateral axes are marked by a midvein at the center which is thickened

in comparison with the margins of the leaf (referred to as the midrib).

Auxin signaling has been implicated in all stages and axes of grass leaf development.

Treatment of maize shoot apices, with the auxin inhibitor NPA (*N-1*-Naphthylphthalamic

Acid) limits organ initiation and prevents the separation of the sheath margins resulting in

a tube leaf (Scanlon, 2003). PIN proteins are also expressed at the position of a ligule

prior to its elaboration (Moon et al., 2013) and RNAseq analysis of leaf microdomains has

shown that ligule development involves the recapitulation of the organ initiation

mechanism (Johnston et al., 2014). The establishment of the abaxial/adaxial axis also

involves auxin signaling, utilizing opposing gradients of tasiR-ARFs and miR166

(Nogueira et al., 2007). Despite the role of auxin signaling throughout grass leaf

development, auxin signaling mutants (i.e. mutants in AUX/IAA or ARFs) which affect leaf

development have not yet been reported in grasses.

Here we describe a dominant mutant, named *Hoja loca1* (*Oja*), with a range of leaf

defects. In its most severe expression, mutants fail to initiate leaves although an internode

will be partitioned to each phytomer. In the least severe, midveins are missing from

otherwise correctly patterned leaves. In between these extremes, tube leaves are formed

where the leaf margin is completely fused. Remarkably, leaves maintain proximal distal

and abaxial/adaxial patterning. Using positional cloning and whole genome sequencing,

we identify the gene as the Aux/IAA protein, *ZmIAA28* (GRMZM2G035465). Unlike other

maize Aux/IAA mutants that specifically affect roots (Woll et al., 2005) or inflorescences

(Galli et al., 2015), *Oja* provides a view into the unique role of Aux/IAA proteins in grass

leaf patterning. The ability of *Oja* to produce a leafless phytomer also hints at the role of

auxin signaling in coordinating development of the separate phytomer components.

Results

Isolation of *Hoja loca1*

Hoja loca1 (*Oja*) was discovered by a forward genetic approach. Pollen of the Mo17 maize

inbred was treated with ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) and crossed onto the B73 inbred.

Hybrid F1 seed were planted in the field and screened. The single mutant plant was open

pollinated and crossed to multiple inbreds the following season. Further crosses

established the dominance of the mutant phenotype although often less than 50% of the

plants showed the phenotype, indicating low phenotypic penetrance.

Each generation displayed unpredictable variability in phenotypic expression ranging

from mild to severe. Crosses made with mildly affected plants gave rise to severe plants

and vice versa. Due to the severity of the phenotype, homozygotes were rarely obtained,

thus the observations are exclusively with heterozygotes. Analysis was carried out in

plants with at least four backcrosses to B73.

Hoja loca1 leaves have medial/lateral defects but normal proximal/distal and

abaxial/adaxial patterning

Maize leaves are divided into a proximal sheath that wraps around the inner leaves and

a distal blade that bends away from the plant, optimized for photosynthesis (Figure 1Ai,iv).

At the junction of the blade and sheath is the ligule, an epidermal fringe on the adaxial

surface and two auricles along the margin that allow the blade to tilt away from the sheath

(Figure 1Aii-iv). The blade contains a midrib, a thickened region with chlorophyll-

containing cells on the abaxial surface and clear cells on the adaxial surface (Figure 1Av).

The midrib provides stiffness to the extended blade.

In contrast to wild-type seedlings, *Hoja loca1* (*Oja*) mutants have varied leaf

phenotypes (Figure 1B,C). Mutant and normal-appearing leaves may be present on the

same plant. The mildest phenotype includes missing midribs as shown for leaf 2 in Figure

1Bi. These leaves drooped backwards without the structural support of the midrib (Figure

1Bi-v). The midribless leaves completely lacked adaxial clear cells, although the flanking

blade regions appeared normal (Figure 1Bv). Midribless leaves still had normal ligules

and auricles (Figure 1Bii-iv) suggesting that the midrib is not essential in elaboration of

proximal/distal patterning.

The severe phenotype includes tube leaves in which the margins are not separated

(Figure 1C). As in the case for midribless leaves, tube leaves also have normal

proximal/distal patterning, developing a normal ligule between the sheath and blade (Figure Cii-iv). Cells in the tube leaves also have correct abaxial/adaxial polarity with chlorophyll containing cells on the abaxial surface and clear cells on the adaxial surface (Figure 1Cv). These results suggest that *Oja* does not influence proximal/distal or abaxial/adaxial patterning.

To determine whether there was a pattern in initiation of tube or midribless leaves, we recorded the occurrence of midribless and tube leaves in a population of 150 plants (Figure 1D). Both midribless and tube leaves can be observed in the same plant. In all cases, the first leaf is never affected. The second leaf may show one of these defects and the third and fourth are most likely to be mutant (Figure 1D). The frequency for both defects decreases during development but tube leaves could be observed late in development.

In many *Oja* plants inflorescence branching and floral development was severely affected. Both male and female inflorescences bore bare regions indicative of a failure to initiate spikelet primordia, and the tassels were not branched. However, when flowers did form, they appeared to be normal and fertile (Supplementary Figure 1). In contrast to aerial development, root development in seedlings appeared to be normal with initiation of primary, seminal and lateral roots and a normal gravitropic response (Figure 2).

Hoja loca1 leaves have defects in vein distribution, but normal vein density.
Given the midrib defect, we next questioned whether veins were altered in *Oja* mutants. Seedling leaf cross-sections showed that the abaxial/adaxial patterning of vascular bundles appeared normal (Figure 3A,B), mirroring the normal abaxial/adaxial patterning of the entire organ.

To assess whether the medio-lateral patterning of vascular bundles was altered. we measured leaf width and determined vein density and distribution in the third leaf of 3-week-old seedlings. Midribless leaves were narrower than wild type and tube leaves were less than half the width of wild type (Figure 3C). Overall vein density was not affected by *Oja* (Figure 3D) suggesting a reduction in total vein number to match the decrease in size. To assess vein distribution, we counted the number of intermediate veins between lateral veins in both wild-type and midribless leaves. In wild type, the number of

intermediate veins shows a symmetry across the leaf, with a dip at the mid position (Figure 3Eii, blue). We did not identify a dip of intermediate veins in the midvein position in *Oja* midribless leaves (Figure 3Eii, orange), supporting the hypothesis that medio-lateral patterning is affected in the *Oja* mutant.

Hoja loca1 mutants fail to initiate leaves but still set aside internode cells

At each leaf initiation, cells are set aside for the leaf and the internode below (Johri and Coe, 1983). A mature maize plant is thus composed of alternating internodes and nodes, which is the position of leaf insertion. In mature *Oja* plants we observed bare nodes, for example the plant in Figure 4A ended in a tube leaf after four nodes failed to elaborate leaves (arrows in the close-up). To assess whether these bare nodes were due to ectopic nodes, or to lack of leaf initiation, we took advantage of maize morphology. In mature maize plants the nodes are morphologically distinct, forming a raised ring around the stem. We counted the number of nodes in *Oja* versus wild-type siblings and compared these to the number of leaves. In mature plants there is no significant difference in total node number (Figure 4B), but there are significantly fewer leaves in *Oja* mutants (Figure 4C). This alternating node, internode, node pattern without accompanying leaves is also observed when slicing through developing tubes leaves (Figure 4D). These data suggest that *Oja* may be able to initiate new phytomers consisting of just nodes and internodes.

To identify whether leaves failed to initiate, or whether they initiated but failed to elaborate, we used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to look at the earliest primordial stages of leaf development. Wild-type maize leaves at the P_1 stage are a ring of cells that surround the meristem. At P_2, the leaf begins to grow in the proximal distal dimension, with growth highest at the midvein position. By P_3, the leaf primordium covers the top of the meristem and the margins begin to expand (Figure 4E). Further growth of the leaf margins at the P_4 stage envelopes the younger leaves and meristem (Hay and Hake, 2004; Scanlon et al., 1996). Longitudinal growth of the internode and sheath occur later in development.

Compared to wild-type seedlings where developing leaf primordia always obscured the internodes, the internodes were often visible in severe *Oja* shoots (Figure 4F-I). The meristem in Figure 4F had a single ring primordium which may have been the

early stages of a tube leaf, below this no older leaves were initiated leaving an elongated,
exposed internode. The meristem in Figure 4G initiated five internodes without leaves,
before more leaf primordia were initiated. In some *Oja* mutants, the leaf initiation defect
was so severe that the shoot apex ended in pin-like barren meristems as in Figure 3H. In
many mutants, axillary meristems formed which contained more normal leaf initiation
(Figure 4I). In fact, the mature plant in Figure 4A had a fertile tiller although the main shoot
ended in a tube leaf with skipped leaf initiation. The SEM data suggest that the
discrepancy between node number and leaf number in *Oja* is due to patterning during the
earliest stages of leaf primordium initiation. This finding supports the hypothesis that *Oja*
mutants fail to initiate leaves, despite initiating new phytomers.

The skipped leaf initiation also explains some of the seedling architecture
differences. For example, the normal seeding in Figure 1A has four visible leaves while
the seeding in Figure 1B has only three leaves visible and the second leaf is the size of
a normal third leaf. In addition, the distance between the first and second leaf is much
greater than in the wild type. This observation suggests that leaf two was skipped in the
mutant.

Meristem markers are not patterned correctly in *Hoja loca1* mutants.
To determine whether the patterning underlying primordium initiation is affected in the *Oja*
mutant, we looked at markers of P_0 specification. Given the variability in the *Oja*
phenotype within and between plants, we focused on *in situ* markers of patterning, rather
than whole plant expression changes.

We first used antibodies to KNOTTED1 (KN1) (Smith et al., 1992) in order to follow
leaf initiation defects in the meristem. KN1 accumulates in the meristem and unexpanded
stem and is down-regulated at the P_0 region, remaining off in normal maize leaves (Figure
5A). In *Oja* mutants, we see strong accumulation at the tip of the meristem but unlike the
normal meristem, the down-regulation appears on both sides of the meristem, suggesting
that this P_0 encircles the meristem (Figure 5B). The encircling downregulation could be
indicative of a tube leaf initiating. This particular meristem has also failed to initiate at
least two leaves and KN1 expression is still maintained in the internode region below the
meristem (Figure 5B).

Preceding *KNOX* downregulation, the auxin efflux transporter PIN1 moves auxin

in the epidermis to the site of leaf initiation. From the P_0, PIN1 proteins move auxin toward

pre-existing vasculature, predicting the path of provascular traces (Reinhardt et al., 2003).

In many species outside of the *Brassica*, PIN1 proteins have duplicated and diversified

function. Sister of PIN1 (SoPIN1) localizes at the leaf initiation site and is also detected

in vasculature in grasses (O'Connor et al., 2014). To determine whether SoPIN1

patterning was defective in *Oja* meristems, we used an antibody to SoPIN1 to detect

protein accumulation at the P_0 site and in the developing pre-vascular strand in wild-type

plants (Figure 5C). In wild-type meristems, SoPIN1 convergence points form in the

epidermis of the meristem at the P_0, which persists through to the tip of the P_1 leaf (Figure

5C). In the *Oja* mutant shown, which has a number of skipped leaf initiations and appears

as a pin, the SoPIN1 localization is throughout the tip of the meristem rather than

localizing strongly to the P_0 region (Figure 5D). Normal accumulation and polarization can

be seen in a vein trace within the mutant meristem. This result suggests that SoPIN1 can

polarize and be coordinated across the tissue, but it fails to form a strong convergence

point needed at the P_0 in *Oja*, implying that IAA28 may be involved in feedback regulation

of SoPIN1 at lateral organ initiation sites.

The duplicate YABBY transcription factors DROOPING LEAF (DL) (Strable et al.,

2017) are early markers of leaf patterning and are expressed at the P_0 and at the midrib

in leaf primordia. To explore the effect of *Oja* on *DL* expression, we used *in situ*

hybridization. In the normal seedling, expression was detected in the P_0 and each

subsequent midvein region (Figure 5E). In the *Oja* mutant shown, *DL* expression was

similar at the midvein region in normal developing leaves, however, there was no

detectable expression in the P_0 and fewer leaves had initiated. As DL is involved in midrib

specification (Strable et al., 2017), this lack of DL in the P_0 could be due to the lack of leaf

initiation or due to the formation of a midribless leaf. Nevertheless, as an early marker of

leaf patterning this expression pattern supports the hypothesis that *Oja* mutants fail to

specify the P_0 leaf correctly despite defining the rest of the phytomer.

In summary, meristem and leaf initiation markers are functional in *Oja* mutants, but

their positional placement is altered. This finding suggests that *Oja* has a defect in leaf

initiation and patterning, not just leaf outgrowth, which leads to the reduced leaf number
compared to node number.
250
***Hoja loca1* encodes the Aux/IAA protein, ZmIAA28**.
To identify the causal mutation, we mapped the Mo17 *Oja* region in a backcross
population to A619. Bulk segregant mapping placed *Oja* on chromosome 8 (Figure 6A).
SSR primers were used to narrow the position to an interval of 1 Mb containing 19
annotated genes (Figure 6Aiii). Using published RNAseq data we narrowed the candidate
gene list further, as only 10 genes in the interval were reported as expressed in the shoot
apical meristem or leaf primordia (Figure 6Aiii, green).
To further map the interval, we used whole genome sequencing of two bulk pools,
one wild type and one mutant pool each with 40 individuals. A large interval was detected
between 75 and 135 Mb. To generate a candidate SNP list, we removed SNPs reported
in the HapMapv3 from Panzea (www.panzea.org/data) and limited the reported SNPs to
EMS-type G to A or C to T. Within the large interval, there were nine moderate or high-
effect SNPs within annotated gene models (Figure 6Ai), but only one SNP detected within
the fine SSR mapping interval (Figure 6Aiii, *). This change was a C to T nucleotide
transition in GRMZM2G035465, which encodes Aux/IAA28 (Figure 6B). The C to T
transition causes a missense mutation from a Glycine to Glutamic Acid in the center of
the highly conserved degron motif (Figure 6C). Similar mutations in other AUX/IAA
proteins prevent degradation in response to high auxin (Reed, 2001) suggesting that *Oja*
is caused by a stabilizing mutation in the degron motif which prevents degradation of
ZmIAA28 in the presence of auxin.
271
DISCUSSION
The dominant *Hoja loca1* (*Oja*) mutant specifically affects aerial organ initiation
and medial/lateral patterning in the leaf. Positional cloning identified the gene as the
Aux/IAA protein ZmIAA28. Aux/IAA proteins function as repressors in low auxin
conditions by interacting with ARF transcription factors and preventing their activation of
auxin responsive genes. An increase in auxin promotes an interaction between Aux/IAA
and Transport Inhibitor Response1 (TIR1), an F-box component of the SCF complex that

targets proteins for degradation. Binding of Aux/IAA to TIR1 in the presence of auxin leads
to Aux/IAA degradation and thus releases ARF repression (Dharmasiri et al., 2005;
Kepinski and Leyser, 2005). As the Aux/IAA gene family is large, redundancy has mostly
hidden loss-of-function phenotypes (Overvoorde et al., 2005). Instead, genetic analysis
has identified dominant Aux/IAA mutations that stabilize these normally short-lived
proteins by disrupting their proteolysis or reducing their ability to bind to TIR1 (Ouellet et
al., 2001; Ramos et al., 2001; Tian et al., 2003; Worley et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2004).
Most mutations, including *Oja*, are located in domain II in the highly conserved degron
motif (Fukaki et al., 2002; Nagpal et al., 2000; Rogg et al., 2001; Rouse et al., 1998;
Zenser et al., 2001) which interacts with TIR1 in the presence of auxin (Tan et al., 2007).

Nine clades of AUX/IAA proteins have been identified (Matthes et al., 2019),
allowing for significant subfunctionalization during the evolution of the AUX/IAA family.
The majority of *Arabidopsis* Aux/IAA mutants were first described by their agravitopric
root, reduced lateral roots, and/or shorter root phenotypes (Fukaki et al., 2002; Nagpal et
al., 2000; Rouse et al., 1998). Mutants that were identified by a shoot phenotype such as
bodenlos, *Shy2*-2 and *axr3* have pleiotropic phenotypes, also affecting the roots. For
example, the semi-dominant *Shy2* mutant was identified as a suppressor of a
phytochrome elongated hypocotyl phenotype but also has root defects and curled leaves
(Reed et al., 1998; Tian and Reed, 1999). Embryonic patterning defects were identified
in *bodenlos* homozygotes but mature heterozygous plants also have root defects
(Hamann et al., 2002). *Axr3* mutants develop curled leaves (Leyser et al., 1996; Pérez-
Pérez et al., 2010) due to defects in coordination between the abaxial and adaxial
surfaces of the leaf. When these mutant phenotypes are mapped to the AUX/IAA clades,
no obvious pattern is observed, suggesting that function is not conserved within a clade.
This finding highlights the importance of studying the specific function of AUX/IAA
proteins in multiple species, as genetic similarity is unlikely to correspond to related
functionality.

Aux/IAA mutants in maize appear to be less pleiotropic than *Arabidopsis*; their
defects are confined to specific parts of the plant. For example, the *rootless with
undetectable meristem* (*rum1*) mutant is deficient in primary and lateral root initiation with
no defects in the aerial parts (Woll et al., 2005). *rum1* encodes *ZmIAA10* and, unlike other

described AUX/IAA mutants that have a missense mutation in the degron, *rum1* carries
a deletion that includes the GWPPV degron sequence in domain II (von Behrens et al.,
2011). The *Bif1* (ZmIAA27) and *Bif4* (ZmIAA20) Aux/IAA mutants reduce the branching
in male and female inflorescences (Barazesh et al., 2009; Galli et al., 2015), however,
there is no effect on vegetative growth (Galli et al., 2015). *Oja* mutants have striking
defects in leaf development but have normal roots and flowers if they make
inflorescences. This suggests that ZmIAA10, ZmIAA20, ZmIAA27 and ZmIAA28 have
tissue-specific functions. These specificities do not appear to arise from tissue specific
expression as, based on published RNAseq data, ZmIAA27 and ZmIAA28 have similar
expression patterns. *RUM1* is expressed at very low levels compared to a close paralog
which is expressed at high levels in many root tissues as well as the leaf (Zhang et al.,
2015). Perhaps the tissue specificity results from the interaction of each Aux/IAA with
different ARFs or different TIR proteins and these are responsible for the observed
phenotypes in the dominant mutants (Salehin et al., 2015).

The variability of the *Oja* phenotype is not unusual, having also been observed in
other AUX/IAA mutants such as in the *Arabidopsis* mutant *bodenlos,* with some mutants
missing hypocotyl and root and others just missing a root (Hamann et al., 2002). This
phenotypic stochasticity could arise from over- and under-compensation of the auxin
signaling network.

Through investigating leaf initiation, we found that SoPIN1 failed to converge at
the P_0 in *Oja* mutants suggesting that ZmIAA28 plays a role in the feedback signaling
required for forming the convergence point that triggers organ initiation. A role for the
TIR/ARF/AUX/IAA module in regulating cellular polarisation has also been observed in
Arabidopsis in which induction of the ARF, *MONOPTEROS* (MP) in the *mp* mutant
background triggered spontaneous PIN1 convergence point formation and primordium
outgrowth in the normally barren *mp* meristem (Bhatia et al., 2016). Interestingly,
although leaf initiation was severely affected in *Oja*, many other organ initiation events
occurred normally. For example, ligule development requires reactivation of the organ
initiation pathway (Johnston et. al., 2014) but *Oja* mutants have no ligule defect,
suggesting that different AUX/IAAs may be involved in ligule development.

Given that formation of the SoPIN1 convergence point at the P_0 stimulates midvein
development, it is likely that ZmIAA28 is required for the signaling that promotes midrib
formation at the midvein, influencing medio-lateral patterning and possibly subsequent
DL expression. Supporting the role of auxin signaling upstream of *DL* expression,
DAPseq analyses in maize have identified ARF binding sites upstream of both maize *DL*
genes (Galli et al., 2018). In midribless *Oja* mutant leaves, the midrib domain appears to
be completely lost, which is more severe than in the *dl* double mutant (Strable et al.,
2017), suggesting that other factors, also influenced by auxin signaling, are required to
specify midrib differentiation in addition to DL.

Our work suggests that ZmIAA28 acts specifically during aerial organ initiation,
potentially upstream of *KNOX* downregulation. This contrasts with the function of the
tomato class VIII AUX/IAA, SlIAA9. Tomato leaf margin complexity is dependent on the
ectopic expression of *KNOX* genes in the leaf lamina. However, reduction of SlIAA9
expression causes a loss of leaf margin complexity, independent of *KNOX* gene
expression as determined by RT-PCR (Wang et al., 2005). This suggests that although
ZmIAA28 and SlIAA9 are in the same clade, they differ in their relationship with *KNOX*
gene regulation.

The phytomer consists of a node, internode, axillary bud and organ. To maintain
correct plant architecture, the development of each component is tightly regulated. *Oja* is
unusual in that this coordination within the phytomer unit is apparently broken, allowing
the initiation of nodes and internodes without accompanying organs. This finding
suggests that auxin is not only involved in the initiation of organs, but also in the regulation
of phytomer development as a whole.

The *Oja* mutant illustrates the species-specific subfunctionalisation of the AUX/IAA
proteins in regulating plant development. In contrast to other known AUX/IAAs, ZmIAA28
specifically affects initiation of aerial organs and impacts medio-lateral patterning. As
further studies identify functions of other grass AUX/IAAs it will be of interest to compare
them to *Oja* to see how far this subfunctionalisation holds. This will be particularly
interesting to compare to *Arabidopsis aux/iaa* mutants which appear to be more
pleiotropic, and to determine the reasons for the species-specific differences.

Acknowledgements

With thanks to Samuel Leiboff and China Lunde for extensive help and advice. ARS microscopy staff and UC Berkeley greenhouse staff. This work was funded by NSF BIO/BBSRC1547062 and ARS 5335-21000-013-00D.

References

Barazesh, S., Nowbakht, C., McSteen, P., 2009. sparse inflorescence1, barren inflorescence1 and barren stalk1 promote cell elongation in maize inflorescence development. Genetics 182, 403-406.

Bayer, E.M., Smith, R.S., Mandel, T., Nakayama, N., Sauer, M., Prusinkiewicz, P., Kuhlemeier, C., 2009. Integration of transport-based models for phyllotaxis and midvein formation. Genes Dev. 23, 373-384.

Benkova, E., Michniewicz, M., Sauer, M., Teichmann, T., Seifertova, D., Jurgens, G., Friml, J., 2003. Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. Cell 115, 591-602.

Bhatia, N., Bozorg, B., Larsson, A., Ohno, C., Jönsson, H., Heisler, M.G., 2016. Auxin acts through MONOPTEROS to regulate plant cell polarity and pattern phyllotaxis. Current Biology 26, 3202-3208.

Bolduc, N., O'Connor, D., Moon, J., Lewis, M., Hake, S., 2012a. How to pattern a leaf. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 77, 47-51.

Bolduc, N., Yilmaz, A., Mejia-Guerra, M.K., Morohashi, K., O'Connor, D., Grotewold, E., Hake, S., 2012b. Unraveling the KNOTTED1 regulatory network in maize meristems Genes & Development 26, 1685-1690.

Chen, J., Dellaporta, S., 1994. Urea-based Plant DNA Miniprep, in: Freeling, M., Walbot, V. (Eds.), The Maize Handbook. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 526-538.

Coen, E.S., Romero, J., Doyle, S., Elliott, R., Murphy, G., Carpenter, R., 1990. floricaula: a homeotic gene required for flower development in Antirrhinum majus. Cell 63, 1311-1322.

Conti, L., Bradley, D., 2007. TERMINAL FLOWER1 is a mobile signal controlling Arabidopsis architecture. The Plant Cell 19, 767-778.

Dharmasiri, N., Dharmasiri, S., Estelle, M., 2005. The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin

receptor. Nature 435, 441-445.

Fukaki, H., Tameda, S., Masuda, H., Tasaka, M., 2002. Lateral root formation is blocked by a

gain-of-function mutation in the SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14 gene of Arabidopsis. The Plant

Journal 29, 153-168.

Galinat, W.C., 1959. The phytomer in relation to the floral homologies in the American

Maydea. Bot. Mus. Lefl., Harvard Univ. 19, 1-32.

Galli, M., Khakhar, A., Lu, Z., Chen, Z., Sen, S., Joshi, T., Nemhauser, J.L., Schmitz, R.J.,

Gallavotti, A., 2018. The DNA binding landscape of the maize AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR

family. Nature communications 9, 4526.

Galli, M., Liu, Q., Moss, B.L., Malcomber, S., Li, W., Gaines, C., Federici, S., Roshkovan, J.,

Meeley, R., Nemhauser, J.L., 2015. Auxin signaling modules regulate maize inflorescence

architecture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112, 13372-13377.

Hamann, T., Benkova, E., Bäurle, I., Kientz, M., Jürgens, G., 2002. The Arabidopsis

BODENLOS gene encodes an auxin response protein inhibiting MONOPTEROS-mediated

embryo patterning. Genes & development 16, 1610-1615.

Hay, A., Hake, S., 2004. The dominant mutant *Wavy auricle in blade1* disrupts patterning in

a lateral domain of the maize leaf. Plant Physiol. 135, 300-308.

Jackson, D., Veit, B., Hake, S., 1994. Expression of maize *KNOTTED1* related homeobox genes

in the shoot apical meristem predicts patterns of morphogenesis in the vegetative shoot.

Development 120, 405-413.

Johnston, R., Leiboff, S., Scanlon, M.J., 2015. Ontogeny of the sheathing leaf base in maize

(Zea mays). New Phytol. 205, 306-315.

Johnston, R., Wang, M., Sun, Q., Sylvester, A.W., Hake, S., Scanlon, M.J., 2014. Transcriptomic

Analyses Indicate That Maize Ligule Development Recapitulates Gene Expression Patterns

That Occur during Lateral Organ Initiation. Plant Cell 12, 4718-4732.

Johri, M.M., Coe, E.H., 1983. Clonal analysis of corn plant development. I. The development

of the tassel and the ear shoot. Developmental Biology 97, 154-172.

Kepinski, S., Leyser, O., 2005. The Arabidopsis F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor.

Nature 435, 446-451.

Kuhlemeier, C., Timmermans, M.C., 2016. The Sussex signal: insights into leaf

dorsiventrality. Development 143, 3230-3237.

Lavy, M., Estelle, M., 2016. Mechanisms of auxin signaling. Development 143, 3226-3229.

Leyser, H.O., Pickett, F.B., Dharmasiri, S., Estelle, M., 1996. Mutations in the AXR3 gene of

Arabidopsis result in altered auxin response including ectopic expression from the SAUR-

AC1 promoter. The Plant Journal 10, 403-413.

Matthes, M.S., Best, N.B., Robil, J.M., Malcomber, S., Gallavotti, A., McSteen, P., 2019. Auxin

evodevo: conservation and diversification of genes regulating auxin biosynthesis,

transport, and signaling. Molecular plant 12, 298-320.

Moon, J., Candela, H., Hake, S., 2013. The Liguleless narrow mutation affects proximal-distal

signaling and leaf growth. Development 140, 405-412.

Nagpal, P., Walker, L.M., Young, J.C., Sonawala, A., Timpte, C., Estelle, M., Reed, J.W., 2000.

AXR2 encodes a member of the Aux/IAA protein family. Plant physiology 123, 563-574.

Nogueira, F.T., Madi, S., Chitwood, D.H., Juarez, M.T., C., T.M., 2007. Two small regulatory

RNAs establish opposing fates of a developmental axis. Genes Dev. 21, 750-755.

O'Connor, D.L., Runions, A., Sluis, A., Bragg, J., Vogel, J., Prusinkiewicz, P., Hake, S., 2014. A

division in PIN-mediated patterning during lateral organ initiation in grasses. PLoS Comput

Biol 10, e1003447.

Ouellet, F., Overvoorde, P.J., Theologis, A., 2001. IAA17/AXR3: biochemical insight into an

auxin mutant phenotype. The Plant Cell 13, 829-841.

Overvoorde, P.J., Okushima, Y., Alonso, J.M., Chan, A., Chang, C., Ecker, J.R., Hughes, B., Liu, A.,

Onodera, C., Quach, H., 2005. Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC

ACID gene family members in Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Cell 17, 3282-3300.

Pérez-Pérez, J.M., Candela, H., Robles, P., López-Torrejón, G., Del Pozo, J.C., Micol, J.L., 2010.

A role for AUXIN RESISTANT3 in the coordination of leaf growth. Plant and cell physiology

51, 1661-1673.

Ramos, J.A., Zenser, N., Leyser, O., Callis, J., 2001. Rapid degradation of auxin/indoleacetic

acid proteins requires conserved amino acids of domain II and is proteasome dependent.

The Plant Cell 13, 2349-2360.

Reed, J.W., 2001. Roles and activities of Aux/IAA proteins in Arabidopsis. Trends in plant

science 6, 420-425.

Reed, J.W., Elumalai, R.P., Chory, J., 1998. Suppressors of an Arabidopsis thaliana phyB

mutation identify genes that control light signaling and hypocotyl elongation. Genetics 148,

1295-1310.

Reinhardt, D., Pesce, E.R., Stieger, P., Mandel , T., Baltensperger, K., Bennett, M., Traas, J.,

Friml, J., Kuhlemeier, C., 2003. Regulation of phyllotaxis by polar auxin transport. Nature

426, 255-260.

Richardson, A., Hake, S., 2019. Drawing a Line: Grasses and Boundaries. Plants 8, 4.

Richardson, A., Rebocho, A.B., Coen, E., 2016. Ectopic KNOX expression affects plant

development by altering tissue cell polarity and identity. The Plant Cell 28, 2079-2096.

Rogg, L.E., Lasswell, J., Bartel, B., 2001. A gain-of-function mutation in IAA28 suppresses

lateral root development. The Plant Cell 13, 465-480.

Rouse, D., Mackay, P., Stirnberg, P., Estelle, M., Leyser, O., 1998. Changes in auxin response

from mutations in an AUX/IAA gene. Science 279, 1371-1373.

Salehin, M., Bagchi, R., Estelle, M., 2015. SCFTIR1/AFB-based auxin perception: mechanism

and role in plant growth and development. The Plant Cell Online, tpc. 114.133744.

Scanlon, M.J., 2003. The polar auxin transport inhibitor N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid

disrupts leaf initiation, KNOX protein regulation, and formation of leaf margins in maize.

Plant Physiology 133, 597-605.

Scanlon, M.J., Schneeberger, R.G., Freeling, M., 1996. The maize mutant narrow sheath fails

to establish leaf margin identity in a meristematic domain. Development 122, 1683-1691.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,

Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image

analysis. Nature methods 9, 676.

Sharman, B.C., 1942. Developmental anatomy of the shoot of *Zea mays* L. Annals of Botany

6, 245-282.

Smith, L.G., Greene, B., Veit, B., Hake, S., 1992. A dominant mutation in the maize homeobox

gene, *Knotted-1*, causes its ectopic expression in leaf cells with altered fates. Development

116, 21-30.

Strable, J., Wallace, J.G., Unger-Wallace, E., Briggs, S., Bradbury, P.J., Buckler, E.S., Vollbrecht,

E., 2017. Maize YABBY genes drooping leaf1 and drooping leaf2 regulate plant architecture.

The Plant Cell 29, 1622-1641.

Tan, X., Calderon-Villalobos, L.I.A., Sharon, M., Zheng, C., Robinson, C.V., Estelle, M., Zheng,

N., 2007. Mechanism of auxin perception by the TIR1 ubiquitin ligase. Nature 446, 640.

Temnykh, S., DeClerck, G., Lukashova, A., Lipovich, L., Cartinhour, S., McCouch, S., 2001.

Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (Oryza sativa L.):

frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. Genome

research 11, 1441-1452.

Tian, Q., Nagpal, P., Reed, J.W., 2003. Regulation of Arabidopsis SHY2/IAA3 protein

turnover. The Plant Journal 36, 643-651.

Tian, Q., Reed, J.W., 1999. Control of auxin-regulated root development by the Arabidopsis

thaliana SHY2/IAA3 gene. Development 126, 711-721.

Tsuda, K., Abraham-Juarez, M., Maeno, A., Dong, Z., Aromdee, D., Meeley, R., Shiroishi, T.,

Nonomura, K., Hake, S., 2017. KNOTTED1 cofactors, BLH12 and BLH14, regulate internode

patterning and vein anastomosis in maize. The Plant Cell 10.1105/tpc.16.00967.

von Behrens, I., Komatsu, M., Zhang, Y., Berendzen, K.W., Niu, X., Sakai, H., Taramino, G.,

Hochholdinger, F., 2011. Rootless with undetectable meristem 1 encodes a monocot-

specific AUX/IAA protein that controls embryonic seminal and post-embryonic lateral root

initiation in maize. The Plant Journal 66, 341-353.

Wang, H., Jones, B., Li, Z., Frasse, P., Delalande, C., Regad, F., Chaabouni, S., Latche, A., Pech,

J.-C., Bouzayen, M., 2005. The tomato Aux/IAA transcription factor IAA9 is involved in fruit

development and leaf morphogenesis. The Plant Cell 17, 2676-2692.

Woll, K., Borsuk, L.A., Stransky, H., Nettleton, D., Schnable, P.S., Hochholdinger, F., 2005.

Isolation, characterization, and pericycle-specific transcriptome analyses of the novel

maize lateral and seminal root initiation mutant rum1. Plant Physiology 139, 1255-1267.

Worley, C.K., Zenser, N., Ramos, J., Rouse, D., Leyser, O., Theologis, A., Callis, J., 2000.

Degradation of Aux/IAA proteins is essential for normal auxin signalling. The Plant Journal

21, 553-562.

Yang, X., Lee, S., So, J.h., Dharmasiri, S., Dharmasiri, N., Ge, L., Jensen, C., Hangarter, R.,

Hobbie, L., Estelle, M., 2004. The IAA1 protein is encoded by AXR5 and is a substrate of

SCFTIR1. The Plant Journal 40, 772-782.

Zenser, N., Ellsmore, A., Leasure, C., Callis, J., 2001. Auxin modulates the degradation rate of

Aux/IAA proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 11795-11800.

Zhang, Y., Marcon, C., Tai, H., von Behrens, I., Ludwig, Y., Hey, S., Berendzen, K.W.,

Hochholdinger, F., 2015. Conserved and unique features of the homeologous maize

Aux/IAA proteins ROOTLESS WITH UNDETECTABLE MERISTEM 1 and RUM1-like 1.

Journal of experimental botany 67, 1137-1147.

Methods

Plant Growth Conditions

Analyses were carried out in families segregating for *Hoja loca1* after at least four backcrosses to

the B73 inbred line. Plants were grown in Supersoil Brand Potting Soil (composted bark (60-85%),

Sawdust (60-85%), Sphagnum Peat Moss (10-30%)) in standard greenhouse conditions.

Seedlings were grown for 3 weeks in 30 by 45 by 2.5cm trays, and adult plants were grown in

12.5L pots until tassel emergence.

Root Analysis

Seeds were rehydrated overnight in sterile water, then sterilized with 10% bleach for 20 minutes,

before washing with sterile water. Seeds were then germinated on damp chromotography paper

in vertically fixed plastic wallets. After one week, the seedlings were photographed, then rotated

90°. The seedlings were left to grow for 24 hours and imaged every hour, for 12 hours. After 24

hours, the final root phenotype was imaged. Primary root length was analysed using FIJI

(Schindelin et al., 2012) and crown root number was counted. The seedlings were transplanted

to soil and three weeks after germination the shoot phenotype was recorded.

Histology Plastic Sections

10μm plastic sections were made with Technovit® 9100 from Electron Microscopy Services (Cat.

#14655) according to manufacturer instructions. Sections were mounted on glass slides and

stained with toluidine blue. Imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axiovert inverted compound

microscope with transmitted light.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

SEMs were performed with material fixed in FAA (3.7% formaldehyde, 50% ethanol, 5% acetic

acid) overnight at 4°C and dehydrated in ethanol series to 100%. Samples were critical point

dried, sputter coated with palladium, and imaged on a Hitachi S-4700 with 2kV accelerating

voltage.

Tissue for Immunolocalisation and *In Situ* Hybridisation

Plant tissue was collected from 3-week-old seedling shoot apices, dissected and fixed overnight

in 4% paraformaldehyde/ 0.1% DMSO/ 0.1% Triton-X100. Fixed samples were transferred

through an ethanol and histoclear series before embedding in paraplast plus (McCormick

Scientific #19216). 10μm sections of fixed tissue were mounted on probe-on-probe-plus slides

(FisherBrand #22-230-900) and dried overnight on a 37°C hotplate before use in either

immunolocalisation or *in situ* hybridization.

Immunolocalisation

Primary antibodies were guinea-pig anti-SoPIN1 as used in (Richardson et al., 2016) and rabbit

anti-KNOTTED1 (KN1) as used in (Bolduc et al., 2012b). Secondary antibodies were commercial

anti-guinea pig conjugated to Alexa-fluor594 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, #706-585-148) and

anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Jackson ImmunoResearch, #111-055-045). All

antibodies were used at a 1:200 dilution in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS.

Immunolocalisation used a protocol based on (Conti and Bradley, 2007), and was as

follows. Slides were de-paraffinised using histoclear, then rehydrated through an ethanol series

to water. Samples were then boiled in 10mM sodium citrate, pH6, for 10 minutes to retrieve the

antigens. Blocking was carried out in 1% BSA/PBS/0.3% Triton-X100 for 3hours. Slides were

incubated overnight in primary antibody, before washing in PBS/0.3% Triton-X100. They were

then incubated with secondary antibody at room-temperature for 2 hours.

Tissues incubated with anti-KN1 were incubated in a 1:50 dilution of NBT/BCIP (Roche,

#11681451001) in 0.05M $MgCl_2$/TBS, pH9.5 until a dark precipitate was observed. These slides

were then imaged on a Leica dissecting microscope in water.

Tissues incubated with anti-SoPIN1 were stained for 20 minutes in 0.1% calcofluor

(fluorescent brightener 28, Sigma-Aldrich #F3543), washed and mounted in water and imaged on

a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. The subcellular localization of SoPIN1 was assessed in relation

to the calcofluor cell wall stain using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012).

***In situ* Hybridisation**

Antisense probes targeted to *DROOPLING LEAF1* (GRMZM2G168893) mRNA were designed

as used in (Strable et al., 2017). *In situ* hybridization was carried out as in (Coen et al., 1990)

outlined here in brief. Tissue was deparaffinised using histoclear, then rehydrated through an
ethanol series. Samples were digested using 0.125mg/mL pronase (Sigma-Aldrich #P6611) in
50mMTris/5mM EDTA, pH7.5, for 15minutes at room temperature. Digestion was stopped by the
addition of 0.2% glycine before washing and re-fixing in 4% PFA/PBS for 10minutes. Slides were
then treated in 0.1M Triethanolamine-HCl / 0.5% Acetic Anhydride (Sigma-Aldrich #320102) for
10 minutes before dehydrating through an ethanol series. Tissue was incubated overnight with
the probes at a 1:50 dilution in hybridisation buffer (0.375M NaCl, 12.5mM Tris-HCl pH8, 12.5mM
Sodium Phosphate pH6.8, 6.25mM EDTA, 50% deionized formamide, 12.5% dextran sulfate,
1.25x Denhardt solution, 0.0125mg/mL tRNA) at 50°C. Slides were washed in 0.2% SSC at 50°C,
then treated with RNAseA (in 0.5M NaCl/10mM Tris/ 1mM EDTA, pH7.5) at 37°C for 15 mins,
before repeating the SSC washes. Slides were blocked in Roche Blocking Reagent (#11-096-
176-001) for 30 minutes, washed in1% BSA/0.3 % Triton-X100/TBS, and incubated at room-
temperature with a 1:500 dilution of anti-Dioxigenin-AP Fab fragments (Roche, #11093274910)
in 1% BSA/0.3 % Triton-X100/TBS for 1hour. Slides were then washed with in 1% BSA/0.3 %
Triton-X100/TBS, then in 0.05M $MgCl_2$/TBS, pH9.5. To visualize the probe localisation, the tissue
was incubated in 1:50 dilution of NBT/BCIP in 0.05M $MgCl_2$/TBS, pH9.5, until dark precipitate
formed. The staining reaction was stopped by transferring to water and the slides were imaged
on a Leica MZ16F microscope with a Qimaging Micropublisher camera under water and brightfield
conditions.
610
Mapping with SSRs

612 The *Oja* mutation was mapped to chromosome 3 using short sequence repeat (SSR) PCR
613 mapping. Known SSRs were identified using Maize gdb and are listed in Figure 3. Custom SSRs
614 were identified by using the Gramene SSR prediction tool (Temnykh et al., 2001), and specific
615 primers were designed to amplify them.
616
Whole Genome Sequencing

10 mm^2 area leaf discs were collected from 30 wild type and 30 mutant siblings in an advanced
B73 introgressed population. Genomic DNA was extracted using a UREA based extraction
method (Chen and Dellaporta, 1994) which is as follows. Tissue was ground in UREA extraction
buffer (4M urea, 4M NaCl, 1M Tris pH8, 0.5M EDTA, 34mM n-lauroyl sarcosine). Then an equal
volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), was added and vortexed to mix. After
centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted and mixed with an equal volume of chloroform. After
centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted and mixed with 1/10th volume of 4.4 M NH_4OAc pH

5.2 and 0.7 volume of isopropanol. Strands of DNA were then collected and washed with 70%
ethanol in a separate tube. The dried pellet was resuspended in 100ul of TE.

DNA was quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Q32854), and sent to
Novogene for library preparation and sequencing (350bp insert, 150PE). Sequencing was at 10
times coverage of the maize genome. Sequence analysis was carried out using the CYVERSE
Atmosphere computing environment. The sequence data was quality checked and the adapters
trimmed using fastp, then aligned to the B73_RefGen_v3 maize genome using bowtie2, variants
were called using samtools mileup. The variant list was filtered using R, by removing variants
recorded in the Panzea HapMapv3 (https://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and those present in the
wild type pool as well as restricting to EMS type SNPs. Moderate and high effect SNPs specific
to the mutant were calculated using SNPEff2 with the B73v3 5b+ gene models.

Vein Analysis
Cross-sections through the third leaf of 3-week-old seedlings, 1.5cm above the ligule were taken
and imaged under water on a Leica DM4000 microscope. Cross-section images were analysed
using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). The width of the leaf was recorded, then the number of lateral
and intermediate veins were counted. Vein density was calculated as the total number of veins
(lateral + intermediate veins) over the width of the leaf.

Vein distribution was assessed by counting the number of intermediate veins between
lateral veins. To align the wild type and midribless leaves, the lateral vein closest to the midpoint
of the leaf was designated at position 0, the "midvein". Each lateral vein was then allocated a
position number in reference to position 0.

Figures

Figure 1. *Hoja loca1* **mutants have leaf defects.**

The phenotype of wild type (Wt) (A), mild (B) and severe (C) *Oja* mutants including (i) whole-seedling, and (ii-iv) magnified images of the ligule/ auricle regions, showing the side (ii) and abaxial (iii) and the adaxial (iv) views. (C iv) is a cross-section through the tube leaf showing the intact ligule (arrowhead). (v) Cross-sections through seedling leaves 1cm above the ligule. Scale bars are 1cm, arrowheads indicate the ligule or midrib regions. (D) The distribution of midribless and tube leaves in *Oja* plants (n=150), node 1 is at the base of the plant.

Figure 2. *Hoja loca1* mutants have a normal root phenotype.

(A) Oja seedling roots have a normal gravitropic response, showing a change in root tip orientation by 1 hour after turning (*) (scale bars are 2cm). (B-C) Root architecture in 1.5-week-old seedlings is not significantly different between wild-type and *Oja* plants, as shown by primary root length (B, Welch 2-sample t-test, df=24, n *Oja*=13, Wt=24, p=0.853) and number of initiating crown roots (C, Welch 2-sample t-test, df=19, n *Oja*=13, Wt=24, p=0.1452). (D-E) Root architecture in 3-week-old seedlings appears to be similar between mutant and normal sibling (Wt) (scale bars are 2cm).

693
694

695 **Figure 3. *Hoja loca1* mutants have a defect in vein distribution.**

(A-B) Plastic sections through wild type (A) and midribless (B) leaves. The midrib is indicated by the M, the lateral veins by the black arrowheads, and intermediate veins by the cyan arrowheads. Scale bars are 1mm. (C) Seedling leaf width varies significantly between wild type and midribless (n=10 of each phenotype, ANOVA and Tukeys HSD, df=2, * p= 0.0032) and between wild type and tube leaves (**, p=0.000083). (D) Total leaf vein density does not vary significantly. (E) Leaf vein distribution is perturbed in midribless mutants. (Ei) Cartoons depicting the alignment of midribless and wild-type leaves. Wild type and mutant leaves were aligned by allocating the position of "0" to the wild type midvein, and the lateral vein closest to the midpoint of the leaf in the midribless mutant. Each successive lateral vein was then allocated a position relative to 0 (Ei) and the number of intermediate veins between each position was recorded. (Eii) The mean number of intermediate veins near the midvein

were significantly different in the midribless mutant (orange) when compared to wild type (blue)

(n=10 for each phenotype, ANOVA and Tukeys HSD, * p = 0.0051 and p=0.00089).

Figure 4. *Hoja loca1* mutants initiate new phytomers but fail to initiate leaves.

(A-C) Mature *Oja* plants are often short, and despite having a similar number of visible nodes (B,

p=0.2537, Welch's 2-Sample T-Test, df= 39.8, n *Oja*=29, Wt=14), they have fewer leaves (C, **

p= 4.17e-11, Welch's 2-Sample T-Test, df=14.194, n= 10 of each phenotype) than wild type. In

particular, the nodes below the tassel are often bare (Aii, arrowheads). Bare nodes can also be

identified in cross-sections through developing shoots (D). The lack of leaf initiation is clear at the

earliest stages of development. Scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) of wild type (E) and

mutant (F-I) vegetative meristems with attached leaf primordia show severe defects in *Oja*. Some

leaf primordia fail to arch over the meristem, instead forming a ring-shaped primordium (F). Some

have clear ridges where internodes have formed below the meristem (G), which would normally

be concealed by leaves in wild-type plants. Other mutants form pin-shaped meristems (H). Often

the tiller buds in *Oja* are normal (I) allowing the plant to produce progeny. The internodes are

indicated by the *, meristems by 'M', axillary meristems by 'AM'. Scale bars are 100μm.

Figure 5. *Hoja loca1* have defects in organ initiation markers localization. KNOTTED1 (KN1) immunolocalisation (dark brown/black, A-B) and SISTER OF PINFORMED1 (SoPIN1, green, C-D) in longitudinal sections through 3-week-old seedlings in WT (A,C) and mutant (B,D) plants. The inset in D shows a magnified image of the boxed region, the arrowheads indicate the orientation of the SoPIN1 localization (green) within the cell outline (magenta). Large arrowheads indicate the P_0 and P_1 domains. Dotted lines indicate the outline of the meristem region. The white dotted line in A indicate the P_0. (E-F) *In situ* hybridization of *drooping leaf* (dark brown, DL) in transverse cross-sections through 3-week-old seedlings, in wild type (E) and mutant (F) plants. Sections are 100μm from the tip of the meristem. * indicate the position of the midvein in successive leaves, the white dotted line outlines the meristem. Scale bars are 100μm.

Figure 6. *Hoja loca1* maps to ZmIAA28 on Chr8.

Using short sequence repeat (SSR) PCR markers, *Oja* was mapped to Chr8 between 109.7-110.6Mb (A). Custom SSR markers are labeled as 'AS#'. Of the 19 genes in the fine mapping interval (Aiii), 10 are expressed in shoot meristems and leaf primordia (green), 9 are not (magenta) based on published RNAseq datasets. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) data identified 9 high to moderate effect SNPs in gene bodies in the larger mapping region (Ai, blue ovals, Chr8:75-135Mb). Of these, only one is an EMS-type SNP that falls within the fine mapping interval (Aiii, *). The SNP causes a C to T change in the second exon of GRMZM2G035465, ZmIAA28 which results in a glycine to glutamic acid amino acid change in the highly conserved degron motif (C, cyan box).

 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. *Hoja loca1* **Mature Phenotype.**

The mature phenotype of a wild type (A) and mutant (B) plant, with leaves attached (i) and removed (ii), scale bar is 15cm. The positions of nodes are indicated by the white arrowheads, those that have a leaf are indicated by "L" and the base of the tassel is indicated by "T". The phenotype of tassels and ears in wild type (C) and mutant (D) plants. (i) Whole tassel, (ii) zoomed-in image of the boxed region, (iii) an individual spikelet pair, (iv) unfertilized ear. Scale bars are 1cm.