1 **_De novo_ reconstruction of microbial haplotypes by integrating statistical and physical**
2 **linkage**
3

4 Chen Cao[1,10], Jingni He[1,10], Lauren Mak[1,2,10], Deshan Perera[1], Devin Kwok[3], Jia Wang[4],
5 Minghao Li[1], Tobias Mourier[5], Stefan Gavriliuc[1], Matthew Greenberg[3], A. Sorana Morrissy[1],
6 Laura K. Sycuro[6,1], Guang Yang[1,7], Daniel C. Jeffares[8], Quan Long[1,3,7,9#]
7
8
9 [1]Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Alberta Children's Hospital Research Institute,
10 University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
11
12 [2]Current address: Tri-Institutional Computational Biology & Medicine Program, Weill Cornell Medicine
13 of Cornell University, NY, USA.
14
15 [3]Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
16
17 [4]Electrical and Computer Engineering, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA.
18
19 [5]Pathogen Genomics Laboratory, Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering (BESE)
20 Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.
21
22 [6]Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infectious Diseases, Snyder Institute for Chronic
23 Diseases, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
24
25 [7]Department of Medical Genetics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
26
27 [8]York Biomedical Research Institute, Department of Biology, University of York. Wentworth Way, York,
28 United Kingdom.
29
30 [9]Hotchkiss Brain Institute, O'Brien Institute for Public Health, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.
31
32 [10]These authors contributed equally: Chen Cao, Jingni He, Lauren Mak.
33
34 [#] Correspondence should be addressed to quan.long@ucalgary.ca

Abstract

DNA sequencing technologies provide unprecedented opportunities to analyze within-host

evolution of microorganism populations. Often, within-host populations are analyzed via pooled

sequencing of the population, which contains multiple individuals or 'haplotypes'. However,

current next-generation sequencing instruments, in conjunction with single-molecule barcoded

linked-reads, cannot distinguish long haplotypes directly. Computational reconstruction of

haplotypes from pooled sequencing has been attempted in virology, bacterial genomics,

metagenomics and human genetics, using algorithms based on either cross-host genetic

sharing or within-host genomic reads. Here we describe *PoolHapX*, a flexible computational

approach that integrates information from both genetic sharing and genomic sequencing. We

demonstrated that PoolHapX outperforms state-of-the-art tools in the above four fields, and is

robust to within-host evolution. Importantly, together with barcoded linked-reads, PoolHapX

can infer whole-chromosome-scale haplotypes from 50 pools each containing 12 different

haplotypes. By analyzing real data, we uncovered dynamic variations in the evolutionary

processes of HIV previously unobserved in single position-based analysis.

Microorganisms are in a constant state of genetic flux in response to their environments. High-

resolution analyses of these systems may lead to translational applications, such as clinical

monitoring of antimicrobial resistance trends[1]. However, analyzing within-host dynamics and

evolution is challenging due to the difficulty of separating samples into genetically

homogeneous isolates/clones, either by experimental procedures such as culturing individual

strains, or current computational tools, which are unable to distinguish between many clones

when haplotypes are unknown. As a pragmatic alternative, uncultured mixtures of the

heterogeneous population are sequenced and analyzed based on aggregated frequencies at

each variant position in individual hosts. This procedure ignores the fact that long-range

linkage information is crucial in to many different analyses towards evolution[2,3] and association

mapping[4]. Recent advances in DNA sequencing technology led to increases in the sequencing

depth per run and the length of reads, allowing us to assess genetic variants in greater detail,

providing an unprecedented opportunity to understand the dynamics and evolution of these

systems. Emergent single-molecule sequencing approaches that barcode short reads derived

from long DNA fragments up to 50 Kb (i.e. linked-reads)[5-7], allow in-depth evolutionary studies

into complex populations that were unresolvable from short fragment libraries (250 bp).

However, even with barcode-based linking, full resolution of short reads into long-range

haplotypes is not feasible with current approaches. While third-generation technologies such

as Pacific Biosciences and Oxford Nanopore Technology[8], produce very long reads that

represent local haplotypes, computational analysis will still be essential for resolving

haplotypes and estimating their relative proportions in the pool. Without this haplotype-level

resolution, within-host dynamics cannot be analyzed as if the haplotypes were separated and

sequenced individually. Even with barcoded linked-reads designed for single-molecule

sequencing, the current tools are only applicable in a two-haplotype system, as it was

designed for analyzing paternal and maternal chromosome[9] and structural variants[10].

Therefore, microorganism-based studies resort to computational tools to make single-cell-like

analyses possible[11].

Many tools have been developed to reconstruct haplotypes using algorithms that target data

from viruses, bacteria, metagenomic data, and historically, artificially pooled human genomes.

Conceptually they can be split into two categories. The first contains statistical models utilizing

haplotype-block sharing between individuals ("statistical linkage disequilibrium" or "statistical

LD" hereafter), mostly developed in human genetics[12,13]. The second contains computational

algorithms that leverage minor allele frequency and sequence reads exposing the co-

occurrence of multiple alleles on the same haplotype (referred to as "physical linkage

disequilibrium" or "physical LD" hereafter), mostly tailored to uncultured sequencing of viruses

or bacteria[14-20]. A more detailed overview of these methods is available in the **Supplementary**

Notes.

There is a disconnect between these two approaches, and both have limitations. Methods

based on genetic sharing do not consider pool-specific dynamics that can be captured by

sequencing reads, and are ineffective when there is strong within-host evolution that changes

allele distributions. Also, the underlying population genetic models developed in human

genetics may not fit in microorganisms well. For instance, many microorganisms exchange

genetic materials through gene conversions[21], instead of meiotic recombination as assumed by

many phasing tools[22]. On the other hand, methods based on genomic reads only work on one

pool a time, without taking advantage of genetic sharing caused by transmission between

hosts[23] and common developmental trajectories in different hosts[24]. Additionally, organisms

with differing properties such as mutation rates generate data that require field-specific

assumptions to process. The result is that each haplotyping tool may be ineffective when

applied to another data type.

RESULTS

The two current methodologies utilize disparate sources of genetic information. We expected

considerable improvements by integrating them into a reconstructive model that accurately

represents the composition of genetically related populations. In this work, we present a novel

tool, PoolHapX, which utilizes a multi-step framework balancing cross-host sharing and within-

host specifics to best reconstruct haplotypes, regardless of the specific causes of genetic

sharing (such as recombination or gene conversion) or the configuration of genomic data.

Using simulated and real data containing tens of haplotypes in multiple pools, we demonstrate

that PoolHapX possesses the desired properties for being a universally applicable tool robust

to various factors: (1) it is applicable to many fields, and in particular outperforms state-of-the-

art haplotype-reconstruction tools targeting four different domains, i.e., virus, bacteria,

metagenomics and humans in their respective settings; (2) it is robust to various scenarios of

within-host evolution; (3) it can reconstruct many whole-chromosome long-range haplotypes

when applied to barcoded linked-reads and (4) haplotypes inferred by PoolHapX reveal novel

evolutionary insights unseen in single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based analyses.

Design Philosophy of PoolHapX. PoolHapX is designed to integrate cross-host sharing (i.e.,

statistical LD estimated from population genetic models) and within-host specifics (i.e.,

physical LD assessed by genomic reads) in a flexible framework. Here, "pool" and "host" are

both used synonymously, since "host" emphasizes the biological source of data and "pool" the

experimental source. Briefly, statistical LD in a population is equivalent to non-zero correlation

between the occurrences of alleles at different locations, regardless of the biological

mechanism (e.g., recombination, gene conversion, or natural selection). We use hierarchical

multivariate normal distributions to model and resolve the statistical LD using an extension of

the Approximate Expectation-Maximization (AEM) algorithm[25]. Physical LD can be observed in

paired-end reads or linked-reads, constraining the combinations of haplotypes that can occur

in specific pools. We utilize this information in two ways: (1) to generate initial draft haplotypes

and (2) to statistically infer final haplotypes using a regularized regression model.

Overview of the PoolHapX algorithm*.* PoolHapX infers haplotypes from genomic data that

contains a pool of multiple haplotypes. PoolHapX uses variant calls and read alignments to

identify global haplotypes in each pool, and estimate their in-pool frequencies (**Supplementary**

Fig. 8). Full mathematical descriptions of PoolHapX are presented in **Online Methods,** with

implementation details found in the **Supplementary Notes**. The PoolHapX algorithm is

comprised of four steps embedded in a divide-and-conquer framework. In step 1, graph-

coloring[26] is employed to roughly cluster sequencing reads into initial draft haplotypes. This

draft set serves as the first step of the divide-and-conquer process (**Fig. 1a, Supplementary**

Fig. 1). In step 2, our hierarchical Approximate Expectation-Maximization (AEM) algorithm is

applied to infer haplotypes in local regions. The algorithm starts with the smallest local

haplotypes as the bottom hierarchy (**Fig. 1b**), and then gradually combines them into

successively longer local haplotypes covering larger spans of variant positions (**Fig. 1b,**

Supplementary Fig. 3). This process iterates through several rounds until reaching the top

level (representing the largest local region that AEM can analyze with the available

computation memory). In step 3, the refined set of local haplotypes from the final iteration of

AEM will be stitched to form candidate global haplotypes using a Breadth-First Search (BFS)

algorithm (**Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 6**). Finally, in step 4, the long-range linkage implied in

allele frequencies aggregated across all hosts and the short-range linkage sequencing reads

from each pool are integrated in a novel regression model (**Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 7**).

This regression model is solved using a regularized objective function that combines L0 and L1

penalization[27]. This step finalizes the identity and frequency of the global haplotypes. In

summary, steps 1 and 4 utilize within-host specific reads, whereas step 2 iteratively leverages

linkage-block sharing across pools regardless of the information's biological source.

Figure 1. The PoolHapX algorithm. **(a)** An example of read-graph construction based on physical LD and allele
frequency calculation for single site as well as paired sites. Dark grey (vertical) bars denote polymorphic sites;
white squares represent non-reference alleles. Light grey (horizontal) rectangles represent reads. Each read is a
node in the graph. Colored columns are edges in the graph, specifying linked nodes that must be colored
differently. Colored round bars represent nodes that can be colored based on the information from the edges. **(b)**
An example of Hierarchical Approximate Expectation Maximization. The algorithm starts with a 4 x 4 variance-
covariance matrix, representing a local region with 4 genetic variants. It will be extended to an 8-variant region in
this example. The darker blue indicates higher positive statistical LD between the two genetic variants; red
indicates negative LD. **(c)** An example tree representing all potential global haplotypes. Local haplotypes (2 local
haplotypes in each region) will be stitched into three four potential global haplotypes by Breadth-First Search. **(d)**
An example for the regularized regression. Here four genetic variants have provided seven samples to train the
model: four within-host aggregated MAFs and three non-zero pair-wise physical LDs, represented by the blue
squares. A regularized (L0+L1) optimization will solve the haplotype frequencies, represented by the area of the
shaded rectangles. More detailed illustrations of the algorithms are in **Supplementary Figures 1-7**.
177

Benchmarking PoolHapX with simulated data. To test the accuracy and flexibility of

PoolHapX in comparison to state-of-the-art haplotyping tools [14-20,25,28], we simulated artificial

pools of haplotypes and the sequencing reads generated from these pools. We then examined

PoolHapX against tools developed for virology[14-16], bacteriology[17,18], metagenomics[19,20], and

human genetics[25,28] (flowchart in **Supplementary Fig. 8**). As each discipline has a specific

method for simulating data for benchmarking purposes, we follow their corresponding

conventions. However, for direct comparisons to PoolHapX we used standardized assessment

criteria (**Supplementary Notes**). We used Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC) to measure

the similarity between the identities of simulated 'gold standard' and reconstructed haplotypes,

where identical haplotypes have MCC = 1 (the larger, the better). We used Jensen–Shannon

Divergence (JSD) to measure the difference between frequencies of simulated and

reconstructed haplotype distributions, where identical distributions have JSD = 0 (the smaller,

the better). **Fig. 2** shows the results of this comparison, and a brief description of each domain

is provided below. Details of the simulations are presented in the **Supplementary Notes** and

Online Methods, and outcomes with more parameters, showing similar trends, are presented

in **Supplementary Figs. 12-14**)

Figure 2. Comparison between PoolHapX and existing tools. For all panels, the upper half shows the
accuracy for haplotype identity (MCC) and the lower half shows the accuracy for haplotype frequency (JSD). The
x-axis denotes number of genetic variants in the haplotype. Boxes extend to the first and third quartile; whiskers
extend to the upper and lower value. **(a-c)** Number of pools = 50. **(a)** tools to reconstruct viral haplotypes,
TenSQR, PredictHaplo and CliqueSNV. Sequencing coverage per pool = 2000X. Number of cross-pool
haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 41, 73 and 42. Average number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci,
100 loci and 200 loci are 12, 18 and 11, respectively. **(b)** tools to reconstruct bacterial haplotypes, Bhap and
EVORhA. Coverage = 250X. Number of cross-pool haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 42, 43 and 43.
Average number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 11, 11 and 12, respectively. **(c)** tools
to reconstruct haplotypes for metagenomics, Gretel and StrainEst. Coverage = 100X. Number of cross-pool
haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 39, 37 and 36. Average number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci,
100 loci and 200 loci are 9, 10 and 10, respectively. **(d)** tools to reconstruct human haplotypes, Hippo and AEM
(using 26 populations in 1000 Genome Project data). Coverage = 100X. Number of pools = 25. Number of cross-
pool haplotypes for 10 loci, 15 loci, 25 loci and 200 loci are 15, 22, 28 and 35. Average number of haplotypes per
pool for 10 loci, 15 loci, 25 loci and 200 loci are 4, 5, 6, and 6, respectively. The bars without whiskers represent
no data.

Viruses & Bacteria. In the field of viral/bacterial haplotype reconstruction, cross-host linkage

sharing is not used as a source of information, despite literature evidence demonstrating

extensive conservation in some genomic regions even after transmission takes place[29]. As a

result, the authors formed only one pool of gold standard haplotypes in each round of

simulation. A reference genome is used as a template, and variants are randomly simulated

with pre-specified density of SNPs to form the gold standard haplotypes. Multiple densities are

used to benchmark performance with diverse configurations of data, reflecting variable

mutation rates in different environments[30]. In general, high SNP density (between 0.5% and

1.5%) for viruses [16], and a lower range for bacteria (between 0.01% and 0.05%)[18] are used.

Our procedure is similar, except that we simulated multiple pools with some haplotype sharing

between them, and reconstructed haplotypes across the pools simultaneously. We did not use

a commonly cited real dataset with only 5 HIV strains[31] because PoolHapX is designed to

utilize multiple pools with genetic sharing and many haplotypes in each pool.

Due to our approach, increased haplotype sharing between pools led to better performance for

PoolHapX, but not for other tools. To accurately simulate linkage sharing between pools, we

used SLiM[32] to simulate haplotypes under standard island models, where genomes mutate,

recombine, and replicate in their own island and occasionally migrate to other islands. We

embedded the simulated variants into a viral reference genome.

For viruses, we chose the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), which is well known for its

ability to form large and genetically heterogeneous within-patient viral populations[33]. Multiple

haplotypes were pooled and the simulated sequencing reads were processed using a modified

version of the GATK best practice pipeline[34] to discover variants. Details can be found in

Supplementary Notes. We chose three representative viral sequencing tools for comparison:

TenSQR[14], PredictHaplo[16] and CliqueSNV[15]. Evidently, PoolHapX outperformed these

alternatives not only in the mean of the MCC and JSD values, but also their variances (**Fig. 2a**

and **Supplementary Fig. 12**). When sequencing coverage was high (=1000X), as well as the

SNP density, PoolHapX performed similarly to other tools in terms of MCC, but with much

better JSD (**Fig. 2a**). When sequencing coverage was low, the performance of other tools

decreased rapidly relative to PoolHapX (**Supplementary Fig. 12**).

For bacteria, we used a chromosome of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 biovar *El Tor* str. N16961 (Chr-2,

length = 1.07Mb), a strain of the bacterium *Vibrio cholerae* and the causative agent of

cholera[35] as the template reference genome. We used a lower SNP density (=0.005% to

0.02%) to match the bacterial genomes[17] and to be comparable to the simulation procedures

of competing tools, i.e., Bhap[18] and EVORhA[17]. Despite the very different simulation

parameters and reference genome in the simulations of viruses and bacteria, we observed

similarly good performance in contrast to other tools (**Fig. 2b** and **Supplementary Fig. 12**).

Metagenomics. In the field of metagenomics there is no established tool to infer haplotypes *de*

novo without utilizing template references of known strain sequences. Gretel, a recently

developed tool[20], requires high SNP density so that each SNP is within a sequencing read

length of the next adjacent SNP. We simulated data to facilitate the requirements of Gretel. We

also selected StrainEst[19], a representative tool for strain-identification, to demonstrate whether

tools that utilize templates of known strains may work for fine-scale haplotype identification.

This was likely an unfair comparison, due to the lack of template references for known strains

in our simulations. We used sequences from *Escherichia coli*, a typical bacterium for meta-

genomics studies. In these two cases, the sequencing coverage was substantially lower than

dedicated single-species sequencing (=50X). Evidently, PoolHapX outperformed Gretel, and

StrainEst did not work well when templates were unavailable (**Fig. 2c; Supplementary Fig.**

12).

Humans. In early genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for humans, DNA from multiple

individuals was artificially pooled to save on genotyping costs. Subsequently, *in silico* methods

were applied to the pooled sequencing data to reconstruct haplotypes[25,28] for association

mapping. Though technological advancements have made this cost-saving practice

unnecessary, as a theoretical assessment, we compared PoolHapX against the GWAS-based

haplotype reconstruction tools Hippo[28] and AEM[25]. Since there are many publicly available

human genomes we did not simulate haplotypes, instead making artificial pools using phased

haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes Project[36] (**Supplementary Notes**). **Fig. 2d** and

Supplementary Fig. 14 show that PoolHapX slightly outperformed alternative tools when

there were relatively few SNPs. When there were many SNPs (>=25) in a region, however, the

other tools did not finish in two weeks, while PoolHapX could still produce reliable results with

a large region containing as many as 200 SNPs in a few hours.

PoolHapX performance in evolutionary scenarios and linked reads. The above

simulations in four domains show that PoolHapX is universally applicable across species and

is robust to sequencing data with varying properties. However, except in the domain of human

GWAS, existing tools do not explicitly utilize sharing across hosts. Since different hosts of

microbes may have different evolutionary patterns, leading to host-specific haplotypes, this can

cause a biased outcome from models that use cross-host sharing. To test whether the

PoolHapX module utilizing within-host physical LD can correct for this bias, we used SLiM to

simulate data under three common evolutionary scenarios in population genetic analyses:

positive selection, negative selection, and selective sweeps (**Supplementary Notes**). Our

analysis of these data indicated that PoolHapX is robust to host-specific haplotype patterns

caused by evolution (**Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 13**).

Figure 3. PoolHapX is robust to the within-host changes due to selective forces. The three evolutionary
forces are: **(a)** Negative selection, number of cross-pool haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 36, 36
and 45. Average number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 11, 11 and 12, respectively,
(b) Positive selection, number of cross-pool haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 41, 50 and 104.
Average number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 12, 14 and 21, respectively, and **(c)**
Selective Sweep, number of cross-pool haplotypes for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 23, 36 and 27. Average
number of haplotypes per pool for 50 loci, 100 loci and 200 loci are 8, 9 and 9, respectively. All three panels are
comparing with viral tools, i.e., TenSQR, PredictHaplo and CliqueSNV. All data are simulated under coverage of
1000X, and 50 pools. The y-axis is the same as **Fig. 2**.

Single-molecule linked-reads. We further tested PoolHapX's capabilities on single- *molecule*

linked-reads. Based on a template of chromosome 1 of the unicellular green algae

Ostreococcus lucimarinus (genome length of 1.15 Mb), we simulated approximately 20 gold

standard haplotypes with 570 SNP positions. Using the 10X Genomics linked-read simulator

LRSim[37], with default settings of fragment length (=50Kb) in each droplet and number of

linked-reads per fragment (on average 67), we simulated 10X Genomics linked-reads at

various sequencing depths and numbers of pools. On average, PoolHapX achieved MCC ≥

0.75 and JSD ≤ 0.25 (**Fig. 4**), which is comparable to other PoolHapX results when inferring

shorter haplotypes using standard Illumina paired-end reads based on short-fragment DNA

molecules. This outcome turns the promise of "single-cell" DNA sequencing into reality,

enabling pathogen biologists to study within-host evolutionary changes at the cellular level.

313
314 **Figure 4. PoolHapX + single-molecule linked-reads.** MCC and JSD of PoolHapX applying to simulated
315 barcoded linked-reads based on combinations of different numbers of pools (25,50) and sequencing coverage
316 (100, 250). Number of cross-pool haplotypes for 25 and 50 pools are 31 and 40. Average haplotypes per pool for
317 25 and 50 pools are 12.

318
319

Applications to real data. Infections with the haploid malaria parasite *Plasmodium vixax*

(Genome size =22 Mb) are known to contain multiple genotypes, which influence disease

severity[38]. These 'multi-clonal infections' may derive from infection by a single mosquito bite

carrying multiple strains, with meiotic recombination in the vector. Alternatively, they may be

due to multiple infections from different mosquitos carrying different strains. Accurate whole-

genome haplotype reconstruction will distinguish between these alternatives. We challenged

PoolHapX with a collection of 49 *P. vivax* genome sequences (**Supplementary Notes**) to

demonstrate its applicability on many pools of eukaryotic organisms with mid-sized genomes[39].

To achieve this, we split the *P. vivax* genome into windows of 150 SNPs. PoolHapX took on

average 54.79 CPU-hours per chromosome to conduct all computations. On average we found

3.3 inferred haplotypes per region per individual (**Supplementary Table 3**), consistent to

expectations[38]. The distribution of haplotype frequencies along the chromosomes is

exemplified in **Supplementary Fig. 9.**

To compare the *de novo* reconstructed haplotypes with strains inferred by a template-based

method in metagenomics, we reanalyzed a meta-genomics dataset collected from a

gastrointestinal microbiome undergoing shifts in species and strain abundance[40]. The original

publication suggested that the abundance of *Staphylocous epidermidis* is primarily controlled

by phages 13, 14 and 46 through the *mecA* gene. Based on StrainEst[19] (supported by

templates of known strains), other researchers analyzed the same data and inferred the

identities and frequencies of the three strains in question[19], which we were able to replicate

(**Fig. 5.a**). We have re-analyzed the same data by reconstructing fine-scale haplotypes.

The *Staphylocous epidermidis* genome was divided into 110 fragments (100 SNPs

per fragment). The average number of haplotypes for each fragment was 9.3, although this

value changed at different time points (**Supplementary Table 4**). All fragmentary haplotypes

are aligned back to the three main strains (**Supplementary Notes**) to examine the aggregated

haplotype frequencies of each strain. By averaging all 110 regions, the aggregated frequencies

(from PoolHapX) were found to follow the same pattern of changes as these three strains (**Fig.**

5.b). This demonstrates that PoolHapX correctly identified haplotypes through *de novo*

inference, without the use of reference templates from known strains, as required by StrainEst.

To demonstrate how PoolHapX can be used to discover novel evolutionary events, we tested PoolHapX on bulk-sequencing data from a recent intra-patient HIV study[41]. This dataset contains longitudinal samples from multiple time points for 10 patients. We analyzed patient #1, which contains the most time points (12). We inferred haplotypes using PoolHapX, and observed 2 main haplotypes at time point 1, and 10 -13 main haplotypes at the rest time points (**Supplementary Table 5**). We then calculated several extended haplotype homozygosity-related (EHH) summary statistics[2], which measure linkage disequilibrium across a population by quantifying the probability that two randomly chosen particles are identical by descent in a certain region (see rationale in **Supplementary Notes**). Outlier values of the area under the EHH curve indicate that selective sweeps may have occurred (**Supplementary Notes**). While the size of linkage blocks decayed extremely rapidly post-infection in all genes (**Fig. 6a,b, Supplementary Fig. 10**), it did not decrease monotonically as the HIV population adapted to the within-patient environment. To further quantify the rate and dynamics of selection within each gene, we plotted the size of windows with EHHS ≥ 0.5 at all time points and for multiple genes in the reconstructed haplotypes. The genes *gag*, responsible for assembly and structure, and *pol*, responsible for genetic reproduction[42], are pictured in **Fig. 6c, d**, (other

372   genes in **Supplementary Fig. 11**). Within *gag* and *pol*, there was substantial heterogeneity in

373   average window size over time, with the downstream regions of *gag* and *pol* largely fluctuating

374   between 0 and 250 bp (**Fig. 6c,d**). These regions were highly conserved due to their

375   respective roles in the HIV life cycle[43].

377
Figure 6. Insights in within-host HIV evolution (a-b) The decay of EHHS around each SNP position in
reconstructed HIV-1 haplotypes occurs rapidly during the acute phase of infection. The dashed red line indicates
the location of the focal SNP position. **(a)** Position 1377 (Gag gene, found in p2 protein). **(b)** Position 3530 (Pol
gene, found in p15). **(c-d)** The size of windows of EHHS 0.5 fluctuate around gene-specific averages. The solid
red line indicates a weighted mean across the positions in the gene. DPI refers to estimated days post-infection.
Each dot represents the window size around at least one position. **(c)** Gag. **(d)** Pol. The legend (right) indicating
the color corresponding to each time-point is common to panels **a-d**.
385

We have conducted a search for regions of positive selection between reconstructed

haplotypes at adjacent time-points, where selective sweeps could have taken place. There are

regions that are recurrently swept, most notably in the region of the *gag* polyprotein gene that

encodes the p24 protein (**Supplementary Table 7**). The occurrence of sporadic but re-

occurring selective sweeps in gag, specifically p24, can be attributed to the appearance of

cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTL) escape mutations, which reduce the ability of CTL to target

virus-infected cells[44]. However, these escape mutations also decrease the replicative capacity

of the virus, and a larger mutational burden corresponds to a greater decrease in capacity[45,46].

As such, episodic periods of positive selection at the same location would allow successful

escape mutations to rise to fixation occasionally, while still allowing for genetic diversity to

accumulate between selective sweeps.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that PoolHapX produces more accurate haplotype sequences and

frequencies than any other tool available to date, and is robust to dynamics caused by within-

host evolution. From the analysis of *Plasmodium vivax, Staphylocous epidermidis* and HIV

data, we show that PoolHapX is scalable, accurate, and infers haplotype data that is valuable

for understanding the within-patient diversity of pathogens.

This implementation of PoolHapX has some limitations. We found that the method is sensitive

to the inferred allele frequency, and therefore high variance in allele frequency caused by very

low sequencing coverage will result in high error rates. The performance of PoolHapX is also

variable when we attempt to infer frequencies of more than 50 haplotypes in the pools.

However, if we aim only to assess a smaller number of more abundant haplotypes (e.g. 10-

20), it is robust to noise caused by rare haplotypes (**Fig. 2** and **3**). Several existing papers use

L1 regularization alone[19]. This strategy does not work for many haplotypes with small

differences. This is because the sum of haplotype frequencies in a host will always be near 1.0

if the inference is generally accurate. L0 regularization controls for this problem by further

regularizing the number of haplotypes. At present, PoolHapX is in continuing development,

with ongoing work to integrate third-generation sequencing data[47] into PoolHapX, as well as

algorithms using genomic assembly[48] to improve haplotypes.

METHODS

Graph coloring algorithm. If two sequencing reads cover the same genetic variant site but

carry different alleles, it is certain that they do not belong to the same haplotype. Based on this

observation, we build a graph <V, E> in which every read is a node v. For two nodes v_1 and v_2,

we put an edge $e_{1,2}$ between them if and only if we have information to claim they are not on

the same haplotype. Then, the haplotyping problem becomes a graph-coloring problem: where

each node (i.e. each read) is assigned a color, such that nodes linked by edges are colored

differently. This ensures that reads belonging to different haplotypes are colored differently.

After conducting this graph-coloring problem, we effectively estimate haplotypes by collecting

reads of the same colors. As the standard parsimony algorithm is too slow when the number of

reads is large, we have implemented a greedy algorithm to color this graph (**Supplementary**

Notes). The spatial complexity of our graph coloring is $O(n^2)$ and the time complexity is $O(n^2)$.

The outcome of graph-coloring forms starting states for the whole pipeline in two respects.

First, by collecting all reads of the same color, PoolHapX can generate segments of local

haplotypes as the initial input to the next step, the Hierarchical AEM algorithm. Second, the

gaps between local haplotypes naturally inform the initial divide & conquer plan for subsequent

steps (**Supplementary Notes**).

Hierarchical AEM algorithm. The basic version of AEM algorithm, as described in[25], builds

upon the multivariate normal (MVN) distribution. The LD between all pairs of *n* genetic variants

is modeled as the variance-covariance matrix of an MVN distribution. Initially, all 2^n possible

haplotypes will be assigned to the same frequency ($1/2^n$). Then in an iterative procedure, the

likelihood ratio of observing the data with or without the presence of each haplotype is

estimated using the MVN densities.. These ratios are called "Importance factors"[25], indicating

the importance of the individual haplotypes, and will be used to adjust their haplotype

frequencies. This adjustment is conducted iteratively until the haplotype frequencies converge

(**Supplementary Notes**).

In our adaptation of AEM we have made the following three modifications. First, the initial

haplotype configuration is no longer a uniform distribution of all 2^n haplotypes. Instead, using

the haplotypes gained from graph coloring, haplotypes with higher sequence coverage start

with a higher initial frequency. As a consequence, many potential haplotypes that are not

observed in graph coloring will have zero frequency. While the spatial complexity of AEM

remains $O(n^2)$ and the theoretical time complexity remains $O(n^3 \times 2^n)$, the number of required

iterations are substantially reduced in practice due to the initial configuration being closer to

the truth. Second, we use a divide & conquer algorithm to scale up the original AEM algorithm

to larger regions, so that we run AEM in a hierarchical manner. The shorter haplotypes inferred

from the previous AEM iteration are used in the next round of AEM to form longer haplotypes

(**Supplementary Fig. 3 and Fig. 1a**). In each round, local regions are designed to have half of

their genetic variants overlap with the next region (**Supplementary Fig. 5**), in order to form

tiling windows that can be stitched together at the next hierarchical level. Third, the original

AEM is not robust to numerical instability if the denominator in the likelihood ratio is close to

zero. However, this problem occurs more frequently in larger regions with sparse non-zero LDs

in the covariance matrix. We have fixed this by adjusting the calculation of the likelihood

(**Supplementary Notes**).

Breadth-First Search (BFS). The iterative AEM algorithm generates successively larger

regional haplotypes until an upper limit is reached, which is 96 variants by default. PoolHapX

will then attempt to resolve global haplotypes. The outcome of the last AEM iteration is a set of

local haplotypes that span tiling windows, with many potential combinations that form global

haplotypes. To resolve global haplotypes, we model the local regions as a tree, with each local

haplotype as a node. Haplotypes from the first region of the genome form the first level of the

tree, while haplotypes from the next tiling region form the nodes of the next level. If two

haplotypes in adjacent regions have the same alleles in their overlapping segments, we add an

edge linking these two nodes. Traversing the resulting tree generates an exhaustive set of all

plausible combinations of local haplotypes, which forms the set of candidate global haplotypes.

We implement a standard Breadth-First Search (BFS) algorithm[49] to conduct this traversal.

Finally, we filter out some global haplotypes that are inconsistent with the sequencing reads

(**Supplementary Notes**).

Global Regularization model. Given all candidate global haplotypes from the BFS step, we

use an innovative regression model to estimate the within-host global haplotype frequencies in

each pool:

$$Y \sim \sum \beta_i X_i$$

Where β_i is the frequency of the *i*-th global haplotype in the host (pool). Here Y and X_i are the

independent and predictor variables in a standard regression model. We use two types of

samples to train Y from X_i, which represent two different sources of data: minor allele

frequency and physical LD. Mathematically, the dimension of Y is *n + n(n-1)/2* (where *n* is the

number of sites), representing the alternate frequency at *n* sites and their *n(n-1)/2* physical LD

across pairs of sites observed in the reads. First, at each site, the sum of frequencies of

haplotypes containing the alternate allele should be equal to the observed alternate allele

frequency based on reads from the pool. This is the same information utilized by several other

tools[17,19]. An innovation of our design is the use of a second type of sample: for each pair of

sites, the sum of frequencies of haplotypes containing both alternate alleles should be equal to

the frequency observed in the number of reads that cover both alternate alleles in the pool,

which includes read-pairs and many barcoded reads in 10x linked-reads (**Supplementary Fig.**

7). A full description is in (**Supplementary Notes**). The set of β_i that best fits these two sets of

constraints is our solution.

To reduce overfitting, the objective function for training the above regression is designed as a

combination of L0 and L1:

$$Obj(\vec{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \left\|Y - \hat{Y}\right\|_2 + \alpha||\vec{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_0 + \gamma||\vec{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_1$$

where $||\vec{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_1$ is the L1-norm, which is the sum of absolute values of all β_i; and $||\vec{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_0$ is the L0-

norm, which is the number of non-zero β_i.

As mentioned in the **Discussion**, L1 regularization cannot differentiate between different

haplotypes sets that are distinguished by small differences such as a single recombination.

This is why L0 regularization is necessary for our method, although it is much slower. The

L0Learn package[27] is used to conduct this inference. Finally, the cross-host (i.e., population)

frequencies of each haplotype can be formed by combining the within-host frequencies.

HIV evolutionary data analysis

For a description of Patient 1 data, the SNP position-calling pipeline, and haplotype

reconstruction, see **Supplementary Notes**.

The R package rehh (version 3.0) was applied to survey linkage patterns within a single time-

point, and changes to linkage patterns across the duration of infection monitoring[50]. Several

long-range haplotype-based evolutionary statistics related to extended haplotype

homozygosity (EHH)[2] were used to quantify the type and magnitude of selection. To search for

regions of positive selection within the reconstructed genome, integrated haplotype score

(iHS)[3] and cross-population EHH scores (XP-EHH) were calculated for each time-point and

between each time-point, respectively.

For more details about the rationale behind each layer of analysis, see **Supplementary Notes**.

The scripts that generate ms[51] output format from PoolHapX output files and apply EHH-based

statistics to the reconstructed haplotypes are available at

(https://github.com/theLongLab/PoolHapX/tree/master/Simulation_And_Analysis/HIV_analysis_

code). Parameters and settings are described in further detail within the scripts.

Other data analyses. Processing and analyses of *Plasmodium* and other metagenomic data

(*E. Coli*) can be found in **Supplementary Notes**. Details of simulations and comparisons

(including how other tools are executed) are also included in **Supplementary Notes**.

Acknowledgement. Q.L. is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2017-04860), a

Canada Foundation for Innovation JELF grant (36605), and an ACHRI Startup grant. C.C.,

M.L. and L.M. are supported by ACHRI scholarship. L.M. is supported by a QEII award. G.Y. is

supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant (RGPIN/04246-2018).

Author contributions. Conceived the project: QL and DJ; Designed the algorithms: QL, CC, and

JW; Implemented the software: CC, QL and JW; Tested the software: LM, ML, DP and SG.

Simulated data analyses: CC and JH; Real data analyses: LM, CC, and DP; Contributed data

and advice: DJ, TM, SM, LS, MG, GY; Fine-art figures: DK; Write the manuscript: QL, CC, LM,

JH, and DK, with contributions from all co-authors.

Reference

1. Hofer, U. The cost of antimicrobial resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**, 3 (2019).
2. Sabeti, P.C. et al. Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype structure. *Nature* **419**, 832-7 (2002).
3. Voight, B.F., Kudaravalli, S., Wen, X. & Pritchard, J.K. A map of recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol* **4**, e72 (2006).
4. Datta, A.S. & Biswas, S. Comparison of haplotype-based statistical tests for disease association with rare and common variants. *Brief Bioinform* **17**, 657-71 (2016).
5. Zheng, G.X. et al. Haplotyping germline and cancer genomes with high-throughput linked-read sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 303-11 (2016).
6. Chen, Z. et al. Ultra-low input single tube linked-read library method enables short-read NGS systems to generate highly accurate and economical long-range sequencing information for de novo genome assembly and haplotype phasing. *bioRxiv*, 852947 (2019).
7. Wang, O. et al. Efficient and unique cobarcoding of second-generation sequencing reads from long DNA molecules enabling cost-effective and accurate sequencing, haplotyping, and de novo assembly. *Genome Res* **29**, 798-808 (2019).
8. Weirather, J.L. et al. Comprehensive comparison of Pacific Biosciences and Oxford Nanopore Technologies and their applications to transcriptome analysis. *F1000Res* **6**, 100 (2017).
9. Mostovoy, Y. et al. A hybrid approach for de novo human genome sequence assembly and phasing. *Nat Methods* **13**, 587-90 (2016).
10. Elyanow, R., Wu, H.T. & Raphael, B.J. Identifying structural variants using linked-read sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 353-360 (2018).
11. Danko, D.C., Meleshko, D., Bezdan, D., Mason, C. & Hajirasouliha, I. Minerva: an alignment- and reference-free approach to deconvolve Linked-Reads for metagenomics. *Genome Res* **29**, 116-124 (2019).
12. Long, Q. et al. PoolHap: inferring haplotype frequencies from pooled samples by next generation sequencing. *PLoS One* **6**, e15292 (2011).
13. Long, Q. Computational Haplotype Inference from Pooled Samples. *Methods Mol Biol* **1551**, 309-319 (2017).
14. Ahn, S., Ke, Z. & Vikalo, H. Viral quasispecies reconstruction via tensor factorization with successive read removal. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i23-i31 (2018).
15. Knyazev, S. et al. CliqueSNV: Scalable Reconstruction of Intra-Host Viral Populations from NGS Reads. *bioRxiv*, 264242 (2018).
16. Prabhakaran, S., Rey, M., Zagordi, O., Beerenwinkel, N. & Roth, V. HIV haplotype inference using a propagating dirichlet process mixture model. *IEEE/ACM transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics* **11**, 182-191 (2013).
17. Pulido-Tamayo, S. et al. Frequency-based haplotype reconstruction from deep sequencing data of bacterial populations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e105 (2015).
18. Li, X., Saadat, S., Hu, H. & Li, X. BHap: a novel approach for bacterial haplotype reconstruction. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 4624-4631 (2019).
19. Albanese, D. & Donati, C. Strain profiling and epidemiology of bacterial species from metagenomic sequencing. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2260 (2017).
20. Nicholls, S.M. et al. Recovery of gene haplotypes from a metagenome. *BioRxiv*, 223404 (2019).
21. Santoyo, G. & Romero, D. Gene conversion and concerted evolution in bacterial genomes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **29**, 169-83 (2005).

22.   Browning, S.R. & Browning, B.L. Haplotype phasing: existing methods and new
developments. *Nat Rev Genet* **12**, 703-14 (2011).
23.   Cudini, J. et al. Human cytomegalovirus haplotype reconstruction reveals high diversity due
to superinfection and evidence of within-host recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**,
5693-5698 (2019).
24.   Toprak, E. et al. Evolutionary paths to antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained
drug selection. *Nat Genet* **44**, 101-5 (2011).
25.   Kuk, A.Y., Zhang, H. & Yang, Y. Computationally feasible estimation of haplotype frequencies
from pooled DNA with and without Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 379-
86 (2009).
26.   Matula, D.W., Marble, G. & Isaacson, J.D. Graph coloring algorithms. in *Graph theory and*
computing 109-122 (Elsevier, 1972).
27.   Hazimeh, H. & Mazumder, R. Fast best subset selection: Coordinate descent and local
combinatorial optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.01454* (2018).
28.   Pirinen, M. Estimating population haplotype frequencies from pooled SNP data using
incomplete database information. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 3296-302 (2009).
29.   Mak, L. et al. Evaluation of A Phylogenetic Pipeline to Examine Transmission Networks in A
Canadian HIV Cohort. *Microorganisms* **8**(2020).
30.   Metzgar, D. & Wills, C. Evidence for the adaptive evolution of mutation rates. *Cell* **101**, 581-
4 (2000).
31.   Giallonardo, F.D. et al. Full-length haplotype reconstruction to infer the structure of
heterogeneous virus populations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, e115 (2014).
32.   Haller, B.C. & Messer, P.W. SLiM 3: Forward Genetic Simulations Beyond the Wright-Fisher
Model. *Mol Biol Evol* **36**, 632-637 (2019).
33.   Lauring, A.S. & Andino, R. Quasispecies theory and the behavior of RNA viruses. *PLoS*
Pathog **6**, e1001005 (2010).
34.   DePristo, M.A. et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-
generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* **43**, 491-8 (2011).
35.   Cvjetanovic, B. & Barua, D. The seventh pandemic of cholera. *Nature* **239**, 137-138 (1972).
36.   Consortium, G.P. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-74 (2015).
37.   Luo, R., Sedlazeck, F.J., Darby, C.A., Kelly, S.M. & Schatz, M.C. LRSim: A Linked-Reads
Simulator Generating Insights for Better Genome Partitioning. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J*
15, 478-484 (2017).
38.   Pacheco, M.A. et al. Multiplicity of Infection and Disease Severity in Plasmodium vivax. *PLoS*
Negl Trop Dis **10**, e0004355 (2016).
39.   Carlton, J. The Plasmodium vivax genome sequencing project. *Trends Parasitol* **19**, 227-31
(2003).
40.   Sharon, I. et al. Time series community genomics analysis reveals rapid shifts in bacterial
species, strains, and phage during infant gut colonization. *Genome Res* **23**, 111-20 (2013).
41.   Zanini, F. et al. Population genomics of intrapatient HIV-1 evolution. *Elife* **4**(2015).
42.   Konnyu, B. et al. Gag-Pol processing during HIV-1 virion maturation: a systems biology
approach. *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**, e1003103 (2013).
43.   Mayrose, I. et al. Synonymous site conservation in the HIV-1 genome. *BMC Evol Biol* **13**, 164
(2013).
44.   Prince, J.D., Walkup, J., Akincigil, A., Amin, S. & Crystal, S. Serious mental illness and risk of
new HIV/AIDS diagnoses: an analysis of Medicaid beneficiaries in eight states. *Psychiatr*
Serv **63**, 1032-8 (2012).

45.   Chopera, D.R. et al. Transmission of HIV-1 CTL escape variants provides HLA-mismatched
recipients with a survival advantage. *PLoS Pathog* **4**, e1000033 (2008).
46.   Wright, J.K. et al. Impact of HLA-B*81-associated mutations in HIV-1 Gag on viral
replication capacity. *J Virol* **86**, 3193-9 (2012).
47.   Check Hayden, E. Genome sequencing: the third generation. *Nature* **457**, 768-9 (2009).
48.   Bankevich, A. et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to
single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**, 455-77 (2012).
49.   Cormen, T.H., Leiserson, C.E., Rivest, R.L. & Stein, C. *Introduction to algorithms*, (MIT press,
2009).
50.   Gautier, M. & Vitalis, R. rehh: an R package to detect footprints of selection in genome-wide
SNP data from haplotype structure. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1176-1177 (2012).
51.   Ewing, G. & Hermisson, J. MSMS: a coalescent simulation program including recombination,
demographic structure and selection at a single locus. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2064-5 (2010).
657